

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DIPAK KANTILAL DESAI,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 64591

FILED

JAN 09 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
By *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time (30 days) to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until January 28, 2015, to file and serve the answering brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

[Signature], C.J.

cc: Franny A. Forsman
Wright Stanish & Winckler
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney