IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

- 11	:	
2		
3	ANTHONY CASTANEDA,) No. 64515
4	Appellant,	Electronically Filed Jun 03 2014 08:54 a.m.
5	${f v}_{f \cdot}$	Tracie K. Lindeman
6		Clerk of Supreme Court
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,))
8	Respondent.)
9	APPELL ANT'S APPE	ENDIX VOLUME VI PAGES 1092-1317
10	ATTELLANTSTRIE	
11	PHILIP J. KOHN	STEVE WOLFSON Clock County District Attorney
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
14	Attorney for Appellant	Attorney General
.15		Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16		Counsel for Respondent
17		1
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

INDEX ANTHONY CASTANEDA Case No. 64515

Case 110, 04313	PAGE NO.
Amended Criminal Complaint filed 04/11/2011	
Amended Information filed 02/05/2013	
Criminal Complaint filed 03/04/2011	002-006
Defendant's Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 01/28/2013	128-129
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 07/16/2013	249-158
Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 04/	/19/2013
District Court Minutes from 04/21/2011 through 10/30/2013	378-418
Filed Under Seal filed 03/04/2011	001
Information filed 04/20/2011	015-022
Instructions to the Jury filed 07/16/2013	261-287
Judgment of Conviction filed 12/31/2013	373-377
Justice Court minutes from 03/08/2011 through 04/14/2011	013-014
Memorandum Concerning Previously Filed Offer of Proof and Motion Defendant's Motion to Call and Expert Witness in Rebuttal filed 10/21/2013	to Reconsider 356-360
Motion for Discovery filed 02/05/2013	143-151
Motion for Own Recognizance Release, or, in the Alternative, for Setting of R filed 04/21/2011	easonable Bail
Motion to Continue Firm Trial Date filed 01/28/2013	125-127
Motion to Dismiss filed 07/12/2013	190-248
Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Due to Prosecutorial M 10/11/2013	isconduct filed 312-319
Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Due to Prosecutorial M 10/14/2013	
Motion to Strike Offer of Proof Regarding Defendant's Motion to Call a Com Rebut Detective Elhers' Surprise Trial Testimony as Defendant's Offer of Pr Supplements in the Record filed 10/18/2013	350-352
Motion to Vacate Counts Two through Fifteen filed 10/02/2013	293-302
Notice of Appeal filed 11/25/2013	361-370

i

1	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 11/03/2011 113-115
2	Offer of Proof Regarding Defendant's Motion to Call a Computer Expert to Rebut Detective Ehlers' Surprise Trial Testimony filed 10/07/2013
3	Order filed 02/20/2013
4	Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 07/16/2013
5	Probation Agreement and Rules Order Admitting Defendant to Probation and Fixing the Terms Thereof filed 12/26/2013
7	Reporter's Transcript of Continuation of Preliminary Hearing heard 04/14/2011 067-112
8	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 04/11/2011
9	Second Amended Information filed 07/08/2013
10	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 01/31/2013 130-132
11	State's Notice of Hearing Motion and Motion to Move the Trial Date filed 05/06/2013
12	State's Opposition to Defendant's Discovery Motion filed 02/08/2013
13 14	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Due to Prosecutorial Misconduct filed 10/18/2013
15	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Vacate Counts Two through Fifteen filed 10/16/2013
16	Stipulation and Order filed 07/10/2013
17 18	Stipulation and Order Regarding Composition and Dissemination of Child Pornographi Materials filed 07/08/2013184-185
19	Stipulation and Order Regarding Discovery of Child Pornographic Materials filed 01/31/2013
20	Stipulation and Order Regarding Reference to Bestiality filed 07/08/2013 186-187
21	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 11/02/2012 116-124
22	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 06/28/2013
23	Verdict filed 06/16/2013
24	Verdict filed 00/10/2013
25	
26	
27	
28	

TRANSCRIPTS

2 3	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Jury Trial-Day 1 Date of Hrg: 02/05/2013
5	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 1 Date of Hrg: 07/08/2013
6 7	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 2 Date of Hrg: 07/09/2013
8 9	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 3 Date of Hrg: 07/10/2013
10 11	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 4 Date of Hrg: 07/11/2013
12 13	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 5 Date of Hrg: 07/12/2013
14 15	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 6 Date of Hrg: 07/15/2013
16 17	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day 7 Date of Hrg: 07/16/2013
18 19	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 11/21/2011
20 21	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 11/19/2012
22 23	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 01/28/2013
24 25	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 04/22/2013
26 27	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 07/01/2013
28	

1	land of the state of the contract of the contr
2	Date of Hrg: 02/11/2013
3	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release/Setting or, in the Alternative, for Setting
4	Reasonable Bail
5	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript
6	Request: Quashing Outstanding Bench Warrant Date of Hrg: 05/01/2013
7	<u> </u>
8	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript State's Notice of Hearing Motion and Motion to Move the Trial Date Date of Hrg: 05/20/2013
9	
0	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Status Check: Defendant's Presence (01/25/2012—Status Check: Discovery/Reset Trial) Date of Hrg: 11/23/2011
1	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript
12	Status Check: Discovery/Reset Trial Date of Hrg: 01/25/2012
13	
14	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Status Check: Discovery/Reset Trial 451-453
15	Status Check: Discovery/Reset 1ffal 451-453 Date of Hrg: 02/01/2012
16	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript Status Check: Reset Trial Date Date of Hrg: 02/06/2013
17	Date of Hrg: 02/06/2013
18	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion to Vacate Counts Two through Fifteen/Sentencing Date of Hrg: 10/14/2013
19	\
20	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion/Sentencing
21	Defendant's Motion/Sentencing Date of Hrg: 10/28/2013
	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion/Sentencing 1671-1698
22	Defendant's Motion/Sentencing Date of Hrg: 10/30/2013
23	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
24	Arraignment 419-421 Date of Hrg: 04/21/2011
25	
26	
27	
28	
	II and the state of the state o

TRAN

Alun & Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C272657

DEPT NO. V

vs.

ANTHONY CASTANEDA,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 4

THURSDAY, JULY 11, 2013

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

ALEXANDER G. CHEN, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

MICHELLE ANTHONY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

P. DAVID WESTBROOK, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defender ERIKA D. BALLOU, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

79

PAUL EHLERS	
Direct Examination By Ms. Anthony - Continued	4
Cross-Examination By Mr. Westbrook	20
Redirect Examination By Ms. Anthony	91
Recross Examination By Mr. Westbrook	101
MICHAEL LANDEAU	
Direct Examination By Mr. Chen	139
Cross-Examination By Mr. Westbrook	153
Redirect Examination By Mr. Chen	157
Recross Examination By Mr. Westbrook	158
SHANNON TOOLEY	
Direct Examination By Mr. Chen	159
Cross-Examination By Mr. Westbrook	189
EXHIBITS	
STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:	PAGE
78	19

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, JULY 11, 2013, 9:30 A.M.

* * * *

1.2

(In the presence of the jury panel.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Good morning. How are you doing this morning? Is it raining out still? It was this morning when I got here at 7:00, but dashed in before I got wet. All right.

So this is a continuation of Case No. C272657, State of Nevada versus Anthony Castaneda. The record will reflect the presence of the Defendant, with his Counsel, the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case, all officers of the Court, all 12 members of the jury, and the two alternates.

Will Counsel so stipulate?

MR. WESTBROOK: We will, Judge.

MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, the first thing I'm going to do is read to you a stipulation that was reached by the parties and ordered by the Court.

STIPULATION It is hereby stipulated and agreed to by both parties that each of the images referred to in Counts 1 through 15 in the Second Amended Information consist of a film, photograph, or other visual presentation depicting a person under the age of 16 years as the subject of the sexual portrayal or engaging in or simulating or assisting others to engage in or simulate sexual conduct. The parties further

1	stipulate as to the names of the files and the description of
2	those files as listed in each count of the Second Amended
3	Information.
4	And that was ordered by the Court. All right,
5	State, would you like to recall your witness who is still on
6	the stand?
7	MS. ANTHONY: Yes. Detective Ehlers, please.
8	THE COURT: Good morning. Detective, you're still
9	under oath. You may be seated.
10	You may proceed.
11	PAUL EHLERS, STATE'S EVIDENCE, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
13	BY MS. ANTHONY:
14	Q Good morning, Detective Ehlers. Where we left
15	off yesterday, we were going through the images that you found
16	on the HP laptop. I'd like to back up a moment and get some
17	information regarding that HP laptop. And do you want to go
18	ahead and get your computer out and running if you need it?
19	A If I could.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	BY THE COURT:
22 .	Q So you can get that going and I can ask you some
23	questions so I can start.
24	A I believe I'm ready.
25	Q Are we ready? Okay. Let's talk about the HP

1	laptop.	You f	Forensically analyzed that laptop?
2.		A	Yes.
3		Q	And did you use the same program as you used
4	with the	shutt	tle analyzing the shuttle computer?
5		A	I did.
6		Q	And did you use the write block program as well?
7		A	Yes, I did.
8		Q	Or device?
9		A	Yes.
10		Q	When you use that write block program and the
11	do you u	se FT	K?
12		Α	That is correct.
13		Q	Okay. And when you use both of those, all of
14	the information we went through yesterday, the written date,		
15	the crea	ted d	ate, the last access date, that information
16	doesn't	chang	e because you use that write block program,
17	correct?)	
18		A	That is correct.
19		Q	Okay. Did you also determine who the registered
20	owner of	: that	HP laptop was?
21		А	I did.
22		Q	And who was that?
23		A	It was registered to Tony Castaneda.
24		Q	The same owner as the shuttle computer?

06169|7

so I took it along with eight other items, which included the shuttle and the laptop as well, back to my office to conduct a forensics exam.

Q And you obtained them from the evidence vault, all of these items?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. Let's specifically talk about this USB drive, and showing you State's Exhibit 16. On this USB drive there's also a folder that's behind that USB drive. Can you describe what that folder is?

A The folder depicted right here is an actual cover, it's an envelope; and this is our police department's evidence chain or formally placed on when we impound evidence.

Q And when you go through the — the file, or this evidence folder here, each time that it's taken out of the evidence vault it's signed and sealed?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. So you would have done that when you — you would have taken it out, signed and sealed it, and placed it back in the evidence vault when you were done with the item?

A That is correct.

Q And this one is a photograph of a USB stick prior to you getting it, correct?

A Or as I got it then, initially taken. I can't

1.7

electronic crimes task force. This is a separate kind of cordoned off room within inside that actual office space building and only access is granted to the forensics examiners that work in that lab.

Additionally, then, when we're not working with the evidence, as such we'll bring it to our desk working on it with our computer systems, when we're done or over the weekend or it's not physically in our control, then it would additionally be locked into an evidence room again that only has access to the personnel. Secret Service has their own.

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department has their own. We only have access — only five people have access from the Metro side that are working there to gain access into that — the secondary vault.

Q Did you do comparisons between this USB stick, the shuttle, and the HP computer? I think that's a bad question. Let me ask a better question.

A Okay.

Q This USB stick, you did some forensic analysis on it?

A I did.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$ Okay. And when you did that forensic analysis, what were you trying to determine?

A At that point I was trying to determine if or not I could show any linkage or if I could determine whether

7 8

that USB stick had been placed into either one of the computers after finding these common files within these three items.

- Q And were you able to determine any linkage between this USB stick and the shuttle computer?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q And what did you determine?

A I was able to determine that it had been inserted at least one time. We're only given or able to be shown the last insertion date, or the last connection date. It could have been a hundred times before that, a thousand times, or this could have been the only time. But I am able to determine that at least it was and I'm given the last access time that it was actually inserted into it.

And this is done by matching, again, kind of a digital fingerprinting-type thing going through registry files and being able to determine that this [inaudible] has its own unique number and being able to match up through parts of the registry to show that certain devices were mounted and connected to, which is going to give a parent [sic] prefix ID specific to matching up that item to that machine.

- Q And so this USB stick was matched up forensically and it was inserted into this shuttle computer?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q What date did that happen?

the laptop, did you look at the file structure of each of those items?

A I did.

Q And were you able to determine this from the file structure of each of those that they had the same or similar file structure?

A Yes, very similar. Exact same tree almost, just a few files may have been different; but otherwise it was almost like a duplicate.

Q And when you say "same tree," what do you mean by same tree?

A Same tree, same folder structure, so as I think as we discussed a little bit yesterday, you're going to have maybe user or a downloads or an initial folder, and then you're going to have sub-folder categories down within that which are going to contain files, and this was the same.

Q All right. I'm going to show you what's been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 25. Hold on just a minute. All right. So State's Exhibit 25 shows the file structure, and this happens to be of the USB flash drive?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And on that USB flash drive we learned previously that the child pornography was all found under the adult folder girlpics?

A That is correct.

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

And when you said similar structure on each of Q these three devices, would this allow you to help explain to the jury what you meant by that?

Exactly. As you can see here when I say the C drive, so this would be the base or the actual storage device, the hard drive or the flash drive memory. As we go down then we see to the left, you can see folder area right here, and these are going to contain the folder structures, and then the sub-folders that are located underneath those. So when I say "tree," this is a forensic term that we use to showing that type of view.

Based on your analysis of these three items, the USB, the shuttle, and the HP laptop, could you determine if this was -- these items were transferred all at one time or if they were put on each of these devices separately?

I -- I think I understand. Is the question asked: Were they all occurring at the same time within all three devices?

Sorry. Bad question. So here's the diagram that we've been working with, the list, and we've talked about these images in detail when they were placed on the -- the items. And my question is: Basically, the shuttle laptop -- let's talk about the shuttle. Can you determine if all of those were placed on at the exact same time or not, each of these items charged in Items 1 through 15? What is

your opinion as to that?

A I-I- as far as the created date, as I remember, they were all the exact same, and that would be indicative that they were all placed on that same date or at once possibly, or they were first introduced into that operating system at that time.

- Q And that date was December 8 --
- A I believe it was December 10 --
- Q December 10, 2008?
- A -- 2008, correct.
- Q And that was the same date as what happened to that computer?

A That was the same date as the install date of that operating system from that XP eventually upgraded to the Service Pack 3; so that XP was placed into service or installed on that date. These files also show to be on as of that date additionally. Possibly as an explanation on that one is when you install a new operating system and you request to bring all of your old stuff into your new stuff, it will show a new created date because it's being placed into that new operating system hierarchy.

- Q Okay. The HP laptop, were all of the dates on the created dates of all those images the exact same date?
 - A I believe they were.
 - Q Would looking at your report help refresh your

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

25

(Off-record bench conference.)

THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court watched while she was doing it and she did it in front of the jury and it was from the testimony, so it will be admitted.

MR. WESTBROOK: I'd like to make a record that during part of her writing down she had a menu on the screen. I don't know — this wouldn't be part of the record probably. I don't think it would show up on JAVS. She had a menu on part of the screen that was blocking the screen. I'd also like to note for the record that we appear to have a 42-inch monitor, which is approximately 20 feet away from the bench and about 30 feet away from myself, and I don't think that anyone could look at that monitor and see exactly what was written and whether or not it was accurate during the course of that long testimony yesterday. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And the Court will note that the Court has a monitor and that I watched while the — the exhibit was being created and so I know it was accurate from the testimony.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that's why I'm admitting it.

(State's Exhibit 78 admitted.)

 ${
m MR.}$ WESTBROOK: Of course, I don't have that technology.

MS. ANTHONY: And during — just to address the menu issue, we stopped the testimony during the menu issue. My

ļ!	
1	A It can, yes.
2	Q It can; but it doesn't mean it by definition,
3	does it? If you see an access date, it doesn't mean the file
4	was opened, does it?
5	A It could.
6	Q Okay. If you see an access date, it could mean
7	something other than the file was open, doesn't it?
8.	A It could.
9	Q Okay. It could mean a lot of things, couldn't
10	it?
11	A A few things.
12	Q Let's go over the list, shall we? It could mean
13	that it was saved, right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Could it mean that it was moved?
16	A Yes.
17	Q More importantly, could it mean that some other
18	program accessed it?
19	A Yes.
20	Q In an automated fashion, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Like a virus scanner, for example?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. Or a program that catalogs images, for
25	example, Windows Media Player, right?
	II

hits of possible viruses or any known viruses from it's

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

24

signature list, which may differ from company to company or product to product.

And if it scans a file like, for example, a jpg, which is a photograph file, right?

> Α Yes.

Jpg is a photograph file, if it scans a file it 0 will change the access date, won't it?

Not all security programs change the access dates.

> Can you tell me one that doesn't? 0

Offhand I -- I don't know, and the reason I say Α that it's a myriad of difference of, one, the file system that's in place on the operating system, the security program system, so that software product in and of itself, what it's going to be doing, and again then what settings have been set up as far as scanning or checking additionally whether or not it may change it. So I don't know specifically what each and every one of those do. I do know that there are some that can change it, but not all; and that is from my experience in doing forensics as well as what I've learned from classes as well as community boards interacting with other investigators across the world.

File cataloging program, you know that has to change the access date, right? It's job is to catalog files, cataloging is access, it has to change the access date, right?

You're a detective?

Yes.

Α

Q

23

24

25

right?

1	A Yes.
2	Q They don't just hand out the gold badge to
3	anybody; you have to do a lot of training, right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. One of the things you were trained in
6	doing was keeping meticulous records in reports, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	${\tt Q}$ And yet you have no record in this case of doing
9	a virus scan on this computer, right?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q And you have no record in this case of
12	determining whether or not there was security software
13	installed, do you?
14	A Written record, no.
15	Q Okay. And you have no record, even though you
16	just testified to it, of checking the security software to
17	make sure that it was updated, do you?
18	A Written record in written form, no.
19	Q Okay. But you're saying you remember going
20	through the security software and checking the virus
21	definitions and seeing that they were updated, right?
22	A That it did contain security software programs
23	and that they had been updated and run; but not exactly of
24	which version at that time and which definitions that they
٥٦	rere incorporated into that program, no, I didn't.

1	Q So you don't know whether the virus definitions
2	were updated, do you?
3	A No, I don't.
4	Q Okay. And you don't even know the name of the
5	program, do you?
6	A I do recall the programs on these two computers.
7	Q What are they?
8	A On the shuttle desktop there was a Semantic
9	Security Suite and on the laptop there was a Norton Anti-virus
10	Program. Additionally, I did recall seeing Spybot, which is a
11	search and destroy program, security-type program also.
12	Q You didn't write that down anywhere, did you?
13	A I didn't bookmark those specifically; but I do
14	recall seeing them and whether or not they're somewhere in the
15	digital report, they may be. Offhand right now I don't know.
16	Q Would it surprise you to learn that he actually
17	had AGV free installed, a free version of the AVG virus
18	software?
19	MS. ANTHONY: And, Your Honor, I'm going to object.
20	There's no foundation for that.
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	MS. ANTHONY: And motion to strike.
23	MR. WESTBROOK: I'm asking if he was surprised.
24	THE COURT: It's based upon facts not in evidence, so
25	Till grant the motion to strike. The jury will disregard

it's merely a question, so you're to disregard any and not try to guess at a response until you lay an adequate foundation.

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

Q So your recollection of three years ago, Spybot, Norton, Semantic?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Why is it important to update your virus — let's start even more broad than that. What are virus definitions?

A Well, the virus definitions — to explain it commonly would be is what's known at that time of known viruses or exploits that have been uncovered or produced or identified by security companies. And so if there was a virus or an exploit that's been known, let's just say one that can attack Microsoft Word, then there's usually going to be a patch in for that to fix that direct product because the virus is going in and finding ways to maybe get into a computer system and exploit it in such manner, way or form. So the definitions are usually going to identify those and have patches then with the security product to block that path of — of the files that they know up to that point of being malicious.

Q Why is it important to update your virus definitions?

A Because everyday new viruses are coming.

1	Q Every hour, right?
2	A Possibly, yes.
3	Q In fact, your software on your computer is
4	updated every half an hour; is it not?
5	A No. In general, ours usually sometimes aren't
6	even running virus scanners or virus software because we're
7	not connected to the Internet insuring that our forensic
8	machines can't get exploited.
9	Q What about the Internet-connected ones though?
10	A There's no set regulation. We do, as in
11	general, at least for our unit, any laptops that we're going
12	to use we employ security products and can insure that it is
13	updated.
14	Q Do you have a different computer or computer
15	system than Detective Ramirez? Obviously you have your own
16	computer; but is he operating different software with
17,	different protocols than yours?
18	A Yes.
19	Q It is. All right. If someone has a product
20	like Norton, that's a commercial product, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Semantic, it makes Norton; does it not?
23	A I believe so, yes.
24	Q And you have to actually pay for this program
25	with money, right?

1	A Depending. Some have three versions which may
2	not be fully capable, and some others have a pay for them.
3	Q To get new virus definitions you have to have a
4	contract with Norton, you have to give them money, right?
5	A I don't know.
6	Q Okay. You don't know whether there was a
7	contract with Norton on this computer that updated the virus
8	protection, do you?
9	A No, I don't.
10	Q Okay. Now we know that you didn't search for a
11	malicious virus on the computer, but you said you saw no sign
12 .	of one, right?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Those signs are not always visible to human
15	beings, are they?
16	A No.
17	Q That's why virus software exists, isn't it?
18	A Excuse me.
19	Q That's why virus software exists, so it can do
20	the jobs we can't, right? One of the reasons?
21	A I don't quite understand or follow the jobs that
22	we did.
23	Q Okay. As far as policing your computer for a
24	virus, it would be very difficult every day to look through
25	your registry and try to find some textual or — or

1	file-rela	ated cl	ue that there's a virus on your computer,
2	right?		
3		Α (Correct.
4		Q :	It would be your full-time job?
5		A V	Without security software, which is the reason
6	that you	use it	t, yes, it would be.
7		Q	Thus we have security software?
8		A	Correct.
9		Q (Okay. Do you know if there was a virus on the
10	computer	in 20	08 when you allege that these files were put on
11	the comp	uter i	n the first place? And when I say "the
12	computer	" I'm	talking about both computers, the desktop and
13	the lapt	op?	
14		A	No, I do not know.
15		Q	Okay. Cleaning a virus is not always an easy
16	process,	is it	?
17		A	Depends on the virus.
18		Q	Right. Some of them are simple and known,
19	right?		
20		A	Correct.
21		Q	In fact, a lot of those viruses are what?
22	Marketir	ng vir	ises, does that make any sense?
23		A	I guess for pop-up-type, logware-type stuff,
24	yes, it	could	be.
25		Q	Okay. Or like a malware, is that a term you're

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α Yes.

Could you tell me what malware is -- or I'm Tell the jury what malware is. Me too. sorry.

Well, these are all considered exploits. malware along with viruses are going to be code that may be able to manipulate or do certain things within a computer. -- I think that's the basic of it, to look at it of -- of what it can or can't it do and what the differences of these different types of exploits are; there's just a myriad of them of what you may want them to do. Some may be as simple as to throw a pop-up onto your screen.

Right.

Some may be simple to just log your IP address. It just depends; but usually it is some type of code that is written to do something.

And then sometimes viruses are really hard to get rid of, aren't they?

> Some could be, yes. Α

There's different classifications even of viruses, aren't there?

> Yes. Α

There's, for example, viruses, trojans, and worms, oh my. Can you tell me the difference between those three?

1	A Specifically, no.
2	Q Okay. A trojan, do you know what that does?
3	A It could do certain different things as a
4	classification; again, a myriad. A trojan generally as it's
5	categorized by most of the security firms would be a — pretty
6	much a back — back door exploit or something that's going to
7	allow access to that computer.
8	Q Okay. Named after the trojan horse, wasn't it?
9	A Correct.
.0	Q Because it looked like something that it's not?
.1	A Correct.
2	Q When you get a virus that's difficult to clean,
.3	it's not just a push-a-button-make-it-disappear process, is
4	it?
.5	A I — I would say it would depend.
.6	Q Okay. Let's say it's the most difficult virus
.7	you've ever faced. It's not just push one button and make it
.8	make it go away, right?
.9	A Correct.
20	Q You have to go into the registry and clean
21	things up manually sometimes, right?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. Now the registry is sort of the internal
24	code in your computer that if most people saw it would just
25	probably be an unintelligible list of of numbers and

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

37

1	example?
2	A Am I understanding that a virus could place an
3	image file or is an image file?
4	Q Yeah. That's one of those many files of ours
5	placed in our computer is an image file?
6	A It could place one, yes.
7	Q Okay. So if you had a virus — could have been
8	years ago and you got rid of it, there still could be some
9	remnants left behind from the virus, couldn't there?
10	A I I remnants, pieces of code possibly
11	written in somewhere.
12	Q Files, code, markers, other programs even. You
13	got rid of the virus; but there could still be things that the
14	virus delivered on your computer that you didn't get rid of,
15	correct?
16	A Okay. I would say correct.
17	Q All right.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Westbrook, we're going to have the
19	jury stand up and stretch. We have some nodders. Stand up.
20	I'm seeing heavy lids and head nods. Got to pay attention.
21	Anybody need any water or anything like that? All right.
22	Everybody sit down. Mr. Westbrook, sorry.

files can carry that, right? For example, a trojan could be

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

23

24

25

One more thing about trojans, different types of

1	inside a Word document, right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And it could be inside really any executable
4	file like a game program or something, right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Okay. And it could be stuck inside a zip
7	directory, which is, you know, a compressed file?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Correct? Okay. I want to go back to some of
10	the information that you didn't discuss with opposing counsel
11	on direct. You you didn't mention anything about access
12	times on that sheet that was being written up here on the
13	Elmo, did you? Just dates.
14	A I don't recall. On the access
15	MS. ANTHONY: Objection, Your Honor. I'll withdraw
16	it.
17	MR. WESTBROOK: Can I see the sheet? I don't have
18	my monitor was not working and I was way far away from it so I
19	never actually saw what she was writing. Could I see it?
20	THE COURT: There are no access times written on the
21	sheet.
22	MR. WESTBROOK: No access time.
23	THE COURT: There was testimony about different
24	times.
25	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

Q Let's talk a little bit about access times. If you — if you have an automated process, and let's say because you said it was reasonable and possible that an automated process can create an access log in a file, okay? We'll start from there. You have an automated program that's creating an access log on a file, okay? Sometimes it will get three or four files at once, right?

 ${\tt A}$ I — depending what the program is scheduled to do or what files, it may touch some, it may not touch others. I don't know.

Q Right. This is possible, though, correct? I'm asking if it's possible.

A If it's possible — to understand the question — is it possible if a program can change access times in a — in a group?

O Yeah.

A Is that what the question was?

Q What I'm saying is if you have a program like a virus scanner and it's going around and it's scanning your files, sometimes it will scan every single file in a very organized fashion and sometimes it will jump around, is that correct, not hitting every file?

A I — I would say that's up to the program whether — whether — how it actually is going to categorize

1	or what it's going to scan.
2	Q Correct. And it's possible depending on the
3	program, right?
4	A That it's going to jump around?
5	Q Yeah.
6	A I don't know.
7	Q Detective Ramirez said that it was.
8	A I'm not Detective Ramirez.
9	Q Obviously.
LO	MS. ANTHONY: Objection, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Counsel, I asked you not to make those
12	kind of comments.
13	MR. WESTBROOK: I apologize, Your Honor. That was
14	out of line.
15	THE COURT: Please don't make me
16	MR. WESTBROOK: I retract that. Okay.
17	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
18	Q Let me ask you a different question. When a
19	computer is looking at a file, it's possible for that computer
20	program to look at it for a long period of time or really
21	short period of time, right?
22	A I'm I'm not quite understanding. Can a
23	program look at a file for a long period or a short period of
24	time?

Yeah.

Q

1	A I guess it could. It could be programmed to do
2	that.
3	Q Okay. Is it possible — strike that. Let's
4	talk about the times that were left off the sheet. On
5	3/24/2010 the file — you had file access dates and — and I
6	think — if I could see — could I see that exhibit? Is that
7	possible, since we're going to be [inaudible] to the jury I'd
8	like to use it.
9	I think on 3/24/2010 you had file access dates for
10	everything except for new-22 and new-38.jpg; is that accurate?
11	MS. BALLOU: Your Honor, Court's indulgence.
12	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
13	Q And to be more specific, I think it's the
14	shuttle computer that I'm talking about.
15	THE COURT: What was the question?
16	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
17	Q 3/24/2010 —
18	MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence. I'm sorry.
19	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
20	Q The laptop on 3/24/2010, did you have access
21	dates for all the files, I believe, except for new-22 and
22	new-38.jpg?
23	A Okay. So on the laptop?
24	Q On the laptop. I'm sorry. I got it confused.
25	On the laptop.

1	MS. ANTHONY: Objection, Your Honor. "Part of this
2	list?" There's no dates and times on there.
3	BY MR, WESTBROOK:
4	Q You weren't
5	A Correct.
6	THE COURT: All right. What the objection? It's
7	irrelevant? I mean
8	MS. ANTHONY: He says it's misleading. His
9	question is misleading. He says, And that's not part of this
10	list. There's no dates or times on that list.
11	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
12	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
13	Q When we were going through the list
14	THE COURT: Wait.
15	MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry. You're right. Need a
16	ruling. Sorry.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I guess that's a correct
18	statement. I'm more concerned with the question that he
19	didn't bring it out on direct. I mean, it's — he only can
20	answer the questions.
21	MR. WESTBROOK: That's absolutely right.
22	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
23	Q You weren't asked that question by the State,
24	correct?
25	A On those access dates or yes, [inaudible].

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 44

1	Q The State is alleging that my client is guilty
2	and they didn't ask you about the access times?
3	MS. ANTHONY: Objection, Your Honor. It's
4	argumentative.
5	THE COURT: Overruled.
6	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
7	Q They didn't — they're trying to prove that my
8	client is guilty and they did not ask you about the access
9	times which show that it's an automated process, did they?
10	A No.
11	Q Okay. Could you explain to me again what a
12	modified date is?
13	A A modified date is going to be when a file is
14	actually changed or something is differentiated within it.
15	Q Okay. And that can be an automated process too,
16	can't it?
17	A I mean, it could be. It could be scheduled to
18	be one, yes.
19	Q It could be an automated process that is in a
20	piece of software automatically that doesn't change unless you
21	change it, correct?
22	A If you could — if you could repeat that,
23	please?
24	Q Well, let me get it a little bit slower.
25	A Okay.

1	Q I just heard my own question in my own
2	[inaudible]. When you get a program, some of them have
3	processes and rules that they follow automatically, right?
4	You don't program them in; they're designed to do it, right?
5	A It's programmed to do it. But are you
6	physically doing it, is that what you're asking?
7	Q Yeah. What I'm saying is — I'm trying to break
8	it down a little bit. Sometimes when you buy a program there
9	are certain things that it's designed to do by default, right?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Okay. Unless you change the defaults, it does
12	what it's designed to do, correct?
13	A That would be correct.
14	Q Unless you studied it, you don't necessarily
15	know what it's going to do, right?
16	A That would be correct.
17	Q Okay. So on 8/11/07 at precisely 2:06 and 30
18	seconds a.m., new-35.jpg was modified, right?
19	A I I would have to refer to find that file
20	Q Take your time.
21	$_{ m A}$ — and see what it actually says.
22	Q I know it's a lot of material.
23	A That was new-35?
24	Q New-35.jpg on $11 - 8/11/07$, 2:06:30 a.m., and
25	it's on the shuttle. It's on the shuttle. Thank you.

is going to be when it's placed within them.

Q That makes the modified and created date sort of unreliable, doesn't it?

and I don't think the created date is unreliable, and I don't think any of the dates are — are specifically unreliable. It's — it's in context of how the file system works and how it places it. So to say that one created may be after a modified, well, that would explain it because that's not when it was — so when it was placed onto that file system, if it was changed and modified on that same day of that created date entered into there then it would be consistent with that date.

If it has a pre-dated one, maybe the question would be is: Well, how can you modify something if it wasn't even created yet? Because it's following or holding the attributes from that file coming into that environment or that system.

Q All right.

A So it -- it -- I can't guarantee that it was changed on there or not.

Q Well, let's go back to the times. We did new-35.jpg on the shuttle. We did new-35.jpg on the HP laptop. Going back to the shuttle, new-33.jpg, 8/11/07, 2:06 and 30 seconds, the exact same second as new-35.jpg; do you see that in there?

A I don't. If you could go over it again?

Q Sure.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1	A I I'm not quite understanding if the question
2	is: Why may some of these files be close in time?
3	Q My question is: Is it true that those two files
4	were modified at the exact same second, new-35.jpg and
5	new-33.jpg? That's my only question.
6	THE COURT: On the same computer?
7	MR. WESTBROOK: Yes, on the shuttle.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. WESTBROOK: Two files, the exact same second,
10	modified.
11	THE WITNESS: Again, which files, please?
12	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
13	Q New-35.jpg, the one you've already searched and
14	confirmed, and new-33.jpg.
15	A Yes. If you're asking — to answer that
16	question, yes, they have the same modified date and time.
17	Q Okay. And then just for one more, let's go back
18	to the laptop. New-33.jpg, modified 8/11/07, 2:06 and 28
19	seconds.
20	A That is correct.
21	Q All right. Four files, two computers, two
22	seconds, two files, exactly the same time, correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q The fact is these are being automatically
25	manipulated by some software, right?

1	A That doesn't by those times and being that
2	way, no, that doesn't indicate that to me.
3	Q You think a person is doing that?
4	A Possibly. I don't know.
5	Q You don't know. You don't know, and you don't
6	know if anyone has opened any of these file, do you?
7	A I — I would have to disagree with that and —
8	and I would say that there is indications that files were
9	accessed and interaction with them were done. And I would say
10	that because of the few files that were found in unallocated
11	space that were deleted; there would have to be interaction
12	placing them there.
13	Q Oh, you think the files were deleted? You think
14	the files were deleted; is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. Are these your carved files that you say
17	are deleted?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. You know that you don't get a carved file
20	from deletion, right? It's not even true.
21	A Excuse me?
22	Q Is your theory that a carved file is created
23	because of a deletion?
24	A A deleted graphic file can be carved, yes.
25	Q Okay. It can also be a partial download, right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

(Off-record bench conference.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we have to excuse you for a brief while. Would you like to roam the hall? Okay. All right. That's fine. We're going to take a 15-minute recess.

During this recess it is your duty not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with this trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until 11:00.

(Jury recessed at 10:45 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect we're outside the presence of the jury. The witness is still on the stand. All right.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, there was an objection just now, I'm not sure what the objection really was to; but I think that the concern of the State is that in their opinion there is some report out there where this witness has done —

MS. ANTHONY: I actually don't want Mr. Westbrook talking for me.

MR. WESTBROOK: I'm repeating what was said from my perspective at the bench since it's not recorded. I will make my record and then I will welcome the State to make their record.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. They were concerned it seemed because they thought that there was a very complete report that included a specific analysis of whether or not these carved files were partial downloads or deletions, what the difference is between the two of them, and the process used. I'm saying that that does not exist, and that analysis was never done, and that the witness is guessing on the stand in a way that helps the State. That is what I'm trying to get out.

It's classic bias and impeachment evidence and I should be allowed to do it, and it has nothing to do with all of the other information that might be in the report, much of what has been excluded from this trial. That's my record.

MS. ANTHONY: Your Honor, if I may. Mr. Westbrook has completely misunderstood or misstated what my whole objection was about. My objection was about he asked this witness — he holds up the file, You have not read in the report, You've not done this analysis. This witness doesn't know what he's holding up and his question was completely misleading to the detective.

He did do a report, and when I had him on direct I

asked him each and every time, I put down on that chart regarding those images and there are several images that are carved; and then I asked him about the digital fingerprint of them. And he said, Yes, they matched, and we put them on the chart.

So now Mr. Westbrook is trying to say that he's making it up right now, that there's not a report about it.

Mr. Westbrook has the report that Mr. Ehlers — or I'm sorry — Detective Ehlers testified from. He has it over there and it's exactly what he used to testify from. That's my point. I don't want this detective to be tricked, and I believe that that's what Mr. Westbrook's trying to do about these reports. It's not that he's making it up and he can't just hold things up and say you've never done this.

THE COURT: All right. So, Detective, did your report, in fact, document that both the — the one image and then the — basically duplicate carved image that you found have the same digital —

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is contained in the report,
Your Honor. The problem to expediently pull all of that
information out now would be very difficult for me. Most of
the reason is there's 15 files out of how many that were
initially contained within this report. So, in all honesty,
yes, that information is in the report. To pull each one of
those files out now specifically from that report, which

contains all — everything else, is going to take a long time.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, that actually wasn't my specific question. May I voir dire the witness? Because I feel that maybe I wasn't being clear enough.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WESTBROOK: Did you — when you looked at the files in question, which would be the carved files that you call them, did you do an analysis to determine whether they were partial downloads or whether they were deleted?

THE WITNESS: No; but I wouldn't do that.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. You testified today that they were deleted, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. WESTBROOK: But you don't know that because you didn't do the analysis, correct?

THE WITNESS: Well, there's not an analysis to be done to necessarily see if it can be deleted. That is a common — it — that is indicative that if that file is in this type of space then it was deleted. It couldn't have gotten there any other way except being placed in there. So, yes, that is what I believe occurred, yes, that was —

MR. WESTBROOK: Detective, it wasn't in a file.

THE WITNESS: There's not a --

MR. WESTBROOK: It wasn't in a file, was it? You said — you said, If it's in a file. This is on the record I

MS. ANTHONY: Your Honor, I'm really going to --

1	THE COURT: That's argumentative.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: All right.
3	THE COURT: And, you know, I'm getting it.
4	MS. ANTHONY: I'm getting it.
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Apologies, this is incorrect
6	information, and the jury will be mislead by this information.
7	THE COURT: Well
8	MR. WESTBROOK: And, furthermore, he just admitted he
9	never did an analysis to determine whether they were partial
10	downloads or deletions.
11	MS. ANTHONY: But he said he didn't have to, and this
12	is the problem, and you can't just argue with him because you
13	don't like his answer, Westbrook.
14	MR. WESTBROOK: All right.
15	MS. ANTHONY: You can't.
16	MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I would like permission
17	to call
18	THE COURT: That's Mr., Mr. Westbrook.
19	MR. WESTBROOK: a rebuttal witness in this case.
20	I want to talk to you about it today because we'll obviously
21	have to go into tomorrow or possibly Monday. I think what
22	this witness is testifying to is not what was in any of his
23	reports, so I couldn't possibly have been prepared for it
24	because, again, this idea that this was the fact that there
25	were carved files was in the report. The idea that he's going

1	to sit up on the stand and say to a jury that he knows they
2	were deleted is complete bollocks, all right?
3	THE COURT: Well, okay. Wait.
4	MR. WESTBROOK: It is not true
5	THE COURT: Wait, wait.
6	MR. WESTBROOK: and I have an expert or I will
7	have an expert
8	THE COURT: Well, fine.
9	MR. WESTBROOK: that will be able to say that.
10	THE COURT: Counsel, if you have an expert witness
11	that has been noticed
12	MR. WESTBROOK: I have him.
13	THE COURT: that you're going to put on, that's in
14	your case. This is not rebuttal. You haven't put on a case
15	yet. The State's not rested.
16	MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, the experts can be called
17	in I don't mean right now. I'm talking about in our case,
18	but there is no notice witness. I didn't think I would need a
19	witness because I thought that he would testify consistent
20	with his report, and this is not in his report.
21	MS. ANTHONY: And, Your Honor, if I could just make a
22	record regarding
23	THE COURT: You need to be
24	MS. ANTHONY: If I could just make a record regarding
25	the expert. They had an expert that went down to the forensic

9

1.0

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

lab and they went over all of this information. They had an expert. They didn't notice him. They went down there. They looked at all of this evidence and -- I don't believe this detective was present when it happened — but they had an expert that went down there and looked at this information. They didn't notice an expert. This isn't a continual: We'll bring an expert. They chose not to. I mean, this isn't even an issue.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, no expert has ever been confronted with this information because this information didn't exist until five minutes ago. This is not part of a report. These were never called deleted files in any report, and if it was, please show me the report.

MS. ANTHONY: I believe he even testified to it in the prelims.

THE COURT: His testimony is that these files were found in unallocated space. In his experience, and correct me if I'm wrong, in his experience and it is his belief that the only way it would have gotten there was by it being deleted; is that what you're saying? If that's wrong then correct me, please.

THE WITNESS: I -- I can't say that the only way it got there was it was deleted because it could be from a previous installation or previous owner or previous material if it's found in unallocated, just depending. Now in this --

As you put more information on a computer, it writes over this unallocated available space, and it starts rewriting over previous files that were deleted from previous operating systems, from previous owners, from all types of things. So my belief and conclusion is that these files were deleted files and that's where they've been recovered from.

And, yes, it is in the report that the MB5 [sic] would match or the digital fingerprint hashing of them would match up to the other files, which would show that they did exist on the operating system and they — they have been there, but you don't get it placed into a file. Once it's — it's deleted it does go to that area to view up and say, Now you can write over me, I no longer need you.

MR. WESTBROOK: And that's true, that's one of the possible explanations and it being a partial download is another possible explanation, right?

THE WITNESS: Could that file which has the same fingerprint match as the — as the ones currently also in other places on there, could that be a partial download? Yes, if it initially came as a possible download —

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. That's it.

THE COURT: Wait. Let him finish.

25

believe that -- Leon?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Leon.

MR. WESTBROOK: —— yeah, the person who was hired as an expert was asked any of these specific questions or even look at the preliminary hearing. So the fact that it might be in a preliminary hearing testimony, unless it was in a report, which I don't believe it was, then he wouldn't even have had access to it.

THE COURT: So?

2.4

MS. ANTHONY: You have access to it.

THE COURT: You have access. Your expert has access. You can give your expert anything you want.

MR. WESTBROOK: I understand. I understand, Your Honor; but I'm trying to correct the assumption that he looked at preliminary hearings. I don't even think they had been produced.

THE COURT: All right. So where are we? I mean, you're fine that you can use him, cross?

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, here's where we are, Your
Honor, and I intend to resume cross with just one question if
that's all right with everybody, and so it's not compound too.
My question I intend to ask will be: It is your belief that
these files were deleted, right?

THE WITNESS: The unallocated.

MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah, the — the carved — I'll be more specific, and tell me if I got — if I've got this right.

It is your belief that the carved files were deleted?

THE WITNESS: These carved files which were found in unallocated, then yes.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. But there are other explanations for how those files could have gotten on there other than deletion, right?

THE WITNESS: No. Probable, but there — there — just because it's in unallocated doesn't mean that it automatically was a personally deleted file.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, do you see the issue here? Because he's the one who came up with the explanation just a second ago and he didn't say "they weren't probable but." But when I ask him the exact same question, just echoing his own testimony to him, then he changes his answer. This is the big problem, and this is why I think I need to be able to call a rebuttal expert. I'm going to need to research this because he is giving us stuff that doesn't exist anywhere, we could not possibly prepared for, and we have a right to call a rebuttal expert, even if not noticed.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not seeing it at this point.

You had — you had your opportunity, you did have an expert
that I presume, you know, was requested, paid for, and you've
chosen not to put that person on and now you want to bring
somebody else.

MR. WESTBROOK: We didn't have an expert on this

MS. BALLOU: No.

THE COURT: -- somebody else was.

MR. WESTBROOK: Warren Geller [phonetic] was on the

case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BALLOU: No. The first time it was continued in

_

. _

we hadn't had.

time?

because I hadn't had contact with my client. You said we had to go anyway. And then when I did the file review with Ms. Anthony, we got that report that we didn't have before. I said that that was not Ms. Anthony's fault because she was, you know, she hadn't been the main person on it before and — and we hadn't had an opportunity to — because Officer Carpenter or Detective Carpenter's report was about something he allegedly overheard when my client was speaking to his son, so we needed to then speak to the son and do some other things, and we did also say something about getting an expert. But my recollection is that the main reason we continued the trial in February was because of the detective's report that

November with me was because I was new to the case; and then

February when we were supposed to go I had announced not ready

THE COURT: But then you did get an expert after that

MS. BALLOU: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ANTHONY: And when Mr. Westbrook is questioning Detective Ehlers, the problem that I see happens is that he asks general questions: It is possible, it is possible, it is possible. And, of course, the Detective says, Okay, yes, it is possible. But then when he asks him the question: In this case is that what happened? The detective says, No. And that's where the problem becomes with Mr. Westbrook because he doesn't like the answer and then he goes back and asks questions: But you just said that it's possible. He's like right, it didn't happen here.

THE COURT: Well then, then the proper objection is, you know, misstates the testimony. I mean, if he wants to ask general questions and, Is it possible, and you feel it's not relevant, then the proper objection is, you know: Objection, calls for speculation, it's irrelevant. But, I mean, you've got to make contemporaneous objections. It's not a matter of I — I'm going to say to him: You can't ask these questions. And if you feel it's confusing, you know, that he's confused the issue, then you need to come back on redirect.

MS. ANTHONY: I was just explaining what just happened right here; but, yes, I understand. Thank you.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, I — I see that too, that there's a difference between a general question in theory something could happen. Do you think it happened in this case? No, I don't. Why? Because, and he explains it. All

11	
1	right. Are we ready to
2	MR. WESTBROOK: I think we are, Judge. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: And, you know, I never made a record.
4	MS. ANTHONY: Dave, your jacket.
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Thanks.
6	THE COURT: The law clerk who is present with us, is
7	he what is it? Rule 49 certified?
8	MR. WESTBROOK: Are you? He's not — he's not doing
9	anything as far as witnesses.
10	THE COURT: Then he's supposed to be behind the bar.
11	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't realize
12	that, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Yeah. Only lawyers or people certified
14	are. I just assumed he was certified.
15	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah, I don't know whether he is or
16	not, Your Honor. It's a training program function.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Then he needs to be behind the
18	bar.
19	MR. WESTBROOK: My apologies. I didn't know the
20	rule.
21	THE COURT: That's okay. Are we ready to recommence?
22	MR. CHEN: Yes, Your Honor.
23	(Jury reconvened at 11:04 a.m.)
24	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The record
25	will reflect we are back within the presence of the jury.

- 11	
1	Will Counsel so stipulate?
2	MR. WESTBROOK: We will, Your Honor.
3	MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION, continued
5	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
6	Q Okay. I think that when we left I had asked you
7	whether or not you had done any specific analysis as to
8	whether or not the files that you think were deleted were
9	actually just partial downloads that failed at some point. Is
10	that is that how you recall where we left off?
11	A I do, yes.
12	Q Okay. The — what you're opining here is that
13	these files were deleted, right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. And we're only talking about, I guess,
16	the carved files, right?
17	A In specific — of these 15, then yes, I believe
18	there was two or three that were carved.
19	Q Okay. Were these files duplicates of other
20	files that were elsewhere on the computer?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Were they exact duplicates or were they a
23	different file format?
24	A I I know and just to clarify this, if I
25	could. When you say "exact duplicates" and are they the same

1	file format, yes, they are duplicates, the digital
2	fingerprints are the same. Are they the exact same file name
3	and structure? No. As an example, there was a few bmp's,
4	bitmap [phonetic], they're also a graphic file as well as a
5	jpg, if that answers
6	Q And these are specifically tiffs, right?
7	T-I-F-F.
8	A They could be. I'm not positive. I'd have to
9	look again.
LO	Q Could you look [inaudible] for me because that's
11	my recollection and I want to make sure it's right?
12	A This is on the carved unallocated ones
13	[inaudible].
14	Q That's right, carved and allocated. And for the
15	jury, a tiff file is another graphic file, correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. Is it fair to say that jpg files while
18	you're looking for that jpg files are small and really good
19	for the web, but tiff files tend to be much larger?
20	A I $$ I don't know that tiff files are much
21	larger.
22	Q Okay.
23	\mathtt{A} Of the three that I see from carved and
24	unallocated, they were all jpgs.
25	Q Okay. No tiffs or bitmaps?

Now forensically we're able to sometimes go in and look at this and see that area and say, Did it contain data from previous things that's not currently in the logical being used file system, operating system?

Q Okay.

A So as in this case that's where these three files were located in this unallocated area, which it means it's available to write to.

Q Okay. So if something is in an unallocated area, that means that the hard drive can write over it, it's not protected, right?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. So it's like if I've got a bike in my garage, I don't care about it anymore, I can put it out by my garbage can, put a sign on it that says, Free, and anybody can just take it; is that fair to say?

A I -- I don't see the relation to being --

Q I'm sorry to throw an analogy into this.

 ${\tt A}$ — as far as taking something away, I didn't follow that.

Q I apologize. I'll withdraw the question. I apologize for trying to throw an analogy into it. Okay.

It can be overwritten if it's in unallocated?

A That is correct. It is available to hold data storage, new information.

this point to relevance regarding virus programs that are out there and they need to be specific as to this computer and what — which computer he's talking about. Whether it happened on some virus system that's out there is irrelevant unless it happened here.

THE COURT: All right. Sustained. Let's narrow it to these computers.

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

Q You didn't record which specific virus program was on this computer, did you?

A I remember it, depending which computer you're asking about.

Q I'm talking about either computer, the shuttle or the laptop, did you record what specific virus program was on either of these two computers?

A I didn't write it down, no.

Q And you can't tell me right now that it was
Norton Professional, you can't tell me whether it was Norton
Network, you can't tell me whether it was Norton Freeware; you
can't tell me the specific program that was used, right?

A That is correct.

Q That's information you don't have and you don't know, correct?

A It -- it is within our images of -- of the evidence; but, no, I don't have it written out directly, no.

1	Q There's an image in the evidence that shows the
2	specific version of Norton Anti-virus that was on these
3	computers?
4	A Well, there's an image which shows everything of
5	the computer, everything that was on it, so, yes, that that
6	is information that is there.
7	Q All right. Do you have any doubt that there are
8	ways that you can set Norton Anti-virus to either quarantine
9	files or delete them?
10	A Specifically, no, because I don't use Norton;
11	but in general, yes, that is a practice of security files to
12	quarantine or delete, yes.
1.3	Q Okay. And you don't know whether or not that
1.4	happened here, right?
1.5	A In reference to these unallocated files?
16	Q Correct.
17	A In reference to that Norton program, no, I
18	don't.
19	Q You don't know. Okay.
20	MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.
21	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
22	Q Computer dates can be changed and modified,
23	can't they?
24	A Yes, they can. Are we talking in reference to
25	computer dates, file dates, just typically everything?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

File dates; yeah, everything. 0

File date specifically then, yes, they can also Α -- any computer date, I mean, just depending -- of all the dates that are in there, how computers work, yes, they can be changed.

Okay. Now I know that you looked at the dates 0 located - I'm sorry. Are computer clocks located in the bios, BIOS, bios; is that what it's called?

Yes.

Are there other places where computer clocks are 0 located on a Windows machine?

Well, it keeps track of several time zones in reference to different programs put times in differently, that's why we see UTC and conversions and things along this line. The basic operating system and the machine are on the bios, which is actually outside of the hardware to show the actual time frame of that machine. We then confirm that with the operating system the time that was set and insure that they are correlated at that time and that's what we can say was the time frame when we took the machine that it was set for. So, yes, the bios is initially how you set that time zone or time on your computer.

I know you looked at the bios and you determined that both the laptop and the shuttle were very close to Nevada meantime, within a few minutes, right?

1		A	Correct.
2	1	Q	Okay. You have no way of knowing whether they
	ware the		in 2008, do you?
3	were, the		
4		A	No.
5		Q	No. Or any other time before the time that you
6	actually	view	
7		A	Right. I mean, I can only verify the time when
8	I looked	at i	t at that time to say yes.
9		Q ,	Okay. This pornography was housed on a computer
10	network,	corr	ect?
11		А	Not that I know of, no.
12		Q	It was two computers that were networked; is
13	that more	e spe	cific?
14		A	I don't know if these computers were networked.
15		Q	You don't know that these computers were
16	networke	d?	
17		A	No.
18		Q	Do you know whether there was a wireless router?
19		А	I believe there was; but I didn't deal with that
20	informat	ion a	and that wouldn't have been my job at that scene,
21	no.		
22	-	Q	You're not familiar with the name Network
23	Master?		
24		A	No, I'm not.
25		Q	Okay. You know the computers were password
- 7		-	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	protected, right?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Okay. Password was dogcat123; does that sound	
4	familiar?	
5	A I don't know.	
6	Q You don't know the password?	
7	A No.	
8	Q How did you get in without the password?	
9	A Our software allows us.	
LO	Q Okay. Windows passwords are breakable?	
11	A All passwords are breakable, just depends how	
L2	strong they are.	
13	Q Okay. Did you ever go to the house in question	?
14	A I was there at the search warrant, yes.	
15	Q Okay. Did you see the password written down	
16	anywhere on the papers?	
17	A I didn't, but I wasn't looking.	
18	Q Okay. You weren't you weren't specifically	
19	looking for that?	
20	A Correct.	
21	Q Did you see the wireless router sitting right	
22	next to the computer?	
23	A I — I do remember seeing a router; but again,	
24	didn't take that information and that wasn't my function	
25	there.	

1	Q So I guess in reviewing this you also didn't
2	look you didn't search anything involving the access of the
3	router to the network because you didn't know there was a
4	network?
5	A That's not what I was tasked with. I initially
6	was tasked to look at Craig, the son's laptop, as I had
7	previously stated, so that was my function there
8	Q Okay.
9	A that day at that search warrant.
10	Q All right. This — this password, you know it
11	was password protected, just not about the network, right?
12	A I'm sorry?
13	Q I'm sorry. You know the computers, both of
14	them, the laptop and the shuttle, were password protected?
15	Whether or not there was a network, these individual computers
16	were password protected, right?
17	A Okay. Yes.
18	Q Okay. And they had an administrative password
19	under the Tony account, right?
20	A For the Tony account had password protection on
21	it? Is that the question?
22	Q Yes.
23	A Yes.
24	Q And it was an administrative password, right?
25	A Does are you asking if the Tony account had
	II

1	administrative rights on his account?
2	Q Privileges, yeah.
3	A Privileges which you can allow when you set that
4	up? Yes.
5	Q Okay. So you you have to have that level of
6	privilege in order to download files onto the computer, right?
7	A No, not necessarily no. In general, no.
8	Q Did you review any of the other accounts that
9	were on the computers?
.0	A I $$ I did look at a few of the other accounts,
ll	specifically as we're talking of the laptop and the shuttle in
12	reference to who those users were and some of the material
13	located within or the files located within those structures; I
14	did I did recall looking at those, yes.
15	Q And none of those other ones had download
16	privileges, did they?
17	A They would all have download privilege.
18	Q File installation, software installation
19	privileges?
20	A Yes, they could.
21	Q But you don't know one way or the other?
22	A I don't know whether they were admin accounts;
23	but you don't need to be an admin account to install software
24	onto a machine.
25	Q That's true, but you do have to have software

001172

1	can tell you whether a file was opened, right, or reviewed?
2	A No, there is software to be able to determine or
3	not if files have actually been viewed or open. There
4	there
5	Q Not in this case, though, right?
6	A No, no, in this case, no.
7	MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.
8	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
9	Q You mentioned that you searched Mr. Castaneda's
10	son's computer, right?
11	A Previewed it, yes.
12	Q Okay. Was that the Sony laptop, like a Sony
13	Vaio, does that ring a bell?
14	A I believe that's what it was, yes.
15	Q Okay. And that was searched, I guess, because
16	it was in the house, right?
17	A Yes. The search warrant directed to be able to
18	look at all computers, preview, and determine or not, yes.
19	Q Okay. I mean, you couldn't very well overlook a
20	computer in the house just because it belonged to somebody
21	else, right?
22	A I I in general I'm not quite
23	understanding. Did we look at all the computers? I don't
24	know. I know the computer I looked at at the scene.
25	Q Okay. Would it be normal police procedure in a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

as well as the one laptop that I recall personally from Mr.

left or -- or things like that. I know that they impounded

these eight items that I further investigated and did search

Castaneda's son.

1.

Q Okay. Did you search any computers belonging to either Michael Landeau or Tami Hines?

A Not that I recall, no. They weren't part of the eight evidence items that I examined, no.

- Q Okay. These files, these child pornography files, they've been around for many years, haven't they?
 - A These specific 15?
 - Q Yes.
 - A I don't know.
 - Q You don't know their origin?
- 12 A No, I don't.
 - Q You know they're on the FBI registry, right?
 - A I'm not sure what you mean by FBI registry.
 - Q Does the FBI keep track of child pornography files?

database of child porn. I know that the Department of Homeland Security, ICE, does have one as well as an organization called NcMec, which is utilized by FBI high—tech task forces, so to speak. So there are databases of child pornography photos, which are used for investigations to keep track of them. As a matter of fact, if in a case where we were to recover some and they weren't on that list, we would send them to those agencies to be added.

1	Q Do you recognize this report?
2	MS. ANTHONY: Counsel, what page?
3	MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry. Page 3 of 6. It's the
4	examiner's report.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
7	Q Okay. That's the same one you've got there?
8	A That is correct.
9	Q Okay. Great. I can just take mine and go back.
10	Is the serial number on there?
11	A For Item No. 8, which was that Imation USB flash
12	drive, yes.
13	Q Okay. Could you read the serial number?
14	A 2065, C as in Charlie, 9, B as in Baker, 48, D
15	as in David, 30AA, Adam Adam.
16	Q So you got the full serial number and the
17	company that manufactures it is Imation; is that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Did you ever call Imation to try to
20	figure out who the owner was?
21	A No.
22	Q Did you ever call Imation to find out what the
23	manufacture date was?
24	A No.
25	Q Do you know where this thumb drive came from?

A Specifically, no. I just -- I know where I retrieved it from and my contact with it from the evidence vault is where I first had contact with it. Previously to that I do not.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. And what's the magic button that makes that screen happen? That's not a question for you, sir, because I don't expect anybody no matter how much of an expert to be able to operate this. There we go. Thank you very much.

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

- Q This date up here that says "date prepared"?
- A Yes.
 - Q February 8, 2010?
- A Correct.
- Q What is that [inaudible]?
- A You would have to ask the person that filled it out. It appears to be Detective Tooley; but normally that will be the date that that item was that package was produced and that item was placed inside of it.
- Q Okay. And so for the record I might be referring to State's 16. Okay. So 2/8/10 is when this package was prepared, this envelope and everything was written on it?
 - A I don't know. I didn't prepare this package so.
 - Q Generally that's what that date means?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. I noticed at the bottom here that you
3	don't have a signature on the chain of custody?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q Why is that?
6	A We we won't sign that until we return the
7	material. We'll after we're completing, if we open an
8	evidence package, we'll do whatever we have to with that
9	actual item, evidence process, whatever it may be. Upon
10	completion and no longer needing the item, we'll reseal it
11	back in, then place our information there, and return it to
12	the evidence vault.
13	Q Okay. So when this picture was taken you hadn't
14	yet reviewed it, put it back in, sealed it and returned it; is
15	that fair to say?
16	A That is correct.
17	Q Okay. That makes sense.
18	MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence. Sorry to take so
19	long. For a case about computers I have a lot of papers.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Westbrook?
21	MR. WESTBROOK: Sorry, Your Honor. Just a few more
22	questions.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. WESTBROOK: I'm drowning in paper. May I
25	approach?

1	THE COURT: Oops. Almost a collision.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Almost. Sorry.
3	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
4	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
5	Q Have you seen this before?
6	A This is Detective Ramirez's.
7	Q Okay. Have you reviewed this?
8	A As in review, no. I've looked at it and seen
9	it, yes.
10	Q Okay. Do you know what it is?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What is it?
13	A This is files that were bookmarked from
14	Detective Ramirez's initial exam or looking at the USB device,
15	the thumb drive.
16	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. I'd like to mark this as
17	Defense next in order.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. WESTBROOK: I'm not going to move to admit it at
20	this time.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. WESTBROOK: But I'd like to publish.
23	THE COURT: No, you can't.
24	MS. ANTHONY: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
25	THE COURT: You can't publish something that's not

1	admitted.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: All right. Can I give you my copy
3	and I'll get my own?
4	THE WITNESS: Sure.
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Thanks.
6	MS. ANTHONY: And what is that again, Mr. Westbrook?
7	MR. WESTBROOK: It's Defense next in line. It's
8	we'll call it the thumb drive report of Detective Ramirez.
9	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
10	Q Directing your attention to Number 3. The file
11	name is EURO-001.jpg, correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Now according to this log it was last accessed
14	on 2/7/2010, right?
15	A That's what is written here, yes.
16	$_{ m Q}$ Okay. And it was last written on 2/7/2010,
17	right?
18	A That's the date, yes.
19	Q So written and accessed on that date?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Tell me again what what "written"
22	means.
23	A Well, written basically is we covered it
24	earlier is modified. It it's in this instance why
25	that may differ a little bit from the other ones that we had

1	seen is again because of the file system. It's a FAT32 file
2	system as opposed to the NTFS file system on the computer,
3	laptop, and the shuttle.
4	Q Okay.
5	A But that as is written is the same as in
6	modified.
7	Q Modified. Okay. So according to this, this
8	file was modified on 2/7/2010?
9	A Yes.
10	Q This thumb drive?
11	A To this report, that's what that's stating.
12	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. I'll pass.
13.	BY MS. ANTHONY:
14	Q Since you have the report
15	THE COURT: Redirect?
16	MS. ANTHONY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Thank you.
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. ANTHONY:
19	Q Since you have that report let me just ask you a
20	quick question about Number 3, which happens to be for the
21	jury State's Exhibit 12. We're talking about the shuttle
22	device. The last written was 2/7/2010 with a time of 10:03:54
23	p.m?
24	A Just clarification. This appears to be the
25	thumb drive.

1	Q That's what I meant. Thank you. Thumb drive.
2	A Okay. I'm sorry again. The Counselor, the
3	date?
4	Q I'm looking for the last-written date and I'd
5	like also to inform the jury of the time.
6	A Last-written date shows it's 2/7 of 2010 at
7	10:03:54 p.m. is what it states.
8	Q Okay. And on the same file, the file-created
9	date is what?
.0	A 11/25 of 2008.
.1	Q And that date tells you what, created date?
.2	A That was the date that file was placed onto that
.3	device or introduced into there.
.4	Q Okay. Defense counsel spent a lot of time
.5	asking questions about things that were possible. It's
.6	possible this, it's possible that. I want to ask you specific
.7	questions directed to the evidence in this case. So let's
.8	first talk about in this case how many hours have you spent
.9	investigating the shuttle, the USB drive, and the laptop
20	computer?
21	A I don't know.
22	Q All right. How many hours?
23	A I mean, on and off, working on other cases, I
24	mean several hours, actually days were spent in reference to
25	going through these, preparing this forensic report and

1	information on the shuttle device that it was accessing the
2	Worldwide Web?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And accessing certain Internet sites?
5	A Certain, yes.
6	Q Okay. And special search terms were placed into
7	those Internet websites?
8	A I looked and recalled and reported and pulled
9	out a few search terms that I did find and recover from the
10	Tony account, yes, that were included into my report.
11	Q Okay. Let's talk about those. So it was the
12	Tony account. What search term was used under the Tony
13	account?
14	MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, this is not responsive to
15	cross. We never discussed any of this.
16	THE COURT: Well, I — that's overruled. I think it
17	is relevant to what was asked on cross.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I found search terms "young",
19	Y-O-U-N-G, were entered in several sexual porn sites that were
20	visited.
21	BY MS. ANTHONY:
22	Q And what are those sites? Read them for the
23	jury.
24	A Fuq, F-U-Q, dot com; [Inaudible].com;
25	Videosbang.com; Porntsunami.com; Xvideos.com; X2movies.com;

date and the reason being is that file again maintains those attributes over, but it's created date is going to be when it's actually placed into that new environment or — or that computer.

So as an example, a file on a SD card of a picture is taken and put into your computer. Well, the date that that picture was taken could actually be its modified date, but yet it's a month later and now you're going to put it and store it on your computer. Well, you're going to have that modified date of when that picture was taken and you're going to have a created date of that day that you're placing it into that new storage system.

Q When Defense Counsel was asking you on cross, it appeared that you wanted to clarify regarding unallocated and carved space. You were trying to answer a question. So I just wanted to give you that opportunity now. Did you have some clarification regarding unallocated and carved space?

reference to — to those pictures that were carved. All of these images that were recovered from these devices, all graphics, are carved out. Again, as I think I stated earlier yesterday, was to carve that image out and pull it, it's going to look at the file signatures or headers, determine that it's a graphic file, and it's going to place it into this area that I've asked it to. The difference being — so there can be —

a carved image can be in, you know, your picture's folder or it could be on your desktop or it could be deleted or it could be from web pictures or wherever. So I didn't want to say that carved automatically meant that it was in an unallocated area.

We carve unallocated area to get material that is not part of the logical system out anymore, such as deleted pictures or previous material that was maybe on that hard drive, we're able to carve this unallocated space, again which is free spacing showing that it could be written to which no longer currently holding logical files or systems that you have up and running when you log in. I think that was the clarification I was just trying to understand and make.

Q And what — so then he was — Defense Counsel was asking some questions about carved and allocated.

Unallocated, when a carved image is in the unallocated space it has special meaning to you in this case, correct?

A Yes.

Q And what does it mean in this case?

A In this case it appears that this was a deleted picture that was able to be pulled back out from previous and restored, so to speak, which matched other [inaudible].

Q And if it was a deleted picture, what does that mean had to have been done to it?

A Well, if it's an unallocated and deleted

2

provide. And again, that's to base up and get these comparisons and digital fingerprints in case these files are recovered from later on other places. It's a database that stores this information.

Q Defense counsel asked you about the guest user account on the shuttle computer. Was that guest shuttle computer — guest account on the shuttle computer ever logged on?

- A I would have to check.
- Q Can you, please?
- A No, it was not logged into.
- Q In your opinion, are you able based on your training and experience, are you able to form an opinion as to how these images and I'm showing you State's Exhibit 78 how the images on the USB shuttle and HP, where they originated between these three devices and how or if they were transferred between them?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what is your opinion?
- A Well, it showed with with the USB and the linkage to be able to show as well as the created dates which are placed on these devices when those files are introduced into it, and it showed a correspondence, basically a path of the files were created on the laptop, the created dates accessed on the USB, and also showing reconnected to the

1	laptop went to the USB and then the created dates showing
2	eventually coming to the shuttle, which was the desktop, and
3	again, matching up that USB configuration and connection, so
4	that's what it showed.
5	MS. ANTHONY: Court's indulgence. I'll pass the
6	witness, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Recross?
8	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
10	Q You didn't find the search term "child" in
11	Google, did you?
12	A I'm sorry?
13	Q You did not find the search term "child" in
14	Google, did you?
15	A I I don't know specifically if Google; but I
16	did search for a few terms in Internet history; and again,
17	depending on whether using Internet Explorer, Google, Firefox,
18	or the myriad of Web browsers, I did not.
19	Q So you never found any evidence of a search for
20	the word "child"?
21	A No.
22	Q "Child pornography"?
23	A No.
24	Q "Prepubescent teens having sex"?
25	A I didn't search for that, so I don't know if it
	II

1	of America, is that accurate?
2	A I'm sorry?
3	Q Either Number 1 or Number 2 in the United States
4	of America?
5	A I don't know specifically.
6	Q You haven't researched any of that?
7	A No.
8	Q Okay.
9	MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.
10	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
11	Q Okay. It's still your theory that the files in
12	the unallocated space were deleted?
13	A That's what I believe, yes.
14	Q They can be deleted by an automated program,
15	they can be deleted by their being overwritten, and they can
16	be deleted by a user, correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. You don't know which of those processes
19	was used?
20	A Specifically, no.
21	Q Okay. And you, in particular, don't know
22	whether this man, Mr. Castaneda, himself manually deleted any
23	file on that drive, do you?
24	A Again, I would have to put him directly as
25	seeing him on the keyboard, no.

So lunch time, was an hour enough time for you to get lunch yesterday? No, actually the day before. That was fine? An hour? Okay. All right. So that will be it. So one o'clock.

We'll be in recess until 1:00 and during this recess it is your duty not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this trial until it is finally submitted to you. Until 1:00.

(Jury panel recessed at 11:58 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Record will reflect we're outside the presence of the jury and before the witness leaves the courtroom and courthouse. I want to establish the parameters whereby his subpoena would be extended because it's not going to be extended for very long.

MR. WESTBROOK: I understand, Your Honor, and I think given the — the time that we probably won't be able to close today, we'll close tomorrow morning. I know we can get to the other two witnesses because I assume that Landeau is not complicated, so we can get to the other two witnesses today. I assume that Shannon will take a little while.

MR. WESTBROOK: And, you know, what my request would be — I have no problem with him leaving — my request would be that if it turns out that I actually didn't need him in the case in chief, then I would ask for a continuance until he gets back, but, you know, we can cross that bridge when we come to it.

THE COURT: Well, did you subpoena him?

MR. WESTBROOK: Did we add him to our subpoena?

MS. BALLOU: [Inaudible.]

MR. WESTBROOK: Normally in courtrooms that I practice in — and again, I wasn't involved in the case from the beginning obviously — when someone is under subpoena from the State we just assume that all the State's subpoenaed witnesses are also our subpoenaed witnesses. If that's not the way it works in this Court, I apologize. That's how it's always worked in my practice in the Eighth Judicial District Court. But, no, we did not specifically subpoena him.

THE COURT: All right. So if Ms. Anthony has your telephone number so she can call you if we need you today or until noon tomorrow, then we'll contact you. It's possible that we could start earlier tomorrow although —

MR. WESTBROOK: Hey, did you hear that? It settled.

THE COURT: Not the whole case. I haven't even gotten to their motions yet.

MS. ANTHONY: My vacation tomorrow is gone.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
108

MR. WESTBROOK: Court preference.

to start, say, at 11:00.

THE COURT: All right. We'll play it by ear and see how you are, but this witness doesn't need to be concerned after noon. I don't want you to be stressing out that, Oh, my gosh. After — if we don't call you before noon, don't worry about it.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We can sometimes take witnesses out of order as well.

MR. WESTBROOK: I understand, Your Honor, and I guess if push came to shove — and again, my expectation of using him is low. I can't give you a percentage, but it's low at this point. But I also wouldn't be opposed to a phone call, if we could do that. I mean, I'm open to suggestions. I don't want to interrupt his trip and everything like that so.

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Court recessed at 12:04 p.m. until 1:03 p.m.)

(Out of the presence of the jury panel.)

THE COURT: All right. We are back on the record in State of Nevada versus Anthony Castaneda. The Defendant's present with his counsel. The Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case are present. The Clerk, the Court Recorder are present. Marshal is not present, nor is the jury or the alternates present.

Will Counsel so stipulate?

MR. WESTBROOK: We do, Judge.

THE COURT: Before we get to the motion, I want to bring something to Counsel's attention because I've been dealing with it now twice, but noticed it again. Juror No. 2, I believe it is, Mr. Stalling, he is sleeping. He has -- he keeps sleeping and I -- I keep stopping to try and -- but he's, you know, he's doing the full on -- the eyes closed completely, then the head bobbing, and --

MR. WESTBROOK: You know, I've seen him with his head down and his eyes closed. I haven't seen him actually sleeping.

> That's why I stopped both --THE COURT:

[Inaudible.] MR. WESTBROOK:

THE COURT: -- both of the times that I've stopped and said we're going to stretch, it was because I started seeing that; but I saw it again during your cross and I'm very concerned that he's not --

MR. WESTBROOK: Should we -- I mean, I -- I personally thought he was fine. I saw him putting his head down a bit, but then I also saw him looking and engaging and nodding his head, so I wouldn't be opposed to bringing him in and asking him some questions if you like, but I wouldn't dismiss him based on that.

MR. CHEN: Your Honor, we did see him either nodding off and/or with eyes closed seeming like it was difficult for him to have actually been paying attention to everything that we said or everything Mr. Westbrook said. So that would certainly be a State's concern in terms of both sides getting a fair jury, especially with two alternates and only one day probably of trial left to go.

THE COURT: Well, yeah, I was noticing the last time he was sleeping was through your cross, and also then when I went back to chambers my extern was saying — you know, because I'm not looking at them constantly, I'm taking notes, and my extern indicated that she had observed him sleeping as well. So that's my concern.

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, Your Honor --

THE COURT: So we -- I think that we need to bring him in.

MR. WESTBROOK: We could do that. I had another question, though, also about a juror. I was going to wait.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

didn't know if we were doing this now or if we were doing it later. But my concern was actually with Juror No. -- is this -- is this Seat No. 6?

THE MARSHAL: It is. Middle one top.

MR. WESTBROOK: This right here?

THE COURT: Six.

MR. WESTBROOK: Six? Okay. If I've got the seat it's the lady who said that she — she's Philippino and she has a problem with English during voir dire. I know I expressed some concern about that at the bench; and I get the distinct impression that she's so out of her depth as far as the language goes that she's not paying any attention and she's not listening. I saw her staring at the walls, staring — staring down at her lap, folding her hands, looking extremely frustrated. That's the one that I noticed.

I also noticed, obviously, Juror No. 2 putting his head down, even closing his eyes. I didn't notice him startling awake or anything like that. I never thought he was sleeping; but then, I guess, I was doing cross—examination so I wasn't looking at him. I was trying to figure out what I had written on my paper in front of me.

So that's the one that I noticed, and I noticed it several times; and any time the talk gets remotely technical, I just think she shuts down. She completely shuts down.

THE COURT: Well, I'm -- I'm noticing that with a lot

1.4

of the jurors; but that's — the concern of mine is when someone actually is falling asleep where they can't possibly be following what you're saying and the points you're making.

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, I wouldn't be opposed to bringing them both in and asking them a few questions.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not — I'm not concerned about — the other juror I have not seen sleeping at all, and I'm not going to start inquiring about, you know, are you understanding everything, are you enjoying this? No.

All I want to know is: Is Juror No. 2 sleeping?

That's to me the key, that if the juror is sleeping, then, he can't have been paying attention. He wouldn't have heard. I mean —

MR. WESTBROOK: I understand, Your Honor, but my — my concern is not that she's — whether she's enjoying herself. My concern is that she doesn't speak English well enough to follow these proceedings, that she speaks degalic [phonetic], that's my concern.

THE COURT: All right. No. I mean, I don't know how we — we covered that on voir dire. It appeared to the Court that she was speaking enough English. Whether she or any of these jurors are following all of the technical jargon, that's a whole different thing; but I don't think there's a problem with — with her English skills and I'm not going to start asking all the jurors whether they're following this trial.

But I do have a concern about a juror who is actually sleeping and I've seen that in the courtroom so.

MR. WESTBROOK: All right. Well then my official record is I would object.

THE COURT: To what?

MR. WESTBROOK: To — to not calling in the witness [sic] I designated. I think she has a language issue. I think it violates my client's Sixth Amendment rights and his due process rights to not call her in and — and have a brief evidentiary hearing regarding her understanding of the language — not of the technical terms — of — of the English language, and that's it. That's my record.

THE COURT: And but — but what basis do you have for that other than speculation? I mean, you had the opportunity to voir dire her. You asked her questions, so did the State, so did the Court. She answered them fully. You passed her for cause and now while we're three days into the trial you want to challenge her language based upon the fact that she appears bored at times?

MR. WESTBROOK: It's not that she appears bored, Your Honor. She appears confused and like she's given up trying to understand the words that are coming out of our mouths because she can't speak the language; but also there's — there's no record of this obviously because it was up at the bench. But as everyone recalls, I was the one at the bench saying, I

1	don't think she speaks English well enough. I asked would the
2	Court be inclined to dismiss her, and the Court did not
3	dismiss her. I didn't make a cause challenge in front of the
4	jury because I already knew it was a losing battle because of
5	our bench conversation. But that's the record that I mean,
6	that's just my record.
7	THE COURT: But you
8	MR. WESTBROOK: I understand the Court's decision.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think, Bill, we
10	should have Mr. Stalling
11	THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am.
12	THE COURT: — come in so we can talk to him about
13	his
14	(Juror No. 2 entered courtroom.)
15	THE MARSHAL: All right. Sir, if you would, please.
16	Sir, if you would just go ahead and take your seat up there in
17	your normal actually, because the speakers are bad, just
18	sit right there for me.
19	JUROR NO. 2: Okay.
20	THE MARSHAL: Thank you very much.
21	THE COURT: That's good. Please be seated. Good
22	afternoon, Mr. Stalling.
23	JUROR NO. 2: Good afternoon.
24	THE COURT: You're fine. You're fine there. All
25	right. The reason I called you in is I had several occasions

now where I observed you sleeping. Did you stay up too late last night or --

here I immediately have to go to work — and I was up for 13 hours straight. And I work at — I work in the freight team at Home Depot and I work from 7:00 until 2:00 in the morning and I get very little sleep. And then I got to come here for 7:30 and get up and come here; and then when I leave here I got to go back to work. So I'm just — I'm trying to stay active but it's — it's — it's putting a strain on me because I haven't gotten at least a full decent few hours of sleep.

I've just been constantly working non—stop.

THE COURT: Right. And, you know, obviously, we have concern that, you know, if you fall asleep you can't hear what's happening. And so have you missed some of the testimony because you were sleeping?

JUROR NO. 2: I --

JUROR NO. 2: I try to catch myself nodding and I —
I get bits and pieces and, you know, write down information;
but I'm trying to keep myself awake but it's really taking a
toll on me because I'm — I'm just — I focus — I have to go
to work because I'm supervising a freight team at night and I
got to make sure these guys get this stuff done before 6:00 in
the morning before the whole store opens up.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
118

THE COURT: I know this sounds like a difficult or maybe a difficult question to ask because I'm trying to — trying to figure out how much you have missed from the testimony because you're falling asleep. And I know to say, Well, what — what was it you didn't hear, would be a strange question. But do you feel when you — when you're not awake that you're not then following what the testimony is?

JUROR NO. 2: I'm only — I'm hearing the — the computer aspect of it that's going on of all the — what's — when it — when it gets to the computer lingo, that's when I'm in because that's what — that's what I do, and I'm listening to that and I'm actually writing down different parts of what I'm hearing when it comes to the computer aspect of it.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NO. 2: But then --

THE COURT: But hasn't all — hasn't — all of the testimony today has been from the computer expert called and you were sleeping today, right?

JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So have you --

JUROR NO. 2: I -- I -- listening and then it's -- when it gets to -- when it -- I'm -- I'm shutting down because I -- it -- it's -- I'm not coherent because I'm focusing on getting rest for work and I'm, you know, worried about work and I'm not paying attention because I'm making sure -- I want

And that's my biggest concern because I — you know, when you're working at night you're — you're constantly looking out for safety issues and making sure that nothing is — and — and that's my big thing. You don't want to fall asleep when you're driving a forklift, that's not good, and that's — that's not — and then I — then I have to focus on coming back here and then it's square one again.

And so I'm not really — I'm not paying attention because I'm — I'm thinking about how am I going to get to work, how am I going to, you know, leave here, go home, get to work, stay up for another six, seven hours, and then come back here in the morning. So I — I'm in a constant, you know, haze right now.

THE COURT: So — so you're either nodding off to sleep because you're sleep deprived or you're not paying attention because you're thinking about what you're going to do at work, so when you wake up you — you start worrying about, Oh, I got to go to work, so you're not listening?

JUROR NO. 2: I'm — it's in — different parts I'm listening and then my brain will tune out. It's, like, how — I got to do this tonight, I got to, you know, write down what we got to work on tonight and because I — it's — it's — it's 13 hours of just paying attention to this and then going

to that and it's just -- different intervals it's just --

THE COURT: Okay. How many times do you think you caught yourself waking up from having nodded off today?

JUROR NO. 2: That's a good question.

THE COURT: I know there was the time I suggested everybody stand up and stretch, that was the reason.

JUROR NO. 2: Yeah, that — yeah, I was, like, yeah, I'm guilty on that one. That was probably me. More than — I would say, I don't know, more than seven — I guess I say seven because I — I tried to switch to a position to where I could stay, like, my posture, if I stand up like — stand up like this, will I stay awake if I do this, or if I lean like this will I — and it — it's just different positions the way [inaudible] just wasn't helping me.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NO. 2: So I would try to move a certain way so I can at least try to stay focused; but it — it's — it was — it's taken an extreme toll on me.

THE COURT: I understand.

JUROR NO. 2: And it's — and I — and I want to be attentive and I want to be focused and it's something that I want to, you know, do because I'm — that's the reason why I'm here. But — and — and the other aspect I — I — I got, you know, I got my guys at night. I work with an eight—man crew and, you know, I have to ask one of the other guys to do the

forklift because I knew I was too tired to do it and my boss could see it in my eyes because I was — he was talking to me and I was literally just — I was like just a zombie, just like what: What did you just tell me? Your eyes — your eyes are red.

