

In the Supreme Court of Nevada

RAYMOND RIAD KHOURY,
Appellant,
vs.
MARGARET SEASTRAND,
Respondent,

Electronically Filed
Sep 14 2015 08:41 a.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

**MOTION TO EXCEED THE TYPE-VOLUME LIMITATION
FOR “APPELLANT’S REPLY BRIEF”**

Appellant Raymond Khoury moves to exceed the word limit on his reply brief by 937 words (a total of 7,937 words), an excess of less than 20%. *See* NRAP 32(a)(7)(D). Appellant’s brief is less than half the length of respondent’s brief, which exceeded the limit by more than 30% (4,293 words).

A slightly longer brief is necessary because the appeal raises several important issues, including a landmark issue on jury selection. The jury-selection question is significant not just because it is an issue of first impression but also because it touches on fundamental, constitutional rights and will shape the function and makeup of juries across the state. Respondent’s 25-page treatment of the issue deserves a thorough reply.

Dated this 11th day of September, 2015.

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP

By: /s/Daniel F. Polsenberg

DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376)
JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492)
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
(702) 949-8200

STEVEN T. JAFFE (SBN 7035)
HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP
7425 Peak Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
(702) 316-4111

Attorneys for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 11, 2015, I submitted the foregoing “MOTION TO EXCEED TYPE-VOLUME LIMITATION FOR ‘APPELLANT’S REPLY BRIEF’” for filing *via* the Court’s eFlex electronic filing system. Electronic notification will be sent to the following:

Richard A. Harris
Benjamin A. Cloward
Alison M. Brasier
RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM
801 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

/s/ Jessie M. Helm
An Employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP