Electronically Filed 12/03/2013 02:56:35 PM

1	NOTC	Alun to Blum	
2	JOHN OHLSON, ESQ. Bar Number 1672	CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	275 Hill Street, Suite 230 Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: (775) 323-2700 Attorney for Plaintiff		
4	Telephone: (775) 323-2700 Attorney for Plaintiff	Electronically Filed Dec 09 2013 02:27 p.m	١.
5		Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Cour	t
6	DISTRICT C	COUDT	
7	CLARK COUNTY		
8	*****		
9	VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON,		
10 11	Plaintiff,	Case No.: A-13-687300-C	
12	VS.	Dept. No.: 1	
13	NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.; DOES I-X and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X,	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
14	Defendants.		
15	/		
16	Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff, V	VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON,	
17	by and through her counsel, JOHN OHLS	ON, ESQ., appeals to the Supreme	
18	Court of the State of Nevada from this Court	's Order Granting Defendant Norton	
19	A. Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plant	aintiff's Complaint With Prejudice,	
20	entered on November 19, 2013. A copy of	of this Court's Order is attached as	
21 22	Exhibit "1."		
	DATED this 3rd day of December, 201	13.	
23 24		<u>/s/ John Ohlson</u> John Ohlson, ESQ.	
25		Nevada Bar No. 1672 275 Hill Street, Suite 230	
26		Reno, Nevada 89501 (775) 323-2700	
27		Attorney for Plaintiff Vivian Marie Lee Harrison	
28			

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of JOHN OHLSON, and on thi		
3	date I personally caused to be served a true copy of the foregoing <b>NOTICE O</b>		
4	APPEAL by the method indicated and addressed to the following:		
5	37. 37. 110.34.1		
6	John H. Cotton, Esq.  Brianna Smith, Esq.  Wia U.S. Mail  Wia Overnight Mail		
7	Cotton, Driggs, Walch, Holley, Via Hand Delivery		
8	Woloson & Thompson 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Via Facsimile Via ECF		
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 — Via LC1		
<b>10</b>			
11	DATED this 3rd day of December, 2013.		
12			
13	/s/ Robert M. May		
14	Robert M. May		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

### **SCHEDULE OF EXHIBITS**

3 EXHIBIT 1:

Order Granting Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint With Prejudice

# **EXHIBIT 1**

**EXHIBIT 1** 

## ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 11/19/2013 08:48:30 AM

CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

JOHN H. COTTON, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 005268 BRIANNA SMITH, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 11795

COTTON, DRIGGS, WALCH,

HOLLEY, WOLOSON & THOMPSON

400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702/791-0308

Facsimile: 702/791-1912

ROE CORPORATIONS I-X.

Attorneys for Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.

Plaintiff.

Defendants.

NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.; DOES I-X and

7

6

Ě

2

3

4

8

9

10

H

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

**2**3 24

25 26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON.

Case No .: Dept. No.: A-13-687300-C

Hearing Dates: 10/8/2013 (Oral Argument) 10/21/2013 (In Chambers)

### ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

On October 8, 2013, Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with Prejudice came on for hearing before the Honorable Kenneth Cory in Department 1. Oral argument was entertained and Plaintiff requested the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing which was granted by the Court.

On October 9, 2013, Plaintiff submitted her Supplemental Points and Authorities. On October 15, 2013, Defendants submitted his Reply to Plaintiff's Supplemental Points and Authorities. The pleadings and papers filed, including the original Motion and Opposition thereto came on for hearing in chambers on October 21, 2013. The Court having reviewed the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff's Opposition, and supplemental points and authorities

15 156	Historica group	FINAL DISPOSITIONS
Stip Jøgent	[] "con-Lay Yes!	☐ Time Limit Expired
Sets∪! Joon :	∰ zay hal	□ Dismissed (with the with 6 g at 2000)
: 1 Partiered		☐ Judgment Salistied Paid → Cr.

from both parties, hereby enters the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice:

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- On June 26, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Complaint. That Complaint asserted causes
  of action for medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction
  of emotional distress and civil conspiracy.
- 2. Per the Complaint, in and during years 2011 and 2012. Plaintiff Vivian Harrison. ("Plaintiff") was a party to a family court divorce case against her then-husband. Kirk Harrison (herein "the divorce proceeding"). (Complaint, ¶7).
- 3. During the divorce proceeding, Mr. Harrison retained a forensic psychiatric expert, Norton Roitman, M.D., to provide a psychiatric analysis of Plaintiff. (Id., ¶8).
- 4. Plaintiff alleges that Dr. Roitman's psychiatric analysis dated June 9, 2011, diagnosed Plaintiff with narcissistic personality disorder and provided an analysis, conclusions and diagnosis regarding Plaintiff without ever having met Plaintiff. (Id., ¶9-14).
- 5. Plaintiff further alleges that by rendering the psychiatric analysis, Dr. Roitman fell below the standard of care and caused injury and harm to Plaintiff. (Id. ¶14).
  - 6. Dr. Roitman filed a Motion to Dismiss on September 4, 2013.
- On October 8, 2013, oral argument was entertained by the Court and Plaintiff
   requested the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing which was granted by the Court.
  - 8. On October 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Supplemental Points and Authorities.
- 9. Also on October 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint alleging the same causes of action for medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress and civil conspiracy all derived from the same allegations concerning Dr. Roitman's psychiatric analysis.

16 17

20

18

21 22

24

23

25 26

27 28

10. On October 15, 2013, Dr. Roitman filed his Reply to Plaintiff's Supplemental Points and Authorities, and sought dismissal of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint with prejudice on the basis of absolutely immunity.

Н. A subsequent in chambers hearing on Dr. Roitman's Motion to Dismiss was held October 21, 2013,

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. "Absolute immunity [is granted] to all statements made in the course of, or incidental to, a judicial proceeding, so long as they are relevant to the proceedings." Sahara Gaming Corp. v. Culinary Workers Union Local 226, 115 Nev. 212, 218, 984 P.2d 164, 168 (1999)(citations omitted). "This has been the policy and rule in Nevada for the last seventy years and the privilege includes administrative hearings, quasi-judicial proceedings as well as judicial actions. It is in the public's right to know what transpires in the legal proceedings of this state and that is paramount to the fact someone may occasionally make false and malicious statements." Id., 115 Nev. at 219, 984 P.2d at 168.
- 2. This Court finds Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Engirs, Inc., 113 Wash. 2di 123 (1989) as authority on this issue.
- The Court holds that "[w]itnesses in judicial pleadings are absolutely immune from suit based on their testimony," Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs., Inc. et al., 113. Wash. 2d 123 (1989).
- 4. The immunity extends not only to expert testimony, but also acts, communications and expert reports which occur in connection with the preparation for the matter in controversy. Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs., Inc., 113 Wash, 2d 123, 136, (1989).
- 5. Accordingly, absolute immunity extends to all of the present causes of action naming Dr. Roitman, including medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress.

1	negligent infliction of emotional distress, and civil conspiracy.	
2	Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that	
3	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint is GRANTED WITH PREJUDICE and	
4	JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff.	
5	It is further ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED that as a result of dismissal with	
6	prejudice, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is also hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.	
7		
8	DATED: /5 , 2013.	
9	Kannet Robert	
10	HONORABLE KENNETH GORY	
11	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 10	
12	Submitted By:	
13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14	COTTON, DRIGGS, WALCH, HOLLEY, WOLOSON & THOMPSON	
15		
16	JOHN H. COTTON, ESQ.	
17	Nevada Bar No. 005268 BRIANNA SMITH, ESQ.	
18	Nevada Bar No. 11795	
19	400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
20	Attorneys for Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.	
21	Approved as to hopmand Content:	
22	1 Allhann	
23	10/4/19X/J Y/	
24	JOHN OHLSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar Number 1672	
25	275 Hill Street, Suite 230 Reno, Nevada 89501	
26	Attorney for Plaintiff Vivian Marie Lee Harrison	

1 ASTA JOHN OHLSON, ESQ. CLERK OF THE COURT 2 Bar Number 1672 275 Hill Street, Suite 230 Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: (775) 323-2700 Attorney for Plaintiff 3 4 5 6 DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 \*\*\*\* 9 VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON. 10 Case No.: A-13-687300-C Plaintiff, 11 Dept. No.: 1 VS. 12 NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.; DOES CASE APPEAL 13 I-X and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X. 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 Plaintiff/Appellant, VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON, by and through 18 her attorney, JOHN OHLSON, ESQ., and pursuant to NRAP 3(f), submits her 19 Case Appeal Statement, as follows: 20 The appellant filing this case appeal statement is Plaintiff, VIVIAN 1. 21 MARIE LEE HARRISON. 22 2. The judge who issued the Order Granting Defendant Norton A. 23 Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint With Prejudice is THE 24 HONORABLE KENNETH CORY. 25 Counsel for Appellant, VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON, is: 3. 26 JOHN OHSLON, ESQ. 27 NV Bar. No. 1672 275 Hill Street, Suite 230 28 Reno, Nevada 89501

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

Respondent in this appeal is NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D. 4. Representing Respondent on appeal is:

> John H. Cotton, Esq. Brianna Smith, Esq. Cotton, Driggs, Walch, Holley, Woloson & Thompson 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

- Appellant is not aware of any attorney appearing in this case that is 5. not licensed to practice law in Nevada.
- Appellant was represented by counsel named in paragraph three, above, in the district court proceedings.
- 7. Appellant will be represented by counsel named in paragraph three, above, in these appellate proceedings. Appellant has retained counsel to represent her in this case.
  - 8. Appellant has not been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.
- 9. The proceedings commenced in the district court upon the Appellant's June 26, 2013, Complaint,
- Plaintiff/Appellant sued the Defendant for medical malpractice, 10. negligent and intentional emotional distress, and civil conspiracy based upon a psychological report prepared by the Defendant that, among other things, diagnosed Plaintiff/Appellant with narcissistic personality disorder based solely on information provided by a third person and despite that he had never met or seen Plaintiff/Appellant, that was used against her in litigation to which she was a party. The district court granted the Defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint with prejudice. Plaintiff/Appellant appeals the district court's November 19, 2013, Order Granting Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint With Prejudice.
- 11. This case not has previously been the subject of any other appeal or writ proceeding before this Court.

1	12. This appeal does not involve child custody or visitation.
2	13. This appeal does not involve the possibility of settlement.
3	DATED this 3rd day of December, 2013.
4	
5	/s/ John Ohlson
6	John Ohlson, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1672
7	275 Hill Street, Suite 230 Reno, Nevada 89501
8	(775) 323-2700 Attorney for Plaintiff/App Vivian Marie Lee Harriso
9	Vivian Marie Lee Harriso
<b>10</b>	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

<u>Ohlson</u> n, ESQ.
No. 1672
Seet, Suite 230
Ida 89501
C700
r Plaintiff/Appellant
ie Lee Harrison

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that I am an employee of JOHN OHLSON, and on this date I personally caused to be served a true copy of the foregoing CASE APPEAL STATEMENT by the method indicated and addressed to the following: X\_ Via U.S. Mail John H. Cotton, Esq. Brianna Smith, Esq. Cotton, Driggs, Walch, Holley, Woloson & Thompson 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor \_\_\_ Via Overnight Mail Via Hand Delivery Via Facsimile Via ECF Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

/s/ Robert M. May

Robert M. May

DATED this 3rd day of December, 2013.

#### CASE SUMMARY

### CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-13-687300-C

§ §

Vivian Lee Harrison, Plaintiff(s) Norton Roitman, M.D., Defendant(s)

10/03/2013

Reply

Location: Department 1 Judicial Officer: Cory, Kenneth 88 Filed on: 08/21/2013

Case Number History:

Cross-Reference Case A687300

Number:

	Case Information		
Statistical Closures	Case T	Гуре:	Negligence - Medical/Dental

11/19/2013 Motion to Dismiss (By Defendant)

Case Flags: Med Mal Case

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

**Current Case Assignment** 

Case Number A-13-687300-C Court Department 1 Date Assigned 08/27/2013 Judicial Officer Cory, Kenneth

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie Ohlson, John

Retained 7753238678(W)

Lead Attorneys

Defendant Cotton, John H Roitman, Norton A, M.D. Retained

702-791-0308(W) DATE **EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT** INDEX 08/21/2013 Order for Change of Venue Filed By: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D. Order Changing Venue 08/27/2013 Substitution of Attorney Filed by: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D. Substitution of Attorney 08/27/2013 Peremptory Challenge Filed by: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D. Perentory Challenge 08/27/2013 Notice of Department Reassignment 09/04/2013 Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D. Defendant Norton A. Roitman, MD.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint 09/20/2013 Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss

### CASE SUMMARY

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-13-687300-C

	Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie	
	Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 12/5/2013	270.00 270.00 <b>0.00</b>
287 B \$ \$7	Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D.	
DATE	Case Appeal Statement Financial Information	
12/03/2013	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie	
12/03/2013	Notice Filed By: Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie Notice of Appeal	
11/19/2013	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Cory, Kenneth) Debtors: Vivian Marie Lee Harrison (Plaintiff) Creditors: Norton A Roitman, MD. (Defendant) Judgment: 11/19/2013, Docketed: 11/26/2013	
11/19/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D. Amended Notice of Entry of Order	
11/19/2013	Order Granting Motion Filed By: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D.  Order Granting Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with Prejudice	
11/18/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D. Notice of Entry of Order	
10/15/2013	Supplement Filed by: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D.  Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Supplemental Reply in Support of His Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with Prejudice	
10/09/2013	Supplemental Points and Authorities Filed by: Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie Supplemental Points and Authorities	
10/09/2013	Amended Complaint Filed By: Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie Amended Complaint	
10/08/2013	Motion to Dismiss (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cory, Kenneth) 10/08/2013, 10/21/2013  Defendant Norton A. Roitman, MD.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint Parties Present: Attorney Ohlson, John Attorney Smith, Brianna Plaintiff Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie	
	Filed by: Defendant Roitman, Norton A, M.D.  Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with Prejudice	

### CASE SUMMARY

### CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-13-687300-C

Total Charges	0.00
Total Payments and Credits	0.00
Balance Due as of 12/5/2013	0.00

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

-Clark\_County, Nevada Case No.

(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

A-13-687300-C

Form PA 201 Rev. 2.5E

I. Party Information		by Cark's Office/	/ · · · ·		
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendant(s) (name/add	ress/phone):		
VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON		NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.			
Attorney (name/address/phone): John Ohlson		Attorney (name/address/	/phone): Edward J. Lemons,		
275 Hill St.,		(	LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG		
Reno, NV 89			6005 Plumas St., 3 <sup>rd</sup> Fl		
(775)323-27			Reno, NV 89519		
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			(775) 786-6868		
			(773) 700-0000		
II. Nature of Controversy (Please capplicable subcategory, if appropriate)	heck applicable bold	category and	Arbitration Requested		
	Civ	il Cases			
Real Property		To	orts		
☐ Landlord/Tenant		gligence	Product Liability		
☐ Unlawful Detainer	Negligence – Au		Product Liability/Motor Vehicle		
☐ Title to Property	<ul><li>✓ Negligence – Me</li><li>✓ Negligence – Pro</li></ul>		Other Torts/Product Liability  Intentional Misconduct		
☐ Foreclosure ☐ Liens		Slip/Fall)	☐ Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander)		
Quiet Title	☐ Negligence – Ot	her	☐ Interfere with Contract Rights		
Specific Performance			Employment Torts (Wrongful termination) Other Torts		
Condemnations Entitlem Domain CCS	– 687300 – C		Anti-trust		
Other Real Property Civil Cover Sheat 2849630			☐ Fraud/Misrepresentation ☐ Insurance		
☐ Partition ☐ Planning/Zoning	18188111000110001000		Legal Tort		
			Unfair Competition		
Probate			Filing Types		
Estimated Estate Value:	Construction De		☐ Appeal from Lower Court (also check applicable civil case box)		
Summary Administration	☐ Chapter 40	,	☐ Transfer from Justice Court		
General Administration	Breach of Contr		☐ Justice Court Civil Appeal		
Special Administration	Insurance	¿ Construction Carrier	☐ Civil Writ ☐ Other Special Proceeding		
Set Aside Estates		al Instrument tracts/Acct/Judgment	Other Civil Filing		
☐ Trust/Conservatorships ☐ Individual Trustee	☐ Collection	of Actions	☐ Compromise of Minor's Claim☐ Conversion of Property		
Corporate Trustee	☐ Employme ☐ Guarantee	ent Contract	☐ Damage to Property		
Other Probate	☐ Sale Contr	act	☐ Employment Security ☐ Enforcement of Judgment		
	Uniform C	Commercial Code	☐ Foreign Judgment – Civil		
	☐ Foreclosure	Mediation	☐ Other Personal Property ☐ Recovery of Property		
		inistrative Law of Motor Vehicles	Stockholder Suit		
Worker's Compensation Appeal Other Civil Matters					
III. Business Court Requested (Please check applicable category; for Clark or Washoe Counties only.)					
□ NRS Chapters 78-88	☐ Investments (NR		Enhanced Case Mgmt/Business		
☐ Commodities (NRS 90) ☐ Securities (NRS 90)	☐ Deceptive Trade ☐ Trademarks (NR	Practices (NRS 598) S 600A)	Other Business Court Matters		
		6 11	<del>)                                    </del>		
8 21 3 Nevada AOC - Research and Statistics Unit		Zawara Te	LLONS E- DA 201		
riorada (NOC - rescaren and Statistics Unit		/1	Form PA 201		

# ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 11/19/2013 08:48:30 AM

Alun & Lauren

ORDR

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

JOHN H. COTTON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 005268 BRIANNA SMITH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 11795

COTTON, DRIGGS, WALCH,

HOLLEY, WOLOSON & THOMPSON

400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor

5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702/791-03

Facsimile:

702/791-0308 702/791-1912

Attorneys for Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.

Poitman, M.D.

**DISTRICT COURT** 

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON,

 $\times$ 

Plaintiff.

V.

NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.; DOES I-X and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X,

Defendants.

Case No.:

A-13-687300-C

Dept. No.:

Hearing Dates: 10/8/2013 (Oral Argument)

10/21/2013 (In Chambers)

# ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

On October 8, 2013, Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with Prejudice came on for hearing before the Honorable Kenneth Cory in Department 1. Oral argument was entertained and Plaintiff requested the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing which was granted by the Court.

On October 9, 2013, Plaintiff submitted her Supplemental Points and Authorities. On October 15, 2013, Defendants submitted his Reply to Plaintiff's Supplemental Points and Authorities. The pleadings and papers filed, including the original Motion and Opposition thereto came on for hearing in chambers on October 21, 2013. The Court having reviewed the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff's Opposition, and supplemental points and authorities

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	* 59.03	□ Furr Jögmt	FINAL DISPOSITIONS  Time Limit Expired
• . • •	Smoudgest	[] Non-Juzy Trial	☐ Time Limit Expired
1.0	) Detauf udgat	Laury Thai	☐ Dismissed (with or without produce).
• ()	s., Transferred		☐ Judgment Satisfied Paid + 1 /-

23

24

2526

27

from both parties, hereby enters the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On June 26, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Complaint. That Complaint asserted causes of action for medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent inflection of emotional distress and civil conspiracy.
- 2. Per the Complaint, in and during years 2011 and 2012, Plaintiff Vivian Harrison ("Plaintiff") was a party to a family court divorce case against her then-husband, Kirk Harrison (herein "the divorce proceeding"). (Complaint, ¶7).
- 3. During the divorce proceeding, Mr. Harrison retained a forensic psychiatric expert, Norton Roitman, M.D., to provide a psychiatric analysis of Plaintiff. (*Id.*, ¶8).
- 4. Plaintiff alleges that Dr. Roitman's psychiatric analysis dated June 9, 2011, diagnosed Plaintiff with narcissistic personality disorder and provided an analysis, conclusions and diagnosis regarding Plaintiff without ever having met Plaintiff. (*Id.*, ¶¶9-14).
- 5. Plaintiff further alleges that by rendering the psychiatric analysis, Dr. Roitman fell below the standard of care and caused injury and harm to Plaintiff. (*Id.*, ¶14).
  - 6. Dr. Roitman filed a Motion to Dismiss on September 4, 2013.
- 7. On October 8, 2013, oral argument was entertained by the Court and Plaintiff requested the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing which was granted by the Court.
  - 8. On October 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Supplemental Points and Authorities.
- 9. Also on October 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint alleging the same causes of action for medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress and civil conspiracy all derived from the same allegations concerning Dr. Roitman's psychiatric analysis.

