where the -- this is where the vehicle is going straight like this. I don't know if you

25

1	A	Right here in front of me to the left of the counselor, white shirt and	
2	glasses.		
3	Q	Coat on or off?	
4	A	What's that, sir?	
5	Q	Coat on or off?	
6	A	Off, sir.	
7	MR.	HAMNER: Let the record reflect the witness has identified the	
8	Defendant.		
9	THE COURT: It will.		
10	BY MR. HAMNER:		
11	Q	What was the Defendant's demeanor at the time that you saw him?	
12	A	He was uncooperative with me when I was asking him trying to ask	
13	him questions about what had happened.		
14	Q	Okay. Was he he was uncooperative. Was it a situation where you	
15	weren't able to he didn't understand the questions that you were asking or he just		
16	didn't want to		
17	A	He just didn't want to answer the questions.	
18	Q	Okay. Did you notice anything else? Did you notice any odors or	
19	anything like that?		
20	A	Yes, sir. There was an odor of alcohol about the gentleman, yes.	
21	Q	Okay. Did he have any difficulty walking or speaking at any point in	
22	time when you interacted with him?		
23	A	No, sir. When I got there, like I said, he was in the back of the vehicle.	
24	They had d	etained him and then while I was trying to ask him questions and he was	
25	being unco	operative that's when he was put on to the gurney and taken in the	

- 1		
1	ambulance.	
2	MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence. All right. Thank you. I have no further	
3	questions at this time.	
4	THE COURT: Cross.	
5	MR. POSIN: Thank you, Your Honor.	
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR. POSIN:	
8	Q Good morning, Officer Corbin.	
9	A Good morning.	
10	Q You in your duties as a police officer have dealt with other people who	
11	have been driving well under the influence of alcohol; correct?	
12	A Yes, sir.	
13	THE COURT: Counsel, let's retrieve those photos.	
14	MR. HAMNER: Yeah; I apologize, Your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: They might be distracting during your examination.	
16	All right. Thank you.	
17	BY MR. POSIN:	
18	Q And in fact in this case you issued a citation for driving under the	
19	influence; correct?	
20	A Yes, sir.	
21	Q And in connection with that citation, you mentioned the fact that he not	
22	only had an odor of alcohol but a very	
23	A Correction, sir. I did not issue the citation. Another officer issued the	
24	citation, I believe. The blood draw at the hospital, was that mine or someone else.	
25	MR. POSIN: Your Honor, can I approach the witness? Would it refresh you	

1	recollection	to look at the citation itself?
2	THE	WITNESS: It would, yes; yes sir.
3	THE	COURT: Yes, you may approach.
4	BY MR. PO	SIN:
5	Q	Is this the citation that was issued?
6	A	It looks that way, sir.
7	Q	And does that have your name at the bottom?
8	A	Yes, it does. I had another officer do the blood draw because I was at
9	the scene.	That's what that was.
10	Q	And, Officer Corbin, there's some other associated documents here.
11	Are these documents yours or was that done by some other officer?	
12	MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, if I could just see what he's referring to.	
13	MR. POSIN: That's fair enough, Your Honor. I will show counsel.	
14	THE COURT: Why don't you approach?	
15	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.	
16	THE	WITNESS: That's what's called an impaired driver report.
17	BY MR. POSIN:	
18	Q	And was that something that you did or some other officer did?
19	A	If my name's on it I did it.
20	Q	And is that your handwriting there?
21	A	Yes, it is.
22	Q	Okay. And so at that time did you say that there was a very strong odd
23	of alcohol?	
24	A	Yes, it was.
25	l Q	Now normally if you suspect that somebody has been driving while

1	Q	When you spoke to him, did he indicate to you whether he was drinking
2	or not?	
3	A	I do not remember. I'd have to look at my report, sir.
4	Q	Would it help to refresh your recollection?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	MR. HAMNER: Permission to approach the witness?	
7	THE COURT: Granted.	
8	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.	
9	BY MR. HAMNER:	
10	Q	Officer, I want you to take a look here. Let me know when your
11	memory's refreshed and then I'll re-ask you the question and you can answer it.	
12	A	Okay.
13	Q	What was the Defendant's response as to have you been drinking?
14	A	First he said no, then he said yes, and I asked him how much and he
15	said one.	
16	Q	I'm going to have to show it to you one more time.
17	A	Okay. I'm sorry.
18	Q	That's okay. Just take a look one more time in the order of those
19	yesses.	
20	A	Okay.
21	Q	Okay. So, was it no then yes?
22	A	It was yes then no.
23	Q	Okay. Did he indicate to you how much he had been drinking?
24	A	Yes, sir. He said one Bud.
25	Q	Okay.
- 1	Ī	

1	MR. HAMNER: Thank you. No further questions.		
2	THE COURT: Recross.		
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION		
4	BY MR. POSIN:		
5	Q In addition to performing those tests, do you normally ask somebody		
6	that you suspect to have been driving under the influence to sign the citation?		
7	A Not if they're in the hospital.		
8	Q And in this case did you in fact write unable to sign?		
9	A We don't write anything on there because it's electronic signature and		
10	anytime we touch that window it comes up as them signing. So, you do not write		
11	anything in there.		
12	Q Would it refresh your recollection if I again presented you with the		
13	citation?		
14	A Yeah; sure. And if I did it I made a mistake. I shouldn't have done it		
15	because we have new systems.		
16	Q Does that in fact say unable to sign?		
17	A Yes, it does.		
18	Q Unable to sign. Admitted to UMC?		
19	A Yes.		
20	MR. POSIN: Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor.		
21	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. May this witness be excused?		
22	MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor.		
23	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Officer. If you would please not discuss		
24	your testimony with any other witnesses until the trial's over.		
25	THE WITNESS: Sure.		

1	THE	COURT: Thank you. How's my jury doing? Anybody need a break?
2	No. Court staff?	
3		DARREN GREEN
4	[having b	een called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
5	THE	COURT CLERK: Please be seated. Please state and spell your first
6	and last name for the record.	
7	THE WITNESS: My name is Darren Green spelled D-A-R-R-E-N last name	
8	G-R-E-E-N.	
9	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
10	MR. SCOW: Thank you, Your Honor.	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
12	BY MR. SC	OW:
13	Q	Are you an officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	How long have you been a police officer?
16	A	Thirteen years, sir.
17	Q	December 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2011, were you working as a patrol officer at that time?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Meaning you patrol the streets?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Do you conduct traffic stops as part of your role as a patrol officer?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	How may traffic stops or accidents have you been involved with in your
24	13 years?	
25	A	I wouldn't know off the top of my head. It's quite a few.

1	Q	Can you point to that individual and describe something they're wearing
2	in Court?	
3	A	That be the gentleman sitting there in the white shirt with the glasses.
4	Q	Okay. And hair or no hair?
5	A	No hair, sir, bald.
6	MR.	SCOW: Your Honor, can the record reflect identification of the
7	Defendant?	
8	THE COURT: It will.	
9	MR. SCOW: Court's brief indulgence. I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: Cross.	
11	MR. POSIN: I have no questions for Officer Green, Your Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Thank you. May he be excused?	
13	MR.	SCOW: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE	COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony.
15	THE	WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
16	THE	COURT: Don't discuss your testimony with any of the witnesses until the
17	trial's over.	
18	THE	WITNESS: Thank you.
19	THE	COURT: Thank you.
20	MR.	SCOW: May we approach?
21	THE	COURT: Counsel approach.
22		[Bench conference not recorded]
23	THE	COURT: All right.
24		Ladies and gentlemen, the trial is progressing very much a pace and
25	there is just	t one State witness left for tomorrow. That person's flying in from out of

24

25

1	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.
2	
3	[Jury Trial, Day 3, concludes at 11:06 a.m.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3(c)(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I
22	acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.
23	PATRICIA SLATTERY
24	Court Transcriber

Electronically Filed 01/09/2015 02:45:50 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun D. Chum	
2	DISTRIC	T COURT	
3	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	
4			
5	STATE OF NEVADA,		
6	)	CASE NO. C12-278699-1	
7	Plaintiff, / vs.	DEPT. VII	
8	\ \ \ \		
9	WILBURT HICKMAN aka () WILLIAM HICKS, ()		
10	Defendant.		
1	\ \ \ \		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN	ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
13	FRIDAY, SEPT	EMBER 6, 2013	
4	RECORDER'S PARTIAL ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT		
15		AL - DAY 4	
16	CLOSING A	RGUMENTS	
17			
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	For the State:	RICHARD H. SCOW, ESQ.	
20		Chief Deputy District Attorney CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, ESQ.	
21		Deputy District Attorney	
22	Faulle Defendant	MITOUELL DOOIN FOO	
23	For the Defendant:	MITCHELL L. POSIN, ESQ.	
24	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, CC	OURT RECORDER	
24 25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, CC	OURT RECORDER	

## STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. SCOW: While this boots up, it would've been fine if I could've plugged it into this side, but because we came to a different courtroom, we know that the plugs over here don't work, of course. So I plugged it in over there, no power to the plug, and so now it's shut down, and it's going to start up.

That's -- when we started this case, State of Nevada versus Wilburt Hickman, Mr. Hendron told you this is a pretty straightforward case. There won't be much dispute about what actually happened that day. The only discussion that we're really going to have is what the Defendant's mindset was, what his state of mind -- and the Defendant's --

In the opening we heard -- their arguments are that the Defendant could not formulate any intent to kill; that he wasn't capable or so drunk that he wasn't able to do that.

MR. POSIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object. That's not exactly what we're saying, and so --

MR. SCOW: I'm paraphrasing. I'm not quoting him.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's confine your closing to the evidence and let him just --

MR. SCOW: That is the evidence. It's going to be, what was this Defendant's state of mind? I'm coming up, it looks like.

MR. HAMNER: Is it the user?

MR. SCOW: Yes.

MR. HAMNER: You just gave them our password.

MR. SCOW: That was on the record, too, by the way.

BY MR. SCOW

So the charges that we have in this case that were read to you in the beginning, you have those in your instructions. There are 17. The first eight are attempt murder. The next ones are battery with use of a deadly weapon and then battery with a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm. I'll go through these instructions. We'll talk about them fairly briefly because there's not really a dispute about the actions that occurred; it's the Defendant's state of mind.

And the assault, the attempt murder and burglary, those are crimes of intent or state of mind. Battery is not. Battery is, if I'm walking along and I bump you like this or I don't mean to be mean, but you take that wrong, that's a battery because it's unwanted physical touching, if I give you a little nudge like that. The instructions tell you no matter how slight.

It looks like we're up and running.

So this is the case. This is the end position of the Defendant's vehicle. And we're going to talk about -- a lot about what happened before, what happened during and what happened after because as the instructions tell you, we're going to talk about intent. It's Instruction Number 20, if you want to make a note to refer to that later. The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case. We don't look at just an action isolated in one single moment, and I'm going to talk about that again in just a minute.

(State Counsel confer)

BY MR. SCOW:

There we go. There's the charges that we just went through. And

as burglary comes up, you might be sitting there scratching your heads thinking, isn't burglary like when somebody goes into somebody's house to steal their computer or their money or whatever thing, property might be in there? That is true, that is a form of burglary, but in the State of Nevada, there are multiple ways that you can commit a burglary, even in a commercial building, a church, any building, and I'll get to that in just a minute. But I wanted to point that out because you might be thinking this isn't somebody's home that was broken into.

So we'll start first with battery. It's the intentional and unwanted exertion of force upon another, however slight. So even a nudge with my elbow, if that's unwanted, you don't know me, that's a battery. Battery with use of a deadly weapon is that same force, but applied with a deadly weapon. So like if I have a gun and I shoot at you instead of nudge you and the bullet grazes you, that's still a battery with a deadly weapon, no matter how slight that -- that exertion or force on another person might be. But now it's just with a deadly weapon, a gun. In this case it's a car.

We look at Count 9, the battery with use of a deadly weapon, the victim is Anneesah Franklin, the mother of Anyla. The Defendant drove his car at the church into a group of people in front of the church, and as she told you as she was standing at the doors talking to her friend, Marquetta, as the car came up, she tried to get out of the way, and the car actually hit her, and it threw her in the air some, and she landed face first on the ground, ended up with some problems in her neck. But the car that hit Anneesah knocked her over. A vehicle is a deadly weapon, and I'll talk about the deadly weapon now.

It's any weapon whose construction is designed to inflict death or bodily harm like a gun. That's a weapon that's designed to do that. That's the first

way that you can have a deadly weapon, but there's another. And in the instruction there's an A and a B, and Subpart B is what we have here, "It's an instrument or material or a substance which under the circumstances in which it is used."

So if I have some powder and I try to smother somebody with it, that can be a deadly weapon. It's the way in which it's used, and a car is something that kills people every day sadly, in accidents or hits pedestrians. So a vehicle, in the manner which it's used here, driving at a group of people, is readily capable of causing death or seriously bodily injury. That's why a car in this case is a deadly weapon, and that will apply --

MR. POSIN: Your Honor, I don't want to interrupt, but (indiscernible). For the record, there are statements or sentences on here that are not exactly what has been argued in court. I don't know how we make that part of the record. I ask that --

MR. SCOW: I'll have a printout of this.

THE COURT: We're going to mark this as a Court's exhibit.

MR. POSIN: I feel that, you know, this last -- the second part, a car is clearly capable of causing death, it happens almost every day and incorrect statement of the law there. It's not exactly what Counsel's arguing, but there on the --

MR. SCOW: That's exactly what I'm arguing, and I'm not quoting the law right there. These are my arguments. The portion above is the instruction, and the instructions stand for themselves. I can argue that a car is a deadly weapon because that's -- that's our argument.

THE COURT: The objection's overruled.

MR. SCOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. SCOW

Clearly, a car is a deadly weapon. The instructions don't tell you a car is a deadly weapon, but what you read there, that something's that used in a manner that can cause death or seriously bodily injury, like driving into a group of people, that's a deadly weapon. And it applies for all the crimes we're looking at here, assault, battery, attempt murder, they're all charged with a deadly weapon. So it's the manner in which it's used, and, again, there's where it's end up.

But before it ended up there, there was a group of people standing there in the front, and Allen Burse a little bit further out that the Defendant was driving at. That's the manner in which this vehicle was used in this case.

So we turn next to the substantial bodily harm portion of the instructions. "Bodily injury which creates substantial risk of death or which causes serious, permanent disfigurement or protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily organ or a member." So for in this case, that first part of the instruction, Anyla, she had a broken toe, and for several days she was on crutches, so she had a protracted loss of the function of any bodily member, her foot, because she couldn't walk right. Or you look at the second, it could be either one, prolonged physical pain. And she may not have remembered the time as well as her mother did, who said she complained of pain for about a week, even a little bit more, and had to use pain medication to help her, especially at night when she was going to bed.

So Anyla had prolonged physical pain. She also had protracted or impaired use of a bodily member, her foot. So we have substantial bodily harm here. And this is Count 10 for Anyla. The car actually ran over her foot. She said

she was run over by the car, knocked her over, and she had the broken toe. And the Defense doesn't dispute that the Defendant driving that vehicle when it happened. So we have Anyla and her injured foot.

The instructions tell you that voluntary intoxication, which is part of the focus on the Defendant's mental state in this case, that intoxication is not a defense to general intent crimes. In this case, battery and any of the forms with use of a weapon and substantial bodily harm, it's a general intent crime, and so the intoxication is no defense to hitting somebody with the car.

So for Counts 9 and 10, the intoxication instruction, you just throw those out for those two and you look at whether the State has proven with the evidence presented that Anyla and Anneesah were hit by a car and whether the Defendant did it and whether Anyla had a substantial bodily injury that resulted. So for those two, the evidence presented has proven that the Defendant is guilty of Counts 9 and 10, the battery with use of a weapon, battery with a weapon and substantial bodily harm.

So now we'll turn to the next three set of charges. I know it's 15 total, but that makes three. So this is when we get into the intoxication, "that no act committed by a person in the state of voluntary intoxication shall be deemed less criminal by reason of that condition." Okay. The law still says this is criminal activity, but on these three charges here -- and this is important -- when intent is an element of those crimes, then you can consider the intoxication to see whether the Defendant could formulate the intent for that particular crime.

So that's why as each witness got up, we would ask them, could you smell anything? What were your observations? How was the Defendant acting? Because that's the evidence in this case of his intoxication.

So we turn to burglary. Burglary is "the entering of any building or structure with the intent to commit an assault, a battery or any felony therein." When a person does that, they're guilty of burglary. If you go into a store, you're going in to steal food or money from a register, that's burglary. If you go into somebody's house intending to punish them or commit a battery, that's also burglary.

What we have here, the Defendant driving into a church intending to commit an assault or a battery or an intent to kill, attempt murder. That's the way that they're pled, each of the charges, they say, assault and/or battery or intent to kill. That's what establishes the intent for the burglary, and it could be either -- if you find any one of those intents, he's guilty of burglary.

Intent may be inferred from the Defendant's conduct and all the other circumstances of the case, like what I had just read to you on intent.

And this will be the same with the intent murder and the fault, what was his intent when he did this. What was the Defendant's intent when he drove his vehicle at that group of people and straight through into those doors of that church?

You can see the car gets wedged in there really well, breaks part of the wall on the left side of the door, tosses things around inside. They had moved the table where Tiffany Trass had been standing or sitting. She had scooted to the left as soon as she heard the chaos and get out of the way. She was lucky she wasn't hit. The same with Sharon Powell was behind the door and then the group in front of them. And then the ending state of the Defendant's vehicle.

As I talk about assault now and then the attempt murder next, I've already spoke about burglary, the main focus is going to be the Defendant's intent.

So the victims of assault with a deadly weapon are Allen Burse,

Washington Thompson, Marquetta Jenkins, Rahmeka Adams, Sharon Powell, and I have her in stars next to her because her being inside the building and not hearing the car, she didn't know beforehand that something was coming. So hers is charged a little bit differently, and I'll explain that in the next slide. And Tiffany Trass.

And you'll notice that Anneesah and Anyla aren't on that list of assault with a deadly weapon because they got hit. Once you get hit, the assault is gone because then it's a completed battery, so it's no more an assault on that person, it becomes then a battery. So for the other people that were aware of things and almost got hit or dodging the car, it's an assault. It's also attempt murder, which I'll get to that.

But assault is an unlawful attempt and a use of force against another person, so like an attempt battery, and that's how Sharon Powell comes into play. She's sitting behind the door, doesn't know what's coming, but the Defendant, by driving into a group of people and at the doors, there's instructions on transferred intent. If you attack the group intending to sit somebody in the group, no matter where the person is in the group, you have that intent, the intent of one is the intent of all. So that's why she's included in this assault charge; that it was an attempt battery on here, even though she was sitting behind the door.

The other way to complete an assault is, "Intentionally placing another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm, place them in fear." In this case a very good example of that, before the Defendant even starts driving towards everybody, is Allen Burse, as he's watching and getting into his car, his intuition is telling him or his reasonable apprehension, something is about to happen, doing his little football backpedal.

So that's -- we have both of these charged for all of the victims except for Ms. Powell. Hers is just the first one. So make sure you make that distinction as you're looking through these charges. And the transferred intent, which I have already mentioned, the attack on the group is the attack on all, as long as you have the intent to hit at least once, whether it's Allen in front, Washington in the back or whoever else or Kevin inside.

Attempt murder, our Counts 1 through 8, and most of the discussion now for the Defendant's mental state will relate to attempt murder because the voluntary intoxication instruction specifically mention attempt murder. These are Allen Burse, Anneesah Franklin, Anyla Hoye, because even though they're hit, they can still be charged -- he can still be charged with their attempt murder, even though there are also charges to battery. Same with the others, assault and attempt murder, he can be charged with both. You can find him guilty of both.

Washington Thompson, who was standing at the door greeting people, Marquetta Jenkins, who was speaking with Anneesah, Rahmeka Adams, who just testified, Ms. Powell and Ms. Trass. You saw the victims listed for attempt murder. There we go.

So an attempt murder, what is it? "Performing an act or acts which tend but fail to kill a human being, when such acts are done with express malice, which is defined as the deliberate intention to kill," which makes sense. Attempt murder, you've got to be trying to kill somebody if you can be convicted of attempt murder. So express malice is the intentional attempt to kill another human being without legal cause, without there being a defense of "I was trying to defend myself." Then it's not attempt murder or with what the law considers adequate provocation.

So when you consider the intent here, the Instruction Number 20 on intent also talks about motive. It says, "Don't confuse motive with intent." The intent here is the specific intent to kill another human being. The motive is something that, obviously, motivates somebody to do something. When you consider motive here, that may arise from anger, hatred, revenge, ill will, spite, grudge toward the person who was attempted to be killed. And it that case -- I highlight those in yellow for my benefit so I remember what to say when I see them. But we have those in this case.

When you're looking at the car driving at these people into the church, was this act which tended but failed to kill? Yes. If somebody had a hurt leg and they couldn't get out of the way fast enough, they would've been run over. They, in all likelihood, unless they were lucky, would have been killed.

Now we have to look at what was the Defendant's intent. It's a straightforward case. It's not a whodunit. It's not even a question really of what was done. People were almost killed. That's attempt murder as long as he has that intent. People were in reasonable apprehension of immediately bodily harm, assault, and the car and the Defendant entered the building, burglary. As long as he had that intent.

Oh, there was -- a lot of the questions we asked people was a moment incoherent drunk, (indiscernible) people, getting into the Defendant's state, or lack thereof, of intoxication. So when you consider the voluntary intoxication instructions, the real question whether this Defendant formulated the intent to kill; for assault, whether he wanted to place these people in fear of being harmed, and for the burglary, either one.

So the first thing to consider was, was he even intoxicated? And

what's the only evidence that alcohol was involved? He smelled of alcohol. We asked every witness, and I think almost everyone said, he smelled of alcohol. But when we asked them to describe whether there was something else about his actions or their observations that tipped them off that alcohol could've been involved, they said, if it weren't for the smell -- I think about three of the witnesses said, if it weren't for the smell, I would've never have known because I didn't see it.

On intoxication, if the Defendant had the capacity to form the intent to kill and conceived it and acts upon it, it is not a defense of the crime of attempt murder that he was intoxicated, that people could smell alcohol.

Now we're going to look at whether he could formulate this intent.

Kevin Madden, Allen Burse, Washington Thompson -- I'm falling

behind -- Marquetta Jenkins, Anneesah Franklin, Craig Hutton, Tiffany Trass, they

all testified the Defendant could express himself. When he spoke, they could

understand his words, and they made sense together. The time that Tiffany said

he seemed a bit -- or said -- and the testimony was read into the record. She didn't

say he was incoherent. She said some of things he said were incoherent. I

couldn't hear very well what he was saying.

That was the testimony that was read in from the prior hearing. And when she testified, she said, no, he wasn't incoherent walking by mumbling to himself about the Easter bunny. He could walk under his own power. He had no difficulty driving. They could smell alcohol, but the Defendant was coherent. He was just upset.

Allen felt that at first, when he came upon the scene, the Defendant didn't seem to understand what we were saying because we're asking him to leave, and he's not leaving. He's talking about wanting to see his daughter,

wanting his daughter pray for him. So for him, that was like, this guy's just not getting it, because he didn't know any of the back story, that that man's daughter -- this man's daughter -- had gone up to Assistant Pastor Kevin and said, you gotta get him outta here. I'm terrified. Get him to leave. So that's why they start to, you know, make efforts to say, okay, sir, you need to leave.

Allen said in the prior testimony that he was mumbling, wasn't asked to explain that. He was asked to explain it here. Said he was talking softly to himself as I was walking him to his car in the Arm Bar, but I could hear him because I was close to him. And then Tiffany, which I already explained, it was incoherent to her because she couldn't hear what he was saying.

So what is this not? When we're talking about intoxication, this is not somebody driving Las Vegas Boulevard, sloppy drunk, passing out or just not having control of their faculties. The wheel turns, they veer off the road, almost hit a group of people waiting at a bus stop and then smashing into a building behind it. That one wouldn't be charged with assault with a deadly weapon because that person hadn't -- didn't have any voluntary action of driving off the road and saying, oh, I hate this group of people. Let me try to get them.

That's not what we have here, the option to the point where they have no idea of how they even got there. That person wouldn't be charged with assault with a deadly weapon, who not and did not form the intent to leave the roadway, to hit a person who's standing on the side of the road.

So if we were to look at this case in a little vacuum, think of it is like the center of a bull's-eye because that car actually, you know, hit a bull's-eye. Look just at that middle circle and you think, gosh, this car hit this building like Ms. Powell who hit her knees right after and praying for the guy in that car, how could

somebody run into our church? Please help him. Your first thought is, that guy was trying to hit people. It was just at that moment right there knowing nothing else.

Let's take some steps back and see what we know about what was going on in that moment right there. First of all -- let us blow that up -- the tire marks; that the officer said on that curve, it's accelerating, and even Rahmeka on the stand here, she explained it the same way, even though she hasn't gone to any traffic investigations. As it's making the turn, she could hear the tires like going (audible noise) because it's accelerating on the curve. And even after he hits the building, the tires are still spinning, leaving the dust on the ground, trying to get in that building. He really wanted to get in, and he's not sitting there unconscious for -- just down on the pedal because she said she saw him looking and turning, Rahmeka Adams. Allen Burse saw him looking at them. And I'll get back to this in a minute.

But when they go to get him off the wheel, he's still fighting to put it in gear. Tire marks, right in, just a straight path. My lines aren't perfectly straight in, but there's no like weaving or smashing other cars in the way in like he's not in control of the car.

Let's take another step back, learn more of the story of what's going on here. The Defendant is first asked to leave after his daughter had frantically gone to Pastor -- Assistant Pastor Kevin, just get him to leave, please. She's afraid. He was asked to leave once, which takes some coaxing, but he goes to get in his car. He drives around the other side and parks, goes back in the church.

This time Kevin's like, no, we're not going to have it this time. We asked you to leave once. So he puts his hands on him and walks him out, where

Allen takes over and he's physically escorted to his car a second time. And with each encounter with the Defendant, the witnesses describe him as getting more and more agitated, getting a little bit louder, demanding to see his daughter.

When you look at where he hit, he could've hit anywhere on that building, but it happens to hit those double doors, and the location where he had just been brought out, knowing that there's 10 to 15 people standing even inside and the whole group of people standing outside, he just walked through those people. It's not like he didn't know what was in there.

Allen Burse, he gets in his car, and my intent -- and my intuition told me not to take my eyes off that car. I just felt like something was going to happen. And when I had asked him -- he testified something similar to that at the prior hearing, right? He said yes. Did the Defendant blurt something out right after you said this? It wasn't just a blurt. He yelled it out from what Allen testified to. He yelled out in the courtroom. He almost broke my damn arm. That's why -- that's why something was about to just happen. He shouted it out at the prior hearing. He was still angry about what happened a month ago. When he's sitting in the courtroom hearing about it happening again, he was still angry. So do you think he didn't remember what was going on? Do you think he wasn't thinking about being upset with these people, where a month later he's still -- because he almost broke my arm, that's why. That's why I wanted to hit you, Allen.

And the key player here, as we take another step back, is the Defendant's daughter, Samira Grove, the Defendant -- Defendant demanding over and over, I need to see my daughter. He's terrified, trying to get out of there. They're trying to escort him out, which is why he's even there in the first place. He's getting increasingly agitated as he's denied. How does she react to his being

there? Hysterical, very afraid and the reason he's told to leave in the first place.

And she left the property after even being told, hey, I -- by Craig, hey, I just told your dad to leave. He went around the building, so I think he's gone. You can go back in and enjoy things. She's like, No way, Jose, I'm out. Got in her car and drove away.

MR. POSIN: Your Honor, I don't think the evidence showed that.

MR. SCOW: He didn't say "I'm out." I was paraphrasing.

MR. POSIN: I don't think that it showed that she drove away (indiscernible) she drove away.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. SCOW: Craig testified --

THE COURT: The jury will rely on their own recollection.

BY MR. SCOW:

If you'll recall, what we say is in evidence. There's an instruction that says that. We kind of just recite the evidence to bring it back to your memory as we go through these laws and instructions. You'll remember that Craig Hutton testified as he was on that back side of the church after the Defendant just drove around the corner, she came running out, still pretty upset. He's like, hey, he's gone. He just drove -- I told him to leave. And she said, I'm still leaving. Got in her car, and he said she exited on Las Vegas Boulevard, and the path that he took was basically the same one that she went. She went to the street, walked over after she had left, and he ended up back at the other side of the building and saw the car, plowed into the church.

So maybe the most revealing evidence is we take a final step back and get the whole bull's-eye here, the whole story. What was the Defendant

saying immediately after driving at all the people and smashing his car into the church? And it gives us some insight into the state of the mind of the Defendant, whether he could formulate an intent to kill, if he could formulate an intent to scare people, place them in reasonable apprehensive of harm.

As Washington Thompson is standing there next to the door, trying to keep it shut so the Defendant wouldn't get out, as Allen is wrestling with him inside, the Defendant looks at him and says, You're next, and Washington's like, what's that all about? I didn't even -- I haven't done anything to you today. Well, you're next. And what else could he mean by that after just ramming his car into the church?

Kevin, the Defendant was yelling, I'm going to kill all you motherf'ers. And Craig, the Defendant was threatening to kill everyone or anyone who was making threats to kill. And that's in the few seconds after he'd just drove through a group of people and plowed his car into the church. Still talking about, I'm going to kill you guys.

What do you think was going through his mind just before? Was it a blank zombie mind from alcohol because people could smell it? Because somebody said at one time he mumbled or sounded incoherent to me? Because he didn't seem to understand that we just wanted him to leave.

It's a burglary. It's an intent to commit a battery or an assault or to kill someone. Assault, intentionally placing another in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm. Attempt murder, intent to kill another person.

Statements immediately after, his reaction to Allen Burse testifying at that prior hearing all show that the Defendant had this intent. He was angry.

Maybe the alcohol just gave him a little extra courage to do something that

somebody otherwise wouldn't normally do; broke down his barriers of, you know, society, societal norms. Breaks those down and makes them more likely to lash out in his angry, which is what happened.

In this case the evidence establishes that the Defendant is guilty also of burglary, a thief intent crime, burglary, assault with a deadly weapon, attempt murder with a deadly weapon. And based on the Defendant's action that he formulated in his own mind and acted upon, I'm going to ask that you find him guilty of all the charges because he needs to be held accountable for what he did, the terror that he put these people through.

He didn't -- he didn't break anybody's neck. Thank goodness. Or anybody's back. Everybody can walk and move about after this, but that doesn't make it any less that he was trying to kill those people, assault them and inflict as much damage as he could because they wouldn't let him in that church. Do justice here, find him guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. POSIN: It goes against the grain as a defense attorney to agree with anything the prosecution would've said. I think Mr. Scow was actually -- summed up pretty well what the issues are, and I think we actually do agree on the point that we're dealing with here, that is, we're not disputing who was there. Mr. Hickman was there. He was driving that car that day. There's no dispute about that. The issue is, what was his intent -- intent.

His intent -- again, we -- as Mr. Scow has said, intent is a different thing for different crimes that are charged here. We have a different intent that we're looking at for the battery, the burglary, the attempt murder, and the Judge's read you these instructions. It talks about general intent crimes, specific intent

crimes, and I thought I was going to have to explain that a little more than I probably will because I think he's actually told you a little bit about the different between what those things are.

And when you first heard the Judge talking about that, I'm sure a lot of that was just sounding like -- you know, just what does all of this stuff mean? Because we as lawyers, we hear all that legal jargon all the time, and we're used to it, and you guys aren't.

Remember when we first started and I was giving my opening statement or even before that when we were talking to you guys as potential jurors still, I was asking, you know, can you really listen and listen to the Judge's instructions about what the law is and follow those instructions. There was a potential juror who is no longer an actual juror, who -- you know, his attitude-- he was an ex-police officer. His attitude was, well, once -- once the case is presented to the D.A., well, you know, the work is done. I just -- cops brought it to the D.A.; then the work is done.

I think the prosecutors and I probably agree, no, that's just the beginning of the interesting part. This is the interesting part. The part that you're involved in is really the interesting part, seeing how those facts apply to the law that the Judge is giving you and coming to a reasonable analysis as to each and every one -- not just of the crimes charged, but each of the elements of the crimes that are charged, each and every element of each of the crimes charged.

And we've got a complicated morass of -- of crimes where several of the crimes, as you'll see -- you're going to see a verdict where there are alternate versions you can give. You can find the Defendant guilty of one part, two parts, part one and part two, part one and part three, part one -- only -- none of

them, all of them. You're going to have to go through that, and it is important that you go through each and every one of those counts and analyze what was Mr. Hickman's intent.

Now, there are options other than the ones that have been presented to you by the State as to what that intent was. They're trying to say that his intent was either, I have the specific intent to actually cause the death of these individuals. Now that's -- that is what you have to find for the attempt murder. Or he was so drunk, he -- that's why I objected. He was so drunk that he couldn't even formulate such an intent.

Now, I'm not going to argue that he was so drunk that he couldn't formulate that intent. I'm arguing that he didn't, and his intoxication is one element for you to look at in deciding what his intent -- what his true intent was. And I think his true intent can be seen by the course of events that led up to this.

He went in. He asked for his daughter. They weren't going to let him see his daughter. He was insistent. He asked over and over and over for his daughter. He wanted to see his daughter. He started out jolly; he was asking for his daughter. Then he became angrier and angrier. He wanted to see his daughter. He was escorted out of the place once. He wanted to see his daughter. He came back in. Gets escorted out again, this time with a little more force. It's clear to him that he's not going to go in voluntarily again just on foot. So what was his intent when he drove that car into -- into the building? And counsel used an interesting word, bull's-eye.

Now, in their eye, the fact that there was a direct line from that car into the church, that's somehow shows his intent to kill. I think far from it. I think what that shows, his intent at that point looks to me like whatever way it was going

to take, he was going to go back into that church to see his daughter. Now, was that a smart thing -- smart way to do it? A safe way to do it? No. Was that a reckless way to do it? Yeah, that was pretty reckless. And if we were sitting here dealing with a crime of reckless driving, there would be other issues. Maybe you'd have different considerations, a different analysis of what intent you are looking at or lack of intent.

If we were sitting here dealing with what was initially charged by the officer, driving under the influence, then we would have -- would've had different intent issues. But you're dealing with his intent as charged in these instructions, and specifically, let's talk about the attempt murder, his intent to kill.

Was it his intent to kill or was it his intent to just get into that building? We knew that there were three big, strong men at least that we're going to escort him out, keep him out if he went in on foot, and he was just going to go in in his car. Reckless? Maybe. That's not the crime that you're dealing with. DUI? Again, maybe. That's not the crime you're dealing with. Was it the kind of reckless act that would give rise to some civil liability if somebody was suing him? Maybe. But that's not the issue here. The issue here is was he planning; did he formulate the intent to kill people? Saying, I'm going to do this in order to kill people. I want to kill people. If that's what you find, then find him guilty, but that's not what the evidence shows. The evidence shows that the intent he had was to get to his daughter.

So where does the intoxication come in? Does it come in that he was so drunk, he didn't know where he was driving? No, that's not what I'm arguing. Does it come in that he was so drunk, that he couldn't even begin to formulate that intent? That he was unable to decide, I want to kill somebody?

That's not what I'm arguing either. Did it come in on the basis that he wasn't making sound decisions; that when he did come up with an intent, and that intent was, I'm going after my daughter, and I'm driving my car in that building if that's what it's going to take? But he didn't think, oh, there are people in the way, I'm going to hurt them. Well, you know, if that's what he was thinking, that was pretty reckless, but that wasn't an intent to kill. That was not the intent to kill.

The facts that have been shown here -- you know, as counsel has said, there's not really too much dispute about what the facts were. What you have to do is look into this man's mind. And how do you do that? You have to take the facts that have been presented by the prosecution, and then you have to look at the instructions, and you have to look specifically at what every defense attorney in every case talks about, which is the reasonable doubt instruction. You have to think, well, why -- maybe, you know -- I don't know. Maybe he had this intent. Maybe he had that intent.

If you think that maybe he had the intent to kill people -- I'm arguing that you couldn't even begin to think that he even maybe had the intent to kill people. I'm arguing that the intent that was shown here was to go get his daughter. Then let's say you disagree with that. You think, yeah, I can see -- I can see the State's argument. I can see where, maybe he was, maybe he was so mad, so irritated with these people, that he actually decided, I want to kill them. I've forgotten about my daughter now. Who cares about her? I just -- my new plan is I'm going to kill some people, and this is how I'm going to do it. Let's say that's -- you think that the evidence gives that as one possibility. And let's say you look at what I'm saying, arguing here, that I want to go get my daughter, and you say, well, that's another possibility, and you say, I don't know, those both seem like

possibilities. Then what do you do? This is not a situation where you just kind of look, well, I don't know, I'll just pick the one way, it could go the other way, we'll go here.

Again, you go back to the Judge's instructions and some of the basic principles of law that we have. It's not just any old instruction. I mean, none of these are just any old instructions. These are all very important, and we discussed them and agreed on them, and the Judge gave them careful consideration, and they are the law.

But the proof beyond a reasonable doubt and the fact that -- who has the burden of proof, the State? What that means is that if you're looking at two possibilities and you're weighing them, with any kind of trial you find a defendant not guilty -- now, not guilty, you're not saying, I'm deciding guilty or innocent. You notice the word is not innocent, it's not guilty. Not guilty means not proved beyond a reasonable doubt by the State.

They've presented their case. They see it one way. They're arguing it one way. I'm arguing it another way. If you, when you go back and deliberate, think that my argument even is a matter that you think could be possible, then you think, is -- okay, if it could be possible, is that -- do I then have a doubt based on that analysis as to whether their argument is right? You may even think, well, more likely than not their argument is right. I kind of like their argument better than Mr. Posin's argument, I don't know, it just seems more likely he really did forget about his daughter and was trying to kill them, you still have to find this man not guilty if you have a reasonable doubt, a reasonable doubt as to whether what I might feel is the case.

Many of these witnesses had testified once before, as you heard,

and they were going over what some of their testimony was. And I kind of focused on the word that one of them used, which was backpedaling. Now that they understand a little better where the Defense is going, it's -- they're kind of downplaying the drunk think.

But every one of them admitted that they said it. Washington
Thompson said -- used the word drunk, and counsel then went back and actually
did something that -- to my mind helped us, our side, more than his, which is, his
actual testimony went from starting to say -- his initial statement in the prior
testimony was a little tipsy. Then he further clarified that to say, well, he was wet
and then finally said drunk.

Tiffany Trass used the word incoherent, and, you know, again, kind of maybe backpedaled a little bit on that. Allen Burse said, he was mumbling and wasn't understanding what we were saying. Again, I'm not going to argue it that he wasn't capable of understanding the English language and didn't understand in that sense. The party wasn't under exam. He wasn't taking no for an answer about, no, you're not going to see your daughter.

Marquetta Jenkins -- Jenkins said, you could smell the alcohol as he walked past, and then she threw another phrase even in this trial that -- that some of you may have caught, which was, he wasn't all there. Kevin Madden said, I smelled liquor. Craig Hutton said that the usher had told him she smelled alcohol. He smelled alcohol. And Darren Green, who was the officer -- second officer to testify said, he was non-responsive.

Now, again, this is not to say that he was so drunk, he couldn't even (indiscernible), so drunk that he couldn't walk under his power. There was a lot of testimony about that. Could he -- did he seem to be stumbling? Did he have to

use assistance to walk?

But (indiscernible) can do, you heard the instruction about your common sense comes in and can cause you to disregard the dangers of actions that we take. People can assess that they didn't plan out when they're drunk. They can drive when they really shouldn't because they made a bad decision, because they were drunk. They can do all kinds of things because the risks -- the risks that most of us when we're sober of doing a particular course of action that seems so obvious when we're sober somehow recede in the background when people have too much alcohol.

Here, there was not only a risk, but an actuality of people getting scared and injured because of what Mr. Hickman did. But was -- was that his intent? Was that his intent? Again, there's the battery --

Again, I'm going to read what is stated here. The battery has a different form of intent. It would be a lot of easier, actually, for you to find him guilty of the battery, but was it his intent to hurt or kill people or was that just one of those things that -- one of those risks that because of his intoxication, he didn't look at the way he would have that any normal or sober person normally would look and say, I can't drive my car into the church because somebody's going to get hurt.

Well, was that something was just kind of not a central focus of his mind.

If that's the case, then you've got to look at these intent instructions. And, again, I'll agree with the State that may not be enough to get us past the battery because that's a general intent, but it sure is enough for you to find a not guilty verdict on all of the other counts.

Then there's the burglary, of course. Let me talk about the burglary again. Burglary, he has to have the intent -- it comes down to the intent again, but

he has to have the intent when entering the building, structure, whatever it is, to commit one of the crimes involved. So, again, you have to find at the time he was entering -- I'm not even sure he had quite actually ever entered, but if you give the State the benefit of the doubt that his body had actually entered the building at the time, did he have that intent at the time? So we're still back to that issue of the intent.

There are enhancements that you're going to have to look at as well. If you agree with what I'm saying now -- of course, you never get to those enhancements. If there was no assault, then it couldn't have been with a deadly weapon and it couldn't have been with substantially bodily harm. I don't think you ever need to get there I'm going to talk about it a little bit anyway because even if the State could say that they had proved it to the extent their argument is that he committed the physical acts he did, they have not proven the enhancements.

First of all, the use of a deadly weapon, again, I credit the State with having gone through at length with you on the PowerPoint, the elements of the crime, the instructions that the Judge has read to you and that you're going to have, and I'm going to want you to go back and look at those instructions.

But it's not just -- you heard me object. It's not just the object you're using could be used as a deadly weapon. You can't just take that it could because anything ultimately could be used. Somebody's fist, a chair, boots, anything could be deadly. It has to be used in a way that it potentially will.

And as much as the State has tried to inflate what has happened here -- I'm not trying to minimize it. Certainly, driving a car into a building and pass into people is not a good idea. Nonetheless, you've got to remember, this was across a parking lot. And as much, again, as the State has tried to say, oh, well, it

was a really wide parking lot, you've seen the pictures; it was a parking lot. It was a parking lot with spaces on one side and spaces on another side, and we could see pretty clearly from those tires tracks and many of the witnesses, just how far that car had come.

It wasn't going that fast. It wasn't going that fast. It wasn't going fast enough to kill. It was going fast enough to scare people. That's not enough. It was going fast enough to injure people, to hit people. That's not enough. It was not going fast enough to kill.

And, you know, the proof of the pudding there -- and this actually goes to the other element, the bodily injury element. There was not substantial bodily injury. Again, that was -- you know, we heard about the hurt foot, the broken foot. When the kid came, the kid who was injured, was a nice little girl. Again, I'm not trying to minimize what she went through. She didn't have any basis to try to sell you on anything, to spin it anyway. She told it like it was. And you know what she said, she said, yeah, it was my little baby pinky toe, and it hurt that day and the next day. I'm sorry, that is just not substantial bodily harm. So we don't have substantial bodily harm, we don't have use of a deadly weapon, and we don't have battery, the assault, the attempt murder. I'm sorry, the -- we don't -- potentially, I'm going to grant you, you may get to a battery. You don't have the assault, you don't have the attempt murder. You don't have the burglary.

So when you go back -- again, and I -- before I heard counsel, I was going to go through some of these instructions one by one, but I think you see how important it is, and he's gone through with you and focused on what we do agree is the issue, is what was his intent. His intent was not to kill.

This is not a reckless driving case, this is not a DUI case, and you

1	another room?			
2	A	No.		
3	Q	Is there a separate room for the kids while the adults go to worship		
4	service?			
5	A	Yes.		
6	Q	Okay. And so were you in that different room with other kids while your		
7	mom went to the worship service?			
8	A	Yes.		
9	Q	Okay. When your mom's worship services ended, did she come get		
10	you out of that room?			
11	A	Yes.		
12	Q	Were did you go after your mom came to get you out of that room?		
13	A	We went out in front of the church.		
14	Q	Was anybody else with you as you went to the front of the church?		
15	A	Yes.		
16	Q	Who else was with you?		
17	A	Marquetta and her daughter, Jayla.		
18	Q	What was the daughter's name again?		
19	A	Jayla.		
20	Q	Were you friends with Jayla?		
21	A	Yes.		
22	Q	Were you and Jayla talking while your mothers were talking?		
23	A	No.		
24	Q	No? You didn't talk to Jayla?		
25	l A	No.		

1	A	Yeah, like I fell on top and then I fell back down on the ground and he	
2	ran over my foot.		
3	Q	That's when the tire went over your foot?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	What happened when the tire went over your foot?	
6	A	My mom, she went to go help me up and I couldn't really walk, like I felt	
7	a broken bone in my foot and I was crying.		
8	Q	So you were crying your foot hurt?	
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	So, when the car first went over your foot did it hurt then too?	
11	A	Yes; I felt it.	
12	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you some pictures. This is Exhibit 21; was	
13	that you a couple years ago?		
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	And then Exhibit 22; was that your foot, the one that was hurting?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	And where was it hurting on your foot; do you remember?	
18	A	It was on my little pinky toe.	
19	Q	On your pinky toe. Did that make it hard to walk?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	And was this was your foot wrapped up like this when you still at the	
22	church?		
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	So, this picture was when you were still at the church?	
25	A	Yes.	
	Ī		

1	Q	Did you go to see a doctor as well because your foot was hurting?	
2	A	When it first happened?	
3	Q	Sure. Right at first, did you go see a doctor?	
4	A	No well, yeah. We went to the hospital after that.	
5	Q	Okay. Did police show up to the church first before you actually went t	
6	a hospital?		
7	A	Well no the Metro came.	
8	Q	Metro came?	
9	A	Yeah.	
10	Q	Okay. And after Metro came you then went to see a doctor for your	
11	foot?		
12	A	Well no first they wrapped up my foot and then we waited a couple	
13	minutes and they left and then a man he had to carry me to the car and then we		
14	went to the hospital.		
15	Q	And when you went to the doctor at the hospital, did they take x-rays	
16	and pictures of your foot		
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	to see what was wrong?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	And did they put your foot in something after they had done the x-rays	
21	and they learned what was wrong?		
22	A	No.	
23	Q	No. Did they put it in like a cast or a boot or anything like that?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	What was it?	
ı	i		

1	A	It was like it was something like a cast, like you could take it off and	
2	put it back on.		
3	Q	Okay. Did they give you something else to help you walk also?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	What was that?	
6	A	Crutches.	
7	Q	How long did you have those crutches?	
8	A	About two days.	
9	Q	A couple days. How long was your foot hurting you?	
10	A	It was hurting the same day I got hit and on Monday.	
11	Q	So, the day it got hit was a Sunday?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	And the Monday it hurt too?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	Did you get some medicine to help the pain stop?	
16	A	After yes.	
17	Q	Okay.	
18	MR. S	SCOW: I'm going to pass the witness, Judge.	
19	THE COURT: Thank you.		
20	MR. I	POSIN: No questions, Your Honor.	
21	THE COURT: Thank you. You may be excused. Before you go, let me just		
22	tell you don't talk about your testimony with any of the other people that are		
23	testifying in the case until it's all over and the trial's over. All right. Thank you.		
24	MR. SCOW: Judge, can we approach for a minute?		
25	THE	COURT: Yes.	

## [Bench conference -- not recorded]

[Jury Trial, Day 1, concluded at 4:48 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the attorneys finished a little sooner than they had anticipated so there's no additional witnesses scheduled for today and the trial is progressing a pace. So, we may be able to finish up this week.

So, tomorrow morning we'll be able to start at 9 o'clock because I don't have a calendar and we should be able to go -- so 9 o'clock we'll be commencing again. I have a matter at 8:30 but we'll be done with that before you get here for 9 and I will see you tomorrow. And I'm going to read you the admonition.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're taking an overnight recess. It is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or internet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the case until it is finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess until tomorrow at nine.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect that the jury has departed the courtroom. Are there any matters outside the presence?

MR. POSIN: No, Your Honor.

MR. HAMNER: No, Your Honor.

? || . .

23 || ..

24 ||

THE COURT: Thank you. We'll be in recess till tomorrow at 9 o'clock.

Partial Rough Draft Transcript, Day 2-91

**RTRAN** 1 **CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE#: C278699 Plaintiff, 9 DEPT. V 10 VS. 11 **WILBURT HICKS** Aka WILLIAM HICKS, 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2013 16 RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **JURY TRIAL, DAY 3** 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** RICHARD H. SCOW, ESQ. For the State: 19 **Chief Deputy District Attorney** 20 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 21 For the Defendant: MITCHELL L. POSIN, ESQ. 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER 25

## **INDEX OF WITNESSES**

2			
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	DAY	PAGE
4	KEVIN MADDEN	<u> </u>	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	1	5
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination Mr. Hamner	1	38 42
6		1	42
7	ALLEN BURSE		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2	4
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow	2	27 29
9	Nedirect Examination by Wir. Scow	2	29
10	WASHINGTON THOMPSON		
'0	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	30
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	2	46
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	48
	MARQUETTA JENKINS		
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2	52
14			
15	ANNEESAH FRANKLIN Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	66
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	2	81
16			
17	ANYLA HOYE		
40	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2	83
18	CRAIG HUTTON		
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	5
20			
	TIFFANY TRASS Direct Examination by Mr. Hamper	2	17
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner  Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	3 3	17 28
22	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	3	29
23			
20	SHARON POWELL	0	0.4
24	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	31
	1		

Rough Draft Transcript, Day 3-2

25

1	INDEX OF WITNESSES		
2			
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	<u>DAY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4	DAVE CORBIN		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	3 3	38 51
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	3	54
7	Recross Examination by Mr. Posin	3	56
8	DARREN GREEN  Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	57
9	BAKMEKA ADAMS		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	4	9
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
16		DAY	PAGE
17	State's Exhibits 1 through 5	1	8
18	State's Exhibits 1 through 5 State's Exhibits 10, 11 and 12 State's Exhibits 6,7, 8, 9,13, 14	1	28 34 25
19	State's Exhibit 16 State's Exhibits 21, 22, 23	2 2	25 78
20	State's 24 [stipulated] State's Exhibits 15, 17, 18, 19 State's Exhibit 20	- 3 3 3	4 42
21		3	46
22			
23			
24			
25			

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

1415

16

17

19

18

20

22

21

23

24

25

[Inside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Please be seated. All right.

This is the continuance of State of Nevada versus Wilburt Hickman, case number C278699, and the record will reflect the presence of all 12 members of the jury and the two alternates. Defendant is present with his counsel and Deputy District Attorney prosecuting the case are present as are all officers of the Court. Will counsel so stipulate?

MR. SCOW: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. POSIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning. How's my jury? Good. All right. We'll we're going to get started. State, call our next witness.

MR. SCOW: Before we call the next witness, we have State's proposed Exhibit 24, the medical records for treatment of Anyla Hoye; it's the hospital. By stipulation of the parties, we're going to move to admit 24.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. POSIN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And, ladies and gentlemen, that means -- a stipulation means the lawyers have agreed to the admission of these, that they're authentic records and they're admissible as evidence. I will admit them on the stipulation of counsel and that means you can accept them as evidence.

MR. SCOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Proceed.

MR. SCOW: Our next witness is Craig Hutton.

A Samira Grove.

Q So, did he walk from the car where you had marked up there down to the circle area you were at?

A Yes.

Q And that's when you said it's time for you leave?

A Right. I asked him, you know, I said, hey, I've been instructed to ask you to leave. He said that he was there to his daughter. And I said, well, you can't see her right now. She's busy because we are actually in between services and we're trying to escort people out and get people in. It's a lot going on. He said well what, my daughter can't pray for me. I need my daughter to pray for me now. And he got kind of agitated. I said well, sir, why you getting upset with me. I said all I'm doing is what I was asked to do was to ask, you know, you get to in your vehicle and just go ahead and leave. Right now is not a good time to see your daughter. And he kind of stood there for a moment and he looked at me and he says okay and he got in his car.

Q So, he walked from the circle up to this car?

A Yes; he went from the circle back to his vehicle. He got in his vehicle and he pulled out. He made a right and went around the back side of the building, and that's the last I seen of his vehicle at that time.

Q Can you mark the path that he took?

A He came around the back side. There's a fire lane back there. And once he hit that corner on the back side of the building that was the last I saw of his vehicle at that time.

Q Okay. So, the marking was little bit dark, but the line indicating he drove back behind that way?

A He's just -- at that time, no. He was just kind of yelling. Everybody's yelling, you know. There's a lot being said so -- to determine who was saying what at that time though.

Q And the scene outside of the car; what was that like?

A The scene outside the car was people running around looking for this child, you know. As I looked under the car I did see a child's shoe. So, again, kind of concerned. Everybody was trying to find the child, and then they found the child inside one of the pastor's office. They got her inside there.

Q So, when you passed the Defendant to leave from the other side of the parking lot, describe his demeanor; how was he acting at that time that you're asking him to leave?

A Okay. When I initially asked him to leave he was very agitated. He demanded to see his daughter now. He wanted his daughter to pray for him right now, and like I explained to him, she was tied up; she was doing something else and that they wanted him to leave.

Q So, a couple minutes after that confrontation --

A I'd say it lasted a good minute, minute and a half that we kind of went back and forth. I finally asked him, why are you getting upset with me. I'm just doing what I'm asked to do.

- Q And then within a few minutes after that, you go around the other side and see him in his car --
  - A Probably within three to four minutes.
  - Q -- and ran into those doors there?
- A Well the car was in the door. I didn't see the car go in, but the car was in the door.

1	MR. SCOW: Court's brief indulgence. I'll pass the witness.
2	THE COURT: Cross.
3	MR. POSIN: I have no questions for this witness, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. May this witness be excused?
5	MR. SCOW: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
8	THE COURT: And if you would please not discuss your testimony with any
9	other witnesses in the trial till it's over?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. HAMNER: The State's going to call Tiffany Trass next, Your Honor.
13	TIFFANY TRASS
14	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
15	THE COURT CLERK: Please be seated. If you would state and spell your
16	first and last name for the record, please.
17	THE WITNESS: Tiffany, T-I-F-F-A-N-Y, Trass, T-R-A-S-S.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Proceed, please.
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. HAMNER:
21	Q Thank you, Your Honor. Ms. Trass, are you familiar with the New
22	Antioch Christian Fellowship Church?
23	A Yes.
24	Q How do you know about that?
25	A I've been a member there for about six years.

1	Q	Okay. Were you at that church on December 18, 2011?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	I want to turn your attention to about the 8 a.m. service; do you
4	remember	being at that service?
5	A	Yes, I was.
6	Q	Do you remember observing anything in particular at least at the
7	beginning o	of the session?
8	A	At the beginning of the service?
9	Q	Yeah.
10	A	No; just normal.
11	Q	Do you see any individual here in the courtroom right now that was at
12	New Antioc	ch Christian Church that time in the morning around that time in the
13	morning?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Sorry about that. I apologize. Do you see anybody here in the
16	courtroom	today that was with your or at least was there at the church around that
17	time in the	morning?
18	A	Yes; at the end of services.
19	Q	Okay. Why don't you point out, Ms. Trass, who that individual is, what
20	they're wea	ring, any article of clothing; where they are in the courtroom?
21	A	They're sitting over there with the white long sleeved shirt on.
22	Q	And you're saying they.
23	A	He's sitting there.
24	Q	Okay. Is he wearing a coat or not a coat?
25	A	No, not wearing a jacket.

1	MR. HAMNER: Okay. Let the record reflect the witness identified the	
2	Defendant, Wilburt Hickman.	
3	THE COURT: It will.	
4	BY MR. HAMNER:	
5	Q Thank you, Your Honor. Now when you saw him you said you notice	ed
6	nim at near the end of service?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Was there anything really remarkable about how he was moving arou	un
9	at church when you first saw him	
10	A It was just when he came in he was trying to walk in the front while	<b>;</b>
11	he pastor was speaking.	
12	Q So, what jumped out of you was that he kind of wanted to walk all the	е
13	way up front?	
14	MR. POSIN: Objection; leading.	
15	THE COURT: Sustained.	
16	BY MR. HAMNER:	
17	Q That's what stood out in your mind?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Now when you what did you do after the service was over; what we	ere
20	you doing at that point?	
21	A At the end of service?	
22	Q Yeah.	
23	A I went to sell we were having our ten year gala the following Janua	ıry
24	and I was standing out in the foyer to sell tickets so people can purchase themfor	•
25	he gala.	

Α	Ν	lo.
---	---	-----

- Q Okay. Based on what we can see here would that have been the general area as to where your table would have been? I just don't know when this picture was taken. I know it was taken probably on that day, but I'm just trying to get a sense of was the table probably in that field of view?
  - A Yeah. It might have been more down this way right here.
- Q But prior to that car coming in, that's really -- if you had taken a picture from that vantage point at least a portion of the table you were sitting at would have been in that photo?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Okay. Was the scene like after this car came through, Ms. Trass?
- A Chaotic; people screaming. I saw people running -- people were running in as he was coming in. Then people were screaming they though a little girl was under the car so they were screaming look under the car. And some of the men dropped to the ground to try to see if anybody was under the car. One young lady was really hysterical because she thought there was a child under there and so she was just screaming and everybody was just ---
- Q Do you remember whether or not -- you remember seeing the Defendant at all after this car came through?
- A I just happened to look and look in the driver's side and saw and then after that I was -- my sister was looking for me so I went to her 'cause she was like Tiffany, you know, screaming my name trying to see where I was at because she knew I was out in the foyer and they were in the sanctuary still.
  - Q Okay. Did you see anything the Defendant was doing at that point?
  - A No; I didn't pay attention after that to what he was doing.

