

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RAYMOND RIAD KHOURY,
Appellant,
vs.
MARGARET SEASTRAND,
Respondent.

No. 64702

RAYMOND RIAD KHOURY,
Appellant,
vs.
MARGARET SEASTRAND,
Respondent.

No. 65007

RAYMOND RIAD KHOURY,
Appellant,
vs.
MARGARET SEASTRAND,
Respondent.

No. 65172

FILED

JAN 23 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Respondent has filed a motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief in these consolidated appeals. Cause appearing, the motion is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until February 13, 2015, to file and serve the answering brief.

No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

1. Sanderth, C.J.

cc: Hall Jaffe & Clayton, LLP
Richard Harris Law Firm