- 10. On October 15, 2013, Dr. Roitman filed his Reply to Plaintiff's Supplemental Points and Authorities, and sought dismissal of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint with prejudice on the basis of absolutely immunity.
- A subsequent in chambers hearing on Dr. Roitman's Motion to Dismiss was held
   October 21, 2013.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. "Absolute immunity [is granted] to all statements made in the course of, or incidental to, a judicial proceeding, so long as they are relevant to the proceedings." Sahara Gaming Corp. v. Culinary Workers Union Local 226, 115 Nev. 212, 218, 984 P.2d 164, 168 (1999)(citations omitted). "This has been the policy and rule in Nevada for the last seventy years and the privilege includes administrative hearings, quasi-judicial proceedings as well as judicial actions. It is in the public's right to know what transpires in the legal proceedings of this state and that is paramount to the fact someone may occasionally make false and malicious statements." Id., 115 Nev. at 219, 984 P.2d at 168.
- 2. This Court finds *Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs, Inc.*, 113 Wash. 2d 123 (1989) as authority on this issue.
- 3. The Court holds that "[w]itnesses in judicial pleadings are absolutely immune from suit based on their testimony." *Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs., Inc. et al.*, 113 Wash. 2d 123 (1989).
- 4. The immunity extends not only to expert testimony, but also acts, communications and expert reports which occur in connection with the preparation for the matter in controversy. *Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs., Inc.*, 113 Wash. 2d 123, 136, (1989).
- 5. Accordingly, absolute immunity extends to all of the present causes of action naming Dr. Roitman, including medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress.

1	negligent infliction of emotional distress, and civil conspiracy.		
2	Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that		
3	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint is GRANTED WITH PREJUDICE and		
4	JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff.		
5	It is further ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED that as a result of dismissal with		
6	prejudice, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is also hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.		
7			
8	DATED:		
9	Kannet Court		
10	HONORABLE KENNETH CORY		
11	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 45		
12	Submitted By:		
13	COTTON, DRIGGS, WALCH,		
14	HOLLEY, WOLOSON & THOMPSON		
15			
16	JOHN H. COTTON, ESQ.		
17	Nevada Bar No. 005268 BRIANNA SMITH, ESQ.		
18	Nevada Bar No. 11795		
19	400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
20	Attorneys for Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.		
21	Approved as to joym and Content:		
22	1 A Chilosop		
23	JOHN OHLSON, ESQ.		
24	Nevada Bar Number 1672		
25	275 Hill Street, Suite 230 Reno, Nevada 89501		
26	Attorney for Plaintiff Vivian Marie Lee Harrison		
27			

1	NEOJ JOHN H. COTTON, ESQ.	Alun to Chum			
2	Nevada Bar No. 005268 BRIANNA SMITH, ESQ.	CLERK OF THE COURT			
3	Nevada Bar No. 11795 COTTON, DRIGGS, WALCH,				
4	HOLLEY, WOLOSON & THOMPSON 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor				
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101				
6	Telephone: 702/791-0308 Facsimile: 702/791-1912				
7	Attorneys for Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.				
8	DISTRICT	COURT			
9	CLARK COUN	ΓY, NEVADA			
10	VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON,	Case No.: A-13-687300-C			
11	Plaintiff,	Dept. No.: 1			
12	v.				
13		AMENDED NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER			
14	NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.; DOES I-X and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X,	ORDER			
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	TO: PLAINTIFF AND HER COUNSEL (	OF RECORD:			
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order was	entered in the above entitled matter on the 18th			
19	day of November 2013, a <u>file stamped</u> copy of w	hich is attached hereto.			
20 21	Dated thisday of November 2013.				
22	COTTON, I	ORIGGS, WALCH, WOLOSON & THOMPSON			
23	HOLLEY,	WOLOSON & THOMISON			
24					
25		OTTON ESQ. No. 005 <del>268</del>			
26	BRIANNA	SMITH, ESQ.			
	Nevada Bar 400 South F	No. 11795 Fourth Street, Third Floor			
27	Las Vegas,	Nevada 89101 or Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.			
28	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on the <u>Cold and Sovember</u> 2013 and pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER postage prepaid and addressed to:

JOHN OHLSON, ESQ. 275 Hill Street, Suite 230 Reno, Nevada 89501 Attorney for Plaintiff Vivian Marie Lee Harrison

An employee of Cotton, Driggs, Walch, Holley, Woloson & Thompson

# ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 11/19/2013 08:48:30 AM

Alun & Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
JOHN H. COTTON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 005268
BRIANNA SMITH, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 11795
COTTON, DRIGGS, WALCH,
HOLLEY, WOLOSON & THOMPSON
400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702/791-0308 Facsimile: 702/791-1912

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON.

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: A-13-687300-C
Dept. No.: 1

NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.; DOES I-X and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X,

Defendants.

Hearing Dates: 10/8/2013 (Oral Argument) 10/21/2013 (In Chambers)

# ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

On October 8, 2013, Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with Prejudice came on for hearing before the Honorable Kenneth Cory in Department 1. Oral argument was entertained and Plaintiff requested the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing which was granted by the Court.

On October 9, 2013, Plaintiff submitted her Supplemental Points and Authorities. On October 15, 2013, Defendants submitted his Reply to Plaintiff's Supplemental Points and Authorities. The pleadings and papers filed, including the original Motion and Opposition thereto came on for hearing in chambers on October 21, 2013. The Court having reviewed the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss; Plaintiff's Opposition, and supplemental points and authorities

<b>×</b>	She Jidgmt	() Non-Jury That () Jury Frail	FINAL DISPOSITIONS  Time Limit Expired  Dismissed (with prividing a paya)  Judgment Sabshed Pakenthria
	1 1, 10 16 60		and an advantage to the control of t

from both parties, hereby enters the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice:

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On June 26, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Complaint. That Complaint asserted causes of action for medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent inflection of emotional distress and civil conspiracy.
- 2. Per the Complaint, in and during years 2011 and 2012, Plaintiff Vivian Harrison ("Plaintiff") was a party to a family court divorce case against her then-husband, Kirk Harrison (herein "the divorce proceeding"), (Complaint, ¶7).
- 3. During the divorce proceeding. Mr. Harrison retained a forensic psychiatric expert, Norton Roitman, M.D., to provide a psychiatric analysis of Plaintiff. (*Id.*, ¶8).
- 4. Plaintiff alleges that Dr. Roitman's psychiatric analysis dated June 9, 2011. diagnosed Plaintiff with narcissistic personality disorder and provided an analysis, conclusions and diagnosis regarding Plaintiff without ever having met Plaintiff. (*Id.*, ¶¶9-14).
- 5. Plaintiff further alleges that by rendering the psychiatric analysis, Dr. Roitman fell below the standard of care and caused injury and harm to Plaintiff. (*Id.*, ¶14).
  - 6. Dr. Roitman filed a Motion to Dismiss on September 4, 2013.
- 7. On October 8, 2013, oral argument was entertained by the Court and Plaintiff requested the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing which was granted by the Court.
  - 8. On October 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Supplemental Points and Authorities.
- 9. Also on October 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint alleging the same causes of action for medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress and civil conspiracy all derived from the same allegations concerning Dr. Roitman's psychiatric analysis.

- 10. On October 15, 2013, Dr. Roitman filed his Reply to Plaintiff's Supplemental Points and Authorities, and sought dismissal of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint with prejudice on the basis of absolutely immunity.
- 11. A subsequent in chambers hearing on Dr. Roitman's Motion to Dismiss was held October 21, 2013.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. "Absolute immunity [is granted] to all statements made in the course of, or incidental to, a judicial proceeding, so long as they are relevant to the proceedings." Sahara Gaming Corp. v. Culinary Workers Union Local 226, 115 Nev. 212, 218, 984 P.2d 164, 168 (1999)(citations omitted). "This has been the policy and rule in Nevada for the last seventy years and the privilege includes administrative hearings, quasi-judicial proceedings as well as judicial actions. It is in the public's right to know what transpires in the legal proceedings of this state and that is paramount to the fact someone may occasionally make false and malicious statements." Id., 115 Nev. at 219, 984 P.2d at 168.
- 2. This Court finds *Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs, Inc.*, 113 Wash. 2d 123 (1989) as authority on this issue.
- 3. The Court holds that "[w]itnesses in judicial pleadings are absolutely immune from suit based on their testimony." Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Engirs., Inc. et al., 113 Wash. 2d 123 (1989).
- 4. The immunity extends not only to expert testimony, but also acts, communications and expert reports which occur in connection with the preparation for the matter in controversy. *Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs., Inc.,* 113 Wash. 2d 123, 136, (1989).
- 5. Accordingly, absolute immunity extends to all of the present causes of action naming Dr. Roitman, including medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress.

