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BOUEDER CITY, CLARK COUMTY, NEVADA.
JUNE 13, 2005, 0:35 A.M.
アROCEEDINES
THE COURT: Okay. We've gathered for the time set for the preliminary hearing in Case 05F8052A through E, Kenneth Counts, Luis Alonso Hidalgo, Anabel Espindola?
MR. ORAM: Yes, Espindola.
THE COURT; And Jayson Taoipu.
MR. PESCI: Judge, for the record, first of all, I don't see Mr. Carroll in the courtroom.
MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, they were keeping everyone separate. David Figler representing Mr. Carroll with Dan Bunin.
I think we could bring him into the room. I think he needs to be here for this proceeding.
THE COURT: Mr. Carroll?
In that case, let me see counsel about
this.
(Thezeupon, a brief discussion was held
at the bench.)
MR. ORAM: Your Honor, we would invoke the exclusionary rule on behalf of Ms. Espindola.
MR. DRASKOVICH: As well as Mr. Hidalgo.
MARCUA HLARNESS, CCR 204 f5H-9047

```

7
Where she would become a witness. And as of right now I don't anticipate her being a witness.

THE COURT: I would -- Ms: Irene Counts, when we get to that witness where we're discussing the tapes, then you'll need to leave the courtroom.

I won't exclude her throughout the testimony, but on that issue I will.

MS. WILDEVELD: Thank you, your Honor.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
Judge, I have in my hand State's Proposed
Exhibit Number 1, as well as State's Proposed Exhibit Number 2.

Number 1 is a copy of the autopsy report done by Dr. Telgenoff on May 20th of the year 2005 on a person identified to him as Timothy Hadland. I move to admit it based on the agreement of counsel, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Any objection?
MR. DRASKOVICH: And that's for the purposes of preliminary hearing only. No, there is no objection.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR, FIGLER: That is correct.
MR. ORAM: No objection, your Honor,
MS. WILDEVELD: That's correct, your
Honor.

MS. WILDEVELD: As well as Mr. Counts. MR. PESCI; State's witnesses have been told not to come in.

THE COURT: Anyone who's been called here to lestify or anticipates teslifying in these proceedings, please wait in the hall. Do not discuss your testimony, what happened in the countroom with the other witnesses until the case is concluded.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I apologize, Judge.
Judige, I would like to raise one other issue that relates to the exclusionary rule. There is an Irene Counts in the courtroom. There is going to be jail phone calls admitted into evidence during the course of this hearing.

Now, I didn't subpoena her as a witness as she is the wife of a potential defendant; however, there is evidence that relates to her. I anticipate that she may at some point become a withess, either for the defense or for the State. Judge, I would ask you to exclude her from this particular hearing.

MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, she is my client's wife, and I would prefer her be in the courtroom. It's his support, however. I understand that if she would become a witness. I haven't had the opportunity to read through these documents to see

AFARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 455-3047
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```

was issued for Jayson Taoipu, who was the fifth
co-conspirator in this particular case.
Based upon the arrest warrant, the Criminal complaint was amended to add a fifth individual. There is no language which changed or allegations which changed against the other four co-defendants in the Amended Criminal Complaint, but there is a Second Amended Cominal Complaint.
THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have before me is a second amended. So that's what we're proceeding on.
THE CLERK: Please remain standing and raise your right hand.
Do you swear the statements that you are about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: I need you to state your name for the record and spell your name, please.
THE WITNESS: R-O-N-T-A, Z-O-N-E. THE COURT: You can be seated. MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, at this point, Mr . Carroll's intention is to waive his preliminary hearing and to face the charges that he's been given in the Complaint in District Court.

```

MARCIA HARNESS, CCR \(204455-3047\)
doesn't have to agree that that argument will succeed in District Court, but certainly that that played into his determination as to whether or not he would waive his preliminary hearing, Judge.

MR. FIGLER: Thank you, your Honor. The opportunity to cross-examine or to be in the position to cross-examine is one which has been litigated for a very long time. Whether or not that would apply in this particular case if Mr . Zone were not to be available to testify at the time of trial is going to be a matter of legal discourse between the parties and the district court judge as to the admissibility or limited admissibility of anything that might come in.

Certainly I have discussed that with Mr. Carroll, and his intention today is to waive his preliminary hearing and approach these charges at the time of trial. So whether or not Mr. Zone or any other witness is going to have preserved testimony, and whether or not that's going to be able to come in if they are not available, and his rights of cross-examination, 1 think are going to be a matter of fitigation, and he understands that.

So, I have never seen the waiver when he just kind of waives up and wants to face the charges at trial where he has to specifically or expressly waive


THE COURT: Okay,
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, just for the record, my understanding - well, there is a number of reasons why an individual may waive his preliminary hearing without forcing the State to go through the testimony as it relates to proving a preliminary hearing,

One of those is to prevent the preservation of certain testimony, in particular the preservation of the witnesses against him. Now, Crawford and the other case law that relates to testimony and whether or not I can use prior testimony at a future proceeding, should Mr. Zone become unavailable between now and then, or any other witness for that matter, relates to whether or not they had the prior opportunity to cross-examine the witness.

I just want to put on the record that they are making this waiver with an understanding that later the State will be arguing, should we have to use the prior testimony of Mr. Zone, that this is their opportunity for cross-examination. They have waived that opportunity, and I will be seeking to use any prior testimony should it become necessary.

So l just ask the Court to canvass counsel that he's aware that I'm making the argument. He

MARCLA KLARNESS, CGR 204 4 \(51-3047\)
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his legal rights to challenge the admissibility of
evidence in the future, but I appreciate what
Mr. Digiacomo is saying.

```
    THE COURT: Certainly you wouldn't have to
do that at this point. I would note that the waiver
came after the witness was called and swom.
    MR, DIGIACOMO: Thank you Judge,
    Lastly, there was an offer outstanding for
Mr. Carroll. At this time, the State revokes the offer
and doesn't intend to re-offer it.
    Thank you, Judge.
    THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Carroll, if you
will stand. Do you understand what is going on here?
    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
    THE COURT: Okay. You have a right to
have a preliminary hearing in a criminal case as
charged in the State of Nevada when it's either a
felony or a gross misdemeanor. The charges against you
are felony charges.
    You have the right to have a preliminary
hearing, which means to have a magistrate determine
whether or not there is probable cause or a reasonable
belief that a crime has been committed and that you
have committed the crime.
    If the State meets that burden, then
    MIARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 aIS-9097
his legal rights to challenge the admissibility of
evidence in the future, but I appreciate what
Mr. Digiacomo is saying.
THE COURT: Certainly you wouldn't have to
do that at this point. I would note that the waiver
came after the witness was called and swom.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge,
Lastly, there was an offer outstanding for
Mr. Carroll. At this time, the State revokes the offer
and doesn't intend to re-offer it.
Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Carroll, if you
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. You have a right to
Thand. Do you understand what is going on here?
have a preliminary hearing in a criminal case as
charged in the State of Nevada when it's either a
felony or a gross misdemeanor. The charges against you
are felony charges.
You have the right to have a preliminary
hearing, which means to have a magistrate determine
whether or not there is probable cause or a reasonable
belief that a crime has been committed and that you
have committed the crime.
If the State meets that burden, then
MARCIA MARNEss, CCR zos ass-sour
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you're then bound over to the District Court to go to trial on those charges. If the State does not meet that burden at the preliminary hearing, then the charges against you would be dismissed.

Now, do you understand that if you waive your preliminary hearing we're not going to have one today for you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Also, do you understand that at the preliminary hearing you have certain valuable rights that go along with any court proceeding. The rights include being represented by counsel, as you are.

Also, the right to be - confront the witnesses against you. That means to have the witnesses testify right here in courl in your presence, and then have your attomey be able to cross-examine them.

You can also present evidence on your own behalf at your preliminary hearing and even testify, if you wanted to. You have the subpoena powers of the Court to compel people to testify.

If you waive your preliminary hearing, you're also waiving those rights. Is that okay?

THE DEFENDANT: (Witness nods head.) Yess,
MARCLA HLARNESS, CCR 201, 45T.3067
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to Mr. Carroll. Counts -
MR, FIGLER: I and II, your Honor.
MR. DIGIACOMO; I and It.
THE COURT: I and II.
MR. DIGIACOMO; That's correct.
THE COURT: There are two solicitations?
MR. FIGLER: That's correct. Neither have
anything to do with my client.
THE COURT: All right. So it's conspiracy to commit murder and murder with use of a deadly weapon. Therefore, you will be bound over to answer to those charges.

The clerk will give you the date and time
you next appear in District Court to answer to those Charges.

THE CLERK. June 27h, 9:00 a.m., District Court No. XIV.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
MR, FIGLER: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: You may proceed with your
witness.
MR. DIGIACOMO; Thank you, Judge.

RONTA LONE,
having liwne ilest duly swarn, did testify as followss
```

sir.

```

THE COURT: All right. Now, your waiver is also unconditional, which means that it's a waiver not only for today, but it's a waiver for forever.

So if you go down to District Coutt and change your mind, then you wouldn't have an opportunity to come back here for preliminary hearing. The waiver is for today. And then it's forever. Is that okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. I find your
waiver of your right to a preliminary hearing is being voluntarily given, knowledgeably given and is unconditional.

Okay. For the bind over, the clerk will now give you a time and date that you will next appear in District Court to answer for these charges.

Based upon the review of the Complaint and your waiver, I am finding reasonable cause to believe that a crime has been committed, the crimes of conspiracy to commit murder, murder with use of a deadly weapon and solicitation of murder were committed and were committed by you --

MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, the solicitation didri't apply to my client.

THE COURT: Okay. Count IV does not apply
MARCA FLSRNESS, CCR \(206455 \cdot 3047\)
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jail outfits. Just tell the Court where is he sitting
in the courtroom?
A. Sitting in the back.
Q. The back row of the jury box?
A. Yes, sir.
MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect the
identification of Defendant Carroll, Judge?
THE COURT: Record will so reflect.
dy m. digiacomo:
Q. Did there come a point in time in May when
you began working with Mr. Carroll?
A. Yes,I did.
Q. Tell the Court how it is that started.
A. I was a flyer boy.
Q. A flyer boy for who?
A. For the Palomino.
Q. For the Palomino Club?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that mean you did?
A. Passed out flyers.
Q. What kind of flyers were they?
A. Pamphlets, flyers that represents the
club.
Q. Who did you pass these things out to?
A. To cab places, you know, cab stops.

```
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    Q. Okay. Did it relate at all to the
promoting or passing out flyers?
    A. Yeah.
    Q. Okay. That first night did you get paid
for your services?
    A. Yes, I did.
    Q. How much did you get paid?
    A. I got paid \(\$ 20\) for my services.
    Q. How long before the incident that we're
here to talk about was it the first time that you went
out promoting with Deangelo?
    A. Yeah.
    Q. How long before the incident, the murder?
    A. About three days.
    MR. DRASKOVICH: Objection, calls for a
conclusion. Moreover, it assumes facts not in
evidence.
by mr, digiacomo:
    Q. Are you aware that there was a homicide
that occurred on May 19th, 2005?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Okay. Now, how long prior to May 19th, 2005 , did you start promoting?
A. About three days before.
Q. So that first night you work with Deangelo
Q. Were these flyers color or were they photocopies?
A. They were pink, and they were all kind of colors.
Q. Okay. And how many times did you do this type of work with Mr. Carroll?
A. For about four to five days.
Q. Okay. The first time you worked with

Mr. Carroll, who else worked with you?
A. My cousin.
Q. What was his name?
A. Michael.
Q. Okay. So it was you, Michael and Mr. Carroll?
A. Yes.
Q. What kind of car were you in?
A. We were in the van.
Q. What kind of van?
A. A white Astro van.
Q. White Chevy Astro van?
A. (Witness nodded.)
Q. Whose van was it?
A. I'm not really sure.
Q. Was it Deangelo's van?
A. No.
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and Michael. Where did you go when you were done working?
A. I went to Deangelo's house.
Q. Okay. Where is Deangelo's house located?
A. On Yale Street.
Q. Yale? Okay.

And who else lived at Deangelo's house?
A. Just his wife and son.
Q. Okay. And what about, did you have anybody that was related to you that slayed there?
A. Just Deangelo.
Q. Just Deangelo.

Are you related at all to Deangelo?
A. No, but we are good friends.
Q. You're good friends.

Let's talk about the next day. Did you go out and promote again?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Okay. Who did you go out with this time?
A. It was me, it was just me and Deangelo.
Q. Just you and Deangelo.

And after - were you in the white van
again?
A. Yes.
Q. After you were done promoting, where did
```

you go.

```
A. We went back to the house.
Q. To Deangelo's house?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Did you get paid for that?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Let's talk aboul the third day, Who did
you go out with?
A. With JJ.
Q. JJ. So it was JJ, you and who else?
A. JJ, me and Deangelo.
Q. And you were promoting again?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is, once again, for the Palomino Club?
A. Yes.
Q. Did there come a point in time where a discussion occurred between you and Deangelo concerning doing violence to anybody?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Describe for the Court the nature of that conversation.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, I'm going to object to anything Deangelo may have said. It's not - I
think they are going to argue co-conspirator rule;
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a violation of the Bruton rule because Bruton applies to statements made by a defendant confessing to an officer that implicates a co-defendant.

It's not a violation of Crawford because Crawford requires that the statement, the declarant believed that it's going to be used for future testimony. In other words, he has to believe that the statement is like a transcription of a statement to a police officer. Crawford does not apply to a statement by a co-conspirator in the course and in the furtherance of the conspiracy.

There is no confrontation clause problem.
MR. ORAM: And they have to establish that there is a conspiracy beforehand, which they have not done. in order to get in any conspirator statement they are going to have to establish by a scintilla of evidence that there is a conspiracy, which they haven't done.

MR. DRASKOVICH: That there is a conspiracy, that it was made in furtherance of the conspiracy, and a co-conspirator made it. There are actually three things that they need to establish, and they have established none of the three.

Moreover, I would disagree with the State's summary of the applicable case law in that
however, it's going to be a confrontation clause violation.

Now, I have no problem as long as my client's name is not mentioned or in there because I have no proof that my, that we're going to hear anything about my client. But I don't want to hear something right now that means that Deangelo Carroll is saying something to him that is somehow going to implicate my client.

First of all, it's a Bruton violation. Second of all, it violates Crawford versus Washinglon where the U.S. Supreme Court has said we have a right to confront.

Now, maybe Mr. Digiacomo is going to tell us that it has nothing to do with my client, in which case I'm going to withdraw the objection.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And on behalf of Mr. Hidalgo, I would make the same objection for the same purposes.

MS. WILDEVELD: And on behalf of Mr. Counts, I would as well.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, as you will find throughout the testimony, the lestimony of a co-conspirator in the course or in the furtherance of a conspiracy is not a violation of the hearsay rule, not
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Crawford deals with right to confrontation, which they are attempting to circumvent. Right now it's not narrowly tailored to testifying at trial.

The rules of evidence apply at a preliminary hearing here in Nevada. And they are now attempting to circumvent the United States Supreme Court case through this young man's testimony. For that reason, it's inappropriate.

MS. WILDEVELD: We would second all that for Mr. Carroll.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, just two last things. One, you're going to hear a lot of testimony concerning a conspiracy. If he wants me to go through everything about what the evidence of the conspiracy is and then go back, this is a court, the Court can decide what evidence is admissible or not admissible, allow the evidence in subject to connection up.

Second of all, if the confrontation clause applied to the preliminary hearing purposes, then we would never have a Grand Jury because I have yet to see a defense attorney allowed to cross-examine anybody during a Grand Jury.

So to the extent that Crawford applies, which it doesn't because it's a confrontation clause case, it certainly doesn't apply to preliminary

\footnotetext{
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hearing, Judge.
MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, there are different rules in a preliminary hearing than there are in a Grand Jury hearing. And this case wasn't Grand Juried. We're at a preliminary hearing.

THE COURT: I agree. I think the conftontation clause does apply at preliminary hearing, but I am going to reserve my ruling to see if you can tie it in. And I'll see if the testimony is testimonial or the statements are testimonial because I think that's what Crawford goes to.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
的 MR. orgiacomo:
Q. Describe the nature of this conversation that you had with Mr. Carroll.
A. Excuse me?
Q. You said that you had a conversation with Mr. Carroll about hurting somebody. Can you describe that conversation?

MS. WILDEVELD: Objection, I don't think that hurting someone has ever come into the record.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Wasn't that my last question to the witness before the objection?

THE COURT: Doing violence to.
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\section*{27}
```

Q. When Deangelo told you that Mr. H wanted him to kill somebody, what was your response?
A. Well, my response I wasn't even in it. You know, that was my response was no.
Q. You told him no?
A. Yeah.
Q. Was there anybody else present when
Mr. Carroll was talking about Mr. H wanting to kill somebody?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was that?
A. JJ.
Q. JJ. And what's JJ's full name, do you know?
A. Jayson Taoipu.
Q. Jayson Taoipu?
A. Yes.
Q. How long before the events of May 19 th did
this first conversation between you and Mr. Carroll occur?
A. Well, earlier that day.
Q. Earlier in the day that Mr. Hadland died?
A. No, earlier in the day before.
Q. Before Mr. Hadland was killed?
A. Yes.
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```

3Y MR, DTGIACOMO:
Q. Doing violence to.
A. Well, Deangelo told me that he was, that Mr. H had wanted him to kill somebody.
Q. Okay. And Deangelo said Mr. H. Did you know who Mr . H was?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you now know who Mr. H is?
A. Yes,ido.
Q. Who is Mr. H?
A. Mr. Hidalgo.
Q. Now, there is a number of Mr . Hidalgos in this particular case. What did Mr. H, what does he do for a living?
MR. DRASKOVICH: Objection, lack of foundation.
THE WITNESS: If I'm right, he owns the club.
THE COURT: Overfuled.
ay na. diciacono:
Q. He owns the club?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. That's the Palomino Club we're talking
about?
A. Yes, sir.

```
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Q. Okay. What was JJ's response to what Mr. Carroll had said?
A. Well, you know, he was supposed to be down, but -
Q. What do you mean by supposedly be down?
A. He was with it, you know.
Q. What did he say?
A. He was in it. He was like I want to do it, but he didn't.
Q. Okay. But JJ indicated that he was willing to do it?
A. Yeah.
Q. At any point in time, did you see JJ with a weapon?
A. That night.
Q. Okay. What kind of gun was it?
A. .22 revolver.
Q. Okay. Where did JJ get the gun, if you know?
A. He got it from Deangelo.
Q. He got it from who?
A. Deangelo.
Q. Deangelo.

Did there ever come a point in time when
Deangelo tried to give you anything?

\section*{everything you say. Okay?}
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. All right. So a couple hours. What happened after that couple hours? Where did you go?
A. We went back home.
Q. To whose house?
A. Deangelo's house.
Q. Who was at Deangelo's house when you were there?
A. His wife.
Q. His wife?
A. His wife and son and my baby's mother.
Q. Your baby's mother?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Okay. What about JJ, was he there?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. How long do you stay at Deangelo's house?
A. For about four days.
Q. Okay. I'm talking about after the couple of hours that you went out promoting, you went back to Deangelo's house. How long did you stay at Deangelo's house that night?
A. For about three hours, and then we went back out to promote.
Q. Okay. When you were going back out, did MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 4J-3H7

MR. DRASKOVICH: Clearly, Judge, this is hearsay. I think they have basically far exceeded your Honor's prior ruling. We basically have an evidentiary free for all here where you can say what other people are saying that he hasn't heard and what he's being told.

We have a party that's not now a party to this pretiminary hearing whose testimony is being bootstrapped through this young man, and this is whotly inappropriate.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, first of all, I have now established the conspiracy. He's present for the formation of the conspiracy, at least through JJ and Deangelo. And any statement thereafter related to the conspiracy would go against the \(\infty\)-conspirator.

If I later cannot come up with independent evidence that establishes that Luis or that Luis Hidalgo, III, was part of the conspiracy, that may be an argument, but it's not an evidentiary argument as to the statement related to a co-conspirator's statement in furtherance of the conspiracy.

MR. DRASKOVICH: My second objection is that of leading in that he is now pulling out names and feeding names to this particular witness, and that's wholly inappropriate as well.
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If Mr. DiGiacomo has any personal knowledge and would like to go under oath, I would be happy to hear him testify, but this young man did not mention anybody's name outside of those names that Mr. Digiacomo is now stating to him in this courtroom.
THE COURT: Let's see if we can not suggest answers.
MR. DIGIACOMO: I will try, Judge. I think that I just said son, but okay.
by mr. migiacomo:
Q. Tell me about this conversation between -what did Deangelo tell you about a conversation or what did he tell you aboul Mr. H's son?
MR. ORASKOVICH: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: What did he tell you? How could that possibly be leading?
MR. DRASKOVICH: About so and so's son. Once again, we have him suggesting answers, suggesting names and suggesting identities of people this young man has not slated, so clearly the answer is contained within the question that Mr. Digiacomo is presenting to this witness.
THE COURT: I don't think so. Ill
overrule that.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.

```
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A. If's a house.
Q. And this house, is it near anybody who is related to Deangelo?

MS. WILDEVELD: Objection, leading.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Join in that objection.
THE WITNESS: Across the street from his
mom.
MR. DIGIACOMO: How can that be leading,
Judge?
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Across the street from his
mom.
by Mr. DIGIACOKO:
Q. Across the street from his mother. Okay. When you get up there, does everybody get out of the van or does anybody get out of the van?
A. Deangelo gets out of the van.
Q. Where does Deangelo go?
A. Goes to KC.
Q. Does he go inside the house?
A. Yes, he does,
Q. How long was Deangelo inside the house?
A. About a maximum of ten minutes.
Q. Eventually, does Deangelo leave the house?
A. Yes.
by mr. digiacomo:
Q. What did Deangelo tell you about Mr. H's son?
A. Well, that he wanted to have him dead also.
Q. Okay. Did he tell you anything else?
A. He told me that, that he was supposed to grab baseball bats and a trash can -. I mean, trash bags.
Q. Okay. What was the baseball bat and trash bags for?
A. Murder.
Q. Okay. Eventually - are you now on the night of the 19th, are you still in the white van with JJ and Deangelo?
A. Yes.
Q. Eventually, do you wind up on the west side?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you wind up on E Street?
A. Yes.
Q. When you get there, where do you go?
A. We pick up KC and we leave.
Q. What kind of place does KC live in? Is it a house, is it an apartment, what is it?
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\begin{tabular}{l}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Q. Does he have anybody with him? \\
A. He has KC with him. \\
Q. Does KC get in the van with Deangelo? \\
A. Yes, he does. \\
Q. Do you see KC here in court today? \\
A. Yes, I do. \\
Q. Can you point him out and describe \\
something he's wearing? \\
A. Sitting in the front. \\
Q. Okay. Is he white, black or Hispanic? \\
A. Black. \\
Q. Black? \\
A. Yes, \\
Q. What kind of clothes is he wearing? \\
A. Jail outfits. I mean, I don't really know \\
what to call them. \\
Q. Jail outfits, that's what he's wearing \\
today? \\
A. Yes, sir. \\
MR, DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect the \\
identification of Defendant Counts, Judge? \\
THE COURT: In the front row there are \\
three people, which of the three? \\
THE WITNESS: Person on the right. \\
THE COURT: The record will so reflect. \\
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\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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    MS. WILDEVELD: I'm having a hard time
    hearing him, your Honor, if you can ask him to speak
up, please.
THE WITNESS: Person on the right.
gY mr. DICIACOMO:
Q. When KC gets in the van, is there any
discussion about what's going to happen?
A. No.
Q. Where does the van go?
A. Van goes to Lake Mead.
Q. During the time the van is going to --
well, do you know why the van was heading towards Lake
Mead?
A. When we got out there, I knew.
Q. When you got out there you knew.
How did you know?
A. Because I'm}\mathrm{ like we ain't just going to
Lake Mead just to go.
Q. Do you ever -- does anybody in the car
have any conversations by way of any phone?
A. Yes.
Q. What?
A. Yes,
Q. Who?
A. Deangelo.
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The van drives out there, and then what happens?
    A. It drives oul there, and Deangelo didn't
get no service on his phone. He had no service on his
phone, so he turned around,
    Q. Where did the van drive back to?
    A. Back, back towards the city to where he
can get a connection on his phone.
    Q. When he got the connection on his phone,
what happened at that point?
    A. When he got the connection on his phone,
he turned back around.
    Q. Okay. Did he make a phone call now that
he has a connection on his phone?
    A. Yes, he did.
    Q. What was he saying on the phone?
    A. He just said, he turned Timothy, but
Timothy never answered.
    Q. So then the van turned back around?
    A. No, he don't turn back around twice.
    Q. So I got this straight, you drive out
there, there is no cell phone service, Deangelo turns
back around until he gets cell phone service?
A. And then he turns around.
Q. And then he turns around a second time.
A. But then he doesn't turn around no more.
Q. Who does he talk to that you're aware of? MR. ORAM: Objection. How would he know who he's going to talk to by phone?

THE COURT: Sustained.
gy mb, otgiacoba:
Q. Did you hear Deangelo talking to somebody?
A. Yes.
Q. What is Deangelo saying to this person?
A. He was supposed to meet Timothy,
Q. He was supposed to meet Timothy?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Was it your understanding that he's
talking to Timothy?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Where is it that the van goes?
A. It goes to, it goes to Lake Mead by the
highway road to where you have no direction but to turn left or right.
Q. Okay. Do you ever have to pass any checkpoints or anything else to get out there?
A. I'm pretty sure that you do.
Q. Okay. The car goes out there the first
time. Describe for the Court what you do in the van.
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Q. And then he drives back down the road?
A. Correct.
Q. Correct?
A. Right.
Q. Where are you seated in the van?
A. Left back seat.
Q. So behind the driver?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is driving?
A. Deangelo.
Q. Who is in the front passenger seat?
A. JJ.
Q. Who is in behind the front passenger?
A. KC.
Q. KC. the way out to Lake Mead?
A. No, we smoked a blunt. That was about it.
Q. When you say smoked a blunt, what kind of -- what is a blunt?
A. Marijuana.
Q. Marijuana.

And after smoking the blunt --
MR. DRASKOVICH: l object to this part.
We have this kid admitting to crimes now before this
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR \(20445-3047\)

Court, which I think is actually within this Court's jurisdiction if they are on their way to Lake Mead and they're in this area.

Obviously, he probably should consult with a lawyer but that's the Courl's call. This is .-

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, we have people, witnesses testify to drug use in preliminary hearings every day, and I have never seen a judge instruct a witness in a murder case that he needs to be worried about the fact that he said that there was marijuana being smoked. I don't have any corpus to establish the crime.

MR. DRASKOVICH: I have represented many many people for much lesser crimes, even having pipes or drug paraphemalia that the District Attorney's office sees fit to charge.

MS. WILDEVELD: Additionally, your Honor, I see nothing more than another co-conspirator sitting on the stand, and he is not even represented by counsel.

I have represented numerous people who are passengers in a vehicle and have done much less than he did being charged with murder.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, as far as I am aware, there is nothing he's said so far that would
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car is an outward act that would obviously establish his participation, his presence, directly or indirectly, to quote the State in their Complaint, of the commission of a crime.

THE COURT: Well, I appreciate very much your concern for this gentleman's constitutional rights, but -

Mr. Zone, you have the right against self-incrimination. It's a constitutional right. And anything that you say can be used against you later on.

Because you have that right, you also have a right to be represented by an attorney. If you could not afford to hire an attorney, the Court would appoint an attorney to represent you.

Do you understand you have those rights?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: You also have, because they
are your rights, you have the right to waive those rights and proceed without an attomey and answer questions that the District Attorney asks you, so it's entirely up you to.

If you want to have an attorney and consult with an attorney before you answer questions, I would provide one for you. Or if you want to go forward and answer questions without an attorriey, you
allow me to charge him with murder. There is nothing that any other witness in any other discovery has said that he's been involved in a murder.

In fact, the only other witness who's given a statement related to the murder or any other defendant is Mr. Carroll, and Mr. Carroll specifically says that Ronta Zone had absolutely nothing to do with this crime. There is not a single shred of evidence to establish that Ronta Zone was involved in this murder.

MS. WILDEVELD: Judge, JJ Taoipu is also a co-defendant in this case. Unfortunately, he's not here today, but he's facing the same charges as these men are.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And we have had testimpny that we have, according to this young man, they are headed out to the lake to commit a murder, he is present, he is in the car. He has not left, and he's going with them knowing what they are doing. He's clearly present when these conversations or alleged conversations occur.

MS. WILDEVELD: And before he got in the car, he's already testified that he knew. They said we were going to take care of him and collected baseball bats and bags.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And his getting into the
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\section*{can do that.}

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: What would you like to do?
THE WITNESS: With an attomey.
THE COURT: With an attorney? Okay. MR. DIGIACOMO: Can we take a break unen Judge. We need to get an altomey here.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we lake a five-minute break.
(A brief resess was taken.)
THE COURT: Okay. Do we have counsel coming for Mr . Zone? So we can interrupt his testimony until he discusses the proceedings with his attomey.

In the meantime, is there another witness that we can proceed with?

MR. PESCI: Yes, Judge, the State calls Pajit, P-A-J-I-T, I'm sorry, P-A-I-J-I-T, Karison,

THE COURT: Ms, Karlson?
MR. PESCl: She's just right outside.
THE COURT: Okay, Come over here. Wher you get up here, remain standing and raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
Do you swear the statements that you are about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and
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nothing but the truth, so help you God?
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: I need you to state your name
for the record and spell your name, please.
THE WITNESS: My name is Paijit Karison,
P-A-I-J-I-T. Karlson, K-A-R-L-S-O-N.
THE COURT: Have a seat.
MR. PESCI: May I proceed, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes, please.
MR. PESCI:Thank you.
paijit kabzsok,
navirg been first duly sworn, did testify an follows:
dlfeci exmmination
gy mr. pesci:
Q. Ma'am, where are you from?
A. Island.
Q. Do you speak English?
A. Not fully.
Q. If you have any questions or something
don't make sense, please stop and ask a question. Is
that okay?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. This woman right in front of you is taking
everything down, so we have to give an answer out loud

```
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dating?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Okay. Thank you.
        And looking at May the 19th of 2005, did
you and TJ decide to go somewhere?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Where did you decide to go?
    A. To the lake.
    Q. When you say "lake," are you referning to
Lake Mead?
    A. Lake Mead, yes.
    Q. Did anybody go with you?
    A. Justus.
    Q. When you say "us," who do you mean?
    A. Just \(T J\) and 1 .
    Q. All right. And what were you going to do
at the lake?
    A. We just go camping.
    Q. Had you ever been camping before?
    A. No.
    Q. Whose idea was it to go camping?
    A. TJ.
    Q. Did you actually get out to the lake?
    A. We get out to the lake.
    Q. Was there a camping area that you stopped
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so she'll know what was said. Is that okay?

```
A. Okay.
Q. All right. You have to speak loud enough so everybody can hear you.
A. All right.
Q. Thank you.

Ma'am, did you know a Timothy Hadland?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you call him? What was your name
A. TJ.
Q. TJ?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. And I want to focus your attention on May the 19 th of 2005 . We're going to talk about thal day.

Before that, though, when did you first
meet TJ?
A. I met him on November 25 of Christmas Eve.
Q. Would that be in 2004?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you in a dating relationship with

TJ?
A. Not after three months. Three months after that.
Q. So three months after you met, you started
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A. Yes, they have a small camping over there.
Q. And how long were you - about what time do you think you got out to the camping area at the lake?
A. About 7:30 about.
Q. Is that \(7: 30\) at night?
A. Yes, about. tt's kind of late, about

7:30, something like that. And \(80^{\prime}\) clock when we set up the camping.
Q. Did you set up your camp?
A. Yes.
Q. At about 8 o'clock?
A. (Witness nods head.) Yes.
Q. Thank you.

And did you have a phone with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it your cell phone?
A. Yes.
Q. Did TJ have a phone or somelhing to
communicate with with him?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that, do you recall?
A. Walkie-talkie.
Q. Had you seen that walkie-talkie before?
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{so she'll know what was said. Is that okay?} \\
\hline A. & Okay. \\
\hline Q. & All right. You have to speak loud enough \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{so everybody can hear you.} \\
\hline A. & All right. \\
\hline Q. & Thank you. \\
\hline & Ma'am, did you know a Timothy Hadland? \\
\hline A. & Yes. \\
\hline Q. & What did you call him? What was your name \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{for him?} \\
\hline A. & TJ. \\
\hline Q. & TJ? \\
\hline A. & (Witness nods head.) \\
\hline Q. & And I want to focus your attention on May \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{the 19th of 2005 . We're going to talk about thal day.} \\
\hline & Before that, though, when did you first \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{meet TJ?} \\
\hline A. & 1 met him on November 25 of Christmas Eve. \\
\hline Q. & Would that be in 2004? \\
\hline A. & Yes. \\
\hline Q. & And were you in a dating relationship with \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{TJ?} \\
\hline A. & Not after three months. Three months \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{after that.} \\
\hline Q. & So three months after you met, you started \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. I have, yes.
Q. Was that something that he had from work?
at the lake?
A. Notme.
Q. Did TJ have any drinks?
A. Yes.
Q. Did TJ get a phone call while you were together at the lake?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall was it on his
walkie-talkie?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you hear the person that was making the call?
A. I heard he talked too, but not to when he in conversation. He tell me.
Q. Okay. So if I understand correctly, you heard the phone call come in?
A. Yes.
Q. You were not able to hear the voice of the person making the call?
A. No.
Q. Were you able to hear TJ as he was on that phone call with the other person?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you hear TJ talking on the phone?
A. Yes.
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Q. Was he standing near you or did he move around during this phone call?
A. He move around.
Q. And was he still in an area where you could hear him?
A. I can hear him talk but not, you know, like every word.
Q. Okay. Did that phone conversation end?
A. Yes.
Q. And did TJ come back and speak with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Did TJ explain who il was or what he was going to do based on that phone conversation?
A. Yes.
Q. What was he going to do?
A. He say he going to meet someone.
Q. Did he say who he was going to meet?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did he say he was going to meet?
A. Deangelo.
Q. Do you see Deangelo here in court today?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you point to him and describe
something he's wearing here in courl today?
A. Just sitting there by himself.
Q. And what is he wearing?
A. The blue.

MR. PESCI: Would the record reflect identification of Deangelo Carroll, your Honor?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect. MR. PESCI: Thank you.
BY MR, PESCL:
Q. Now, when he had this conversation with Deangelo, what did he do after that conversation?
A. He just talk, and he say I'm going to get Angelo.
Q. And did you say something to him about that idea?
A. I said why? You know, supposed to be us for camping, that's why I coming. I coming. Because it's supposed to be us.
Q. Did he explain why he was going to go meet Deangelo?
A. Yes.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, this is getting into a little bit of hearsay with the victim in this case. We've heard that he's going to meet Deangelo Carroll. I let that go, but I don't think anything further.

It's a not a dying declaration, so I'm not
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sure how they think they can get this into evidence. Il would be hearsay.

MR. PESCI: Well, Judge, not withstanding his kindness, there is a statute that actually allows for it 51.105 , which talks about the future intent, talks about present sense impression, and it specifically highlights State v. Lyle.

It was a homicide case in which the victim of a homicide said that he was going to meet some people and, in fact, for a drug deal. And that was allowed by the State Supreme Court as an exception to the hearsay rule.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And the's already golten to ask why he is going so, therefore, he's now exceeding the ruling in that Nevada Supreme Court case wilh these further questions.

MR. PESCI: I believe, I think that I just asked her what he said as to why he was going to meet, not just he was going to meet, but why he was going to meet them.

MR. ORAM: And just for the record, Crawford versus Washington specifically states that the State has traditionally been trying to get in hearsay through many different exceptions. They say now you can't do it that way. You have to call the witnesses.
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A. A Kia Sportage.
Q. And when Deangelo left, did he leave in that car?
A. I don't see him.
Q. When TJ left, did you see which car he went in?
A. When he left, it's my car.
Q. In your car?
A. My car.
Q. How was TJ dressed when he left you?
A. He left without no shirt. Just the jean.
Q. Did he have a hat with him, do you
remember?
A. He have hat, yes. But I don't believe he wear that.
Q. Okay. After he left, did you hear from him again?
A. No.
Q. Did you become concerned?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you make some phone calls based on your concern?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you contact?
A. I call my friend, and I call his mom on

So as long as we're just carrying just a
quick explanation regarding Deangeio Carroll, but I would be concerned if there was anything to do with my client on this.

MR. DRASKOVICH: I'd join in with that.
THE COURT: This isn't testimony. I don't
think that Crawford applies. I am going to overrule the objection.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Juidge.
ay mar pesci:
Q. Ma'am, you can answer the question, Did

TJ explain why he was going to meet Deangelo?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say?
A. Deangelo have weed for him.
Q. Did you say weed?
A. Yes.
Q. And did TJ eventually leave?
A. I don't want him to leave, but he say he going to be met him in half hour, you know. That's what he say.
Q. Now, how did you get out to the lake?

What did you drive to get out to the lake?
A. What?
Q. What kind of a car did you drive?
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\section*{the phone.}
Q. Were you able to talk to his mother?
A. Yes,
Q. And from talking to his mother, were you able to find out where he was?
A. (Witness shakes head.)
Q. Did you ever see TJ again after he left?
A. No,
Q. Eventually, did some people come and talk to you?
A. Yes, in the morning.
Q. In the moming.

Did you stay at the campsite?
A. Yes.
Q. And when the people came, did some police or some investigators come and speak with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you tell them what you recall that happened that day? Did you tell them what had happened?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. PESCI: I pass the witness, your
Honot.
THE COURT: Thank you.
```

nothing.
MR. ORAM: On behalf of Ms. Espindola,
MR. DRASKOVICH: I have no questions for this young lady.
MS. WILDEVELD: I have no questions, your
Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Karlson, thank you very much. You are excused.
MR. DIGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Thereupon, a brief discussion was held
at the bench,
THE COURT: All right. While we wait for Mr. Zone's attorney to come from Las Vegas, we will be in recess until 10:30.
(A recess was taken.)
THE COURT: Okay. Back on the record in Case 05FB0052A through E. We had broke so that Mr. Zone could consult with counsel. And I had the Special Public Defender's office to represent Mr. Zone. I believe that he's had an opportunity to talk with counsel; is that right, Mr. Zone?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Pike is present from the Special Public Defender's office.

```
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Q. Okay. This was on the way out to Lake
Mead?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any conversations at all with
KC concerning anything?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What did KC say to you?
A. He asked me if I had a gun.
Q. He asked you if you had a gun.
did he use the term gun or did he use a
diferent word?
A. Burner.
Q. What was that?
A. A burner.
Q. A burner.
A. Yo to you that meant a gun?
Q. What was your response to KC?
A. I didn't have one.
Q. Okay. Did you tell him that?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Did KC ask anybody else in the car
if they had a burner?
A. Well, he asked JJ.
Q. He asked JJ, and did you hear JJ's
ALARCA HARNEss, CCR 2ot wJJsof?
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response?
A. No.
Q. You didn't hear JJ's response?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Okay. Did you have any other
conversations with KC?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. No.
Describe - now, the car is going back
down north shore, down the road out to Lake Mead one
last time.
As you approach the area where the murder
aclually occurred, teil the Court what happened.
A. Well, we approached it. And as we was
coming to Timothy was coming, and he seen us. And he
turned around because he was on the other side.
Q. So Timothy tumed around?
A. Yes, so he turned around. And he parked
in the front.
Q. Did you guys park before Timothy parked?
A. We stopped before he stopped.
Q. You stopped on the side of the road?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did anybody exit the vehicle when you
first stopped?
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response?
A. No.
Q. You didn't hear JJ's response?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Okay. Did you have any other
conversations with KC ?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. No.
Describe - now, the car is going back
down north shore, down the road out to Lake Mead one last time.
As you approach the area where the murder aclually occurred, teil the Court what happened.
A. Well, we approached it. And as we was coming to Timothy was coming, and he seen us. And he turned around because he was on the other side.
Q. So Timothy tumed around?
A. Yes, so he turned around. And he parked in the front.
Q. Did you guys park before Timothy parked?
A. We stopped before he stopped.
Q. You stopped on the side of the road?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did anybody exit the vehicle when you
first stopped?

```
```

Mr. Pike, you had a chance to confer with Mr. Zone?
MR. PIKE: I did, your Honor. I had an opportunity to meet with him, go over everything with his mother. And so in reference to this, I can accept the appointment to be his attomey, and he will be testifying today.
THE COURT: Okay.
Mr. Zone, we had broke before we had indicated that you have the right to be represented by counsel and you chose to do that. And now that you are, are you prepared to go ahead and answer questions for me?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Then we can proceed,

```
DIRECT EXAMIMATION (Resuned)
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
daly
aving been previousiy daly sworn, did zestify as follows:
```

```
                    RONTA ZONE,
```

                    RONTA ZONE,
    hoving been previousiy daly sworn, did testify as
hoving been previousiy daly sworn, did testify as
follows
follows
Q. Okay, Ronta, I want you to slide back up and get close to that microphone again. Okay?
1 think we left off when you said that you were smoking blunt with KC . Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
the COURT; Okay. Thenwe can pred.

```

A. Yes.
Q. Who?
A. Deangelo did.
Q. Deangelo did?
A. Yes.
Q. What did Deangelo do when he got out of the vehicle?
A. He used the bathroom.
Q. He was using the restroom out on the side of the road?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did there come a point in time when

Deangelo got back to the car?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Now, was Deangelo back to the car by the time Timothy drove up, or was he outside of the car when Timothy first drove up?
A. Before he drove up.
Q. Before he drove up?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Timothy, you said, drove by you,

Did he do anything to indicate that he saw you, saw the van?
A. He seen Deangelo.
Q. What makes you think that he saw Deangelo?
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\section*{you than right in front of you?}
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Was Timothy's vehicle parked in the same direction as the van or a different direction?
A. Same direction.
Q. When Timothy parked his vehicle, did

Timothy, what did Timothy do when he parked his vehicle?
A. He parked his Kia and he got out.
Q. He got out of the vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. You said it was a Kia? What kind of Kia was it?
A. Ithink a Sportage.
Q. Sporlage.

Is that a regular sedan? Is it a SUV?
What is it?
A. SUV.
Q. An SUV?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Timothy gol out of the vehicle, and what did Timothy do?
A. He waved at Deangelo.
Q. He waved at Deangelo.

Was Deangelo already back in the passenger
A. Because he don't know none of us.
Q. But did he do anything, wave, yell, do anything?
A. Yeah, he waved.
Q. He waved. And then he turned the car around?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Where did he park his car in relationship to where the van was?
A. In front, but not right in front.
Q. How far would you say that Timothy's car was from the van?
A. It was far, but not as far,
Q. Okay. We talking the distance between me and you, you and the wall, you and somewhere farther than the wall?
A. A little more from the wall.
Q. It was a little bit farther than that back wall.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, what's that back wall distance, if you know?

THE COURT: About 30 feet,
MR. DIGIACOMO; About 30 feet.
bу яr. тigiacomo:
Q. So he parked a little bit farther ahead of
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\section*{seat, I mean, the driver's seat by now?}
A. Yes.
Q. What did Deangelo do?
A. He don't do nothing. He sat in the driver's seat
Q. What did Timothy do?
A. Timothy got out and walked fowards the van.
Q. Describe Timothy for me. Is he white, black or Hispanic?
A. White.
Q. White?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. What was he wearing?
A. He was wearing, 1 think, a little 1 -shirt, like a little vacation \(t\)-shirt and shorts. And I think some sandals.
Q. Anything on his head?
A. Yeah, a hat.
Q. Okay, Can you describe the hat at all for me?
A. It was like made of straw or - I wasn't really sure. It was late.
Q. Okay. Was there any lights on this road?
A. No, except for ours.
```

Q. Except for yours. The headlights of your vehicle were on, of the van?
A. (Witness nods head.) And Timothy's.
Q. And Timothy's lights were in front of him?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now, Timothy is walking back towards the

```
van. Is he walking into the headlights?
A. No, he's walking towards the driver's
side.
Q. But back from his car towards the driver's side?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. What happens when Timothy winds up at the driver's side of the van?
A. He goes to the driver's side. And then after he goes to the driver's side, he's talking to
Deangelo. And then after meeting at the time, KC was getting out of the van.
Q. All right, Let's talk about that. You said KC was in the back behind the passenger, so he was on the right side of the van?
A. Yes.
Q. Thal's where he was sitting?
A. Yes.
Q. How did he get out of the van?
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between a revolver and a semiautomatic?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So he got oul with a black gun. Describe for the Court, if you would, how it is he got out of the van.
A. He snuck out. He like crept, he creeped out. Quiet.
Q. You say creeped out. Can you just show the Court, if that opening right there is the van, can you show the Court how it is he creeped out?
A. Slide the door, went down like this and he slid out.
Q. And he slid out?
A. Yeah, like crept out.
Q. He crept out?
A. Yes.
Q. From anything you saw, did you ever see TJ see KC or acknowledge KC's presence?
A. No, he didn't see him.
Q. When KC slid out, where did he go?
A. He went to the back of the van. But after he went to the back, he thought Timothy was going to see him, so I guess he went to the front.
Q. Then as he went to the front, tell us what happened.
A. He sneaked out of the van.
Q. Through what door?
A. Through the sliding door,
Q. How many sliding doors does this van have?
A. Just one.
Q. Which side is it on?
A. On the right side.
Q. The passenger side?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Can you describe for the Court how

KC - - well, let me ask you this.
Before KC got out of the van, did you see
him with anything in his hands?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. 357.
Q. A. 357 ?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. A gun?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Can you describe the gun any more than that?
A. All I can tell you is that it was black.
Q. Black. Okay.

Was it a -- do you know the difference
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A. He snuck around slowly. He snuck around real low and raised up and he shot him.
Q. Okay. I want you to describe for the Court the way he snuck around the front of the vehicle. And if you want to demonstrate it, you can demonstrate it. How did he sneak around the vehicle?
A. He snuck and he went low. He was low, And he snuck around. He snuck around the van, And he leaped up and he shot him.
Q. And you put your hand up, your right hand. Do you think KC used his right hand or his left?
A. I can't tell you which hand.
Q. Did you see the gun in his hand?
A. Yeah.
Q. When you say he shot him, did you actually see him shoot Timothy?
A. I seen it, but it was so dark to where you couldn't really see nothing.
Q. What did you see?
A. All I seen was a spark.
Q. A spark from the gun?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was Timothy standing when he got shot?
A. On the driver's side.

ALARCLA HARNESS, CCR 20+ 155-30-7
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Q. So he's facing the driver's side window?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Where was the gun pointed?
A. At his head.
Q. So KC came around the front of the vehicle, raised the gun and pointed the gun at his head?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How many times did he shoot while Timothy was standing?
A. Once.
Q. Did he shoot -- how many times did he shoot total?
A. Twice.
Q. Okay. When did he shoot the second time?
A. When he was on the floor.
Q. So Timothy fell after the first shot?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then another shot occurs?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What happens after Timothy shoots - I'm sorry - KC shoots Timothy?
A. He gets back in the van, and we leave.
Q. Does KC say anything when he first gets in the van?

```
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Q. Okay. Did he drive away straight, did he
turn, what did he do?
A. Well, straight.
Q. Okay. And did you feel the van hit
anything as it was driving away?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you feel?
A. Like a bump.
Q. A bump?
A. Just a bump.
Q. Now, you said that KC told Deangelo to
drive. Did he say anything to JJ?
A. He asked if he had a gun.
Q. He asked \(J J\) again if he had a gun?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he use the word gun?
A. No ,
Q. What exactly did \(K C\) say?
A. A burner.
Q. What exact words did the say to \(J J\) ?
A. He asked him did he have a burner, and JJ
said yeah.
Q. Then what did he say in response?
A. He asked \(J J\) why he didn't shoot.
Q. What was JJ's response?
A. He tells Deangelo to hurry up.
Q. Now, in this van, is this the same van you were using to promote on the previous occasions?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Was there any of the stuff that you were using, any of the flyers or anything that were inside the van?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything else that was inside the van that you are aware of?
A. There was like a canister.
Q. A canister. What kind of canister was it?
A. Like something you get from a medical place.
Q. Like a --
A. A littie tube.
Q. A tube?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you ever see -- well, let me ask you -- strike that.

KC got back in the van. KC told Deangelo to drive. Did Deangelo drive?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Where did he go?
A. He drove back to the club.
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A. That he was going to, he was going to shoot him, but Deangelo was in the way.
Q. He was going to shoot him through the window or something?
A. Through the window.
Q. Okay. And what was KC 's reaction to that?
A. He didn't really have no reaction. Just he didn't say nothing.
Q. When KC got back in the van, dld he say anything to you?
A. He asked me where I lived.
Q. Did you tell him?
A. No.
Q. You said that the van drove back to the

Palomino Club. Did you go directly back to the Palomino Club?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Were all four of you still in the van?
A. Yes, we were.
Q. Once you got to the Palomino Club, did
anybody get out of the van?
A. We all did.
Q. Who is we all?
A. Me, KC and JJ and Deangelo.
Q. Okay. Did anybody go into the Palomino
```

Club?
A. KC and Deangelo.
Q. What did you and JJ do?
A. We sat outside.
Q. How long did you stay outside?
A. For about an hour, }30\mathrm{ minules tops.
Q. Who first left the Palomino Club, KC or
Deangelo?
A. KC.
Q. And what did you see KC do when he left
the Palomino Club?
A. He left in a cab.
Q. Okay. He left in a cab?
A. Yes.
Q. How long after KC came out did Deangelo
come out?
A. About 45 minutes later.
Q. When Deangelo came out, what happened?
A. Nothing. When he left, he said that KC
was tripping because he wasn't getting paid. I mean, I
don't really know about what went on in there because I
wasn't there. I wasn't up there at the time.
Q. Did he tell you eventually that KC got
paid?
A. Yeah.
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they a co-conspirator statement or are they in the
course of any furtherance.
    The foundation is a self-authenticating
statement. Once you hear the statements and the rest
of the conversations, you can make a determination as
to whether or not it is or is not a statement in the
course of furtherance of the conspifacy, Judge.
    MR. DRASKOVICH: As part and parcel of
that, the case law is very clear that the statements,
while they themselves can be considered, they can't
self-authenticate. There has to be some independent
corroboration, which the State has failed to provide.
    THE COURT: All right. I'm gathering that
they are saying that's coming, so I will reserve on the
understanding that that is coming.
    MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
by kr. digiacomo:
    Q. What did Deangelo tell you about KC
getting paid?
A. He just told me that he got paid. He didn't say how much. He didn't even tell me the amount. He just said that he got paid.
Q. Did anybody ask about anybody else getting paid?
A. No.
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Q. What did he tell you about that?

MR. ORAM: I would object to all of this,
Again, so far as I can tell, my client has not been mentioned in this case at all. And so now we're hearing what \(K C\) was telling Deangelo, which is now being brought through this witness. If's not just hearsay, it's double hearsay. And 1 would ask that it be stricken as to my client.

MR DRASKOVICH: Moreover, when we discussed this matter previously, it was the State's position that these were somehow co-conspirator slatements. As the Court is well aware, in order for it to qualify under that exception, or that that exception still exists, is that it must be made by a co-conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy. And there has been no foundation laid concerning that.

So I would join with his objection, and also add an objection as to foundation.

THE COURT: What is your response to that?
MS. WILDEVELD: We would join as well.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Deangelo was telling him what happened inside the club, not what KC said happened, Deangelo telling him what happened inside the club. And I think the Court has to hear all the statements before it can make a determination as to are
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Q. Okay. Whal about Deangelo, did he tell you about what he got paid?
A. No.
Q. What happened at that point?
A. At that point, we went back to Deangelo's house.
Q. When you got to Deangelo's house, how long did you stay there?
A. I slayed there the whole night.
Q. Eventually, do you leave with Deangelo ever again?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Who went with you?
A. JJ.
Q. Where did you go?
A. We went and we got rid of the tires.
Q. Did Deangelo tell you why you needed to get rid of the tires?
A. No, because I already knew why.
Q. Okay. Why did you need to get rid of the tires?
A. Because, I guess, they didn't want no evidence.
Q. Who is they?
A. Mr. Hidalgo, one of them.
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Q. So it wasn't clear on who told him to get rid of the tires?
A. No.
Q. What kind of tires were they that you got rid of?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Where did you go to get rid of these tires?
A. To a Mexican mechanic place.
Q. Who was driving the van to the mechanic's
place?
A. JJ.
Q. All right. And how else, did you take
more than one car or was it just in the van?
A. We took two cars.
Q. Two cars?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Who was in the second car?
A. Me and Deangelo.
Q. You and DeAngelo.
Who was driving that vehicle?
A. The vehicle, Deangelo.
Q. Now, before you got to the tire shop, did anybody do anything to the lires?
A. Yes.
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3y Mr. digiacomo:
Q. If you know, did Deangelo tell you?
A. Deangelo told me Anabel gave him a hundred dollars to change the tires.
Q. After the tires were changed off the vehicle, what happened to these cut up tires?
A. We got rid of them.
Q. When you say "we," where did you go?
A. Two tires went in the trash can and two fires went another place.
Q. Two tires went into a trash can where?
A. About around the corner from, around the corner from the Mexican mechanic's place.
Q. Who actually threw the tires out?
A. JJ.
Q. Okay. And who was driving the van at this point?
A. JJ.
Q. And who was driving the vehicle, the other vehicle?
A. Deangelo was.
Q. Okay. And were you familiar with the business in the area where these tires were thrown away?
A. No, I wasn't.
Q. What was that?
A. Deangelo stabbed the tires.
Q. Okay. And then did you eventually get to the fire shop?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And were additional tires purchased for the van?
A. Yes.
Q. And who changed the tires?
A. The mechanic people did.
Q. Who paid for that?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Did you pay?
A. No.
Q. Did you see \(J J\) pay?
A. Deangelo paid.
Q. Okay. But did Deangelo tell you who gave him the money to do that?

MR. ORAM: Objection. The question was asked and answered. Who paid? He just said Deangelo, Now the question by the prosecutor is, did he tell you who gave - we heard that it was Deangelo gave the money. If he doesn't know, he shouldn't be permitted to answer.

THE COURT: Well, he knows.
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\section*{Deangelo actually do?}
A. He talked to the officer. I don't know what words were said because I wasn't over there when he were talking to the officer.
Q. What did you do when Deangelo went and talked to the officer?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do when Deangelo talked to the officer?
A. Went in the store.
Q. You went back in the store?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Eventually, was the situation resolved?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. And then did you leave the store?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Where did you go?
A. I went back to Deangelo's house.
Q. Did you ever -- did you leave - well, on the way back to Deangelo's house, did all of you go to the house together?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Okay. And when you gol there, who was at the house?
A. My baby's mother and Deangelo's wife and MARCIA HARNESS, CCR 20才 45J.3099
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decided to pay for the bill?

```
A. No, the food hadn't even came yet.
Q. So the receipt comes with the bill, the food badn't even come yet, and Deangelo is going to go pay?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Did he say anything to the group when he was going to pay?
A. No.
Q. Did he ever fell you -- strike that.

Do you know how much money the bill was, approximately?
A. The bill was about 47,50 bucks estimate.
Q. And do you know if he used a credit card or any other method to pay?
A. No.
Q. Okay. After breakfast, what happened?
A. We go back to Deangelo's. No, we went to a barber shop.
Q. Okay. Then what happened?
A. Deangelo was getting his hair cut. After that, we went into a store.
Q. What kind of store?
A. To like a Dollar store, Family Dollar store.

\section*{son}
Q. Eventually, did the group - well, did anybody decide to leave to do anything else?
A. No, we left to eat breakfast.
Q. Okay. Who went to go to breakfast?
A. Me, JJ, Deangelo, my baby's mother and his wife, his son, a few more friends.
Q. Okay. Where did you go to eat?
A. We went to IHOP.
Q. So you're at a big table, I'm assuming, with that many people?
A. Yes.
Q. Does everybody order food?
A. Yes.
Q. Eventually, does the check come?
A. Yes.
Q. What happens when the check comes?
A. Deangelo pays for it.
Q. What do you mean, how did he pay for it?

What did he do?
A. The check, like usually you pay after the check comes, but he paid before it came. Like he paid right when it came, right when the bill came, he paid for it.
Q. So you guys were still eating and he MARCIA HARNESS, CCR \(2044 J \cdot 30+7\)
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Q. What happened there?
A. And he bought a fan and a mop and some housing material.
Q. Did you see how he paid for any of those items?
A. No.
Q. After the Dollar store, did you go anywhere else?
A. We went home.
Q. When you say "home," you're back to

Deangelo's place, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long did you remain at Deangelo's
place?
A. I remained there until the next morning.
Q. Okay. And did Deangelo stay there the whole time?
A. Yes. He went to sleep for a minute, but before he went to sleep, he went to Simone's Auto Plaza.
Q. So before Deangelo goes to sleep for that night, after breakfast, you go to Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes.
Q. Who goes with you?
A. Me and JJ and Deangelo.
```

Q. And whose car are you in?
A. The white van.
Q. Who is driving?
A. Deangelo is driving.
Q. Eventually, you get down to Simone's Auto Plaza. Tell me what happens.
A. Well, we get down there. And we was waiting, we just waited in the car.
Q. For how long did you -- when you say "we," who waited in the car?
A. Me and JJ.
Q. Where is Simone's Auto Plaza generally
located?
A. I think by the airport.
Q. Somewhere down by the airport. Okay. You and JJ waited in the car and Deangelo
got out?
A. Yes, Deangelo went in Simone's Auto Plaza.
Q. On the way down there, did Deangelo tell you why you were going to Simone's?
A. No, he didn't.
Q. Okay. Eventually, you said Deangelo got out of the car. Did he come back to the car or did you and JJ get out?
A. We got out.
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is this in furtherance of a conspiracy? The man has been shot. He's been killed. Now, all of a sudden, we apparently can't get Deangelo Carroll to testify and the Stale's upset about it, so they're going to ask this man everything that Mr. Carroll said.

I don't understand how this could be in furtherance of a conspiracy. I don't -- since this man didn't even mention what he's now testifying to in his statement, this whole accident at Simone's, I'm very concerned about what's going to come out.

I really think we need an offer of proof from the State what they intend to elicit so that we can make the appropriate objections because I have no idea what this man is going to say. I think this is all into hearsay, and I don't think its an exception under Crawford whatsoever.

MR, DIGIACOMO: Judge, the conspiracy continues so long as the co-conspirators are trying to get away from the crime, which means If they are down at Simone's Auto Plaza in the course of the conspiracy, and Deangelo comes in there and starts talking about them keeping their mouths shut, that statement's made in furtherance of the conspiracy, Judge.

I think that the Court - there is no jury here, and I appreciate Mr. Oram's getting up a lot to
Q. Where did you go?
A. And we went in.
Q. Where did you go into?
A. We went into Simone's Auto Plaza.
Q. Now, describe the area of Simone's Auto Plaza that you went into. Was it an office area? Was it a work area?
A. Il was an office area.
Q. When you went in the door, what did you \(d o ?\)
A. We sat on the couch.
Q. What were you doing while you were sitting on the couch?
A. We were wailing for Deangelo.
Q. Is there any sort of entertainment there for you?
A. We was watching TV, and I went to the bathroom. And we seen, that's when Deangelo came. He had to use the bathroom too, so we seen him at the bathroom.
Q. While you were in the bathroom, did

Deangelo talk to you at all?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he tell you? MR. ORAM: Judge, objection to this. How MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 1JJ-f047
object to it, but I think the Court can make a determination at the end was there a conspiracy established and what statements, if any, am I going to allow in as a statement by a co-conspirator in the course and in furtherance.

And if you make a determination that a particular statement wasn't, then you can move -- they can move to strike that. But certainly If we're going to object, and I have an offer of proof as to every statement Deangelo made over a four-day period, we're going to be here forever. And there is no jury to worry about prejudicing by the statements.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Just in response, apparently Mr. Zone now is a co-conspirator because that's what I glean from Mr. Digiacomo's response. I guess Mr. Zone now is a co-conspirator, and he's acting in participation with other co-conspirators. Is that not what he just stated?

MR. DIG|ACOMO: No. Im not asking about his statements being statements of a co-conspirator in the course and furtherance. I'm talking about Deangelo's statements being statements by a co-conspirator in the course and furtherance.

MR. DRASKOVICH: So it's my understanding then that not only did he go to the lake, but then he
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went to this auto shop or wherever with these same people, they're getting rid of evidence, yet this young man is not a co-conspirator. Is that the State's position?

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's a legal argument. I appreciate Mr. Draskovich asking me what my position is, but I have yet to hear this witness testify that he physically did any act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And we have heard very little testimony concerning what anybody else did. other than perhaps Deangelo and perhaps Mr. Counts. So obviously my question is to clarify because they are attempting to bring in hearsay statements under this apparently growing hearsay exception, that of a statement of a co-conspirator. Yet the State is still unclear as to whether or not Mr. Zone is a co-conspirator.

And, apparently, they don't want to be nailed down at this point as to whether or not he was a co-conspirator because obviously that may erase this exception or somehow limit it. And obviously that concerns me.

They are wanting to throw everything at the Court, and then have the Court somehow determine MARCIA HARNEIS, CCR \(20+419-3049\)
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Crawford. He's quoting a Nevada Supreme Court case where Crawford then came out, whal, two years ago.

MR. DRASKOVICH: March 2004. And obviously changed the lay of the land in reference to hearsay and exceptions to the hearsay and non hearsay.

MR. DIGIACOMO: As a confrontation clause issue, if the confrontation clause isn't an issue, it can't possibly be relevant to a Crawford. Crawford talks about testimonial statements. A co-conspirator statement, by its very definition, is not testimonial,

MR. ORAM: It's impossible for us to cross-examine anyone. I might as well not be here from what I can tell. Im not going to be able to cross-examine an accuser. Deangelo Carroll is the accuser. We're not going to be able to cross-examine him.

And so now I'm just sitting here listening to this gentleman. I don't know what he's going to say next. If he says something that Deangelo Carroll told me your client did something really bad, how do I cross-examine that? That's the purpose of the confrontation clause.

What's the point in having me here if 1 can't cross-examine the speaker, who is sitting right back here?

\section*{later on if it applies or if not. Yet, they} themselves, and this kind of went to what my co-counsel brought up, we need some showing of proof and an offer made by the State concerning the statements that they are attempting to elicit from Mr. Zone,

MR. ORAM: Additionally, your Honor, Crawford, it sounds to me like the State needs, with all due respect, perhaps they need to go back and look at some of the language that Crawford said, that these exceptions under the hearsay rules are completely limited by that ruling.

And it just seems that just ignoring that, let's just go ahead, co-conspirator, everything is coming in because we can't get Deangelo Carroll to come in and say what we need him to say.

MR. DIGIACOMO; In response, Judge, first of all, McDowell v. State, 103 Nevada 527, 1987x "a co-conspirator statement does not violate the confrontation clause."

How could these possibly be testimonial? If he's a co-conspirator by definition, he doesn't want these in a courtroom.

MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, it's hearsay, If he's not a co-conspirator. It's hearsay.

MR. ORAM: And it's also well before
MARCLA HLARNESS, CCR 204 4I5-3047
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THE COURT: So where are we going? I need to know that too.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, Mr. Carroll is going to make statements to JJ and Ronta about keeping their mouth shut. This is going to dovetail into a surreplitious wire that Deangelo Carroll has with Luis Hidalgo, III, and Anabel Espindola where they discuss the killing of Ronta and Jayson Taoipu. It's all relevant to that particular issue.

MR. ORAM: Which we're not going to hear today because they don't have Deangelo Carroll to talk about the surreptitious statements. And as Mr. Pesci is well aware, the Nevada Supreme Court has specifically heard this issue, and if it comes to that, and they try to play these CDs, I'll fax the decision over. It was one Mr. Pesci and I did ourselves where they tried to play CDs without calling the witness, so they are not going to be able to do that. And if -

MR. DRASKOVICH: And if they're intending
this to be the independent corroboration of this supposed conspiracy or ongoing conspiracy, they are not going to be able to provide that. Therefore; all of these statements should be stricken.

THE COURT: Okay. Where we're at is, I think motions at the end. We need to get it in, so I

\footnotetext{
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know what it is and can rule one way or another.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
by ma digiacomo:
Q. When Deangelo comes into the bathroom at
Simone's Auto Plaza, what does he say to you and JJ?
A. He said you're just going to act like it's
a regular day. Like nothing happened.
Q. What was your response to that?
A. And there was nothing I could say.
Q. What about JJ?
A. He didn't have no response either.
Q. So he tells you to act like it's just
another day?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you and JJ then do?
A. We sat on the couch watching TV.
Q. Did you see anybody else that you recognized inside Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. I seen Mr. H.
Q. Okay. How did you know it was Mr. H?
A. I don't know. I just knew.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Objection, calls for clairvoyance on the part of the -- it's speculation and calls for clairvoyance on the part of the testifying witness.

```
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A. He was short.
Q. Did you see this person after he talked to

Deangelo where he went inside Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. He went in his office.
Q. Which office was it?
A. It was the office to the right side.
Q. The farthest right office?
A. Yes, because it was glass. It was a glass
office, and then it was another office on the other side.
Q. Okay. So there are two offices?
A. Yeah.
Q. That are on the right side of where you
are sitting?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He went into the farthest right office
after talking to Deangelo?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Eventually, did Deangelo come back to you?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did you and JJ and Deangelo leave?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did Deangelo make any statements thereafter concerning the crime that you witnessed the night before?
```

MR, DIGIACOMO: Let me clarify.
BY Mr. digiacom0:
Q. What made you believe that it was Mr. H?
A. Because it's just like I was told to stop
and go in and look for a man. That he was a
Salvadorian-looking man, and he looked like a
Salvadorian-looking man to me.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Judge, once again I'd
object -
THE COURT: Wait until he's done.
THE WITNESS: He was the man talking to
Deangelo, so I figured it was him:
BY MR, DIGIACOMO:
Q. He was the man talking to Deangelo. How old was this individual?
A. He looked elderly.
Q. When you say elderly --
A. Not old, but old.
Q. I mean, are we talking $30,40,50,60,70$ ?
A. Like in his 40 s or 50 s .
Q. I guess for a guy who is 19 that is old. What kind of hair, do you remember his
hair color?
A. Ithink it was gray.
Q. And how tall was he?

```
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A. Yes.
Q. What did he say?
A. He said that they were investigating

Mr. \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) and Mr. H had told them that --
MR. ORAM: Now, objection. Objection. Now, we're hearing it --

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.
by mb. digiacamo:
Q. Deangelo provided you information from Mr. H ?
A. Yes.
Q. And then did Deangelo tell you anything else?
A. No.
Q. What happened, where did you go from there?
A. Well, he had the Palomino shuttle, so we drove by the house.
Q. So you left in the Palomino shuttle?
A. Yes.
Q. So you went in the white Chevy Astro van, but you left in the Palomino shuttle?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe the Palomino shuttle?
M.1RCU HARNESS, CCR 204 \& 55 -5047
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A. It's a shuttle with naked women on it.
Q. It's a shuttle with naked women on it?
A. Yes.
Q. Does it say anything on the sides that identifies the Palomino Club?
A. It says PC .
Q. PC?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Where did you go?
A. We were driving on the way home, and when we were driving on the way home, Deangelo dropped us off about around the corner from his house.
Q. Okay. And why is it that - did he tell you why he was dropping you off there?
A. Yeah, because he didn't want to seem suspicious.
Q. Okay. So you dropped you and JJ off, or he dropped you and $J J$ off, and then did he continue down towards his house?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do?
A. We walked to his house.
Q. Okay. Why did you go directly to his house?
A. Because my baby's mother is there.
A. Because my baby's mother is there.

```
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    Q. So then eventually Deangelo went to work?
    A. Yes.
Q. Did you go with Deangelo this time?
A. No, d didn't go.
Q. You stayed at the house?
A. I stayed at his house.
Q. When is the next time you saw Deangelo after that?
A. When he was getting off work, and that's when the homicide defectives was with him.
Q. So he showed up the next time with a homicide detective?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any conversations with

```

Deangelo at that point?
A. He told me, all he told me was just to tell the truth or we're goirg to jail.
Q. Okay. Did you go with the homicide delectives?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you eventually provide a statement to the homicide detectives?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. After that statement, where did you go?
A. I went back to Deangelo's house.
Q. And why did that concern you?
A. Because it's my baby's mother. She has my son.
Q. If you had shown up at a different time than Deangelo, would that have caused an issue?
A. With my baby's mother, it would cause an issue.
Q. Why?
A. Because she would have asked, she would ask questions.
Q. And why is that a problem?
A. No, it's not a problem. But when we walked, after he dropped us off, we walked to his house. We was just there.
Q. Okay. So does Deangelo eventually go to sleep?
A. Yes, he does.
Q. Does anybody leave that house after that?
A. JJ left.
Q. Okay. After JJ left, did Deangelo ever leave?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. He was sleeping too. He was going to work.
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Q. Okay. How long did you stay there for?
A. Just the night. And I left early that morning because my grandmother, my grandma - my baby's mama's grandma just came back from a cruise. She wasn't back yet. We didn't have no ride. So I waited until she got back. She came the next morning and picked us up.
Q. Okay.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
by mi. diciaccho:
Q. I want to clarify an issue that was raised right before we took a break and you had counsel.

When you left before Timothy's murder the last time Deangelo's house, what did you think you were going to do?
A. Really I wanted to go.
Q. Go where?
A. I wanted to leave.
Q. Let me back up. I think I am confusing you with the question.

You said earlier in the day that Timothy was killed you went promoting and then you wound up back at Deangelo's house?
A. Yes.
Q. Eventually, Deangelo told you it's time to
go. Did you -- and you testified you asked him where you're going, what you're going to do. What was his response?
A. Before or after?
Q. Before the murder of Timothy.
A. He said we're going to promote.
Q. Okay. Had you known that they were going out to kill Timothy Hadland, would you have ever gotten into that vehicle?
A. No, 1 wouldn't have. MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you. I pass the witness, Judge.

MR. ORAM: I will go first, your Honor. I'll be the quickest.

Cross-EXAMIMATION
by ma. oram:
Q. Good morning. Do you know the people that are employed at the Palomino Club? Do you know them all?
A. No.
Q. You mentioned a lady named Anabel. Do you know her last name?
A. No. I know by, the only way I knew her was by the news.

MAARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 4SE-3047
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you said you do not know anybody else at the Palomino Club other than Deangelo, correct?
A. Yes. And one more person.
Q. Who is that other person?
A. Luie.
Q. You do know Luie?
A. Yes.
Q. How do you know Luie?
A. Well, I have been knowing him like ever
since I've been kicking with Deangelo. I would see Louie from time to time. I never knew he worked at the club, but I knew him before I even knew he worked at the club.
Q. You never had a conversation with him, did you?
A. No.
Q. So you would agree that you did not know him well then?
A. No.
Q. You and he had never gone out and hung out together, correct?
A. No.
Q. You never attempted any projects together?
A. No.
Q. You never went to any clubs together?
Q. So prior to the day this murder happened, you had never seen her, correct?
A. No.
Q. You did not know what she looked fike?
A. No.
Q. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You had never seen Deangelo speak with her, correct?
A. No. See, the auto plaza -
Q. In fact, you don't know how many people by the name of Anabel would work at the Palomino Club?
A. No.
Q. It could be five, right? You just don't know.
A. Yeah. I don't really know nobody that works for Palomino. Only person I knew was Deangeto.
Q. And there was no lady out there at the desert with you, correct?
A. No.

MR. ORAM: Nothing further, your Honor.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATTON}

BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
Q. Following up on co-counsel's questions,
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A. No, he didn't.
Q. Okay. Bul you knew they were coming from
Deangelo?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, after, and we're going to talk
about this statement somewhat, but after this conversation or interview that you had with the police, did you have another one with the police after May 21st?
A. Yes.
Q. When was the next time that you had spoken with the police?

```
A. Well, that was with the DA.
Q. Okay. Was that today?
A. No.
Q. When was this, and this was the second meeting that you had or the second time that you told your story?
A. This was a week and a half. This was a week and a half from prior to today.
Q. Okay, So it wasn't last week but the week before?
A. Yes.
Q. And you went to the district attorney's office?
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A. Yes, he was.
Q. I see. So Detective Faikner was taking
notes on what you were saying?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately how long did this conversation take place, or how long were you there talking to this detective and to this district attorney?
A. About an hour-and-a-half, two hours,
Q. I see. Did you take a break during this
hour-and-a-half to two hours or was it straight taiking?
A. It was just talking.
Q. So you did a lot of talking during that
hour-and-a-half to two hours, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. During this whole time, this detective was writing down notes, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did you see the same detective here today?
A. Yes.
Q. You saw him in the back?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also discussed with him what you had discussed with him before for that hour-and-a-half
A. Yes, Idid.
Q. And you went with a detective?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Which detective did you go with?
A. I went with Detective Falkner.
Q. Falkner?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. I see. You went inside the DA's office?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Do you remember what foor you went to?
A. Floor 10.
Q. Floor 10. And there you met with the district attorney?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you meet with Mr. DiGiacomo, the one who has been asking you the questions?
A. Yes, Idid.
Q. So it was you, Mr. DiGiacomo and a detective?
A. Yes.
Q. You gave a statement, you went over yout slory that you went over today, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And during this time that you gave this statement, was the detective writing notes?
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\section*{lo two hours, didn't you?}
A. Yes.
Q. He wrote more notes too, didn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. And he went over the notes that he had written that you had given to him the last time you and he met, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Judge, at this time, I would like to - could we approach for a second?

THE COURT: Sure.
fThereupon, a brief discussion was held at the rench. 1
ay mr. praskovich:
Q. In reference to this - lel me ask you this. After those two times that you met after the meeting with Deteclive McGrath and Wildemann, have you met with any other detectives and told him your story?
A. No.
Q. So we have three times then; is that correct?
A. No, we have two times.
Q. Plus the time today before you took the stand?
A. Yes.
Q. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you spoke to this other detective or whoever he was, he went over what you needed to say today, didn't he?
A. No, he asked me, he asked me questions. And then he went over it with me.
Q. What questions did he ask you?
A. He asked me, he asked me - he just told me to tell the truth. That's it.
Q. I see. He went over names of people that you had talked about with him?
A. Oh, no.
Q. Okay. The prior time that you were in the office talking to him, okay, a week and a half ago, you went over names with people at that time, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. He had told you people's names, didn't he?
A. No, I had knew. They knew what I had knew too.
Q. You had been following this matter in the news, haven't you?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. You have read the newspapers?
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Q. It was early in the morning, wasn'tit?
A. No, it wasn't.
Q. In the afternoon.
A. It was around -- yeah. Yeah, it was in the moming.
Q. About 1:00 a.m.
A. But it was like at night in the morning.
Q. Okay. You wanted to be as truthful as you could?
A. Yes, and I was.
Q. You wanted to be as complete as you could?
A. Yes.
Q. Hey, this was a homicide investigation,
wasn't it?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. It was important, wasn't it?
A. Yeah.
Q. And you were scared when you talked to
them, correct?
A. Yes and no.
Q. You wanted to give them everything you possibly could at that point, didn't you?
A. I didn't have a choice. They already knew that iknew.
Q. And did they tell you how they knew that
A. Yes, I have.
Q. You have watched TV?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And you would agree with me in reading the newspaper and watching the TV that's helped you put things together, correct?
A. Yes
Q. Names?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. In fact, you would agree with me that by watching the TV and reading the newspaper it's helped you fill in blanks that you had before, correct?
A. Yes. No, as a matter of facl, no. It just told me things that I didn't know about the club.
Q. Okay. So you have leamed more about the club by watching TV, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you would agree with me that by
leaming these things, it's affected your ability to remember and say thing today in this court?
A. No.
Q. I see. Now, when you gave this first statement to the cops, it was back on the 21st of May. correct?
A. Yes, sir.
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A. Yes, he did.
Q. They told you that they had spoken to

Deangelo, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, in this statement that you gave to them on May 21st, it was tape recorded?
A. Yes.
Q. If you had questions, you would stop them, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And they had talked about a number of the things that you have testified to today, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There came a time in which they discussed why this guy named TJ got shot, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And at the time, being honest and wanting to be truthfui, you told the police you didn't know who wanted TJ shot, correct?
A. Yes, I did. But when they, when they had already, they had already knew that I was lying at first, but then that's when I told them.
Q. I see. So you first toid them that you did not know?
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A. Yes, I did.
Q. So then you lied to the police, didn't
A. Yes, I did.
Q. At the time that you lied to the police, you lied because you thought it might help you, correct?
A. No, I lied because I was scared.
Q. Okay. And then you thought that if you told the truth, you would get into trouble, correct?
A. No.
Q. But you lied because you were scared?
A. Yes.
Q. I see. So you didn't tell the truth
because you were scared?
A. Yes. And but then I did tell the truth because it was either that or jail.
Q. I see. So they told you that if you didn't name other people you were going to jail, correct?
A. Yeah, because I was going to be, I was going to be in the midst of the conspiracy with the murder.
Q. I see. So they told you then that if you gave other people's names you weren't going to be in
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\section*{other people?}
A. I told the truth.
Q. Now, when he asked you about - let me back up just a little bit.

Earlier in this day of May 19th, you
testified and you stated later to the detective that
Deangelo said somebody needed to be deall with, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And those were your words?
A. No, those wasn't my words.
Q. Those were Deangelo's words?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And on May 21 st, you told the
police that you didn't know who it was that wanted TJ to be dealt with, correct?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You didn't, did you?
A. I did, but - I did, but I didn't. It's
like, okay, I was told something and then I was told something else.
Q. Okay. But at the lime, earlier in the day of May 19th, you didn't know why they wanted this guy taken care of, correct?
A. No, I didn't.
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this mix for this conspiracy?
A. No, he didn't tell me that
Q. I see. There was a time in which one of those police officers swore at you, didn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. He told you to smarten up or you were going in jail, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He told you that you were making him fucking mad, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you didn't want to see a mad man, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that scared you, didn't it?
A. No, it didn't.
Q. That didn't?
A. No.
Q. I see.
A. It just, he just told me, he told me that I knew what I had to do.
Q. I see. And that you knew that you had to finger other people, correct?
A. I'm the one that had to deal the truth.
Q. I see. So you knew you needed to finger
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Q. You didn't even know who this guy was, did you?
A. No.
Q. You had never met this TJ, had you?
A. No.
Q. You knew that Deangelo knew him, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But you personally had never seen him before, had you?
A. No.
Q. Never gone out with him, correct?
A. Or if I have seen him, I probably didn't recognize him because, I mean, I don't know the guy.
Q. Okay, You had never done anything socially with him?
A. No.
Q. Never talked to him on the phone?
A. No.
Q. Basically, you didn"t know him from Adam, correct?
A. I didn't know him from a can of paint.
Q. You didn't know him from a can of paint?
A. Yes.
Q. But you knew Deangelo knew him, correct?
A. Yes,
ALARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 4JJ-3047
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Q. And you didn't know if anybody else knew him, did you, that was in the car with you?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Now, you had told these detectives at the beginning of the interview that you didn't know who wanted TJ taken care of?
A. Yes, Idid.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you had this conversation where this cop told you to smarten up and you were making him mad, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then you and he still talked about who wanted this TJ taken care of, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And even at that time, after he told you to smarten up or you were going to jail, you still told him that you didn't know who wanted \(T J\) taken care of, correct?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. I see.
A. I did tell him who did.
Q. So when you told him on page 35 of your statement that you didn't know who wanted TJ taken care
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\section*{you, correct?}
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And you also had to rely upon what the police told you as they were questioning you, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you would agree with me that today as you are testifying you had to rely somewhat upon what the police had told you, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Concerning this Luie Hidalgo, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You never got paid any money in this case, did you?
A. No.
Q. In fact, when the detectives asked you about Deangelo getting paid, after they told you to smarten up and to tell them the truth, even then you told them you did not know whether or not Deangefo had gotten paid, correct?
A. Still today I don't know if Deangelo got paid.
Q. And you don't know if KC got paid either, do you?
A. No, I don't.
Q. So, once again, you're having to rely upon
```

of, that wasn't true, was it?

```
A. No.
Q. No, it wasn't?
A. No, no.
Q. So then you lied to the police again concerning what you knew or what you didn't know?
A. No, I didn't lie to the police. I lied to them the first time. Then he told me I better smarten up or I'm going to jail, and that's when I told him what I knew.
Q. Okay. But then, again, in order to tell him what you knew -
A. And I did tell them who, and I did tell him that I knew who wanted Tim to be done.
Q. Backing up just a little bit, though. Once again, you had to rely on what Deangelo told you. didn't you?
A. Part of it.
Q. Okay. You never spoke with this Mr. H, did you?
A. No.
Q. You never spoke with this Luie or Hidalgo the third, did you?
A. No.
Q. So you had to rely upon what Deangelo told
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\section*{what the police may have told you, correct?}
A. No, I'm going by what Deangelo told me.
Q. Okay. And Deangelo alone; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. There came a time on this day that you were being interviewed the first time with the police, that you thought that TJ had been ratting on somebody, correct?
A. I wasn't really told why. I wasn't told why he was going to get done or any reason. That's all I was told.
Q. Okay. But, sir, I'm asking you what you said. You told the police on May 21st that you thought the reason TJ had gotten shol was because he was ratting?
A. Yeah, I guess. He had to be talking or something.
Q. You also told the police that you thought he was snitching, correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. And when you say snitching, you mean maybe he was telling the police on somebody, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Because you had learned from Deangelo that
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TJ was into weed, correct?
A. No. I didn't learn nothing about TJ.
Q. I see.
A. I didn't learn whether he was with weed or nothing. I told the cops, I told the cops I don't know nothing about this guy,
Q. You knew Deangelo smokes weed, correct?
A. Yes, of course.
Q. You have smoked marijuana with Deangelo before, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you knew that he would get, and Im talking about Deangelo, he would get marijuana from TJ?
A. No.
Q. I see. But you smoked the weed with him, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you bring the weed when you and he would smoke together?
A. Me and Deangelo?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. You clarified it for us. MR. DRASKOVICH: I pass the witness. AYARCLA HARNESF; CCR 204 45F-30H7
A. No.
Q. And you were staying at DC's house, or I'm sorry, Deangelo's house. Kenneth Counts wasn't staying there, was he?
A. No.
Q. In fact, when they, when the detectives asked you where you picked up Kevin Counts from or Kevin, excuse me - strike that.

Kenneth Counts from, you didn't know where you picked up Kenneth Counts from, did you?
A. Yes, but that's when I told them before the officer said what he said.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I said that before the officer said, I said that before the officer said what he said.
Q. You said what?
A. That's when I told him that I didn't really know where he lived.
Q. Right, but you're nol from Las Vegas, are you?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. How long have you been in Las Vegas?
A. Eight, nine years.
Q. So you've been here long enough that you would know east side, west side, correct?
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```

A. Yes.
Q. But when the officer said the west side, you didn't know where Kenneth Counts lived, did you?
A. No.
Q. So you had never had contact with Kenneth Counts before?
A. Never.
Q. And the only people that got in that van, according to you, according to what your statement is, is you, JJ , Deangelo Carroll and then Kenneth Counts you say you picked up?

```
A. Yes.
Q. And all of you drove out to Lake Mead?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have had two conversations with the police?
A. I had one with homicide, and two with, twice with the DA.
Q. And then once again this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you been made any promises?
A. No.
Q. Have they promised you that they are not going to take away your child maybe?
A. No, they didn't say nothing about my MEARCLA HARNESS, CCR \(204455-3097\)
```

child.

```
Q. Have they ever talked about pressing charges against you?
A. They said, police said I ain't, I wasn't being charged with nothing.
Q. Why are you testifying here today?

A: I'm lestifying here today because it's my choice. It's either that or I'm supposed to be on the nun.
Q. Why would you be on the run?
A. Because they think that I'm in the mix with this murder.
Q. So if you're not testifying here today, you would be in the mix with this murder?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. And did the police tell you that if you weren't lestifying here today you would have to be on the run because you would be in the mix with this murder?
A. No. They told me exactly this. They said, if you don't testify, you either have something to do with the crime.
Q. So you're testifying here today to show that you did not have something to do with the crime?
A. Yeah, to show them that I did not.
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Q. What were his words?
A. His words, his words, he - see, that was earlier, that was earlier even before the time. He had left and came back. He left and came back. And then he was like come on, we're fixing to go to work. He didn't say come on, we're fixing to go kill a guy, let's go. He said we're fixing to go to work, and that's the only reason that I gol up and left.
Q. And at that point, it was you, \(J J\) and Deangelo, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And there was no Kenneth Counts present?
A. No.
Q. Kenneth Counts didn't work with you guys?
A. No.
Q. You said you had been promoting a couple other times. Was Kenneth Counts ever present with you guys?
A. No.
Q. And then when you find out that \(T J\) needs to be laken care of, you were in the van at that point or were you still in the apartment?
A. It wasn't in the van. It was at the apartment.
Q. So it was at the apartment, and you
A. No, he didn't say come on, let's go
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learned that TJ needed to be taken care of?
A. Yes,
Q. And you still got in the van and went with
Deangelo and JJ, correct?
A. You're trying to mix it up. He told me,
he would like, yeah, he's going to get taken care of or
whatever. And he asked me did I want a part, and I
said no. That's it. I said flat no. I said no. I
got a son. No. All right.
And then after that, he left and he came
back. And he was like you ready to go promote? And
I'm like yeah. I mean, why not? I mean, l've been
doing this for about a week. We've been promoting for
like a week, so I figure we're going out to promote.
Q. And so when the police officer asked you,
when the detective asked you the question, "So when you
thought, hey, he needs to be taken care of, what did
you think he meant?" Your answer was, "I already knew
what it meant," right?

```
A. Yes,
Q. And it was it meant murder, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So when Deangelo then said come on, let's go, you thought you were going to promote?
A. No. He said, he was like come on, let's
go. I'm sitting at the house with my baby's mama and his wife. And my baby's mama asked me where I was going. She said where are you all going? He said we're going to promote. So l'm thinking we're going to promote and we leave.
Q. But you don't tell your baby's mama everything, right?
A. Yes, I do, as a matter of fact.
Q. Well, you got dropped off by the Palomino shuttle so your baby's mama wouldn't ask you questions, right?
A. No, it didn't have anything to do with my baby's mama asking questions. It had something to do with homicide or a detective being suspicious. It didn't have nothing to do with my baby's mama saying anything.
Q. So you got in the van and you were driving out to Lake Mead. Was there any lalk about what was going to occur in the van on the way out there?
A. No, there wasn't.
Q. You had no idea why you were going out to Lake Mead?
A. I didn't know until we got to Hollywood Boulevard.
Q. Okay. And then when you were out at

MARCLAHLARNESS. CCR 204 IfT-30.47

\section*{that point, right?}
A. He was out of the car at that point
Q. And Deangelo is your friend, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you saying that Deangelo would never have put you in this situation if you did not know what you were getting yourself into?
A. Im not sure. I can't really be sure.

It's for him to say for himself.
Q. But you yourself never screamed stop, get away?
A. No.
Q. He has a gun?
A. No.
Q. You never said anything like that?
A. No.
Q. And on the way out to the lake, was there any falk about what was going to occur?
A. No.
Q. So you never heard -- if Kenneth Counts was, in fact, in the van, he never participated in any communication about what was going to happen on the way out to the lake?
A. No, he didn't.
Q. And then the next day, when you went and

Lake - when you were out at Lake Mead and TJ drove up to the car, did you ever scream stop, something is going to happen? Did you ever wam him?
A. No.
Q. You never did that?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Sol can be a dead man?
Q. Why would you be a dead man? Were they going to be taking care of you?
A. All right. Let's say this, You sitting next to a man that's willing to kill somebody. You mean to tell me you're going to tell that man to stop and he's sitting right next to you?
Q. He wasn't. He was out of the car, right?
A. How am I going to tell the man to stop when I can be just as dead as him or my baby's mama could be harmed.
Q. Well, you told me that you were out at Lake Mead, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And somebody slid out of the car?
A. Yeah.
Q. And they went around and they were standing by the car, so they were out of the car at
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you switched the tires on the van, was Kenneth Counts with you?
A. No.
Q. And did you take part in any of the stabbing of the tires or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. And you knew why the tires needed to be switched though, right?
A. Yes
Q. Did you help lift the tires and put them into the trash can or anything?
A. I didn't touch nothing.
Q. Did you see Kenneth Counts at all that day?
A. No.
Q. And when you went to the Palomino Club .. I'm sorry, let me back up.

After you left Lake Mead and you went back to the Palomino Club, you got out of the van to go into the Palomino Club with Deangelo, correct?
A. No.
Q. You never got out of the van?
A. I didn't get out of the van and go in the club.
Q. What did you get out of the van for?

\footnotetext{
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A. We were left in the van.
Q. Did you initially get in the van and

Deangelo said no, don't come in?
A. No. He said that he just, he was lixe you all wait here.
Q. So you waited in the van for two hours?
A. No. I got out and I waited on the, on
some little seats.
Q. How far does Deangelo -- how far is Deangelo's apartment from the Palomino Club? Was it in walking distance?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you walked from the Palomino Club to

Deangelo's apartment before?
A. No.
Q. You never have?
A. No.
Q. But it was in walking distance?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew how to get home?
A. Yes.
Q. But you stayed in the van for two hours?
A. You mixing it up. I told you I didn't stay in the van for two hours.
Q. What did you do?
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A. I was waiting on Deangelo.
Q. But you weren't getting paid to wait there?
A. No, I wasn't.
Q. But you sfill waited two hours?
A. Yeah, I still waited for Deangelo, but I didn't get paid. I didn't get paid no money. I didn't receive no money. That's because I didn't have nothing to do with nothing.
Q. Okay. And when Deangelo came out, you mentioned that you do not know whether or not he got paid, correct?
A. Yes. I don't know if he got paid because I didn't go in the club with him. And he didn't tell me.
Q. But you told the detective that you wondered whether or not he got paid, right?
A. Yeah, I was wondering, but what's wondering?
Q. Wondering if he got paid for driving the car out to the lake?
A. Yeah, but I don't know who got paid. As a matter of fact, at the time I didn't want to know who gol paid.
Q. Okay. And it was your understanding, it
A. I got out. I got out, and I sat on the seats that they have at the Palomino. They have like a little table that's outside by where the cabs come up and you sit there. I got out and we sat there.
Q. So you just didn't go in the club?
A. No.
Q. But you didn't stay in the van?
A. No, I didn't go in the club.
Q. And what were you waiting for?
A. I didn't have no choice but to wait. I have a baby's mother at his house. I have to do what I have to do to take care of my son.
Q. What was it that you were doing then waiting at the Palomino Club?
A. I was waiting so I could go back to his house.
Q. Were you waiting to get paid?
A. No.
Q. Did you have an expectation of payment?
A. I didn't get paid for nothing. I don't want nothing to do with nothing. That's the reason I didn't get paid.
Q. Were you getting paid for waiting there?
A. No.
Q. So whal were you waiting for then?
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was your understanding that you didn't know if anyone was getting paid, correct?
A. No, I didn't. I knew that KC got paid.
Q. How did you know that?
A. Because I was told, I was told that a person to person -

MR. ORAM: I would object. I would object to my co-defendant's attorney's question asking, eliciting hearsay.

MS, WILDEVELD: I'll strike that, your
Honor.
gy Ms. MILDEVELD:
Q. But you didn't get paid?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. But you had breakfast the next morning and Deangelo paid?
A. Yes, I had breakfast the next moming.
Q. You do not know where Deangelo got that money from?
A. No.
Q. And he took your whole family out to breakfast?
A. No. Why would l ask questions?
Q. Okay. Were you getting paid the next morning for promoting when you drove the van to get the MARCLA HARNES5, CCR \(20+355-3047\)

\section*{tires changed?}
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Are you really just being loyal to --
A. I only got paid for one night.
Q. And that was for promoting?
A. That was promoting, my first night of promoting. That's the only time I got paid.
Q. But yet you continued to work without expectation of payment?
A. Yeah. Yes, because he's my friend. And I figured he was going to pay me sooner or later, sol wasn't really tripping at the time.

MS. WILDEVELD: Thank you, your Honor,
That's it.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, before I take my opportunity to redirect, I just wanted to make the record clear that Deangelo Carroll is still sitting in the courtroom, both of his attorneys are in the courtroom, and I invite them, if they want to, to take their cross-examination now. If they choose not to, that's fine. I'll continue with redirect.

MR. DRASKOVICH: I would object to that. They waived up. They are welcome to watch.

THE COURT: They are welcome. Then apparenlly the DA says they are welcome to cross if
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A. Yes.
Q. How did you, how did you know once you got to Hollywood Boulevard that the murder was going to occur?
A. Because there is no cab place on Hollywood Boulevard?
Q. So you knew then that you had to be somewhere other than going to cabs?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember a discussion from

Mr. Draskovich, who is the lawyer in the middle here, concerning Little Lu?
A. Yes.
Q. Right, Luie?
A. Yes.
Q. You had seen and met Luie before?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you had talked to Deangelo about Luie before?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you see the individual that Deangelo referred to as Little Lu or Luie here in court today?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you point him out and describe
they want.
MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, do you want to let me cross-examine the witness and I'm not even a party to the proceedings?

THE COURT: I think you have stand to cross-examine if you wanted to, yes.

MR. FIGLER: That's interesting. Can I consull with counsel for a second? I didn't really know that I had a standing.
(Brief pause in proceedings.)
MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, Mr. Carroll has
waived his preliminary hearing so that he may face these charges in districl court. So as a result, he doesn't believe that we have any need to go forward because we'll be challenging the State's evidence at trial and that's our position.

THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Redirect.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
ay mb. digiaccmo:
Q. Couple of brief questions. You said you did not know that the murder was going to occur until you got to Hollywood Boulevard. Do you remember that question you answered on cross-examination?
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\section*{something he's wearing?}
A. He's over there.
Q. White, black or Hispanic?
A. Hispanic.
Q. Hispanic.

And is he male?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is he sitting in the front row
there?
A. Second seat. MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect the identification of Luis Hidalgo, III, Judge? MR. DRASKOVICH: I would object to that unless the Court gives me just a brief voir dire.

THE COURT: Sure. MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you.

VOTR DIRE EXAMINATIOM
by mr. oraskovich:
Q. Mr. Zone, the courtroom is full of people, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you know who is being prosecuted today
for this matter, correct?
A. Yes.

AIARCLA ILARNESS, CCR \(20+458.3047\)
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You know that these people are in custody,
correct?
A. Yes
Q. There are only four people sitting in the
box fight now that are in custody, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Two of them are black, and one of them is a woman, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So you would agree that pointing out to this Luie it helps knowing that he's in custody right now, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Because he's the only Latin mate sitting in the box, isn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, if you'd been given a lineup, you probably wouldn't have been able to pick him out, correct?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. I see. But you said you didn't really know him, though, did you?
A. I know a face.
Q. I see. And when was the last time that you saw this face?

```
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into or you saw him leaving the store?
A. Sports car. Convertible. MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Thank you, Judge I have nothing further.

MR. DRASKOVICH: I have further.
RECROSS-EXAMIMATION
ex Ma, draskovich
Q. Simone's place, that's a business, isn't
A. Yes.
Q. It's a car shop, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. If's in a plaza?
A. Yes.
Q. There is more than one business in !his plaza, correct?
A. Well, if it is, I'm not sure,
Q. It's gol a parking lot?
A. Yes.
Q. Cars in the parking lot?
A. Yes.
Q. People coming and going?
A. Yes.
Q. So you didn't see him inside, and l'm
A. Simone's Plaza.
Q. And before that, it was a long time

\section*{before, correct?}
A. Yes.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you. I have no further questions.

MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect the identification of Mr. Hidalgo, III?

THE COURT: That's correct, the record will so reflect.

RED:RECT EXAMIBATION (RRSUMed)
By mi. pticiacomo:
Q. And you said, in response to

Mr . Draskovich's questions, the last time you saw him was at Simone's Plaza?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the day after the murder?
A. Yes.
Q. Where in Simone's Plaza did you see

\section*{Mr: Hidalgo?}
A. He was leaving.
Q. He was leaving?
A. Yes.
Q. And what, did you see what vehicle he got
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\section*{referring to Luie inside the building, did you?}
A. No.
Q. You saw him just outside in the parking lot, correct?
A. I saw him leave.
Q. You saw him leave?
A. Yes.
Q. This place of business where it's common for people to come and go, correct?
A. Yes.

MR. DRASKOVICH: No further questions.
THE COURT: Okay. Are we going to need
Mr. Zone anymore?
MR. DIGIACOMO: No, your Honor. He's free
to go.
MR. DRASKOVICH: We don't intend on calling him.

THE COURT: All right. It's five to noon. I'd say it's a good time to take our lunch recess. We'll start about a quarter after one.
(A brief tunch recess was taken.y
THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
MR. DIGIACOMO; Before we call the next witness, can we approach for a moment?

THE COURT: You bet
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 4/J-304\%
A. Three-and-a-half years now.
Q. How long have you been with Metro?
A. Almost 13 years.
Q. I want to direct your altention to May 19 th of the year 2005. Did you have an opportunity to respond out to North Shore Road near Lake Mead concerning a homicide of an individual identified as Timothy Hadland?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Approximately what time did you get the call?
A. The call came out before midnight, however, sometime after midnight, I would say 12;30ish, a.m., which would be the 20th, is when they called me to respond.
Q. Okay, When you responded, did you respond by yourself or were there other members of the homicide unit that responded with you?
A. Our whole squad responded at that time.
Q. Who is your whole squad?
A. Detective Jimmy Vaccaro, who is the acting sergeant, Detective Marty Wildemann, my partner, Detective Teresa Kyger, and myself responded.
Q. Now, when homicide group or a squad responds out to a homicide scene, are the duties
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divided up in any particular manner?
A. Yes. Basically, when we're dispatched, prior to us going, we have decided one person is going to be in charge with documenting a crime scene, taking notes and working with the crime scene analyst at the scene, and the other detectives will split up to interview witnesses.
Q. What was your responsibility that night?
A. My responsibility was the crime scene investigation.
Q. And when you first responded, describe for the Court the course of your initial investigation. When you arrived on the scene, what did you first do?
A. Basically, when you drive through the toll booth on East Lake Mead, over the mountain, over the saddle and go through the toll booth. Once you get to approximately two miles past the foll booth, it intersects with North Shore Road. If you take a left there, that's what we're referring to. I called it .33 miles east of that intersection.
Q. is the scene where the homicide occurred?
A. Is the scene where the homicide occurred.
Q. And that's here in Clark County, Nevada?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And when you got there, describe what you
saw for the Court.
A. When I got there, there was - there were the, there was Metro police vehicles on each side of the roadway. There was also park service officers, you know, stopping the traffic in both directions. The crime scene analysts were already arrived on the scene when I got there.
Q. Now, do the crime scene analysts do their work before you get there, or do they wait for you to make a determination on the course of your investigation?
A. They wait for us, but they were working already on their regular shift, and we responded from our residences because it's during the nighttime.
Q. When you say that you're responsible for working with the crime scene analysts and documenting the scene, what does that mean you do?
A. Basically, what that means is myself, they make their notes and diagrams, and lalso make my notes and diagrams as to what I see. And then we come together and talk about different items that they see. Do we want this particular item impounded? What do you think about this? You know, things like that,
Q. Prior to disturbing the scene, do crime scene analysts do anything to document the scene before
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\section*{anything is disturbed?}
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. Photographs are taken of the overall area exactly the way that it is. Then items of evidence, which we've both seen, the crime scene analysts and myself put item numbers, which they are going to impound later, and then they are photographed in place.

MR. DIGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge? THE COURT: Yes.
gy hr. diglacomo:
Q. Showing you what's been marked for purposes of identification as State's Proposed 6 through 17, if you could just briefly flip through those and tell me if you recognize what's depicted in those photographs?
A. One at a time or do you want me to go through all of them?
Q. Flip through all of them and see if you recognize all of them.

MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, the victim's family members are in the courtroom if you wanted to let them know in case they want to leave the courtroom when they are being described.

THE COURT: Okay. If you heard that, I
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204459.3047 lying on his back face up. He has on brown sandals, a blue bathing suit and there is a white hat resting on his chest.
Q. Is he wearing a shirt?
A. He is not wearing a shirt. There's visible blood on his left side of his chest, as well as on the pavement here.
Q. Okay. And then showing you State's Exhibit Number 7, will you describe what's depicted there?
A. On this photograph here, we have the shoulder of the roadway, which is actually south of Mr. Hadland's body. We have several Palomino flyers, VIP flyers, on the ground. And also here we have a, we called it a plastic pneumonic tube, which is used at the bank or at drugstores to take items from the outside into the inside of the store.
Q. Now, the blood we see on State's Exhibit Number 7, is that the same blood that appears to be coming from the head and body area of Timothy Hadland?
A. Yes, the body would be further towards me, and the blood would have trickled down towards the shoulder of the road.
Q. Side of the road.
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don't know who you are, but if you don't want to be
here when they describe this, it's your opportunity to
know what's going to be happening.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Do you recognize all 6 through 17 ?
A. Yes, all of the pictures accurately depict. One picture is from the morning, so you can see the time difference with the sun coming up.
Q. But they all accurately depict either the scene or items of evidence collected from the scene that night on May 19th into the early morning hours of May 20th of 2005?
A. Yes, they do.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I move to admit 6
through 17.
MR. ORAM: No objection.
MR. DRASKOVICH: No objection.
MS. WILDEVELD: No objection.
THE COURT: They will be admitted.
(Thereupon, State's Exhibits 6 through
17 were admitred into evideace.)
ay mr. digiacimo:
Q. I'm just going through these slowly with you. Could you just describe what we see in State's Exhibit Number 6?
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\section*{152}

\section*{just a close-up of the VIP cards?}
A. Yes, and you can also see the plastic tube here also in this photo.
Q. State's Exhibit Number 9 ?
A. It's a close-up of the plastic lube here on the, really close to the shoulders, actually near the sidewalk.
Q. State's Exhibit Number 10 ?
A. This is the back of the vehicle that

Mr. Hadland was driving. It's a silver Kia Sportage.
Q. And that was parked in the position when you arrived where it's at right now?
A. Yes, that has not been moved.
Q. Now, the body of Timothy Hadland, is it behind the vehicle or is it in front of the vehicle?
A. It was behind the vehicle approximately 30 feet.
Q. State's Exhibit Number 11, is that just the front view of the vehicle?
A. Yes. You can see in this photo of the front of the vehicle that the lights are on also.
Q. Then State's Exhibit number 13, describe what's being depicted in that photograph.
A. In the morning hours, this is a photograph
of, from a higher elevation up the side of the mountain down towards where the vehicle was.
Q. And then State's Exhlbit Number 14 ?
A. This is - this is the overall picture, which we've already discussed, the body of Mr. Hadland is in the roadway, and you can see further south from him the VIP cards, and then the vehicle, which is in front of him.

And you can see also in this photo, you can see the park services vehicle, which I have talked about, and the Metro vehicle on the east side.
Q. Then, finally, showing you State's Exhibit Number 12, what's depicted in that photograph?
A. This is Mr. Hadland's cell phone, which is, which was on the driver's side floorboard of the vehicle.
Q. Was there any other communication device, other than Mr. Hadland's cell phone, that was located at the crime scene itself?
A. Not that / can recall.
Q. Okay. Fair enough.

Did you do any investigation as it relates to that cell phone?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it that you did?
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155 at one time of Mr . Hadland.
Q. Inoticed you pointed - have you personally met Mr. Carroll?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Do you recognize him here in court today?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you point him out and describe
something he's wearing?
A. He's seated against the wall in the back row here. He has got on a blue shirt with blue pants. MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect identification of the defendant, Judge? THE COURT; The record will so reflect. MR. DIGIACOMO: Of Defendant Deangelo Carroll. I guess it should be clear. Thank you, Judge.
BY MR DIGIACOMO:
Q. The next day, May 20th, did you respond to and/or did you go to the medical examiner's office. the Coroner's office here in Clark County for the autopsy of Mr. Hadland?
A. Yes. MR. DIGIACOMO: May ! approach, Judge? THE COURT: You may. MARCLA HARNESS, CCR \(20 \mathrm{f}+5 \mathrm{~S} \cdot 9047\)
A. I particularly went through, I took the cell phone out of the car and went through the call history of the cell phone.
Q. And did you identify the last call? Did you find the last call received inside that phone?
A. Yes.
Q. And did it have any information that eventually became important in your investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. At 11:27, which would have been on the -on the 19th, there was a phone call to Mr. Hadland's phone from a Nextel direct connect, that meaning that the one, two, three star number's in there and not the regular phone number was in there, and also at that time, it said the name Deangelo.
Q. Did you eventually or members of the unit eventually go down to the lake and contact Mr. Hadland's girlfriend?
A. Yes, Detective Wildemann and Detective Kyger contacted her.
Q. And based upon her statement, did you have an idea of who Deangelo was?
A. Yes,
Q. Who was that?

MCARCLA HLARNESS, CCR 2OH \$5F-7047

\section*{BY MR. DIGIACOMO:}
Q. Showing you what's been marked as Slate's Proposed 3 through 5, will you briefly fip through those and see if you recognize those,

Those appear to be photographs taken during the autopsy -
A. Yes.
Q. - of Mr. Hadland?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. And they are true, fair and accurate depictions of Mr. Hadiand at that time?

\section*{A. Yes.}

MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 3,4 and 5.
MR. ORAM: No objection.
MR. DRASKOVICH: No objection.
MS. WILDEVELD: No objection.
THE COURT: They will be admitted.
(Thereupon, state's Exhibits 3, 4 and 5
were admitted into evidenge,
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Would you describe the wounds you saw at the scene, as well as at the autopsy?
A. At the scene, it was apparent that there was a gunshot wound to the left side of the head closer to, you know, the cheek area. What isn't done is an
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\section*{156}
to, you know, ...
inspection of the entire body where we, where I could see the second bullet strike to the ear. So at the scene, all we can see was that he had a gunshot wound to the head.
Q. During the autopsy, did you learn that there was a second wound to Mr. Hadland?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was to the ear?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do after learning that

Deangelo or the cell phone said Deangelo was the last call lo Mr. Hadland, and after having officers speak to Paijit Karison, how did you investigation progress from there?
A. Well, the way the events unfolded, we had been up all night into the next day, and lattended the autopsy. Detective Wildemann and Detective Kyger went back to the lake to speak with the girlfriend, and then they came back. We all met together.

We had the direct connect number from the cell phone and we sent out a request for the subscriber of the direct connect number, and we didn't have the phone number, but we knew it was a Nextel direct connect.
Q. Did you receive information back that
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employee at the Palomino Club. And one of the other detectives just randomly started throwing names, Deangelo into the computer and came up with Deangelo Carroll. And that's how we came up with his name.
Q. Okay, Now, eventually, did you meet Anabel Espindola?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had contact with her?
A. Briefly, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recognize Miss Espindola here in court today?
A. Yes.
Q. Will you point her out and describe something she's wearing?
A. She's sitting in the frant row here furthest, fourth seat from me. She has the dark hair, and she has on blue with a blue top on.

MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect the identification of the defendant, Anabel Espindola, Judge?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge. BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. So now that you have this information and you have plugged it all into the computers and you have

\section*{furthers your investigation?}
A. Yes.
Q. What information did you receive?
A. The subscriber to the cell phone was a

Anabel Espindola, and the address that was used was Simone's Auto Plaza, which is the 6770 Bermuda is the address of that.
Q. Where approximately in the valley is 6770 Bermuda?
A. That's down Sunset and Bermuda. Actually south of the airport.
Q. Is it near the airport?
A. Yeah, Sunset and the airport is - a half a mile from the airport.
Q. Good enough.

Once you found out this information,
Simone's Auto Plaza, Deangelo, Anabel Espindola, what did you do?
A. We used our regular resources, what we describe as suspectology and victimology where we punch things into our regular computer, which we have in our office, and try to determine who these people are and where they work.

So we punched in this Miss Anabel
Espindola and found out that she was also a key
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 4 5 -304T
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leamed that she's related to the Palomino Club, what
did you guys decide to do?
A. Detective Wildemann and Detective Kyger contacted Mr. Hidalgo, Jr.
Q. What relationship does Mr. Hidalgo have to the Palomino Club, if any, if you're aware?

```
A. He's the owner.
Q. Now, let me jump ahead a little bit. Had you ever had an opportunity to come into contact with a Luis Hidalgo, IIl?
A. Yes.
Q. What is Luis Hidalgo, III, relationship to Mr. Hidalgo, Jr.?
A. He's his son.
Q. Do you see Mr. Hidalgo, IIt, here in court today?
A. Yes.
Q. Will you point him out and describe something he's wearing.
A. He's sitting in the second seat here. He also has on the blue top. He's has dark hair and a slight beard.

MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect the identification of Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Ill, Judge? THE COURT: The record will so reflect. MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 208 +15. 3047
```

            MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.
    BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

```
Q. Let me back up. So now Detective Wildemann and Detective Kyger went down and had contadt with Mr. H or Mr. Hidalgo. Did you eventually learn another name for him?
A. Luis -
Q. Luis Hidalgo?
A. - Hidalgo, Jr., or they called him Mr. H.
Q. That's my question. So people referred to
him as Mr. H?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you learn a name that was used for Luis Hidalgo, III, that people used?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. Little Lu.
Q. Little Lu?
A. Yes.
Q. So we're not confused during the course of our lestimony, if we can talk about Mr. H versus Little Lu so that we can keep ourselves straight, okay?
A. That sounds great.
Q. So after detectives spoke to Mr . \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) what further in the investigation occurred?
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    Q. Would that taped statement refesh your
    recollection as to her last name?
MR. ORAM: Your Honor, we have no
objection to leading for purposes of the last name.
Bx Mr. oreracomo:
Q. Was it Michelle Schwanderlik,
S-C.H-W-A-N-D-E-R-L-I-K.
A. Yes, it was.
Q. What was her position at the Palomino Club?
A. She was a floor manager.
Q. Did you interview her concerning the information concerning Deangelo and/or Anabel or anything else?
A. Yes, I interviewed her. She provided employee records of Mr . Carroll and some of the other people.
Q. Okay. Did she also provide you information as it relates to Timothy Hadiand?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she provide you employment records related to Mr. Hadland also?
A. Yes.
Q. Thereafter how did your investigation progress?

```
```

A. The detectives were told to come back after $7: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. that evening and speak with a woman known to us as Arial (phonetic) at the time.
Q. Did you eventually go and have an interview with Arial?
A. Yes, I spoke with her and Detective Wildemann spoke to her and we actually interviewed her.
Q. Where did this interview take place?
A. At the Palomino Club.
Q. And this interview took place at the Palomino Club. And did you ask her certain questions concerning the individuals that you had questions about?
A. Yes.
Q. After the interview with - - well, did you learn Arial's real name?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know it off the top of your head?
A. Michelle - I don't recall her lasi name.
She has a lengthy last name.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Court's indulgence, Judge
BY MR, digtacoma:
Q. Did you eventually have a transcription made of the taped statement she provided you?
A. Yes.
MARCLA HARNESS, CCK 204 455.3047
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\section*{164}
A. During the interview with her, Mr. Carroll showed up at the club, so Detective Wildemann broke off from the interview that I was conducting with Michelle and he went with Mr. Carroll. So we split up at that time because Mr. Carroll had just, you know, walked up on us inside the club.
Q. Eventually, was Mr. Carroll asked to come down to the homicide section?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he voluntarily do so?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did you eventually take a tape recorded statement from him?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately how long was that statement?
A. Four hours maybe.
Q. Okay. At the conclusion of the statement, without telling us what Mr. Carroll said to you, what did you decide to do in the course of your investigation?
A. We decided to follow up on some of the information that he provided us in his statement.
Q. How did you do that?
A. We got together as a group and formulated a plan as to how we were going to continue our
investigation into other suspects.
Q. What was the eventual decision as to how the plan was going to work?
A. We were interested in first getting a person identified who was only known to us as KC identified at the time, and then executing a search warrant at his residence. That was our first course that we wanted to do.
Q. Prior to executing that search warrant, did you have an opportunity to come into contact with either a Ronta Zone or a Jayson Taoipu?
A. Yes.
Q. How did that occur?
A. During the conversation with Mr. Carroll, Mr. Zone was mentioned, as well as this Jayson Taoipu. When we went to Mr. Carroll's residence, Mr. Zone was there at the time. So he came back to the office with us and was interviewed also on the 20th.
Q. Were you present when Deangelo went back to his house that night and when you found Mr. Zone at his house?
A. Yes, I drove him.
Q. Okay. And did you go to the door at Deangelo's, or did you allow him to go up to the door by himself?
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knew where the address was though. It was 1676 E Street, and that was provided by Mr. Carroll.

So the next Saturday / started drafting the search warrant for the residence, and during the day, as I was preparing the search warrant, Mr. Taoipu came into our office to be interviewed.
Q. Are you aware of how Mr, Taojpu wound up coming down to the office?
A. Mr. Carroll drove him down to our office.
Q. Now, you said the next Saturday, so we have Thursday is the 19th, you don't get to the homicide scene until May 20th, right, Friday?
A. Yes.
Q. So this would be the next Saturday you're talking about, Saturday, May 21st?
A. Yes.
Q. So you're drafting a search warrant for the 1676 E Street?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, you identified - how was it that you were able to identify 1676 E Street as the residence of KC ?
A. Mr, Carroll, as well as Mr. Zone, described the residence where they picked up KC at prior to driving out to the lake. It was also
A. He walked up with Detective Long and Wildemann, and I stayed in the vehicle.
Q. Eventually, does Ronta then come out?
A. Yes.
Q. With who?
A. With Mr. Carroll,
Q. Okay. Where were Detectives Long and Wildemann at that point?
A. We came in two separate vehicles, so Mr . Carroll was with me and Mr. Zone went with Detective Long.
Q. Okay. Eventually, did you come back and have an interview with Mr. Zone?
A. Yes.
Q. And based upon that interview, did you decide that you needed to speak to a Jayson Taoipu?
A. Yes.
Q. Did that interview occur before you decided to identify - well, not decided to identify. is it before you ever had contact with an individual that was identified to you as KC ?
A. Yes, that happened before.
Q. Okay. How did that come about?
A. We had, we had identified the residence of - who we only knew at that time of as KC. We had MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 455.3047

\section*{kitty-comer to Mr. Carroll's mother's house.}
Q. Okay. Eventually, a search warrant was drafled for an execution at 1676 E Street?
A. Yes.
Q. And how was that search warrant served?
A. By utilizing the SWAT team.
Q. I want to back up a little bit because I forgot a question or 1 forgot --

Did there come a point in time prior to the execution of the search warrant where you had an opportunity to go find and recover some tires?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. When in this whole series of events did that occur?
A. During our interview with Mr. Carroll prior to us picking up Mr. Zone, Mr. Carroll told us about --

MR. ORAM: Objection, your Honor, hearsay.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, it's not offered
for the truth of the matter asserted. It's only
offered to explain why this detective went to where he went to and did what he did.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Obviously, fit's not offered for the truth, unless perhaps it's offered for the falsehood of what was stated. That doesn't make a

\footnotetext{
MARCZA HARNESS, CCR 204 9EF. 3047 ?
}
```

lot of sense, Judge. We would object it's hearsay.
THE COURT:There is an exception when
it's for the state of mind or why somebody did
something, so l'll allow it.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.
THE COURT: But not for the truth of the
matter asserled.
MR, DIGIACOMO: Thank you.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO
Q. Why is it that you responded to these
particular two locations to recover tires?
A. Mr. Carroll, in his interview, told me
where that the tires were changed on the van that they
drove out to the lake.
Q. And based upon the information te provided
you, did you, in fact, go out to those locations and
recover tires?
A. Yes. Mr. Carroll was with me at the time.
Q. When you recovered those tires?
A. Yes.
Q. So he directed you into these tires?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you, in fact, recover - how many
tires did you recover?
A. Four tires.

```
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behind them, Judge. He's not saying anything anyone
told him. He's saying what he's observed.
        THE COURT: It's only observations.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
    Q. Right? You were actually able to observe
the SWAT team approach, and then you approached the
residence; is that correct?
    A. Yes, I was on the street behind the SWAT
team.
    Q. Okay. During the execution of the search
warrant, was KC found at 1676 E Street?
A. No.
Q. Based upon - during the course of the searching or the execution of the search warrant, did you receive additional information on the location of KC?
A. Yes
Q. Who actually from your unit received that information?
A. Detective Wildemann.
Q. And how was it that he returned to see that? Were you present when he received the information?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And where was he located when he
Q. What kind of tires were they?
A. They were whitewall tires. Detective Vaccaro is more of a tire person than me.
Q. Were they straight black tires or were they whitewall lires?
A. They're whitewalls on the tires.
Q. Okay. After collecting those, and I'm
going to direct you back forward now, we're in the nighttime of May 21st and you have drafted the search warrant, and there is going to be an execution of the search warrant at 1676 E Street, how was that search warrant executed? Who initially executes the warrant for you?
A. The SWAT team.
Q. Okay. SWAT team is called, and then how far behind the SWAT team are you in execution of the search warrant?
A. The SWAT team meets and briefs, and we're there during the briefing. They roll up to the residence and start executing the search warrant. We're waiting on the street for them to call us in.

MS, WILDEVELD: Objection, your Honor, this is hearsay. It's all -- he didn't do any of these things. It's the SWAT team who did these things.

MR. DIGIACOMO: He's following right
MLARCIA HARVESS, CCR 204458.9047
received it, if you recall?
A. Detective Wildemann and myself were in Detective Wildemann's vehicle.
Q. Okay. And how did he receive information as to the location of KC?
A. He received a telephone call from Mr. Carroll.
Q. Based upon the conversations he had with

Mr. Carroll, an additional search warrant was created?
A. Yes
Q. Where was that search warrant for?
A. 1677 E Street
Q. Where in relationship to 1676 E Street is

1677 E Street?
A. Across the streel.
Q. Now, prior to the execution of the search warrant, was efforts made to contact KC inside 1677 prior to having to actually execute the search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. Were those efforts successful?
A. No,
Q. Eventually, a duly authorized search warrant was issued, and then who actually entered the house to search for KC?
A. SWAT team.

\section*{Q. Where were you positioned in relationship to the house while the SWAT team was inside? \\ A. Across the street. \\ Q. And do you have some sort of communication ability to listen to the information being provided by SWAT during the execution of the warrant? \\ A. Yes. \\ Q. How is it that you are listening in on the SWAT team's activities? \\ A. We have radios with their channel on them. Also, during particular times, they would come across the street and ask us any further updates or, so there was communication verbally, as well as over the radio. \\ Q. Did there come a point in - well, tet me ask you this. How long did the search by SWAT take place inside 1677 E Street, approximately? \\ A. From the time that they were there calling people out of the residence until the time that they actually went in was several hours. \\ Q. Okay. And then once they went in, how long were they actually in the house trying to gel KC out of the house? \\ A. It seemed like a long time. I mean -- \\ Q. During the course MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, I'm going to MARCIA HARNESS, CCR 204 -155-1047}
object. We have no foundation as to who KC is or what - where they are.

MR. DIGIACOMO: All right. Let me get a
little further. I'll jump forward and back up, Judge, to clarify.
ay mr. digiacomo:
Q. Eventually, was somebody pulled out of that house?
A. Yes.
Q. And this person, what was his name?
A. Kenneth Counts.
Q. And do you see Mr. Counts here in court today?
A. Yes, Mr Counts is seated right here.
Q. Describe something he's wearing.
A. He's wearing the blue top and bottom.

Also, he's the first person in the first row here.
MR. DIGIACOMO; May the record reflect the identification of the Defendant Counts?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, I would also like to make a record that he has identified Mr. Counts as being someone that Deangelo Carroll called him while he was executing a search warrant and told him where he was, so we have a Bruton issue, as well.
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A. Numerous loud bangs. Lots of yelling.
Q. What kind of yelling?
A. "Police, come oul."
Q. Eventually, over the radio, did you hear that there was an individual somewhere in that house that SWAT had contained?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was he contained?
A. He was in the attic.
Q. Okay. And were you able to hear both on the radio, as well as orally while outside that house, the type of efforts it took to get Mr. Counts out of that house?
A. I didn't hear those efforts at that time.
Q. Okay. What about the explosions you were hearing?
A. I mean, there was a loud, a lot of explosions.
Q. Okay, Eventually, are you aware how Mr. Counts was extracted from the attic at 1677 E Streel?
A. I know he was removed from the attic. I didn't get into the actual how he was removed.
Q. Did you eventually go inside 1677 E Street to execute the rest of the search warrant that was

MARCIA HARNESS, CCR \(204455-3047\)
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issued?
A. Yes. I was involved in the search phase
of the residence.
Q. Showing you what's been marked as State's
Proposed Exhibit Number 18, do you recognize what's
depicted in that photograph?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. That's the attic area, which is in the
hallway, and there is also a ladder here in the
hallway.
Q. Okay. And there is an attic entrance, and
next to the attic entrance, what appears to be right
here in this photograph?
A. There is a, it looks like there's another hole in the attic.
Q. And that hole, did it appear to be fresh to you?
A. Right. There was a hole in this portion of the attic, the hallway, which was, which is recently made, and there was another one in another room in the ceiling also.
Q. And based upon the information that you are hearing on the radio, as well as the sounds you're hearing from outside, are you aware how eventually

```
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A. Basically, I was going to, I was in charge with the search of the residence, controlling the other detectives that were there to assist us with the search of the residence.

Once the detectives found an item of evidence or something that was located, photographs were taken of it in place, and then they were impounded.

MR. DIGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge? THE COURT: Yes.

\section*{BY MR. DIGIACOMO:}
Q. Showing you State's Proposed Exhibits 19 through 28, do you recognize what's depicted in those photographs?
A. Yes.
Q. Do they all fairly and accurately depict What's being depicted in the photographs?
A. Yes.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 19 through
28
MR. ORAM: No objection.
MR. DRASKOVICH: For the purpose of prelim, no objection.

MS. WILDEVELD: No objection.
MR, DRASKOVICH: We have no objection to
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those holes got put in the ceiling?
A. Yes, the SWAT officers put the holes in the ceiling.
Q. Eventually, did you come into contact with Mr. Counts?
A. I didn't speak with Mr. Counts.
Q. Did you -
A. I saw him.
Q. You saw him being brought out of the house?
A. Yes. I saw him at the homicide office.
Q. Did you actually see him physically still at the residence at 1677 E Street?
A. Yes, I saw him placed inlo Detective Vaccaro's vehicle.
Q. Did he have anything on him other than just clothing? I mean any debris or anything else that you recall?
A. I didn't really look at him that good. I just saw that he got, they put him in the car,
Q. Eventually, Mr - what is your responsibility once Mr . Counts is taken from the scene at 1677 E Street?
A. 1677.
Q. E Street?
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the purpose of the prelim.
THE COURT: Admitted.
TThereupon, State's Exhibits 19 through
28 were admsttied into evidence,

\section*{BY MR. DIGIACOMO:}
Q. Showing you State's Exhibit Number 19, can you describe where in 1677 this particular picture was taken?
A. This is the back of the residence, which is basically a TV room, and you can't really see it, but there is a big screen TV, which is in this room. And this is a couch where you would watch television, and there is a sliding glass door in the back of the residence, which opens up to the backyard.
Q. Now, this couch, was it in this position? Is that a non seating position I guess I should ask?
A. Yes, the couch is tipped over.
Q. Okay. Based upon your understanding of what SWAT did -- strike that.

Did you eventually have that item of evidence collected?
A. Yes. These items were collected.
Q. And showing you what's been admitted as State's Exhibit Number 27, do you recognize what's depicted in that photograph?

AARCA HARNESS, CCR 2ON 4JT-3047
 back at the lab of - I calted it a leather, a black satchel, which you can see is on the little footstool here in this room.
Q. And is there an identification in State's Exhibit Number 27?
A. Yes. What we have here is - I'm in this picture a holding open the satchel, and you can see Mr. Counts' Nevada identification, which was inside this black satchel.
Q. Right on top of Mr. Counts' Nevada ID, was there any currency?
A. Yes. There was several hundred dollar bills and some other money there.
Q. Okay. Now, behind this couch, State's Exhibit Number 22, behind this couch, was there items of evidence that were located?
A. Yes,
Q. What was that?
A. Behind the couch, on the floor, was some VIP cards to the Palomino Club. There was also some U,S. currency also on the floor there behind the couch.
Q. Was there also a cigarette pack or cigarillo pack?
A. Yes, there were peach cigars also on the 25
```

the case.
Q. Eventually, what did you decide as a
group, as a squad to do with Mr. Carroll, or what did
he agree to do?
MR. ORAM: Objection as to what he agreed
to do, your Honor. That's not in furtherance of a
conspiracy. It's not a furtherance of anything. Under
their own exception, that doesn't come in.
If they are trying to bring out that he
was wired, then I think they could say that they put a
wire on him, but not what he agreed to do.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Second that objection.
MS. WILDEVELD: Again, your Honor, I

```
object to it as well along with the other Brulon
issues.

MR. DIG1ACOMO: I'm going to ask him if he agreed to wear a wire. It's essential that if was not a hearsay issue. Did he consent to wear the wire and, as such, was there any violation of anybody's rights. li's a one-party consent. If he consents, hence I have got my foundation.

THE COURT: That's the foundation. MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct.
THE COURT: You can ask that question.
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floor.
Q. Showing you - can you tell me between State's Exhibit Number 19 and State's Exhibit Number 22, the approximate relationship between where that satchel is and where those items of evidence are, the VIP cards to the Patomino, as well as the money and the ciganillos?
A. Basically, what we have here is the couch is turned up on the side, and you have - the dark portion here is the bottom of the couch. The couch would have been resting against the wall, the back of the room, and those items would have been underneath the couch.
Q. Now, showing you State's Exhibit 26, are those close-ups of those items?
A. Yes. Those are the VIP cards for the Palomino.
Q. And there are approximately six of them?
A. There's two, four, six, six of them, yes.
Q. After the search of 1677 E Street, describe to the Court the course of the investigation.
A. After executing the search warrants, our next course was to meet with Mr. Carroll and to take some of the other information that he provided to us and try to work that towards other possible suspects in MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 2UF IHF-304T

\section*{184}

\section*{BY MR. DIGIACOMO:}
Q. Did Mr. Carroll agree to do anything?
A. Yes, he agreed to wear a body recorder.
Q. This is a surreptitious recording, so that the other individuals in the course of the conversation don't know that it's being recorded?
A. Yes, it's a body recorder, not a transmitter.
Q. So the information is actually recorded directly on the body?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately, or what day was it that you first used the body recorder with Mr. Carroll?
A. That would have been Monday, the 23rd.
Q. Okay. And what is it that you decided as the homicide squad to do with Mr. Carroll?
A. Well, I mean, the body recorder was from the FBI, so we met with Special Agent Shields, who provided us with the body recorder. Then we put the body recorder on Mr. Carroil, and we surveilled him to Simone's Auto Plaza.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Object here as to foundation too. We're hearing put the body wire on. We don't know where, when, how.

MR. DIGIACOMO: First of all, that's not
ALARCLA HARNESS, CCR ZOH AJF-3047
relevant to any particular issue. And \(\mid\) do not believe that the FBt, certain issues as it relates to do we want all the criminal defendants in the camera to know exaclly how it is that a body recorder works for purposes of prelim. The mere fact that it recorded is all that's relevant.

MR. DRASKOVICH: No, it's not. And I think anytime anyone is subject to any kind of recording, they should know when, how and why,

THE COURT: It's a subject for cross-examination.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
ay mr. sigiacomo;
Q. So your unit surveilled Mr. Caroll down to Simone's Auto Body?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there units specifically at Simone's Auto Body that could watch Mr. Carroll go in and out of Simone's Auto Body?
A. Yes.
Q. Did that, in fact, occur on May 23 rd of 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. After he came out of Simone's Auto Body, where did Mr. Carroll go?
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It also goes to his ability. Obviously, he's a lay witness. He can only testify to what he has personal knowledge of.

So Mr. DiGiacomo's question requires him to rely upon what someone else has told him, and it goes beyond his ability to perceive, testify and recount to this Court.

THE COURT: Well, there was not a request for any information. It was just whether his opinion it was consistent. He can give us that. BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Was there information that was consistent with the information Mr. Carroll provided you about what happened in Simone's Auto Body?
A. Yes.
Q. Based upon that, did you decide to conduct -- well, after that first wire at first, I'm sorry, body recorder at Simone's Auto Body, what did you -- did you decide to do another body recording that day of Mr. Carroll?
A. Yes.
Q. And where was that for?
A. That was at the Palomino Club.
Q. And what was the purpose of you sending

Mr. Carroll into the Palomino Club, or did you send
a meet location.
Q. And did you eventually take - did you actually do an interview of Mr. Carroll concerning the events that occurred inside Simone's Auto Body?
A. Yes.
Q. After having that interview, or at least understanding the nature of that interview, did you check the body recorder to see if there was information on there which was consistent with what Mr. Carroll --

MR. ORAM: Objection.
MR. DRASKOVICH: It calls for a conclusion. It's hearsay. It affirms or denies hearsay.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, my concem is that if he wants to say did you talk to Mr. Carroll after he went in on wire, that's fine. Okay. Did you talk to him, yes.

But if he starts saying yes, he told us something, and we confirmed it, he can't say that. That's hearsay. There is no exception for this. And -- well, I'll get to that when they try to play the surreptitious tape.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Moreover, it goes to his perception, unless this officer can see through walls.
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Mr Carroll into the Palomino Club?
A. Mr. Carroll was instructed to go to the Palomino Club to resign.
Q. And did you, in fact, pul a body recorder on him to see if that actually occurred?
A. Yes.
Q. And did that occur?
A. Yes.

MR. ORAM: Objection, Judge. Objection as
to what was said on the recorder. He cannot testify to what was said, and he overheard. He's now telling us or the prosecutor just elicited did he resign and --

MR. DIGIACOMO: If I can stop you for a second, Judge. I don't need to use the recording in fact because the recording only has Palomino music on it. There's other information, which has already been provided in the discovery, that the detective can lestify to that Deangelo Carroll resigned.

MR. ORAM: If he's just saying that

\section*{Deangelo Carroll -}

THE COURT: Do you want different foundation?

MR. ORAM: Yes, I do. I want different foundation so that this man is not relying upon what he hears on a wire. If he is just relying on the fact
that the man resigned, that's fine, but not on what he heard from the wire.

MR. DIGIACOMO: For foundational purposes I think I can clear this up.

THE COURT: Okay.
by mr. digincomo:
Q. The next day were you involved in the execution of a search warrant at the Palomino Club?
A. Yes.
Q. And during the course of that search wartant, did you personally see the resignation papers of Deangelo Carroll be impounded?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

Backing up now. After the 23rd, was
Mr . Carroll surveilled going into the Palomino Club?
A. Yes.
Q. And was he surveilled coming out of the

Palomino Club?
A. Yes.
Q. Was the body recorder recovered at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. Describe for the Court the course of the investigation thereafter?

MARCLA HARNESS. CCR 204 45S. 5047 executed those search warrants at Simone's Auto Body. Auto Plaza, as well as the Palomino Club?
A. I mean, we were waiting for them, you know, to leave.
Q. Who were you waiting to leave?
A. Luis, HI, and Anabel Espindola to leave the plaza so we could talk to them.
Q. So who left first?
A. Mr . Luis Hidalgo, III, left first.
Q. Little Lu?
A. Little Lu.
Q. So surveillance was conducted at Simone's until Little Lu left Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes. And this was the entire day. The search warrants were prepared and signed, you know, everything happened that same day.
Q. On the 24th?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So the wire was gotten, the search warrants were being drafted, the second wire, or the second body recording was collected, and then you waited for the suspects or certain individuals to leave Simone's Auto Plaza in order to have contact with them
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A. We wanted to send him back in to speak with other persons at that time the following day, so we met with him again the next day, which would have been the 24 th.
Q. Now, when you say you wanted him to speak to other persons, did you want him to speak to other persons than persons that he allegedly spoke to on the 23 rd or the same people that he spoke to on the 23 rd?
A. The same people and to see if he can get any other possible suspects.
Q. Okay. And so, once again, a body recorder was placed upon him?
A. Yes.
Q. And was he surveilled going inside the Simone's Auto Body?
A. Yes.
Q. And was, after he left Simone's Auto Body, was the recording taken from him, the body recording taken from him and reviewed?
A. Yes.
Q. After that, were search warrants drafted for both Simone's Auto Body, as well as - Auto Plaza, I'm sorry, and the Palomino Club?
A. Yes.
Q. And was there something that the
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A. Exactly.
Q. And you said that Little Lu left first?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you involved at all in coming into contact with Little Lu?
A. Yes.
Q. Describe how that occurred.
A. He left and started - he headed out towards Sunset. And Detective Wildemann contacted a patrol unit. Myself and Special Agent Shields were tasked with speaking with Little LU once he was stopped by patrol.
Q. What kind of vehicle was he driving?
A. He was driving a black Hummer H 2 .
Q. Okay. And that black Hummer left from

Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was Mr. -- Little Lu stopped?
A. He was stopped in the area of Patrick and Pecos.
Q. And this was by a patrol officer?
A. Yes.
Q. And then after the stop by the patrol officer, who approaches him to speak to him?
A. The patrol officer contacted him at the MARCLA HARNESS, CCR \(204415 \cdot 30+1\)
door and asked him to step out of the car. Myself and Special Agent Shields walked up and spoke with Little Lu.
Q. What did you say to Little Lu?
A. We told him that we wanted to speak with him, and we asked him if he would come voluntarily to the homicide office.
Q. Did he consent to do so?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ask him about his leaving his vehicle, or was there some discussion about leaving his vehicle there on Patrick?
A. We discussed with him parking it in the parking lot there and just securing it there at the scene, and then bringing him back afferwards. He asked us if we could drive it to our office so he would have it when he was done talking to us.
Q. Did you, in fact, agree to have somebody drive that vehicle up there?
A. Yes,
Q. Who did?
A. One of the FBI agents. I believe his name is Mike Brewer.
Q. Okay.
A. Drove it to the office.
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A. It was a constant cough that he had during the interview. He was provided and went through an entire box of Kleenex during the interview.
Q. At some point, did Mr. Hidalgo ask you to speak lo his father?
A. Yes.
Q. What specifically did he say? MR. DRASKOVICH; I would have to object here. We're getting into issues of Miranda and things. If the Court would allow me to briefly take this detective on voir dire, I do have some -MR. DIGIACOMO: I have no objection to that, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you.

VOIR CIRE EXAMINATIOR
ay mR. DRASkovich:
Q. Detective, you stated that you stopped

Little Lu while driving his car, correct?
A. I didn't stop him. He was stopped.
Q. Thank you. By a patrol car, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the stop was made by the patrol car turning on its sirens, correct?
Q. And then you and Special Agent Brett
Shields took Mr. - Little Lu Hidalgo, III, to the
homicide offices here in, the Las Vegas Metropolitan
Police Department's homicide office?
A. Yes.
Q. When you got there, where did you put
Mr. - or where did you ask Mr. Hidalgo to go?
A. He went into an interview room in our
office.
Q. Is this interview room surreptitiously
recorded?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you eventually have a conversation
with Little Lu?
A. Yes.
Q. How much time do you think that you spent
with Litte Lu?
A. Hours.
Q. During the course of the time that you
spent with him, did you notice anything about his
health?
A. He had what I described as a chest cough.
Q. Okay. And how --because he had a chest
cough, I mean, was it a constant thing, was it
periodic, what was going on?
Marça HARNESs, cCR zot ws-son
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A. Lights or sirens? Lights and siren.
Q. So both the lights and the siren were blaring, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. His vehicle stopped?
A. Yes.
Q. If the vehicle had left, you assumed that the police car, patrol car would have chased it, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So his vehicle, once the lights and siren were on, obviously was not free to continue driving, correcl?
A. Yes, he was stopped.
Q. He was stopped.
A. Yes.
Q. And that was at your request?
A. Yes.
Q. He was then taken out of his vehicle,
correct?
A. He exited his vehicle. He was not taken
out.
Q. He was asked to leave his vehicle,
correct?
A. He was asked to exit his vehicle. Is that
```

what you are asking me?
Q. Yeah, he was commanded to leave his
vehicle, correct?
A. He was asked. There is a difference
between asked and commanded
Q. And had he not, you would have taken him
out, correct?
A. I wouldn't have taken him out.
Q. Patrol officer would have taken him out,
correct?
A. They would have spoke with us, and I don't
believe that he would have been pulled out of the car.
Q. So he could have just remained in his car
and there would have been no problem, based upon your
understanding?
A. Basically, you're going -- you are
escalating the situation, If the situation gets
escalated, you know, further on down the line, then
maybe I would have walked up and spoke with him and
asked him to exit the car. Maybe. But it didn't get
that far.
Q. Okay. And you were in control of the
situation, weren't you?
A. In what regard? I mean, I was in control
of the patrol officers.

```
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A. The homicide office?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes,
Q. And those handcuffs remained on him once inside the homicide office, correct?
A. I don't know if they - I believe they were removed in the homicide office.
Q. I see, He was actually - you recall generally there is a policy in reference to bringing in handcuffs into the, bringing in handcuffed individuals into the homicide office, correct?
A. A policy?
Q. Yes, office policy?
A. No.
Q. This isn't the first time that you've handcuffed somebody on the street and brought them into the homicide office, is it?
A. Yes.
Q. Generally, you would agree with me, when a person is brought in in handcuffs, they are then cuffed to a bar or something inside of an interview room, correct?
A. Sometimes.
Q. And that's what happened in this case, didn't it?
A. I'm not sure if he was, if he was unhandcuffed at some time or his one hand was handcuffed to the pole and one was on his body.
Q. If's very possible that one hand was handcuffed to the pole, correct?
A. Maybe. I mean, I'm not positive of that.
Q. So you can'l remember?
A. Well, let's look at the video.
Q. So you have a video of this?
A. I believe there is a video.
Q. Have you provided this video to the

District Attomey's office?
A. Yes.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Judge.
MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, we haven't
seen the video.
MR. ORAM: Nor have we, I haven't seen the video either.

MR. DIGIACOMO: May we approach for a
second?
(Thereupon, o brief discusaion was held
at the beach+)
BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
Q. During all this course of time that you were in contact with Mr. Hidalgo, Luie, Little Luie,
using your term, he was not tree lo go, was he?
A. No, he was not free to go.
Q. So this was a custodial interrogation, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. It was a custodial interrogation that occurred without Miranda having been read, correct?

A: Miranda was read. He signed the card. Miranda was read.
Q. And you provided this signed card to the District Altomey's office?
A. The card, we have the card. Would you like to see the card?
Q. Yes, I would.
A. Okay.
Q. Can you provide that? If we could see that?
A. Yes, I think that it's important. MR. DIGIACOMO: If's in the room back there. I have to bring the books out for you.

THE WITNESS: That's all right.
MR. DRASKOVICH: And the record should
reflect we have a black binder that's just been provided to the detective that's 4 inches thick. THE WITNESS: For the record, I believe
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Court, Jimenez v. State, 1996, states that obviously anything that is in Metro's custody is in constructive possession and control of the District Attorney. MR. DIGIACOMO: For purposes of discovery issues at trial, not pretiminary hearing. In fact, the statute is specific at preliminary hearing that I have to give them pholocopies of my documents prior to the preliminary hearing and give them access to anything else they want to have access to. But I do not have to go to the detective and make him photocopy his whole book because stuff is coming in al this shorl period of time.

THE COURT: We have a two-week date to have a prelim, and I understand.

THE WITNESS: Would you like to see the card? The card is here.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

\section*{by mr. driskovich:}
Q. Did you read this card to Mr. Hidaigo?
A. Special Agent Shields read the card white I was sitting in the room. It was read to him. He signed it. Special Agent Shields put the exact time on there and signed it. I signed it as a witness and put the corresponding LVMPD event number on that card.
```

Q. And this is approximately at $4: 15$ p.m.?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he informed that he was allowed to have an attomey present at that time?
A. He was read that card right there.
Q. Okay. And I'm asking you was he specifically asked if he was allowed to have an attorney present with him at that time, at $4: 15$ p.m.?
A. He was read that card right there. He checked it, I understand, and he signed it.
Q. Okay. And the question I'm asking you, Detective, is, was he advised at that time he could have an attorney present?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Asked and answered because 14 the card itself tells him he has a right to an attorney being present.
MR. DRASKOVICH: But he's not answering hy question.
THE COURT: I don't think I understand your question either, then. BY MR. DRASKOVICH:
Q. Was he advised that he could have an attorney there at $4: 15$ in a homicide office present?
A. He was read that card, said that he could have a lawyer present, he signed the card.

```
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```
than what is on the card?
```

```
than what is on the card?
    MR. DRASKOVICH; That's correct.
    MR. DRASKOVICH; That's correct.
ay mr. prasxovich:
ay mr. prasxovich:
    Q. And your answer is no, correct?
    Q. And your answer is no, correct?
    A. No.
    A. No.
    Q. So he was not told anything other than
    Q. So he was not told anything other than
what was on that card, correct?
```

what was on that card, correct?

```
A. He was read the card.
MR, DRASKOVICH: I have no further questions. I think that the record is clear. MR, DIGIACOMO: Let me go back. I apologize. I skipped the Miranda warnings. Everybody gets upset.
```

THE COURT: That was a 20 -minute detour.
MR. DIGIACOMO: I apologize, Judge.
THE COURT: That was a 20 -minute de
MR. DIGIACOMO: I apologize, Judge.
DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
By hr. digiacono:
Q. Let me back up a little bit. So he was asked if he would accompany you voluntarily down to the homicide office?
A. Yes.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Voluntarily handcuffed just so he .-
-
$\qquad$
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Q. So then your answer to my question then is no, he was not told at that time, at 4:15 p.m., he could have an attorney come and be present then?
A. That's what he was told right there on that card. Right there.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If I can impose an objection because it turns out, it appears to me he's badgering the witness. Is there a disagreement as to what the card says? Maybe Mr. Draskovich could read the card into the record so we can all be clear as to exactly what Mr. Hidalgo was or was not told.

MR. DRASKOVICH: It states that he has the right to have an attorney present. And I'm asking if he was informed that he was allowed to have an attorney present at that time during questioning, during interrogation. It's a fair question.

I keep asking the question. He keeps saying read the card. Obviously, I'm not reading the card, I'm asking if he was told that he could specifically have an attomey present at that time during questioning.

THE COURT: Okay, What does this mean, Mr. Draskovich? The card speaks for itself. Let me photocopy it. We'll put it into evidence. I guess that your question is, did he tell him anything more
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Q. And that's an unmarked U.S, government vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. And he was driven down to the homicide office, and when you got to the homicide office, he was read his Miranda warnings as reflected on the card, which will be marked the next State's in order?
A. Yes.
Q. And then after being read those rights, he indicated he understood those rights and agreed to speak with you?
MR. DRASKOVICH: Objection, leading. MR. DIGIACOMO: Just foundational, Judge.
THE COURT: Well, ask the question.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. After reading him the Miranda warnings, what did he do on the card?
A. He signed the card, stating that he understood, and he spoke with us.
Q. Thereafter he spoke with you?
A. Yes.
Q. You spent some time speaking with him?
A. A long time.
Q. Did he mention anything about his father clarifying the situation, something to that effect?
```
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A. Those keys we used to enter the business
prior to executing the search warrant.
Q. At the Palomino Club?
A. At the Palomino Club, yes.
Q. Let me back up. After Little Lu was taken and put into an interview room, eventually do you ever come into contact or ever see Anabel Espindola?
A. Yes.
Q. How ooes that occur?
A. Same scenario as before, however, I am interviewing Little Lu in the office, when Miss Espindola and Mr. $H$ leave the business.
Q. Okay. Are you in communication with other officers at the scene so you're aware of the situation as it progresses?
A. I'm in the interview room, so when I come out of the interview room, contact is made with them. And then Miss Espindola is brought to the office.
Q. When Miss Espindola is brought to the office, I guess l'll get ahead of myself, was she in custody? Did a custodial interrogation of Miss Espindola occur?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it subject to Miranda warnings?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say?
A. He said, "Call my father, tell him to come down here, and he'll clear this whole thing up."
Q. Okay. Did he eventually agree to provide any sort of statement?
A. Yes.
Q. Was this a written statement. an oral statement, tape recorded statement, whal type of statement was he willing to provide?
A. He asked if he could write his statement out on a notepad.
Q. During the time that he's writing out his statement on the notepad, or maybe shorlly before that, does he inform you about a problem he has with the Palomino Club and the keys?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that problem?
A. He said that he was scheduled to open the business and that no one else had a key to open the business. And that the business couldn't be opened unless he was there.
Q. Did he eventually provide you the keys?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was done with those keys? MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 20.4 \&HS-3047
Q. And were you actually physically in the interview with Anabel Espindola?
A. No, I was not.
Q. Were you watching it in any manner?
A. Yes, I was monitoring the interview.
Q. How were you doing so?
A. Cameras set up, and it's what do you
call -
Q. A live feed?
A. A live feed on a television.
Q. With sound?
A. Yes, with sound.
Q. Do you recall who read the Miranda warnings to Miss Espindola?
A. Special Agent Shields.
Q. Is there a card in there, once again, for Miss Espindola?
A. Yes, there is,

MR. DIGIACOMO: May I approach?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, if 1 could request to have a photocopy made of this one, too, so 1 don't have to put his original into evidence. And, eventually, we'll have that marked next in order.
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3Y HR DIGTACOMO:
Q. Is this card similar to the card or the same card, same type of card that was used with Little Lu, Mr. Hidalgo, Ml?
A. Yes, the exact same card.
Q. And afler being read the rights that were on that card, did Miss Espindola sign the card?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it witnessed by an officer or a
detective?
A. It's signed by Special Agent Shields.
Q. And did Miss Espindola check off that she understood her rights?
A. Yes,
Q. And, thereafler, did she speak to you, or did she speak to Special Agent Shields and Detective Wildemann?
A. Yes.
Q. Was Miss Espindola asked about her or any sort of relationship she had with Mr. Carroll, Deangelo Carroll?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was her response?

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, could I just
briefly voir dire this witness, just very briefly as to
MARCLA HARNESS, CGR 204 4TI. 3047
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Q. Did she tell you where that she saw him?
A. She said at Simone's Auto Plaza.
Q. And she told you that day, so we're
talking May 24th?
A. Yes.
Q. She also told you that she had spoken to him on May 23rd also at Simone's?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she - well, describe the course of the interview at that point.
A. Those questions came out and a brief interview up to that point, and then she was provided with some of the evidence that, the strong evidence that we had.

MR. ORAM: Judge, I would object as to strong evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. DIGIACOMO: All right. Let me rephrase.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. What did Special Agent Shields do? What did he say to her?
A. He leaned over and whispered to her some of the things that were overheard on the body recorder.
Q. Let me back up. As it relates to her

Miranda and whether she eventually invoked and was this before or after she invoked?

THE COURT: Okay.
voir dire examination
BY MR. ORAM:
Q. Did you ever hear Miss Espindola invoke her right to counsel?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. Was the conversation the prosecutor's
referring to before or affer that?
A. Before.
Q. It was before that?
A. Yes.

MR, ORAM: Nothing further.

DJAECT EXAKINATION 〈Resumed,
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. What did Miss Espindola say prior to invoking counsel concerning any relationship that she had had or knowledge she has of Mr. Carroll?
A. She was asked when the last time that she saw Mr. Carroll and she told us that.
Q. Which was?
A. Which was earlier that day, And then the day before.
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conversations at Simone's on the 24th and the 23rd, did she specifically tell you where in Simone's she had these conversations or did she just say Simone's?
A. I didn't review the statement. Just before today, I didn't even read it today,
Q. Is there a transcribed statement in there for Anabel or Little Lu?
A. I don't know.
Q. Will you check?
A. Yes.
Q. Because they are going to want it if there is.

MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, I haven't received either of those.

MR. ORAM: Nor have I.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Nor have 1 , Judge, and that's the reason I'm asking. I don't believe there's been one created, Judge.

THE WITNESS: There isn't.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Eventually, were you involved in the search warrant at the Palomino?

MR. ORAM: Okay. Judge, if their only question was whether Special Agent Shields whispered into her ear, first of all, it's hearsay.

```
And second of all, it had nothing to do with her. He can whisper whatever he wants to. He can whistle Dixie if he wants to, but it's not relevant in this courtroom.
I don't even know quite why that question was asked, or what the purpose of it was and what the relevance of it is. What is the relevance of what Special Agent Shields, that he whispered something to her and she doesn' ( react? I don't understand why they are bringing that up.
MR. DIGIACOMO; She did react, but based on her reaction. actually he's right. I should probably have that answer stricken as she invoked her right to a lawyer; is that correct?
THE WITNESS: That's correct.
MR. DIGIACOMO: So I don't want to offer that as evidence of guilt in any way.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Later were you involved in a search warrant executed at the Palomino Club?
A. Yes.
Q. While you were involved in that search warrant at the Palomino Club, let me grab a few of these pictures.
```

MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 455-30N7:

219
Palomino, was there eventually found paperwork that both showed Mr. Carroll and Mr. Hadland had been employees at that particular place?
A. Yes.
Q. I believe you already answered that you collected paperwork establishing that Deangelo Carroll did resign on May 23rd, 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you also go through a couple one of these other pictures.

During the course of your investigation, did you learn whose office this was in State's Exhibit Number 31?
A. Yes, this was Mr. H's office,
Q. How were you able to verify that information?
A. It was paperwork in his name. Also, photos of him.
Q. Inside that particular office?
A. Inside that office, yes,
Q. Off of Mr. H's office, State's Exhibit

Number 34, what's depicted in there?
A. Off the office was a small kitchenette that went to a back closet.
Q. And then when you go through the small

Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit 29 through 38 -

MR. DRASKOVICH: If I could approach the
witness as these are gone through?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Thank you. BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. If you'd just fip through all those and tell me if they appear to be the Palomino Club on the night you did the search?
A. Yes. Yes, they're all photos from there. However, this is a photo 1 don't --
Q. Recognize? State's Exhibit Number 30 you don't recognize?
A. I don't recognize that photo.
Q. Okay. So let's do State's Exhibit 29, and then 31 through 38 I move to admit, Judge.

MR. ORAM: No objection.
MR. DRASKOVICH: No objection.
MS. WILDEVELD: No objection.
Thereupon, Stafe's Exhibits 29, 31, 32, 33. $34,35,36,37$ and 38 were admitted into evidence.)
BY MR, DIGIACOMO:
Q. As a result of the search warrant at the

1
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kitchenette and you wind up in the back closet, is there something in that back -- oh, here we go. State's Exhibit Number 35. Is that a picture of the kitchenette?
A. Yes.
Q. And then behind the kitchenette, when you say the back closet, did you find something of evidentiary value?
A. There was a large - I described it as a large safe in the office.
Q. State's Exhibit Number 37, is that a picture of that safe?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is money sitting on lop of that safe. Was that money there before?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Was the safe locked or unlocked, at least the outer door, initially?
A. The outer door was unlocked. There was an inner door, which was locked.
Q. The outer door, when you opened it, were you able to find more money, State's Exhibit Number 38?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you said there was a second door inside that safe that had a smaller safe that was

## locked?

A. Right. At the bottom of the safe was a smaller safe, which was locked, which had to be drilled by Liberty Lock and Key.
Q. Was that eventually opened?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there money located in that particular location?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. Do you know approximately, I'm not asking for a specific dollar amount, how much cash was found in that room slightly behind Mr. Hidalgo's office?
A. In the bottom safe, there was $\$ 105,500$ in the bottom portion of the safe. I believe there was more than 50,000 on the shelves, as well as on top.
Q. Were there other safes located throughout the Palomino Club?
A. Yes, there was,
Q. And how many other safes, do you recall?
A. There was two in an office downstairs.

And two more -- one, two, three, I believe there were four safes total, but some of the safes had safes located within the safe, so -.
Q. And there was money found throughout in those safes?
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A. Yes.
Q. Did you have an opportunity then to listen to the enhanced recordings this morning so to compare them to the original recordings to see if they were the same ones?
A. Yes.
Q. And were they the same? As to the two Simone recordings, were track one, the $5 / 23$ Simone recording, and track two, the $5 / 24$ Simone recording?
A. Yes, those are the two tracks that are on this enhanced.
Q. State's Proposed Exhibit 68?
A. Yes.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, could I ask a question of the Court, have we been provided the enhanced ones because l've been listening for a couple weeks now, and it's been very difficult. And I just wanted to know is this the enhanced ones that we've been provided are or they the originals?

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, I have the originals marked, and I got the enhanced on Friday afternoon. I have a copy. I have headphones for all counsel to listen to the enhanced ones, but they have both the originals, and now f'll get them copies of the enhanced, but we didn't have time before Monday's
A. There was money found throughout the business and recorded. MR. DIGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge? THE COURT: Yes,
BY MR, DIGIACOMO:
Q. Eventually, you provided copies to the District AHorney's office of three body recordings that occurred with Deangelo Carroll; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit 69 and 70 and 71 , do you recognize those?
A. Yes.
Q. And do those appear to be the three recordings that you provided to my office concerning the three surreptitious or, I'm sorry, three body wires that were conducted with Deangelo Carroll?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is one on the 23 rd -- there is two on the 23 rd and one on the 24 th , correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you become aware that efforts were made to enhance the audio of the relevant portions of the two Simone conversations? Were you aware that someone tried to enhance them?
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MS. WILDEVELD: And this will be my first time hearing them because the copies that I was provided were inaudible.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, you were able to, right? And they were all copies of the same thing.

MR. DRASKOVICH: We received the unenhanced versions of these three disks and one could hear very little of them.

THE COURT: There was a transcript made though?

MR. DIGIACOMO: They are in the process of attempting to transcribe from the enhanced one the conversation now, but that wasn't available for today yet.

THE COURT: Do we have a transcript?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Those are of jail phone calls.

MS, WILDEVELD: Which I appreciate, but I would also like the transcription of these other things that we're trying to hear and were unable to hear.

THE COURT: Often in these cases evidence is developing as the case goes on, so l'll provide everything as it becomes available to you.

MS. WILDEVELD: I would ask that they be

```
transcribed, though.
    MR. DIGIACOMO: I've already asked the
same company that did the enhancement to do the
transcript, and when it becomes available, I will
provide it.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Were you able to listen this morning to that enhanced recording?
A. Both recordings, yes.
Q. Both recordings. If's all on one disk, though, State's Proposed --
A. Yes, one disk, two tracks.
Q. Let's talk abouf track one. Did you recognize Deangelo Carroll's voice on track one?
MR. ORAM; Judge, l'm going to object. If he's just going to - this may be the good time to make the argument. I believe he's going to start now trying to get into these CDs, if I'm not correct.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, until I move to admit them, it's not really an issue. I need to lay a little bit more foundation as to who is on the CD.
THE COURT: Wait for the foundation. BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Were you able to recognize Deangelo Carroll's voice on these particular CDs?
```
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MR. DIGIACOMO: -, as well as the .-
THE COURT: Okay. Now, let's start here and come over. We've been anticipating this.

MR. ORAM: Judge, this is a little bit lengthy, and the reason being because this issue has been, it's an identical issue that's been before the Supreme Court. Mr. Pesci is getting up because he knows that he tried the case against me. What took place, your Honor, is there was a case --

THE COURT: It's the Ross case?
MR. ORAM: Yeah, I had it faxed because I didn't realize this was going to be an issue. But the Supreme Court decision in front of you really lays out this issue. What happened is we were in trial --

MR. PESCI: Can I interrupt for one second? Your Honor, may I inquire, do you have Renee Ross' only or do you also have Avery Chureh's?

THE COURT Just Ross.
MR. PESCI: You didn't send over Church's?
MR. ORAM: I don't have Church's. That's the co-defendant.

MR. PESCl: Okay, Thank you.
MR. ORAM: I tepresented Renee Ross, your Honor, and there was a trial with Mr. Pesci and Mr. Owens. In the middle of trial, they decided they
A. Yes.
Q. In listening to both CDs and talking to Anabel Espindola or listening in on her interview, were you able to recognize Anabel's voice?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, finally, in listening to or being in the interview with Luis Hidalgo, III, as well as the coughing and the other items or other indications from that particular, from the two recordings, were you able to identify Luis Hidalgo, III, voice?
A. Yes.

MS. WILDEVELD: Objection. Your Honor, this gentleman isn't a voice expert, he's a detective.

MR. DRASKOVICH: I would join in that objection.

THE COURT: I'm just accepting it as lay opinion.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Which is proper under the statute for the foundation.

Thank you, Judge,
Well then, Judge, I guess l'm going to move to admit all three originals --

MR. DRASKOVICH: I would object as to lack of foundation.
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wanted to play surreptitious tapes.
I say they're surreptitious because they were jail calls between Mr. Ross on some of the calls, the co-defendant Avery Church, and two people the State on appeal tried to say were unindicted co-conspirators.

We've been hearing a lot about unindicted co-conspirator exception today. That's exactly what the State argued to the Supreme Court. Oh, we get to play these CDs because there is all these exceptions under the co-conspirator rule, and all these people are conspirators, you see, Judge Bonaventure, and so we get to play these.

And I objected and said, Judge, where are your witnesses? If you're going to play phone calls, I am going to be able to cross-examine someone. And Judge Bonaventure said no, I'm afraid we're going to play CDs, and you're going to be stuck with it. And it was horrendous, Judge.

Obviously, thad no one to cross-examine. I could confront no one, and eventually my client was convicled. I took it up on appeal, and it was a very lively appeal I would say. Ugly appeal in some of the things that were written by both sides.

Eventually, the Nevada Supreme Court, it
seems, thought it was pretty obvious, pretty obvious a
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violation of numerous rules. The Court, the Court actually goes through, one, that there should have been severance if the State wanted to do this.

Two, violated Bruton because I could not call Avery Church, so at the end, I'm going to be calling that man to the wilness sland if they play these and say, get up on that witness stand and let me cross-examine you. And that's not going to happen because his attorney back here are going to invoke his right to counsel.

That's what they said about Avery Church, so 1 couldn't do anything with Avery Church. I couldn't ask Avery Church what were you talking about when you were referring to Renee Ross? What were these other unindicted co-conspirators talking about? Who are these people? What do they mean when they are having these conversations?

The Supreme Court agreed not on one level, not just on severance, not just on Bruton, but on confrontation. They said absolutely not, and they threw this case so far back to the district court, which is now pending for trial. But the co-defendant also came back, which 1 thought was relatively weak because it really sort of was hitting my client. And that's exactly what's going on here.
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Because hey, we'll get the Judge to hear this, but that's not what the Supreme Court ruled, and in the end, if it is let in, I get to cross-examine no one, no one in this case.

I don't know what I'm going to say to this detective. I don't think he's going to say yeah, you know what, I really think Deangelo was in there lying about this, lying about that, telling the truth about this, telling the truth about that. He's not the witness. It's all hearsay.

And based upon the Ross ruling, I don't see, with a violation of the confrontation clause, with a violation of Bruton because that man is a co-defendant, and he's essentially saying that my client is involved. That's Bruton issues, These are all problems. And 1 think that case is right on point, I think it's clear, and I think these prosecutors should be held to it.

With that, I would ask that these not be admitted.

THE COURT: I guess - what about the other people on it? People are here, right?

MR. ORAM: Well, the people that are heard allegedly on it, are briefly, I believe, Little Lu.

This detective is going to try to tell us what that man back there, Deangelo Carroll, means, what he was saying, what they were talking about, and they are not going to put this guy on the witness stand to let me confront him, then what exactly, I said it today, and I don't mean to repeat it, Judge, but what am I doing here?

I don't get to confront the accusers against my client. The accuser is not that police officer. That police officer was not in there. He doesn't know what was being said, what the demeanor of people, what the motives for Mr. Carroll to lie or make things up are.

This is the whole reason why we have a confrontation clause, so we don't have a detective who comes in and says well, I don't know if Mr. Carroll was telling the truth. He seemed like he was telling the truth. Put your witness on the witness stand. If you don't have your witness, then you don't play the CDs.

The decision is very clear. Mr. Pesci knows this, and I think the argument they are going to make is it's unpublished, like somehow the Supreme Court, their words don't matter if it's unpublished. Even though these prosecutors are well aware of that decision in front of you, it doesn't really matter.
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#### Abstract

MR. DRASKOVICH: We don't know. There is whispering, and the second part of the objection concerned that of foundation. They are trying to authenticate these by saying you spoke with them, you heard their voices, et cetera, et cetera.

I can represent to the Court that the very little that I could hear on these disks is whispering, And I don't believe that Detective McGrath whispered back and forth with Mr. Hidalgo during the course of his interview.

Were you whispering to each other? He's making a face. So, Judge, I mean, I would like to dovetail my arguments with Mr. Oram's in that obviously we have a confrontation clause, we have a right to cross-examination issue, which applied, because a preliminary hearing is a very important step in the criminal process. Defendant has a right to a plenary hearing during a preliminary hearing.

My objections concern issues of authentication, which go again to foundation in that we don't know. This defective was not present when these recordings were made. He was not in the room where they were allegedly made. We have no video. We don't know.


So in order to allow them to play these
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tapes that we can do nothing concerning
cross-examination that he's brought up, or in order to properly authenticate them, would be inappropriate, and this should not be allowed to occur.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, one thing I would also like to say. Did you hear how they said the relevant portions? To me, that really worries me any time I hear a prosecutor saying the relevant portions. .

You know, your Honor, if I said to you, "You killed the clerk," and you said, "I killed the clerk?" Oh, well, look at that, the relevant portion, he admitted he killed the clerk. And if you don't put it into context, you don't show what was said beforehand, you only take the litte relevant portions out.

You thought that was funny? You only take the relevant portions out of it as they are saying, then it really causes me concern, as well as the fact that they know from the Supreme Court they cannot do this.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Any time that the State intends to present a document, obviously opposing counsel has a right to introduce the rest of the document in order to put it in the appropriate context, and that's the argument that Mr. Oram is making.
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the response of those other people.
So to the extent that there is a hearsay
problem, it no longer exists as it relates to Mr. Carroll.

As it relates to Anabel or Liftle Lu, the fact of the matter is anything they say during the course of those particular wires are co-conspirator statements that are allowed in against both in course and in furtherance --

If you can let me finish. Het you
finish.
MR. DRASKOVICH: I'm not interrupting you.
Itm raising my finger, I can raise my finger all I
want.
THE COURT: Why don't you sit down and raise it.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
Now, as to this context issue, I'm sorry, I wasn't very clear. I have actually marked and asked to be admitted the originals, and they are free to listen to the originals. These recordings are such that Brett Shields comes on and puts a little lead on exactly what this is.

Then Deangelo gets into a car, drives for some 20 minutes while he's being surveilled going into

If we only have the quote/unquote, "relevant portions" of this that have been enhanced, obviously we cannot introduce the rest of it, so it's inappropriate, once again.

MS, WILDEVELD: Your Honor, I have already stated that I haven't had the opportunity to listen to these disks because the disks that I were provided were inaudible. And I would join in Mr. Oram's and Mr. Draskovich's arguments.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, if I can address some issues, and I think that Mr. Pesci wants to address the issue that relates to Ross and Church. which is totally nol relevant to this particular situation.

But if I can start first with Mr. Carroll, the statements that he makes on these tapes are not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted, which is the major distinction from that particular case.

By the time Mr. Carroll gets sent into that room, he is provided, and the detective will tell you, certain information to say to get people to start talking. And he is not, he is not being offered for anything he said, it is not being offered to say hey, that actually happened. It's only to give context to
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Simone's, and then the moment he gets out of the car ${ }_{3}$ which he's in by himself, to the moment he gets back in the car, I had enhanced. And then he drives and the FBI agent -- I didn't think they wanted the music tastes of Deangelo Carroll enhanced and transcribed because they weren't relevant to anything.

What I transcribed or what I have enhanced is the moment he gets out of the car to the moment he gets back into a car, and the two times he went into Simone's. So to say that they want to put the whole thing in, great, go transcribe it. We can sit here and listen for an hour to rap music. But, otherwise, there is nothing of substance that is in between there. You will hear the entire conversation.

I know that Mr. Pesci wants to talk about a Bruton issue, but there is also the foundation issue too. This detective is, based upon his entire investigation, being able to tell you that this is Litte Lu's voice, this is Anabel's voice.

One, Anabel says I'm in Simone's talking to the guy. When you listen to the tape, you're going to hear hey, Miss Anabel this, Miss Anabel that, and she's responding back to him. The conversation occurs in Little Lu's room, and you're going to be able to establish that through a chain of circumstances.
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The next detective who hits the stand is
going to testify as an offer of proof, in case you need
more than just this officer's testimony, that they were
surveilling the place, watched to make sure Little Lu
and Anabel were in the place when Deangelo went in, and
then he left.
And even on the 24th, when the warrant was
executed, only two people had left or three people had
left prior to the warrant, Mr. H, Sr., Little Lu and
Anabel. And then the only other people that were
inside that place were some workers back in the
workroom, which is like the big garage area.
Mr. Hidalgo, Sr., who is present, I
believe, in the courtroom today, was there, as well as
a receptionist at the front, and that the only people
that left were Little Lu, Anabel and Mr. H, Judge, so
based upon the entire investigation, he is able to
formulate an opinion, as well as in speaking to the
people who are on the wire, that they are on there.
There is no foundationat problems.
Now, as to the Bruton slash Ross issue,
I'm going to let Mr. Pesci respond to that.
MR, PESCl: Judge, if I could, could you
tell me what the date is on that report, the decision
that you have in front of you?
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is subject to monitoring, so there is nothing surreptitious about it.

On those phone calls, the girlfriend of the defendant talks about pawning the very proceeds of the robbery. That's what we had going on in that case. Now, at the time we were going to get those in, there was arguments made by defense counsel.

The very witness who could have been cross-examined, Annette Manso, had been sitting in the gallery, much as we have witnesses in here today. When it was known that she was going to be subject to cross-examination, she got up and she ran out. And we didn't have her anymore and couldn't find her so that she would be subject to cross-examination. Much akin to Mr. Deangelo Carroll, who is sitting over there right now, who is not subject to cross-examination.

So there is this kind of twisting of the law, making it such that we can't cross-examine him, but this person was here. The State can't control him waiving up. But you set that aside, what the Supreme Court said in that particular case is that Annette Manso was not a co-conspirator. They said that the opportunity to go outside the hearsay to the exception was not available to us because she was not a co-conspirator.

```
THE COURT: December -MR. PESCI: Maybe counsel will stipulate
``` to the fact that it's post Crawford?

THE COURT: They talk about Crawford here MR. PESCI: Right, so Crawford was, in fact, discussed in this case.

THE COURT: Yes. It's December the 23 rd, MR. PESCI: Thank you.
So it's after the Crawford decision that the Supreme Court analyzes what occurred in this case of Renee Ross and Avery Church. And l've got to go over a little bit of history because I think we have a little bit of revisionistic history from defense counset.

What we had in that case, Judge, were two individuals charged with, among other things, robbery. The victim's name was Jack Battle. He was beat, he was punched, he was stabbed. He had a laptop computer and a gold medallion stolen from him.

After that was done, the co-defendants, specifically Avery Church's girffriend, Annette Manso, was caught on phone calls. And for the record, those are not surreptitious phone calls. Those are phone calls at the jail where each and every single time a defendant is told that this is a tape recording, this.
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THE COURT: We don't need to reargue the case because the Court decided she wasn't a co-conspirator.

MR. PESCI: And I'm trying to make the connection. I'm trying to make the connection to this case that we have before you, your Honor. Mr. Carroll sitting there today is a co-conspirator. He sits there almost a hub within this conspiracy. We have \(\mathrm{Mr}, \mathrm{H}\) and the people at the Palomino. We have the dead body. Who is in the middle? Who is the person that's the go-to guy, to get the shooter and to go out there and get the deed done? He's well beyond somebody who pawned the proceeds of a robbery. He is a co-conspirator. Therefore, the exception to the hearsay rule, even with Crawford out there, applies.

Specifically, in McDowell, even though it predates Crawford, it says, and I quote, "According to NRS 51.035, subsection 3, an out-of-court slatement of a co-conspirator made during the course and in the furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible as non hearsay against another co-conspirator."

There sits a co-conspirator, Judge. We're not offering it for the fruth of the matter asserted,
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but we have an exception, even in the face of Crawford, because Crawford is talking about a testimonial statement. We have an exception. It clearly can come into this case.

And therefore, the unpublished opinion, which, of course, I wasn't going to cite because it is unpublished, but since defense counsel brought it up, we can respond to it, does not apply to preclude us from getting in this very evidence.

As far as Bruton, the same case talks about how, if it is a statement by a co-conspirator, Bnuton does not apply. It is not a concern. That's the risk you run as a co-conspirator involved in these types of activities and, therefore, this is all admissible.

MR, ORAM: Your Honor -THE COURT: Before you - here is my concern, so when you respond you'll know. I don't think Bruton applies because I'm not a jury. I am capable of sorting out what, who is saying what and applying it to just thal person. So I am not as concerned as the Supreme Court would be if there is a jury.

But, and we've got the statement - l'm not inclined to anything that Mr. Carroll would say on
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to say something that may sound suspicious when they are not talking about those type of things at all. If that makes sense. You know, you can actually have conversations where, like where did you put that? And what were they talking about?

It almost sounds like that is a very suspicious thing, and it's only in context by that gentleman over there. It just causes me so much concern that Renee Ross is pretty much right on point.

If I could also just respond to that ruling, the State has cleverly gotten around this twice. I think if they stand up long enough and say co-conspirator exception, that that kind of works, but it doesn't work, and the Supreme Court told them it doesn't work, and they've got to put Deangelo Carroll on the witness stand to get this information in. They have to.

I don't see how under that ruling they can not put him on the stand, unless they are going to -they just want to play the CD of nothing he says, just my client's words, my client's words and that's all they want to do. Well then, I think that may be a different scenario.

But they are saying we're not using it for the truth of the matter asserted. Good. Then don't
this to have any bearing on what this proceeding is all about.

The issue is, if l've got statements by a defendant here, Mr. Hidalgo or Miss Espindola, and they are on the tape, that's their own statements. I don't see how that's a concern, other Ihan how they would interact with each other.

In other words, slatements made on the tape by Mr. Hidalgo, you know, there is concem that, that's where I see - I'm coming into a Crawford issue. Would I have, you know, would I need to disregard any statements that may incriminate Mr. Hidalgo made by Miss Espindola and vice versa, because they are nol available for cross-examination?

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, the thing that causes me concern is that in order to understand, or they are going to try to say Miss Espindola is saying this or that. The only way it could be in context is based upon what Mr. Deangelo Carroll is saying. And therein lies the problem.

What are his motives to get her to say things? Has he asked her to say things? Are they talking in some kind of code beforehand? There may be other things in the business they are talking about. In other words, a person can get someone
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 ess-9047
play it. Don't play anything to do with him. If the Court wants to make that ruling that we'll hear from Anabel, what she says, but in no context to whatDeangelo Carroll is saying, then that's fine too.

MR. DRASKOVICH: He made the argument was going to make.

THE COURT: Okay. What I'm saying is, I can do that filtering so we can get it done today.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If I can just respond. Deangelo Carroll, if they are just for context, they are not hearsay statements. I'm not asking you to say hey, Deangelo says, you'll hear on the wire, Deangelo says something like hey, I'm worried about Ronta and JJ flipping on us and then telling the story.

Well, he knows Ronta and JJ has already flipped on us. That's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted. I's only context to say well, when Anabel and Luis talk about putting strychnine in gin and asking him to give it to JJ and Ronta, if explains the situation. It's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, so there is not a hearsay problem here, hence not a confrontation clause problem.

Now, the Court was right saying you could separate what Little Lu says versus what Anabel says and just use Little Lu agains! Little Lu and Anabel
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against Anabel, but wait, those are statements made by co-conspirators. Clearly, they have no idea that the conspiracy is over.

In fact, you will hear the formation of a second conspiracy, which is charged in Count I, which is the solicitation to commit murder on both JJ and Ronta. And those statements are made by co-conspirators in the room together having a conversation which is surreptitiously recorded, Judge.

How are those statements by one not admissible against another? How could they possibly be testimonial if they are made trying to kill somebody? Those people don't ever want anything in a courtroom. They can't possibly be testimonial.

The only issue for the defense here is Deangelo Carrol's statement, but I'm asking the Court not to take anything he says for the truth of the matter asserted. I'm only asking the Court to understand the context of the conversation based upon not what he said so much, but the reaction it had on the hearers. It goes to their state of mind as the two defendants and explains why it is their next statement is relevant to this Court's statements.

THE COURT: Well, as I see il from Mr. Carroll and "ill let defense counsel share their
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one left, et cetera, et cetera. He wasn't there. He wasn't inside the building, nor was Detective McGrath.

THE COURT: The authentication, I think, is a question of fact. I think they have met the preliminary foundation for me to hear it. And then whether, after I hear it, I determine that it's clearer or unclear, we'll make a determination.

So, you know, I'm inclined to hear them so that I can determine the authentication and take statements if they are, if I determine that they are made by Miss Espindola against Miss Espindola and statements made by Mr. Hidalgo against Mr. Hidalgo,

My concern, I still have this Crawford concern as it goes to using the statements between the two of them or one against the other. I have read this, and even though it's an unpublished opinion, my reading of Crawford and in reading the numerous interpretations of crawford that have come out since then, 1 think the Supreme Court has a different understanding or opinion of what testimonial is from what I would determine is testimonial.

They were saying that these statements made by Annette, whoever the other lady was in this case, were testimonial. I wouldn't say they are testimonial. So, obviously, the Supreme Court has a
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thoughts on this, too, but his statements would be the same as if ' I l listening to an interrogation by a police officer. They oftentimes will bend the truth or misrepresent. I don't consider anything that the officer says as being true, and that would be my position as to Mr. Carroll.

MR. PESCI: Correct.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Briefly, just two points.
Mr. DiGiacomo says that these are not testimonial.
Well, if a man wears a wire at police direction, that person becomes an agent for the State. Therefore, anything that is said to that person or response to that person falls under State, therefore, under Crawford that is testimonial. So in that sense, Mr. DiGiacomo's argument fails.

As to the second argument, what concems me is that of authentication. They are attempting to bring in these CDs through Detective McGrath, who was not there. He was not listening to these conversations at the same time. It's my understanding he listened to recordings atterwards.

So, once again, we have a foundation problem and an authentication problem. Mr. DiGiacomo can say all he wants that this was Anabel speaking, this is Luis Hidalgo speaking. They are in there. No
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different feeling as to what is testimonial, so l'm going to have to, l'm going to rely on their definition here as I'm hearing these to determine if this is testimonial.

So we can set up this, but I think it's time we take our aftemoon break.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, for whatever reason, after hearing it, you feel that there should be more foundation, we have actually two more witnesses who can lay additional foundation to the speakers.
(A briet recess was zaken.)
THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I have had placed in the computer here State's Exhibit Number 68. There is two recordings, two tracks recording. I don't know that you can clearly identify when it goes to track one or track two like a normal \(C D\). It just goes from one to two. I don't know if we're going to need to stop.

We'll just stop it affer track one, and we can make a record that now we're starting track two. Track one is the \(5 / 23\) body recording of Deangelo Carroll at Simone's, and track two is the \(5 / 24\) body recording of Deangelo Carroll al Simone's.

I am not going to play the originals for the Court. If the Court wants to hear the originals at
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some later point in time, you're free to listen to them, but the enhancements are a lot clearer to listen to.

MR. ORAM: I'd also like the record to reflect what the DA has told me at the break, and that is, apparently, there is 20 minutes approximately of conversation where the wire is supposed to be working but isn't, or is put in another place, and so we don't hear what is said. And I just want that to be on the record that we're not going to hear about that.

MR. DIGIACOMO; Yes, Judge, and, in fact, on track two, and, you know, for purposes of, for evidentiary, foundational and admittance, Judge, you. don't have to, you actually suspend the rules of evidence, so what I tell you can be taken into account if you want to.

But during an interview subsequent with Mr . Carroll, he fells us that during that second time period Mr. Hidalgo, III, took the device that was actually using it, locked it in a bathroom, later after the conversation was done, he then collects the device, which you will hear on the tape, and let him walk out and get back into the vehicle, so I was going to stop the recording. Once it starts going blank we don't have to sit here and listen to 20 minutes of dead air.
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Q. - first body recording?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you the agent and, you along with

Defective -- along with Agent Brett Shields the individual who next contacted Deangelo after he leaves Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes.
Q. When you did that, did you collect any items of evidence off of him?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you collect?
A. A Tangueray bottle of, Ithink it was a 1.5 liter. I'm not positive.
Q. Was it a traditional Tangueray or was it a high line Tangueray, what kind of --
A. It was a high line Tangueray.
Q. Tangueray, okay.

What else did you collect off him?
A. And a quantity of money.
Q. Do you know approximately how much money that was?
A. \(\$ 1400\).
Q. Were they in hundred dollars bills, or were they in 20 s , how were they denominated?
A. Hundred dollar bills.

\footnotetext{
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Q. Were those items collected and preserved for later processing?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. Thereafter, did you do an interview of Deangelo Carroll to delermine what happened, or to determine what happened during the wire or during the recording?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you testified earlier that you made a determination to send Deangelo back to Simone's Auto Plaza; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was on May 24 th?
A. Yes
Q. And, once again, he was surveilled going in and out of the building?
A. Yes.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, if we can play
track two.
MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, before we haye them play that other one, if it's just like this, I find very fittle evidentiary value in this. It's so hard to hear. You can't hear anything. You can only hear words here and there and bits of pieces. I find it very useless.
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MR. DRASKOVICH: I hear a lot of scratching too, There is something --
MS. WILDEVELD: I mean, I can't hear a full conversation. I can't hear a full sentence out of one person's mouth.
MR. DIGIACOMO: is the defense actually stating to the Court that it doesn't appear there is any relevant information on these particular wires?
MS. WILDEVELD: Yes.
MR. ORAM: Maybe the second one. I think there may be something that we're about to hear.
MR. DIGIACOMO: That they didn't hear a female on the wire who repeatedly got called Miss Anabel say something about killing him, and we can wait for KC, They didn't hear something on the wire.
MR. ORAM: That somebody being killed, and that somebody being killed, and apparently that man back there was responsible.
MR. DIGIACOMO: JJ and Ronta, the female said that we wanted him beat. Why didn't you furn around? There was too many witnesses in the car to kill him.
MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, 1 didn't hear any of that. I didn't hear any of that. There's very little evidentiary value in this.

```
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police officer said it was audible. Now, it's not

```
audible what she's saying.

MR. DIGIACOMO: 1 just heard it. I just heard her say talk to the guy, not kill him. You should have gone out. We told you to beat him.

MS. WILDEVELD; I didn't hear that.
MR. ORAM: I didn't hear that either. It was very clear on the last one.

THE WITNESS: You know, as you move it closer and further away from microphones, it has some interruption here. So as I move around here, I can hear it clearer in spaces and not around here.

MR. ORAM: Did you hear that clearly? Did you hear her say --

THE WITNESS: I leaned forward and heard that.

MR, ORAM: Clearly?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
(Overlapping speakers.)
MS. WILDEVELD: I didn't hear any of that, and I think I'm hearing .-

MR. ORAM: Can we just replay that? I just want to --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Is the Court hearing stuff being said?
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MR. ORAM: Why don't we just hear the next thing, and then we argue.

THE COURT: How long is this one?
MR. DIGIACOMO; Approximately the same
length. Oh, it's a little bit shorter because we're going to cut the last 20 minutes off. So it's really the first 15 minutes or so; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: You enhanced it, so you know how long it is, correct?

MR. OIGIACOMO: Correct. There is a 20-minute blank, though, and we know the entire length of the --

MALE SPEAKER: Thirty-four minutes and 36 seconds.

MR. DIGIACOMO: But there's approximately 20 minutes which is blank, and then just him walking to the car. I was only going to publish up to the time it goes blank.
(Exhibit being played.)
MR. ORAM: Judge, can we stop this for a second? Can we stop it? Can you stop that?

MALE SPEAKER: Yes, I can.
MR. ORAM: I find it hard to believe, Judge, that their original, I could hear my client saying perfectly on that original, and I think the
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MR. DRASKOVICH: I couldn't hear it. MR. FIGLER: No, I couldn't say. It was going in and out.

MR. ORAM: In the original, you can hear it clearly. And just like when I said it to the Court before, the detective said yeah, that's right, it's audible. And he's saying he can hear it now, but I could barely hear that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: You're free to play during your cross-examination the originals if you want to, but -,

THE COURT: Let's back up.
THE WITNESS: If you move, like I move right here, I don't get any static. If I move over here -

MR. ORAM: So there's no rhyme or reason, just some play.

THE WITNESS: Just move until you can hea it.

MS. WILDEVELD; Can you restart the whole thing?
(Exhibit being played.) MR. DIGIACOMO: We are at the 20 minutes of silence and then the wire gets - the recording device gets picked back up, and I disagree, you'll hear


Deangelo leaving the establishment.
MALE SPEAKER: I will just turn these off.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Detective, after this interaction between, well, inside Simone's Auto Plaza, once again, was Mr . Carroll contacted?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you recover any items of evidentiary value off of him then?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. A quantity of money.
Q. Do you know approximately how much?
A. I believe \(\$ 800\). Seven of \(\$ 800\). I don't know exactly. I didn't count it at the time.
Q. And, once again, what denomination was this money?
A. Hundreds.
Q. And those were collected to preserve them for later forensic testing; is that correct?
A. Yes.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Court's indulgence. I pass the witness, Judge.
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looking for more sinister objects, weapons, something like that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. This wire that we heard, it came from the FBI?
A. Are we saying a body recorder or are you saying a wire?
Q. I'm sorry, the body recorder.
A. Yes.
Q. Is this something that was from the last 20 or 30 years or, I mean, how old is this device, do you know?
A. How old is it? I have no idea how old it is.
Q. Well, I mean, we've heard an enhanced version of a \(C D\), correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And a lot of fuzziness in the background.

Did you hear that?
A. Idefinitely heard that.
Q. And then there's 20 minutes apparently where we're not going to hear from because it doesn't pick anything up for one reason or another?
A. Did we hear 20 minutes? We didn't hear 20 minutes.
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Q. No, I'm saying to you that there are 20 minutes that we're not going to hear from because of one reason or another it doesn't pick up voices, correct?
A. There was a portion on the tape which we didn't hear. None of us heard. Okay? Is that what you are asking?
Q. Yes, that's what I'm asking.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So there is 20 minutes where we just don't hear anything, right? That's what l'm asking.
A. There is 20 minutes which we didn't listen to.
Q. Well, is there something in that 20 minutes that would be imporiant?
A. Well, there is obviously something after that and something before that, right?
Q. No, that's not my question, Detective. Here is my question.
A. Okay.
Q. On the 20 minutes that we haven't heard today, okay? You know what 20 minutes I'm talking about?
A. Yes, the 20 minutes on track two,
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Q. Is there anything audible in that?
A. I haven't listened to this, the 20 minutes to say that nothing is audible.
Q. So you don't know is the answer to my question?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Did you notice that a lot of this stuff was inaudible, a lot of what you heard was inaudible?
A. Yes, some of the stuff was inaudible.
Q. Some?
A. Yes.
Q. And where was the wire on the 23 rd? Where was it placed on his body? You can answer my question, sir. Where was it?

MR. DIGIACOMO: I pose an objection Judge And if we need to approach, that's fine. But clearly it's not relevant where on his body this particular wire is,

I know defense counsel would love to know where surreptitious recording devices are, and the criminals would love to know where surreptitious devices are so that they can check for those in the future.

It's a public policy argument -MR. ORAM: Is this a privilege?
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A. Yes.
Q. - without saying where a wire was or body recording device or anything like that, is it possible that whatever this device would be, could it be covered, could it be played with in such a way by the user so that it would be more difficult to pick up what was being said?
A. Well, you can hear on the recording device when the person wearing it is walking, so it's rubbing against clothing, so part of that filters some of the communication both ways.
Q. Okay. But here is my real question. If whatever this recording device is, okay, let's say it was a ring, okay? And assuming that I am trying to pick up a conversation we're having right now, my question, is it possible that whatever this device was could be, I could put my hand over it so you couldn't hear clearly what you were saying?
A. I don't know if, if that would be one of the things. I know in the amount of time that I use these devices that there is a certain, if someone is in one room and someone is in the other, it won't pick up someone that's having a communication over there, but they are very sensitive to people talking, but back and forth. Like me and you were talking here, we would
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MR. DIGIACOMO: That information should not be provided to the defense as it's not relevant.

MR. ORAM: is this a privilege? Is this some kind of privilege? This is the surreptitious device you don't get to know, counsel.

In fact, they put in reports, Judge, that this man was stripped down to his underwear, which makes it very suspicious. He was stripped down to his underwear where this item was. And the fact that they put in reports that he was stripped down to his underwear causes me concern as to where this wire was,

THE COURT: They stripped him?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Anabel and Lu.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Moreover, I had addressed
this previously, and your Honor had made a ruling already that we would be allowed to delve into this on cross-examination. We are to address this --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Then if it's relevant, how is it relevant the nature of the recording device?

THE COURT: Approach.
ITherevion, a brief discussion was hela
at the banch. 1
THE COURT: Okay. We can proceed on that. BY MR. ORAM:
Q. Detective -
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hear the entire conversation,
Q. My point, Detective, is a little more sinister, okay? What l'm asking you is, if I was trying to record what you had to say, but for whatever reason, I didn't want the recording to pick up now what you were going to say, could I cover the device to make it so that it didn't pick up?

In other words, could I play with this device or whatever this device is, play with it in such a manner so that perhaps the recording would not be as clear?
A. The device, once the device is tumed on, it does never turns off, so it always picks up something. Can they put it in and cover it up? I mean, I would assume 50. I mean, put it in water or something like that?
Q. Or just cover a mike?
A. I don't believe that - I believe that you could cover it with something to filter it, but I think that would have been picked up as to moving something around because it's sensitive.
Q. Detective, there was a portion of the \(C D\), the second CD on the 24th, where I believe the person who the State is claiming is Anabel states, "I told you to talk to him, not F'ing hurt him or kill him."
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Did you hear that?
A. Yes,
Q. Did you notice that the question before, that is not by Anabel, is quite clear, but her answer is very difficult to hear? Did you notice that?
A. I mean, I hear the person that's wearing the wire clearly because it's on their body, and then there is a - there is another person speaking that is farther away, so it's going to be harder to hear the other person that's not closest to where the voice is, of course.
Q. Did you hear her make the statement, "I told you to talk to him"? Did you hear that statement?
A. I heard that, and I heard other things, yes.
Q. Okay. But you heard that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the fact that this is

``` supposedly a surreptitious device or recording means that Anabel, if that's who it was, should not have been able to know that this was being recorded, right? That's the whole purpose.
A. Yes, that's the purpose of it.
Q. So it would be something where you would expect somebody to say "I told you to kill him" as

MARCLA HAFNESS, CCR 204455.3047
Q. And sometimes innocent things can sound sinister if you really don't understand the context of what is being said, correct?
A. I suppose so. I mean, some things can sinister, yes.
Q. Okay. You weren't in the places when this recording was occurring, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You don't know what the expressions of the people were, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. For example, somebody may say something but have a smile on their face where it would be obvious to the listener that they were kidding, right, because you could see a smile?

Does that make sense?
A. I mean, it makes sense, yes I was not in the business and didn't see any facial expressions.
Q. And it's fair to say that you knew that man back there as -- you learned he was a liar, right? Fair to say?
A. Yes.
Q. Habitual liar, fair to say?
A. He lied many fimes, yes.
Q. And he lied to you?
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opposed to "I told you to simply talk to him." Do you see what I'm saying?
A. I know exactly what you're saying.
Q. Did you also notice a statement supposedly by Anabel that money was to maintain yourself? Did you hear that statement?
A. There was some communication in there that I heard about amount of time where that would go by and he would be getting paid.
Q. Okay. Detective, did Mr. Carroll have conversations with his employers prior to this device being placed on him?
A. Of course, he was an employee there.
Q. So they would have many things that they would discuss that you would not be privy to beforehand, right? Does that make sense?
A. No, it doesn't make sense.
Q. Okay. He had a relationship with these people beforehand, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that they probably have things they talk about that you wouldn't have known about? In other words, just perfectly innocent things connected with the club?
A. Yes.
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A. Yes.
Q. You had no difficulty informing him that you thought he was a liar, did you? Did you tell him you thought he was lying or was it Detective Vaccaro? I think BS me. Do you remember something?
A. Something along the lines of that, During the four-hour interview, I would say that is accurate.
Q. Okay. Something along the - because it - without getting into what he said, would it be fair to say that he had several different stonies of what the motive for the plot was?
A. Yes.
Q. And he blamed several different people and then would change, wouldn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. And, again, without going into what he said, it was late and this statement was, you described it as four hours, but, in fact, 128 pages, if I showed it to you?
A. I believe 128. That would be accurate. I believe.
Q. And it wasn't until very late into this statement that he started to try to blame Anabel, isn't that fair to say?
A. It was later in the statement, yes.
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Q. It was like story three or four, if you
recall?
A. Three or four would be pretly close to accurate.
Q. Okay.
MR. ORAM: Court's indulgence. Nothing further, your Honor.
CROSS-EXAMIMATIOH
By mR. draskovich:
Q. Just to follow up, you would agree that this device that was used, the recording device was open to manipulation, correct, just like any microphone would be?
A. There isn't a particular way that a person would be able to manipulate it.
Q. They could scratch it, correct? Would you agree with me that that would affect its ability to record?
A. I'm not positive scratching it would affect its ability to record.
Q. Okay. However, you used it on many prior occasions, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And there have been times where it has
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Q. Is he still involved, to your knowledge, in this case?
A. In what regards? I mean, I can explain it, if you want me to explain it.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, Judge, I'm going to object to relevance. What's the relevance of what the future investigation of the case has to do with what the evidence now shows concerning the evidence or considering the various defendants?

I don't think they should be divulging what the future investigation should be, particularly, if there's more individuals who are being investigated.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And, obviously, that's a
different take on my question than was intended.
This is a probable cause hearing as to whether or not the investigation concerning my client or any of the other defendants, whether or not this delective feels it's complete is clearly relevant to this Court's determination of probable cause.

MR. OIGIACOMO: Well, didn't he already answer that, that it's ongoing?

THE COURT: Yes,
MR. DRASKOVICH: We're talking about recording devices. I'm asking if Special Agent Shields is involved currently in this case. And, obviously, if
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been covered up or scratched or somehow manipulated so it becomes difficult to hear. Would you agree with that based upon your experience with this device?
A. I believe that this recording device that we used has an extremely good track record and doesn't really act the way you're asking me.
Q. I see. You would agree with me that this recording that we have just finished listening to is difficult to hear the voices?
A. Some things are difficult, yes.
Q. Detective McGrath, was this in your opinion a complete investigation?
A. In what regards?
Q. What part of my question - do you not understand my question?
A. I don't understand your question.
Q. Was it complete?
A. Are you asking my opinion?
Q. Please, yes.
A. I don't think that it is complete.
Q. Is the investigation ongoing?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Detective or, I mean, Special Agent Shields was involved previously in this case, correct?
A. Yes.
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the Courf--
THE COURT: It's a yes or no.
THE WITNESS: I mean, I'll say yes, but I
don"t think that's the question that you are asking me,
I can explain it.
By MR. DRASKOVICh:
Q. Let me ask you this. There was a five-page arrest report generated by yourself --
A. Yes.
Q. - concerning this investigation, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In addition to that five-page arrest report, have you authored any other reports in conjunction with this investigation?
A. Have l authored?
Q. Yes.
A. I haven't authored.
Q. How about members of law enforcement that

``` are working with you on this case, are you aware of other reports that have been authored in reference to this investigation?
A. Yes,
Q. By whom?
A. Well, my partner is - has a report still
working at this time.
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Q. Okay. Your partner, meaning Detective Vaccaro?
A. No. Detective Teresa Kyger is authoring a report right now.
Q. And this report that she's authoring is in reference to the investigation for which you're here today and you're testifying, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In addition to her, are there other reports that have been generated in reference to this investigation?
MR DIGIACOMO: Judge, can I interpose an objection as to relevance? I mean, he has a report, and you can ask any detective up there if they have written a report that is completed that you would like a copy of, but this particular detective has told you he has no other reports.
MR. DRASKOVICH: No, he hasn't. He said that he himself has not authored, but l'm asking him now if there are other reports, and I think I should be given them if there are.
MR. DIGIACOMO: He has both homicide books in front of him if he wants to flip through them to see if he's missing anything. I don't have an objection to that.
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\section*{BY KR. DRASKOVICH:}
Q. Are there reports in addition to the reports that are contained within the two 5 inch binders in front of you?
A. Yes, there will be more reports to come,
Q. And who are authoring those reports?
A. I know that the computers haven'I been looked at yet that we impounded, which the detective that works in the cyber crimes unit will author a report as to what he found on the computer. So that's to come.
Q. Okay. Who else?
A. I'm not aware of detective, any other detectives doing surveillance reports or surveillance reports that have not been turned into me, so --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, this is a way for him to try and get to the fact that there is additional things that may be done during the course of the investigation. I don't see how that's possibly relevant. Moreover, he shouldn't have to disclose what future investigation is going to occur.

THE COURT: Well, actually, I don't think that was the question. I think actually the detective has gone beyond the question. The question was, are there any other reports?

MARCLA HARNESS. CCR \(204+59.3047\)

A. You mean the portion that you are asking me about your client is a video and audio, and the audio will have to be pulled off to the video so we send that down to our technical analytical section that will separate the two and that will be transcribed.
Q. Okay. And that's pending right now?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Through the course of your interrogation of Luis Hidalgo, III, did he invoke his right to counsel?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Turning your attention now to your interview of Deangelo Carroll. You had testified that there was approximately four hours that you spent with him in an interview room, correct?
A. I would say that's, that's pretty close.
Q. And that was around May 20th of this year?
A. Yes, that was the 20th.
Q. Then you had interviewed him or spoken to him prior to going on tape, correct?
A. Yes,
Q. Approximately how long did you spend with him prior to his going on tape?
A. He goes on tape, he goes on videotape as soon as we go in the room. And then we go audio right
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Q. Okay. He could come up with many stories in a short period of time, correct?
A. He had a story.
Q. I see. But that story changed into various versions through the fime that you sat with him, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it appeared to you that these various versions would change when they suited him, correct?
A. When he got caught in something that he didn't know how to explain, then he changed his story.
Q. And he changed it quickly, correct?
A. Sometimes quickly and sometimes not quickly.
Q. Depending, of course, upon the context in which you were speaking with him, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He appeared to be intelligent to you, didn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. He appeared to be shrewd, didn't he?
A. I don'k know if shrewd.
Q. How about sneaky?
A. Somewhat in the beginning, yes.
Q. Okay. He appeared to be untrustworthy to
away with him.
Q. Okay. However, you did discuss the facts of this case with him prior to going on tape, correct?
A. I don't believe that we did. I think that we just went in the room, talked to him real quick and then went right to audio.
Q. Okay. There came a time during your interview with him in which you stopped the audio tape, correct?
A. Yes, there was a few times
Q. Okay. And you have already covered, and I don't want to belabor the point, but he gave you various version of what he say happened out at Lake Mead, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you testified that he lied to you repeatedly, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You would agree with me that he lied to you when it appeared to suit his needs, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So you would agree with me that your conclusion based upon your interview with him was that he could lie quickly, correct?
A. I don't agree that he could lie quickly. MARCLA HAPNESS, CCR 209 47\%-JOW7
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you, didn't he?

```
A. In the beginning, yes.
Q. Obviously, you felt that he was somewhat untrustworthy because you searched him, you testified, before he went into this auto body store, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you searched him because you did not trust what he told you, correct?
A. We sent him in there.
Q. But you searched him before you did?
A. Yes.
Q. And you searched him because you couldn't take his word, correct? You had to see for yourself -
A. Yes,
Q. .- what he had or what he didn't have?
A. Yes, I agree with that. I agree with that.
Q. So, obviously, you would agree that then you needed to see for yourself what he had on his body, you couldn't take his word for \(\mathrm{it}_{i}\) correct?
A. I didn't take his word for it, yes.
Q. Okay So you made a credibility
determination on your own in reference to Mr. Deangelo Carroll?
A. Yes,

ACARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 459.30+7
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    MR. DRASKOVICH: If I could have the
    Court's indulgence for one moment?
I pass the witness.
CROSS-EXRMINA:ICN
BY ms, hILDEvELO:
Q. Good afternoon, Detective.
A. Good aftemoon.
Q. More than a liar, you also found
Mr. Carroll to be a storyteller, correct?
A. More than a liar, a storyteller, I don'\
understand.
Q. He told you different versions of the same
story?
A. Yes. We already discussed that.
Q. Right. So he made up things as he went
along?
A. He changed things as he went along.
Q. He made up, you say changed, is there a
difference?
A. Of course there is a difference.
Q. What's the difference?
A. He's telling us one story and then he
changes it to something else.
Q. So he's making it up as he's going along,
MARCLAHARNESS,CCR 204 \$5S.304%
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Q. Okay. But there were a lot of disconjunctive sentences that we didn't know what context they were being brought in, right?
A. I mean, 1 listened to it. I understand what context the stuff was asked and answered.
Q. You don't know the history between Mr. Carroll and Mr. Counts, if any, do you?
A. Yes, Ido.
Q. Do you know since they were born, or do you know that they just lived across the street from each other?
A. Are you asking -- which question would you like me to answer? I knew they lived across the street from each other
Q. So that's the history you know is that they live across the street from each other? I mean, we can make this as difficult as you want.
A. I have no problem. Okay? MR. DIGIACOMO: I interpose an objection.
If she can just ask a question and wait for him to answer the question before we start again with the next question.

THE COURT: One question at a time.
MARCLI HARNESS, CCR \(201+55-1047\)
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A. He's changing things as he's confronted with some of the questions we were asking him.
Q. Okay. Much like you don't know the relationship between Mr. Carroll and Anabel or Mr. Hidalgo, you don't know the relationship between Mr, Carroll and Mr. Counts, do you?
A. What do you mean relationship? MR. DIGIACOMO: Ill object because I'm not sure that there was testimony that he doesn't know the relationship between Mr. Carroll and Mr. Hidalgo and Miss Espindola.

MS. WILDEVELD: Let me rephrase that. Strike that,
gy ms. hildeveld:
Q. When Mr. Oram was asking you questions about the conversations that were caught on the tape, the parts and bits of conversations that we could make out on the audio tape we all listened to, we all painfully listened to, when he was talking about those audio tapes, there were certain things that we couldn't pick up. There is only words that came about, and we don't know if they were taken oul of context or not, correct?
A. I don't believe that is accurate. They

MARCLI HARNESS, CCR 204 4J-5047
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time.
BY MS, WILDEVELD:

```
Q. So at one point, Mr. Carroll told you that Mr. Counts was going to buy weed from TJ, correct?
A. At one point - say that again. I'm sorry. You were jumping back to the conversation that these guys had and --
Q. I'm --
A. You're asking about their relationship.
Q. I'm staying exactly with the statement.
A. Okay, Ask me again, please?

MR. PESCI; if there's a page reference,
can we get that?
MS. WILDEVELD: I don't have a page reterence. I'm sorry.

MR. PESCI: Do you need a copy of the statement?

MS. WILDEVELD: No, I don't need a copy of
the statement.
BY Ms. HILDEvEld:
Q. Okay. Detective, you did an interview with Deangelo Carroll, correct?
A. I did a four-hour interview. A large portion of it was myself, and some portion of it was

\section*{two other detectives.}
Q. And during that interview, he told you numerous stories, correct?
A. Yes. He changed his story and told me numerous stories.
Q. And at one point, he had Mr. Counts coming along to buy weed, correct?
A. I believe that at some part of the interview that was mentioned.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, I would just state
for the record that I was very careful on behalf of Miss Espindola to stay away from the actual context of what was in the statements. I did say, you know, without telling me what he said, did he change his story?

I am concerned that the State will then argue based on my co-defendant's attorney's questions that they will say that somehow they can bring in the whole statement, which they can't because it would be hearsay. They would have to put him on the witness stand.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And we waive no hearsay objections. And I also discussed his impressions as to his credibility. We didn't get into questions of actual what he did, where he went, because we don't
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\section*{blaming these people.}

So if the Court wants to read it, 1 actually think maybe it's a good idea.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I have a video camera. I
intend to play it on the videotape for the Court. I'll play the whole darn videotape.

MR. ORAM; And we're going to call Deangeto Carroll. We're going to have to put this guy on the witness stand.

THE COURT: Now everybody is agreeing, Mr. Draskovich?

MR, DRASKOVICH: No, I'm not agreeing, We have made several objections concerning independent corroboration of what the Slate has alleged as a co-conspirator. We have yet to find any independent corroboration of these statements. That's required under NRS 52.035.

MS. WILDEVELD: And, your Honor, that's all l'm getting at is trying to find some corroboration here.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, hold on a second. Corroborating who? Have I offered a statement of Deangelo Carroll?

MS. WILDEVELD: Right.
MR. DRASKOVICH: Oh, he has. We heard .
MLARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 455.3057
want to waive any hearsay objections that we had addressed previously before your Honor.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, that's an interesting story to have now, but they specifically addressed questions concerning the content of the statement. I intend, when I stand up, to offer that statement so the Court can review the context of the questions that they are asking.

They specifically said when I played those wires that you can't just put a portion of a statement in and say hey, that's not the whole thing because you got to understand the context in which we're talking.

If they want to talk about he provided
four stories, the Court needs to know the four stories that he was providing in order to make an assessment as to the strength of their cross-examination. I'm certainly going to offer the whole thing.

MR. ORAM: Do you know what, Judge, I'm not so sure that I don't agree with Mr. DiGiacomo. The Court - this is the most entertaining 128 pages of changed testimony, of him admitting he's a liar, having detectives turn off tapes and having to talk to him and giving him a chance to change his story. And it takes him about 60, 70 pages before detectives, after turning off the tape, finally discover that I need to start
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MS. WILDEVELD: Other than Deangele
Carroll -
MR. DIGIACOMO: What about Ronta Zone,
does he not testify, and he was not a co-conspirator?
MR. ORAM: He didn't mention my client.
MR. DRASKOVICH: He had mentioned my
client, but that was it. We had made -
MR. DIGIACOMO: He mentioned both your
clients.
MR. DRASKOVICH: We had made several
objections. Your Honor was going to reserve ruling
until your Honor heard subsequent evidence because
under the rule it's required that for a co-conspirator
statement to come in there must be independent
corfoboration.
MR. DIGIACOMO; There has to be evidence
of a conspiracy independent of the statements
themselves. The fact that Deangelo - I mean, the fact
that Ronta Zone testified to three people involved in
the conspiracy, and then Deangelo Carroll and the whole
truck going to and fro, and oh, by the way, there is a
wire with two people who clearly aren't Jayson Taoipu
or Kenneth Counts on it.
You have listened to both those wires. I
think everybody can agree they weren't in Simone's Auto

Body Shop on \(5 / 23\) or \(5 / 24\). Are they saying that there is not independent evidence that there is more than one person involved in the killing of Timothy Hadland?

MR. DRASKOVICH: That's a misapplication of the law. I mean, are we arguing now, or are we objecting?

MR. ORAM: It seems like we are going far afield.

THE COURT: I think maybe we should save this for argument, but I was going to say I reserved and it's still reserved.

MR. ORAM: So is the State saying that they are actually going to bring in a whole video of Mr . Carroll and play it?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes.
MR. DRASKOVICH: I'd object to that.
MS. WILDEVELD: Me too.
MR. DRASKOVICH: That's why we stood up and made this objection because I very carefully didn't get into the context of the statement.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, I don't think it becomes relevant until I offer it, but I mean, you can let her finish her question.

MS. WILDEVELD: I'll withdraw my question.
THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
MARCLA HLARNESS, CCR 204 4SJ.JOH7

291
Madam Clerk could tell me the statement that I had marked.

THE CLERK: 74.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, itm going to offer statement 74.

Mr. Oram specifically stood up there with the statement and thumbed through it and said he went three-quarters of the way before he finally told you the story concerning my client.

And then Mr. Draskovich got up and, once again, asked him aboul the number of stories that he told during the course of the interview.

And then I know that she withdrew her questions, but she elicited questions conceming KC going to buy weed or marijuana from Timothy Hadland.

All three of them have addressed this slatement. I never once addressed the contents of that statement, Judge. They are trying to utilize the statement to attack his credibility without allowing you to actually see the statement, and that's not appropriate, Judge.

They opened the door to this. It should come in. I offer the statement.

MR. ORAM: Judge, I think it's interesting that they are really getting away with all sorts of

ALARCLA HARNESS, CCR 20-4 \(455-3047\)
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gy Ms, HILDEvELD;
Q. From Mr. Counts' house, you recovered
certain things, correct?

```
    A. Yes.
    Q. And one of those things was a gun?
    A. No.
    Q. You did not recover a gun from Mr. Counts'
house?
A. I did not recover a gun from Mr. Counts' house.
Q. Did you recover a gun from where he was
arrested?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you do lesting on that gun?
A. Yes.
Q. And was that gun found not to be the
murder weapon?
A. Unofficially, I mean, I know it's not. The reports aren't in though.
Q. Right. I'm asking you.
A. It's not. It's not the murder weapon,
Q. Okay.

MS. WILDEVELD: Thank you very much. I
have nothing further.
MR. DIGIACOMO; I've had it marked, if
ALARCLA LARNESS, CCR 204 481.9047
stuff. They got away with playing their \(C D\), and they did it so that we couldn't - the whole problem's come in we can't cross-examine that man. That's the whole problem. I don't need to ask those questions of a detective if that guy gets up on the witness stand.

They get everything their own way. Put on the CDs, handcuff them so I have nobody to ask questions of, I can't say hey, Mr, Carroll, you're a liar. So I have to do it through the detective who freely admits yeah, the guy is a liar, you know. And all of a sudden now, we've opened the door -

THE COURT: What I don't understand is, you know, Mr. Carroll has waived. He's not part of these proceedings. Why did you guys want to talk to the detective about Mr. Carroll and his interview with him?

MS, WILDEVELD: Well, they played his video. They played his audio.

THE COURT: Yeah, but I already said I wasn't going to consider any of his statements.

MR. ORAM: Well, it goes to his motive to lie. It goes to his motive to want to cover up. It goes to his motive to want to blame other people for the crime. It goes to his motive to change things around so that he would deceive the police to the point

MARCTA HARNFSS, CCR 204 4JJ-3047

Where it would be nothing for him to go in there and play with the microphone and do things so that we can't heat exactly what's being said.

Take the microphone off wherever it may be and put it away for 20 minutes so you can't hear them saying "What are you talking about?" Or cover it up at a time when Anabel is clearing saying "I only told you to talk to the guy, not Fing hurt him." And this is what causes me concern.

And we tried to stay away from - I never once asked him a specific question from in here. There is a lot - I had taken a tremendous amount of notes to prepare for, and I never once said on page 55 he says this or says that. I never went into what the context of the stories are.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Nor did I, your Honor. And my primary concern with that is there is a great deal of objections concerning the previous witness, Ronta Zone. And he went on and on about what Deangelo Carroll had said. At one point he'd admitted that everything he knew came from Deangelo Carroll.

THE COURT: Well now, he was in the van,
MR. DIGIACOMO: He witnessed a heck of a
lot.
MR. DRASKOVICH: In reference to third
AARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204455.3047
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statements about during this interview numerous stories were told. They have referenced that, so we then get to follow it up and put it in the context that they've been asking for.

THE COURT: Basically, what it's being offered for is for impeachment.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, they've offered it as impeachment. You have to actually see the statement to determine what --

THE COURT: Whether it's impeachment aside, it's not evidence for the truth of the matter asserted, so it's not going to go to the real issue that we're here about anyway. So l'm going to admit it, but I'm not going to look at it. I'm not going to read it because I don't have time to do that.

Il will be part of the record, but so the record is also clear, I am not going to read it. So my decision will not be based upon what's in it.

MR. DIGIACOMO; Do you have a time period for how long this is going to go? Are we going to go until we finish because I have at least two more witnesses?

THE COURT: And two more witnesses will b\& how long?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, it all depends on
party's, third-party's involvement, he had testified that he had to rely upon what -- and I don't know why we're making this. I mean, this is more of a closing than anything else. I didn't open any doors. I discussed his credibility and whether or not --

THE COURT: You didn't open doors. I got it.

MS, WILDEVELD: Right. My question was withdrawn.

THE COURT: Anything else you want to say?
MR. PESCI: Judge, I'm just going to say that right now as I'm speaking this wonderful lady here is taking everything down. It's being transcribed immediately. Sometimes we don't have that benefil.

I'm sure if you go back, there's a quick
find to three-quarters, because three-quarters is specifically what Mr. Oram said as he advanced on the witness with the statement in his hand and thumbed through, indicating after he had attacked his credibility, the very thing he says he can't do, after he attacked it with the detective, and then says it's not until three-quarters of the way through that he gets to this story. So I think they have been specific about that.

Mr. Draskovich also said specific
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 4 5.3047
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defense counsel questioning, but l imagine -- they are both officers, but they need to go through the search at Simone's, the search of Room 6 at Simone's, the surveillance on there to establish that Little Lu and Anabel are in Simone's during the wire. It's lengthy testimony.

MR. ORAM: Well, perhaps we can have an offer of proof as to what they would get out. Maybe we could make some stipulations if this is something that we could all agree. If they are simply going to say, we got from Simone's earphones, and we could look at it and say yeah, okay, you got it. Maybe we could do it that way just to speed the process along.

MR. DIGIACOMO, I have the pictures.
THE COURT: Before we do that, let's finish with our witness here, and then I am willing,阴 have to talk to my staff, but l'm willing to go until we're done if it's reasonable, like not past bedtime.

MR. DIGIACOMO: It's already past my bedtime, Let's finish.

THE COURT: So let's finish up with Detective McGrath.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, Judge, I have no lurther questions for Detective McGrath.
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\section*{ones.}

MR. DIGIACOMO: Those are the bad ones, and this is the good one.

THE WITNESS: I'm not involved if it's good or bad anymore.

MR. PESCI: Judge, the State calls Detective Bob Rogers.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, let's just -- if you want to enter into a stipulation.

THE COURT: Do you want to take five minutes to talk about what the -- that way we do not have to put it on the record.
(A brief recess was taken.!
THE COURT: All right. Where are we at?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I believe the
defense is going to stipulate to the testimony of Detective Bob Rogers. I will put that stipulation on the record, and then if there is anything I say that is wrong, I will allow them to correct me.

Detective Rogers will testify that he is a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department homicide division.

That after Little Lu, Anabel and Mr. H
left Simone's Auto Plaza that he, along with other members of his team, executed a search warrant on
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> Simone's Aulo Plaza down on 6770 Bermuda.
> That when he did so, him and other officers cleared the individuals that were out in the working bays of the office area of the plaza, and then brought them inside and identified those individuals.
> That when he walked into the office area, there was a receptionist there. And the only other person there inside the office area was an individual, which he identified as Luis Hidalgo, Sr., who I believe has been in the courtroom all day during the testimony here, who turns out to be the father or grandfather of this particular defendant.

That, thereafter, he went back down a long hallway in the office area to Room Number 6. That Room Number 6 appeared to be a bedroom. He would authenticate several pictures, and that during that time period he searched Room Number 6, and inside Room Number 6 he found a variety of pieces of evidence, which were relevant to his investigation, that being a number of identifications in the name of Little Lu or Luis Hidalgo, III, including his original birth certificate or a certified copy thereof, his originat Social Security card was in that room, checkbooks in the name of Luis Hidalgo, III, were in that room and other paperwork clearly establishing that was Little --

Luis Hidalgo, III, room.
In addition, he found a bundle of U.S.
savings bonds inside that room, each and every one of which were in the name of Luis Hidalgo, III, as well as down on the floor to the right side, he found order forms for more U.S. savings bonds.

And I believe the other parts of his testimony he would object to as not being relevant since Deangelo didn't testify; is that correct?

MR. DRASKOVICH: That is correct. And, obviously, we're not going to be stipulating that this was Luis Hidalgo, III, room, but that these items were found within this particular room.
\(\mathrm{So}_{\text {, obviously, we would not stipulate to }}\) the conclusions that the State wishes to draw, but merely that these particular items were found in this particular room.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Then I would slate one other thing, and that is that the room looks very lived in, and it appeared to be -- oh, I'm sorry, there was one other thing, that he found numerous bottles of liquor throughout the room.

MR, DRASKOVICH: Yes, and we're not going to be stipulating as to who those belonged to or not, but yes, that various bottles of liquor were found
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within this particular room,
THE COURT: And then the photos, are we slipulating to those?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Judge, I didn't pull
them all out. It's photos 51,52 - 1 messed up my order, Jurdge - 53, 54,55,56,57,58, 59 and 60, Judge.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And just so we're clear, this stipulation, obviously, applies for the purposes of preliminary hearing only. I don't think the State has any problem with that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's correct, Judge,
THE COURT: Okay. They will be admitted.
MR. DIGIACOMO: As to all defendants?
MR. PESCI: We just want to hear from
Mr. Oram and Ms. Wildeveld as far as whether they are stipulating to the same facts, your Honor.

MR. ORAM: Yes, your Honor.
MS. WILDEVELD: Yes, your Honor, for purposes of preliminary hearing only.

MR. PESCI: Thank you.
ithereupon. Stave's Exhibits Sl chrough
60 were admitced into evianmice. I
MR. DIGIACOMO: If you could grab
Detective Marty Wildemann.
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A. Three-and-a-half years.
Q. I'm going to direct your attention back to

May 19th of the year 2005. Did you become involved in the investigation of Timothy Hadland?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Withoul going into it, did you go to the scene?
A. Yes.
Q. And then eventually pursued the investigation with the other members of your squad?
A. Yes, I did
Q. I want to direct your attention to the evening of \(5 / 21\) into the early morning hours of \(5 / 22\) out at 1676 E Street. Were you present during the execution of the search warrant at 1676 E Street?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Okay. During the course and time you were outside at 1676 E Street, did you receive a phone call from anybody?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Who did you receive that phone call from?
A. Deangelo Carroll.
Q. Where was Deangelo Carroll when he made that phone call to you?
A. He was inside his mother's house across MIRCA HARNEIS, CCR \(204+45 \cdot 3047\)

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
Do you swear the statements that you are
about to make are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: I need you to state your name
for the record and spell your name, please.
THE WITNESS: Marty Wildemann, W-I-L-D-E-M-A-N-N.

MARTY WILDEMANN,
having been first duly sworn, did cestify as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Good afternoon, Detective. How are you employed?
A. With the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
Q. I guess I should say good evening.
A. Yeah, almost.
Q. How long have you been so employed?
A. Seventeen-and-a-half years.
Q. And what is your current assignment?
A. With homicide.
Q. How long have you been with them?
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A. He was very short of breath. He was very hot, very sweaty. He was very dirty from being in insulation and had a hard time speaking.
Q. During the course, did you eventually drive him down to the homicide office?
A. Yes, I did, with Detective Vaccaro.
Q. During the time that he's being driven down to the homicide office, did you have chitchat or conversations related to his health, those kinds of things?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did you ask him any questions concerning the case at all during that drive?
A. No, we did not.
Q. Eventually, did you conduct an interview with Mr Carroll?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Counts?
A. Mr. Counts, yes.
Q. And during the course of this interview, did he speak for a short period of time before he eventually terminated the interview?
A. Very short.
Q. I want to direct your attention forward to \(5 / 23\). Were you an individual what's known as, one of MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 45 3047
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MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect
identification of Luis Hidalgo, III?
THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you,
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Now, had you seen Anabel Espindola; is that correct?
A. Today.
Q. Well, have you seen her previously?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognize her here in coutt today?
A. Yes $I$ do.
Q. Can you point her out and describe
something she's wearing?
A. Blue jail attire, four seats, first row. MR. DIGIACOMO: May the record reflect the identification of Anabel Espindola?
THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. What cars did you see at Simone's Auto Plaza before Deangelo entered into the plaza with the body wire or the body recorder?
A. There is a number of cars. I saw the black Hummer H 2 vehicle with the Nevada personalized plates. I saw a -- l'm not going to know the make of

```
the individuals known as the "eye" on Simone's Auto Plaza at 6770 Bermuda?
A. I was one of the detectives there, yes.
Q. What does it mean to be the "eye?"
A. It just means that we have a clear view of the business and are able to see what's happening there.
Q. Prior to Deangelo Carroll entering Simone's Auto Plaza with the body wire, did you have any information or were able to confirm whether or not either Luis Hidalgo, IIt, or Anabel Espindola was inside the Simone's?
A. Which date are you talking aboul?
Q. The first day, \(5 / 23\).
A. No, we didn't have information regarding that. We saw vehicles that were there.
Q. What vehicles did you -- first of all, have you now seen Luis Hidalgo, Ill.
A. Yes.
Q. And do you see him in court today?
A. Yes, Ido.
Q. Can you point him out and describe
something he's wearing?
A. Wearing blue jail attire, middle seat in the first row.
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this vehicle, but it's that new Chevy struck. If's the SS, the very small two-seater truck. That was parked along the side of the vehicle to the north.

So I saw a number of vehicles that we
believed that Anabel might be driving, a brown van.
MR. ORAM: Objection as to "we" believe.
First of all, it's "we." Second of all, it's pure speculation. He said we believed could be driving.
by mr. jiglacono:
Q. Did you ever see a vehicle there that Anabel was driving?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what vehicle was that?
A. The black H 2 .
Q. Okay. After Deangelo entered into Simone's Auto Plaza, eventually he leaves Simone's Auto Plaza; is that correct?

MR. DRASKOVICH: Object, this assumes facts not in evidence. He said that he didn't see them specifically go in. He just saw vehicles parked outside. So that mischaracterizes his prior testimony.

MR, DIGIACOMO: Didn't I say Deangelo? After Deangelo went in, he saw Deangelo come out. That doesn't mischaracterize his prior testimony.

THE COURT: Well, he asked him about
whether he knew who was already in there, and he said he didn't know who, just the vehicle.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Just so that's clear.
Thank you.
ay mr, digiacomo:
Q. Eventually, Deangelo went in, and eventually Deangelo left; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. After Deangelo left, did you continue surveillance on 6770, the Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes.
Q. Eventuaily, did you see an individual that you now know as Anabel Espindola leave?
A. Yes.
Q. And what vehicle did she leave in?
A. The black H 2 Hummer.
Q. Did you remain on site? Well, how is it that you were able to see her?
A. I was parked in a location across the street where I could see the front door and see the vehicle.
Q. Was her driving away in this vehicle documented in any manner?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. How was that?
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\section*{now. He was with a group of people. They split up.} One went into, I believe, it was a green SUV. And that might have been the vehicle that he got into. I can't recall that exact vehicle at that time, but I know --
Q. But needless to say, he left in a vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to jump ahead. On May 24th of 2005, were you also in a position called quote/unquote the "eye" on Simone's Auto Plaza during the body wire or the body recorder incident where Deangelo was sent in a second time?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you, in fact, watch Deangelo enter?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to him entering, were you able to confirm whether or not any of the suspects were on the scene at that particular time?
A. I did not see them personally, but another detective relayed to me that they were in there.
Q. How did that work?
A. Surveillance was set up earlier by the CAT detectives. And when I arrived out there, I was informed that Anabel and Mr. H. Hidalgo، Jr., had arrived in the pewter \(\mathrm{H}_{2}\) Hummer, another vehicle that
A. Several of the detectives out there took notes and a brief synopsis was written up, and it's being authored into another report.
Q. Was there photographs I guess is my question?
A. I believe that some of the CAT detectives did have their camera and were taking photos. I have never seen those photos, but they were saying that they were laking photos.
Q. CAT detectives are -- what does CAT stand for?
A. I'm sorry. Criminal Apprehension Team, and that's a group of detectives that helped us with our surveillance,
Q. Okay. Did you remain on - after Anabel left inside the black H 2 , did you remain on the scene to see if Luis Hidalgo, III, would leave?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he eventually leave or not?
A. He did eventually leave, yes.
Q. You saw him leave Simone's Auto Plaza on May 23rd?
A. Yes.
Q. What kind of vehicle was he driving?
A. I can't be specific on that vehicle right
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is driven by them, and had walked into the business.
The black H2 Hummer was alseady there, was already
parked there when they arrived on their surveillance.
Q. Based on the black H2 Hummer arriving there, what conclusions did you draw?
A. We believed from all the information that we had collected that Luis, III, was already in there.
Q. All right. Did you continue surveillance until Deangelo Carroll left?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did you remain on site until at least one

``` of the suspects left on the scene?
A. Yes, 1 did.
Q. Who was the first suspect?
A. The first suspect was Luis Hidalgo, III, exited the business, got into the black H 2 . We did a surveillance on him taking him out of the area and orchestrated a traffic stop with a patrol unit in the area of Patrick and Pecos.
Q. Thereafter, did you resume your position on the eye on Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you wait for any other suspects to leave Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes. Shortly after arriving back there, AAARCLA HLARNESS, CCR 204 \(458.30+7\)
```

Anabel and Hidalgo, Jr., Hidalgo, Jr., excuse me,
exited the business and entered the pewter H2 and
drove, left the area. We surveilled all the way to
Carson and Sixth Street where I had a vehicle stop done
and contacted them there.
MR. DIGIACOMO: May l approach, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. After interviews take place, do you
eventually make it back to Simone's Auto Plaza during
the course of the search warrant that's being executed
there?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Now, by the time you got there, had Bob Rogers and the crime scene analysts already searched Room 6 in the back?
A. Yes.
Q. However, did you see other evidence and find other evidence during the course of the search?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you what's been marked as State's
Proposed 39 through 50. If you could flip through those.
MR. DRASKOVICH: ifI can approach just briefly?

```
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say was a gaming area that has a bulletproof vest on it. And in the background, there is three chairs. One of the chairs has an Outdoors magazine on it and on top of that magazine is a note.
Q. Showing you 47, can you read to the Court what is stated on that note?
A. It's tom in half, and it says, "Maybe we are being under surveil. Keep your mouth shut," And two exclamation points.
Q. Eventually, did you become involved in the search that was conducted in the front office area of Simone's Auto Plaza?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you State's Exhibit Number 41, do you recognize what's depicted there?
A. That's the lobby/waiting room for the Aulo Plaza and the doors into the main offices.
Q. And that room right there is where the TV is with the couches?
A. Yes.
Q. And to the right there, or if you are facing it, there is two offices, one to the right and one to the left?
A. Right.
Q. Did you determine during the course of

THE COURT: Okay.
Ey hr. digiacoho:
Q. Do those failly and accurately depict Simone's Auto Plaza when you were there during the search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm not going to go through all of them, but let me back up slightly. Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Oh, I guess 1 should move
to admit, Judge. Did I move to admit?
THE COURT: No.
MR, DIGIACOMO; F'll move to admit them.
MR. ORAM: No objection.
MR. DRASKOVICH: No objection.
MS. WILDEVELD: No objection.
THE COURT: They will be admitted.
(Thereupon, State's Exhibits 39 through
so were admicted inta evidence. I
By Mr. DIGIACOMO:
Q. State's Exhibit 44, do you recognize what's depicted in that pholograph?
A. Yes, Ido.
Q. What is that?
A. Well, it's a pool table in what I would
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your investigation who owned or who occupied the office on the far right all the way to the front of the building?

MR. DRASKOVICH: Object, calls for speculation.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, I'll ask him this question. By mi. digiacoro:
Q. What did you do to confirm who stayed in that particular or who utilized that particular office?

MR, DRASKOVICH: I would have to object as to that. He could testify as to what he found, but as to who lived there or anything like that, it calls for speculation, unless he saw someone going to bed, getting up, living there.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If's not lived. I'm sorry. This is the office. This is the front office area. I'm not asking him about the bedroom in the back.

MR, DRASKOVICH: Okay.
MR. DIGIACOMO: If I said lived, I
apologize.
MR. DRASKOVICH: I thought 1 heard lived.
I don't know, maybe --
THE COURT: You didn't.
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 \$JS-3047
by mr. dtgiacomo:
Q. The front right office, whose office did you find evidence of whose office that was?
A. Deteclive Harvey searched that office, walked in there and there was evidence that Luis Hidalgo, Jr., used that office.
Q. Mr. H ?
A. \(\mathrm{Mr}, \mathrm{H}\).
Q. Now, what about the office to the left with the glass windows? Did you conduct a search of that particular office?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you able to identify at least one of the occupants of that office?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was that?
A. Anabel Espindola.
Q. Showing you Number 42, do you recognize What's depicted in that photograph?
A. Yes, I do. That's the second office that we spoke of
Q. During the course of the search of that office, did you find what's depicted in State's Exhibit Number 43?
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Q. Twenty-four hours?
A. Yes.
Q. During the search of Simone's Auto Plaza, did you locate a white Chevy Astro van?
A. Yes.
Q. And had you previously been identfied -had this van previously been identified by other witnesses?
A. Yes.
Q. Ore of those witnesses was Ronta Zone?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you State's Exhibit Number 61 to

64, do you recognize what's depicted in those photographs?
A. Yes, Ido.
Q. What is that?
A. It is the - 1 believe it's the 1995 white

Chevy Astro van with Nevada plates 363-NKS,
Q. And on that van, are there four non whitewall tires?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the van, how many sliding doors does it have?
A. One on the passenger side.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I move to admit
MARCLA HARNESS, GCR 204 4U1-30+7

\section*{them.}

MR. ORAM: We have no objection to the admission.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Nor do 1.
MS. WILDEVELD: Nor do I.
THE COURT: They will be admitted.
THE CLERK: What numbers?
MR. DIGIACOMO: 61 through 64.
(Thereupon. State's Exhibits 61 through
64 were admitted into evidence.)
by me. digiacomo;
Q. Now, you were present - let me back up a little bit - you were present outside during the execution of the search warrant at 1677 E Street in which Mr . Counts was eventually taken into custody, correct?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And you eventually learned the circumstances under which he was taken inlo custody; correct?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you learn from being outside the residence and listening to the communications through the SWAT officers that Mr. Counts actually received several Tases of a Taser or shocks of some sort?
```

A. Yes.
Q. Did you also leam that he was cut out of an attic?
A. Yes.
Q. Eventually, did you have an opportunity to listen to phone calls from the jail which involved Mr. Counts?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you sit and listen to all eight
phone calls that were provided to you?
A. Yes,
Q. Did you also see a document from the jail called the call detail, which delineates where the call is from or who the call is to, from what part of the jail, the time that it's done, date and time that it's done?
A. Yes.
MS. WILDEVELD: I don'I have that piece of
paper.
MR. DIGIACOMO: It's on the CD we gave
you. You can look at mine. That's the only copy I
have right now. I can print out another one.
gy mr. digiaceno:
Q. Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit Number 67, does that appear to be a
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course of that conversation from basically KC on the top to KC where he says okay, okay.
A. KC : They was nice and comfy? Irene: Yes.
KC : Everything?
Irene: Uh-huh or huh-huh (affirmative), I
thought I was. They was gonna be really llat.
KC: Uh-huh.
Irene: But both my pillows and everything
was still in there.
KC: Okay, okay, so that mean you cool?
Irene: Uh-huh.*
KC -. I'm sorry, and that was where you
wanted me to stop. I'm sorry.
Q. Well, you can finish KC 's line there.
A. "Okay, all right, I'm glad, I'm glad that."
Q. Moving on through the conversations, Detective, if you could turn to your page -- well, iet me ask you this.

During the time period that you talked to Kenneth Counts, the entire time period from the time you drove him down to the homicide office to the very short interview that you had with him, did you ever provide him the names of any of the people -- do you

\section*{transcript of all eight phone calls?}
A. Yes.
Q. Now, the very first phone call, did you
recognize Mr. Counts' voice on that phone call?
A. Yes.
Q. And in there he describes the
circumstances of his arrest?
A. On the first phone call?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. And it appeared to be consistent with the information that you had concerning his arrest?
A. Yes.
Q. Ask you just a few questions concerning there has been a lot of talk here that's probably not completely -- on page 7, Detective, if you can turn to page 7. And I apologize, counsel. You have to look over my shoulder because your numbering is slightly different.
A. Okay,
Q. On page 7 at the top, do you hear Mr . Counts have a conversation with his wife Irene?
A. Yes.
Q. And during the course of that conversation, if you could just read to the Court the MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 2044 4J-3047

\section*{324}
ever provide him the names of any of the people that were involved in this particular case?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Okay. What information did you provide him in order to attempt to elicit information from him?
A. We said that, we told him what he was being charged with. We said that we would like to hear his side of the story, and we said that we had three guys that are telling us a whole different story.
Q. Okay. You never identified to him who those three guys were, correct?
A. No.
Q. Go down to Page 19, if you could.
A. Okay.
Q. Starting at \(K C\), four lines up from the bottom, if you can read that through page 21, line 5.
A. Okay. So four lines up from the bottom, KC ?
Q. Yes. It says why would.
A. Why would they - KC -- excuse me.
"KC: Why would they do thal? They mess your drugs up?

Irene: No, but they just went in there and left all that stuff sitting in the sink.

KC: That's it?
MGRCLA HARNESS, CCR 204. JJF-3047
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Irene: Uh-huh.
KC: That's all they want?
Irene: Huh?
KC: That's all they want?
Irene: No, they want (inaudible) white one and just dumped all that stuff out that cart and didn't put it back.
KC: Oh.
Irene: But, other than that, you know, everything is okay (inaudible).
KC: What'd you say?
Irene: I said, other than that, you know,
everything is (inaudible) in the halls.
KC: Right, but you got that to go with
what I gave you.
Irene: Huh?
KC: I said, but you got that to go with
what I gave you.
Irene: Uh-huh or huh-huh (negative), my
purse.
KC. If's in your purse?
Irene: Wh-huh.
KC: Everything?
Irene: Huh-huh (negative)."
Keep going? I'm sorry.
MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204455.3047

```
Q. Keep going until line 5 of the next page.
A. "KC: Oh, you got (inaudible).

Irene: (Inaudible) Yep, I had to have my
cool.
KC: Okay.
Irene: 'Cause I don't even feel
comfortable. I have to go and to send Ashley over there to get my pillow. They went in and while my daddy and got it.

KC. (Inaudible).
Irene: Huh?
KC: You know what I'm trying to ask you.
right?
Irene: Uh-huh (afirmative).
KC: So (inaudible) you straight?
Irene: Un-huh.
KC: Okay,"
Q. Flip forward to page 23 and read from the last two lines from Irene down to when Irene says my daddy stayed over there on page 24.
A. Okay. The last two lines Irene.
"Irene: Somebody got killed on
(inaudible) last night. Shot in the head. \(\mathrm{Mm}, \mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{mm}\),
KC: Hey, uhm.
Irene: Huh?
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\section*{328}
A. Yes,
Q. What type is that?
A. It's a small, it's a small white vehicle, and I don't know the make off the top of my head.
Q. Okay. Now, house arrest, did you know through your investigation that Deangelo Carroll was placed on house arrest for his probation that he was currentily on at the time of the crime? Irene: Uh-huh, yep, Already know that. KC: Huh?
Irene: I already know that.
KC: Uh-huh."
Q. Okay. You can stop there.
Now, did you know the type of vehicle that
Deangelo Carroll personally drove?
```

```
KC: Ah, ah, house arrest boy.
```

KC: Ah, ah, house arrest boy.
Irene: Uh-huh (affirmafive).
Irene: Uh-huh (affirmafive).
KC: You seen him?
KC: You seen him?
Irene: Huh-huh (negative).
Irene: Huh-huh (negative).
KC: Seen his car?
KC: Seen his car?
Irene: That little white one?
Irene: That little white one?
KC: Uh-huh (affirmative).
KC: Uh-huh (affirmative).
Irene: I think it stayed in the driveway.
Irene: I think it stayed in the driveway.
KC: Might need -- pause -- might need see
KC: Might need -- pause -- might need see
what's going on with that, or you know what l'm saying?
what's going on with that, or you know what l'm saying?
Irene: Uh-huh, yep, Already know that.
Irene: Uh-huh, yep, Already know that.
KC: Huh?
KC: Huh?
Irene: I already know that.
Irene: I already know that.
KC: Uh-huh."
KC: Uh-huh."
Q. Okay. You can stop there.
Q. Okay. You can stop there.
Now, did you know the type of vehicle that
Now, did you know the type of vehicle that
Deangelo Carroll personally drove?

```
Deangelo Carroll personally drove?
```


numbers.
So that's why she's having confusion here because my page numbers, which she's free to use when we're done, they are highlighted as to exactly which one we're using to cross-examine him.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Page 38, Detective, and I want you to start at lrene when she's -- it's the fourth line down, it says lrene, and she goes and conspiracy to commit murder. And then what I want you to do is go all the way through page 40 , and I will stop you on page 40 when I think it's appropriate,
A. Okay.
"Irene: And conspiracy to commit murder with a deadly weapon. How they going to say it's conspiracy? Is that because they don't have a weapon?

KC: I guess. They don't have nothing. All they got is they said, they said they have three people saying I did this.

Irene: Yeah.
KC: You know, did you see the boy?
Irene: $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$, number disconnected.
KC: What number?
Irene: The one 355.
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supposedly telling on me I was supposed. Irene: You was supposed to what?
KC: They told ah, ah, what did the three dudes I was with in the van with said that I did it. Irene: Said that you did, said that you did it?

KC: Yeah. And I'm saying to myself if it
was a possibility that I was in the van with these
three dudes, and something like that happened.
Irene: Yeah.
KC: Why they ain't in jail too.
Irene: Right.
KC: Or are they? I don't know. Irene: That don't make no sense. No, I don't think so.

KC: You know?
Irene: They was down there for a
questioning the other day, three (inaudible). After
thal, you know, they were gone.
KC: Ah, that's what they say they was
down there for questioning?
Irene: Yeah.
KC. Huh. And what made them have to go down there for questioning?

Irene: I don't know. I don't know.
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```
KC: For real?
Irene: Yeah.
KC: Okay, uhm, you need to call, call
this number.
Irene: Uh-huh.
KC: 642-0893.
Irene: Okay, that's it?
KC. I mind, 'cause all I really want you to find out -
Irene: Uh-huh.
- is you know what l'm saying." KC said that. Excuse me.
"Irene: Yeah. When they took you and they said that you were under arresl, did they tell you you were under arrest for supposedly murdering?
KC: No.
Irene: They didn't tell you that?
KC: No.
Irene: Oh.
KC: And they didn't tell you" - excuse
me.
"KC: And they didn't tell me who the three dudes was that was supposedly telling on me.
Irene: Uh-huh.
KC: And then the three dudes that they
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    rene: Yeah.
    KC: Okay, uhm, you need to call, call
Irene; Uh-huh.
    KC: 642-0893.
    KC: I mind, 'cause all I really want you
Irene: Uh-huh.
    is you know what l'm saying." KC said
KC: No.
    Irene: Oh.
    MC.And lhey didnitel your-excuse
"KC: And they didn't tell me who the
three dudes was that was supposedly telling on me.
    MARCLAHARNESS, CCR 2OY 45F.304%
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    check on me for when you call that number.
Irene: Uh-huh.
KC ; Or could you call this number?
Irene: Uh-huh.
KC: Which is, which is ah, next door,
Irene: Uh-huh.
KC: 633 .
Irene: Uh-huh (affirmative).
KC: 6170.
Irene: Okay.
KC: 'Cause ah Mom's.
Irene: Mom?
KC: (Inaudible).
Irene: Uh-huh. Okay. And the other one
is what?
KC: What?
Irene: Direct?
KC. Six, yeah.:
Q. I'm going to stop you there, Detective.
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Were you able to confirm the number 633-6170, who that
number went to?
A. That is Deangelo Carroll's mother.
Q. That's Deangelo Carroll's mother's house?
A. Yes.

Were you able to confirm the number 633-6170, who that number went to?
A. That is Deangelo Carroll's mother.
Q. That's Deangelo Carroll's mother's house?
A. Yes.

```
Q. You never lold Kenneth Counts that Deangelo Carroll was involved in this particular case?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever tell Kenneth Counts that, or Kenneth Counts' wife, that the three people had come down for questioning and were not booked into the jail?
A. No.
MR. DIGIACOMO: We can continue on, Judge.
à Mr. dicincoro;
Q. Sorry. You don't have to continue reading. Page 44. I'm going to start at lirene talking about "I don't know. They just said on the paper that they \(-{ }^{\prime \prime}\) That's about dead center.
A. I have it. Start there?
Q. Start there, and we can go right till she says I love you at the end of the call.
A. Okay.
"Irene. I don't know. They just said on the paper that they --
KC: 'Cause uhm is Dennis a jacket there?
Irene: Huh?
KC: Is Dennis jacket there?
Irene: That black hoody?
KC, Yeah.
Irene: No, I don't think so.
```
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```
with the very first thing KC says after that recording.
    A. Okay.
        "KC: Hello.
        Mom. Hey.
        KC: All right. I just wanted to know did
ah, my wife get that little information for me?
        Mom: Yeah.
        KC: Yeah?
        Mom: Yes.
        KC: Okay. Are you saying yeah, they did?
        Mom: Yeah, they did. No, we did, we do,
        KC; Oh, ya'll.
        Mom: Yeah, we do.
        KC: Oh, okay, that's good.
        Mom: All right.
        KC: So, hey, I, okay, yeah, thal's good."
    Q. Okay, Let me stop you. I will skip ahead
to page 49 in the middle.
    MS: WILDEVELD: Your Honor, I'm a little
confused. This means nothing, and I don't know where
we're going at here. Can we have a proffer as to what
we're talking about? I mean, I read this whole thing,
and I got a CSI two-hour episode out of it where they
are talking about the house arrests boy and shooting
someone, and we just keep going on. I don't know what
```

this is about.

MR. DIGIACOMO; Well, the fact that Deangelo Carroll happens to be on house arrest, happens to drive a little white car, and he happens to give his mother's phone number to contact to find out what's going on with his murder case when he should have absolutely no reason to know that Deangelo Carroll is involved in this murder case seems to be particulatly relevant to this.

As to the statements related to, and if you will let the Detective finish, the very last thing they ask him on page 49 is during the course of the search warrant, and if you want to read the whole thing, you can tell that that's what they are talking about.

Clearly, the officers missed some piece of evidence that Mr . Counts is concerned about and is saying, "Oh, good, we've got that, you know what to do with it." It's clearly a consciousness of guilt type of comments, Judge, and that's what's being offered.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Judge, I think we heard Ronta Zone testify that Mr. Counts and Mr. Deangelo Carroll were in the car together, so I object to this whole line of questioning. It's duplicative. It's redundant. And I move to strike.

MARCL HARNESS, CCR 204 43S.3077

MR. DIGIACOMO: In case some Court or this Court, and I'm sure they are going to be arguing that Ronta is an accomplice as a matter of law, and as such, then need corroboration to his testimony, I don't think that is even remotely possible, but I certainly need to put in all the corroboration I have. But one last section of quotes to put in, and then I'm actually done with the witness.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the last one in.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Fine. We'll argue at the end. I agree. BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. The middle of page 49 where it starts trene. Hello.
A. Hello. There is a couple, so Illl just go to the top one.
"Irene: Hello.
KC : Yeah.
Irene: Hello.
KC: Yeah.
trene: Yeah.
KC: So, you got the ah - -
Irene: Uh-huh.
KC : - burnt pants?
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transcript. He offered the -.
```

    MR. DIGIACOMO: I was going to offer the
    transcript next, so I guess we should offer the
transcript, too, just so that some Court doesn't have to sit down and listen to the wires if they want to dispute you. They'll have both the wire itself and the transcript.

As we all know, the transcript is not actual evidence. It is just, you know, the hearer listens to that and decides whether or not it was actually said. The transcript is just a help for the hearer,

MR. DRASKOVICH: I think I follow that. I mean, obviously, this is being admitted against Mr . Counts. There is nothing concerning the other codefendants in this. Obviously, the Court is going to consider this for whatever reason it's going to concern Mr. Counts alone. And I have no objection to it being admitted.

MS. WILDEVELD: I would have objections to just the parts being admitted because, again, they are taken so out of context. They are talking about a CSI finale episode that was two hours, and they get all this out of it. To me, it seems like a story here that - I read the whole thing and didn't get any of

Irene: Uh-huh.
KC: Okay. And uhm, so that means, uhm, okay, uhm, the ah, the ah bottoms that go with the burnt pants?

Irene: Yeah.
KC: They still in there?
Irene: Uh-huh (affirmative).
KC: Oh, okay.
Irene: Yep.
KC: Okay, ah, tell ah --
Irene: I already know what to do.
KC : All right.
Irene: Yeah."
Q. And you can stop there.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, 1 have marked the actual disk in evidence. If anybody ever needs it for the record, the actual speaking by Kenneth Counts on the eight phone calls, it's marked as State's Exhibit Number 75. 1 offer it.

THE COURT: Okay. Any objection?
MR. DRASKOVICH: This transcript with all the uh-huh negatives and uh-huh positives?

MS. WILDEVELD: I think uh-huh and huh-huh are spelled the same.

THE COURT: They didn't offer the
MARCLA FLARNESS, CCR 204 451-3047

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, that's why I offered the whole thing.

MS. WILDEVELD: So just not those parts are let in, the entire conversation is let in?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. DIGIACOMO: And what is - I'm sorry, Detective, what is that transcript marked as?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, say that -
MR. OIGIACOMO: The transcript, what was it marked as?

THE WITNESS: 67.
MR. DIGIACOMO: 67 too, Judge,
THE COURT: All right. What about
Mr. Draskovich saying against just Mr, Counts, or are you going to address that?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, it's an interesting argument. The fact that there is information to corroborate Ronta that Kenneth Counts is involved in this, should it ever become an issue, and I don't think it ever becomes an issue because it's a question of fact for a jury. And the jury will be instructed on whether or not they find him an accomplice or not.

But the fact that any corroboration of
Ronta, should you make a determination that he is an
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accomplice as a matter of law, which I'm assumning based upon the fact that he testified, and based upon his testimany, you can't find that way, but any corroboration of Ronta would be admissible to establish corroboration.

MR. ORAM: Against Mr. Counts. Not against --

MS. WILDEVELD: Can I have a chance to cross-examine this witness?

THE COURT: We're just doing the admission.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And, obviously, I'd appreciate his trying to lay some basis for seeking to have this admitted, but 1 think he was addressing the arguments that I had made and Mr. Oram had made concerning independent corroboration as co-conspirator statements, and that has nothing to do with the basis for my objections.

MR. ORAM: Under Hegelmeyer, the Nevada Supreme Court made it very clear you have to have independent evidence linking the defendant, in my case would be Miss Espindola, so I don't believe this has any relevance, so I have no objection to it.

MR. DRASKOVICH: Nor do I for the very same reason concerning Mr. Hidalgo.

MARCZA HARNESS, CCR 204 45F-3047

```
with Mr. Counts, did you come to leam that he actually has asthma?
```

A. Yes,
Q. And he suffers from very severe asthma?
A. I don't know that it was very severe, but ido know that he said he had astimma.
Q. So when he was huffing and puffing, and I think Mr. DiGiacomo made a point of saying that when he was arrested he was sweating and having a hard time breathing. Did Mr. Counts ask to go to the hospital?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Do you know now that he's been to the hospital a couple times since being incarcerated?
A. I had no idea. I know that Detective Vaccaro asked him if he needed a puffer, and I think that's the exact terminology that he used, and he said no.
Q. While he was at the detectives' office, didn't he -- wasn't he transported to the hospital at one point?
A. No.
Q. Were you with him the entire time?
A. Yes.
Q. You're also aware that he was shocked twice by a Taser gun?

[^1]THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination? MR. ORAM: Nothing. MR. DRASKOVICH: I have none.

```
            CROSS-EXAMINATION
```

BY MS. WILDEVELD:
Q. Detective Wildemann, you said that you arrested Mr. Counts at 1677 E Street?
A. I took custody of him. SWAT actually took him in to put the handcuffs on him, but yes, that's right.
Q. At that time, did you make a determination of whose house that was?
A. I had not, no.
Q. Do you now know whose house that was?
A. I don't know the name. I couldn't tel! you the name specifically.
Q. Did you know it was his relatives?
A. I got that from reading these phone calls.
Q. So they have relatives that live across the street from where Mr. Counts lives, correcl?
A. I couldn't tell you if they are blood relatives, but that's what I got out of the phone calls.
Q. During your ride to the police station
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A. I didn't know that it was two. I knew that it was more than once.
Q. And would that cause him also to be out of breath?
A. It could.
Q. And if he had asthima, it would only exacerbate that problem?
A. I'm not a medical doctor. I don't know. I know that we offered him anything that we could. Detective Vaccaro asked if he needed a puffer. He said no.
Q. So the first conversation thal we went through described the circumstances of his arrest, correct?
A. Say that again?
Q. First conversation with his wife that you went through so nicely with Mr. DiGiacomo described the circumstances of his arrest, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he was saying that he was shocked by a Taser?
A. Yes
Q. And there was nothing incriminating in that first phone conversation, was there?
A. In the whole conversation, I don't know. MARCLI HARNESS, CCR 209 4JJ90i?

I would have to read through it all again.
Q. In the part that you read, Detective? MR. DIGIACOMO: I didn't actually have him read that first part. I just wanted him to confirm, based on the voice and the fact that it's consistent with his arrest, that he knows that this is, in fact, KC on the phone or Defendant Counts on the phone. I don't think I actually had him read anything out of the first part. In fact, it might have been the entire first phone call that I didn't have him read anything out of.
时 Ms. wildeveld.
Q. Okay. The first conversation was starting with KC, "They was nice and comfy." "Yes, uh-huh، I. thought that they were going to be really llat"?
A. Can you tell me what page you're on?
Q. My pages are different. It's the first conversation that you had to read.
A. That's several pages, so give me a minute, I'll try to find it for you. All right?

Okay, that will be on page 7 at the top.
Q. Okay. "So they was nice and comfy?"

A, Yes.
Q. "Everything. Uh-huh. I thought I was. They was going to be really flat. Uh-huh. Both my
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A. I haven't today, no. MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.
ay Ms. WIldevelo:
Q. You signed your name to a return that was done, executed on 5/21/05 -.
A. Okay.
Q. - of Mr. Counts' house?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm showing you a return that's dated

5 - executed on $5 / 21 / 05$, and it was a copy of an inventory of Mr. Counts' house.
A. Okay.
Q. Can you tell me if there were drugs listed on that inventory?
A. No, there is not.
Q. And if you did a search of his house and you found drugs, and they were illegal drugs, would you have confiscated them?
A. Yes.
Q. So that conversation was not about
anything either, correct?
A. I don't know.

MS. WILDEVELD: I would ask the Court to
pillows and everything was still in there, KC, Okay, okay, so that mean you cool? Uh-huh. All right, I'm glad, I'm glad of that," Do you have any idea what they're talking about there?
A. I believe I do, yes.
Q. Could they be talking about a new mattress or a bed or sheets?
A. They could be.
Q. But you don't know, do you?
A. Ibelieve Ido.
Q. You believe you do, or do you actually know?
A. Ibelieve I do.
Q. I believe I do too. They're talking about a bed.
A. Okay.
Q. And in the next conversation, they were talking about mess up your drugs. Did you find drugs in Mr. Counts' house when you did your search?
A. I didn't know what that is talking about because I did not search that area that they are talking about.
Q. Have you had an opportunity to look at the retum from Mr. Counts' house?
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strike that conversation from the record. It seems that Mr. DiGiacomo is trying to get some other incriminating evidence against my client in by using these phone conversations with his wife of 15 years where they are talking about a house that's being torn up after a search warrant was executed and some drugs that were being found.

And now it seems that he's trying to say that Mr. Counts had drugs in the house, or I mean, he read a very short paragraph.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, the reason -- it wasn't a short paragraph, it was two pages. The reason I started putting in there why they mess up yout drugs, no, and they lefl all the stuff in the sink, is to confirm that they are talking still about the search warrant.

It's later in there where they start going through everything, and then she goes back to the pillow and needing to get the pillow because everything is cool, and they got your purse, and we know we gol some of the money out of the purse but not all of the money out of the purse. And that's the reason for that information.

MS. WILDEVELD: And, your Honor, this is all -
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what his opinion is. The Court can take it for what it's worth.

MS. WILDEVELD: This is not evidence. It's scant. There is nothing in this that can be used as evidence with any evidentiary value.

THE COURT: Okay. Which means it goes to the weight. So the tapes and/or the CDs and transcripts are admitted for whatever weight they have got.
BY MS WILDEVELD:
Q. And you don't know what the relationship - you don't know any relationship between Mr. Counts and Mr. Carroil, do you?
A. Mr. Carroll?
Q. Yes.
A. Any relationship?
Q. You don't know if they have a relationship at all, do you?
A. I know what Mr. Carroll fold me.
Q. You only know what Mr. Carroll told you, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Counts never spoke to you, did he?
A. No.

MARCLA HARNESS, CCR 204 455.3047

```
Mr. Carroll lives in with his wife and son, is it?
```

A. No.
Q. So it's not Mr. Carroll's house, is it?
A. If's his mother's house.
Q. Thank you.

MS. WILDEVELD: I have nothing else for
this witness, your Honor.
MR. DIGIACOMO: One question.

REDTBECT EXAMIMATION
by mr. digiacomo:
Q. What were they talking about when they are talking about the pillow and it being plaid?

MR. DRASKOVICH: Objection, speculation.
MR. DIGIACOMO: First of all, we already
decided it doesn't go agains! --
MS. WILDEVELD: Objection, speculation.
MR. DIGIACOMO: But you opened the door py
saying do you know what it is? And he says yes. And she won't ask the next question. What do you know? THE COURT: He said that he believes so. Let's see what he believes.
ay mr. diglacomo:
Q. What do you know they're talking about.
A. I belleve it to be the money.
Q. And Deangela didn't - Deangelo's house was not, in fact, across the street from Mc. Counts' house, was it?
A. The house that Mr. Counts is in is peculiar. I don't know if you've been down there.
Q. Just answer the question.
A. I'm trying to answer.
Q. Then strike the question. Does

Mr. Counts - strike the question. It's my tum now.
THE COURT: Let him finish answering,
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
THE WITNESS: The house is almost on a
corner. Instead of one street's going north, one street is going east/west, north/south. And instead of facing either north or east or south or west, the house faces actually northeast.

So when I described it to Mr. DiGiacomo, I said that it was actually to the east, slightly to the south. To me, it was across the street. BY MS. WILDEVELD:
Q. Okay, Does Mr. Counts - does

Mr. Carroll's mother live across the street from
Mr. Counts' house?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is not the apartment that
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Q. Did we ever find the rest of the money?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And later on during the subsequent conversation when she's talking about my pillows are still fluffy, and only some of it was in the purse, does that bring you back to why it is they are talking about the money?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

MS. WILDEVELD: Objection, your Honor, would like to strike that. Thal was leading, and in addition --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, objection to leading has to happen before the answer occurs, Judge.

THE COURT: I understand what you are saying, and it's his supposition as to what it is.

MS. WILDEVELD: I just have a brief question then.

THE COURT: All right.

REcross-EXamtwation
by hs. hildeveld:
Q. When you do, when you execute a search warrant on someone's house, you leave quite a mess, don't you?
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A. It totally depends.
Q. You turned over a couch, correct?
A. We very well might have. I'm not going to tell you we didn't.
Q. You undid the mattresses, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're a seasoned veteran of executing search warrants, aren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. So you would have looked in the bed and looked around the bed, correct?
A. I would have done the best job I could have, yes.
Q. So if a pillow seemed a little heavier than it should, you would have noticed, wouldn't you?
A. If it had a gun in it, I would have noticed.
Q. Which would have made it a little bit heavier, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There is no evidence of a gun in a pillow?
A. No.

MS. WILDEVELD: Nothing further.
MR. DIGIACOMO: I have nothing further,
Judge.
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MR. DRASKOVICH: Is the State resting?
MR. PESCI: We're just trying to wait and
see what we have.
THE COURT: So the record can be clear.
and this will be transferred with the file so the clerk
doesn't have to try and find an unpublished decision.
I will mark the Ross versus State of Nevada order of
reversal as an exhibit in the case as the Courl's third
exhibit.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, the only thing we didn't offer was State's Exhibit Number 30 because there wasn't someone who could testify to its authenticity.

Other than that, my understanding is that all the exhibits have been offered, as well as admitted. And at this time, the State would rest.

Oh, there is one thing before I rest. I guess, it's a very brief thing. I don't think anybody is going to object. On Count IV, Deangelo -- on Count IV, Deangelo Carroll's name is misspelled. It needs to be an " $O$ " on line 11 at the last, C-A-R-R-O-L-L.

THE COURT: Okay. That will be amended to reflect and add by interlineation at this point.

MR, DIGIACOMO: And other than that, the
MARCIA HARNESS, CCR 204 +15-3017

Judge, if I may consult with your clerk to make sure everything is offered and admitted that we intended to offer.

MR. PESCI: Before we consults her, could we ask specifically, there were in the Miranda cards, the copies of, your Honor, had those marked. We did not hear from both defense counsel as to whether they were taking any position,

I believe Mr. Draskovich did not take a position as to the admission, but I would like to hear for the record from all three counsel as to those two Miranda cards.

THE COURT: I admitted them under my, as my exhibits.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Oh, you did.
THE COURT: I believe I did.
MR. DIGIACOMO; Okay. I apologize, Judge
I didn't know the record was clear on that.
Judge, I'm going to offer 69, 70 and 71 , which are the original unenhanced so that the record can be clear as to what is the enhanced versus the unenhanced.

THE COURT: They will be admitted.
Therelipon, State's Exhibite 69, 70 and
71 were admitted into evidence.)
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> include that in your closing. I think we can do - my experience is counsel will gel two arguments that will be essentially the same, and so well lump it alf together, and l'll make a decision before I rule on the bind over.
> MR. ORAM: Are you reserving?
> MR. DIGIACOMO: I think I'm just going to say a little something because I know you guys are going to go forever.

THE COURT: I would like, in addition to addressing the issue of the hearsay matters and Mr. Zone, when all counsel, if you can address each count, that makes it much easier for the Court to follow.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I want to start with something very briefly. I don't know if the defense is even going to raise it, is whether or not Ronta Zone is an accomplice as a matter of law.

The law says in order to be an accomplice as a matter of law that their personal testimony from the stand taken by itself, whether or not that makes them liable for the crime for which the defendants are charged.

And there was nothing said by Ronta Zone which makes him liable to conspiracy to commit murder,
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As such, Judge, certainly the conspiracy to commit murder as it relates to Kenneth Counts is covered just by Ronta's testimony by itself. Murder with use of a deadly weapon is covered by Ronta, his testimony by itself.

However, out of an abundance of caution, and knowing that a Court is going to sometime later on look at the evidence that was presented, and there may be a writ on the issue whether or not that's appropriate, was he corroborated?

Corroboration doesn't, first of all, for preliminary hearing, it probably is slight or marginal. I couldn't find any case law that says exactly what corroboration at preliminary hearing is necessary, but probably just slight or marginal evidence, since that is the standard for everything in a preliminary hearing.

Just merely the fact that a guy gets charged with conspiracy to commit murder and murder with use of a deadly weapon where the guy accusing him is a guy by the name of Deangelo Carroll, and nobody has ever told him that, goes to jail and asks his wife to call Deangelo Carro!!'s mother's house to find out what's going on with my case, says to me that he must
murder with use of a deadly weapon, solicitation to commit murder two times over, one of which he's the victim of.

Obviously, he can't be a co-conspirator or an accomplice for that particular crime. Judge, and as such, once you make a determination that he's not an accomplice as a matter of law, the case law is very clear, it becomes an issue for a jury.

And as such, he does not need to be corroborated. He only needs to be corroborated at the preliminary hearing stage, should he be an accomplice as a matter of law,

And that being said; that means that Kenneth Counts we're done because what did he say? I saw Kenneth Counts shoot that guy in the head, and I know that Deangelo was talking about killing him. Jayson said he was willing to kill him. And that Deangelo went in the house, spent some time with Deangelo in the house.

And I would note that his altorney made If quite clear that there was no conversation in the car thereafter. So there must have been some discussion inside that house between Deangelo Carroll and Kenneth Counts to make it so that he would get out of the car and pop this guy in the back of the head wice or the
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have known something about the crime because how else would he know that Deangelo Carroll is involved. What possibility was there that he would call to find out about his case to another co-conspirator? Is that a random chance? I would think not.

Moreover, there is additional corroboration, and I know the Court is going to have lengthy argument on what exactly those surreptitious recordings, and it's going to be the State's position, and the Court is going to take whatever position If ultimately decides.

I know you have read Ross and, you know. if you read Ross, you just wonder what the Supreme Court was talking about when they said testimonial. You wonder what it is that they really should have said, which was you can't use that girt's testimony against the other guy who is not on the phone call. You can't use the other guy on the phone call against the other guy because it's a Bruton problem, and oh, by the way, the conspiracy is over.

They are talking about an act that occurred a long time ago in the conspiracy when she pawned this necklace. So there is no conspiracy here. The State did the wrong thing - sarry, Mr. Pesci --
but you shouldn't have been able to use all of those things.

When they wrote that opinion, you know, it's my personal theory that it's an unpublished opinion because it doesn't make any sense. And hence, why it may be some persuasive authority, but I don't think it's binding, that language on this case because it's different.

You have lwo co-conspirators. Forget Mr. Carroll, first of all. You have two co-conspirators talking about the crime, talking about covering up the crime. Clearly, the conspiracy is ongoing.

And if you have two co-conspirators talking in furtherance of the crime, and I know there's going to be a lot of discussion about what exactly you heard on that tape, and if the Court feels the need, listen to that tape a hundred times.

I've listened to it a number of times, and every time you listen you get more and more familiar like you would do with any bad recording, which this is not the grealest recording on earth.

But certainly the discussion between those two individuals, and Anabel says at one particular point, "Then don"t worry about KC, he's the shooter.
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## person gets up and --

MR. ORAM: I would briefly object. I thought they didn't use that tape for the truth of the maller asserted. Now, all of a sudden, when he uses Miss Anabel, it's for the truth of the matter asserted.

So I just want that to be on the record that that is completely inapposite to what he told this Court he was using the tape for.

MR. DRASKOVICH: And they, he argues -- I would just like to join in this objection because it's inappropriate.

Once again, they say if's not for the truth of the matter asserted, and at the same time, they want your Honor to use it in order to bind over a defendant on murder charges. So, once again, they have it both ways, and I would object and I think it's an inappropriate argument.

THE COURT: Go ahead. This is closing argument.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If the Court says to me, you know what, I'm worried about the Crawford issue. I'm only going to use Luis against Luis. I'm only going to use Anabel against Anabel, and l'm just going to completely excise Mr. Carroll.

You will still have a bind over, bul 1

## Don't worry about him.

Now, that's a statement by a co-conspirator during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy, and I submit to the Court that you can use that as corroboration as to Ronta Zone because it is evidence against $K C$ because it is a statement of a co-conspirator in furtherance.

I would also submit to the Court as to that conversation that occurred back there, nothing that Deangelo said is relevant to the truth of the matter asserted because you heard Deangelo make many statements in there that were obviously something to cause the conversation to go forth.

And I'm going to talk just a little bit briefly about that, and then I'm going to let them yell about what's on the wire or not.

But, one -- several times he made statements that if a normal person was having a conversation, if I were talking to you and called you Miss Anabel, you would correct me. If Miss Anabel turned to Luis and called him something else, Luis would have corrected him, but you can clearly hear Anabel use Luis's name. Deangelo uses Miss Anabel's name. Luis uses Miss Anabel's or Anabel. They all talk intermixably between each other and not a single
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don't think that is the appropriate way to use the evidence. I believe that the evidence is admissible for these various purposes.

And I'm not using Deangelo's statements for the truth of the matter asserted in any manner, however, but if someone says something and a normal person would have corrected that individual, it's not the statement of the declarant that's a hearsay statement, it's the mere fact thal the other person doesn't correct them, it's an adoptive admission. It's their statement, not Deangelo Carroll's statement.

The fact that when he opens the door he says Room 6, she told me to go to Room 6. The guy doesn't go hey, you're in the wrong room. Go to a different room. How do we know what happened in Room 6? Well, you have a whole bunch of circumstantial evidence to establish it happened in Room 6.

At the end of the first phone call, you can hear Luis Hidalgo, III, say, "See these bonds on the floor. I will buy you these, and they'll be $\$ 25,000$ in a year." And lo and behold, in the search of Simone's Auto Plaza, in Room 6 we happen to find a whole bunch of bonds, and you can look at them. The stack has got to be this big.

There has got to be a hundred, maybe MARCLA FARNESS, CCK 204 AIS. 9047
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$\quad$ Tells Deangelo Carroll he needs to say
that he needs lo spend more time at home. "Why didn't
you turn around to Deangelo Carroll? All l'm telling
you is stick to your story. Have your wife look for an
attorney. We're all going to be under the trailer. If
It lose the shop or club, I can't help you or your
family. You had too many eyes on your ass. You should
have turned back. What the fuck were you thinking?
Keep your mouth shut. We will give you a check and
extra cash. I will give you a prepaid number:"
What else did she say during that? She
says, "We're going to give you a check for 24 hours."
And lo and behold, during the search warrant at
Simone's office, there is a check for 24 hours made out
to Deangelo Carroll.
What, are these circumstances not
circumstances the Court needs to consider on what's
going on on that tape? I would also know on the very
first track she says, "I - we told you to beat himm."
And I'm going to discuss the individual counts in just
a moment.
She says, "We told you to beat him." And
then later, Mr. Oram made a big to-do about the fact
she said, "Told you to talk to the guy, you know, not
kill the guy." And there was a big to-do on that

Tells Deangelo Carroll he needs to say make needs lo spendmore ime a home. Why did you is stick to your story. Have your wife look for an attorney. We're all going to be under the trailer. If I lose the shop or club, I can't help you or your family. You had too many eyes on your ass. You should have turned back. What the fuck were you thinking? Keep your mouth shut. We will give you a check and extra cash. I will give you a prepaid number."

What else did she say during that? She
$\$ 25,000$ worth of savings bonds there in the name of Luis Hidalgo, ill, and more order forms on the floor. How do you know it happened in Room 6? Because the circumstances of the case tell you that it happened in Room 6.

What else did you hear Little Lu do? He coughed numerous times throughout that time, and you heard the detective testify to that. He says, "Put something in the food, rat poisoning. We need to get KC last. Drink the Gatorade. You know what you have to do. Talk about it. Buy a nice condo and a car."

They are talking about, and he talks about what's this? And he says, "This is gin. Put the rat poisoning in gin," and Miss Anabel says, "That's not going to kill him." "Okay. Smoke him a blunt," or smoke a marijuana cigarette. I don't know the exact term that she used.

But if you listen to these statements, it is clear that when Deangelo Carroll happened to leave Simone's with what, a botle of gin in his hands and $\$ 1400$ in cash, which despite the best efforts of the defense, he didn't have on his person. $\$ 1400$ doesn't just sit in your pockets, and when you're patted down, hey, you're missing, you know, you got ten bucks in your pocket. That's $\$ 1400$. That's a big chunk of
change.
What else do we know from Little Lu? Little Lu in both wires, and you actually can hear his voice better on the second wire, I guess, because he doesn't believe that he's wired any longer. In all those statements, you can hear him in the room coughing and hacking every time Miss Anabel is talking about the case, about we're all going to be dead.

And then what do you know from Miss Anabel? I'm going to go to track two last because I know that defense counsel is going to spend a long time on that. But, one, she's whispering. Why are all these people whispering?

MR. ORAM: Well, we wouldn't know if they put a witness on the stand to answer the question, Judge. I was very upset by that.

THE COURT: We'll get to that. MR. DIGIACOMO: Why is everybody whispering if that is not evidence that they are involved in something?

She says to Deangelo Carroll, "Where is your head? If we have lo get to that point, we have to stick together. Just say what I already tokd you, that I want to speak to a lawyer. I am going to give you some money, "to Deangelo Carroli.
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[^2]second, but if you listen to the whole thing she says there, "Yeah, I talked to you on the phone. When I found out," or I can't say that this is specific, but II you listen to it, what she's essentially saying is "When I found out you had to go out to the lake and there is four people in the car, I told you to go to plan $B$."

Well, does that not tell you that there has got to be a plan A? And that is not a withdrawal from the conspiracy. You cannot just call up the guy who is supposed to commit the murder and go, "You know what, go to plan B, just beat the guy and be done with it." Now, what else did she say? I told you "No, you turned your phone off. I don't know shit, and that's how I have to play it."

What else do you have? You have Ronta Zone telling you that Deangelo Carroll said Mr. H wanted a guy hit. That Little Lu said come down here with baseball bats and garbage bags.

Now, conspiracy to commit murder. Is there evidence, either slight or marginal, that there is a conspiracy to commit murder? Well, was there a murder, yes. Was there clearly a conspiracy, yes. As to Kenneth Counts, absolutely. As to Deangelo Carroll, though he's not, we don't have to argue that issue,

[^3]absolutely.
What about Luis Hidalgo, is there slight or marginal evidence of Luis Hidalgo as conspiracy to commit murder? What are you bringing baseball bats and garbage bags for? What is that for? And then later on when he talks about killing the witnesses, and that's absolutely clear because he says, "We're going to have to kill KC last.'

When he's talking about that, is that not evidence that that was what their intent was? Is that not evidence that the murder occurred, and the conspiracy occurred and the conspiracy was for killing?

As to Count H , murder with use of a deadly weapon. Well, here is where I will make a little bit of an argument to the Court. Let's assume for sake of argument that it was merely a conspiracy to commit a battery, a battery with a deadly weapon, a battery with substantial bodily harm.

Let's say you enter into that conspiracy and then your co-conspirator decides to take it to the next level. What crime are you guilty of? Well, it depends on how it is that the State alleges the charges, so let's go through them.

Did you directly or indirectly commit the acts with premeditation and deliberation and/or lying
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co-conspirator did?
And the fact that the guy got shot twice in the head clearly establishes that the crime commilted was first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon.

In other words, even if you believe that they just ordered a beat down, as opposed to a murder, they are liable for a murder if a murder occurs under a conspiracy theory.

The solicitation to commit murder. You can dearly hear Luis and Anabel and Deangelo is in there a little bit, but it's mostly Luis and Anabel talking about putting the strychnine inside the gin, having them drink the gin.

And Anabel at one point says, "Well, that's not even going to work," And Luis says, "Okay. Well smoke them out, or smoke some marijuana with strychnine in it. That will do it to them. We need to get rid of them."

And then Luis says, "We have to kill KC tast." Well, who does that mean else they are killing? The other two co-conspirators, which is established as Jayson and Ronta.

As such, Judge, our standard is very low for a preliminary hearing, and I would think that based
in wait? Well, no, if you solely said that, maybe not. However, you have to worry about the conspiracy theory, which will bring that back in.

By aiding and abetting the commission of a crime, right, where they have to have the specific intent. Now, whether or not intent, if you go out to beat somebody, and you are aiding and abetting in a beating with substantial bodily harm, a beating with a deadly weapon, probably based solely on the aiding and abetting theory, you're guilty of second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon because you are only allowed to get to your level of intent.

Your general intent then in that particular case is just malice aforethought and not premeditation and deliberation, but you still have to deal with the conspiracy theory.

If you enter into a conspiracy to commit a battery, a battery with a deadly weapon, a battery with substantial bodily harm, you're on the hook for the foreseeable act of your co-conspirator.

And if the foreseeable act of your co-conspirator is such that - well, let me ask you this? Is it so unforeseeable that if you send someone out to beat somebody with bats, that they wind up dead?
Are you not on the hook for everything that your
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[^4]upon the amount of evidence that was submitted to the Court, we're certainly past any threshold of slight or marginal evidence for all four of the crimes, and I will submit it to the Court.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, I agree with Mr. DiGiacomo that they have slight burden, and they proved it in this case. They have a very light burden, and they can really present whatever they want to present, according to their own rules.

They - Judge, who did I get to cross-examine today in terms of an accuser? I don't mean somebody that came in and said I don't know, that's what they told me. Who was her accuser today? Who?

I mean, they put on Mr. Zone. I asked Mr. Zone, do you know her? No. No. The accuser doesn't know her and has nothing to offer against her. He didn't know of anything beforehand. He doesn't say that she told him to go out there. There was no evidence that she instructs anybody to go out there.

Then they call a detective. That was the other accuser because that was the only other person I really got to ask questions of. Why? Because they can'l put an accuser on the witness stand.

And I don't think that the Court has a
great deal of experience, and I would ask the Court to consider the Court's experience. How many times have you seen a situation where a lawyer could argue who was the accuser, Judge? The accuser is sitting back over there silent, just silent. And there is nothing I can do about that.

And then it is just frustrating to have a case so on point as Ross, and have what I would consider as the prosecutors arguing oh, no, it doesn't apply, exception. That's what they argued over and over and over to Judge Bonaventure. That's what they argued over and over and over in the Supreme Court. And they got whacked.

And you know what else is funny, Judge, they sit here and say what is the Supreme Court doing. Why didn't they file a motion for reconsideration if they didn'l like the decision? It overturned two people's trials. Two. And they don't ask for reconsideration, but they stand here as though the Supreme Court doesn't know something.

Quite frankiy, the people that don't understand the law were the people on the other side of that case. They don't understand Bruton. They don't seem to understand the confrontation clause. How do you play a CD without the witness on the stand to say
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Now, what the Court didn't get was in his statement. First of all, he just wants to buy some marijuana from the guy and then he never sees the guy again. And, second of all, it's KC, and KC wants to commit a robbery, and then he shoots him and then he points the gun at poor Mr. Carroll over here. And then oh, no, that's not a good story.

He goes to a third story, and then eventually he's like, you know what, I think they want Anabel, points the finger. And that's what we've got. That's their case? Nobody for me to cross-examine. No one, Judge, and I cannot recall a situation where that has ever happened. Only in Ross have I ever had a situation where a major portion of the case through those CDs came in and I couldn't cross-examine someone.

I thought it was blatantly obvious. I
lhought every attorney would know what the confrontation clause was, and you can't put on a prelim by $C D$, you can't put on trial by $C D$. And that's what I got hit with loday. Prelim by CD where I have no one to cross-examine. They have no evidence. They have no admissible evidence. None whatsoever should come in against Anabel because where was it, Judge? Where?
yeah, that was me. I was in the room.
There was nobody sleeping, that's why, you know, where there were people sleeping, that's why we were whispering or we weren't whispering for that reason, or this person lefl the room, or this person, when they said strychnine, had a big smile on their face. That's the whole purpose of confrontation. There is nothing for me to confront.

Dol dare ask the detective was that stuff truthful? What's he going to say? Of course, it was, counsel, the parts that nail your client were. What parts? What parts were on there, Judge, that are very incriminating?

I can barely hear 5 percent of that, and I'm not not trying to hear it, I just can't hear it. The portion that I thought was the most interesting is when, apparently where we're not ailowed to really consider it because I made the objection, but, quite frankly, that man confronted Anabel.

And he said, "i did what you told me to do." And what did she say? "No. I told you to talk to him, not -" and I think she says the word "fucking hurt him or kill him." She knows what he has done because he's in there confessing to everybody. But she's telling him right to his face, when she doesn't
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You asked us to address the counts individually. Conspiracy to commit murder. Where from their admissible evidence do they have Anabel saying. "Yes, we would like you to go out and kill someone." An agreement between two people.

Even Mr. DiGiacomo got up and what I would call did some bar review intellectual exercise in explaining there is somebody, and I think they are pointing at Anabel, wants somebody beaten up because that's when she said, "I told you to talk to him." they really want to interpret another portion of it no, beaten up, she said he wanted beaten up. Conspiracy to commit murder. Where do they have that? They don't have conspiracy to commit murder.

Then they talk about murder. What, so that she told him I told you to talk to him. But they are all whispering, so this must be suspicious, so you will get a bind over because you don't need much evidence. In fact, you don't need any real admissible evidence because you can't get a witness to come in and testify against her. Where was the evidence of murder, your Honor? I don't see that.

Then solicitation to commit murder. There is something on there about strychnine or whatever the State -- I don't disagree that there is something on
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those CDs about that. But what's their demeanor when they are saying that?

Why can I not cross-examine people's demeanor? How often - I bet the Court can even remember laughing or saying something just absolutely ridiculous like put strychnine in a blunt. How does that get a bind over on solicitation to commit murder?

That is just, it just seems this case is so weak. It is so weak against her. Specifically, against her, This case is horribly weak. I don't understand, first of all, how they got certain evidence in or want the Court to consider certain evidence.

Second of all, I don't see under Hegelmeyer, Nevada Supreme Court said there must be independent evidence linking a defendant to a crime. So what we do is we take out the co-conspirator statements.

That's what the jury instructions actually tell us. They tell the jury take oul the c-conspirator statements. That's Deangelo Carroll. And what links the person to the crime then? What links her to this crime? Nothing.

The State is not going to argue she was out there. They have nothing beforehand. All they have is the word of Deangelo Carroll. That's it. The
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There has been no independent evidence whatsoever to show, which is required in the progeny of case law that's interpreted that statute, of any independent corroboration of what Ronta Zone said that Deangelo Carroll told him.

You heard on my cross, I began with it and then I closed with it, everything that Ronta Zone was doing, and everything that they were supposedly carrying out, il all came from Deangelo Carroll. It was his word and his word alone.

They heard nothing from anyone else to corroborate that there was this -- whal was the word they said -- take care of somebody or put a hit on somebody? It was imaginary because they couldn't show any corroborating evidence for that. They must show corroborating evidence independent of the statement itself. They have shown nothing.

In reference to Count I, conspiracy to commit murder, obviously, this conspiracy has to occur before the murder occurs; otherwise, there wouldn't be a conspiracy to commit a murder. Ht may be some sort of a conspiracy to cover up a murder or something like that.

We have heard no evidence whatsoever that Luis Hidalgo, III, conspired to murder TJ. We haven't.
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We haven't whatsoever. It's amazing, and it's actually kind of frightening that we have the State stating that Ronta Zone, who was in the car when this man was shot. and who was later with those that changed the tires, isn't a co-conspirator. He was there.

He testified that they went out to the lake, but he's not a co-conspirator. Nonetheless, Luis hidalgo, who never crosses the mountains, never goes to the lake, never fires a gun, never handles a gun, never handles bullets, nothing, he is somehow now a co-conspirator. That's inappropriate. It's frightening. And the State of Nevada should not make that argument in this case or any other.

We have heard no evidence whatsoever that Luis Hidalgo conspired to have Timothy Hadland shot. Nothing. Directly, indirectly, there is absolutely nothing.

In reference of murder with use of a deadly weapon, we heard from Ronta Zone, that KC shot TJ twice. Deangelo was there, according to Ronta Zone. Deangelo knew everybody. We have heard no evidence whatsoever that Luis Hidalgo killed anybody.

That Luis Hidalgo with premeditation and deliberation, this is Count II, and/or Iying in wait committed a murder. Aiding and abetting the commission
of the crime by directly, indirectly counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime. We have heard nothing that Luis Hidalgo did any of these things.

We heard a great deal concerning Deangelo
Cartoll. We heard a great deal concerning Mr. Counts. We heard nothing in reference to Luis Hidalgo. There was one uncorroborated statement that Mr. Zone said something about trash bags, but he didn't hear it himself. That's what Deangelo Carroll told him.

There was no evidence and no testimony whatsoever concerning any garbage bags. In fact, he contradicted that very statement when he said that they left and got in the van. There was no baseball bat. There was no trash bags. We don't know what that statement, if it ever, in fact, was made, was concerning.

It's wholly inappropriate for the government to now ask the Court to rely upon that to bind a man over to face murder with use of a deadly weapon. There is no evidence whatsoever, and I'm still arguing as to Count II, that Luis Hidalgo, III, conspired to commit battery or battery with use of a deadly weapon on Timolhy J. Hadland. There is nothing.
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anybody of any real substance concerning the testimony. I felt that same frustration.

We heard some CDs that were tough to hear, and we couldn't cross-examine the person who supposedly wore this wire and supposedly met with the other two people.

The Slate would have you believe oh, we heard whispering, they must be guilty of a conspiracy. Well, if that were the standard, I think I and my co-counsel, we'te all guilty of conspiracy to commil a crime because there has been a great deal of whispering going on throughout the course of this preliminary hearing.

We can't put that whispering in context because the person that was supposedly there was not called as a witness. You hear whispering. That's sometimes the polite thing to do if a person is sleeping, if you don't want to interrupt somebody, something like. To now say oh, they were whispering, hold them to answer for murder, that's not only inappropriate but that's frightening. And that's what they are asking you to do today.

There has been a great deal of talk concerning for the truth of the matter asserted consider this evidence. Consider this evidence not for

Nothing that's admissible, and nothing that has been shown to hold him over to answer to those counts. We know, once again, that Ronta Zone was in the car, and apparently be was present when they went to the lake, and he was present when they changed the tires but, of course, he's not a co-conspirator. So if that's not enough to hold Ronta Zone to answer, it should be nowhere near close enough to hold Luis Hidalgo, III, to answer for this charge,

As far as Counts III and IV, Judge, I was breaking my head to try and understand what is being sald on that $C D$. I have a real concern because any time evidence is presented to a Court, that's not even good enough for the court reporter to take down, it's highly questionable for that evidence to be good enough to hold a man to answer for solicitation to commit murder, murder with use of a deadly weapon and conspiracy to commit murder. You can't do it.

That evidence, which was of such horrid quality, Number 1. I couldn'1 hear anything on that. I'm assuming the Court couldn't either because it was bad. It was a bad, bad recording.

I don't really want to get redundant, but Mr. Oram laid out a very good argument concerning how frustrated he was that he couldn't cross-examine
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the truth. That's frightening, as well, because at the very same time, they asked you to -- whatever it is they've been trying to present to you, they want you to consider it, consider it to be truthful, and then hold a man over to answer to murder charges on that, and on that alone, that's inappropriate and that is scary.

But i have never, and I have had a number of prelims in front of you, I have never had a preliminary hearing like the one that I have witnessed today. This is some tortured beast of something that the State, in their best efforts, tried to present to this Court and the defense as a case. It's not. It's not.

Obviously, quantity has nothing to do with quality. Because we have heard a bunch of things that were disjointed, unrelated and actually, frankly, didn't make any sense. That's what this hearing has been today.

My client has been in custody now since May 24th. He's had no bail. And this is it. This is what the State has gol. Nothing better with their best efforts, all of their witnesses, this is it.

Your Honor, to hold Luis Hidalgo, III, hold him over to answer to Count I, conspiracy to commit murder, that of TJ , there is no evidence. You
can't do that
Count II, murder with use of a deadly weapon, Judge, we really can't do that. Based on everything that's been presented, there is nothing there.

I did hear something as to Counts III and IV, but as Mr. Oram had argued, I don't know what they were talking about, and I represent the man. It was tough to hear what they were talking about. We don't even know if it was Luis Hidalgo that had supposedly said those things.

See, the Stale also wants you to take one stalement from one person, Miss Anabel Espindola, take another statement from another person, Luis Hidalgo, or an admission because Luis Hidalgo I couldn't hear him say anything, and then somehow put that together and combine that to make it into whatever it is that they want it to be, and that's why we have courts. That's why the judiciary is independent of the executive branch because these things shouldn't be allowed to happen.

For all of these reasons, and for all the trying that the Court has done to try and understand, they haven't presented any evidence, especially admissible evidence for Counts I and li.
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he's a co-conspirator and nothing that he has to offer should go toward Mr, Counts anyway.

Mr. Counts, there is no evidence he has ever even met the two people sitting next to him. Yet, the State has charged him with conspiracy to commit murder, which, again, just quite simply, there is nothing to hold Mr. Counts for conspiracy to commit murder.

Even if we went with the testimony of Mr. Zone, Mr. Zone said Mr. Counts, if he was in the van, never said anything about a murder. Never said anything about killing anyone. There was never any conversation about a murder that was going to take place if, in fact, Mr. Counts was even in that van, which we don't have any evidence that he was in the van.

I didn't hear any fingerprint evidence today. I didn't hear any evidence of Mr . Counts leaving his house. All we know is that Deangelo Carroll, who is a notorious liar, his mother lives across the street from Kenneth Counts. That's all we know.

We don't know what the relationship is between these two men. We don't know if Mr. Carroll has a reason for framing Mr. Counts for this murder and
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As to Counts III and IV, there may be something that someone had said. We don't know what context it was, and we don't even know really who said it.

I submit to the Court that it would be wholly inappropriate to have Luis Hidalgo, Ill, answer to Counts III and IV, as well, for the reasons that I have stated, and the reasons that I would like to adopt by admission what Mr, Oram has stated, as well. For those reasons, I would urge the Court to dismiss all four counts this afternoon.

MS. WILDEVELD: Your Honor, I will adopt by reference the arguments made by my co-counsel. As to the - I will just address the two counts that my client is facing today.

As to the conspiracy count, there is nothing in the evidence that Mr. Counts ever entered into a conspiracy with any of the named people on this Criminal Complaint. There is no evidence he's ever even met Mr. Hidalgo or Miss Espindola, Jayson Taoipu, Reshawn Carroll. The only person that - I'm sony, Jayson Taoipu or Reshawn Zone.

The only person who did offer any kind of testimony as to any relationship between Mr. Counts and Mr. Carroil was Reshawn Zone, and I would submit that
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bringing him in on this. All we have is Mr . Deangelo Carroll, who never took the stand today, dragging my client into this.

My client doesn't know all of Deangelo's friends that were supposedly in this van. He has no familiarity with them. He has no knowledge of them, besides what Mr. Carroll, who we didn't get to hear from today, and Ronta Zone said on the stand today.

As to Count 1, conspiracy to commit murder, I would urge your Honor to dismiss that count as to my client, Kenneth Counts, because there is no evidence of any conspiracy to commit murder as to Mr . Counts.

As to Count II, murder with use of a deadly weapon, again, all we have is some silly phone conversations that he had with his wife of 14 years where they share four kid's together, talking about a fluffy pillow.

I would submit they were talking - he was saying I'm away, hug the pillow like if's me. Is it fluffy enough? Because he's a big guy. I mean, it's silly to take all these phone conversations.

It was painslakingly tough to sit through these phone conversations and get what the detective and the State got out of those telephone conversations.
marcia harness, CCR zor aflegal

I read the entire thing and never got anything like that. They talked about a CSI finale, season finale and all that stuff. And yet, they are saying that that is enough to bind him over on murder charges.

It's scant. Scant evidence is all we have against Mr. Counts and testimony of an uncharged co-conspirator, which again, as counsel has mentioned, it's unbelievable that he's not charged. So, I would submit that anything that he would have to say is equally unbelievable.

He's doing whatever he can so that he can escape this charge, and he said that the stand today as well. He would pretty much say whatever those detectives wanted him to say so that he wasn't sitting facing the same charges that these people over here are facing.

They say that my cllent knew things. Knew that this case had to do with Deangelo Carroll. Deangelo Carroll is a big mouth. He tells, he told, probably told everyone in the neighborhood. He was going around and telling everybody about this.

This case was on the news. My client's face was shown all over the jail once he was arrested. I mean, he's watching the news counts. He knows what he's in there for because everyone is telling him what
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all of the arguments that co-counsel made regarding the co-defendants and the uncharged co-conspirator's statements, and the awfut audios that we had to listen to be brought in with that as well, and ask that both those counts against my client be dismissed.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm going to try to be brief. I just want to actually go over the law because I know the Court has done many cases, but I can't imagine the number of legal issues as it relates to evidentiary rulings, and counsel in all their closing have confused the actual issues.

Let's talk first, there's accomplice testimony. If you find Ronta's an accomplice, you have to find he's corroborated. If he doesn't, then you don't have to find he's corroborated. In order to establish independent -.

THE COURT: isn't there a difference between an accomplice out-of-court statement and an accomplice statement in the coutroom when we have cross-examination.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct, that's what I'm getting to.

Conspiracy, you have to have independent evidence of a conspiracy in order for co-conspirator statements to come into -- in the course and in
he's in there for. It's all over the news. It made
the front page.
So, to say that just because he had
telephone conversations with his wife where they get
these little blips and blurbs out of these
conversations, worrying about whether or not his wife's
family's house across the street was in an okay
condition. If they ruined the hallway by gelting him
out.
I mean, it's ridiculous to say that he
should stand murder charges on this until they have --
and the weapon that they supposedly thought was the
murder weapon, which wasn't even presented here, I had
to elicit the testimony from the detective, wasn't even
a murder weapon. They did the testing on it. No
murder weapon.
They had Mr. Counts days after this. Did
they test his hands for gunpowder residue, no. Because
they would have found out that he had nothing to do
with it, but instead they wanted to tightly knit up the
case and use the only witnesses they could to bring in
evidence and they did so.
And with that, your Honor, I would ask
that the conspiracy to commit murder charge and the
murder with use of a deadly weapon, as well as using
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furtherance of the conspiracy to come in as evidence. Those are two separate rules, and they want to combine the two rules together.

If you decide that Ronta is not an accomplice, right, as a matter of law, which I submit to the Court they didn't even argue that as a matter of law they have to be, because they know the case law is against them. It's based solely on his testimony, and his testimony does not make him an accomplice.

While I appreciate their argument about him being an accomplice, any one of them represented him would clearly, would be the only guy that wasn't a bind over in this particular case because he's the only guy there is no evidence actually he was involved in the crime itself.

His testimony, as well as all the other evidence, eslablishes that he was not a co - or he was not an accomplice to the crime, hence his testimony is not accomplice testimony.

Now, co-conspirator statements only come in if there is independent evidence of a conspiracy. No one argued that there is not evidence of a conspiracy. I mean, obviously - well, I guess .-

MR. DRASKOVICH: I did,
MR. DIGIACOMO: Ms. Wildeveld didn't

There is evidence of a conspiracy. They don't dispute that four guys got into a car or three guys, I guess, because JJ, Deangelo and Kenneth Counts, three guys drove out to the lake and then committed a murder.

MR. DRASKOVICH: I seem to be misquoted I was arguing that there was no evidence that Mr. Hidalgo was part of this conspiracy. And, obviously, the statements that I was, were Mr. Deangelo Carroll's statements that were allowed to come in through Ronta Zone. So I'm a little frustrated that counsel is misstating the law and misstating my arguments.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm trying not to, but I didn't stop him, Judge.

The independenl evidence of a conspiracy, which means the Court needs to find that there is evidence of a conspiracy before you can take in statements of a co-conspirator in the course and in furtherance of that conspiracy.

It has nothing to do with the accomplice testimony rule, Accomplice testimony rule is something totally different, which means once you have independent evidence of a conspiracy, and he's not arguing there is independent evidence of a conspiracy.
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surreptitious recordings that occurred in Simone's Auto Plaza. I ask the Court to look at the exhibit with the picture that says, of a note that's found in Simone's Auto Plaza. "Shut your mouth. We're under surveillance."

Ask yourself what it is that they were having a conversation about if that's not evidence. Ask yourself what it is these people were talking about, and ask yourself what possibly is the motive to solicit the murder of two individuals, which as I hear Mr. Draskovich say, hey, you know, that one's actually a close one. There is actually evidence my client solicited the murder of two of the people that are in the van.

What possible motive is it that he would do that if he wasn't actually involved in the original conspiracy? What is his possible molive for that? What is his possible motive for paying off all the money? What is the possible motive for him to be talking about taking care of Deangelo and giving him $\$ 25,000$ in bonds inside the room? What is the possible molive for him and Anabel to be behaving on that wire?

And I guess the last question for this Court is, why isn't Mr. H sitting there? And the answer is simple. You have seen the evidence that was

What he's arguing is there is not independent evidence of my client being involved in the conspiracy, which is not the rule. The rule is, is there independent evidence of a conspiracy?

Once there is, all statements by co-conspirators in the course and in furtherance come in, which means once you establish there is a conspiracy, then the statements of the co-conspirator, "Mr. H wants a hit. Little Lu wants us to bring a bat and bags. Anabel gave me a hundred bucks to change the tires on the car." All of those statements come in once there is independent evidence of a conspiracy.

There doesn't have to be independent evidence of each individual person being involved in the conspiracy. But now you go back to well, what evidence do you need other than merely statements of a co-conspirator for purposes of a bind over?

I would agree with defense counsel that merely the statements of Deangelo Carroll cannot cause this Court to bind anybody over for any charges. There has to be something more than just co-conspirator statements in the course and in furtherance of a conspiracy.

And that is what they cannot get away from no matter how hard they try, which is the two
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presented so far. There isn't Mr. H on a wire somewhere. If there was, there would be no question Mr. H would be sitting next to his son and his girlfriend over there because there would be independent corroboration.

They cannot get away from those two wires.
Those two wire establish what happened out there. It establishes that Ronta's testimony is truthful, and it corroborates the co-conspirator's statement that came in, Judge. And I would ask the Court to bind them over on all charges.

THE COURT: I know you want to talk again, but you don't gel to.

MR. DRASKOVICH: When I'm misquoted, that hurts.

THE COURT: All right. Having heard the testimony presented and reviewing the evidence on file herein, having heard the arguments and objections with respect to various evidence, and whether it be considered against both defendants or individual defendants or no defendants, and finding that the -and let me just address the tape or the CDs that we have listened to in that regard.

They are not the best. You would think our federal government, the FBI, could get, you know,
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they do on the movies.
    MR. DIGIACOMO: There is only CSI, Judge.
    THE COURT: Yeah. They get better
recordings or better enhancements, and perhaps if I had
listened to it several times, maybe I would have a
different - I would still have the feeling it's not
very good quality.
    However, I did get enough from that to
make a decision with respect to two lhings I was going
to decide on. One was authentication. Those tapes,
together with the testimony of the detectives who was
leaving, following those, has led me to believe that
the voices that I heard on those were that of Mr. Luis
Alonso Hidalgo, III, and Anabel Espindola, together
with Deangelo Carroll.
    I discounted anything Mr. Carroll said on
that as not to be asserted for the truth. In other
words, I didn't believe anything in there as it goes to
making any decision. And, again, I did not take what
one defendant sald against the other defendant because
of my concerns with the Crawford case.
    Notwithstanding that, I think we still
meet the burden that we have here in a preliminary
hearing for each of those defendants to have been
involved in the solicitation of the two individuals
```
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Count IV, Ronta Zone, solicitation to commit murder, the defendants will be bound over on all four counts.

And the clerk's going to give you the time and date to appear in district court.

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, would you entertain
a bail motion? We had asked at the end of the bail motion last time -- at the end of the preliminary hearing, whether you would consider it. I'll be very brief. I know the court is late.

If I could just remind the Court that my client has no criminal history. And when the Court previously denied bail, I went back, one thing that was of great concern is at the time none of us had the CDs or the transcripts, except for the State.

And I want to remind the Court of something that was said in the bail motion that has caused me concern approximately the day after I started listening to the CDs. They are talking aboul the May 24 th surreptitious recording, and they say on page 5 of their brief, during this conversation, Anabel can be heard on the tape acknowledging that Mr. H, Anabel, and defendant, that would be Luis, hired Deangelo, who, in tum, hired Counts to kill Hadland.

I don't think anybody could argue they heard that on the tape. That wasn't on the tape. She
mentioned in Counts III and IV. And that's really only for a preliminary hearing standard. It's not rea! strong. I will state that.

The statements of Mr. Carroll, I have the Iranscript of the interview, again, I have not reviewed that. It was submitted info evidence as for impeachment purposes, and I have not considered those statements against any of the three defendants that are now before me.

However, based upon the evidence presented, I find that all three defendants, there is sufficient evidence to believe that they participated in a conspiracy and a murder with a deadly weapon in Counts I and II.

Consequently, I guess where I'm going with this is, from reviewing the Complaint on file herein, and hearing the lestimony and evidence presented, I find that there is reasonable cause to believe that Kenneth Counts, Luis Alonso Hidalgo, III, and Anabel Espindola have committed the crimes of conspiracy to commit murder and murder with use of a deadly weapon.

And that the defendants, Luis Hidalgo and Anabel Espindola, there is reasonable cause to believe, they are defendants in Count 111 and IV, conspiracy to commit murder, and Count III, Jayson Taoipu ${ }_{4}$ and
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never confessed to being involved and Mr . H was involved. That was never said, but boy, it kept her, it was a good argument to make to a Court where, you know, even I was sitting there, I read that at the time, and I thought oh, boy, they've got my client confessing, and the Court, I imagine, relied upon that to a certain extent.

It wasn't true. We've heard the tapes now, and it just simply wasn't true. In fact, it's sort of the opposite. At least one portion of my argument you have heard is that she said that she told him to talk to him.

Withoul belaboring the point, your Honor, she has no prior record. Ithink the Court can see that this is a case that can be fought. And I would ask the Court to consider that this woman is a, she was a general manager there at the club. I believe very high up in Simone's.

And I would ask you to set bail somewhere around $\$ 50,000$, put her on house arrest, something so that she can go out, fight the case, but still not be a flight risk, not be a danger to the community.

And if they argue she is a flight risk or a danger to the community, lill remind the Court it seems to me they've got some problems being a danger to
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the community where they say somebody confesses and they haven't. I'd ask for a reasonable bail.

THE COURT: That was in the transcript. MR, ORAM: No, this was actually in their bail motion.

MR. DRASKOVICH: It was in their bail motion, page 5. I was going to make the same argument.

MR. ORAM: It caused me grave concern, your Honor.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If I can address that? THE COURT: Yeah. MR. OIGIACOMO: I'Il be happy to. If you actually had Deangelo Carroll's taped statement, and then you had Deangelo Carroll's statements, which are certainly admissible for a bail hearing but not admissible for a preliminary heaning, you got what Anabel said completely out of context from - if you understood the case from the statements that we had from Deangelo Carroll.

They originally believed that Timothy Hadland would have been at his house and the conspiracy was to have him killed at the house. When Anabel learns during a phone call the drive out to the lake thal Mr. Hadland was not at the house, and he would not be alone, there is a conversation between Mr. Carroll
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statement to them. She told them to go to plan B if he's not alone. I never disputed that statement.

But certainly her statement when he says, "You told me to kill him if he was alone," and she says, "But I tried to call you back," what other implication do you take from that particular statement, other than it's a confirmation that she was in fact, involved?

MR. ORAM: Judge, they are taking this out of context. I'm not so concerned about that. Listen to what they are saying in there. They are saying that she can be heard on tape acknowledging that Mr . H and the defendant. Now, they are not -- now, they are saying oh, it was her. It's incriminating against her. No, that's not what they said to you.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I said on the tape.
MR. ORAM: I'm sorry.
MR, DIGIACOMO: When she says Mr. H, "If
Mr. H goes down for this, we're all dead." What is she saying? Is she not confirming that Mr. H did it? And Little Luis is in the room.

I'm sorry, maybe they are interpreting it different than I am, but the fact is that I'm taking the statements as a whole to say that confirms what she did, which the Court already found that there is
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and Mr. -- Miss Espindola, in which they talk about the fact that, "Hey, if he's alone, go through with it. If not, I want you to go to plan $\mathrm{B}_{1}{ }^{n}$ as Deangelo Carroll puts it.

And then when you listen to the tape, and I know that they jump up and said, "We told you to talk to the guy," you have to back up just a few minutes before that. She is taiking about "When I learned that you were going out to the lake and you had all those people with you, I told you to talk to the guy, not F'ing kill the guy." And then she goes on to, "I told you to go to plan B."

And he goes, "No, you didn't. You told me to kill him if he's alone." And then she says, "Buit I tried to call you back but you turned your cell phone off." And that was clear as day.

Now, how else do you interpret that when someone says to you, "Hey, but you told me to kill him if he's alone," and she goes, "But I tried to call you back and your cell phone was off." is that not a confirmation of what was said there, then what are we talking about here?

I mean, they are arguing that she toid him to not go do the killing when she found out they went to the lake. I don't dispute that she made that
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corroboration for a bind over on conspiracy to commit murder. If that's not what she was confirming on that tape, why did we have the bind over?

MR. DRASKOVICH: Judge, if I could be heard concerning bail, as well.

We have just heard Luis Hidalgo, III, was in the room white Ronta Zone was in the car, so it's obviously good for one argument and not good for the other.

I would ask the Court to set bail at $\$ 50,000$ for my client, Luis Hidalgo, because what the State had said at our bail hearing simply wasn't so. Luis Hidalgo, III, has been a six-year resident of Las Vegas. He has very strong family ties here in the community. He owns a two-story home in Las Vegas, Nevada, located at 4037 Overbrook Drive. He's employed full-time. He has no prior criminal history. And he has no failures to appear.

Based upon the very, very lenuous, leased and tortured evidence that you have heard today, and based upon his complete lack of failures to appear and his very strong ties to the community, I would urge the Court that $\$ 50,000$ is an appropriate bail, in addition to any and all other conditions that the Court may so impose to release him at this juncture.

