```
Pass the prospective juror.
 1
              THE COURT:
                          Defense counsel.
 2
                             Thank you, your Honor.
 3
              MR. WHIPPLE:
 4
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
 5
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
 6
 7
        Q
              Hi, Miss Miller, how are you?
              Fine.
 8
        Α
              Thanks for being here today.
 9
              I just want to follow up on some of the
10
   questions Mr. Stanton has asked.
11
              First of all, you know there are no right
12
   or wrong answers, because we're just trying to find
13
   a group of individuals that we think can sit fairly
14
   here today, so we appreciate you speaking from your
15
16
   heart.
              Knowing what you know, do you have an
17
   opinion at this point for the proper punishment for
18
   Mr. Johnson?
19
              No, sir.
20
        Α
21
              Why is that?
              Because I don't know the facts of the
22
   case. I don't know the evidence.
23
              Have you sat in judgment of another human
         0
24
   being before?
25
```

(702) 455-3610

```
No, I have not.
 1
        Α
             How do you feel about that, doing stuff
 2
  like that?
 3
              It's something that -- I don't know; I'm
   sort of anxious about -- anxiety.
        0
             Sure.
 6
             But something that I know I can use a
 7
   level head on and be there.
              In your employment, are you in a situation
   where you pass judgment on other person's work
10
   performance or their product or pass judgment on the
11
   work that they performed?
12
              I have a person I supervise, but -- in
        Α
13
   that sense, yes.
14
             Do you have any problems with that type of
15
   interaction?
16
        Α
             No.
17
             I know you've been waiting patiently for a
18
   couple of days.
19
              Have you had a chance to talk about this
20
   case at all to other persons out there?
21
        Α
            No, I haven't.
22
              So, you have no expectations at this
23
24
   point?
25
            Right.
        Α
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

79

```
It's going to be a very challenging
        Q
 1
  situation. You know when you watch TV, you always
  get to see the guilt or innocence, and we have such
  wonderful constitutional protection -- the
   presumption of innocence. That's not going to apply
   here. Mr. Johnson, my client, is a cold-blooded
   killer. He's already been convicted by another
 7
   group of individuals.
 9
        Α
             Right.
             How do you feel about that?
        0
10
             Well, I think it's wrong to be a
11
   cold-blooded killer.
12
             You're not going to find anybody that will
13
   ever disagree with that, and we are not going to say
14
   he was ever justified or excused or any type of
15
   attempt to try to suggest that what he did was
16
   right. We're here only to determine what the future
17
   holds for Mr. Johnson. I need to ask you some
18
   questions concerning, like, the death penalty.
19
              Do you have an opinion with regard to the
20
   death penalty?
21
              I'm in favor of it for certain situations,
22
23
   yes.
              Is that something you thought about?
24
         Q
              Yes.
25
         Α
```

(702) 455-3610

```
When have you thought about it?
        Q
 1
              Oh, for many years depending, you know,
 2
        Α
   like when you watch a trial or something.
 3
              Sure.
        Q
              Who have you had these discussions with?
 5
        Α
             My husband, usually.
 6
 7
              And does he have the same opinion as you
        Q
   or do yours differ from his?
              We're about the same, yes.
 9
              You said that it's appropriate in certain
10
11
   circumstances.
              What are those certain circumstances?
12
              I would say premeditated maybe or
13
   something along that line that someone knows the
14
   outcome before the situation arises.
15
              Somebody that kind of lays and waits and
16
   takes another person's life in anticipation?
17
              Yes.
1.8
              Do you think that the death penalty should
19
   always be in that situation or --
20
                   Like I say, it's the evidence and
21
              No.
   just the situation, the facts.
22
              From my point of view, I will be asking
23
   for a life sentence for Mr. Johnson, and there are
24
   three separate life sentences that you have to be
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
able to consider. He's already been convicted of
   killing four individuals. I need to know if you can
   still be objective coming into this situation.
             In this case, you're going to learn that
4
   Mr. Johnson committed murder, four murders,
   premeditated, deliberate, cold-blooded. Four
   individuals were tied up with their hands behind
   their back, with their face down in the carpet, with
   their feet taped together, and each individual shot
   through the back of the head, one by one. Knowing
10
   nothing more, is it possible to consider a life
11
   sentence in that situation?
12
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I would object
13
   to the form of the question, because it presupposes,
14
   with nothing more, and that's not the process that
15
   occurs here, and that's not the decision for any
16
   juror in this case. So, the question is irrelevant,
17
   and I would object to its form.
18
              THE COURT: You'll have the chance to
19
   question her and inform her of the facts that you
20
   want to.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would definitely
22
   consider that.
23
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
24
                                 When you say you can
             I understand that.
25
        0
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
consider the death penalty or you could consider a
   life sentence --
             Just from what you told me, I would be
   leaning towards the death penalty.
             I appreciate your honesty.
             Could you consider a life sentence in that
   situation?
             I'd have to hear everything.
8
             I do appreciate that, because you're going
   to hear -- if you're selected as a potential juror
10
   in this case, you're going to hear -- you said, "I
11
   would like to hear," and you will hear a lot.
12
   fact, Miss Jackson and I will be presenting
13
   information to you that we call "mitigators."
   a legal term. Another way to describe it is a
15
   reason to give life over death.
16
17
        Ά
             Okay.
             In fact, there was a specific question
18
   that addressed that. It was Question No. 38 that
19
   basically just paraphrased what I just asked you,
20
   and it says, are you willing to consider all
21
   forms -- in fact, there's another one here that
22
   says, "Do you feel you would consider these types of
23
   factors?" You said, "Based on evidence and facts
24
   and also the defendant's history."
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
What do you mean? Can you expand on that
 1
   just a little bit?
             I guess if they had maybe a previous -- I
 3
   don't know -- a previous conviction, maybe a
   manslaughter or something -- you know, something
   along the same line, but not the severity.
             That wouldn't be mitigating; that would be
 7
        0
   more reasons to probably give a more serious
   penalty, wouldn't it?
        Α
              Um-hmm.
10
             Have you ever heard of the term "Abuse
11
   excuse"? Have you ever heard that?
12
             No, not really.
13
              It's where people blame their problems on
14
   their history.
15
        Α
             Okay.
16
              And again, we're not doing that here,
17
   because there is no justification, no excuse, but
18
   just that term, "abuse excuse" and trying to blame
19
   your problems on your past, do you have any feelings
20
21
   on that?
              I think it might be justified in some
2.2
23
   cases.
              You might be willing to listen to that
24
   type information?
25
```

(702) 455-3610

```
I would listen to it, sure.
1
        Α
             Would you be able to consider it?
2
        Q.
 3
        Α
             Yes.
             Why?
 4
        Q
             Because it might be a factor.
 5
        Α
             Let me interrupt you for a second.
                                                   One
        O.
 6
   reason is you have to -- under Nevada law, you do
7
   have to be able to consider it.
 9
        Α
             Okay.
             What I want to know personally, why do you
10
   think it's a factor? Why do you think it's worth
11
12
   considering?
              It could be an explanation of a certain
13
        Α
   behavior.
14
              Again, not trying to justify or excuse,
1.5
        Q
   but just talking about the future of an individual
16
   where he will spend the rest of his life.
17
             Um-hmm.
18
              You also received instructions from the
19
   Court at some point that the death penalty is never
20
   required. It's never required. In fact, those
21
   issues that we call "mitigating circumstances" can
22
   be something you can even explain.
                                         It could be
23
   mercy, it could be something you just feel from the
24
   heart. If you got in a situation where you had a
25
```

```
different opinion with regard to the future of
   Mr. Johnson from other individual jurors that sit
3 | with you, how would you handle that?
             I would explain where I'm coming from with
   my -- what I believe and whatever -- let them know.
             Do you consider yourself, like, a leader
        Q
 6
   or follower? If you were to graph yourself from one
   end to the other, where would you put yourself on a
   scale of one to ten?
             I would say eight towards leadership.
10
             What happens if somebody disagreed with
11
   you and they couldn't explain why they wanted to
12
   give a life sentence over a death sentence, how
13
   would you handle that?
14
             I'd have to -- I don't know.
1.5
   probably maybe go into -- not an argument but a
16
   point-versus-point type of thing.
17
             Sure.
18
             Do you understand in some situations it
19
   may be just to respect a person's opinion why they
20
   can't justify it, it's just a feeling that they
21
   have?
22
              Um-hmm.
        Α
23
              What do you think of that, just accept a
24
   person and respect their opinion?
25
```

Page: 5805

(702) 455-3610

```
I think they would have to review the
1
        Α
   facts.
           I don't know.
              If a person were just to verbalize --
 3
   couldn't verbalize it and said, "I just have this
   feeling, it comes from my heart," could you respect
   that?
             Oh, yes, I would respect it.
 7
        Α
        Q
              Why?
 8
              Because it's their individual feelings.
 9
        Α
              Vice versa, if yours were different from,
10
   say, 11 other individuals and you felt convinced
11
   this was the right decision --
12
              I'd verbalize it.
        Α
13
             -- you'd verbalize it?
        Q
14
              Sure.
15
        Α
              Would you stand your ground?
16
        Q
              I would -- that's difficult to say.
17
              MR. WHIPPLE: I understand. I thank you
18
19
   for your time.
              Your Honor, I pass for cause.
20
              THE COURT: All right.
21
              Ma'am, we're going to let you go for now.
22
   Come back tomorrow at 10:00 a.m.
                                       All right?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 113: Ten a.m.?
24
              THE COURT: Right, downstairs.
25
```

```
The State may exercise their fifth
1
   peremptory challenge.
             MR. DASKAS: Judge, the State would waive
3
   its fifth peremptory challenge.
 4
             THE COURT: All right.
 5
             The defense may exercise their fifth.
 6
             Do you guys want a few minutes to talk it
7
8
   over?
             MS. JACKSON: Yes, your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
10
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, how long a
11
   recess?
12
              THE COURT: Five minutes.
13
             MR. STANTON: Five minutes?
14
15
              THE COURT: Yes.
              THE BAILIFF: All rise.
16
              (Recess taken.)
17
              THE COURT: Who is next?
18
             MS. JACKSON: We were going to exercise
19
   what I believe would be our fifth challenge, Judge.
20
              THE COURT: Who?
21
             MS. JACKSON: It's going to be 004,
22
   Mr. Parsons.
23
                         Okay, Seat No. 2, Juror No.
              THE COURT:
24
        All right. Mr. Parsons, he's out.
25
   004.
```

```
Bring in the next one.
 1
 2
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. Acklin, you had to take
 4
   off and go to the doctor yesterday or the day
 5
  before?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 123: Yes, sir.
 7
  brought an excuse with me.
 8
             THE COURT: What's your problem?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a disabled
10
   veteran, and got a lot of medical problems.
                                                 I'm
11
   taking a lot of drugs.
12
             THE COURT: You're on a lot of drugs now?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
14
              THE COURT: Do any of them alter your mind
15
   or cause you not to be able to concentrate or stay
1, 6
   awake?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes, sir.
18
              THE COURT: We'll excuse you. You can go.
19
             Mr. George Story. Mr. Story said he don't
20
   believe in the execution system.
21
              Is he out there?
22
              THE BAILIFF:
                            No.
23
              THE COURT: Issue an order to show cause
24
   for Mr. Story.
25
```

```
Next is Mr. Love.
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. Love, David J. Love -- you
   have to answer out loud.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 125: Yes.
              THE COURT: You've been in Las Vegas how
 7
   long?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Twenty-seven years.
              THE COURT: So, born here?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Born and raised.
11
12
              THE COURT: All right.
             What kind of work do you do?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Craps dealer.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right.
             Are you married?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
17
              THE COURT: What does your wife do?
1.8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Cocktails.
19
              THE COURT: Is she going to school?
20
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
              THE COURT: She is.
22
             What school is she going to?
23
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 UNLV.
              THE COURT: Do you know what he's
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
studying?
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Biology.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Biology.
             What is she planning on doing with that?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Dental.
 5
              THE COURT: Dental hygienist or dental
 6
 7
   school?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I'm not sure.
 8
   hasn't finished all that yet.
 9
              THE COURT: You were in the U.S. Army?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11
              THE COURT: How long?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four years.
13
              THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury
14
   before?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
16
              THE COURT: Did you ever work in security
17
   in the Army or as an armored police?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, military police
19
   one year while I was stationed in Korea.
20
              THE COURT: Now, you said you have a
21
   sister that works in the justice system?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: What does she do?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She used to be a
25
```

```
homicide detective for Metro; now she's on the
 1
 2
   street, just a sergeant patrol officer.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          What's her name?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Debbie Love.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Debbie Love.
 6
             Who was arrested for domestic violence?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When? I was once.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          How long ago?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Two years ago -- 2001.
10
              THE COURT: Here, you said you have
11
   friends of all different races and creeds.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12
              THE COURT: And it wouldn't affect -- that
13
   the defendant is black wouldn't have anything to do
14
15
   with his race, but then you go and say "if the
   victim was white and the defendant was black, it was
16
   reverse discrimination."
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: By that I meant a few
18
19
   years ago I had a friend that was in an incident and
   he shot and killed a black man. He was a white
20
   male, and fortunately couldn't live with the
21
22
   consequences, and killed himself. And the paper
23
   said "White Supremist kills black football star,"
   and I just feel it was reverse discrimination.
24
25
   he was a white male and killed four black people, I
```

Page: 5811

```
think it would be looked at differently.
, 2
              THE COURT:
                          You lost me here. You said
   you had a friend, and he shot and killed -- is that
 3
   the guy who shot the black football player who was
   with the Asian woman out at Drink?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct -- actually,
   it was, yes.
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                                 Now, what were you
 8
   saying about that?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Just the way that the
   press and things viewed that. I feel it's in my
11
   opinion of what I refer to as reverse
12
   discrimination.
13
              THE COURT: How did the press handle that?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  The headline was
15
   "White Supremist killed a black football star."
16
   was nothing like that, he just happened to be a
17
18
   white male that killed a black male.
              THE COURT: I thought he killed her
1.9
   because he was dating a -- was it a white or Asian
20
21
   girl and he got mad?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; that was the
22
           I know the guy in person.
23
   media.
                         Why did they kill the guy?
24
              THE COURT:
                                  From my understanding
2.5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
it was a scuffle, he attempted to get his handgun,
    and he grabbed the case from the guy, and it was a
    shooting involved.
              THE COURT: That's totally contrary to the
   way the press and everybody and the police reported
 6
   it.
 7
              What's the reverse discrimination?
                                                  What's
 8
   the other part you're talking about? I don't
   understand.
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just feel things are
11
    looked at the other way, it's not looked at it is an
12
   issue like that. It's wrong. It's just how things
   like that are viewed.
13
14
              THE COURT: So, you don't think people
15
   kill each other because of race?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do, but it's not
   always the case.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think if it's a
20
   white male that kills a black male, it's
21
   automatically first looked at in a racial point of
22
   view, and unfortunately, that's the way I view
23
   things.
24
             THE COURT:
                         You have this defendant here
25
   who has been convicted of killing some white guys.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
How do you look at it?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know. An eye
 3
  for two eyes is how I was raised.
 4
             MS. JACKSON: I'm sorry, your Honor.
   was the last thing he said?
 5
 6
              (The record was read.)
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, yeah.
              THE COURT: Could you be fair in this
 8
   case?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
             THE COURT: You're excused.
11
12
             MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
13
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 127
14
1.5
             THE COURT: Miss Ritchie.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 127: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: From Evanston, Wyoming.
17
18
             You've been here 16 years?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20
             THE COURT: You left Las Vegas to go to
21
   school up in Utah?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
22
23
             THE COURT: Why did you do that?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I went to study civil
   engineering. They had a good water program up
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

95

```
there.
              THE COURT: Are you LDS?
 2
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I am.
              THE COURT: So, did that have anything to
 4
   do with it?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Were you still in school now?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I took a year -- I'm
 8
   taking a year off and working.
 9
              THE COURT: So, you're working on your
10
11
   master's. Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
12
             THE COURT: Are you working here?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes, I am.
14
15
             THE COURT: Where are you working?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for a civil
16
17
   engineering firm.
             THE COURT: Which one?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: G.C. Wallace.
19
20
             THE COURT: G.C. Wallace. Okay.
             Your husband -- what does he do?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's a student right
22
23
   now at UNLV.
             THE COURT: What is he studying?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's studying romantic
25
```

(702) 455-3610

```
languages.
 2
              THE COURT: What is he going to do, get a
  Ph.D. in that?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, he's
  planning to go work for the NSA.
 5
              THE COURT: The what now?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The National Security
 8
   Agency.
 9
             THE COURT: He wants to be a spy, huh?
10
             Did he go to Utah State?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He did.
11
             THE COURT: And he got his bachelor's in
12
   what, computer science or something?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, he didn't
14
   finish his bachelor's, but he was studying computer
15
   science, and he came to UNLV and he changed.
16
             THE COURT: He's still working on his
17
   bachelor's now?
18
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, he is.
20
             THE COURT: Romantic language. Is he
   taking Spanish or Latin?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's taking Spanish,
22
23
   Italian, French, Latin.
             THE COURT: Did he ever go on a mission?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He did.
25
```

Page: 5816

```
THE COURT: What country?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  To Brazil.
 3
              THE COURT: He speaks Portuguese?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He does.
              THE COURT: What foreign language do you
 5
   speak?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know a little bit of
 7
   Spanish.
 9
              THE COURT: A little bit of Spanish?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Nothing fluent.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
11
             You never had any courses in criminal
12
   justice or anything like that?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I have not.
14
             THE COURT: Now, on this Question No. 25,
15
   you said you had family members who had been charged
16
   with a crime.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
18
19
             THE COURT: Who was that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My uncle. He lives in
20
21
   Idaho.
             THE COURT: What was he charged with?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He robbed a church.
23
              THE COURT:
                         Robbed a church?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. He stole some
25
```

(702) 455-3610

```
speakers and stereo equipment.
              THE COURT: What kind of church was it?
   It wasn't an LDS church, was it?
 3
                                 It was an LDS church.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 4
             THE COURT: Well, you know, that happens.
 5
                                  It does, it does.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 6
              THE COURT: And your house has been
 7
   burglarized; is that right?
8
                                  Yes, it has.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
              THE COURT: Now, as you know from reading
10
   the questionnaire, we're trying to pick a jury who
11
   can hear this case and decide upon a penalty.
                                                   Now,
12
   the defendant has already been convicted of
13
   first-degree murder with the use of a deadly weapon,
14
   and you will have to decide the punishment according
15
   to the laws of the State of Nevada, and the State of
16
   Nevada law provides that you will decide from four
17
   different forms, that is, the death penalty, life in
18
   prison without the possibility of parole, life in
19
   prison with the possibility of parole or for a
20
   definite term of 50 years plus a consecutive term of
21
   50 years for the use of a deadly weapon for a total
22
   of a hundred years, and parole possible after 40
23
   years has been served, and this applies for each
24
25
   count.
```

Page: 5818

```
Do you understand that so far?
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR;
                                  Yes.
 3
              THE COURT: What I want to know is can you
  consider all four forms of punishment?
 4
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
              THE COURT: What I mean by that is -- like
 6
   some people, they only believe -- they believe in an
 7
   eye for an eye, and the only punishment that they
   would consider would be the death penalty.
   people don't believe that a person should ever be
10
   sentenced to life without parole, because they said
11
   it's cruel, plus, it cost the taxpayers a lot of
12
13
   money. Some people say they could never consider
14
   life with the possibility of parole.
             Could you look at all four of them and
15
   pick out the one that's most appropriate considering
16
   the facts of the case, the background of the people
17
   involved and the law and decide upon the most
18
19
   appropriate one?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I could.
20
              THE COURT: You never served on a jury
21
22
   before?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
23
              THE COURT:
                          Do you have any relatives that
24
   work in the legal profession or law enforcement?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

100

```
1
                                  No, I don't.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
              THE COURT: Can you be fair to both sides?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
              THE COURT: Can you follow the Court's
 4
 5
   instructions on the law?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 6
                                  Yes.
 7
              THE COURT: Can you be impartial and
 8
   unbiased?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
              THE COURT: State.
10
11
              MR. STANTON: Thank you, your Honor.
12
13
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
14
   BY MR. STANTON:
              Good afternoon, Miss Ritchie.
15
        Q
             Good afternoon.
16
             Thank you for your patience today.
17
18
              A series of questions I'm going to ask you
   this afternoon is your ability to be fair and
19
20
   impartial in this case. Basically, what we're
   looking for is jurors who come in with no
21
   preconceived notions about what the punishment
22
23
   should be and will wait until all the evidence comes
24
   in in rendering a just and fair verdict in this
25
   case.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

101

```
The first of that deals with your role in
   this case, and that is the penalty phase only.
   There's nothing about this case or our role as a
   potential juror in this case that deals with the
   determination of guilt or innocence.
                                          That's
   previously been done by another jury.
             The defendant, Donte Johnson, has been
   convicted of four counts of first-degree murder.
   The Judge will instruct you that you have to abide
   by that previous jury's verdict.
10
             Can you do that?
11
12
        Α
             Yes.
             Even looking at what your role would be in
13
   determining punishment?
14
        Α
             Yes.
15
             Part of what you will hear from the
16
   State's presentation of the facts in this case is
17
   some of the underlying facts and circumstances
18
   regarding the underlying quadruple murder in this
19
          As part of that evidence, that evidence is
20
   rather graphic in nature, very brutal. Your role as
21
   a juror, while emotional and probably for most
22
   compassionate people is distasteful, it's important
23
   that you view that evidence with an eye as a juror,
24
   that is, you look at that and determine as evidence
25
```

Page: 5821

ultimately the issue that's before you, the just punishment. 3 I don't know anything about you, but based upon your knowledge of your own character, can you look at that type of information and abide by your function as a juror in this case to review it as evidence? Yes, I would. Α 8 9 In addition, there are four different 10 victims that were killed in this case, and that your 11 job as a juror would be to determine the appropriate 12 and just punishment as it relates to each one of those victims. It may be that your decision as it 13 relates to each one is the same or it might be that 14 it's different. 15 16 Does that cause you any problems, that 17 process? 1.8 Α No. 19 You indicated that your family member that 20 was involved in the criminal justice system -- as a 21 result of it, have you formed any opinion both 22 either positive or negative about the system? 23 I mean by that is do you harbor any feelings of 24 resentment towards either the police or the 25 prosecution about how they handled your uncle's

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5822

```
case?
        Α
              No.
              Do you think he was treated fairly?
 3
        Ō.
              I think he was.
 4
        Α
              And that was not here in Las Vegas?
 5
        0
              No; that was in Idaho.
 6
        Α
              Have you had much discussion about that
 7
        0
   case or what occurred and the punishment with your
   family?
                   I mean, we talked about it at the
10
        Α
              No.
   time, which was several years ago, so this was when
11
12
   I was young.
              Was he incarcerated as a result of this?
13
        0
             He was.
14
        Α
              Is he still serving time?
15
        0
              No.
16
        Α
              Do you have much contact with that uncle?
17
18
        Α
              Not too much.
              Would your participation in this
19
        Q
   proceeding cause you any difficulty in looking your
20
   uncle in the eye at some time and telling him about
21
   this case and telling him that you were involved in
22
   the jury? Would that cause you any discomfort?
23
24
        Α
              No.
              The questions in your answers about the
25
```

Page: 5823

104

```
death penalty in the questionnaire -- I believe you
 1
   filled it out approximately a week or so ago -- you
 3
   indicated that you would consider the death penalty
   in certain circumstances --
              Um-hmm.
 5
        Ą
              -- is that correct?
 6
 7
        Α
             Yes.
              Is that a feeling that you've had for a
 8
   period of time about the death penalty? Have you
   thought about it for a while?
10
              It's something that I've thought about
11
   whenever you hear cases about whenever the death
12
13
   penalty is imposed.
              Knowing that in this particular case the
14
   defendant has been convicted of four counts of
15
   first-degree murder, while that fact alone is a
16
17
   basis to aggravate a case and you can use it as a
   basis, you would keep your mind open for other
18
   sentencing options and to listen to all the evidence
19
   until it was formally given to you for deliberation?
20
             Yes, I would.
21
        Α
             MR. STANTON: No further questions, your
22
23
   Honor.
              THE COURT: All right.
24
             MR. STANTON: Pass the prospective juror.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

105

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Defense Counsel.
                            Thank you, your Honor.
 2
             MR. WHIPPLE:
 3
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
 5
              Hi Miss Ritchie. How are you?
 6
        0
              I'm doing all right.
 7
              Thank you for your time.
 8
             Miss Ritchie, when you received this jury
 9
   questionnaire about a week ago and you read through
10
   it, what thoughts passed through your mind?
11
             Well, obviously, I thought, wow, that's a
12
   murder case, and since I've taken that, a lot of
13
   thoughts about how I feel about murder and what
14
   should happen, because I know that there were the
15
   four in the questionnaire -- it talks about the four
16
   different penalties that are applied to that and
17
   just how I felt about those.
18
              Take me, if you would, just a little
19
   farther through your thought process. I'm very
20
   impressed. This really seems to be the genesis of a
21
   lot of your thoughts.
22
              What have you been thinking about since
23
   that time?
24
              I just felt like I don't really know much
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

106

```
about what happened, so I couldn't make a decision
   which way, but I know that if I did hear what
   happened, that I would be able to make a decision in
 3
   that direction, whichever way it should go.
              How do you feel about sitting in judgment
 5
   of another human being?
              I think it's a big responsibility.
        Α
 7
              Have you ever been in a situation where
 8
   you've done that before?
10
              No.
              Have you had an opportunity to talk to any
11
   of the folks, other jurors out there in the hall
12
   about this particular case or what to expect or some
13
   of the things you might be expecting?
14
             Not really, I try to kind of stay out of
15
        Ά
16
   that.
              Is it fair to say there's some talking
17
   about what this case is and what's going on?
18
              I don't think anybody really knows, but
19
20
   just talking about it, just speculation.
21
              Sure.
              It asks -- one of the questions is media.
22
23
   You left it blank.
              I assume you're not familiar with this
24
25
   case at all?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

107

```
1
              No.
                   I haven't heard anything about it,
   and I watch the news a lot, that's why I was
   surprised.
              How long have you been here in Las Vegas?
              I think I put 16 years. I've lived here
 5
   since I was in elementary school.
 7
        Q
              What school did you go to, which high
   school?
        Ά
              I went through Basic High School.
10
              I'm kind of surprised, because this did
   catch a lot of media when this occurred.
11
12
              You don't remember anything about that?
              No, I don't.
13
        Α
              THE COURT: I have a question for you.
14
15
              Were you out at Basic when I gave a speech
16
   out there one day?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
                                                  I don't
17
18
            Maybe I wasn't there.
   recall.
             MR. DASKAS: Maybe she ditched that day.
19
             MS. JACKSON: They knew you were coming.
20
21
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
              There were some questions on the jury
22
        Q
   questionnaire, and you actually scribbled some out.
23
24
        Α
             Because I misread it. I misread the
   question, and my answer didn't make sense, because I
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

108

```
filled out the questionnaire, and I wanted to make
 1
   sure my answers were legit and correct, and I went
 3
   through and it didn't answer the question, so I
   wanted to make sure that it was correct.
              So, you double-checked your work?
             Yes, I did.
 6
        Α
 7
             We really appreciate that.
              The death penalty -- you spoke about it
 8
 9
   briefly.
              Do you have a decision with regard to the
10
   death penalty, if it's used too much or not enough,
11
1.2
   do you have any type of opinions that way?
             My opinion is I'm not against the death
13
        Ά
   penalty, but in order to be for it, I think there
14
   has to be compelling evidence.
15
             How about the old adage, "An eye for an
16
   eye" -- do you agree with that old adage?
17
   agree with that eye for an eye?
18
             No, I don't agree with an eye for an eye.
        Α
19
             Why not?
20
             It's Mosaic. It's guess it's Mosaic law.
21
   It's old.
22
             This is not like one of those TV shows
23
   where -- you know, Perry Mason. This is not a
24
   trial. My client, Mr. Johnson, is a cold-blooded
25
```

\_ \_\_\_\_

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

killer, and you are going to be asked to determine what will the future entail for my client, so I need 3 to ask you some questions about that. If a person has been convicted of first-degree, cold-blooded, premeditated, deliberate murder, could you consider a potential life sentence? Yes, I could. Α 8 And in this particular case, we have an 9 individual who has been convicted. We're not trying 10 to justify, we're not here to excuse. It was a 11 terrible, terrible thing. There would be nobody 12 that disagrees with that, but he had four innocent 13 individuals who were bound with tape on their hands, 14 and their feet were bound together, and they were 15 laid on the floor, and they were shot through the 16 back of the head one by one. Knowing nothing more, 17 is it possible that you could consider a life 18 sentence in that situation? 19 Yes. 20 Α You're going to hear at some point from 21 this Court -- he will give you instructions, and 22 some of those instructions will give you the fact 23 that a death penalty is never required, and other 24 instructions will talk about mitigation, and I'm 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5829

1 sure the State will talk to you about aggravation; we'll talk to you about mitigation. Mitigation is essentially any reason to choose life over death. We, in fact, had some questions that were put in here about mitigation, and you answered that -- I'm going to paraphrase it. It says, "In reaching a verdict in this penalty phase, you must consider the defendant's background." 9 Correct. 10 It is a must. The Nevada law requires 11 people in the penalty phase -- not the trial phase 12 but in the penalty phase -- individuals are willing 13 to look at all the facts and circumstances, and it 14 says, "that is, mitigating circumstances such as 15 defendant's health, mental status, age, childhood 16 experience," et cetera. And then it asked, "Do you feel you would consider those types of factors?" and 17 you struck, "Very much." 18 Why did you strike "very much"? 1.9 20 were four different options there. Well, I think your background has a lot to 21 do with how you act and conduct yourself throughout 22 23 your life, so you would have to consider maybe what drove you to do something, to commit a heinous 24 25 crime.

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5830

```
There's that term "abuse excuse."
        Q
 1
             That their parents abused them so they
 2
   continue that abuse cycle.
 3 h
             That could be the term, but people try to
        Q
   blame their problems on the past.
        A
             Okay.
 6
             They're refusing to accept accountability,
 7
   and we accept full accountability, I want to make
   clear, but the term "abuse excuse" exists, and I'm
   just curious if you've heard about it and if you
10
   have any comments on it?
11
              I guess the way you explained it more
        Α
12
   generalized, I have heard that people with their
13
   background, they would blame it on something else or
1,4
   someone caused them to do something.
15
             How do you feel about that?
16
             Well, I think you have to be responsible
17
   for the things that you do.
18
              We would all agree with that.
19
             Miss Ritchie, if you found yourself in a
20
   situation where your opinion was different from
21
   other individuals, how would you handle that in
22
             Before you answer that, I'm going to ask a
23
   general?
   second question, so consider it a compound question.
24
              Do you consider yourself a leader or
2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

112

```
follower, so it kind of mesh together?
             I think it always depends on the
               If you feel that your opinion is valid
   and important, then you should make sure that you're
   a leader with your opinion, but sometimes someone
   else will have a better opinion, and in that case,
   you should be the follower.
             How do you make the determination if you
        Q
   should be a leader or follower?
             You just have to decide how strong your
10
11
   opinion is.
             And I understand that.
12
        0
             One of the things I anticipate you need to
13
   do is -- mitigation does not always have to be
14
   verbalized. Simple things like mercy or a gut
15
   feeling of choosing life over death is a mitigator.
16
   Maybe sometimes one wouldn't be able to verbalize a
17
18
   reason why she chose life over death.
             How do you feel about that?
19
             Well, I feel you should respect everyone's
20
        Α
21
   opinion.
             What if others had a different opinion
22
        Q
   than you on that issue?
23
             On respecting others' opinions?
24
        Α
             Yes.
25
        Q
```

(702) 455-3610

```
I just think that's how it is sometimes,
 1
        Α
 2
   people just may not agree with you.
             Could you stand your ground and hold your
 3
   opinion if you felt convinced?
 4
             Definitely, I would stand my ground.
        Α
 5
             MR. WHIPPLE: Miss Ritchie, I thank you
 6
 7
   for your time. I have no further questions, and I
   pass for cause, your Honor.
                          Thank you very much, ma'am.
 9
              THE COURT:
   We're going to have you come back tomorrow morning
10
   at 10:00 a.m., and we'll let you know.
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 127: Ten a.m.?
12
             THE COURT: Yes, downstairs.
13
             At this time the State may exercise their
14
   sixth peremptory challenge.
15
             MR. STANTON: Can we have the Court's
16
   indulgence for just a moment?
17
             THE COURT: Yeah, I'll give you a few
18
19
   minutes.
              (Recess taken.)
20
              THE BAILIFF: Remain seated, come to
21
   order. Court is again in session.
22
                          State, have you decided on
23
              THE COURT:
   your sixth peremptory challenge?
24
25
             MR. DASKAS: Judge, we have. We would
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
exercise our sixth peremptory challenge on Juror
1
   No. 046, Miss Finn seated in position No. 11, Judge.
 2
             THE COURT: All right, Miss Finn.
 3
             Who is next?
 4
 5
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132
 6
                          Mr. Gray?
 7
             THE COURT:
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 132: Yes, sir.
 8
              THE COURT: I think you said you know
 9
   Miss Jackson?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
11
             THE COURT: Where do you know Miss Jackson
12
13
   from?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Out of the triple
14
   three right down the street.
15
              THE COURT: Out of the what?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The 333 building.
17
   have a maintenance office on the bottom, she's up on
18
   top.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. You're down there now?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I'm down at
21
    juvenile now.
22
              THE COURT: How long have you -- which one
23
    is 333?
24
              MS. JACKSON: That's our building, your
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
It's right on the corner.
1
   Honor.
             THE COURT: Is it a County building?
2
             MS. JACKSON: It is, your Honor.
3
             THE COURT: You work for the County?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5
             THE COURT: You work for the County
6
   maintenance?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
             THE COURT: So, now you work at the
9
   juvenile facility?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11
             THE COURT: Okay.
12
             So, you would see Miss Jackson when you
13
14
  were at work?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15
             THE COURT: Is that going to cause you to
16
   be biased towards her or against her?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
18
             THE COURT: Now, you've been in Las Vegas
19
   how long?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thirty-two years.
21
             THE COURT: Thirty-two years.
                                             Okay.
22
             You went to high school here?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24
             THE COURT: How long have you been
25
```

(702) 455-3610

```
employed with the County?
1
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Sixteen years.
             THE COURT: Are you married?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 4
5
             THE COURT: Does your wife work outside
   the home?
6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7
             THE COURT: What kind of work does she do?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's with a financial
9
10
   company.
             THE COURT: Now, I believe in Question
11
   No. 21, you said you have a brother who works in law
   enforcement.
1.3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. He works for the
14
   school district.
15
             THE COURT: What does he do for them?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JURON: Police officer.
17
             THE COURT: School district policeman.
18
   Okay.
19
             And you were a juror about a year ago?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's been several
21
22
  years ago.
             THE COURT: What kind of case was it?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's been so long, I
24
  don't even remember.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
THE COURT: Was it in this building or the
1
  federal building?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was in this
  building.
             THE COURT: You don't remember who the
 5
   Judge was?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No.
             THE COURT: Do you know if it was a
 8
   criminal case or civil?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it was
10
   criminal.
11
             THE COURT: The guy committed some kind of
12
  crime?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14
             THE COURT: You don't remember what the
15
16 crime was?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
1.7
             THE COURT: Were you the foreman of the
18
19
   jury?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
20
              THE COURT: Without telling us what your
21
22 | verdict was, did you all reach a verdict?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we did.
23
              THE COURT: Here in Question No. 24, the
24
   question is, "What are your opinions and feelings
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
about how the criminal justice system works?" and
   you stated, "It's okay but needs a little more
   work."
 3
             What kind of more work does it need?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, some people get
 5
   off with the same crime and some people don't get
   off with it. I mean, if you should do something and
   I do the same thing and you get off and I don't, how
   fair can that be?
             THE COURT: You think there's a
10
   discrepancy in how some people are treated?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
12
             THE COURT: What do you think needs to be
13
   done?
14
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Everybody need to be
   treated fairly, equal, straight across the board.
16
             THE COURT: Why do you think that happens,
17
   that some people get off, some get punished?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know. I've
19
   been asking myself that for a long time.
20
21
             THE COURT: Have you had any family
   members or close friends who have been charged with
22
   a crime?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, but he's
24
25
   deceased.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5838

```
THE COURT:
                         Who is that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's deceased now.
2
             THE COURT: What was he, a cousin or
3
  something?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was a cousin.
5
             THE COURT: Cousin.
6
             Did he die of natural causes or was he
7
   killed or what?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Natural causes.
9
             THE COURT: What kind of crime was he
10
   charged with?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Drugs and bail bonds.
12
             THE COURT: Bail bonds?
1.3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. He was a bail
14
   bondsman.
15
             THE COURT: Are you related to Mr. Gray,
16
   the electrician?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
18
             THE COURT: What's your relationship to
19
   Mr. Gray?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's my uncle.
21
             THE COURT: Now, Mr. Gray's son, is he in
22
   jail?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, he's -- well, I'm
24
   not for sure. He was, but I'm not sure if he's
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

120

```
still in there.
                          Now, the one -- what was the
 2
              THE COURT:
   one's name that was the bail bondsman?
 3
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Gregory Gray.
              THE COURT:
                          Gregory.
 5
              How did he die?
 б
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He had a heart attack.
              THE COURT:
                          Heart attack.
 8
 Q,
             Had he moved back to Las Vegas?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yeah.
              THE COURT:
                          Tell me this here:
                                              Now, you
11
12
   understand that this trial will be to decide on the
   punishment. The defendant has already been
13
   convicted of four counts of first-degree murder.
14
15
             Do you understand that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
16
                          Is that a "yes"?
              THE COURT:
17
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
              THE COURT:
                          So, the jury will have to
19
   decide on the punishment. They will have four
20
   choices -- the death penalty, life in prison without
21
   parole, life in prison with the possibility of
22
   parole or for a definite term of 50 years and parole
23
   after 20 years. Of course, those punishments are
24
   doubled because a deadly weapon was used. So, it
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5840

```
would be 50 years plus an additional consecutive 50
   years for a total of a hundred years, and you would
  be eligible for parole after 40 years.
 3
             Do you understand that that's the way it
 4
   operates?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: Would you be able to consider
 7
   all four forms of punishment?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
              THE COURT: Do you have any objections,
10
11 moral or otherwise, to the death penalty?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
12
              THE COURT: Could you impose a sentence of
13
   life in prison with the possibility of parole if you
14
   thought it was appropriate after you heard the facts
15
   and the law?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
17
              THE COURT: So, you're open to all four of
18
   them?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
20
              THE COURT: Can you be fair to both sides?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
22
              THE COURT: Can you be fair to the State?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
24
              THE COURT: You can be fair to the
25
```

Page: 5841

122

```
defense?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 2
              THE COURT: State.
 3
              MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
 4
 5
 6
                 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
   BY MR. DASKAS:
              Mr. Gray, thank you for your patience,
   number one.
              Secondly, as the Judge just alluded to, a
10
   jury has already convicted this defendant of four
11
   counts of first-degree murder.
12
              You realize that?
13
        А
              Um-hmm.
14
              Would you have any problems accepting the
15
        Q
   fact that another jury found him guilty, that that
16
   would not be your decision?
17
18
              No.
              You would accept that verdict?
19
        Q
20
              Yes.
              One of your answers, you wrote -- it's
21
   asking about the different racial backgrounds of the
22
   defendants and the victim. You wrote, "It doesn't
23
   matter, committed the crime, do the time."
24
25
        Α
              Yes.
```

(702) 455-3610

```
Tell me why you wrote that.
        Q
              That's what it is, if you do the crime,
  you do the time.
 3
             Regardless of the color of your skin?
 4
        0
             It don't matter.
 5
             There was another question that asked
 6
   whether you would consider the mental status, the
 7
   mental state of the defendant, whether that's
   important to you in deciding punishment, and you
   wrote, "there shouldn't be."
10
              You don't think that's important in a
11
   criminal case?
12
             No.
13
        Α
              What about in deciding?
14
              THE COURT: You got to answer out loud,
15
   sir, because she has to take down what you said.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Okay.
17
             MR. DASKAS: I heard him say no.
18
   BY MR. DASKAS:
19
              Did you say no?
20
              No.
        Α
21
              How about in deciding punishment? In this
22
   case, we're talking about possibly imposing the
23
   death penalty.
24
              Do you think it might be important to
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
consider someone's mental status in deciding
 1
   punishment?
 2
        Α
             No.
 3
              One of your other answers -- it asks you
        Q
 4
   in the questionnaire about the different forms of
   punishment, and you wrote, "It depends on the case."
 6
              What I understand that to mean is any one
 7
   of those might be appropriate depending on what you
 8
 9
   hear?
              Right.
        Α
10
              Is that how you feel?
11
        Q.
             Right.
1.2
        Α
              Could you base your decision on punishment
13
        Q
   on both the facts of the case -- because we're going
14
   to talk to you about how he committed these four
15
   homicides -- and could you also base your decision
16
   on that man's background?
17
        Α
              Yes.
18
              Or do you think it's even important to
19
   consider his background?
20
21
        Α
              Yes and no.
              Tell me what you mean by that.
22
        Q
              It depends on how much evidence there is
23
                  He might have a good background, he
   against him.
24
   might not have a good background, so it could go
25
```

(702) 455-3610

```
either way.
1
             I don't want to put words in your mouth,
2
  but is it more important for you to base your
3
  decision on punishment on the facts of the quadruple
  homicide or on this man's background?
        Α
            On the homicide.
 6
             You know that he's already been convicted
 7
   of that homicide. He's already been found guilty
   four times, four first-degree murders.
             Does that mean you would automatically
10
  vote for death?
11
        Α
             No.
12
             What else would you want to consider?
13
              I probably would have to hear a little bit
14
  |more about it.
1.5
              About the case itself?
16
        0
             About the case itself to make that
17
   determination.
18 I
             That's fair.
19
              You volunteered on day one that you know
20
21
   Miss Jackson.
              How often do you see Alzora?
2.2
              I used to see her a lot when I worked down
23
         Ã
24
   there.
              Almost every day?
25
```

Page: 5845