1	negligent infliction of emotional distress, and civil conspiracy.			
2	Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that			
3	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint is GRANTED WITH PREJUDICE and			
4	JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff.			
5	It is further ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED that as a result of dismissal with			
6	prejudice, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is also hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.			
7				
8	DATED: //w /5 . 2013.			
9	Kannet Court			
10	HONORABLE KENNETH CORY			
11	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 🚜			
12	Submitted By:			
13	COTTON, DRIGGS, WALCH,			
14	HOLLEY, WOLOSON & THOMPSON			
15				
16	JOHN H. COTTON, ESQ.			
17	Nevada Bar No. 005268 BRIANNA SMITH, ESQ.			
18	Nevada Bar No. 11795			
19	400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
20	Attorneys for Defendant Norton A. Roitman, M.D.			
21	Approved as to form and Content:			
22	1 A Chkann			
23	IGUN OTH SON ISSO			
24	JÖHN OHLSON, ESQ.  Nevada Bar Number 1672			
25	275 Hill Street, Suite 230 Reno, Nevada 89501			
26	Attorney for Plaintiff Vivian Marie Lee Harrison			
27				

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Negligence - Medical/Dental COURT MINUTES

October 08, 2013

A-13-687300-C Vivian Lee Harrison, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Norton Roitman, M.D., Defendant(s)

October 08, 2013 9:00 AM Motion to Dismiss

HEARD BY: Cory, Kenneth COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16A

**COURT CLERK:** Michele Tucker

**RECORDER:** Beverly Sigurnik

REPORTER:

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT: Lee Harrison, Vivian Marie Plaintiff

Ohlson, John Attorney Smith, Brianna Attorney

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court disclosed its step son had treated with Dr. Roitman years ago. Counsel advised there was no issue and they could proceed. Ms. Smith gave summary of case wherein Dr. Roitman was hired as a forensic doctor by the Plaintiff's ex-husband during their divorce. Ms. Smith argued the Dr. is immune from this loss suit as to anything during the prior proceedings. Mr. Ohlson gave summary of divorce proceedings and ex-husband hiring Dr. Roitman to do a mental evaluation of the Plaintiff. A draft was given to Dr. Roitman, he made some changes signed off on it and it was used during the custody hearing. Mr. Ohlson argued the Dr. should have never signed off as he never evaluated the Plaintiff. Statements by the Court as to this going before the Court in which this happened. Mr. Ohlson argued the Plaintiff has seen a psychologist who has cleared her of the things in the report. Dr. Roitman violated the standard. Statements by the Court. Ms. Smith argued the facts are will not change, the Dr. rendered an opinion. Further argued immunity and they could have waived to exclude the Dr. from trial. COURT ORDERED, Counsel to supplement their briefs as to immunity. Ms. Smith argued as to having a medical malpractice case if not under the care of the dr. Plaintiff has not pled any duty by the Dr. Mr. Ohlson argued NRS 41(A).009. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to this Court's Chamber calendar.

PRINT DATE: 12/05/2013 Page 1 of 3 Minutes Date: October 08, 2013

### А-13-687300-С

CONTINUED TO: 10/21/13 CHAMBERS

PRINT DATE: 12/05/2013 Page 2 of 3 Minutes Date: October 08, 2013

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Negligence - Medical/Dental COURT MINUTES October 21, 2013

A-13-687300-C Vivian Lee Harrison, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Norton Roitman, M.D., Defendant(s)

October 21, 2013 3:00 AM Motion to Dismiss

HEARD BY: Cory, Kenneth COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16A

**COURT CLERK:** Michele Tucker

**RECORDER:** 

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Defendant Norton A. Roitman, MD.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint

In accordance with the authorities submitted by the Defendant, most particularly Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Associates Engineers, Inc., 113 Wash. 2d 123 (1989), the defendant enjoyed absolute immunity for his testimony. This privilege also extends to any report submitted by the witness during or in preparation for the matter in controversy. Absolute immunity extends to all the present causes of action naming Dr. Roitman, including medical malpractice, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress, and civil conspiracy. Accordingly, COURT ORDERS the Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint GRANTED WITH PREJUDICE.

Ms. Smith to prepare the Order.

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Brianna Smith, Esq. and John Ohlson, Esq. via e-mail. / mlt

PRINT DATE: 12/05/2013 Page 3 of 3 Minutes Date: October 08, 2013



# EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

JOHN OHLSON, ESQ. 275 HILL ST., SUITE 230 RENO, NV 89501

DATE: December 5, 2013

CASE: A687300

RE CASE: VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON vs. NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: December 3, 2013

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

#### PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS NOT TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- - If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
- - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
  - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- □ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

#### NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (e) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.

# **Certification of Copy**

State of Nevada County of Clark SS

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE; AMENDED NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON.

Plaintiff(s).

VS.

NORTON A. ROITMAN, M.D.,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A687300

Dept No: I

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 5 day of December 2013.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk