IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 64515 ANTHONY CASTANEDA, 3 **Electronically Filed** 4 Appellant, Jun 03 2014 08:51 a.m. 5 Tracie K. Lindeman v. Clerk of Supreme Court 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 8 Respondent. 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME III PAGES 499-665 10 11 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 13 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney for Appellant 14 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 15 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

INDEX ANTHONY CASTANEDA Case No. 64515

	PAGE NO.
Amended Criminal Complaint filed 04/11/2011	007-012
Amended Information filed 02/05/2013	
Criminal Complaint filed 03/04/2011	002-006
Defendant's Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 01/28/2013	
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 07/16/2013	249-158
Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 04/	19/2013
District Court Minutes from 04/21/2011 through 10/30/2013	378-418
Filed Under Seal filed 03/04/2011	
 Information filed 04/20/2011	015-022
Instructions to the Jury filed 07/16/2013	
Judgment of Conviction filed 12/31/2013	373-377
Justice Court minutes from 03/08/2011 through 04/14/2011	013-014
Memorandum Concerning Previously Filed Offer of Proof and Motion to Defendant's Motion to Call and Expert Witness in Rebuttal filed 10/21/2013	o Reconsider 356-360
Motion for Discovery filed 02/05/2013	143-151
Motion for Own Recognizance Release, or, in the Alternative, for Setting of Refiled 04/21/2011	easonable Bai 023-026
Motion to Continue Firm Trial Date filed 01/28/2013	125-127
Motion to Dismiss filed 07/12/2013	190-248
Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Due to Prosecutorial Mi 10/11/2013	sconduct filed
Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Due to Prosecutorial Mi	sconduct filed
Motion to Strike Offer of Proof Regarding Defendant's Motion to Call a Comp Rebut Detective Elhers' Surprise Trial Testimony as Defendant's Offer of Pro- Supplements in the Record filed 10/18/2013	outer Expert to of Improperly 350-352
Motion to Vacate Counts Two through Fifteen filed 10/02/2013	
Notice of Appeal filed 11/25/2013	361-370

i

1	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 11/03/2011
2	Offer of Proof Regarding Defendant's Motion to Call a Computer Expert to Rebut Detective Ehlers' Surprise Trial Testimony filed 10/07/2013
3	Order filed 02/20/2013
4	Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 07/16/2013
5	Probation Agreement and Rules Order Admitting Defendant to Probation and Fixing the Terms Thereof filed 12/26/2013
7	Reporter's Transcript of Continuation of Preliminary Hearing heard 04/14/2011 067-112
8	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 04/11/2011
9	Second Amended Information filed 07/08/2013
10	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 01/31/2013 130-132
11	State's Notice of Hearing Motion and Motion to Move the Trial Date filed 05/06/2013
12	State's Notice of Flearing Motion and Motion to Move the 1997
	State's Opposition to Defendant's Discovery Motion filed 02/08/2013 152-010
13	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Due to
14	Prosecutorial Misconduct filed 10/18/2013
15	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Vacate Counts Two through Fifteen filed 10/16/2013
16	Stipulation and Order filed 07/10/2013
17	Stipulation and Order Regarding Composition and Dissemination of Child Pornographic
18	Stipulation and Order Regarding Composition and Dissemination of State 184-185 Materials filed 07/08/2013
19	Stipulation and Order Regarding Discovery of Child Pornographic Materials filed 01/31/2013
20	Stipulation and Order Regarding Reference to Bestiality filed 07/08/2013
21	
22	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 11/02/2012
	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 06/28/2013
23	Verdict filed 06/16/2013
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

TRANSCRIPTS

1	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
2 3	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Jury Trial-Day 1 Date of Hrg: 02/05/2013
4 5	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 1 Date of Hrg: 07/08/2013
6 7	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 2 Date of Hrg: 07/09/2013
9	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 3 Date of Hrg: 07/10/2013
10 11	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 4 Date of Hrg: 07/11/2013
12 13	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 5 Date of Hrg: 07/12/2013
14 15	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 6 Date of Hrg: 07/15/2013
16 17	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 7 Date of Hrg: 07/16/2013
18 19	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 11/21/2011
20 21	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 11/19/2012454-457
22 23	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 01/28/2013
24 25	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 04/22/2013
26 27	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 07/01/20131634-1641
28	

1 2	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 02/11/2013
3	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release/Setting or, in the Alternative, for Setting Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 05/02/2011
5 6 7	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Request: Quashing Outstanding Bench Warrant Date of Hrg: 05/01/2013
8	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript State's Notice of Hearing Motion and Motion to Move the Trial Date Date of Hrg: 05/20/2013
10 11	Status Check: Defendant's Presence (01/25/2012—Status Check: Discovery/reset 11/27/2012—Status Check: Discovery/reset 1
12 13	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Status Check: Discovery/Reset Trial Date of Hrg: 01/25/2012
14 15	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Status Check: Discovery/Reset Trial Date of Hrg: 02/01/2012
16 17	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Status Check: Reset Trial Date Date of Hrg: 02/06/2013
18 19	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion to Vacate Counts Two through Fifteen/Sentencing Date of Hrg: 10/14/2013
20 21	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion/Sentencing Date of Hrg: 10/28/2013
22	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion/Sentencing Date of Hrg: 10/30/2013
23 24	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Date of Hrg: 04/21/2011
25 26	
27 28	

Electronically Filed 01/28/2014 08:56:11 AM

1	RTRAN	Alun & Comm
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4		
5	DISTRICT	COURT
6	CLARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA
7)	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C-11-272657-1
9	Plaintiff,	
10	llvs.	DEPT. V
11	ANTHONY CASTANEDA,	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
12	Defendant.	
13)	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHY HARDCA	STLE, SENIOR DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY, N	
16	RECORDER'S ROUGHT I	DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
17	REQUEST: QUASHING OUTSTA	ANDING BENCH WARRANT
18		
19	APPEARANCES:	
20	For the State:	JOHN FATTIG, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
21		Office Deputy District Attorney
22	For the Defendant:	ERIKA D. BALLOU, ESQ.
23		Deputy Public Defender
24	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COUR	T RECORDER
25		
•	Rough Draft Transcript	Page -1-

Las Vegas, Nevada - Wednesday, May 1, 2013, 9:54 a.m.

* * * * *

THE COURT: Case number C272657, State of Nevada versus Anthony Castaneda.

MS. BALLOU: Erika Ballou, the Clark County Public Defender's Office, on his behalf. He is present, out of custody. This is our motion to quash the bench warrant. He has been present at almost all of his other -- all of his other court appearances, except when his presence was waived.

I am -- my -- I believe he just misunderstood the court date. He came for his trial, but not the calendar call.

THE COURT: And why was your office not in contact with him to get ready for trial?

MS. BALLOU: We had been in contact with him. We didn't know that he didn't know that -- the calendar call date.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I actually was on a plane. I was delayed until about 11:00 in the morning. It was supposed to be in at 6.

MR. FATTIG: Your Honor, we're opposing the motion to quash. The defendant was originally arraigned in this case in April of '11, so the case has been lingering and lingering. It's been continued by the defense numerous times over our objection. We were ready to go last time in February. In fact, Judge Elsworth set it for trial, and we prepared and we were ready to go on the day of the trial, and it was continued on the day of the trial with the jury in the hallway over our objection by the defense.

MS. BALLOU: And that was because of some discovery issues.

THE COURT: Don't interrupt.

MR. FATTIG: The Court gave -- the defendant was here. The Court gave the

Rough Draft Transcript

Page -2-

1	date. If you're out of state, you fly in the day before. No excuses will be accepted, none.
2	The only thing that'll get you out of trial is a death certificate that's certified.
3	MS. BALLOU: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	THE CLERK: So the bench warrant is quashed?
5	THE COURT: I'll quash the bench warrant this one last time. This is it.
6	THE CLERK: Is that a firm setting?
7	THE COURT: It's a firm setting. The case is going.
8	THE CLERK: And how long will it take for trial?
9	MR. FATTIG: I believe it was expected to be three to four days.
10	THE CLERK: Three to four days, okay.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	[Proceeding concluded at 11:08 a.m.]
13	* * *
14	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I
15	acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.
16	И.
17	Francesca Haak
18	FRANCESCA HAAK Recorder/Transcriber
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	RTRAN	Alun J. Etnum
	KIKAN	CLERK OF THE COURT
2		
3		
4		
5		T COURT
6	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA
7 8 9	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C-11-272657-1
10	ys.	DEPT. V
11		ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
12	ANTHONY CASTANEDA,) }
13	Defendant.	
14)
15		ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
	1	MAY 20, 2013
16 17	STATE'S NOTICE OF HEARI	IT DRAFT TRANSCRIPT NG MOTION AND MOTION TO TRIAL DATE
18		
19		
20	APPEARANCES:	IOUN EATTIO ECO
21	For the State:	JOHN FATTIG, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
22		·
23	For the Defendant:	ERIKA D. BALLOU, ESQ.
24		Deputy Public Defender
25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, CO	OURT RECORDER
	Rough Draft Transcript	Page -1-

Las Vegas, Nevada - Monday, May 20, 2013, 9:29 a.m.

* * * * *

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Case number C272657, State of Nevada versus Anthony Castaneda.

MS. BALLOU: He is present. He is out of custody.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BALLOU: This was on for the State's motion to move the trial date.

THE COURT: Correct. I looked at those other cases, and so I had a question, if you know, Mr. Fattig. On C284324, which is the Lorenzo Ritchie case, are all offers revoked on that one, do you know, 'cause that's been --

MR. FATTIG: Your Honor, I --

THE COURT: -- continued.

MR. FATTIG: -- actually don't know.

THE COURT: You don't? I mean, I realize she's got three cases all set for the same --

MR. FATTIG: She does.

THE COURT: -- and she can't do three, and I think what we're looking at is the balance of -- the other case has some people at liberty, but if there are three defendants and there's one person though in custody, and the dates that are suggested by counsel, July 22nd and July 29th, won't work with the Court's schedule because I'll be attending the Bar conference the week of the 22nd. I don't know how long this case is gonna be, but --

MR. FATTIG: I believe --

MS. BALLOU: I think three days.

MR. FATTIG: -- we thought around four days or so --

THE COURT: Yeah, so.

1	MR. FATTIG: last time.
2	THE COURT: So I won't be you know, I have to travel
3	MR. FATTIG: July the week
4	THE COURT: on Wednesday.
5	MR, FATTIG: The week of July 8th would work as well with her schedule.
6	THE COURT: July 8 th .
7	MS. BALLOU: I didn't bring my calendar. I had only looked at the two dates that
8	she suggested. I think I've got something else in this department on July 8 th .
9	THE COURT: July 29 th is my start — start of my civil stack.
10	MR. FATTIG: Oh.
11	THE COURT: So that's the reason that date's out. Otherwise I could do it, but.
12	MS. BALLOU: Yeah, I had only looked at the two dates that she suggested in her
13	motion and didn't bring my trial schedule, and, as you know, I'm moving to a different track
14	starting in June.
15	THE COURT: All right. You're keeping this case, right?
16	MS. BALLOU: I keep the ones that go before August, or August or before.
17	THE COURT: Okay. So do you have your calendar on your phone?
18	MS. BALLOU: No, I didn't bring it. I only looked at the dates that she suggested,
19	and both of those were open for me, so I
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	THE CLERK: And what dates were those?
22	THE COURT: The 22 nd of June and the 29 th or I mean July, and the 29 th of July,
23	but July 29 th is the start of our civil stack.
24	THE CLERK: All right.
25	MS. BALLOU: If I can just take a second to talk to the clerk, I just don't remember

Page -3-

what I have on July 8th, and if it's something that's out of custody, I can move it. So if we 1 can just -- just can you tell me the names of what trials you've got on July 8th, please. 2 THE CLERK: Yeah. 3 MS. BALLOU: That are PD. 4 THE CLERK: Yeah, that are PD? 5 MS. BALLOU: M-hmm. 6 THE CLERK: Rouleau, R-O-U-L-E-A-U. 7 MS. BALLOU: That's me, and he's out of custody, so if that's the only one I've got 8 it and I can do it. 9 THE CLERK: Lana Slosgavlo [phonetic]. 10 MS. BALLOU: That got taken away from me. 11 THE CLERK: Joe Sanchez. 12 MS. BALLOU: No. 13 THE CLERK: All of these are PD. Leslie Mann [phonetic]. 14 MS. BALLOU: No. 15 THE CLERK: Zee Quin Woo [phonetic]. I think that's it. 16 MS. BALLOU: Okay. So Michael Rouleau is - I believe that's a first trial setting, 17 and he's out of custody, so I can do the 8th. 18 THE COURT: All right. And is that -- that's fine --19 MR. FATTIG: Yes. 20 THE COURT: -- also. 21 MR. FATTIG: That appears to work with her schedule, so. 22 THE COURT: All right. We'll move it to the 8th. 23 THE CLERK: Okay. July 1st at 9 a.m. is the calendar call. And is it back to being a 24 firm setting? I'm not sure, but I think this one might be -- we do actually have a firm setting

Rough Draft Transcript

25

Page -4-

ı	
1	already, so.
2	MS. BALLOU: Oh.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So it may have to go to overflow. I think
4	MS. BALLOU: Okay.
5	THE COURT: we did that before, right, it went to overflow and then it came back
6	MS. BALLOU: I don't think it ever went to overflow. The day we started trial or
7	we were supposed to start trial he wasn't here.
8	THE COURT: Oh, that was it.
9	MR. FATTIG: You bench warranted at the calendar call.
10	THE COURT: Right, okay, all right.
11	[Proceeding concluded at 9:34 a.m.]
12	* * *
13	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I
14	acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.
15	,
16	Francesca Haak
17	FRANCESCA HAAK Recorder/Transcriber
18	(Aecoldel/Transolition
19	
20	
21	

PAGE 508 INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Electronically Filed 12/20/2013 10:19:16 AM

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C272657

DEPT NO. V

vs.

ANTHONY CASTANEDA,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, JULY 8, 2013

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

ALEXANDER G. CHEN, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney MICHELLE ANTHONY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

P. DAVID WESTBROOK, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender ERIKA D. BALLOU, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, JULY 8, 2013, 1:18 P.M.

J

U

~ -

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

of Nevada v. Anthony Castaneda. Case No. C11272657.

Defendant is present with his counsel. The Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case have been asked to step outside the courtroom because we're going to conduct the Hernandez canvass and hearing outside their presence.

And it's my understanding that the Defense is anticipating that there is going to be some concession of guilt as to some aspect of this case?

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, Your Honor, we'll be conceding to one of the elements of the charged offenses, and that's that the pictures that were collected by the State in this case do meet the statutory definition of child pornography.

THE COURT: All right.

authenticity of the pictures inasmuch as they do depict sexual acts being performed by minors. In exchange for that — and I know Your Honor has a stipulation in front of her — the State has agreed to stipulate that we will not be publishing these to the jury. They will be put into evidence, naturally, but the jury will have the option, if they're — if they're back in the deliberation of looking at the pictures or not looking

at the pictures.

So they'll be available, but they will not be published, and the jury will not be required to look at them because we have chosen to concede that they are, indeed, child pornography.

THE COURT: Okay. And so they won't be published in open court, but you understand that they will go to the jury and the jury can look at those photos, sir? Mr. Castaneda?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I know.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So have you consented after consulting with your lawyers in this case, that they can

enter into this stipulation regarding these photographs?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And you understand that under normal circumstances the State would have to, in fact prove every element of the crime, including the photographs themselves, and what they depict and that what they depict meets the statutory requirement for the crime?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that is what your lawyers are stipulating to and agreeing. And you understand that you're basically conceding that as an element of proof, now, that the State will not have to meet? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you understand what the

strategy is that — that you've discussed this with your counsel and that the — there's a strategic reason for you doing that, I assume, that — because you don't want the jury to be enraged by actually seeing the photos, which are pretty graphic; is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that's my -- representatives.

THE COURT: And your consent in this regard is voluntarily made and knowingly? No one has threatened you to make this --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- concession? And you're doing it with your eyes wide open?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Very — very well. We'll bring the State back in, put this stipulation on the record.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect that the prosecutors have rejoined us. And there are two stipulations that have been signed and given to the Court.

The first is stipulation order regarding reference to bestiality, and the parties have stipulated and agreed that

MS. BALLOU: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And this stipulation has been signed, and by all — by counsel for all the parties and by the Court. It will be filed in open court.

regarding composition and dissemination of child pornographic materials. It indicates that it's stipulated and agreed by both parties that the images in counts — that are referred to, specifically in Counts 1 through 15 of the second amended information that was filed in open court this morning — or this afternoon, constitutes a film, photograph, or other visual presentation depicting a person under the age of 16 as the subject of a sexual portrayal or engaging in or simulating in or assisting others to engage in or simulate sexual conduct.

And then it's further stipulated and agreed that those same images referred to in Counts 1 through 15 will not be published to the jury during the course of the trial. It's my understanding that by that you mean in open court, and

that, further, it's agreed that the photographs which are referenced in Counts 1 through 15 will be entered into evidence as State's Exhibits.

They'll be placed into an envelope that will go to the jury room along with the other exhibits admitted in this case, and the jury will be instructed regarding the stipulation as follows: That each of the photographs meets the statutory definition of a photograph or other visual presentation, depicting a person under the age of 16 years as the subject of a sexual portrayal or engaging in or simulating or assisting others to engage in or simulate sexual conduct.

And that the jury should so find — and the photographs have been entered into evidence — and that the — the envelope that the jury will have containing these photographs will not be sealed.

Correct, Counsel?

MS. ANTHONY: Correct.

THE COURT: And they will be able to look at the photographs, should they so desire, as they will be in evidence, correct?

MS. ANTHONY: Yes.

THE COURT: So the main purpose, obviously, is to keep from having to show them in open court?

MS. ANTHONY: Yes.

MS. BALLOU: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE CLERK: And do you want "bestiality" to be
2	spelled correctly? It's best-iality.
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	MS. BALLOU: Is there a problem?
5	THE COURT: Yeah, we mis you misspelled
6	"bestiality" in both places.
7	MS. BALLOU: Actually, you know
8	THE COURT: Isn't there
9	MS. BALLOU: I looked it up in my law dictionary
10	
11	THE COURT: is are there two it's not B-E-A?
12	MS. BALLOU: No. I looked it up, and —
13	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
14	MS. BALLOU: because I it told me that B-E-A
15	was wrong on Microsoft Word
16	THE COURT: Oh
17	MS. BALLOU: and so I asked someone
18	THE COURT: you looked?
19	MS. BALLOU: in my office and they told me, B-E-A
20	was correct, and then it when I took out the A, it said
21	that that was correct. So I looked it up in the law
22	dictionary.
23	THE CLERK: Okay.
24	THE COURT: All right. Then we're good.
25	MS. BALLOU: Yeah, it's actually best-iality is how
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 7

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident. The Nevada Supreme Court has often looked upon the admission of prior bad acts evidence with disfavor because the evidence is often irrelevant and prejudicial.

I feel that it would be specifically prejudicial in this matter, and it forces the defendant to defend against vague and unsubstantiated charges. It's presumed to be inadmissible, these uncharged bad acts. The State has the burden of proving the — at a Petrocelli hearing that it's relevant to the crime charged, that it's proved by clear and convincing evidence, and that the probative value of the evidence is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.

In this case the State hasn't sought a Petrocelli hearing. If the State is allowed to proceed with the additional child pornography, Mr. Castaneda will be forced to defend himself against not only the charges at bar, but also the hundreds of other images the State alleges were on the computers, without the Defense having ever seen or verified these images.

NRS 48.035 reads, in pertinent part, Although relevant evidence is not admissible, if its probative value is substantial — although relevant — sorry — evidence is not admissible, if its probative value is substantially outweighed

by the danger of unfair prejudice, of confusing the issues, or of misleading the judge — the jury.

Here, the probative value is going to be outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. The Nevada Supreme Court in Richmond v. State, which the citation is 118 Nevada 924 at 932 or 59P 3rd 1249 at 1254, 1255, from 2002 says — and this is a direct quote "Our concern has been that this evidence will unduly influence the jury to convict the defendant because based on that evidence the jury believes the defendant is a bad person."

And the res gestae doctrine does not apply because the State's witnesses don't need to mention a specific number of images in order to tell the complete story. In Bellon v. State, from 2005, the Nevada Supreme Court stated that, The government may present a full and accurate account of the crime, but res gestae or a complete story of the crime must be construed narrowly.

And this is a direct quote, "The crime must be so interconnected to the act in question, that a witness cannot describe the act in controversy without referring to the other crime." And, "We now reiterate that admission of evidence under NRS 48.035 Subsection 3 is limited to the statute's express provision."

That was -- I only had it as a Nevada advanced reporter. It was 121 Nevada Advance Reporter 45 from 2005.

It's 117 P.3d 176. And here again, no specific number of images or reference to any that are not charged can be excluded without the State damaging its case.

And so I don't believe that we need to have any specific number mentioned, and that's my motion in limine.

THE COURT: State?

MS. ANTHONY: First — it's the first I'm hearing of it this morning, but first off, I suspect that the Defense is going to — we have two detectives who are coming in to testify, and I defend — I suspect that the Defense is going to attack their reliability and the methods of their forensic evaluation of these computers. And that's where these numbers are going to come from.

That, here's what we did. This is how we did it.

And we went through — and first off, it's not — it's res

gestae, it's not that we're trying to put out, you know, other

crimes or anything like that, but we are going to go through

here are the computer experts, we have Detective Ramirez and

Detective Ehlers, both of them are noticed as experts, both of

them did forensic examination of both the USB and then two

other additional computers, are going to be the testimony

regarding child pornography.

They actually did other things, but those aren't relevant to the 15 charges that we have. So regarding those three things, Detective Ehler does two and Detective Ramirez

does one. And basically, I believe that the Defense is going to, you know, that's really what this case is going to boil down to is to what these two experts did, and how we as the State can prove his possession.

1.0

1.2

1.6

1.7

1.8

And what they did, step by step, is absolutely relevant and it's res gestae. It's not that we're going into all of these other crimes, it's what they found, how many files, where they found it, and then how they broke it down. It's absolutely relevant and that — we don't have to have a Petrocelli hearing regarding res gestae. We don't have to. And that's not what this is about.

It's — due to the fact that they're going to attack those experts, you can't just limit, oh, you found things there. I mean, we've already agreed — and we spoke to the Defense, I already agreed to take out "bestiality." Quite frankly, I could have done a Petrocelli, included some of this bestiality stuff, and it — it probably is res gestae as well, and I don't have to do that because it talks about his possession.

To make it clean, I did it. I just agreed, okay, we won't go there regarding, you know, specific — regarding the bestiality. To make it clean, I just agreed, fine. But I do think it's absolutely relevant. It's the res gestae regarding how they went through and determined which 15 to charge, how they started their investigation of these computers, and how

they kind -- broke it down. I think it's absolutely relevant.

THE COURT: Well, there were some 400 images that were on the computer, were -- were all of these child pornography? Were some of them not child pornography? I don't know what they were, so I --

MS. ANTHONY: They — how he does it and — Ehlers is one of the ones to point to first. He goes through the computer and he bookmarks things. These are the ones that I suspect are child pornography. And the first book marking, which is his first preview — well, it's actually his second preview of the computer is using a program and it bookmarks them. And the first bookmark is of 404 images.

And then he goes through those 404 and does additional processing of them. Some are duplicates and things like that, and then he goes through and he comes up with a second number of 207. And then — of all three items. And then he goes down and breaks it down further to 48 images, and then we have the 15 that we've charged. But he's narrowing it down as to doing his investigation, using this computer, and doing additional searches. And he keeps narrowing it down into where we have the numbers that we have right now.

THE COURT: All right. Now, I'm assuming that when you say, He's doing these searches --

MS. ANTHONY: Correct.

THE COURT: -- he's looking at the titles of the

files, I mean, through this --1 MS. ANTHONY: Well, it's a --2 THE COURT: -- program --3 MS. ANTHONY: -- it's the --4 THE COURT: -- right? 5 MS. ANTHONY: -- the computer program is doing it, 6 and they're actually --7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MS. ANTHONY: -- going through and looking at -- it's 9 more of a thumbprint, not necessarily the titles. 10 THE COURT: Oh, all right. Well --11 MS. ANTHONY: It's a thumbprint and a whole 12 computer-generated number, and they all have their own 13 thumbprint, fingerprint and it's not just necessarily the --14 the titles. Because some of the -- our charged counts are, 15 like, new 22. And so that necessarily wouldn't lead you to 16 the idea that it's child pornography. 17 Okay. THE COURT: 18 MS. ANTHONY: So it's not just the titles. 19 THE COURT: So -- well, I think it is, obviously, 20 important for the State to be able to show how it is that they 21 went about searching this because if the argument of the 22 defense is going to be, I didn't get these, then they've got 23 to prove -- the State has to prove that he possessed them, and 24 that he is the one who downloaded them onto his computer or 25

got them onto his computer.

MR. WESTBROOK: Yo

б

1.1

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, if I may, I — the State cannot have their expert or anybody else stand up there and say that there were 402 images of child pornography on the computer. There were 15 images of child pornography on the computer. We have admitted there are 15 images, that was stipulated to.

He cannot get up there and say, there were 402 images but we just charged 15, but there was a ton more.

THE COURT: No, I ---

MR. WESTBROOK: Because --

THE COURT: -- I didn't say that, but --

MR. WESTBROOK: — well, but that's what they're saying —

THE COURT: -- right -- well --

MR. WESTBROOK: — that they think that he's allowed to say.

THE COURT: -- maybe not.

MR. WESTBROOK: That's my problem.

THE COURT: Maybe not. Because I think that the explanation of how the computer was searched can say we were searching for — for images and describe without saying there were —

MR. WESTBROOK: But it can't be identified as child pornography.

THE COURT: -- 400 as child pornography. How are they going to --

MS. ANTHONY: Suspected is how he's -- that's how he has it phrased in his report.

THE COURT: — all right. But just because something is, you know, just because something is suspected does not mean it is, and —

MR. WESTBROOK: And they charged 15. These are the ones that are.

THE COURT: Exactly. And that's fine. But — but you can also say, well, I — say it was people. I had 15 suspects when this case started, and I narrowed it down, and I did X, Y, Z, in my investigation and I interviewed these people and I did this and that and fingerprints and DNA and that's when I came down to I've eliminated all these other suspects and it's the defendant.

MR. WESTBROOK: Right. And, Your Honor, I -THE COURT: And that's --

MR. WESTBROOK: — have no problem with that. I would have a problem with him referring to the other 402 images as child pornography. In fact, the evidence is there were thousands of images that were involved in this initial search. And I have no problem talking about that because the vast majority of them were regular adult pornography.

Furthermore, we have no problem with the State

talking about the massive quantity of adult pornography on the — on the computer because that's legal. I mean, you know, it might — people might have an idea about the morality of it, which is something I'm sure we're going to cover in voir dire, but that's all legal.

2.4

The problem is calling the other images — any other images that were not charged, suspected child pornography or child pornography would be a violation of my client's rights. And it would be massively prejudicial, and it's certainly not necessary for the story.

If they want to say there were thousands of images on the server, we used such and such software program to narrow down the images, ultimately we identified certain images as child pornography, based in part on their — they have this invisible number attached to the files, she was talking about the thumbprint. That's what it is.

Every file that's created has some kind of a long, you know, code of 1's and 0's that's attached to it — that travels with the file whenever it's transferred. It has nothing to do with the title that you see on the screen in front of you. It's something that you have to go and look up. And every file has that.

You can explain that entire story, as I just did, without once saying, we suspected there were thousands of child pornography images. Or, there may be some images out

there that we haven't verified as child pornography, these are the only -- only 15 that we verified.

That would be prejudicial and it would be against my client's rights according to the law that was cited by my co-counsel. And that — that would be our objection. But we have no problem with them saying, you know, there were thousands of images on the computer, these are the 15 that we pulled out as child pornography because that's exactly what happened. These are the 15 we have here. I just don't want to fight cases against images that we're not charged.

MS. ANTHONY: Your Honor, this — the way that defense counsel — and this is exactly my argument — the way that defense counsel just argued it, I can totally see in closing. You know where he's going? He's going to stand up and say, thousands of images, only these 15 are child porn. But that is totally misleading.

So it's not that I want to bring out there's all this child porn out there, but it was suspected child porn. They narrowed it down. But it's not the fact that he can just stand up here and say the whole argument of here's all of this out here, adult porn, it's all legal. I mean, this right here the way that he came about, it — I see the problem.

THE COURT: All right. Yeah, I see the — there's a problem. There is a problem here as far as — because — are you saying they're going to say, well, there could — that

1	you get it from?
2	THE COURT: Right. Okay. But if they cross and say,
3	Were these I mean, if you open the door for something like
4	that that would be
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Well, I don't know how
6	THE COURT: not good.
7	MR. WESTBROOK: I would open the door to
8	THE COURT: But
9	MR. WESTBROOK: stuff that's not child
10	pornography. I mean, it's been
11	THE COURT: if you say, Did you find any other
12	child pornography, that would open the door.
13	MR. WESTBROOK: That's correct, Your Honor. And I
14	would I should be fired immediately by my counsel if I said
15	that. Or disbarred.
16	MS. ANTHONY: Or is this all you found?
17	THE COURT: I've seen that happen, so
18	MS. ANTHONY: Or is this
19	MR. WESTBROOK: Right. And I won't say
20	MS. ANTHONY: all you
21	MR. WESTBROOK: is this all you
22	MS. ANTHONY: found?
23	MR. WESTBROOK: yeah. No, I won't say that
24	THE COURT: I mean
25	MR. WESTBROOK: either.
	11

THE COURT: -- right. Or did he expect to find more

MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah.

THE COURT: — I mean, don't open the door for somebody to inadvertently — at — trying to answer your question —

MR. WESTBROOK: Right. And that's — I mean, what I would ask, Your Honor, is for the State to caution its witnesses not to say there were other images of child pornography that we haven't verified yet, or there were 402 images of suspected child pornography because that makes the jury think that there's something that that witness knows that isn't being shared with them, and when — to have a State actor put that in front of the jury gives it this air of credibility. It makes them think the ball is being hid from them. It's very prejudicial.

THE COURT: Well, I --

MR. WESTBROOK: So if they just don't say that --

THE COURT: -- I disagree --

MR. WESTBROOK: -- I'm fine.

THE COURT: — with that. I disagree with —

MS. ANTHONY: I mean -

THE COURT: -- that because, I mean, when he says,
This is my process, you know, we run this program and it comes
up with 402 images --

MR. WESTBROOK: Images.

14-

2.4

THE COURT: -- of suspected child pornography, that doesn't mean they've proved it. That's only the beginning of his -- his investigation. And that, as I say, that's no different than saying, you know, initially we had 15 suspects, and then we did this, and we did this, and we narrowed it down.

That's what you're going to have here, just because initially you have — when you run the program the first time you have 400 suspected images, it — the question, then, is okay, well, what was the next step? Well, then we had to go further because that's just an initial —

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, Your Honor, I just don't see why suspicions that didn't bear out are relevant in any way?

All he has to say is --

THE COURT: They're also not prejudicial.

MR, WESTBROOK: -- well --

THE COURT: They are relevant to show how the — how they did their job, and it — the police are often attacked and — by the defense as to did you do a thorough enough job, and I don't think it's inappropriate for the State to show that they tried to do as thorough a job as they possibly could. And maybe you'll be able to show that they didn't, but they need to be able to show that they did do a thorough job.

That's why it's relevant and it's not prejudicial,

Honor.

if it wasn't borne out — if they weren't borne out to be, in fact, child pornography, and they're explaining that as part of the investigation.

MR. WESTBROOK: Or the jury will --

THE COURT: -- well, okay, originally --

MR. WESTBROOK: -- I'm sorry to interrupt, Your

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WESTBROOK: Or the jury will think it's a technicality, and they were kept out for some technical reason, as they often do.

THE COURT: Well, we can't — if we had to speculate about every potential thing that goes through a juror's mind as to things that they're instructed not to consider, et cetera, et cetera, and then, try and think, well, what are they making of all this, I — I mean, where — that would — that would be unending because —

MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.

THE COURT: — we can't — that's just gross speculation to say, well, they're going to assume that this was kept out. I don't see how they would assume that, based upon what I'm being told that these witnesses are going to talk about as far as how they have to go and sort through millions of pieces of data, really, that are 1's and 0's, to do a search, and that's what has to be explained to a lay

jury, and it's not easy.

MR. WESTBROOK: No, it's not. It's computers --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. WESTBROOK: — so I think everyone's head is going to be swimming. I understand that completely, Judge, and I wouldn't want to restrict them from getting their full explanation of the process out. I just think calling it suspected child pornography is prejudicial because it makes the jury think other stuff is out there, but I understand your point of view, Your Honor.

Could I try to summarize my understanding of what's going on now?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you. My understanding is that they are not allowed to allege that there is other child pornography out there that hasn't been charged; is that correct?

THE COURT: Right. I mean, that's not my understanding of what you're trying to do here. You're --

MS. ANTHONY: I want --

THE COURT: -- you're not going to be saying -- or eliciting question through -- through -- questions to your witness, you're not going to be eliciting responses that are going to say, well, yeah, there's other -- there may be other images of child pornography that I -- we didn't find. That

would be completely inappropriate.

MS. ANTHONY: Well, let me — let me just — because I'm still quite — I'm confused. I mean, I don't want — both of these two witnesses are mine, and I don't want to ask a question that's going to cause a mistrial. That's not — so I need to make sure that I understand, and I'm not totally on the same page.

THE COURT: Are they here?

MS. ANTHONY: The --

THE COURT: Are these witnesses here?

MS. ANTHONY: — no, they are not here. Tomorrow. And they are towards the end. But my question is I want them to go through the process, how did you get to, like, how did you find these items on there? And this — they have this report, they've had it the whole time. This one — this report, the way that it explains it doesn't include the child pornography actual pictures, but it goes through, and he talks about the analysis of it, and one of the things is that this program also marks known child pornography.

That's the center for NCMEC, the -- or actually, I don't have it memorized at the moment, but --

MS. BALLOU: The National Center for Missing and --

MS. ANTHONY: - Exploited Children.

MS. BALLOU: -- Exploited Children.

MS. ANTHONY: Yes. And it -- from January 2008, it's

loaded into this program, it's the same program that they all use, and it identifies child pornography that's floating around the Internet that's known. And some of these images that we have are those. It's part of the process in how they find it. I mean, I don't see any problem with me getting into that, but I can kind of see how they might think that I'm going to go there.

I mean ---

THE COURT: That doesn't --

MS. ANTHONY: -- it's the --

THE COURT: -- that does not sound improper, what you've just said.

MS. ANTHONY: -- so it's the process. It's -- here's

THE COURT: It's the process.

MS. ANTHONY: -- how we do it. We go through all of the images, there's lots of them. Some are suspected.

There's children. Some ages, you know, children of ages -- it's a range. Sometimes they look older or younger than they are, then we narrow it down, and then we put it through this program. Then they come back, and it says, these are known child pornography. We have those. And then we take a look, and we scan, and we visually look, and we pick the ones that we are going to charge, which are the ones that are more obvious.

25

MR. WESTBROOK: Now, that's the problem, right there, Judge. We've picked — from this large selection of child porn we've picked the 15 that are the most obvious. We haven't charged the other ones because of technical reasons, or just our choice. We just charged these 15. That alleges that others are out there. If they go there that's a mistrial. That's my opinion.

THE COURT: Well --

MS. ANTHONY: It's the process though. I mean --

MR. WESTBROOK: It's the process is --

MS. ANTHONY: -- so if I limit these detectives, it's going to be very hard to question them. I mean, I -- I just need to have a clear understanding of it. I mean, I don't think that it's prejudicial, I think it's part of res gestae.

THE COURT: Well --

MS. ANTHONY: But I also --

THE COURT: -- if it's not --

MS. ANTHONY: -- want to make sure that I'm --

THE COURT: — if they look at an image and they make — they then make a decision from that that it is not child pornography, the implication is that it is either not pornographic at all, or it's legal because it's adult. Okay? And that —

MR. WESTBROOK: But --

THE COURT: -- seems to me is to what she's saying.

MS. ANTHONY: Well, then --

MR. WESTBROOK: But what she just said, Your Honor, is of the many child pornography images that we thought were child pornography, we just picked the 15 best. That's what she just said. And that's a problem. If that happens, what's happening is they're bringing in uncharged bad acts, alleging to the jury that this guy had lots of child pornography out there, we just picked the 15 best examples, but you better convict him because he had a wealth of child pornography that we could have charged, but we didn't.

If they wanted to charge him with 402 images -

THE COURT: Well, we could --

MR. WESTBROOK: -- they should have.

THE COURT: -- we could have a Petrocelli hearing.

MR. WESTBROOK: At this late date, Your Honor --

MS. ANTHONY: We --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. WESTBROOK: -- I don't believe that we can. I think it would be a violation of my client's due process rights. It's way too late for a Petrocelli.

MS. ANTHONY: It just has to be before the witness testifies, the witness that's going to — both of them will testify tomorrow.

MR. WESTBROOK: I would be --

THE COURT: What I don't know what they're going to

say. I don't know what they're going to say. So I — I'm having a difficulty ruling on what you're telling me without knowing exactly what you believe they're going to say. I know you — you're telling me there's this process, and I understand that —

MS. ANTHONY: Right.

THE COURT: -- but --

MS. ANTHONY: It's very complicated.

THE COURT: — if it's a — if it's a question of, well, it was a close call because we — we didn't — couldn't tell whether this child was actually underage or not, so we didn't charge this, that's problematic as to what he's saying is because there's an implication that maybe it was.

MS. ANTHONY: I didn't plan on asking questions like that, Your Honor. I don't. But what I — what my problem is, obviously they're going to talk about the process and this is how it works, and this is how we — we go through it, and based on these being — these two witnesses being, essentially, the crutch of our case, I don't want to have it limited to the fact where I can't even get the direct out of them as to actually how the process happened.

I mean, I can't sanitize it exactly as to, you only found these 15 images, and how did you get these --

THE COURT: I'm not --

MS. ANTHONY: -- 15 images?

THE COURT: -- suggesting that you do that. 1 MS. ANTHONY: No, I know --2 THE COURT: I'm just --3 MS. ANTHONY: -- that, I'm trying to --4 THE COURT: -- suggesting --5 MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah, you're --6 MS. ANTHONY: -- I'm trying to follow, and I --7 MR. CHEN: Could I have the Court's indulgence to 8 consult with my co-counsel for a moment? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 Thank you. MR. CHEN: 11 (Pause in the proceedings.) 12 MR. WESTBROOK: May I approach your clerk, Your 13 Honor? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 (Pause in the proceedings.) 16 MS. ANTHONY: All right. Your Honor, well -- after 17 speaking with my co-counsel, I mean, I'm the one who is 18 directing both of these witnesses, so I will lead them, and I 19 will avoid the suspected child pornography, but I can tell you 20 that on cross, if the Defense goes there and starts attacking 21 their ability to, and how thoroughly they did these computers, 22 and the job to have been done, we're going to be back here and 23 we're going to ask that we go into exactly what they did. 24 I mean, this is --

> UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 32

25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, and I --

MS. ANTHONY: -- this is kind of where I'm at.

THE COURT: -- I'm not saying that you -- you can't say that we ran a program designed to find suspected child pornography.

MS. ANTHONY: Right.

THE COURT: You can say that. It's just that you can't have them say, well, then we -- we narrowed it down and we had some that were close calls, so we didn't charge those. I mean, basically you can have them summarize it a little more. Why not -- can't you have them say, and working with -with those images we did further investigation and we have the 15 that are charged?

MS. ANTHONY: Right. And that's -- that's -- but on cross-examination once they start asking them --

THE COURT: Right.

MS. ANTHONY: -- more questions that's --

THE COURT: And if they open the door -- I've already told them if they open the door and solicit an answer that's going to --

MR, WESTBROOK: To be fair, Your Honor, I have no idea how I could -- I'm sorry, let me get to a microphone. I don't -- I'm not going to ask a question, like, well, I'm sure there was other child pornography on the computer that you didn't find, right? So you weren't

thorough. That's just not going to happen.

I will question, you know, their thoroughness in, you know, what they learned — without giving too much away, I will press the question of their thoroughness, absolutely, but I'm not going to be opening a door to them talking about uncharged child pornography.

There's just — I can't even imagine a question that would open that door. I certainly don't want them volunteering unresponsive answers to my questions, like, well, you know, there's some other stuff we could have charged. I think that would be a problem as well. But, you know, obviously any time you have a stipulation, or a line of questioning and one party opens the door, then that's something that happens in trial and we consider it in trial. I have no problem with that.

I just don't want them, in their direct, talking about stuff that wasn't charged. So it -- it's --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WESTBROOK: -- pretty simple, really.

THE COURT: Well, here, I'll give you an example of something that actually happened in trial where a lawyer on cross—examination for the defense asked the question of a police officer, did you find everything you expected to find when you did your search warrant?

MR. WESTBROOK: That's a terrible question. I won't

be asking that, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: So — and for the answer was, I don't understand the question. Asked again. Well, did you — did you find everything you thought you'd find? And he says, no, actually we expected to find drugs and we didn't find any. Didn't find any.

MR. WESTBROOK: Right.

THE COURT: And so that, then, you know — motion for mistrial craziness. Okay. So that is an example of — one of our Supreme Court Justices actually asked that. He wasn't at the time. He was a defense lawyer, but he asked that question on cross. And so — and the judge granted a mistrial. Believe me, if you do that — something like that, I'm not going to grant a motion for a mistrial because I think that was — would be invited error.

It sounds to me like you would agree with me?

MR. WESTBROOK: I absolutely agree --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BALLOU: Yeah.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WESTBROOK: — with you, Judge. I won't be asking a general silly question like that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WESTBROOK: And that's no problem. I mean, I'm going to be asking questions that challenge the process, but I'm not going to be referencing any image that's not in this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

second amended information that's been turned over, not one.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WESTBROOK: And since we've already agreed to the authenticity of these images, I won't be questioning their process as far as determining authenticity. We agree that they're authentic. So I think that that would be a real door-opener is if we were questioning the authenticity. We're not. So I don't think it's going to be a problem. understand the State's point of view, I think, now.

You know, we're just concerned about uncharged bad acts coming in, and it sounds like we are going to be able to avoid that, so ...

THE COURT: All right.

MS. ANTHONY: I'm still concerned about cross, which has been my -- but we'll cross the bridge when we get there, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. ANTHONY: I will try to narrow it down -- it never was my intention to say, well, there was others out there that you didn't, you know, get. I mean, that was --

MS. BALLOU: And I didn't think it was your intention. I just am worried about what some police officers may do, based on a question that's not --

MS. ANTHONY: Well, I can't control --

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, you --

the record, perhaps?

MS. ANTHONY: Well --

MR. WESTBROOK: Just so I know what we're -- you're talking about.

MR. CHEN: Your Honor, if I may?

THE COURT: Yeah?

MR. CHEN: He basic — the detective confronts the defendant in the interview and says, We found 56 images. Now, whether that's even an accurate number or not, I don't think any of us knows because none of us have actually looked at the USB drive, but they reference 56 images, and the defendant comments on, Well, if it's 56 images, I'd be surprised if that were mine, you know, that belonged to me because I don't think I would have ever downloaded something like that.

And so they talk about the number and whether that's relevant or not. One of the things the detective also says is, look, in the grand scheme of all the files that are on this USB drive, 56 is a very small number of the total number of files. There's other things that are on that USB drive that are not child pornography. She only talks about the 56.

So that's where we're at. That's the redacted portion that we provided to defense counsel, and only because they're raising this issue now, we wanted to bring it before Your Honor because that was one of the things that's mentioned in the interview.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Do they say specifically 56 images of
3	child pornography?
4	MS. ANTHONY: It's in here in
5	MR. CHEN: Yeah.
6	MS. ANTHONY: various different it's in here
7	several times. One of the time
8	MS. BALLOU: What pages?
9	MS. ANTHONY: there's one page on 68.
10	Question: So obviously, and, you know, 56 image of
11	child sexualization which is child pornography.
12	Defendant: 56?
13	It's in his interview. There's also it's on page
14	76. It's on page 77.
15	MS. BALLOU: What was the first page you said?
16	MS. ANTHONY: 68.
17	MS. BALLOU: 68.
18	THE COURT: But in an interview, police are often
19	make representations in interrogations that aren't accurate,
20	and they're not precluded from doing that.
21	MR. CHEN: And that would be
22	THE COURT: It's a tactical
23	MR. CHEN: our position, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: interrogation.
25	MS. ANTHONY: Right.
	ii

MR. WESTBROOK: And if I can come back on cross-examination and say, he was charged with 15 images, then, you know, that's different than having an expert sitting up there saying, we found 402 images that we thought were child pornography, we only charged 15. I mean, it's a difference between something that is being quoted as part of an interview versus something that an expert has determined.

So I think it's two different issues to tell you the truth.

MR. CHEN: Perfectly fine, Your Honor. If he has no problem with it, or Defense has no problem with it, then I don't think we need to do any further redacting on this tape.

MR. WESTBROOK: And I didn't say I didn't have a problem with it, necessarily. I'm going to view their redactions, Your Honor, but if we have a motion on that we'll bring it. I just — we just got it this morning, right?

MS. ANTHONY: No, you've had it. We've — I've had the redactions, they've been done since the last trial setting.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, they haven't changed at all? I thought there were four changes this morning; is that wrong?

MS. BALLOU: That was on the jury instructions.

MR. WESTBROOK: That was on the instructions, okay. Your Honor, at this point, you know, if I find something

1	objecting to withdrawing the admission of State's Proposed 71.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Then there we go.
3	THE COURT: All right. Anything else before we bring
4	the jury in?
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Should I return this to your clerk
6	now?
7	THE COURT: Yes, please.
8	MR. WESTBROOK: This one is not being admitted.
9	THE COURT: Any further matters outside the presence?
10	MR. WESTBROOK: No, Your Honor.
11	MR. CHEN: No, Your Honor.
12	MS. ANTHONY: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MS. ANTHONY: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Let's bring the jury in. Have a seat,
16	everybody. We don't know whether he's got them out there or
17	he still has to
18	MS. ANTHONY: Okay.
19	THE COURT: take the roll.
20	(Pause in the proceedings.)
21	(Prospective jury panel entering at 2:17 p.m.)
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
23	THE CLERK: Are we going to wait for the rest to come
24	in or no?
25	THE COURT: Oh, where is everybody? I thought they
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 44

1	were still coming in.
2	THE CLERK: I think they didn't realize they were
3	supposed to come in.
4	MS. ANTHONY: Is that in order?
5	THE MARSHAL: Yes, they are.
6	MS. ANTHONY: They should be in the front, then,
7	because they'll be called up first.
8	THE MARSHAL: You know what? She's right. Ma'am, if
9	you would, please, just go to the front chairs. Everybody in
10	the first five rows here, to the front, please. There you go.
11	All right, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. This is
13	Case No. C11272657, State of Nevada v. Anthony Castaneda. And
14	the defendant is present, with his counsel, as are the
15	Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case, and all
16	officers of the court. Will counsel so stipulate?
17	MS. BALLOU: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Is the State ready?
20	MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Is the Defense ready?
22	MR. WESTBROOK: We are.
23	MS. BALLOU: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen,
25	welcome. You are here in Department 5, having been summonsed
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 45

to serve as jurors in a criminal case, and I'd like to welcome you all.

This morning both parties have announced ready, and the Deputy District Attorney that's assigned to prosecute the case is now going to explain to you the nature of the charges and read a list of witnesses to you. Please pay close attention because you'll be asked later whether you recognize any of these names, and she'll also introduce her co-counsel, then the Defense will do the same.

Thank you.

1.7

MS. ANTHONY: Thank you, Your Honor.

My name is Michelle Anthony. I'm a Deputy District Attorney for the State of Nevada. We are prosecuting this case, myself along with my co-counsel, Alex Chen.

This is a case where the defendant is charged with 15 counts of possession of visual presentation, depicting sexual conduct of a child from November 25, 2008, through April 7, 2010. The child pornography in this case was located on the defendant's USB thumb drive, laptop, and desktop computer, and it was located at his residence at 2205 Beverly Way, here in Las Vegas, Nevada.

We anticipate calling the following witnesses. This is a list of potential witnesses, we may not call all of them. There's Tami Hines, Michael Landeau, members of the F.B.I. is Alex Bustillos, Andrew Gruninger, and Shawna Partridge.

Members of the Las Vegas Police Department, Officer Barrett,
Officer Brandon, Detective Carpenter, Officer Cody, Detective
Ehlers, Detective Ramirez, Officer Rowe, Officer Shumate,
Officers Smith, Solomon, Tafoya, and Detective Tooley.
There's also a possibility of Keith Gross [phonetic] who is an investigator with the District Attorney's Office.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Ballou?

MS. BALLOU: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

My name is Erika Ballou, and I along with my law partner David

Westbrook have the honor of representing Anthony Castaneda.

We also have an associate here, Michael Van Luven. And we may

call Investigator Doug Dahman [phonetic], Mariah Carney, and

Craig Castaneda as witnesses, we may.

And we're confident that you will return a verdict of not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Now, ladies and gentlemen, the lawyers involved in the case, as well as the Court, is very interested in finding 12 jurors who can be fair, impartial, and unbiased arbiters of the facts of this case. And so in doing that we have to ask you questions. And we do that under oath in a process which is called the voir dire process. You are now considered to be the venire panel. And until you — such time as you would be chosen to be a juror and then sworn in.

So this questioning process, the voir dire is done

1	THE COURT: And, Ms. Dentry, you're 70 and you don't
2	wish to serve?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: I'm 75.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Do you wish to be excused just
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: Yes, ma'am. Yes.
6	THE COURT: could I just finish?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: Yes, go ahead.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: Because you can either be completely
11	exempt you from ever serving again, or just today. I mean,
12	you may feel that you would like to in the future, but today
13	is not a good time for you. Do you want to be taken off the
14	rolls because —
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: Please
16	THE COURT: all right.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: — please do, yeah.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So you need to go over across the
19	way to the jury commissioner's office where you checked in,
20	show them your identification and that you're over 70 and you
21	don't wish to serve and they'll remove your name from the
22	rolls.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: Thank you, Your Honor.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 49

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Have a good day.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: And you too.

THE COURT: All right. No one else? Of course, we wish our seniors to serve and -- if they want to they always are welcome.

Additionally, the other exception is if you are 65 years of age or older, and you live 65 miles from the courthouse, which means you live in Mesquite, then you also can be exempted from services. Is there anyone who lives in Mesquite and is 65 or older?

All right. The record will reflect a negative response.

Is everyone that's sitting out here, now known as the venire panel, a United States citizen? Is there anyone who is not a United States citizen, raise your hand.

The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who has been convicted of a felony, but has not had their civil rights restored?

The record will reflect a negative response. All right.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I think as I told you that our goal is to find 12 impartial jurors in this matter, and we'll also be selecting some alternates, but through this process it's very important for you to understand that although we don't want to pry into your personal life and

affairs, to some extent we have to in order to ask you these questions to determine whether you can be fair and impartial.

1.0

And it's very important that you answer the questions fully, and you don't try and withhold any information or hide anything because that could reflect on your ability to serve, and we really need to know this information.

that you need to give us the answer outside the presence of the other prospective jurors, then you can let me know and, you know, we'll handle it that way. I don't — generally that does not happen. We're not asking you those kinds of questions generally, but — I can't guarantee that, but I want you to know that if you — if you feel that way then you — we will take your question outside the presence of the others if it becomes necessary.

What I'm going to do now is I'm going to be conducting a general voir dire of everybody — everyone in the box and everyone that's sitting out in the gallery, and you'll respond just like you have before, with a show of hands, and we can do some additional follow-up questioning based upon your responses. But at this time it will be just a show of hands that I'm asking for.

So is there anyone who believes that they are associated with or know the defendant in this case, ${\tt Mr.}$

Castaneda?

All right. The record will reflect a negative response.

Are there any of you that are acquainted with the defendant's attorneys who were introduced to you?

The record will reflect a negative response.

Are there any of you that are acquainted with either of the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case?

The record will reflect a negative response.

Are there any of you who believe that you may be acquainted with any of the witnesses whose names were read?

All right. We have one person with a hand up. If you could come up and give us your name and the last three digits of your badge?

And we record everything in this court. We don't have a court reporter, we have a court recorder. So that's why we have to have you in front of a microphone. So go ahead and give us your name and the last three digits of your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 810: My name is Jennifer Dale, and the last three of my Badge No. is 810.

THE COURT: Okay. And who do you think you might be acquainted with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 810: Officer Troy Barrett was the best man at my wedding last year. And he also helped

1	THE COURT: No?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: not entirely, no.
3	THE COURT: He just looks kind of familiar?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: Exactly.
5	THE COURT: All right. So he may just have a
6	familiar looking face?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: A possibility, yes, Your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. And
10	THE MARSHAL: All the way in the back?
11	THE COURT: The gentleman in the back? Is that hand
12	mike working?
13	THE COURT RECORDER: It's okay. It's
14	THE MARSHAL: Doesn't sound like it.
15	THE COURT RECORDER: they have to get
16	THE MARSHAL: Can you hear, Your Honor?
17	THE COURT RECORDER: really
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	THE COURT RECORDER: really close to it.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	THE MARSHAL: Just speak close to the mike, sir.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: Okay.
23	THE MARSHAL: Go ahead and stand up. State your last
24	name, and your three-digit badge number, please.
2 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: Last name is Jones,
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 55

Badge No. 815.

THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Jones, what did you think — you recognized something from the media?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: Yes, I thought I did through one of the local media channels, either Review Journal or KTNV, regarding the last name, Castaneda.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: But I'm not 100 percent sure if it was actually this.

THE COURT: All right. Again, counsel indicates to me that there was no media coverage, so it must not be the same. And Castaneda is a fairly common name, all right?

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, may we inquire what he believed the time period might be because this is quite an old case?

THE COURT: Yes. When do you think you might have seen this?

 $\label{eq:prospective_juror_NO.815:} \mbox{ Approximately three}$ months ago.

THE COURT: No? All right. There hasn't been any coverage of this in that time. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: Anyone else?

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the case is expected to last five days. Our schedule during these five days would be the following: Today, we'll go 'til 5.

Sometimes, if we're very close to picking a jury, but we haven't been able to pick one, rather than sending everyone home and bringing them back the next day, we've stayed a half an hour over, but generally, the time limit is 5:00.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then, on -- tomorrow we would go 9 until 5, with, of course, recess in the afternoon, the morning and a -- short recesses for you to use the facilities and stretch and whatnot, and we have -- always have a lunch break of at least an hour.

Then, on Wednesday you'd come back in again at 1 and go 1 'til 5. Thursday would be a full day, 9 to 5. And Friday would be 1 to 5.

So that's our schedule, that's our anticipated time frame for this trial. What I'd like to say before I ask the question, is that there are many trials, in fact, we just concluded one last week that started in April and just ended last week. So this five-day trial is a relatively short trial, and I'm going to ask you if there is a reason that you can't -- you feel that you absolutely cannot serve for this amount of time, and then you'll have to give us the reason.

But before I ask that question, get a show of hands, this is just the question -- not whether you can be fair and impartial, but for whatever the reason is you can't serve for this amount of time. And we'll get to the other questions after this.

So if you're a full-time student enrolled in class, for instance, that might be a — a reason, that you just can't afford to miss five days of class and still pass your class.

That you have nonrefundable airplane tickets to

Europe or some other great place, that would be one of those

types of things you should tell me. If you are about to

deliver your child within the next five days, or close to

that. Or you have a significant other, who you can prove to

me is going to deliver a child within the next five days, that

could be an example of an excuse.

But the — just the fact that you're working may not be enough of an excuse, because most people on jury service have to work for a living, most of us do.

So with that being said, a show of hands of the -those people who feel that for whatever reason they could not
serve for that period of time, five days?

All right. Seeing nobody here, and --

THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, the quality of this microphone, can we bring it forward?

THE COURT: Right. We're going to bring you up each time.

THE MARSHAL: Hands, please. All right. Sir, you're first. Please state your name and the last three digits of your badge number, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: My name is Glen Minnick,

	Badge No. 756. And I work just part-time right now. Yeah, I
1	
2	just can't miss work.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Where do you work?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: At the Vdara.
5	THE COURT: Okay. The Vdara is a
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: One of the MGM
7	THE COURT: MGM. They pay for jury service, are
8	you aware of that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All of the large casino, hotel,
11	properties, and the corporations that run them pay their
12	employees while they're in jury service.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Oh.
14	THE COURT: So you should inquire of that. I'm
15	pretty feel pretty confident that's the case, even with
16	are you on call, or are you just
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: No, I'm just
18	THE COURT: part-time?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: part-time, yeah.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, they're probably going to
21	pay you according to is it a regular schedule of part-time
22	work?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Yeah.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Do you mean, like, the
<i>4.</i> J	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 59

1	THE MARSHAL: All right. Judge, you want to start up
2	here?
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE MARSHAL: Who was the first person?
5	THE COURT: I need your name and your badge number.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Christina Virzi, and it
7	is 059.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And why can't you serve?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I have a couple things.
10	I'm self-employed, I have some
11	THE COURT RECORDER: Ma'am?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I have a job tomorrow
13	that I won't
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: be released for it.
16	THE COURT: Microphone has to be right up.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I'm self-employed. I
18	have a job tomorrow that I don't think I can be replaced for.
19	I also have an anxiety disorder which I'm on medication for,
20	and I just don't feel comfortable having to be here for five
21	days.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Where what are you
23	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I model, and I have a
25	photo shoot tomorrow.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 62

1	and my company wouldn't pay for my jury duty.
2	THE COURT: Where do you work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: C&A Contractors.
4	THE COURT: What do they do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Electricians.
6	THE COURT: And what what's your job with them?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: I'm the secretary.
8	THE COURT: Okay. You have no other source of
9	income?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: No, none.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Sir?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 427: My name is Juan Galvez,
15	my badge is 0427.
16	THE COURT: 0427?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 427: 0427. And my English,
18	no is good.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry, what's — why can't
20	you serve, sir?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 427: Maybe tomorrow, I have
22	appointment for my doctor for my accident. Maybe it is this
23	week that surgery.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And you also have a language
25	problem?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 64

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: From 6 p.m. to 5:00 in
2	the morning.
3	THE COURT: And what kind of food do you serve?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: Phillipino foods, ma'am
5	Your Honor, Phillipino food.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And where is the restaurant
7	located?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: 4300 West, Spring
9	Mountain Road, close by China Town.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And do you have many customers? Is it a
13	good business?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: Right now, compared last
15	year or a few years is suffering because the economy, but it's
16	still a survivor.
17	THE COURT: All right. Well, it sounds like your
18	English skills are excellent, actually.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: I'm just worried
20	THE COURT: And so
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: I'm just worried that
22	if there's any question that I don't you know.
23	THE COURT: Well, of course, we try and avoid using
24	
25	with that are not used in common everyday speaking
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 67

THE COURT: — you're worried about what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I have prostate problem.

THE COURT: Okay.

prospective juror No. 013: I — you know, I have to go, you know, every now — or then I'm afraid that I cannot serving for, you know, a long period of time.

THE COURT: Well, we try not to torture our jurors. We do let them use the rest rooms and we — I know you — the chairs are not very comfortable, and so I try and take frequent breaks. And, of course, if anyone has a medical condition or something that we need to know about where you need to take a break, all you have to do is raise your hand and we can take a break.

You just let our marshal know. So I don't want you to worry about that. We can accommodate any disability you have like that. Is — was there anything else that you were concerned about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: That was it.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: Anyone else? Please pass it down. Please hold the mike very close to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: My name is Matthew

1	digita of my number are 691.
1	Elfberg, and the last three digits of my number are 691.
2	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Elfberg?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I work at a family-owned
4	radiator shop, which is actually located on Bonanza and Main
5	Street, and we consist of five employees and we do industrial
6	equipment, radiators, and I'm one of their main radiator
7	technicians.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And you said it's a family
9	business?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes, family owned.
11	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. I've made
12	that note. All right.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: My name is Edna, and my
14	Badge No. is 050766.
15	THE COURT: I just need the last three digits.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Oh, 766.
17	THE COURT: 766? Okay. Edna Canodemeza. Okay. Why
18	can't you serve now?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Because I work in the
20	morning, and then I I don't have babysitter. I get off at
21	2:00 and after that I don't have a babysitter. I have two
22	kids.
23	THE COURT: And what are their ages?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Seven and nine.
25	who watches them in the morning?
۷.	·

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: My aunt.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: But she work on swing
4	shift.
5	THE COURT: And do you live with your aunt?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: No, I live with my
7	children.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any other relatives
9	who don't work and can watch the children for
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: No.
11	THE COURT: a few hours each day?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: No, I don't know.
13	THE COURT: So
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: That's why I work early
15	and she work late, so she can help me.
16	THE COURT: Where do you work?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Suncoast Casino.
18	THE COURT: Which coast casino?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Suncoast. Oh, I don't
20	know where that is.
21	MS. BALLOU: Suncoast, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Oh, Suncoast, I'm sorry.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Suncoast, yeah.
24	THE COURT: Suncoast.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Yeah.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 71

1	THE COURT: Thank you. The microphone is terrible.
2	All right. And what hours do you work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: 6 ;til 2 in the p.m.
4	THE COURT: 6 a.m. to 2 p.m.?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 766: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	THE MARSHAL: Pass it down to the next person,
8	please.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: My name is Hawa Warsame,
10	my Badge No. is 762.
11	THE COURT: 762, okay. And, Ms. Warsame, why can't
12	you serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Because my English not
14	good for and then, tomorrow I start the
15	THE COURT: I can't hear. Can you have her come up
16	about tomorrow is
17	MS. BALLOU: Your Honor, she said tomorrow is
18	Ramadan.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Is Ramadan and I'm
20	counting. THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Still, we I'm
21	not getting it. I don't know if the court recorder is getting
22	not getting it. I don't know if the satisfactory it. Tomorrow go ahead. Tomorrow is Ramadan
23	PROGREGATIVE TIROR NO. 762: Ramadan.
24	TYP COURT SO are
25	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 72

1	THE COURT: All right. So after this trial will be
2	over.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 733: Well, I just clearly
4	made an idiot out of myself.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry? What?
6	MS. BALLOU: He said he made an idiot of himself.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 733: Nevermind.
8	THE COURT: Oh, all right. Thank you. All right.
9	Was okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: My name is George Jones,
11	Badge No. 815.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Jones, why can't you serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: I do have nonrefundable
14	travel reservations from the 9th until the 12th of this month.
15	THE COURT: So leaving tomorrow?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: That is correct.
17	THE COURT: Oh. And did you bring your tickets?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: Yes, I have them right
19	here.
20	THE COURT: All right. Let's show those to the
21	marshal. Okay. So it's a nice trip, I wish I was going to
22	go.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: Oh, yeah. Yeah, it's
24	for a celebration.
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So it doesn't look
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 74

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
like you're flying, but you've got reservations?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: That is correct.
THE COURT: All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 815: Hotel reservations.
THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Is that it?
THE MARSHAL: Hold it very close to your mouth, speak
very loudly, that goes there, yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: My name is Maria
Scapellato, my Badge No. is
THE CLERK: I'm sorry, what is your badge number?
MS. BALLOU: She didn't say yet.
THE CLERK: Oh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: 819.
THE COURT: 819, okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: My problem is I don't
know how to speak very well English.
THE COURT: Okay. And what is your first language?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Spanish.
THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived here in
Clark County?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: 10 years.
THE COURT: And do you work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Yes.
THE COURT: Where do you work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: In the school district.
UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
75

1	THE COURT: Oh, what's your job with the school
2	district?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: [Inaudible] I am nurse
4	assistant
5	THE COURT: Oh.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: first aid safety
7	assistant, I am nursing my country, but I cannot do here about
8	my language, you know, this is a problem.
9	THE COURT: Okay. But you're employed by the Clark
10	County School District as a nurse's assistant here —
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Yes.
12	THE COURT: and as part of that job, do you have
13	to speak with students?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Yes, my student is the
15	person is speaking Spanish only.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So you
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: I working in Charleston
18.	and Medical Parkway.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: The all the people is
21	Hispanic. About this I don't have any trouble, you know?
22	THE COURT: Okay. Have you had any trouble
23	understanding so far?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Sometime I no
25	understand.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: When you start today's,
3	you know, I no understand 100 percent. I understand only the
4	50 percent what you say.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, usually what we
6	do in those circumstances is we kind of wait until we're
7	further along in the process and you can give us a tell us
8	how you're doing.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Okay.
10	THE COURT: All right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Okay. No problem.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Thank you.
14	THE MARSHAL: Who's next?
15	THE COURT: Okay. Counsel
16	MS. BALLOU: We've got the one and her son.
17	THE COURT: I thought we were done.
18	THE MARSHAL: Okay. Please move forward, then,
19	that's what we'll do. We'll get you closer so you can hear.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 840: Sorry.
21	THE MARSHAL: That's okay. Just your name and the
22	last three digits, please.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 840: My name is Tina Barker,
24	my digits are 840.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 840: I have two things.

THE COURT: Yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 840: I have my son who is — had six heart surgeries. He's craniofacial syndrome. If he goes into any kind of breathing problems they will have to call me. I have to be constantly aware of what's going on. Another thing is I am single, and I have three kids. I have my own business and if — being here today, I've lost money. I have to pay out more than I'm making.

So if I'm here more than one day, I'm going to go bankrupt, basically.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're self-employed, and what's your business?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 840: I'm — I work at TM

Barker Cleaning. I'm contracted out through Stoneys

Entertainment, at both Rockin' Taco, that's open 24-hours a

day, and Stoney's Rockin' Country. I have one girl that works

there, and I work with her, it takes 12 hours to do the job.

If she works by herself then that's — I don't make enough to

pay her, and then I have somebody else at Rockin' Taco that

works four or five hours over there, then, if I have to bring

them over to SRC, that's another five to help her out. I

don't make that — plus my babysitter is costing me \$50 a day.

THE COURT: Okay. So what are the ages of your children?

All right. So the following people are excused:
Adriana Mendoza, Badge No. 862, Christina Virzi, Badge No.
059, Linda Razo, Badge No. 103, Juan Valenzuela, Badge No.
427, Edna Canodemeza, Badge No. 766, Hawa Warsame, Badge No.
762, George Jones, Badge No. 815, and, Tina Barker, Badge No.
840. If I haven't read your name, then you haven't been excused yet. All right.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to read to you an admonition that I have to read to you every time we take a break. So you'll get used to hearing it. We are going to take a 10-minute recess.

During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial; or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or Internet — that includes smart phones — and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess for the next 10 minutes.

THE MARSHAL: All rise. Ladies and gentlemen, in the box first, please.

(Prospective jury panel recessed at 3:12 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the

admonished them yet about talking to you guys, you can just use the facilities back here.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thanks, Judge.

THE COURT: And then, I'll do that when we come back in, but we had five hands needing to go to the rest room, so...

All right. We're on -- in recess.

(Court recessed at 3:14 p.m. to 3:35 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record.

The defendant is present with his Counsel, Deputies District

Attorney prosecuting the case are present, all officers of the court. We are outside the presence of the venire panel, except for Mr. Kenneth Chen, Badge No. 013.

And, Mr. Chen, the reason we brought you in without the rest of your fellow venire people was we wanted to make sure that your — your issue you brought to the — the attention of the Court was not so severe, and we wanted to ask you some additional questions about that, but we didn't want to embarrass you in front of the other folks.

PROSPECTIVE JURÓR NO. 013: Okay.

THE COURT: So how often would you need to take a break?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Depends, you know, sometimes every 15 minutes.

1	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: All right. Well
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Course I'm you know,
5	I'm taking Flomax, you know, and you know, I have a little
6	prostrate enlargement problem.
7	THE COURT: Enlargement, and then the medication
8 -	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: makes you need to go more often
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Right.
11	THE COURT: right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So if it was, you
14	know, every couple of hours or every hour even, we could
15	probably handle that, but if it if you think it it could
16	be every 15 minutes and also, do you have advance warning,
17	or, like, in other words, when you need to go you've got to go
18	immediately?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah, otherwise it will
20	get on me.
21	THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right. Counsel, the
22	
23	MS. ANTHONY: No objection, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: stipulate to
25	MR. WESTBROOK: We

1	MS. BALLOU: Stipulated.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: we stipulate
3	THE COURT: excusing him?
4	MR. WESTBROOK: Judge.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chen. We'll
6	excuse you. So you can go across and let the jury
7	commissioner know that you've been excused.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Okay. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I appreciate it.
11	(Pause in the proceedings)
12	(Prospective jury panel entering at 3:45 p.m.)
13	THE MARSHAL: Please be seated in your old seats.
14	THE CLERK: Do you want me to do that right now?
15	THE COURT: Yeah.
16	THE CLERK: Bill?
17	THE MARSHAL: Yes?
18	THE COURT: We're going to
19	THE CLERK: Why don't we have oh, you go.
20	THE COURT: we're going to go ahead and put the
21	people that are back here to fill the vacant seats in the box,
22	so that will free us up some
23	THE MARSHAL: Yes, it will, Judge.
24	THE COURT: seats.
25	THE CLERK: Teresa Sanchez, you'll be in Seat 1.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

THE MARSHAL: Teresa Sanchez, ma'am?

THE CLERK: Kai Lau, you'll be in Seat 2. Matthew Howard, you'll be in Seat 3. And Gabriel Chavez, you'll be in Seat 4.

THE COURT: No, not Seat 4.

MS. BALLOU: Should be 5, Denise, right?

THE CLERK: I'm sorry, Seat --

THE COURT: There's 5.

THE CLERK: -- 5, it is 5. I just wrote wrong one.

THE MARSHAL: All the way up and in the very back.

THE CLERK: I had it right, before I remembered.

THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we've had 12 additional jurors — prospective jurors — join us, and I'm going to have them be sworn, but first, since they haven't heard the introduction by the State and Defense Counsel, I need you to do that again for the benefit of our newest venire members.

MS. ANTHONY: Thank you, Your Honor.

My name is Michelle Anthony. I am prosecuting this case with my co-counsel Alex Chen on behalf of the State of Nevada. This case, the defendant is charged with 15 counts of possession of visual presentation depicting sexual conduct of a child. It occurred from November 25, 2008, through September 7, 2010.

5.

The child pornography was located on the defendant's USB thumb drive, laptop, and desktop computer, which was located at his residence at 2205 Beverly Way, here in Las Vegas, Nevada.

The State intends to call the following witnesses, we may not call all of these witnesses, but they are potential witnesses. Tami Hines, Michael Landeau, members of the F.B.I. which are Alex Bustillos, Andrew Gruninger, and Shawna Partridge. Members of the Las Vegas Police Department, Officer Barrett, Officer Brandon, Detective Carpenter, Officer Cody, Detective Ehlers, Detective Ramirez, Officer Rowe, Officer Shumate, Officer Smith, Officer Solomon, Officer Tafoya, and Detective Tooley. Additionally, we may call Keith Gross [phonetic] an investigator with the District Attorney's Office.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Ballou?

MS. BALLOU: Thank you. My name is Erika Ballou. I along with my law partner David Westbrook have the honor of representing Anthony Castaneda and we have our partner here, Michael Van Luven. Sorry about that. He's new. We're — we may call our Investigator Doug Dahman [phonetic], Mariah Carney — not Carey, and we may call Craig Castaneda.

And we're confident that you will return a verdict of not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. And if the 12 new folks who

have joined us will please stand, and the clerk will swear you in.

THE MARSHAL: Please stand, folks. Please raise your right hand.

(Clerk administers the oath.)

THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.

And the record will reflect that we are within the presence of the venire panel. The defendant is present with his Counsel, as are the Deputy District Attorneys prosecuting the case and all officers of the court.

Ladies and gentlemen of — those of you who have just joined us, welcome to Department 5. We are expecting this case to last the — the entire week, and our schedule for the trial is anticipated to be until 5:00 this evening. That we would resume Tuesday at 9:00, and go until 5. Wednesday would be 1:00 until 5. Thursday 9 to 5. And Friday, again, 1 to 5.

I'm going to be asking you questions here, this — we are very concerned about coming up with 12 members of the jury who are fair and impartial, and we have to ask questions in order to come up with those impartial jurors. I'm going to be asking questions, and these are just directed to the 12 new people who have joined us because the other folks who are here have already been through this part of the selection process.

So I'm going to ask these questions, and ask you by

a show of hands just to raise your hands and let me know whether you have a response to these questions.

So as to the 12 new people who have joined us, are there any of you who are acquainted with the defendant in this case, Mr. Castaneda?

The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who is acquainted with either of the Deputy DAs who are prosecuting this case?

The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who has been — who believes they're acquainted with either of the Defense lawyers, or any of the Defense team?

The record will reflect a negative response.

Are — is there anyone who believes that they may know, or be acquainted with any of the witness's names who were called?

The record will reflect a negative response.

All right. Based upon the time table that I gave you, that being the five days, and the schedule that we're going to keep, is there anyone who believes — these are the 12 new people only — that they cannot serve that amount of time for whatever the reason is?

Now, knowing before you answer that I'm going to --going to accept your explanation as to why you can't serve,
and then, counsel will approach, so I'm not making an

immediate decision on whether you should be excused for that reason, but the reasons I'm looking for are serious reasons. Like, you have nonrefundable airline tickets for a vacation, and then, you brought the proof of that with you.

That you have surgery scheduled. That you are about to deliver a child, or that your significant other is about to deliver a child during the trial or close to the trial? Those types of excuses is what I'm looking for. Not that it's just inconvenient.

All right. That being said, are there any persons among the 12 new folks who feel that they cannot serve for the week?

All right. We have one hand. If you'll come on up to the podium.

THE MARSHAL: Ma'am, you're — you'll be first. Sirlet her go first. That will make it easier for you to get back in. Come on, ma'am. All right.

If you would, ma'am, just state your name and the last three digits on your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Cristina Miranda, 1302.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Miranda, tell me why you believe you can't serve?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: It's because of my language barrier. I can understand just simple English, but that's really the deeper one.

1	THE COURT: All right. How long have you lived here
2	in Clark County?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: 15 years.
4	THE COURT: And do you work?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: I work yeah. I work
6	in Sunset Station.
7	THE COURT: Sunset Station. What do you do there?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Auditing slot machines.
9	THE COURT: Oh, auditing slot machines.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Yeah, I'm a slot
11	auditor.
12	THE COURT: Okay. What what does that entail?
13	What do you have to do to audit a slot machine?
1,4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Oh, the payings and
15	the — the pay cables.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have to go into this —
17	inside of the machine, or do you do it remotely?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: No, we do the
19	paperworks. We do the reports.
20	THE COURT: I see.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: It's only the slot
22	persons, it's the technicians. Just doing the the, you
23	know, the machines.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So then, they bring you the
25	paperwork and you do that in your office?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 91

1	THE COURT: Well, you might not be alone, then, with
2	the Native English speakers in that regard. All right? Thank
3	you very much.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Okay.
5	THE COURT: So you can be seated.
6	THE MARSHAL: Please, sir. Your last name, sir, and
7	your last three digits?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1271: Montebello,
9	M-O-N-T-E-B-E-L-L-O.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And 1271 is your badge
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1271: That's me
12	THE COURT: number?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1271: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Montebello, why can't you
15	serve?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1271: Oh, I have a son, who
17	years ago was —
18	THE COURT: All right. But we the question was,
19	is there a reason you can't
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1271: That would be the only
21	reason
22	THE COURT: just
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1271: why I feel, maybe I
24	might not be impartial.
25	THE COURT: Okay. That we'll get to that question
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 94

H	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: 7 731.
2	THE COURT: 7701?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: I said, 731.
4	THE CLERK: 731, she
5	THE COURT: 7 what is it?
6	MS. BALLOU: 731.
7	THE CLERK: 731, it should be.
8	THE COURT: 731?
9	THE MARSHAL: 731, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So and why why
11	are you raising your hand at this point in time?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: Before you told me, I
13	don't want to sit there and raise my hands. I want hands
14	so, I I hand I have the reason I want to put down hand.
15	I don't want you release.
16	THE COURT: You're not understanding
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: This is a mother
18	talking. I have that whether easy or no. You that you
19	talking everything, I don't understand.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: I just make the people
22	together go in there, put it down, put it down. I don't know.
23	I just missed everybody. Could I ask him, you asked my
24	number, he said, oh, sit down here. I took over here. Yeah.
25	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So what is your native

1	He's 30 years old.
2	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Grown children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: I want them [inaudible]
4	go into America and keep a momma keep me.
5	THE COURT: All right. And so, have you been able to
6	understand what'd he questions that we've been asking? He
7	doesn't speak Chinese, so I mean, he can only
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: I don't know him.
9	THE COURT: — say the same thing.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731: You you are crazy so
11	me, I don't
12	THE COURT: Unless you marshal, you don't speak
13	Chinese, do you?
14	THE MARSHAL: Negative.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Just checking. I thought he might
16	have some hidden talents he hadn't told me about.
17	All right. So have you been able to understand
18	what's been going on?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 731; Mm-hmm.
20	THE COURT: Yes or no? No, it doesn't sound like it.
21	
22	ll:
23	
. 24	MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ma'am, you are
25	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 98

excused.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Badge 751, Laura Clauson. You want me to just update it then?

THE COURT: Yeah. All right. Okay. Now, we're back to the next question, and, gosh, is there anyone who feels that for whatever reason they would be unable to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this case?

We need a show of hands, and we're going to start with the box. Anyone in the box that feels they cold not be fair and impartial juror?

Okay. All right. Let's see that -- the hands.

THE MARSHAL: Hands get --

THE COURT: Have we just got two?

THE MARSHAL: Go ahead and ---

THE COURT: All right.

THE MARSHAL: -- stand up, state your name, the last three digits of your badge. Keep the mike close to your mouth.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: My name is Matthew Elfberg and 691.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Elfberg?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I feel like I would be kind of biased toward the defendant's side because I do have two younger nieces, one younger nephew and also a little brother.

1	THE COURT: All right. So you have relatives that
2.	are children, but
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
4	THE COURT: okay,
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes, many of them.
6	THE COURT: Well, yes, but you understand that the
7	defendant is presumed innocent unless and until the State
8	proves that he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Understood.
10	THE COURT: All right. So would you be able to
11	listen to the evidence and make the State prove their case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Possibly.
13	THE COURT: All right. Well, that's that's what
14	we are going to determine, so I mean, most everyone is
15	going to know, or have known in their lifetime a child, so
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Right.
17	THE COURT: the fact that you have relatives that
18	are children, there's no one here that's not going to have
19	that.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I understand.
21	THE COURT: So we'll have to, you know, go through
22	and as we get further along so, but thank you.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Okay.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	THE MARSHAL: You good? Okay. Looks like we're
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 100

1	going to the audience, Your Honor.
	THE COURT: Okay.
2	THE MARSHAL: Sir —
3	THE COURT RECORDER: The can we bring them up?
4	
5	It's
6	THE MARSHAL: Absolutely.
7	THE COURT: Yeah.
8	THE MARSHAL: Is it bad?
9	THE COURT: Let's bring them up to the
10	THE COURT RECORDER: not picked up and not faring
11	very well from there. I'm just
12	THE MARSHAL: Sir, if you would, just step up,
13	please? Just go ahead, please. The last name and the last
14	three digits of your badge number.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Joel Delgado, 326.
16	THE CLERK: It's what?
17	THE COURT: 326, Joel Nevada?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Delgado.
19	THE COURT: Delgado?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yep. I mean, I don't
21	think I'll be I'll do a fair because as soon as they
22	said, "child pornography," he's already guilty in my head,
23	so
24	ONDER OR YOU you have not heard any
25	
∠5	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 101

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: I haven't heard
2	anything.
3	THE COURT: right?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Nope.
5	THE COURT: And you understand the the State is
6	required under our system of justice to prove the case beyond
7	a reasonable doubt. That the defendant is presumed innocent,
8	not guilty, until the State meets its burden and not in not
9	before? You understand that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: I understand, but
11	THE COURT: Would you all right. So you
12	understand that there will be witnesses presented?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: You don't feel that you could reserve
15	your judgment until you've
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Probably not.
17	THE COURT: heard all of the evidence?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: No.
19	THE COURT: You don't know anything about this case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: I don't know nothing
21	about this case.
22	THE COURT: All right. Counsel would counsel like
23	to inquirer further?
24	MR. WESTBROOK: I don't think so, Judge. I think we
25	would move for cause.
	u

1	THE COURT: State, would you like to inquire further?
2	MS. ANTHONY: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. You'll be excused for cause.
4	Let go over and let the jury commissioner know you'll need
5	to be reassigned to a different case.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Okay.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Okay.
9	THE MARSHAL: Do I have anyone else in the next row?
10	Anybody else in the second row?
11	Ma'am, please step forward. Just your last name,
12	ma'am, and the last three digits of your badge.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1264: Hankderson, and it's
14	1264.
15	THE COURT: 12, what?
16	THE MARSHAL: 64
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1264: 64.
18	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: 64?
20	THE MARSHAL: 264.
21	THE CLERK: I don't see well
22	THE COURT: What's your name?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1264: Hankerson.
24	THE COURT: Your first name?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1264: Jolene.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 103

1	THE COURT: Jolene Hankerson.
2	THE MARSHAL: Okay.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And why do you feel you could not
4	be a fair and impartial juror?
5	MS. ANTHONY: I don't see a
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1264: My I'm a potential
7	witness, as of now. Debbie Marriott is the DA that I'm
8	dealing with. My daughter is a victim of of abuse as of
9	November, so I don't even know why they brought me in here.
10	THE COURT: You don't have her on the list?
11	MS. ANTHONY: Nope.
12	MS. BALLOU: Nope.
13	MS. ANTHONY: I have her, Your Honor. She's on the
14	bottom, page 2 of 3, the second
15	THE COURT RECORDER: We don't have that page.
16	MR. WESTBROOK: Which one? I don't have that
17	numbers.
18	MR. CHEN: That's the new one.
19	THE MARSHAL: That's the new discarded page.
20	MS. BALLOU: Right. That was one that we weren't
21	supposed to
22	MS. ANTHONY: But she's on the bottom of it.
23	MR. WESTBROOK: I think that's probably why she's
24	here, but she shouldn't be.
25	THE CLERK: But she shouldn't be.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 104

1	years.
2	THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: Yes, it was.
4	THE COURT: Was it a criminal or a civil case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: Civil case.
6	THE COURT: Without telling us what the verdict was,
7	did the jury reach a verdict?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Were you the foreman?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 702: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: Billy Whiteford, 699.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Whiteford, what was your jury
14	experience?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: That was like, oh, about
16	two and a half, three years ago.
17	THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: Yes, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Were was that a criminal case or a
20	civil case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: Criminal.
22	THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict, without
23	telling us what it is?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: Yes, ma'am.
25	THE COURT: And were you the foreman?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 106

1.5

yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: No.

THE COURT: No? Do you have a degree in criminal justice or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you understand that — that the witnesses who take the stand are — you'll hear testimony from them, and what I'm trying to determine is whether you'll take into consideration their testimony, what they're actually saying, in totality with all of the other evidence and weigh it carefully, as opposed to just saying, well, it's a — it's a police officer, therefore, I don't need to hear anything more if the police officer says, then that's it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: In my eyes, for the most part I believe that the officer is right 95 percent of the time.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you believe that — or concede then, that it's possible an officer makes a mistake?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Every once so often,

THE COURT: Okay. Because they're human? All right. And so would you — knowing that that at least by your estimation, 5 percent of the time they could be wrong, if 95 percent they're right. Would you be able to listen to the testimony then, and make a decision based upon what they're

saying, not just who they are.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: To be honest, no.

THE COURT: All right. Would the State like to inquire further?

MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor.

Mr. Elfberg, the State and the Defense when — in selecting jurors, we're looking for people who can be fair to both sides, and that's what these questions that I'm going to ask you about are trying to get a feel for, kind of how you think and kind of along those lines.

You said that police officers, you believe, 95 percent of the time are correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes, ma'am.

MS. ANTHONY: Okay. And then, there's a 5 percent of the time that they might not be, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes, ma'am.

MS. ANTHONY: If a police officer were to get into this case some more, to take the stand because I'd write off a list of possible witnesses and many of them are police officers. If the police officer were to take the stand — when they testify you'll be able to listen to everything that they're saying, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

MS. ANTHONY: Okay. And when you're taking into consideration what they're saying, it's possible that when

1	have to say, and waive that testimony after they took the
2	stand?
-3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: As far as I can say, if
4	you're in this kind of a position the officer is right because
5	there would be no need for you to be in this position if you
6	weren't found doing something wrong.
7	MS. ANTHONY: Okay. When you say "being in this
8	position" that wouldn't when you say you say "this
9	position" I'm assuming you're talking about the defendant, not
10	your position in
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
12	MS. ANTHONY: the jury box?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
14	MS. ANTHONY: Okay. And you understand that I, as
1.5	the State, and my co-counsel, we have a burden to prove?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
17	MS. ANTHONY: And that it's beyond a reasonable
18	doubt, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
20	MS. ANTHONY: And that the system that we have is set
21	up to pick 12 people 12 jurors, and 12 jurors are to decide
22	whether or not the State has met that burden, correct?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
24	
25	meet its burden, right, people have to sit and listen to
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 112

MR. WESTBROOK: Then the crime that's been charged is, Possession of child pornography. That's what everyone's been told already.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

MR. WESTBROOK: You're not going to give the person who is accused of that crime — accused of that crime, the benefit of the doubt, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: No.

MR. WESTBROOK: I mean, you already mentioned that you think about relatives of yours or acquaintances that are children, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

MR. WESTBROOK: And that bothers you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

MR. WESTBROOK: It bothers you so much that you're not going to be fair and impartial; is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I'd move for cause.

THE COURT: So it's — sir, you're saying that you could not, even though you want to be a police officer and uphold justice, that you — you can't afford justice as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I feel like if that person was accused of possession of child pornography — they were accused of it, but why did they have possession of it?

defendant did what he's accused of. Then what would you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: That's fair enough.

THE COURT: Well, if the State did not prove its case, would you -- you'd have to quit the defendant, wouldn't you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you feel that you could do that if they didn't prove their case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: No.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: No.

THE COURT: So even if they didn't prove their case, you would convict a person that you did not believe was guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: No.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'm not -- maybe there is a double-negative here, but can you explain what you're saying to me, in your own words?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: It's hard to explain. Having before heard anything that was a — that he had been accused of, before getting into all this, I feel like, in my mind anyone accused of that is guilty because to me that's — it's heinous, and it's really — it sickens me. I feel like I would not be fair to sit up here and listen to everyone say what they had to say about it.

2.4

responses. All right.

And, Mr. Minnick, have you ever served on a jury before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. So as to everyone that's sitting in the box, has anyone sitting in the box been the victim of a crime?

All right. No one has ever had their car stolen, their purse taken, their wallet taken?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: My car was stolen.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there — are there hands?

Okay. All right. So obviously everybody's here that's raising their hand. Let's see the hands up so we can make notes, okay? Counsel can make notes. You obviously didn't feel that they were serious enough that they even crossed your mind.

So now, the next question is is there anyone sitting in the box who feels that they are the victim of a serious crime?

How about anyone sitting in the box — and that was a negative response from people sitting in the box.

Anyone who feels that they — they — they closely associated with someone, a family member, a close friend, who has been the victim of a serious crime?

We have, it looks like two. Let's start at the top

point yet.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Laura Clauson, 751.

THE COURT: Ms. Clauson, tell us what — what your response is to that question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: My brother was murdered last year.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm so sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: But it is — it hasn't been proven yet. And so — and that's all I can say. The case is still opened, and it's on — ongoing and it's in Texas, so —

THE COURT: Okay. So it's outside the jurisdiction? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Correct.

THE COURT: And the person — there is a person who is suspected of this murder, but the trial hasn't happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: It isn't even to that

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: It's -- he -- it was stated that it was suicide, but then, after autopsy and everything, they stated that it is undetermined.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Whatever you call it. I don't know. But — and they do have a couple of suspects that they — has not — it's been a year and it just is a lengthy

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Laura Clauson, 751.

THE COURT: Ms. Clauson, tell us what -- what your response is to that question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: My brother was murdered last year.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm so sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: But it is -- it hasn't been proven yet. And so -- and that's all I can say. The case is still opened, and it's on -- ongoing and it's in Texas, so --

THE COURT: Okay. So it's outside the jurisdiction? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Correct.

THE COURT: And the person -- there is a person who is suspected of this murder, but the trial hasn't happened? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: It isn't even to that point yet.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: It's -- he -- it was stated that it was suicide, but then, after autopsy and everything, they stated that it is undetermined.

> Okay. THE COURT:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Whatever you call it. I don't know. But -- and they do have a couple of suspects that they -- has not -- it's been a year and it just is a lengthy

process. 1 I see. All right. Is there anything THE COURT: 2 about that experience that makes you feel that you could not 3 be fair and impartial in this case? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: I don't think so. 5 just -- it's frustrating because --6 THE COURT: Of course. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: -- you know, over a year 8 and there's still no -- we haven't gone any further, but -and this case we're to this point, and so that's kind of 10 encouraging to know that, you know, the cases do move along, 11 and we get to a point where we do have a jury. So I can't 12 think of anything that would --13 THE COURT: Right. You would be able to set that 14 aside and focus on the facts in evidence that's presented in 15 this case, and consider the evidence in this case without 16 thinking about what happened to your brother? -17PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: I could wait. 18 THE COURT: Oh, thank you. And then on --19 THE MARSHAL: Is there anyone else in the third 20 21 row THE COURT: On the second row, yes. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Hi, I'm Monica. 23 THE COURT: 24

25

Hello. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: By badge number is 542. UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 120

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: One of my — like, our closest family friend, she was living with us for the moment — it happened about two years ago, though, and she went to Jack in a Box while she was pregnant — I don't know why shed did that, and she got robbed, hit in the face, so she was down for a while, and when she gained xconscience [sic] she called the police and that's about it.

THE COURT: Okay. That happened here in town?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Yeah, North Las Vegas.

THE COURT: North Las Vegas. All right. So was anyone ever apprehended for that robbery? It was — they kind of got out of their car, punched her in the face, she was kind of struggling trying to fight them, but other than that she was knocked out consccious and thy took her bag. Did you feel that the police did an adequate job of investigating?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: I think they — they did, as much as they possibly can. I mean, she didn't get the driver license number, she couldn't.

THE COURT: I see. Okay. Is there anything about that that makes you think, well, I can't be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 752: No, I don't think so.

THE COURT: Thank you. And there were no other

people in the box that answered -- oh, yes we have one more

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and that's the second row. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. Larry Lamb, 702. THE COURT: Mr. Lamb? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: My wife now ex wife
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: My wife now ex wife
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: My wife now ex wife
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was molested as a child. She never reported it, but I just
9 10 11 12 13	wanted to mention it.
9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: All right. So she — since she never
10 11 12 13	reported it, I take it nobody was ever
11 12 13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: We talked about it.
12 13	THE COURT: prosecuted?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: Right. Absolutely
	THE COURT: So she's just related this to you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: Yes?
	THE COURT: All right. Anything about that that
15	makes you feel like you couldn't be fair and impartial in this
16	case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 702: No.
18	THE COURT: No? All right. Thank you. All right.
19	Is there anyone sitting in the box who has ever been
20	accused of a crime?
21	The record will reflect a negative response. Anyone
22	in the box who has a family member, someone very close to them
23	that has been accused of a crime? All right. Start on the
24	top row.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Laura Clauson, 751. I
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

10

11

1.2

13

14

1.5

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE MARSHAL: One more, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 750: Yes. My brother the last 20 or 30 years has just been in and out. The same kind of problem with theft and drugs and theft and writing bad checks, all for — basically because of drugs.

THE COURT: All right. Is that here in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 750: No, it's in Texas

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience that makes you feel you could not be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 750: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay.

All right. Is there anyone who feels that they would be unable to follow the instructions of the Court on the law, even if those instructions that I give you are something different than what you think the law ought to be? Will everyone be able to follow the instructions of the Court? Is there anyone who feels they could not follow the instructions of the Court on the law?

The record will reflect --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: I can't --

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Sorry.

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

THE MARSHAL: One more, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 750: Yes. My brother the last 20 or 30 years has just been in and out. The same kind of problem with theft and drugs and theft and writing bad checks, all for — basically because of drugs.

THE COURT: All right. Is that here in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 750: No, it's in Texas

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience that makes you feel you could not be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 750: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay.

All right. Is there anyone who feels that they would be unable to follow the instructions of the Court on the law, even if those instructions that I give you are something different than what you think the law ought to be? Will everyone be able to follow the instructions of the Court? Is there anyone who feels they could not follow the instructions of the Court on the law?

The record will reflect --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: I can't --

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Sorry.

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

right.

So that's what I'm asking you. Can you follow the instructions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Thank you. Okay.

All right. Now, as I think I stated before, in our system of justice, an accused is presumed to be innocent unless and until the contrary is proved beyond a reasonable doubt by the State.

Is there anyone who disagrees with that premise of the presumption of innocence?

The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who feels that the defendant must prove his innocence?

The record will reflect a negative response.

All right. There are — in our system a defendant does not have to present any evidence he does not wish to. In other words, it is the State's burden to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there anyone who disagrees with that statement?

Okay. All right. At this point in time, I'm going to allow the — the lawyers, now, to ask general questions of the panel as a whole. They're not going to follow up, they're just going to take notes and they'll follow up when we get to the individual question. State?

- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MS. ANTHONY: All right.
2	THE COURT RECORDER: Do you want to
3	THE MARSHAL: Oh, would you like to use the podium?
4	THE DEFENDANT: would you like us to move that for
5	you?
6	MS. ANTHONY: No, I'm fine.
7	THE CLERK: Okay.
8	MS. ANTHONY: Thank you. I can do it from here. You
9	just have to be able to say thanks.
10	All right. I have a couple of questions for the
10	jury panel as a whole, so when I ask a question, please raise
	your hand. I'll just take a note, then I'll ask the next
12	question.
13	question. First question, does anybody who is sitting up here
14	in the jury box, does anybody know anybody else?
15	Seeing no responses.
16	THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
17	MS. ANTHONY: All right. Does anybody work in the
18)
19	computer field? So raise your hands. I'm just going to make a note,
20	So raise your nands. I m jase your set the next chance we get.
21	
22	THE COURT: Okay. So
2	MS. ANTHONY: So, if I could have Badge No. 702, Mr.
2	
2	5 THE COURT: And
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 127

MS. ANTHONY: I just have to go at something. What.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Do you mean by computers
like work with computers, or like Or technological with
Computers.
MS. ANTHONY: Hold on. I need your badge number.
What's your badge number, just for the record?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: 542.
MS. ANTHONY: Computer filled me in that you have
experience with computers?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Yeah.
MS. ANTHONY: Okay. So just raise of hands, and I'll
come back and ask some additional questions at the next
chance. So I'd have Mr. Lamb at Badge 702. Is it Mr.
Whiteford, Badge 699?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 699: Yes, ma'am.
MS. ANTHONY: Thank you. And did you raise your
hand?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Yes.
MS. ANTHONY: Okay. What's your badge number, I'm
PROGRECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: 542.
Thank VOII.
PROCEETIVE JUROR NO. 542: The last name's Sound.
Thank you. And in the back, Ms.
nin man also raise your hand?
UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
UNCERTIFIED ROOM DIVE

extension.

1.4

THE COURT: Thank you. Defense, general questions?

MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.

Just let me finish my note. Hi, I'm David Westbrook, for the defense. I'll start here, you can --

THE MARSHAL: No. No. No. No. I'm sorry. You stay right there. You're fine.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: You're fine. There you go.

MR. WESTBROOK: Extension cords. My general questions. When you heard that this was a case about child pornography, and whether or not child pornography was in my client's possession, is there anyone here who did not cringe inwardly when they heard this was about child pornography? Show of hands? Okay.

Obvious question. Everybody cringed inwardly when they heard this was about child pornography.

Is there anyone here that is so disturbed and upset by the subject matter of this case, that they can't fairly listen to the evidence and evaluate it properly?

Also no hands. Good.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

MR. WESTBROOK: As the Court just informed you, my client may or may not testify. Is there anybody here who would have a problem if my client chooses to exercise his

1	right not to testify? I when I say, "have a problem," are you
2	going to in any way hold that against him?
3	Okay.
4	THE COURT: The record will reflect a negative
- I 5	response,
6	MR. WESTBROOK: Is there anyone here who is having a
7	hard time understanding the State's burden of proof in this
8	case?
9	Negative response.
10	Did you have something to say, sir?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Well, I want I
12	wanted could you clarify that, what we
13	THE COURT: Well well wait, no
14	MR. WESTBROOK: Stop. Stop.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: we
16	THE COURT: No. Stop.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: oh, Glen
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 756: Minnick, 756.
20	
21	been instructed yet on the burden
22	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh.
2	THE COURT: of proof. You will be instructed, and
2	that's why I asked the general question, could you follow the
2	1 law? You will be instructed exactly what the burden of proof
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 131

idea what to do with it.

No one's a novice. All right.

Does anyone here have somebody, a friend, or a relative, or an acquaintance, that they call when their computer is broken? And I don't mean the Geek Squad, someone's commercial, I'm talking about a friend or a relative.

Okay. I've got 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. If you could just raise your hand for a second, my --

MS. BALLOU: Yeah.

MR. WESTBROOK: -- my partner is -- is absorbing all this information. All right.

Is there anyone here who has a deeply felt moral opposition to regular adult pornography? I'm not talking about child pornography, which we know everybody is opposed to, but regular adult pornography. Does anyone have a deep moral opposition to that?

One in the back, one in the front. Do you have them?

MS. BALLOU: I saw the one in the back and the one in the front okay.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CHEN: And, I'm sorry, could counsel specify who has it in the front, or who has an objection?

MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry.

25

Thanks you. MR. CHEN:

MR. WESTBROOK: Could you state your number, again? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 707: It's 707.

707. All right. There we go. MR. WESTBROOK:

Earlier we heard someone say that they feel that if someone is accused of a crime, they must have done something Is there anyone on the jury that believes that? Showing no hands.

> The record will so reflect. THE COURT:

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, I think that does it for my general questions. Thank you, Your Honor.

> Thank you, Mr. Westbrook. THE COURT:

All right. So we've got 15 minutes til 5, but -- and this is kind of the start of what is my favorite part of the voir dire process because I get to kind of hear about you and what you do.

So I'm going to be asking you this question, Tell me about yourself. When I ask you that question, these are the things I want you to tell me. I want you to tell me how long you've lived here in Clark County. I want you to tell me if you're married, single, significant other, if you have any children. If you have children, their ages, and if they're adult children, what do they do for a living if they work.

Also, I want to know where you work, if you work. And if you have a spouse or a significant other, partner, that

22.

-- what that person does for a living. And don't worry if you forget any of these things, I'll prompt you and you'll get it as you go along and hear the other jurors.

So starting with the Seat No. 1, Ms. Sanchez. Tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: Okay. My name is Teresa Sanchez, and I been in United States for 20 — 28 — 29 years. And I'm not working right now, I mean, in this building. I have four kids, 31, 28, 22, and 16. Three of them, they already working. They went to school, social work here, the other one restaurant, but he — he is studying criminal justice. And the other one — they both are studying, the other one is in high school, right now, so...

Three grandkids and -- what else? So divorced.

THE COURT: Divorced.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: I'm being already nine years divorced.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: Yeah.

THE COURT: And you said that you -- you're on disability now, but you've worked in the past. What did you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: Actually, I was a -- my whole time at work was a -- on the restaurant.

THE COURT: Okay.

11	l l
1	he he studied.
2	MR. CHEN: Do you know what his plan or how he
3	plans on using that degree?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: His plan is being a
5	police.
6	MR. CHEN: Okay. Do you know if he's started
7	applying to any of those places?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: I think he did.
9	MR. CHEN: Okay. But you're not sure at this point?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: No.
11	MR. CHEN: And you also mentioned one of your
12	children is in the social worker field, correct?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: He's a social worker,
14	yes, but he's in Washington, D.C.
15	MR. CHEN: He's in D.C.?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: He's there now.
17	MR. CHEN: So he studied social work?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: He's studying here in
19	Nevada
20	MR. CHEN:
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO but he she's living
22	over there right now. She's over there.
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
. 24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: Yes.
25	MR. CHEN: Okay. And what kind of job, specifically,
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 138

	any arguments with
1	MR. CHEN: Did you ever get into any arguments with
2	customers who would have been mad?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: No.
4	MR. CHEN: So generally, you just try to listen
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: Yes.
6	MS. BALLOU: And solve the problem?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: Yes.
8	MR. CHEN: Okay.
9	Pass for cause. Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. Defense?
11	MR. WESTBROOK: I just have a quick question for you,
12	and that's involving your back. Are you going to be okay
13	sitting in that chair during the trial?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: I can I can try do
15	it. I mean
16	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 730: I mean, I don't think I
18	can have a big problem with that.
19	Okay. Now, I know that the Judge has no problem,
20	I'm sure, Your Honor, with you standing up and stretching if
21	you need to, even
22	PROPERTIES TIBOR NO. 730: Because I don't think
23	the misses kisses.
24	
25	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	140

dermatologists doing the billing.

1.6

Next one is a stay-at-home mom. And the next one is a stay-at-home mom. And the next daughter is a stay at home mom. And then, his son is — his youngest is — he's 23, has graduated from college, and works in a construction company as a project manager. And is married. And then, my children — my oldest lives here, he's 31, and he is the manager of a credit union in Henderson.

My second son is 28, 29, and he lives in Phoenix, and he works for The University of Phoenix as a enrollment counselor. My daughter, who is 26, lives in Boise — not Boise, Rexburg, Idaho, and goes to school, is married, and is just working toward her degree in family counseling.

My next son, who is 22, does online schooling and works for a target company, traveling around the United States, helping to build targets for military and F.B.I.

My 20-year-old son lives in Utah, just works in a fast food place and goes to school. And my daughter, who is 18, will be going to school in Rexburg, Idaho in September.

THE COURT: In -- at college or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: At college, yes, she's a graduate so...

THE COURT: So before you came to Las Vegas, then, did you live in Utah?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: No. I had --

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 142

1	THE COURT: When?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: never lived there,
3	other than
4	THE COURT: Oh.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: a little bit of
6	schooling.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So where did you live before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: I was in Roseville,
9	California before here, for two and a half years. And then —
10	THE COURT: What about before then?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Colorado, New Mexico,
12	Colorado, and then, California. I'm from California,
13	originally.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So you you've done a lot of
15	moving?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. And how long have you been
18	married to your husband?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Almost 10 years, and I
20	was married 22 years to my first husband.
21	THE COURT: And your first husband, what did he do
22	for a living?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Did he do or does he do
24	now?
25	THE COURT: What did he do when you were married?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 143

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: He was a baker.
2	THE COURT: Okay. A banker, did you say?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Baker.
4	THE COURT: Baker, okay. I thought that's
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: We owned
6	THE COURT: what I heard.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: doughnut shops and
8	bakeries.
9	THE COURT: All right. And is he still a baker?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: No, he is not. He and
11	his wife work for a retirement company, I don't know,
12	something like that, for for old folks.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And careful about that
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: I know. Sorry.
15	THE COURT: I'm getting more sensitive.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Well, I I've hit
17	there myself, sorry.
18	THE COURT: You're as young as you feel, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Exactly.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And so your husband, your current
21	husband, what does he do?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: He is a chief estimator
23	for a general contractor in Henderson.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Is he working now? Or they have a
	· !

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: He has many projects
2	THE COURT: ongoing project?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: — yes.
3 4	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Want to know what I do?
6	THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: I work for Children's
. 8	Specialty Center of Nevada. It's for cancer for kids and
9	blood disorders and I am the medical director's assistant.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And so that's a that's a biog
11	job?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Yeah, he's crying right
13	now that I'm here.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you had that
15	job?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: I've been with him for
17	seven years, for the entire time I worked and
18	THE COURT: So you work
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: we lived here.
20	THE COURT: do you do you have interaction with
21	the children who are patients?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Yes, I do.
23	THE COURT: And what is the age range that that
2	4 clinic treats?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: From birth up until
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 145

system was progressing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Correct.

MS. ANTHONY: And obviously, and it's not my office, but with the prosecuting agency is there anything that's going on with that that's going to effect, maybe your ability at this case, or effect your opinion of the stage or the country here in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: I don't believe so. My frustrations are coming from the fact that I'm just emailing the detective, and we've had a few phone calls, and I get updates, maybe every two months.

MS. ANTHONY: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: And the suspect doesn't even realize that — that we are aware that there is — that this case is opened, or that we have any details. And we really can't talk to her to let her know that, and it's — that's the frustrating part.

MS. ANTHONY: Okay. And you — that won't affect any of the officers that came in in this case. Obviously they're not involved, but that won't affect your opinion or anything

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: No. No.

MS. ANTHONY: -- like that? Okay. And the same about your brother and sister in and out of jail, that won't have any effect what's going on here as well?

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 147

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

1.8

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: No.

MS. ANTHONY: Okay. All right. Thank you so much. Pass for cause, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. Defense?

MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry for the loss of your brother. That's a -- a terrible situation and it must be difficult for you to continue with it without getting some kind of resolution, or at least getting the ball rolling on resolution; is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: It's difficult, but to me it -- nothing is going to bring him back and so I'm not a vengeful person to -- I'd, you know, heard other people in my family say, well, we're not going to let this guy -- well, to me, if the police and the detectives in Texas can't figure it out, that's as far as I'm going. I'm not going any further. I have a life to live. It doesn't matter what I do, it's not going to bring him back. And I can't, you know, obviously you can't do anything about that.

It is difficult. I mean, you can't -- I can't -- I can't -- I'd be a cold-hearted person if I would -- to say that it wasn't difficult.

MR. WESTBROOK: Absolutely. And you would hate to see someone who was innocent of the crime convicted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 751: Correct.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.

I pass for cause. Thank you, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, thank you. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, it's about 2 minutes until 5, and we obviously do not have a jury selected yet. And so I need everyone that's here today in the courtroom to come back tomorrow at 9:00.

We had hoped to get a jury picked today, but some — you know, we — we can only go as fast as we can given the answers that we receive and we're doing our best to try and get through this and get a jury of 12 impartial, fair-minded people. So I'm going to have an overnight recess.

I'd ask you to be here tomorrow, but we should not — it should not be as crowded as it was on Monday morning to get into the courthouse, but still, don't wait until the last minute to try and get here. You're not having to get here as early, but make sure you're assembled by, you know — that you're — you're here. Don't wait until 9:00 to figure that you can walk through the door, because there could still be a line in the morning.

Now, Marshal --

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor?

THE COURT: — would they be able to, since they're not jurors yet, will they still have — where will they be able to come in, the south entrance?

THE MARSHAL: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: North?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am.

1.7

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you all came in through the north entrance, and you'll have to continue to do that once — if you make it onto the jury, then you'll be able to come in the south entrance because you'll have your juror, and you'll be jurors, and we give the jurors that are sworn jurors, the opportunity to come in through the south entrance, but sorry, we're not there yet.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're taking an overnight recess. During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial; or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or Internet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you. Now, wait, one more thing.

That is this, the ladies and gentlemen who are the lawyers in this case, as well as the officers of the court are not allowed to speak to you during the trial or any process leading up to the trial.

And so while, normally if you passed them in the hall and you spoke to them, they would greet you warmly because they're all very lovely people. They are not allowed

MS. ANTHONY: No, but I do have some scheduling issues with some witnesses, so we'll -- okay. Okay. I mean, it's possible that we might start earlier, if -- if things kind of smooth out. They seem to be a little bit, but we've

THE COURT: -- and that are in the box, cleared.

MS. ANTHONY: -- I'm very bad at guessing time.

THE COURT: And so, we've still got to clear the rest of them, and then we've got peremptory challenges. So --

MS. ANTHONY: Okay. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: Excuse me, Judge, before everyone We have two other -- actually, yeah, we have two other jurors that just informed me that they also are Muslim, and they're going to be observing Ramadan starting tomorrow,

THE COURT: Are we on the record?

THE MARSHAL: -- in the morning, before we could

MS. ANTHONY: Yeah, but you got to -- you can't be

THE COURT: All right. So the marshal has just informed the Court that two of the venire panel have just informed him out in the hall that they're Muslim that they're

observing Razadon and yes, they'll need to come back, and we'll have to make a formal record of that —

MR. WESTBROOK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and whether they feel that they need to be excused or not, I don't understand why they didn't answer.

MR. WESTBROOK: Are they part of the first 12 though, that's a possibility.

THE MARSHAL: Nrhsyibr.

MR. CHEN: Oh, I'm sorry, I meant the last 13 --

THE MARSHAL: Well, the last 12, yes.

THE COURT: They're the last 12. Did we not ask them the question is, are they — is there anyone who feels they can't serve?

Honor. I don't think they — they considered the religious part of that involved in the question. So it dawned on them when — as they were leaving that tomorrow begins Ramadan and they have to start their fasting. And I told them that it was too late to go back into the courtroom and reconsider their situation. That they would have to return tomorrow by 8:50, so that we can go ahead and proceed in the selection.

THE COURT: All right. So we'll take that up tomorrow, and just — and make sure that they're — they're fasting, but they can eat before the sun rises, so —

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of Nevada Rules of Appellate

Procedure, this is a rough draft transcript expeditiously prepared,
not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

KIMBERLY LAWSON TRANSCRIBER

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	ANTHONY CASTANEDA,) No. 64515
4	Appellant,
5	vi.
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
8	Respondent.)
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME III PAGES 499-665
10	STEVE WOLFSON
11	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
12 13	Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
14	100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
15	Counsel for Respondent
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
18	Supreme Court on the 2 day of 2014. Electronic Service of the
19	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
20	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO CATHERINE CONTEX MASTO HOWARD S. BROOKS
21	STEVEN S. OWENS I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
22	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
23	ANTHONY CASTANEDA
24	370 E. Harmon #H305
25	Las Vegas, NV 89169
26	BY Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office
27	