THE COURT: So — so you're having that same issue here. You're having trouble — you normally would not have trouble if you were fully —

JUROR NO. 2: Yes. Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: — had rested, but you're having trouble?

JUROR NO. 2: I'm, you know — you know, by the grace of God I'm glad I didn't fall asleep at the wheel because it would have been tragic because I'm trying to stay up and I'm — got to go and change clothes, you know, take the 95 all the way to — I'm sorry — I work at West Charleston and I have to go over there and I'm clocking in. And I'm, like, okay, I got to — got to get ready to do this and got to get ready to work because I've never been late. I've always been on time and — and I was kind of upset because I was, like, I'm running — I'm running late so I was [inaudible] and that [inaudible] and I was just like — I have a perfect attendance and I don't want to blow that, so it's was just — it's just —

THE COURT: All right. All right. Okay. But you think that you nodded off today at least seven times, maybe more?

~

--

JUROR NO. 2: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you. I appreciate it.

JUROR NO. 2: You're welcome.

(Juror No. 2 exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect that the juror, Mr. Stalling, has departed the courtroom. All right. The Court is inclined to replace him with an alternate. I mean, he's telling me he fell asleep seven times. I saw him fall asleep at least three times and we didn't even start, you know — I mean, this happened frequently and I don't see how he could possibly be following this and he's telling us that even when he's awake he's having difficulty understanding even common language. I mean, yes, he says he — he sometimes is awake during certain parts; but I — I just can't see how he can — he can be a juror and say that he — he is giving the Defendant a fair trial or the State a fair trial.

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, I assume the State agrees?

MS. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor. I mean, I don't think that it would be fair to either side to leave Juror No. 2 on the jury. I mean, he said he was incoherent. He said he's having trouble, he's nodded off seven times. I mean, he's obviously not able to focus and even said he almost fell asleep at the wheel. I mean, he — unfortunately he didn't

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, here's — here's my record on it. First, he'll be fine tomorrow. We're starting in the afternoon — at one o'clock tomorrow or maybe a little bit earlier, but not much. Number two, I also heard him say that he was writing things down. He was engaged on all of the technical stuff and that he was taking notes.

He's the only person on this jury who is African

American. He's the only person on this jury who has got any
computer knowledge outside of playing Candy Crush — thank you
— Candy Crush, that's it. And if he is off this jury then I
think it's going to be at the detriment of my client and to
his Sixth Amendment rights. This is the jury that we picked.

I think he's paying attention at least as well as anybody else on this jury and he has the capacity to understand things more than anyone else on this jury. I've got a real problem as I think I voiced quite clearly with the expert who just testified and his testimony. I'm not going to

be able to counter him with an expert, so at the very least I want somebody on that jury, someone who I picked, by the way.

I mean, you know, I didn't strike him for a reason.

I want someone on that jury who knows a little bit about something who I think can understand the language that's going on here with computers. He's the only person. I think if we lose him then it — it really does a blow to my client's Sixth Amendment rights. And not only that, but he needs to buck up. He's a juror in a criminal trial. I mean, I got three hours of sleep last night and I'm devastated for the record. I can hardly think straight. If I didn't have these guys handing me notes during my cross I would be lost.

THE COURT: Okay. But the difference is that you have not fallen asleep at counsel table. And to say, Well, it's okay if he only hears a little bit or he comes in and out, that's just crazy. I — I, you know, and the fact that he's African American, I don't care if he was green with polka dots.

MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, I understand it's not the Court's --

THE COURT: He's sleeping.

MR. WESTBROOK: I understand that. I'm not trying to suggest anyone here is trying to kick him off because he's African American. That's ridiculous. What I'm saying is it's so rare unfortunately in our system for some reason to get

point as opposed to the second. I think the second is

25

Now as to the first point, and I think this is the more important point for our purposes here in District Court today, we've got a situation where there is no question that a juror in this courtroom failed to tell the truth under direct questioning.

inadmissible, and I think that would rob this Court of

Goldsmith versus Sheriff down in Justice Court.

jurisdiction because we didn't have a proper process under

THE COURT: You mean a witness.

MR. WESTBROOK: A witness, I'm sorry. Thank you.

Again, three hours of sleep, I apologize. A witness sat up

there and she — she said things to us that we know have to be

false because they — they directly contradict other sworn

testimony, and they directly contradict an interview she gave

with the police. There's two occasions, and again, there's

probably other things that she wasn't truthful about; but I'm

not talking about things that are arguably untruthful. That's

1.7

2.4

What I'm talking about is the things that we all know that she had to have lied about. She sat up there under oath answering my direct question: Were you truthful when you talked to Detective Tooley? She said, Yes. Then I brought up her statement, pointed out that it directly contradicted her testimony in court that day, and then she said that she was lying to Detective Tooley.

answer to the one question at preliminary hearing, which was:
Did you immediately recognize the thumb drive — I'm

paraphrasing, of course — as Mr. Castaneda's? She said, No.

And that was — then you said, Well, were you lying then? She said, Yes. So that's the only — to my way of thinking as far as having heard everything, it appears to me the only thing that she admitted that she lied about was that one statement.

MR. WESTBROOK: There's a second thing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now — now, the thing is that I, you know, I read the transcript of the preliminary hearing transcript. So, of course, that testimony before the justice of the peace that heard the prelim for the bind over would have been favorable to your client. In other words, it would not have been adverse to him because the testimony that, Well, yes, I knew it was his, connected it to him is what is damaging. Here, you know, at the prelim she said, No. Even

though we know that the affidavit of arrest, which was done in this case and is, you know, on file and was done after the search warrant was executed, says that she had immediately recognized it as Mr. Castaneda's. So that's consistent.

Would it have made any difference to the magistrate?

No, because there was — it wasn't unfavorable to Mr.

Castaneda and there was lots of evidence certainly sufficient to meet the bind over standard. So I — I don't see that even if it's true that she lied, as she said, that she lied at the preliminary hearing intentionally, that it would have made any difference.

And the cases talk about at trial if it — if it's shown that there was perjury at trial and that it was — perjury has to be — of course, in order for it to be perjury it must be material, right? But we don't have that. We have a situation where you've impeached her with her preliminary hearing transcript, right? And you're going to make hay till, you know, the sun comes up with that and that's what, just like you said, that's what juries are for. And I do not see that it — there's any basis for dismissing the charge.

MR. WESTBROOK: I have three quick responses.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WESTBROOK: Number one, that wasn't — number one, regarding her confession of perjury at the preliminary hearing, I don't think she — I don't think that's when she

was perjuring herself. I think she was perjuring herself here --

THE COURT: Well, that's --

MR. WESTBROOK: — because this is a brand new story that she's never told before; but that's neither here nor there. She admitted to that.

THE COURT: Okay. Well --

MR. WESTBROOK: So we'll go with that.

THE COURT: All right. But that's not true either. That's why I'm saying I read — because I wanted to understand the full — all — the totality of the circumstances. It's clear to me that if there was a lie, it happened at the preliminary hearing because the affidavit of arrest, which was clearly before the preliminary hearing, indicates that she told the detectives that she immediately recognized the thumb drive as Mr. Castaneda's. So that was — that was before.

MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry. Did it say "immediately recognized," because I don't recall it saying that. But --

THE COURT: I can't --

MR. WESTBROOK: — but regardless, I understand that you don't agree with me. That's fine. Hopefully by the end of my closing you will agree with me, but that will be — that will mean that the jury does too and then I'll win, that will be great.

Number two, though, there was a second perjury that

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

· 9

1.0

22.

in this courtroom.

Then I showed her that her statement to Detective
Tooley was different than her entire story. Her very detailed
story that she told on the stand in this courtroom was the
exact opposite of what she told Tooley. Nothing about waking
the boyfriend or the boyfriend waking her, none of that
happening, okay?

Then she said that she lied when she spoke to Detective Tooley, which means that she lied when I asked her directly if she was honest with Detective Tooley. That means she has perjured herself in this courtroom. It's material.

assessment of that that, yes, that there are things that are slightly different, that there isn't as much detail; but to say that that because she didn't explain initially that, Oh, it was my boyfriend who woke me on the couch — well, which is the same story, you know, that she told at preliminary hearing — that that somehow makes her a perjurer is just a huge leap.

I mean, just because when a witness initially makes contact with the police, they leave out additional details, I

mean, that's what — we love that as lawyers, of course, because that's what we're going to cross-examine them about. Didn't you think it was really important, you know, officer, to —

MR. WESTBROOK: You took complete notes, didn't you?

THE COURT: Yes. You know, but that's again -
that's for you to make hay with.

MR. WESTBROOK: I actually — I agree with you, Your Honor, if what she had done is add details. It's not what she did. She had one story and then she replaced it with a completely different story that was the opposite.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I heard both of them because I was here while you were crossing her.

MR. WESTBROOK: Did you — I'm sorry. Did Your Honor have a chance to look at Defense Proposed Exhibit A, which I'd like entered as a Court exhibit, I guess? It's the only one that I had. It's actually the statement that she gave and it can't go to the jury because it's got other stuff that we've — we've exorcized from these proceedings, but —

MS. ANTHONY: Isn't it an attachment to your motion? That's an exhibit, right?

MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah, yeah. I'm sorry. You know what? I never filed an actual motion, Judge. Should I do that or has that already been done?

THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't file it.

1.4

MR. WESTBROOK: Way to go, Dave.

THE CLERK: Yeah, you need to file the motion and have the attachment as exhibits.

MR. WESTBROOK: Right, right, right. I've got them right here. The one attachment I didn't have the copy was the one that is — is up here with your staff.

THE COURT: Okay. I think I left my copy in my chambers. Okay.

MR. CHEN: Your Honor, may I also approach the Clerk to have something else marked for our trial?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I apologize. I have the entire stack on my desk. I'll take care of it. I'll — in the court before — obviously before the trial is over, certainly. But if I could just borrow that exhibit for a second?

THE COURT: Exhibit A, proposed?

MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. That would be -

THE COURT: I'm not seeing anything about -- I'm not following what you're looking at there.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. Okay. On page 2 of this exhibit she tells the officer: "Couldn't wait to hurry up and find my place, which I did, and I moved on February 3rd. In my move I wound up" -- I -- "wound up with his flash drive in the midst of my stuff."

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. WESTBROOK: "Didn't realize it until Saturday," which would be the 6th of February, 2010. "I actually figured I had it and I was going to use it."

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WESTBROOK: That's her saying that she discovered it in her stuff and she had it in her possession. Up here she had an entirely different story. These two stories couldn't possibly co-exist where her boyfriend found it. He had it. She had no idea where it came from. Not from her tote. She has no idea where it came from and he showed it to her and brought it to her attention because he was going to use it for his purposes. It's the opposite story.

THE COURT: All right. So you can characterize it like that; but, no, I don't think that that's different. That's like when I talk about I have this or that, and, I mean, you know, I'm married and have been married for, you know, 34 years and — and so, yeah, I talk about — and that as I see it is what happened here. She's saying, Yeah, I, it was in my stuff. It was. It was in her tote. That that she is not the exact one who discovered it, she explained that somewhere later. But do I think that this is a completely different story? No.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.

THE COURT: I don't. Just do not.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
134

MR. WESTBROOK: And hopefully nothing will come of this. All right.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So would the State like to be heard?

MS. ANTHONY: No, Your Honor. I think that the —— I mean, just essentially my version of hearing and listening to Tami is the same as the Court has explained. And to further support Tami, our next witness is Michael and he's going to explain how the USB drive —— and it will further support her, so it's not —— I don't agree with Mr. Westbrook's version of how he believes that he impeached her.

You know, unfortunately, she said, I lied. If she had been mistaken it would have — we wouldn't have really have been here and, you know, I do believe it was a mistake and she, you know, just didn't explain it and said, I lied, and that was her words. Unfortunately I just believe she was mistaken.

And to support that, she did tell Detective Tooley prior to the preliminary hearing at the preliminary hearing, it's even in there as well, and the semantics regarding "I" and "we," it really — I think that she elaborated on the stand. I don't think she gave a different version.

MR. WESTBROOK: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry. I wasn't saying the forest for the trees. Ms. Ballou reminded me, my

allegation of perjury is that she said she was being truthful, and then she said on that stand in this courtroom that she lied when she said she was telling a true story to the officers. So she admitted that she lied at this proceeding. She admitted the perjury. They are two different stories. She admitted the perjury. She admitted to her own dishonesty. That's the key here. It's not whether we think that maybe she just was fudging a little bit or she was confused. It's that she thinks she lied. She admitted it. That's the problem.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I — I disagree with that characterization and I think, you know, sometimes of course on cross-examination you can lead, and some witnesses are more lead than others to admit — to agree with counsel on some things, and that's how I saw it, and it's just not — I do not see that there was any evidence of perjury here. It's just I don't see it.

So the motion is denied, and we'll let the jury then decide whether they believe her or what weight, if any, to give to her testimony.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor. And I will posthumously file my motion as soon as I get back to my desk.

THE COURT: Posthumously?

MR. WESTBROOK: The motion's posthumously filed.

THE COURT: All right. Yes, well, we'll have that -- your actual written motion, we'll get filed for the record so

1	it's part of the record.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And since it's now quarter to 2:00, let
4	us bring the jury back in and continue and then we'll
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, as a housekeeping matter,
6	are you okay with me having my jacket off in court?
7	THE COURT: Are you too warm today?
8	MR. WESTBROOK: I haven't worn a suit in four years
9	and I forgot it was a winter suit and I'm dying. It's wool.
10	THE COURT: Yes, but I I'll would you ask that
11	in front of the jury so they do not
12	MR. WESTBROOK: That's a great idea. Thank you.
1,3	That's actually perfect. Thank you.
14	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, are you ready?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MS. ANTHONY: But you can only say it in five words,
17	Mr. Westbrook?
18	MR. WESTBROOK: That's right.
19	MS. ANTHONY: Five words.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Westbrook, do you want to file
21	electronically the motion?
22	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, yeah. Let's do that.
23	MS. BALLOU: Well, you can't because you don't have
24	it — okay.
25	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, I do need that exhibit, but I'll

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 137

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
138

be all right with the Court if I proceed occasionally without

1	my jacket?
2	THE COURT: Yes. You have it looks like a heavy suit
3	on?
4	MR. WESTBROOK: I erred in the choice of my outfit
5	today and I'm apparently wearing wool. I didn't even know I
6	had this.
7	THE COURT: Anyone else made a bad wardrobe choice
8	you may also — not you, Mr. Marshal.
9	MR. WESTBROOK: I didn't want to do a Janet Jackson
LO	and have a wardrobe malfunction. Thank you, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. State?
12	MR. CHEN: Your Honor, the State would like to call
13	Michael Landeau to the stand, please.
14	MICHAEL LANDEAU, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
15	THE CLERK: Please be seated. If you would please
16	state your first and last name for the record and please spell
17	it.
18	THE WITNESS: Michael Robert Landeau, M-I-C-H-A-E-L;
19	Robert, R-O-B-E-R-T; Landeau, L-A-N-D-E-A-U.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Please proceed.
21	MR. CHEN: Thank you.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. CHEN:
24	Q Sir, do you know an individual named Tami Hines?
25	A I do.

1	THE COURT: It will.
2	BY MR. CHEN:
3	Q Mr. Landeau, how is it you know the Defendant,
4	Mr. Castaneda?
5	A He's a former friend of Tami's and Tami had
6	known him the whole time I was going out with Tami. And then
7	Tami and I became homeless and Tony allowed us to stay at his
8	house; and while we were staying at his house is how I
9	physically got to know him. Tami's daughters have known Tony
10	a long time.
11	Q All right. Now you mentioned that you stayed at
12	the Defendant's residence. Was that at 2205 Beverly Way?
13	A It was.
14	Q And that's in Clark County, Nevada?
15	A Absolutely, yeah.
16	Q Now you said that you and Tami lived there. Who
17	else lived with you guys or lived with the Defendant?
18	A Tami's four daughters and Tami and I.
19	Q All right. And the only reason that you knew
20	the Defendant was through Tami; is that correct?
21	A Absolutely, yeah.
22	Q When you lived in the residence, Mr. Castaneda's
23	residence, did you interact with him at all?
24	A A little bit here and there as a roommate
25	situation, you know, Hi, How's it going; and walk back and

Q	Do you remember what the folder name was there?
Α.	Girls, I believe.
Q	And I'm showing you at this point 75. Do you
recognize wha	at's depicted here?
A	Yeah. The girlpics is the one that I remember.
Q	And that's the photo that you were mainly
concerned wit	zh?
A	Yeah.
Q	Okay. Is that where you saw the images of the
child pornogi	caphy?
A	The under-age girls, yeah, yeah.
Q	And were they all all of the under-age
individuals,	were they all female or were there pictures
A	You know, we went through them like
dat-dat-dat-d	dat-dat-dat to make sure the daughters weren't
there.	
Q	So you went through very quickly?
A	Yeah, yeah. I didn't sit there and study them.
Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 28. Do you
recognize th	is as well?
А	Yes, I do.
Q	And was this on the flash drive?
11	
A	Yes, it was. As well as 17, do you recall seeing this?
	recognize what A Q concerned with A Q child pornogn A Q individuals, A dat-dat-dat-dat-dat-dat-dat-dat-dat-dat-

1	A I do.	
2	Q Okay. And was this also on the flash drive?	
3	A It was.	
4	Q Do you remember if State's Exhibits 28 and 17,	
5	the last two that I've just shown you, were they in the	
6	girlpics folder or were they in a different designation	
7	[inaudible]?	
8	A It seemed to me they were just thrown on the	
9	file without a folder, okay.	
10	Q Do you recall that?	
11	A I I don't know; but I seen it. I don't know	
12	what folder they were in.	
13	Q Do you know if they were in the girlpics folder	
14	or not to your recollection?	
15	A To my recollection, no.	
16	Q Okay. Now when you lived with the Defendant,	
17	did you notice a number of computers and laptops within his	
18	residence?	
19	A Yeah, there was three or four of them at least	
20	that I knew of.	
21	Q And I'm showing you Exhibit No. 37. Do you	
22	recognize this particular set up?	
23	A That would be Tony's work station.	
24	Q Did you use this computer?	
25	A Never.	

i	
1	A Okay.
2	MR. CHEN: Thank you. I'll pass the witness at this
3	time. Thank you, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Cross?
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
8	Q Good afternoon.
9	A Good afternoon.
10	Q You mentioned that you were on Disability at the
11	time?
12	A Correct.
13	Q You were waiting for a big Disability check?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Was Tami also receiving money from you or
16	support from your Disability?
17	A Well, I took care of her and the kids, sure.
18	Q So you were paying her rent?
19	A Yeah. Well, she was my girlfriend.
20	Q She relied on you for money then?
21	A Yeah. I was the only dad the kids had so.
22	Q Okay. You weren't their biological father?
23	A No.
24	Q You just were taking care of them?
25	A Right.

1	Q You have you ever given a statement to
2	police?
3	A Have I ever given a statement? No, not that I
4	recollect.
5	Q They didn't ask you any questions about why you
6	had the thumb stick?
7	A No.
8	Q Was that because Tami told the police that she's
9	the one who found the thumb stick?
10	MR. CHEN: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for
11	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
13	MR. WESTBROOK: All right.
14	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
15	Q They never came to you and asked you any details
16	about you finding the thumb stick, right?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. You said you noticed it was an 8
19	gigabyte?
20	A Yeah, yeah.
21	Q From the looks of it, from the outside of it?
22	A Yeah, it's an 8 gigabyte.
23	Q So you can tell by looking at it that's an 8
24	gigabyte?
25	A Well, you can tell at the time; it said 8-gig on
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

11	'	ì
1	the side.	
2	Q Oka	ay. That one in the picture doesn't say
3	8-gig, does it?	
4	[]	doesn't on the front.
5	Q Oka	ay. You can't tell from that picture that's
6	an 8 gigabyte ca	n you?
7	AI	can tell that's the one that he had by the
8	wear marks.	
9	Q Ok	ay. And the one that you had that night,
10	correct?	
11	A Sa	me one.
12	Q Ok	ay. You said you put it into your laptop to
13	view the picture	es, right?
14	A Co	prrect.
15	Q Wa	as it your personal laptop?
16	A Ye	es.
17	Q Do	you know if it was ever in Tami's personal
18	laptop?	
19	A Ta	ami never owned a personal laptop.
20	<u> </u>	n, what was her computer then? I'm sorry?
21	A He	er computer? I didn't know she had a computer.
22	Q I'	'm sorry. I thought you just testified that
23	you both had cor	mputers. Is that
24	A No	o, I said I owned two of them.
25	Q 0ì	h, you owned two computers?
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

]]	
1	A Well, no, no, nobody's ever looked at it.
2	Q Nobody ever looked at it?
3	A No.
4	Q Since 2008 have you ever updated the software?
5	Let me be more specific and a better question. Have you ever
6	updated the operating system?
7	A On my computer?
8	Q Yeah. On your old — on your old —
9	A On the old Systemax? Yeah, yeah, I've had to
10	replace it a couple times.
11	Q Okay. Had you ever changed the hard drive out?
12	A No.
13	Q You haven't. Okay.
14	MR. WESTBROOK: All right. Nothing further. Thank
15	you.
16	THE COURT: Redirect?
17	MR. CHEN: Yes, please.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. CHEN:
20	Q Just a couple questions I do need to ask you
21	based on those questions, Mr. Landeau. The child pornography
22	that you saw on the USB flash stick, were you responsible for
23	downloading that?
24	A No.
25	Q Did you put that on the flash drive?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 157

- 11	
1	A Absolutely not.
2	Q Does your computer contain any?
3	A None.
4	Q And furthermore, just some questions about your
5	relationship with Tami. You mentioned that it lasted
6	throughout 2010 possibly, correct?
7	A Yeah, yeah.
8	Q And then did you guys subsequently break up?
9	A Yes, we did.
10	Q And after that did you have much communication
11	with her at all?
12	A Didn't talk to her at all.
13	MR. CHEN: Pass the witness. Thank you.
14	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
16	Q I'm sorry. Are you saying that you haven't
17	talked to her at all before this hearing today?
18	A Today? She called me about two weeks ago and
19	left a message that the District Attorney was looking for me,
20	and all of a sudden I got a call. I'm not hard to find. I
21	wasn't running from anybody by any means, so I was shocked.
22	She said, Well, they've been looking for you, can I give them
23	the information? I said, Absolutely. And that's all that we
24	said together.
25	Q How many times have you talked about this case?

li li	
1	A None. Didn't even mention it that day. She
2	just said you guys were looking for us.
3	Q Never talked about it?
4	A No.
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. Thank you.
6	MR. CHEN: Nothing further, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
8	MR. CHEN: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony.
10	THE WITNESS: No problem.
11	MR. CHEN: The State would call Detective Shannon
12	Tooley, please.
13	SHANNON TOOLEY, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
14	THE CLERK: Please be seated. If you would please
15	state your first and last name for the record and please spell
16	it.
17	THE WITNESS: Shannon Tooley, S-H-A-N-N-O-N,
18	T-O-O-L-E-Y.
19	THE COURT: You may proceed.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. CHEN:
22	Q Ma'am, how are you employed?
23	A I'm employed with the Las Vegas Metropolitan
24	Police Department.
25	Q In what capacity are you employed there?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	159 0612

1	А	Yes, I am.
2	Q	What exactly is an event number?
3	A	It is how our department assigns cases.
4	Q	Okay.
5	A	Each call that comes in, not just case, but each
6	report that o	calls in comes in.
7	Q	And is each number specific to that event?
8	A	Yes, it is.
9	Q	Did when you started the investigation of the
10	Defendant, was an event number assigned to it?	
11	A	Yes, it was.
12	Q	Okay. And would that event number basically be
13	connected to	all the evidence that was involved with your
14	investigatio	n?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Would that include this flash drive?
17	A	Yes, it would.
18	Q	And did this flash drive, the impounding of it,
19	was it assig	ned a case an event number?
20	A	It was [inaudible] the case was originated
21	under.	·
22	Q	What event number was that?
23	A	That was 100208-1406.
24	Q	Okay. And again, in 15 that's also depicted as
25	the event nu	mber on the top right-hand corner, correct?

1	A	Yes, it is.
2	Q	All right. Did Detective Ramirez create a
3	report based	upon his examination of the flash drive?
4	A	Yes, he did.
5	Q	And would that report have gone to you as the
6	lead investig	ator on the case?
7	А	Yes, it would have.
8	Q	Did you review that report?
9	A	Yes, I did.
10	Q	Did you come to learn that there were images of
11	child pornogr	aphy on that flash drive?
12	А	Yes, I did.
13	Q	Certainly given the type of detective you are,
14	I'm sure that	was concerning to you then, correct?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And would that mean that you would continue your
17	investigatior	then?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	What upon learning of what the images were on
20	this flash drive, what were the next steps that you took as	
21	the lead dete	ective in this case?
22	А	I verified Mr. Castaneda's address; if he did,
23	in fact, live	e at the address that Tami provided to me. I did
24	administer su	abpoenas on NV Energy as well as Cox, which both
25	came back to	him as being the account holder. I drove by the

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q And was that search warrant executed on April 7,
3	2010?
4	A Yes, it was.
5	Q Prior to executing the search warrant, did you
6	make a phone call at all to the Defendant to let them know
7	let him know that you were on your way there?
8	A No, we did not.
9	Q Okay. So essentially you or other members
10	and other members of Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
11	simply show up at the residence with the warrant; is that a
12	fair statement?
13	A Yes.
14	Q All right. And on April 7, 2010, is that what
15	happened?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you remember what time the search warrant was
18	executed?
19	A It would have been approximately around 0900
20	hours, 9:00 a.m.
21	Q 0900, 9:00 a.m. or so? All right. Did you, in
22	fact, go with the others to execute that warrant?
23	A Yes, I did.
24	Q All right. And did you find anyone home at the
25	2205 Beverly Way address?

1	A Yes, we did.
2	Q Who was home?
3	A Anthony Castaneda and his son, Craig Castaneda.
4	Q Okay. Did you provide a copy of the search
5	warrant to the Defendant?
6	A Yes, I did.
7	Q Okay. And did individuals from the forensic
8	portion of Metro start to examine different pieces of hardware
9	within the residence?
10	A Yes, they did.
11	Q Did you have any involvement with examining or
12	previewing any hardware within the residence?
13	A No, I did not.
14	Q And what's the reason that you weren't
15	specifically involved with that?
16	A Because I'm not forensically trained.
17	Q Okay. You don't know how to use the programs or
18	the equipment they have, I assume?
19	A I've been trained on how to use Basic EnCase
20	Q Okay.
21	A which is a software program that they use for
22	forensics; however, I have not practiced it or used it so I do
23	not do the exams.
24	Q Okay. So when you were present at the residence
25	on April 7, 2010, what was your primary role investigating

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

24

25

bathroom break before or do we want to stop in the middle?

10-minute break? Yes. Okay. All right. Gosh, I was starting to think you were camels. Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a 10-minute recess.

During this recess it is your duty not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with this trial by any medium of, information including without limitation newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

I've got about 4 minutes to 4:00.

(Jury recessed at 3:56 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect we are outside the presence of the jury. Are there any matters outside the presence?

MR. WESTBROOK: There are, Your Honor. I have an objection and a motion [inaudible]. First of all, I'd like to explain my objection I made at the bench. I objected, first of all, for — to the entire recording being played to the jury. This is not a confession. There was no confession in that entire recording.

What it was was taking my client and dragging him through the mud over his legal porn interests, legal porn,

searching for adults and teens; mischaracterizing the word
"adult" as if it were adult versus child as opposed to how my
client was clearly using it, which is adult as in adult
bookstore, and not adult as in I'm separating my adults and my
children pictures. I think the jury was left with the
impression that my client is a sex fiend because he has
Internet porn and because he likes a certain type of Internet
porn.

1.8

Not only that, but this whole issue about mentioning that there were 56 child porn pictures I thought had been decided. In fact, my recollection of our conversation was that the State withdrew their effort to try to get witnesses to speak about 56 pictures. They gave up on the issue at the bench and that was the ruling. They wanted to ask their technical experts about the presence of 56 pictures of which only 15 have been charged. I said it would be misleading. They were uncharged. It would be uncharged bad acts. We started the case by objecting to it. There was no Petrocelli hearing and I thought we had our ruling; and then what happened is we got to hear her accuse him of having 56 pictures a bunch of times.

And here's the problem with that. My client is a network administrator. He knows computers. And when he hears 56 pictures, what he thinks is 56 image files. We're talking about a Hewlett Packard old laptop from 2006 or 2007, I think

.10

1.3

was the date that that laptop was from, maybe even before that, smaller hard drives, hardly any RAM. I know how bad they are because I had one in the Public Defender's Office, okay? They're awful and they're almost unusable. The idea that he would miss, in his opinion, 56 images, that just seems like a large amount because of the technical limitations of file sizes.

For example, he talked about his thumb drives and how he only has one or two gigabyte thumb drives, and he thought it would be impossible for him to put his entire porn directory onto a thumb drive because he has a lot of porn, in fact, he said 10 or 15 gigabytes of porn. He doesn't have a thumb drive nearly that large.

Here's the problem. I can't explain that to the jury; I can't explain any of that to the jury. But what they will have heard is the following: Her saying 56 pictures to him, and him going, 56? It couldn't — it couldn't have been that many, which sounds like an admission that he's surprised at the number. 56? I only have 15. Well, we all know that there were several — I'm sorry, several — there are potentially hundreds of pictures that ended up on his hard drive that may or may not have been child pornography and that 56 were flagged as possible child pornography, okay?

So it would be false to — to label him as a guy with his hand caught in the cookie jar. Oh, 56? That's — that's

such a large number; I only had 15. That would be completely false because if we accept the State's proposition that he knew he had child pornography on his computer, that's what they're trying to prove here, then he would have known that he had hundreds and hundreds of pictures so he wouldn't have been surprised at the number just being 56. In fact, it would have been the other way. 56? I thought I had 400. Okay. The impression the jury will get from having that read in the record, and I objected to it before the recording was entered into evidence, specifically the 56 part, I asked that that be redacted.

I objected in whole to its entirety; but I specifically objected to that part under due process and Sixth Amendment grounds because we have prior stipulations in place, which I think are now being violated, and because this is inherently misleading and I think it was against the Court's earlier rulings. Okay.

Now they're going to get the impression that when he heard the number 56, that he was surprised because it should have been a smaller number, more like 15, which is what was charged; that is in no way reflective of the facts. Even if you accept the State's entire theory of the case, which is that my client knowingly and willfully downloaded child pornography, million reasons why no one should accept that, but even if you accept that, that particular argument that

_

-

when he heard 56 he was surprised because it was such a big number as opposed to 15 doesn't fit with anyone's theory of the case or any facts anywhere, they're now mislead. I believe they've been prejudiced. I don't think we can bounce back from this. I'm requesting a mistrial at this point.

THE COURT: All right. I'm interested that that's how you interpreted or heard it because, yeah, I didn't hear it that way to me at all. To me I was — it seemed like he was saying, What? 56? That's, you know, because he said that repeatedly, Well, I would have seen something like that; and he kept denying it, and the fact that there are only 15 charged makes it look like the investigator was mistaken and then there was — so I guess I — I didn't hear it that way. I thought it seemed pretty favorable to your client, all in all. You know, he stuck to his story throughout; repeatedly denying that he could not fathom how something like this would happen, et cetera. So I don't know, but State's position?

MR. CHEN: Certainly, Your Honor, it's up to the jury to determine how they wish to view the audio, and I'm sure Mr. Westbrook is going to argue in closing arguments where I think it's appropriate that the statement given by the Defendant isn't — shouldn't be given the value that obviously the State's going to put on that statement. I don't know what proposition or what case law he would cite to say that a Defendant has to give a full confession in order for a jury to

And in terms of specifically the 56 images, Judge, as you know, there's no stipulation or order signed in this case that has to do with 56 images. But on the record on multiple previous occasions before Mr. Westbrook ever got on this case it was agreed upon that we had an audio. We redacted any mention of bestiality. We've redacted one other sentence that had to do with — about going to jail, and that was the agreed upon redaction.