```
Pretty much, once or twice a week.
        Α
1
             Did you and Miss Jackson ever talk about
2
   her cases or her clients that she represented?
3
        Α
             No.
             Let's say for the sake of our discussion
5
   that you're selected as a juror, okay, and you
   listen to all the evidence and you listen to all the
   information about this defendant's background and
   that you believe this is the appropriate case where
   the death penalty should be handed down.
10
             Can you actually impose that punishment?
1.1
   Can you vote for it?
12
13
        A
              Yes.
              Kind of a follow-up question to that.
14
        Q
   Let's say that the other members of the jury want
15
   you to be the foreperson. Okay? You have the same
16
   vote as everybody else. You all have to agree on
17
   the punishment, but the one thing you would have to
18
   do as the foreperson is sign the verdict form that
19
   sentences the defendant to death.
20
              Do you feel like if you believe that's the
21
   punishment that he deserves, you can sign that form
22
   and sentence him to death?
2.3
         Ά
              Yes,
2.4
                                              Thank you.
                           I appreciate it.
              MR. DASKAS:
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

127

```
Judge, we'll pass this juror for cause.
1
              THE COURT: All right.
2
              Counsel.
3
             MS. JACKSON: Thank you.
 4
5
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
 6
   BY MS. JACKSON:
7
              Good afternoon, Mr. Gray. As a matter of
 8
   fact, have we ever had a conversation except to say
   good morning, how are you doing?
10
              No.
11
              I don't remember one either.
12
              No.
13
              Is it fair to say we were just people who
14
        Q
   worked in the same building?
15 l
              That's it.
        Α
16
              That wouldn't impact your decision one way
17
   or another in this case?
18
              Right.
19
              The only area that concerns me a little
20
   bit, Mr. Gray -- maybe the question is somewhat
21
   overbroad.
22
              No. 33 -- "To what extent should the
23
   mental status of a criminal defendant be considered
24
   in a sentencing decision between life and death?"
25
```

\_\_\_

(702) 455-3610

128

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
You were very emphatic with Mr. Daskas, "Not at
   all."
             Question No. 38, "In reaching a verdict,
3
  you must consider the defendant's background,
   mitigating circumstances such as the defendant's
   health, mental status, age, childhood experience,
   education." And then it says, "Do you feel you
   would consider those types of factors?" and you say,
   "very much." So, I don't know where you -- Question
   34 probably -- 33, excuse me, is probably not a very
10
   good question. We'll probably delete that one next
11
   time, but did you realize it's kind of asking you
12
   almost the same thing? As a matter of fact, they
13
   put in No. 38, one of the factors as mitigation
14
   could be mental status.
15
             I probably didn't really understand it.
1.6
             It's not the best question in the world.
17
             You still believe, as you sit here today,
18
   just like you wanted to know more about the case --
1.9
   you told Mr. Daskas that --
20
             Yes.
21
        Α
             -- if you're going to decide if someone
22
   lives or dies, it would also be important to you,
23
   sir, to know as much as you could about that
24
25
   person --
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
Α
             Right.
1
              -- before you decide if they live or die?
 2
             The law says that you must consider
3
   mitigation, and mitigation could be any reason under
  the sun to give life. As Mr. Daskas said, we're
   here because we know that four innocent lives were
   taken, and that's an aggravator.
7
             Have you ever heard the phrase the "abuse
8
   excuse"?
             Um-hmm, yes.
10
        Α
             What does that mean in your understanding?
11
             Somebody is being abused and taking
12
   advantage of and harassed. I don't use it.
13
              In my line of work sometimes when people
14
   are convicted of doing things, they will come to
15
   court and they will say, "Well, I was abused as a
16
   child, so that may explain why we're here." That's
17
   the context I was referring to.
18
             Have you ever heard it used in that
19
20
   context?
             Yes.
        Α
21
              What do you think about that, Mr. Gray?
22
                                                       Ι£
              It would depend on who is abusing who.
        Α
23
   it's an adult abusing a child or kid or whatever --
24
   basically, anybody that's being abused for no
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
apparent reason, they're not right.
1
             That could affect a person's upbringing,
2
3
   especially a child?
             Yeah, it could.
 4
        Α
             You are willing to consider those types of
5
   factors?
 6
7
        Α
             Yes.
             Your jury experience before was so long
 8
   ago you don't remember anything about it.
   imagine, though -- I've been told by some jurors
10
   that it can get rough in a jury room sometimes, that
11
   people sometimes may disagree.
12
              Is there anything about that experience
13
   that you can remember that was unpleasant?
14
                   We had a -- I was with a, pretty
              No.
        Α
15
   much, older group. I was kind of young at the time.
16
              I see.
17
              I didn't have no problems with no adults.
18
             All right. Okay.
        Q
19
              This is a very serious matter, life and
20
           It just doesn't get any more serious with
   death.
21
   that.
22
              Would you agree with me?
23
              Yes.
        Α
24
              If you were in the jury room, Mr. Gray,
25
```

Page: 5850

```
and you heard the State's case and you feel
   comfortable enough that you know enough about what
   happened and then you hear what we have to say about
 3
   Mr. Johnson's background and you deliberated with
 4
   your fellow jurors, they all want to kill my client,
 5
   and after due deliberation, you feel that you, as a
   juror, can give life, because one juror can do
 7
   that -- you will be instructed at the right time
   that death is never required -- what would you do if
   they insisted on you explaining or justifying your
10
   position to them?
11
             I think we would have a long discussion,
12
   because -- I mean, like you say, it would depend on
13
   the case.
14
              In my hypothetical, you've already
        Q
15
   deliberated, you've heard their side, you've heard
16
   our side. You've spoken with your other jurors,
17
   you've fulfilled your duty as a citizen, and now you
18
   come to the place in your own heart and your own
19
   mind where you are convinced after everything that
20
   this is a case for life but everybody else wants
21
   death, would you change your vote just to get a
22
   verdict?
23
        Ά
              No.
24
              What if they painted you into a corner and
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5851

```
insisted that you justify your verdict?
1
             No.
2
             What would you do?
3
             Once my mind is set, it's set. Nothing is
4
        Α
   going to change it.
5
             You probably don't have much trouble with
6
        Q
   people trying to push you around anyway.
7
        Α
             No.
8
             MS. JACKSON:
                            Thank you, sir.
             We pass Mr. Gray for cause, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Mr. Gray, we'll let you know
11
   tomorrow at 10:00 o'clock. Report downstairs in the
12
   jury room.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 132: All right.
14
              THE COURT: Ten o'clock in the morning.
15
             Laura Kaplan is in the military and has a
16
   temporary duty assignment, I believe -- something
17
   like that.
18
              MS. JACKSON: She says she's TDY in
19
   Oklahoma.
20
              THE COURT: We'll excuse her.
21
              Who is next, Jimerson?
22
              THE BAILIFF:
                            Jimerson.
23
              MR. STANTON: Judge, did you want to
24
   exercise a challenge before we go with the next one?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
THE COURT: Getting ahead of myself.
1
             The defense may exercise its sixth
2
   peremptory challenge.
3
             MS. JACKSON: That would be Juror 112,
4
  Robin Nesbit, and her position is No. 12.
5
                         No. 12?
             THE COURT:
 6
             MS. JACKSON: Yes, sir.
7
 8
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135
 9
             THE COURT: Miss Jimerson, how long have
10
   you lived in Las Vegas?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 135: All my life.
12
             THE COURT: You came here -- I thought you
13
   were born in Monroe?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, well I was a
15
   baby when I came here.
              THE COURT: Oh, were you?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yeah.
18
              THE COURT: Are you married?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Um-hmm.
20
              THE COURT: How is your husband employed?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: City of Las Vegas.
22
                          What does he do for them?
              THE COURT:
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maintenance worker.
24
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do,
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
ma'am?
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Houseman porter at the
2
3
  Riviera.
             THE COURT: And you have one daughter?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
5
             THE COURT: She's 12?
6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's what?
7
             THE COURT: She's an adult?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, she's an adult.
9
             THE COURT: Where does she work?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She works for Bank of
11
12 America.
             THE COURT: You've never been on a jury
1.3
  before?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15
             THE COURT: You have been?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
17
              THE COURT: You weren't supposed to put
18
   the verdict down that you reached.
19
             How long ago was this?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it was three
21
   years ago.
22
              THE COURT: What kind of case was it?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Murder.
24
              THE COURT: A murder case?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Um-hmm.
1.
             THE COURT: Mr. Daskas and Mr. Stanton
2
   didn't try the case, did they, those D.A.s?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
             THE COURT: Was Miss Jackson or
5
   Mr. Whipple on the case?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
 7
             THE COURT: Were you the foreman of the
 8
   jury?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
10
             THE COURT: Tell me this here: Was that a
11
   death penalty case?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember.
13
             THE COURT: You don't remember.
14
             Did the jury sentence the defendant?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Excuse me?
16
              THE COURT: Did you guys pronounce
17
   sentence?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
19
              THE COURT: This is a -- I understand the
20
   jury in this case has already convicted the
21
   defendant of four counts of first-degree murder.
22
              Do you understand that?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Um-hmm.
24
              THE COURT: Is that a "Yes"? You have to
25
```

Page: 5855

(702) 455-3610

```
1
   answer "Yes."
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Oh, yes.
                         This jury's job is you're
             THE COURT:
3
   going to need to decide punishment. You understand
4
   that you have four choices, the death penalty, life
   in prison without parole, life in prison with the
   possibility of parole or for a definite term of 50
7
   years with the possibility of parole after 20, and
   because a deadly weapon was used, these sentences
   are doubled, so it would be a hundred years and
10
   parole after 40.
1.1
             Do you understand that?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't quite
13
   understand what you're saying.
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
             There are four possible punishments for
16
   first-degree murder.
17
              Do you understand is that?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm, yes.
19
              THE COURT: One of them is the death
20
             The jury can sentence the defendant to
   penalty.
21
           The jury can sentence him to life in prison
   death.
22
   without parole or they can sentence him to prison
23
   with the possibility of parole or they can sentence
24
   him to a definite term of 50 years. Now, because a
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

137

```
deadly weapon was used, the penalty is doubled.
 1
             Do you understand that?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 3
             THE COURT: So, it would be -- you can
 4
   sentence him to death, you can sentence him to life
 5
   in prison without parole, life in prison with the
   possibility of parole or for a hundred years and
 7
   parole after 40 years.
             Do you understand that?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
10
             THE COURT: Now, what I want to know is,
11
   do you have any objections, moral or religious or
12
   otherwise to the death penalty?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14
              THE COURT: And could you consider in this
15
   case the punishment of life in prison without
16
   parole, life in prison with the possibility of
17
   parole or for a definite term of a hundred years?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
19
              THE COURT: You can consider that along
20
   with the death penalty; is that right?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
22
              THE COURT: You can listen to the
23
   evidence, the facts of the case, listen to
24
   background information about the people involved and
25
```

(702) 455-3610

```
decide -- and of course, listen to the Court's
  instructions on the law and decide on what's the
  |most appropriate punishment?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 4
             THE COURT: You don't have any problems in
 5
   following the Court's instructions on the law?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
 7
             THE COURT: Are you biased or prejudiced
 8
   against either side for any reason?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10
              THE COURT: You could be fair and
11
   impartial?
1.2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
13
              THE COURT: Had you heard anything about
14
  this case?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16
             THE COURT: State.
17
             MR. STANTON: Thank you, your Honor.
18
19
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
20
   BY MR. STANTON:
21
             Good afternoon, Miss Jimerson. Is it
22
   correct to pronounce your name Jim-er-son
23
    (phonetic)?
24
             Jim-er-son (phonetic).
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
Thank you for your patience today. I have
1
        Q
   a couple of questions I'd like to ask you about the
2
  questionnaire you filled out about a week ago.
3
        Α
             Yes.
4
             There is a section in here or Question
5
   No. 32 that said, "To what extent should the mental
6
   status of a criminal defendant be considered in a
7
   sentencing decision between life and death," and you
8
   left that blank.
             Can you give me an answer of what your
10
   thoughts are to that question as you sit here today?
11
12
        Α
             No.
             You don't know?
13
        0
             Not really.
14
        Ά
             Do you consider -- would it be important
15
        Q
   to you to know something about the mental status of
16
   someone in determining punishment?
17
18
        Α
              Yes.
              How important is that among the things
19
   that you can think about as you sit here today?
20
   know you haven't heard the facts and you haven't
21
   been instructed on the law, but how important is
22
   that to you?
23
              It can be very important to the case.
24
              Your answer about the death penalty is
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
that you've never thought about it?
1
             No, never thought about it.
2
             You've never seen cases and didn't discuss
3
   it, just never come up in conversation?
 4
             No.
        Α
 5
             There was a Question No. 36, and it says,
 6
   "If you were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt
   that the defendant was guilty of first-degree
   murder, would you say that" -- and it gave you two
   options or two questions underneath that.
10
   first -- the first question was, "Your beliefs about
11
   the death penalty are such that you would
12
   automatically vote against the death penalty
13
   regardless of the facts and circumstances of the
14
   case," and you answered "Yes."
15
        Α
             Yes.
16
             Is that your feeling as you sit here
17
   today?
18
        Α
              Yes.
19
              So, regardless of what was presented to
20
   you, your vote would be against the death penalty?
              Yes.
22
        Α
              And there's nothing that could change your
23
   mind under any circumstances?
24
25
        Α
              No.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
MR. STANTON: Your Honor.
1
              THE COURT: Ma'am, I thought you just told
2
  me that you weren't opposed to the death penalty?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm probably getting
5
   confused.
             THE COURT: What question was that,
 6
7
   counsel?
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, it was No. 36 on
 8
   page 7.
                         This is what I want to know
              THE COURT:
10
   without regard to the question, because I can see
1.1
   how you can get confused with that.
12
             Are you against the death penalty?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
14
             THE COURT: Are you against the death
15
16
   penalty?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
17
              THE COURT: So, you would not impose the
1.8
   death penalty?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes -- well, no,
20
21
   right?
          I'm so nervous.
              THE COURT: There's nothing to being
22
             I don't know. If you thought someone had
   nervous.
23
   killed someone -- I can't put it like that,
24
              Could you sentence someone to death?
2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

## PLEADING CONTINUES IN INTERIOR OF THE TENT OF THE TENT

appropriate in this case? 2 Α Um-hmm, yes. What will happen in a penalty phase is 3 that evidence will be presented to you from the State about the underlying murders and also will be presented evidence by the defense in this case before the matter is ultimately submitted to the 8 jury. Do you understand how that process works 9 now, having heard a little bit more about what this 10 case is? 11 Α Yes. 12 In this case, there is no guilt phase to 13 determine whether or not the defendant was guilty 14 beyond a reasonable doubt. He stands convicted by 15 another jury of four counts of first-degree murder. 16 Are you comfortable with that, being 17 instructed by the Court, that you have to respect 18 that other verdict? Are you comfortable in doing 19 20 that? Yes. 21 Α In this case, there are four victims, and 22 Q the punishment, as the jury has to find in this 23 case, is the appropriate and just punishment for 24 each one of the victims, that is, it can be 25

Page: 5733

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
different as it relates to each victim depending on
   the facts and circumstances.
             Does that principle or idea bother you in
   any way?
             No, it doesn't.
        Α
 5
             Now, there's no real direction or law that
   gives direction to how a foreperson of the jury is
              That's up for the entire jury to
   selected.
   determine.
              If, in this case, you're selected as the
10
   foreperson, and after due deliberation to all the
11
   facts and the law as Judge Gates will instruct you
12
   in this matter, you and your fellow jurors believe
13
   that the death penalty is the appropriate punishment
14
   in this case, could you, sir, sign your name as the
15
   foreperson to that verdict form that puts that man,
16
   Donte Johnson, to death?
17
        Α
             Yes, I could.
18
             MR. STANTON:
                           Thank you.
19
             Pass the prospective juror.
20
             MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
21
22
                  EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
23
24
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
              Good afternoon, Mr. Larson. My name is
25
        Q
        SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
                                         (702) 455-3610
```

```
Bret Whipple, and I want to visit with you for a few
   moments, if I can. Again, I represent Mr. Johnson.
   There are no right or wrong answers.
                                          I think that
   was mentioned to you when you were in here a little
   earlier; we just want to get a little background
   about yourself.
              How do you feel about sitting in judgment
 7
 8
   of another human being?
              It's difficult. It's not an easy job.
 9
        Α
              Do you have children?
10
        Q
              Yes, I do.
11
        Α
             How old are your children?
12
        0
             My daughter is 25, and my son is 29.
13
        Α
              And you've lived here 17 years.
14
        0
              Where are you from originally, if I might
15
   ask?
16
17
              Southern California.
              Vegas is obviously for you to do well.
        Q
18
19
              Yes.
              When you say you're an Exxon retailer, you
20
        Q
   own your own gas station?
21
        Α
              Right.
22
              You obviously deal with the people.
23
              Are you still in a situation where you
24
   deal with the public yourself on a daily basis, or
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
are you kind of management at this point?
              I deal with them on a daily basis.
2
             Okay.
3
        Q
             Can I ask what side of town or what areas
 4
   of town?
5
             It's in Henderson.
 6
        Α
7
        Q
             Okay. Okay.
              I want to ask you some questions to kind
8
   of get to know a little bit more about yourself.
9
             What kind of hobbies do you have, or if
1.0
   you have free time, what type of things would you
11
   like to do?
12
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I'm going to
13
   object to that question.
14
                         What was the question again?
              THE COURT:
15
             MR. STANTON: He asked him what his
16
   hobbies were.
17
              THE COURT: Why would you object to that?
18
              MR. STANTON: Because I don't know what
19
   relevance it has to whether or not he can be a fair
20
   and impartial juror, and we object on the grounds of
21
22
   relevance.
                                            If he had a
                          It all depends.
23
              THE COURT:
   hobby of sniping on people --
24
              MR. STANTON: I don't know if that's a
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

17

```
hobby, your Honor.
              THE COURT: For some people it might be a
  hobby.
 3
             MR. STANTON: I think it's a little
 4
  overbroad and irrelevant.
 5
              THE COURT: Go on.
 6
 7
             MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
             Mr. Larson, I'm trying to know a little
   bit about your background and know where your coming
10
11
   from a little bit. I don't mean to be prying or
   invasive; I'm just kind of curious of what you do in
12
   your free time if you have a hobby.
13
        Α
             Basically, my business is my hobby. Right
14
  now it takes a lot of my time. There's not too much
1.5
   free time.
16
17
            I understand that.
             Do you have an opinion with regard to the
18
   death penalty?
19
20
        Α
             No.
             Have you ever discussed it with anybody,
21
   with, like, family members or other friends just
22
23
   with regard to conversation?
             Well, I've talked to my wife about it.
24
             In what context?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
What context? Just matters on the news.
 1
        A
              Does your opinion seem to be different
 2
   from other people, or do you just kind of feel yours
   is mainstream?
             Well, probably mainstream, neither for nor
 5
   against it.
 7
        Q
             You know I've often heard that adage, "An
 8
   eye for an eye." It's kind of like asking the same
 9
   question twice. I'm curious about your position
10
   with regard to the adage, "An eye for an eye."
11
              I'm not really for "an eye for an eye."
12
        Α
   Like I said, it depends on the circumstances.
13
             I understand.
              I notice here -- did you attend or did you
15
   go to college or the type of things you studied in
16
17
   school?
                     I went to Owens School College.
             Yeah.
18
        Α
   went there a year.
19
              It's a community college, is it?
20
        Q
             Yeah, it's a community college.
        Α
21
             You took general ed type classes?
22
        Q
             No; civil engineering.
23
        Α
             Okay.
24
        0
             What stopped you from becoming a civil
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

1 | engineer and choosing this other route? Well, I didn't have any money when I went 2 to college. It was difficult to go to college and 3 pay for it, and I've grown up around the automotive business. How is that? 0 Well, my brother had a service station in 7 Newport Beach, California, and I've been around it all my life, and I like it. Now, you mentioned you would like to know 10 Q all the facts and circumstances. Can you tell me 11 what you mean by the "facts and circumstances" --12 and let me just follow up on that, because in this 13 situation, it's not like watching TV where you have 14 a jury trial; this is an unusual situation compared 15 to what the general experience or expectation would 16 be. Mr. Johnson is convicted. He's not -- there's 17 no presumption of innocence. He is a convicted 18 killer. He has killed, and he's convicted of 19 killing four individuals of first-degree, 20 premeditated, deliberate murder. So, we have to now 21 have the opportunity to sit and make a decision as 22 to society and as to his future, and I need to be 23 able to ask these questions of you because it is 24 such a serious matter. 25

SONTA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5739

20

```
Knowing that he has been convicted of
1
  killing four young adults who also happened to be
2
   Caucasian, Hispanic, of first-degree, premeditated,
   deliberate murder, could you consider a potential
   life sentence?
             I don't know anything about the case,
   where or how it was done or anything like that.
   I know is my daughter went to school with one of the
   people. I couldn't even tell you the name of the
   person, because she didn't tell me.
10
             What school was it that they attended, do
        0
11
12
   you know?
             Green Valley High.
13
             Do you know how close she was to this
14
   individual?
15
              I don't know.
16
             Did she ever sit down and talk to you
17
   about this case at all?
18
              No.
19
        Α
              Or is it something you learned in passing?
20
              No. I only learned of it a few days ago
21
   when I told them I'm in jury service.
22
              Oh, I see.
23
              So, she never even mentioned it to you
24
25
   prior to that?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
Α
             No, not to me.
 1
             While you've been waiting over the last
2
  day or two, have you had a chance to visit with
   anybody about this case or have there been any
   discussions about what's going on in here?
        Α
             No.
 6
             You never heard anybody discussing what
 7
   their expectations are?
 8
        Α
             No.
             Your brother who owns a service station in
10
   California, has he ever been victimized by an armed
11
   robbery, do you know?
12
13
        Α
             No.
              If, after going through all the facts and
14.
   circumstances that you would hear over a few days
15
   and deliberating, knowing that Mr. Johnson has been
16
   convicted of first-degree, premeditated, deliberate
17
   murder -- and again, it's almost an execution type
18
   style -- would you be able to, if you felt convinced
19
   in your heart of hearts that he should deserve life
20
   in prison or life with parole, could you do that?
21
   Could you give him a life sentence?
22
              I think so.
        Α
23
              When you say you think so --
24
         0
              Well, if I believed in my heart, yes.
25
         Α
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

22

```
Do you think it would be difficult to
   believe in your heart just knowing the facts as we
   give them to you?
             Like I said, I don't know the facts, so
   it's hard for me to answer that question.
        0
             Sure.
             This is a hypothetical. I'm not talking
   about this case right now, just talking if an
   individual were convicted -- if we know for a fact
   that a person killed four individuals, premeditated,
10
   deliberate and cold-blooded, and they were
11
   essentially tied up with their hands behind their
12
   back and bound about their feet and laid in a room
13
   and had a bullet put through the back of each one of
14
   their head -- just knowing that, would it be
15
   possible for you to consider a life sentence?
16
             It would be pretty hard. I don't think
17
18
   so.
                    I need to follow through on that.
             Okay.
        Q
19
             Why is that?
20
             Premeditated, planned out in advance
21
   killing of four individuals -- I don't know what
22
   these individuals did to this person, but that's
23
24
   pretty bad.
              Bound at the feet, bound behind the backs,
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

23

```
shot in the back of the head, bullet for each one of
2 them -- because of the number of them, it seems to
3 be more difficult to consider a potential life
   sentence in that situation?
             Yes, it would be more difficult.
        Α
             Do you think you could consider a life
 6
   sentence as you sit here today?
7
             I don't know.
        Α
8
             THE COURT: I didn't hear that, sir.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if I
10
   could or not.
1.1
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
1.2
             I appreciate your honesty. There's no
        0
13
   trickery involved. We're not trying to put words in
14
   your mouth; I just need to know, because this is a
15
   difficult job, and it's not for everybody. We
16
   deserve to know what you feel as much as you should
17
   have an expectation as to what's going forward.
18
             I've never been under that having to make
        Α
19
   a decision like that.
20
             It's a very rare circumstance, it truly
21
22
   is.
              I want to talk with regard to some of the
23
   facts and circumstances. Though you have mentioned
24
   several times you would like to know the facts and
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

24

circumstances, there is no justification. There is 2 | no excuse for murder. Α Right. 3 We're not offering any -- we're not trying 0 4 to say he's justified, we're not trying to say 5 what's right, but when it comes to the penalty and trying to save the future of another human being, Nevada law says that that person has a right to present information about themselves, and if that information is a reason for giving a life sentence 10 instead of death, that's known as "mitigation." If 11 you're asked to sit on this jury, you'll hear that 12 term, "mitigation." In fact, it was asked on 13 No. 38, and the question was, "In reaching a verdict 14 in this penalty phase, you must consider the 15 defendant's background, that is, mitigating circumstances such as the defendant's health, mental 17 status, age, childhood experiences, education." 18 "Do you feel you would consider those 19 types of factors?" And you put, "Not sure." 20 I don't want to have to push you, but we 21 need to be able to know, because Nevada law requires 22 a person who would sit on this type of 23 decision-making process -- that they could consider 24 those types of factors. I can't go into it much 25

Page: 5744

```
more than that.
             Do you think that's something you could
 2
   consider? We've had a few minutes to talk.
 3
   mentioned facts and circumstances. What do you feel
   about me asking you to be able to consider that type
   of information?
             Sure, I could consider it.
 7
             Do you think it's important?
        0
 8
             Oh, yeah.
 9
             Do you think it's appropriate that I would
10
   ask you to consider that type of information?
1.1
12
        Α
             Yes.
             Why?
13
             Well, I would have to know the facts
14
   behind what happened, you know. Like I said, I
15
   don't know what happened. I don't know what the
16
   whole story is.
17
             Again, I don't want to belabor it, but the
18
   fact -- there is no excuse. There is no
19
   justification --
20
             I understand.
21
             -- for first-degree, premeditated,
22
   deliberate homicide. There's no justification or
23
   excuse. All you're going to have an opportunity to
24
   do is learn a little bit about the victims in this
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
case, some of their families and my client's
   families and some of his background.
             Do you think that's appropriate and can
   you do that?
        Α
             Yeah.
5
             Knowing these questions that I've asked
 6
   you, if you were sitting where I am now -- and you
   know your state of mind -- do you think you would
   favor the State over myself, or do you think that
   you would be more prone to favor me over the State?
10
   Only you know what you're thinking.
11
             Should one of us be worried or nervous
12
   about how you're thinking?
13
             I don't think so.
                                 I don't favor either
14
15
   one.
             Okay.
16
             This is my last question, and I appreciate
17
   your time. If, after viewing all of the mitigating
18
   circumstances, the facts and circumstances, knowing
19
   that Mr. Johnson is guilty of first-degree,
20
   premeditated, deliberate murder on four young,
21
   innocent lives -- if you felt it was appropriate to
22
   give a life sentence, would you be able to stand up
23
   and say and vote for life?
24
              Yes, if I felt it was appropriate.
        Α
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
That's as much as I can ask.
             MR. WHIPPLE:
 1
   I appreciate your time, sir.
 2
             Pass for cause, your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay.
 4
             Sir, we're going to have you come back
 5
   tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. Okay?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 97:
 7
                         We'll let you know tomorrow.
             THE COURT:
 8
             The State's third peremptory challenge.
 9
             MR. DASKAS: Judge, the State would
10
   exercise its third peremptory challenge on Juror
11
   No. 0007, Miss Austin in position No. 3.
12
             THE COURT: Miss Austin. Okay.
13
             What do you guys want to do with Rubin?
14
   She's not here and she didn't show up. I don't know
15
   if you want her anyway.
16
             MR. STANTON: She didn't show up, your
17
   Honor?
18
              THE COURT: Well, she was here yesterday,
19
   but --
20
                            She was here the first day.
              THE BAILIFF:
21
   She had an anxiety attack.
22
                           Oh, she did?
             MR. STANTON:
23
              THE BAILIFF: She had an anxiety attack
24
   and ran down the hallway.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

28

```
MR. WHIPPLE: I think we got out of this
1
2 lucky, your Honor.
                         She says she belongs to the
             THE COURT:
3
4 | Paul Revere Society. What is that?
                           It's a group that believes
             MR. STANTON:
  in kind of a mantra. It borders language, and she
   wrote it. Actually, she uses the mantra in her
   comments.
             THE COURT: All it says is for borders,
   language and culture, but what does that mean?
10
             MR. STANTON: I can't say that it's
11
   liberal or conservative; it's just a group that
12
   believes in --
13
                        Not letting any immigrants in
             THE COURT:
14
   and making sure they speak English or something?
15
             MR. STANTON: Yeah, but they're not an
16
   absolute close the borders off to everybody but much
17
   more controlled. I would consider it probably
18
   libertarian than I would consider it liberal or
19
   conservative.
20
             THE COURT: In No. 24 she says, "I'm
21
   beyond unsatisfied with the system since the murder
22
   of Terri Schiavo. I have no respect for the legal
23
   system."
24
                           I would submit it to the
             MR. STANTON:
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
   Court.
             MR. DASKAS: Maybe she ran to close the
2
3
  border.
                           She came here with her
             THE BAILIFF:
   mother. Her mother was out there. I don't know why
   she brought her.
             MR. STANTON: I figure if she ran out of
   the courtroom with an anxiety attack, this probably
8
   isn't the case for her to deliberate on.
              THE COURT: We'll just excuse her.
10
   says, "Terri Schiavo's murder makes me sick.
11
   cannot believe the court's have more power than
12
   George W. Bush. I am also tired of the courts
13
   trying to ban God left and right."
14
             She seems like an angry young woman. she
15
   probably wants to go to the Laughlin River Run like
16
   that one guy.
1.7
             MR. DASKAS: Yeah.
18
              THE COURT: I don't know why you told me
19
   that afterwards.
20
              MR. DASKAS: "I got a meeting," he said.
21
22
      VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108
23
              THE COURT: Mr. Estes (sic)?
24
              MR. STANTON: It's Michael Krispli.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

30

```
I'm sorry.
                                                I got
             THE COURT:
                         Krispli.
1
   you mixed up there.
2
             How long have you been in Las Vegas, sir?
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Almost two years.
4
   It will be two years in July.
5
                         Where did you live before?
             THE COURT:
6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Youngstown, Ohio.
7
             THE COURT: There are a lot of people in
8
   gaming from Youngstown, Ohio. Why is that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Have you ever been to
10
   Youngstown, Ohio?
11
             THE COURT: You said you had no friends in
12
   the justice system.
13
             What is your degree in?
14
                                  Speech communications.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
15
              THE COURT: What's your job now?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right now, I'm an
17
   assistant shift manager at the Tropicana in the slot
18
   department.
19
                         All right.
              THE COURT:
20
              You said you were the victim of a robbery;
21
   is that right?
22
                                  In college, I was the
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
23
   victim of a couple of robberies. I stayed off
24
25
   campus.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
This was in Kentucky?
             THE COURT:
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At the time, I was in
2
  Cincinnati, and I went to school at The University
3
   of Cincinnati and I finished up at Northern Kentucky
   which is right across the border.
             THE COURT: Did they have a gun when they
6
   robbed you or was it a strong arm robbery?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wasn't home.
8
             THE COURT: Huh?
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wasn't actually
10
   home.
11
             THE COURT: They broke into your house?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They broke into my
1.3
   house.
14
                          A burglary. Robbery is when
              THE COURT:
15
   they take something from you personally with the use
16
   of a weapon or violent force from your person or in
17
   your presence; so, you can remember that in the
18
   future.
19
             Can you follow the Court's instructions on
20
   the law?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
22
              THE COURT: This is how this is going to
23
              The parties will present evidence, and
   proceed.
24
   after they present the evidence, I'll instruct you
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

32

on what the law is, and the jury will make their decision. As you know from reading the 3 questionnaire, the defendant has been convicted of four counts of first-degree murder. The jury will have to decide the penalty for each of those counts, and the possible penalties are the death penalty, life in prison without the possibility of parole, life in prison with the possibility of parole or for a definite term of 50 years with the possibility of 10 parole after 20 years. Now, these sentences are 1 1 doubled because a deadly weapon was used. So, it 12 would be 50 years plus a consecutive 50 years, which 13 means that if the jury gave him a definite term of 14 50 years, it would be for each of those counts. 15 would be a hundred years for each count, and then he 16 would be eligible for parole after serving 40 years, 17 and it would be up to the Court whether or not they 18 would run concurrently or consecutively. 19 Do you understand? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Could you consider all four 22 forms of punishment? 23 Yes, I believe so. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 24 THE COURT: Do you have any moral or 25

Page: 5752

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

33

```
religious objection to the death penalty?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No, sir.
2
             THE COURT: State.
3
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
 4
5
                 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
 6
   BY MR. DASKAS:
             Mr. Krispli, the Judge mentioned the
8
   defendant has already been convicted. Another jury
   heard the evidence, listened to the questions and
10
   arguments and decided beyond a reasonable doubt that
11
   he was guilty of four counts of murder. If you're
12
   selected as a juror, you have to accept that other
13
   jury's verdict.
14
             Do you feel like you can accept that other
15
   jury's verdict?
16
             Yes.
17
             Of course, your responsibility would be to
18
   determine the appropriate punishment in this case.
19
   Both sides will present evidence, as the Judge said.
20
   What I want to talk to you about is I'm sure you,
21
   like most people, may have had discussions about the
22
   death penalty, but what we're talking about now is
23
   the prospect of having to make that decision, and
24
   it's a big decision. We appreciate that.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

34

My question is this: If, after hearing 1 2 all the evidence and everything presented about this |man over here, the defendant, if you believe that the death penalty is appropriate, do you think you can impose that punishment? I think it would be difficult, but I think Α 6 I could. And it should be difficult. We appreciate 8 0 It should be a very difficult decision. that. The other thing I want to ask you this is 10 One among you of the 12 jurors will be this: 11 selected as the foreperson, and the foreperson has 12 the same vote as every other juror. You all have to 13 agree on the decision, but the foreperson has to 14 sign the verdict form that will put the defendant to 15 death. 16 If you're the foreperson, do you think you 17 could accept that additional responsibility to sign 18 the document that sentences somebody to death? 1.9 If that's my role, I can do it. 20 Α Again, I'm assuming that you've heard the 21 evidence and you believe in your heart of hearts 22 that's the appropriate punishment? 2.3 Absolutely, and if that's what I have to 24 do, then I have to do that. 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

35

```
The final area is as the Judge mentioned,
    we're talking about four different murder
    convictions in this case, and one of your roles is
  3
    to assign punishment to each of those murder
    convictions. It may be that you and the other
  5
    jurors decide the punishment should be the same for
    each murder, it may be that you should decide there
  7
    should be different punishments for each murder.
               Do you think you can accept that
  9
    punishment as well?
 10
         Α
               Yes, sir.
 11
                            Thank you, sir.
               MR. DASKAS:
 12
               Judge, we pass the juror for cause.
 13
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 14
               Defense.
15
 16
                  EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
 17
    BY MS. JACKSON:
 18
               Mr. Krispli, good afternoon, sir.
 19
          Q
 20
          Α
               Hi.
               Knowing that this is a case where you're
 21
    going to have four victims, young men, you're going
 22
    to -- you're definitely going to see photographs --
 23
    they were executed -- bound and executed, and it's
 24
    going to be required of you to see pictures of that,
 25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
somewhat shocking pictures probably, times four.
  course, you're also going to hear quite a bit about
  my client, Donte Johnson, and it's difficult,
   because you don't know what those things are yet,
   but just knowing that alone, can you consider life
   in this case?
7
        Α
             Yes,
             In your estimation, sir, is life
8
   imprisonment -- is that significant punishment?
9
             I've never spent any time in prison.
10
   think that life in prison is, in any sense, a
11
   significant punishment.
12
             Do you think it's a severe punishment?
13
             Yes, I do.
14
             You will be instructed at the appropriate
15
   time that you're to deliberate with your fellow
16
   jurors, and after that deliberation, what if you
17
   find yourself the only person who felt that, in your
18
   heart of hearts, that life was the appropriate
19
   verdict and you were asked to explain yourself to
20
   the other 11, what would you say?
21
              If I believe that, I would stand by that
22
   even if I was the only one. I mean, that's my duty.
23
   If I'm selected, that's my role. If you have a
24
   belief, you should at least share it with somebody
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

37

```
else, because everybody else has their beliefs, but
   I want them to know what my opinion is. Whether
   they agree with it or not, my opinion is my opinion.
   I'm entitled to that.
             You would respect their opinion, of
        0
 5
   course?
             Absolutely.
        Α
             And you would demand that they respect
 8
   yours?
              I would hope that they respect mine, but I
10
   mean when you're dealing with somebody's life, I
11
   think that everybody should hear somebody else's
1.2
   opinion and be open to it.
13
             Would you surrender your opinion just for
14
   the sake of reaching a unanimous verdict, sir?
1.5
             No, sir -- no, ma'am.
                                     I'm sorry.
1.6
             How would you feel if you deliberated over
17
   a period of time and every juror has done their
18
   best, deliberated, and just can't seem to reach a
19
   verdict? How would you feel about that?
20
              I'm a little bit confused. If my opinion
21
   was different from everybody else's --
22
              That's a different question.
23
              In order for my client to be killed, this
24
   entire jury has to agree, all 12.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
Right.
        Α
             One juror can give life and stop the
2
  killing, but if the other 11 disagreed, that's
   what's called a "Hung jury." What if that happened
   to you if you were selected to sit on this jury, how
   would you feel about that?
                                  I mean, if you can't
             I'd understand it.
7
   come to a mutual agreement on it -- if somebody --
   it would be difficult, because I realize how much
   time it would take, but that's just the way it is.
10
             If you were the sole holdout, would that
11
        Q
   be a sufficient basis for you to surrender your
12
   honest conviction --
13
             No.
14
        Α
             -- just for the sake of reaching a
15
   verdict?
16
        Α
             No.
17
             Would you consider sentencing someone in
18
   this situation for multiple first-degree,
19
   cold-blooded homicides without considering any of
20
   that person's background information?
21
              I think I would consider everything.
22
              Do you have any thoughts about the
23
   benefits of imposing a sentence of life in this
24
          If you don't, that's fine.
25
   case?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

39

```
I don't know.
                             No.
             Do you have any thoughts about the
2
   benefits of imposing a death sentence in this case?
3
   If you don't have any thoughts, that's fine, sir.
             No, I don't.
        Α
5
             MS. JACKSON: Thank you, Mr. Krispli.
6
             Your Honor, we would pass Mr. Krispli for
 7
8
   cause.
              THE COURT: Mr. Krispli -- that's an
                   I haven't seen that one before,
   unusual name.
10
   K-R-I-S-P-L-I, right?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: It's like the
12
   adverb.
13
                         We're going to have you come
              THE COURT:
1.4
   back tomorrow at 10:00 o'clock, and we'll let you
15
   know.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108:
                                      Okay.
17
              THE COURT: The defense may exercise their
18
   third peremptory challenge.
19
              MS. JACKSON: Thank you, your Honor.
20
   would thank and excuse Dr. Allen Anes, A-N-E-S.
21
              That's number three for us, correct,
22
   Judge?
23
              THE COURT: Right.
                                  He's seated in No. --
24
              His number is 039.
   Seat 12.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

40

```
The next one is Estes, but the bailiff
   informed me that Mr. Estes is not outside.
             When were these two supposed to come in?
 3
              THE BAILIFF: This is the morning group.
 4
   The afternoon group is who we had at 1:30.
              THE COURT: What about Estes?
 6
              THE BAILIFF: He didn't answer yesterday;
  he didn't answer this morning.
 8
              THE COURT: He wasn't here the last two
10
   days?
              THE BAILIFF: He didn't answer in the last
11
   couple of days. I'll call his name out and see if
12
   he's there.
13
              THE COURT: Who is next?
14
              THE BAILIFF: Cynthia Armistead.
15
16
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111
1.7
              THE COURT: Armistead?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111: Armistead.
19
              THE COURT: All right, Miss Armistead.
20
              How long have you lived in Las Vegas,
21
   ma'am?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Five years.
23
              THE COURT: Are you a nurse?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
Where do you work?
             THE COURT:
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mountain View
2
   Emergency Room."
3
             THE COURT: You need to speak up louder.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mountain View
 5
   Emergency Room.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 7
             Are you married?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 9
             THE COURT: How is your husband employed?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's a driver for a
11
   limousine company.
12
              THE COURT: You have one child?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 One.
14
              THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
15
   family ever been arrested for a crime or charged
16
   with a crime?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Who was that?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Relatives on my
20
   father's side of the family.
21
                         Are they in Chicago?
              THE COURT:
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
23
              THE COURT: Were any of them charged with
24
   a violent crime, like murder or anything like
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
that -- robbery?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure what they
2
   were charged with.
             THE COURT: Are any of them in prison?
4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I think so.
             THE COURT: Would that affect your ability
6
   to be fair in this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
В
             THE COURT: You're being considered for
9
   jury duty in this case, and you're going to have to
10
   decide the punishment.
11
             Do you understand that?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I do.
13
             THE COURT: The defendant has been
14
   convicted of four counts of first-degree murder, and
15
   there are four possible sentences. One is the death
16
   penalty, one is life imprisonment without the
17
   possibility of parole, one is life imprisonment with
18
   the possibility of parole or for a definite term of
19
   50 years with the possibility of parole after 20
20
           Now, because a deadly weapon was used, these
21
   sentences will be doubled.
22
              Do you understand that?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do.
24
              THE COURT: The 50 years becomes a hundred
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
years -- 50 plus 50, and he will be eligible for
   parole after 40 years, and this applies to each
3
   count.
             Do you understand that?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: Now, can you consider all four
 6
   forms of punishment?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I consider them?
 8
             THE COURT: Right.
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
10
              THE COURT: Do you know what I mean by
11
12
   that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
13
              THE COURT: You'll have to listen to the
14
   evidence and the law and decide which punishment is
15
   most appropriate for these crimes.
16
              Do you think you can do that?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: What I want to know is -- some
19
   people come in and they say, "I am opposed to the
20
   death penalty. I can't impose it under any
21
   circumstance."
22
              Do you have that feeling?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
24
              THE COURT: Some people say, "I can never
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
give life with the possibility of parole."
             Do you have that feeling?
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No.
3
             THE COURT: You can consider them all and
   decide which is the most appropriate, correct?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
6
             THE COURT: Looking at Question No. -- I
   guess Question No. 33, it asks, "To what extent
   should the mental status of a criminal defendant be
   considered in a sentencing decision between life and
10
   death?" I guess you said, "If a person has a
11
   documented history of mental illness and not
12
   substance abuse but mental illness."
13
             Are you talking about the kind where a
14
   person would be found not guilty by reason of
15
   insanity?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                        I'm talking
                                  Yes.
17
   about no substance abuse would play a part in.
18
                          First of all, the defendant --
              THE COURT:
19
   there was no mental illness to the degree that would
20
   render him not guilty because of insanity.
21
              Do you understand that?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I do.
2.3
                         He was convicted of
              THE COURT:
24
   first-degree murder, and if he was insane under the
2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