[^6]```
MS, WILDEVELD: Your Honor -MR. DIGIACOMO: Do you want to make an argument?
MS. WILDEVELD: Yes, but I would also ask that Mr. Counts' bail be reduced to \(\$ 50,000\), which is actually a huge stretch for Mr. Counts, given that he doesn't have the financial resources that perhaps other co-defendants have,
Mr. Counts has been an outstanding member of the community, save for a previous record of nonviolent crimes all having to do with drugs or smoking marijuana. Nothing to do with any kind of violence.
```

He was a Doolittle coach. And if he was good enough to be a Doolittle coach, he would be good enough to be back out in the community. He's a member of the Mountaintop Church, a strong member of the Mountaintop Church and a very active member of the church. He would have many people to vouch for him.

He's held two jobs. He has four kids under the age of 11 . He's been with the same woman for 14 years. He has a house at 1676 E Street. He has family that lives across the street. He's not going to go anywhere. His family is very established there. He's not going to pick up and leave his four kids and
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will continue to appear in court, and the second is is
there a danger to the community.
In making that decision, I have the opportunity to rely on more information than what we would have legally before the Court and at preliminary hearing.

So for purposes of the bail motion, I am going to review Mr. Carroll's statement for whatever if's worth. And so I get a feel for the case as to whether there is more concerns that I am not aware. I will review that over the evening. I will make a decision tomorrow. 1"ll just probably tomorrow afternoon after court we'll send it down to everybody.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Do you have the criminal hislory of Kenneth Counts when you make this decision?

THE COURT: I do.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
MS. WILDEVELD: And, your Honor, I would just ask that Mr. Counts be thought of separately when you're addressing bail issue for the other co-defendants. He does have four children.

MR. DRASKOVICH: We would ask that as well.

THE COURT: And, frankly, from what I heard today, they would want me lo consider them
his wife.
He has too much to lose by running after these counts. I think the evidence against him was very scant. I think that he's gotten himself or somebody has gotten him rolled up into this whole situation. And I think that it's going to come to light why he's - what, if any, role he actually did play in this. And I would think that that would be a no role, if any.

And I don't think - there is no evidence that he was involved in any conspiracy or that he would ever see these people again. Mr. Carroll would be in custody. And I would ask that his bail be set at something like $\$ 50,000$ that maybe he could potentially afford.

MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, as long as everyone is making bail motions.

THE COURT: Can we approach on another issue or kind of a related issue before we finish the bail argument?

IThereupon, a brief discussion was held
ot the banch. 1
THE COURT: All right. In making a decision with respect to the bail, I have two obligations, one is to determine whether the defendants
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## separately.

MS. WILDEVELD: I disagree.
MR. DIGIACOMO: So we're going to wait till tomorrow to have the bind over date?

THE COURT: No, Ill give you the bind over date now. Whether they are in jail or not, they need to be there. I'm going to give you the date as if they were in custody, but this is a case that should --

MR, FIGLER: Your Honor, in all seriousness, if $\mid$ could complete the record just really quickly. The prosecution had graciously offered for us to be able to cross-examine one of the witnesses.

Your Honor, 1 affirmed that Mr. Carroll wanted to fight the charges in district court and that was the reason for his waive up. I did note for the record that we weren't offered the opportunity to object during the course of Mr. Zone's testimony. And I think it was kind of an empty gesture, although I do appreciate your Honor finding that we would have standing.

Additionally, you know, much maligned through these proceedings, Mr. Carroll has had to sit by silently. Certainly there was some evidence of his cooperation with the police department during the course of events. Additionally, a lot of references to
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Mr, Carroll, I think, in assisting the prosecution
today.
And he would like to be considered as well
for a reasonable bail motion. As everyone else has
been bound over, and he is now bound over as well by
his waiver, I think it would be appropriate for your
Honor to be able to determine a bail for Mr. Carroll.
And because of a number of concerns in the
case, he certainly wants to stay and fight this as
well. So I would just submit it to your Honor on that.
THE COURT: Okay. I will consider him
with respect to bail. With respect to Mr. Carroll's,
since that was brought up, is there anything from the
State, particularly a criminal history or anything?
MR. PESCI: Judge, yes. I'm not sure if
you have his criminal history.
THE COURT: With Mr. Carroll I don't know
because I haven't looked.
MR. FIGLER: I will represent, your Honor,
that he does have a felony conviction upon which he was
given probation and house arrest. And there is no
allegation that he wasn't able to comply with those
court orders.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, actually, he went
through two revocation proceedings, and Judge McGroarty
put him back on probation both times.
And while there is a dispute between counsel and I, Mr. Carroll told the detectives that he was still on paper for the crime of conspiracy to commit robbery when the murder occurred. Mr. Figler seems to tell me that he believes he may have gotten off a day or two before.

MR. FIGLER: There's a possibility that he was done with probation.

MR. DIGIACOMO: A day or two before, but either way, Judge ..

MR. PESCI: He has a failure to appear, and the nature of his priors are conspiracy to robbery, so there is violence, there is conspiracy, which we have here today before your Honor. We have failure to appear.

And you have indicated you do have the criminal history of Mr. Counts to consider?

THE COURT: I do have that.
MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
THE CLERK: Jure 27th, 9:00 a.m., District Court Department XIV.
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anybody [31] 20/10 21/19 24/21 $27 / 7$ 35/2 35/16 36/1 37/19 47/12 59/22 60/24 72/21 72/25 75/23 75/23 77/24 82/3 89/11 93/17 98/18 103/1 117/1 275/44 303/19 338/16 355/18 372/20 380/22 383/1 394/20 399/24
anybody's [2] 33/4 183/19
anymore [4] 144/13 239/13 297/17 298/5
anyone [8] 6/4 91/12 $126 / 20$ 136/1 171/1 185/8 379/11 387/12
anything [88] 11/13 15/8 21/24 22/6 28/25 29/11 31/22 34/6 38/22 43/10 52/23 54/3 59/5 61/22 62/2 62/3 64/18 66/13 67/17 69/24 70/6 70/9 71/5 71/12 $72 / 10$ 77124 82/3 83/7 96/13 97/4 116/14 129/12 129/16 131/15 $132 / 5132 / 11$ 148/25 149/1 163/44 171/1 175/ 178/16 178/17 183/7 184/2 194/20 204/2 204/8 206/25 207/6 209/24 229/12 234/24 235/6 236/6 241/25 244/1 245/13 245/17 246/4 246/12 252/23 258/25 259/23 260/11 261/1 263/3 273/24 289/25 294/4 294/10 298/18 316/13
$344 / 9$ 345/9 345/11 347/23 372/18 382/20 305/16 387/11 387/12 389/1 389/9 397/16 397/18 409/13 409/14
anytime [1] 185/8
anyway [2] 295/13 387/2
anywhere [3] 80/4 84/8 405/24
apartment [10] 34/25 $122 / 10122 / 14126 / 19$
127/22 127/24 127/25 133/10 133/14 350/25 apologize [6] 6/9 207/12 207/15 316/22 322/17 354/17
apparent [1] 156/23
apparently [10] 87/3 88/14 89/15 89/19 137/25
249/6 253/17 259/21 374/17 382/4
appeal [4] 228/5 228/21 228/22 228/22
appear [14] 14/15 15/14 $156 / 5$ 177/17 218/9
222/14 253/7 321/25 399/4 404/18 404/21 407/1 410/12 410/16
APPEARANCES [1] $1 / 18$
appeared [8] 278/20 279/8 279/18 279/21 279/25
299/15 300/20 322/11
appearing [1] 356/16
appears [3] 151/20 177/13 206/7
applicable [1] 23/25
applied [3] $24 / 19$ 232/15 356/9
applies [7] 23/1 24/23 54/7 90/1 240/17 241/19
$301 / 9$
apply [10] $11 / 814 / 2414 / 2523 / 924 / 424 / 2525 / 7$
241/8 241/12 373/10
applying [1] $241 / 21$
appoint [1] 43/13
appointment [1] 58/6
appreciate [8] 12/2 43/5 87/25 89/6 224/19
341/13 392/10 408/19
Apprehension [1] 310/12
approach [19] 11/16 57/9 60/12 108111 144/24
149/9 155/24 171/6 179/9 201/19 $212 / 19218 / 3$
$222 / 3$ 261/16 262/20 313/6 313/24 347/2 406/18
approached [2] 60/14 171/6
approaches [1] 192/24
appropriate [8] 87/13 233/24 291/21 329/13
359/11 364/1 404/23 409/6
approximate [1] 182/4
approximately [20] 83/12 $107 / 5146 / 10147 / 17$
152117 158/8 164/15 173/16 182/18 184/12 205/1
221/10 249/6 251/20 254/4 254/15 257/13 277/14
277/22 399/17
are [285]
are - [1] 310/10
area [27] 41/3 47/25 48/4 51/4 60/12 79/23 86/5
86/686/7 86/8 149/4 151/21 156/25 177/9 192/19 237/12 299/4 299/6 299/8 299/14 312/17 312/19 313/3 315/1 315/11 316/18 346/22
aren't [3] 288/22 290/19 353/8
argue [10] 21/25 254/2 285/17 337/11 $368 / 25$ 373/3 377/23 392/6 399/24 400/23
argued [5] 228/8 373/10 373/12 385/? 392/22 argues [1] 363/9
argues - [1] $363 / 9$
arguing [9] 10/19 289/5 337/2 373/9 381/23 393/7 393/25 394/t 402/23
argument [29] 10/25 11/1 32/19 32/19 89/5
225/17 230/21 233/25 244/5 246/15 246/16
261/24 289/10 340/18 356/24 360/9 363/17
$363 / 19369 / 15369 / 16380 / 13382 / 24392 / 10$
400/3 400/11 401// 404/8 405/3 406/20
argument - [1] 261/24
arguments [9] 232/13 234/9 $239 / 7$ 341/15 357/2
386/13 391/1 393/13 396/18
Arial [2] 162/3 162/5
Arial's [1] $162 / 16$
around [37] 39/4 39/11 39/18 39/19 39/22 39/23 39/24 39/25 51/2 51/3 60/1660/17 60/1862/6

68/1 68/1 68/4 68/6 68/8 68/8 69/5 79/12 79/12 97/12 111/4 130/24 243/11 253/21 255/i1 $255 / 12$ 264/21 277/17 292/25 353/11 367/3 389/21 400/20
around -- [1] 111/4
arrest [17] 8/25 9/3 272/8 272/12 322/7 322/12 327/1 327/22 327/24 330/14 330/15 336/3 344/13 344/18 345/6 400/20 409/21
arrested [4] 290/12 342/8 343/9 389/23
arrests [1] 335/24
arrived [6] 147/13 148/6 152/13 311/23311/25
312/3
arriving [2] $312 / 4312 / 25$
as [325]
as -- [2] 190/22 267/20
Ashley [1] $326 / 7$
aside [2] 239/20 295/11
ask [721 6/19 10/24 $371245 / 21$ 53/144 59/22 66/11 70/19 74/ 75/23 87/498/10 108/16 109/9 129/10 136/23 162/11 173/12 173/15 180/16 183/16
183/24 193/10 194/7 195/4 208/8 209/14 223/14 224/25 229/13 231/20 272/ 273/14 283/22
284/12 292/4 292/7 297/6 305/12 316/6 322/14 $323 / 20$ 326/12 328/11 336/12 343/10 347/25 349/1 351/20 354/5 370/22 372/23 373/1 373/18 $374 / 9378 / 10381 / 20390 / 23391 / 4395 / 2395 / 6$ 395/8 395/9 396/10 400/16 400/19 401/2 404/10 405/4 406/13 407/19 407/22
asked [58] 31/5 53/18 59/8 59/9 59/24 59/25 71/13 71/14 71/21 71/24 72/1178/20 98/9 101/1 109/7 109/7 109/10 109/10 115/3 119/15 123/7 128/7 128/15 128/16 129/2 164/7 193/1 193/6 193/15 198/23 196/25 197/4 197/5 197/20 205/7 205/14 207/20 208/2 208/13 210/11 213/19 214/21 217/6 225/2 235/19 242/22283/7 291/11 293/11 308/25 318/5 343/15 344/10 372/15 376/1 378/3 384/2 399/6
asking [40] 88/19 89/6 106/16 120/13 129/13
136/8 197/1 198/9 198/13 205/6 205/11 206/13 206/17 206/19 216/17 221/10 244/11 244/19 245/16 245/18 250/7 260/ $260 / 8$ 260/12264/3 270/6 270/18 271/24 $272 / 4273 / 19277 / 1282 / 3$ 282/16 283/14 284/10 286/8 290/20 295/4 316/18 383/22
asking - [1] 283/14
asks [2] 43/20 359/23
ass [1] $367 \Pi$
asserted [18] 168/20 169/7 175/9 175/15 234/17 240/25 243/25 244/17 244/21 245/18 295/12 $362111363 / 4363 / 5363 / 13364 / 5383 / 24397 / 17$ assessment [1] 286/15
assignment [1] 30223
assist [1] 179/3
assisting [1] 409/1
assume [2] 264/15 369/15
assumed [1] 196/7
assumes [2] 19/16 308/18
assuming [5] $82 / 10$ 263/14 341/1 378/16 382/27
asthma [4] 343/2 343/4 343/6 344/6
Astro [5] 18/19 18/20 96/22 319/4 319/18
at [310]
attack [1] 291/19
attacked [2] 294/19 294/21
attempt [1] 324/5
attempted [1] 103/23
attempting [6] 24/2 24/6 89/14 90/5 224/13 $246 / 17$
attended [1] 157/16
attention [7] 16/45 46/14 146/4 277/12 303/2
303/12 305/24
ATTEST [1] 411/2
attic [9] 176/9 176/20 176/22 177/9 177/12 177/13
 310/3
authoring [3] 273/3 273/5 274/6
authority [1] 361/6
authorized [1] 172/22
auto [59] 84/19 84/22 85/5 85/12 85/18 86/4 86/5
87/20 89/1 93/5 93/18 95/3 10210 158/6 158/17
184/21 185/15 185/18 185/19 185/24 186/5
187/14 187/18 190/15 $190 / 17$ 190/22 190/22 191/2 191/3 191/14 191/24 192/16 215/2 250/24
251/6 252/11 $257 / 5$ 280/5 288/25 298/24 299/1
$306 / 1$ 306/9 307/20 308/16 308/166 309/10 310/21
$311 / 9312 / 21312 / 24373 / 10314 / 4315 / 12315 / 16$
319/3 364/22 395/1 395/4
autopsy [9] 3/3 3/3 7/13 8/11 155/22 156/6
156/22 157/5 $157 / 17$
autopsy - [1] 156/6
available [7] $11 / 10$ 11/20 224/14 224/24 225/4
$239 / 24242 / 14$
Avery [8] 227/17 228/4 229/5 229/11 229/12
229/13 238/11 238/21
aware [21] 10/25 19/19 38/1 41/25 70/10 74/12
92/13 160/6 167/7 176/19 177/25 211/14 222/22
222/24 230/24 272/19 274/13 275/8 343/24 378/12 407/10
away [19] 71/1 71/5 79/24 80/4 87/19 124/24
131/11 255/10 265/9 278/1 285/12 291/25 $292 / 1$
293/5 293/10 309/22 388/20 394/24 396/6
awful [1] 391/3
B
Babe [1] 334/51
baby's [17] 30/12 30/13 31/5 81/25 82/6 97/25 98/2 98/6 100/3 129/1 129/2 129/6 129/10 129/13 129/15 130/17 134/11
back [139]
back - [1] 220/2
background [2] 259/18 315/2
Backing [2] 118/15 189/15
backyard [1] 180/14
bad [9] 49/24 91/20 297/25 298/2 298/5 361/21
382/22 382/22 382/22
badgering [1] 206/8
bags [13] 34/9 34/11 42/24 126/13 126/14 126/46 126/21 368/19 369/5 381/10 381/13 381/16 394/10
bail [25] 378/7 384/20 399/6 399/6 399/12 399/16 400/19 401/2 401/5 401/6 401/15 404/5 404/10
404/12 404/23 405/5 406/13 406/!7 406/20
406/24 407/7 407/20 409/4 409/7 409/12
bangs [1] 176/1 bank [1] 151/17 bar [2] 200/21 376/7 barber [ $\dagger$ ] 83/19 barely [2] 256/8 374/14
baseball [10] 34/8 34/10 42/23 126/13 126/14 126/17 126/20 368/79 369/4 381/15 based [38] 7/16 8/8 9/3 14/17 51/13 55/21 154/22 166/15 169/15 171/13 172/8 175/11 177/23 180/18 187/16 197/14 217/11 231/12 236/17 237/17 242/19 245/19 270/3 278/23 285/17 295/18 304/5 $312 / 4341 / 1341 / 2345 / 5370 / 9$ 371/25 385/3 392/6 398/10 404/19 404/21 basically [14] $32 / 232 / 3116 / 19147 / 2147 / 14$ 148/18 179/1 180/10 182/8 197/16 295/5 323/1 378/15 378/22
basis [2] 341/13 341/17
bat [4] 34/10 126/20 381/15 394/9
bathing [f] $151 / 3$
bathroom [7] 61/8 86/188 86/19 86/20 86/21 93/4 249/20
bats [8] 34/8 $42 / 24126 / 13126 / 14126 / 17368 / 19$ 369/4 $370 / 24$
battery [8] 369/17 369/17 369/18 370/18 370/18 $370118381 / 24381 / 24$
Battle [1] 238/17
bays [1] 299/4
be [293]
be - [2] 13/14 300/20
beard [1] $160 / 22$
bearing [1] 24211
beast [1] $384 / 10$
beat [9] 238/17 253/20 $255 / 5367 / 19367 / 22$
$368 / 12370 / 7370 / 24371 / 7$
beaten [3] 376/9 376/12 376/12
beating [2] 370/8 370/8
became [1] 154/8
because (163)
become [10] 6/18 6/24 7/1 10/13 10/23 55/19 222/22 303/3 315/10 340/20
bocomes [7] $224 / 24$ 225/4 246/11 270/2 289/22
340/21 358/8
bed [5] 316/14 346/8 346/16 353/10 353/11 bedroom [2] 299/15 316/18
bedtime [2] 296/19 296/21
baen [109] 6/2 6/4 8/10 8/25 9/24 11/7 12/23
14/19 15/24 42/3 45/12 47/19 53/23 58/177 74/3 74/16 87/2 87/2 103/9 103/10 106/16 109/22 120/8 $123 / 22123 / 24124 / 21127 / 16\{28 / 12$ 128/13 141/17 141/18 145/14 145/24 146/2 149/12 152/14 154/11 156/2 157/16 177/4 180/23 182/11 182/12 184/14 188/16 190/4 197/12 197/14 202/7 202/23 216/18 218/1 219/2 222/10 223/15 223/16 223/17 223/19 227/3 227/6 227/6 228/6 229/2 234/2 239/8 239/9 264/20 265/20 269/25 270/1 $272 / 20$ 273/10 $274 / 7$ 274/15 276/3 294/23 295/4 299/10 302/11 302121 302/25 311/3 $313 / 21314 / 8318 / 11319 / 6319 / 7321 / 24322 / 15$ $343 / 12345 / 10350 / 5355 / 15358 / 22361 / 1379 / 4$ $382 / 1383 / 11383 / 23384 / 3384 / 18384 / 19385 / 4$ $397 / 24$ 401/21 404/13 405/9 405/21 409/5 before [104] $1 / 14$ 9/10 19/9 19/13 19/24 25/23 27/18 $27 / 23$ 27/24 40/25 42/21 43/23 46/16 47/19 48/25 49/16 58/9 60/20 60/21 61/18 61/19 66/12 $74 / 2577 / 23$ 82/22 84/19 84/21 90/25 95/25
100/12 100/13 101/4 101/5 103/12 105/22 107/25 108/24 110/12 $116 / 9$ 121/10 $122 / 25$ 123/11 123/14 123/15 $124 / 6$ 127/3 133/14 137/15 139/16 139/19 $142 / 2142 / 3$ 144/23 146/12 148/9 148/25 166/18 166/20 166/22 191/1 191/25 198/25 199/5 199/19 203/12 210/14 211/10 214/2 214/10 214/11 214/12 214/25 216/5 220/15 223/25 227/6

240/8 241/17 252/20 256/6 260/18 265/3 280/5 280/10 283/23 286/2 286/24 291/8 296/15 305/21 $307 / 21352 / 14354 / 4355 / 17357 / 4379 / 20393 / 18$ 398/9 402/8 406/19 407/5 410/ 410/10 410/15 beforehand [7] 23/14 233/14 242/23 266/16 266/19 372/18 377/24
began [2] 17/11 379/6
beginning [3] 117/5 279/24 280/2
behalf [7] $5 / 2413 / 2022 / 17$ 22/20 57/1 203/15 285/11
behaving [1] 395/22
behind [15] 40/7 40/13 65/20 152/16 152117 $170 / 16$ 171/1 171/8 181/15 181/16 181/20 181/22 199/13 220/6 221/12
behold [2] 364/21 367/13
being [85] $7 / 28 / 713 / 12$ 14/11 $32 / 532 / 841 / 11$ 41/23 74/6 88/20 88/22 112/18 120/7 125/5 129/14 137/3 140/23 149/24 152/24 173/5 174/23 178/9 179/17 184/6 191/21 199/7 199/19 205/16 $20812208 / 5208 / 8208 / 20209 / 9213 \% 6226 / 7$ $227 / 5230 / 11234 / 17$ 234/23 234/24 235/25 236/18 246/5 250/4 250/21 253/16 253/17 254/19 255/25 256/22 263/7 $265 / 21$ 266/12 267/3 271/12 275/16 283/5 293/3 294/13 295/5 299/19 300/8 305/2 305/ $310 / 3313 / 11315 / 8320 / 22324 / 7$ $336 / 20339 / 14339 / 18339 / 21343 / 13348 / 5348 / 7$ $351 / 13$ 358/13 375/1 382/11 392/11 394/2 394/14 400/1 400/25
belabor [1] 278/12
belaboring [1] 400/13
belief [1] $12 / 23$
believe [60] 14/18 $23 / 753 / 1755 / 14$ 57/21 94/3 138/14 185/1 193/22 197/12 200/6 201/10 202/25 216/17 219/5 221/14 221/21 225/17 231/25 232/8 237/14 254/23 257/14 258/11 264/18 264/18 264/23 268/20 268/21 270/4 276/9 278/4 282/25 285/8 298/15 299/9 300/ $308 / 6$ 310/6 311/2 319/17 341/22 346/6 346/11 346/12 346/14 $346 / 15351 / 25354 / 9354 / 16364 / 2366 / 5371 / 6$ $383 / 7$ 397/12 397/18 398/12 398/18 398/23 400117
believed [5] 23/6 308/5 308/8 312/6 401/20 believes [3] 351/21 351/22 410/6
belonged [1] 300/24
bench [8] 5/22 57/12 108/14 145/2 201/22 262/22 276/13 406/22
bend [1] 246/3
benefit [1] 294/14
Bermuda [5] 158/6 158/9 158/10 299/1 306/2 besides [1] 388/7
best [5] 353/12 365/21 384/11 $384 / 21396 / 24$ bet [2] 144/25377/4
better [5] 118/8 366/4 384/21 $397 / 3397 / 4$ between [28] 10/14 11/11 21/18 27/19 33/11
62/14 67/1 182/2 182/4 $197 / 5$ 228/3 236/13
$247 / 14257 / 42825$ 282/6282/11 283/8 349/13
358/23 361/23 362/25 376/5 386/24 387/24
391/18 401/25 410/2
between -- [1] 33/11
beyond [3] 187/6 240/14 274/24 big [10] 82/10 180/11 237/12 $364 / 24365 / 25$ $367 / 23$ 367/25 374/6 388/21 389/19
bigger (2] 203/16 203/20
bill [5] $82 / 2383 / 143 / 383 / 1183 / 13$
bills [3] 181/14 251/23 251/25
bind [17] 14/14 357/5 363/14 363/25 376/18 $377 / 7378 / 8381 / 21389 / 4392 / 13394 / 17394 / 20$ 396/10 404/1 404/3 408/4 408/5
binder [2] 202/23 203/1
binders [1] 274/4
binding [1] $361 / \pi$ bith [1] 299/21

| B |  | Cameras [1] $212 \pi$ <br> camp [1] 48/11 <br> Camping [10] $47 / 1847 / 1947 / 2147 / 2548 / 248 / 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bit (20] 52/21 62/18 62/25 115/4 118/15 160/8 168/7 207/19 225/21 $227 / 4$ 238/12 238/13 254/5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 304/4 304/4 320/13 353/18 362/14 369/15 371/12 bit - [1] 320113 |  | 56 |
|  |  |  |
| bits [2] 252/24282/18 |  |  |
| black (25) 36/10 36/11 36612 64/10 66/23 66/24 67/3 140/3 141/7 170/4 181/2 181/10 192144 |  |  |
|  |  | 15 |
| 67// 140/3 141/ 170/4 181/2 $181 / 10$ 192/14 192/15 198/24 202/23 203/1 307/24 308/14 | bring [t2] 5/16 89/14 121/18 183/9202/20 246/18 285/18 289/13 352/6 370/3 390/21 394/9 | 239/19 245/14 252/23 <br> 286/10 292/3292/8 |
| blame [2] 268/23 292/23 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| blaming [1] $287 / 1$blank [4] $249 / 24$ 254/11 254/16 254/18 |  | $382 / 18$ 383314 385/1 385/3 391/8 |
|  |  |  |
| blank [4] 249/124 254/11 254/16 254/18 blanks [1] 110/12 |  |  |
| blaring [1] 196/3 |  |  |
| blips [1] 390/5 |  |  |
| blips (1) [1) 151/ 151/19 151/20 151/23 342/22blood [5] 1517 blue [11] $52 / 2$ 151/3 155/111 155/11 159/17 159/17 160/21 174/16 304/19 306/24 307/15 | Bruton [18] 22/10 23/1 23/11 174/25 175/1 175/16 | [1] 241/20 |
|  | 183/14 229/4 229/19231/14 231/16 236/ | 37/19 38/2 |
|  |  | 555 |
| 160/21 174/16 304/18 306/24 307/15 <br> blunt [7] 40/18 40/19 40/20 40/23 58/24 365/15 37716 |  | 61/16 62/5 62/6 62/11655/1077/147711 |
|  |  | 85/8 85/10 85/16 85/23 85/23 117/2 126/20 1 |
| 37716 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Bob [3] 2987 $298 / 17313$ | [11 | 196/8 196/8 197/12 $197 / 113197 / 20199 / 1199 / 5$ |
|  |  | 199/8 199/15 199/19 235/24 236/12366/3236/8 |
| body [59] 151/1 151/14 151/21 $151 / 22$ 152/15 153/5 $157 / 1184 / 3$ 184/7 184/10 184/13 184/17 |  | 236/9 $253 / 21254117327 / 533614$ |
| 184/49 184/20 184/23 185/4 185/15 185/18 <br> 185/19 185/24 186/5 186/9 187/14 187/18 187/18 |  |  |
|  |  | card [38] 83/14 202/8 20210 202/12 202112 |
| 185/19 185/24 186/5 186/9 187/14 187/18 187/18 187/19 188/4 189/21 190/11 190/15 190/17 190/18 190/22 191/2 191/22 201/3 215/24 222/7 |  | 3 203/4 204/16 204/16 204120 |
|  |  | 204/25 205/5 205/9 205/15 205/24 205/25 206/5 |
| 222/16 240/11 248/21 248/22 250/2 250/3 251/1 259/6 259/8 261/13 261/17 263/2 265/7 280/5 280/19 289/1 306/9 307/22 307/22 311/9311/10 |  | 206/9 206/10 206/18 206/19 206/23 207/1 207\% |
|  | business [17] 79/23 143/9 143/16 144/8 210/20 | 207/18 209/6 209/17 209/18 212116 213/2 213/2 |
|  | 222/2 | 7213/ |
| Bonaventure [3] 228/11 228/16 373/11 bonds [6] 300/3 300/6 364/19 364/23 365/1 | $\begin{array}{ll}267 / 18 \\ \text { but [247] } & 306 / 6312 / 1 \\ 312 / 16313 / 2\end{array}$ but [247] | cards [7] $152 / 2$ 153/ 181/21 $182 / 6182$ 354/12 |
| $355 / 21$ | but - [4] 28/4 43/ 115/19 256/11 |  |
| book [3] booked |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| booth [3] $1477115147 / 16147 / 17$bootstrapped [1] 329 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| born [1] 283:11 | 36/16 39/12 41/5 46/9 5015 5012 | 86/10 188/20 288/ |
| both [29] $137 / 18$ 148/5 149/6 $176 / 10$ 190/22 196/2 219/2 223/23 225/9 225/10 226/2 228/23 23518 245/6 258/6 263/11 273122 288/8 288/24 | 5 | 9/23 165/16 168/1 225/14 225/ |
|  | 146/11 146/12 154/2 154/4 154/5 154/12 157/12 | 2 |
|  | $172 / 6210 / 3212 / 8229 / 5$ 250/23 287/ 303/18 303/21 303/24 321/13 321/13 | 359/24 364/11 393/10 401/13 401/14 40 409/12 |
| 391/4 396/20 410/1 | 8216 |  |
| bottles (2) 300/21 300/25 <br> bottom [7] 174/16 182100 221/2 221/13 221/14 | 328/17 328/20 330/3 330/3 332/2 332/4 333/16 | /21307 |
|  | 334/17 334/18 334/23 334/24 345/10 356 |  |
| 324/16 324177 | 18368 | (1)511 |
| bottoms [1] 338/3 | $372$ | case [95] 1/1 1/7 $5 / 65 / 196 / 89 / 210 / 1111 / 9$ |
| BOULDER[2] 1/35/1 |  | 4211 52/22 53/8 53/15 57/11874/4 75/9 91/1 |
|  | 74/23 181/2 253/13 311/8 321/13 362 | 12 149/23 183/1 200/24 224/2 |
| bound [5] 13/1 15/11 399/2 409/5 409/5 <br> box (4] $17 / 4141 / 15141 / 15195 / 3$ <br> boy $[7] 17 / 1447 / 15327 / 1329 / 223355 / 24400 / 2$ | 362/21 383/16 | 227110 229121 231/5 2311/17 234/19 237/12 238/6 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400 / 5 \\ & \text { branch [1] } 385 / 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 238/22 238/23 238/24 239/3 298/6 316/4 316 321/6 321/10 $322 / 1$ 338/18 342/19 342/24 | 336/8 337/1 341/21 355/8 358/ 359/14 359/2 |
| break [6] 44/6 44/9 100/12 $107 / 10248 / 6$ 249/5 |  |  |
| breakfast [7] $82 / 482 / 583 / 2784 / 22136 / 15$ 136/17 $136 / 22$ breaking [1] 382/11 |  | 379/3 380/13 384/12 389/18 389/22 390121 3921 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 157//9 159/3 159/4 165/17 166/9 167/6 185/24 |  |
| breathing [1] $343 / 10$ Brett [3] $194 / 1235 / 22$ | 215/11 229/23 259/4 278/ $282 / 222$ 293/21 37212 <br> 375117 379/9 396/9 | 22719 |
|  |  |  |


| C | cigarette [2] 181/23 365/16 cigarillo [1] 181/24 cigarillos [1] $182 \pi$ | 341/16 358/4 360/5 362/3 3627 369/20 370/20 $370122371 / 1377 / 16377 / 20378 / 23380 / 5380 / 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT [4] 310/6 310/10 310/10 311/22 |  |  |
|  | cigars [2] 80/18 181 | 394/8 394/17 394/21 |
| cause [13] 8/6 12/22 14/18 98/6 271/15 271/19 | circumstances [8] 236/25 320/19 322/7 344/13 | 31 32/20 391/2396/9 |
| /4/3 362/13 378/14 378/15 394/19 398/1 | 344/18 365/4 367/16 367/17 | co-conspirators [11] 87/18 88/17 228/5 229/15 |
|  | circumstantial [1] | $361 / 11$ 361/14 371/22394 |
| caused [3] | circumvent [2] 24/2 24 | co-counsel [4] 90/2 383/10 386/13 391/1 |
| causes [5] 233/18 242/16 243/8 262/11 293/9 | cite [1] 241/6 | co-counsel's [1] $102 / 25$ |
| ution [1] 359/7 | city [3] | co-defendant [7] 23/3 42/11 175/6 227121 228/4 |
| R [2] 1/25 411/5 | cl | 22 231/15 |
| CD [14] 225/21 243/20 | clairvoyance [2] 93/2 | fendant's [2] 136/8 285/17 |
| 2 | clarified [1] 121/23 | defendants [5] 977 238/20 391/2 405/8 407/21 |
| 375/22 382/12 | clarity [4] $89 / 1394 /$ | worker [1] 155/1 |
| CDs [17] 92/15 92/17 225/18 225/25 226/2 228/9 | clarifying [1] 209/25 | coach [2] 405/14 405/15 |
| 228/17 230/19 246/18 2927 349/8 375/17 377/1 | CLARK [5] 1/4 511 14 | code [1] 242/23 |
| 383/3 396/22 399/13 399/18 | clause [15] 22/1 23/12 24/18 24/24 25/7 9 | codefendants [1] 339/16 |
| iling [3] 1 | 91/6 91/7 91/22 230/15 23//13 232/14 244/22 | llect [7] 126/14 126/15 126/16 251/8 251/11 |
| cell [13] 39/21 39/22 48/18 153/14 153/18 153/23 | 373/24 375/20 | 251/18 334/24 |
| 154/2 154/3 157/11 157/21 $158 / 4402 / 15402 / 20$ | clear [27] 75/9 $77 / 1137 / 17155 / 16189 / 4$ 206/10 | collected [9] 42/23 150/10 180/21 180/22 191/22 |
| $\mathrm{r}[2]$ |  | 219/6 252/1 257/19 312 |
| certain [12] | 265/4 295/17 30 | collacting [1] $170 / 7$ |
| 234/22 263/21 282/21 290/3 377/11 377/12 40077 | 354/21 355/4 358/8 358/21 365 | ollects [1] 249/21 |
| - | cleared [1] 299/3 | or [2] 18/1 94/23 |
| /5 359/2 361/23 372/2 401/15 403/3 408/23 | clearer [3] 247/6 249 | lors [1] 18/4 |
|  | clearing [1] 293/7 | combine [2] 385/17 392/2 |
| certificate [1 | clearly (23] $32 / 133 / 2042 / 19241 / 3245 / 2$ 248/16 | come [78] 6/3 11/13 11/19 14/7 17/10 21/17 25/21 |
| fied [1] | 255/13 255/17 256/5 261/16 263/18 265/7 271/18 | $28 / 2429 / 1431 / 2032 / 1644 / 20$ 50/16 51/10 56/9 |
| a [4] | 2 | 16 57/14 61/12 73/16 80/9 82/15 83/4 85/23 |
| chain [1] 236/25 | 368/23 371/3 371/11 | /10 90/14 95/19 126/2 |
| [2] 315/231 | clerk [8] 14/14 15/13 233/10 233/11 233/12 291/1 | 128/23 128/25 133/3 134/3 144/9 148/20 160 |
| , |  | 2/1 164/7 165/10 166/3 166/12 166/23 168/9 |
| challenging | clerk's [1] | /11 173/14 176/3 178/4 |
| chance [4] 58/1 286/23 | cleverly [1] 24311 | 8/3 208/6 210/3 211/ 211/16 227/3 241/3 |
| change [10] 14/6 79/4 268/14 279/9 | client [38] 14/24 15/ | $718274 / 5274 / 111$ 279/1 $288 / 14$ 291/23 29212 |
| 286/23 2921 | 74/8 91/20 228/20 22 | $8 / 23333 / 5343 / 1368 / 18375 / 24376 / 2039$ |
| changed [16] 9/5 9/6 78/9 $99 / 591 / 4137 / 1169 / 13$ | 271/16 277/2 288/5 288/7 291/9 348/3 35 | 2011 392/20 393/10 394/6 394/11 406 |
| 279/4 279/11 279/12 281/18 281/19 285/4 286/21 | $356 / 7356 / 15$ 374/11 378/7 378/18 384/19 | comes [9] 82/17 82/22 83/3 87/21 92/14 93/4 |
| 38 | 388/3 388/4 388/11 389/17 391/5 394/2 395 | 6/12 230/16 235/22 |
| changes [2] |  | comfortable [1] 326 |
| nging [2] 282/1 282/2 | client's [5] 6/22 22/4 243/21 243/21 389/2 | y [3] 323/3 345/14 345/2 |
| [1] 173110 | clients [1] 288/9 | coming [20] 8/24 44/12 52/15 52/15 60/15 60/15 |
| ge [ 7$]$ | close [6] 58/22 152/7 269/3 277/16 382/8 395/12 | 5/15 90/14 104/25 105/2 143/23 150/8 |
| 390/24 | clo | (51/21 167/8 189/18 $192 / 4$ 204/11 242/10 285 |
| charged | clo | manded [2] 197/2 $197 / 5$ |
| , |  | mmanding [1] 381/2 |
| ges [22] 9/24 11/16 11/24 12/18 12/19 13/2 | closer [3] 16/6 156 | nments [1] 336/20 |
| 3/4 14/16 15/12 15/15 42/12 125/3 138/13 | closest [1] | mmission [3] 43/4 370/4 380/2 |
| 363/15 369/23 384/5 389/4 389/15 390/11 394/2 |  | mmit [42] 14/20 15/10 42/16 245/6 329/10 |
| $1408 / 14$ | clo | /15 $357 / 25$ 358/2 359/3 359/20 368/11 368/20 |
| rased [1] 19 | clothes [1] 36/14 | (18/22 369/4 369/17 369/24 370/17 371/10 37 |
| check [15] 4/11 82/15 82/17 82/21 82/22 186/9 | clothing [3] 178 | /2/2 376/13 376/14 376/23 377/7 379/19 379/21 |
| 213/12216/9 261/22 318/6 318/23 332/2 367/9 | club [64] 17/17 1 | 1/3 381/24 382/16 382/18 383/10 384/25 387/ |
| $12367 / 14$ | 49/7 49/9 70/25 $72 / 1572 / 1672 / 20$ 73/1 73/ | $388 / 9388 / 12$ 390/24 398/21 398/25 399/1 |
| 俍kbooks [1] 299/23 | 73/11 74/22 74/24 97/5 10:/19 102/12 $103 / 2$ | A/1 410/5 |
| hecked [1] 205/10 | 103/12 103/13 110/14 110/16 132/16 1321 | committed [9] 12/23 12/24 14/19 14/21 14/22 |
| eckpoints [1] 38 | $132 / 20132 / 24133 / 10133 / 13134 / 5$ 134/8 13 | 371/4 380/25 393/4 398/20 |
| eek [1] 156/25 | 1 | common [1] 144/8 |
| est [4] 151/4 151/ | 16 | communicate [1] 48/21 |
| Chevy [5] 18/20 96/22 308/1 $319 / 4319 / 1$ | 189/8 189/16 189/19 190/23 191/3 210/16 211/3 | communication [8] 131/22 153/17 173/4 173/13 |
| child [2] 124/24 125/1 | $211 / 4$ 217/21 217/24 218/9 221/17 266/24 318/4 | 211/13 263/11 263/23 266/7 |
| Idren [1] 407/21 | 367/6 400/9 | communications [1] 320/23 |
| chat [1] 305/8 | Clu | community [8] 400/22 400/24 401/1 404/15 |
| [3] 111/23 | clubs [1] 103/2 | 122 405/10 405/16 407/2 |
| ose [1] 137/20 | co [1] 392117 | company [1] 225/3 |
| ose [1] 58/11 | co-[1] 392/17 | compare [1] 223/3 |
| ristmas [1] 46/18 | co-conspirator [6] | compel [ 1 ] $13 / 22$ |
| ISTOPHER [1] | 32/15 41/18 74/11 | complaint [8] 9/4 |
| nk [1] 365/25 | 88/20 88/23 89/3 89/16 89/18 89/21 90/13 901 | 398/16 |
| [10] 228/4 229/5 229/11 229/72 229/13 | $901$ | complete [7] 111/11 $270 / 12270$ |
| 234/12 238/11 405/17 405/18 405/19 | 239/25 240/5 $240 / 9$ 240/16 $240 / 21$ 240/23 240/24 | 271/18 404/21 408/10 |
| Church's [4] $227117227 / 198227 / 20238 / 21$ | 241/11 241/13 243/13 287/15 288/4 288/13 | completed [1] 273:15 |
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confirm [6] 306/10 311/17 316/9 332/21 345/4 348/15
confirmation [2] $402 / 21$ 403/7
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confirming [2] 403/20 404/2
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25/7 90/19 91/6 91/7 91/22 229/20 230/15 231/13
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Consequently [1] 398/15
consider [17] 246/4 292/20 339/17 367/17 373/2
$373 / 9$ 374/18 377/12 383/25 383/25 384/4 384/4
399/8 400/16 407/25 409/11 410/18
considered [4] 75/10 396/20 398/7 409/3
considering [1] 271/9
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conspiracy [107] 14/20 15/9 22/25 23/11 23/14
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conspirators [1] 228/11
conspired [3] 379/25 380/15 381/24
constant [2] 194/24 195/1
constitutional [2] 43/6 43/9
constructive [1] 204/2
consult [5] 41/4 43/23 57/19 138/8 354/1
consults [1] 354/4
contact (16]) 55/24 $124 / 5$ 154/18 159/8 160/9
161/4 165/10 166/20 17217 178/4 191/24 192/5 201/25 211/7 21/117 336/5
contacted [7] 154/21 $160 / 4$ 192/9 192/25 251/5 257/6 313/5
contained [4] 33/20 176/6 176/8 274/3
contains [1] 276/25
content [f] 286/5
contents [1] 291/17
context [29] $233 / 13233 / 24234 / 25 \quad 235 / 18242 / 18$ 243/7 244/3 244/10 244/17 245/19 250/14 $250 / 15$ 250/76 267/2 279/15 282/23 283/5 283/7 285/12 286/7 286/12 289/20 293/14 295/3 339/22 383/14 386/3 401/17 403/10
continue [9] 97/18 $137 / 21$ 164/25 196/12 309/9
$312 / 8333 / 8333 / 10407 / 1$
continued [1] 137/8
continues [1] 87118
contraband [1] 258/12
contradicted [1] 381/14
contro! [10] $197 / 22$ 197/24 198/1 198/2 $198 / 7$ 198/9 198/14 198/14 204/3 $239 / 19$
controlling [1] 179/2
conversation [55] 21/22 25/14 25/17 25/19 27/19
31/21 33/11 33/12 50/14 51/8 51/13 52/8 52/9
103/14 105/7 107/6 $117 / 10 \quad 165 / 14184 / 5194 / 13$ 214/9 224/14 236/14 236/23 245/9245/19 249/7 249/21 250/3 253/4 263/15 264/1 284/7 $322 / 22$ $322 / 25323 / 1340 / 5$ 344/12 344/16 344/24 344/25 $345 / 13345 / 18346 / 18347 / 22348 / 1352 / 4358 / 21$ 362/9 362/13 362/19 387/13 395/7 399/20 401/25 conversations [29] 37/20 40/16 42/19 42/20 59/4 60/6 75/5 99/14 122/19 124/15 172/8 216/1 216/3 $222 / 24$ 229/17 243/4 246/19 266/11 282/17
282/18 305/9 323/18 348/4 388/16 388/22 388/24 $388 / 25390 / 4390 / 6$
Convertible [1] 143/2
convicted [1] 228/21
conviction [1] 409:20
cool [4] 323/11 326/4 346/2 348/20
cooperation [1] 408/24
cop [1] 117/11
copies [8] 203/23 222/6 223/24 224/3 224/6
276/16 328/12 354/6
cops [3] 110/23 121/5 $121 / 5$
copy [14] 4/117/13 223/22 273/16 276/6 276/8
276/9 276/11 284/17 284/19 299/22 321/21
328/19 347/12
corner [4] 79/12 79/13 97/12 350/13
Coroner's [1] 155/21
corpus [1] 41/11
correct [188]
corrected [2] $362 / 22364 / 7$ correctly (1] 50/15
corresponding [1] 204/25
corroborate (2] 340/19 379/12
corroborated [5] 358/70 358/10 359/11 391/14 391/15
corroborates [1] 396/9
corroborating [3] 287122 379/15 379/16
corroboration [20] 75/12 92/20 287/14 287/16 287/19 288/15 $337 / 4337 / 6340 / 24341 / 4341 / 5$ 341/16 359/12 359/15 360/8 352/5 378/9 379/4 396/5 404/1
couch [15] 86/11 86/13 93/16 180/12 180/15 180/17 181/15 181/16 181/20 181/22 182/8 $182 / 10182 / 10182 / 13353 / 2$
couches [1] $315 / 19$
cough [3] 194/22 194/24 195/1
coughed [1] 365/7
coughing [2] 226/8 366/6
could [95] 5/16 33/16 43/12 51/5 51/23 57/19 87/6 90/20 93/9 102/44 108/11 111/9 111/11 111/22 130/18 $134 / 15$ 149/14 150/24 157/1 183/40 185/18 191/8 193/16 $197 / 13202 / 16$ 205/12 205/22 205/24 206/3 206/9 206/19 210/11 $212 / 21$ 213/24 218/3 223/14 224/8 228/20 229/4 $232 / 237 / 23237 / 23239 / 8242 / 18243 / 10244 / 23$ 245/11 254/24 256/8 263/4 263/5 263/17 263/17 264/6 264/8 264/19 267/15 269/17 278/24 278/25 279/1 281/1 28/18 291/1 296/9 296/10 296/11 296/12 301/24 308/8 309/20 313/22 316/12 $318 / 22318 / 22322 / 25323 / 19324 / 13328 / 19$ $332 / 4344 / 5344 / 9346 / 7346 / 9353 / 12354 / 4$ 355/12 373/3 390/21 396/25 399/10 399/24 404/4 406/14 408/10
couldn't [23] 68/18 208/20 210/21 229/12 229/13 239/13 256/1 256/2 $263 / 17$ 280/12 280/20 282/21 292/2 342/16 342/22 359/14 375/17 379/14 $382 / 20382 / 21382 / 25383 / 4385 / 15$ couldn't - [1] 292/2
counsel [39] 5/19 7/16 10/24 13/12 41/20 44/11 57/19 57/22 58/11 100/12 138/8 214/7 214/19 223/22 229/10 233/23 238/2 238/14 239/7 241/7 245/25 261/19 $262 / 5276 / 12277 / 10296 / 1297 / 16$ $322 / 17354 / 7354 / 11357 / 2357 / 12366 / 111374 / 11$ $389 / 7391 / 10393 / 12394 / 18410 / 3$
counseled [2] 356/7 356/15
counseling [1] 381/1
count [19] 14/25 245/5 257/15 355/19 355/20 $357 / 13$ 369/13 379/18 380/24 381/23 384/24 $385 / 2386 / 16388 / 9388 / 10388 / 14398 / 24398 / 25$ 399/1
Count IV [2] 355/20 399/1
counts [120] $1 / 8$ 1/21 5/76/1 6/127/3 15/1 $22 / 21$ 36/21 89/12 $122 / 11122 / 13122 / 23122 / 25123 / 3$ 123/7 $123 / 9$ 123/10 124/3 124/6 124/10 127/12 127/14 127/17 131/20 132/1 132/13 174/11 174/12 174/14 174/19 174/22 175/5 176/12 176/20 178/5 178/6 178/22 282/7 283/9 284/5 285/6 288/23 304/10 304/21 304/24 305/18 $305 / 19320 / 15320 / 24321 / 7322 / 22323 / 22333 / 1$ 333/4 336/17 336/22 338/17 339/15 339/18 $340 / 15340 / 19341 / 6342 / 8342 / 21343 / 11343 / 10$ $345 / 7$ 348/9 349/14 349/24 350/4 350/9 350/21 $356 / 15358 / 14358 / 15358 / 24359 / 3367 / 20$ $368 / 24376 / 1378 / 11381 / 7382 / 2382 / 10385 / 6$ 385/25 386/1 386/7 386/11 386/14 386/17 386/24 $387 / 2387 / 3387 / 7387 / 10387 / 14387 / 18387 / 21$ $387 / 25388 / 11$ 388/13 389/6 389/24 390/17 391/5 393/3 398/1 398/14 398/19 399/2 399/23 405/6 405/9 406/3 407/15 407/19 410/18
Counts - [1] 15/1
Counts' [15] 122/4 181/9 181/11 $290 / 2$ 290/7


| D | distinction [1] 234/18district [23] $1 / 209 / 25$ 11/2 11/12 $13 / 1$ 14/574/16 $15 / 1415 / 1741 / 1543 / 20105 / 24106 / 13107 /$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { draw [2] } 300 / 15312 / 5 \\ & \text { dressed [1] } 55 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| determine [14] $12 / 2189 / 25158 / 22247 / 6247 / 9$$247 / 10247 / 21248 / 3252 / 5252 / 6295 / 9315 / 25$ |  |  |
|  | 138/13 201/12 202/11 204/3 2227 229/21 399/4 | drink [2] 365/10 371/14 |
| 406/25 4097 | 408/14 410/21 | drinks [2] 49/25 50/3 |
| detour [1] 207/14 | disturbed [4] 149/1 | drive [18] $39 / 5$ 39/20 54/23 54/25 70/22 70/22 |
| developing [1] 224/23 | disturbing [t] 148/2 | 71/1 71/12 147/14 193/16 193/19 199/23 208/9 |
| device [23] 153/17 249/19 249/21 256/25 259 | divided [1] 147/1 | 305/5 305/13 336/4 401/23 404/16 |
| $26215262119263 / 3263314263 / 81463 / 1313263 / 16$ | division [1] 298/22 | driven [4] 208/14 209/4 305/7 312/1 |
| 264/6 264/9 264/9 264/12 264/12 265/19 266/1 | divulging [1] 271/10 | driver [1] $40 / 7$ |
| 269/12 269/12 270/3 270/4 | Dixie [1] 217/3 | driver's [10] 64/1 64/5 65/8 65/10 65/14 65/15 |
| devices [4] 261/20 261/22 263/21 271/2 | do [314] | 65/16 68/25 69/1 153/15 |
| diagrams [2] 148/19 148/20 did [623] | $0-[1] 357!$ | drives (5] 39/1 39/2 40/1 235/24 236/3 |
| d -- [1] 180/19 | [4] 148 | driving [23] 40/9 $71 / 577 / 10$ 77/21 79/16 79/19 |
| didn't [195] | documented [1] | 85/3 85/4 97/10 97/11 |
| didn't - [1] 350/1 | documenting [2] 147/4 14814 | 167/25 192/13 192/14 195/20 196/12 308/5 308/8 |
| died [1] 27/22 | documents [2] 6/25 204/7 | 308/11 309/22 310/24 |
| difference [7] 66/25 150/8 197/4 281/20 281/21 281/22 391/17 | does [61] $13 / 2$ 14/25 17/19 23/9 25/7 26/13 $34 / 24$ 35/15 35/16 35/18 35/20 35/21 35/24 36/1 36/3 | dropped [5] $9711197 / 17$ 97/18 98/13 129/9 |
| different [23] 25/3 53/24 59/11 63/4 98/4 148/21 | 36/4 37/19 37/19 38/1 66/4 69/24 82/13 82/1 | drove [19] 61/16 61/97 61/18 61/99 61 |
| 188/21 188/23 243/23 247/19 248/1 268 | 90/18 93/5 97/4 98/15 98/17 98/18 133/9 148/17 | 72/14 96/19 124/13 130/1 136/25 165/22 167/9 |
| 268/13 271/14 281/13 322/19 324/9 345/17 361/8 | 160/5 166/3 206/22 210/15 211/9 241/8 241/12 | 169/14 193/25 313/3 323/23 327/17 393/4 |
| 364/15 393/23 397/6 403/23 | 250/11 264/13 266/16 267/16 276/10 288/4 | drug [3] 41/7 41/15 53/10 |
| different - [1] 397/6 | 297/16 306/4 310/10 319/23 321/25 350/8 350/21 | drugs [10] 324/22 346/19 346/19 347/15 347/19 |
| difficult [7] 223/17 263/6 265/5 270/2 $270 / 9$ | 350/21 352/6 356/23 358/9 368/8 371/21 377/6 | 347/19 348/6 348/9 348/13 405/11 |
| 270/10 283/19 | 392/9 407/21 409/20 | drugstores [1] 151/17 |
| difficulty [1] 268/2 | doesn't [40] 11/1 12/10 24/24 24/25 39/25 78/23 | dudes [4] 330/23 330/25 331/4 331/9 |
| DIGIACOMO [28] 1119214 | 90/21 138/14 168/25 183/8 217/9 230/11 $230 / 25$ | due [1] 90/8 |
| $2 / 142 / 182 / 1912 / 322 / 14$ 33/1 33/5 33/21 106/15 | 243/14 243/15 253/7 259/22 260/3 266/17 270/5 | duty [6] 15/24 45/12 58/17 145/14 172/22 302/11 |
| 106/18 246/9 246/23 276/9 286/19 343/8 344/17 | $282 / 10308 / 24339 / 4351 / 16355 / 6359 / 12361 / 5$ | dumped [2] 325/6 334/13 |
| 348/2 $350117372 / 6$ 376/6 378/16 | 364/10 364/14 365/22 366/5 372/17 372/18 373/9 | duplicative [1] 336/24 |
| Digiacomo's [3] 88/15 187/4 246/15 | 373/20 374/25 388/4 391/14 394/13 405/7 | during [80] 6/13 24/22 37/11 51/2 104/16 106/24 |
| dire [9] $2172 / 12213130 / 14140 / 18$ 195/11 | doing [15] 21/19 $25 / 2426 / 242 / 18$ 80/15 86/12 | 107/10 107/14 $107 / 177^{148 / 14} 156 / 6$ 157/5 161/20 |
| 195/17 213/25 214/4 | 128/13 134/13 212/6 230/ 274/14 341/10 373/15 | 164/1 165/14 167/4 168/15 170/19 171/10 171/13 |
| direct [26] $2 / 42 / 42 / 102 / 122 / 132 / 142 / 1816 / 1$ | 379/8 389/11 | 173/6 173/11 173/24 175/24 189/10 194/19 195/1 |
| 16/15 45/14 58/19 145/16 146/4 154/13 157/20 | dollar [6] 83/24 83/24 84/7 181/13 221/11 251/25 | 195/3 201/24 206/15 206/15 206/21 210/13 |
| 157/22 157/23 170/8 207/17 214/16 302/13 303/2 | doliars [2] 79/4 251/23 | 219/11 232/9232/18 235/6 240/21 $249117249 / 18$ |
| 303/12 305/24 328/7 332/18 | don't [209] | 250/5 252/6 252/6 256/9 268/6 274/18 278/7 |
| directed [1] 169/21 | don't - [2] 87/7 218/12 | 285/2 291/12 295/1 296/5 299/10 299/16 303/14 |
| direction [5] 38/19 63/4 63/4 63/5 246/10 | done [32] 7/14 20/1 20/25 23/15 23/18 41/22 | 303/17 305/4 305/7 305/13305/20 311/9 313/10 |
| directions [1] 14815 | 94/10 116/14 118/14 120/11 156/25 193/ | 313/19 314/4 315/25 317/23 319/3 320/13 322/24 |
| directly [7] 43/2 72/15 97/23 184/10 369/24 | 210/25 238/20 240/14 244/8 249/21 274/18 | 323/21 336/12 342/25 352/3 362/3 367/11 367/13 |
| 380/16 381/1 | 296/18 313/4 321/15 321/16 329/4 337/8 347/ | 378/24 399/20 401/23 408/17 408/24 |
| ditty [1] 305/2 | 353/12 358/14 368/12 374/23 385/23 391/8 410/9 | duties [1] 146/25 |
| disagree [4] 23/24 256/25 376/25 408/2 | Doolittle [2] 405/14 405/15 | dyina [1] $52 / 25$ |
| disagreement [1] $206 / 8$ disclose [1] 274/20 | door [19] 66/2 66/3 67/11 86/9 165/23 165/24 180/13 193/1 220/18 220/19 220/20 220/21 | E |
| disconjunctive [1] 283/4 | 220/24 291/22 292/11 309/20 332/6 351/18 |  |
| disconnected [1] $329 / 23$ | 364 | 283/13 283/16 283/18 300/3 328/15 357/12 |
| discounted [1] 397/16 | door -- [1] 2921 | 362/25 381/3 394/14 397/24 |
| discourse [1] 11/11 | doors [5] 66/4 294/4 294/6 315/17 319/22 | ear [4] 157/2 157/8 216/25 359/1 |
| discover [1] 286/25 | double [1] 74/7 | earlier [13] 27/21 27/22 27/23 100/21 115/5 |
| discovery [5] 4212 18 | dovetail [2] 92 | 115/22 127/3 127/3 214/24 252/9 276/13 276/19 |
| discuss [5] 6/6 92/7 266/15 278/2 367/20 | down [64] 14/5 28/4 $28 / 529$ | 311/22 |
| 112/15 153/5 193/13 238/6 281/15 285/23 294/5 | $97 / 19$ 107/18 151/23 153/2 154/18 158/10 161/4 | early [4] 100/2 111/1 150/11 303/13 earned [1) 318/23 |
| discusses [1] 44/13 | 164/8 167/8 167/9 185/14 197/18 207/20 208/3 | earphones [1] 296/11 |
| discussing [1] $7 / 4$ | 208/6 208/9 208/14 $209 / 4210 / 4235 / 15262$ | earth [1] 361/22 |
| discussion [14] 5/21 21/1837/7571/11 108/13 | 262/8 262/10 276/11 27714 294/13 299/1 299/13 | easier [1] 357713 |
| /10 145/1 193/11 201/21 262/21 358/2 | 隹 | east [8] 123/25 $147 / 15147 / 2015$ |
| 361/16 361/23 406/21 | $331 / 17331 / 21331 / 24333 / 6334 / 13$ 339/5 350/5 | 350/14 350/15 350/18 |
| disjointed [1] 384/16 | 359/1 365/23 368/18 371/7 382/14 403/19 407/13 | eat [2] 82/4 82/8 |
| disk [3] 225/10 225/12 | downstairs [1] 221/20 | eating [1] 82/25 |
| disks [4] 224/8 $2327234 / 7234 / 7$ | Dr [1] 7114 | effect [1] 209/25 |
| dismiss [3] 378/10 386/10 388/10 | drafted [5] 168/3 170/9 190/21 19:/21 275/1 | efforts [8] 172/17 172/20 176/12 176/14 222/22 |
| dismissed [2] 13/4 391/5 | drafting [2] 167/3 $167 / 17$ | 365/21 384/11 384/22 |
| dispatched [1] 147/2 | dragging [1] 388/2 | eight [5] 29/21 123/23 321/9 322/1 338/18 |
| dispute [4] 339/6 393/2 402/25 410/2 | DRASKOVICH [16] $1 / 212 / 52 / 72 / 82 / 122 / 15$ | either [21] 6/18 12/17 93/11 $113 / 17$ 119/22 $122 / 5$ |
| disputed [1] 403/2 | 89/6 139/11 206/9 206/23 287/11 291/10 294/25 | 125/8 125/21 150/9 165/11 201/18 205/20 216/14 |
| disregard [1] 242/11 | $340 / 15354 / 9385 / 1$ | $255 / 7$ 306/11 347/23 350/15 368/21 378/17 |
| distance [4] 62/14 62/21 133/11 133/18 | Draskovich's [2] 142/15 234/9 | 382/21 410/11 |


| E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { elderly [2] } 94 / 1694 / 17 \\ & \text { elderly - [1] } 94 / 17 \\ & \text { elevation [1] } 153 / 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | /2217/21 $237 / 829$ |
|  |  |  |
| Eleven [6] 80/2 80/5 80/7 80/13 80/16 80/17 | even [40] 13/20 27/3 41/144 41/19 75/21 83/2 83/4 |  |
| elicit [4] 87/12 90/5 324/5 390/14elicited [2] 188/12 291/14 |  | cuting [日] 165/6 165/9 |
|  |  | 35 |
| eliciting [1] 136/9 |  |  |
| else [43] 18/920/7 21/10 27/7 34/6 38/22 59/22 |  | 171/14 172/16 173/6 189/8 191/25 303/1 |
| 70/9 75/23 77/13 82/3 84/8 89/11 93/17 96/14 103/1 115/21 117/1 163/14 178/17 187/5 204/9 |  | 320/14 |
|  |  | [1] |
| 210/20 251/18 274/12 275/14 281/24 289/25 |  |  |
| 294/4 294/10 351/6 360/1 362/21 365/6 366/2 $367 / 11$ 368/13 368/16 371/21 373/14 379/11 |  | bit [52] $7 / 1117 / 1$ |
|  |  | 110151191521 |
| $367 / 11$ 368/13 368/16 371/21 373/14 379/11 402:17 409/4 | eventually [73] 34/13 34/17 35/24 54/18 56/5 | 153/3 153/12 177/5 180/6 180/24 181/66 181/16 |
| employed [7] 101/19 145/19 145/20 145/22 |  | 182/3 182/3 182/14 2 $18 / 2$ 218/13 218/16219/12 |
| employee [3] 159/1 163/ |  |  |
|  |  | 314/21 315/14 317/24 318/12 318/18 |
| employers [1] 266/11employment [1] 163/21 | 176/19 176/24 177/25 178/4 178/29 180/20 183/2 | 1/25 338/12 |
|  |  | -[1] |
| employment [1] 163/21 | 214/1 216/21 219/1 221/5 222/6 228/20 228/2 | ibits [11] 150/20 156/18 179/12 180/3 |
| encouraging [1] 381/2 <br> end [10] 51/8 88/2 92/25 229/5 231/4 333/16 |  | 01/22 314/18 320/5 |
|  |  |  |
| end [10] 51/8 88/2 92/25 229/5 231/4 333/16 337/12 364/18 399/6 399/7 |  | [4] 60/24 196/25 197/20 19810 |
| enforcement [1] $272 / 18$English [1] $45 / 18$ | Eventuall | $\mathrm{d}[3] \quad 196 / 2131$ |
|  | 4) | [1] 265/25 |
| English [1] 45/18 |  | n [2] 134/19 137 |
| enhanced [16] 223/3 223/11 223/16 223/18 223/21 223/23 223/25 224/13 225/8 234/2 236/3 236/5 236/7 254/8 259/15 354/21 |  | rence [4] 270 |
|  |  | , |
| 236/5 236/7 254/8 259/15 354/21 | $324 / 1333 / 4$ 338/16 340/20 340/21 352/1 359/23 | lain [9] 51/12 52 |
|  | 375/15375/15 381/17 386/17 386/19 387/4 |  |
| enhancements [2 <br> enough [14] 46/3 |  | explains [3] 175/19 244/19 245/22 |
| $382 / 7382 / 8382 / 14382 / 15388 / 21389 / 4$ 397/8 405/15 405/16 |  |  |
|  |  | explosions [2] 176/1 |
| 405/15 405/16 enter [6] 80/13 211/1 298/9 311/14 369/19 370/17 | ev | [ [2] 267/9 267 |
| enter [6] 80/13 211/1 298/9 311/14 369/19 370/17 entered [5] 172/23 307/21 308/15 313/2 386/17 |  | expressly [1] 11/25 |
|  |  | 40 |
|  | ev | $367 / 10$ |
|  | everything [30] 24/14 30/1 45/25 58/4 87/5 89/24 | $d[1] 176$ |
| entertaining [1] 286/20 entertainment [1] 86/ | 90/13 111/21 129/7 191/17 203/20 224/24 292/6 | extremely [ 1 ] 270/5 |
| entire [43] 157/1 191/15 195/3 236/14 236/17 <br> 237/17 254/11 $264 / 1323 / 22340 / 5343 / 22345 / 10$ | 293/21 294/13 323/5 323/9 325/10 325/13 325/23 | ye [4] 306/1 306/4 311/9 312/ |
|  | 48/18 348/18 354/2 359/17 370 | ves [1] $367 \%$ |
| 237/17 254/11 264/1 323/22 340/5 343/22 345/10 389/1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $253 / 25257 / 9349 / 6391 / 10$ |  |
|  |  | 23212 |
| episode [2] 335/23 |  | 99/23 |
|  |  | ces [1] 350/ |
| erase [1] 89/21 | 192/1 206/11 228/7299/25 230/5 235/23 257/15 | ial [1] 267 |
| escalated (1] 1971 | 26 | facing [7] 42/12 69/1 315/22 350/15 |
|  | Examination [26] $2 / 42 / 42 / 62 / 72 / 72 / 10$ 2/12 | 9/15 |
| escape [1] 389/12 | 2 | [60] |
|  |  | 515120 |
| ESPINDOLA [47] 1/8 1/22 5/8 5/9 5/24 57/1927 158/5 158/17 158/25 159/6 159/10 159/19 191/7 |  | (189/16 169/23 185/5 185/21 188/4 188/15 |
|  | ex | 188/25 193/i8 20 |
| 211/7 211/12 211/18 211/19 211/22 212/2 212/14 | example [1] $267 / 12$ | 245/4 247/4 249/11 $262 / 6262 / 9$ 265/18 268/1 |
| 212/17 213/7 213/12 213/19 214/6 214/18 226/3 |  | 4117 288/18 288/18 |
| $242 / 4242 / 13$ 242/17 247/11 247/111 $282 / 12$ |  | 340/22 340/24 341/2 345/5 345/6 345/9 350/2 |
| $285 / 123$ 306/11 307/6307/17 309/13 317/18 | ex | $359 / 19$ 364/9 364/12 367/23 371/2 376/19 |
|  | exception [16] 53/11 74/13 74/14 87/115 89/15 | 381/17 387/9 |
| $341 / 22385 / 13 ~ 386 / 20 ~ 397 / 14 ~ 398 / 20 ~ 398 / 23 ~$ | 89/22 169/2 183/8 186/21 228/ 239/23 240/16 | (ts [4] 19/76 $278 / 2$ |
|  | 241/1 241/324 | ed [1] 75/12 |
|  | exceptions [4] | fails [1] 246/15 |
| essential [1] $183 / 17$ <br> essentially [3] 231/15 357/3 368/4 |  | failure [2] 410 |
| establish [13] 23/13 23/16 23/22 41/11 42/9 43/1/1 |  |  |
|  |  | 06 |
| 236/25 296/4 341/4 364/17 391/16 394/7 396/7 established [5] 23/23 32/12 88/3 371/22 405/24 establishes [5] $8 / 532117371 / 3392117396 / 8$ | exclusionary [2] 5/24 6/11 | $267 / 23268 / 10$ |
|  | excuse [6] 25/16 123/8 313/1 324/20 330/12 | fainly [2] $779 / 16$ |


| F |  | front [34] 36/9 36/22 40/11 40/13 45/24 60/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| falls [1] 246/13 |  |  |
| falsehood [1] 168/25 |  | 309/20 315/11 316/2 316/17 317/13 384/8 390/2 |
| familiar [2] 79/22 361/20 | fixing [3] $12775127 / 6127 / 7$ | 225 |
| familiarity [1] 388/6 | (10) | frustrating [1] 3737 |
|  | flight [2] 400/22 400/23 | Sustration [1] 383/2 |
|  | flip [7] 148/14 149/19 156/3218/8 273/23 313/22 | c [1] 367/8 |
| 405/23 405/24 <br> family's [1] $390 \pi$ |  | ucking [2] 114/10 374/22 |
|  |  | [5] $27 / 13140 / 20253 / 425$ |
| far [ 186 ] $32 / 241 / 2441 / 2562 / 1162 / 1362 / 1374 / 3$ 133/9 133/9 170/16 197/21 229/21 241/10 289/7 | flipping [2] 203/6 244/14 | -time [1] 404/17 |
|  | floor [11] 69/16 106/10 106/11 106/12 | fully [1] $45 / 19$ |
| 303116 316/2 382/10 396/14larther [4] $621156211862 / 25 ~ 265 / 9$ | $181 / 20181 / 22$ 182/1 $300 / 5364 / 20365 / 2$ | Mrn $12{ }^{2331}$ |
|  | floo | 52/24 53/16 102/21 142/614334 |
| Farthest [2] 957 95/16father [4] 195/5 209/24 210/3 299/11 | fiu | 153/6 161/25 173/12 17 |
|  |  | 255/10 269/7 290/24 296/25 |
| father.in-law [1] 80/8 | filyers [8] 17720 17/21 17/22 18/1 19/2 70 | 7/1 353/23 353/24 |
| fax [1] 92/15 faxed [1] $227 / 11$ |  | herance [25] 22/24 23/11 23/20 322174/15 |
|  |  |  |
| FBI [6] 184/18 185/2 193/22 236/4 259/5 396/25 federal [1] 396/25 <br> feed [2] 212/9 212/10 | folder [1] 203/16 | 183/ 235/9 240/22 361/15 362/3 362/ |
|  | foll | 2 |
|  | following '6] $102 / 25$ 109/22 17012510012 |  |
| feeding (1) 32124 |  |  |
| feeling [2] $248 / 13137 / 6$feels (2) $271 / 183631 / 17$ |  | future [9] 10/13 12/2 23/6 533526 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | (fuziiness [1] 259/18 |
|  |  | G |
| feiony [3] 12/18 12/19 409/20 fell [12] $280 / 3383 / 2$ |  | gallery [1] 239/10 |
| mal |  |  |
|  |  | 析 |
| fithth [3] 9/19/4 328/9 |  | garbage [8] 126/13 |
|  | formation [2] 32113 | 8/19 $369 / 5381 / 13$ |
| FIGLER [3] 1/22 5/14 410figure (1) 12814 |  | thered [1] 5/5 |
|  |  | hering [1] 75/13 |
| (figured [2] 94/12 137/11 |  | torade [i] 365/10 |
|  | Forth (3) $23298203 / 2536213$ | gave [18] 29/6 2916 29/878/17 78/22 78/22 7 |
|  | forward [8] $16 / 343 / 25138 / 14170 / 8174 / 4255 / 15$ | 106/21 106/24 110/22 112/6 113/25 $203 / 24$ |
| 10/12 |  | 82/12 321/20 325 |
| fittering [1] 244 |  | ve - [1] 78/22 |
|  | found | general [2] 370/13 400/17 |
|  | 2 | generally [3] 85/12 200/9 200 |
|  | 299/18 300/2 300/5 300/13 300/16 300/21 | generate [2] 275/11 275/15 |
| financial [1] 405\% |  | generated [2] 272/8 273/10 |
| find [37] 14/10 22/22 56/5 127/20 154/5 168/11 203/3 220/ 220/22 239/13 $252 / 22$ 252/24 254/23 287/15 287/19 294/16 313/19 317/4 317/24 330/9 | 402/24 403/25 | gentleman (3) 91/18 226/1424 |
|  | foundation [21] | ntleman's [1] 43/6 |
|  | 18321 | gesture (1) 418 R |
| 336/5 340/23 341/3 345/20 346/19 352/1 355/6 359/14 359/24 360/4 364/22 391/13 391/14 391/15 393/17 398/11 398/18 | 225/22 2266/20 226/25 232/3 232/20 236/16 | get (135) 7/4 19/4 19/7 21/5 23/15 28/18 34/22 |
|  | 24 | 35/15 35/15 35/16 367 381/22 39 |
|  | foundational [4] 189/3 209/13 237/20 249/13 | 2125 |
| finding [3] 14/18 396/21 408/19 <br> Fine [7] 8/18 $137 / 21$ 186/17 189/1 244/4 261/16 337/11 | four [28] 916 18/7 $30 / 1872 / 1818141 / 4164 / 16$ | 54/23 58/22 65/25 70/13 72/21 76/18 76/20 77 |
|  | 169/25 182/19 221/22 268/18 269/1 269/3 277 | 777/ 78/3 85/585/7 85/24 87/3 87/19 90/14 92/25 |
|  | 286/14 286/44 307/f5 319/19 324/15 324/1 |  |
| finger [5] 14/23 114/25 235/13 235/13 375/12 | 368/6 372/3 386/11 388/17 393/2 399/2 405 | 126/10 126/12 126/23 128/6 131/10 132/23 |
|  | 40 | $1322513312133120134 / 17134 / 20134422$ 13577 |
| fingerprint [4] 387/17 <br> finish [11] 235/10 235/11 289/23 295/21 296/46 |  |  |
| 296/21 296/22 323/15 336/11 35010 406/19 finished [1] $270 / 8$ | four-hour [2] 268/7 284/2 | 173/21 174/3 176/12 176/23 186/22 19019 1977/20 |
|  | fourth [2] 159/16 329 | 198117 211/20 223/24 225/18 22818 228/11 22977 |
| fires [1] $380 / 9$ | framing [1] 387/25 | 230/8 231/2 $2311 / 4234 / 22$ 239/6240133 24014 |
| first [76] 5/11 15/24 18/8 19/4 19/10 19/25 22/10 | frankly (4] 373/21 374/19 384/16 40712 | 242/21 242/25 243/16 244/8 249/23 256/14 262/5 |
| 27/19 32/11 38/24 45/12 46/16 60/25 61/17 69/17 69/24 73/ 90/76 101/13 110/22 111/23 112/24 | free [9] $3214144 / 14196112$ 202/11 20212 235/20 | 274/17 284/14 285/24 289/20 292/6 295/2 296/8 |
|  | 249/1 256/9 32 | 304/6 326/8 335/6 $337 / 9$ |
| 188/8 $120 / 71377 / 6145 / 14147711147 / 133165 / 4$ | treety [1] 29210 | 348/19 357/2 358/24 361/20 365/9 366/17 366/22 |
| 165/7 174/17 $174 / 17184 / 13184 / 25187 / 17$ | fresh [1] 177117 | 370/12 371/19 372/10 375/3 376/18 376/20 377 |
|  | Friday [5] 167/12 223/21 276/17 276/18 276/20 | 378/8 382/23 388/ $388 / 24$ 390/4 |
| 187/17 191/9 191/10 192/2 200/15 216/25 224/2 234/75 250/23 251// 254/7 302/11 304/19 306/14 | friend [3] 55/25 131/3 137110 | 396/13 396/25 397/3 397/840719 |
| 306/17 306/25 307/15 308/ $312 / 14312 / 15322 / 3$ $322 / 8$ 334/23 335/1 $344 / 12344 / 16344 / 24$ 345/4 | friends | gets [19) 35/17 37/6 3912269/236912 |
|  | frig | 207/13234120 235/24 236/12 236/2 236182 |
| $345 / 9345 / 10$ 345/13 345/117 351/15 359/12 |  | 256/24 256/25 292/5 294/23 359/19 36311 |
| $110364 / 188367 / 199371 / 4375 / 4377111391 / 1$ | from -- [2] 293/10 401/18 | ts --[1] 256/24 |

getting [27] 42/25 52/20 65/18 73/20 75/19 75/23 83/21 87/25 89/2 99/9 119/16 131/ $134 / 23135 / 2$ 136/2 136/24 165/4 195/9 227 $241 / 9$ 266/9 268/9 287/19 291/25 316/15 390/8 391/22 GIANCARLO [1] $1 / 19$
gin [6] 244/18 $365 / 13365 / 14365 / 20371 / 13$ 371/14
girl's [1] 360/17
girlfriend (5) 154/19 157/18 238/21 239/3 396/4
give [20] 14/15 15/13 28/25 45/25 111/21 $187 / 10$
203/23 204/7 204/8 234/25 244/19 336/4 345/19
366/24 367/9 367/10 367/12 399/3 408/5 408/7
given [10] 9/24 14/12 14/12 $42 / 5$ 104/6 108/6
141/17 273/21 405/6 409/21
gives [1] 140/14
giving [2] 286/23 395/20
glad [4] 323/16 323/16 346/3 346/3
glass [4] 95/8 95/8 180/13 317/11
glean (1]) $88 / 15$
go [163]
go-to [1] 240/13
God [4] 9/16 45/1 145/7 302/4
goes [40] 25/11 35/19 37/10 38/17 38/18 38/18 $38 / 24$ 65/15 65/16 84/21 84/24 186/24 187/1
187/6 224/23 229/2 245/21 247/14 248/16 248/17
254/18 277/24 277/24 292/21 292/22 292/23
292/24 297/12 328/15 329/10 348/18 349/7
359/23 375/10 380/8 397/18 402/11 402/13
402/19 403/19
going [288]
going - [2] 80/10 197/16
gold [1] 238/19
gone [6] 103/20 116/11 $218 / 4$ 255/5 274/24
331/19
gonna [1] 323/7
good [33] 20/14 20/15 101/18 144/19 145/18
158/15 178/19 225/16 243/25 258/3 258/4 270/5
$281 / 7281 / 8287 / 3297 / 25298 / 3298 / 5302 / 15$
$302 / 19$ 335/14 335/16 336/18 375/9 382/14
$382 / 15382 / 24397 \Pi$ 400/3 404/8 404/8 405/15
405/15
got (139]
gotten [9] 53/13 101/8 $119 / 19120 / 15$ 191/20
243/11 406/4 406/5 410/6
government [3] 209/1 381/20 396/25
grab [3] 34/8 217/24 301/24
graciously [1] 408/11
Grand [4] 24/20 24/22 25/4 25/4
grandfather [1] 299/11
grandma [2] 100/3 100/4
grandma - [1] 100/3
grandmother [1] 100/3
grave [1] 401/8
gray [1] $94 / 24$
great [ 9 ] 161/23 236/11 293/17 373/1 381/6 381/7
383/11 383/23 399/13
greatest [1] $361 / 22$
green [1] 311/2
gross [1] 12/18
ground [2] 151/15 359/1
group [7] 82/2 837 146/24 164/24 183/3310/13
311/1
group- [1] $82 / 2$
growing [1] 89/15
guess [22] 67/23 76/22 88/16 94/21 120/17
155/16 180/16 206/24 211/20 226/22 231/22
302/19 310/4 314/10 329/18 339/3 355/18 366/4
392/23 393/3 395/23 398/15
guess - [2] 231/22 392/23
guilt [2] 217117 336/19
guilty [4] 369/21 370/10 383/8 383/10 gun (30] 28/16 28/18 59/8 59/9 59/10 59/16 66/19 66/21 67/3 68/13 68/21 69/3 69/6 69/6 71/13 71/14 71/16 131/13 290/5 290/7 290/9 290/11 290/14 290/16 343/25 353/16 353/21 375/8 380/9 380/9
gunpowder [1] 390/18
gunshot [3] 8/6 156/24 157/3
guy [39] 94/21 112/16 115/23 116/1 116/13 †21/6 122/19 $127 / 6230 / 4$ 236/21 240/13 255/4 287/8 292/5 292/10 293/8 358/15 358/25 359/19 359/21 $359 / 22$ 360/18 360/19 360/20 364/13 $367 / 24$ $367 / 25368 / 10368 / 12368 / 18371 / 2375 / 5375 / 5$ $388 / 21$ 392/12 392/14 402/7 402/10 402/11 guys [14] 29/17 60/20 82/25 127/14 127/18 $160 / 2$ 284/8 292/14 324/9 324/11 357/8 393/2 393/3 393/4

H
H's [5] 31/22 33/13 34/2 219/14 219/21
habitual [3] 267/23 378/3 378/5
hacking [1] $366 / 7$
had [224]
Hadland [30] 7/158/9 8/12 27/22 27/24 46/7 101/8 146/8 151/4 151/21 152/11 152/15 153/5 155/2 155/22 156/8 156/11 157/6 157/12 163/19 163/22 219/2 289/3 291/15 303/4 380/15 381/25 399/23 401/21 401/24
Hadiand's (5) 15t/14 153/14 153/18 154/12 154/19
hadn't [2] 83/2 83/4
hair [5] 83/21 94/22 94/23 159/16 160/21
half [6] $54 / 20$ 105/19 105/20 109/16 158/13 $315 / 7$
hall [1] $6 / 6$
halls [1] 325/13
hallway [5] 177/10 177/11 177/20 299/14 390/8 hand [14] 7/10 9/13 44/22 44/23 68/10 68/10 $68 / 11$ 68/12 68/13 201/2 201/4 263/17 294/18 30211
handcuff [1] 2927
handcuffed [18] 198/24 198/25 199/6 199/7 199/19 199/21 199/22 199/23 200/10 200/16 201/3 201/5 207/23 208/2 208/5 208/8 208/13 275/19
handcuffs (10) 199/2 199/4 199/12 200/4 200/10 200/20 208/16 208/20 208/21 342/10
handles [2] 380/9 380/10
hands [4] 66/13 199/12 365/20 390/18
happen [7] $37 \pi 130 / 3131 / 22$ 229/8 352/14 364/22 385/21
happened [37] 6/7 $30 / 439 / 956 / 1956 / 2060 / 13$
67/25 73/18 74/22 74/23 74/23 76/4 79/6 83/17 83/20 84/1 93/7 96/16 102/1 166/22 187/14
191/17 198/8 200/24 227/14 234/25 25215 252/6
278/13 331/9 364/15 364/17 365/3 365/4 365/19 375/15 396/7
happening [2] 150/3 306/6
happens[8] $39 / 1$ 65/13 69/21 82/17 $85 / 6336 / 3$ 336/3 336/4
happy [3] 33/3 203/16 401/12
hard [6] 37/1 $252 / 23$ 254/23 305/3 343/9 394/25 harder [1] 265/9
harm [3] 369/18 370/8 370/19
harmed [1] 130/18
HARNESS \{2] 1/25 411/5
Harvey [1] 317/5
has [129] 8/10 $8 / 25$ 11/त $11 / 2512 / 2314 / 1922 / 12$ 22/15 23/7 $25 / 2133 / 1$ 33/20 36/2 39/13 42/2 42/17 53/23 74/3 74/16 74/24 75/11 75/12 87/1 92/6 92/13 98/2 106/16 131/13 138/11 151/2 152/14 155/31 159/16 159/17 160/21 160/21 162/20 174/22 $187 / 2$ 187/5 188/15 188/16 205/15

206/12 210/15 214/20 227/5 232/17 233/23 242/22 243/11 244/t5 247/19 247/25 249/5 250/16 255/10 269/25 270/5 271/7272/24 273/13 273/16 273/17 273/19 273/22 274/24 276/3 276/9 $287 / 14287 / 25288 / 16292 / 13299 / 10301 / 11$ $315 / 1$ 315/3 322/15 341/17 341/22 343/2 352/14 359/23 364/24 364/25 368/9 372/17 372125 $374 / 23375 / 15378 / 7379 / 1 \quad 379 / 19382 / 1383 / 11$ 383/23 384/14 384/17 384/19 384/21 $385 / 23$ $386 / 9387 / 14387 / 3387 / 5387 / 25388 / 5388 / 6$ 389/7 391/8 393/21 394/21 397/12 399/11 399/16 400/14 404/13 404/14 404/17 404/18 405/9 405/20 405/22 405/22 406/2 406/5 408/22 409/4 410/12
hasn't [2] 3215 273/18
hat [5] 55/12 55/14 64/1964/20 151/3 have [533]
have - [2] $1829390 / 11$
haven't [17] 6/24 23/17 109/23 201/15 201/17 216/13 234/6 260/22 261/2 $272 / 47$ 274/7 347/1 379/25 380/1 385/24 401/2 409/18
having [27] 15/24 37/1 41/14 45/12 58/17 91/23
119/25 145/14 157/12 172/18 186/7 202/7 229/17
245/8 263/15 263/23 286/21 286/22 302/11 329/2
$343 / 9$ 362/18 371/14 395/7 396/16 396/18 405/11
he [944]
he - [3] 127/2 207/24 343/19
he'd [1] 293/20
he'll [1] 210/4
he's [128] 9/24 10/25 16/23 16/24 32/5 32/12 36/8 $36 / 17$ 38/3 38/12 41/25 42/3 42/11 42/12 42/17 42/18 42/22 51/24 52/22 53/13 53/14 57/21 65/8 65/16 69/1 87/2 87/8888/16 90/21 90/24 91/1 91/18 94/10 128/6 130/14 $137 / 10140 / 1140 / 2$ 141/11 141/14 144/14 151/1 155/9 155/10 160/7 160/14 160/19 160/20 160/21 170/25 171/1 171/2 171/2 174/15 174/16 174/17 187/1 188/11 $188 / 19$ 199/5 205/17 206/ 210/13 217/12 225/16 225/17 226/14 231/ 231/10 231/15 232/11 233/2 235/25 236/2 240/14 256/ $273 / 24$ 281/23 281/25 281/25 282/2 282/2 286/21 292/13 304/17 304/18 305/7 306/23 343/12 348/8 356/41 358/2 358/6 359/1 361/25 366/5 368/25 369/9 374/24 375/11 380/7 $382 / 6384 / 20386 / 19387 / 11388 / 21389 / 8389 / 11$ 389/24 389/25 390/1 391/14 391/15 392/13 $393 / 24394 / 1402 / 2402 / 14402 / 19403 / 2404 / 16$ 405/16 405/20 405/21 405/23 405/25 406/4 406/7 he's - [1] 406/7
head [31] $8 / 613 / 2521 / 426 / 22$ 29/22 30/2 30/14 38/11 38/14 46/13 48/14 56/6 64/13 64/18 65/3 69/4 69/7 77/177 83/697/8 151/21 156/24 157/4 162/18 326/23 327/21 358/15 358/25 366/22 371/3 382/11
headed [2] $42 / 16192 / 8$
heading [1] $37 / 12$
headlights [2] 65/1 65/7
headphones [1] 223/22
health [2] 194/21 305/9
hear [120] 16/4 $22 / 522 / 6$ 24/12 33/3 38/6 46/4 50/11 50/18 $50 / 2150 / 24$ 51/5 51/6 55/16 59/25 60/3 74/24 75/4 89/7 92/10 176/4 176/10 176/14 214/6 224/9 224/21 224/21 231/2 2327 233/6 233/8 236/14 236/22 244/2 244/12 245/4 247/5 247/6 247/8 248/25 249/9 249/10 249/22 250/11 250/13 252/23 252/23 252/24 253/1 253/3 253/4 253/11 253/12 253/15 253/23 253/24 254/1 254/24 255/6 255/ 255/12 255/13 255/14 255/20 256/1 256/4 256/7 256/8 256/18 256/25 259/19 259/22 259/24 259/24 260/2 260/6 260/11 263/8 263/18 $264 / 11$ 265/1 265/5 265/6 265/9 265/12 265/13 266/6 270/2 27019 293/3 293/5 301/15 $322 / 21324 / 7354 / 7354 / 10356 / 24356 / 25362 / 22$

## H <br> hear... [21] 364/19 365/6 366/3 366/6 371/11 374/14 374/15 374/15 378/16 378/17 381/10

 $382 / 20383 / 3383 / 16385 / 6385 / 9385 / 15387 / 17$ 387/18 388/7 395/10hear - [1] 356125
heard [60] 32/5 50/13 50/16 52/2278/2289/10
92/14 131/20 149/25 189/2 231/24 232/5 255/3
255/4 255/15 259/4 259/15 259/20 260/6 $260 / 22$ 261/日 265/14 265/14 265/16 266/8 283/1 287/25 288/12 316/23 336/21 361/17 362/11 365/8 378/14 378/15 379/6 379/11 379/24 380/14 $380 / 19380 / 21381 / 4381 / 6381 / 7381 / 8383 / 3$ 383/8 384/15 396/16 396/18 397/13 399/21 399/25 400/8 400/11 403/12 404/5 404/6 404/20 $407 / 25$
heard - [2] 131/20 287/25
hearer [2] $339 / 9$ 339/12
hearers [1] 245/21
hearing [68] $1 / 135 / 66 / 146 / 207 / 199 / 2410 / 5$
10Л 11/4 11/16 $12 / 16$ 12/21 13/3 13/6 13/10
13/20 13/23 14/7 14/11 $24 / 524 / 1925 / 125 / 325 / 4$ $25 / 5$ 25/7 $32 / 837 / 274 / 5$ 96/6 138/12 175/23 176/16 177/24 177/25 184/23 204/5 204/6 204/8 224/3 228/6 232/16 $232 / 18232 / 18$ 248/3 248/8 255/21 255/24 271/15 301/10 301/20 358//11 359/13 359/15 359/18 371/25 378/13 383/13 384/9 384/17 397/24 398/2 398/17 399/8 401/16 401/16 404/12 407/6
hearing - [1] 255/21
hearings [1] $41 / 7$
hears [1] 188/25
hearsay [38] 22/25 32/2 52/21 53/2 53/12 53/23 74/7 74/7 87/15 89/14 89/15 90/10 90/23 90/24 91/5 91/5 91/5 136/9 168/18 169/1 170/23 183/18 186/13 186/14 186/21 216/25 231/11 235/2 239/23 240/17 240/23 244/11 244/21 285/20 285/22 286/1 357/11 364/8
heater [t] 334/8
heavier [2] 353/14 353/19
heck [1] 293/23
Hegelmeyer [3] 341/19 377/14 378/10
held [9] 5/21 57/11 108/13 145/1 201/21 231/19
262/21 405/20 406/21
hell [1] 250/8
hello [8] 328/15 328/16 328/16 335/3 337/15 337/16 $337 / 18337 / 20$
help [8] 9/16 45/1 113/6 $132 / 10$ 145/7 302/4 339/11 $367 / 6$
helped [5] 80/24 80/25 $110 / 5110 / 11310 / 13$ helps [1] 141/19
hence [4] $183 / 20244 / 22361 / 5392 / 18$ her [74] 6/156/17 6/20 6/22 7/2 7/6 31/7 31/8 $31 / 953 / 18$ 101/23 101/24 102/2 $102 / 9154 / 21$ 154/22 159/8 $159 / 13162 / 6162 \pi 162 \pi 162 / 11$ 162/19 163/2 163/9 163/12 163/15 164/1 213/13 213/19 213/23 214/7 2:5/22 215/23 215/25 216/25 217/2 217/9217/12 217/13 226/3 239/13 239/73 242/21 242/22 255/4 255/14 265/4 265/12 273/9 289/23 $289 / 23291 / 13307 / 9$ 307/11 307/13 309/18 309/22 354/4 356/3 356/3 372/13 372/16 $372117372117376 / 21377 / 9377 / 10377 / 22400 / 2$ 400/20 403/3 403/14 403/14
here [119] $5 / 17$ 6/4 $12 / 1313 / 16$ 14/7 $16 / 1919 / 10$ 24/5 32/4 36/5 $42 / 1244 / 744 / 2044 / 2151 / 21$ 51/24 87/25 88/11 91/12 91/17 91/23 91/25 107/20 123/24 125/6 125/7 $125 / 13125 / 177_{125 / 23}$ 126/1 133/5 139/11 139/22 147/23 150/2 151/8 151/12 151/15 152/4 $152 / 6$ 155/6 155/11 155/21 159/11 $159 / 15160 / 15$ 160/20 174/12 174/14 174/17 $177 / 10$ 177/14 181/4 181/7 $182 / 8$ 182/10

184/22 194/3 195/9 203/7 204/16 $210 / 422 \mathrm{ch}$ 227/2 229/9 229/25 230/7 $231 / 23$ 236/11 238/4 239/10 239/19 241/17 24214 244/22 245/15 248/3 248/14 249/25 250/19 252/24 255/11 255/11 255/12 256/14 256/15 260/20 263/12 263/25 273/6 276/8 276/12 276/14 287/20 293/11 294/12 295/13 296/16 297/20 299/11 304/14 307/11 $318 / 7322 / 15$ 329/2 335/21 339/24 360/24 368/18 369/14 373/15 373/19 375/8 389/15 390/13 397/23 402/22 404/14 410/15
here - [1] 256/15
herein [2] 396/18 398/16
hers [3] 203/19 328/15 328/25
hey [17] 111/13 128/17 231/2 234/24 236/22
244/12 244/13 286/11 292/8 326/24 335/4 335/16 364/14 365/24 395/11 402/2 $402 / 18$ HIDALGO [92] 1/8 $1 / 215 / 75 / 2522 / 1826 / 11$ 32/18 76/25 92/7 118/22 119/10 140/12 142/8 142/21 $160 / 4$ 160/5 160/10 160/12 160/13 160/15 160/24 161/5 161/8 161/9 161/14 191/10 194/2 194/7 195/4 201/25 203/15 204/20 206/11 213/4 $226 / 7$ 226/10 232/9 237/13 $242 / 4242 / 9242 / 12$
246/25 247/12 247/12 249/19 275/11 277/9 282/6 282/11 299/9 299/21 299/24 300/1 300/4 300/12
306/11 306/18 307/2 310/17 311/24 312/15 313/1 $313 / 1317 / 341 / 25356 / 364 / 19365 / 2369 / 2$
369/3 379/25 380/8 380/15 380/22 380/23 381/4
$381 / 8381 / 23382 / 9384 / 23385 / 10385 / 14385 / 15$ $386 / 6386 / 20$ 393/8 $397114398 / 19398 / 22$ 404/6 404/11 404/13
Hidalgo's [1] 221/12
Hidalgos [1] 26/12
high [3] 251/15 251/16 400/18
higher [1] 153/1
highlighted [1] 329/4
highlights [1] $53 / 7$
highly [1] $382 / 15$
highway [1] 38/19
him [343]
himself [7] 51/25 131/9 165/25 236/2273/19
381/11 406/4
hire [1] 43/13
hired [2] 399/22 399/23
hiring [1] $381 / 2$
his [229]
Hispanic [5] $36 / 1064 / 10140 / 3140 / 4140 / 5$ history [11] 154/3 238/12 238/13 283/8 283/17 399/11 404/17 407/15 409/14 409/16 410/18
hit [5] 71/4 368/18375/22 379/13 394/9
hits [1] 237/1
hitting [1] 229/24
hold [10] $287 / 21382 / 2382 / 382 / 8382 / 16$
$383 / 20384 / 4384 / 23384 / 24387 / 7$
holding [1] 181/8
hole [3] 177/16 177/17 177/19
holes [2] 178/1 178/2
Hollywood (4] 129/23 138/24 139/3 139/5
home [8] 30/5 84/9 84/10 97/10 97/11 133/20
367/2 404/i5
homicide [46] 8/7 19/19 53/8 53/9 99/10 99/12 99/18 99/22 111/13 124/17 129/14 145/23 145/25 146/7 146/17 146/24 146/25 147/21 147/22 164/8 167/12 $178 / 11$ 184/16 $193 / 7$ 194/3 194/4 $200 / 1$ 200/5 200/7 200/11 200/17 203/21 205/23 207/21 208/3 208/6 208/9 209/4 209/5 273/22 275/19 298/22 302/24 305/5 305/8 323/23 honest [1] 112118
Honor [100] 5/13 5/23 6/21 7/8 7/23 7/25 8/18 8/22 9/22 11/5 14/23: 15/2 15/19 21/23 25/2 37/2 41/17 45/8 52/4 52/20 56/24 57/6 58/3 90/6 90/23 101/13 102/21 136/11 $137 / 13138 / 2$ 138/11 144/14 149/21 163/3 168/18 170/22 f73/25

174/21 183/6 183/13 186/15 201/15 203/8 $213 / 24$ 216/13 223/14 226/13 $227 / 19227 / 16227 / 24233 / 5$ 233/9 234/5 240/8 241/76 242/15 252/20 253/23 262/15 269/7 285/10 286/2 287/18 288/11 288/12 293/16 301/17 301/18 301/19 335/19 347/2
348/24 351/ $352 / 10$ 354/6 356/2 356/6 356/14 $356 / 22356 / 23363 / 14372 / 5376 / 22384 / 23$
386/12 388/10 390/23 399/5 400/13 401/9 405/1 $408 / 16407 / 18408 / 9408 / 13408 / 19409 / 7409 / 10$ 409/19 410/15
Honor - [2] 241/16 405/1
Honor's [1] $32 / 3$
HONORABLE [1] 1/14
hoody [1] 333/23
hook [2] 370/19 370/25
hope [1] 250/15
horrendous [1] 228/18
horribly [1] $377 / 10$
horrid [1] $382 / 19$
haspital [4] 203/13 343/10 343/13 343/19 hot [1] 305/2
hour [3] 54/20 73/6 236/12
hour-and-a-half [4] 107/9 $107 / 11107 / 15107 / 25$ hours [27] 29/18 29/18 $30 / 3$ 30/4 30/20 30/23 107/9 107/111 107/15 108/1 133/6 133/22 133/24 135/5 150/11 152/25 164/16 173/19 194/18 $268 / 18$ 277/14 303/13 318/23 319/1 339/23 367/12 367/14
house [95] 20/3 20/4 20/7 21/2 $21 / 330 / 630 / 7$
30/8 30/17 30/21 30/22 34/25 35/1 35/2 35/20
35/22 35/24 76/6 76/7 81/98 81/20 81/2181/24 96/19 97/12 97/19 97/22 97/24 98/14 98/18 99/5 99/6 99/25 100/14 100/23 123/2 123/3 126/7 129/1 134/11 134/16 $165 / 20165 / 21$ 168/1 172/24 173/2 173/21 173/22 174/8 175/18 $176 / 5$ 176/11 176/13 178/10 290/2 290/8 290/10 303/25 327/1 $327 / 22$ 327/24 332/24 334/12 335/24 336/3
$342 / 13342 / 15346 / 20346 / 25347 / 9347 / 13$
$347 / 18348 / 5348 / 9$ 350/1 350/3 350/4 350/12
350/15 350/23 351/3 351/4 352/24 358/18 358/19 358/23 359/24 387/19 390/7 400/20 401/21 401/22 401/24 405/22 409/21
housing [ 1 ] $84 / 3$
how [146]
how - [1] 194/23
however [14] 6/16 6/23 22/1 146/13 211/10 218/12 269/22 278/2 313/18 359/7 364/6 370/2 397/8 398/10
hub [1] 240/10
huffing [1] $343 / 7$
hug [1] 388/20
huge [1] 40516
Huh [7] 325/3 325/16 326/11 326/25 327/12
331/23 333/21
huh-huh [5] 323/6 325/19 325/24 327/4 338/23
Hummer [8] 192/14 192/15 198/24 307/24 309/16
311/25 312/2312/4
hundred [7] 79/3 181/13 251/23 251/25 361/18
364/25 394/10
Hundreds [1] 257/18
hung [1] 103/20
hurry [1] 70/1
hurt [4] 250/12 264/25 293/8 374/23
hurting [2] 25/18 25/21
hurts [1] 396/15
1
1-[1] 367/19
1 and [1] 398/14
I'd [7] 54/5 94/8 144/19 249/4 289/16 341/12 401/2 VII [29] 25/9 $33 / 2392 / 15$ 101/14 136/10 $137 / 21$


isn't [17] 54/6 91/7 120/3 141/15 143/9 143/12
KARLSON [8] 2/9 44/17 44/18 45/5 45/6 45/12 57П 157/13
KC [163]
269/15 380/5 391/17 395/24 396/1
issue [38] 6/117/791/ 91/792/9 92/14 98/5 98/7
100/11 174/25 175/1 183/18 185/1 225/20 $227 / 5$
227/6 227/12 227114 232/15 234/12 235/18
236/16 236/16 237/21 242/3 242110 245/15
295/12 340/20 340/21 357/11 358/8 359/10
363/21 368/25 406/19 406/19 407/20
issued [3] 9/1 172/23 177/1
issues [10] 183/15 185/2 195/9 204/5 231/16
232/19 234/11 356/22 391/9 391/11
it [672]
it - [2] 96/6 268/9
it's [219]
item [5] 148/22 149/7 179/5 180/20 262/9 items [17] $84 / 5$ 148/21 149/5 150/10 151/17
180/22 181/16 $182 / 5182 / 12182 / 15$ 226/8 251/9 $252 / 1257 / 8258 / 12300 / 12300 / 16$
its [7] 91/10 195/25 269/18 269/21 318/14 355/12
378/11
itself (9) 153/19 205/15 206/23 339/6 357/21
$359 / 4359 / 6378 / 17392 / 15$
IV [10] $14 / 25355 / 19355 / 2038210385 / 7386 / 1$
$386 / 7$ 398/1 398/24 399/1
J
Jack [1] 238/17
jacket [5] 333/20 333/22 334/1 334/3 334/4
jail [25] 6/13 16/24 17/1 36/15 36/17 99/17 $113 / 17$ 113/19 114/7 $117 / 18$ 118/9 224/17 $228 / 3238 / 24$
304/18 306/24 307/15 321/6 321/12 321/15
331/11 333/6 359/23 389/23 408/6
Jayson [14] 5/10 9/1 27/15 27/16 92/8 165/11
165/15 166/16 288/22 358/17 371/23 386/20
386/22 398/25
jean [1] 55/11
Jimenez [1] 204/1
Jimmy [1] 146/21
JJ (60) 21/9 21/1021/10 21/11 27/12 27/13 28/10 28/13 28/18 29/7 29/8 29/15 30/15 31/10 31/12 32133 34/15 40/12 42/10 59/24 59/25 71/12 71/14 71/20 71/21 71/24 72/24 73/3 76/14 77/12 78/15 79/15 79/18 80/15 80/19 80/21 82/6 84/25 85/11 85/16 85/24 92/4 93/5 93/10 93/15 95/21 97/17 97/18 98/19 98/20 122/16 124/10 127/9 128/4 244/13 244/15 244/19 245/6 253/19393/3
JJ's [5] 27/13 28/1 59/25 60/371/25
job [1] 353/12
jobs [1] 405/20
join [7] 35/5 54/5 74/17 74/20 226/15 234/8 353/10
Jr [7] 160/4 160/13 161/9 311/24 313/\$ 313/1
$317 \pi$
judge [175]
Judge -- [2] 301/6 410/11
judiciary [1] 385/19
jump [4] $160 / 8174 / 4311 / 74026$
jumping [1] 28477
juncture [2] 356/9 404/25
JUNE [4] 1/16 5/2 15/16 410/21
Juried [1] 25/5
jurisdiction [1] 41/2
jury [13] 17/4 24/20 24/22 25/4 87/24 88//11
241/19 241/23 340/22 340/22 358/8 377/18
377/19
just [211]
just -. [1] 298/8
JUSTICE [2] 1/3 1/15
lack [3] 26/15 226/24404/21
ladder [1] 177110
lady (5] 57/4 101/22 102/18 247/23 294/12
laid [2] 74/16 $382 / 24$
lake [47] 37/10 37/12 37/18 38/18 40/17 41/2
42/16 47/8 47/9 47/10 47/11 47/17 47/23 47/24
48/5 50/1 50/6 54/22 54/23 59/1 60/10 88/25
124/13 129/18 129/22 130/1 130/1 130/20 131/17
131/23 $13218185 / 21$ 146/6 $147 / 15154 / 18$ 157/18 167/25 169/14 278/13 368/5 380/7 $380 / 9$ 382/5 393/4 401/23 $402 / 9402 / 25$
Lake - [1] 130/1
land [1] $91 / 4$
language [3] 915 90/9 361/7
laptop (1] 238/18
large [3] 220/9 220/10 284/24
large - [1] 220/9
Las [10] 57/14 80/19 123/19 123/22 145/20 194/3 298/21 302/17 404/13 404/15
last [33] 24/11 25/22 60/11 100/14 101/23 105/21 108/6 141/24 142/15 154/4 $154 / 5157 / 11162 / 19$
162/20 163/2 163/4 214/21 254/6 255/8 259/10
$326 / 19326 / 21326 / 23336 / 11$ 337/ 337/9 355/21
365/10 366/10 369/8 371/21 395/23 399/7
Lastly [1] $12 / 8$
late [5] 48/8 64/23 268/17 268/22 399/9
later [21] 10/18 $32 / 16$ 43/10 73/17 90/1 115/6
137/11 149/8 217/20 249/1 249/20 252/2257/20
268/25 334/18 348/17 352/3 359/8 367/23 369/5
380/4
Latin [1] 141/14
laughing [1] $377 / 5$
Iaw [22] 10/11 23/25 75/9 239/18 272118 289/5
337/3 341/1 $357 / 18357 / 19$ 357/20 $358 / 7358 / 7$
358/12 359/14 373/22 379/3 391/7 392/5 392/7
3927 393/12
lawyer [6] 41/5 139/11 205/25 217/14 366/24 373/3
lay [6] $91 / 4$ 187/1 225/20 226/17 248/10 341/13
fays [1] 227/13
lead [1] 235/22
leading [11] $32 / 23$ 33/14 33/16 35/4 35/8 80/11
163/4 208/10 209/12 352/11 352/13
leaned [2] 215/23 255/15
leaped [1] 68/9
learn [10] 121/2 121/4 157/5 161/5 161/73 162/16
219/12 320/22 321/2 343/1
leamed [8] 110/15 120/25 128/1 160/1 267/20
320/18 328/3 402/8
learming [2] 110/19 157/10
learns [1] 401/23
least [7] $32 / 13$ 186/7 $220 / 17295 / 21312 / 11$
317/14 400/10
leather [1] 181/2
leave [38] 7/5 31/10 34/23 35/24 54/18 54/19 55/2
69/23 76/10 81/15 81/19 82/3 95/21 98/48 98/21
100/18 129/5 144/5 144/6 149/23 191/5 191/6
191/ 191/23 196/23 197/2 21 1/12 297/19 309/13
309/15 310/17 310/19 310/20 310/21 $312 / 24$
352/24 365/19 405/25
leave - [1] 81/19
leaves [2] 251/5 308/16
leaving [9] 80/19 142/22 142/23 143/1 193/10
193/11 $257 / 11387 / 19397 / 12$
led [1] 397/12
left [62] 31/6 38/20 40/6 42/17 55/2 55/5 55/7 55/10 55/11 55/16 56/7 58/23 68/11 73/7 73/10 73/1273/13 73/19 82/4 96/20 96/23 98/19 98/20 100/2 100/13 $127 / 4127 / 4127 / 8$ 128/10 $132 / 18$ $133 / 1$ 147/18 $151 / 7156 / 24190 / 17191 / 9191 / 10$ 191/14 192/2 192/8 192/15 196/7 237/6 237/8 237/9 $237 / 16247 / 1298 / 24309 / 7309 / 9310 / 16$

| L | litigation [1] 11/22 <br> (intle [88] 16/6 $52 / 2162 / 17621862 / 2564 / 15$ | 306/18 307/2 310/17 312/7 312/15 317/6 362/21 $362 / 21362 / 24363 / 22363 / 22364 / 19365 / 2369 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| left... [11] 311/5 312/9 312/12 313/3 315/23 | 64/16 70/16 89/11 115/4 118/15 133/8 134/3 | 369/3 371/11 371/12 371/16 371/20 379/25 380/7 |
| $7 / 10$ 324/24 334/2 348/1 | 139/12 139/22 160/8 161/17 161/18 161/21 168/ | /15 380/22 380/23 381/4 381/8 381/23 382/8 |
| legal [4] 11/11 12/1 89/5 391/9 | 174/4 181/3 191/11 191/12 191/14 192/2 1925 | 384/23 385/10 385/14 385/15 386/6 397/13 |
| legally [1] 407/5 | 192/11 192/18 193/2 193/4 194/2 194/14 194/17 | 398/19 398/22 399/22 403/21 404/6 404/11 |
| length [2] $254 / 5254$ | 195/20 201/25 207/19 211/5 211/11 213/3 216/7 | 404/13 |
| lengthy [4] $162 / 20227 / 5$ 296/5 360/9 | 224/9 225/21 227/4 231/25 232/7 233/14 235/5 | Luis - [1] 161/7 |
| less [1] 41/22 | 235/22 236/19 236/24 237/4 237/9 237/16 238/12 | Luis's [1] 362/23 |
| lesser [1] 41/14 | 238/13 244/24 244/25 244/25 252/22 253/25 | tump [1] 357/3 |
| let 144] 5/19 52/23 66/11 70/19 94/1 100/19 | 254/5 264/2 296/4 298/23 299/20 299/25 304/4 | lunch [2] 144/19 144/21 |
| 108/16 115/3 132/17 138/3 149/23 160/8 161/3 | 304/4 320/13 327/6 335/6 335/19 336/4 353/14 | LVMPD [1] 204/25 |
| 173/14 174/3 206/23 207/11 207/19 211/5 215/18 | 353/18 357/8 362/14 365/6 366/2 366/3 368/18 | lying [7] 112/22 151/2 231/8 231/9 268/4 369/25 |
| 215/25 217/24 229/ 23015 231/4 235/10 235/10 | 369/15 371/12 390/5 393/11 394/9 403/21 | 380/24 |
| 237/22 245/25 249/22 272/ 282113 289/23 314/8 | Little - [1] 299125 | Lyle [1] 53/7 |
| 320/12 323/19 335/17 336/11 340/5 340/5 350/10 | live [6] 34/24 212/9 212/10 283/48 342/20 350/22 | M |
| 362/15 370/22 396/22 let's [29] 20/46 $21 / 1729 / 13$ 33/6 65/19 90/13 | lived [11] 20/7 $72 / 111$ 123/18 124/3 283/12 283/15 |  |
| let's [29] 20/16 21/7 29/13 33/6 65/19 90/13 126/24 126/25 127/ 128/23 128/25 130/11 2 | 300/19 316/13 316/16 316/21 316/23 | M-C-G-R-A.T-H [1] $145 / 12$ |
| 218/16 225/13 227/2 240/1 256/12 263/13 296/15 | lives [4] 342/21 351/1 387/20 405/23 | d [3] 114/10 114/12 117/12 |
| 296/21 296/22 298/8 337/9 351/22 369/15 369/19 | living [ 21 26/14 316/15 | Madam [1] 29111 |
| 369/23 391/12 | LLC [1] 318/4 | made [63] 8/25 23/2 23/20 23/21 64/22 74/14 |
| teve! [3] 229/18 369/21 370/12 | 10 [2] 364/21 367/13 | 87/22 88/10 90/4 94/3 104/12 124/21 162/24 |
| liable [3] 357/22 357/25 371/8 | lobby [1] 315/16 | 172117 177/21 195/24 199/10 208/14 211/17 |
| liar [11] 267/20 267/23 268/3 281/9 281/11 286/21 | locate [1] 319/4 | 212/22 222/23 224/10 232/22 232/23 2397 |
| 292/9 292/10 378/4 378/5 387/20 | located (10] 20/4 85/13 153/18 171/25 179/6 | 240/21 242/8 242/12 244/5 245/1 245/7 245/12 |
| Liberty [1] $227 / 4$ | 181/17 221/7 221/16 221/23 404/16 | 247/11 247/12 247/23 252/10 262/15 280/22 |
| lie [5] 11877 230/12 278/24 278/25 292/22 | location [5] 171/15 172/5 186/2 221/8 309/19 | 281/16 281/19 287/13 288/7 288/10 289/19 |
| lied [11] $113 / 2113 / 5$ 113/6 113/8 113/12 118/5 | locations [2] 169/11 169/16 | 303/23 304/9 331/23 341/15 341/15 341/20 343/8 |
| 118/7 267/24 $267 / 25$ 278/16 278/1 | Lock [1] 221/4 | 353/18 358/20 362/17 367/14 367/23 374/18 |
| lies [1] 242/20 | locked (5) 220/17 220/20 221/1 221/3 249/20 | 378/23 381/17 386/13 390/1 391/1402/25 |
| lift [1] $132 / 10$ | long [48] 11/8 19/9 19/13 19/22 22/3 27/18 29/17 | made - [1] 288/7 |
| light (2) 372/706 | 30/17 30/21 35/22 48/3 49/8 49/16 54/1 73/5 | magazine [2] 315/3 315/4 |
| lights [7] 64/24 65/4 152/22 196/1 196/1 196/2 | 73/15 76/7 84/43 85/9 87/18 100/1 107/5 107/6 | magistrate [1] 12/21 |
| 196/11 | 123/22 123/24 142/2 145/24 146/2 164/15 $\ddagger 66 / 1$ | main [1] 315/17 |
| like [99] 6/10 23/8 28/8 29/18 33/2 37/17 44/3 | 166/ 166/11 173/15 173/21 173/23 209/23 | maintain [1] 266/5 |
| 48/9 51/7 64/16 64/22 67/6 67/11 67/14 70/11 | 243/12 254/3 254/9 277/22 295/20 295/24 299/13 | major [2] 234/18 375/16 |
| 70/13 70/15 71/8 82/21 82/22 83/24 90/7 93/6 | 302/21 302/25 360/23 366/11 406/16 | make [69] 9/15 22/18 39/12 44/25 45/21 55/21 |
| 93/7 93/12 94/4 94/6 94/20 102/4 103/9 108/11 | Ionger [2] 235/3 366/5 | 74/25 75/5 87/13 88/1 88/6 92/4 95/23 137116 |
| $111 / 7115 / 20127 / 5128 / 6128 / 11128 / 12128 / 14$ | look [15] 90/8 94/5 178/19 201/8 233/11 276/15 | 145/6 148/10 148/19 148/19 168/25 174/22 198/5 |
| 128/25 131/15 $132 / 5133 / 4134 / 2148 / 23173 / 23$ | 295/14 296/11 321/21 322/17 346/24 359/9 | 204/10 225/16 230/12 230/22 237/4 240/6 240/7 |
| 174/22 177/15 202/13 203/3 204/15 224/20 | 364/23 367/4 395/2 | 244/2 244/6 247/ 248/20 250/9 258/11 264/6 |
| 230/17 230/22 23212 233/6 237/12 243/4 243/6 | looked [7] 94/6 94/16 102/4 274/8 353/10 353/11 | 265/12 266/16 266/17 267/16 276/16 282/18 |
| 244/13 248/17 249/4 250/9 252/21 256/5 256/13 | 409/18 | 283/19 286/15 296/9 302/3 307/25 313/10 318/5 |
| 258/18 258/25 259/2 263/3 263/25 264/16 269/1 | looking [3] 47/4 258/25 259/1 | 327/21 331/14 340/25 342/12 354/2 357/4 358/6 |
| 269/13 273/15 282/4 283/15 289/7 296/18 316/13 | looks [2] 177/15 300/19 | 358/24 361/5 362/11 369/14 380/12 384/17 |
| $324 / 7331 / 9339 / 24352 / 11354 / 10357 / 10361 / 21$ | Iose [2] 367/6 406/2 | 385/17 392/9 397/9 400/3 401/7 405/2 407/11 |
| $363 / 10$ 373/17 375/11 376/4 377/6 379/22 383/19 | lot [22) 24/12 87/25 107/14 143/19 143/21 144/4 | 407/15 |
| 384/9 386/8 388/20 389/1 406/14 409/3 | 169/1 176/17 193/14 203/19 228/6 249/2 253/1 | makes [8] 61/25 234116 243/3 26218 267/17 |
| limit 11] 89122 | 259/18 261/ 261/8 283/3 293/12 293/24 322/15 | $357113357121357 / 25$ |
| limitation [1] 8/17 | 361/16 408/25 | making [15] 10/18 10/25 50/11 50/19 114/9 |
| limited [2] 11/13 90/11 | lot - [1] 293/12 | 117/11 232/12 233/25 239/18 281/25 294/3 |
| line [9] 197/18 251/15 251/16 323/1 | Lots [1] 17611 | 397/19 406/17 406/23 407/3 |
| 326/1 329/9 336/24 355/21 | loud [4] 45/25 46/3 176/1 176/17 | male [2] 140/6 141/14 |
| lines [5] 268/6 324/15 324/17 326/19 326/21 | Loule [1] t03/11 | matice [1] 370/14 |
| lineup [1] 141/i7 | love [5] 261/19 261/21 333/16 334/20 334/21 | maligned [1] 408/21 |
| finking [2] 341/21 377/15 | low [4] $68 / 268 / 768 / 7371 / 24$ | mama [7] 129/1 129/2 129/6 129/10 129/13 |
| links [2] 377/21 377/22 | loyal [1] 137/3 | 129/15 130/17 |
| liquor [2] 300/22 300/25 | Lu [39] 139/12 139/22. $161 / 17$ 161/18 161/22 | mama's [1] 10014 |
| listed [1] 347115 | 191/11 $191 / 12191 / 14192 / 2192 / 5192 / 11192 / 18$ | man [38] 32/9 33/3 33/20 42/15 87/11 87/15 87/7 |
| fisten [24] 173/5 223/2 223/23 225/7 234/6 235/21 | 193/3 193/4 194/2 194/14 194/17 195/20 211/5 | 87/14 89/3 94/5 94/6 94/7 94/11 94/14 114/12 |
| 236/12 236/21 249/1 249/2 249/25 260113 297/9 | 211/11 213/4 216/ 231/25 235/5 237/4 237/9 | 130/8 130/9 130/12 130/13 130/16 188/24 189/1 |
| $321 / 6$ 321/9 339/5 361/18 361/20 365/18 368/1 | 237/16 244/24 244/25 244/25 262/13 296/4 | $229 / 6230 / 2231114$ 246/10 250/12 253/17 $262 / 7$ |
| 368/4 391/3 402/5 403/10 | 298/23 299/20 365/6 366/2 366/3 368/18 394/9 | 267/20 292/3 374/19 375/2 380/3 381/21 382/16 |
| listened [10] 246/20 261/2 $282 / 19$ 282/20 283/6 | Lu's [2] 236/19 236/24 | 384/5 385/8 |
| 288/24 297/8 361/19 396/23 397/5 | Luie [13] 103/5 103/6 103/8 118/22 119/10 139/14 | man's [1] $24 / 7$ |
| listener [1] 267/14 | 139/16 139/19 139/22 141/11 144/1 201/25 | manager [2] 163/11 400117 |
| listening [12] 91/17 173/8 175/24 223/16 226/2 | $201 / 25$ | manipulate [1] 269/16 |
| 226/3 226/6 246/2 246/19 270/8 320/23 399/18 | LUIS [69] 1/8 5/7 32/17 32/17 92/6 140/12 160/10 | manipulated [1] 270/9 |
| \|listens [1] 339/10 | 160/12 160/24 161/7 161/8 161/14 191/ 191/10 | manipulation [1] 269/13 |
| liter [1] 251/13 | 226/7 226/10 244/18 246/25 2507 275/10 $277 / 9$ | manner [6] B// 147/1 212/4 264/10 309/23 364/5 |
| [itigated [1] 11/7 | 299/9 299/21 299/24 300/1 300/4 300/12 306/11 | Manso [3] 238/21 239/9 239/22 |



## M

Mr. Carroll... [55] 186/16 187/13 187/20 187/25 188/1 188/2 189/16 213/20 214/20 214/22 219/2 230/12 230/16 234/15 234/20 235/4 240/2 240/8 241/25 245/25 246/6 249/18 $257 / 6$ 258/5 266/10 281/10 282/5 2827 282/11 283/9 284/4 289/14 292/8 292/13 292/15 305/16 349/14 349/15 349/20 349/21 351/1 361/10 363/24 375/8 386/25 $387 / 24388 / 7397 / 16398 / 4401 / 25406 / 12409 / 1$ 409/7 409/17 410/3
Mr. Carroll's [7] 9/23 165/46 168/4 350/22 351/3 407/8 409/12
Mr. Counts [53] 22/21 89/12 174/12 174/14 176/12 176/20 178/5 178/6 178/22 282/ 283/9 $284 / 5285 / 6304 / 10304 / 24305 / 18305 / 19320 / 15$ 320/24 321/7 322/22 336/17 336/22 339/15 339/18 340/15 341/6 342/8 342/21 343/1 343/10 348/9 349/14 349/24 350/4 356/15 381/ 386/17 386/24 387/2 387/3 387/7 387/10 387/14 387/18 387/25 388/13 389/6 390/17 405/6 405/9 407/19 410/18
Mr. Counts - [2] $350 / 9350 / 21$
Mr. Counts' [14] 122/4 181/9 181/11 290/2 290/7 290/9 322/4 346/20 346/25 347/9 347/13 350/2 350/23 405/5
Mr. Deangelo [7] 155/1 239/15 242/19 280/23 336/22 388/1 393/9
Mr. Digiacomo [16] 12/3 $22 / 14$ 33/1 33/5 33/21 106/15 106/18 246/23 276/9 343/8 344/17 348/2 350/17 372/6 376/6 378/16
Mr. DiGiacomo's [2] 187/4 246/15
Mr. Draskovich [9] 139/11 206/9 206/23 287/11 291/10 294/25 340/15 354/9 395/11
Mr. Draskovich's [2] 142/15 234/9
Mr. Figler [1] $410 / 5$
Mr, H [39] $26 / 4$ 26/5 26/6 26/8 26/10 26/13 $27 / 1$ 27/8 93/19 93/20 94/3 96/4 96/4 96/11 118/19 161/5 $161 / 9161 / 11161 / 21$ 161/24 211/12 $237 / 9$ 237/16 240/10 298/23 311/24 317/8317/9 368/17 $394 / 9395 / 24$ 398/1 396/3 399/21 400/1 403/12 403/18 403/19 403/20
Mr. H's [5] 31/22 33/13 34/2 219/14 219/21
Mr. Hadland [15] 8/9 8/12 27/22 27/24 152/41
\$53/5 155/2 155/22 156/8 156/11 157/6 157/12 163/22 219/2 401/24
Mr. Hadland's [5] 151/14 153/14 153/18 154/12 154/19
Mr. Hidalgo [31] 22/18 26/111 76/25 142/8 142/21 160/4 160/5 160/13 160/15 161/5 194/ $195 / 4$ 201/25 203/15 204/20 206/11 213/4 232/9 237/13 242/4 242/9 242/12 247/12 247/12 249/19 282/6 282/11 341/25 356/7 386/20 393/8
Mr. Hidalgo's [1] 221/12
Mr. Hidalgos [1] $26 / 12$
Mr. Luis [2] 191/10 397/13
Mr. Oram [10] 233/25 282/16 291/6 294/17
301/16 34 1/15 $367 / 23382 / 24385 / 7386 / 9$
Mr. Oram's [3] 87/25 232/13 234/8
Mr. Owens [1] $227 / 25$
Mr. Pesci [8] 92/i2 92/16 227/ 227/24 230/20
$234 / 11236 / 15237 / 22$
Mr. Pesci -- [1] 360/25
Mr. Pike [2] 57/24 58/1
Mr. Ross [1] 228/3
Mr. Taoipu [2] 16715 167ח
Mr. Zone [32] 10/13 10/20 11/9 11/17 16/3 43/8 44/12 57/19 57/20 57/22 58/2 58/9 88/14 88/16 89/17 90/5 $122 / 3$ 140/20 144/13 165/15 165/16 165/20 166/10 166/13 167/23 168/16 357/12 $372 / 15372 / 16381 / 9387 / 10387 / 10$ Mr. Zone's [3] 8/24 57/14 408/17

Ms [10] 2/6 2/185/24 7/3 44/18 57/1 57/7 328/24 392/25
much [25] 16/11 19/7 41/144 41/22 43/5 57/8 75/21 83/11 194/16 221/11 239/10 239/14 243/8 243/9 245/20 251/20 257/13 282/4 290/23 357/13 376/18 378/1 389/13 406/2 408/21 multiple [1] $8 / 6$
murder [101] 14/20 14/20 14/21 15/10 15/10 19/13 34/12 41/9 $41 / 23$ 42/1 42/3 42/5 42/9 $42 / 16$ 60/12 100/13 101/5 102/1 113/23 125/12 125/14 125/19 128/21 138/23 139/3 142/18 245/6 290/17 290/21 329/11 329/15 336/6 336/8 357/25 358/1 $358 / 2359 / 3359 / 4359 / 20359 / 20363 / 15368 / 11$ 368/20 368/22 368/23 369/4 369/11 369/13 $370 / 10371 / 4371 / 7371 / 8371 / 8371 / 10376 / 2$ 376/13 376/14 376/15 376/21 376/23 377 7 379/19 379/20 379/21 379/22 379/25 380/18 $380 / 25381 / 21382 / 17382 / 17382 / 18383 / 20$ 384/5 384/25 385/2 387/6 387/18 387/11 387/13 $387 / 25388 / 10388 / 12388 / 14$ 389/4 390/11 390/13 390/15 390/16 390/24 390/25 393/5 395/10 395/13 398/13 398/21 398/21 398/25 399/1 404/2 410/5
murdering [1] $330 / 15$
music (3) 188/15 236/4 236/12
must [8] 74/14 288/14 358/22 359/25 378/17
377/14 379/15 383/8
my [165]
my - [1] 328/12
myself [11] 146/23 148/18 149/7 172/2 186/1 192/10 193/1 211/20 258/9 284/25 331/7
N
nail [1] 374/11
nailed [1] $89 / 20$
naked [2] 9711 97/2
name [43] 9/18 9/39 18/11 22/4 27/13 33/4 45/3
45/4 45/5 46/9 10t/23 102/12 113/19 122/4 122/6 145/9 145/10 154/166 159/4 161/6 161/ヶ3 162/16 162/19 162/20 163/2 $163 / 4$ 174/10 193/22 219/17 238/17 299/20 299/24 300/4 302/6 302/ 342/16
34217 347/6 355/20 $359 / 22362 / 23362 / 24365 / 1$
named [4] 16/17 101/22 112/16 386/18
names [12] $32 / 233212433 / 433 / 19$ 109/12 109/17
109/19 110/8 113/25 159/2 323/25 324/1
narrowiy [1] 24/3
nature [5] 21/21 25/14 186/8 262/19 410/13
near [7] 35/2 51/1 80/4 146/6 152/7 158/12 $382 / 8$
necessary [2] 10/23 359/15
necklace [1] 360/24
need [45] 7/5 9/18 16/3 23/22 44/7 45/376/20
87/11 90/3 90/8 90/15 92/1 92/25 138/14 144/12
145/9 188/14 225/20 $237 / 2240 / 3242 / 11$ 248/18
261/16 276/15 284/17 284/19 286/25 292/4 296/2
$302 / 6327 / 9327 / 9330 / 3334 / 14334 / 15337 / 4$
$337 / 6358 / 9361 / 17365 / 9371 / 18376 / 18376 / 19$
394/16 40877
need -- [1] 327/9
needed [10] 76/17 109/5 114/25 115/7 128/1
132/ 166/96 280/19 343/75 344/10
needing [1] $348 / 19$
needless [1] 311/5
needs [17] 5/17 41/9 90/ 122/19 126/8 126/23 $127 / 20128 / 17278 / 20 \quad 286 / 14338 / 16355 / 21$ 358/10 367/1 367/2 367/177 393/17
negative [3] 325/19 325/24 327/4
negatives [1] 338/22
neighbortood [1] 389/20
Neither (1] 15/7
NEVADA [21] $1 / 41 / 55 / 1121724 / 5$ 53/15 90/17 91/1 92/13 147/23 181/9 181/11 203/25 228/24 $307 / 24319 / 18341 / 19355 / 377 / 14380 / 12$

404/16
never [58] 11/23 24/20 39/17 41/8 102/2 $102 / 8$ 103/11 103/14 103/20 103/23 103/25 104/2 116/4 116/8 116/11 116/14 116/17 118/19 118/22 119/12 $122 / 11122 / 18124 / 5124 / \pi 130 / 5131 / 5$ 131/10 131/15 131/20 131/21 132/22 133/16 264/13 291/17 293/10 293/13 293/14 310/8 $324 / 10333 / 1$ 349/24 375/1 375/5 380/8 380/8 380/9 380/9 380/9 384/7 $384 / 8$ 387/11 $387 / 11$ $387 / 12388 / 2389 / 1400 / 1400 / 2403 / 2$
new [3] 49/3 308/1 346
news [5] 101/25 109/23 389/22 389/24 390/1 newspaper [2] 110/5 110/11
newspapers [1] $109 / 25$
next [43] 14/15 15/14 20/16 84/15 91/19 99/7 99/11 100/6 105/11 130/12 130/14 131/25 $136 / 15$ 136/17 136/24 144/22 144/23 155/19 157/16 167/3 167/10 167/14 $177 / 13182 / 23$ 189/7 190/3 209/7 212/24 237/1 245/22 251/5 254/1 283/23 297/6 326/1 332/6 334/23 339/3 346/18 351/20 369/21 387/4 396/3
Nextel [2] 154/13 157/23
nice [4] $323 / 3345 / 14345 / 22365 / 11$
nicely [1] $344 / 17$
night [20] 19/4 19/25 28/15 $29 / 21$ 30/22 34/14 48/7 76/9 84/22 95/25 100/2 111/7 137/4 137/6 147/8 150/11 157/16 165/20 218/10 326/23 nighttime [2] $148 / 14$ 170/9
nine [1] 123/23
Nineteen [1] 16/8
no [348]
nobody [5] 102/16 2927 359/22 374/2 375/13
nodded [1] 18/21
nods [15] 13/25 21/4 26/22 29/22 30/2 30/14
38/11 38/14 46/13 48/14 64/13 65/3 77/17 83/6 97/8
non [4] 91/5 180/16 240/22 319/19
none [6] 23/2362/1 260/6 342/3 375/24 399/13
Nonetheless [1] $380 / 7$
nonviolent (1) 405/11
noon [1] 144/18
nor [11] 201/17 216/15 216/46 247/2 293/16
297/2 320/4 320/5 341/24 356/10356/16
normal [3] 248/17 362/18 364/6
north [8] 60/10 80/19 146/6 147/18 308/3 350/13
350/14 350/15
northeast [1] $350 / 16$
not [380]
not - [4] 21/24 294/5 374/22 403/43
note [6] 12/5 $315 / 4315 / 6358 / 20395 / 3408 / 15$ notebooks [f] 203/10
notepad [2] 210/12 210/14
notes [10] 106/25 107/3 107/18 108/3 $108 / 5$ 147/5 148/19 148/19 293/12 310/2 nothing [80] 9/16 22115 29/9 31/8 41/18 41/25 42/1 42/7 45/1 57/2 64/4 68/18 72/8 73/1993/7 93/9 102/21 121/2 $121 / 5$ 121/6 124/25 125/5 126/15 129/15 132/12 134/20 134/21 134/21 135/8 135/9 143/4 145/7 214/14 217/1 $233 / 1$ 236/13 239/1 243/20 261/3 269/7 290/24 293/1 297/1 302/4 329/18 335/20 339/15 341/17 342/2 $344 / 23349 / 5351 / 6353 / 23353 / 24357 / 24362 / 9$ $372117373 / 5$ 374/8 377/22 377/24 379/11 379/17 $380 / 10380 / 16380 / 17381 / 4381 / 8381 / 25382 / 1$ $382 / 1$ 384/14 384/21 $385 / 4386 / 1738 / 11387 / 7$ 390/19 393/21 405/12
notice [5] 194/20 261/7 265/3 265/5 266/4 noticed [4] 155/3 203/i8 353/15 353/17 notified [1] 175/3
notorious [1] $387 / 20$
Notwithstanding [1] 397/22
November [1] 46/18


| 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outside [16] 33/4 44/1961/16 73/4 73/5 134/3 144/3 151/18 176/11 177/25 199/18 239/23 303/18 308/21 320/13 320/22 outstanding [2] 1218 405/9 outward [1] 43/1 <br> over [78] 13/1 $14 / 14$ 15/11 44/20 48/2 58/4 80/20 81/3 88/10 92/16 106/21 106/22 108/5 109/5 109/8 109/12 109/17 140/2 $147 / 15147 / 15173 / 13$ 175/17 175/19 176/4 180/17 215/23 227/3 227/19 238/12 239/15 243/8 245/3 256/14 263/17 263/23 322/18 326/ 326/20 328/22 353/2 357/5 358/2 360/21 363/14 363/25 373/4 373/10 373/11 373/11 373/12 373/12 373/12 375/8 376/18 377/ 378/8 381/21 382/2 384/5 384/24 389/4 389/15 389/23 390/1 391/7 392/13 394/17 394/20 396/4 396/10 399/2 404/1 404/3 407/11 408/4 408/6 409/5 409/5 <br> overall [2] 149/4 153/4 <br> Overbrook [ 4 ] 404/16 <br> overheard [2] 188/11 215/24 <br> Overlapping [1] 255/19 <br> overule (2] 33/24 54/7 <br> Overruled [2] 26/19 35/10 <br> overturned [1] 373/17 <br> Owens [1] $227 / 25$ <br> own [6] 13/19 183/8 $242 / 5280 / 23292 / 6372 / 9$ <br> owned [1] 316/1 <br> owner [1] $160 / 7$ <br> owns [3] 26/17 26/21 404/15 | parcel (4) $60 / 206281814814153 / 10$ parked [12] 60/18 60/20 62/25 63/3 63/6 637 63/9 152/12 308/2 308/20 309/19 312/3 parkking [5] 143/19 143/21 144/3 193/13 193/14 part [23] 32118 40/24 75/8 93/23 93/24 118/18 122/18 128/ 132/4 232/2 250/9 263/10 270/14 275/16 285/8 29213 295/16 321/14 345/2 $345 / 4$ 345/9 378/18 393/8 <br> participated [2] 131/21 388/12 participation [2] 43/2 88/17 particular [45] 6/20 8/109/2 10/9 1199 26/13 32/24 88/ $92 / 9$ 147/1 148/22 169/11 173/11 1807 185/1 $219 / 3$ 219/199 221/7 225/25 226/9 234/13 234/18 235/7 239/21 253/8 261/17 269/15 273/16 299/12 300/13 300/16 300/17 301/1 311/188 316/10 316/10 317/12 318/6 324/2 333/2 358/5 361/24 370/14 392/13 403/6 particularly [4] 154/1 271/11 336/8 409/14 parties [1] 11/11 <br> partner [3] 146/22 272124 273/4 parts [7] 282/18 300/7 339/21 340/4 374/11 374/12 374/12 <br> party [3] 327327 138/4 party's [1] 294/1 <br> pass [7] 17/24 38/21 56/23 101/11 121/25 $257 / 23$ 281/3 | Ps [8] 89/12 89/12 90/8 $168 / 24$ 264/10 397/4 405/ <br> [9] 88/10 204/11 249/19 279/2 295/19 305/21 323/21 323/22 <br> ic [1] 194/25 <br> ted [1] 78/23 <br> [52] 7/15 36/24 37/4 38/850/11 50/19 95/2 102/17 103/3 103/4 126/23 136/6 <br> 147/3 165/5 170/3 174/10 174/17 200/20 240/12 241/21 242/25 246/11 246/12 <br> 3 263/9 264/23 265/6 265/8 265/10 269/15 299/8 362/18 363/1 $364 / 7364 / 9365 / 22$ <br> 374/5 374/5 377/21 3833/4 383/15 383/17 <br> 385/14 386/21 386/23 394/14 <br> - [i] $136 / 6$ <br> 's (1) 25315 <br> al [4] $33 / 1$ 187/2 $357 / 20361 / 4$ <br> ralized [1] 307/24 <br> nally [6] 116/8 155/4 189/11 203/12 311/19 <br> s [4] 190/2 190/6 1907 1907 <br> sive [1] 361/6 <br> [11] 1/19 2/70.9212 92/16 2277 227/24 <br> 234/11 2366/15 237/22 360/25 <br> [2] 311/25313/2 <br> [1] 17712 <br> [77] 6/13 37/20 38/3 39/3 39/4 397 $39 / 8$ |
|  |  |  |
| P-A-IJ-IT [2] 44/17 45/6 <br> P-A-JI-T [1] 44117 <br> p.m [4] 162/2 205/1 205/8 206/2 <br> pack [2] 181/23 181/24 <br> page [31] $2 / 2117 / 24$ 284/13 $284 / 15$ 293/13 $322 / 16322 / 17322 / 21323 / 19324 / 13324 / 16$ $326 / 1$ 326/18 326/20 328/ 328/9 328/17 328/25 $329 / 3$ 329/8 329/12 $329 / 12333 / 11335 / 18336 / 12$ 337/14 345/16 345/21 390/2 399/20 401/7 page - [1] $323 / 19$ <br> pages (f]) 268/18 286/20 286/24 345/17 345/19 348/12 <br> pagination [1] 328/23 <br> paid [44] 19/4 19/7 19/8 21/5 $73 / 2073 / 2475 / 19$ <br> 75/20 75/22 75/24 76/2 78/11178/16 78/20 82/22 82/22 82/23 84/4 119/12 119/16 119/19 119/21 119/22 134/17 134/20 134/22 134/23 135/2 135/7 135/7 135/12 135/13 135/17 135/20 135/22 <br> 135/24 136/2 136/3 136/13 136/196 136/24 137/4 137/7 266/9 <br> PAIJIT [4] 29945/545/12 157/13 <br> painfully [1] 282120 <br> painstakingly [1] 388/23 <br> paint [2] 116/21 116/22 <br> Pajit [1] 44/17 <br> Palomino [62] 17/16 17117 21/14 26/23 49/7 49/8 49/12 49/17 72/15 72/16 72/20 72125 73/ 73/11 96/18 96/20 96/23 96/25 97/5 101/19 102/12 102/17 103/1 129/9 $13216132 / 19132 / 20133 / 10$ 733/13 134/2 134/14 151/14 159/1 160/1 160/6 $1629162 / 11163 / 9$ 181/21 $182 / 6182 / 17187 / 23$ 187/25 $488 / 1188 / 3188 / 15$ 189/6 189/16 $189 / 19$ 190/23 191/3 210/16 211/3 $211 / 4216 / 22217 / 21$ 217/24 218/9 219/1 221/17 240/11 318/4 Pamphlets [1] 17/22 pants [5] 155/11 334/6 334/7 337/25 338/4 paper [4] 321/19 333/12 333/19 410/4 papers [1] 189/11 paperwork [4] $219 / 1$ 219/6 219117 299/25 | passing [1] 19/2 <br> past (4] 147/17 296/18 296/20 372/2 <br> Patrick [5] 192/19 193/12 199/9 199/24 312/19 patrol [16] 192/10 192/12 192/21 192/23 $492 / 25$ 195/22 195/24 196/8 197/9 197/25 198/2 198/4 199/15 199/19 208/23 $312 / 18$ <br> patted [1] 365/23 <br> pause [2] $138110327 / 9$ <br> pause -- [1] 32719 <br> pavement (1) 151/8 <br> pawned [3] 240/1 240/15 $360 / 24$ <br> pawning [1] $239 / 4$ <br> pay [9] 78/13 78/15 82/19 82/21 83/1 83/5 83/8 83/15 137/11 <br> paycheck [1] 318/3 <br> paying [1] 395/18 <br> payment (2] $134119137 / 9$ <br> pays [1] 8218 <br> PC [2] 97/6 977 <br> PEACE [1] 1/15 <br> peach [1] 181/25 <br> Pecos [2] 192/20 312/19 <br> peculiar (1) $350 / 5$ <br> pending [2] 229/22 277/6 <br> people [79] 13/22 16/25 $324433 / 19$ 36/23 41/6 <br> 41/1441/21 53/10 56/956/4578/1082/11 89/2 <br> 101/18 102/11 109/12 109/17 $113 / 19$ 114/23 <br> 115/1 124/8 140/20 141//141/4 143/23 144/9 <br> 158/22 161/10 161/14 163/17 173/18 190/8 190/9 22814 228/10 229/16 230/12 231/23 231/23 <br> $231 / 24$ 234/22 235/ 1 237/8 237/日 $237 / 10237 / 15$ <br> 237/19 240/11 245/13 250/6 263/24 266/19 <br> 267/10 268/13 287/1 288/19 288/22 292/23 311/1 <br> 323/25 324/1 329/20 333/5 366/13 368/6 373/21 <br> $373 / 22$ 374/3 376/5 383/6 386/18 387/4 389/15 395/8 395/13 402/10 405/19 406/12 <br> people - [1] $323 / 25$ <br> people's [4] $109 / 19$ 113/25 373/18 377/3 <br> perceive [1] $187 / 6$ | 154/2 154/3 154/5 154/12 154/13 154/15 157/11 157/21 157/23 158/4 224/17 228/14 238/22 238/23 238/23 239/3 250/23 303/18 303/21 $303 / 24321 / 6321 / 10322 / 1$ 322/3 $322 / 4322 / 8$ $334 / 23336 / 5338 / 1818342 / 19342 / 23344 / 24345 / 7$ $3457 / 345 / 10348 / 4360 / 18$ 360/19 364/18 368/2 368/14 388/15 388/22 388/24 401/23 402/15 $402 / 20$ <br> phonetic [1] $162 / 3$ <br> photo [5] $152 / 4$ 152/21 153/9 218/12 218/15 <br> photocopied [2] 203/12 203/20 <br> photocopies [2] 18/2 204/7 <br> photocopy [4] 204/10 206/24 212/22 318/6 <br> photograph [71] $3 / 33 / 43 / 43 / 53 / 53 / 63 / 63 / 7$ $3 / 73 / 83 / 83 / 93 / 93 / 103 / 103 / 113 / 113 / 123 / 12$ 3/13 3/13 3/14 3/14 3/15 3/15 3/16 3/16 3/17 3/17 $3 / 183 / 183 / 193 / 193 / 203 / 203 / 213 / 213 / 223 / 22$ $3 / 233 / 233 / 243 / 243 / 254 / 34 / 34 / 44 / 44 / 54 / 5$ 4/6 4/6 $4 / 7$ 4/7 $4 / 84 / 84 / 94 / 94 / 1004 / 1008 / 12$ 151/12 152/24 152/25 153/13 177/6 177/14 $180 / 25$ 181/1 $314 / 22317 / 20$ photographed [1] 149/8 <br> photographs [8] 149/4 149/16 156/5 179/6 179/14 179/17 310/4 319/14 <br> photos [7) $218 / 11219 / 18$ 301/2 301/5 $310 / 7$ <br> $31018310 / 9$ <br> physical [1] 304/25 <br> physically [3] $89 / 8$ 178/12212/i <br> pick [12] 31/133 34/23 141/!8 259/23 260/3 263/6 263/15 263/22 264/5 $264 / 7$ 288/22 405/25 <br> picked [7] 100/ $123 / 7$ 123/10 124/11 $167 / 24$ 256/25 264/20 <br> picking [1] 168/46 <br> picks [1] 264/13 <br> picture [7] 150/7 15314 180/ $181 / 8$ 220/3 $220 / 12$ 395/3 <br> pictures [5] 150/6 217/25 219/10 296/14 299/16 piece [2] 321/18 336/16 <br> pieces [2] $252 / 24299 / 18$ |



| P |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purposes [15] 7/19 22/19 24/19 149/13 163/4 185/5 189/3 204/4 249/12 301/9 301/20 364/3 394/17 398/7 $407 / 7$ <br> purse [6] 325/20 325/21 348/20 348/21 348/22 $352 / 5$ <br> pursued [1] 303/9 <br> put [66] 10/17 68/10 110/5 $131 / 6$ 132/10 149/7 178/1 178/2 178/20 183/10 184/19 184/23 188/4 194/6 199/3 199/5 199/7 204/23 204/24 206/24 208/16 208/17 211/6 212/23 230/4 230/18 233/12 233/24 236/10 243/4 243/15 243/19 249/8 258/22 262/6 262/10 263/17 264/14 264/15 285/20 286/10 287/8 292/6 293/5 295/3 297/23 298/12 298/17 325/7 328/17 337/6 337/7 342/10 365/8 365/13 366/15 372/15 372/24 375/20 375/21 377/6 379/13 383/14 385/16 400/20 410/1 puts [2] 235/22 $402 / 4$ <br> putting [3] 244/18 348/13 371/13 | reaction [4] 72/6 72/7 $217 / 12245 / 20$ read [42] $6 / 25109 / 252027202 / 820219204 / 20$ 204/21 204/22 205/5 205/9 205/24 206/9 206/18 207/8 209/6 209/9 212/13 213/6 $216 / 5$ 247/15 287/2 295/15 295/17 315/5 322/25 324/16 326/18 $328 / 20$ 335/22 336/13 339/25 345/1 345/2 345/4 345/8 345/19 345/18 348/10 360/13 360/14 389/1 400/4 <br> reading [9] $110 / 4$ 110/11 206/18 209/16 $247 / 17$ 247/17 328/24 333/11 342/19 ready [1] 128/11 real [10] 68/2 162/16 263/12 $278 / 5$ 295/12 330/1 376/19 382/12 383/1 398/2 realize [1] 227/12 really [47] 18/23 36/15 64/23 68/18 72/7 73/21 87/11 91/20 100/16 102/16 120/10 123/18 131/8 137/3 137/12 138/8 141/21 152/7 178/19 180/10 |  |
| Q |  |  |
|  | $374 / 17$ 376/11 382/23 385/3 386/3 398/1 408/10 reargue [1] 240/3 <br> reason [22] 24/8 120/11 120/15 127/8 134/21 <br> 216/17 227/5 230/14 248/8 256/16 259/23 260/3 <br> $264 / 5$ 336/7 339/17 341/25 348/11 348/12 348/22 <br> $374 / 5387 / 25408 / 15$ <br> reason -- [1] 348/11 <br> reasonable [7] 12122 14/18 296/18 398/18 398/23 401/2 409/4 <br> reasons [5] 10/4 385/22 386/7 386/8 386/10 rebuttal [ 1 ] $356 / 20$ <br> recall [13] 48/23 50/8 56/18 153/20 162/19 172/1 178/18 200/8 212/13 221/19 269/2 311/4 375/14 receipt [1] $83 / 3$ <br> receive [7] 135/8 157/25 158/3 171/15 172/4 303/18 303/21 <br> received [8] 154/5 171/18 171/22 $172 / 1$ 172/6 216/14 $224 / 7320 / 24$ recently [1] $177 / 20$ receptionist [2] 237115 299/7 recess [7] 44/10 57/15 57/16 144/19 144/21 248/11 298/13 <br> recognize [23] $116 / 13$ 149/15 149/20 150/5 155/6 156/4 159/10 177/5 179/13 180/24 218/13 $218 / 14$ $218 / 15$ 222/11 $225 / 14225 / 24226 / 4307 / 11$ 314/21 315/15 317/19 319/13 $322 / 4$ recognized [1] 93/18 recollection [1] 163/2 reconsideration [2] 373116 373/19 record [66] 5/118/25 9/19 10/3 10/17 17/6 17/8 25/21 36/20 36/25 45/4 52/3 52/5 53/21 57/17 137/17 140/11 $142 / 7142 / 9$ 145/10 155/12 155/14 159/18 159/21 160/23 160/25 174/18 174/20 <br> 174/22 202/22 202/25 203/9 206/50 207/10 | referring [5] 47/9 144/1 147/19 214/10 229/14 reflect [26] 17/6 $17 / 8$ 36/20 36/25 52/3 52/5 140/11 $142 / 7142 / 10155 / 12155 / 14159 / 18$ 159/21 160/23 160/25 174/18 174/20 202/23 $249 / 5$ 304/20 304/22 307/1 307/3 307/16 307/18 355/24 <br> reflected [1] 209/6 <br> refresh [1] $163 / 1$ <br> regard [2] 197124 396/23 <br> regarding [3] 54/2 306/15 391/1 <br> regards [2] 270/13 271/3 <br> regular [6] 63/16 93/7 148/13 154/15 158/19 158/21 <br> relate [1] 19/1 <br> related [11] 20/10 20/13 32/14 32/20 35/3 $12 / 5$ 160/1 163/22 305/9 336/10 406/19 <br> relates [14] 6/11 6/177 10/6 10/11 10/15 $153 / 22$ <br> 163/19 185/2 215/25 234/12 235/3 235/5 359/3 391/9 <br> relationship [22] 46/21 6288 160/5 160/12 $172 / 13$ 173/1 182/4 213/20 214/19 266/18 282/5 $282 / 6$ 282/8 282/11 284/10 304/2 349/13 349/13 349/17 $349 / 18386 / 24387 / 23$ <br> relationship - [1] 349/13 <br> relatively [1] 229/23 <br> relatives [3] $342 / 18342 / 20342 / 23$ <br> relayed [1] 311/20 <br> release [1] 404/25 <br> relevance [6] $217 / 7217 \pi$ 271/6 271/6 273/13 341/23 <br> relevant [27] 91/8 $92 / 9$ 185/1 185/6 217/3 222/23 <br> 233/7 233/8 233/11 233/14 $233 / 17$ 234/2 234/13 <br> 236/6 245/23 253/8 261/17 262/2262/18 $262 / 19$ |
| R |  |  |
| ```R-O-N-T-A [1] 9/20 radio [5] \(173 / 13\) 175/23 176/4 176/11 \(177 / 24\) radios [1] 173/10 raise [8] 6/109/13 44/21 44/23 235/13 235/16 \(30211357 / 17\) raised [3] 68/2 69/6 100/11 raising [1] 235/13 ran [1] 239/12 RANDALL [1] \(1 / 23\) random [1] 360/5 randomly [1] 159/2 rap [1] \(236 / 12\) rat [2] 365/9 365/13 ratting [2] 120/8 120/16``` | 298/18 302/ 304/20 304/22 307/1 307/3 307/16 307/18 338/17 348/1 354/11 354/18 354/20 355/4 363/6 400/14 405/10 408/10 408/16 recorded [14] 104/13 $112 / 7$ 164/12 184/6 184/9 185/5 194/11 210/9 222/2 245/9 265/21 276/1 276/7 375/1 <br> recorder (f8] 184/3 184/7 184/13 184/17 184/19 184/20 185/4 186/9 $187 / 18 \quad 188 / 4$ 188/10 189/21 190/11 $215 / 24$ 259/6 259/8 307/22 311/10 recording [41] $184 / 4185 / 9187 / 19188 / 14188 / 15$ 190/18 190/18 191/22 223/9 223/9 225/8 238/25 248/15 248/21 248/23 249/24 251/1 252/7 256/24 261/20 $262 / 19$ 263/3 263/8 263/13 264/5 264/10 265/19 267/7 269/12 270/4 270/8 271/24 276/4 | rely [10] $118 / 16$ 118/25 119/3 $119 / 7119 / 25187 / 5$ 248/2 294/2 381/20 $407 / 4$ <br> relying [2] 188/24 188/25 <br> remain [7] 9/12 44/21 84/13 309/17 310/15 <br> 310/16 312/11 <br> remained [3] 84/15 197/13 200/4 <br> remember [10] 55/13 58/24 94/22 106/10 110/20 <br> 138/24 139/10 201/7 268/5 377/5 <br> remind [3] 399/10 399/15 $400 / 24$ <br> remotely [1] $337 / 5$ <br> removed [3] 176/22 176/23 200/7 <br> rendition \|1] 378/20 <br> Renee [5] 227/16 227/23 229/14 238/11 243/9 <br> repeat [1] 230/6 |
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saw [30] 61/22 61/2261/25 67/17 99/7 107/22 141/25 142/15 143/1 144/3 144/5 144/6 148/1 156/21 178/8 $178 / 9178 / 11178 / 14178 / 20214 / 22$ 215/1 306/16 307/23 307/25 308/4 308/20 308/23 310/21 316/14 358/15
say [157]
say - [1] 255/14
saying [63] $12 / 322 / 832 / 538 / 839 / 1575 / 14107 / 3$ 129/15 131/5 171/1 171/2 186/19 188/19 206/18 230/3 231/15 $232 / 4$ 233/8 233/17 241/20 242/17 242/19 243/24 244/4 244/7 244/23 247/22254/25 255/2 256/7 259/6 259/7 260/1 263/2 $263 / 18$ 266/2 266/3 289/1 289/12 293/6 293/7 310/8 $327 / 10329 / 20330 / 11$ 331/7 335/10 336/18 $340 / 15$ 343/8 344/20 351/19 352/16 368/4 376/3 377/2 377/5 388/20 389/3 403/11 403/11 403/14 403/20
says [55] 42/ 91/19 97/6 137/25 206/9 230/16 236/20 240/19 243/20 244/3 244/12 244/13
244/24 244/24 245/17 246/5 246/9 293/13 293/14 294/20 294/21 315/7 323/2 324/19 326/19 329/10 333/16 335/1 351/19 357/19 359/14 359/25 $361 / 24363 / 20364 / 6364 / 13365 / 8365 / 13365 / 14$ $366 / 21367 / 12367 / 19367 / 22368 / 1369 / 7371 / 15$ 371/16 371/20 374/22 395/3 402/14 402/18 403/3 403/5 403/18
scant [4] 349/5 389/5 389/5 406/4
scared [5] 111/18 113/8 113/12 113/15 114/15
scary [1] 384/6
scenario [2] 211/10 243/23
scene [32] 146/25, 147/4 147/5 147/6 147/9
147/13 147/21 147/22 148/6 148/6 148/8 148/16
148/17 148/24 148/25 148/25 149/6 150/10
150/10 153/19 156/22 156/23 157/3 167/12
178/22 193/15 211/14 303/7 310/16 311/18
$31212313 / 15$
scheduled [1] 210/19
Schwanderilk [1] 163/6
scintilla [1] 23/16
scope [1] 378/24
scratch [1] 269/17
scratched [1] 270/1
scratching [2] 253/2 269/20
scream [1] 130/2
screamed [1] 131/10
screen [1] 180/11
search (69) 165/6 165/9 $167 / 416715167 / 17$ $168 / 2168 / 5168 / 10170 / 9170 / 11170 / 11170 / 17$ 170/20 171/10 171/14 172/9 172/11 172/16 172/18 172/22 172/24 173/15 174/24 175/4 175/10 175/12 176/25 177/2 179/2 179/3 182/20 182/22 189/8 189/10 190/21 191/2 191/16 191/20 211/2 216/22 217/20 217/23 218/10 218/25 258/13 258/24 258/24 296/2 296/3 298/25 303/15 $313 / 11$ 313/19 314/5 315/11 317/11 317/23 319/3 320/14 336/13 346/20 346/22 347/18 348/6 348/15 352/23 353/8 364/21 367/13
searched [11] $25815258 / 8258 / 10258 / 17280 / 4$ 280/7 280/10 280/12 299/17 $313 / 15317 / 5$
searching [1] $171 / 14$
season [1] 389/2
seasoned [1] 353/7
seat [11] 40/6 40/11 45/7 64/1 64/1 64/5 140/10


slowly [2] 68/1 150/23
small [6] 48/2 219/23 219/25 308/2 327/20 327/20 smaller [3] 203/19 220/25 221/3
smarten [5] 114/6 117/11 117/18 118/8 119/17
smile [3] 267/13 267/15 374/6
smoke [5] 121/19 365/15 365/16 371/17 371/17
smoked [5] 40/18 40/19 41/11 121/9 121/15
smokes [1] $121 / 7$
smoking [3] 40/23 58/24 405/12
sneak [1] 68/6
sneaked [1] 66/1
sneaky [1] 279/23
snitching [2] 120/20 \{20/22
snuck [7] 67/6 68/1 68/1 68/4 68/7 68/8 68/8. so [363]
so - [2] 221/23 274/15
so's [1] 33/17
Social [1] 299/23
socially [1] 116/15
socks [3] 258/20 258/21 258/22
soft [1] $16 / 5$
solely [3] 370/1 370/9 $392 / 8$
solicit [1] 395110
solicitation [10] 14/21 14/23 245/6 358/1 $371 / 10$
376/23 377/ 382/16397/25 399/1
solicitations [1] 15/6
solicited [1] 395/13
some [78] 6/18 29/2 49/22 53/9 55/21 56/9 56/15 56/16 64/17 75/11 80/18 84/2 90/3 90/9 104/5
126/14 133/8 163/16 164/21 168/11 173/4 181/14
181/20 18i/21 182/24 193/11 195/4 195/11 201/2
209/22 215/13 215/23 221/22 228/3 228/22
234/11 235/25 237/11 242/23 249/1 255/10
256/17 261/9 261/10 262/4 263/10 266/7 267/4
270/10 282/3 $284 / 25285 / 8287 / 19296 / 9310 / 6$
320/25 336/16 $337 / 1$ 339/4 341/13 348/2 348/6
$348 / 21352 / 5$ 356/21 358/18 358/22 361/6 366/25 371/17 375/4 376/ 379/21 383/3 384/10 388/15 400/25 408/23
some - [1] 195/11
somebody [32] 25/18 26/4 27/12 27/9 38/6 115/7 120/8 120/23 130/12 130/22 169/3 174/7 193/18 200/16 208/77 240/14 245/12 253/16 253/17
265/25 267/12 326/22 370/ $370 / 24372 / 12376 / 8$ 376/9 379/13 379/14 383/18 401/1 406/5
somehow [9] 22/8 74/11 89/22 89/25 230/22 270/1 285/18 380/10 385/16
someone [20] 25/21 51/16 174/23 187/5 208/9 222/25 228/15 242/25 263/21 263/22 263/23 $316 / 14335 / 25$ 355/12 364/6 370/23 375/17 376/4 386/2 402/18
someone's (1] $352 / 24$
something [86] $16 / 23$ 22/ $22 / 836 / 845 / 2048 / 9$ 48/20 49/2 51/24 52/12 70/13 72/4 91/1991/20 115/20 115/21 120/18 125/21 125/24 129/13 130/2 140/1 155/9 159/14 160/49 169/4 174/15 179/6 188/20 190/25 198/8 200/21 209/25 217/8

220/2 220/7 243/1 244/13 253/2 253/11 253s/4 253/15 259/1 259/10 260/15 260/17 260/18 264/14 264/16 264/19 264/20 265/24 267/12 268/5 268/6 268/8 279/10 281/24 296/9 304/17 306/23 307/14 331/9 357/8 357/16 360/1 362/12 $362 / 21$ 364/6 365/9 366/20 373/20 376/24 376/25 $377 / 5$ 379/22 381/10 383/19 384/10 385/6 386/2 393/22 394/21 399/16 400/20 406/14
something -- [1] 253/2
sometime [3] 146/13 199/18 359/8
sometimes [6] 200/23 267/1 279/13 279/13 294/14 383/17
somewhat [4] 105/6 119/7 279/24 280/3
somewhere [7] 47/5 62/15 85/15 139/8 176/5

## 396/2 400/19

son [15] 20/8 30/12 31/22 33/9 33/13 33/17 34/3
$82 / 182 / 7$ 98/3 128/9 134/12 160/14 351/1 396/3
soon [1] 277125
sooner [1] 137/11
sorry [28] 29/25 44/77 69/22 123/3 $123 / 13132 / 17$ $187 / 18$ 190/23 222/16 235/18 259/8 $284 / 7284 / 16$ $300 / 20304 / 10305 / 18310 / 12316 / 17323 / 13$ $323 / 14325 / 25333 / 10340 / 7340 / 9360 / 25386 / 21$ 403/17 403/22
sorry - [1] 69/22
sort [9] 86/15 173/4 210/6 213/20 229/24 258/17
320/25 379/21 400/10
sorting [1] 241/20
sorts (1] 291/25
sound [5] 175/23 212/11 212/12 243/1/ 267/1
sounds [4] 90/7 161/23 177/24 243/6
south [7] 151/13 153/6 158/11 304/4350/14 350/15 350/19
spaces [1] 255/12
spark [2] 68/20 68/21
speak [25] 16/4 16/11 $37 / 2$ 45/98 46/3 51/10 56/16 102/8 157/12 157/18 $162 / 2$ 166/16 178/6 190/9 190/5 190/6 $192 / 24193 / 5$ 195/5 198/22 209/11 213/15 213/16 305/21 366/24
speaker [1] 91/24
speakers [2] 248/10 255/19
speaking [10] 192/11 209/22 237/18 246/24
$246 / 25$ 265/8 279/16 294/12 305/3 338/17
speaks [1] $206 / 23$
Special [19] 57/20 57/25 184/18 186/1 192/10 193/2 194/1 204/21 204/23 208/19 208/23212/15 213/11 213/16 215/21 216/24 217/8 $270 / 23$ $271 / 24$
specific [8] 204/6 221/11 293/11 299/23 294/25 370/25 368/3 370/5
specifically [21] $11 / 2542 / 653 / 753 / 2292 / 14$ 185/17 195/7 205/7 206/20 216/2 238/21 240/18 286/4 286/9 291/6 294/17 308/20 328/1 342/17 354/5 377/9
speculation [6] 93/23 308/8 316/5 316/14 351/14 $351 / 17$
speed [1] 296/13
spell [4] 9/19 45/4 145/10 3027
spelled [1] 338/24
spend [3] $277 / 22$ 356/11 367/2
spending [1] 49/22
spent [5] 194/16 194/20 209/22 277/14 358/18
split [3] 147/6 164/4 311/1
spoke [17] 109/4 118/19 118/22 122/111 161/24
162/6 $162 \pi$ 190/ $190 / 8$ 193/2 197/11 197/19
209/19 209/20 232/4 317/22 349/24
spoken [4] 105/11 112/3 215/6 277/19
Sportage [4] 55/1 63/14 63/15 152/11
Sports [1] $143 / 2$
squad [7] $146 / 19$ 146/20 146/24 183/3 184/16 191/1 303/10
Sr [3] 237/9 237/13 299/9

SS [1] 308/2
stabbed [2] 78/2 238/18
stabbing [7] $132 / 5$
stack [1] 364/24
staff [1] 296/17
stage [1] 358/41
stand [28] 12/13 41/19 108/25 138/5 229/6 229/7 230/4 230/18 237/1 243/12 243/16 243/19 285/21 286/6 287/9 292/5 310/10 356/10 356/16 357/21 366/15 372/24 373/19 373/25 388/2 388/8 389/12 390/11
standard [6] 356/9 359/17 371/24 378/13 383/9 398/2
standing [8] 9/12 44/21 51/17 68/2369/10 130/25 138/9 408/20
star [?] 154/14
start [i7] 19/23 29/19 144/20 170/20 225117
227/2234/15 234/22 283/23 286/25 329/9 333/11
$333 / 14$ 333/15 334/25 348/17 357/15
started [8] 17/13 46/25 159/2 167/3 192/8 268/23 348/13 399/17
started - [1] $192 / 8$
starting [3] 248/20 324/15 345/13
starts [5] 87/21 186/19 249/24 328/10 337/14
state [69] $1 / 41 / 5$ 1/19 $2 / 26 / 198 / 229 / 1810 / 5$
10/13 $12 / 912 / 17712 / 2513 / 243 / 344 / 1645 / 353 / 7$
53/11 53/23 75/12 87/12 89/16 90/4 90/7 90/17
145/3 145/9 169/3 204/1 228/4 228/8 229/3
233/21 239/19 $243 / 11245 / 21$ 246/11 246/13 250/16 264/24 285/10 285/16 287/14 289/12 298/6 300/15 300/18 301/10 302/6 $355 / 1355 / 7$ 355/16 356/1 360/25 369/22 376/25 377/23 380/2 380/12 383/7 384/11 384/21 385/12 387/5 388/25 398/3 399/44 404/12 409/14
State - [1] 376/25
State's [69] 3/2 4/2 6/2 7/10 7/11 8/2 8/5 8/11 8/20 23/25 74/10 87/4 89/3 138/15 149/13 150/20 150/24 151/9 $151 / 19152 / 1152 / 5152 / 9152 / 19$ 152/23 153/3 153/12 156/2 156/18 $177 / 4$ 179/12 180/3 180/6 180/24 181/5 181/15 1823 182/3 182/44 209/7 218/1 218/13 218/16 218/21219/12 219/21 220/3 220/11 220/22 222/10 223/12 225/11 248/14 297/133 301/22 313/21 314/9 $314 / 18314 / 21315 / 14317 / 24318 / 11318 / 18$ 319/12 320/9 321/24 338/18 354/24 355/11 360/10
stated [10] 33/20 88/18 115/6 168/25 195/19 234/6 275/18 315/6 386/8 386/9
statement [104] $23 / 5$ 23/8 $23 / 8$ 23/9 23/15 32/14 $32 / 2032 / 2042 / 575 / 175 / 475 / 687 / 988 / 488 / 7$ 88/10 89/16 90/18 91/10 99/21 99/24 104/6 104/8 104/12 104/16 105/6 106/21 106/25 110/23 112/6 117/25 124/9 154/22 162/24 163/1 164/13 164/15 164/17 164/22 210/6 210/8 210/9 210/9 $210 / 10$ 210/11 210/14 216/4 216/6 240/20 241/3 241/11 241/24 245/16 245/22 265/i2 265/13 266/4 266/6 268/17 268/23 268/25 284/11 284/18 284/20 285/19 286/6 286/7 286/10 287/22 288/14 289/20 291/1 291/5 291/7 291/17 291/18 291/19 291/20 291/23 294/18 295/8 362/2 $362 / 6364 / 8364 / 9$ $364 / 11364 / 11375 / 4378 / 23379 / 16381 / 9381 / 14$ $381 / 17385 / 13385 / 14391 / 18391 / 19396 / 9$ 401/14 403/1 403/2 403/3 403/6 407/8
statement -- [1] 241/24
statement's [1] $87 / 22$
statements [71] 9/14 23/2 25/10 44/24 74/12
74/25 75/4 75/9 88/3 88/12 88/20 88/20 88/22 88/22 89/14 90/4 91/9 92449212922395123 145/5 234/16 235/8 242/3 $242 / 5$ 242/8 242/12 244/11 245/1 245/7 245/10 245/23 246/1 247/10 247/12 247/14 247/22 285/13 287/16 288/17 292/20 295/1 302/2 336/10 341/17 362/12 362/18

| $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :--- |
| statements... [23] $364 / 4365 / 18366 / 6377 / 17$ |

$377 / 20$ 378/15 391/3 391/25 392/20 393/9 393/10 $393 / 19394 / 5$ 394/8 394/11 394/16 394/19 394/22 398/4 398/8 401/15 401/18 403/24
states [5] 24/6 53/22 204/1 206/12 $264 / 24$
static [1] 256/14
stating [4] 33/5 209/18 253/7 380/2
station [1] $342 / 25$
statute [4] $53 / 4$ 204/6 226/20 379/3
stay [12] 30/17 30/21 56/13 73/5 76/8 84/16 100/1 133/24 134/7 285/12 293/10 409/9
stayed [9] 20/10 76/9 99/5 99/6 133/22 166/2 316/9 326/20 $327 / 8$
staying [3] 123/2 123/3 284/11
step [2] $193 / 1232 / 16$
stick [2] 366/23 367/4
still [34] 34/14 49/12 51/4 72/18 74/14 82/25
89/16 117/14 117/18 119/20 127/22 128/3 135/5
135/6 137/17 178/12 247/13 271/1 272/24 275/16 289/11 323/10 338/6 346/1 348/15 352/5 356/21
$363 / 25370 / 15381 / 22397 / 6397 / 22400 / 21$ 410/4
stipulate [5] 203/16 238/2 298/16 300/14 318/14
stipulating [4] 300/11 300/24 301/3 301/17
stipulation [3] 298/9 298/17 301/9
stipulations [1] 296/9
stolen [1] 238/19
stood [3] 199/18 289/18 291/6
stop [36] 45/21 94/4 112/9 130/2 130/13 130/15
131/10 188/13 192/23 195/21 195/24 198/9 198/3 198/5 198/10 198/11 198/14 198/15 199/9 248/18 248/19 249/23 254/20 254/21 254/21 275/12 275/15 312/18 313/4 323/74 327/15 329/12 332/20 335/17 338/14 393/15
stopped [17] 47/25 49/14 49/17 60/21 60/21
60/22 60/25 192/11 192/18 192/19 195/19 195/2
196/5 196/14 196/15 198/4 278/8
stopping [2] 148/5 275/10
stops [1] 17/25
store [11] 81/10 81/11 81/15 83/22 83/23 83/24
83/25 84/7 143/1 $151 / 18280 / 5$
stories [9] 268/10 279/1 285/3 285/5 286/14
286/14 291/11 293/15 295/1
story [22] 105/18 106/22 108/19 244/14 269/1
279/3 279/4 279/11 281/14 281/23 285/4 285/15
286/4 286/23 291/9 294/23 324/8 324/9 339/24
$367 / 4375 / 9375 / 10$
storyteller [2] 281/10 281/11
straight [7] 39/20 71/1 71/3 107/11 161/22 170/4 326/15
straightened [1] 334/12
straw [1] $64 / 22$
street [57] 20/5 31/17 31/18 31/19 34/20 35/6 35/11 35/14 167/2 167/18 $167 / 21168 / 3170 / 11$
170/21 171/8 171/11 172/12 172/13 172/24 172/15 173/3 173/12 173/16 175/10 175/25 176/21 176/24 178/13 178/23 178/25 182/20 199/2 199/4 199/24 200/16 283/12 283/15 283/18 $303 / 14303 / 15303 / 18304 / 1304 / 2304 / 6309 / 20$ $313 / 4320 / 14342 / 8342 / 21$ 350/2 350/14 350/19
350/22 387/2i 390/7 405/22 405/23
street's [1] 350/13
strength [1] 286/16
stretch [1] 405/6
stricken [3] 74/8 92/23 217/13
strike [13] 70/20 83/10 88/8 123/8 136/10 157/2 180/19 282/14 336/25 348/1 350/8 350/9 352/11 strip [1] 258/13
stripped [4] 262/7 262/8 262/10 262/12 strong [6] 215/13 215/16 398/3 404/14 404/22 405/17
struck [1] $308 / 1$
strychnine [6] 244/18 371/13 371/18 374/6 376/24 377/6
stuck [1] 228117
stuff [12] 70/5 204/11 255/24 261/7 261/9 283/7
292/1 324/24 325/6 348/14 374/9 389/3
subject [8] 24/17 185/8 185/10 211/24 239/1 239/11 239/14 239/16
submit [9] $362 / 4362 / 8372 / 4386 / 5386 / 25$
388/19 389/9 392/5 409/10
submitted [2] $372 / 1398 / 6$
subpoena [2] 6/15 13/21
subscriber [2] 157/21 158/4
subsection [1] 240/20
subsequent [3] 249/17 288/12 352/3
substance [2] 236/13 383/1
substantial [3] 369/18 370/8 370/19
succeed (1] 11/1
surcessful [1] $172 / 20$
such [12] 183/19 235/21 239/18 263/5 264/9
337/3 358/6 358/9 359/2 370/22 371/24 382/19
sudden [3] 87/2 292/11 363/4
suffers [1] 343/4
sufficient [1] 398/12
suggest [1] $33 / 7$
suggesting [3] 33/18 33/18 33/19
suit [2] 151/3 278/20
suited [1] 279/9
summary [1] 23/25
sun [1] 150/8
Sunset [3] 158/10 158/13 $192 / 9$
supplied (1] 378/13
support [1] 6/23
suppose [1] $267 / 4$
supposed [12] 28/3 34/ 38/9 38/10 52/14 52/16 92/21 125/8 249/ 331/1 $331 / 2$ 368/51
supposedly [17] 28/5 250/7 265/19 266/4 330/15 330/23 331/1 378/18 378/20 378/21 379/8 383/4 $383 / 5383 / 15385 / 10388 / 5390 / 12$
supposition [1] 352/16
Supreme [27] 22/12 24/653/1153/1591/192/13 203/25 227ח $227 / 13$ 228/8 228/24 229/18 $230 / 22$ 231/3 233/19 238/10 239/20 241/22 243/14 247/19 247/25 341/20 360/14 373/12 373/15 $373 / 20377 / 14$
sure [21] 18/23 38/23 53/1 64/2377/678/12
108/12 131/8 131/8 140/15 143/18 201/1 $237 / 4$ 282/10 286/19 294/15 297/3 337/2 354/2 378/4 409/15
surreptitious [16] 92/6 92/12 184/4 186/23
222/16 228/1 228/2 238/23 239/2 261/20 261/21
262/4 265/19 360/9 395/1 399/19
surreptitiously [2] 194/10 245/9
surveil [1] 315/8
surveillance [11] 191/13 274/14 274/14 296/4
309/10 310/14 311/22 312/3 312/8 312117 395/5
surveilled [8] 184/20 185/144 189/16 189/18
190/14 235/25 252/15 313/3
surveilling [1] 23714
suspect [2] $312114312 / 15$
suspectology [1] 158/20
suspects [7] 165/1 182/25 190/10 191/23 311/17 312/12312/23
suspend [1] 249/14
suspicious [6] 97/16 129/14 243/1 243/7 262/8 376/17
Sustained (4) 38/4 96/7 208/11 215/17
SUV [4] 63/16 63/18 63/19 311/2
SWAT [20] 168/6 170/14 170/15 170/16 170/18
170/24 171/6 171/8 172/25 173/2 173/6 173/9
173/15 175/25 176/6 178/2 180/19 304/9 320/24 342/9

SWear [4] 9/14 44/24 145/5 302/2
sweating [1] 343/9
sweaty [1] 305/2
switched [2] 132/1 $132 / 8$
swore [1] 114/4
sworn [6] 12/6 $15 / 2445 / 1258 / 17145 / 14302 / 11$
synopsis [1] $310 / 2$
T
$t$-shirt [2] 64/15 64/16
table [3] 82/10 134/3 314/25
tailored [1] 24/3
take [50] 42/23 44/6 44/8 77/13 107/6 107/10
$124 / 24$ 132/4 $134 / 12137 / 15137 / 19$ 144/19
147/18 151/17 $162 / 8$ 164/12 173/15 182/23 186/3
195/11 198/20 233/14 233/16 245/17 247/9 248/6
258/20 271/14 280/13 280/20 280/21 293/4
298/10 313/9 349/2 354/9 356/10 360/11 369/20
377/16 377/19 379/13 382/14 385/12 385/13
387/13 388/22 393/18 397/19 403/6
take - [1] 186/3
taken [44] 44/10 57/16 115/24 117/6 117/15
117/19 117/25 122/19 126/8 126/10 126/24
$127 / 21128 / 1128 / 6128117144 / 21149 / 4156 / 5$
178/22 1797 180/8 181/1 190/18 190/19 196/49 496/21 197/6 197/8 197/9 198/23 211/5 248/11
249/15 275/19 282/23 293/12 298/13 304/10
304/11 304/13 320/15 320/19 339/22 357/21
taken - [1] 304/10
takes [1] 286/23
taking [14] 45/24 107/2 130/10 147/4 203/12
294/13 310/ $310 / 9312 / 17354 / 8356 / 16395 / 20$ 403/9 403/23
talk [57] 19/10 20/16 21/7 29/13 38/1 38/3 46/15 51/6 52/10 56/2 56/9 57/21 65/19 86/22 92/11 104/23 105/5 129/18 131/18 148/21 161/21
186/16 186/17 191/8 225/13 236/15 238/4 244/18 250/12 255/4 264/25 265/13 266/1 266/22 286/13 286/22 292/14 293/8 296/17 298/11 322/15
$362 / 14362 / 25365 / 11367 / 24374 / 21375 / 2$
376/10 376/15 376/16 383/23 391/12 396/12 400/12 402/1 402/6 40210
talked [19] 50/13 81/2 81/6 81/8 95/2 104/2 109/13 111/18 $112 / 12$ 116/17 117/14 125/2 139/18 153/10 278/5 323/21 328/24 368/2 389/2 talking [86] 26/23 27/8 30/19 38/6 38/13 50/24 $56 / 462 / 1465 / 1681 / 487 / 21$ 88/21 94/11 $94 / 14$ $94 / 19$ 95/17 $107 / 7$ 107/12 107/13 107/14 109/16 120/17 121/13 122/3 167/15 193/17 215/4 226/2 229/13 229/15 230/3 234/23 236/20 241/2 242/23 242/24 243/2 243/5 250/8 260/23 263/24 263/25 271/23 282/20 286/12 293/6 306/13 328/20 333/11 335/22 335/24 336/14 339/22 346/4 346/7 $346 / 15346 / 19346 / 21346 / 23348 / 5348 / 15$ $351 / 12351 / 13351 / 24352 / 4352 / 6358 / 16360 / 15$ 360/22 361/11 361/11 361/15 362/19 365/12 $366 / 7$ 369/9 371/13 385/8 385/9 388/17 388/19 395/8 395/20 399/18 402/8 402/22
talking - [1] 388/19
talks [7] 53/5 53/6 91/9 $239 / 4241 / 10365 / 12$ 369/6
tall [1] 94/25
Tanqueray [5] 251/12 251/14 251/15 251/16 251/17
Taoipu [15] 5/90 9/1 27/15 27/16 42/10 92/8
165/11 165/15 166/16 $167 / 5$ 167/7 288/22 386/20 386/22 398/25
tape [33] 104/12 $112 \pi$ 164/12 $186 / 23210 / 9$ 236/21 238/25 242/5 $242 / 9$ 249/22 260/5 276/6 277/20 277/23 277/24 278/3 278/8 282/17 282/19 286/25 361/17 361/18 363/3 363/8 367/48 396/22 399/21 399/25 399/25 402/5 403/12 403/16 404/3

tapes [10] $7 / 522811$ 233/1 234/16 276/24 282/21
286/22 349/8 397/10 400/8
Taser [3] 320/25 343/25 344/21
Tases [1] 320/25
tasked [1] 192/11
tastes [1] 236/5
team [12] 168/6 170/14 170/15 170/16 170/18
170/24 171/6 171/9 172/25 173/2 298/25 310/12
team's [1] 173/9
teased [t] 404/19
technical [1] 277/4
tefephone [3] $172 / 6$ 388/25 390/4
television [2] 180/12 212/10
Telgenoff [1] 7/14
teli [88] 17/11 17/13 22/14 31/1 31/7 $31 / 833 / 11$
33/12 33/13 33/15 34/2 34/6 50/14 56/18 56/99 $59 / 20$ 60/13 66/23 67/24 68/12 72/12 73/23 74/7 74/3 75/18 75/21 76/1 76/17 78/17 78/21 79/2 83/1085/6 85/19 86/24 91/13 96/13 97/13 99/17 109/11 111/25 113/14 113/16 114/2 117/23
118/11 118/13 118/13 119/17 122/7 125/16 129/6 130/13 130/13 130/16 135/14 149/15 182/2 206/25 210/3 215/1 216/2 218/9 230/1 234/21 236/18 237/24 249/15 268/3 291/1 318/22 330/14 330/17 330/20 330/22 333/4 336/14 338/10 $342 / 16342 / 22345 / 16347 / 15353 / 4365 / 4368 / 8$ 377/19 377/19 410/6
telling [21] 74/5 74/21 74/23 120/23 164/18 188/11 230/17 230/17 231/9 231/10 244/14 281/23 285/14 324/9 330/23 331/1 $367 / 3368 / 17$ 374/25 389/21 389/25
tells [6] 70/1 93/12 205/15 249/18 367/1 389/19 ten [2] 35/23 365/24
tenuous [1] 404/19
Teresa [2] 146/23 273/3
term [3] 59/10 202/1 365/17
terminated [1] 305/22
terminology [1] 343/16
terms [1] 372/11
test [1] 390/18
testified [14] 42/22 101/1 104/5 t12/13 115/6
252/9 275/22 277/13 278/16 280/4 288/19 294/1 341/2 380/6
testify [31] $6 / 5$ 11/10 13/16 13/20 13/22 15/24 $33 / 341 / 745 / 1258 / 17787 / 389 / 7125 / 21126 / 4$ 145/14 187/2 187/6 188/10 188/48 237/2 288/4 298/20 300/9 302/11 316/12 336/22 355/12 356/3 356/10 365/8 $376 / 21$
testifying [13] 6/5 24/3 58/7 $87 / 893 / 24119 / 7$ 125/6 125/7 125/13 125/17 125/23 126/1 273/7 testimonial [17] 25/10 25/10 90/20 91/9 91/10 241/2 245/12 245/14 246/9 246/14 $247 / 20247 / 21$ $247 / 24247 / 25248 / 1$ 248/4 360/15
testimony [55] 6/7 7ח 10/6 10/9 10/12 10/12 10/20 10/23 11/18 22/23 22/23 23/7 24/7 24/12 25/3 32/8 42/14 44/i2 54/6 89/11 161/21 $237 / 3$ 282/10 286/21 296/6 298/16 299/10 300/8 308/21 $308 / 24337 / 4341 / 3357 / 20359 / 4359 / 6360 / 17$ $381 / 12383 / 11386 / 24387 / 9389 / 6390 / 14391 / 13$ $3928392 / 9392 / 16392 / 18392 / 19393 / 22393 / 22$ 396/6 396/17 397/11 398/17 408/17 testing [3] 257/20 290/14 390/15 than [42] 25/3 41/18 41/22 62/16 62/18 63/1 66/21 77/14 89/12 98/5 103/2 139/8 143/16 153/18 170/3 178/16 190/7 203/17 203/22 $207 / 1$ 207/6 221/15 237/3 242/6 271/14 281/9 28t/11 283/1 288/1 289/2 294/4 325/9 325/12 344/2 $353 / 15355 / 14355 / 25394 / 16394 / 21403 / 7$ 403/23 407/4
thank [55] 7/87/98/4 11/5 12/ 1211115 15/19 15/22 25/12 33/25 45/10 46/6 47/3 48/15 52/6 54/9 56/25 57/7 75/16 93/2 96/8 100/9 101/11 137/13 140/16 142/5 143/3 155/17 159/22 161/1 $169 / 5$ 169/8 185/12 189/14 195/15 195/22 204/17 238/6 226/21 $227 / 22$ 235/17 238/8 $275 / 4$ 290/23 297/15 301/21 307/4 309/4 318/16 318/20 $350 / 11351 / 5352 / 9410 / 20$
that [1708]
that - [7] 96/4 97/13 264/18 339/25 340/9 370/22 386/21
that's (193]
the - [10] 93/23 154/11 $227 / 1$ 254/12 268/8 298/11 319/17 $339 / 1$ 386/14 396/21 their [45] 10/20 41/2 43/3 87/22 92/5 137/20 148/8 148/13 148/19 173/10 183/8 216/23 230/23 $232 / 5$ 242/5 245/21 245/22 245/25 248/2 254/24 265/7 267/13 284/10 286/16 292/1 $292 / 6310 / 7$ $312 / 3$ 357/20 364/11 369/10 372/9 374/6 375/13 376/3 377/1 378/6 384/11 384/21 384/22 391/10 392/10 399/20 $401 / 4401 / 6$
them [106] 13/18 29/ $29 / 8$ 36/16 $42 / 18$ 53/20 56/18 56/19 76/25 79/7 87/22 96/4 101/19 111/19 111/21 112/ $112 / 9112 / 23$ 112/24 118/8 118/13 119/17 119/18 123/11 125/25 132/10 137/19 141/7 141/7 149/18 149/19 149/20 149/23 170/21 171/11 173/10 182/18 182/19 191/4 191/8 191/24 200/16 203/23 203/24 204/7 204/8 208/17 211/17 222/25 223/4 223/24 224/3 224/9 225/20 232/4 232/25 233/3 243/14 247/8 247/15 249/2 252/21 257/19 273/21 273/23 276/13 276/16 276/17 291/16 292/7 293/5 297/5 297/ 298/19 299/5 $301 / 5302 / 25308 / 19$ 311/19 312/1 313/5 314/7 $314 / 13320 / 1328 / 18331 / 23347 / 20354 / 13$ $357 / 22$ 362/15 364/10 364/23 369/23 371/14 371/17 371/18 371/19 383/20 388/6 388/6 392/8 392/11 396/10 403/1 403/1 407/25
themselves [3] 75/10 90/2 288/18
then [192]
theory [5] 361/4 370/2 370/10 370/16371/9 there [496]
there - [1] 240/2
there's [15] 151/6 $177 / 15182 / 19188 / 16$ 216/17 253/24 254/15 256/16 259/21 271/12 284/13 294/15 361/15 391/12 410/8
thereafter[10] $32 / 14$ 95/24 163/24 189/25 209/20 213/15 252/4 299/13 312/20 358/22
therefore [8] 15/11 53/14 92/22 240/16 241/5
241/14 246/11 246/13
therein [1] $242 / 20$
thereof [1] 299/22
Thereupon [19] 5/218/28/20 57/11 108/13 145/1 150/20 156/18 180/3 201/21 $218 / 21262 / 21$ $297 / 13301 / 22314 / 18318 / 18320 / 9354 / 24$ 408/21
these [100] 6/5 $6 / 25$ 11/16 14/16 17/24 18/1 42/12 42/19 53/16 74/1177/8 79/6 79/23 89/1 90/9 90/20 90/22 92/15 92/23 104/22 110/19 117/4 138/13 141/1 150/23 158/22 169/10 169/21 170/23 170/24 180/22 216/3 $217 / 2521814$ 219/10 224/8 224/20 224/22 225/18 225/25 228/9 228/9 228/10 228/12 229/7 229/14 229/16 229117 230/24 231/16 231/18 231/20 232/4 232/7 232/21 232/25 $234 / 7$ 234/15 235/21 241/13 246/9 246/18 246/19 247/22 248/3 253/8 257/2263/21 266/18 276/19 279/8 284/8 287/1 287/16 292144 297/22 $300 / 12$ 300/46 331/8 342/19 348/4 364/3 364/19 $364 / 20365 / 18366 / 13367 / 16381 / 4385 / 20$ 385/22 387/24 388/22 388/24 389/15 390/5 390/5 395/8 406/3 406/12 408/22
they [480]
they - [5] 200/6 324/20 333/13 333/19 372/10
they'Il [2] 339/6 364/20
they're [10] 41/3 87/4 89/2 $92 / 19$ 170/6218/11 228/2 346/4 346/35 351/24
they've [6] 243/15 295/3 295/7 384/3400/5 400/25
thick [3] 202/24 203/9 203/13
thing [30] 110/20 194/24 210/4 224/6 233/5
236/11 242/15 243/7 254/2 256/21 286/11 $286 / 17$
294/20 300/19 300/21 334/23 335/1 335/22
336/11 336/14 339/25 340/3 355/10 355/17 355/18 360/25 368/1 383/17 389/1 399/12
thing -- [1] 360/25
things [49] 17/24 23/22 24/12 110/6 $110 / 14$ 110/19 112/13 148/23 158/21 170/24 170/24 195/9 215/24 224/20 228/23 230/13 238/16 242/22 242/22 242/24 243/2 258/18 263/20 265/14 266/14 266/21 266/23 267/1 $267 / 4270 / 10$ 274/18 281/16 281/18 282/1 282/2 282/21 290/3 $290 / 5$ 292/24 293/2 305/10 361/2 378/22 381/5 384/15 385/11 385/20 389/17 397/9
think [121] 5/16 5/17 11/21 21/25 25/6 25/11
25/20 32/2 33/9 33/23 41/14 48/4 52/23 53/1 53/17 $54 / 758 / 2361 / 2563 / 1464 / 1564 / 1668 / 1174 / 24$ 85/14 87/111 87/14 87/15 87/24 88/1 92/25 94/24 100/14 100/19 $125 / 11126 / 5128 / 18$ 138/5 $148 / 23$ 183/10 185/8 189/4 194/16 202/18 205/19 207/10 230/21 231/7 231/8 231/17 231/18 231/18 234/11 236/4 238/12 241/19 243/12 243/22 247/3 247/4 247/19 248/5 251/12 253/10 254/25 255/21 264/19 268/5 270/20 271/10 27214 273/20 274/22 274/23 278/4 287/3 288/25 289/9 289/21 291/24 294/23 301/10 327/8 329/13 331/15 333/25 $336 / 21$ 337/5 338/23 339/13 340/20 341/14 343/8 $343 / 15345 / 8355 / 18357 / 1357 / 7360 / 6361 / 7$ $363 / 16364 / 1371 / 25372 / 25374 / 22375 / 11376 / 8$ 378/5 378/9 383/9 396/24 397/22 399/24 400/14 406/3 406/4 406/6 406/8 406/10 408/f8 409/1 409/6
think - [1] 406/10
thinking [2] 129/4 367/8
third [5] $21 / 7$ 118/23 293/25 355/8 375/10
third-party's [1] 294/1
Thirty-four [1] 254/13
this [486]
this - [3] 108/16 262/17 379/12
thorough [1] 258/24
those [107] 10/8 13/2 13/24 15/12 15/14 33/4 43/15 43/18 84/4 108/17 114/4 115/10 115/11 115/12 149/15 149/16 156/4 156/4 156/5 169/16 169/19 170/ 172/20 176/14 178/1 179/13 182/5 182/12 182/15 182/15 182/16 191/2 200/4 209/9 209/10 210/25 211/1 215/11 216/14 218/8 221/25 222/12 222/14 223/10 224/17 235/1 235/7 238/22 238/23 239/3 239/6 243/2 245/1 245/7 245/10 245/13 250/1 252/1 257/19 261/22 274/6 276/15 282120 286/9 288/24 290/5 $292 / 4297 / 23298 / 2$ 299/5 300/24 301/3 305/9 310/6 313/23 314/3 319/10 319/13 324/11 340/4 354/6 354/11 356/4 $360 / 9361 / 11361 / 23366 / 6375 / 17377 / 1380 / 4$ $382 / 2385 / 11386 / 10388 / 25389 / 13391 / 5392 / 2$ 394/11 396/6 396/7 397/10 397/12 397/13 397/24 398/7 402/\$409/22
though [16] 46/16 118/15 132/8 141/22 167/1 224/11 225/1 225/11 230/24 240/18 247/16 254/11 290/19 334/15 368/25 373/19
thought [24] 67/22 113/6 113/9 120/8 120/14 120/19 128/17 128/24 228/25 229/23 233/16 268/3 268/4 316/23 323/7 345/15 345/24 363/3 374/16 375/18 375/19 390/12 400/5 407/19 thoughts [1] 246/1
three [43] 19/14 19/24 23/22 23/23 29/18 30/23 $36 / 23$ 36/23 46/23 46/23 46/25 49/10 108/21
 222/16 224/8 226/23 237/8 269/1 269/3 288/19 291/16 297/4 315/2 324/8 324/11 329/19 330/23 $330 / 25$ 331/3 331/9 331/18 333/5 354/11 378/22 393/2 393/4 398/8 398/11
Three-and-a-half [2] 146/1 303/1
three-quarters [4] 291/8 294/16 294/16 294/22
threshold [1] $372 / 2$
threw [2] 79/14 229/21
through [76) $5 / 76 / 2510 / 524 / 724 / 1332 / 932 / 13$ 53/24 57/18 66/2 66/3 72/3 72/5 74/6 147/14 147/16 149/14 149/14 149/18 149/19 150/5 150/15 150/20 150/23 154/1 154/2 156/3 156/3 179/13 179/19 180/3 186/25 195/2 203/6 $218 / 2$ 218/4 218/8 218/17 219/9 219/25 229/2 236/25 246/18 273/23 277/8 279/5 291/7 292/9 294/19 294/22 296/2 301/22 313/22 313/22 314/7 314/18 $320 / 8320 / 9320 / 23323 / 48324 / 16327 / 23328 / 4$ 328/15 329/12 344/13 344/17 345/1 348/18 $369 / 23375 / 16388 / 23393 / 11402 / 2408 / 22$ 409/25
throughout [8] 7/6 22/23 221/16 221/24 222/1
300/22 365/7 383/12
throw [1] 89/24
throwing [1] 159/2
thrown [2] 79/23 80/4
thumbed [2] 291/7 294/48
Thursday [2] 29/13 167/11
tie [1] $25 / 9$
ties [2] 404/14 404/22
tightly [1] 390/20
till [2] 333/15 408/4
$\operatorname{Tim}$ [1] 118/14
time [169]
time -- [1] 3997/
times [21] 18/5 69/969/12 108/17 108/21 108/23 127/17 173/11 236/9 267/24 269/25 278/10 343/13 358/2 361/18 361/19 362/17 365/7 373/2 397/5 $410 / 1$
Timothy [44] 7/15 38/9 38/10 38/13 39/15 39/17 46/7 60/15 60/17 60/20 61/16 61/1761/21 63/6 $637763 / 63 / 2163 / 2264 / 664 / 764 / 965 / 665 / 13$ 67/22 58/16 68/23 69/9 69/17 69/21 69/22 100/21 101/5 101/8 146/8 151/1 151/21 152/15 163/19 289/3 291/15 303/4 380/15 381/25 401/20 Timothy's [5] 62/11 63/3 65/3 65/4 100/13 tipped [1] 180/17
tire [3] 77/23 78/4 170/3
tires [41] 76/16 76/18 76/21 77/277/4 77/8 77/24 78/2 78/6 78/9 79/4 79/5 79/6 79/9 79/10 79/11 79/14 79/23 80/4 132/1 $132 / 5132 / 7132 / 10137 / 1$ 168/11 169/11 169/13 169/17 169/19 169/21 169/24 169/25 170/1 170/2 170/4 170/5 170/6 319/20 380/4 382/6 394/11
TJ [44] 46/11 46/12 46/17 46/22 47/5 47/15 47/22 48/20 49/5 49/12 49/17 49/22 50/3 50/5 50/21 $50 / 24$ 51/10 51/12 54/12 54/18 55/5 55/10 5677 $67 / 17112 / 16112 / 20115 / 15116 / 4117 / 6117 / 15$ 117/19 117/25 120/8 120/15 121/1 $121 / 2$ 121/13 127/20 : 28/1 130/1 284/5 379/25 380/20 384/25 to - [7] 37/11 108/11 137/3 225/16 243/19 255/23 384/2
to-do [2] 367/23 367/25
today [68] $11 / 1513 / 7 \quad 14 / 414 / 8$ 16/20 36/5 36/18 $42 / 1251 / 2151 / 2458 / 792 / 11105 / 14105 / 20$ 106/22 107/20 108/24 109/6 110/20 112/13 119/6 119/20 125/6 125/ 125/13 125/17 125/23 126/1 126/4 139/23 140/23 155/6 159/11 160/16 174/13 216/5 216/5 224/14 228/7 230/6 237/14 239/10 240/9 244/8 260/23 273/7 304/i4 306/20 307/8

307/11 347/1/372/11 372/13 375/22 378/1 $383 / 22$ 384/10 384/18 386/15 387/18 388/2 388/8 388/8 389/12 404/20 407/25 409/2 410/15 together [20] 48/22 50/6 81/21 103/21 103/23 103/25 110/6 121/19 148/21 $157 / 19164 / 24245 / 8$ 336/23 357/4 366/23 385/16 388/17 392/3 $397 / 11$ 397/14

## told [148]

toll [3] 147/14 147/16 147/17
tomorrow [3] 407/12 407/12 408/4
too [22] 8/13 50/13 86/19 92/2 98/24 108/3
109/21 184/23 212/22 236/17 244/4 246/1 253/2 $253 / 21$ 289/17 331/11 334/21 339/4 340/13 346/15 367/7 406/2
took [17] 77/15 100/12 108/24 136/21 $154 / 1$
162/10 176/12 194/2 $227 / 18228 / 21249 / 19304 / 24$ 310/1 $330 / 13342 / 9342 / 9$ 388/2
top [13] 159/17 160/21 162/18 174/16 181/11
220/14 221/15 315/3 322/21 323/2 327/21 337/17 345/21
tops [1] 73/6
tom [2] $315 / 7348 / 5$
tortured [2] 384/10 404/20
total [2] 69/13 221/22
totally [3] $234 / 13353 / 1393 / 23$
touch [1] 132/12
tough [3] 383/3 385/9 388/23
toward [1] $387 / 2$
towards [12] 37/12 39/6 64/7 65/6 65/8 65/10 $97 / 19$ 151/22 151/23 153/2 182/25 192/9 TOWNSHIP [1] $1 / 3$
track [16] 223/8 223/9 225/13 225/14 248/16
248/17 248/19 248/20 248/21 248/22 249/12
252/19 260/25 270/5 366/10 367/19
tracks [3] 223/10 225/12 248/15
traditional [1] 251/14
traditionally [1] 53/23
traffic [3] 148/5 175/24 312/18
trailer [1] $367 / 5$
TRAN [1] $1 / 1$
transcribe [2] 224/13 236/11
transcribed [6] 216/6 225/1 $236 / 5$ 236/7 $277 / 5$ 294/13
transcript [18] 1/12 224/10 224/16 225/4 276/3 $322 / 1338 / 21$ 339/1 339/3 339/4 339/7 339/8 $339 / 11$ 340/8 340/10 398/5 401/3 411/2 transcription [3] $23 / 8 \pm 62 / 23224 / 20$
transcripts [4] $328 / 22$ 328/23 349/9 399/14
transferred [1] $355 / 5$
transmitter [1] 184/8
transport [1] 208/20
transported [2] 208/22 343/19
trash [8] 34/8 34/8 34/10 79/979/111 132/11 381/10 381/16
tremendous [1] 293/12
trial [12] 11/10 11/17 $11 / 2513 / 2$ 24/3 138/16 204/5 227/14 227/24 227/25 229/22 375/21 trial - [1] $227 / 14$ trials [1] 373/18 trickled [1] 151/23
tried [10] 28/25 $92117222 / 25227 / 8228 / 5$ 293/10 $384 / 11402 / 15402 / 19403 / 5$
tripping [2] 73/20 137/12
trooper [1] 80/19
trouble [1] 113/10
truck [4] 208/9 208/14 288/21 308/2
true [7] 118/1 156/10 175/18 246/5 400/8 400/9 411/2
trust [1] 280/8
truth [45] 9/15 9/15 9/16 44/25 44/25 45/1 99/17 109/1i 113/10 113/14 113/16 114/24 115/2 $119 / 17145 / 6$ 145/7 $145 / 7168 / 20168 / 24169 / 6$

175/8 175/15 230/17 230/18 231/9 231/10 234/17 $240 / 25$ 243/25 244/16 244/20 245/17 246/3 295/11 302/3 302/3 302/4 362/10 363/3 363/5 363/13 364/5 383/24 384/1 397/17
truthful [5] 111/8 $112 / 19$ 374/10 384/4 396/8 try [15] 33/8 92/15 158/22 182/25 186/22230/1 242/17 268/23 274/17 345/20 355/6 382/11 385/23 391/6 394/25
trying [25] 53/23 87/18 $128 / 5$ 173/21 183/9 224/21 225/17 232/3 240/6 240/7 245/12263/14 264/4 287/19 291/18 326/12 341/13 348/2 348/8 $350 / 7$ 355/2 374/15 384/3 385/23 393/14 tube [5] 70/16 70/17 151/16 152/3 152/6 turn [13] 38/19 39/19 39/2571/2 250/19 253/20 257/2 286/22 322/16 323/19 350/9 367/3 399/23 turned [19] 16/12 16/13 39/4 39/11 39/16 39/18 60/16 60/17 60/18 62/5 182/9 264/12 274/15 328/22 353/2 362/21 367/8 368/14 402/15 turning $\{3$ 1 195/25 277/12 $286 / 24$
turns [6] 39/21 39/23 39/24 206/7 264/13 299/11
TV [9] 86/17 93/16 110/2 $110 / 5$ 110/11 $110 / 16$ 180/10 180/11 315/18
Twenty-four [2] 318/25 319/1
twice [8] 39/19 69/14 124/18 243/12 343/25
358/25 371/2 380/20
twisting [1] 239/17
two |105j 15/6 24/11 29/18 29/18 77/15 77716
79/9 79/9 79/11 91/2 95/11 104/15 107/9 107/11 107/15 108/1 108/17 108/23 124/15 124/17 133/6 133/22 133/24 135/5 141/7 147/177 154/14 166/9 169/11 $182 / 19$ 203/2 203/10 221/20 221/21
221/21 222/20 222/24 223/7 223/9 223/10 225/12 226/9 228/4 22914 236/9 237/8 238/15 245/21 246/8 247/15 248/9 248/15 248/15 248/17 248/18 248/20 248/22 249/12 250/6 252/19 260/25 274/3 277/5 285/1 288/22 295/21 295/23 315/9 315/22 326/19 326/21 334/12 339/23 344/1 348/12 354/11 357/2 358/2 361/9 361/10 361/14 361/24 366/10 $371 / 22373 / 17$ 373/18 376/5 383/5 386/14 $387 / 4387 / 24392 / 2392 / 3394 / 25395 / 10395 / 13$ 396/6 396/7 397/9 397/25 405/20 406/24 409/25 410/7 410/10
two miles [1] 147/17
two-and-a-half [2] 49/18 49/19
two-hour [1] 335/23
two-seater [1] 308/2
two-story [1] 404/15
two-week [1] 204/13
type [9] 18/6 29/25 176/12 210/9 213/3 243/2
327/16 327/19 336/19
types [2] 175/22 241/14
U
U.S [5] 22/12 181/22 209/1 300/2 300/6

Ugly [1] 228/22
Uh-huh [30] 323/6 323/8 323/12 325/1 $325 / 19$ 325/22 326/14 326/16 327/2 327/7 $327 / 11327 / 14$ $330 / 5330 / 10330 / 24332 / 3332 / 5332 / 7332 / 9$ $332 / 15337 / 24338 / 1338 / 7338 / 22338 / 22338 / 23$ $345 / 14345 / 24345 / 25346 / 2$
uhm [6] 326/24 330/3 333/20 338/2 338/2 338/3 uitimately [2] 275/19 360/12
unable [1] 224/21
unavailable [1] 10/14
unbetievable [2] 389/8 389/10
uncharged [2] 389/6 391/2
unclear [2] 89/17 247/7
unconditional [2] 14/3 14/13
uncorroborated (1] 381/9
Under [26] 33/2 74/13 87/166 89/14 90/10 183/7 226/19 228/10 243/18 246/13 246/13 287/17 288/13 315/8 320/19 330/14 330/15 341/19



234/11 236/15 244/2 246/24 248/25 273/23 287/2 328/21 $375 / 4375 / 6$ 376/9 385/12 394/9 394/9 409/9
warn [1] 130/3
warnings [5] 207/12 209/6 209/16 211/24 212/14 warrant [50] $8 / 259 / 3165 / 7165 / 9167 / 4167 / 5$
167/17 168/2 168/5 168/10 170/10 170/11 170/12 170/12 170/17 170/20 171/11 171/14 172/9 172/11 172/17 172/18 172/23 173/6 174/24 175/5 175/10 175/12 176/25 189/8 189/11 211/2 216/22 $217 / 21217 / 24218 / 25237 / 7237 / 9$ 298/25 303/15 304/6 304/8 313/11 314/5 320/14 336/13 348/6 348/16 352/24 367/13
warrants [7] 182/22 190/21 191/2 191/16 191/21 191/25 353/8
was [967]
was - [1] 148/2
Washington [2] $22 / 1153 / 22$
wasn't [54] 25/4 25/22 27/3 64/22 73/20 73/22
$73 / 2277 / 179 / 2581 / 388 / 7100 / 5$ 105/21 111/1 $111 / 2$ 111/14 111/16 $115 / 11118 / 1$ 118/3 120/10 120/10 122/13 $122 / 23$ 123/3 125/4 127/23 129/20 130/15 135/4 137/12 199/6 208/23 224/14 235/19 240/4 241/6 247/1 $247 / 2$ 268/22 292/20 343/19 348/12 355/12 389/14 390/13 390/14 392/12 395/16 399/25 400/8 400/9 404/12 409/22 watch [4] 137/23 180/12 185/18 311/13 watched [2] 110/2 237/4
watching [7] 86/17 93/16 110/5 110/11 110/16 $212 / 4$ 389/24
water [1] 264/15
wave [1] $62 / 2$
waved [4] $62 / 462 / 563 / 2363 / 24$
way [39] $37 / 20$ 40/17 41/2 53/25 59/1 6B/4 72/2 81/20 85/19 93/1 97/10 97/11 101/24 129/19 131/17 131/22 149/5 157/15 203/11 $217 / 17$ 242/18 263/5 269/15 270/6 274/16 283/1 288/21 291/8 292/6 294/22 296/13 298/11 313/3 316/2 329/12 341/3 360/21 364/1 410/11
ways [2] 263/11 363/16
we [452]
we'll [14] 138/15 144/20 206/24 212/24 231/2
244/2 $247 / 7248 / 19250 / 10337 / 11357 / 3366 / 17$ 371/17 407/13
we're [75] 7/4 9/10 13/6 19/9 $221525 / 5$ 26/23 46/15 54/1 74/4 88/8 88/10 91/15 92/10 92/24 96/6 99/17 101/6 105/5 $127 / 5$ 127/6 127/7 $128 / 14$ $129 / 4129 / 4147 / 2147 / 19$ 161/20 170/8 170/18 170/21 184/23 195/9 215/3 224/21 228/16 240/24 243/24 248/18 248/20 249/10 253/11 254/5 259/22 260/2 263/15 271/23 286/12 287/7 287/8 294/3 295/13 296/18 300/11 300/23 301/8 328/20 $329 / 4329 / 5335 / 21335 / 22341 / 10355 / 2356 / 4$ 358/14 366/8 367/5 367/12 369/7 372/2 374/17 $383 / 10395 / 4403 / 19408 / 3$
we've [14] 5/5 52/22 128/13 149/6 $153 / 5$ 223/18
227/3 228/6 241/24 259/15 292/11 336/18 375/12 400/8
weak [4] 229/23 377/9 37719 377/i0 weapon [29] 14/21 $15 / 1128114$ 290/17 290/21
329/16 329/17 358/1 359/5 359/21 369/14 369/17 370/9 370/11 370/18 371/5 380/19 381/22 381/25 382/17 385/3 388/15 390/12 390/13 390/15
390/16 390/25 398/73 398/21
weapons [2] 258/18 259/1
wear [4] 55/15 183/17 183/18 184/3
wearing [25] 16/23 16/24 16/25 36/8 36/14 36/17 51/24 52/1 64/14 64/15 140/1 $151 / 5$ 151/6 155/9 159/74 160/19 174/15 174/16 263/9 265/6 304/17
$304 / 18306 / 23306 / 24307 / 14$
wears [1] $246 / 10$
weed [10] 54/15 54/76 121/1 $121 / 4$ 121/7 $121 / 15$ 121/18 284/5 285/7 291/15
week [8] 105/19 105/20 105/21 105/21 109/16 128/13 128/14 $276 / 19$
weeks [3] 49/18 49/19 223/17
weight [3] 297/12 349/8 349/9
welcome [4] 137/23 137/24 137/25 204/18
well [146]
went [116] 19/10 20/3 21/2 29/14 30/5 30/20
30/20 30/23 31/13 49/16 55/6 67/11 67/21 $67 / 22$ 67/23 67/24 68/7 73/21 76/5 76/13 76/16 79/9 79/10 79/11 80/16 80/17 81/5 81/10 81/11 81/18 82/5 82/9 83/18 83/22 84/9 84/188 84/19 84/19 85/18 86/2 86/4 86/6 86/9 86/17 89/1 90/2 95/3 95/4 95/16 96/2299/1 99/25 100/22 103/25 105/24 106/2 106/5 106/8 106/10 106/21 106/22 108/5 109/5 109/8 109/12 109/17 122/22 126/24 128/3 130/24 131/25 132/16 132/18 154/1 154/2 157/17 161/4 164/4 165/16 165/19 166/10 168/21 168/22 173/19 173/20 186/17 194/8 195/2 219/24 236/9 $237 / 5$ 278/5 278/6 280/5 281/16 281/18 285/25 291/7 293/14 293/19 299/13 308/23 309/6 311/2 324/23 326/8 332/22 344/12 344/17 358/18 380/6 382/5 387/9 399/12 402/24 409/24
were [305]
weren't [13] 113/25 125/17 135/2 197/23 199/13
236/6 258/25 267/6 288/25 297/3 328/16 374/4 408/16
west [7] $31 / 1531 / 1634 / 17123 / 25124 / 2350 / 14$ 350/15
whacked [1] 373/13
what [559]
what - [3] 174/2 294/2 295/9
What'd [1] 325/11
what's [47] 27/13 37/ $62 / 2087 / 1091 / 23$ 135/18 149/12 149/15 150/3 151/10 152/24 153/13 156/2 177/4 177/5 179/13 179/17 180/23 180/24 218/1 219/22 222/10 229/25 271/6 281/22 293/3 295/18 305/25 306/6 313/21 314/8 314/22 315/15 317/20 $317 / 24318 / 11319 / 13321 / 24327 / 10336 / 5$ $336 / 20359 / 25362 / 16365 / 13367 / 17374 / 10$ 37711
whatever [19] 128/ $217 / 2$ 248/7 263/4 263/13 263/16 264/4 264/9 276/ 339/17 349/9 360/11 $372 / 8376 / 24384 / 2385 / 17389 / 11389 / 13407 / 8$ whatsoever [9] 87/16 375/24 379/2 379/24 380/1 380/14 380/22 381/13 381/22
when [238]
where [172]
wherever [2] 89/1 293/4
whether [42] $10 / 12$ 10/15 11/3 11/8 11/17 11/19 12/22 75/6 89/17 89/20 119/18 121/4 135/11 135/17 175/18 175/18 187/9 214/1 216/24 247/6 271/16 271/17 294/5 295/10 $297 / 4301 / 16$ 306/10 309/1 311/17 339/10 340/23 354/7 357/17 $357 / 21$ 359/10 370/6 390/6 396/19 399/8 406/25 407/10 408/6
which [130] 8/11 8/42 9/5 9/6 11// $12 / 21$ 14/3 22/15 23/14 23/17 24/1 24/24 36/23 41/1 53/5 53/8 55/5 66/6 68/12 74/5 75/12 87/19 92/10 95/5 106/4 112/15 114/3 146/14 149/6 149/7 151/13 151/16 153/5 $153 / 7$ 153/10 153/14 153/15 154/11 158/6 158/21 $17719177 / 20177 / 20180 / 9180 / 11$ 180/\{4 181// 181/3 181/9 186/10 188/16 190/3 209/ 214/23 214/24 220/20 221/3 221/3 224/19 226/19 229/22 229/23 232/15 232/20 234/13 234/18 236/2 237/12 241/6 245/5 245/5 245/9 249/22 250/2 254/16 260/5 260/13 262/7 273/6 274/8 278/8 279/16 283/14 285/19 286/12 299/9 299/19 300/4 306/13 320/15 320/19 321/6 321/13
$328 / 20$ 329/3 329/4 332/6 332/6 334/22 341/1 $349 / 7$ 353/18 354/20 $357 / 22357 / 25358 / 2360 / 17$ 361/21 365/21 370/3 371/22 379/2 382/19387/6 387/15 389/7 390/13 392/5 393/17 393/23 394/3 394/7 394/25 395/10 401/15 402/1 403/25 405/5 409/20 410/14
while [20] 49/21 49/25 50/5 57/13 69/975/10 86/12 86/21 173/2 174/23 176/11 195/20 204/21 217/23 235/25 326/8 343/18 392/10 404/7 410/2 whisper [1] 217/2
whispered [4] 215/23 216/24 217/8 232/8
whispering [14] 232/2 232 ${ }^{2} 232 / 11366 / 12$
$366 / 13$ 366/19 374/4 374/4 376/17 383/8 383/11 383/14 383/16 383/19
whistle [1] 217/3
White [19] 18/19 18/20 20/22 34/14 36/10 64/9 64/11 64/12 80/22 85/2 96/22 140/3 151/3 319/4 $319 / 77325 / 5$ 327/6 327/20 336/4
whitewall [3] 170/2 170/5 319/20
whitewalls [1] 170/6
who [152]
who's [2] 6/4 42/4
whoever [2] 109/5 247/23
whole [39] 9/15 44/25 76/9 84/17 87/9 107/17 136/21 145/6 146/19 146/20 168/13 204/10 210/4 230/14 236/10 256/20 265/22 285/19 286/11 286/17 287/6 288/20 289/13 292/2 292/3 302/3 324/9 335/22 336/13 336/24 339/25 340/3 344/25 $364 / 16364 / 23$ 368/1 $374 / 7403 / 24406 / 5$ wholly [4] $32 / 932 / 25381 / 19386 / 6$ whom [1] $272 / 23$
whose [10] 18/22 30/6 32/847/21 85/1 219/12 $317 / 3317 / 4342 / 13342 / 15$
why [77] 10/4 29/8 37/12 44/8 52/1452/1552/17
53/14 53/18 53/19 54/1271/24 76/17 76/19 76/20 85/20 97/13 97/14 97/23 98/1 98/8 98/11 112/16 115/23 120/10 120/11 125/6 125/10 128/12 129/21 130/ 130/9 132/ 136/23 168/21 169/3 169/10 175/9 175/17 175/49 185/9 203/19 208/16 217/5 217/9 230/14 235/15 245/22 253/20 254/1 289/18 $292 / 14$ 294/2 $324 / 19$ 324/20 324/21 $328 / 11$ 328/12 329/2 331/11 340/2 348/13 352/6 $361 / 6$ 366/12 366/18 367/2 372/23 373/16 374/2 $374 / 3377 / 3385 / 18385 / 19395 / 24404 / 3406 / 7$ wife [21] 6/16 6/22 20/8 30/10 30/11 30/12 81/25 82/7 129/2 203/12 322/22 333/5 335/6 344/16 $348 / 4351 / 1$ 359/23 $367 / 4388 / 16390 / 4406 / 1$ wife's [1] 390/6
WILDEMANN \{20] 2171 104/18 108/18 146/22 154/20 157/17 160/3 161/4 162/ 164/2 166/2 166/8 171/20 172/2 192/9 213/17 301/25 302/8 302/11 3427
Wildemann's [1] 172/3
WILDEVELD [7] $1 / 212 / 62 / 15$ 2/18 301/16 328/24 $392 / 25$
will [98] 7/ $8 / 18 / 19$ 10/19 10/22 11/1 12/13 14/14 14/15 $15 / 1115 / 1317 / 822 / 2233 / 836 / 25$ 52/5 57/14 58/6 75/14 101/13 142/10 147/6 150/19 151/10 155/14 156/3 156/17 159/13 159/21 160/18 160/25 174/20 209/7 216/9 224/2 225/4 234/21 236/14 238/2 245/4 246/3 249/22 250/12 257/2 274/5 274/9 277/3277/5 $277 / 5$ 285/16 285/18 295/16 295/18 295/23 298/17 298/19 298/20 301/13 304/22 307/3 307/18 314/17 318/17 320/6 329/12 334/17 335/17 $336 / 11340 / 22345 / 21$ 354/23 355/5 355/7 355/23 $356 / 10356 / 12$ 356/15 356/16 357/2 357/2 363/25 $364 / 20367 / 9367 / 10369 / 14370 / 3371 / 18372 / 4$ 376/18 386/12 386/14 398/3 399/2 407/1 407/11 407/11 409/11 409/19
willing [6] 28/11 130/12 210/10 296/16 296/17 $358 / 17$

| \|W | worth [4] 349/3 365/1 378/1 407/9 would [268] would - [1] 7/3 wouldn't [13] 12/4 14/6 101/10 129/10 141/18 197/8 198/8 247/24 266/22 268/14 353/15 366/14 379/20 wound [6] 80/10 100/22 156/24 157/3 157/6 167/7 wounds [2] 8/6 156/21 writ [1] 359/10 write [1] 210/11 writing [3] 106/25 107/18 210/13 written [5] 108/6 210/8 228/23 273/15 310/2 wrong [3] 298/19 360/25 364/14 wrote[2] 108/3 361/3 | $379 / 7380 / 3380 / 19380 / 20381 / 9382 / 3382 / 7$ <br> $386 / 22$ <br> $486 / 25$ <br> $487 / 10387 / 10388 / 8$ <br> 393/11 $399 / 1$ <br> Zore's [4] $8 / 2457 / 14378 / 20408 / 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| wished [2] 198/20 198/22 wishes [1] 300/15 | X |  |
| with - [1] 16215 | XIV [2] 15/17 410/22 |  |
| withdraw [2] 22/16 289124 | Y |  |
| withdrawal (1) 36819 | $Y$ |  |
| withdrawn [1] 294/9 | ya'll [2] 334/20 335/12 |  |
| withdrew [1] 291/13 | Yale [2] 2015 20/6 |  |
| within [7] 33/21 41/1 221/23 240/10 274/3 300/13 | yeah [64] 16/14 19/3 19/12 27/6 28/12 60/23 62/4 |  |
| 301/1 | 64/19 67/14 68/14 70/18 71/22 73/25 95/12 97/15 |  |
| without [17] 10/5 43/19 43/25 55/11 92/17 137/8 | 102/16 111/4 111/4 111/17 113/21 120/17 120/21 |  |
| 164/18 $202 / 7$ 208/20 263/2 268/9 268/16 285/14 | 125/25 128/6 128/12 130/23 135/6 135/18 135/22 |  |
| 291/19 303/6 373/25 400/13 | 137/10 158/13 197/2 227/11 231/7 256/6 292/10 |  |
| withstanding [1] 53/3 | 292/19 296/12 297/23 302/20 329/21 330/2 |  |
| witness [77] 1/23 6/15 6/18 6/24 7/1 7/2 7/4 10/14 | 330/13 331/7 331/10 331/22 332/19 333/24 |  |
| 10/16 11/18 $12 / 613 / 2515 / 2118 / 21$ 21/4 $25 / 23$ | 334/14 335/ $335 / 8335 / 10335 / 11335 / 13335 / 16$ |  |
| 26/22 29/22 30/2 30/14 32/24 33/22 38/11 38/14 | 337/19 337/21 337/22 338/5 338/13 368/2 374/1 |  |
| 41/9 42/2 42/4 44/1446/13 48/14 56/6 56/23 | 397/3 401/11 |  |
| 64/13 65/3 74/6 77/17 83/6 89/7 92117 93/25 97/8 | year [7] $7 / 14416 / 16104 / 10146 / 5277 / 17$ 303/3 |  |
| 101/12 121/25 138/3 144/22 144/24 187/2 204/24 | 364/21 |  |
| 206/8 213/25 $218 / 4$ 229/6 229/7 230/4 230/18 | years [11] 16/13 91/2 123/23 146/1 146/3 259/11 |  |
| 230118 230/19 231/11 239/8 243/16 257/23 281/3 | 302/22 303/1 348/4 388/16 405/22 |  |
| 285/20 287/9 292/5 293/18 294/18 296/16 337/8 | yell [2] 62/2 362/15 |  |
| $341 / 9347 / 3351 / 7366 / 15372 / 24373 / 25376 / 20$ | yelling [2] 176/1 176/2 |  |
| 383/16 | yep (3) $326 / 3327 / 11133819$ |  |
| withessed [4] 95/24 213/9 293/23 384/9 | yes [696] |  |
| witnesses [23] $2 / 26 / 26 / 8$ 10/10 13/15 13/16 41/7 | yet [14] 24/20 83/2 83/4 89/2 89/7 89/16 90/1 |  |
| 53/25 147/ 228/14 239/10 248/9 253/21 295/22 | 100/5 137/8 224/15 274/8 287/15 387/4 389/3 |  |
| 295/23 319/8 319/10 356/4 356/11 369/6 384/22 | you [1892] |  |
| 390/21 408/12 | you - [11] 14/22 48/3 70/20 83/10 85/9 101/7 |  |
| woman [5] 45/24 141/8 162/2 400/16 405/21 | 178/7 182/2 187/19 241/17 306/17 |  |
| women [2] 97/19712 | you'd [2] 141/17 218/8 |  |
| won't [4] 7/6 126/2 263/22 351/20 | you'll [4] 7/5 241/18 244/12 256/25 |  |
| wonder [2] 360/14 360/16 | you're [52] 13/1 13124 20/15 24/12 31/3 38/1 |  |
| wondered [t] 135/17 | 82/10 84/10 93/6 101/2 101/2 119/25 123/19 |  |
| wonderful [1] 294/12 | 125/13 125/23 126/1 126/5 128/5 130/13 148/15 |  |
| wondering [3] 135/18135/19 135/20 | 160/6 167/14 167/17 $175 / 24177 / 24197 / 16$ |  |
| word [12] 51/ 59/1171/16 280/13 280/20 280/21 | 198/13 204/18 208/17 211/14 228/14 228/17 |  |
| 374/22 377/25 378/1 379/10 379/10 379/12 | 236/21 236/24 249/1 256/9 266/3 270/6 273/6 |  |
| words [22] $23 / 771 / 2081 / 3115 / 10115 / 11115 / 12$ | 273/7 275/7 284/10 292/8 343/24 345/16 353/7 |  |
| 127/1 127/2 127/2 230/23 242/8 242/25 243/21 | 364/14 365/23 365/24 370/10 370/19 407/20 |  |
| 243/21 252/24 264/8 266/23 282/22 283/1 283/1 | you've [3] 123/24 200/15 350/5 |  |
| 371/6 397/18 | young [7] 24/7 $32 / 933 / 3$ 33/19 42/15 57/4 89/2 |  |
| wore [1] 383/5 | your [249] |  |
| work [21] 18/6 19/25 49/2 49/6 86/7 98/25 99/1 | yours [1] 65/1 |  |
| 99/9 102/12 $127 / 5127 / 127 / 14137 / 8148 / 9$ | yourself [10] 131/7 131/10 146/17 266/5 272/8 |  |
| 158/23 165/3 182/25 243/14 243/15 311/21 | 280/13 280/19 395/6 395/8 395/9 |  |
| 371/16 | yourself - [2] 272/8 280/13 |  |
| worked [6] 18/8 18/9 49/8 $103 / 11$ 103/12 275/17 workers [1] 237/11 | Z |  |
| working [13] 17/11 20/2 49/12 49/14 49117 147/5 148/12 148/16 249/7 $272 / 19272 / 25$ 275/14 299/4 | Z-O-N-E [1] 9/20 <br> Zone [63] 1/23 2/3 8/23 10/133 10/20 11/9 11/17 |  |
| workroom [1] 237/12 | 15/24 16/3 42/ 42/9 43/8 44/12 57/19 57/20 |  |
| works [6] 80/7 80/8 $102117185 / 4243 / 13$ 274/9 | 57/22 58/2 58/9 58/17 88/14 88/16 89/17 90/5 |  |
| worried (3) 41/9 244/13 363/21 | 122/3 140/20 144/13 165/:11 165/15 165/16 |  |
| worrles [1] 2337 | 165/20 166/10 166/13 167/23 168/16 288/3 |  |
| worry [4] 88/12 361/25 3621 37012 | 288/19 293/19 319/10 336/22 356/22 357/12 |  |
| worrying [1] 390/6 | 357/18 357/24 362/5 368/17 372/15 372/16 379/4 |  |

## Justice $\mathbb{C}$ nut, boulder ©numahip

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA



CASE NO. 05FBO052D

COMMITMENT
and
ORDER TO APPEAR

An Order having been made this day by me, that DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL
be held to answer upon the charge of
CT 1 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER
CT 2 MURDER USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
CT 3 \& 4 SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Committed in said Township and County, on or about the $\frac{19}{24}$ day of $\frac{\text { MAY }}{\text { MAY }} \frac{2005}{2005}$
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of the County of Clark is hereby commanded to receive $\qquad$
HIM $\qquad$ into custody, and detain $\qquad$ HIM
until $\qquad$ HE be legally discharged, and that $\qquad$ be admitted to bail in the sum of $\qquad$ NO BAIL ON ALL COUNTS $\qquad$ Dollars, and be committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said County, until such bail is given; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Defendant $\qquad$ IS is/are commanded to appear in Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County Courthouse, Las Vegas, Nevada, at 9:00 A.M., on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 10X 2005 for arraignment and further proceedings on the within charges $\qquad$ . DATED this 13 day of JUNE 2005


Justice of the Peace

## Justice Court, Boulder Township

NAME: DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL
CHARGES: CT 1 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER; CT 2 MURDER WITH DEADLY WEAPON; CT $3 \& 4$ SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

DATE, JUDGE OFFICER OF COURT PRESENT

APPEARANCES - HEARINGS
Continueto:

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05/31/05 <br> V. MILLER <br> M. DIGICOMO, DA <br> J. PESCI, DA <br> C. BROWN, PD <br> J. DAVID, CR <br> N. SPILKER, CLK | INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT <br> STATE FILES AMENDED COMPLAINT IN OPEN COURT <br> DEFT PRESENT IN COURT IN CUSTODY <br> DEFT ADVSED AND WAIVES <br> PUBLIC DEFENDER STATES THERE MAYBE CONFLICT IN REPRESENTING DEFT PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE TO NOTIFY COURT IS THERE IS CONFLICT COURT WILL APPOINT ATTY DAYVID FIGLER AS NEW COUNSEL <br> P/H DATE SET <br> DEFT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE SHERIFF | 06/13/05 8AM |
| 06/02/05 | PER PHONE CALL FROM CURTIS BROWN -PUBLIC DEFENDER, THERE IS A CONFLICT REPRESENTING DEFENDANT. COURT APPOINTS DAYVID FIGLER AS DEFENDANT'S NEW COUNSEL. MR. FIGLER NOTIFIED OF APPOINTMENT. |  |
| 06/13/05 <br> V. MILLER <br> M. DIGICOMO. DA <br> J. PESCI, DA <br> D. FIGLER, ESQ <br> M. HARNESS, CR <br> N. SPILKER, CLK | TIME SET FOR P/H DEFT PRESENT IN COURT IN CUSTODY MOTION BY DEFENSE TO EXCLUDE WITNESSES MOTION GRANTED <br> STATE CALLS WITNESS \#1 RONTAE ZONE <br> PER NEGOTATIONS: DEFT UNCONDITIONALLY WAIVES HIS RIGHT TO P/H DEFT BOUND OVER TO DISTRICT COURT AS CHARGED <br> APPEARANCE DATE SET <br> STATE REVOKES OFFER MADE TO DEFT <br> MOTION BY DEFENSE FOR BAIL/HOUSE ARREST <br> COURT TAKES BAIL MOTION UNDER ADVISEMENT <br> DEFT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE SHERIFF | 06/27/105 9AM DEPT CT \#14 |
| 06/14/05 | JUDGE MILLER DENIES DEFENSE MOTION FOR BAIL ORDER FILED WITH COURT. NOTICE SENT TO DA AND DEFENSE COUNSEL |  |



The Defendants above named having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165) and SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 199.500), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendants, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL and JAYSON TAOIPU did, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, then and there meet with each other and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendants and/or their co-conspirators, did commit the acts as set forth in Counts 2 thru 4, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

## COUNT 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS

ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL and JAYSON TAOIPU did, on or about May 19, 2005, then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, a human being, by shooting at and into the body and/or head of said TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the Defendants being liable under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts with premediation and deliberation and/or lying in wait; and/or (2) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime, towit: by Defendant ANABEL ESPINDOLA and/or DEFENDANT LUIS HILDAGO, III and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. procuring Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL to beat and/or kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and/or JAYSON TAOIPU to shoot TIMOTHY HADLAND; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL and KENNETH COUNTS and JAYSON TAOIPU did drive to the location in the same vehicle; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL calling victim TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to the scene; thereafter, by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of battery and/or battery with use of a deadly weapon and/or to kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND whereby each and every co-conspirator is responsible for the foreseeable acts of each and every co-conspirator during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.
COUNT 3 - SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER
Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did, on or between May 23, 2005, and May 24, 2005, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously counsel, hire, command or otherwise solicit another, to-wit: DEANGELO CARROLL, to commit the murder of JAYSON TAOIPU; the defendants being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly or
indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

## COUNT 4 - SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did, on or between May 23 and May 24, 2005, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously counsel, hire, command or otherwise solicit another, to-wit: DEANGELO CARR LL, to commit the murder of RONTAE ZONE; the defendants being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability, (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.


THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
-vs-
KENNETH COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, \#1525643 LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III \#1849634, ANABEL ESPINDOLA \#1849750, DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL \#1678381,

## Defendants.

The Defendants above named having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165) and SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 199.500), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendants, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL did, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, then and there meet with each other and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. and/or Kenneth Counts and/or Jayson Taoipu and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendants and/or their co-conspirators, did commit the acts as set forth in Counts 2 thru 4 , said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

## COUNT 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, 11, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL did, on or about May 19, 2005, then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, a human being, by shooting at and into the body and/or head of said TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the Defendants being liable under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts with premediation and deliberation and/or lying in wait; and/or (2) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime, to-wit: by Defendant ANABEL ESPINDOLA and/or DEFENDANT LUIS HILDAGO, III and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. procuring Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL to beat and/or kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; thereafier, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and/or JAYSON TAOIPU to shoot TIMOTHY HADLAND; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL and KENNETH COUNTS and JAYSON TAOIPU did drive to the location in the same vehicle; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL calling victim TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to the scene; thereafter, by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of battery and/or battery with use of a deadly weapon and/or to kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND whereby each and every co-conspirator is responsible for the foreseeable acts of each and every co-conspirator during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

## COUNT 3 - SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did, on or between May 23, 2005, and May 24, 2005, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously counsel, hire, command or otherwise solicit another, to-wit: DEANGELO CARROLL, to commit the murder of JAYSON TAOIPU; the defendants
being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

## COUNT 4 - SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did, on or between May 23 and May 24, 2005, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously counsel, hire, command or otherwise solicit another, to-wit: DEANGELO CARRALL, to commit the murder of RONTAE ZONE; the defendants being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability, (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

## 5/27/2005

$05 \mathrm{FB} 0054 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{jmh}$
LVMPD EV\# 0505193516
CONSP MRDW; MWDW; SOLICIT MRDR - F (TK7)


## JUSTICE COURT BOULDER TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA



State of Nevada )
Plaintiff ,
)
)
-vs- )
Kenneth Counts \#1525643 )
Luis Alonso Hidalgo \#1849634 )
Anabel Espindola \#01849750 )
Deangelo Reshawn Carroll \#1678381)
Defendant (s)

CASE NO. 05FB0052A-D

## ORDER

Each of the Defendants: Counts, Carroll, Hidalgo and Espindola have requested setting of bail or house arrest or a combination of bail and house arrest. The court took these motions under advisement to review the case and evidence presented at the Preliminary Hearing again in light of the Defendants' likely hood of attending future hearings in the matter (risk of flight) and the danger to society if the defendants are released from the custody. Although the court has evaluated the defendants individually the court makes the same finding with respect to each. That is, bail will not be set.

At the preliminary hearing the court found that there is evidence at least to reasonable cause to believe that the Defendants committed a conspiracy to commit murder and then murder. Also present is evidence which suggests that this was a "murder for hire." This causes the court significant cause to believe there is a danger to society. This is particularly true when it is remembered that the court also found reasonable cause to bind over Defendants Hidalgo and Espindola to District Court on charges of soliciting the murder of certain witnesses in this case.

Therefore, in light of the nature of these charges and evidence reviewed it is the court's finding that the defendants is this case present a flight risk and a hazard to the community because of the risk of similar crimes being committed should they be released.

Attached is a e-mail I received in my office from one of the victim's family members. It was received after I had made my decision.

So ordered this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of June, 2005
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From: Shannon Dillinger [shannon@color-reflectionslv.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2005 8:46 AM
To: court@benv.org
Subject: State Vs Counts, Carroll, Hidalgo III, Espanola

## Judge Miller,

I just wanted to send my concerns to you concerning the bail of 4 people who are currently in custody charged with murder and conspiracy of my uncle Timothy Hadland. From my research my concerns are as follows.
The Hildago family has close ties to Mexico (grandmother lives there), as well as access to lots of cash. There are also concerns as to why this event even took place, which makes me believe they are a danger to this community.

I ask that you consider the above before your decision is made.
Thank you for your consideration.

| Color Reflections | REFLECT YOUR WORLD |
| :--- | ---: |
| Shannon Dillinger | Color Reflections |
|  | 4600 South Polaris Ave |
| Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 |  |
| shannon@color-reflectionsiv.com | tel: $702-262-9300$ |
| www.color-reflectionslv.com | fax: $702-262-2088$ |

Add me to your address boak..
Want a signature like this?

CLARK COUNTY PRETRIAL QUESTIONNAIRE ANED EINANCUAE APFIDAVM


 INTAKE SERVICESTTHE FOLLOWINGRECOMMENDATONISIMADE
fatr. ${ }^{2}<$

Supervised Release with Conditions as Directed by Intake Services: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Bait Reducrion To:
Not Recommended for an O/R Releaseor Bailseductonbecanse J hang-
$\qquad$



解 $5727-05$ 01:31pn
FTOMTUUTICEI COURT:
Sent Byy: Clark County Detention 0 P fist $455 \cdot 4265$;
770245545228
T2 2880
inay. 25.05 4

存 7 ? 723
Partuert


Department to raporta man lying'in theroadwayat North ShorerroadrasstatiLake Maad
Blvd. Patrol.officars and medical personiel were dispatchedétolNorth/Sioraroadiand
 identified as Timothy Hadland lying in'tye nád with g gun shot wouñ to the head Médical units arrived and confimed Madand hat no signs of ilféand wascaad. Ofticer Patroniere

 The Kia Sportage siformidiver and dassenger whitows were down therenaio was nunning and the lights were ôn

Detective G. King antwed and assumed control of itio crime scene Theroadwayiat Nionth Shore Road and East Lake Mead Biva, was cosed andithe crimelscene was sacurod. Detective King, contected. Lt: Monahan at ttie, Hómicjde isoction whocontactedi Detective
 responded to North Shore Road and E Lake Meadand.

Upon arrival Datectives Kyger and Wildemann wera assigned to intentewwhessesiand Delective MćGrath conducted the crime scene investigation

Detective MoGrath observed Timothy leoland yingtace up on the asomat approximatêy
 registered to Mark and Paijit Kartson et s032 Giowing, Wáter Street in LGs Vegasy Nevada: McGreth obsenved several radvertisementifyersiforithey patomino chute in theroadway,
 Nextel callulartelephone on the drives sisheriootoond Patectiva McGrathrecoveredthe
 with identifucation in the name offimoth Hadland was locate intherearcompartiontof
 LVMPD Crime Lab: Noloarthigaicasingsonbullets wan Iocstentaithecrimescane:



[^8]

 Blvd. Patrol officers and medical personnel weredispatchedito Nooth shioweroadkanduz East Lake Mead.' Officer J. Lafreniere arrived and observedithé math, who wastater identified as Timothy Hadland, lying in the road, with ajunsto woundrothethead Medical units arrived and confirmed Hadland hädno signsonfifeandwas dead officer Lafrentere informed LVMPD dispatch that there'was a vehicle directly east of mimothy hadands body. The vehicle was a silver colored Kia Sportage, with Nevadastegistration $803 S H R$ The Kia Sportage's front drivenand passenger widows were downs the engine was running and the lights were on:-
Detective G. Kingarivedandassumedcontron othe crimescene: Nheroadway atinath ShoreiRoad and East Lake Meadivio was clósedrand the crime scene was secured: Detective King contacted Lt Monahan athe formidetsection Who contacted Detective J. Vaccaro Detective MbMcGrath Detective Miwidemannandometivefitivgerwo responded to North Shore Road and E, GaketMéadBlude
Upon arrival DetectivesikygenandWildemannwereassignedioninteview witnessesañd Detective McGrath conducted the crime scenénvestigation?

Detective McGrathonservedimothy had andyingifacuponitheaspalitappoximately
 registered to Markand Pajit Kansonat 8032 GlowingaterStreetinas Uegas Nevada. McGrath observed several advertisement flyers forthe palominochbun he adowy approxmately four feel from Timothy hadandis bodyon etectivehMarathobsenvedia Nextel cellular telephone on the driver sidéforboardoetectiveMc Grathre ovared the
 with ldentification in the nameof Timothy a diandwas ocatedontherear oompantanotion the vehicle. The vehicle was sealed with VMPDevidencerstickers ond owedso the



D/Event Numbert 050519-3516
On $5=20$-05, atáppoximately 0900 hours, Detective Mcarath añ Detective Vaccaro attended the autopsy of Timothy Hadland atithe Clark Count eoromers effces. The-
 gunshotwoundstathe headandithemanneródeath homicige Severalbuletifagments
 Morton:

Homicide detectives usedithe celluiarteléphonè toidentify family membersanáás ọociates: of Timothy Hadand: Detectives iaccessed thercallihistony the victimis cellidhone, and
 "Deangelo"wasidentifiediby name: initheipreiprogrammedicéll phonedirectoty, Arecords check of Deangelo's telephone number showed the subscriber to the téléphone was Hidalgo's Auto Body Works'. The biling lis addréssed to Anabal Espinciolatatior 70 Bermúda Road Las Vegas, Nevada Arecoids checkiniscopeshawed Añnabelespindola has a work card as gẹneralmanagentorthe najomino Cubinh Northas Vegas wi
 employment at the Ralomino:clubt Sine recordsicheck of the munder victim; mimathy Hadland, showed he also worked at the Palomino ciúb.



 to meet Deangeloand tro othempersonis She saidumathy had land was driving in silve



Detective Wildemann and Detective kyger contacted uidididao the own of the Palomino Club

 business.

 was a Floor Manager at the Club" (the Palomino Ciub) and worked for Mi Me Shetord detectives 'Mr whe wis Hidalgot the ownertot the Pa alomino Club. She inforned

 20 ti During the interiew witheAriel, Deangelo 'Carrollarivedand agreedtoos spâk with
 office wherehewasinteriewed
 business throügh the:garage A After approximately thity mintutest carroll exiteaghe




The following vinfomation was ip roviaded by Cañilis

Carroll drove irecty to Simonetsandenteredithebusiness:Hemetwitivnabel Espindôia,




 his clothing except his underwear. A'fer confirming: Camoll wás nöt weating aiwire, Espindola and Hidalgo spoke in a whisper throughtoutthenconversation with Caroils


 sleep. Luis said the bonds would increaserand his wife ecould he in thècondo while the



 hundred was for him?




 Espindolatodinimhathewoud stilligetmoneyeach weelamtrome earolt couid come back tow wat at The ciubuinafowimonthso thepolice stoppednosing aroud Camoll

SIA'Snieds removed the recording device tromtearnil. Mc Grathand Shieldsfistened to the conversation, It was confirmed that the entire conversationivas canduuctedinawhisper.
 'accurate.

vs.

## (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY: )

## 

 $\rightarrow$ may _ $\quad 20$ es, at the hour of $\rightarrow \longrightarrow, ~ \rightarrow M$

1 hereby certify that I ann familiar with, and will comply with, the Supperane Court's "Rules on Carreras and Electronic Media Coverage in the Courts" (Supreme Court Rule 229-247, inclusive). If this request is being submitted yess:than seventy -two (72) hours before the abovodeseribed proceedings coampenge, the following facts provide good cause for the Court to grant the request on such shore unvoice;
lu's found wit through website
It is further understood that any pooing arrangements nocosspitated among the median shall be the sole resporzability af the media and moat be arranged prior to coverage, without asking for the Cont to mediant disputes :-

Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2005.

SIgNATURE: ADDRESS:


## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

[] The yrodia request is denied because it was submitted lass than 72 hours before the scibeduled proceeding was to commence, and no "good cause" has been shown to justify granting the request an shorter notice,
[1 True media request is denied for the followthg reasons:

1. The russia request is granted. The requested media access will apply for each and every hearing in the aboveentitled case, at the discretion of the Court, and unless otherwise nopfifed. This Order is made in accordance with Supreme Court Roles 229-247, inclusive, and is subject to recoustidendtion upon motion of any party to the action. Media access may be revoked if it is shown that access is distracting the participants, impairing the dignity of ha Court, or otherwise materially interfering with the adrumistration of justice.
[] OTHER: $\qquad$

IT IS BURTGGR ORDERED that this document shall be made a part of the record of the proccoctogs in this cage.
Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$
JUSTICE OF TEE PEACE
Plaintiff's Atorney(s)
noticed via $\qquad$ on the following dele: on that following date: on the following dare: $\qquad$ The Court did not have contact informastos for the following individuskt
$\qquad$
Any written objection to the Court's order should be filed at least 24 , hours prior to the subject hearing.

# FIL Fustice Cout, Boulde Downship CLARKCOUNTXYNEVNADA 



## INFO

DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781
MARC DIGIACOMO
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#006955
200 South Third Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 455-4711

Attorney for Plaintiff
I.A. 06/27/05

DISTRICT COURT
9:00 A.M.
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
Wildeveld/Oram
Draskovich/Figler

THE STATE OF NEVADA. )
-vs-
KENNETH COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts II, \#1525643 LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo, III, \#1849634
ANABEL ESPINDOLA, \#1849750,
DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL, \#1678381

Defendant.

## STATE OF NEVADA ) COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss.

DAVID ROGER, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That KENNETH COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts II, LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo, III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, , the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165) and SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony NRS 199.500), on or between May 19, 2005, and May 24, 2005, within the County of Clark,

State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER
Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL and JAYSON TAOIPU did, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24,2005 , then and there meet with each other and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendants and/or their co-conspirators, did commit the acts as set forth in Counts 2 thru 4, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.
COUNT 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL and JAYSON TAOIPU did, on or about May 19, 2005, then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, a human being, by shooting at and into the body and/or head of said TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the Defendants being liable under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts with premediation and deliberation and/or lying in wait; and/or (2) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime, towit: by Defendant ANABEL ESPINDOLA and/or DEFENDANT LUIS HILDAGO, III and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. procuring Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL to beat and/or kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and/or JAYSON TAOIPU to shoot TIMOTHY HADLAND; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL and KENNETH COUNTS and JAYSON

TAOIPU did drive to the location in the same vehicle; thereafter, Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL calling victim TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to the scene; thereafter, by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of battery and/or battery with use of a deadly weapon and/or to kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND whereby each and every co-conspirator is responsible for the foreseeable acts of each and every co-conspirator during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

## COUNT 3 - SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did, on or between May 23, 2005, and May 24, 2005, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously counsel, hire, command or otherwise solicit another, to-wit: DEANGELO CARROLL, to commit the murder of JAYSON TAOIPU; the defendants being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

## COUNT 4 - SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did, on or between May 23 and May 24, 2005, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously counsel, hire, command or otherwise solicit another, to-wit: DEANGELO CARROLL, to commit the murder of RONTAE ZONE; the defendants being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability, (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by
conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

BY

## NamoRoge,

DAVID ROGER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar \#002781

Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this Information are as follows:

NAME
HADLAND, ALLAN
KARSON, PAJIT
KRYLO, JAMES
MADRID, ISMAEL
MCGRATH, MICHAEL
MORTON, LARRY
RENHARD, LOUISE
SCHWANDERLIK, MICHELLE
SMITH, STEPHANIE
TAOIPU, JAYSON
TELGENHOFF, DR. GARY
VACCARO, JAMES
WILDEMANN, MARTIN
ZONE, RONTAE
DA\#05FB0052A-B/ddm
LVMPD EV\#0505193516
CONSP MURDER;MWDW;SOLICIT MURDER - F
(TK7)

ADDRESS
ADDRESS UNKNOWN ADDRESS UNKNOWN LVMPD P\#5945

1729 STAR RIDGE WAY LV NV
LVMPD P\#4575
LVMPD P\#4935
LVMPD P\#5223
4037 OVERBROOK DR LV NV
LVMPD P\#6650
2008 JEANNE DR LV NV
C.C.M.E. \#0003

LVMPD P\#1480
LVMPD P\#3516
c/o BILL FALKNER, Clark County D.A. Office

NISD
DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781
MARC DIGIACOMO
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#006955
200 South Third Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2211
(702) 455-4711

Attorney for Plaintiff
DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,
-vs-
DEANGELO CARROLL, \#1678381

## Defendant.

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, through DAVID ROGER, Clark County District Attorney, by and through MARC DIGIACOMO, Deputy District Attorney, pursuant to NRS 175.552 and NRS 200.033 and declares its intention to seek the death penalty at a penalty hearing. Furthermore, the State of Nevada discloses that it will present evidence of the following aggravating circumstances:

1. The murder was committed by a person who, at any time before a penalty hearing is conducted for the murder pursuant to NRS 175.552, is or has been convicted of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to the person of another and the provisions of subsection 4 do not otherwise apply to that felony, to-wit: CONPSIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY. [See NRS 200.033(2)(b)]

The evidence will consist of certified copies of judgments of conviction showing that DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL was convicted in Clark County, Nevada, on September 9, 2002, of the felony offense of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY in
case number C184573. The testimony of the victim Steven Blodgett and/or police officers and/or other witnesses and/or exhibits, will be offered in support of this aggravating circumstance. Furthermore, the underlying facts of the conviction indicate that on or about May 18, 2002, DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL did, then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: a wallet, contents and lawful money of the United States, from the person of Steven Blodgett, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said Steven Blodgett, to-wit: by DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL beating Steven Blodgett with his fists; thereafter, by his co-conspirator continuing to beat Steven Blodgett while DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL removed a wallet from the boot of Steven Blodgett, thereafter, DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL fleeing from the scene with the property.
2. The murder was committed by a person, for himself or another, to receive money or any other thing of monetary value, to-wit by : by ANABEL ESPINDOLA (a manager of the PALOMINO CLUB) and/or LUIS HILDAGO, III (a manager of the PALOMINO CLUB) and/or LUIS HILDAGO, JR. (the owner of the PALOMINO CLUB) procuring DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL (an employee of the PALOMINO CLUB) to beat and/or kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; and/or LUIS HIDALGO, JR. indicating that he would pay to have a person either beaten or killed; and/or by LUIS HIDALGO, JR. procuring the injury or death of TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to further the business of the PALOMINO CLUB; and/or LUIS HIDALGO, III telling DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL to come to work with bats and garbage bags; thereafter, DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and/or JAYSON TAOIPU to kill TIMOTHY HADLAND; thereafter, by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; thereafter, LUIS HIDALGO, JR. and/or ANABEL ESPINDOLA providing six thousand dollars $(\$ 6,000)$ to DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL to pay KENNETH COUNTS, thereafter, KENNETH COUNTS receiving said money; and/or by ANABEL ESPINDOLA providing two hundred dollars (\$200) to DEFENDANT DEANGELO

CARROLL and/or by ANABEL ESPINDOLA and/or LUIS HIDALGO, III providing fourteen hundred dollars (\$1400) and/or eight hundred dollars (\$800) to DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL and/or by ANABEL ESPINDOLA agreeing to continue paying DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL twenty-four (24) hours of work a week from the PALOMINO CLUB even though DEANGELO CARROLL had terminated his position with the club and/or by LUIS HIDALGO, III offering to provide United States Savings Bonds to DEFENDANT DEANGELO CARROLL and/or his family. [See NRS 200.033(6)].

The basis for this aggravator is the aggravated nature of the crime itself. The evidence upon which the State will rely is the testimony and exhibits introduced during the guilt or penalty phase of the trial, as well as the verdicts from the guilt phase.

In filing this NOTICE, the State incorporates all pleadings, witness lists, notices and other discovery materials already provided to Defendant by the Office of the District Attorney as part of its open-file policy as well as any future discovery received and provided to Defendant.

DATED this 6th _day of July, 2005.
Respectfully submitted,
DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781

BY<br>/s/MARC DIGIACOMO<br>MARC DIGIACOMO<br>Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar \#006955
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I hereby certify that service of NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY,
was made this $\qquad$ 6th day of July, 2005, by facsimile transmission to:

DAYVID FIGLER, ESQ. FAX \#386-0344
D. McDonald

Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
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## BOULDER CITY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

 TUESDAY, DECEMBER 6, 2005, 1:00 P.M. * * * * * PROCEEDINGSTHE COURT: Case No. 05Fb0052E, Jason Taoipu.

MR. JACKSON: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, how are you?
MR. JACKSON: Good.
Mr. Taoipu is present in custody. We're
ready to procced for preliminary hearing.
MR. PESCI: Giancarlo Pesci for the
State. Marc DiGiacomo is out in the hall.
We have two witnesses we can go with. We're going to just take them a little bit out of order waiting for the medical examiner, if it's okay.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
MR. PESCl: Thank you.
MR. DiGiACOMO: Good moming, Judge,
THE COURT: Good morning.
Would you like Mr. Taoipu over by you?
MR. JACKSON: Yes, please. Thank you.

THE COURT; Go ahead.
MR. Digiacomo: Thank you, Judge.
Just for the record, we're currently waiting on the medical examiner to arrive. He -people from his office called me yesterday. They called me again this morning, and they asked me what time he needed to be here. I told them one o'clock. They said he'd be here.

So, with that understanding, $I^{\prime} m$ going put the detective on first, but if the detective's testimony turns lengthy and the doctor arrives, maybe we could take a break and put him on and let him get out of the here so he can get back to the bodies at the M.E.

MR. JACKSON: I don't have a problem with that.

MR. Digilacomo: Thank you.
MR. JACKSON: No problem with that.
Detective Marty Wildemann.
MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, wetd move to exclude witnesses.

THE COURT: Request to exclude is granted. Anybody who is here and anticipating testifying in this procceding needs to wait in the hall until called to testify and do not discuss

```
your testimony with anyone until the proceedings
are concluded.
    THE CLERK: Please raise your right
hand.
MARTIN WILDEMANN,
called as a witmess, and having boen first duly
sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and
nothing but the truth, was examined and testified
as follows;
    THE CLERK: I need you to state your
name for the record and spell your name, please.
name for the record and spell your name, please.
name is W-i-I-d-e-m-a-n-n.
    DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR DIGIACOMO:
    Q. Good afternoon, Detective.
        How are you employed?
    A. With the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
Department.
    Q. In what capacity?
    A. I'm a detective in homicide.
    Q. How long have you been with homicide?
```

there at the time.
by mr. Digiacomo:
Q. Did you respond out to North Shore Road?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And approximately where on North Shore

Road did you respond to?
A. If you take Lake Mead out of North Las
Road did you respond to?
A. If you take Lake Mead out of North Las
Vegas east, go up over the saddle, go through
the - the park ranger booth, you'll come to a T,
and the road T's and stops, and you can either go
left or right.
If you go left approximately a third of
a mile, it was right there, and that road is called
North Shore Drive.
Q. So it's almost the corner of Lake Mead
and North Shore Road?
A. Almost.
Q. Okay. And it's just slightly up the
road on North Shore Road?
A. Little bit northeast, I believe.
Q. Northeast. Okay.

When you got there, describe the scene
that you saw.
A. When we got there, we saw that a

Kia Sportage was along the side of the road parked
there at the time.
by mr. Digiacomo:
Q. Did you respond out to North Shore Road? Vegas east, go up over the saddle, go through
the - the park ranger booth, you'll come to a T ,
left or tight and stops, and you can cier go
If you go left approximately a third of a mile, it was right there, and that road is called
North Shore Drive.
Q. So it's almost the corner of Lake Mead and North Shore Road?
A. Four years.
Q. And how long have you been with Metro over all?
A. Almost 18 .
Q. I want to direct your attention to

May of this year, May 19th.
Did you become involved in an
investigation of what turned into a homicide
investigation out at Lake Mead or near Lake Mead on
North Shore Road here in Clark County, Nevada?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you describe for the court how it
is you became involved in the investigation?
A. I was a member of the team that was up
to handle a homicide. Pcople called in on
North Shore Drive saying that they saw a body -
MR. JACKSON: I object to hearsay.
MR. Digiacomo: It's not offered for the truth of the matter, sir. 1t's just for why it is you went where you went.

THE COURT; On that basis, the objection is overruled.

Mr. Digiacomo: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: I was called by my
lieutenant and, then, my sergeant to respond out
in the dirt shoulder of the road there.
There was a body laying across the road perpendicular as the road ran. The body was clothed in a pair of shorts. There was a hat
laying on victim's chest. A pair of glasses were
laying off to his side, and he was wearing brown
shoes, I believe, or sandals.
Q. Did you eventually through the course of your investigation identify the person who this body was?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was that?
A. Timothy Hadland.
Q. Did he have a nickname?
A. T.J. MR Digiacomo: Judge, may 1 approach? THE COURT: Yes.

## BY MR DIGIACOMO:

Q. Having previously shown counsel, showing
you what's been marked as State's proposed Exhibits 1 and 2. Those appear to be three photographs of the scene in question?
A. Yes. MR, Digiacomo: Judge, 1 move to admit

```
1 and 2.
    MR. JACKSON: I'd like further
    foundation laid.
    BY MR. DigiACOMO:
    Q. Are they a true, fair, and accurate
    depiction of the crime scene?
    A. Yes, they are.
    Q. And now I would note that on the bottom
    of State's Exhibit No. 2 there's actually two
    pictures; is that correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And those -- seems to be yellow markers
    of those pictures; is that correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Those yellow markers, were they present
    when you first arrived on scene?
    A. Not when we first arrived.
    Q. Do you know, being present at the scene
    as well as your training and experience, what those
    markers are from?
    A. They're evidence markers for the
    criminalistics people.
    Q. And the same with No. 1.
        Are those yellow markers the same thing
    that are in No.1?
```

A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
Q. In State's Exhibit Proposed No. 1, are those yellow --
A. Yes.
Q. -- markers, once again, the crime scenc?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. With the exception of the crime scene
tags that are placed there by the crime scene analysts, do 1 and 2 fairly and accurately depict the scene as when you arrived?
A. Yes. MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 1 and 2. MR. JACKSON; No objection. THE COURT: Be admitted.

## BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

Q. Now, when you found Mr. Hadland, you said he was in that position that he's lying there in Photographs I and 2?
A. Yes,
Q. Did you notice any obvious injuries to him?
A. We could see a large amount of blood. MR. JACKSON: Object to "We." THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR Digiacomo:
Q. What did you see?
A. I could see a largc amount of blood
coming from the head area on the street, on the concrete.
Q. Okay. Eventually, did you -- did you
see the various injuries to Mr. Hadland?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was that? Was that still at the scene or some later time?
A. I could see one at the scene and,
then -- actually, I saw them both at the scene
later when the coroner arrived. He was moved, and we could see the other wound.
Q. Could you describe the injuries that you personally saw?
A. The ones I saw were the left check. I
saw what looked like to be bullet wound to the left cheek and looked like a bullet wound on the top of his head and on the left side, another additional bullet wound on the left side of his head.
Q. Okay, You said eventually a member of the Medical Examiner's Office arrived on the scene?
A. Yes.
Q. And this person, what was his capacity?
A. He was a coroner's investigator.

Q And what does he do when you're at the scene?
A. He documents the scene as well and examines the body quickly at the scene and, then, takes custody of the body.
Q. Based upon what you saw at the scene, what did you decide to do in the course of the investigation?
A. I'm sorry. Repeat it, please.
Q. Based upon what you saw at the scene, what did you -- what type of investigation did you become involved in?
A. A murder investigation,
Q. Okay. And tell me the - well, eventually, do you wind up coming in contact with someone you see here in court?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you point that person out and describe something he's wearing?
A. He's seated at the table wearing a blue scrub top.
Q. And what -- did you know his name?
A. His name is Jason, and 1 will
mispronounce his name -- but it's Taoipu, 1

```
believe. Something along those lines,
    MR DigiACOMO: Let the record reflect
the identification of the defendant.
    THE COURT: Record will so reflect.
BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
    Q. How is it that you wound up coming into
contact with Mr. Taoipu?
    A. Through the coursc of our investigation,
    we learned --
        MR. JACKSON: I'd object to what "we
    learned."
    BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
        Q. Without telling us what other people
    learned, how was it that you personally came into
    contact with Mr. Taoipu?
        A. Through the course of the investigation,
    I learned that Mr. Taoipu was part of a group that
    were out at Lake Mead and was with that group when
    Mr. Hadland was shot.
        Q. And did you --well, let me ask you
    this.
    What member of that group did you first
    come into contact with?
        A. A member by name of DeAngelo Carroll.
        Q. And was an intervicw conducted with
```

    Mr. Carroll?
    A. Yes, it was.
    Q. Were you present for that interview?
    A. Yes, I was.
    Q. Okay. After the intervicw with
    Mr. Carroll, was he taken into custody, or was he
    allowed to lcave?
A. He was allowed to leave.
Q. And where did that interview take place?
A. At the homicide offices.
Q. When he left, how did he leave the
homicide offices?
A. We drove him home.
Q. And when you drove him home, did you
come into contact with anybody else that you later
learned may have been - that you had learned was
at the scene?
A. We came in contact with a young man by
the name of Rontae Zone.
Q. Okay. And at that point was Rontae Zone
asked to give an interview?
$\wedge$. Ycs, he was.
Q. Did you leave when -- when you left
Mr, Carroll's house, did you leave any instructions
with Mr. Carroll?
A. I told Mr. Carroll that when be came in contact with Mr, Taoipu, to bring Mr. Taoipu to our offices for a statement.
Q. How long -- how long after you left these instructions with Mr. Carroll did you come into contact with Mr. Taoipu?
A. The next afternoon.
Q. And how is it that that came about?
A. Mr. Carroll and Mr. Taoipu arrived at our offices and were hanging right around the front door when I arrived. Before I even arrived there, they were there.
Q. Okay. And when you arrived, you came in contact with Mr, Taoipu?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe -- well, first of all,
do you know the date and time that that was
approximately?
A. It would have been the 21st of May, and it was approximately between three and four.
21 Q. Okay. Did you --
22 A. In the afternoon. Excuse me.
23 Q. And do you know what day of the week that is?
A. I believe it's a Saturday.
Q. Okay. And so the office wasn't opened --
A. No.
Q. - for them to have gone into; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So how did you know -Or did you expect to find DeAngelo
Carroll and Jason there when you arrived?
A. No,
Q. So you just arrived and they happened to be standing outside?
A. Yes.
Q. When that happened, did you have conversations with Mr. Taoipu?
A. Ycs.
Q. And what were the nature of those conversations?
A. Regarding his involvement in the death
of Mr. Hadland.
Q. Okay. Where did those conversations take place?
A. Right in our homicide offices.
Q. So you allowed them into the building?
A. Ycs.

## Q. Okay. Did you provide him any -Well, did you do anything for them? <br> A. We provided them with a drink, a soda of some sort, I can't remember which, and -- and had them wait for us. And they waited out in the lobby area for, actually, quite a while while we got <br> our -- our notes together and, then, eventually interviewed them. <br> Q. Okay. When you brought Mr. Taoipu into the interview room, was there any indicia or had you told him he was under arrest? <br> A. No, sir. <br> Q. Had you handcuffed him? <br> A. No. <br> Q. Did you in any manner indicate to him that he was under arrest? <br> A. No. <br> Q. Did you ask him if he's willing to speak to you? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And what did he indicate? <br> A. He said he would. <br> Q. Did you eventually take a tape recorded statement from Mr. Taoipu? <br> A. Yes, we did.

1 Q. Who else was present during that tape 2 recorded statement?
A. Detective Vaccaro.
$4 \quad$ Q. And at the time what was
5 Detective Vaccaro's capacity in the investigation?
A. He was actually an acting supervisor at
that time, and he was a co-interviewer with me.
Q. Okay. Did you cventually provide the
digital recording of the interview of Mr . Taoipu to my office?
A. Yes.
Q. And, then, were informed today that that had been reduced to a C.D. recording to be played?
A. Yes, 1 was.

Mr. Digiacomo: 1 didn't have a C.D.
player available for Mr. - for Detective
Wildemann.
If Mr. Jackson objects, we can certainly
take a break, play it for him, say is that the same
statement.
MR. JACKSON: 1 am going to object to
the admission of this at this time. I'd like to
take the witness under voir dire. l'd like to
make $-\rightarrow$ ask certain questions before this statement is admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 think -
MR. Digiacomo: thave no objection to
that if that's appropriate.
THE COURT: Is this a good time to take the medical examiner?

MR. PESCI: Judge, if I could -- 1
apologize for interrupting.
He indicated he wanted a minute to
review his report --
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PESCI: - so he was going to let us
know when he was ready
THE COURT: All right.
mr. Digiacomo That's fine, Judge.
the court: Good enough then, Proceed with the voir dire.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MR, JACKSON:
Q. Officer, you said you've been a homicide detective for how long?
A. Almost four years.
Q. And you were a Metro officer for 18 years?
A. Almost 18 years.
Q. You've had training as a Metro officer and as a homicide detective in interrogation; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You've had training in how to get
confessions from people; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You've had training in the law regarding
the rights of suspects; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you've - it's unusual for someone
to come in and make voluntary statements in these kinds of cases, is it not?
A. Is it unusual?
Q. Yes.
A. It doesn't happen every day, absolutely not, but it does happen.
Q. Now, when someone is 16 years of age, almost 17, but 16 years of age, do you have any
training as to both in law or in -- in your
interrogation training as to how they are to be treated?

Are they treated the same way as an adult suspect or an adult witness --
A. There's --

```
Q. - by the homicide department or Metro
Police Dcpartment?
    A.There's some differences.
    Q. Okay. And what are those differences on
how you would treat someone of tender age, someone
16 years of age?
    A. If that person were in custody or 1 was
conducting an interrogation, he would be entitled
to - he would be read his Miranda rights and
entitled to have a parent present also if he
wanted.
    Q. Well, now, if someone is -- if you know
that a very serious crime has been committed, i.e.,
murder, someone is dead --
    You knew that someonc was dead in this
case.
    You were conducting an investigation of
a death; is that correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. A homicide?
    A. Yes,
    Q. You saw the dead body the day before; is
that correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And you knew that someone would have to
```

pay for that crime, if not several people; is that correct?
A. Yes, cventually.
Q. And you were aware that Mr. DeAngelo Carroll was involved in this particular incident; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. At the time that he walked into your office on May 21st, you were aware that he connected, at least if not directly indirectly, with this homicide; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He wasn't just a casual passerby.

Your suspicions had focused on
Mr. Carroll; was that correct?
A. Amongst others, yes.
Q. All right. But - tell the truth.

You -- he was a prime suspect.
Wouldn't that be fair to say?
Based on his connection to the Palomino
Club, based on the cell phone conversation you -
or based on the fact that you had traced his cell
phone to T.J., he was a prime suspect.
Wouldn't that be fair to say?
A. He was onc of the prime suspects, yes.
Q. And other people at the Palomino Club as well were prime suspects; isn't that corrcet?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And the fact that my client
was with DeAngelo Carroll, that made him at least
some suspect you were looking at.
Isn't that fair to say?
A. A suspect that we were looking at?
Q. All right. You were looking at him. In fact -
A. I was asking you, sir. I'm sorry.

That's what you said, a suspect we were looking at?
Q. Yeah.
A. You mean for the murder?
Q. Yeah.
A. For his involvement?
Q. For the investigation in the death of --
of -- of T.J.; isn't that correct?
A. A possible suspect, yes.
Q. All right. So when he walked into that office on the 21st, you didn't feel any obligation to advise him of his rights at that timc?
A. No.
Q. Being I6 years of age, not having any
legal training that you knew of, you didn't know
whether or not he was aware of his rights to remain silent, did you?
A. We didn't talk about it.
Q. All right. You didn't know whether or not he had any prior experience with the criminal justice system, did you?
A. I did not know that.
Q. Did you ask him whether or not he had ever been involved in the criminal system?
A. I may have. I don't recall.
Q. Is it on your - would it be on your
tape recording of questions you asked him?

1. It's not something that 1 recall
reading; so, I don't belicve that I asked him at the time the recorder was on.
Q. Did you ask him if he had parents in the Las Vegas area?
A. I'm trying to recall. I don't believe I did at that time.
Q. Therc's a gentleman present in court today, his father. Did you happen to contact him before questioning Mr. Taoipu?
A. For interviewing him, no.
Q. Okay. Did you ask him if he had •- if he
```
wanted to contact his father beforc interviewing
him?
    A. No.
    Q. Did you ask him what his age was -- was
bcfore interviewing him?
    A. I'm sure we did.
    Q. Did he appear to you to be under the age
of an adult; is that correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. You knew he wasn't a 25-or 30-year-old
man when you werc interviewing him; isn't that
correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. In fact, you found out pretty quickly
    that his agc was }16\mathrm{ years of age; isn't that
    correct?
    A. Yes.
        Q. You had no reason to doubt that; isn't
    that correct?
        A. I don't believe so.
        Q. Did you run him on SCOPE or did you run
    him on your computers to find out who he was to
    verify any of this information before you started
    interviewing him?
    A. I don't know that I did that, sir. I'm
```

25

MR. JACKSON: All right. I'll rephrase the question.
BY MR. JACKSON:
Q. Would you supply any information you received in such an intervicw to a prosecutor and allow them to make that decision?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, you said there was an interval after you -- my client came to your office, some period of time passed.

How long was that?
A. I'm not sure exactly. I would say it was probably a couple hours.
Q. So you had him wait around your office for a couple hours before you intervicwed him?
A. Got him sodas and waited there, yes.
Q. Well, that was nice you got him sodas. Did you get him food, too?
A. I don't think we did.
Q. Okay. While he waited, was that one of the interrogation techniques you had to soften him up, or was it just -- just you wanted him to sweat about it for two hours?
A. No. We had been up a total of -- on that investigation up a total of 26 hours the night
going to say that somebody probably did.
Q. That would be normal procedure, would it not?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, would normal procedure
be to check and see if he had parents or if he had
family that might have some connection or -- or
some concem about him?
A. If he was in custody or in
interrogation, yes, I would do that.
Q. All right. Now, if, in fact, he told you something during this interview that would incriminate him, you, of course, would use that against him; isn't that correct?
A. Ask it again, please.
Q. During this interview on May 21st, if

Mr. Taoipu told you something that incriminated
him, you fully intended to use that against him in
a court of law; isn't that correct?
MR. Digiacomo: Judge, I object, really,
to the form of the question.
The prosecutor is the one who decides
whether or not to use the statement against him
when he supplied that information to the
prosecutor.
before.
We went home about $3: 30$ in the morning. I camc back to work at three in the afternoon. When we got back to work, we all sat around and talked about what we had learned the night before, and that is what took that time, was us getting our act together so we could interview.
Q. Where did he wait while you were talking about the case and while you were discussing it with the other detectives?

Where did my client, Mr. Taoipu, wait?
A. Part of the time, I believe, that he was in the lobby area and part of the time he was in an intervicw room.
Q. Now, that's a small cell, that interview room, isn't it'? lt's like about six by six'? How big is it?
A. It's not a small cell, sir.
Q. How big is it?
A. It's not a cell. It's a room.
Q. How big is the room?
A. I have no idca. It's probably less than six by six. No. It's probably about six by six. Q. Ycah, it's about six foot by six foot, isn't it?

```
A. Sir, I don't know. You'd be -- feel
free to go measure it.
    Q. I'm just looking from the pictures I've
seen on the video trying to guess.
            When he was sitting in there, he was all
alone?
    A. Hmmm. I believe so, yes. I stuck my
    head in numerous times, check on him.
    Q. Okay. While he was sitting in that
    six-by-six cell, did he have access to
    communication? Did he have a phone that he could
    call out, call anyone, like his parents or anyone
    elsc?
    MR. DiglacOMO; I'm going to object to
    the term "cell." The witness specifically said
    it's not a cell.
    I know what he's trying to do.
    MR, JACKSON: We don't have a jury here.
    I don't think it's particularly prejudicial to what
    English language word I use in describing a
    six-by-six-foot room.
    THE COURT: Just move on.
    BY MR. JACKSON:
    Q. In this six-foot by six-foot room, did
    he have access to any communication? Did he have a
    mix-by-six-fuage word l use in describing a
```

phone? Did he have any way of communicating with the outside world?
A. No.
Q. Was he able to get up and leave at any time?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he have to knock on the door to
leave, or could he just walk out?
A. The time that he was in the lobby area, he could have walked out.

The time that he waited for us, he could have walked out.

When he was in the interview room, I'm going to say -- and I can't remember exactly, but I
will say, 'cause this is what I normally do, that room was shut and closed.
Q. So that room was like locked. You can't open it unless you knock on the door and someone lets you out; is that right?
A. It was definitely closed. I'm not sure that it was locked, but if it was locked, I wouldn't be surprised at all bccause that's accessible to our offices.
Q. So during that time at least he wasn't free to leave?

```
    A. If he'd have knocked, I would have gone
and answered the door.
    Q. All right. But did he know that?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Did you tell him any time you want
out -- did you tell him during this two-hour period
any time you want to go homc, Jason, just knock on
the door, and we'll let you go home'?
    A. I don't know that I told him that
specifically.
    Q. Okay.
    A. He came there to see me.
    Q. Now, during your studies as a police
officer, you studied about the difference between a
juvenile brain and an adult brain, how juveniles
process information and how adults process
information?
    A. No, sir.
    Q. You studied how juveniles understand
their rights -- the difference between how
juvenilcs understand their rights and how adults
understand their rights?
    A. I have an associate's degree, sir. No.
    Q. Did you tell my client anything during
his interrogation that other people had already
A. If he'd have knocked, I would have gone and answered the door.
Q. All right. But did he know that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you tell him any time you want
out -- did you tell him during this two-hour period
any time you want to go home, Jason, just knock on
the door, and we'll let you go home?
A. I don't know that I told him that
specifically.
Q. Okay.
A. He came there to see me.
Q. Now, during your studies as a police officer, you studied about the difference between a process information and how adults process information?
A. No, sir.
Q. You studied how juveniles understand their rights -- the difference between how juveniles understand their rights and how adults understand their rights?
A. I have an associate's degree, sir. No.
his interrogation that other people had already
```

accuscd him of any crime or involvement in this?
A. Did I tell him during my interview with him?

So, please, just ask it again, sir.
Q. Let me rephrase it. That was a poor
question. Let me see if 1 can rephrasc it.
Specifically, did you tcll him that
DeAngclo Carroll had implicated him in any way in being involved in the homicide?
A. I did not tell him that.
Q. Had you previously -- had you
interviewed DeAngelo Carroll bcfore interviewing my client, Mr. Taoipu?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You didn't mention anything about your interview with DeAngelo Carroll to my client while you were interviewing him?
A. Me specifically? I don't believe so, no.
Q. Well, did any of the police officers
intervicwing him mention anything DeAngelo Carroll said to him?
A. Detective Vaccaro was with mc. I don't think he ever said DeAngelo Carroll, but I'm not positive on that.

```
    Q. Did you tell him you already knew what
Q. Did
    A. We told him that we had talked to
DeAngelo.
    Q. So you did tell him you talked to
DeAngelo?
    A. Absolutely.
    Q. And did you ever mention to my client it
would be better for him if he talked to you than if
he didn't or words to that effect?
    A. I don't know that I did. If it's in the
statement, please show it to me.
    Q. Well, what do you remember about it?
    A. I don't remember me specifically saying
that.
    Q. Did Mr. Vaccaro ever mention anything
like that to him?
    A. I don't remember, but that's a
19 possibility. But I don't remember that.
    Q. Well, is that something you normally say
to a witness, that it's better for him cooperate or
22 to tell what happened?
    A. It depends on the witness; it depends
on the circumstances of what we're investigating.
    Q. In this particular case, you don't
```

    remember saying that, but it's possible you did,
        Is that your testimony?
    A. If it's on the statement, please show it
    to me. I'd be glad to read it.
    Q. I'm just asking you what you remember
    now.
What you're saying, if it's on the
8 statement, you said it; if it's not on the
statement, you didn't?
Is everything that you said to him on
the statement, or did you say some things that
aren't recorded?
A. I stuck my head in that room numerous
times while they waited. I spoke to him numerous
times.
Q. So there's some things that you said to
him that aren't recorded; is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Well, that's -- what kind of
things did you say to him that weren't recorded?
A. "Are you okay?" "Do you need a
bathroom?" "You want something more to drink?"
Q. Anything else?
A. 1 don't believe so.
Q. Did he appear to you to be frightened?
A. No
Q. Did he appear to you to be upset?
A. No.
Q. Did he appear to you to be under the
influence of cither alcohol or drugs, or anything
like that?
A. No.
Q. Did you ask him about his educational
level?
A. I believe he was asked. I think it was
Detective Vaccaro, I think.
Q. What did he tell you his educational
level was, or what did he tell Detectivc Vaccaro
his educational level was?
A. Tenth or eleventh grade.
Q. Dropped out of school in the tenth
grade; isn't that correct?
A. I don't know, sir.
Q. Is that what he told you?
A. Tenth or eleventh, I think is what he
told me. Or ninth or tenth.
Q. Okay.
A. He couldn't decide.
Q. Did that cause you any concerns about
his understanding of either - of either his rights
or his understanding of English or his
understanding of the whole process the fact that he only had a tenth grade education?

MR. Digiacomo: At some point I'm going to object.

He's voir diring on whether or not --
the voluntariness of the confession.
MR. JACKSON: Well, I think all these questions --

MR. Digiacomo: Whether or not -- the detective is not a psychiatrist who can decide. He can tell you objective facts and those -- that's what he's told you.

When he starts going into questions
about, well, did this concern you, did that concern you --

MR. JACKSON: Fll rephrase the question.

Mr. Digincomo: -- was it or was it not voluntary. Wc've got well beyond that at this point.

MR. JACKSON: I've just got a few more questions, and I'll wrap it up.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

```
BY MR. JACKSON:
    Q. Did you have any indication from your
3 questioning of him that he didn't understand you?
    A. No.
    Q. Okay. You never told him he could have
a lawyer, did you?
    A. No.
    Q. You never told him he could get a lawyer
    for free, did you?
    A. No.
    Q. Are you telling me that suspicion hadn't
    focused on him at the time that you interviewed
    him?
        A. Wc were trying to determine his level of
    involvement.
        Q. So you believe he had some level of
    involvement at that time; is that correct?
        A. We didn't know what level of
    involvement,
    Q. You believe he had somc level of
    involvement at that time; isn't that correct?
        A. Wc believed him to be there.
        Q. All right. Did you believe he had a gun
    at that timc?
        A. At the time wc were interviewing him?
```

Q. Didn't you have indication from

Mr, DeAngelo Carroll that he may have had a gun in his possession when you intervicwed him? MR. Digiacomo: Okay. You're confusing
me. Object to the question.
Did he have a gun inside the homicide interview room --

MR. JACKSON: No. Let me rephrase it.
MR. Digiacomo: -- did he have a gun on
the scenc?
BY MR. JACKSON:
Q. Did you believe that my client may have on the day of the homicide had possession of a weapon when you were intervicwing him?
A. We believe that to be a possibility.
Q. All right. So you had some reason to believe that was onc of the factors you were concerned about when you were interviewing him, and you knew that before you interviewed iny client.

Isn't that a fact?
A. Okay. Pleasc restate the question because --
Q. Hadn't you been made aware of that fact by DeAngelo Carroll before you interviewed my client?
A. Haven't - were we made aware of the fact that he had a gun.

We werc made aware of the fact that
DcAngelo said he had a gun.
Q. Right. All right. And you knew that before you interviewed my client; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. But you never -- but even though you knew that, you didn't think it was important enough to advise my client of his right
to remain silent or to get an attomey at that time?

MR. JACKSON: I have no further questions.

MR. DiGlacomo: It's argumentative.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, at this time
I'd like to make a motion to the court.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. JACKSON: 1 think under the case of
Escobido, suspicion of focus on my client, for them
to say he was free to leave, he could have walked
out the door, they weren't planning on doing anything except just finding out from this person

```
as a ncutral witness, maybe somebody witnessing a
traffic accident, what might have happencd, they
just wanted to find out what was going on, is -- it
is fallacious reasoning.
    Suspicion is clearly focused on him.
They had him in the interview room. They kept him
in there, in what I would characterize as a cell,
but the district attorney would respectfully
disagree with me. It was a six-by-six-inch room.
He couldn't get out of the door. They had the door
closed. They sat him there for two hours. He's
waiting in that room.
    He doesn't haven't aceess to his
parents. He's sitting in there. He's 16 ycars
old. He has no knowledge of the law.
    Eventually he makes a statement to the
police officer. Officer says it's a voluntary
statement. Of course we don't give him his rights.
We don't tell him about Miranda. We don't tell him
he has a right to a lawyer.
    We're two experienced homicide police
officers. This officer has 18 ycars' experience.
The other offiecr is a senior officer to him.
    They take this 16 year old after they've
spent 26 hours working the case, after they spent
```

time with the other person involved in the case
intcrviewing him, and, then, they qucstion him,
and, naturally, they get a statement out of him.
And, then, they want the court to decide.
This is a voluntary, knowing, and intelligent waiver of his fifth amendment rights.

Now, I think under any reasonable

## interpretation of the law, this is not a

reasonable, intelligent, voluntary, knowing waiver
of fifth amendment rights.
They didn't even presume to read him his
rights, his Miranda. They just said, oh, he was
free to leave. He could have left at any time.
Now, they may have let him walk out the door after this statement, but they sure came and got him soon thercafter.

They waited until they built their case.
They got him to make certain admissions.
Now, the admissions, which I'm going to argue later, are equivocal. The admissions are going to put him on the scene; they're going to put him in the car.

He wasn't the main person involved in this, but the admissions arc somewhat damaging, and we're moving to keep them out.

But I think these admissions violate his
fifth amendment rights and his sixth amendment rights, and we're asking that the statement not come into cvidence against him.

Without these admissions, they have no ease whatever, and these admissions were taken wrongly; they should not come into evidence.

I think that your Honor's duty is to keep this evidence from coming before the Court.

This was an interrogation that was bad from the start. I will urge the Court to suppress any statements my client made.

MR. Digincomo: Well, I appreciate the defense counsel get up here and kind of confused all the issues.

The first question is is he in custody and how this Court could possibly find he was ever in custody when:

One, he freely came down to the homicide offices by himself;

He sat in the area where he had soda and was free to leave;

He went into the interview room where he was free to leave, and;

If you look at Page 3 of his statement
when the detective says, you guys drove down here
and you've been hanging out, but you're not in
custody, you've been free to go the whole time,
drinks, and cverything; right?
And his answer is yes.
They take a statement from him, and, then, they let him go home.

How the Court could ever find that there is any indicia whatsoever of a custodial nature, that's one question.

Is it a Miranda violation? If he's not in custody, it can't be a Miranda violation.

The second question is is it even voluntary. We haven't heard any evidence whatsoever that the statements madc by Mr. Taoipu are not voluntary.
lt's not a question of a knowing waiver of his Miranda rights. He's not entitled to Miranda rights if he's not in custody.

The question is was he in custody, and if he wasn't in custody, then no Miranda,

And, then was it a voluntary statement in the sensc of it wasn't involuntary. They didn't take a hose to him and, then, beat him to death. They didn't turn the serews on him and force him to
give a confession.
And those arc the two questions for the Court.

And I'll submit it.
MR. JACKSON: Well, it's knowing, voluntary, and it's intelligent.

He says this determines. We got that far.

And the other thing is that the
questions put by this skillful interrogator from
the Metro is -- were leading questions.
You're free to go; you realize that.
1 mean, he's 16 years old. We have to
put it in context.
There's a case out of California, the
Lara case, dealing with this exact situation when you have juveniles.

And I refer the Coun to therc's a - a
long annotation in the Federal Digest under Infant
68.5 dealing with the kinds of situations involving juveniles.

When you have juyeniles that are in --
subject to this kind of interrogation by police,
the rights should be protected.
I'm not arguing the police took a hose

```
to him; I'm not arguing that he was in custody in
chains, but he was in that six-by-six room for two
hours, even though they brought him sodas, even
though they said oh, you can go, you can go, he
couldn't communicate with anyone, they didn't talk
to his parents, and he was not able to get up and
walk out of door, and he was -- he did not -- he
was not aware of his rights. It wasn't an
intelligent waiver.
    Now, voluntariness. Voluntariness is
based on the totality of circumstances, and you
have to look at the age of the dcfendant; you have
to look at all the facts and circumstances here.
    It's no question they exploited his
youth and immaturity.
    And I urge the Court to look at all the
totality before ruling on this hearing. I'd ask
the Court to reserve ruling until you've heard all
the evidence in this prelim.
    I know your Honor had --
    THE COURT: That's exactly what 1 was
going to say -*
    MR. JACKSON: All right,
    THE COURT: .. so that's.... Bccausc
1-1 have to get it in its context; so, I'll take
to him; I'm not arguing that he was in custody in chains, but he was in that six-by-six room for two hours, even though they brought him sodas, even couldn't communicate with anyone, they didn't talk to his parents, and he was not able to get up and walk out of door, and he was - he did not - he
Now, voluntariness. Voluntariness is based on the totality of circumstances, and you
It's no question they exploited his youth and immaturity.
And I urge the Court to look at all the totality before ruling on this hearing. I'd ask the evidence in this prelim.
1 know your Honor had --
THE COURT: That's exactly what I was
going to say --
MR. JACKSON: All right.
THE COURT: .. so that's.... Bccausc 1-1 have to get it in its context; so, I'll take
```

it under adviscment until we conclude at least the officer's testimony or we conclude the proceedings. mR. Digiacomo: Would you like to do the M.E., Judge?

THE COURT: Yeah. mr. Digiacomo: The tape is 41 minutes
so....
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and
have a scat in the hall. We'll call you back in. We're going to take a doctor out of
order.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
GARY TELGENHOFF, M.D.,
called as a witmess, and having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: I need you to state your name for the record and spell your name, pleasc. THE WITNESS: My name is Dr. Gary Telgenhoff. My last name is spclled T-c-1-g-c-n-h-o-f-f. MR. PESCI: Just prior to starting,
defense counsel had some questions for
'Dr. Telgenhoff, and that's why we brought him
here. We couldn't do a stipulation. I'm wondering
if defense counsel will stipulate to his expertise
in forensic pathology so we won't have to go
through his resume and all his experience.
MR. JACKSON: If he's testified in court
before, I'll stipulate that he's testified. If
he's qualificd in this court before, I'll be happy to stipulate.

1 'm not familiar with the doctor, but
if -- if counsel will state that he's done that,
I'll be happy to....
THE COURT: I'm familiar with
Dr. Telgenhoff --
Mr. JACKSON: All right. I'll be happy to stipulate under those circumstances.

MR. PESCI: Thank you very much.
And my understanding also is there's a stipulation as far as the autopsy was performed on the individual Timothy Hadland.

MR. JACKSON: I'll stipulate to that.
MR. PESCI: Okay.

## * * *

*     *         * 


## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. PESCI:

Q. Doctor, I want to focus your attention to May 20th of 2005.

Did you perform an autopsy on
Timothy Hadland?
A. Yes.

MR. pesci: Your Honor, may I approach
with some exhibits?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. PESCl:
Q. Showing you State's Exhibit 4, 5, and 6, which have previously been shown to defense counscl.

1 ask you to take a minute to review
those and please let me know when you're done,
A. I've looked at items 4,5 , and 6 .
Q. Thank you, doctor.

Are those accurate depictions of the
individual that you performed the autopsy on on the date in question?
A. Yes, as 1 recall.
Q. And when you perform an autopsy, what is your normal procedure?
A. Normal procedure is to first gather
information as far as what type of case am I be looking at; for example, just a general idea, if
this is a gunshot wound or if this is a natural
death, or that kind of thing. I get that from our
investigators as well as police detectives --
Q. Sorry to interrupt you.

And in this case did you get some of
that information from the detectives involved?
A. Yes. Just very brief generic
description of....
Q. And with that information in mind, you, then, perform an exam?
A. Yes.
Q. Does it start with an external
examination?
A. Yes, it docs.
Q. And, then, does it procced to an
internal examination?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you document or report to what it
is that you found during your examination?
A. Yes. As a matter of fact, I have a
hand-held dietaphone, and I dictate the very time that I'm doing this.

I looked at the wound, I described the
wound with the ruler on $i t$, and all my dictations are put on that exact moment when I do the case.
Q. And you just spoke of a wound.

In this case in your external
examination did you find any significant findings
in regards to wounds?
A. Yes.

Q What were those findings?
A. Two gunshot wounds to the face.
Q. Now, showing you Statc's proposed Exhibit 4, does that depict at leasi -- there are two photographs on this.

Does that depict one of those gunshot wounds?
A. Yes. One is a photograph of the
decedent's head pretty much face on anteriorly, and
it's - before being leaned up, there's a lot of blood in the picture.

On the other photograph, after having been clcaned, the wounds are more easily visible.
Q. Okay. And those are accurate depictions?
A. Ycs.

MR. PESCl: I move for the admission of State's proposed Exhibit 4, your Honor.

MR. JACKSON: No objection.
THE COURT: Be admitted.
BY MR. PESCl;
Q. Showing you State's proposed Exhibit 5.

Is that a closeup of the wounds you just spoke of on the face?
A. Yes This is a wound on the left aspect of the face in the cheek arca. And Exhibit 5 shows its location on the face. And, then, the second photograph on this page shows a closcup of the wound.
Q. And is that a fair and accurate
depiction?
A. Yes.

MR. PIESCI: Move for the admission of
State Exhibit 5 , your Honor.
MR. JACKSON: No objection.
THE COURT: Be admitted.
BY MR. PESCI:
Q. And showing you State's proposed

Exhibit 6
What is that?
A. Wound 6 is a photograph of the
decedent's left ear, and it is a representation of
25 the gunshot wound that I saw which entered in the
car arca on the left.
Q. And that's an accurate depiction of

State's proposed Exhibit 6?
A. Yeah.

MR, PESCI: Move for its admission, your
Honor.
MR. JACKSON: No objection.
THE COURT: Bc admitted.
BY MR. PESCI:
Q. Now, doctor, did you delineate in your report by way of an alphabetical letter of the two wounds that you just talked about?
A. Let me check. Sometimes I do that; sometimes I don't.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to revicw your report?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Thank you. Did you do that?
A. Yes, I did do that. I have wound A and wound B listed.
Q. And let's go with A. Now, A versus B docsn'1 mean the order of which these shots occurred.

It's just the order, the name, that you
give that a specific wound?

```
    A. That's correct, it does not indicate any
order of a shot fired.
Q. Let's start with A then. Tell us about
A.
    What were your findings?
    A. Well, wound A is the one that was just
in front of the left ear.
    I'm pointing to my left ear. And there's
a -- there's a couple of little structures in the
ear. One's called the tragus. That's the biggest
dimple, I guess you would call it, and, then, there
is one right across from it called the antitragus,
and it entered in the antitragus area.
    Q. Does State's Exhibit 6 help to
demonstrate that?
    A. Yes. Well, without getting real super
technical, it basically entered in the ear.
    Q. Okay. So it's an entrance wound?
    A. Entrance, yes.
    Q. All right. And do you determine or
during your internal examination do you try to
follow the path?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And can you tell us of gunshot wound A,
    was it one of entrance by the car and, then, what
```

``` A.
What were your findings?
A. Well, wound A is the one that was just in front of the left ear.
I'm pointing to my left ear. And there's a - there's a couple of little structures in the ear. One's called the tragus. That's the biggest is one right across from it called the antitragus, and it entered in the antitragus area.
Q. Does State's Exhibit 6 help to demonstrate that?
A. Yes. Well, without getting real super technical, it basically entered in the ear.
Q. Okay. So it's an entrance wound?
A. Entrance, yes.
Q. All right. And do you determine or
during your internal examination do you try to follow the path?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you tell us of gunshot wound A,
25
```

```
far as where the gun would have to be in order to
create that trajectory?
    A. Well, I could set up a bunch of
scenarios in which a shot like that could occur,
but I don't usually do that.
    Q. Right. But I guess what l'm saying is
between B and A, would it be -- would it make sense
to you that there was a difference in maybe
positioning?
    Could that explain for why the
trajectory was different?
    A. It could, yes. The position of the head
could be different or the position of the assailant
could be different.
    Q. Now, did you make a termination as to
the cause of death in this case?
    A. Yeah.
    Q. And what was that?
    A. It was multiple gunshot wounds of the
    head.
    Q. Did you make a termination as to the
    manner of death?
    A. Yes.
    Q. What was that?
    A. Homicide.
far as where the gun would have to be in order to
A. Well, I could set up a bunch of
scenarios in which a shot like that could occur, but I don't usually do that.
Q. Right. But 1 guess what I'm saying is between B and A, would it be -- would it make sense to you that there was a difference in maybe positioning?
Could that explain for why the
trajectory was different?
A. It could, yes. The position of the head could be different or the position of the assailant could be different.
Q. Now, did you make a termination as to the cause of death in this case?
A. Yeah.
Q. And what was that?
A. It was multiple gunshot wounds of the head.
Q. Did you make a termination as to the manner of death?
A. Yes.
A. Homicide.
```

MR. PESCI: Court's indulgence.

## BY MR. PESCL

Q. Was there any other evidence of significant injury during your examination?
A. 1 believe there were some minor scrapes, but basically no. Those were the major -- major wounds.

MR. PESCI: Pass the witness, your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION <br> BY MR JACKSON:

Q. How old was the person that you examined?
A. I'm sorry'?
Q. How old was the decedent?
A. I have to check my report and tell you what was reported to me.
Q. Could you tell by looking at the body how old it was?
A. Well, I can't - I'm not that good.

I -- sometimes if I'm lucky, I can get between 10, 20 years.
Q. What - I mean -- approximately, I mean, you looked at the body.
A. No, I can ${ }^{1}$ t do that from memory. I see a thousand bodies a year, I'll have to refer to my report.
Q. Can you refer to your record.
A. Well, the stated age was 44 years, and I
thought it was compatible with that.
Q. Okay. But you don't really remember
what this body looked like? It wasn't any
different from any of the thousand bodies you see.
Is that your testimony here today?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. It wasn't anything unusual about this body except it had two gunshot wounds to the head?
A. Not to my recollection, and none was stated in my report, no.
Q. Okay. Now, did you do a toxicology screen on this body?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you determine whether the body had alcohol in the blood?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the blood alcohol in the body when you tested it?

1 A. As reported to us by Quest Diagnostics, the laboratory that we sent it to, it was .07 grams percent.
Q. Now, would that have declined after that, or would that be - would that stay the same after someone died? Would it basically remain constant, or would it decline over time?
A. If anything, it would rise after time.
Q. All right. So -- so that wouldn't -- so it would somewhere -.07 or less would be what the blood alcohol would have been at the time of death --
A. Correct.
Q. - would that be fair to say?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you test for any other drugs such as marijuana or ${ }^{-}$or anything else?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you come up with any? Did the lab reports come up with anything else?
A. Yes. They do a general screen, and it's for many drugs, the most common of abuse, the most common prescription drugs of abuse, and the only thing it showed up was a marijuana metabolite, and
they don't quantitate that, but it shows the person
was most likely exposed to marijuana within a month or so.
Q. All right. So they can't tell how
recently someone might have done that based on the
test that they did; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Would there be any reason to do a -- a - is there any test they could do to determine whether or not someone had used it more recently, if that was -- if it was necessary to do that?
A. The parent compound would be present if they had just recently done it.
Q. All right. Did you order such a test?
A. Well, that test was automatic with the screen; in other words, there was none.
Q. There wasn't anything that showed up
recently, is what you're saying?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, you mentioned some additional scratches or wounds or something on the body.

Did you determine whether or not there was any evidence that a vehicle may have run over the body?
A. I'd like to review my report.

The only thing that may suggest that is under evidence of injury -- and it's on Page 3-1 describe linear abrasions, which are scratches on both legs.

I said in various stages of healing.
More appropriatcly that would be stages of dying.
Q. Any evidence of broken bones?
A. No.
Q. Any evidence of anything like tire marks or -- or anything - anything like that that would suggest a collision with a vehicle?
A. Not that 1 had -- had noted.

I'd like to look at the clothing, however, on my report.

No, I don't have anything mentioned like that.
Q. Was the clothing booked into evidence, to your knowledge?
A. That would have been booked into evidence by the crime secne analyst.
Q. All right. Now, when the body came to you, was it clothed?
A. When it comes to the coroner's office, it's clothed.
Q. And, then, did you remove the clothing from the body?
A. 1 did not.
Q. Did you -- did you personally sce the clothing?
A. I reviewed the clothing after it was removed from the body.
Q. Was the clothing bloody?
A. Yes.
Q. All of it or just - just in the area of the upper neck or arm area, or do you remember?
A. I don't remember it. I'd have to review it.

Q Okay. Can you revicw your notes.
A. I described the clothing after it had
been removed by the crime scene analyst and laid
out the way I asked for it to be laid out.
I described it was a light blue pair of swimming trunks, which are partially blood stained, and a pair of leather sandals with no size, and it also mentions that the hands I received in paper
bags. But that's the only description I give.
Q. The only clothing the body was wearing was like shorts; is that correct?
A. That's correct as far as what I saw and
what the crime scene analyst gave for me to review.
Q. Do you know if in review of the personal effects of the body did the body have a wallet or identification with it when it was discovered?
A. Once more, I must look at the report. 1 don't know the answer to that question, but at the morgue, along with the clothing, I asked to see property that was removed, and therc was a gold chain necklace, and that is the only thing that I observed.
Q. You don't know if it had a wallet or -or identification, like driver's license, or anything like that?
A. Itypically sec those if they're laid out for me, and they usually lay everything out for me. This could have -- it's possible I didn't see it, but it's also possible it was removed at some other location.
Q. That would be something you'd look for; isn't that correct?

A drivcr's license would be something that would be critical in identifying the person, and it would be something you would look for; is that correct?
A. It's something I would personally look

```
for, but the staff would look for it for
identification purposes.
    Q. You don't note in your report whether or
not a driver's license was found with the body?
    A, I didn't put it in my report.
    Q. Okay. Were there any signs on the body
indicating that it had boen in a physical struggle,
like scratches or * or -- on the hands or -- or
anything? Bruises'? Anything like that reflecting
there had boen a fistfight or -- or....
    Anything like that reflecting something
like that?
    A. Other than the small scratches on the
legs I mentioned, no other injuries.
    Q. No defensive wounds like somebody put
their hand up and blocked a fist or -- or someone
hitting them across the face, or something like
that?
    A. No, I believe I answered that. There
are no other injuries that I documented.
    Q. All right. You mentioned that glasses
were found -- or did you?
    Did you sec the glasses, whether or not
the person was wearing glasses?
    A. No.
you observed?
```

A. No.
Q. Could you tell whether or not the person was wearing contact lenses?
A. I typically feel the comeas, and they will usually manifest themselves during the exam because I get right in the eycs. I did not notice any unless -- unless I
mentioned it in my report and I'd forgotten. MR. JACKSON: I have no further
questions.
MR. PESCI: No redirect.
THE COURT: Redirect? MR. PESCI: No, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you very much, doctor. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. Digiacomo: We can put Detective Wildemann back on. THE COURT: Okay. Detective, you're still under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR. Digiacomo: Thank you, Judge. I have a couple of questions before I should play the statement.

THE COURT: Okay.
DIRICT EXAMINATION(Continued) BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. Mr. Jackson asked you a couple of
questions about did you talk to my client prior to
actually taking the taped intervicw.
Did you talk to him substantively about the information that he had prior to turning on the
tape recorder?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So the kind of things you were
asking him were, you know, comfort type things?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also testified that had at any
time he had asked to leave he would have boen
allowed to have Icft?
A. Yes.
Q. And at any point in a time prior to
turning on the tape did he ever ask to leave?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Eventually after the taped
statement was taken from Mr. Taoipu, did he leave?
A. Yes.
Q. And who did he leave with?

[^9]```
    A. Yes.
    Q. Showing you State's proposed Exhibit
No. }7
    Does that appear to be a copy of the
transcription of the recording that you conducted
along with Dctective Vaccaro with the dcfendant?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Appears to be a fair and accuratc
depiction -- that appear to be a fair and accurate
transcript of the recording as you recall?
    A. Yes.
    MR. DiGiACOMO: Judge, I move to admit 7
subject to the previous ruling concerning
voluntariness.
    MR. JACKSON: Just my continuing
objection based on lack of voluntariness, that
wasn't knowing, voluntary, intelligently, that it
violates his fifth amendment rights.
    THE COURT: At this point we'll just
refer to it as proposed exhibit --
    mr Digiacomo. May 1 publish it for the
court, Judgc?
    THE COURT: Yes.
    MR. DiGIACOMO; I have a copy for you.
    And, then, this was loud enough at my
```

office, and I believe it's loud enough to hear at
this time. If it's not, Judge....
(Tape recorded statement is
being played at this time.)
by mr. Digiacomo:
Q. At the end of the recorded statement
Jason Taoipu was sent on his way with DeAngelo?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's talk about a few of the things
that were in the taped statement.
There werc some peoplc that the
defendant identified for the record.
$\mathrm{Mr} . \mathrm{H}$ is mentioned throughout.
Do you know Mr. H's real name?
A. Louis Hildago, Junior.
Q. Okay. At some point there was a
discussion of two Louises.
What was that a reference to?
A. Louis Hildago, Junior, has a son named
Louis Hildago, III, and he helps run the club.
Q. And, then, Ms. -- at one point
Ms. Annabelle was discussed on several occasions.
A. She is the girlfriend of Louis Hildago,
Junior, the owner of the club, and her name is
Annabelle Espindola.
don't know when we're going take a break. I don't know how much longer we've got.
mr. Diglacomo: He's assuming he's about to cross, which means maybe a few minutes.

We can take a break right now for you to
let him use the restroom.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JACKsON: Counsel can finish up his
direct if he's almost done. We could go a few more
minutcs, but....
MR. Digiacomo: Judge, I'm ready to
pass.
MR. JACKSON: If we can take a
five-minute break.
THE COURT: We'll take a five-minute break.
(Rccess taken.)
mr. Digiacumo: Judge, Mr. Pesci is waiting for Mr. Pike to come back so we can start without him.

MR. JACKSON: All right. I just have a few questions for this officer.
the court: Sure.
MR. JACKSON: I did most of mine on voir dire.

```
    CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. JACKSON:
    Q. Officer, you said that you arrested
Mr. Taoipu later, a few months ago; is that
correct?
    A. Yes. He was arrested in California.
    Q. Did you-did you go and arrest him?
        Were you the officer that went and
arrested him?
    A. We served a warrant, yes.
    Q. And at that time did you give him
Miranda warnings?
    A. No,
    Q. You did take him into custody, you,
yourself?
    A. Myself and Detective McGrath from a
correctional facility there.
    Q. So he was already in custody in
California on some -- on a warrant out of Nevada;
is that correct?
    A. Yes. Well, and a charge in California,
    Q. And you simply transported him back?
        You were the one that -- did you drive
him back or did you fly him back, or how did that
happen?
```

advised.
I just would like to have them in my
file, and 1 think the district attorney has an
obligation to turn them over to me once my client
was in custody if he's making statements that were
not Mirandized.
THE COURT: You're correct.
MR JACKSON: He may have given some
exculpatory evidence on that trip back, and I think
I'm entitled to it.
THE COURT: Ask the officer. I don't --
Yeah. We don't know --
mr. Digiacomo: No.
BY MR. JACKSON:
Q. Any statements you made -- did you make
notes of any statements my client made -- did you
make notes of those statements on the way back?
A. No.
Q Okay. Did he make any statements of an
exculpatory nature on the way back, either denying
his involvement in this offense or anything that
contradicted what he might have said earlier?
A. No.
Q. Okay. When you were questioning my
client on May 2 1st, near the very end of the
A. Detective McGrath and I drove him back.
Q. Did you speak to him at all on the way back?
A. Mm-hmm; yes, sir.
Q. Did you give him Miranda warnings before you spoke to him?
A. No, sir.
Q. He was in custody at that time; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.

MR, JACKSON: Does the district attorney have copies of any statements that were made on the trip back? If I can have them, please.
mR. Digiacomo: No, Judge. 1 haven't offered them.

I'm assuming if you ask the defective, he didn't take a tape recorded statement of him.

You have to talk to somebody when you're driving to and from a location.

Maybe you should get into whether or not hc asked about the crime.

MR. JACKSON: Well, 1 would just - out
of an abundance of caution, I would like all
statements made, and, of course, 1 would object to them coming into evidence if he wasn't properly
statement on Page 46, at its -- that's of the transcribed statement, you asked him one question, and I'd like to repeat it for you.

You said you had many opportunities to get out of the van to my client.

Do you remember asking him that?
A. That was Detective Vaccaro, sir.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry. My mistake.

Do you remember Detective Vaccaro asking my client that statement?
A. I just heard it, and I recognized his voice, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know if a similar statement was asked the witness in this case Rontae Zone, whether he was asked if he had opportunities to get out of van?
A. I would have to refresh and read the statement, sir. I don't recall,
Q. Okay. Well, if - let me ask you this.

If -- if -- did you believe that my client had opportunities to get out of that van?
A. Do I believe that he had opportunities to get out of the van?
Q. Yeah.
A. During the trip out....

Q. Out to the Lake.
Before the homicide took place?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you believe that Rontae Zone had opportunities to get out of the van on the trip out to the Lake?
MR. Digiacomo: Objection. Rclevance,
Judge.
THE COURT: Overruled.
the witness: Yes.
By MR. JACKSON:
Q. Okay. Was Rontae Zone questioned about whether or not he had an opportunity to get out of the van?
A. You asked that. 1 don't recall, sir.
Q. You didn't question him about that?
A. I don't recall. I'd be glad to go
through the statement.
Q. Was Rontae Zone a suspect in the homicide?
A. We considered everybody a suspect in the homicide.
And please tell me what point you're
talking about in the investigation.
Q. Let's say on May 21st, 2005.

At the time you interviewed my client at the homicide office, was Rontae Zone a suspect at that time?

Did you think he might be one of the perpetrators of the homicide?
A. Everybody was considered a suspect.
Q. All right. When did you clear

Rontae Zone?
When did you decide that he wasn't culpable in the homicide?
A. That I don't know the exact date, and that was a decision the district attorncy came to.
Q. When did you make the decision or when did you -- did you ever --

Do you still think that he might be a suspect? Let me ask you that.

In your examination of the case, do you think he still might be a suspect?

You're an experienced homicide detective. Are you still investigating Rontae Zone for the homicide?
A. No, sir, he's not being investigated.
Q. All right. Has he been given immunity, or has he been given any kind of - to your knowledge, any kind of clearance from the District

Attorney's Office in this case?
A. Sir, 1 don't know exactly. 1 could find that out for you and you could ask me again --
Q. All right.
A. -- but right now 1 don't know.
Q. You don't know.

In your questioning of Mr. Zonc, has
it -- it come up from him whether or not he is
facing any legal jeopardy for his involvement in this crime?
A. I'll be glad to review his statement, and you can ask me regarding the statement, but -
Q. Well, that's a simple question. He's -there's a homicide where somebody's dcad, and when you question somconc about a homicide and they're in a car where somebody ends up dead or at least at the end of the car ride somebody ends up dead and
at one time they're a suspect and, then, later they're not, you don't know whether or not they've been given immunity by the District Attorney's Office at this stage, seven months after the homicide took place, six and a half months?
A. I'm not sure of the exact agrecment. I wouldn't want to answer that because I don't know exactly. I could find that out for you.
Q. All right. Will you -Who would you find that out from?
A. I'd have to speak with the District Attorncy's Office, 1 suppose.
Q. Okay. And it would be cither
Mr. DiGiacomo or his superiors that would tell you that?
A. I would suppose, sir, That's their organization.
Q. Do you ever recommend that a witness be given immunity? Is that the procedure that the homicide department does, or it is solely the function of the District Attorney's Office to make that decision?
A. I don't make that decision.
Q. Does the senior officer in the
Mctropolitan Police Department have influence in that decision?
A. Does he have influence?
Q. Yeah.
A. That would be a question for the District Attorncy. I know we all discuss things.
Q. Okay. So you do sit down with someone in the District Attorncy's Office and say Witness A possibly should get immunity in this case, or we

```
should look at immunity for this person because wc
nced their testimony or....
    You do discuss things like that when
    you're - when you're trying to put together a case
    or trying to sec if you can make a case on
    somebody, you decide whcther or not some witness,
    if necessary, whether you're going to have to give
    him immunity; is that right?
    A. The end decision comes from the district
    attorney. That doesn't happen in every case. It's
    a case by case thing. But we do discuss it. You
bct.
    Q. And you have to decide whether or not
somebody - you should proceed on charges against
somebody, whether you need their testimony or
whether you have enough cvidence on somebody; is
that right?
    A. Well, it's kind of a two-part question
there.
    Q. All right. Well, let me rephrase the
qucstion.
    Werc you involved in the decision-making
process in this case as regards to Rontac Zone?
    A. Was I involved in the decision-making
process?
should look at immunity for this person because wc
need their testimony or....
You do discuss things like that when you're - when you're trying to put together a case or trying to sec if you can make a case on somebody, you decide whicther or not some witness, if necessary, whether you're going to have to give him immunity; is that right?
A. The end decision comes from the district attorney. That doesn't happen in every case. It's a case by case thing. But we do discuss it. You bct.
Q. And you have to decide whether or not somebody - you should proceed on charges against somebody, whether you need their testimony or whether you have enough cvidence on somebody; is that right?
A. Well, it's kind of a two-part question there.
Q. All right. Well, lct me rephrase the question.
Werc you involved in the decision-making process in this case as regards to Rontac Zone? process?
```

Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. So you didn't have any input in deciding whether or not Rontae Zone should be prosecuted for this homicide aside from preparing whatever reports you've prepared and aside from preparing any interviews you prepared on Mr, Zone?
A. We spoke about it. Did I have a hand in the decision? Absolutely not.
Q. By "we" speaking about it, do you mean you, yourself, personally spoke about it?'
A. I was part of a group of detcctives, ycs.
Q. And who did you speak to about it?
A. The district attomey.
Q. Which one?
^. Marc DiGiacomo.
Q. And anybody else? Anybody in -- higher in the level in the D.A.'s Office?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. So you spoke to Mr. DiGiacomo about the culpability or lack of culpability or the level of culpability of Mr. Zone; is that correct?
A. Yes, that would be correct.
Q. All right. Did you speak to

Mr. DiGiacomo whether or not Mr. Zonc's necessity when you needed him as a witness in this case against my client, Mr. Taoipu, in order to make the casc?

MR. Diglacomo: Objection. Relevance.
At some point my decision-making determination is not relevant to what the cvidence does or does not establish.

THE COURT: We haven't heard anything about Mr. Zone is this case.

Are we going to?
mr. Digincomo: It really depends on some legal rulings from this Court.

I may decide to call Mr. Zonc, but if the Court admits the statement, then, I don't think Mr . Zone is necessary to be called as a witness, but, ultimately, you still haven't made a
determination as to whether or not the statement is admissible.

If the Court makes that determination,
then, the answer will probably be no, that it's not necessary, but I have Mr. Zonc here if the Court were to say ycs.

MR. JACKSON: Well, maybe I'll call
Mr. Zone as a witness, if he's here.

mr. Digiacomo: He's here. He has his lawyer present -THE COURT: We've got five more minutes, gentemen.

MR. JACKSON: I'll wrap it up, then.
have no further questions.
MR. PESCl: No, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
mr. Digincomo: 1 would move to admit It the statement, which is 3, and 7, I believe.

THE COURT: Okay.
Having hoard the statements, heard the officer's testimony, and the circumstances
surrounding the interview, I find that it was noncustodial; so, there's no nced for the Miranda warnings.

And, also, it was voluntary in that Mr. Taoipu came to the police deparment; so, it was a voluntary discussion and knowledgeably in his part in giving that statement to the police.

So, the statement and the transcript will be admittcd.

MR. Digiacomo: In that casc, Judge, the State would rest.

```
MR. JACKSON: I call Rontae Zone. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Zone here? mr Digincomo: Judge, so the record is clear, Mr. Pike is here.
THE CLERK: Mr. Zone, you need to stand while I swear you in.
RONTAE ZONE
called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
THE CLERK: I need you to state your name for the record and spell your name, please. THE WITNESS: Rontae St. Anthony Zone. THE COURT: You can be seated. the court reporter: Can you spell it, please.
THE WITNESS: \(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}\). Last name Z-o-n-c.
THE COURT: Mr. Zone, you are here to testify in a casc involving a Jason Taoipu, and you have been, 1 guess, discussed as a witness in with respect to this case.
```

1 And you have been appointed counscl; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
the court: And your counsel, Mr. Pike, is here with you?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. So the record was clear.

MR JACKSON: I'd like to be allowed to examine him as a hostile witness or be -- examine him on -- basically be allowed to cross-examine him.

He is an adverse witness to my client. He has given statements that incriminate my client.

The State has decided not to call him at this preliminary hearing. That's a tactical decision. But I think I have a right to confront and cross-examine under the sixth amendment. I'd like to proceed as a cross in this matter.

I'll be brief. I have only a few questions I want to ask him.

THE COURT: Okay.
mr. Digiacomo: Just as to the adverse portion of it, I don't believe Mr. Zone would lay a foundation that's adverse.

You could call Mr. Taoipu, a friend of his, and, then, he doesn't want to sec anything bad happen to him.

THE COURT: 1 just think Mr. Jackson was saying that he may ask some leading questions, and we'll address them as they come up

MR. JACKSON: I'm not saying he doesn't
like my client --
THE COURT: Right. Right.
MR. JACKSON: - I'm going to try to
keep it straightforward, but I would like the
opportunity to ask leading questions, and I realize
that's irregular because I've called him as my witness.

THE COURT: Okay. On the basis that you understand him to be the Statc's witness --

MR. JACKSON: Yes.
THE COURT: -- then I will - I will
allow some latitude on --
MR. JACKSON: If the State stipulates
they won't call him at trial, I'll be happy not to
call him here, but I don't think that Mr. DiGiacomo
is going to do that.

*     *         * 


## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JACKSON:
Q. At any rate, Mr. Zone, you were involved in an event that happened on May 20th of this year; is that correct?
A. May I9th.
Q. May 19th?

You went out to the Lake with some other pcople; is that correct?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And why did you go out to the Lake?
A. Be real about the situation, I was set
up from - I was set up from the beginning --
Q. Now --
A. - I mean, I had no - I had no clue we
were intending on going to the Lake.
Q. All right. So you got in the car with some people; you were -- you were driving around with them.

And you didn't know why you were going out there; is that correct?
A. Wcll, thesc are not just people, you
know. These are like employees. These are people that I work with.
Q. Okay. You knew -- you knew my client

```
J.J.; is that right?
    1. Yes. He's a good friend of mine.
Q. He's sitting next to me right now; is
that right?
    A. Yes, sir.
    Q. You also knew DeAngelo?
    A. Yes, sir.
    Q. All right. You didn't know why you were
getting in the car when you got in the car; is that
right?
    A. Well, we were told that we were going to
    work. You know what l'm saying? That's all.
            Q. Who told you that?
            A. DeAngelo.
            Q. All right. So DeAngelo is one that set
this whole think up; right?
            A. Yes, sir, that's right.
            Q. All right. And did J.J. tell you
anything about what was going on?
            A. No. DeAngelo told us about what was
going on.
            Q. He told you and -- you and J.J.?
            A. Ycs.
        Q. That you were going to go pass out
    fliers; is that right?
```

A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. So you and J.J. got in the car, and you went with DeAngelo; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you went and picked somcone else up; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who was that person?
A. That was Kenneth Counts.
Q. Did you know him before this night?
A. I ain't never see the man before in my life.
Q. All right. Where did you pick him up?
A. We picked him up somewhere on the west side.
Q. All right. Do you know why he was picked up?
A. Well, I didn't know. You know what 1 'm saying.

All I was told that we were going to work; so, I'm thinking he's an employce. I'm thinking we're going to work.
Q. All right. Now, after you picked him up, did anything happen?
A. No. We picked him up and, then, we went
towards Lake Mcad.
Q. All right. Now, was there any talk
about a shooting before - before you got to
Lake Mead?
A. Yeah.
Q. Who talked -- who was talking about the shooting?
A. This is - this is before it cven occurred.
Q. Who was talking about the shooting?
A. DeAngelo.
Q. What did he say?
A. He said that how Hildago had wanted somebody dead. He didn't say who; he didn't say what he looked like.

He said he wanted him dead.
Q. All right. Wcll, did you think he was going to shoot somebody that night?
A. I didn't know what he was going to do.

1 just - $1^{\prime}$ m just at the point to where I was holding my own, you know.
Q. All right. Well, let me ask you this.

One of the detectives asked my client if he had opportunities to get out of the van that 25 night.

Did you have an opportunity to get out of the van sometime from the time that Mr. Counts was picked up before you got out to Lake Mead? Did you have some opportunity to get out of that van?
A. Yes, But that's the thing, that I'm at the point where I had no job; 1 had no money to support my son and my family --
Q. All right.
A. -- and all the other peopic that I love, and it was the only opportunity that we had.
Q. All right.
A. We had no job; we had no moncy; we didn't have nothing.
Q. So what you're saying is you stayed in the van because you wanted to keep your job.

Is that why you stayed in the van?
A. I stayed in the van because I'm thinking that we're going to promote.
Q. All right. So you didn't think there
was going to be a shooting. Is that what --
A. No.
Q. So you really - you thought they were just talking. You really didn't think that there 5 was going to be an actual - somebody was actually


```
like I'm gonna play a role.
            MR. DiGiLACOMO: I'm going to object as
to what was going in Taoipu's state of mind at the
time the crime occurred.
    THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JACKSON:
    Q. All right. Did my client look scared to
you?
    A. Yeah.
    MR. DIGIACOMO: That's speculation. I'm
going to object again.
    MR. JACKSON: Well, be can -- well, you
can -- you can testify to demeanor.
    THE WITNESS: Excuse me?
    MR JACKSON: I'm arguing to the Court.
    THE COURT: Let's have some foundation.
    MR. JACKSON: All right.
BY MR. JACKSON:
    Q. All right. When you look at someone,
    you looked at the situation, at looking at what was
going on, was it a scary situation for cverybody in
the car?
    A. To be real, basically, it was a scary
    situation just to me and him, because me and him
    ain't never - we ain't never - we ain't never
```

been in no predicament like this before.
Q. Do you know my client, Jason Taoipu, pretty well?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Did you obscrve him while he was in the car?

A Okay. Come again"?
Q. Werc you able to watch him while he was in the car?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. All right. While you were watching him, werc you able to tell whether he looked like he was scared, or did he look like he was not scared?

Werc you able to see anything that suggested....
A. He did exactly what I did. $\mathrm{He}-$ you
know what I'm saying? He was scared, but you have to look calm because, I mean, you have guys, one of these guys wants to kill you as soon you sweat.
Q. What happened after the shooting?
A. We left.
Q. Where did you go?
A. We went back to the club.
Q. What happened then?
A. We went back to the club. Okay? We got
out, me and J.J., we got out the van, and we went and sat on the bleachers.
Q. What did the other people in the car do?
A. They had got out -- they had got out the van first, and went into the club.
Q. Who is "They"? By "They," who do you mean?
A. Counts and Carroll.
Q. And that's DeAndrae Carroll?
A. DeAngelo.
Q. DeAngelo Carroll.

And where did they go?
A. They went in the club.
Q. And you stayed outside?
A. And we stayed outside.
Q. Then what happened?
A. Then after that, we went back to DeAngclo's house.
Q. By "we," who do you mean?
A. Me and J.J.
Q. All right. How did you get back to

DeAngelo's house?
A. He drove us back to his house.
Q. How much longer after they went inside
the club did you go back to DeAngelo's house?

```
A. I say DeAngelo was in the club for about
a good 45 minutes to an hour because he was taking
long anyway.
    Q. Did DeAngclo say anything when he came
out of the club?
    1. He didn't say nothing. He just said
let's go.
        MR. JACKSON: I have no further
questions.
        THE COURT: CJoss?
        mR Digiacomo: Briefly.
            CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY mr. Digiacomo:
    Q. Back up before the shooting occurs.
Okay'? The day of the shooting or the day before.
        You said DeAngelo told you that Mr. H
wanted somcone dead; correct?
    A. Correct.
    Q. And he asked you and this defendant
Mr. Taoipu whether or not you guys would be willing
to be part of killing this person; right?
    A. Right.
    Q. What words did DeAngelo actually use?
    A. He said that Mr. H wanted Hadland dead.
```

```
Q. Wanted Hadland dead?
A. Yes. But he didn't say it like in his last name. The white boy in layman terms, which turned out to be him.
Q. Okay. So DeAngelo tells you and the defendant -- and, I'm sorry, I don't know if you ever identified him.
Do you recognize Mr. Taoipu?
A. Of course.
Q. All right. Where he's sitting in
```


## counsel table?

```
A. He's sitting right before me. MR. DIGLACOMO: Let the record reflect
the identification of the defendant. THE COURT: Record will so reflect. BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
Q. So DeAngelo tells you and the defendant that Mr. H wants the white boy killed?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Do you agree to do it?
A. No.
Q. Does he?
A. Ycah.
Q. So the defendant agree -MR. JACKSON: Who do "we" mean?
```

BY MR. DIGILACOMO:
Q. So the defendant agreed to take part in the killing of the white boy?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And did DeAngelo give anything to the defendant in order for him to accomplish the killing?
A. Yeah. Whilc he was with DeAngelo, he gave him a pistol.
Q. What kind of pistol, do you know?
A. No.
Q. Did DeAngelo try and give anything to you?
A. Yeah.
Q. What did he try and give to you?
A. Tried to give me bullets.
Q. And what did you do with those bullets?
A. I dumped them out --
Q. Dumped them out --
A. - of my shirt.
Q. And who picked up the bullets?
A. J.J.
Q. J.J. did.

Why did you dump out the bullets?
A. Because I didn't want no part.
Q. Okay. And you told DeAngelo you didn't want any part of this; correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. But he didn't, the defendant?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, during that day, you -- the day of the killing -- you went out promoting with
the defendant and DeAngelo for the Palomino Club; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And eventually you wound back up at DeAngelo's housc; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And while you were at DeAngelo's housc, DcAngelo tells you and J.J. that - you and the defendant -- that it's time to go; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And isn't it true you asked them what were -- what are we going to do, correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. And his response to you was?
A. Was they were going to promote.
Q. Had you known he was going out to kill

Timothy Hadland, would you have gotten in the van?
A. No, I wouldn't have.
Q. Okay. Once you got into the van along with the defendant and DeAngelo, you drove over to the west side and picked up K.C., correct'?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. K.C. got into the van; correct?
A. Ycs.
Q. On the ridc out to the Lakc, did K.C. ask you about whether or not you had, quote, unquote, a burncr?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. What was your response?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. All right. And did you, in fact, have a gun on you?
A. No.
Q. All right. So you haven't agreed to commit a killing, and you don't have a gun; corrcet?

A Right.
Q. Did the defendant have a gun in the car ridc out there?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Okay. What - did the defendant ask -I'm sorry.

Did K.C. ask the defendant whether or
not the defendant had a gun?
A. Yes.
Q. It's true you weren't able to hear what the defendant's responsc was; correct?
A. Ycs.
Q. Okay. Once you got out there and --
K.C. commits the killing; eorrect?
A. ((Witness nods head.)
Q. And he gets back into the vehiclc; correct?
A. (Witness nods head.)
Q. Is that a "yes"?

You have to say "yes" --
A. Yes.
Q. - for her.

So K.C. gets out of the vehiele, commits the killing, gets back in the vehicle; corrcct?
A. Yes.
Q. And K.C. asks the defendant why it is he didn't shoot; correct?
A. Ycs.
Q. And the defendant's response was, "I was
trying to, but DcAngclo's head was in the way"; correct?
A. Ycs. He lied.
Q. Okay. That was a lic because you saw that the defendant didn't actually try and shoot anybody; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thereafter the car went back to the

Palomino Club; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And K.C. went inside and you DeAngclo went inside?
A. Yes.
Q. And, then, afterwards K.C. cane out first?
A. Yes.
Q. When he came out, did he talk to you or Jason at all?
A. He didn't say much. He didn't say much about it. He just said be ready, like he just gave us a mean mug, and we hopped in the cab.
Q. Okay. And, then, thic cab left?
A. Ycah.
Q. The next day you were present when the
wheels were taken off the van that was used for the killing; correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. And it was the defendant driving the van

```
to get the cars to get the wheels taken off;
correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And DeAngelo was following in another
vehicle; correct?
    A. Yeah.
    Q. And, in fact, at some point the
defendant, after the whocls are changed, is stopped
by a North Las Vegas police officer; is that
correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And DeAngelo gets him out of that
trouble bccause he shouldn't have bcen driving,
he's too young; correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And, then, you lcave with the van;
correct?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Okay. Now, you didn't actually cut the
tircs to the van; correct?
    A. No.
    Q. You weren't driving the van at any time;
correct?
    A. No, I wasn't.
        MR. JACKSON: I'm going to object to the
```

leading questions.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR, Dicilacomo; Isn't it cross?
THE COURT: You're about done; right?
MR. DiGiacomo: I'm almost - I've got
almost nothing left. I want to make this clear
that....
BY MR. Digincomo:
Q. As far as you're aware, did you have any
participation in the crime itself?
A. No.
MR. Diciaccomo: 1 pass the witness.
THE COURT: Redircct?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JACKSON:
Q. Did you sce a lawyer before you came to
court the last -- the first time on this case?
A. No. I seen I -- seen - 1 seen a lawyer
during court.
Q. Is that Mr. Pike here --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- standing by you?
You scen another lawyer before this?
A. No.
Q. Okay. As a District Attorney's Office, has anyone in the District Attorney's Office promised you that they're not going to proceed on any charge arising out of this homicide?
A. Yes.
Q. What have they told you exactly?
A. They told me if 1 did the right thing that I wouldn't go to jail.
Q. All right. Have they given you any written paperwork --
A. No.
Q. - any written statement of immunity?
A. No.
Q. Who told you that you wouldn't go to jail?

Was that a detective, or was it a member of the District Attorney's Office?
A. Detective.
Q. Okay. Was that before you gave your statement to the detectives, or when was that, if you know exactly?
A. Depends on what detective you're talking about.
Q. All right. Which detective told you that?

```
    A. Will. Marty.
    Q. Mr. Vaccaro?
    A. Wildemann.
    Q. Detective that was in here earlier
today?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And was that right after this happened
back in May that he told you that?
    A. It wasn't right after. It was probably
about two months prior.
    Q. Okay,
    MR, JACKSON: I have no further
questions.
    THE COURT: Recross-examination?
    MR, DigIACOMO: No.
    THE COURT: Thank you very much.
    MR. PIKE: May he be released from
subpoena at this timc?
    THE COURT: Yes.
    mR PIKE: Thank you.
    THE COURT: Anything else from the
    defense?
    MR. IACKSON: Well, the Court's already
    ruled on my motion to exclude the statement.
    I simply would submit it.
```

    according to the testimony of all witnesses, that
    this person that did the homicide.
    And it's clear also both from testimony
    of this witness, who the State decided not to call,
    who had a exculpatory witness from -- exculpatory
    evidence from my client that my client did not
    shoot, and I don't think wanted to see this person
    be killed.
    I think he got in over his head. He was
    in the wrong place at the wrong time. I don't
    think he's guilty of murder.
    Now, whether he's guilty of some other
    crime or not, 1 don't know, but I think that he's
    over charged. I think the ease should not be bound
    over on first-degrec murder.
    1 think the most he should be bound over
    on is a conspiracy, conspiracy to commit battery,
possibly some lesser offense of homicide.
I simply submit it with that.
mr. Diglacomo: Judge, before I make any
statement, should we canvass the defendant that he
knows he has the right to testify at this
proceeding and has on the advisc of counsel --
MR, JACKSON: I'vc advised him -- I'll
put on the record. I've advised the defendant that
according to the testimony of all witnesses, that this person that did the homicide.

And it's clear also both from testimony of this witness, who the State decided not to call, who had a exculpatory witness from -- exculpatory evidence from my client that my client did not shoot, and I don't think wanted to see this person be killed.

I think he got in over his head. He was in the wrong place at the wrong time. I don't think he's guilty of murder.

Now, whether he's guilty of some other crime or not, 1 don't know, but I think that he's over charged. I think the ease should not be bound over on first-degrec murder.

1 think the most he should be bound over on is a conspiracy, conspiracy to commit battery, possibly some lesser offense of homicide.

I simply submit it with that.
mr. Diglacomo: Judge, before I make any statement, should we canvass the defendant that he knows he has the right to testify at this proceeding and has on the advisc of counscl -put on the record. I've advised the defendant that
we wish to put on no witnesses at this time aside from the witness that I called reluctantly because the State wouldn't, and I do not wish the defendant to testify at this stage of the proceeding.

THE COURT: Okay. And he also desires not to testify at this stage of the proceeding; is that correct?
the witness: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JACKSON: Okay.
MR. Digiacomo: Just briefly in response.

The evidence is -- forget what -- even what Rontac said. The cvidence is that he entered into a conspiracy to put somebody in the hospital. That person, then, winds up dead. Sorry you're on the hook for the murder, but forget that.

> He agrees that -- he agreed with

DeAngelo to do what DeAngelo wanted done and, Rontac Zone testified that the agreement was to kill Timothy Hadland.

As such, he entered into a consipracy to commit murder; a murder resulted. Whether or not he did any further act, once be made the conspiracy, he's, then, guilty of the further act
of the murder taking place.
And as such, it's sufficient evidence
certainly for preliminary hearing to bind the case
over, Judgc.
I'll submit it.
THE COURT: It appears from the
Complaint on file herein and from the testimony
adduced at this preliminary hearing that the crimes
of conspiracy to commit murder and murder with use
of a deadly weapon have been committed.
And for purposes of preliminary hearing there is sufficient evidence to believe that the defendant, Jason Taoipu, committed those crimes; therefore, I'm binding the defendant over to the
Eighth Judicial District Court to answer for those charges.

Mr. Taoipu, the clerk will now give you the date and time that you will appear in district Court and which department you'll be going to.

THE CLERK: Dccember 21st.
Do you have a preference in time,
Mr. Jackson?
MR JACKSON: Oh, I'd likc....
THE CLERK: 9 a.m., $10: 30,1: 30$, or three o' clock?

MR. JACKSON: 9 a.m. I like the morning.

THE CLERK: 9 a.m., December 21st, lower level.

MR. JACKSON: Which department is it?
THE CLERK: It will be tracked to
Department 14, but it's in the lower level of the R.J.C.

MR, PESCI: Thank you.
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| 12:11 22:20 | 22:21 | brainstem [1] | 56:10 | ca | 20:13 | 62:23 62:25 |  | contact [12] | 2:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:22 $45: 11$ | 56:24 | break [5] | $4: 12$ | casual [1] | 22:13 | clothing [ ${ }^{8]}$ | 62:14 | $\begin{array}{ll}13: 7 & 13: 15\end{array}$ | 13:23 |
| 61:4 69:16 | 111:3 | 18:19 72:5 | 72:14 | caution [1] | 74:23 | 62:18 63:5 | 63:6 | 14:15 14:18 | 15:2 |
| basis [2] 6:21 | 87:15 | 72:16 |  | $\mathrm{CCR}_{[2]}^{1: 25}$ | 115:22 | $63: 8 \quad 63: 15$ | 63:23 | $\begin{array}{ll}15: 6 & 15: 14 \\ 66.5 & 68.14\end{array}$ | 24:22 |
| bathroom [1] | 34:22 | brief [2] 49.9 | 86:20 | CCR [2] 1:25 | 115:22 | 64:8 |  | 66:5 68:14 |  |
| battery [1] | 112:17 | briefly [2] | 100:11 | 28:15 28:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 22 \\ & 28: 20 \end{aligned}$ | club [151 22:21 | $70: 20$ | ${\underset{45: 25}{\text { context }} \mathbf{~ [ 2 ] ~}}^{2}$ | 44:14 |
| beat [2] 43:24 | 111:10 |  |  | 29:10 $\quad 29: 15$ | 29:16 | 70:24 71:6 | 71:8 |  |  |
| beaten [1] | 111:22 | bring [1] | 15:2 | 40:7 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}71: 15 & 71: 21 \\ 98: 25 & 99: 5\end{array}$ | 99:13 | Continued [1] |  |
| became [1] | 6:13 | broken [1] | 62:8 | cerebellum [1] | 56:11 | 99:25 100:5 | 103:8 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { become }[2] \\ & 12: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $6: 7$ | $\operatorname{brought}_{45 ; 3}^{[37]}$ | 17:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { certain [2] } \\ & 41: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 18:24 | 106:6 |  | 75:22 |  |
| beginning | 88:13 | brown [1] | 8:6 | certainly [2] | 18:18 | co-int | [1] | conversation |  |
| behind [1] | 54:23 | Bruises [1] | 65:9 |  |  | 18:7 |  | convers |  |
| bet [1] 81:12 |  | building [1] | 16:24 | chain [1] | 64:9 | collision [1] | 62;12 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Conversation } \\ 16: 15 \end{gathered} 16\right.: 18$ | 16:21 |
| better [2] | 33:9 | built [1] 41:17 |  | chains [I] | 45:2 | comfort [1] | 67:13 | cooperate | 33:21 |
| 33:21 |  | bullet [3] | 11:18 | changed [1] | 107:8 | coming [5] | 11:4 | copies [1] | 74:12 |
| between [7] | 15:20 | 11:19 11:21 |  | characterize 11 |  | $\begin{array}{lll}12: 16 & 13: 6\end{array}$ | 42:9 | py [2] 69:4 | 69:24 |
| 31:14 $31: 20$ | 56:16 | bullets [4] | 102:16 | 40:7 |  | 74:25 |  |  | $66: 6$ |
| 57:7 58:22 | 68:12 | 102:17 102:21 | 102:24 | charge | 73:21 | commit [4] | 104:17 | corneas [1] | 66:6 |
| beyond [3] | 36:20 | bunch [2] | 57:3 | 109:4 |  | 112:17 113:23 | 114:9 | corner [1] | 7:15 |
| 55:25 56:2 |  | 111:15 |  | charged | 112:14 | commits [2] | 105:7 | roner [1] | 11:13 |
| big [3] 28:17 | 28:19 | burner [1] | 104:9 | charges [2] | 81:14 | 105:16 |  | coroner's [1] | 62:24 |
| 28:21 |  |  |  | 114:16 |  | committed ${ }^{31}$ | 21:13 | correct ${ }_{1701}$ | 9:10 |
| biggest [1] | 53:10 | -C- |  | check (4) | 26:6 | 114:10 114:13 |  | 9:13 16:5 | 20:3 |
| bind [1] 114:3 |  |  |  | 29:8 52:13 | 58:17 | common [2] | 60:22 | 20:6 20:9 | 21.18 |
| binding [1] | 114:14 | C. D ${ }_{[2]}$ | 18:1 | cheek [7] ${ }_{\text {[1/8 }}$ | 11:17 | 60:23 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 21:23 } & 22: 2 \\ \text { 22:11 } & 22: 15\end{array}$ | 22:6 |
| bit [7] $3: 17$ | 7:20 | $\mathbf{c a b}_{[2]} \quad 106: 18$ | 106:19 | $\begin{array}{ll} 11: 19 & 51: 8 \\ 54: 23 & 54: 24 \end{array}$ | 54:18 $56: 6$ | communicate |  | 23:18 25:8 | 25:12 |
| 55:2 55:12 | 56;4 |  | 44.15 | 54.23 54.3 | 56.6 |  |  | 25:16 25:19 | 26:14 |
| 93:24 94:5 |  | California [7] | 44:15 | chest [1] 8:5 |  | communicat | [2] | 26:19 35:17 | 37:17 |
| bleachers [1] | 99:2 | 68:5 68:7 | 68:13 | circumstance | 151 | 29:11 29:25 |  | $37.21 \quad 39: 7$ | 55:19 |
| blocked [1] | 65:16 |  | 73:21 | 33:24 $45: 11$ | 45:13 | compatible [1] | 59:8 | 60:13 61:5 | 61:6 |
| blood [7] | 10:22 | ealm[1] 98:18 |  | 47:17 8 84:14 |  | Complaint [ ${ }^{1}$ ] | 114:7 | $\begin{array}{ll}63: 24 & 63: 25 \\ 64: 24 & 68: 25\end{array}$ | 64:20 |
| 11:3 50:18 | 59:22 | canal [1] | 56:8 | Clark [2] | 1:2 | compound $_{[1]}$ | 61:12 | $\begin{array}{ll} 64: 24 & 68: 25 \\ 73: 20 & 74: 9 \end{array}$ | 73:5 |
| 59:24 60:11 | 63:19 | cannot [1] | 56:19 |  |  | computers [1] | 25:22 | 82:23 82:24 | $86: 2$ |
| bloody [1] | 63:8 | canvass [1] | 112:21 |  |  | concern 311 | 26:8 | 88:5 88:9 | 88:21 |
| blue [2] 12:21 | 63:18 | capacity 131 | 5:23 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { clear } \\ \hline 877 \\ 86: 8 & 78: 7 \\ 108: 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 4 \\ & 111: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 36:15 36.15 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}100: 18 & 100: 19 \\ 103: 9 & 103: 12\end{array}$ | 103:2 |
| bodies [3] | 4:14 | $\begin{array}{cc}11: 25 & 18: 5 \\ \text { car [18] } & 41: 22\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 86: 8 & 108: 6 \\ 111: 25 & 112: 3 \end{array}$ | 111:23 | concerned [11 | 38:18 | $\begin{array}{ll}103: 9 & 103: 12 \\ 103: 19 & 104: 3\end{array}$ | 103:16 104:5 |
| 59:4 59:11 |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \left.\hline \boldsymbol{c a r}_{\text {I }} 18\right] & 41: 22 \\ 79: 17 & 88: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 16 \\ & 89: 9 \end{aligned}$ | clearance [1] | 78:25 | concerning [1] | 69:13 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 103:19 } & 104: 3 \\ 104: 18 & 105: 4\end{array}$ | 104:5 105:7 |
| ${ }_{8: 2}{ }^{\text {body }}$ [25] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8:10 | 89:9 90:3 | 93:11 | clearly ${ }_{[2]}$ | 40:5 | concerns [1] | 35:24 | 105:10 105:17 | 105:20 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 12:5 } & 12: 6\end{array}$ | 21:22 | $\begin{array}{ll}93: 12 & 93: 25\end{array}$ | 96:10 | 111:23 |  | conclude [1] | 46:2 | 105:24 106:3 | $106 \% 6$ |
| 58:19 $588: 25$ | 59:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}97: 22 & 98: 6 \\ 99.3 & 104: 20\end{array}$ | $98: 9$ $106 \cdot 5$ | clcrk ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 5:3 | concluded ${ }^{11]}$ | 5:2 | $\begin{array}{ll}106: 23 & 107: 2 \\ 107 / 10 & 107.14\end{array}$ | 107:5 |
| 59:15 $\quad 59: 19$ | 59:21 | 99:3 111:23 | 106:5 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 5:12 } & 46: 20 \\ 85: 5 & 85: 14\end{array}$ | 68:20 | concrete [1] | 11:5 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 107:10 } & 107: 14 \\ 107: 20 & 107: 23\end{array}$ | 107:17 $113: 7$ |
| 59:24 61:22 | 61:25 | care [1] 111:21 |  | 85:5 $\quad 85: 14$ | 114:17 | conducted [2] | 13:25 |  |  |
| 62:22 63:2 | 63:7 | care [1] 111:21 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}114: 20 & 114: 24\end{array}$ | 115:3 | 69:5 |  | corrcction | 73:17 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}63: 23 & 64: 3\end{array}$ | 64:3 | Carroll [19] | 13:24 | 115:6 |  | conducting [2] | 21:8 | counsel [9] |  |
| 65:4 65:6 | 96:7 | 14:6 14:25 | 15:5 | client ${ }^{34}$ ] | 23:4 | $21: 17$ |  | $42: 14 \quad 47: 4$ | 47:12 |
| bonc [2] 54:5 | 54:5 | $\begin{array}{ll}15: 9 & 16: 9 \\ 22.15 & 23.5\end{array}$ | $22: 5$ 32.8 | $\begin{array}{ll}27: 9 & 28: 11 \\ 32.13 & 32.16\end{array}$ | $31: 24$ $33 \cdot 8$ | confession | 36:7 | $\begin{array}{ll} 48: 14 & 72: 8 \\ 101: 11 & 112: 23 \end{array}$ | 86:4 |
| boncs [2] | 56:7 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 22:15 } & 23: 5 \\ 32: 12 & 32: 16\end{array}$ | 32:8 | $\begin{array}{ll} 32: 13 & 32: 16 \\ 38: 12 & 38: 19 \end{array}$ | $33: 8$ $38: 25$ | confcssions | 20:6 | Counts [4] |  |
| 62:8 |  | 32:24 38 | 38:24 | 39:6 $\quad 39: 11$ | 39:22 | confront [1] | 86:17 | $92: 2 \quad 99: 8$ | 111:25 |
| booked [2] | 62:18 | 99:8 99:9 | 99:11 | 42:12 67:6 | 71:24 |  |  |  |  |
| 62:20 |  | Carroll's [1] | 14:24 | 75:4 75:16 | 75:25 |  | , 4 | Coun |  |
| booth [1] | 7:9 | casc [33] 1:8 | 3:6 | 76:5 76:10 | 76:20 | confusing [1] | 38:4 | couple [5] | 27 |
| bottom ${ }^{\text {aj }}$ | 9:8 | 21:16 28:9 | 33:25 | $83: 38$ | 86:14 | connected [1] | 22. | 27:15 $\quad 53: 9$ | 66:24 |
| BOULDER [1] | 1:1 | 39:21 $\quad 40: 25$ | 41:17 | $\begin{array}{ll}87: 8 & 88: 25 \\ 94: 19 & 96: 18\end{array}$ | $91: 23$ | connection [31 | 22:2 | 67:5 |  |
| Boulevard III | 71:9 | $\begin{array}{ll}42 ; 6 & 44: 15\end{array}$ | $44: 16$ 50.4 | 94:19 $96: 18$ <br> $98: 2$ $112: 6$ | 97:7 12:6 |  |  | course [8] | $8: 8$ |
| bound [2] | 112:14 | $\begin{array}{ll}49: 7 & 50: 2 \\ 57: 16 & 76: 14\end{array}$ | 50:4 $78: 17$ | close [1] 55:24 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { considered [2] } \\ 78: 6 \end{gathered}$ | 77:21 | $\begin{array}{ll}12: 8 & 13: 8\end{array}$ | 13:16 |
| 112:16 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}57: 16 & 76: 14 \\ 80: 25 & 81: 4\end{array}$ | 78:17 | close 1155.24 |  |  |  | 26:13 40:18 | 74:24 |
| boy [3] 101:3 | 101:18 | 81:10 81:11 | 81:11 | 30:20 | 30.16 | nsipracy | 13:22 | 101:9 |  |
| 102:3 |  | 81:23 83:2 | 83:4 |  | 51.5 | 112.17 113.15 | 113.25 | court [95) | 1,1 |
| brain [6] 31:15 | 31:15 | 83:10 $\quad 84: 24$ | 85:23 | ${ }_{51: 10}^{\text {closeup }}$ [2] | 51:5 | 112:17 $113: 15$ | 113:25 | 3:6 3:10 | 3:20 |
| 54:7 54:8 | 54:8 | 85:25 108:18 | 112:14 | clothed [3] | 8:4 | constant ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 60.7 | $\begin{array}{ll}3: 23 & 4: 22 \\ 6: 21 & 8: 17\end{array}$ | 6:12 |
| 56:8 |  | 114:3 |  |  |  |  | 60.7 |  | 10:14 |


| 10:24 | 12:17 | 13:4 | Dill $3: 4$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19;4 | 19:10 | $19: 13$ | D. 111 $^{\text {82:19 }}$ |  |  |
| 19:15 | 24:20 | 26:19 | damaging [1] |  | 41:24 |
| 29:22 | 36:24 | 39:17 |  |  |  |
| 39:19 | 39:20 | 41:4 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { date [4] } & 15: 17 & 48: 21\end{array}$ |  |  |
| 42.9 | 42:11 | 42:17 | $78: 11$ |  |  |
| 43:8 | 44:3 | 44:18 | dead [11] |  |  |
| 45:16 | 45:18 | 45:21 | 21:15 | 21:22 | 79:14 |
| 45:24 | 46:5 | 46.8 | 79:16 | 79:17 | 91:14 |
| 47:7 | 47:9 | 47:14 | 91;16 | 100:18 | 100:25 |
| 48:10 | 51:2 | 51:18 | 113:16 |  |  |
| 52:8 | 66:14 | 66:16 | dcadly [1] |  | 114:10 |
| 66:20 | 69:19 | 69:22 |  |  | 44:16 |
| 69:23 | 72:7 | 72:15 | ${\underset{44: 20}{\text { dealin }}}^{2}$ |  |  |
| 72:23 | 75:7 | 75:11 | DcAndrae ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (1) |  |  |
| $77: 9$ | 83:9 | 83:13 |  |  | 99:9 |
| 83:15 | 83:20 | 83:22 | DcAngelo [44] |  | 13:24 |
| 84:3 | 84:7 | 84:9 | 16:8 22:4 |  | 23:5 |
| 84:12 | 85:2 | 85:17 | 32:8 $32: 12$ |  | 32:16 |
| 85:18 | 85:22 | 86:4 | 32:21 32:24 |  | 33:4 |
| $86: 7$ | 86:22 | 87:4 | $33: 6$ | 38:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 24 \\ & 89: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 87:9 | 87:15 | 87:18 |  | 70:7 |  |
| 97:5 | 97:15 | 97:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 4 \\ & 89: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 89:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 6 \\ & 89: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 100:10 | 101:15 | 108:2 | 90:3 | 91:11 | 93:4 |
| 108:4 | 108:13 | 108:18 | 93:5$94: 17$ | 93:9 | $94: 9$$99: 11$ |
| 108:20 | 110:14 | 110:16 |  | 99:10 |  |
| 110:19 | 110:21 | 113:5 | $\begin{array}{ll}100: 4 & 100: 17 \\ 101: 5 & 101: 17\end{array}$ |  | 100:24 |
| 113:9 | 114:6 | 114:15 |  |  | 102:5 |
| 114:19 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 5 \\ & 102: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 102:12 | $103: 8$ |
| Court's [1] |  | 110:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 102: 8 \\ & 103: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 104:2 | 106:8 |
| create 14 |  | 57:2 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 107:4 } & \text { 107:12 } & 113: 19\end{array}$ |  |  |
| created (II crime 121 |  | 68:24 | $113: 19$ |  |  |
|  |  | $9: 6$ | 99:22 99:25 |  | 103:12 |
| 10:5 | 10:7 | 10:8 | 103:14 | 105:23 |  |
| $21: 13$ | 62:21 | 63:16 | dcath ${ }^{88}{ }^{\text {[ }}$ |  | 16:19 |
| 74:21 | 79:10 | 97:4 | 21:18 ${ }^{\text {dea }}$ |  | 43:24 |
| 108:10 | 112:13 |  | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \hline 49: 4 & 57: 16 & 57: 22 \\ 60: 12 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| crimes |  | 114:8 |  |  |  |  |
| 114:13 |  |  | dccedent [1] |  | 58:16 |
| $\underset{24: 9}{\text { crimina }}$ | ${ }^{121}$ | 24:5 | ${ }_{51: 24}^{\text {decedent's }\{2]}$ |  | 50:16 |
| criminalisties [1] |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:22 |  |  | December [4] |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 17 \\ & 115: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| critical [1] |  | 64:22 | $\begin{array}{ccc} 3: 2 & 114: 20 & 115: 3 \\ \text { decide }_{[8]} & 12: 8 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { cross }[4] 72: 4 & 86: 19 \\ 100: 10 & 108: 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 23 \\ & 78: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 11 \\ & 81: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 8 \\ & 41: 4 \\ & 81: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cross-Examination |  |  | 83:14 |  |  |
| [S] | 2:6 | 2:9 | ${\underset{112: 4}{ } \text { decided }^{[2]} \text { ] }}^{2}$ |  | 86:15 |
| 2:11 | 58:11 | 100:13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | decides [11 deciding ${ }^{11}$ |  | 26:22 |
|  |  |  | 82:3 |  |
| $\operatorname{crossed}_{56: 13}$ |  | 54:7 |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { decision } 191 \\ 78: 12 & 78: 13\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 6 \\ & 80: 14 \\ & 81: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| culpab | ility [31 | 82:22 | 80:15 | 80:18 |  |  |  |
| 82:22 | 82:23 |  | 82:9 | 86:17 |  |  |  |
| culpable ${ }^{11}$ |  | 78:10 | decision-making [3] |  |  |  |
| custodial [1] |  | 43:9 | 81:22 81:24decline [1] |  | 83:6 |  |
| custody 1161 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 12 \\ & 21: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | decline [1] 60:7 |  |
| 12;6 | 14:6 |  | declined [1] $60: 4$ |  |  |  |
| 26:9 | 42:16 | $42: 18$$43: 19$ | defendant ${ }^{\text {[29] }}$ |  | $1: 12$$45: 12$ |  |
| 43:3 | 43:12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43;20 | 43:21 | 73:14$75: 5$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 69:6 } & 70: 12 & 100: 20\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 73:18 | 74:8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 6 \\ & 101: 24 \\ & 103: 4 \\ & 104: 2 \\ & 104: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 101:14 101:17 |  |  |
| cuti1] | 107:19 |  |  | 102:2 | 102:6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 103:8 | 103:16 |  |
|  | -D- |  |  | 104:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 23 \\ & 106: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 105:19 |  |  |


| 106:25 107:8 | 112:21 | 31:20 57:8 |  | documents ${ }^{11}$ ] 12:4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112:25 $\quad 113: 3$ | 114:13 | differences $\{2\}$ | 21:3 | doesn't ${ }^{\text {d }}$ [ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 20:16 |
| 114:14 |  | 21:4 |  | 40:13 52:22 | 81:10 |
| defendant's [2] 105:4 |  | different ${ }^{\text {14] }}$ | 57:11 | 87:2 87:7 |  |
| 105:22 |  | 57:13 57:14 | 59:11 | done [71 47:12 | 48:16 |
| defense [4] | 42:14 | Digest ${ }_{\text {1 }}$ | 44:19 | 61:4 $61: 13$ | 72.9 |
| 47:4 48:13 | 110:22 | DiGiacomo [75] |  | $108: 4 \quad 113: 19$ |  |
| defensive [1] | 65:15 | 1:20 2:5 | $2: 6$ | door [10] | 15:11 |
| definitely ${ }^{1}$ ] | 30:20 | 2:11 $3: 15$ | 3:22 | $\begin{array}{ll}30: 7 & 30: 19 \\ 30: 8 & \end{array}$ | $31: 2$ |
| degree [1] | 31:23 | $\begin{array}{ll}4: 2 & 4: 17 \\ 6: 18 & 6: 23\end{array}$ | 5:18 | $\begin{array}{ll}31: 8 & 39: 24 \\ 40: 10 & 41: 15\end{array}$ | $40: 10$ $45: 7$ |
| delineate [1] | 52:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}6: 18 & 6: 23 \\ 8: 16 & 8: 18\end{array}$ | $7: 2$ $8: 25$ | doubt [1] | 25:18 |
| demcanor [1] | 97:13 | $\begin{array}{ll}9: 4 & 10: 12\end{array}$ | 10:15 | ${\underset{80}{\text { down }} \mathbf{2 3}}^{21} \quad 42: 19$ |  |
| demonstrate [1] |  | 13:2 13:5 | 13:12 |  |  |
| 53:15 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}18: 15 & 19: 2 \\ 26.20 & 29.14\end{array}$ | 19:14 | Dr ${ }_{\text {2] }}$ 46:22 | 47:15 |
| denics 121 | 111:13 | $\begin{array}{ll}26: 20 & 29: 14 \\ 36: 10 & 36: 19\end{array}$ | $36: 4$ $38: 4$ | drink [2] | 17:3 |
| 111:13 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}36: 10 & 36: 19 \\ 38: 9 & 39: 16\end{array}$ | $38: 4$ $42: 13$ | ${ }_{34: 22}$ |  |
| denying [1] | 75:20 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 46:9 } & 39: 16 \\ 46: 6\end{array}$ | 66:18 | drinks [1] | $43 \cdot 4$ |
| department ${ }^{181}$ | 5:22 | 66:23 67:4 | 68:18 | drive [316:16 | 7:14 |
| 21:2 $\quad 80: 12$ | $80: 17$ | 68:21 68:23 | 69:12 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}84: 19 & 114: 19\end{array}$ | 115:5 | 69:21 $\quad 69: 24$ | 70:5 |  | 64:12 |
| 115:7 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}71: 4 & 72: 3 \\ 72 \cdot 18 & 74.14\end{array}$ | 72:11 | $64: 21 \quad 65: 4$ |  |
| depict ${ }^{13} 13$ | 10:9 | $\begin{array}{ll}72: 18 & 74: 14 \\ 77: 7 & 80: 6\end{array}$ | $75: 13$ 82.17 | driving ${ }^{5}$ \| $88.18 \quad 106.25$ | 74:19 |
| 11 50: | 54:20 | 82:21 83:5 | 83:12 |  | 107:13 |
| 54:22 | 54.20 | 84:10 $\quad 84: 24$ | 85:3 | 107:22 |  |
| depiction | 9:6 | 86:23 17:22 | 97:2 | Dropped [1] | 35:16 |
| 51:13 $\quad 52: 2$ | 69:9 | $\begin{array}{ll}97: 10 & 100 ; 11 \\ 101 \cdot 13 & 101.16\end{array}$ | 100:14 | ${\underset{14: 14}{\text { drove }}[4 \mid}^{99: 23}$ | 14:13 |
| depictions [2] | 48:19 | $\begin{array}{ll}101: 13 & 101: 16 \\ 108: 5 & 108: 8\end{array}$ | 108:3 |  | 104:2 |
| 50:22 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}110: 15 & 112: 20\end{array}$ | 113:11 | ${\underset{60: 16}{\text { drugs }} 141}_{60: 22}$ | 35:5 |
| Dcputy [1] | 1:22 | digital [1] | 18:9 |  |  |
| describe [7] | 6:12 | dimple [1] | 53:11 | ${\underset{85: 9}{\text { duly }}[3]} 5: 7$ | 46:15 |
| 7:22 11:15 | 12:20 | dire [s] $2: 5$ |  |  |  |
| 15:16 55:12 | 62:4 | $\begin{array}{cl} \text { dircIS] } & 2: 5 \\ 19: 16 & 19: 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 23 \\ & 72: 25 \end{aligned}$ | dump [1] | 102:24 |
| described [4] | $49: 25$ 63.18 | direct [8] | 2:5 | $102: 19$ | 102:18 |
| 54:17 63:15 | $63: 18$ 29.20 | $\begin{array}{ll}2: 6 & 2: 8 \\ 5: 17\end{array}$ | 2:11 | during [14] | 26:12 |
| describing [1] | 29:20 | 72:9 6.5 |  | 26:16 $\quad 30: 24$ | 31:6 |
| description ${ }^{(2)}$ | 49:10 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}31: 13 & 31: 24\end{array}$ | 32:2 |
| 63-22 |  | directly [1] |  | 49:21 $\quad 53: 21$ | $58: 4$ |
| descrt ${ }^{11}$ | 93:15 | diring [1] | 36:6 | 66:7 76:25 | 103:6 |
| desires II | 113:5 | disagrec [1] $40: 9$ |  | 108:20 |  |
| detective [26] | 4:10 | discovered 11164 |  | duty (1] $42: 8$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}4: 19 & 5: 19\end{array}$ | $5: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { discuss }[4] \\ & 80 ; 22 \quad 81 ; 3 \\ & \text { discussed }[2] \end{aligned}$ | 4:25 | dying [l] | 62:7 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}18: 3 & 18: 5\end{array}$ | 18:16 |  | 81:11 |  |  |
| 19:21 20:2 | 32:23 |  |  | -E- |  |
| 35:11 $35: 13$ | 36:11 |  | discussed $121 \quad 70: 22$ |  |  |
| 66:18 66:20 | 69:6 |  |  | E $\mathrm{in}^{\text {2 }}$ 2:15 | 3:4 |
| 73:16 $74: 16$ | 76:7 | discussing (1] | 28:9 | 3:4 |  |
| $76: 9 \quad 78: 20$ | 109:16 | ${\underset{84: 20}{ }}_{\text {discussion }_{[2]}}$ | 70:17 | ear\|9] 51:24 | 53:7 |
| 109:18 109:22 | 109:24 |  |  | 53:8 $\quad 53: 10$ | $53: 17$ |
| 110:4 |  | distance [II | 55:21 | 53:25 54:6 | 54:12 |
| detectivc's [1] | 4:10 | district 117 | 1:22 | 56:8 |  |
| detectives 161 | 28:10 | 40:8 74.11 | 75:3 | casily 11 | 50:20 |
| 49:5 49:8 | 82:12 | 78:12 $\quad 78: 25$ | 79:20 | east [1] $7: 8$cducation 11 |  |
| 91:23 109:20 |  | 80:3 80:13 | 80:22 |  | 36:3 |
| determination [3] |  | 80:24 81:9 | 82:15 | educational [31 35$35: 12 \quad 35: 14$ |  |
| 83:7 83:18 | $83: 20$ | 109:2 109:17 | 114:15 |  |  |
| determinc [s] | 37:14 | 114:18 |  |  |  |
| 53:20 59:21 | 61.9 | doctor ${ }^{[8]}$ | 4:11 | effect [1] | 33:10 |
| 61:23 |  | 46:10 47:11 | 48:3 | effects [1] | 64:3 |
| detcrmines [1] | 44:7 | $\begin{array}{lll}48: 18 & 52: 10 & 56: 22 \\ 66: 16 & & \end{array}$ |  | Eighth[1] | 114:15 |
| dictaphone [1] | 49:23 | document 111499 |  |  | $7: 10$ |
| dictatc [1] | 49:23 | $\text { documented }_{65 \cdot 20}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 35: 5 & 35: 25 \\ 75: 20 & 80: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 25 \\ & 96: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| dicd [1] 60:6 difference [3] | 31:14 | $65: 20$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 75: 2080: 5 \\ \text { eleventh } 21 \end{gathered}$ | 35:15 |


| 35:20 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| emplo | yed [1] | 5:20 |
| emplo | yee ${ }_{121}$ | 71:22 |
| 90:21 |  |  |
| emplo | ces [1] | 88:23 |
| encour | age [1] | 95:16 |
| end [4] | 70:6 | 75:25 |
| 79:17 |  |  |
| ended |  | 56:11 |
| 56:14 |  |  |
| ends [2] | 79:16 | 79:17 |
| English [1] |  | 29:20 |
| entered 161 |  | 51:25 |
| 53:13 | 53:17 | 54:12 |
| 113:14 113:22 |  |  |
| entitled [41 |  | 21:8 |
| 21:10 | 43:18 | 75:10 |
| entrance [5] |  | 53:18 |
| 53:19 | 53:25 | 54:25 |
| 55:3 |  |  |
| cquiv | al [1] | 41:20 |
| Escob | ido [1] | 39:22 |
| Espind | dola [1] | 70:25 |
| Esq[3] | 1:20 | 1:21 |
| 1:23 |  |  |
| establi | $\mathbf{h l i d}^{\text {[1] }}$ | 83:8 |
| cvent |  | 88:4 |
| eventually [12] |  | 8:8 |
| 11:6 | 11:22 | 12:16 |
| 17:7 | 17:23 | 18:8 |
| 22:3 | 40:16 | 67:22 |
| 68:24 | 103:11 |  |
| everybody [3] |  | 77;21 |
| 78:6 | 97:21 |  |
| evidence ${ }^{241}$ |  | 9:21 |
| 18:25 | 42:4 | 42:7 |
| 42:9 | 43:14 | 45:19 |
| 55:18 | 58:3 | 61:24 |
| 62:3 | 62:8 | 62:10 |
| 62:18 | 62:21 | $74: 25$ |
| 75:9 | 81:16 | 83:7 |
| 112:6 | 113:13 | 113:14 |
| 114:2 | 114:12 |  |
| exact [4] |  | 44:16 |
| 50:2 | 78:11 | 79:23 |
| exactly [13] |  | 27:12 |
| 30:14 | 45:21 | 55:11 |
| 79:2 | 79:25 | 95:8 |
| 95:21 | $96: 9$ | 96:15 |
| 98:16 | 109:6 | 109:21 |
| exam [2] |  | 49:12 |
| 66:7 |  |  |
| examination [17] |  |  |
| $2 \cdot 5$ | 2:5 | 2;6 |
| 2:8 | 2:11 | 2:12 |
| 5:17 | 19:18 | 49:15 |
| 49:18 | 49:21 | 50:5 |
| 53:21 | 58:4 | 67:3 |
| 78:17 | 108:15 |  |
| examine [2] |  | 86:10 |
| 86:10 |  |  |
| examined [4] |  | 5:9 |
| 46:17 | 58;14 | 85;11 |
| examiner [3] |  | 3:18 |
| 4:4 | 19:5 |  |


| Examiner's [1] 11:23 |  | Federal [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 19 \\ & 55: 23 \end{aligned}$ | function [1] | 80:13 | H's[1] 70:14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| examines [1] | 12:5 | feet ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ 55:9 |  |  |  | Hadland [10] | 8:13 |
| example [1] | 49:2 | 55:25 56:2 |  | -G- |  | 10:16 $11: 7$ | 13:19 |
| except [2] | 39:25 | $\begin{array}{cl}\text { few [7] } & 36: 22 \\ 72: 4 & 72: 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 9 \\ & 72: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{[1]} \quad 3: 4$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}16: 20 & 47: 21 \\ 100: 25 & 103: 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 6 \\ & 113: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 73:4 $\quad 86: 20$ |  | Gary [3] 2;8 | 46:14 | alf [1] 79:22 |  |
| exception ${ }_{[1]}$ | 10:7 | fifth [4] 41:6 | 41:10 |  |  | hall [3] $3: 15$ | 4:25 |
| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { exclude [3] } \\ 4: 22 & 110\end{array}$ | 4.21 | 42:2 $69: 18$ |  | gather [1] | 48:25 | 46:9 |  |
| exculpatory [4] 75:9 |  | file [2] 75:3 | 114:7 | general [s] | 49:2 | hand [3] 5:4 | 65:16 |
| 75:20 112:5 | 112:5 | finding [1] | 39:25 | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 56: 2 & 60: 21 \\ 71: 11 & \\ \text { generic }[1] \end{array}\right.$ | 71:10 | 82:8 |  |
| $\underset{97: 14}{\text { Excuse }[2]}$ | 15:22 | $\underset{50 ; 8}{\underset{53}{\text { findings }} \text { [4] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 5 \\ & 54: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  | $49: 9$ | hand-held [1] handeuffed $[1$ | 49:23 |
|  |  |  |  | generic [1] <br> gentleman $[1]$ |  |  | 17:13 |
| Exh[7] 2:18 | 2:18 | finc [2] 3:20 | 19:14 |  |  | handgun [2] | 55:8 |
| 2:19 2:19 | 2:20 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { finish }_{[1]} & 72: 8 \\ \text { fired } & \text { [1] } 53: 2 \end{array}$ |  | gentlemen [1] | 84:4 | 55:23 |  |
| 2:20 2:21 |  |  |  | Giancarlo [21 $3: 14$ | 1:21 | handle [1] | 6:15 |
| exhibit [t4] | 9:9 | $\text { first } 11314: 10$ | 5:7 | girlfriend ${ }^{3} 3$ | 70:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hands }[2] \\ & 65: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 63:21 |
| 10:2 $\quad 48: 12$ | 50:11 | 9:16 $9: 17$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50:25 $51: 4$ | 51:8 | 15:16 $42: 16$ | 46:15 |  |  | hanging [2] | 15:10 |
| 51:16 $51: 21$ | 52:3 | 48:25 85:9 | 99:5 | given [7] | 75:8 | 43:2 |  |
| 53;14 68:25 | 69:2 | 106:12 108:18 |  | 78:23 78:24 | 79:20 |  |  |
| 69:20 |  | first-degree [1] |  | 80:11 $\quad 86: 14$ | I09:9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 9 \\ & 87: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }_{48: 9} \text { exhibits }[2]$ | 8:20 | fist ${ }_{[1]} 65: 16$ |  | giving [1] <br> glad (3] 34.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 21 \\ & 77: 17 \end{aligned}$ | hat [1] 8:4 |  |
|  |  | fistfight [1] | 65:10 |  |  | be' $\mathrm{d}_{[1]} 4: 8$ |  |
| cxpect [1] | 16:8 | five [1] 84:3 |  | $79: 11$ |  | head [15] | 11.4 |
| experience $[4]$ | 9:19 |  |  | glasses [4] | $8: 5$ | 11:20 11:21 | 29:8 |
| 24:5 40:22 | 47:6 | ${ }_{7}^{\text {five-minute }} 12$ | 72:14 | 65:21 65:23 | 65:24 | 34:13 50 50:16 | 54:13 |
| experienced $[2] 40: 21$$78: 19$ |  | fliers | 89:25 | goes [1] 111:2] |  | 56:12 57 57:12 | 57:20 |
|  |  | gold [1] $64: 9$ |  |  | 59:15 $105: 8$ | 105:11 |  |
| expertise [1] | 47:4 |  | fly 111 73:24 |  | gone [1] 16:4 |  | 105:23 112:9 |  |
| explain 11 | 57:10 | $\underset{48: 3}{ }$ | 39:22 | gonna [1] | 96:2 | hcaling ${ }_{11}$ | 62:6 |
| exploited [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 14 \\ & 49: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  | 22:14 | good [10] | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 8 \\ & 3: 23 \\ & 19: 15 \\ & 100: 2 \\ & 35: 15 \end{aligned}$ | hcar [1] 105:3 |  |
| external [2] |  | focused [3] 37:12 |  | 3:11 $3: 22$ |  | heard (6) | 43:14 |
| 50:4 |  |  | 53:22 | 5:19 19:4 |  | 45:18 76:11 | 83:9 |
| eyes [1] 66:8 |  | follow [1] following [1] follows |  | 58:21 89:2 |  | 84:13 $\quad 84: 13$ |  |
|  |  | 107:4 | hearing [7] |  |  | 1:14 |  |
| -F- |  |  | follows [3]   <br> 46:18 $85: 12$ $5: 10$ |  | 35.17 36.3 60.2 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3: 13 & 45: 17 \\ 114: 3 & 114: 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 16 \\ & 114: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| face [8] $50 ; 9 \quad 5$ | 50:16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | food [1] 27:18 |  | granted [1] |  |  | 4:23 | hearsay [11 | 6:17 |
| 54:19 56:6 | 65:17 | foot [2] 28:24 | 28:24 | $\operatorname{group}_{13: 18}^{[4]} \quad 13: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 17 \\ & 82: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { help } \\ & 96: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 96:4 |  |
| facility [1] | 73:17 | force [1] 43:25forensic [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| facing II | 79:9 |  |  | guess [5] | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 4 \\ & 68: 21 \end{aligned}$ | helps [1] | 70:20 |  |
| fact [13] 22:22 | 23.4 | forget [2] <br> 113:17 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 11 \\ & 85: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  | herein [1] | 114:7 |  |
| 23:10 $\quad 25: 14$ | 26:11 |  | $113: 13$ |  | $68: 21$ | high [1] 54:9 |  |  |
| 36:2 $\quad 38: 20$ | 38:23 | forgotten [1] <br> form [1] 26:21 | 66:10 | guilty [3]$112: 12$ | 112:11 | higher [I] | 82:18 |  |
| 39:2 $39: 3$ | 49:22 |  |  |  | 38:2 | Hildago [9] |  |  |
| 104:13 107:7 |  | found ${ }^{6]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 16 \\ & 55: 3 \end{aligned}$ | gun [15] 37:23 |  | 70:19 70:20 | 70:23 |  |
| factors [1] | 38:17 | $\begin{array}{ll} 25: 14 & 49: 21 \\ 65: 4 & 65: 22 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}38: 6 & 38: 9 \\ 39: 4 & 94: \\ \end{array}$ | $39: 2$ $94: 13$ | $\begin{array}{ll}71: 2 & 71: 5\end{array}$ | 71:13 |  |
| facts [2] 36:12 | 45:13 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 25 \\ & 104: 20 \end{aligned}$ | hills [1] 93:12 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cl} \text { fair [9] } & 9: 5 \\ 22: 24 & 23: 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 19 \\ & 51: 12 \\ & 69: 9 \end{aligned}$ | foundation [3] | $9: 3$ | $\begin{array}{ll}94: 15 & 95: 23 \\ \text { 104:14 } & 104: 17\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 86:25 97:16 |  | $111: 12$ | 55:6 | himself [1] | 42:20 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 60:14 } & 69: 8 & 69: 9\end{array}$ |  | four [2] 15:20 | 19:22 | $\operatorname{ganpowder}^{[2]}$ |  | hit [1] 55:14 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{cll} \text { frec [9] } & 29: 2 & 30: 25 \\ 37: 9 & 39: 23 & 41: 13 \\ 42: 22 & 42: 24 & 43: 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | hitting [I] | 65:17 |  |
| fairly [1] fallacious ${ }_{[1]}$ | 10:9 40:4 47:11 |  |  | gunshot 17 | 49:3 | Hmmm [1] | 29:7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 50:9 50:13 | $51: 25$ | holding II] | 91:21 |  |
| ${\underset{47}{ } \text { familiar }[2]}^{2}$ |  |  |  | 53:24 $\quad 57: 19$ | 59:15 | hollow [1] | 54:24 |  |
|  |  | friend (4) <br> 93:23 93:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 2 \\ & 94: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guy [1] } 94: 21 \\ & \text { guys [3] } 98: 18 \\ & 100: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 98:19 | home [6] | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 13 \\ & 31: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| family [2] | 26:7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92:7 |  |  |  |  |  | $31: 8 \quad 43: 7$ |  |  |
| far 161 | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 20 \\ & 63: 25 \end{aligned}$ | frightened $[1]$ $34: 25$ <br> front $[3] ~ 15: 10$ $53: 7$ <br> $55: 8$  |  |  |  | homicide ${ }^{371}$ | 5:24 |  |
| $54: 15 \quad 55: 22$$108: 9$father [1]favorable [1] |  |  |  | -H- |  | $5: 25$ $6: 8$ <br> $14: 10$ $14: 12$ <br> $19: 20$ $20: 2$ <br> $22: 11$ $32: 9$ | 6:15 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 21 \\ & 111: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cl} \mathbf{H}_{\text {I7 }} & 2: 15 \\ 71: 5 & 71: 19 \\ 100: 25 & 101: 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 13 \\ & 100: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | 16:23 |  |
|  |  | Full [1] 115:13 fully ${ }_{[1]} 26: 18$ |  |  |  |  | 21:20 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 38:6 |  |  |  |  |



| lets [1] 30:19 |  | manne | 17:15 | mine [2] 72:24 | 89:2 | 43:9 75:20 |  | 79:5 88:14 | 89:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ietter [1]52:11 |  | 57:22 |  | minor [1] | 58:5 | ncar ${ }^{12}$ 6;9 | 75:25 | 90:23 91:2 | 95:9 |
| level [11] | 35:9 | Marc [31 1:20 | 3:15 | minute [2] | 19:8 | necessarily [1] | 56:19 | $\begin{array}{ll}96: 11 & 103: 6 \\ 111.15 & 112.12\end{array}$ | 107:19 |
| 35:13 35:14 | 37:14 | 82:17 |  | 48:15 |  | necessary [4] | 61:10 | 111:15 112:12 | 17 |
| 37:16 $\quad 37: 18$ | 37:20 | marijuana [2] | 60:17 | minutes [5] | 46:6 | $\begin{array}{cc}81: 7 & 83: 16\end{array}$ | 83:22 | Nugget [1] | 71:10 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}82: 19 & 82: 22\end{array}$ | 115:4 | 60:24 |  | 72:4 $\quad 72 ; 10$ | 84:3 | meck [1] 63:1] |  | numerous [3] | 29:8 |
| 115:7 |  | marked [3] | 8:20 | 100:2 |  | necklace [1] |  | 34:13 34:14 |  |
| license [3] | 64:12 | 68:18 68:19 |  | Miranda [11] | 21:9 | nced [8] 5:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 9 \\ & 34: 21 \end{aligned}$ | NV [2] 1:25 | 115:22 |
| 64:21 65:4 |  | markers [6] | 9:12 | 40:19 41:12 | 43:11 | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { nced }[8] & 5: 12 \\ 46: 20 & 81 \cdot 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 21 \\ & 81: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| lied (11 105:25 |  | 9:15 $9: 20$ | 9:21 | $\begin{array}{ll}43 ; 12 & 43: 18\end{array}$ | 43:19 | $\begin{array}{ll} 84: 16 & 85: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 15 \\ & 85: 14 \end{aligned}$ | - |  |
| licutenant ${ }^{1]}$ | 6:25 | 9:24 10:5 |  | 43:21 73:12 | 74:5 | needed (2) | 4:7 | OII $3: 4$ |  |
| life [2] 90:12 | 94:3 | marks [1] | 62:10 | M |  | 83:2 | 4.7 | o'clock [2] | $4: 8$ |
| light [1] 63:18 |  | Martin [3] | 2:4 | M |  | needs [1] | 4:24 | 114:25 |  |
| likely (1) | 93:9 |  |  | $\underset{12: 25}{\mathrm{mispr}_{12}}$ |  | neither [2] | 55:5 | oath [1] 66:21 |  |
| linear II] | 62:4 |  | 19 | mista | 76:8 | 96:13 |  | object ${ }_{\text {1121 }}$ | 6:17 |
| listed [1] | 52:20 | mater (3) $49: 22 \quad 86: 19$ | 19 | moment [1] | 50:2 | Nevada [4] | 1:2 | 10:23 13:10 | 18:21 |
| lobby [3] | 17:5 |  |  | money [2] | S0.2 | 1:4 6:10 | 73:19 | 26:20 $\quad 29: 14$ | 36:5 |
| 28:13 30:9 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 6 \\ & 15: 19 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{92: 12}^{\text {money }[2]}$ | 92:6 | never [8] | 37:5 | $\begin{array}{ll}38: 5 & 74: 24 \\ 97 & 11\end{array}$ | 97:2 |
| located (1) | 71:8 | 22:9 24:10 | 26:16 | months \|s1 | 68:10 | 37:8 $\quad 39: 9$ | 90;11 | 107:25 |  |
| location 31 | 51:9 | 38:2 38:12 | 41:14 | 73:4 79:21 | 79:22 | 93:18 970 | 97:25 | objection ${ }^{88}$ | 6:21 |
| 64:18 74:19 |  | 48:4 48:8 | 61:24 | 110:10 | 79:22 | 97:25 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 13 & 19: 2 \\ 52: 7 & 69: 16 \end{array}$ | $51: 17$ 77.7 |
| locked [3] | 30:17 | $\begin{array}{ll} 62: 2 & 68: 12 \\ 75: 8 & 75: 25 \end{array}$ | $69: 21$ | morgue 14 | 64:7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 3 \\ & 106: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 83;5 |  |
| 30:21 30:21 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 75: 8 & 75: 25 \\ 83: 14 & 87: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 25 \\ & 88: 4 \end{aligned}$ | morning [ $s$ ] | 3:22 | nicc [11 27.17 |  | objective | 6:12 |
| longer ${ }^{21}$ | 72:2 | $\begin{array}{ll} 83: 14 & 87: 5 \\ 88: 6 & 88: 7 \end{array}$ | $88: 4$ $110: 8$ | 3:23 4 4:6 | 28:2 | nice [1] 27:17 |  |  | 18:18 |
| 99:24 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 6 \\ & 110: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 110:8 | 115:2 |  | nickname ${ }^{11}$ | 8:14 | objects [1] | 18:18 |
| look (14) 42:25 | 45:12 | McGrath [1] | 73:16 | most [6] 60:22 | 60:22 | night [6] $27: 25$ | 28:5 $91: 25$ | obligation $\{2]$ | $23: 2$ |
| 45:13 $45: 16$ | 62:14 | Mead ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |  | 72:24 111:2 | 111:8 | 90:10 91:18 | 25 |  |  |
| 64:5 64:19 | 64:23 |  | 6:9 71.15 | 112:16 |  | 95:10 |  | observe [1] | 98:5 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}64: 25 & 95: 25 \\ 97: 19 & 98.13\end{array}$ | 97:7 | $\begin{array}{ll} 6: 9 & 7: 7 \\ 13: 18 & 91: 4 \end{array}$ | $7: 15$ $92: 3$ | motion | 39:19 | ninth [1] $35: 21$ |  | observed ${ }^{21}$ ] | 64:10 |
| 97:19 98:13 | 98:18 | 13.18 91.4 |  | 110:24 |  | nods $\mathbf{1 2 1}_{105} 105$ | 105:11 | 66:2 |  |
| looked 11.19 | 11:18 | $\operatorname{manan~[14]~}_{\text {44:13 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 14 \\ & 58: 34 \end{aligned}$ | move [1] | 4:20 | noncustodia |  | obvious [1] | 10:20 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}11: 19 & 48: 17 \\ 58: 25 & 59: 10\end{array}$ | 49:25 | $\begin{array}{ll}44: 13 & 52: 22 \\ 58: 24 & 82: 10\end{array}$ | 58:24 $88: 15$ | 8:25 10:12 | 29:22 | 84:16 |  | occasions [1] | 70:22 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}58: 25 & 59: 10 \\ 97: 20 & 98: 12\end{array}$ | 91:15 | $\begin{array}{ll}58: 24 & 82: 10 \\ 93 ; 20 & 94: 8\end{array}$ | $88: 15$ $98: 18$ | $\begin{array}{ll}50: 24 & 51: 15\end{array}$ | 52:5 | nonc $12159: 16$ | 61:16 | occur [2] | 55:21 |
| 97:20 98:12 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}93 ; 20 & 94: 8 \\ 99: 7 & 99: 19\end{array}$ | $98: 18$ $101: 25$ | 69:12 84:10 | 95:15 | Norma $\{2\}$ | 1.24 | 57:4 |  |
| looking 191 | 23:6 | 106:18 | 101.25 | 96:7 |  | $\mathrm{Narmax}_{\text {115:21 }}$ |  | occurred | 52:23 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}23: 8 & 23: 9 \\ 29.3 & 49.2\end{array}$ | 23:12 | means 21 ] | 72:4 | moved [1] | 11:13 | normal [4] | 26:2 | 91:9 97:4 |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 29: 3 & 49: 2 \\ 97: 20 & 111: 8 \end{array}$ | 58:1 | ${ }_{\text {means }}^{\text {111:22 }}$ | 72,4 | moving [1] | 41:25 | 26:5 48:24 | 48:25 | occurs [1] | 100:15 |
| loudin 69:25 |  | mcasure [1] | 29:2 | Mrk' ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 2:17 | normally [2] | 30:15 | off [2] 8:6 | 106:22 |
| Louis [8] | 70:15 | medical [4] | 3:18 | Ms [3] 70:21 | 70:22 | 33:20 |  | offense [2] | 75:21 |
| 70:19 $70: 20$ | 70:23 | 4:4 11:23 | 9:5 | 71:18 |  | North [10] | 6:10 | 112:18 |  |
| 71:2 71:5 | 71:13 | member [5] | 6:14 | mug [1] 106:18 |  | 6:16 $7: 3$ | 7:5 | offered [2] | 6:18 |
| 71:14 |  | 11:22 13:22 | 13:24 | multiple [1] | 57:19 | 7:7 7:14 | 7:16 | 74:15 |  |
| Louises 11 | 70:17 | 109:16 |  | murder 101 |  | 7:19 | 107 | office [17] | $4: 5$ |
| love [1] 92:9 |  | mamory [1] | 59:3 | 21:14 $\quad 23: 14$ | 112:11 | northeast [2] | 7:20 | 11:23 18:10 | 22:9 |
| lower [3] | 56:14 | mention [4] | 32:15 | 112:15 $113: 17$ | 113:23 | 7:21 |  | $23.21 \quad 27: 10$ | 27:14 |
| 115:3 115:7 |  | $32: 21 \quad 33: 8$ | 33:16 | 113:23 114:9 | $114: 9$ | note i2 $^{\text {9 9 }}$ 9 | 65:3 | 62:24 68.2 | 78:2 |
| lucky [1] | 58:22 | mentioned (6) | 61:20 | must [1] 64:5 |  | noted [1] | 62:13 | 79:21 80:4 | 80:13 |
| lying [1] 10:17 |  | 62:16 65:14 | 65:21 | muzzle [1] | 55:14 | notes [4] | 17:7 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 80:24 } \\ 109: 17 & 82: 19\end{array}$ | 109:2 |
|  |  | 66:10 70:13 |  |  |  | 63:14 75:1 | 75:17 | officer 1141 | (1) |
| -M- |  | mentions [1] | 63:21 | -N- |  | nothing 181 | 5:9 | 19:23 $\quad 31: 14$ | 40:17 |
|  |  | mctabolite [1] | 60:24 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 46: 17 & 85: 11 \\ 95: 15 & 95: 22 \end{array}$ | 92:13 | 40:17 40:22 | 40:23 |
| M. D[1] 46:14 |  | Mctro [3] | 6:2 | name [19] |  | 108:6 | 100.6 | 40:23 $\quad 72: 22$ | 73:3 |
| M.E $\{2]$ 4:14 | 46:4 | 19:23 44:11 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { name } \\ 5: 13 \\ {[19]} \\ 5: 15 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | notice 121 |  | 73:8 $\quad 75: 11$ | 80:16 |
| main [1] 41:23 |  | Metropolitan | 2] | $\begin{array}{ll} 5: 13 & 5: 15 \\ 12: 24 & 12: 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 23 \\ & 13: 24 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {notice }} \mathbf{2 6} \mid$ | 10:20 | 107:9 |  |
| major ${ }^{2}$ ) | 58:6 | 5:21 80:17 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}14: 19 & 46: 21\end{array}$ | 46:21 | now [38] 9:8 | 10:16 | officer's [2] | 46:2 |
| 58:6 |  | midline [2) | 54:7 | 46:22 46:23 | 52:24 | 20:11 $20: 18$ | 21:12 | 84:14 |  |
| makes 121 | 40:16 | 56:13 |  | 70:14 $\quad 70: 24$ | 85:15 | 26:5 26:11 | 27:8 | officers [21 | 32:20 |
| 83:20 |  | might [7] | 26:7 | 85:15 85:20 | 101:3 | 28:15 $\quad 31: 13$ | 34:6 | 40:22 |  |
| man [7] 14:18 | 25:11 | $\begin{array}{ll}40: 2 & 61: 4\end{array}$ | 75:22 | named [1] | 70:19 | 41:7 $41: 14$ | 41:19 | offices [7] | 14:10 |
| 59:2 90:11 | 93:22 | 78:4 78:15 | 78:18 | natural [1] | 49:3 | $\begin{array}{ll}45: 10 & 50: 10\end{array}$ | 52:10 | $\begin{array}{ll} 14: 12 & 15: 3 \\ 16: 23 & 30: 23 \end{array}$ | $15: 10$ |
| 94:10 94:11 |  | mile $117: 13$ |  | naturally [1] | $41: 3$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 52: 21 & 56: 19 \\ 59: 18 & 60: 4 \end{array}$ | $57: 15$ $61: 20$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 16: 23 & 30: 23 \\ \text { old }[6] & 40: 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 20 \\ & 40: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| manager 111 | 71:16 | MILLER [1] | 1:15 | nature [3] | 16:17 | $59: 18$ $60: 4$ <br> $62: 22$ $68: 19$ | 61:20 $72: 5$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { old }[6] & 40: 15 \\ 44: 13 & 58: 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 24 \\ & 58: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| manifest ${ }_{[1]}$ | 66:7 | mind ${ }^{21}$ 49:1] | 97:3 |  |  | 62.22 68.19 | 7.5 | 44.1358 |  |


| 58:20 |  |  | 55:14 55:16 |  | picture [2] | 50:18 | presc |  | 37:3 75:24 | 79:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| once [5] |  | 64:5 |  |  | pictures [3] |  | 60:23 |  | questions [20] | 18:24 |
| 75:4 | 105:6 | 113:24 | P33:25 |  |  | 9:10 | present [11] | 3:12 | 24:12 36:9 | 36:14 |
| one [26] | $4: 8$ | 11:11 | particularly ${ }_{11} \mathbf{2 9 : 1 9}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 9:13 } & 29: 3 \\ \text { Pike [6] } & 72: 19\end{array}$ | 85:4 |  | 14:3 | 36:23 39:15 | 44:2 |
| 22:25 | 26:22 | 27:20 | pass [4] 58:8 | 72:12 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}21: 10 & 24: 20\end{array}$ | 61:12 | $\begin{array}{ll}44: 10 & 44: 11 \\ 66: 24 & 67.6\end{array}$ | 66:12 |
| 38:17 | 42:19 | 43:10 | 89:24 1 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}86: 4 & 108: 21 \\ 110: 20\end{array}$ | 110:17 | 84:2 106:21 | 111:4 | 66:24 67:6 | $72: 22$ |
| 50:13 | 50;15 | 53:6 |  | 27:10 |  |  | presume ${ }_{1}$ | 41: | 84:6 86:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 87 ; 5 \\ & 110: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 53:12 | 53:25 | 55:5 | passed 11 | $22: 13$ | pistol ${ }_{[2]}$ | 102.9 |  |  | 87:12 |  |
| 70:21 | 73:23 | 76:2 | passerby [1] |  | 102:10 |  | pretty [4] | 25:14 | $111: 16$ |  |
| 78:4 | 79:18 | $82: 16$ | path(5) 53:22 | 54:4 | place [8] | $14: 9$$79 \cdot 22$ | $50: 16 \quad 93: 9$ | 98:3 | quickly ${ }_{[2]}$ | 12:5 |
| 89:15 | 91:23 | 96:13 | 55:3 55:4 | 56:5 | ${ }_{16: 22}{ }^{\text {P1 }} 77: 2$ |  | previous [1] | 69:13 | 25:14 |  |
| 98:18 | 111:12 | 111:20 | pathology [1] | 47:5 | $\begin{array}{ll}111: 4 & 111: 7\end{array}$ | 111:24 | previously | $8: 19$ | quote [1] |  |
| One's [1] |  | 53:10 | Pause [1] | 71:3 | 112:10 |  | $32: 11 \quad 48: 13$ | 8:19 54:17 |  | 104:8 |
| 0 | 11:17 |  | PEACE [1] | 16 | placed ${ }^{1}$ | 10:8 |  | 22:18 |  |  |
| open [1 | 30;18 |  | people [1s] | 4:5 | Plaintiff ${ }_{\text {III }}$ <br> planning [1] | $1: 6$39:24 | $22: 23 \quad 22: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 2 \\ & 4: 15 \end{aligned}$ | -R- |  |
| opened |  | 16:2 | 6:15 $\quad 9: 22$ | 13:13 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{R}_{[1]} \quad 3: 4$ |  |
| opportunities [6] |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}20: 6 & 31: 25 \\ 88.9 & 88.18\end{array}$ | 88.22 | $\text { play [3] } 18: 19$$96: 25$ | 66:25 |  |  | R-o-n-t-a-e [1] |  |
| 76:4 | 76:16 | 76:21 | $88: 9$ 88:18 | 88:22 |  |  | procedure [s] | 26:2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 20 \\ & 115: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 76:22 | 77:5 | 91:24 | 88:23 92:9 | 93:25 | play | 18 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 26:5 } \\ \text { 80:11 } & 48: 24\end{array}$ | 48:25 | R.J.C ${ }_{\text {[I] }}$ |  |
| opportunity [s] |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc} \text { percent } & 131 \\ 93: 8 \quad 93: 8 \end{array}$ |  | play |  |  |  | raise [1] 5:3 |  |
| $77: 13$ | 87:12 | 92:4 |  |  | player [1] | 18:16 | proceed [6] | 3:13 | ran [1] 8:3 |  |
| 92:10 |  |  |  |  |  | 12:19 | $\begin{array}{ll} 19: 15 & 49: 17 \\ 86: 19 & 109: 3 \end{array}$ | 81:14 | ranger [1] | 7.2 |
| order | 3:18 | 46:11 | perform [3] | 48:5 |  | 12:19 |  |  | rate [1] 88:3 |  |
| 52:22 | 52:24 | 53:2 | 48:23 49:12 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 55:25 } & 67: 19\end{array}$ | 69:19 | proceeding [ | 4:24 | readis] $21: 9$ | 34:4 |
| 61:14 | 83:3 | 102:6 | performed [2] | 47:20 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 70:16 } & 70: 21\end{array}$ | 77:23 | 112:23 113:4 | 113:6 | 41:11 76:17 | 111:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { organization }\{1\} \\ & 80: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $48: 20$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 83: 6 & 91: 20 \\ 107: 7 & 111: 13 \end{array}$ |  | ${\underset{71}{ } \text { procecdings [3] } 46}_{115: 14}$ |  | reading [1] | 24:14 |
|  |  |  | pcriod [2] | $7: 1$ |  |  | ${ }_{19.12}{ }^{\text {[4] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 13 \\ & 106: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| outside [4] |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 12 \\ & 99: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 31:6 |  | $\operatorname{pointing~}[2]_{94: 12}$ | 53:8 |  |  | process isi | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 16 \\ & 81: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30:2 | 99: |  | 8:3 |  |  |  | 31:16 36:2 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { real }_{[4]} & 53: 16 \\ 88: 12 & 97: 23 \end{array}$ | 70:14 |  |
| overruled [2] |  | 6:22 | 8:3 |  | police [13] | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 21 \\ & 32: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 81:25 |  |  | 81:23 |
|  |  | perpetrator [1] 111:2 perpetrators [1] 78:5 |  | $\begin{array}{ll}212 & 31: 13 \\ 40.17 & 40.21\end{array}$ | promised [1] |  | 109:3 | realize [2] | 44:12 |  |
| Own [2] 91:2 |  |  |  | 94:22 | $\begin{array}{ll}40 ; 17 & 40: 21\end{array}$ | $44: 23$ | promote [2] | 92:18 |  | 87:12 |
| ${ }_{7}^{\text {owner }[2]}$ |  | 70:24 | person [20] | 8:9 | $\begin{array}{ll} 44: 25 & 49: 5 \\ 84: 19 & 84: 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 17 \\ & 1079 \end{aligned}$ | 103:22 |  | really [s] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:20 } \\ & 92: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $11.25 \quad 12: 19$ | 21:7 | promoting [1 |  |  | 103:7 | 59:9 83: |  |  |
|  |  | 39:25 41:23 | 56:20$64: 22$ | poor [1] 32:5 | 86:24 | properly [1] | $74: 25$ | 92:24 |  |  |
| -P- |  |  |  | 58:13 |  |  | position [S] | 64:8 | reason [3] | 25:18 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { P[1] } & 3: 4 & \\ \text { PrDs }\end{array}$ |  |  | 95:6 100:22 111 |  | $10: 17$ | property [1] <br> proposed [9] |  | 8:20 | 38:16 61:7 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 111: 14 & 112: 2 & 112: 7 \\ 113: 16 & & \end{array}$ |  | $93: 17$ | 57:13 | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 2 & 50: 10 \\ 51: 4 & 51: 20 \\ 69: 2 & 69: 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 25 \\ & 52: 3 \end{aligned}$ | reasonable [2] | 41:7 |
| P.M [2] 1:18 |  |  |  |  | $3: 2$ | 56:20 |  |  | 41:9 |  |
| page [4] | 2:3 |  | 42:25 | personal [1] |  |  |  |  |  | positioned [1] | reasoning [1] | 40:4 |
| 51:10 |  |  | personally | 11:16 |  |  |  | 82:4 | reccived [2] | 27:5 |
| pair [4] | $8: 4$ | 8.5 | 13:14 $63: 4$ | 64:25 |  |  | prosecutor | 26:2 | 63:21 |  |
| 63:18 | 63:20 |  |  |  |  | 38:3 | 26:25 27 |  | recently [4] | 61:4 |
| Palomi | no [7] | 22:20 | Pesci [26] | 1:21 |  |  | protect [1] | 4:2 | 61:10 61:1 | :38 |
| 71:6 | 71:8 | 71:15 | 3:14 | 3:14 | Sibility [2] | 33:19 | protected III | 44:24 | Recess [1] | 72:17 |
| 71:21 | 103:8 | 106:6 | $\begin{array}{ll} 3: 21 & 19: 6 \\ 46: 25 & 47: 18 \end{array}$ | $19: 11$ $47: 23$ |  |  | provide [1] | 18:8 | rccognizell | 01:8 |
| paper |  | 63:21 | 48:2 $\quad 48: 8$ | 48:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ssible [4] } \\ & 6: 25 \quad 64: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | provided [1] | 17:3 | recognized | 76:11 |
| paperw | ork [1] | 109:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}50: 24 & 51: 3\end{array}$ | 51:15 | possibly [3] | 42:17 | psychiatrist | 36:11 | recollection | 52:15 |
| parent |  | 21:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}51: 19 & 52: 5\end{array}$ | 52:9 | 80:25 112:1 |  | blish [1] | 69:21 | 59:16 |  |
| 61:12 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}58: 2 & 58: 8\end{array}$ | 66:13 | powder [1 | 55:16 | $1_{[1]} 95: 23$ |  | recommend | 80:10 |
| parents |  | 24:16 | $66: 15$ $115: 9$ |  | predicament | 55.16 | purposes [2] | 65:2 | record (14] | 4:3 |
| 26:6 | 29:12 | 40:14 |  |  |  |  | 114:11 |  | 5:13 13:2 | 13:4 |
| :6 |  |  | phone [3] | 22:21 |  |  |  |  | 46:21 55:12 | $59: 6$ |
| park [1] | $7: 9$ |  | 22:23 29:11 |  | rence |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { putili } \\ 41: 21 & 41: 21\end{array}$ | 44:10 | $\begin{array}{ll}70: 12 & 85: 3 \\ 86: 7 & 101\end{array}$ | 85:15 |
| parked |  | 7:25 | photograph | 50:15 | judicia | 29:19 | 44:14 $50: 2$ | 65:5 | 86:7 101:1 |  |
| part [9] | 13:17 | 28:12 | :19 | 51 | prelim ${ }^{\text {d }}$ [ | 45:19 | 65:15 66:18 | 81:4 | 112:25 |  |
| $28: 13$ | 82:12 | 84:21 | photographs |  | preliminary [6] | 1:14 | 93:17 112:2 | 113:15 | recorded 181 | 17:23 |
| 100:22 | 102:2 | 102:25 | 8:22. 10:18 | 50:12 | 3:13 86:16 | 114:3 |  |  | 18:2 34.12 | $34: 17$ |
| 103:2 |  |  | physical [1] | 65:7 | 14:8 114:11 |  | -0- |  | 34:20 70:3 | 70:6 |
| partia | $y_{[1]}$ | 63:19 | pick [2] 68:7 | 90:13 | cd | 82:6 |  |  | 74:17 |  |
| particip | pation |  | picked [9] | 68:5 |  |  |  |  | recorder ${ }^{\text {27 }}$ | 24:15 |
| 108:10 |  |  | 90:5 90:14 | 90:17 | preparing [2] | 82:5 | [11 | (12. | 67:10 |  |
| particle | S 131 | 55:6 | 90:23 90:25 | 92:3 | 82:6 |  | questioned [ | 7:12 | recording [s | 18.9 |
|  | 131 | 55.6 | 102:21 104:3 |  |  |  | questioning [4] | 24:23 | 18:13 24:12 | $69: 5$ |
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THE STATE OF NEVADA
-vs-

JAYSON TAOIPU

Plaintiff,

Defendant.
$\qquad$

## 

District Courl Case No._212667
Justice Court Case No. -05FB0052E


I, hereby cerify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the proceedings as the same appear in the above case.

WITNESS my hand this 6 day of DECEMBER $18 \times 2005$


# Justice $\mathbb{C}$ nut, Maul der © Tnumahip 

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA



CASE NO. 05EB0052E

COMMITMENT
and
ORDER TO APPEAR

An Order having been made this day by me, that
JAYSON TAOIPU
be held to answer upon the charge of
CT 1 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER
CT 2 MUSER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Committed in said Township and County, on or about the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2005
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of the County of Clark is hereby commanded to receive $\qquad$ HIM into custody, and detain $\qquad$ HIM $\qquad$ until $\qquad$ be legally discharged, and that HE be admitted to bail in the sum of NO BAIL. PER COT Dollars, and be committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said County, until such bail is given; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Defendant $\qquad$ IS $\qquad$ is/are commanded to appear in Department 14 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County Courthouse, Las Vegas, Nevada, at 9:00 A.M., on the 21 day of $\qquad$ . 29.2005 , for arraignment and further proceedings on the within charges.

DATED this 6 $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$
$\qquad$ 10. 2005

 $\frac{\text { CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA }}{200510 \mathrm{~N}-3 \mathrm{P} 1: 06}$
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
-vs-
KENNETH COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts II, \#1525643,
LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III \#1849634, ANABEL ESPINDOLA \#1849750, DEANGELO RESHA WN CARROLL \#1678381, JAYSON TAOIPU,

The Defendants above named having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165) and SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 199.500), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendants, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada,

## COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL and JAYSON TAOIPU did, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, then and there meet with each other and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendants and/or their co-conspirators, did commit the acts as set forth in Counts 2 thru 4, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.
COUNT 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
Defendants KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS
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至动。
ALONSO HIDALGO，aka，Luis Alonso Hidalgo III，ANABEL ESPINDOLA，DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL and JAYSON TAOIPU did，on or about May 19，2005，then and there wilfully，feloniously，without authority of law，and with premeditation and deliberation，and with malice aforethought，kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND，a human being，by shooting at and into the body and／or head of said TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND， with a deadly weapon，to－wit：a firearm，the Defendants being liable under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability，to－wit：（1）by directly or indirectly committing the acts with premediation and deliberation and／or lying in wait；and／or（2）by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by，directly or indirectly，counseling，encouraging， hiring，commanding，inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime，to－ wit：by Defendant ANABEL ESPINDOLA and／or DEFENDANT LUIS HILDAGO，III and／or Luis Hildago，Jr．procuring Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL to beat and／or kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND；thereafter，Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and／or JAYSON TAOIPU to shoot TIMOTHY HADLAND； thereafter，Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL and KENNETH COUNTS and JAYSON TAOIPU did drive to the location in the same vehicle；thereafter，Defendant DEANGELO CARROLL calling victim TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to the scene；thereafter，by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND；and／or（3）by conspiring to commit the crime of battery and／or battery with use of a deadly weapon and／or to kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND whereby each and every co－conspirator is responsible for the foreseeable acts of each and every co－conspirator during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy．

## COUNT 3 －SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO，aka，Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did，on or between May 23，2005，and May 24，2005，then and there wilfully， unlawfully，and feloniously counsel，hire，command or otherwise solicit another，to－wit： DEANGELO CARROLL，to commit the murder of JAYSON TAOIPU；the defendants being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability，to－wit：（1）by directly or
indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

## COUNT 4 - SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendants LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA did, on or between May 23 and May 24, 2005, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously counsel, hire, command or otherwise solicit another, to-wit: DEANGELO CARR LL, to commit the murder of RONTAE ZONE; the defendants being liable under one or more theories of criminal liability, (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense; and/or (2) ) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of murder where each and every co-conspirator is liable for the foreseeable acts of every other co-conspirator committed in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.


## 

## STATE OF NEVADA )

2005 JUN -3 P I: ObEVENT: 050519-3516

## COUNTY OF CLARK

DET. T. KYGER, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:
That she is a police officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, being so employed for a period of 13 years, assigned to investigate the crime(s) of MURDER WITH DEADLY WEAPON AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER WITH A DEADLY WEAPON committed on or about May 19, 2005, which investigation has developed JAYSON TAOIPU as the perpetrator thereof.

## That declarant developed the following facts in the course of the investigation of SAID CRIME TO WIT:

On 5-19-2005, at 2344 hours, Ismael Madrid called the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to report a man lying in the roadway at North Shore Road east at Lake Mead Blvd. Patrol officers and medical personnel were dispatched to North Shore Road and East Lake Mead. Officer J. Lafreniere arrived and observed the man, who was later identified as Timothy Hadland, lying in the road with a gunshot wound to the head. Medical units arrived and confirmed Hadland had no signs of life and was dead. Officer Lafreniere informed LVMPD dispatch that there was a vehicle directly east of Timothy Hadland's body. The vehicle was a silver colored, Kia Sportage, with Nevada registration 803SHR. The Kia Sportage's front driver and passenger windows were down, the engine was running and the lights were on.

Detective G. King arrived and assumed control of the crime scene. The roadway at North Shore Road and East Lake Mead Blvd. was closed and the crime scene was secured. Detective King contacted Lt. Monahan at the Homicide section, who contacted Detective J. Vaccaro, Detective M. McGrath, Detective M. Wildemann, and Detective T. Kyger who responded to North Shore Road and E. Lake Mead Blvd.

Upon arrival Detectives Kyger and Wildemann were assigned to interview witnesses and Detective McGrath conducted the crime scene investigation.

Detective McGrath observed Timothy Hadland lying face up on the asphalt approximately 30 feet east of a silver Kia Sportage with Nevada registration 803SHR. The vehicle was registered to Mark and Paijit Karlson at 8032 Glowing Water Street in Las Vegas, Nevada. McGrath observed several advertisement flyers for the "Palomino Club" in the roadway approximately four feet from Timothy Hadland's body. Detective McGrath observed a Nextel cellular telephone on the driver's side floorboard. Detective McGrath recovered the cellular telephone from the vehicle and observed several "missed phone calls". A wallet with identification in the name of Timothy Hadland was located in the rear compartment of
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the vehicle. The vehicle was sealed with LVMPD evidence stickers and towed to the LVMPD Crime Lab. No cartridge casings or bullets were located at the crime scene.

On 5-20-05, at approximately 0900 hours, Detective McGrath and Detective Vaccaro attended the autopsy of Timothy Hadland at the Clark County Coroner's Office. The autopsy was performed by Dr. Telgenhoff, who determined the cause of death was multiple gunshot wounds to the head and the manner of death homicide. Several bullet fragments were recovered from the body of Timothy Hadland, which were impounded by CSA L. Morton.

Homicide detectives used the cellular telephone to identify family members and associates of Timothy Hadland. Detectives accessed the call history of the victim's cell phone, and learned that the last person to call Timothy Hadland's phone was "Deangelo" at 11:27 p.m. "Deangelo" was identified by name in the pre-programmed cell phone directory. A records check of Deangelo's telephone number showed the subscriber to the telephone was Hidalgo's Auto Body Works. The billing is addressed to Anabel Espindola at 6770 Bermuda Road, Las Vegas, Nevada. A records check in scope showed Annabel Espindola has a work card as general manager for the Palomino Club in North Las Vegas.

Det. T. Aiken discovered a person by the name of Deangelo Carroll has a work card for employment at the Palomino Club. The records check of the murder victim, Timothy Hadland, showed he also worked at the Palomino Club.

Detectives contacted Allena Hadland, the daughter of Timothy Hadland. Allena told detectives Timothy was camping at Lake Mead with his girlfriend, Paijit Karlson. Detective Wildemann and Detective Kyger met with Paijit Karlson at the Lake Mead camp site. Paijit informed detectives that Timothy Hadland left the campground at approximately 11:30 p.m. to meet Deangelo and two other persons. She said Timothy Hadland was driving her silver Kia Sportage when he left the campsite. She further stated "Deangelo" worked at the Palomino Club.

Detective Wildemann and Detective Kyger contacted Luis Hidalgo, the owner of the Palomino Club. Luis Hidalgo informed detectives Deangelo Carroll was an employee of the Palomino Club, but he did not have an address or telephone number for Carroll. Hidalgo told detectives to return after 7:00 p.m. and meet with Ariel, who managed the business.

Detective McGrath and Detective Wildemann returned to the Palomino Club at 7:30 p.m and spoke with Michelle Schwanderlik, also known as "Ariel". She informed McGrath she was a Floor Manager at "the Club" (the Palomino Club) and worked for "Mr. H". She told detectives "Mr. H" was Luis Hidalgo the owner of the Palomino Club. She informed detectives Deangelo Carroll was working at "the Club" on 5-19-05 and 5-20-05. Ariel did not see Carroll between the hours of 11:00 p.m. on the 19 $9^{\text {th }}$ of May and 12:20 a.m. on the $20^{\text {th }}$. During the interview with Ariel, Deangelo Carroll arrived and agreed to speak with
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homicide detectives. Detectives McGrath and Wildemann drove Carroll to the Homicide office where he was interviewed.

Deangelo Carroll was read his Miranda Rights, he stated he understood and agreed to give a tape recorded statement.

The following information was provided by Carroll;
Carroll worked at the Palomino Club for Mr. Hidalgo. He performed various jobs for Mr. Hidalgo including handing out pamphlets and flyers to cab drivers and other potential customers. Carroll told detectives that Rontae Zone and Jayson Taoipu assisted him in passing out flyers for the Palomino Club.

On 5-19-05, Deangelo Carroll was contacted by Luis Hidalgo Jr., also known as "Little Lou". Luis Hidalgo, III is the son of "Mr. H" (Mr. Hidalgo) and manager of the club. "Little Lou" told Carroll to come to "the Club" and bring baseball bats and garbage bags. Carroll went to the Palomino Club and spoke with "Mr. H" (Mr. Hidalgo). "Mr. H" (Mr. Hidalgo) said he wanted to hire someone to "take care of" Timothy Hadland. Hadland was a previous employee of the Palomino Club and was "bad mouthing" the Club. Hadland had a lot of contact with cab drivers and was spreading rumors about "Mr. H" and "the Club". The Palomino Club was losing thousands of dollars in business. "Mr. H", told Carroll to find someone to kill Timothy Hadland. "Mr, H" said he would pay anyone who killed Hadland.

Carroll and Jayson drove to 1676 "E" Street in a white Chevy Astro Van. The van was a vehicle which was provided by "Mr. H". Carroll met with "KC", who lives at 1676 " $E$ " Street with his wife and kids. Carroll told "KC" that "Mr. H" was looking to hire someone to kill someone. "KC" told Carroll he would do it. Carroll and Taoipu drove back to Carroll's apartment and picked up Rontae Zone. On 5-19-05 at approximately 11:00 p.m., Carroll, Zone, and Taoipu returned to 1676 " $E$ " Street and met with "KC". "KC" entered the white Astro Van. "KC" was wearing a black "hoodie" sweatshirt, black pants, and black gloves. Carroll used his cellular telephone to contact Timothy Hadland. Hadland told Carroll he was camping at Lake Mead with his girlfriend.

Carroll told Hadland he would drive out to the Lake and meet at the stop sign. The stop sign would be at the end of the road after going through the E. Lake Mead toll booth. While driving to the meet Hadland, Carroll, Taoipu, Zone and "KC" all talked about killing Hadland. The plan was that Carroll would contact Hadland, and "KC" and Taoipu would shoot Hadland. During the drive out to meet Hadland, Carroll received a telephone call from Annabel Espindola. Espindola told Carroll that "Mr. H" said, "if Hadland was alone, then go through with the plan". However, if Hadland was not alone, he should not go through with the plan.
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Carroll turned east on North Shore Road and observed Hadiand driving west on North Shore Road. Carroll spoke with Hadland on his cell phone and both vehicles stopped on the side of the road. Hadland, who was driving the silver Kia Sportage, drove past Carroll's vehicle and made a U-turn in the road. Hadland drove east, parked in front of Carroll's white Astro Van and got out of his Kia Sportage. Hadland walked up to meet Carroll, who was seated in the driver's seat of the van. "KC" exited the van from the rear passenger's side door, walked to the front of the van and shot Hadland two times. Hadland fell to the ground and "KC" jumped back into the van, and yelled for Carroll to "drive". Carroll turned around and they drove south, ultimately driving into Henderson, before driving to the Palomino Club. "KC" and Carroll entered the Palomino Club and Carroll went into Mr. Luis Hidalgo, Sr.'s office and met with Anabel Espindola and Mr. Hidalgo, Sr. Carroll told "Mr. $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$, "it's done and "KC" wants his six thousand dollars." "Mr. H" told Espindola to get the money. Espindola went into the back room and came back with the money, which she handed to Carroll. Carroll then handed the money to "KC", who exited the club and left in a taxi cab. "Mr. H " and Anabel Espindola then devised a story that Carroll should use if the police contacted him.

Sometime between $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ and $7: 30 \mathrm{pm}$, Carroll received a telephone call from " $\mathrm{Mr} . \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}$ who told him that the police had been to the Palomino Club looking for him. He also revealed to Carroll that the detectives were driving a White Expedition.

Detectives McGrath and Wildemann asked Carroll for more details on "KC". Carroll explained that "KC" was a member of a criminal gang from California called "Black Pee Stone".

Through further investigation, detectives identified "KC" as possibly being Kenneth Counts. Carroll was shown a photograph of Kenneth Counts, and he positively identified Counts as the person he knows as "KC" and the person who shot Hadland.

On 5-21-05, Detectives McGrath and Wildemann spoke with Rontae Zone. Zone admitted to driving in the Chevy van along with Carroll, "KC" and Taoipu. Zone also confirmed that the plan was devised amongst the four to kill Hadland, and that he knew that "KC" was going to shoot Hadland. He confirmed they drove to 1676 "E" Street, where Counts (KC) was picked up prior to the shooting. Zone recognized the firearm as a . 357 revolver, which would explain the absence of shell casings at the murder scene, since revolvers do not eject spent casings like semi-automatic handguns do. Zone told detectives that he personally witnessed "KC" shoot Hadland twice in the head. It should be noted that these statements were made to the police against his own penal interests, and tend to demonstrate his credibility.

On 5-21-05, Detectives Wildemann and Vaccaro spoke with Jayson Taoipu. Taoipu also confirmed that he was in the Chevy van along with Carroll, "KC" and Zone, and that they drove to Lake Mead specifically for the purpose of killing Hadland. He observed Counts (KC) shoot Hadland with a large revolver two times. He confirmed Counts (KC) was picked up at 1676 "E" Street prior to the shooting. Taoipu knew Counts (KC) was going to shoot
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Hadland, because the four of them had discussed how the shooting was to take place as they drove towards the Lake.

On 05/23/2005, at approximately 1030 hours, Detective Marty Wildemann received a telephone call from Deangelo Carroll. Carroll said he spoke with Luis Hidalgo III, who told him to pick up the Palomino shuttle bus and drive it to Simone's. Carroll was instructed to telephone Mark Quad, the parts manager of Simone's, at 860-6382 when he was ready to pick the shuttle up. On 05/23/2005, at approximately 1400 hours, Detective M. McGrath and F.B.I. Special Agent Brett Shields met with Deanglo Carroll. The purpose of the meeting was to conduct a tape recorded conversation with Luis Hidalgo, "Mr. H", Anabel Espindola, and Luis Hidalgo III. Carroll telephoned Quad and told him he was ready to drive the shuttle bus to Simone's. Carroll was outfitted with a recording device and surveilled directly to Simone's Auto Plaza at 6770 Bermuda Road. He entered the business through the garage. After approximately thity minutes, Carroll exited the business and met with S/A Shields and Detective McGrath. Carroll handed S/A Shields a 750 milliliter bottle of "Tangueray" gin and fourteen hundred dollars of US currency. S/A Shields and Detective McGrath debriefed Carroll.

The following information was provided by Carroll:
Carroll drove directly to Simone's and entered the business. He met with Anabel Espindola, who told him to go to room \# 6, Luis Hidalgo III's office. Carroll walked to Luis' office, knocked on the door and entered after being greeted by Luis Hidalgo III. Hidalgo told Carroll the telephones and room were bugged. Hidalgo disconnected the telephone and spoke in a whisper. Espindola entered the office and asked Carroll to remove his clothing. Espindola and Luis wanted to know if Carroll was wearing a "wire." Carroll removed all of his clothing except his underwear. After confirming Carroll was not wearing a wire, Espindola and Hidalgo spoke in a whisper throughout the conversation with Carroll.

Luis had a large sword and was swinging it from side to side during this meeting. Luis told Carroll that if he told the police what happened, he would cut him up. Luis told Carroll that if he should have to go to jail, Luis would purchase "bonds" and give his wife a place to sleep. Luis said the bonds would increase and his wife could live in the condo, while he was in jail. Espindola told Carroll that "Mr. H" was already talking to a lawyer and that they would pay for his lawyer, should he get arrested. Carroll told them that "KC", and the "two others", referring to Jayson Taoipu and Rontae Zone, wanted more money. Espindola gave Carroll one thousand dollars to keep the "two others" quite and told Carroll the four hundred was for him.

Luis Hidalgo III handed Carroll a bottle of "Tangueray" gin. Espindola and Hidalgo discussed killing Zone and Taoipu. They told Carroll to put rat poison in the gin and give it to them. Espindola said, "that won't kill them." Hidalgo told Carroll to put rat poison in a "blunt", referring a marijuana cigarette. Hidalgo and Espindola believed that if they smoked the cigarette, they would die. Espindola told Carroll to get to the Palomino Club and resign. Espindola told him that he would still get money each week from them. Carroll could come
back to work at "The Club" in a few months once the police stopped nosing around. Carroll exited the business and met with SIA Shields and Detective McGrath.

SIA Shields removed the recording device from Carroll. McGrath and Shields listened to the conversation. It was confirmed that the entire conversation was conducted in a whisper and all of the information provided by Carroll in this debriefing was determined to be accurate.

Wherefore, declarant prays that a Warrant of Arrest be issued for suspect JAYSON TAOIPU on a charges) of MURDER WITH DEADLY WEAPON AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER WITH A DEADLY WEAPON.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 1st day of June, 2005.

DECLARANT:


DATE:
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# Justice Court, Boulder Townsfip 

NAME: JASON TAOIPU
CHARGES:CT 1 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER; CT 2 MURDER WITH USE OF DEADL.Y WEAPON

DATE, JUDGE OFFICER OF COURT PRESENT

APPEARANCES - HEARINGS
CONTINUE TO:

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 06 / 03 / 05 \\ & \text { D. LIPPIS } \end{aligned}$ | JUDGE LIPPIS SIGN ARREST WARRANT NO BAIL SET ON WARRANT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11/08/05 <br> D. LIPPIS FOR <br> J. BONAVENTURE <br> M. DIGIACOMO, DA <br> T. JACKSON, ESQ APPOINTED <br> S. CRAIG, CR <br> P. WILLEY, CLK | INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT <br> DEFT PRESENT IN COURT IN CUSTODY <br> DEFT ADVISED AND WIAIVES <br> COURT PASSES CASE FOR SCK FOR BOULDER CITY JUSTICE CT <br> SCK DATE SET <br> DEFT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE SHERIFF |
| 11/15/05 <br> V. MILLER <br> M. DIGIACOMO, DA <br> T. JACKSON, ESQ <br> J. DAVID, CR <br> N. SPILKER, CLK | DEFT PRESENT IN COURT IN CUSTODY <br> ORDER SIGNED IN OPEN COURT APPOINTING TERRY JACKSON AS COUNSEL P/H DATE SET <br> DEFT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE SHERIFF |
| 12/06/05 <br> V. MILLER <br> M. DIGIACOMO, DA <br> J. PESCI, DA <br> T. JACKSON, ESQ <br> N. SILVERMAN, CR <br> N. SPILKER, CLK | TIME SET FOR P/H <br> DEFT PRESENT IN COURT 'IN CUSTODY <br> MOTION BY DEFENSE TO EXCLUDE WITNESSES <br> MOTION GRANTED <br> STATE CALLS WITNESSES <br> \#1 MARTIN WILDMANN-WITNESS ID'S DEFT <br> \#2 DR. JERRY TELGENHOFF <br> STATE OFFERS EXHIBITS MARKED 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 <br> OBJECTION BY DEFENSE TO EXHIBITS MARKED 3 AND 7 <br> COURT ORDERS EXHIBITS MARKED $1,2,3,4,5,6,7$ TO BE ADMITTED STATE RESTS <br> MAGISTATE ADVISES DEFT OF HIS STATUTORY RIGHT TO MAKE SWORN OR <br> UNSWORN STATEMENT, AND/OR HIS RIGHT TO CALL WITNESSES <br> DEFT WAVIES HIS RIGHT TO MAKE A STATEMENT <br> dEFENSE CALLS WITNESSES <br> \#1 RONTAE ZONE-PRESENT WITH HIS ATTY SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER RANDY PIYE <br> WITNESS ID'S DEFT <br> DEFENSERESTS <br> DEFT BOUND OVER TO DISTRICT COURT AS CHARGED IN CRIMINAL COMPLAINT DEFT TO APPEAR IN THE EIGHTH JUDICAL DISTRICT COURT <br> DEFT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE SHERIFF |
|  | Tolume $1-213$ |

## JUSTICE COURI, LAS UEGAS TOW'NSHITP

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

PRETRIAL SERVICES INFORMATION SHEET<br>CASE NO. 05FB0052E<br>DEPT NO. JC 9<br>REQ:<br>NAME: TAOIPU, JAYSON<br>ID\#: 1970800<br>CHARGES: CONSP COMMIT MURDER; MURDER WDW CURRENT BAIL: NB ALL CHARGES

VERIFIED: ADDRESS: NOT INTERVIEWED WITH WHOM/HOW LONG:

VERIFIED: EMPLOYMENT:
DISABLED:
VERIFIED: RELATIVES: LOCAL

UNEMPLOYED:
STUDENT:

NOT LOCAL

FELONY/GROSS MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS:
NONE

MISDEMEANOR CONVICTIONS: 0
FAIL TO APPEAR: 0
PENDING CHARGES/HOLDS/COMMENTS:
NONE

## RECOMMENDATION:

PRETRIAL SERVICES T. REDDICK


OTHER CHARGES RECOMMENDED FOR CONSIDERAtION:


 and that the offense occurred at approximately__ hours on the _ $\qquad$ day 01 , in tia country of <clank or a city al las vegas. NV . details for probable cause: on ills/05 Detectives M'forath and wildemonn contacted the save suspect. He had a warrant for murder w/ A Deadly Weapon and Conspiracy to commit murder. TreE warrants were con firmed by p\#t 4192 . He was transported and booked, wt the Clark county Detention center
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Wherefore, Declarant prays that a finding be made by a magistrate that probable cause exists to hold said persorfpr preliminary hearing (if charges are a felony or gross misdemeanor) or for trial (if charges are a misdemeanor).



| THE STATE OF NEVADA, |
| :---: | :---: |
| Plaintiff, |
| -vs- |
| JAYSON TAOIPU, |
| Defendant; |

## Wo

$14648620 / 00$

CASE NO: 05FB0052E

WARRANT OF ARREST CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony NRS $200.010,200.030,199.480$ ) and
MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADEY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165), CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony $-\mathrm{NRS} 200.010,200.030,199.480$ ) and
MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADAY WEAPON (Felony - NRS $200.010,200.030,193.165$ ), have been committed, and accusing JAY SON TAOIPU thereof;

YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED forthwith to arrest the above named JAYSON
PU and bring him before me at my office in Boulder City, County of Clark, State of Nevada,
YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED forthwith to arrest the above named JAYSON
TAOIPU and bring him before me at my office in Boulder City, County of Clark, State of Nevada,
or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest and most accessible Magistrate in
YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED forthwith to arrest the above named JAYSON
TAOIPU and bring him before me at my office in Boulder City, County of Clark, State of Nevada,
or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest and most accessible Magistrate in this County,

WITNESS my hand this $\qquad$
 , 2005.
And I direct that this Warrant may be served a any hour of the day or night.


Justice of by peace in and for Said Township SHERIFFS RETURN
I hereby certify that I received the above and foregoing Warrant on the 3 day of 2003 , and served the same by arresting the within named Defendant. bringing him into Court this $\qquad$ 201 , and
 To: Any Sheriff, Constable, Marshall, Policeman, or Peace Officer in This State:

An affidavit upon oath has been this day laid before me by M. Mcgrath, that the crimes of
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
arrant may be served army hour of the day or night.


BILL YOUNG, Sherif, Clark County, Nevada BY


CLARK COUNTY PRETRIAL QUESTIONNAIRE AND FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT


BASED ON $\qquad$ VERIFIED POINTS THIS DEFENDANT HAS RECEIVED, AND THE INFORMATION GATHERED BY INTAKE SERVICES, THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATION IS MADE:
$\qquad$ Supervised Release with Conditions as Directed by Intake Services: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Bail Reduction To: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Not Recommended for an O/R Release or Bail Reduction Because: A) PARL
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Release Granted: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
Bail Reduction To: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Release Denied: $\qquad$ Date:
JC-1 (Inukike Services)
Rev. $02 / 05$
whire-Court

```
C****
```

*************** NCJIS WANTED PERSON SYSTEM ***************

```
PIN-0209 NCJIS WARRANT HAS BEEN SUCCESSFULLY CLEARED
*
```** CLEARING AGENCY /NV0020121 - CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER*
```

* 

```* ARRESTING AGENCY /NV0020100 - LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
```

* ENTERING AGENCY /NV0020135 - CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER ..... *
* CONFIRMING AGENCY/NVO020135 - CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER ..... *
* VALIDATING AGENCY/NV0020178 - LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN PD ..... *
* NIN/W014648620 DATE:11/03/05 ..... *
* SEQ/001 REASON/SERVED

```TIME: 16:52:33* WARRANT NAME /TAOIPU, JAYSON* BASE RECORD NAME/TAOIPU, JAYSON**
```

* COURT CASE \#/05FB0052E

```*
```

* COURT/NV002073J - BOULDER TOWNSHIP JUSTICE COURT ..... * ..... COURT
$\star \star \star \star \star k \star * * * * * * * * * *$

JUSTICE COURT, BOULDER CITY TOWNSHIP

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA



CASE NO: 05FB0052E

WARRANT OF ARREST

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
To: Any Sheriff, Constable, Marshall, Policeman, or Peace Officer in This State:
An affidavit upon oath has been this day laid before me by M. Mcgrath, that the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480) and MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165), have been committed, and accusing JAYSON TAOIPU thereof,

YOU ARE THEREFORE COMMANDED forthwith to arrest the above named JAYSON TAOIPU and bring him before me at my office in Boulder City, County of Clark, State of Nevada, or in case of my absence or inability to act, before the nearest and most accessible Magistrate in this County.

WITNESS my hand this $\qquad$ of $\qquad$ 2005.

And I direct that this Warrant may be served a- any hour of the day or night.


## SHERIFF'S RETURN

I hereby certify that I received the above and foregoing Warrant on the $\qquad$ day of 20 bringing him into Court this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$ .

BILL YOUNG, Sheriff, Clark C County, Nevada BY

Deputy

05FB0052E/jmh
LVMPD EV\#050519356
CONS MRDR; MWDW - F
(TK7)
AMA; 07261988; 618145406

## INFO

DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781
MARC DIGIACOMO
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#006955
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff
I.A. 12/21/05
DISTRICT COURT
9:00 A.M.
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
T. Jackson


THE STATE OF NEVADA. )

Case No: C212667
Dept No: XIV

INFORMATION

STATE OF NEVADA ,
COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss.
DAVID ROGER, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That JAYSON TAOIPU, the Defendants) above named, having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480) and MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.010, $200.030,193.165$ ), on or between May 19, 2005, and May 24, 2005, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendant JAYSON TAOIPU, and KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL

ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL did, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, then and there meet with each other and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendants and/or their co-conspirators, did commit the acts as set forth in Count 2 . COUNT 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant JAYSON TAOIPU and KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL did, then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, a human being, by shooting at and into the body and/or head of said TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, with a deadly weapon, towit: a firearm, the Defendant and KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL being liable under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts with premediation and deliberation and/or lying in wait; and/or (2) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime, to-wit: by ANABEL ESPINDOLA and/or LUIS HILDAGO, III and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. procuring DEANGELO CARROLL to beat and/or kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and/or JAYSON TAOIPU to shoot TIMOTHY HADLAND; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL and KENNETH COUNTS and JAYSON TAOIPU did drive to the location in the same vehicle; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL calling victim TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to the scene; thereafter, by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; and/or (3) by conspiring to commit the crime of battery and/or battery with use of a deadly weapon and/or to kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND whereby each and every co-conspirator is responsible
for the foreseeable acts of each and every co-conspirator during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

BY


DAVID ROGER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar \#002781

Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this Information are as follows:

NAME
HADLAND, ALLAN
KARSON, PAJIT
KRYLO, JAMES
MADRID, ISMAEL
MCGRATH, MICHAEL
MORTON, LARRY
RENHARD, LOUISE
SCHWANDERLIK, MICHELLE
SMITH, STEPHANIE
TAOIPU, JAYSON
TELGENHOFF, DR. GARY
VACCARO, JAMES
WILDEMANN, MARTIN
ZONE, RONTAE
DA\#05FB0052E/ddm
LVMPD EV\#0505193516
CONSP;MWDW - F
(TK7)

ADDRESS
ADDRESS UNKNOWN ADDRESS UNKNOWN LVMPD P\#5945

1729 STAR RIDGE WAY LV NV
LVMPD P\#4575
LVMPD P\#4935
LVMPD P\#5223
4037 OVERBROOK DR LV NV
LVMPD P\#6650
2008 JEANNE DR LV NV
C.C.M.E. \#0003

LVMPD P\#1480
LVMPD P\#3516
c/o BILL FALKNER, Clark County D.A. Office

INFO
DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781
MARC DIGIACOMO


Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#006955
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff
I.A. 12/21/05

9:00 A.M.
DISTRICT COURT
T. Jackson

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
-vs-
JAYSON TAOIPU, \#1970800

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Case No: } & \text { C212667 } \\
\text { Dept No: } & \text { XIV }
\end{array}
$$

AMENDED
INFORMATION

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK ${ }^{\text {Ss. }}$

DAVID ROGER, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That JAYSON TAOIPU, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480) and VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.040, 200.050, 200.080, 193.165), on or about May 19, 2005, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

## COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendant JAYSON TAOIPU, and KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL did, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, then and there meet with each other and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendant and/or his co-conspirators, did commit the acts as set forth in Count 2. COUNT 2 - VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant JAYSON TAOIPU did then and there without authority of law, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, without malice and without deliberation kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, a human being, by shooting at and into the body and/or head of said TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the Defendant and KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL being liable under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability, towit: (1) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime, to-wit: by ANABEL ESPINDOLA and/or LUIS HILDAGO, III and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. procuring DEANGELO CARROLL to beat and/or kill TIMOTHY JAY

HADLAND; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and/or JAYSON TAOIPU to shoot TIMOTHY HADLAND; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL and KENNETH COUNTS and JAYSON TAOIPU did drive to the location in the same vehicle; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL calling victim TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to the scene; thereafter, by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; and/or (2) by conspiring to kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND.

BY


DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar \#002781

DA\#05FB0052E/
LVMPD EV\#0505193516
CONSP MURDER;VMWDW - F
(TK7)

DISTRICT COURT 2098 HaR 20 A 8: 06 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
CASE NO. C212667
Plaintiff,
vs.
JAYSON TAOIPU,
Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 2007

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE:
CHANGE OF PLEA

APPEARANCES:
FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
MARC DIGIACOMO, ESQ. GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ.

TERRANCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.
FOR THE DEFENDANT:

RECORDED BY: JANIE L. OLSEN, COURT RECORDER

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NV, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 2007

THE BAILIFF: Remain seated; come to order, please. Court is in session.

THE COURT: You ready to go?
MR. DIGIACOMO: On this part, yes,
MR. JACKSON: I'm ready. There's one matter that we might want to address that --

THE COURT: In chambers or on the record?
MR. JACKSON: We can put it on the record. I think it has to be on the record.

Mr. Taoipu is here. He is -- we have a signed plea agreement here before the Court. The only members in the court -- the only people in the court at this time are members of the District Attorney's Office, two interns from the District Attorney's Office, investigator from the District Attorney's Office, my investigator, Mr. Saxon, the defendant's father, and the defendant's girlfriend, and the defendant's cousin. That is at the defendant's request -- and the court staff.

The defendant, because of his --
THE COURT: Wait a second.
Oh, are we okay?
MR. PESCI: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: Because we had called down to --
MR. JACKSON: The defendant requested me -- and this has been long complicated negotiations. It involves the defendant entering the plea of
guilty to a reduced charge, voluntary manslaughter with use of a weapon, and conspiracy to commit murder; serious felonies involving his participation in homicide.

It was a very difficult step for Mr. Taoipu to get involved in this, but one of the difficulties in him making this decision is that --

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Jackson.
All right. I'm sorry, Mr. Jackson. Go ahead.
MR. JACKSON: One of the difficulties of Mr. Taoipu making this decision is he has been under substantial pressure, both in terms of fear and pressure being put on him by other individuals.

As the Court may know, there are individuals who are also charged with him, that were also charged in other counts with attempting to kill him. This was after the homicide of Mr. Hadland. One of the counts against, I believe, Mr. Counts and Mr. Carroll, is that they were attempting or had agreed to kill Mr. Taoipu, mainly to silence him or to keep him from, in fact, cooperating with the authorities.

Because of that -- and that was -- that was almost two years ago, but more recently there has been substantial pressure put on Mr. Taoipu. I can advise the Court that I became aware of that pressure at least a month -- a month ago. I've had numerous conversations in the jail with Mr. Taoipu. It's been a very delicate negotiation.

My investigator, Mr. Saxon, is here. He can verify that we've had substantial conversations concerning this, that we've been very concerned about Jayson's welfare. His father is present in court. He has been very instrumental in helping us work through these difficulties with

Jayson. We're all concerned about Jayson's safety.
Now, the last conversation I had with Jayson yesterday in the jail, be -- before these negotiations were finalized, is Jayson agreed he would go along with the negotiation, but he wanted to do it without people in the courtroom except those people he trusted.

I immediately went back to my office. I called the court, I think I spoke to Penny Wisner, advised her that this was our request that we could do this in a closed courtroom. She said she would talk to you and -and make this request known, but she didn't know if you would go along with that, especially excluding the press.

I said that Jayson was aware that his cooperation would become known eventually; it would become known to the defense attorneys. In fact, I have advised Mr. Whipple already that the defendant was contemplating a plea in this matter, but that he was still uncertain. At least as of last night he was uncertain.

After speaking to him again this morning with his father, he has reached the decision he's going to go forward with the negotiations. But he would prefer, at least until the plea is down, at least until he testifies, that the press not be aware that he's testifying with the intent to -- or that he is pleading with the intent to testify.

I realize there are first amendment rights of the press.
THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, just to --
MR. JACKSON: And l've never --
THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt you. And -- and, you know, basically, my feeling is I don't like to seal the courtroom, especially in a case
like this where there is public interest in the case. You know, and there needs to be, obviously, review of, you know, pleas and actions and things like that.

If there is a compelling interest to take his plea without them being here then I'm willing to do that. I'm wondering, Mr. Jackson, if we put it on the record his compliance for taking the plea itself, just the factual plea of guilty. Is there a reason to exclude and to seal the courtroom just to take the plea, not to discuss any cooperation or anything like that?

Do you understand what I'm saying?
MR. JACKSON: 1 -- the defendant would prefer to do the plea without the press in here. Afterwards, if -- if it -- if the press wants to be advised that he entered a plea I don't have any problem with that.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JACKSON: But he would like to just do it without the press being in here while he does it.

Is that correct, Jayson?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
MR. JACKSON: He's nervous.
MR. DIGIACOMO: There's no press here now.
MR. JACKSON: He -- he's --
THE COURT: No, there's no press here now because they all were removed. But what Mr. Jackson is saying is he does not -- he wants the guards to stay at the door to make sure the press doesn't come in.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Were they actually asked to leave, or was your hearing over that the press was present for is -- is really the question.

Because if there's just nobody here then we do it now and then there won't be an issue. If the -- if -- if there is an issue then --

MR. JACKSON: I'm just saying --
THE COURT: Yeah, there's nobody here right now.
MR. JACKSON: I'd like to do it now and then we --
THE COURT: Let's just do it now.
MR. JACKSON: -- can advise him what he pled.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Well -- no, that's just the defense attorneys. Okay.

THE COURT: Let's just go ahead and do it now. Do you have a guilty plea memo?

MR. JACKSON: Yes.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, there is one correction that needs to be made. It shows -- still shows Department 14, it's been moved to 21 .

THE COURT: We can interlineate.
MR. JACKSON: And I also made an interlineation. It says her attorney on one page. I change -- changed it to his. I think it must've been a --

THE COURT: I'll see counsel at the bench, Mr. Jackson.
(Conference at the bench.)
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taoipu, what we're going to do is we're going to seal Exhibit 2 of the guilty plea agreement. Exhibit 2 is the part of the guilty plea agreement that talks about you possibly testifying and everything like that. At Mr. Jackson's request and, I think, at the State's request as well.

Is that right, Mr. DiGiacomo?
MR. DIGIACOMO: That's correct, Judge. The State is going to request that --

THE COURT: Because of issues with respect to security and what not the Court is going to go ahead and do that. For the record, though, one of the defense attorneys involved in the case --

## Yes?

Oh, you know what, Mr. Jackson? I'm sorry, but I need to run down -- we need to resolve the issue with Mr. Whipple and Stu is only available for the next 15 minutes. I need to run down to Judge Bell on that issue. Mr. Counts will not be brought up. Basically, I'm just going to put on the record right now Exhibit No. 2 will be sealed at everybody's request to prevent intimidation or anything like that.

I am going to take your plea. You know, if the newspaper writes about that they write about it, but we're not going to put anything else on the record. This, obviously, is a part of the record, but it will be in a sealed file pursuant to court order. Mr. DiGiacomo is going to submit that to me and I'm going to sign it.

And then in terms of when I take your plea, it won't discuss any of your cooperation or anything like that. I'll just go over the -- you know, say you signed it, did you understand it, blah blah blah, and then ask you what you did and kind of go through that with you. All right? So that won't be part of what goes on in front of anybody.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And the court minutes won't reflect anything related to Exhibit No. 2 that we pulled up on the internet.

THE COURT: All right. Very well.
MR. JACKSON: So they're going to keep all of that out. None of that

MR. PESCI: Judge, do you want us to come with you for this meeting as well?

THE COURT: Well, yeah, come on down.
MR. JACKSON: Do you want --
THE COURT: I - |'m --
MR. DIGIACOMO: We should grab Mr. Whipple and Ms. Wildeveld as well.

MR. JACKSON: Do you need me as well --
THE COURT: I need to --
MR. JACKSON: -- Your Honor?
THE COURT: -- talk to Stu first, so take your time.
MR. JACKSON: Do you need me as well, Your Honor? Or do --
THE COURT: You know what --
MR. JACKSON: -- should I stay --
THE COURT: -- I don't need you. You're welcome to stay here in the courtroom.

MR. JACKSON: All right, I'll stay with my client.
THE COURT: As I said, Mr. Counts will not be brought in. We'll do you first.

MR. JACKSON: I'm going to stay with my client.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Jackson.
(Recess taken at 11:15 a.m.)

THE COURT: Are we ready to go on this?
MR. JACKSON: I'm ready. I think Mr. Taoipu is ready.
THE COURT: Are you ready?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Judge. We're having an argument here, but we are ready.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to go forward on the guilty plea of Mr. Taoipu.

Mr. Taoipu, would you please stand up? The Court is in possession of a written plea of guilty which was signed by you along with an Amended Information that was filed in open court.

Before I may accept your plea of guilty I must be satisfied that your plea is freely and voluntarily given.

Are you making this plea freely and voluntarily?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Other than what's contained in the written plea of guilty, have any promises or threats been made to you to induce you to enter your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: Before you signed the written plea of guilty did you read it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Did you understand everything contained in the written plea as well as the charges of conspiracy to commit murder and voluntary manslaughter with use of a deadly weapon to which you are pleading guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And I believe Count 2, voluntary manslaughter with use of a deadly weapon, is going to be a fictitious plea; is that right, Mr .

DiGiacomo?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Fictitious in the -- in the charge of the crime. The actual language used in to what he did is not fictitious.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for clarifying that on the record. Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Did you have a full opportunity to discuss your plea of guilty as well as the charge to which you are pleading guilty with your attorney Mr. Jackson?

THE DEFENDANT: Can you say that over?
THE COURT: Did you have a chance to ask him any questions you have and --

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: -- discuss your plea and all of that with Mr. Jackson?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Do you need to any additional time to discuss your plea with Mr. Jackson?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Before the Court accepts your plea of guilty is there anything you want to ask me about your plea or about the charges to which you are pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Now we're going to go through this. And tell
me what you did on or about May 19, 2005, which causes you to plead guilty to the crime of conspiracy to commit murder?

THE DEFENDANT: I aided and abetted of killing Timothy Hadland.
THE COURT: Okay. And did you agree with these individuals listed on Count No. 1, conspiracy to commit murder -- to commit the crime of murder?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. And you made that agreement with them; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: And in furtherance of that agreement either you or one of your co-conspirators committed the acts that are set forth in Count 2, which we're going to get to right now; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. And then tell me what you did that is causing you to plead guilty to Count No. 2, voluntary manslaughter with use of a deadly weapon?

THE DEFENDANT: Can I talk to my lawyer about that?
I went with Deangelo Carroll and Ken Counts out to the lake and assisted if necessary.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you actually, pursuant to your agreement, did you actually drive with them to the lake?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: And the purpose of that was to shoot the victim, Timothy Hadland; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: And you were in the same vehicle with them; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. And you were there to provide assistance if necessary; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: And thereafter one of these individuals did actually shoot and kill Timothy Hadland; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Is that acceptable with the State?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Which individual?
THE COURT: Was that Mr. Kenneth Counts?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Is that acceptable with the State?
MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taoipu, the Court finds that your plea of guilty has been freely and voluntarily given and hereby accepts your plea of guilty. The matter is referred to the department of parole and probation for a presentence investigation report and set over for rendition of sentencing on --

Do we have a sentencing date in the ordinary course, or do we want to -- l'll see counsel up here.

MR. JACKSON: Can we set it in the ordinary course and then we may want to reset it.

> (Conference at the bench.)

THE COURT: All right. We're going to go ahead and status check this, and we're going to set this over for further proceedings in the beginning of September.

And if we can have a date? Let's go for the first week in
September.
THE CLERK: September $4^{\text {th }}$ at $9: 30$.
THE COURT: All right. We'll see you all back here then.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
MR. PESCI: Thank you.
THE COURT: And I'll see George.
I'm saying to make sure they get you out of here and then
bring the next guy up.
MR. WHIPPLE: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yeah?
MR. WHIPPLE: Can -- can we approach you?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. JACKSON: What -- what time was it on September $4^{\text {th }}$ ?
THE COURT: 9:30.
MR. JACKSON: 9:30. All right.
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ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
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DAVID ROGER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar \#002781
MARC DIGIACOMO
Chief Deputy District Attomey
FILED IN OPEN COURT
Chief Deputy District
Nevada Bar $\# 006955$
200 Lewis Avenue
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CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
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-vs-

| JAYSON TAOIPU, |
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| \#1970800 |

Defendant.

## GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

I hereby agree to plead guilty to: COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Category B Felony - NRS 199.480, 200.030) and COUNT 2 - VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS $200.040,200.050,200.080$ ), as more fully alleged in the charging document attached hereto as Exhibit " 1 ".

My decision to plead guilty is based upon the plea agreement in this case which is as follows:

The State retains the right to argue, however, the State agrees to recommend concurrent sentences between the counts. Additionally, both sides agree to be bound by the terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit " 2 ," and incorporated by reference.

## CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLEA

I understand that by pleading guilty I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offense(s) to which I now plead as set forth in Exhibit "1".

I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty as to Count 1 , the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of not less than TWO (2) years and a maximum term of not more than TEN (10) years. Further, I understand that as a consequence of my plea to Count 2 , the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of not less than ONE (1) year and a maximum term of not more than TEN (10) years, plus an equal and consecutive minimum term of not less than ONE (1) year and a maximum term of not more than TEN (10) years for the use of a deadly weapon enhancement. The minimum term of imprisonment may not exceed forty percent ( $40 \%$ ) of the maximum term of imprisonment. I understand that I may also be fined up to $\$ 10,000.00$. I understand that the law requires me to pay an Administrative Assessment Fee.

I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make restitution to the victim of the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty and to the victim of any related offense which is being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. 1 will also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses related to my extradition, if any.

I understand that I am eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty. I understand that, except as otherwise provided by statute, the question of whether I receive probation is in the discretion of the sentencing judge.

I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served concurrently or consecutively.

I also understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by the Court within the limits prescribed by statute.

I understand that if my attorney or the State of Nevada or both recommend any specific punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the recommendation.

I understand that if the State of Nevada has agreed to recommend or stipulate a particular sentence or has agreed not to present argument regarding the sentence, or agreed not to oppose a particular sentence, or has agreed to disposition as a gross misdemeanor when the offense could have been treated as a felony, such agreement is contingent upon my appearance in court on the initial sentencing date (and any subsequent dates if the sentencing is continued). I understand that if I fail to appear for the scheduled sentencing date or I commit a new criminal offense prior to sentencing the State of Nevada would regain the full right to argue for any lawful sentence.

I understand if the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty to was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole that I am not eligible for credit for time served toward the instant offense(s).

I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty, if I am not a citizen of the United States, I may, in addition to other consequences provided for by federal law, be removed, deported, excluded from entry into the United States or denied naturalization.

I understand that the Division of Parole and Probation will prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing. This report will include matters relevant to the issue of sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may contain hearsay information regarding my background and criminal history. My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the information contained in the report at the time of sentencing. Unless the District Attorney has specifically agreed otherwise, then the District Attorney may also comment on this report.

## WAIVER OF RIGHTS

By entering my plea of guilty, I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following rights and privileges:

1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about my refusal to testify.
2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, free of
excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the offense charged.
3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses who would testify against me.
4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testify on my behalf.
5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.
6. The right to appeal the conviction, with the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless the appeal is based upon reasonable constitutional jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings and except as otherwise provided in subsection 3 of NRS 174.035.

## VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

1 have discussed the elements of all of the original charge(s) against me with my attorney and I understand the nature of the charge(s) against me.

I understand that the State would have to prove each element of the charge(s) against me at trial.

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor.

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney.

I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and that a trial would be contrary to my best interest.

I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation with my attorney, and I am not acting under duress or coercion or by virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those set forth in this agreement.

1 am not now under the influence of any intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance or other drug which would in any manner impair my ability to comprehend or understand this agreement or the proceedings surrounding my entry of this plea.

My attorney has answered all my questions regarding this guilty plea agreement and its consequences to my satisfaction and I am satisfied with the services provided by my attorney.

DATED this $\qquad$ day of June, 2007.
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CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
-vs-
JAYSON TAOIPU, \#1970800

Case No: C212667 Dept No: XIV

AMENDED
INFORMATION

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK ${ }^{\text {ss. }}$

DAVID ROGER, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That JAYSON TAOIPU, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480) and VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.040, 200.050, 200.080, 193.165), on or about May 19, 2005, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

## COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Defendant JAYSON TAOIPU, and KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL did, on or between May 19, 2005 and May 24, 2005, then and there meet with each other and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendant and/or his co-conspirators, did commit the acts as set forth in Count 2. COUNT 2 - VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Defendant JAYSON TAOIPU did then and there without authority of law, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, without malice and without deliberation kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, a human being, by shooting at and into the body and/or head of said TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the Defendant and KENNETH JAY COUNTS, aka Kenneth Jay Counts, II, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka, Luis Alonso Hidalgo III, ANABEL ESPINDOLA, and DEANGELO RESHAWN CARROLL being liable under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability, towit: (1) by aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by, directly or indirectly, counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing or otherwise procuring each other to commit the crime, to-wit: by ANABEL ESPINDOLA and/or LUIS HILDAGO, III and/or Luis Hildago, Jr. procuring DEANGELO CARROLL to beat and/or kill TIMOTHY JAY

HADLAND; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL procuring KENNETH COUNTS and/or JAYSON TAOIPU to shoot TIMOTHY HADLAND; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL and KENNETH COUNTS and JAYSON TAOIPU did drive to the location in the same vehicle; thereafter, DEANGELO CARROLL calling victim TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND to the scene; thereafter, by KENNETH COUNTS shooting TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND; and/or (2) by conspiring to kill TIMOTHY JAY HADLAND.
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[^9]:    A. DeAngelo Carroll.
    Q. Okay. After he left your office on the 20th, when was the next time you saw Mr. Taoipu?
    A. I belicve - I believe it's when I picked him up in California.
    Q. And do you know approximately when you
    went to California to - to pick him up?
    A. I don't. I'm sorry.
    Q. Was it in, let's say, the last two months?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Okay. So between May and the time that
    you saw him whenever he got arrested in California you didn't have any further contact with
    Mr. Taoipu?
    A. No.
    Q. Okay. MR. Dicilacomo: Judge, it's marked as I don't remember now what the P.D.s marked it. THE CLERK: 3. MR. DiGiLACOMO: I guess I should show it to him.
    BY MR. DIGLACOMO:
    Q. Eventually you had a transcript created
    as State's Exhibit No. 3; is that corrcct?