1	MR.	HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
2	BY MR. HA	MNER:
3	Q	Ms. Trass, if you could explain to the jury about how far were you from
4	this car at t	he time it comes through your church?
5	A	In feet or
6	Q	Sure. In feet.
7	А	Before he comes through or as it was coming through.
8	Q	Just well before it comes through, how close are you in that how
9	close are y	ou sitting to those double doors?
10	A	I'm not good at measurement. I mean, not even a few feet away.
11	Q	Just a couple of feet?
12	A	Yeah; just maybe three, five. I don't know. I'm not good at measuring
13	feet so	
14	Q	Okay. And what county did all this happen in?
15	A	Clark County.
16	MR.	HAMNER: All right. Thank you. No further questions at this time.
17	THE	COURT: Cross.
18		CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. PC	SIN:
20	Q	Good morning, Ms. Trass.
21	A	Good morning.
22	Q	On direct examination you stated that your memory was clear back a
23	month after	the events when you testified that time than it is now; right?
24	A	Hm-hm.
25	Q	And at that time when you were asked what he was saying, what this

1	gentleman	was saying, you said he was saying things that were incoherent; right?
2	A	I don't remember what I wrote.
3	Q	Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you a transcript of that
4	hearing?	
5	A	Sure.
6	Q	Okay. Without reading anything aloud, look at this lines, 6,7, 8,9, 10
7	11. Does th	nat refresh your recollection with you said a month after the events?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	And did you say he was incoherent?
10	A	I probably meant I couldn't hear what incoherent is?
11	Q	Is that what you said?
12	A	Yeah, that's what I said.
13	MR. F	POSIN: Thank you. I have nothing further, Your Honor.
14	THE	COURT: Redirect.
15		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. HA	MNER:
17	Q	I'd like to follow-up on that. You were just asked about whether or not
18	you used th	e word at the prior hearing that he was incoherent?
19	A	Hm-hm.
20	MR. I	HAMNER: Your Honor, if I could approach. I'd actually like the witness
21	to read her	actual answer within context to give context to the statement.
22	THE	COURT: Go ahead.
23	MR. I	HAMNER: Thank you.
24	MR. F	POSIN: No objection, Your Honor.
25	MR I	HAMNER: Let the record reflect I'm showing the witness her voluntary

1	statement.
2	BY MR. HAMNER:
3	Q Now you were asked a question: What was the Defendant's demeanor
4	like as he's being escorted out of the church? If you could please just read your
5	complete answer to give context to the jury, please, ma'am.
6	A Kind of, you know, if someone's holding you where you're going out just
7	kind of. He was saying different things like he wanted to talk to someone and some
8	incoherent things. I really couldn't hear everything he was saying but he was talking
9	and they told him that he had to get off the premises.
10	Q Thank you. So, Ms. Trass, you couldn't really hear everything
11	MR. POSIN: Objection; leading.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	BY MR. HAMNER:
14	Q So, was the why did you use the word incoherent in that answer,
15	ma'am?
16	A Because I couldn't hear what he was saying so to me it was not
17	understandable.
18	MR. HAMNER: Thank you. No further questions.
19	MR. POSIN: Nothing further, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: I have just one question. Do you know what the definition
21	of the word incoherent is?
22	THE WITNESS: I do. I just at the time probably.
23	THE COURT: Tell me what it is.
24	THE WITNESS: Incoherent is talking where it's not understandable, to me.
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's your understanding of what the word
	i

1	means?
2	THE WITNESS: Hm- hm.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any questions as a result of my
4	question?
5	MR. HAMNER: No, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony. And if you'll please
7	not discuss your testimony with any other witness until the trial's over.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: You can call your next witness.
10	MR. SCOW: Sharon Powell.
11	SHARON POWELL
12	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
13	THE COURT CLERK: Please be seated. If you'll state and spell your first
14	and last name for the record.
15	THE WITNESS: Sharon Powell, S-H-A-R-O-N Powell, P-O-W-E-L-L.
16	THE COURT: Please proceed.
17	MR. SCOW: Thank you, your Honor.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SCOW:
20	Q Good morning, Ms. Powell.
21	A Good morning.
22	Q Are you familiar with the New Antoich Christiansen Fellowship Church?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q Is that located at 3950 North Las Vegas Boulevard?
25	A Yes, sir.

1	Q	And that's here in Clark County, Nevada?
2	A	Yes, sir.
3	Q	On December 18 <sup>th</sup> 2011 were you attending worship services on that
4	day?	
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	And that was a Sunday?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	What service had you attended that day?
9	A	I was getting ready to attend the 10 a.m. service.
10	Q	Okay. So, you hadn't gone to the 8 o'clock service?
11	A	No, I haven't.
12	Q	Was there anything that you were doing in preparation for before the 1
13	a.m. ser	vice?
14	A	Yes; it was a few days before Christmas and I knew that I wouldn't see
15	the mem	bers so I was handing out Christmas cards in the vestibule of the church a
16	around f	ive minutes to ten.
17	Q	Shortly before that 10 a.m. service?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And in the vestibule, what part of the church building is that located at?
20	A	That's the front of the church.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	And it's a room where we mingle and do things prior to service, after
23	service.	It's just a little area just before you enter the sanctuary.
24	Q	I'm going to show you an overhead view of the church. So, the front of
25	the chur	ch, do you recognize

- So, if you're going to walk in and just go straight through those doors, the next double doors you would end in the sanctuary?
  - Yes, sir.
  - And so you were just inside that area passing out cards?
- Tell us what happened in those few minutes before ten. What happened when you were in the vestibule?

Like I said, I was handing out the Christmas cards and, you know, going back and forth. I was catching people leaving 8 o'clock and people coming in to the 10 o'clock service. And I handed out the last one that I had for that group of people. So, I went back to the table and, you know, got the rest of my cards and picked up my purse and I was straightened the cards out and the Lord said move and I stepped to my right and as soon as I stepped to my right from in front of that door I heard this tremendous sound; I thought it was an earthquake. And I just fell on my knees and I didn't know what had happened, but it was a horrible sound. It was a loud crashing sound. And once I was on my knees, all chaos broke out. People were screaming, crying. They were saying there was a little kid, and I looked and I saw a car, and I thought, my God. So, there was a car that had come through those doors just right at where I was standing.

- Okay. Let me kind of break this up a little bit. You gave us a lot of
  - Okay.
- I'm going to show you State's Exhibit 12. Is this the double doors where you were standing in the vestibule?

1	THE	WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
2	THE	COURT: Call your next witness.
3	MR.	HAMNER: The State calls Officer David Corbin to the stand, Your
4	Honor.	
5		DAVE CORBIN
6	[having l	peen called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
7	THE	COURT CLERK: Please be seated. If you would state and spell your
8	first and las	st name for the record, please.
9	THE WITNESS: Dave Corbin, D-A-V-E C-O-R-B-I-N.	
10	THE	COURT: Please proceed.
11	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.	
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
14	Q	Good morning. Could you explain to the jury what you do for a living?
15	A	Yes, sir. Traffic officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
16	Departmer	nt?
17	Q	How long have you been with Metro?
18	A	Eleven years.
19	Q	And what'd you do before becoming an officer?
20	A	I was in the United States military for 22 years.
21	Q	On December 18, 2011, were you working for the Las Vegas
22	Metropolita	an Police Department?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Were you on duty that day?
25	A	Yes, sir.
	1	

1	THE COURT: Granted.
2	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.
3	BY MR. HAMNER:
4	Q Let the record reflect I'm showing the witness State's proposed Exhibits
5	15, 17, 18 and 19. Sir, I want you to take a look at those
6	A Okay.
7	Q and tell me if you recognize these?
8	A Yes, sir. These are the photos taken at the scene indicating the marks
9	was talking about.
10	Q Are these fair and accurate depictions of all four exhibits of kind of the
11	tire marks left by this vehicle?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. HAMNER: All right. At this time, we'd ask that State's proposed Exhibits
14	15, 17, 18 and 19 be admitted into evidence.
15	MR. POSIN: No objection, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: They'll be admitted.
17	[STATE'S EXHIBIT #'s 15, 17, 18 AND 19 ADMITTED]
18	MR. HAMNER: Permission to publish to the jury?
19	THE COURT: Granted.
20	MR. HAMNER: And, you know, Your Honor I don't know how we want to do
21	this. The blow-up's not very good. I don't know if you have a policy about handing it
22	to the jury or not because
23	THE COURT: No, I don't. Sometimes it doesn't the contrast is not good on
24	the ELMO. So, if it would be better, I'd rather you publish them among the jury.
25	MR. HAMNER: Okay. I'm going to approach the jury and publish State's

1	Q	Now you had already seen the Defendant walk out but now he's back
2	inside the s	anctuary of the church.
3	MR.	POSIN: Objection; leading.
4	MR.	HAMNER: I don't think it suggests the answer.
5	THE	COURT: No; it's more asked and answered so it's overruled.
6	BY MR. HA	MNER:
7	Q	So, now he's back inside the sanctuary; is that right Mr. Thompson?
8	A	Yes, it is.
9	Q	All right. So, you approach. What do you do you hear the Defendant
10	say anythin	g at this time?
11	A	I hear Kevin telling him you have to leave.
12	Q	Okay. And what was the Defendant's demeanor to that request by
13	Minister Ma	adden?
14	A	Well he was shouting that he wanted to see his daughter. He was
15	looking for	his daughter.
16	Q	Is he still as happy and jovial as he was before?
17	А	No; he was shouting, he was angry. He had changed from happy to
18	angry.	
19	Q	Okay.
20	A	And that's why Kevin was trying to get him out because we was trying
21	to have the	prayer before the 10 o'clock service.
22	Q	Was Minister Madden yelling at him?
23	A	No, he wasn't yelling.
24	Q	Now when you heard speak did he mumble?
25	A	Which one?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When he was -- when he backed up and came out, he was in control. It was like going straight out. But then when he swerved at Allen, it was like he missed Allen. So, I guess he wanted to get something and it was like he was in control. And then he just turned when saw us standing there, he just turned his wheels and he just came right at us like he wanted to hit something and it was only us there. So, he wanted to hit us, is my opinion.

Okay. Q

And it was like a scene of Twilight Zone, I mean, because -- it was like Α panic. I mean, I was afraid for the lady that was standing right there in front of me because I was holding the door for her. And when I saw the car coming I had enough time to react to try to pull her out of the way and she fell, and I'm thinking we're going to get hit, and by the grace of God she didn't get hit and I didn't get hit. And there were two little girls, from what I understand, inside the church, right inside the door playing and one of the girls apparently got hit and everyone was shouting that she was underneath the car, and it was chaos. We all thought she was underneath the car. We could see the shoe but we couldn't find the little girl.

Q Mr. Thompson, at any point did you hear the brakes being slammed on before this car went through the church?

I didn't hear any brakes. I could hear an acceleration but I didn't hear any brakes

- Did you hear the tires? Q
- Tires? Α
- Did you hear the tires making any noise as it was approaching? Q
- Α Not of any brakes.

1	up and do it	again or put it in drive and go further. We didn't know what he was
2	trying to do,	but Allen was able to try to get the key out of the ignition.
3	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you State's 10. Is that what you're referring to
4	when you sa	ay that the car appeared to be kind of wedged in by the door?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	So, you don't remember the Defendant at any point in time after
7	immediately	going to turning off the engine on his own?
8	A	No, he did not.
9	Q	Allen Burse needed to do that?
10	A	Yes; because he was trying to get the car to go in drive or he was trying
11	to do some other action [indiscernible].	
12	Q	When you got near the car and you Allen Burse trying to restrain the
13	Defendant,	what was the Defendant's demeanor at that point?
14	A	He was very agitated. He was making he was saying a lot of things.
15	Q	Okay. Was he cursing at that time?
16	A	He was cursing; he was he made a couple comments to me, either to
17	me or Allen	but he was looking up at me as I was holding the door and he said that
18	you're next.	So, I didn't know he was talking about me or Allen, but I assumed he
19	was talking	about me but I don't know what next means because I've never me the
20	man before.	
21	MR. H	HAMNER: Court's indulgence. Thank you. No further questions at this
22	time.	
23	THE	COURT: Cross.
24	MR. F	POSIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

**CROSS-EXAMINATION** 

25

1	BY MR. POSIN:	
2	Q Mr. Thompson, you were in another courtroom on this case once	
3	before; right?	
4	A Yes, I was.	
5	Q And that was about a month after the incident in question; right?	
6	A I don't know how long it was.	
7	Q Well the incident was back in December of 2011; does that sound right	
8	A The incident, yes.	
9	Q And then was there a hearing in about January of 2012; does that	
10	sound about right to you?	
11	A I don't know the exact dates, but we did there was a hearing, yes.	
12	Q Would it help refresh your recollection if I showed you a transcript of	
13	that hearing?	
14	A Sure.	
15	MR. POSIN: May I approach, Your Honor?	
16	THE COURT: Yes.	
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
18	BY MR. POSIN:	
19	Q Okay. So, Mr. Thompson, have you looked at the document I just	
20	showed you, this document?	
21	A Yeah.	
22	Q And did that refresh your recollection as to the date of the hearing tgha	
23	you were in ?	
24	A Yeah.	
25	Q And you testified at that hearing; right?	
- 1		

1	A Yes.	
2	MR. POSIN: Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: Redirect.	
4	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.	
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
6	BY MR. HAMNER:	
7	Q I want to talk to you on t hose questions for a second. At that prior	
8	proceeding, do you recall the first words you actually used were a little tipsy; would	d
9	that accurate? Or would it be helpful to refresh your recollection as to which order	-
10	you used different words to describe his level of drinking? Would it help to do yo	οι
11	remember if whether or not you used the words a little tipsy first at the prior	
12	proceeding?	
13	A It's been two years so	
14	Q Okay. So, so would it help to refresh your recollection?	
15	A Yes, sir, please.	
16	MR. HAMNER: Okay. Permission to approach the witness?	
17	THE COURT: Granted.	
18	BY MR. HAMNER:	
19	Q Thank you. Let the record reflect I'm showing the witness his voluntar	ry
20	statement. I'm showing you page 55 and 56, 56 line 1. Take a look and read t his	<b>;</b>
21	area here. Take a look and see what order of words you used and then I'm going	to
22	re-ask you these questions. Just let me know when your memory's refreshed. Lo	O
23	at lines 1 and 2.	
24	THE COURT: You're showing him the preliminary hearing transcript?	
25	MR. HAMNER: Yes, I am, Your Honor.	

1	THE	COURT: You said voluntary statement.
2	MR. HAMNER: I apologize.	
3	THE	WITNESS: Okay.
4	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
5	Q	Okay. We a little clear now on the order?
6	A	Yeah.
7	Q	Let me re-ask the question. So, tell the jury, what were the first words
8	you used to	o describe him drinking?
9	A	He was a little tipsy.
10	Q	And then you followed that up with saying what?
11	A	He was drunk.
12	Q	Did you use another word before saying he was drunk?
13	A	Wet.
14	Q	So, you first said a little tipsy then you said wet and then you said
15	drunk?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Do you recall whether you were asked by the State what exactly do you
18	mean by a	ny of those words at that proceeding?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Did we follow-up within a more broader explanation from you at that
21	point in tim	e?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Did it help refresh your recollection to see whether we did.
24	Okay.	
25	MR.	HAMNER: Permission to approach, Your Honor?

1	THE COURT: Granted.		
2	BY MR. HAMNER:		
3	Q	Take a look and see if we did actually ask for an explanation after you	
4	made those	e statements. Does that help refresh your recollection as to whether or	
5	not we actu	ally wanted a follow-up from you when you used those words?	
6	A	Yeah.	
7	Q	Okay. Did we actually ask, what do you actually mean by a little tipsy?	
8	Did we actu	ually do that in the prior proceeding?	
9	A	No.	
10	Q	Did I ask you and it was me who was there; wasn't it?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	So, I didn't ask you what do you mean by wet?	
13	A	No.	
14	Q	Did I ask you well what do you mean by drunk?	
15	A	No.	
16	Q	Okay. If I had asked those questions, would you have given me an	
17	answer?		
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	And I asked you today; is that right?	
20	Α	Yes.	
21	Q	Do you recall whether the defense attorney at that time asked you well	
22	what do you	u mean by a little tipsy?	
23	A	No.	
24	Q	How about a little wet?	
25	A	No.	

1	Q	Yes.
2	A	For three years.
3	Q	Okay. So is the place you're familiar with and people that were there
4	you were fa	amiliar with them as well?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Were you present with anyone else in your family?
7	A	On December 18 <sup>th</sup> ?
8	Q	Yes.
9	A	Yes; my daughter.
10	Q	Okay. What was your daughter's name?
11	A	Jayla Manor [phonetic].
12	Q	So, you were with your daughter, Jayla. And where were you seated
13	during that	8 a.m. service?
14	A	In the center of the church toward the back.
15	Q	So, toward the back of the aisles in the middle, like in the middle of a
16	bench?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Did you notice anything unusual or that caught your attention during
19	that 8 a.m.	service?
20	A	Only toward the end of the service when the gentleman walked in,
21	probably te	n to 15 minutes before church was out, and he was kind of a distraction
22	because he	e wanted to walk across the altar and typically no one walks across the
23	altar until th	ne altar call happens.
24	Q	So, he's walking across the front during the service?
25	A	Yes.

dium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or rnet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected
rnet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected
the this case until it is finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess until quarter t
· •
[Recess taken at 3:37 p.m.]
[Proceedings resumed at 3:52 p.m.]
[Inside the presence of the jury]
THE COURT: Please be seated. The record will reflect we're back within the
sence of the jury; Defendant is present with his counsel, the Deputy District
rney prosecuting the case are present as are all officers of the Court; will
nsel so stipulate?
MR. POSIN: So stipulated.
MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.
MR. HAMNER: The State calls Anneesah Franklin to the stand.
ANNEESAH FRANKLIN
naving been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
THE COURT CLERK: You may be seated. Could you please state your
ne and spell it for the record.
THE WITNESS: Anneesah Franklin, A-N-N-E-E-S-A-H F-R-A-N-K-L-I-N.
THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. Proceed.
MR. HAMNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
MR. HAMNER:
NΛ

1	Q	Ma'am, if you could, are you familiar with the New Antioch Christian
2	Fellowship	Church?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Now that's located on 3950 Las Vegas Boulevard here in Clark Count
5	isn't it?	
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Now I want to turn your attention to December 18, 2011; do you
8	remember	where you were that morning?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Tell the jury. Where were you?
11	А	My daughter and I, we attended a 8 o'clock service that Sunday and
12	that was pro	etty much it. We attended the church Sunday service.
13	Q	And when you say the church service, are you talking about the New
14	Antioch chu	ırch?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. Now you mentioned your daughter, Anyla. How old was Anyla
17	at the time	you went there on December 18 <sup>th</sup> of 2011?
18	А	She was nine years old.
19	Q	I'm going to show you what's been admitted as State's Exhibit 1.
20	А	Okay.
21	Q	Thank you. Do you recognize that building, ma'am?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And what is that?
24	А	That's New Antioch Christian Fellowship center.
25	Q	And do you recognize where the entrance of the church from there?
1	I	

1	join and he	stood up and he gave his name and we all said welcome home and he
2	went to the	back and he was smiling.
3	Q	Smiling or smelling? I couldn't quite hear you.
4	A	He was happy. He was smiling.
5	Q	Happy. All right. So, he was happy. So, you noticed that he was
6	happy?	
7	A	Yeah.
8	Q	Were there anything remarkable that how he kind of moved about
9	inside the s	sanctuary of the church?
10	A	I don't know.
11	Q	Did you see him stumble or fall?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Did you see him needing to lean on anybody for help for assistance?
14	A	No.
15	Q	You noticed he was happy. That's the one thing that stood out to you?
16	A	Yhes.
17	Q	I want to turn your attention to after the 8 a.m. service is over, Ms.
18	Franklin. V	Vhere do you go once church has ended?
19	A	You leave. Some stay to talk to individuals, other members from the
20	church.	
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	At that time, I left to go out towards the entrance and I was speaking to
23	a young lad	dy.
24	Q	And that's the entrance that you pointed out here, this entrance right
25	here, the de	ouble doors?

1	where the	staff walked with the Defendant, if at all? Did they all continue to walk
2	with him ou	t of the church?
3	A	I seen the Defendant and the four individuals as they came out of the
4	church.	
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	And they were walking with him.
7	Q	And where does the Defendant ultimately go, if you even noticed?
8	A	As I seen him, he was walking to his vehicle. And, again, I turned to
9	continue m	y conversation with Marquetta.
10	Q	Okay. Do you see could you tell the jury maybe by pointing or circling
11	on the scre	en, where is the general area that the Defendant was walking to when
12	you said he	was walking to his car?
13	A	He was walking like this way.
14	Q	And are there parking stalls you can see trees but are there parking
15	spaces tha	t are kind of along that wall?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Now when you saw him walk, did he have any difficulty walking at that
18	point in time	e?
19	A	No, not that I seen, no.
20	Q	So, he wasn't leaning on any security staff or falling down or stumbling?
21	You weren	t seeing anything like that?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Was there anything really remarkable about the manner he went from
24	that church	to his car?
25	A	No.
	•	

1	Q	So, you saw your daughter actually on the hood, the front hood of this
2	car?	
3	A	Yes, I did.
4	Q	When this vehicle when the Defendant's vehicle was coming towards
5	that church	, did you ever hear the brakes being slammed on or applied?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Did you ever hear any honking of a horn or a voice coming from in the
8	car saying	get out of the way?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Was the car slowing down or was it accelerating when it came towards
11	you, your d	aughter and the other people in front of that church?
12	A	I do not know. When the car hit the church, I still I was still hearing
13	the tires be	ing rolled, the acceleration from the tires.
14	Q	So, when you heard those tires it sounded like the tires were
15	accelerating	g not an idle rolling of wheels?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	When you looked up and you saw this vehicle, did it appear as if the
18	vehicle was	s out of control of the driver, like it was kind of weaving back and forth or
19	did it seem	like it was following on a direct path?
20	A	I'm not sure.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	But I did not see it weaving or anything.
23	Q	How quickly did all of this unfold?
24	Α	Seconds.
25	Q	What as the reaction of your daughter to the situation?

1	doctor said	it was from the whiplash.
2	Q	How about your daughter?
3	A	There was a broken bone. There was a metatarsal or something like
4	that that wa	as broken in her foot.
5	Q	So, she actually broke a part of her foot?
6	A	Yes.
7	MR.	HAMNER: Now I want to show opposing counsel what's been previously
8	marked as	State's proposed Exhibits 21, 22 and 23. Permission to approach the
9	witness, Yo	our Honor?
10	THE	COURT: Granted.
11	BY MR. HA	MNER:
12	Q	Let the record reflect I'm showing the witness what's been previously
13	marked as	State's proposed Exhibits 21, 22, and 23. I'm going to show you State's
14	proposed 2	1; do you recognize what's in this exhibit?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	What's in that exhibit?
17	А	Anyla, my nine year old child, and she has a wrapping on her leg from
18	when the w	rapped up her foot.
19	Q	Is this a fair and accurate I apologize; I didn't mean to cut you off
20	Α	No, it's okay.
21	Q	is than a fair and accurate depiction of what you looked like on that
22	day?	
23	A	Yes. She looks a little bit more calmed down because she was very
24	panicky at	first when it happened.
25	Q	Okay. So, that's taken some time afterwards. This is not immediately

1	after she got hit?	
2	A	No, not at all.
3	Q	But that's a fair and accurate picture of what she looked like around the
4	time that photo was taken?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	I want to show you State's proposed 22 and 23; do you recognize
7	what's in these exhibits?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And what is that?
10	A	It's her foot being wrapped up at the incident when the paramedics and
11	the police arrived at the scene.	
12	Q	So, this is at the scene rather than at the hospital; is that right?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	All right. And these are fair and accurate depictions of these photos?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	All right.
17	MR. HAMNER: At this time, I'd ask that State's proposed Exhibits 21, 22 and	
18	23 be admitted?	
19	MR. POSIN: No objection, Your Honor.	
20	THE COURT: They'll be admitted.	
21		[STATE'S EXHIBIT #'s 21, 22 AND 23 ADMITTED]
22	MR. HAMNER: Permission to publish, Your Honor?	
23	THE COURT: Granted.	
24	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.	
25	BY MR. HAMNER:	

1	BY MR. HAMNER:		
2	Q	But she still complained of pain after that fact?	
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	How long do you think she complained of pain to you?	
5	A	I don't know.	
6	Q	Was it about a month, two months?	
7	MR.	POSIN: Objection; asked and answered.	
8	THE	COURT: Sustained.	
9	BY MR. HA	AMNER:	
10	Q	But it certainly didn't stop after a week; would that be accurate?	
11	MR.	POSIN: Objection; leading.	
12	THE COURT: I'll allow it. Overruled.		
13	BY MR. HAMNER:		
14	Q	I know you don't know the exact amount of time, but would it be fair to	
15	say that it simply wasn't one week that she didn't complain of pain anymore?		
16	A	It was more than one week.	
17	MR.	HAMNER: Court's indulgence. All right. Thank you, Ms. Franklin. I	
18	have no further questions at this time.		
19	THE	COURT: Cross.	
20	MR. POSIN: Thank you, Your Honor.		
21		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
22	BY MR. PO	DSIN:	
23	Q	Ms. Franklin, the bone that your daughter broke was the fifth	
24	metatarsal; correct?		
25	A	I'm not sure if it was the fifth but I know it was a metatarsal.	

1	Q	Did you receive documents from the hospital after you went to the to
2	North Vista	?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you those documents?
5	A	Yes.
6	MR.	POSIN: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
7	THE	COURT: Yes.
8	BY MR. PC	SIN:
9	Q	Without reading anything on this, does this look like the document that
10	you were p	rovided by the hospital?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And if you could look right there; does that refresh your recollection?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Was it the fifth metatarsal bone or rather the metatarsal of the fifth toe
15	that was br	oken?
16	A	It was somewhere in the foot. I'm sorry.
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	I don't know.
19	Q	If you have five toes, would the fifth metatarsal would the fifth toe be
20	the your	little pinky toe; right?
21	A	The fifty toe, yes.
22	Q	Okay. And the metatarsal would be the second bone from the end; is
23	that your ur	nderstanding?
24	A	I don't know.
25	Q	Okay. Thank you. That's all I have, Your Honor.
- 1	1	

1	THE COURT: Thank you. Redirect.	
2	MR. HAMNER: No, Your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?	
4	MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor.	
5	THE COURT: Thank you.	
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
7	THE COURT: Do you not discuss your testimony with any of the other	
8	witnesses in the trial until it's over.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
10	THE COURT: Thank you.	
11	MR. SCOW: The next witness will be Anyla Hoye.	
12	THE COURT: Good morning.	
13	ANYLA HOYE	
14	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]	
15	THE COURT CLERK: Please be seated, and please state your name and	
16	spell it for the record.	
17	THE WITNESS: My whole	
18	THE COURT CLERK: Just your first name and last name.	
19	THE WITNESS: Anyla Hoye, A-N-Y-L-A H-O-Y-E.	
20	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.	
21	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
23	BY MR. SCOW:	
24	Q This is a big room. So, if you remember to try to keep your voice up a	
25	little so the jury can hear you that would be good. Okay. Anyla, how old are you?	

1		Α	Eleven.
2		Q	Okay. So, back in December of 2011, were you nine years old at that
3	time?		
4		Α	Yes.
5		Q	Okay. Today I'm going to talk to you about some things that happened
6	the da	ay that	you had your foot broken back in December of 2011. Before we go into
7	that, I	just w	ant to make sure that ask you a few questions. Okay. Do you know
8	the dif	fferend	ce between telling the truth and telling a lie?
9		Α	Yes.
10		Q	Is telling the truth a good thing or a bad thing?
11		Α	A good thing.
12		Q	How about lies; are they good or bad?
13		Α	Bad.
14		Q	Okay. So, if I told you that my hair was bright pink right now; was that
15	true o	r is tha	at a lie?
16		Α	A lie.
17		Q	Okay. So, as we talk about things I'm going to ask you to tell the truth.
18	Okay.		
19		Α	Okay.
20		Q	So, back on that day that your foot was broken, were you at church that
21	day?		
22		Α	Yes.
23		Q	And were you at the morning church services with your mom?
24		Α	Yes.
25		Q	Okay. And while she went into some church services, did you go into

1	inside the car?	
2	A	Well by that time when I get out, I'm looking out of the car. People are
3	running th	rough. I go through this other door and go out and Burse is in the vehicle.
4	Q	So, you see Allen Burse inside the vehicle?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	What is he doing inside the vehicle? What did you see him doing?
7	A	He trying to detain the man. He trying to hold him down 'cause the man
8	in there, s	crabbling around, fussing and cussing; he's mad, he's frustrated.
9	Everybody's scared and nervous. Burse goes in there I believe that's the	
10	passenge	r side to go in there to detain the man because now we don't know
11	what's going on next.	
12	Q	Pastor Madden, could you hear anything that the Defendant is saying
13	when he's	inside of that car after he has come through your church?
14	A	Yeah. I go around, I go around because I see Burse in there scuffling
15	with the m	an that they're detaining. The man in there talking about I'm going to kill
16	you mothe	er fuckers.
17	Q	How loudly did he say it?
18	A	Well he said it loud enough. I think Burse had him to a point where he
19	couldn't sa	ay it too loud but it was clear enough for me to hear him 'cause I'm
20	standing right inside the passenger door.	
21	Q	And what's your feelings at this point?
22	Α	Well my feelings at this point is Burse can do whatever
23	MR. POSIN: Objection; relevance.	
24	THE COURT: All right. Just a minute. Sustained.	
25	BY MR. HAMNER:	

1	don't know who you you know, and I really don't like that. I'm just giving you what		
2	he said. I really don't like that coming out of my mouth.		
3	Q	So, Pastor Madden, when he was saying these things, was he	
4	mumbling?		
5	A	No, he wasn't mumbling.	
6	Q	Was he incoherent?	
7	A	No, he wasn't incoherent.	
8	Q	How many times did the Defendant apologize for what he did?	
9	A	Did you say apology?	
10	Q	I did.	
11	A	No apology.	
12	Q	You didn't hear him say something how he lost control of the car; I'm	
13	sorry?		
14	A	No.	
15	Q	In what county did all this take place in?	
16	A	Clark County, I believe.	
17	MR. H	HAMNER: Court's indulgence. A couple more questions.	
18	BY MR. HA	MNER:	
19	Q	Pastor Madden, did you ever see this vehicle from the outside?	
20	A	When you said outside.	
21	Q	Did you ever take a step outside of the church to see this vehicle?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	At this time, I'm going to show opposing counsel what's been previously	
24	marked as	State's proposed Exhibit 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14. Permission to approach the	
25	witness.		
	i		

1	THE	COURT: Granted.
2	MR.	HAMNER: Let the record reflect permission to approach?
3	THE	COURT: Yes.
4	MR.	HAMNER: Thank you.
5	BY MR. HA	MNER:
6	Q	Let the record reflect I'm showing the witness what's been previously
7	marked as	State's proposed Exhibit 5, 7, 8 and 9 first. Take a look at these, sir, and
8	tell me if yo	ou recognize what's in these exhibits
9	A	That's the rear of the Cadillac.
10	Q	And that's fair and accurate depiction of it in State's proposed Exhibit
11	6?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	How about 7?
14	A	That's the rear of the Cadillac.
15	Q	That's a fair and accurate depiction of it?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	How about proposed 8?
18	A	That's the passenger side back door of the Cadillac, passenger side.
19	Q	A fair and accurate depiction of it?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	How about 9, proposed 9?
22	A	That's the passenger side door slightly open of the Cadillac.
23	Q	Okay. I'm showing you State's proposed Exhibits 13 and 14; do you
24	recognize this?	
25	A	Yeah. It's the rear of the Cadillac.

1	Q	And that's fair and accurate depiction of State's proposed 13?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	And how about 14?	
4	A	Fourteen would be the you see the bumper on the Cadillac, the rear	
5	  the back bu	imper of the Cadillac.	
6	Q	Fair and accurate depiction?	
7	A	Yes, sir.	
8	MR.	HAMNER: At this time, the State requests proposed Exhibit 6, 7, 8 9, 13	
9	and 14 be a	admitted into evidence.	
10	MR.	POSIN: No objection.	
11	THE COURT: They'll be admitted.		
12		[STATE'S EXHIBIT #'s 6, 7, 8, 9, 13 AND 14 ADMITTED]	
13	BY MR. HA	MNER:	
14	Q	So, this is what it looked like from behind?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	What's that to the left of the Cadillac?	
17	A	Are you saying my left or my right?	
18	Q	What is that? I'm sorry. If you're looking at the Cadillac, to the left of	
19	the Cadillac, what is that thing off to the left of that? Is that a railing of some sort?		
20	A	So, that's my left; right? You talking about this right here.	
21	Q	Your left. That's corrct.	
22	A	This right here. Okay. That's like some stairs I mean, like a ladder	
23	that go to th	ne roof.	
24	Q	Let me show you State's 7y. What is this?	
25	l A	Oh, right there, yeah, that's a railing for the handicapped; you know, it'	

1	A	Yeah. After it got cleaned up, I noticed the markings.
2	Q	What did you notice out there?
3	A	The skirt right there.
4	Q	When you say skirt, what are you referring to?
5	A	Well the black mark right here, right there, we noticed that right there.
6	Q	Okay. Thirteen. Were there other marks out there maybe more faint?
7	A	Yeah. It was other marks more faint that was out there and, you know
8	more within	the foyer, you know.
9	Q	Okay. I want to show you what's been admitted as State's 5. I think
10	that before	you told me this was the road. Could you a mark on where the front
11	entrance of	your church is, the double doors that he went through?
12	A	Right there. I'm pointing right there, right there.
13	Q	So, he went in through those double doors?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	How many cars you've been out there before; right?
16	A	Uh-huh.
17	Q	How many cars could you kind of stack end to end across?
18	A	In the parking slots?
19	Q	Yeah.
20	A	I'd say about 50.
21	Q	I'm sorry?
22	A	Probably about 50.
23	Q	Okay. Just from one end to the other.
24	A	Oh. From one end.
25	Q	Yeah. From the parking wall over to the church.

1	A	Oh, just from the parking wall. Okay.
2	Q	Yes. I mean, how many car lengths is that, ball park?
3	A	About ten, about 20. You know, if you line them up straight this way.
4	Q	So, your estimation
5	A	I'm just I'm really not sure.
6	Q	You're not really sure.
7	A	We never park them straight.
8	Q	I'll ask you another question. Pastor Madden, is that a narrow road?
9	A	That's a narrow road.
10	Q	It is a narrow road?
11	A	Yeah.
12	Q	Or it is a wide road?
13	A	Now listen. I'm confused on what you're asking.
14	Q	Let me ask you another question. Have you ever seen cars going back
15	and forth or	n that road before?
16	A	This here? Yeah.
17	Q	How many usually how many cars can fit going on that road?
18	A	Side by side.
19	Q	Sure.
20	A	You get two
21	Q	Passing opposite traffic.
22	A	Yeah, you can get of 'em easy.
23	Q	You get two of them easy.
24	A	Yeah, two of 'em easy. I mean, if it was a two way street it'd
25	comfortable	<b>)</b> .
	I	

1	testified a year and a half ago, would she be	
2	MR. HAMNER: Objection, Your Honor	
3	MR. POSIN: Would she be incorrect?	
4	MR. HAMNER: objection, Your Honor. Calls for hearsay and relevance.	
5	THE COURT: Well that's overruled, but doesn't call for hearsay as far as the	
6	hearsay objection. I think it's relevant. So, that's overruled.	
7	MR. HAMNER: Well it's assuming a fact not evidence, Your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: That's sustained.	
9	BY MR. POSIN:	
10	Q If Tiffany Tass were to testify that this gentleman was	
11	MR. HAMNER: Objection, Your Honor. The statement the question's	
12	calling for facts that are not in evidence.	
13	THE COURT: Approach.	
14	[Bench conference not recorded]	
15	THE COURT: All right. So, the objection at the bench was if the question	
16	lacked foundation. I'll sustain that and see if you can lay a better foundation of the	
17	question.	
18	BY MR. POSIN:	
19	Q Do you know Tiffany Trass?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Is Tiffany Trass a member of your church?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Was Tiffany Trass in church on the morning that this incident occurred?	
24	A I don't remember.	
25	Q If Tiffany Trass were to say that she was there that morning, would you	

1	agree with that Mr		
2	A	Yes, I would.	
3	Q	And the service that we're talking about started at 8 o'clock and went till	
4	some time	pefore 10 o'clock because there was a 10 o'clock service; right?	
5	А	Yes, sir.	
6	Q	And you testified that this gentleman came in towards the end of that	
7	service?		
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	So, if Tiffany Trass were to have been in that service, the 8 o'clock	
10	service, and	d have observed this gentleman at that service, she would have	
11	observed h	m at the end of that service; right?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	And that's approximately the same time that you were there observing	
14	the same g	entleman; correct?	
15	А	Yes.	
16	Q	So, if Tiffany Trass were to testify that he was incoherent, which she be	
17	mistaken?		
18	MR. HAMNER: Objection, Your Honor; lack of foundation.		
19	THE	COURT: All right. Did you see ever see Tiffany Trass speak to the	
20	Defendant?		
21	THE WITNESS: I never seen her speak to the Defendant.		
22	THE COURT: Did you ever see her interact with him at all?		
23	THE	WITNESS: Nope; no ma'am.	
24	THE	COURT: All right. I'm going to sustain the objection because I can't	
25	pinpoint wh	en this allegedly occurred.	

A No, sir.

Q Was he incoherent?

A No, sir.

Q Did he fail to understand any questions posed to him whether by you or someone else?

A No, sir.

MR. HAMNER: Thank you. No further questions.

THE COURT: Recross.

MR. POSIN: No recross, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. How is my alternate doing? Hanging in there. Counsel, approach.

[Bench conference -- not recorded]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to recess for the evening. Since we're heading off a little early in deference to our alternate feeling a little under the weather. Hopefully he'll be feeling better tomorrow. And we're not going to start until 1:30 tomorrow because I have a very lengthy calendar. So, at 1:30 tomorrow you'll go ahead -- and the marshal will tell you where to meet. We'll back here in this courtroom tomorrow.

So, Ladies and gentlemen, during overnight recess, it is your duty it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or internet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. Okay. We'll be in recess.

MR. POSIN: Before we recess, can I ask the Court for an instruction to the witness not to discuss this case with any of the other witnesses in this case.

THE COURT: Pastor, please don't discuss your testimony with any of the other witnesses who will be testifying. And would you like him to remain under subpoena?

MR. POSIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, basically we're not going to issue another subpoena to you, but we may recall you and if you are recalled, we'll have -- we'll communicate with the State folks because they're the ones that their victim witness people coordinate to have you come in.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the jury has departed the courtroom. Are there any matters outside the presence?

MR. POSIN: No, Your Honor.

MR. SCOW: To make one inquiry. Earlier, you had said Friday that we'd start maybe around 11 because you have a civil calendar.

THE COURT: Actually it looks like we'll be able to start earlier, maybe 10 or 10:30. We're down to only three matters on the civil calendar.

MR. SCOW: I was going to ask for 10 or 10:30 anyway because I have to take my wife to the airport that morning. So, I just wanted to make sure that I didn't cause any delay or anything.

THE COURT: And was there anything from you, Mr. Posin?

1	MR. POSIN: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. So, we'll start tomorrow 1:30.
3	MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And we'll see you then.
5	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.
6	
7	[Jury Trial, Day 1, concluded at 4:48 p.m.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3(c)(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not
22	proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.
23	Pateticie Stattery
24	PATRICIA SLATTERY  Court Transcriber

Partial Rough Draft Transcript, Day 1-45

**Court Transcriber** 

Electronically Filed 03/18/2014 04:20:38 PM

**RTRAN** 1 **CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE#: C278699 Plaintiff, 9 DEPT. V 10 VS. 11 **WILBURT HICKS** Aka WILLIAM HICKS, 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2013 16 RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **JURY TRIAL, DAY 2** 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** RICHARD H. SCOW, ESQ. For the State: 19 **Chief Deputy District Attorney** 20 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 21 For the Defendant: MITCHELL L. POSIN, ESQ. 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER 25

Partial Rough Draft Transcript, Day 2-1

## **INDEX OF WITNESSES**

STATE'S WITNESSES	DAY	PAGE
KEVIN MADDEN	4	
	1 1	5 38
Redirect Examination Mr. Hamner	1	42
ALLEN BURSE		
Direct Examination by Mr. Scow  Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	2	4 27
Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow	2	29
WASHINGTON THOMPSON		
Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	2 2	30 46
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	48
MARQUETTA JENKINS  Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2	52
ANNEESAH ERANKI IN		
Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner  Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	2 2	66 81
ANVIAHOVE		
Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2	83
CRAIG HUTTON		
Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	5
TIFFANY TRASS		
Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner  Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	3 3	17 28
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	3	29
SHARON POWELL		
Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	31
	KEVIN MADDEN  Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination Mr. Hamner  ALLEN BURSE Direct Examination by Mr. Scow Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow  WASHINGTON THOMPSON Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner  MARQUETTA JENKINS Direct Examination by Mr. Scow  ANNEESAH FRANKLIN Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin  ANYLA HOYE Direct Examination by Mr. Scow  CRAIG HUTTON Direct Examination by Mr. Scow  TIFFANY TRASS Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Hamner Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	KEVIN MADDEN  Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination Mr. Hamner 1  ALLEN BURSE Direct Examination by Mr. Scow Cross-Examination by Mr. Scow Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow  WASHINGTON THOMPSON Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Hamner Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow  ANNEESAH FRANKLIN Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin  ANYLA HOYE Direct Examination by Mr. Scow  CRAIG HUTTON Direct Examination by Mr. Scow  3  TIFFANY TRASS Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner Redirect Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner

Partial Rough Draft Transcript, Day 2-2

1	INDEX OF WITNESSES		
2			
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	<u>DAY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4	DAVE CORBIN		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	3 3	38 51
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	3	54
7	Recross Examination by Mr. Posin	3	56
8	DARREN GREEN Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	57
9			
10	BAKMEKA ADAMS  Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	4	9
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
17		<u>DAY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
18	State's Exhibits 1 through 5 State's Exhibits 10, 11 and 12 State's Exhibits 6,7, 8, 9,13, 14	1	8 28
19	State's Exhibits 6,7, 8, 9,13, 14 State's Exhibit 16	1 2	34 25
	State's Exhibits 21, 22, 23		78 4
20	State's 24 [stipulated] State's Exhibits 15, 17, 18, 19 State's Exhibit 20	2 3 3 3	42 46
21	State 5 Exhibit 20	S	40

## WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2013 AT 2:07 P.M.

Ilpaida tha praggaga of the		
	~ III II I	
[Inside the presence of the	t lur	v

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. This is a continuance of the trial in State of Nevada versus Wilburt Hickman, case number C278699, and the record will reflect that we are within the presence of all 12 members of the jury as well as the two alternates. Defendant is present with his counsel and Deputy District Attorney prosecuting the case are here as are all officers of the Court. Will counsel so stipulate?

MR. SCOW: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. POSIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How's my jury today? I'm sorry to have kept you waiting for an extra half hour but unfortunately today's another record, personal record for the longest Wednesday calendar. So, we were going until about 20 minutes ago. So, we're ready to go now. Would you like to call your next witness?

MR. SCOW: Yes, Your Honor. Allen Burse.

THE COURT: Thank you.

## **ALLEN BURSE**

[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

THE COURT CLERK: Please be seated. If you'd please state and spell your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Allen Burse, A-L-L-E-N B-U-R-S-E.

THE COURT: Proceed.

MR. SCOW: Thank you, Judge.

## **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Did you see him stumble or anything like that?
3	A	No, sir.
4	Q	When he was talking to you at that time, did he mumble or say
5	incoherent	things?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Just that he wanted to see his daughter?
8	A	Just that he wanted to see his daughter.
9	Q	Where was his car parked in here, if you remember?
10	A	I know the car was parked along this wall. This is a wall and it's a water
11	building and	d then over here is the housing tract, and his car was parked in this area
12	here on this	s wall here.
13	Q	So, in this area along the wall over here?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Now when you're putting the marks does the mark actually go to a little
16	of the left o	f where you're trying to mark?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. So, parked in this area somewhere along this wall?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	So, you saw him walk from here to his car?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Did he ever use any of the cars to support
23	A	No, sir.
24	Q	You said when he got to his car, did he what at that point?
25	A	He opened his truck.
	I	

	1	
1	Q	Okay. He opened the trunk. You testified he then closed it, got in the
2	car, and wh	at happens then?
3	A	Reversed it. Started it, reversed it, and drove in this direction of the
4	church.	
5	Q	Drove towards the back?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. And did you lose sight of him then at that point?
8	A	I did after he got to the corner here and turned the corner on the other
9	side of the	church.
10	Q	Is there a road also in the back of the church that you can't see in this
11	frame?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	So, he turns the corner. What did you do at that point?
14	A	I, in turn, came out to Las Vegas Boulevard here right at the entrance to
15	make sure	that he was coming around the building, coming around the building, and
16	exiting onto	Las Vegas Boulevard.
17	Q	So, you just stayed out in this area to see if you saw his car leave?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Did you see the car leave?
20	A	No, sir.
21	Q	Okay. After how many minutes were you waiting?
22	A	Three or four minutes.
23	Q	To make sure that the car had left?
24	A	To make sure the car had left.
25	Q	And you never saw it leave?

1	А	No, sir.	
2	Q	What did you do then?	
3	А	I then walked down Las Vegas Boulevard to this area and I noticed his	
4	car parked i	n a stall and didn't see him in the parking lot anywhere out here.	
5	Q	I know the marks are kind of faint, but can you mark on there	
6	approximate	ely where it was that you saw his car parked?	
7	А	It's going to be right about here.	
8	Q	I think I see your mark right here. So, it's like in this area right in here?	
9	А	Yes, sir.	
10	Q	Okay. Do you see the car parked over there the same one that he had	
11	drove around the back of the church in?		
12	А	Yes, sir.	
13	Q	What did you do then at that point?	
14	А	At that point I made my way to the front entrance of the church which is	
15	here, the big	g mark, right there.	
16	Q	So, it's not exactly where you're touching, but is it this area right in	
17	here?		
18	А	Yes.	
19	Q	It's where the front entrance is?	
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	Is that a double door?	
22	A	Yes, sir, it is.	
23	Q	Okay. So, you're making your way towards that area of the church;	
24	what happe	ns?	
25	А	As I get to the door, the Defendant is being escorted out by one of the	

1	Q	Ten years as a police officer?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Was here locally?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	Is that in part why you would have taken over that point in time
6	MR.	POSIN: Objection; leading.
7	MR.	SCOW: It's not leading if it doesn't suggest the answer; overruled.
8	THE	WITNESS: Yes; I took over. I felt that my experience would better dea
9	with the pro	oblem.
10	BY MR. SC	COW:
11	Q	Having been a police officer, driven the streets, and encountered
12	MR.	POSIN: Objection; leading.
13	THE	COURT: I'm not sure it's leading, but it is kind of testifying. So, try and
14	if there v	vas a going to be a question that was going to be leading. So, I'm going
15	to sustain t	hat objection.
16	BY MR. SC	COW:
17	Q	So, you take over at that point in time escorting the Defendant to his
18	car?	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And did you have your hands on him?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	How was it that you did that?
23	A	I did that with an arm bar.
24	Q	Okay. Can you describe what that is?
25	A	An arm bar is having the arm extended and placing your other arm,

1		Q	Okay. So, you're backing. Towards the church building or where you
2	going	?	
3		Α	Yeah. I'm backing away towards the middle of the walkway towards the
4	door.		
5		Q	But you are keeping our eye and you're facing the car; is that what
6	you s	aid?	
7		Α	Yes.
8		Q	Why was that?
9		Α	Intuition. Something just told me something's about to happen.
10		Q	So, your intuition you felt like something was going to happen?
11		MR. F	POSIN: Objection; leading.
12		THE	COURT: Sustained.
13		MR. S	SCOW: I'm just stating what he had just said.
14		THE	COURT: I know you are. I think you don't need to restate everything he
15	says.	Susta	ained.
16	BY M	R. SC	OW:
17		Q	Did you ever testify at a previous hearing as well in this case to where
18	you ju	ust sai	d that you had felt that something was about to happen; do you recall
19	that?		
20		Α	Yes.
21		Q	And do you recall during that hearing if the Defendant had said
22	some	thing i	n response to what you had just said?
23		Α	Yes, sir.
24		Q	What was that?
25		Α	That I almost broke his damn arm.

1	crowd of people.		
2	Q	So, did you see the tires turn in your direction?	
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	At what point in time was that in relation to when you heard the tires	
5	screeching'	?	
6	А	The tires started screeching, the wheels turned, and he came at me.	
7	Q	So, maybe when you say the wheels turned were they like spinning	
8	like this		
9	A	Spinning.	
10	Q	or did they turn in your direction?	
11	A	The wheels started he started squealing his tires, the wheels turned	
12	and the car	started moving towards me.	
13	Q	And moving towards you. And you said what did you do at that poin	
14	in time?		
15	Α	I jumped out of the way.	
16	Q	What would have happened if you didn't move out of the way?	
17	A	I would have been hit.	
18	Q	So, were you in fear for your life at that point in time?	
19	Α	Absolutely; yes, sir.	
20	Q	And did this happen was this quick? Did this happen a short period	
21	of time between when he backed up and drove at you, was this relatively quick?		
22	Α	Yes.	
23	Q	So, you jumped out of the way. And where did the car go after you	
24	jumped out	of the way?	
25	A	The car continued its path. After he came at me, the car continued its	

1	path into th	e doors of the church where the other people were standing.
2	Q	There were other people standing in the front doors, those double door
3	of the chur	ch?
4	A	Yes; yes, sir.
5	Q	About how many?
6	A	Six, about six or seven people.
7	Q	Six or seven people. Were any of those people kids children?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	So, after you jump out of the way, the car continues on; there's people
10	in front of t	he church. Did you see them at that point in time as the car passes you?
11	A	As the car passes me, yeah, I could see the people.
12	Q	So, what did they do as that car approached the doors?
13	A	They're running and moving. The little girl didn't. She wasn't fast
14	enough to	get out of the way.
15	Q	The little girl got hit by the car?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	Showing you State's Exhibit 6. If you can hit that bottom left corner
18	again it'll e	rase some of those finger marks. Is this where the car ended up after it
19	passed you	ı?
20	A	Yes, sir.
21	Q	After the people are jumping out of the way, is this where the car ended
22	up?	
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	What did you do after the car ran into those doors?
25	A	I came around the passenger side of the vehicle and entered the cabin

1	of the vehic	ele through the back passenger door.	
2	Q	When the wheels started spinning, turning towards you and starts	
3	going, could	d you see the Defendant behind the steering wheel?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	What was he doing?	
6	A	He was looking at me.	
7	Q	So, you knew he was behind the wheel of that car?	
8	A	Yes; he was driving the vehicle.	
9	Q	So, after it lodges in the doors, what did you do again?	
10	A	I came through the passenger side of the vehicle, opened the back	
11	passenger	door, and entered the cabin of the vehicle.	
12	Q	Showing you Exhibit 8. Is this showing the passenger side of the car	
13	A	Yes, sir.	
14	Q	Now it looks like the front passenger door is open. Is that the one you	
15	had gone in?		
16	A	No, sir.	
17	Q	Which door did you go through?	
18	A	I went through this door.	
19	Q	Okay. The back one. What did you do when you went in the car?	
20	A	I immediately started to attempt to get his hand off the gear shift	
21	because he	was trying to reverse the vehicle.	
22	Q	And could you hear the engine at that point?	
23	A	Yes, sir.	
24	Q	What was the engine doing?	
25	A	It was revving.	

1	Q	It took some time?	
2	A	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	And were you and other security members then involved with trying to	
4	keep contro	ol of the scene and maintain the Defendant in custody?	
5	A	Absolutely; yes.	
6	Q	You said you didn't remember anything being said but in the time you	
7	were in the	car trying to get him off the gear and the steering wheel, at any time after	
8	that was th	ere ever an apology from the Defendant?	
9	A	No, sir.	
10	MR.	POSIN: Objection; relevance.	
11	THE	COURT: Overruled.	
12	THE WITNESS: No, sir.		
13	BY MR. SCOW:		
14	Q	And at any point in time during that entire incident from the first time	
15	you met up with him on the exit side of the building so when the car ran into the		
16	church was	he ever, from your observations, incoherent?	
17	A	No, sir.	
18	Q	Unable to do things of his own will and power?	
19	A	No, sir. He was definitely coherent.	
20	Q	At any point in time could you smell the odor of alcohol?	
21	A	Yes, sir.	
22	Q	At what point or points?	
23	A	The initial contact when Craig and I met with him onwhen I first	
24	arrived and	as Craig was talking to him, I could smell the odor of alcoholic beverage	
25	coming froi	m his person.	

1	Q	At the time where the wheels are screeching after he just backed up,
2	the wheels	are screeching turning towards you, how would you describe the
3	Defendant's	s actions at that time?
4	A	Deliberate, very deliberate.
5	MR.	SCOW: Court's indulgence.
6	BY MR. SC	OW:
7	Q	Just one more thing. In relation to where you were versus the crowd,
8	showing yo	u what's marked as State's proposed Exhibit 16 and ask if you recognize
9	this?	
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	What is that a picture of?
12	A	It's a picture of the wall against where his car was parked against
13	before he ra	an into the church where he backed up from to come at me.
14	Q	So, this is the area where he parked a second time?
15	A	A second time; yes, sir.
16	Q	When he backed up and then drove at you?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	So, it fairly and accurately depicts that scene as it was on December
19	18 <sup>th</sup> , 2011?	
20	A	Yes, sir.
21	MR.	SCOW: Move to admit State's proposed 16.
22	MR.	POSIN: No objection, Your Honor.
23	THE	COURT: It'll be admitted.
24		[STATE'S EXHIBIT #16 ADMITTED]
25	MR.	SCOW: I'm publishing for the jury. This is the area where as you

1	described the incident, where he backed up and then drove at you; this is where th		
2	took place?		
3	MR.	POSIN: Objection; leading.	
4	MR.	SCOW: I'm just setting foundation.	
5	THE	COURT: Overruled.	
6	BY MR. SC	OW:	
7	Q	So, on this picture, can you indicate approximately where you were as	
8	the Defend	ant backed up and then drove at you?	
9	A	I was about here.	
10	Q	Okay. And in this photograph, can you see where the people were or	
11	approximat	ely where they would have been outside the doors?	
12	A	My back is to him. So, at some point I wouldn't be able to see him. I'n	
13	looking at h	nim.	
14	Q	Okay. Then when the car passes you said you see the people	
15	scattering of	out of the way?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	Is that in this picture, the area where they then scattered?	
18	A	Yes, sir.	
19	Q	And where is that?	
20	A	It's just actually it's on the other side of this car here so it's in that	
21	general area.		
22	Q	Okay. And then showing back to State's Exhibit 6; do you see on here	
23	where it wa	s that the people were congregated?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	Where was that?	

1	A	They were congregated here.
2	Q	Okay. So, right in front of that the double doors?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	MR.	SCOW: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
5	THE	COURT: Cross.
6	MR.	POSIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
7		CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. PC	SIN:
9	Q	Now this incident happened in December of 2011; right?
10	А	Yes, sir.
11	Q	And then you testified as you mentioned in another hearing in this case
12	and that wa	as some time after that; right?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	In fact, that was in January of 2012, just about a month later; right?
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	At that time you swore to tell the truth. You held up your hand and you
17	said I'm go	ing to tell the truth?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	And at that time you mentioned the fact that Mr. Hickman was
20	mumbling;	right?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	And you mentioned the fact that it was like he was under not
23	understand	ing what we were saying; right?
24	A	Yes, sir.
25	Q	And then you also talked about at some point that you were back

1	pedaling away from him; do you remember that?		
2	А	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	Now you meant physically back pedaling; right?	
4	А	Walking backwards, yes.	
5	Q	Walking backwards.	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	Now back pedaling has another meaning; doesn't it?	
8	А	If you play football as a defense back, of course it does.	
9	Q	It also means figuratively, you can back pedaling away from something	
10	that you car	n be moving away from something you previously said?	
11	А	I was walking backwards away from his vehicle.	
12	Q	Okay. Now today do you think you're back pedaling away from those	
13	statements	?	
14	А	No.	
15	Q	Because in fact he was mumbling; wasn't he?	
16	А	No; I could hear him.	
17	Q	All right. Well isn't that a bit of a back pedaling? You didn't say that	
18	when you testified a month later; did you?		
19	A	I could hear him. Nobody else could hear him.	
20	Q	You didn't say that to the Court when you testified a month after this	
21	happened; did you?		
22	А	I said mumbling.	
23	Q	You said mumbling and now you're back pedaling; aren't you?	
24	А	No, I don't back pedal.	
25	MR. I	POSIN: That's all I have, Your Honor.	

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
3	MR. HAMNER: The State calls Washington Thompson to the stand.
4	THE MARSHAL: The State calls Washington Thompson.
5	WASHINGTON THOMPSON
6	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
7	THE COURT CLERK: You may seated. And if you could please state your
8	name and spell it for the record.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, Washington Thompson. That's W-A-S-H-I-N-G-T-O-N
10	T-H-O-M-P-S-O-N.
11	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: You may proceed.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. HAMNER:
15	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Thompson.
16	A How you doing?
17	Q Good. Are you familiar with a place called the New Antioch Christian
18	Fellowship Church?
19	A Yes, I am.
20	Q How do you how do you know about that place?
21	A That is my church that I go to.
22	Q How long have you been going to the New Antioch church for?
23	A Approximately four years.
24	Q All right. Now I want to turn your attention back to December 18, 2011
25	probably about 8 o'clock in the morning. Do you remember where you were aroun

1	that time?	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Where were you?
4	A	At church services.
5	Q	At the New Antioch church?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And that's located on 3950 Las Vegas Boulevard here in Clark County
8	isn't it?	
9	A	Yes, it is.
10	Q	Now what did you notice did something kind of stand out during that
11	a.m. service	e did you notice when you were inside at the service?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	What kind of jumped out?
14	A	Well we had one guest that came in that was acting a little peculiar.
15	Q	Okay. Now prior to that guest arriving, how did the service up to that
16	point go?	
17	A	It was a normal service.
18	Q	Now do you see that guest here in the courtroom today?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Mr. Thompson, if you could point out that guest and an article of
21	clothing tha	t they're wearing and where they're located in the courtroom we'd
22	appreciate	it.
23	A	He's sitting right there with glasses on with a white shirt.
24	MR.	HAMNER: Let the record reflect that thejacket on or off?
25	THE	WITNESS: Off.

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAMNER: All right. Let the record reflect the witness has identified the Defendant, Wilburt Hickman.

THE COURT: It will.

#### BY MR. HAMNER:

All right. So, Defendant comes in and you notice him. Tell the jury what kind of caught your eye about it?

Well when he came in he was -- he was jovial, he was walking around. Α When he first came in, he came through the door. The ushers tried to seat him like they normally do any other guests that come in. He didn't want to seat where they wanted to sit him at. He wanted to go up front of the church and sit in the front pews in the front chairs, and they normally don't sit guests there. They kind of fill up the church from the back to the front. Well he wanted to go up front. They allowed him to go up front because he insisted on going up front. And when he went up front, he kept moving around a lot like he was really -- he was acting happy, jovial, but he kept moving around and looking around. And one of the other members on the safety team told me and the other guy that was on safety that day make sure you keep an eye on this guy. He looks wet.

Q I'm going to stop you right there for a second. That's giving us a lot of information. I'm going to break it up just a little bit.

Okay. Α

So, one of the things that you mentioned was that you said that the Defendant was pretty particular about where he wanted to sit?

Yes. Α

He was very insistent exactly where he wanted to sit in the courtroom --Q scratch that -- in the church, in the sanctuary; is that what you're telling us?

1	Q	Have you ever heard the term buzzed before?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	Does that sound about right? Does buzzed seem to kind of fit this	
4	situation?		
5	A	Yes, it does.	
6	Q	Okay. Now when he walked up to the church, was he stumbling	
7	around?		
8	A	You mean when he walking around in the church?	
9	Q	Yeah. When he came up to sit up, was he having a hard time walking	
10	to make it up to that front part?		
11	A	No.	
12	Q	Did he lean on any of the ushers to kind of help him get there on his	
13	because he couldn't get there on his own?		
14	A	No.	
15	Q	Okay. Anything remarkable about the way he moved when he was	
16	inside chur	ch?	
17	A	Not really, no.	
18	Q	All right. Now at some point later is there a point where you guys have	
19	like an altar	call and like a joining of the church; does something like happen during	
20	your service	es?	
21	A	Yes, it is.	
22	Q	Okay. Did something along those lines happen during that service?	
23	A	Yes. Shortly after we took up the offering, the pastor always asked	
24	anyone a	ny visitors if they would like to join our church, become members.	
25	Q	And did the Defendant have any reaction to that request or question by	

Electronically Filed 01/11/2012 09:32:56 AM

1	INFO		Atom to Chum
2	MARY-ANNE MILLER Clark County District Attorney		CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Nevada Bar #001419 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER		
1	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0011390		
_	200 Lewis Avenue		
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	I.A. 1/12/12 DISTRICT	COURT	
8	9:00 A.M. CLARK COUNT PD BALLOU		
9			
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)	
11	Plaintiff,	Case No:	C-12-278699-1
12	-VS-	) Dept No:	V
13	WILBURT HICKMAN, aka	) )	
14	William Hicks, #0905481	)	RMATION
15	Defendant.	)	
16			
17	STATE OF NEVADA )		
18	COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss.		
19	MARY-ANNE MILLER, District Att	orney within and for	the County of Clark, State
20	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of	of the State of Nevada	a, informs the Court:
21	That WILBURT HICKMAN, aka W	Villiam Hicks, the D	efendant(s) above named,
22	having committed the crimes of ATTEMP	T MURDER WIT	H USE OF A DEADLY
23	WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200	.010, 200.030, 193.33	30, 193.165); BATTERY
24	WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPO	ON (Category B F	Felony - NRS 200.481);
25	BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY V	WEAPON RESULT	ING IN SUBSTANTIAL
26	BODILY HARM (Category B Felony	- NRS 200.481.2e	); ASSAULT WITH A
27	DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony	- NRS 200.471); B	URGLARY (Category B
28	Felony - NRS 205.060) and MALICIOUS	DESTRUCTION O	F PROPERTY (Category

C:\PROGRAM FILES\NEEVIA.COM\DOCUMENT CONVERTER\TEMP\2521129-2977

C Felony - NRS 206.310, 193.155), on or about the 18th day of December, 2011, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

#### **COUNT 1** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ANNEESAH FRANKLIN, a human being, by striking the said ANNEESAH FRANKLIN with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# COUNT 2 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ANYLA HOYE, a human being, by striking the said ANYLA HOYE with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# **COUNT 3** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ALLEN BURSE, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said ALLEN BURSE with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# COUNT 4 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill WASHINGTON THOMPSON, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# **COUNT 5** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill MARQUETTE JENKINS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said MARQUETTE JENKINS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 6** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill RAHMEKA ADAMS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or

in the direction of the said RAHMEKA ADAMS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 7 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill SHARON POWELL, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said SHARON POWELL, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# COUNT 8 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill TIFFANY TRASS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said TIFFANY TRASS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 9 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: ANNEESAH FRANKLIN, with use of a deadly weapon, towit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac at an occupied building, striking the said ANNEESAH FRANKLIN.

# $\frac{\text{COUNT 10}}{\text{SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM}} \text{-} \text{BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN}$

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: ANYLA HOYE, age nine (9) years old, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac through the entrance of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH, resulting in substantial bodily harm to the said ANYLA HOYE.

# **COUNT 11 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt

27

26

28 | //

//

to use physical force against another person, to-wit: ALLEN BURSE, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac at the said ALLEN BURSE in an attempt to strike him.

#### **COUNT 12 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: WASHINGTON THOMPSON, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON.

# **COUNT 13 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: MARQUETTE JENKINS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said MARQUETTE JENKINS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said MARQUETTE JENKINS.

# **COUNT 14 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: RAHMEKA ADAMS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said RAHMEKA ADAMS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said RAHMEKA ADAMS.

# **COUNT 15 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: SHARON POWELL, with use of a

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said SHARON POWELL, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said SHARON POWELL.

#### COUNT 16 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: TIFFANY TRASS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said TIFFANY TRASS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said TIFFANY TRASS.

# **COUNT 17 – BURGLARY**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit a felony, to-wit: Attempt Murder and/or Battery and/or Assault, that certain building occupied by ANTIOCH CHURCH, located at 3950 North Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

# **COUNT 18 - MALICIOUS DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY**

did wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously or maliciously destroy and/or injure the real and/or personal property of another, to-wit: building, owned by ANTIOCH CHURCH, located at 3950 North Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, by driving a Cadillac through the entrance of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH, the value of said damage being over \$5,000.00.

27

28

MARY-ANNE MILLER **DISTRICT ATTORNEY** Nevada Bar #001419

BY /s/ Christopher S. Hamner CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0011390

1	Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this		
2	Information are as follows:		
3	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS	
4	ADAMS, Rahmeka	3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV	
5	BURSE, Allen	ANTIOCH CHURCH 3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV	
6 7	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	C.C. DETENTION CENTER RECORDS	
8	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	L.V. METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT DISPATCH	
9	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	L.V. METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT RECORDS	
11	FRANKLIN, Anneesah	2049 Jesse Scott St., Las Vegas, NV	
12	GREEN, Darren	LVMPD #6881	
13	HOYE, Anyla	2049 Jesse Scott St., Las Vegas, NV	
14	JENKINS, Marquette	5370 E. Craig Rd #2187, Las Vegas, NV	
15	POWELL, Sharon	3861 Autzen Statium Wy, Las Vegas, NV	
16	THOMPSON, Washington	ANTIOCH CHURCH 3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV	
17 18	TRASS, Tiffany	4540 S. Maryland Pkwy, Las Vegas, NV	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	DA#11F21695X/ir		
28	DA#11F21695X/jr LVMPD EV#1112181478 (TK12)		

Electronically Filed 04/03/2012 10:49:12 AM

1	INFO	Alun D. Column
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON District Attorney	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Nevada Bar #001565 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER	
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0011390	
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	
7	I.A. 1/12/12 DISTRICT	COURT
8	9:00 A.M. CLARK COUN' PD BALLOU	TY, NEVADA
9		
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)
11	Plaintiff,	Case No: C-12-278699-1
12	-VS-	) Dept No: V
13	WILBURT HICKMAN, aka	) AMENDED
14	William Hicks, #0905481	INFORMATION
15	Defendant.	)
16		
17	STATE OF NEVADA )	
18	COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss.	
19	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District At	torney within and for the County of Clark, State
$_{20}$	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority	of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:
21	That WILBURT HICKMAN, aka William Hicks, the Defendant(s) above named,	
22	having committed the crimes of ATTEMI	PT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY
23	WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200	0.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165); BATTERY
24	WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPO	ON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.481);
25	BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY	WEAPON RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL
26	BODILY HARM (Category B Felony	- NRS 200.481.2e); ASSAULT WITH A
27	DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony	y - NRS 200.471); BURGLARY (Category B
28	Felony - NRS 205.060) and MALICIOUS	<b>DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY (Category</b>
	1	

C:\PROGRAM FILES\NEEVIA.COM\DOCUMENT CONVERTER\TEMP\2822374 3332

26

27

28

C Felony - NRS 206.310, 193.155), on or about the 18th day of December, 2011, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

# **COUNT 1** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ANNEESAH FRANKLIN, a human being, by striking the said ANNEESAH FRANKLIN with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# COUNT 2 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ANYLA HOYE, a human being, by striking the said ANYLA HOYE with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# **COUNT 3** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ALLEN BURSE, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said ALLEN BURSE with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# COUNT 4 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill WASHINGTON THOMPSON, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# **COUNT 5** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill MARQUETTE JENKINS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said MARQUETTE JENKINS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 6** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill RAHMEKA ADAMS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said RAHMEKA ADAMS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 7 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill SHARON POWELL, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said SHARON POWELL, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

# **COUNT 8 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill TIFFANY TRASS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said TIFFANY TRASS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 9 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: ANNEESAH FRANKLIN, with use of a deadly weapon, towit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac at an occupied building, striking the said ANNEESAH FRANKLIN.

# $\frac{\text{COUNT 10}}{\text{SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM}} \text{--BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN}$

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: ANYLA HOYE, age nine (9) years old, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac through the entrance of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH, resulting in substantial bodily harm to the said ANYLA HOYE.

# **COUNT 11 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt

27 28 //

//

26

to use physical force against another person, to-wit: ALLEN BURSE, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac at the said ALLEN BURSE in an attempt to strike him.

#### **COUNT 12 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: WASHINGTON THOMPSON, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON.

# **COUNT 13 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: MARQUETTE JENKINS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said MARQUETTE JENKINS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said MARQUETTE JENKINS.

# **COUNT 14 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: RAHMEKA ADAMS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said RAHMEKA ADAMS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said RAHMEKA ADAMS.

# **COUNT 15 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: SHARON POWELL, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said SHARON POWELL, being inside or in front of a church, having to

1	move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said SHARON		
2	POWELL.		
3	COUNT 16 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON		
4	did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another		
5	person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt		
6	to use physical force against another person, to-wit: TIFFANY TRASS, with use of a deadly		
7	weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said TIFFANY TRASS, being inside or in front of a		
8	church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said		
9	TIFFANY TRASS.		
0	<u>COUNT 17</u> – BURGLARY		
.1	did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit a		
2	felony, to-wit: Attempt Murder and/or Battery and/or Assault, that certain building occupied		
.3	by ANTIOCH CHURCH, located at 3950 North Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark		
4	County, Nevada.		
5	COUNT 18 - MALICIOUS DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY		
6	did wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously or maliciously destroy and/or injure the real		
7	and/or personal property of another, to-wit: building, owned by ANTIOCH CHURCH,		
8	located at 3950 North Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, by driving a		
9	Cadillac through the entrance of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH, the value of said damage		
20	being over \$5,000.00.		
21	STEVEN B. WOLFSON		
22	DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #001565		
23	Tite value Bai ii oo i o o o		
24	BY /s/ Christopher S. Hamner		
25	CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER Deputy District Attorney		
26	Nevada Bar #0011390		
27	//		
28	//		

C:\PROGRAM FILES\NEEVIA.COM\DOCUMENT CONVERTER\TEMP\2822374-3332-

1	Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this		
2	Information are as follows:		
3	<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	
4	ADAMS, Rahmeka	3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV	
5 6	BURSE, Allen	ANTIOCH CHURCH 3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV	
7	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	C.C. DETENTION CENTER RECORDS	
8	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	L.V. METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT DISPATCH	
9	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	L.V. METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT RECORDS	
11	FRANKLIN, Anneesah	2049 Jesse Scott St., Las Vegas, NV	
12	GREEN, Darren	LVMPD #6881	
13	HOYE, Anyla	2049 Jesse Scott St., Las Vegas, NV	
14	JENKINS, Marquette	5370 E. Craig Rd #2187, Las Vegas, NV	
15	POWELL, Sharon	3861 Autzen Statium Wy, Las Vegas, NV	
16	THOMPSON, Washington	ANTIOCH CHURCH 3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV	
17 18	TRASS, Tiffany	4540 S. Maryland Pkwy, Las Vegas, NV	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	DA#11F21695X/ir		
28	DA#11F21695X/jr LVMPD EV#1112181478 (TK12)		

Electronically Filed 08/23/2013 10:47:11 AM

Hun D. Colini **NOTC** 1 STEVEN B. WOLFSON **CLERK OF THE COURT** Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011390 4 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 5 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, 10 CASE NO: C-12-278699-1 11 -VS-DEPT NO: V WILBURT HICKMAN, 12 aka William Hicks, #0905481 13 Defendant. 14 NOTICE OF HABITUAL CRIMINALITY 15 COMES NOW, the STATE OF NEVADA, through STEVEN B. WOLFSON, 16 District Attorney, by and through CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, Deputy District Attorney, 17 and hereby places Defendant WILBURT HICKMAN, aka William Hicks on notice of the 18 State's intent to enhance the Defendant's punishment pursuant to the provisions of NRS 19 207.010 in the event of conviction of some or all of the counts charged in the Information. 20 This notice is filed pursuant to the provisions of NRS 207.010 and 173.095. 21 DATED this 23rd day of August, 2013. 22 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 23 Nevada Bar #001565 24 BY /s/ CHRISTOPER S. HAMNER 25 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011390 26 27 28

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\4691882-5526276.DOC

#### **NOTICE OF HABITUAL CRIMINALITY**

The instant notice is filed pursuant to the provisions of NRS 173.095(2) which provides "if an Indictment is found charging a primary offense upon which a charge of habitual criminality may be based, the District Attorney may file a notice of habitual criminality with the court." In addition, NRS 207.010(2) provides in relevant part, "It is within the discretion of the District Attorney whether or not to . . . file a notice of habitual criminality if an Indictment is found."

The procedure to be followed at the time of sentencing is set forth at NRS 207.016.

Defendant WILBURT HICKMAN, aka William Hicks has suffered the following prior felony convictions, to-wit:

- 1. That in 1985, the Defendant was convicted in the State of California for the crime of Sale of Controlled Substance, in Case Number A772219.
- 2. That in 2000, the Defendant was convicted in the State of Nevada for the crime of Stop Required on Signal of Police Officer, in Case Number C159356.
- 3. That in 1999, the Defendant was convicted in the State of Nevada for the crime of Battery Constituting Domestic Violence Third Offense, in Case Number C156759.
- 4. That in 1992, the Defendant was convicted in the State of Nevada for the crime of Sale of Controlled Substance, in Case Number C104107.

DATED this 23rd day of August, 2013.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER
CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #011390

# CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that service of Notice Of Habitual Criminality, was made this 23rd day of August, 2013, by facsimile transmission to:

MITCHELL POSIN, ESQ. 382-7496

BY: /s/ C. Cintola C. Cintola

Employee of the District Attorney's Office

cc/L3

Electronically Filed 08/30/2013 03:40:42 PM

1 2	INFO STEVEN B. WOLFSON District Attorney	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Nevada Bar #001565 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0011390	
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	
7	DISTRICT CLARK COUNT	
8   9		
.0	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
1	Plaintiff,	Case No: C-12-278699-1 Dept No: V
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	-VS-	SECOND AMENDED
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	WILBURT HICKMAN, aka William Hicks, #0905481	INFORMATION
5	Defendant.	INTORWATION
.6	STATE OF NEVADA )	
7	COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss.	
.8	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Atto	orney within and for the County of Clark, State
.9	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority o	f the State of Nevada, informs the Court:
20	That WILBURT HICKMAN, aka William Hicks, the Defendant(s) above named,	
21	having committed the crimes of ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY	
22	WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.	010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165); BATTERY
23	WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPO	N (Category B Felony - NRS 200.481);
24	BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY V	VEAPON RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL
25	BODILY HARM (Category B Felony	- NRS 200.481.2e); ASSAULT WITH A
26	DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony	- NRS 200.471) and BURGLARY (Category
27	B Felony - NRS 205.060), on or about the 18th day of December, 2011, within the County	
28	of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases	
	C:\PRO	OGRAM FILES\NEEVIA.COM\DOCUMENT CONVERTER\TEMP\4720439-5559

made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

#### <u>COUNT 1</u> – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ANNEESAH FRANKLIN, a human being, by striking the said ANNEESAH FRANKLIN with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 2** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ANYLA HOYE, a human being, by striking the said ANYLA HOYE with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 3** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill ALLEN BURSE, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said ALLEN BURSE with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### <u>COUNT 4</u> – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill WASHINGTON THOMPSON, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### <u>COUNT 5</u> – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill MARQUETTE JENKINS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said MARQUETTE JENKINS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 6** – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill RAHMEKA ADAMS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said RAHMEKA ADAMS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### <u>COUNT 7</u> – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill SHARON POWELL, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said SHARON POWELL, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 8 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill TIFFANY TRASS, a human being, by driving a Cadillac at or in the direction of the said TIFFANY TRASS, being inside and/or in front of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac.

#### **COUNT 9 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: ANNEESAH FRANKLIN, with use of a deadly weapon, towit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac at an occupied building, striking the said ANNEESAH FRANKLIN.

# COUNT 10 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: ANYLA HOYE, age nine (9) years old, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac through the entrance of the said ANTIOCH CHURCH, resulting in substantial bodily harm to the said ANYLA HOYE.

### **COUNT 11 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: ALLEN BURSE, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by driving said Cadillac at the said ALLEN BURSE in an attempt to strike him.

#### **COUNT 12 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: WASHINGTON THOMPSON, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said WASHINGTON THOMPSON.

#### **COUNT 13 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: MARQUETTE JENKINS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said MARQUETTE JENKINS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said MARQUETTE JENKINS.

#### **COUNT 14 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: RAHMEKA ADAMS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said RAHMEKA ADAMS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said RAHMEKA ADAMS.

#### **COUNT 15 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: SHARON POWELL, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said SHARON POWELL, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said SHARON POWELL.

///

#### **COUNT 16 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON**

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: TIFFANY TRASS, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a Cadillac, by the said TIFFANY TRASS, being inside or in front of a church, having to move to the side to avoid Defendant, who drove said Cadillac at the said TIFFANY TRASS.

#### **COUNT 17 – BURGLARY**

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit a felony, to-wit: Attempt Murder and/or Battery and/or Assault, that certain building occupied by ANTIOCH CHURCH, located at 3950 North Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ Christopher S. Hamner
CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNE

CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #0011390

Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this Information are as follows:

22	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS
23	ADAMS, Rahmeka	3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
24	BURSE, Allen	ANTIOCH CHURCH 3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	C.C. DETENTION CENTER RECORDS
27	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	L.V. METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT DISPATCH
28	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	L.V. METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPT

C:\PROGRAM FILES\NEEVIA.COM\DOCUMENT CONVERTER\TEMP\4720439 -55596

.

1	OR DESIGNEE	RECORDS
2	FRANKLIN, Anneesah	2049 Jesse Scott St., Las Vegas, NV
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	GREEN, Darren	LVMPD #6881
	HOYE, Anyla	2049 Jesse Scott St., Las Vegas, NV
4	JENKINS, Marquette	5370 E. Craig Rd #2187, Las Vegas, NV
5	POWELL, Sharon	3861 Autzen Statium Wy, Las Vegas, NV
6 7	THOMPSON, Washington	ANTIOCH CHURCH 3950 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
8	TRASS, Tiffany	4540 S. Maryland Pkwy, Las Vegas, NV
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	DA#11F21695X/ckb	
27	LVMPD EV#1112181478 (TK12)	
28		

**Electronically Filed** 03/18/2014 04:18:46 PM

**RTRAN** 1 **CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE#: C278699 Plaintiff, 9 DEPT. 10 VS. 11 WILBURT HICKS Aka WILLIAM HICKS, 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 2013 16 RECORDER'S PARTIAL ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **JURY TRIAL, DAY 1** 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** RICHARD H. SCOW, ESQ. For the State: 19 **Chief Deputy District Attorney** 20 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 21 For the Defendant: MITCHELL L. POSIN, ESQ. 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER

Partial Rough Draft Transcript, Day 1-1

## **INDEX OF WITNESSES**

2			
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	<b>D</b> 437	
	KEVIN MADDEN	<u>DAY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	1	5
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	1	38
	Redirect Examination Mr. Hamner	1	42
6	ALLEN BURGE		
7	ALLEN BURSE	2	1
	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2 2	4 27
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination by Mr. Scow	2	27 29
9	Nedirect Examination by Wir. Scow	2	29
	WASHINGTON THOMPSON		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	30
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	2	46
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	48
12			
13	MARQUETTA JENKINS	_	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2	52
14	ANNEESAH FRANKLIN		
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	66
'3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin	2	81
16	Cross-Examination by Wr. 1 Osin	2	01
47	ANYLA HOYE		
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	2	83
18			
	CRAIG HUTTON		
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	5
20	TIEF ANY TO A CO		
	TIFFANY TRASS Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	2	17
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	3	17 28
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	3 3	20 29
	Neure CL = Aarriir au Orr Dy Ivir. Flairii lei	3	<b>4</b> 9
23	SHARON POWELL		
24	Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	31
4 <sup>-7</sup>			

Partial Rough Draft Transcript, Day 1-2

1 2	INDEX OF WITNESSES		
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	DAY	<u>PAGE</u>
4	DAVE CORBIN		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	3 3	38 51
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Posin Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	3	54
7	Recross Examination by Mr. Posin	3	56
8	DARREN GREEN Direct Examination by Mr. Scow	3	57
9	BAKMEKA ADAMS		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	4	9
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
16	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	D 4 1/	D.4.05
17	Otata'a Eulaihita 4 tlawayada 5	<u>DAY</u>	PAGE
18	State's Exhibits 1 through 5 State's Exhibits 10, 11 and 12 State's Exhibits 6,7, 8, 9,13, 14	1	8 28 34
19	State's Exhibits 6,7, 6, 9, 13, 14   State's Exhibits 16   State's Exhibits 21, 22, 23	2	25 78
20	State's 24 [stipulated] State's Exhibits 15, 17, 18, 19	2 3 3 3	4 42
21	State's Exhibit 20	3	46
22			
23			
24			
25			

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel]

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated. This is case number C278699, State of Nevada versus Wilburt Hickman. Is the State ready to proceed?

MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is defense ready to proceed?

MR. POSIN: Thank you, Your Honor, yes we're ready.

THE COURT: All right.

Good morning. Welcome to Department. I'm Judge Carolyn Ellsworth and this is my marshal, Bill Crank, my court recorder, Lara Corcoran, and my court clerk Denise Trujillo, and we'll be with each other for the next several days if you're on this jury.

What we're going through right now is called the voir dire process and you are known right now are the venire panel. If you're seated on the jury then you'll be the jury panel, but right now you are the venire. And as we ask you questions we're going to be doing that under oath in order for us to determine whether you are suitable to be a juror, and to be a juror you need to be fair and impartial and not biased toward either side or against. And that's what we're looking for in a jury panel.

[Voir Dire -- not transcribed- from 9:40 a.m. to 2:25 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. If the clerk will swear in the members of the jury and the alternate.

[The Clerk swore in the jury panel and the alternate jurors]
[The Court Clerk read the Information -- not transcribed]

1	Q	Now is this a church that's located on 3950 Las Vegas Boulevard North	
2	here in Cla	rk County?	
3	A	Yes, sir.	
4	Q	And Mr. Madden, what is your kind of official title at this point?	
5	A	Assistant pastor.	
6	Q	What do we call you? Assistant pastor, pastor, Mr. Madden; what	
7	should I cal	l you??	
8	A	Well the average call Pastor.	
9	MR.	HAMNER: Pastor. Got it. All right. At this time, I'd like to show	
10	opposing c	ounsel what's been previously marked as State's proposed Exhibits 1, 2,	
11	3, 4 and 5.	Permission to approach the witness.	
12	THE	COURT: Granted.	
13	BY MR. HA	MNER:	
14	Q	Thank you. Let the record reflect that I'm showing the witness State's	
15	proposed E	xhibits 1 through 5. Sir, I want you to take a look at State's proposed	
16	Exhibit 1; do you recognize what is in that exhibit?		
17	A	Yes; that's the building where our church is housed.	
18	Q	Is that the church that you've been talking about at this point, the New	
19	Antioch Ch	urch?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Is that a fair and accurate depiction of what it looks like?	
22	A	Yes, sir.	
23	Q	I want to show you State's proposed Exhibit 2; do you recognize that?	
24	A	Exhibit 2, yes.	
25	Q	What is that?	

1	А	The building where our church is house .
2	Q	Is that just of a different angle?
3	А	A different angle.
4	Q	But that's a fair and accurate depiction of it?
5	А	Yes, sir.
6	Q	How about State's proposed Exhibit 3?
7	А	The building where our church is housed.
8	Q	Okay. So, just at a different angle?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Is it a fair and accurate depiction of your church?
11	А	Yes, sir.
12	Q	I'm going to show you State's proposed Exhibits 4 and 5; do you
13	recognize what's depicted in 4 and 5?	
14	А	Yes; the building where our church is housed.
15	Q	Okay. What vantage point is that at from?
16	A	This vantage point would be if you're going east on Las Vegas
17	Boulevard this would be the second driveway.	
18	Q	Okay.
19	А	Right here which would be the front of the church 'cause the double
20	doors is right here.	
21	Q	Okay.
22	А	That would be, you know, what we call if you go in front of in the
23	double door	rs our foyer then you got the main entrance to the church.
24	Q	And is Exhibit 5 just closer up representation of that same driveway?
25	А	Yes.
1	ī	

1	Q All right. And these are fair and accurate depictions, 4 and 5 what I just
2	described?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, at this time, we'd ask that State's proposed
5	Exhibits 1 through 5 be admitted into evidence.
6	MR. POSIN: No objection.
7	THE COURT: They'll be admitted.
8	[STATE'S EXHIBIT #'s 1 THROUGH 5 ADMITTED]
9	MR. HAMNER: Thank you. I'd like to show you State's proposed Exhibit 1;
10	permission to publish to the jury, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Granted.
12	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.
13	BY MR. HAMNER:
14	Q I'm showing what's been admitted as State's 1. Now, sir, if you look on
15	here, where is your church located? If you look on that monitor, I think if you even
16	touch with your finger, make a big circle around what building is your church?
17	A The first building.
18	Q And put your finger on it and touch so the jury can see you make that.
19	A Right there.
20	Q Can you make a circle around the whole building?
21	A I'll do my best.
22	MR. HAMNER: It's not working so well.
23	THE WITNESS: It's not working so well.
24	BY MR. HAMNER:
25	Q All right. Why don't you put a big X through the building. There you go

Q I'm showing you what's been admitted as State's 3. And I'll probably zoom in a little bit to make this a little bit easier.

ALTERNATE JUROR #2: Your Honor, may I be dismissed for the restroom?

I feel I may be sick if I could be permitted?

THE COURT: Oh, yes. We're going to take a brief recess.

And during this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or internet and you're not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. We're in recess.

[Recess taken at 3:51 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. The record shall reflect we're outside the presence of the jury. If you could keep tabs if he's able to return.

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.

[Proceedings resumed at 4:09 p.m.]

[Inside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The record will reflect we are back within the presence of the jury and the two alternates. Defendant is present with his counsel, the Deputy District Attorneys prosecuting the case and all officers of the Court are present as well; will counsel so stipulate?

MR. POSIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And so we'll try and get through this witness and then we'll call it an afternoon so we don't have any further issues. Okay.

1	Q	All right.
2	A	I walk straight to him.
3	Q	Now I want to ask you, is she stumbling around at this point?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Leaning on anything?
6	A	Uh-huh.
7	Q	Just totally actually the fool inside the sanctuary at that point?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Nothing?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Okay. So, you approach him. Are you concerned at that point?
12	A	Yeah.
13	Q	Why?
14	A	Because, you know, his daughter, Samira Grove. And Samira Grove
15	came to me	and say get this man away me. She was all hysterical.
16	Q	Okay. So, Mr. Madden you approached the Defendant. Do you notice
17	anything sp	ecific that you didn't notice before when you approach him this time?
18	A	Do I know anything different?
19	Q	Did you notice anything about him at that point?
20	A	Well he looked like he was upset.
21	Q	He looks pretty upset at that point?
22	A	Yeah.
23	Q	Did you smell anything at that point?
24	A	Well when I got closer to him, you know, I smelled liquor.
25	Q	Okay. You smelled liquor. You've been around people who drink
	Ī	

1	before?	
2	A	Yeah, I drank.
3	Q	Okay. You've seen somebody who is a pretty sloppy drunk before?
4	A	Yeah.
5	Q	Is this one of those situations?
6	A	No, it's not one of those situations.
7	Q	So, he's not sloppy drunk at this point?
8	A	No, no.
9	Q	He just what? I mean
10	A	He just looked mad, and I'm concerned I'm really a little intimated at
11	the time.	
12	Q	Okay. Why is that?
13	A	Because he come back in to the church.
14	Q	So, what do you say to him?
15	A	So I walked up to him and I asked him, how can I help you; and he said,
16	I'm looking	for my daughter.
17	Q	Is that exactly how he says it?
18	A	That's what he said.
19	Q	All right. What's his demeanor when he says I'm looking for my
20	daughter?	
21	A	It was kind of hard.
22	Q	By hard to you mean angry?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Now when you asked him that question, did he sound incoherent when
25	he respond	ed?

1	Q	and out the double doors
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	and then you saw Craig?
4	A	I seen Craig.
5	Q	Who else was there?
6	A	Burse.
7	Q	Allen, Allen Burse.
8	A	Yeah; and another guy named by the name of Dwayne McCoy which
9	you know, ł	ne sits in the pool pit with me.
10	Q	All right. That's kind of another pastor maybe?
11	A	Yes. Well a minister. He's not a pastor; he's a minister.
12	Q	So, you pass him off to Craig and Allen, and at that point are you guys
13	outside?	
14	A	Well we outside and I don't give the man to Craig or Burse. I just kind
15	of	
16	Q	Let him go.
17	A	let him go and turn around and tell Craig, get him away from here.
18	Q	Okay. How many people are kind of in the foyer and outside kind of
19	milling arou	nd the church at this point?
20	A	Well give or take it could 15 to 20 people, you know, and just and
21	you're talkir	ng about the people coming in and the people leaving at the time, we're
22	in transition	of services so we're trying to get the 10 o'clock service started.
23	Q	Okay. So, Pastor, at this point, you think it's a wrap once you kind of
24	passed this	guy off?
25	A	Yeah, I think it's done.

Q All right. So, then happens? Where do you go?

A So, I walked back into the building through the foyer into our sanctuary with the double doors --

Q Uh-hm.

A -- and I'm just getting ready for service, you know, saying hi to a few people, you know. We don't want to get no indication like its some big radical thing going on. We're keeping it calm. So, I'm greeting a few people. And then all the sudden I hear something like a gunshot.

Q How loud are we talking about? Tell the jury. How loud are we talking about here?

- A Boom boom boom.
- Q That's pretty loud.

A That's what I hear. And at the time when I heard that, I turn around and I see another young lady running towards me through the double doors with a girl -- with a girl in her hand talking about she'd been hit, she'd been hit, and she curled her. I run through the double doors and I'm looking and I see a Cadillac in our foyer.

Q Aside from this woman, describe the scene. What are other people doing at this point?

A Man, everybody is hysterical. I mean, it's a mess. People hollering, folks panicking; nervous. I'm nervous.

Q Are people standing still at this point or what they are doing?

A They're moving. You know, we trying to move kids out of the way; we're looking under the car to see if there's anybody else under the car. We don't know if there's anybody under the car. We don't know. So, we try to assess what's going on, you know, 'cause I'm assuming that I didn't see him hit the girl, but the one

1	girl came in and brought the little girl, wrapped her up and trying to protect her.
2	She'd been hit, she'd been hit, so now we're looking out of the corner to see if
3	anybody else is under the car.
4	MR. HAMNER: I want to show opposing counsel what's been previously
5	marked as State's proposed Exhibit 10, 11 and 12. Permission to approach the
6	witness.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. HAMNER:
9	BY MR. HAMNER:
10	Q Let the record reflect I'm showing the witness State's proposed Exhibit
11	10, 11 and 12. I want you to take a look at State's proposed Exhibit 10; do you
12	recognize what's that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q What is that?
15	A That's the car that was in the foyer.
16	Q Is that a fair and accurate depiction of what it looked like?
17	A Yes.
18	Q On that day?
19	A Yes.
20	Q I'm going to show you State's proposed 11; what's that?
21	A That's car in our foyer.
22	Q A fair and accurate depiction of it?
23	A Yes.
24	Q State's proposed Exhibit 12?
25	A That's the car in our foyer.

1	Q Thank you. Is this a fair and accurate depiction of State's proposed		
2	Exhibit 12?		
3	A Yes.		
4	MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, at this time, I'd ask that State's proposed		
5	Exhibits 10, 11 and 12 be admitted into evidence.		
6	MR. POSIN: No objection, Your Honor.		
7	THE COURT: They'll be admitted.		
8	[STATE'S EXHIBIT #s 10, 11 AND 12 ADMITTED]		
9	MR. HAMNER: I'm showing you State's 10.		
10	THE COURT: Permission to publish.		
11	MR. HAMNER: Permission to publish. I apologize, Your Honor. Permission		
12	to publish State's 10, 11 and 12.		
13	THE COURT: It's granted.		
14	MR. HAMNER: Thank you.		
15	BY MR. HAMNER:		
16	Q So, that's what you ran into and what's you saw?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q Has anything like this ever happened at your church before?		
19	A Never.		
20	Q Have you ever seen anything like this before, Pastor?		
21	A Well a long time ago when I had a friend a girlfriend run into a house		
22	MR. HAMNER: Withdrawn.		
23	MR. POSIN: Objection; relevance, Your Honor.		
24	THE COURT: All right. Sustained.		
25	BY MR HAMNER.		

#### 1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA WILBURT HICKMAN, JR. A/K/A 2 Supreme Court Case No. 64776 (District Court Case No. C278699) Electronically Filed William Hicks, 3 Jan 21 2015 11:24 a.m. Appellant, Tracie K. Lindeman 4 Clerk of Supreme Court VS. 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 6 **VOLUME I** Respondent. 7 8 APPENDIX TO APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF (Appeal from Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)) 9 KRISTINA WILDEVELD, ESQ. STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005825 Nevada Bar No. 001565 10 CAITLYN MCAMIS, ESQ. Clark County District Attorney 11 Nevada Bar No. 012616 STEVEN S. OWENS, ESO. The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld Nevada Bar No. 004352 Chief Deputy District Attorney 12 615 S. 6th St. Las Vegas, NV 89101 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155 13 (702) 222-0007 (702) 671-2500 14 ADAM PAUL LAXALT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 012426 15 Nevada Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 16 Las Vegas, NV 89101 17 (702) 486-3420 18 Attorneys for Appellant Attorneys for Respondent

19

#### **INDEX**

2	VOL. NO.	DOCUMENT PAGE NO.
3	I	Amended Information filed April 3, 20120007-0012
4 5	II II	Case Appeal Statement filed January 8, 2014
6	I	Information filed January 11, 20120001-0006
7	П	Instructions to the Jury filed September 9, 20130261-0293
8	II	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed January 2, 20140321-0324
9	II	Notice of Direct Appeal filed January 6, 20140342-0343
10	I	Notice of Habitual Criminality filed August 23, 20130013-0015
11	П	Notice of Motion and Motion for Reconsideration of Motion for a New Trial Due to Ineffective Assistance of Counsel and Conflict of
12		Interest filed January 22, 20140325-0339
13 14	II	Order Denying Defendant's Notice of Motion and Motion for Reconsideration of Motion for New Trial filed February 24, 2014
15	I	Recorder's Partial Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial, Day 1 heard September 3, 2013 filed March 18, 20140022-0066
16	I	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial, Day 2 heard September 4, 2013 filed March 18, 20140067-0157
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	I	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial, Day 3 heard September 5, 2013 filed March 18, 2014
19	I	Recorder's Partial Rough Draft Transcript Jury Trial – Day 4 Closing Arguments heard September 6, 2013 filed January 9, 2015
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	II	Recorder's Partial Rough Draft Transcript Jury Trial – Day 4 Closing Arguments heard September 6, 2013 filed
		i

1		January 9, 2015	0251-0260
2	II	Recorder's Transcripts re: Sentencing heard December 18, 2 March 24, 2014	
3	I	Second Amended Information filed August 30, 2013	0016-0021
4	II	Verdict filed September 9, 2013	.0297-0301
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			