

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DIPAK KANTILAL DESAI,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 64591

FILED


NOV 06 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY R. Malone
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until December 29, 2014, to file and serve the answering brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Franny A. Forsman
Wright Stanish & Winckler
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney