

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUDY PALMIERI,
Appellant,
vs.
CLARK COUNTY, A POLITICAL
SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF
NEVADA AND DAWN STOCKMAN,
CEO96, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HER
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS AN OFFICER
EMPLOYED BY THE COUNTY OF
CLARK,
Respondents.

No. 65143

FILED

JUL 14 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR REVIEW

This is a petition from appellant seeking review of an opinion issued by the Nevada Court of Appeals affirming the judgment of the district court on December 31, 2015.


NRAP 40B(a) provides that a petition for review “must state the question(s) presented for the review and the reason(s) review is warranted.” Further, NRAP 40B(a)(1-3) provides illustrative factors that will be considered by this court when deciding to grant a petition for review.


The instant petition does not state any questions presented for review. Further, appellant fails to address any of the factors enumerated in the rule, and does not state any other reason why review is warranted.

This court’s review of a Nevada Court of Appeals decision “is not a matter of right but of judicial discretion.” NRAP 40B(a). As


appellant has failed to demonstrate that the decision of the Court of Appeals should be reviewed, the petition is denied.¹


It is so ORDERED.



Parraguirre, C.J.


Hardesty, J.


Douglas, J.


Cherry, J.


Saitta, J.


Pickering, J.

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge
Potter Law Offices
Clark County District Attorney/Civil Division
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹The Honorable Mark Gibbons, Justice, did not participate in the decision of this matter.