## 1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 WILBURT HICKMAN, JR. A/K/A CASE NO. 64776 (District Court Case No. C-12-278699-1) WILLIAM HICKS 3 Sep 24 2014 12:45 p.m. Appellant, Tracie K. Lindeman DOCKETING STATE OF Supreme Court 4 CRIMINAL APPEALS VS. 5 (Including pretrial and post-conviction habeas corpus and petitions for post-STATE OF NEVADA, conviction relief) 6 Respondent. 7 **GENERAL INFORMATION** 8 1. Judicial District Eighth Judicial District County Clark 9 **Judge** Carolyn Ellsworth **District Court Case No.** C-12-278699-1 10 2. If the defendant was given a sentence, 11 What is the sentence? Adjudged guilty of Count 9 – Battery with 12 Use of a Deadly Weapon (Felony); Count 10 – Battery with Use of a Deadly 13 Weapon Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm (Felony); Counts 11 through 16 -14 Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon (Felony); and Count 17 – Burglary 15 (Felony). 16 Hickman was sentenced under the small habitual statute to the Nevadal 17 Department of Corrections ("NDC") as follows: Count 9 – a MAXIMUM of TWO 18 HUNDRED FIFTEEN (215) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of 19 SIXTY (60) MONTHS; Count 10 - a MAXIMUM of TWO HUNDRED

FIFTEEN(215) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60)

20

MONTHS, Count 10 ordered CONSECUTIVE to Count 9; Count 11 - a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTEEN (16) MONTHS, Count 11 ordered CONCURRENT to Count 10; Count 12 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTEEN (16) MONTHS, Count 12 ordered CONCURRENT to Count 11; Count 13 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTEEN (16) MONTHS, Count 13 ordered CONCURRENT to Count 12; Count 14 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTEEN (16) MONTHS, Count 14 ordered CONCURRENT to Count 13; Count 15 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTEEN (16) MONTHS, Count 15 ordered CONCURRENT to Count 14; Count 16 – a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTEEN (16) MONTHS, Count 16 ordered CONCURRENT to Count 15; and Count 17 – a MAXIMUM of NINETY SIX (96) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY TWO (22) MONTHS, Count 17 ordered CONCURRENT to Count 16. Appellant was given seven hundred thirty-one (731) days credit for time served. Counts 1 through 8 – Attempt Murder With Use of Deadly Weapon (to wit: a Cadillac), were dismissed with prejudice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1		b. Has the sentence been stayed pending appeal? No.
2		c. Was defendant admitted to bail pending appeal? No.
3	3.	Was counsel in the district court appointed $\square$ or retained $\square$ ?
4	4.	Attorney filing this docketing statement:
5		Kristina Wildeveld, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 005825
6		The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld 615 S. 6th St.
7		Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone (702) 222-0007
8	5.	Is appellate counsel appointed $\square$ or retained $\square$ ?
9		If this is a joint statement by multiple defendants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement. N/A.
11	6.	Attorney(s) representing respondent(s):
12		STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. Clark County District Attorney
13		Nevada Bar No. 001565 STEVEN S. OWENS, ESQ.
14		Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 004352
15		200 Lewis Avenue, Third Floor Las Vegas, NV 89155
16		CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO, ESQ.
17		Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 003926
18		555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101
19		
20		

1	7.	Nature of disposition below:		
2		☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Grant of pretrial habeas	
3			☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence	
4		☐ Judgment upon guilty plea	☐ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)	
5		<ul><li>☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss</li><li>☐ Parole/Probation revocation</li><li>☐ Motion for new trial</li></ul>	☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Other disposition (specify)	
6		☐ grant ☐ denial		
7		☐ Motion to withdraw guilty plea ☐ grant ☐ denial		
8	8.	Does this appeal raise issues concer	ning any of the following:	
9		☐ death sentence☐ life sentence	☐ juvenile offender ☐ pretrial proceedings	
10	9.	· · · ·	ecide to expedite the appellate process	
11		in this matter. Are you in favor of proceeding in such manner? Yes □ No ☑		
12	10.	docket number of all appeals or origin	this court. List the case name and nal proceedings presently or previously	
13		appeals by co-defendants, appeal after	related to this appeal (e.g., separate post-conviction proceedings):	
14		N/A.		
15	11.		other courts. List the name, number	
16		and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):		
17				
18		State v. Wilburt Hickman, District Co	urt No. C-12-2/8699-1.	
19				
20				

## **12. Nature of action.** Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction following a jury trial. Wilburt Hickman (hereinafter "Hickman" or "Appellant") was charged by way of Information with: eight (8) counts of Attempt Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon (Felony) (Counts 1-8), one (1) count of Battery with Use of Deadly Weapon (Felony) (Count 9), one (1) count of Battery with Use of a Deadly Weapon Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm (Felony) (Count 10), six (6) counts of Assault with a Deadly Weapon (Felony) (Counts 11-16), one (1) count of Burglary (Felony) (Count 17), and one (1) count of Malicious Destruction of Property (Felony) (Count 18). Hickman was charged for the injuries to the church attendees and the church property arising out of the incident with his Cadillac.

Hickman was convicted and sentenced as outlined above, despite evidence that he had been forcibly removed by church security officers who broke his arm. It is worth noting that the jury hung on the first eight (8) counts charged, Attempt Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon, and those counts were later dismissed with prejudice. Hickman's daughter was inside the church, which was the reason for Hickman's presence at the church on December 18, 2011, and refused to speak with her father due to an argument they were having. When Hickman entered his car to drive away, the incident occurred in which the vehicle he was driving made contact with the church and a number of people complained of injuries as a result

1	of the commotion. Hickman appeals this conviction on the grounds that no word		
2	or ac	tions attributable to him support his convictions.	
3	13.	<b>Issues on appeal.</b> State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal:	
4		To be determined upon a more thorough review of the district court file and	
5	trial	transcripts. Primarily, however, Appellant intends to challenge the district	
6	court	's rulings affecting his ability to present jury instructions in support of his	
7	theory of defense.		
8	14.	Constitutional Issues. If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges	
9		the constitutionality of a statue or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?	
10 11		N/A ☑ Yes □ No □ If not, explain:	
12 13	15.	<b>Issues of first-impression or of public interest.</b> Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?	
14		First-Impression: Yes □ No ☑ Public Interest: Yes □ No ☑	
15		Appellant specifically reserves the right to raise any matters of first-	
16	impression or public interest in his Opening Brief in the event that they arise upon		
17	a more thorough review of the trial transcripts in this matter.		
18	16.	Length of trial. If this action proceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the	
19		district court, how many days did the trial or evidentiary hearing last? <u>Five (5)</u> days	
20			

1	<b>17. Oral Argument.</b> Would you object to submission of this appeal f disposition without oral argument?		
2		Yes □ No ☑	
3		TIMELINESS OF NOTI	ICE OF APPEAL
4	18.	Date of district court announced decisi January 2, 2014.	ion, sentence or order appealed from:
5	19.	Date of entry of written judgment or ore	der appeal from: <u>January 2, 2014.</u>
6 7		a. If no written judgment or order the basis for seeking appellate re-	was filed in the district court, explain view: N/A.
8	20.	If this appeal is from an order granti- habeas corpus, indicate the date writter	
9		was served by the district court: N/A.	
10		<b>a.</b> Was service by delivery $\square$ or by	mail $\square$ .
11	21.	If the time for filing the notice of apmotion,	ppeal was tolled by a post judgment
12		<b>a.</b> Specify the type of motion, and to	he date of filing of the motion: N/A.
13		Arrest judgment □	Date filed
14		New Trial □ (newly discovered evidence)	Date filed
15		New Trial □ (other grounds)	Date filed
16		<b>b.</b> Date of entry of written order res	olving motion
17	22.	Date notice of appeal filed: January 6, 2	<u>2014.</u>
18	23.	Specify statute or rule governing the	<del>_</del>
19		appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(b), NRS 34.560 other: NRS 177.015(1), and NRAP 4(b)	
20			

1	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY		
2	1 -	cify statute, rule or other auth ew from:	ority that grants this court jurisdiction to
3		S 177.015(1)(b) □	NRS 34.560 □
4	1	S 177.015(1)(c) □ S 177.015(2) □	NRS 34.575(1) ☑ NRS 34.575 (2) □
5	NRS	S 177.015(3) □ S 177.055 □	Other (specify)
6		WEDVE	CATION
7		VERIFI	CATION
8	I, th	he undersigned, hereby certif	y that the information provided in this
9	docketing	statement is true and complete	to the best of my knowledge, information
	and belief.		
10	DATED this 24th day of September, 2014.		
11		Re	spectfully Submitted by:
12		/o/-	: Kristina Wildeveld
13			RISTINA WILDEVELD, ESQ.
14			evada Bar No. 005825 5 S. 6th St.
14			s Vegas, NV 89101
15			02) 222-0007
16			torney for Appellant, ILBURT HICKMAN
17			
18			
19			
20			
-			

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on the 24th day of September, 2014, a true and correct
3	copy of the foregoing <b>DOCKETING STATEMENT</b> was electronically served
4	upon the appropriate parties hereto in accordance to the Master List.
5	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ.
6	Clark County District Attorney STEVEN S. OWENS, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
7	
8	CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO, ESQ. Nevada Attorney General
9	/a/r Caithan I. Ma Amia
10	/s/: Caitlyn L. McAmis An Employee of The Law Offices of
11	Kristina Wildeveld
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	