Electronically Filed 03/03/2014 03:07:10 PM

Alm to Column

1 **NEOJ CLERK OF THE COURT** ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 8276 STEVEN G. SHEVORSKI, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 8256 **AKERMAN LLP** 4 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 5 Telephone: (702) 634-5000 Facsimile: (702) 380-8572 6 Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com Email: steven.shevorski@akerman.com 7 Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A. 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10 7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST, Case No.: A-13-686277-C 11 XXX Dept.: Plaintiff, 12 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 13 V. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; NORTH 14 AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; MOUNTAINS EDGE MASTER 15 ASSOCIATION; and DOMINIC NOLAN, 16 Defendants. 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an AMENDED ORDER GRANTING BANK OF 18 AMERICA, N.A.'S MOTION TO DISMISS TO REFLECT COURT'S ORDER GRANTING 7510 19 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST'S REQUEST FOR N.R.C.P. 45(B) CERTIFICATION was 20 entered in the above-captioned matter on March 3, 2014. 21 /// 22 24 26

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572

		1	A copy of said Order is attached hereto.	
		2	DATED this 3rd day of March, 2014.	
		3		AKERMAN LLP
		4		/s/ Steve Shevorski, Esq.
		5		ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8276
		6		STEVEN G. SHEVORSKI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8256
		7		1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
		8		Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A.
		9		
		10		
	JITE 330 [44 380-8572	11		
J.	3, St (89) 702)	12		
AKERMAN LI		13		
ERM/	AS, NE S000 –	14		
AKE	WN CE S VEG 2) 634-:	15		
	1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (16		
		17		
		18		
		19		
		20		
		21		
		22		
		23		
		24		
		25		
		26		

{28253192;1}

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 3rd day of March, 2014 and pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I served and deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER, postage prepaid and addressed to: Michael F. Bohn, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, LTD. 376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 125 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Attorney for Plaintiff /s/ Eloisa Nuñez An employee of AKERMAN LLP

{28253192;1}

Alun D. Lahrum

CLERK OF THE COURT

OGM

4

6

8

9

10

1160 Town Center LAS VEGAS, N (702) 634-5000 –

AKERMAN

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

27

26

28

ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8276 STEVE SHEVORSKI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8256 **AKERMAN LLP** 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Telephone: (702) 634-5000 (702) 380-8572 Facsimile: Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com

Email: steven.shevorski@akerman.com

Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST,

Plaintiff,

V.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; MOUNTAINS EDGE MASTER ASSOCIATION; and DOMINIC NOLAN,

Case No.:

A-13-686277-C

Dept.:

XXX

AMENDED ORDER GRANTING BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'S MOTION TO DISMISS TO REFLECT COURT'S ORDER GRANTING 7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST'S REQUEST FOR N.R.C.P. 45(B) CERTIFICATION

Defendants.

Bank of America, N.A.'s (Bank of America) motion to dismiss 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's (Plaintiff) complaint with prejudice and Plaintiff's countermotion for stay came on for hearing on December 19, 2013. Steve Shevorski, Esq. of Akerman LLP appeared for Bank of America. Michael F. Bohn, Esq., LTD appeared for plaintiff. The Court reviewed Bank of America's motion, the exhibits attached thereto, all the papers and pleadings, the oral arguments of counsel for Bank of America and counsel for Plaintiff, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Bank of America's motion is granted without prejudice and plaintiff's counter motion to stay is denied.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AMENDED ORDER 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's request that this action be certified to the Nevada Supreme Court pursuant to N.R.C.P. 54(b) is granted nunc pro tunc.

FINDINGS

- Dominic Nolan (Nolan) obtained title via grant, bargain, and sale deed, which was 1. recorded on December 10, 2010. Nolan borrowed \$161,524.00 from KEA Mortgage, LLC. This loan was secured by a first position deed of trust, which was recorded on December 10, 2010.
- 2. The senior deed of trust was then assigned to Bank of America. The assignment was recorded on January 6, 2012.
- Bank of America then assigned the senior deed of trust, together with the note, to 3. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC. The assignment was recorded on July 10, 2013.
- 4. The Mandolin (HOA) issued an assessment lien, which was recorded on January 4, 2012.
 - 5. HOA recorded a notice of default and election to sell on February 27, 2012.
 - HOA recorded its notice of trustee's sale on November 15, 2012.
- 7. HOA sold the property to plaintiff via a trustee's sale on February 1, 2013. HOA recorded the trustee's deed on February 7, 2013.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

When a statute is clear and unambiguous, this court gives effect to the plain and ordinary meaning of the words and does not resort to the rules of construction. Seput v. Lacayo, 122 Nev. 499, 502, 134 P.3d 733, 735 (2006), abrogated on other grounds by Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 228 n. 6, 181 P.3d 670, 672 n. 6 (2008). The plain language of Nev. Rev. STAT. §116.3116(2)(b) gives senior recorded deeds of trust priority of assessment liens. Nev. Rev. STAT. §116.3116(2)(c) merely sets forth an order of payment and allows the HOA to recover 9 months of assessments from the proceeds of the beneficiary's non-judicial foreclosure.

No part of a statute should be rendered meaningless and its language "should not be read to produce absurd or unreasonable results." Harris Assocs. v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 119 Nev. 638, 642, 81 P.3d 532, 534 (2003) (quoting Glover v. Concerned Citizens for Fuji Park, 118 Nev. 488,

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

TEL.

492, 50 P.3d 546, 548 (2002), overruled in part on other grounds by Garvin v. Dist. Ct., 118 Nev. 749, 765 n. 71, 59 P.3d 1180, 1190 n. 71 (2002)). Here, Plaintiff's interpretation, that an HOA foreclosure can wipe out a senior deed of trust under Nev. Rev. Stat. §116.3116(2)(c), renders the Nevada's legislature's explicit grant of priority to senior deeds of trust under section 2(b) to be completely nugatory. There is no section in Chapter 116 that states an HOA foreclosure can extinguish a senior deed of trust.

ORDER BASED UPON COURT'S FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Bank of America's motion to dismiss is GRANTED with prejudice.

IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's countermotion to stay is **DENIED**.

THE COURT'S ORDER IS HEREBY AMENDED NUNC PRO TUNC to reflect that 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's request that this action be certified to the Nevada Supreme Court pursuant to N.R.C.P. 54(b) is granted.

KSTRICÝ

DATED this **2** day of February, 2014.

THE HONORABLE JERRY A. WEISE

COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

AKERMAN LLP

riel E. Størn, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8276 Steve Shevorski, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8256

1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A. 25

26

27

					1
					2
					3
					4
					5
					6
					7
					8
					9
				1	0
LP			8572	1	1
(TT L	ite 330	39144	380-	1	2
AKERMAN SENTERFITT LLP	1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144	000-FAX: (702) 380-8572	1	
SENI	enter D	S, NEV	000 - F	1	4
MAN	Town C	S VEGA	TEL.: (702) 634-5(1	5
KER	1160	LAS	L.: (702	1	6
A			TE	1	7
				1	8
				1	9
				2	0
				2	1
				2	2
				2	3
				2	4
				2	5
				2	6
				2	7

Approved as to form and content, all rights reserved

MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.

Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 1641 Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Ltd. 376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 125 Las Vegas, NV 89119

Attorney for Plaintiff

then & Latin

CLERK OF THE COURT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

12

1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572 13 14

AKERMAN SENTERFITT LLP

15 16

> 17 18

> > 19

20

21

22

24

23

26

25

27 28 **OGM**

ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8276

STEVE SHEVORSKI, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 8256 AKERMAN LLP

1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Telephone: (702) 634-5000 Facsimile: (702) 380-8572

Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com

Email: steven.shevorski@akerman.com

Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST,

Plaintiff,

V.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; MOUNTAINS EDGE MASTER ASSOCIATION; and DOMINIC NOLAN,

Case No.:

A-13-686277-C

Dept.:

XXX

AMENDED ORDER GRANTING BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'S MOTION TO DISMISS TO REFLECT COURT'S ORDER GRANTING 7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST'S REQUEST FOR N.R.C.P. 45(B) CERTIFICATION

Defendants.

Bank of America, N.A.'s (Bank of America) motion to dismiss 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's (Plaintiff) complaint with prejudice and Plaintiff's countermotion for stay came on for hearing on December 19, 2013. Steve Shevorski, Esq. of Akerman LLP appeared for Bank of America. Michael F. Bohn, Esq., LTD appeared for plaintiff. The Court reviewed Bank of America's motion, the exhibits attached thereto, all the papers and pleadings, the oral arguments of counsel for Bank of America and counsel for Plaintiff, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Bank of America's motion is granted without prejudice and plaintiff's counter motion to stay is denied.

{28228413;1}

1160 T LAS (702)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

AMENDED ORDER 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's request that this action be certified to the Nevada Supreme Court pursuant to N.R.C.P. 54(b) is granted nunc pro tunc.

FINDINGS

- 1. Dominic Nolan (Nolan) obtained title via grant, bargain, and sale deed, which was recorded on December 10, 2010. Nolan borrowed \$161,524.00 from KEA Mortgage, LLC. This loan was secured by a first position deed of trust, which was recorded on December 10, 2010.
- 2. The senior deed of trust was then assigned to Bank of America. The assignment was recorded on January 6, 2012.
- Bank of America then assigned the senior deed of trust, together with the note, to 3. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC. The assignment was recorded on July 10, 2013.
- 4. The Mandolin (HOA) issued an assessment lien, which was recorded on January 4, 2012.
 - HOA recorded a notice of default and election to sell on February 27, 2012. 5.
 - HOA recorded its notice of trustee's sale on November 15, 2012. 6.
- 7. HOA sold the property to plaintiff via a trustee's sale on February 1, 2013. HOA recorded the trustee's deed on February 7, 2013.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

When a statute is clear and unambiguous, this court gives effect to the plain and ordinary meaning of the words and does not resort to the rules of construction. Seput v. Lacayo, 122 Nev. 499, 502, 134 P.3d 733, 735 (2006), abrogated on other grounds by Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 228 n. 6, 181 P.3d 670, 672 n. 6 (2008). The plain language of NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(b) gives senior recorded deeds of trust priority of assessment liens. NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(c) merely sets forth an order of payment and allows the HOA to recover 9 months of assessments from the proceeds of the beneficiary's non-judicial foreclosure.

No part of a statute should be rendered meaningless and its language "should not be read to produce absurd or unreasonable results." Harris Assocs. v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 119 Nev. 638, 642, 81 P.3d 532, 534 (2003) (quoting Glover v. Concerned Citizens for Fuji Park, 118 Nev. 488,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

492, 50 P.3d 546, 548 (2002), overruled in part on other grounds by Garvin v. Dist. Ct., 118 Nev. 749, 765 n. 71, 59 P.3d 1180, 1190 n. 71 (2002)). Here, Plaintiff's interpretation, that an HOA foreclosure can wipe out a senior deed of trust under NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(c), renders the Nevada's legislature's explicit grant of priority to senior deeds of trust under section 2(b) to be completely nugatory. There is no section in Chapter 116 that states an HOA foreclosure can extinguish a senior deed of trust.

ORDER BASED UPON COURT'S FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Bank of America's motion to dismiss is GRANTED with projudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's countermotion to stay is **DENIED**.

THE COURT'S ORDER IS HEREBY AMENDED NUNC PRO TUNC to reflect that 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's request that this action be certified to the Nevada Supreme Court pursuant to N.R.C.P. 54(b) is granted.

DISTRICT

DATED this **2** day of February, 2014.

THE HONORABLE JERRY A. WEISE

Submitted by:

AKERMAN LLP

Stern, Esq., Mevada Bar No. 8276 Steve Shevorski, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8256 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.

					-
					2
					2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
					4
					5
					6
					7
					8
					9
	Ī			1	0
T.P	(-8572	1	1
ILLI	uite 33(89144	02) 380	1	2
SENTERFITT LLP	rive, S	VADA	'AX: (7	1	1 2 3 4
SEN	Center Drive, Suite 330	S, NE	000 - F	1	4
MAN	160 Town C	S VEG/) 634-5	1	5
KERMAN	1160	LA	(702	1	6
A			TEL.: (702) 634-5000 - FAX: (702) 380-8572	1	7
				1	8
				1	9
				2	0
				2	1
				2	2
				2	3
				2	4
				2	5
				2	
				2	7

Approved as to form and content, all rights reserved

MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.

Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 1641 Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Ltd. 376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 125 Las Vegas, NV 89119

Attorney for Plaintiff

Electronically Filed 02/19/2014 12:08:20 PM

then & Latin

CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **NEOJ** ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 8276 STEVEN G. SHEVORSKI, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 8256 AKERMAN LLP 4 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 5 (702) 634-5000 Telephone: Facsimile: (702) 380-8572 6 Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com Email: steven.shevorski@akerman.com 7 Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A. 8 9 10 11 7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST,

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

V.

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; MOUNTAINS EDGE MASTER ASSOCIATION; and DOMINIC NOLAN,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686277-C

Dept.: XXX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER GRANTING BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'S MOTION TO DISMISS was entered in the above-captioned matter on February 19, 2014. A copy of said Order is attached hereto.

DATED this 19th day of February, 2014.

AKERMAN LLP

/s/ Steve Shevorski, Esq. ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8276 STEVEN G. SHEVORSKI, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 8256 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A.

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

{28158933;1}

Docket 65069 Document 2014-07654

		1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
		2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 19th day of February, 2014 and pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I
		3	served and deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE
		4	OF ENTRY OF ORDER, postage prepaid and addressed to:
		5	Michael F. Bohn, Esq.
		6	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, LTD. 376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 125
		7	Las Vegas, NV 89119
		8	Attorney for Plaintiff
		9	/s/ Eloisa Nuñez
		10	An employee of Akerman LLP
	330 8572	11	
	JTTE 330 144 1380-8572	12	
LLP	OWN CENTER DRIVE, SU AS VEGAS, NEVADA 891 702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702)	13	
AKERMAN LI		14	
KERN		15	
AI			
	1160 TOW LAS TEL.: (702)	16	
		17	
Į		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	
		23	
		24	
		25	
		26	
		27	

{28158933;1}

How & Lahren

CLERK OF THE COURT

OGM

ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8276

STEVE SHEVORSKI, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 8256 **AKERMAN LLP**

1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

(702) 634-5000 Telephone:

(702) 380-8572 Facsimile: Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com

Email: steven.shevorski@akerman.com

Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.

9

8

4

5

6

10

11

14

V.

AKERMAN SEN

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST,

Plaintiff,

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; MOUNTAINS EDGE MASTER ASSOCIATION; and DOMINIC NOLAN,

Defendants.

Case No.:

A-13-686277-C

Dept.:

XXX

ORDER GRANTING BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Bank of America, N.A.'s (Bank of America) motion to dismiss 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's (Plaintiff) complaint with prejudice and Plaintiff's countermotion for stay came on for hearing on December 19, 2013. Steve Shevorski, Esq. of Akerman LLP appeared for Bank of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., LTD appeared for plaintiff. The Court reviewed Bank of America. America's motion, the exhibits attached thereto, all the papers and pleadings, the oral arguments of counsel for Bank of America and counsel for Plaintiff, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Bank of America's motion is granted and plaintiff's counter motion to stay is denied.

{27995543;1}

1160 Town Center LAS VEGAS, NI .: (702) 634-5000 –

22

FINDINGS

- Dominic Nolan (Nolan) obtained title via grant, bargain, and sale deed, which was recorded on December 10, 2010. Nolan borrowed \$161,524.00 from KEA Mortgage, LLC. This loan was secured by a first position deed of trust, which was recorded on December 10, 2010.
- 2. The senior deed of trust was then assigned to Bank of America. The assignment was recorded on January 6, 2012.
- Bank of America then assigned the senior deed of trust, together with the note, to 3. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC. The assignment was recorded on July 10, 2013.
- The Mandolin (HOA) issued an assessment lien, which was recorded on January 4, 4. 2012.
 - HOA recorded a notice of default and election to sell on February 27, 2012. 5.
 - HOA recorded its notice of trustee's sale on November 15, 2012. 6.
- 7. HOA sold the property to plaintiff via a trustee's sale on February 1, 2013. HOA recorded the trustee's deed on February 7, 2013.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

When a statute is clear and unambiguous, this court gives effect to the plain and ordinary meaning of the words and does not resort to the rules of construction. Seput v. Lacayo, 122 Nev. 499, 502, 134 P.3d 733, 735 (2006), abrogated on other grounds by Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 228 n. 6, 181 P.3d 670, 672 n. 6 (2008). The plain language of Nev. Rev. STAT. §116.3116(2)(b) gives senior recorded deeds of trust priority of assessment liens. NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(c) merely sets forth an order of payment and allows the HOA to recover 9 months of assessments from the proceeds of the beneficiary's non-judicial foreclosure.

No part of a statute should be rendered meaningless and its language "should not be read to produce absurd or unreasonable results." Harris Assocs. v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 119 Nev. 638, 642, 81 P.3d 532, 534 (2003) (quoting Glover v. Concerned Citizens for Fuji Park, 118 Nev. 488, 492, 50 P.3d 546, 548 (2002), overruled in part on other grounds by Garvin v. Dist. Ct., 118 Nev. 749, 765 n. 71, 59 P.3d 1180, 1190 n. 71 (2002)). Here, SFR's interpretation, that an HOA

LAS VE((702) 634.

27

28

Attorney for Plaintiff

Nevada's legislature's explicit grant of priority to senior deeds of trust under section 2(b) to be completely nugatory. There is no section in Chapter 116 that states an HOA foreclosure can extinguish a senior deed of trust. 4 ORDER BASED UPON COURT'S FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 5 6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Bank of America's motion to dismiss is GRANTED, with prejudice: 8 FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's 9 countermotion to stay is **DENIED**. DATED this 18 day of February, 2014. 10 THE HONORABLE JERRY A. WEISE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE Submitted by: 15 AKERMAN LLP 16 Ariel E. Stern, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8276 Steve Shevorski, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8256 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 19 Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A. 20 21 Approved as to form and content, all rights reserved 22 MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 23 24 Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 1641 Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Ltd. 25 376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 125 Las Vegas, NV 89119 26

foreclosure can wipe out a senior deed of trust under NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(c), renders the

then to believe

CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **OGM** ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.

2

4

6

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

V.

AKERMAN SENTERFITT LLP

16 TEL. 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26

28

27

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 5 Telephone: (702) 634-5000 Facsimile: (702) 380-8572 Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com Email: steven.shevorski@akerman.com Attorneys for Defendant 8 Bank of America, N.A. 9

1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330

Nevada Bar No. 8276

Nevada Bar No. 8256

AKERMAN LLP

STEVE SHEVORSKI, ESQ.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE. TRUST,

Plaintiff,

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation; MOUNTAINS EDGE MASTER ASSOCIATION; and DOMINIC NOLAN,

Defendants.

Case No.:

A-13-686277-C

Dept.:

XXX

ORDER GRANTING BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Bank of America, N.A.'s (Bank of America) motion to dismiss 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave. Trust's (Plaintiff) complaint with prejudice and Plaintiff's countermotion for stay came on for hearing on December 19, 2013. Steve Shevorski, Esq. of Akerman LLP appeared for Bank of America. Michael F. Bohn, Esq., LTD appeared for plaintiff. The Court reviewed Bank of America's motion, the exhibits attached thereto, all the papers and pleadings, the oral arguments of counsel for Bank of America and counsel for Plaintiff, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Bank of America's motion is granted and plaintiff's counter motion to stay is denied.

{27995543;1}

9

17 18

> 20 21

22

19

23 24 25

26 27

28

FINDINGS

- Dominic Nolan (Nolan) obtained title via grant, bargain, and sale deed, which was 1. recorded on December 10, 2010. Nolan borrowed \$161,524.00 from KEA Mortgage, LLC. This loan was secured by a first position deed of trust, which was recorded on December 10, 2010.
- 2. The senior deed of trust was then assigned to Bank of America. The assignment was recorded on January 6, 2012.
- Bank of America then assigned the senior deed of trust, together with the note, to 3. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC. The assignment was recorded on July 10, 2013.
- The Mandolin (HOA) issued an assessment lien, which was recorded on January 4, 4. 2012.
 - HOA recorded a notice of default and election to sell on February 27, 2012. 5.
 - HOA recorded its notice of trustee's sale on November 15, 2012. 6.
- 7. HOA sold the property to plaintiff via a trustee's sale on February 1, 2013. HOA recorded the trustee's deed on February 7, 2013.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

When a statute is clear and unambiguous, this court gives effect to the plain and ordinary meaning of the words and does not resort to the rules of construction. Seput v. Lacayo, 122 Nev. 499, 502, 134 P.3d 733, 735 (2006), abrogated on other grounds by Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 228 n. 6, 181 P.3d 670, 672 n. 6 (2008). The plain language of NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(b) gives senior recorded deeds of trust priority of assessment liens. NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(c) merely sets forth an order of payment and allows the HOA to recover 9 months of assessments from the proceeds of the beneficiary's non-judicial foreclosure.

No part of a statute should be rendered meaningless and its language "should not be read to produce absurd or unreasonable results." Harris Assocs. v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 119 Nev. 638, 642, 81 P.3d 532, 534 (2003) (quoting Glover v. Concerned Citizens for Fuji Park, 118 Nev. 488, 492, 50 P.3d 546, 548 (2002), overruled in part on other grounds by Garvin v. Dist. Ct., 118 Nev. 749, 765 n. 71, 59 P.3d 1180, 1190 n. 71 (2002)). Here, SFR's interpretation, that an HOA

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

foreclosure can wipe out a senior deed of trust under NEV. REV. STAT. §116.3116(2)(c), renders the Nevada's legislature's explicit grant of priority to senior deeds of trust under section 2(b) to be completely nugatory. There is no section in Chapter 116 that states an HOA foreclosure can extinguish a senior deed of trust.

ORDER BASED UPON COURT'S FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Bank of America's motion to dismiss is GRANTED, with prejudice:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's countermotion to stay is DENIED.

DATED this 15 day of February, 2014.

THE HONORABLE JERRY A. WEISE

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

AKERMAN LLP

Ariel E. Stern, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8276 Steve Shevorski, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8256 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.

Approved as to form and content, all rights reserved

, MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.

Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 1641 Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Ltd.

376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 125

26 | Las Vegas, NV 89119

Attorney for Plaintiff

28

1	ACOM MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.	Alm to Chrim		
2	Nevada Bar No.: 1641 mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com	CLERK OF THE COURT		
3	LAW OFFICES OF			
4	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 125			
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX			
	Attorney for plaintiff			
7	DISTRICT	COURT		
8	CLARK COUN'			
		11, NEVINDI		
9	7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE TRUST,	CASE NO.: A686277		
10		DEPT NO.:		
11	Plaintiff,			
12	vs.	EXEMPTION FROM ARBITRATION: Title to real property		
13	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY, A NEVADA			
14	CORPORATION; MOUNTAINS EDGE			
15	MASTER ASSOCIATION; and DOMINIC J. NOLAN,			
	Defendants.			
16				
17				
18	AMENDED C	COMPLAINT		
19	Plaintiff, 7510 Perla Del Mar Ave Trust, by	y and through it's attorney, Michael F. Bohn, Esq		
20	alleges as follows:			
21	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
22	1. Plaintiff is the owner of the real property commonly known as 7510 Perla Del Mar			
23	Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89179.			
24	2. Plaintiff obtained title by way of a Fores	closure Deed recorded on February 7, 2013.		
25		deed arising from a delinquency in assessments		
-~ \-	due from the former owner to Mandolin pursuant to	NKS Chapter 116.		
۱ / د -				
28	1			

1	4. For a judgment forever enjoining the defendants from asserting any estate, right, title,
2	interest or claim in the property; and
3	5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
4	DATED this 1 st day of September 2013.
5	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
6	MICHALL F. BOHN, ESQ., ETD.
7	Ry: /s/Michael F Rohn Fsg /
8	By: <u>/ s / Michael F. Bohn, Esq. /</u> Michael F. Bohn, Esq. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 125
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorney for plaintiff
10	7 Kitorney for planting
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

	7510 .	PERLA	DEL	MAR A	AVE	TRUST
--	--------	------------------------	-----	-------	-----	-------

Appellant

vs.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

Respondents

No. 65069 Electronically Filed
Mar 11 2014 09:46 a.m.

DOCKETING Fracie: Kirkindeman
CIVIL A Plack of Supreme Court

GENERAL INFORMATION

All appellants not in proper person must complete this docketing statement. NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, classifying cases for en banc, panel, or expedited treatment, compiling statistical information and identifying parties and their counsel.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 26 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See* <u>KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman</u>, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth	Department 30
County Clark	Judge Jerry A Wiese
District Ct. Case No. <u>A686277</u>	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	nt:
Attorney Michael F. Bohn, Esq.	Telephone <u>702-642-3113</u>
Firm Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., L	td.
Address 376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	10
Client(s) 7510 PERLA DEL MAR AVE TRUS	T
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accomfiling of this statement.	
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s):
Attorney Steve Shevorski	Telephone <u>702-634-5000</u>
Firm Akerman LLP	
Address 1160 Town Center Dr. #330 Las Vegas, NV 89144	
Client(s) Bank of America, N.A.	
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):
☐ Judgment after bench trial	⊠ Dismissal:
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction
☐ Summary judgment	⊠ Failure to state a claim
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute
\square Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	\square Other (specify):
\square Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:
\square Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	\square Original \square Modification
☐ Review of agency determination	☐ Other disposition (specify):
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	erning any of the following?
☐ Child Custody	
□ Venue	
☐ Termination of parental rights	
	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (*e.g.*, bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

None

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:
Plaintiff filed an action for quiet title and declaratory relief after it purchased a real property at a foreclosure sale conducted pursuant to NRS Chapter 116. It is the plaintiff's position that the foreclosure sale extinguished all outstanding liens on the property. The district court dismissed the case for failure to state a claim.
9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary): The issue is if the "super-priority" homeowners association lien under NRS Chapter 116 takes priority over outstanding first mortgages and if foreclosure on the "super-priority" lien extinguishes the first mortgage.
10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised: Please see attached list

the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
⊠ N/A
☐ Yes
□ No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
\square An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
\boxtimes A substantial issue of first impression
⊠ An issue of public policy
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
☐ A ballot question
If so, explain: This court has not determined the construction of NRS 116.3116 regarding the priority of an HOA lien over a first mortgage on the same property.
13. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?
Was it a bench or jury trial?
14. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice? N/A

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

15. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from Feb 19, 2014
If no written judg seeking appellate	gment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for e review:
	tice of entry of judgment or order was served Feb 19, 2014
Was service by:	
☐ Delivery	
⊠ Mail/electronio	
17. If the time for fil (NRCP 50(b), 52(b),	ling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion or 59)
(a) Specify the t the date of fi	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and ling.
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing
	ursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the notice of appeal. See AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245
(b) Date of entr	ry of written order resolving tolling motion
(c) Date written	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
Was service	e by:
\square Delivery	
☐ Mail	

18. Date notice of appea	al filed Feb 20, 2014
If more than one part	ty has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	ale governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
NRAP 4 (a)	
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
20. Specify the statute of the judgment or order a (a)	or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review appealed from:
⊠ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150
□ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	\square NRS 703.376
☐ Other (specify)	
(b) Explain how each auth Appeal from an order of di	tority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order: ismissal.

21. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court:
(a) Parties:
7510 Perla Del Mar Ave Trust, plaintiff
Bank of America, N.A., defendant
North American Title Company, defendant
Mountains Edge Master Association, defendant
Dominic J. Nolan, defendant

(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally dismissed, not served, or other:

Dominic J. Nolan has not made an appearance in the district court case.

North American Title Company has not made an appearance in the district court case.

Mountains Edge Master Association signed a stipulation and was dismissed from the case.

- 22. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
 - 1. Injunctive relief;
 - 2. Quiet title; and
 - 3. Declaratory relief
- 23. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?

☐ Yes

⊠ No

- 24. If you answered "No" to question 23, complete the following:
 - (a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

The claims pending against Dominic J. Nolan are still pending

The claims pending against North American Title Company are still pending

(b) Specify the parties remaining below: Dominic J. Nolan	
North American Title Company	
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?	a final judgment
⊠ Yes	
\square No	
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NE there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of	, , ,
oxtimes Yes	
\square No	
25. If you answered "No" to any part of question 24, explain the basis appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NR.	_

26. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

7510 PERLA	A DEL MAR AVE	TRUST	Michael F. Bohn, Esq.	
Name of appellant			Name of counsel of record	_
			m////	,
3.5			// Uchou to Don	
Mar 10, 201	4			
Date			Signature of counsel of record	
Clark Count	v Nevada			
	unty where signe	od		
	onitely which signed			
		CERTIFICATE O	F SERVICE	
I certify that	t on the 10th	day of March	, $\underline{2014}$, I served a copy of this	3
completed do	ocketing statemer	nt upon all counsel o		
completed ac	jeneting statemen	it apoil all coaliser of	100014.	
☐ By po	ersonally serving	it upon him/her; or		
⊠ Bv m	nailing it by first (class mail with suffic	cient postage prepaid to the following	
			resses cannot fit below, please list names	
		parate sheet with th		
	•		,	
Q. Q				
	Shevorski, Esq.			
Akerma				
	own Center Drive	# 330		
Las Veg	gas, NV 89144			
Dated this	10th	day of March	,2014	
Dated this	10011	_ day of <u>March</u>		
			m / / m / 1	
			Illuchal et K	
			I work VI.	_

Signature