Now there is certainly a difference, and I think the Court brought it up the other day, between an interview in which a detective uses falsehoods or other things to confront a defendant versus people who are investigating the computer hardware and specifically saying that they bookmarked other images of child pornography. That's where the State agreed that we were not going to talk about the 56 images. But we've always had the contention that the audio was settled, agreed to by the State as well as the Defense, and that would certainly be our position today, Judge, that nothing has changed and we have never agreed or stipulated to anything that Mr. Westbrook has alluded to on the record just a moment ago.

1	THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION, continued
3	BY MR. CHEN:
4	Q Now first, Detective Tooley, in the interview we
5	heard a name Tami Green, do you recall? Did you learn if Tami
6	Green also has a different last name as well?
7	A Tami Hines.
8	Q So Tami Hines and Tami Green are the same
9	person?
10	A Yes.
11	Q All right. And based upon your conversation,
12	would you say it's accurate that the Defendant had a better
13	grasp or knew much more about computers and their workings
14	than you do, for instance?
15	A Definitely.
16	Q Now I'd like to talk a little bit about the
17	execution of that search warrant. You mentioned that there
18	were other forensic examiners with you that day, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Were those forensic Detective Ramirez, Detective
21	Ehlers?
22	A Yes, there was additional ones as well.
23	Q All right. And all of those would have been
24	previewing the computers inside of the residence?
25	A Correct.

1	Q That would have been the forensic [inaudible]?
2	A Correct.
3	Q I'm showing you State's Exhibit what's already
4	been admitted as 17, 28, as well as 1 through 15, which I'm
5	going to ask you to keep down here and just thumb through
6	them, but please do not expose them to the rest of the
7	courtroom. And do you recognize, first of all, State's
8	Exhibits 1 through 15?
9	A Yes, I do.
10	Q And what are those images of?
11	A The images? Are you talking about the
12	certificates and the DL's or the actual images?
13	Q One through 15, everything in the folder.
14	A One through 15 are the images of child
15	pornography.
16	Q Okay. And were these the images of child
17	pornography that came from the laptop, the shuttle, and the
18	or the USB stick?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now these images in State's 1 through 15, as a
21	detective in the ICAC unit, you've seen these images before,
22	right?
23	A Yes, I have.
24	Q In fact, they're throughout the Internet, I
25	guess, in terms of places where you could get child

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

Correct.

Α

1	Q These are awful, aren't they?
2	A They're child porn images, so, yes.
3	Q I mean, they're horrifying to look at; aren't
4	they?
5	A Yes, they are.
6	Q When you look at them your heart goes out;
7	doesn't it?
8	A Yes, it does.
9	Q When you look at them all you can think is, I'm
LO	sorry, right? I'm sorry. I'm going to open up this folder.
11	Look at that picture. Is there anything about that picture
12	that doesn't make you sorry?
13	A I wouldn't say the word "sorry." I would say
14	the word "sad."
15	Q Sad, sorry, sorrowful. Is sorrowful a synonym
16	for sad? Is sorrowful
17	A I'm sorry?
18	Q Is sorrowful a synonym for sad?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Is sorrowful the root of sorry?
21	A Yes.
22	Q I'm sorry was an expression of sorrow and
23	disgust, wasn't it?
24	A I can't answer that question.
25	MR. CHEN: Your Honor, I think at this point he's

1	asking the witness to speculate.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	MR. WESTBROOK: I wasn't asking you to speculate.
4	I'll withdraw. I'm sorry.
5	MR. CHEN: Your Honor, I would object and move to
6	strike the comment on the record as well.
7	THE COURT: That's granted. The jury is ordered to
8	disregard the comment and the question was inappropriate
9	because it called for speculation. Proceed.
10	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
11	Q Why did you turn off your recorder?
12	A I thought the interview was finished.
13	Q You're in charge of the interview, how could you
14	think the interview was finished?
15	A Because it seemed like it was finished.
16	Q But then you kept talking to him afterwards,
17	right?
18	A We did, yeah, we were talking.
19	Q Well, you're talking to a suspect, you're always
20	interviewing, aren't you?
21	A Not no, actually, no.
22	Q You just were having a neighborly chat?
23	A Sometimes, yes.
24	Q Okay. When you have a neighborly chat, though,
25	as a police officer you're not having a neighborly chat, you

verbatim?

22

23

24

25

That has adult pornography in it. Α

Right. A child bookstore is not a bookstore Q that has child pornography in it; it's a bookstore that has books for children, right?

I would hope so.

I just didn't want to be confusing with the terminology. So he admitted to you that he had lots and lots of, you know, regular pornography, not illegal pornography on his server; and as somebody who's exploring these kind of cases, you -- you know that the fear of anybody who downloads regular pornography is: What if I got a picture that shouldn't be there, right?

Some people, maybe. I don't know.

Okay. If people like teen pornography, women who look young as opposed to, I guess, women who look old, wouldn't that be a fear: What if I get a picture I'm not supposed to have?

And when you say "teen" and "women," you know, are you talking about women who are over the age of 18 that look like they may be a little bit younger?

I'm talking about -- are you familiar with Yes. the magazine Barely Legal?

> Α Yes.

Right. Also are you familiar with in Q

199

1	A I checked our records and didn't find any
2	reports that were existing with her name.
3	Q Okay. That's it?
4	A And I believe I — obviously, I probably scoped
5	her and DMV'd.
6	Q Okay. You did an initial interview with her.
7	Did you ever interview her boyfriend?
8	A I didn't know about her boyfriend.
9	Q Because she didn't tell you?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Okay. You knew that she had a computer that
12	this thumb drive was inserted into, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And she said it was her computer, right?
15	A I don't know if she said her computer or a
16	computer; I don't recall.
17	Q Okay. Did anyone take a look at this computer?
18	A No.
19	Q So there's a computer out there that this thumb
20	drive was in that no one's taken a look at?
21	A Correct.
22	Q At least one computer that you know of?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Okay. You heard the phrase "consider the
25	source?"

1	A Yes.
2	Q Did she ever tell you that she had a personal
3	grudge against Mr. Castaneda?
4	A No, she did not.
5	Q She ever tell you she had been evicted by Mr.
6	Castaneda?
7	A No, she did not.
8	Q Did she ever tell you that she went to a lawyer
9	about fighting the eviction against Mr. Castaneda?
10	A No.
11	Q Okay. If you had heard any of those things from
12	her, would you have investigated further into her possible
13	motives for fabricating evidence against Mr. Castaneda?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. But you weren't told?
16	A Correct.
17	Q So you couldn't go into it?
18	A Correct.
19	MR. WESTBROOK: All right. Your Honor, may we
20	approach?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	(Off-record bench conference.)
23	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
24	Q Is it fair to say that you were the lead
25	detective on this case?

the modified dates, were you?

A I don't believe so. I probably — what I'm saying is I can't say for sure; but I probably did discuss with him, like, is it possible for somebody to move these, like, just now put them on there, or is this something that was on here for, you know, prior to — like, past year, past two years. I don't remember the exact dates; but I would not be able to come to that information on my own.

Q Okay. In going through it --

MR. WESTBROOK: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

Q Ignore my scribbling on this, but is — is this similar to the report that you looked at with Detective Ramirez?

 $\,$ A $\,$ Yes. I'm sorry. The one I saw had the actual images.

Q Right. And that one does not?

A Correct, yes. That would be — that would be something similar, yes.

Q Okay.

A This is the report.

Q Number three on that list, if I could direct your attention. That's the one that I've written all over, obviously. It says, Last access: 2/7/2010. When did you talk

and told you that last written means that this is the date that the file was put on the thumb drive and on that date it was in the possession of somebody other than Mr. Castaneda, that would be important evidence; wouldn't it?

MR. CHEN: Judge, I'm going to object to that again. That's actually mischaracterizing exactly what the forensic examiner testified that "last written" specifically means.

MR. WESTBROOK: I don't think it does. I don't think we're clear.

THE COURT: Well, the jury will — it's your memory, your notes as to what the testimony was. And, I'm sorry. What was your question?

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, my question was, because I — I'm going into her investigation and I — I apologize.

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

Q I know it sounds like I'm trying to quiz you on your specific knowledge of computers. I'm not. If in your investigation you had an allegation like in this case that here's the thumb drive, it's got child pornography on it and it belongs to that guy over there, okay? And you found out that the person who gave you the disk had actually placed an image on the drive the day before they gave it to you when it was in their sole possession, that would be important information for you; wouldn't it?

A If I [inaudible] the report, yes.

1.8

A No.

Q Okay. So you think that if, you know, you went home today and you had a virus on your computer and it downloaded some child pornography that you've committed the act of —

A I would be in possession of it; but it's up to me to know what's on my computer and to keep my virus up running.

Q So then you should be found guilty in a trial according to you?

A Not necessarily, no. There's circumstances — MR. CHEN: Objection, Your Honor. [Inaudible] legal conclusion that he's asking her to speculate on.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I'm not asking to speculate on legal conclusion. I'm asking her what she believes in this case to get her mindset for when she was interrogating my client.

MR. CHEN: In that case then I would object as to irrelevant.

MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.

THE COURT: I want you to stay away from things that

-- the jury is going to be instructed on what will be required

for this particular alleged crime. You're going to get the

law at the end of the case, so I don't want you to ask this

- 11	
1	witness what the law is, and that's what you're getting to.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Right.
3	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
4	Q And I don't want to make that mistake because
5	obviously you're not a lawyer or a judge, right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And we don't want to confuse anybody about what
8	the law is because they'll be instructed, right? Okay. What
9	I'm asking you is: If you think someone has child pornography
10	on their computer, in your mind they've committed a crime?
11	A No.
12	Q The answer is no. Okay. Then why did you say
13	just a second ago that if they didn't know it was on their
14	computer then you think that they're guilty of it?
15	A Because you didn't let me finish the question.
16	MR. CHEN: Judge, I'm going to object again because
17	[inaudible].
18	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
19	Q Okay.
20	A Finish the answer, rather.
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	MR. CHEN: Motion to strike.
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you.
25	THE COURT: The jury is to

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
2	THE COURT: — disregard the question.
3	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
4	Q So when you go to an interrogation
5	THE COURT: Wait.
6	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, I'm sorry.
7	THE COURT: Don't talk over.
8	MR. WESTBROOK: Sorry, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Now, go.
10	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
11	Q Okay. When you go into interrogation, you go in
12	with some evidence, right?
13	A Sometimes.
14	Q Sometimes you go in with zero evidence?
15	A What are you defining as evidence? Physical
16	evidence?
17	Q Well, in this case
18	A A statement or
19	Q You always have some suspicion, obviously. You
20	don't stop people on the street and randomly interview them
21	and see if they're [inaudible] crimes?
22	A No.
23	Q Of course. You always have something to go on
24	when you talk to somebody, even if it's a mere allegation,
25	right?

- 11	
1	A Normally in place that I used to employ,
2	Stincelli.
3	Q Stincelli. Can you spell that for me?
4	A I believe it's S-T-I-N-C-E-L-L-I, Carl
5	Stincelli. These were techniques that I used primarily when I
6	was conducting sexual assault juvenile investigations.
7	Q Okay.
8	A ICAC investigations are different.
9	Q So you had your direct confrontation, you
LO	certainly did in this case many times, right?
11	A If you're considering those direct
l.2	confrontations, then yes. I don't consider that a direct
1.3	confrontation.
14	Q Okay. Well, you said
15	A I can give you an example of what I consider
16	direct.
17	Q Yeah, please give me an example while I'm
18	looking through
19	A During the course of an interview, like I said,
20	when I used this particular model of techniques, I would go
21	in, I would talk with the suspect, see what their point of
22	view was, tell them what [inaudible], then I would break, come
23	back and confront the suspect. That's what I consider a
24	direct confrontation.
25	Q Was there an interview before this interview?

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 219

1	A No. I'm talking about I could give you an
2	example as doing a sexual assault juvenile interrogation.
3	Q Okay. All right.
4	A I don't do my interrogations like this on this
5	particular style of case.
6	Q All right. There was, though, a break and then
7	you come back?
8	A That was not intentional.
9	Q You just happened to follow the same pattern
10	that you normally use?
11	A There was no direct confrontation on that one
12	either.
13	Q Okay. I guess we might have different
14	definitions of what a direct confrontation is. You said that
15	he downloaded child porn, in no uncertain terms, you accused
16	him of that; is that not a direct confrontation?
17	A It's a statement. I'm not like I said, it
18	depends on your interpretation and my interpretation. That's
19	your interpretation. I did say that, yes.
20	Q Okay. Is it also one of your techniques when
21	you're in an interview to stretch the truth a little bit?
22	A We're allowed to stretch the truth, yes.
23	Q Yes. And, in fact, in this interview you
24	stretched the truth a little bit?
25	A Can you give me an example?

1	Q Sure.
2	MR. CHEN: Your Honor, prior to him doing that, I'm
3	actually going to ask to approach, if we could.
4	MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	(Off-record bench conference.)
7	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
8	Q All right. This — this might be an example;
9	but please explain it if I'm wrong about this. Do you happen
LO	to have the transcript of the interview in front of you?
11	A Yes.
L2	Q Okay. Could you turn to page 108?
13	MR. WESTBROOK: And this is — it's all right for me
14	to stay back here and refer to pages; is that right?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
17	Q If you go to page 108, you're — you're telling
18	Mr. Castaneda, You've got it on your computers and you saved
19	it on the thumb drives, plural, And not just the thumb drive
20	that I have, but a thumb drive that's in there too, okay?
21	A Uh-huh.
22	Q You had not recovered any other thumb drives at
23	all from the house, right?
24	A No.
25	Q And there wasn't another thumb drive that had

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 221

pornography of any --1 Not that I'm aware of. When we're doing the 2 interview we -- members of our team will let us know if they 3 found child porn, and where I got the second thumb drive --4 maybe somebody had said another drive or something. Either it 5 got typed wrong to me or I misinterpreted it wrong so --6 7 0 Okay. -- because I was looking at that too, and I 8 don't remember -- obviously there wasn't another thumb drive 9 impounded, therefore, there wasn't porn on it so. 10 So at this point you might have thought there 11 was another thumb drive; is that right? 12 13 Α Yes. There wasn't, though, any other thumb 14 0 Okav. drive? 15 No, no, just the -- the original one. 16 Α Okay. But under your — under your techniques 17 0 -- I mean, it's okay to stretch the truth a little bit, right? 18 19 Yes. Α It's not okay on the stand, obviously? 20 Q No, I'm under oath. I can't lie. 21 Α It's a totally different scenario, right? 22 Q Correct. 23 Α But when you're out interviewing in the field, 24

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

sometimes you want to try to convince the person that you're

THE MARSHAL: It's the courtroom 3B.

THE COURT: It's just down the hall a little further. 1 All right. So I'm going to read you the admonition. Ladies 2 and gentlemen, we are going to take an overnight recess. 3 During this recess it is your duty not to converse amongst 4 yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with 5 the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or 6 commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial 7 or by any medium of information, including without limitation 8 newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, and you are not 9 to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with 10 this trial until it is finally submitted to you. Thank you. 11 (Jury panel adjourned at 5:02 p.m.) 12 THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect we're 13 outside the presence of the jury. We're free to let this 14 15 witness go and then return at 11:00 tomorrow. MR. WESTBROOK: That's -- that's fine, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: Courtroom 3B. 18 THE COURT: ЗВ. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. 20 THE COURT: Good evening. 21 THE WITNESS: You too. 22 THE COURT: Anything else outside the presence? 23 MR. CHEN: Not from the State, Your Honor.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

MR. WESTBROOK: Do we want to put the jury

24

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of Nevada Rules of Appellate

Procedure, this is a rough draft transcript expeditiously prepared,
not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

KIMBERLY LAWSON TRANSCRIBER

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
2		
3	ANTHONY CASTANEDA,) No. 64515	
4	Appellant,	
5	vi.	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Respondent.)	
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VI PAGES 1092-1317	
10	PHILIP L KOHN STEVE WOLFSON	
11 12	Clark County Public Defender Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
13	Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General 100 North Carson Street	
14	100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538	
15	Counsel for Respondent	
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevad	
18	Supreme Court on the 200 day of 2014. Electronic Service of the	ıe
19	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:	
20	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO AUDREY M CONWAY STEVEN S. OWENS HOWARD S. BROOKS	
21	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and	
22	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:	
2324	ANTHONY CASTANEDA 370 E. Harmon #H305	
25	Las Vegas, NV 89169	
26	BY Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office	
27	Employee, Clare Confiny Fublic Defender's Office	