45

```
law, he wouldn't have been convicted of first-degree
  murder.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
3
             THE COURT: They're allowed to present
4
  evidence of mitigation which is the mental
   condition, status, childhood background,
   education -- all of that stuff for you to consider,
   and you have to decide which are mitigators and
   whether or not it outweighs the aggravators.
             Do you understand?
10
                                  T do.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
11
             THE COURT: In Question No. 38, you said
12
   the only one you would consider is mental status.
13
   You don't think that a person's childhood,
14
   upbringing -- not in every situation, but depending
15
   on the facts -- education, experience -- all of that
16
   stuff should be considered?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't.
18
              THE COURT: So, you would not consider any
19
   other mitigation but mental status?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
21
              THE COURT: All right.
22
              State.
23
              MR. STANTON: Briefly, your Honor.
24
   11111
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
1
   BY MR. STANTON:
2
             This procedure involves mitigation
        Q
3
   evidence, what we lawyers call as a "proceeding of
   death," and that is the background, who the
   defendant Donte Johnson is, where did he come from,
   hearing from family members and other evidence
   regarding that side.
8
             Would you consider that important in
   making a decision about whether or not -- what
10
   punishment should be imposed by a jury in a case as
11
   severe as this?
12
              No.
13
        Α
             You don't think so?
14
              No.
15
        Α
              I believe you said -- I believe you said
16
   nothing other than mental status would be something
17
   that you would consider at all?
18
              correct.
19
         Α
              MR. STANTON: I would submit it, your
20
21
   Honor.
              MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, may we inquire?
22
              THE COURT: Yes.
23
24
   11111
    11111
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

47

```
EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
1
   BY MS. JACKSON:
             Good day, Miss Armistead.
3
        0
             Hi.
        Α
4
             You're a nurse?
5
             Yes.
6
        Α
             You're an RN?
7
              I am.
        Α
8
             How long have you been an RN?
9
        Q
              Thirteen years.
10
              Thirteen years.
         0
11
              It says here that you've had quite a few
12
   courses that prepared you for your training
13
   including psych courses, sociology courses and
14
   things of that nature.
15
         Α
              Correct.
16
              How long have you been over at Mountain
17
18
   View?
              A year and a half.
         Α
19
              I'm sorry?
         Q
20
              One-and-a-half years.
         Α
21
              THE COURT: Approach the bench.
22
              (Sidebar conference outside the presence
23
   of the court reporter.)
24
25
    1111
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

48

```
BY MS. JACKSON:
             Have you had any cases brought into the
2
        Q
   emergency room with child abuse?
3
        Α
             Yes.
 4
            You've seen children who had not been
5
   given enough to eat?
        Α
              Yes.
7
             You believe that that affects a child's
 8
   development?
 9
              I do.
        Α
10
             And as a nurse, you recognize that could
1.1
   affect their brain in their development?
12
              That's correct.
         Α
13
              Those are the kinds of things that would
14
   be considered mitigation.
15
              Are you saying that you would not consider
16
   someone as a child, perhaps the first five years of
17
   their life, wouldn't receive adequate food, would
18
   not, as a nurse, consider that?
19
              I'm not understanding you.
20
         Α
              If someone -- we could show you that a
21
   child from ages, say, zero to five didn't, say, have
22
    enough food to eat --
23
              Okay.
24
         Α
              -- you don't think you would want to
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

49

```
consider that before you decide if you want to kill
   that person?
2
             What happened after -- you said zero to
3
        Α
   five years?
             Um-hmm. This is an example.
 5
        Q
                      I understand that, but I'd need to
             Right.
 6
   see what happened after the five years.
 7
             We will show you that.
        Q
 8
 9
        Α
             Okay.
             Can you consider --
10
        Q
              I can consider anything.
11
              -- that factor?
12
              You said you can consider anything.
13
   you going to give it a serious consideration?
              That's correct.
15
              What other things would be important to
16
   you in the way of mitigation?
17
              Such as --
        Α
18
              You tell me. What would you like to know
19
   about -- in this situation, you're being asked to
20
   judge someone and decide if they're going to live or
21
         Before you made that decision, what, if
22
   anything, would you like to know about that person?
23
              The evidence that found the person guilty.
         Α
24
              Well, that's already been determined,
25
         Q
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

50

```
because he's guilty times four. You're going to
2 decide if he lives or dies.
             How do I know what circumstances got them
        Α
3
   to the guilty verdict? I know nothing. I'm just
   going to come into a room and decide if a person --
   am I correct in saying that I'm going to come into a
   room and decide what the penalty is going to be
   without knowing anything? I was under the
   impression that we were going to be told some
   things --
10
             You will be.
11
             -- about the case.
12
             MS. JACKSON: We would submit it, your
13
1.4
   Honor.
             THE COURT: Submit it? I have no motion
15
16 before me.
             MS. JACKSON: The State submitted it, we
17
   submit it. The challenge for cause regarding
18
   mitigation -- we don't think she can consider
19
   mitigation.
20
              THE COURT: You need to say the magic
21
   word.
22
              Granted.
23
              You're excused, ma'am.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111:
                                      Thank you.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

51

```
Can we state, just for the
             MR. DASKAS:
1
  record before we bring in the next juror, that I
   don't know precisely what ethnicity this woman was?
3
             THE COURT: She was black. What do you
4
   mean you don't know what ethnicity she was?
             MR. DASKAS: I don't know if she was
6
   Puerto Rican or Cuban or Hispanic.
7
             THE COURT: She was not Puerto Rican or
8
   Hispanic.
                          Well then, that's the record
             MR. DASKAS:
10
   we want to make, Judge. The defense challenged.
11
                         And then not only that, you
             THE COURT:
12
   can be Puerto Rican and Hispanic and still be black.
13
                          I understand, Judge. Nobody
             MR. DASKAS:
14
   made that inquiry. It was apparent to us she was a
15
   minority and we submitted it, and then the defense
16
   challenged for cause.
17
              THE COURT: You were afraid to say she was
18
   a black woman and excused by Miss Jackson?
19
                           That's right, in anticipation
              MR. DASKAS:
20
   of some challenge down the road, Judge.
21
22
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 112
23
              THE COURT: You're Miss Nesbit?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 112: Yes, I am.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

52

```
Good afternoon, Miss Nesbit.
             THE COURT:
1
                                 Good afternoon.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
2
             THE COURT: You're from Albion, Michigan.
3
  Where is Albion Michigan?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's up by Battle
5
   Creek, Michigan where Kelloggs and General Mills is.
             THE COURT: I thought Kelloggs was in
7
   Battle Creek.
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: General Motors is in
9
   Kalamazoo -- in the same basic area.
10
             THE COURT: And you went to Ferris State
11
   up in Big Rapids?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
13
              THE COURT: What were you studying?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At that time, I was
15
   studying to be the education teacher.
16
              THE COURT: Then you took criminal justice
17
   at one point?
18
                                 Yes, because I was in
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
19
   the Air Force for 20 years, and my job in the Air
20
   Force, I was an investigator.
21
              THE COURT: So, exactly what kind of stuff
22
   did you have to investigate?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Rapes, child abuse,
24
    drugs.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

53

```
Okay.
             THE COURT:
             You were stationed all over the United
2
3
   States.
             Did you go outside the country also?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I was outside.
5
   Do you want to know where I was?
 6
              THE COURT:
                          No, no.
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I was overseas
 8
 9
   also.
             THE COURT: Your husband was also an
10
   investigator?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, he was.
12
              THE COURT: Are you working now?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I work part-time
14
   for an electrical contractor.
15
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
16
              Did you ever investigate any cases like
17
   having to do with murder or any kind of battery or
18
   assault?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I've investigated
20
   child abuse cases and mostly unattended deaths to
21
   determine whether they were suicide or not.
22
              THE COURT: You testified in
23
   court-martials and that sort of thing?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

54

Now, you understand that THE COURT: 1 you're being considered for service on this jury which will have to decide the penalty. 3 defendant has already been convicted of four counts of first-degree murder. Do you understand that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do. 7 What I want to know is can you THE COURT: 8 consider the four possible punishments that the State of Nevada allows in this case, that is, the 10 death penalty, life in prison without the 11 possibility of parole, life in prison with the 12 possibility of parole or for a definite term of 50 13 years with the possibility of parole after 20 years 1.4 plus a consecutive 50 years with the possibility of 15 parole after 20 years because a deadly weapon was 16 What that means is because a deadly weapon used? 17 was used, these punishments are doubled, and these 1.8 are punishments for each count. 19 Do you understand that? 20 Could you consider all four punishments 21 and after listening to the evidence and the law and 22 the facts of the case, pick the most appropriate 23 one? 24 I believe so, yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 25

Page: 5774

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

55

Are there any here that you're THE COURT: 1 opposed to that you could not impose? Not at this time PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 3 because I don't know the facts -- yeah, right now, no. There are four people who have THE COURT: been killed. 7 Yes, I understand. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 8 THE COURT: He's been convicted of four 9 counts of first-degree murder, and of course, 10 evidently, there was no self-defense or whatever, 11 because if it had been self-defense, he wouldn't 12 have been convicted of first-degree murder. 13 Do you understand? There's probably 14 nothing to justify it, so what you have to listen to 15 is the background information about the parties, the 16 people involved. You will also get the facts of 17 what happened in the case too, and you will hear 18 from both sides. 19 What I want to know is -- I'm not asking 20 you which one you would give, but some people think 21 for a murder, the only penalty that they could ever 22 impose would be the death penalty. Some people 23 believe that they could never impose life in prison 24 without parole, because they said it's costing 25

Page: 5775

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
taxpayers money, and they don't believe in it, so
  they would either give the death penalty or life
  imprisonment with the possibility of parole, and
   then there are some people who say they don't
  believe people should even be considered for parole
   no matter what their background is or whatever.
             Do you hold any of those views?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe in the death
   penalty, but I also believe the person should get
   the penalty that's appropriate for whatever he's
10
   done.
11
             THE COURT: So, you can consider all four
12
   of them --
1.3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can.
14
                          -- based on the facts?
                                                   All
              THE COURT:
15
   right.
16
              You can follow the Court's instructions on
17
   the law?
18
                                  I'm sorry?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
19
              THE COURT: You can follow the Court's
20
    instructions on the law?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
22
              THE COURT: And you can be fair and
23
    impartial?
24
                                   I believe so, yes.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
25
                                         (702) 455-3610
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

| Г   |  |
|-----|--|
| 1.  | THE COURT: State.                                  |
| 2   | MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.                      |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | EXAMINATION BY THE STATE                           |
| 5   | BY MR. DASKAS:                                     |
| 6   | Q Mrs. Nesbit, good afternoon.                     |
| 7   | A Good afternoon.                                  |
| 8   | m Q Thank you for your patience.                   |
| 9   | You have obviously an extensive                    |
| 10  | investigative background. In this case if you're   |
| 11  | selected, you would have you will be instructed    |
| 1.2 | you will have to accept a previous jury's verdict. |
| 13  | You see my concern with your background? You would |
| 14  | want to know about the instruction itself.         |
| 15  | Do you think you can follow the Court's            |
| 16  | instruction when you the Judge says you have to    |
| 17  | accept that verdict that's already been rendered?  |
| 18  | A I believe so, yes.                               |
| 19  | Q We will give you the underlying facts in         |
| 20  | the quadruple homicide.                            |
| 21  | Are you comfortable with that?                     |
| 22  | A Yes.   |
| 23  | Q As you know, the Judge said this case is         |
| 24  | now about punishment.                              |
| 25  | A Right.   |
|     |  |

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
You mentioned it's the appropriate answer.
1
   You can't really tell us what you're going to
   decide, because you don't know enough information
        That's true?
   yet.
             That's true.
        Α
             You want to know as much information about
   the homicides themselves and the defendant himself
   before you make that decision?
             That's correct.
        Α
             You realize how important that is?
10
        Α
             Yes.
11
             Let's assume that you've heard all that
12
   evidence and that you're convinced after absorbing
13
   all that information that this is the appropriate
14
   punishment for the death penalty, can you impose
15
   that punishment?
16
              I believe I can, yes.
17
        Α
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, ma'am.
18
              Judge, we'll pass the prospective juror
19
   for cause.
20
              THE COURT: Defense Counsel.
21
              MS. JACKSON: Thank you, your Honor.
22
23
   1////
   11111
24
   1////
2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

59

```
EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
1
  BY MS. JACKSON:
             Good afternoon, Miss Nesbit.
        Q
3
             Good afternoon.
4
             Ma'am, in your capacity as an investigator
        Q
5
   for the United States Air Force, was that pretty
   much law enforcement type work?
        Α
             No.
8
              I'm sorry?
        Q
              You mean law -- the way for me to explain
        Ă
1.0
   to you what we do --
11
              Please.
        Q
12
              In a sense, if you look at the local
         Α
1.3
   police --
14
              Yes, ma'am.
         Q
15
              -- then you have the federal, the FBI.
         Α
16
              Yes, ma'am.
         Q
17
              On the base, you have your security
         A
18
   police, then you have the Air Force office, and Air
19
    Force special agents are like the FBI, so that would
20
    be kind of -- we would investigate the more serious
21
    cases versus traffic. We don't do traffic
22
    accidents, stuff like that.
23
               Okay.
         Q
 24
               So, your findings were then brought back
 2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

60

```
to an agency that had the authority to court-martial
  someone?
2
             We worked with the JAGs office, yes, but
3
        Α
   we were the ones who prepared the reports and give
   the report to the Staff Judge Advocate or to the US
5
   Attorney if it was a joint investigation with the
   Bureau.
7
             So, on page 4, Question 19, it says, "Have
8
   you ever been involved in a military court-martial?"
   You indicate that, "Yes. I have testified as one of
10
   the agents investigating the case and cases."
11
             So, this would have been on the
12
   prosecution end?
13
              Yes, that's correct.
14
              All right.
15
              That's a lot of years, and your husband
16
    also did the same general type of work?
17
              Um-hmm.
         Α
18
              That's a lot of years and combined
         Q
19
    experience working in law enforcement.
20
              Wouldn't you say that that would cause you
21
    to identify more with the prosecution than the
    defense in any type of court proceeding?
23
              That would be a fair statement, yes.
 24
               Should I be concerned about that?
                                                   This is
 25
                                          (702) 455-3610
          SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
```

```
a situation where we're going to be asking you -- as
  a matter of fact, the law demands that you consider
  all four possible forms of punishment.
             Can you say in your heart of hearts that
4
  you can fairly do that?
5
             I can, because when I investigate a case,
   I investigate it. I didn't go looking for the
   person to be guilty when I investigated it; I tried
   to bring up the facts together and be as independent
   as I could, and I would present the facts of the
10
11
   case.
             Did any of your investigations ever clear
        Q
12
   anybody?
13
             Yes, they have.
        Α
14
             Did you have to testify in that situation
15
   or were charges not brought because of the
16
   investigation?
17
              Charges were brought because of the
        Α
18
   investigation.
19
              How many times did it happen that you
         Q
20
   think?
21
              Not that many times. It's really hard
22
   because I have 17-and-a-half years.
                                         I can't
23
    remember all the cases that I've worked.
24
              How long has it been since you stopped
25
         Q
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

62

```
doing that?
             Nine years.
        Α
2
                          Okay.
             Nine years.
3
        0
             No. 24, when asked about your feelings of
4
   the criminal justice system, you thought it worked
5
   very well some of the time, but you thought high
   profile cases may be an exception.
7
             What did you mean by that?
8
             To me, the O.J. Simpson case I thought was
 9
   very high profile, and I thought the verdict should
10
   have been guilty, personally, and I think if it's
11
   high profile, a lot of -- what's the right word for
12
   it -- I just think that they probably get a better
13
   deal than other people do, maybe.
14
             What about Scott Peterson? Wasn't that
15
   high profile?
16
              I didn't want to follow that because I got
17
   kind of bored with it.
18
              Well, me too. O.J. really bored me, but
         Q
19
   in terms of high profile.
20
              I think some people might have done it
21
   because they had such a smart defense team they got
22
               That's what I'm saying; they were lucky
23
   enough to afford someone to get them off.
24
              So, it's economics really?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

63

Yeah. 1 I got you. Okay. 2 Donte Johnson has a Public Defender and a 3 former Public Defender. What do you think his chances of getting a good defense are? I think he has a great chance. Α 6 You don't have any particular bias against 7 lawyers? 8 No, I don't. Α Certainly not female lawyers? Q. 10 Of course not. 11 Can you help me understand in No. 33 --Q 12 actually, I'm going to take 33 in tandem with 38, 13 because they basically kind of ask about the same 14 Thirty-three asks you, "To what extent, if thing. 15 any, mental status should be determined?" and your 16 answer was, "If the person is competent, it should 17 not be an issue." 18 Again, maybe before chatting with the 19 Judge and Mr. Stanton you didn't understand that 20 this is not a case where competency is an issue, 21 because we're already past that. He's been 22 convicted times four. What we're trying to ask you 23 centers more on question 38. In reaching a verdict 24 in this case, the law says that you need to consider 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
what we call "mitigators" -- if a child was abused,
   if a person's parents used drugs -- things of that
   nature -- things that our legislature has recognized
   that maybe there are things that maybe we haven't
   listed. Anything that you feel is a reason to give
   life is, by law, mitigation. You may hear from the
   defendant's mother and decide that you want her to
   have a chance to visit with her son, and in your
   estimation, that may be a reason to give life.
             Now, are you open to considering those
10
   things, because you checked on your questionnaire
11
   "somewhat"? And the law says in the language that's
1.2
   mandatory, that you must consider those things.
13
             can you do that?
14
             Yes, I can.
15
             You think you have a pretty good
1.6
   understanding of what mitigators are?
17
1.8
        Α
             Um-hmm.
              I'll bet you do being an investigator for
19
   all those years.
20
              Have you ever heard the phrase, "The abuse
21
   excuse" when it comes to why people commit certain
22
23
   crimes?
24
         Α
              Um-hmm.
              What does that mean to you?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

| 1   | A To me, it's an excuse, exactly what it  |   |
|-----|---|---|
| 2   | says.   |   |
| 3   | Q And you recognize that Mr. Johnson stands   |   |
| 4   | convicted here, so we're not offering any excuses?  |   |
| 5   | A Right.  | i |
| 6   | Q That this is different when you're asking   |   |
| 7   | a juror to consider mitigation before deciding  |   |
| 8   | someone's punishment.   |   |
| 9   | A I agree with that.  |   |
| 10  | Q Do you think that's probably a good idea,   | ١ |
| 11  | to get to know all you can about a person before you  |   |
| 12  | decide if they live or if they die?   |   |
| 13  | A Of course.  |   |
| 14  | and of course, you're going to hear a lot   |   |
| 15  | about the State's case as well.   |   |
| 16  | One final area. No. 13 says here, "Have   | ١ |
| 17  | you had any courses or special training?" and you   |   |
| 1.8 | had basic investigation, crime  |   |
| 19  | and advanced courses on serial  |   |
| 20  | a cor doriants.   |   |
|     | dan you share with me a wee bit about the   |   |
| 2   | what was that about?  |   |
| 2   | mbat was a program done by the FBI when   |   |
| 2   | and way as far as how to do profiling into  |   |
| 2   | they told you as lar as the seminar that we went to.  5 serial killers. It was the seminar that we went to. |   |
| 2   | 5 serial killers. It was the  | _ |

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Page: 5785

(702) 455-3610

```
Were you ever involved in the actual
1
  profiling and assisting agencies?
             We sat down, and they showed us case
3
   studies of what they've done with various serial
   killers.
5
             Were you ever personally involved in
6
   helping to track down or profile a serial killer?
7
             No.
             MS. JACKSON: Court's indulgence.
   BY MS. JACKSON:
10
             You mentioned the O.J. Simpson case.
11
        Q
             Um-hmm.
        Α
12
              Do you think O.J. Simpson should have
13
   received the death penalty?
14
                                I just think he should
              Not necessarily.
15
   have been found guilty. I didn't think anything
16
   past that as far as what his sentence should be.
17
              What do you think of the Old Testament
         Q
18
    saying "An eye for an eye"?
19
              Tough question. Not literally an eye for
         Α
20
21
    an eye.
              Do you think the death penalty is used too
22
    often, not enough, or do you have any opinion on
23
    that in this country?
24
              I don't have an opinion whether it's used
         Α
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

67

```
too much or not enough.
1
             You don't have an opinion either way?
2
             No, I do not.
3
             Okay.
        Q
4
             I don't think I have to ask you if you had
5
   an opinion that differed from the other 11 jurors,
   would you be able to stand by your own convictions.
   I don't think anybody in the court would have a
   question about that.
             Thank you for your time, Miss Nesbit.
1.0
             MS. JACKSON: We would pass for cause,
11
   your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: All right.
13
             Ma'am, we're going to let you go now, but
14
   you're going to have to come back tomorrow morning
15
   at 10:00 o'clock, and we'll let you know.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 112: Okay. Very good.
17
              THE COURT: Thank you.
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
19
              THE COURT: The State may exercise its
20
   fourth peremptory challenge.
21
              MR. DASKAS: Judge, the State will waive
22
   its fourth peremptory challenge.
23
                          The defense may exercise its
              THE COURT:
24
   fourth peremptory challenge.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

68

```
MS. JACKSON: We will preempt Mr. Blonk,
1
   084.
2
             THE COURT: All right. He's in seat
3
   No. 4.
 4
 5
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 113
 6
             THE COURT: Miss Miller.
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 113: Yes.
 8
             THE COURT: Where are you from originally?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Phoenix.
10
             THE COURT: Phoenix?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hmm.
12
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a staff
14
   accountant.
15
              THE COURT: For whom?
1.6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: On Stage
17
   Entertainment.
18
              THE COURT: What kind of company is that?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  They produce the
20
   Legends in Concert's show at Imperial Palace.
21
              THE COURT: Oh, okay.
22
              Now, you went to -- let me know if I'm
23
   pronouncing this correctly, Yav-va-pie (phonetic).
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yavapai.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
That's not too far from here.
             THE COURT:
1
   What's that that start with a P?
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's in Prescott.
3
             THE COURT: Are you married, ma'am?
4
                                  Yes, I am.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
5
             THE COURT: What kind of work does your
6
   husband do?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's a construction
8
   drywaller.
9
             THE COURT: You don't have any kids?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
11
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
12
   family ever been charged with a crime? I think you
13
   said yes -- no -- been convicted.
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
15
             THE COURT: Been the victim of a crime?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
17
             THE COURT: You or your friends or family
18
   never been the victim of a crime?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, oh, I'm sorry, a
20
   victim. I thought you said "convicted." Yes. My
21
   car was stolen last year.
22
              THE COURT: Any relatives or friends that
23
   have been the victim of a violent crime, assault,
24
   sexual assault, battery, attempt murder or anything
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
like that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
             THE COURT: How long have you been in
3
   Las Vegas?
                                  That is my eighth
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
5
          It will be eight years in October.
   year.
6
             THE COURT: Eight years. Okay.
7
             Now, you understand that you're being
8
   considered for possible jury duty in this trial
   which is going to determine the penalty to be
10
11
   imposed.
             Do you understand that?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
13
              THE COURT: Do you understand that the
14
   defendant has already been convicted of four counts
15
   of first-degree murder?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Um-hmm.
17
              THE COURT: You will have to decide the
18
   punishment.
19
              Do you understand there are four possible
20
   punishments that you can impose -- the death
21
   penalty, life in prison without parole, life in
22
   prison with the possibility of parole or for a
23
   definite term of 50 years plus a consecutive term of
24
   50 years for the use of a deadly weapon and parole
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

71

```
This would apply to each
   possible after 40 years.
   count these sentences range.
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All right.
3
             THE COURT: Could you consider all four
 4
   forms of punishment?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
6
             THE COURT: Do you have any moral or
 7
   religious objection to the death penalty?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
 9
             THE COURT: Do you have any objection to
10
   life imprisonment with the possibility of parole or
11
   without parole?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't.
13
              THE COURT: Could you consider a term of
14
   50 years plus an additional term of 50 years for the
15
   use of a deadly weapon?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
17
              THE COURT: Of course, the State is going
18
   to present evidence, the defense is going to present
19
              You will have to look at the evidence,
   evidence.
20
   the facts of the case and the law as I give it to
21
   you and decide on the appropriate punishment.
22
              Do you think you can do that?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
24
              THE COURT: You can be fair to both sides?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                Yes.
1
             THE COURT: You're not biased or
2
  prejudiced against the lawyers or anybody in this
3
   case, are you?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'm not.
5
             THE COURT: You don't have your mind made
6
   up, do you?
                                  No, I don't.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
8
             THE COURT: If I remember right, you don't
9
   know any facts about this case.
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I remember when
11
   that occurred. It was shortly after I moved here.
12
             THE COURT: Like in '98 or something?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14
                         Do you live out in that area?
              THE COURT:
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't. I live
16
   Sahara and Decatur.
17
              THE COURT: Are you sure it's this case?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pardon me?
19
              THE COURT: Are you sure this is the case
20
   you heard about?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
22
              THE COURT: What makes you think that?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The street.
24
   were in here the other day and you mentioned the
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

73

```
name of the street, and it tied it all together.
             THE COURT: All right.
2
             State.
3
                            Thank you, your Honor.
             MR. STANTON:
4
5
                 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
6
   BY MR. STANTON:
7
             Thank you, Miss Miller, and thank you for
   your patience.
             Part of this process involves the fact
10
   that another jury has determined the guilt in this
11
   case and that the verdict that you know now by the
12
   questions and questionnaire was that the defendant
13
   was found guilty of four counts of first-degree
14
   murder.
15
         Α
              Yes.
16
              Are you comfortable knowing that you will
17
         0
   be instructed in this case that you have to abide
18
   and respect that jury verdict as it relates to guilt
19
   or innocence?
20
              Yes.
         Α
21
              Strictly here now, what is the appropriate
22
    and just punishment for Donte Johnson? That's what
23
    the jury is being selected for.
24
              Are you comfortable with that?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Α Um-hmm. The most crucial aspect that we want to 2 find as jurors is that they can be fair and impartial and that they have no preconceived notions about either the case or what they would select as punishment. My understanding from Judge Gates' questions to you, all the sentencing options that are required by law in a case such as this, you will have an open mind as to all those? 10 Yes, sir. A 11 Would it be a fair statement, ma'am, that 12 you would wait until all the evidence has been 13 presented, the evidence presented by the State and Mr. Johnson's counsel before you would make that 15 decision? 16 Α Yes. 17 In this case, you will be provided with Q 18 facts and evidence regarding the initial quadruple 19 murders in this case. Some of that evidence will be 20 very graphic in nature. Knowing yourself, your 21 personality, your character, is that something that 22 you can do? While it may be uncomfortable, it is 23 still part of the job of a juror to look at it as 24

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

evidence.

25

(702) 455-3610

```
Do you think you can do that?
1
             Yes, sir.
2
             The indication in your questionnaire about
   victims of crime, you said your car was stolen.
             Was that here in Las Vegas?
5
             Yes.
6
        Α
             As a result of that incident, do you
   harbor any resentment either to law enforcement
   authorities that investigated it or the District
   Attorney's office in a negative way?
10
             No.
        Α
11
             And the same thing as to Mr. Johnson's
12
   defense team over there, as a result of that, you
13
   wouldn't hold anything against them for that?
14
        Α
             No.
15
             In this case, there are four victims, and
        Q
16
   your duty and obligation as a juror is to determine
17
   the just punishment as it relates to each one of
18
   those victims, and it may be in your collective
19
   judgment individually and with other jurors that the
20
   punishment would be different for each victim.
21
   not saying that it is or will be, but that's a
22
   possibility that you could do.
23
              Are you comfortable with that concept?
24
              Um-hmm.
                       Yes, sir,
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702

(702) 455-3610

The fact that in this case the death 1 penalty and life options are available to the jury, 2 there is a series of legal instructions that Judge Gates would give you regarding mitigation evidence, that which would suggest some punishment potentially of less than death or less than the more severe life alternatives. Do you feel that you can comfortably 8 address that information and either accept or reject it and then render a verdict? 10 Yes. Α 11 Ma'am, there is a process which has little 12 or no instructions by the Court, and that is how a 13 foreperson is selected in a jury. That's totally up 14 to the jury themselves. 15 If you were selected the foreperson in 16 this case and after deliberate, careful -- careful 17 deliberation of all the facts and the instructions 18 involved, you yourself with your fellow jurors 19 conclude that the death penalty is appropriate and 20 you're the foreperson, ma'am, could you affix your 21 name to those verdict forms that puts the defendant 22 Donte Johnson to death? 23 Yes, sir. Α 24 Thank you. MR. STANTON: 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

TRAN · 2005 APR 21 A 8: 52 CASE NO. C153154 3 DEPT, NO. XV 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, ORIGINAL 10 Plaintiff, 11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT vs. OF 12 JURY TRIAL 13 DONTE JOHNSON, Defendant. VOLUME I - AM 14 15 16 BEFORE THE HONORABLE 17 LEE GATES, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 2005 18 1:30 p.m. 19 20 APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: ROBERT DASKAS, ESQ. 21 DAVID STANTON, ESQ. 22 For the Defendant: ALZORA JACKSON, ESQ. 23 BRET O. WHIPPLE, ESQ. 24 25 Reported by: LISA MAKOWSKI, CCR No. 345

> Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610 Page: 5611

```
1
2
     CASE NO. C153154
3
     DEPT. NO. XV
4
6
                             DISTRICT COURT
6
                         CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7
8
9
    THE STATE OF NEVADA.
10
                        Plaintiff.
11
                                           REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
OF
JURY TRIAL
12
     DONTE JOHNBON,
Defendant.
13
                                                 VOLUME I - AM
14
15
18
                  SEFORE THE HONORABLE
LEE GATES, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 2005
1:30 p.m.
17
18
19
20
    APPEARANCES
21
        For the Plaintiff: ROBERT DASKAS, ESQ. DAVID STANTON, ESQ.
22
23
         For the Defendant: ALZORA JACKSON, ESQ. BRET O. WHIPPLE, ESQ.
24
    Reported by: LISA MAKONSKI, CCR No. 345
```

```
LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
NEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 2005
1:30 p.m.
 1
2
3
4
                        PROCEEDINGS
6
6
                  THE COURT: All right, guys, Juror
7
     No. 371 was excused. He was apolice officer down
    in the Jury room with his uniform on and gun and
8
9
    all that stuff. They ere not supposed to come to
    jury duly dressed like that so the Commissioner
10
11
    excused him, then I have here juror number 031,
12
    Donald Lemley, we have a --
13
                 MS. JACKSON: What is that number?
                 THE COURT: Lemley?
14
16
                 MS. JACKSON: Last digits, 0317 I have
16
    Emelina Chaffee.
17
                 THE COURT: 206, and he was excused.
                 MS. JACKSON: Page 4, of our printout,
18
19
    Judge.
                 THE COURT: Anyway, doctor said he has
20
21
    heart problems. When is he supposed to be down
22
    here? Is he downstairs?
23
                 MS. JACKSON: Beg your pardon.
24
                 THE COURT: I wonder if he is downstairs.
25
                 THE BAILIFF: I can check and see.
```

4

THE COURT: Check to see if he is down 1 2 there. 3 MR. WHIPPLE: With the Court's permission can I make a brief record? 4 5 THE COURT: I'm Betening. 8 MR. WHIPPLE: Your Honor, yesterday the 7 first potential juror was No. 01, that was Carol 8 Clemens, and she went, she took the stand, was asked if she can -- and essentially I'm 10 paraphrasing, if she can consider the death penalty 11 and she said, basically said no, she cannot 12 consider the death penalty, and I was given the 13 opportunity to voir dire her, and I asked her 14 essentially if Osama Bin Laden, you were stiting in 15 judgment of Osama Bin Laden, and there was an 16 immediate objection by Mr. Daskes, and I have had 17 an opportunity to discuss that with him. He showed 18 me the court rules, which, of course, I'm familier 18 with. 20 I want to bring up the point to this 21 Court that we have a whole lineage of cases. We 22 have Gregg and Furhman that talk about the death 23 penalty is appropriate for the worst of the worst, 24 and you also know that under <u>Gardner v. Fforlda</u> 25 death is different. I think it is appropriate that

1 I have an opportunity to ask these jurges and see 2 If they can be death qualified, to see if they could consider situations which were much more 3 worse than the four victims that we have in this 6 metler. A I think it is appropriate to ask them 7 about Osama Birt Laden or Timothy McVeigh type of scanario where multiple lives are taken, people are much closer to the worst of the worst than what my 9 10 client would be. I want to put that on the record 11 and request that Issue be revisited. 12 MR. DASKAS: Judge, my objection was 13 based on Eighth Judicial District Court Rule 7.7 14 which states that there are cartein delineated 15 Improper areas of inquiry, one of which is asking a 18 juror what his or her verdict would be based on 17 hypothetical facts, and it is improper to ask 18 questions that ere in substance arguments of the 19 case, and I think that is a violation of both of 20 those rules, Rule 7.7. That was the basis for my 21 oblection. 22 You know, Judge, at some point then we 23 can sland up and let these jurors know that there 24 are - the majority of the 87 or so people on death 26 row committed a single homicide, and so the concern

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

3

```
Is at what point does this end and what point are we arguing facts not in evidence and picking a jury based on facts and hypotheticals not in evidence.

That is a concern, and I believe it is a violation of Rule 7.7.
```

1

5

6

7

8

Ð

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

δ

₿

7

8

Ø

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. WHIPPLE: If I can raspond briefly.

Again, under <u>Gardner v. Florida</u> death is different.

THE COURT: We know that, we know that, so what? It means no rules apply? So it is different, but you still have the same evidentiary rules that apply. I don't want to hear about that death is different. We know what they require.

MR. WHIPPLE: Your Honor, I balleve in this situation these count rules have to bend. In fact, we do have a right under the United States Supreme Court rulings to ask these individuals in a scenario that is much worse or different than what we are facing here today. I think that is an appropriate line of questioning. That's why I'm asking leave of this Court to ask those type of

THE COURT: Yeah, but the only problem is so what if Osama Bin Laden killed 3,000 people or whatever. It doesn't mean the person will be able to, I guess if they said they can oppose the death

penalty on Osama Bin Laden then you are saying that they would be qualified as a juror to serve on this 2 3 MR. WHIPPLE: It is my position if they 4 can consider the death penalty for the worst of the 5 worst, which I would say Osama Bin Laden or 7 Mr. MoVeigh, they would, in fact, be death qualified and should not be preempted. 8 MR. DASKAS: Here is what is interesting 10 to me. I hear him making two arguments out of different sides of his mouth. When we objected 11 based on the question they posed which was knowing 12 that Mr. Johnson has been convioled of four first 13 degree murders can you consider parole and the enswer was no, they objected to us asking them to 15 include background facts and circumstances in 16 17 miligation, so they are limiting their questions to 18 a quadruple homicide, but they want this jury to know nothing else. On the other hand, to 19 rehabilitate jurors that should be excused, they 20 want to talk about Osama Bin Laden who has nothing 21 22 to do with this case. MR. WHIPPILE; I believe to the contrary, 23

24 and, again, I don't know of what Mr. Daskas is 25 referring, but we would just as soon bring out all

7

the pictures. We would just as acon as bring out everything with regard to this case right now. We have been prohibited. I'm not sure what Mr. Daskas is referring to when he makes this comment.

MR. DASKAS: I'm referring to the precise questions you objected to multiple times yesterday, your Honor. And as you just said, your Honor, the rules apply. They want to expose this jury to photos that are not in evidence in the case as of yet because these people have not been sealed as jurors that is a violation of the rules. We are mixing apples and oranges here. Let's address the tasue which is proper voir dire and not bring in inadmissible photographs and suggest that somehow is a violation of the rules.

MR. WHIPPLE: Judge, we are not arguing that. We are not asking that to be revisited. We accept this Court's order. We are asking this Court to allow us to ask potential jurges about the worst of the worst, situations which are much more difficult or extreme than what they are facing here. Those are the parameters of my request, nothing more.

THE COURT: You have any cases to quote

26 from?

MS. JACKSON: Mr. Daskas provided us a 1 case this afternoon which I think provides support 2 3 Walker v. State, 113 Nevada 853. In that case, the Nevada Supreme Court sald at page, I guess it is page 11, prospective jurors had initially, and it gives the juror numbers, had initially indicated they could not vote to impose a sentence of life with the possibility of perole after convicting a person of first degree murder and then it says those prospective jurors were given the following 10 11 factual scenario: A man saw his child being reped 12 by two men and later found and killed the men --THE COURT: Just left me page 11, what 13 14 paragraph? 16 MS. JACKSON: Where it starts 154, 167, 16 and 182, the second full paragraph. THE COURT: Did you read No. 17? 17 MS. JACKSON: Yes. 18 THE COURT: So even If you ask it, so --18 MS. JACKSON: Your Honor. 20 21

THE COURT: You know what, I really don't care, seems like the Supreme Court allowed it both ways. I have to take every time and see what you have to say.

MR. DASKAS: Right, Judge, and my request

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

Page: 5613

22

23

24

9

```
is so long as they are doing, that's why I provided
    the Court with the case. We should be allowed to
2
    attempt to rehabilitate the jurors by providing a
3
    scenario in which they would consider death even in
4
    a first degree murder conviction, so long as we get
б
    to play by the same rules then I think I understand
6
7
    the Court's ruling.
                 THE COURT: All right.
8
                MR, DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
9
10
                MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, Judge.
11
             EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026
                 THE COURT: What is your name, ma'am?
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Carol Salckio.
13
                 THE COURT: What kind of work do you do.
14
    Ms. Salcido?
15
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for the school
16
    district as a psychologist under the department of
17
    special student services.
18
                 THE COURT: Where did you grow up?
19
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Trenton, New Jersey.
20
                 THE COURT: Did you go to high school in
21
22
    New Jersey?
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did.
23
                 THE COURT: Then you went to college in
24
    California?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did.
                THE COURT: What brought you out to
2
   California, the 60s?
3
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, but it wasn't
4
Б
    that, no. Well, actually, I needed to leave New
    Jersey, and I wanted to go to school. California
ð
7
    had state colleges that offered me an opportunity
    to go to school, so I had a sister out here, so I
8
    relocated.
9
10
                THE COURT: Okay. So you are a school
11
    psychologist now?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12
                THE COURT: You worked in the past as a
13
14
    clinical psychologist?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15
                THE COURT: Never have?
16
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Never have.
17
                THE COURT: And what kind of work does
18
    your husband do?
19
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He works for UBS
20
    PaineWebber.
21
                THE COURT: Stockbroker?
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He is vice president
23
24
    of investments.
                THE COURT: Okay. Now, you said that you
26
```

11

```
have read quite a bit about this case?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't say quite
2
   a bit. I vaguely -- I think I vaguely remember
3
    reading about it. I don't remember much.
                THE COURT: So you were on a jury before?
5
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Long time ago.
8
                THE COURT: Was that in California?
7
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I think it was.
8
9
                THE COURT: It is the dog poisoning case.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Dog poisoning.
10
                THE COURT: Were you the foreman of that
11
12
   Jury?
13
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I was not.
                THE COURT: You said your sleter-in-law
14
    was mugged; is that corract?
16
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, she was.
16
                THE COURT: Where was this?
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This was Alia and
18
    Rainbow. I believe there is a Home Depot store.
19
                THE COURT: Right, right. Okey. She was
20
21
    coming out of the Home Depot store?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, she was.
22
                THE COURT: Did thay hit her?
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, they did.
24
                 THE COURT: The person that assaulted
26
```

```
her, what was the race of the person?
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no idea. With
2
    regard to that question, I do remember that
3
    question worded something, do you know of anyone
    who was a vicilm of a crime -- was that.
5
                 THE COURT: Right, right.
6
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I also worked at a
7
    school -- I do work at that school with the mother
   of a son who was part of the Oklahoma bombing.
                 THE COURT: So this is the lady that the
10
11
    son got killed?
12
                 PROBPECTIVE JUROR: Peggy Brocksdiben
13
    (phonetto).
                 THE COURT: She was on TV because of the
14
    anniversary.
16
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18
                 THE COURT: How long have you worked with
17
18
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably ten years.
18
    She is retired. She is now a substitute teacher at
20
    the same school where she was employed full-time at
21
22
    the time of the incident.
                 THE COURT: Okay. Now going over this,
23
    these questions on the death penalty, now you have
24
    no moral or religious objection to the death
```

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

```
penalty; is that correct?
1
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
2
                 THE COURT: All right. And you
3
    understand that in this case, you will have to
4
    decide on a punishment for a person who has been
5
    convicted of four counts of first degrae murder.
8
    You understand that?
7
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. I'm puzzled by
₿
9
                  THE COURT: What do you mean you are
10
    ouzzled by lhat?
11
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I guess I've
12
    always assumed that the jury that hears the case
13
    also does the sentencing and I must be a little
14
    confused, I'm teeling like a new team is coming in
15
    to do this.
18
                  THE COURT: True, and that happens
17
     sometimes, so it is not unusual.
18
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not unusual.
19
                  THE COURT: So, you are not confused by
20
    It, just we do that sometimes.
21
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I'm a little
22
    uncomfortable with that. I feel for myself, not
23
     hearing the case, I may -- I assume it is not going
24
     through an additional trial.
```

```
THE COURT: No, you will be informed of
f
    all the relevant evidence.
2
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The evidence and the
3
    facta.
                  THE COURT: That occurred in the prior
5
8
    trial.
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think you miss a
7
    lot of maybe the nuances that occur. Having to
8
    make a decision of that magnitude concerns me
9
    particularly when I feel as though I have spent the
10
    majority of my working years on the other end of
11
    the apectrum which is in trying to prevent
12
    tragedies of this sort and now I'm on this end and
    have to face making a decision, and i'm not sure i
15
    have the constitution to do that.
                  THE COURT: You know a decision of this
16
     magnitude is not easy for anyone unless they were,
17
    the original trial jurors came in, were
18
    professional, or whatever. In fact, that's why we
19
    go through this rigorous process, because it is
    serious, and we want it to get serious attention.
21
    We don't want people to enter into this endeavor
22
     lightly, so feeling uncomfortable is not unusual.
23
                   I think most people (es) uncomfortable,
24
    It is not pleasant to sit and judge your fellow
25
```

15

```
man, but someone has to do it, and that is an
    obligation of citizenship, and I mean all the other
2
    jurors are going to be starting off from the same
    point that you are. You understand that?
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.
5
                  THE COURT: And some of them won't have
6
    your background, you know. You have all this
7
    training in psychology which a lot of people don't
8
    have, so, but, anyway let's just explore this some.
A
                  Now, for the first degree murder, the
10
    four possible penalties are the death penalty, life
11
    imprisonment without the possibility of parole.
12
    life imprisonment with the possibility of parole,
13
    for a definite term of 50 years with the
14
    possibility of parole after 20 years and this
15
    crime -- I mean this punishment is doubled if a
    deadly weepon was used, you understand that, and,
17
    of course, this applies for each of the victims.
18
     You understand that? So this would be the
19
     punishment for each charge you understand that?
20
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21
                  THE COURT: Could you consider all four
22
23
     punjshments?
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I really don't know.
24
                   THE COURT: What do you mean?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess it le not a
    question that I have thought about. I think there
    is a responsibility to society to when there is a
    dangerous individual to maybe step forward end
4
    protect society from the dangers of that
5
    Individual. I guess these are issues that I have
ß
    not had to contemplate in my life. Agein, I'm
7
    focused on preventing such tragedies.
8
9
                 THE COURT: I understand that, but at
    this point, we are here now.
10
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When it happens, I
11
    don't know what I would do.
12
                  THE COURT: | Lunderstand you don't know
13
    what you would do. We don't want you to have your
14
    mind made up. You are not supposed to have your
15
    mind made up. You have not heard the evidence.
18
    You have not heard any of the arguments of counsel
17
18
    or whatever.
                  What I want to know is like some people
10
     come in here and say under no dicumstances can i
20
    give the death penalty. I don't believe in the
21
    death penalty, under no circumstances can I punish
22
     someone by imposing the death penalty. Some people
23
     come in here and say under no dicumstances could !
24
     give a person life with the possibility of parole.
```

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

Some people come in and say under no circumstances can I give a person life without parole. They either should be able to get paroled after so many years or put to death, but is not the law. None of those positions comports with our law.

٠ 1

2

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

б

8

7

ß

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Our law states that the sentencing person or persons should consider all four and pick the one that is the most appropriate based upon the evidence, and the circumstances. You understand

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. I guess ! would have to consider all four because I don't have, not knowing the facts, I don't come with preconceived notions of a blanket.

THE COURT: That's what we want to know, what we want to know is if you thought that the death penalty was appropriate after the evidence was presented and arguments of counsel, then if you thought it was appropriate in the situation given the people involved, you could impose it, and if you thought after hearing all of the evidence and the witness, listening to the witnesses and the testimony and the arguments of counsel, lawyers, if

you felt that a term of years in prison with the

possibility of parole was appropriate, and so we

want to know whether or not you can consider all four, and you have to make the decision as to which one is appropriate given the law and the facts. Can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Can you be fair to

both sides?

2

3

5

8

7

8

0

11

12

13

28

2

a

7

8

10

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you follow the Court's

10 instructions on the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can.

THE COURT: State.

EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026

BY MR. DASKAS: 14

Mrs. Salcido, let me ask you a question a 15 a. little different about the death penalty. Assume 18 you are queen of your own country. Would you 17 implement a law that had the death penalty in your 18 country if it were your decision? 19

Under what circumstances?

20 If you could make the decision, if you 21 got to make the law of the country of which you 22 were queen, would you have the death penalty as a 23 24 sentencing option?

As a sentencing option? Yes, I think I

19

would.

So I lake it, based on your enswere, there are scenarios or situations where you think there is a place for the death penalty?

A.

I don't want to put words in your mouth. Q. if you don't agree with me, by all means tell me you disagree.

At the anniversary of the Oklahoma bombing, I have to say yes.

is that the only scenario you can come up that you think death is appropriate in that eltuation?

Il la a pretty axtreme acenario.

ts it the only one you can think of that

death is an appropriate punishment? 16

No. I can imagine others that may be equally as destructive and violent.

is that what you would require in your mind to impose death, something that is that destructive or that many victims?

It is very hard for me to think in any ebsolutes, very hard because I guess I'm just not that definitive. I'm used to considering so many factors. To say, it absolutely would be this no

matter whet.

Right, And I appreciate that. Let me Q. phrase it a tittle differently. In this case, as you obviously know, the defendant's already bean convicted of four first degree murders.

Now knowing that you would have to accept those verdicts, and I appreciate like you said that it is difficult perhaps, but if the judge instructs you to accept those verdicis. Can you do that?

A.

Now having said that, having accepted the 11 fact that he is a four time convicted murderer, 12 first degree, can you imagine a situation with that 13 number of victims in which you would impose the 14 15 death penelty?

> A. Possibly.

All right. In other words, you wouldn't require us to produce the number of victime of the Oklahoma City bombing? It is not just that factor that you require?

Probably not. I have no kies of the circumstances and details.

And it is a bit unfair of us to put 23 people like yourselves in, like yourself in that 24 saet and ask you to make a commitment, and we don't

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

Perhaps I can give you another incident A that I recall from California. There was a man there, an older man who captured a young girl who was a hitchhiker and hacked her to bits with a hatchet and somehow she survived. That is also a horrendous kind of case, but there was one victim. so to -- by just the number of victims, I can't say that that is what would impact me.

appreciate that. You are more concerned about the underlying facts of the homicide or homicides I take it?

> Exactly. À.

1

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2

3

4

5

ø

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In your questionnaire, you wrote some comments in the narretive section which you stated you work with young kids, and I will paraphrase this, and at some point, although kids come from horrible backgrounds, they are adults and they make their own decisions. You feel strongly about that?

Yes, I do. I think my first inclination A. 23 when I have one that goes away is to think somehow society has falled them. I have to face the fact, after all my years in working with young children,

that there are some that for some reason do not have the reallience and no matter how well society 2 does or does not serve them, they will fall, and 3 they will make poor decisions for themselves, and i don't know. I don't have an answer to that. I wish I did. I've been seeking it. I look at trying to instill resilience in young people so that they can come from a myrlad of circumstances and survive it.

I take it that in your line of work you O. have seen individuals come from extremely similar circumstances yet turn out completely different?

Yes, I have.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And this is the type of explanation we Q. ere all searching for, maybe there is no explanation?

> A. Maybe not.

Do you think, though, that someone's Q. background is important in making the decision that you would have to make in a case like this where you are going to impose punishment on somebody?

Well, I think it should be considered. I myself dki not come from a very fine background and somehow made some transitions.

Absolutely.

23

So t don't know what differentiates those that do and those that don't, other than there have been a lot of long-time studies to try and figure out what qualities of character we are looking for that indicate survival under the worst of circumstances.

And I'm aimost finished. I appreciate your patience. No. 34 asks if, well, basically about your feelings regarding the death penalty. whether you are in favor of it, opposed to it so on, so forth. You circled you are in favor and you would consider it in certain circumstances.

(No audible response.)

Explain why you circled two options as Q, opposed to just one?

I guess to clarify my position that I do not think in absolutes, that I think that for every action that the death penalty is automatic. I just can't think in absolutes.

I understand. Finally, you slate in the explanation, I regret to say that in some circumsiances it may be appropriate, and you use the term regrat?

A.

Tell me why you regret that in some a.

circumstances that it is appropriate?

Well, I don't know it necessarily solves anything. It may be the only solution that makesany sensa at the time. It is probably the burden of mankind to have to deal with those among us that somehow cannot live in society, no matter what we do, and we have to have an answer, and there is no good answer, and maybe thet's the only one that we have at this time, but it is not a good one.

> Lappreciate that. Thank you, me'am. We will pass for cause, Judge. THE COURT: Ms. Jackson. MS, JACKSON: Thank you, your Honor. EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026,

BY MS, JACKSON:

Hi, Ms. Sakido. Do you believe you can give life to someone who under the certain circumstances who has been convicted of murdering tour innocent people?

Do I think I could give life? A.

Q. Give life.

Without the possibility of parole? A.

Hh-huh. O.

I want to go to your narrative as well.

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

Okay, for that term legally we call that O 1 mitigation? 2 3 Okay. So we can get on the same page so we can have a conversation? Б 6 Now. In regards to mitigation, the law states 7 that when someone has been convicted of first 8 degree murder, as Mr. Johnson has, that anybody who 9 10 decides what the appropriate punishment the law dictates that they must consider mitigation and 11 12 that's defined as a person's background, were they 13 abused, did they get enough to eat, are their parents on drugs when they were born, things of 16 that nature. Would those things be importent to you as 18 sentencing a person in this situation in deciding 17 what is an appropriate punishment? 18 18 Yes, I think so. Α. Do you think so or do you know so? 20 Well, again, you know, I know of people 21 who come from extremely harsh circumstances and 22 that's really not an excuse to commit murder. 23 And, again, we are not -- we are not 24 25 talking about that.

27

I realize that. A

2

Б

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

The law simply states as you are sitting on this jury and deciding what the four punishments are, and, again, I know you have not heard them before and it takes a little time to let them aink In, life with parole?

I understend.

Which in this case would be 20 plus -O 40. Would be 40 times four years in prison, so that is a 160 years in this case?

MR, DASKAS: Ms. Jackson, I spologize. Technically that's not true, and that's up to, your Honor, so I don't want to leave the prospective juror with any misconceptions. It is 40 years at the boltom end.

THE COURT: What now?

MR. DASKAS: Ms. Jackson is suggesting that the minimum sentence in this case is a hundred and sixty years. That may not be true depending on what, your Honor's, decision is.

THE COURT: It could be true and not true. It could be 40 years, could be hundred and sixty years for each individual. It is 40 years, but all told the Court could run them consecutive.

MR, DASKAS: Correct.

THE COURT: Could be hundred and sixty

BY MS. JACKSON:

2 veare.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So that's essentially a lifetime. Life without parole in this case is one of your questions mean there is no parole ever, and then there is a term of years, 50, as the Court stated that's doubled, and these people were shot, so that's a hundred years or then there is death, so there is four possibilities. Do you agree with me that either of those four is a severe punishment?

When you say that society has to be protected, do you understand that the other jury, whoever that was, has by their verdict already guaranteed that there is punishment because you agree that any one of those four is a severe punishment?

| understand.

Do you understand that? a.

A

In your mind, life without parole is a Q. severe punishment?

So are you able to accept the fact that

24 28

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

Սի-իսի, սի-իսի.

1

2

3

6

7

8

A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

8

7

8

ø

10

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Is that yes, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is. But still

Sanotiqo vuol eschi noqu abiseb eno bluow work BY MS, JACKSON:

By listening to all of the information. Q. The State will give you some information. We are going -- the law mandales that I, as a defense attornay, present mitigation on behalf of Mr. Johnson. Furthermore, the law mandates that you must consider it before you reach a justified decision as based upon your sound reasoning. And all I'm asking you is can you do that, because those factors do need to be considered. Thet's what the law states. What the law states and what people do on the jury may be two different things.

Indeed.

What I'm asking you, ma'em, is based upon Q.

all your clinical -- you have also a lot of

clinical training. You work with abused children,

and I understand. All of us know people that came 1 from vary deprived backgrounds and went on and did 2 maryelous things, but that's not the issue. The 3 Issue is can you listen to what happened to Donte 4 Johnson, mitigation, and before making up your mind 6 about which of those four, and you agreed they are 6 very serious punishments? 7

> A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

16

17

18

20

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Can you listen to what happened to him Q. before you make up your mind?

Yes. I think I can. Α.

You said that a person makes choices. Do you think a person chooses who their parents are?

> No, they do not. A.

Q. Do you think a person chooses if their parente are going to use druge or not?

Absolutely not.

Do you think a person chooses what

neighborhood they are going to be born into? 19

MR. DASKAS: I think this argumentalive

at this point, I object. 21 22

MS. JACKSON: I'm simply asking

questions, Judge. 23

THE COURT: It is argumentative, 24 25

austained.

31

MR. DASKAS: Thank you.

BY MS. JACKSON:

You also indicate that the time involved in this decision will significantly impact my ability to maintain compliance deadlines for the Clark County School District if it extends for a week. We are going to go way past a week.

That's problematic in that I have two schools, two elementary schools. I have special education deadlines, compliance deadlines, in which IEPs need to be renewed, eligibility for spacial education services need to be reviewed. You know there is no substitute. It is not like they call in a substitute teacher for me. I'm the one that does that.

You don't work in teams? There is no school psychologist who can do what you are doing?

> Not that's assigned to my school, no. A.

So the children that you are --Q.

They would walt. It would have to walt.

My pressure is I have two schools. One is a year-round school one is a nine-month school. The nine-month school has about five weeks to go.

Could this impact those children's educational quality? Would they be hindered in their educational matriculation.

Not in matriculation, but they are children who are waiting for assessments, waiting to have meetings which have to be held by a certain deadline in order to renaw special education services, and those are the compliance, the federal and state compliance deadlines that we must meet for triannual reassessments.

> Q. This is two different achools?

Two different achools. A.

A total of how many children, Q. approximately?

> Approximately maybe 1500. A.

Are you going to be able to -- this is Q. Wednesday and we have not even started yet, so certainly we are going to go at least two weeks. Will you be able to focus what is going on here in this courtroom given your constraints of your employment?

> it would be difficult. A.

Would it be impossible? Q,

It would be impossible.

MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, I challenge on

24 basis of hardship.

THE COURT: I don't see a herdship. I

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

```
mean all she has to do is meet this stuff. We will
٠1
     be done by week after -- before next week, so not
 2
     two weeks. We are already half of one week.
 3
                  MS. JACKSON: That's denied, your Honor?
 4
                  THE COURT: Yes.
 5
                  MS. JACKSON: Very well, your Honor.
 8
                  THE COURT: Ma'am, now if you are on this
 7
     jury, you will pay attention to the case, aren't
 8
 9
     you?
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
 10
                  THE COURT: I mean you are not going to,
 11
     If we finish -- what is today? Today is the 20th,
 12
     so what is next Friday?
 13
                  MR, DASKAS: Twenty-ninth, your Honor.
 14
                  THE COURT: Week from this Friday, 29th.
 15
     So if we are done by the 29th, would that give you
 16
 17
     still enough time, wouldn't it, to do what you heve
     to do?
 18
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would give me four
 19
     weeks. Thing would be out of compliance, but they
 20
     would probably be done, yes.
21
                  THE COURT: Okay.
 22
     BY MS, JACKSON:
 23
                  No. 16 when you asked do you remember
            Q.
 24
     anything about the case. You did remember a name
```

25

```
and he was accused of murder, and it appears to be
1
    a danger to society and extremely violent
2
    individual who would be so in any setting. By that
    do you mean to say that any setting, does that
5
    Include prison?
                  Possibly. I don't really know.
6
           A.
                  It goes back to if you believe that and
7
           Q.
    you wrote it, you wrote it a weak ago, probably
8
    about week ago, so you had a chance to think about
9
    it. Do you feet that way today? If you believe
t0
    someone would be a danger in any setting, then you
11
    cannot consider anything except death; correct?
12
                  No. I wouldn't say that. I would say
19
    there are many violent people in prison who
14
    continue to be violent, but they are maintained
15
16
                  Okay. And you believe that is, again, a
17
18
    severe punishment?
                  Yes.
19
           A.
                  So your answer to No. 18 is no indication
20
           Q.
    that you have already made up your mind?
21
                  As far as punishment goes.
           A.
22
                  Yes, ma'am?
23
           Q.
                  No. I don't think so. I think even after
24
    knowing the facts I will have a hard time making up
26
```

35

```
my mind.
                  Your friend, your coworker whose son was
2
    the victim of Oklahoma bombing, how close a friend
3
    is that, ma'am?
6
                  She is a teacher that I work with. I
    don't socialize with her on the outside. She did
ß
     have a conversation with me about how she felt.
7
    She want back to Oklahoma and actually witnessed
8
    the execution. She talked to me about how
А
    therapeutic that was for her as a mother having
10
    lost her son.
11
                  And she found that be very therapeutic?
12
           Q.
                  She did.
13
           A.
                  As a psychologist, did you agree with
14
    her? With her assessment?
15
                   I can't speak for her feelings.
18
           A.
                   I'm asking you how do you feel, ma'am?
17
           Q.
                   Whether or not that was therapeutic for
           A.
18
19
     her?
                   Uh-huh. You thought it was valid
20
            Q.
21
     observation?
                   Well, I can't -- those were her feelings.
22
    She felt it resolved it for her. Whether or not
23
24
    that would resolve it for me if I lost a son, I
25
     can't say.
```

```
Because of your relationship with her,
    would you feel an obligation to the victims that
2
    are parents in this case to kill my client?
3
                  Not necessarily, no.
4
                  Not necessarily. I'm sure I don't know
5
    what that meane. What does not necessarily mean,
6
7
    me'am?
                  I wouldn't use that as my criteria that
8
9
    aurely if this mother found if therapeutic that
    other mothers would find it therapeutic as well.
10
                  What if they took the stand and told you
11
12
    that?
                  MR, DASKAS: Judge, I object. That's not
13
    a proper question we get to ask.
14
                  THE COURT: Sustained.
15
    BY MS, JACKSON:
16
                  Will you be thinking of that coworker of
17
           Q.
     yours during the course of this trial?
18
                  No, I don't think so. I think those are
19
           A.
    very different circumstances.
20
                   Okey. You know when the question I asked
21
    you at Question 38, we talked about this
22
     previously, but I'm very concerned about this.
23
                   We asked the question whether you
```

consider it. It says you must, M-U-S-T, consider

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

Page: 5620

```
miligation, and you did not strike any answer. The
    answer was very much, not at all, somewhat or not
    sure. You didn't strike any enswer, and then you
    wrote extremely few but justify murder in my mind,
    so that tells me you are not going to consider
    mitigation; correct?
           ٨.
                  Well, your enswer has changed from last
           Q.
8
     week because last week you refused to strike eilher
₽
10
```

a

7

11

12

13

14

16

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

5

A

7

10

12

13

14

16

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the answere. Would you like to see it? Did I just not enswer that question?

You didn't answer it at all, ma'am. You Q, wrote in that nothing justifies murder is what you sald.

MR. DASKAS: Judge, again, I apologize to Ms. Jackson. Let's be fair. I want the record to be complete and accurate. What it says is, "extremely few" as opposed to nothing would justify murder in my mind. So the record is clear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I interpreted this

question to mean would I consider these factors in terms of mental status, ago, childhood experience, 22 education. Do you consider these type of factors. 24 I feel like, yes, I would. 25 111

BY MS. JACKSON:

2

17

18

3

ĸ

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But that's not what you wrote? Q.

I feel as though that even though that is A. 3 there to just blanketly say that would justify some 4 one murdering another person, I can't be that 6 absolute. I wish I could. I wish I can, but I can't be. I can't be linat absolute. Do I feel as though all factors need to be considered?

Do you understand, again, Ms. Saickio, do Û you understand that no one on this side of the 10 courtroom is seeking to justify anything. You keep 11 using that word. It tells me you don't know how to 12 process words and that's okay, but if you can't really appreciate how the law operates, maybe this 14 lan't the case for you. Do you understand 15 justification versus mitigation? 18

MR. DASKAS: Your Honor, again, it's been asked and answered.

THE COURT: Counsel, you are arguing with 19 the witness. Not only that, she doesn't have to 20 know the law because I have not instructed her on 21 the law. So, because she doesn't undersland the 22 law is no reeson for her not to be on this jury 23

because I have not given her one instruction yet, 24 so, and, you know, you have asked the questions

39

```
about four or five time about justification versus
mitigation. You can't keep asking the quastion
over and over again.
```

MS. JACKSON: Therefore, I would like to make a motion given this witness' level of education and expertise, she clearly has stated on the questionnairs and today that she would require murder to be justified. To me that is a blatant disregard of the law despite my painstaking effort to have her understand that nothing here is -- we are not seeking to justify enything. That is what she is saying she will require. I think the record will speak for itself.

Therefore, I think if she is requiring us to justify four homicides.

THE COURT: I have not heard her say that

one time. MS. JACKSON: That's what she wrote.

THE COURT: That's not what she wrote. She just said I don't think it le justified. She

didn't say she is going to require you guys to justify anything, and I think she explained her reasoning here and did it in an appropriate manner as to what she meant. I don't think that's what she is doing. I don't know.

State, what is your position on this? MR. DASKAS: Your Honor, I think she is

well qualified. What she has said repeatedly in response to questions from Ms. Jackson she would consider everything. She has not made up her mind. She is concerned about situations like the Oklahoma City bombing requiring the death penalty and not necessarily anything else.

She would not require the defense to justify enything. She said repeatedly I would consider everything. She might reject some of it but she would consider it. They have fallen clearly short of establishing a challenge for cause, Judge, and eo if that's the challenge, it should absolutely be denied.

THE COURT: Me. Jackson, anything you want to add.

MS. JACKSON: I'm not done with the questionnaire, your Honor. If the Court will not grant my motion at this point, I would like to be allowed to continue with this venire person if I may.

THE COURT: The motion is denied. MS. JACKSON: Very well, your Honor.

111

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

Page: 5621

7

1

2

3

Ð 10

24 25

7

8 10 11

> 12 13 14

16 16 17

18 19 20

21

23 24 25

22

Ms. Salcido, you also indicate at line O. 40, we ask you that if you impose death you must assume it will be carried out, and egain you answer no. You are not sure. There always seems to be a reidal. And al question 40 we ask the same with regards to life without parole, and you said no you don't believe that either because some seem to get out on a technicality.

Based upon your answers, ma'am, it would seem to me that you don't have much faith in the evetem; is that correct?

Sometimes I don't. Sometimes. And that A. could be just the media that I hear too much about thleves are tried and tried and tried repeatedly as long as the funding supports that to the point of where they have lost track of where they started.

So my question, ma'am, is that with your opinions that you hold about the system, would that effect your ability to fairly listen to the evidence in this case and consider all of the mitigation before you decide what is an appropriate punishment for my client based upon your answers to your questionnaire that you don't believe that these punishments are what they say they are?

Well, I think the jury would have four to 1 select from. They are all to me extremely severe. 2 The degrees between them in terms of severity ! 3 think is that the previous question wanted to know whether or not in considering the degrees of 5 severity of the four choices that factors -- would 6 I consider factors like background and so forth in 7 choosing one of those four. That's how I'm interpreting that. Ð

They are all very severe, but there has got to be a reason to select one over the other. What would I base that on? Whatever is presented.

10

11

12

17

18

19

23

24

26

So the fact that you don't believe that 13 the punishments are what they say they are will 14 that effect your ability to deliberate among the 15 four punishments? 16

No, I don't think so. I think I do believe they are what they say they are.

So then you changed your mind since?

There are times when a jury has made a 20 decision and it is not carried out. Why, I don't 21 know, probably I'm not focusing on it. 22

Will you base your decision upon what you hear here in the courtroom, ma'em?

) will indeed.

43

```
record to reflect that I think Ms. Salcido should
be excused for cause on at least the three basis as
I praviously stated,
             THE COURT: Like I said, I denied them.
I didn't think they were correct, so the court,
like i said, dental will stand, ma'em. When have I
told other people to come back?
            MR. DASKAS: I think you said tomorrow at
ten o'clock. That was yesterday, though, Judge.
             THE COURT: I think you better come back
Friday at ten, ma'em.
             All right. We will let you know.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
             THE COURT: All right. Who is next?
             EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 029
             THE COURT: How do you pronounce your
name, eir?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Lahr.
             THE COURT: H is slient.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is German.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.

your family has ever been charged with a crime?

THE COURT: Okay, Lahr, So no ona In

MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, I want the

```
THE COURT: No one in your family has
   ever been the victim of a crime?
2
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3
                THE COURT: Never served on a jury
5
   before?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
A
                THE COURT: And you don't recall hearing
7
    enything about this case?
6
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure.
g
    recall one case that happened in Henderson around
10
    this time. Would that be the same case?
11
                THE COURT: I don't know.
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure where.
13
    There were four --
14
                 MR. DASKAS: It was East Tropicans, east
15
16
    Las Vegas off Tropicana Avenue.
                 THE COURT: You don't remember any facts
17
    of the case, the case you think you heard about?
18
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The case I thought it
19
    reminded me of was where four teenagers were killed
20
    in a home execution style, and I thought it to be
21
22
    drug related.
                 THE COURT: All right. Now you, Question
23
    No. 35 asks if you hold any strong moral or
24
    religious views towards the death penalty and its
```

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

```
Imposition and you stated, yes, I can justify it?
1
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2
3
                 THE COURT: What is that?
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It means I can
    justify the death penalty in certain cases.
                 THE COURT: Otherwise you don't have any
6
    moral or religious opposition to it?
7
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
8
                 THE COURT: So you are for the death
g
    penalty under certain circumstances?
10
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
11
                 THE COURT: Now looking at the back of
12
    your thing here, you said you are a commissioned
13
    sales person and the only one that -- you are on
14
    commission and your father has Parkinson's.
16
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, my father
16
    is dying. I have been instructed by my mother to
17
    come out this weakend. He is in California. He
18
    does have advanced Parkinson's. He is 91 years
19
    old. I would like to see him this weekend. It may
20
    be the last time I will.
21
                 THE COURT: How long are you going to
22
23
    stay linere?
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just the weekend.
24
                 THE COURT: We don't work on weekends.
25
```

```
When were you going?
1
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Friday. And aside
2
    from that, I think that is first and foremost. In
3
    my position, I'm a salesperson for U.S. Food
4
    Service, strictly commission, no compensation
5
    otherwise. If I don't see my clients face to face
в
    on a daily basis, the competition is very tough out
7
    there. When competitors come in, I do lose
В
    business and it can be long-term which effects my
    budget, and if you are not on budget by the end of
10
    the year you can lose your job.
11
                 THE COURT: Counsel?
12
                 MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I have reviewed
13
14
    Mr. Lehr's questionnaire. The State has no
    objection, based upon his comments and especially
15
16
    his father's condition, to excusing him for service
    In this case.
17
18
                 MR. WHIPPLE: Join that.
19
                 THE COURT: You are excused.
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you, your
20
21
    Honor.
22
              EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 030
                 THE COURT: Ms. Morgan?
23
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24
                 THE COURT: You still have that surgery
25
```

47

```
scheduled for the 29th?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.
2
                THE COURT: Think you can move it a
3
    couple of days, if you have to?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, my doctor is
6
    okay with that. We already put it off a while.
6
    Another week or two won't matter.
7
                THE COURT: So you are from Douglas.
    Wyoming. That is where you were born?
á
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's where I was
10
11
    born, yes.
                THE COURT: Were you raised in Wyoming?
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: First three years. I
13
    was reised the rast of the time in Monlana.
14
                THE COURT: Billings?
16
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Billings' area, yes.
16
                THE COURT: And you went to Montana State
17
    University and you have a B.S., B.A. and MBA.
18
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I got my mesters in
19
20
    Missoula at U of M.
                THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a banker for
22
23
    Washington Mutuat bank.
                THE COURT: How long have you been with
24
    them?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Almost four years.
                THE COURT: Are you marded?
2
3
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
                THE COURT: Divorced?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
б
                THE COURT: What kind of work did your ex
8
7
   husband do?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We were in all
8
Ð
    fields.
                THE COURT: He worked in oil fields?
10
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: For about 16 years.
11
                THE COURT: Now you have three adult
12
   children; is that right?
13
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
14
                THE COURT: They live here in Las Vegas?
15
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, none of them live
16
17
    here.
                THE COURT: So where do they live?
18
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have one daughter
19
   in Salt Lake City, and I have a son in Helena,
20
    Montana and a daughter in Laurel, Montana near
21
22
    Billings.
                THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served
23
    on a Jury before?
24
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Bir.
28
```

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

```
THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
    family ever been the victim of a crime?
2
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
3
                 THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
    family ever been charged with a crime?
Б
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
6
                 THE COURT: Now you said you might have
7
    heard the name of the defendant, but you don't
8
    remember anything about the case; is that correct?
9
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.
ŧ0
                 THE COURT: Can you be fair and impertial
11
12
    In this case?
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe I could,
13
14
    sic.
                 THE COURT: Could you follow the Court's
15
    instructions on the law?
18
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17
                 THE COURT: Now, if you are picked to
18
    serve as a juror in this case, you will have to
19
    decide on the punishment, and as you remember from
20
    the questionnake, you will have four possible
21
    choices, the death penalty, the life imprisonment
22
    without the possibility of parole, life
23
    imprisonment with possibility of parole or definite
24
    term of 50 years with possibility of parole after
25
```

```
20 and each of those panalties are doubled for the
1
    use of a deadly weapon, and they apply to each
2
    charge. Understand that?
3
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
                 THE COURT: Could you consider all four
6
    forms of punishment?
8
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7
                 THE COURT: State.
8
Ø
                 MR, DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
              EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 030
10
11
    BY MR. DASKAS:
12
           Q.
                 Hi. Your answer to No. 35, you stated I
    believe in the death penalty in certain cases
13
    depending on the circumstances. I take it that is
14
    atili your belief today?
15
                 I guess what I mean by that is I would
           A.
16
    look at everything. I'm a very fair person. I
17
    think my friends and family would say that also,
18
    that me being me, I would look at everything before
19
    ) make a decision.
20
           Q.
                 You understand that it is very important
21
    to consider as much information as possible, both
22
    about the crime itself and the defendant before you
23
    decide punishment?
24
                  Absolutely.
25
           A.
```

51

```
Q.
                  You would do that?
                  Absolutely.
           A.
2
                  If after doing that you believe in this
           Q.
    case that death is appropriate, could you impose
4
    that punishment?
6
                  Are you asking me if I can vote for that?
₿
                  No. It is a difficult concept, I guess.
    but I'm sort of assuming that you have deliberated,
8
    and based on your analysis of the information, the
9
    facis of the case, this man's background and
10
    everything else presented, let's assume you believe
11
    that death is an appropriate punishment?
12
                  Based on everything we have heard?
13
                   Based on everything we presented. Could
14
     you be the one to sign the form to be the one to
15
     put the person to death?
16
                   My conscience would tell me that I have
17
            A.
     to if that's what I felt everything pointed to.
18
                   I'm not suggesting that decision is easy?
19
                   I didn't come in here thinking it would
20
            A,
21
     be, sir.
                   It seems like you appreciate the
22
     distinction between having a philosophical
23
     discussion about the death penalty and finding
24
     yourself in the courtroom loday having to
```

```
potentially do it?
                  Absolutely. I have thought about it.
2
    I'm very aware of how serious this is.
3
                  I appreciate that. The judge mentioned
4
    and the questionnaire mentioned that there are four
ñ
     victims in this case. You can and will have to
â
    impose punishment for each one of those victim's
     murders. Do you understand that you can impose
8
     different punishments for each victim?
10
            A.
                   And I'm not suggesting that you should or
            Q.
11
     shouldn't, but you undersland that you can?
12
13
            A.
                   The questionnaire mentioned, and I think
            Q.
14
     the judge told you that Mr. Johnson, the defendant,
16
     has already been convicted of all four murders.
16
     You realize that?
17
18
                   You have heard about in our criminal
10
     justice system the presumption of innocence, that
20
     someone le innocent unii) proven guilty?
21
22
            A.
                   Right.
                   You appreciate in this case that
23
            Q.
24
      presumption is gone?
25
                   Right
```

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

56

Would you still be comfortable with that

25

Q.

decision? No, because I want to be fair. That was 2 their decision. I go from this point forward. 3 MR. DASKAS: Thank you so much, ma'am. 4 Judge, we will pass for cause. 6 **EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 030** 6 BY MS. JACKSON: 7 Hi. Ms. Duncan (slc), how are you today? 8 Q. A. 9 Can you give life to someone who has a. 10 already been convicted of four murders? After you 11 heard everything that happens inside this 12 courtroom, could you give life to -- vote for life? 13 14 If you felt after due deliberation --Q. 15 Absolutely, because I intend to be fair. 16 A. ) would want somebody to be fair with me. 17 Yeв, ma'am. You will get an instruction 18 at the appropriate time that mitigation -- and the 19 questionnaire kind of vaguely eludes to this. I 20 know this is not stuff you even think about in your 21 normal life, but it talks about what miligation is, 22 childhood, age of defendant, and things like that. 23 24 The questionnaire mentioned those. Do you know that you can find anything to 25

56

be mitigating, anything at all and that will give you a basis to give life? You okay with that? 2 You mean anything from birth to today? is that what you are saying? 4 Yes. Let's say, for example, you have Б deliberated with the jury and you have engaged and 8 you followed the Court's Instructions, and you have 7 listened to everything in this courtroom, and you В have been as fair as you can be, and in your heart Ĥ of hearts this is a case where you would vote for life and the rest of the jury votes for death, and 11 they are asking you to tell them why you won't vote 12 with them. Would you feel like you have to explain 13 it to them if you felt that after due deliberation 14 that was your just decision? 15 A. 18 Do you understand my question? Would you 17 Q. feel you had to articulate it to them? 18 Yesh, if I had the opportunity. 19 But what if you just can't put it into 20 Q. your words, that you feel in your heart this is 21 your decision that this is a case for life? 23 I wouldn't put it into words unless it is a yes or no vote i wouldn't have to say anything. 24 I'm very good at finding those kind of

opportunities. 1 You are a person that can slick, you have 2 Q. the courage of your own convictions? 3 Absolutely. I'm a person of my own 4 A. 5 right. Just as you would respect somebody else's 6 7 decision, their right to vote their conscious after due deliberation. Would you also demand they respect yours, your right to vote your conscious? 9 Yes. 10 Α. Q. And you would stick to that? 11 12 A. 13 Would you vote a certein way just to get a unanimous verdict? 14 15 MS. JACKSON: Fine. Thank you so much. 16 17 That's all I have, your Honor. THE COURT: Pass for cause? 18 MS. JACKSON: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Morgen, we 20 are going to have you report back Friday morning at 21 ten. All right. See you back on Friday. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. 23 EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 031 24 THE COURT: Okay, Ma. Chaffee? 26

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

60

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, slr.
               THE COURT: So, Me. Chaffee, you were
2
   born in the Philippines?
3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4
               THE COURT: How long have you been over
5
   in the United States?
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About 25 years.
7
               THE COURT: Twenty-five years?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9
               THE COURT: Where did you go to college?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: California, it is
11
    community college.
12
               THE COURT: I know. You got your
13
    associates degree; right?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: What did you get your
18
17
    associates in?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: San Bernadino.
18
                THE COURT: What field?
19
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Accounting.
20
                THE GOURT: Accounting. Do you work in
21
    accounting now?
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. sir.
23
                THE COURT: What kind of work do you do
24
25
    now?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Burger
1
   King as a district manager.
2
               THE COURT: Which one?
3
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Burger King.
                THE COURT: Burger King?
Б
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is fast food.
6
                THE COURT: Burger King. Now, you said
7
   your son was a Henderson police officer?
8
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was, yes.
9
                THE COURT: And then when problems came
10
    up. What kind of problems?
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He could not get, how
12
    I say, abuse that he is getting from the kids
13
    calling him names and things like that.
14
                THE COURT: So otherwise he was a police
15
    officer on the streets?
16
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17
                THE COURT: And people would abuse him?
18
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kids, some kids would
19
    call him pig and all kinds of names, and he
20
    doesn't -- he could not take that.
21
                THE COURT: He didn't like it?
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23
                THE COURT: So he quit?
24
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
26
```

69

```
THE COURT: And I believe you said no one
   in your family has ever been arrested or charged
    with a crime; is that right?
3
                 PROSPECTIVE JURGA: No! that I know of.
4
                THE COURT: And you seld someone stole
6
    your purse and so you are the victim of identity
6
    theft now?
7
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8
                 THE COURT: How long ago did this happen?
9
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 2000.
10
                 THE COURT: And they have not caught them
11
12
    yet?
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. The last time
13
    they used my identity was in last year of July.
14
                 THE COURT: Okay, All right. Now you
15
    have been summoned for possible jury duly in a case
10
    where you will have to decide the penalty. You
17
     understand that?
18
                  PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
19
                  THE COURT: And you will have to
20
    decide -- the defendant has been charged with --
21
    convicted of four counts of first degree murder, so
22
    the four choices you will have will be the death
23
     penalty, life imprisonment without possibility of
24
     parole, life in prison with the possibility of
```

```
parole or for a definite term of 50 years with a
   possibility of parole after 20 years, and these
   sentences are doubled for the use of a deadly
3
   weapon. Do you undersland that?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
5
                 THE COURT: Now, could you consider all
8
    four forms of punishment?
7
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would.
0
                 THE COURT: Do you have any opposition to
9
    the death penalty?
10
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11
                 THE COURT: Would you be able to, given
12
    the circumstances and facts, are there
13
    circumstances under which you can impose a sentence
    of life imprisonment?
15
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Could you rephrese
16
    the question, sk?
17
                 THE COURT: Could you sentence someone to
16
    life in prison?
19
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
20
                 THE COURT: For possibility of parole?
21
                 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
22
                  THE COURT: You will listen to all the
23
     facts and evidence and witnesses before you make up
24
     your mind?
```

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

64

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would think that he
٠ 1
     was caught killing people. I would think that he
 2
     is already guilty.
                   THE COURT: Yeah, he is already guilty.
     That's not what we are here for. You have to
      lision to the witnesses. We will have witnesses
      here. We will have witnesses. The State is going
     to have witnesses. I mean the State will have
      witnesses, and you have to listen to them and
      decide on the appropriate punishment. That's what
 10
      l mean.
 11
                   PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, str.
 12
                   THE COURT: So can you do that? You are
 13
      not going to decide on his guilt or innocence.
 14
      That's already decided. You understand that?
 15
                   PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 16
                   THE COURT: What you have to decide is
 17
      what is the appropriate punishment. So both
  18
      parties are going to call witnesses. You are going
 19
      to hear evidence from the case, then you have to
 20
      decide upon the appropriate punishment. Can you do
 21
 22
      that?
                   PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 23
                    THE COURT: You already have your mind
  24
      made up to what punishment you should impose?
  26
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. THE COURT: You do? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: What? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Guilty. It is they Б already commit suicide -- committed crime. В THE COURT: Right, right. We already 7 know that. But you have to decide on punishment, 8 9 ma'am, so do you have your mind made up as to a punishment? 10 You have problems understanding what? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. No. I Just don't know how to say. 13 THE COURT: The concept. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You asking me what 15 kind of punishment I would decide I would say --16 THE COURT: Did you already make up your 17 mind? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: So you have made up your 20 21 mind? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, yes. 22 THE COURT: When did you make up your 23 mind? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When I wrote on that 28

63

questionnaire, sir. THE COURT: All right. All right. Which 2 punishment have you decided is the appropriate one? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What that I wrote in there. It is first one. 5 THE COURT: One of them you have circled life in prison without the possibility of parole 7 and later on you said you were generally in favor 8 of the death penalty, and then one of them you said you would automatically vote for death. So what is 10 117 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Automatically for 12 death. 13 THE COURT: All right. Approach tha 14 bench. Counsel, approach the bench. 16 [Thereupon, a brief discussion was 10 held at the bench. 17 THE COURT: Ma'am, we are going to excuse 18 you. We are going to excuss you, me'am. You can 19 go. Thank you very much. 20 They have to switch reporters here for a 21 22 minute. 23 transoript 24 26

Lisa Makowski, CCR 345 431-6610

-- [34] Α a -- [1] 2/12 ability [3] 31/5 41/20 42/15 able [6] 5/24 17/3 28/25 32/14 32/17 60/12 about [43] absolute [2] 38/6 38/7 absolutely [11] 19/25 22/25 30/17 33/10 40/15 50/25 51/2 52/2 53/9 54/16 56/4 absolutes [3] 19/23 23/17 23/19 abuse [2] 58/13 58/18 abused [2] 26/13 26/25 accept [8] 7/18 20/6 20/9 28/25 53/1 63/3 53/8 53/8 accepted [1] 20/11 accounting [3] 67/20 57/21 57/22 accurate [2] 37/17 63/23 accused [1] 34/1 action [1] 23/18 actually [2] 10/5 35/8 add [1] 40/17 additional [1] 13/25 address [1] 7/12 adult [1] 48/12 adults [1] 21/20 advanced [1] 45/19 after [16] 8/8 15/15 17/3 17/17 17/21 21/25 33/2 34/24 49/25 51/3 53/19 54/11 54/15 55/14 56/7 60/2 after -- [1] 33/2 afternoon [1] 8/2 again [12] 5/7 6/24 16/7 26/21 26/24 27/4 34/17 37/15 38/9 38/17 39/3 41/4 ega [3] 25/3 37/22 54/23 ago [4] 11/6 34/8 34/9 59/9 agree [4] 19/7 28/10 28/17 35/14 agreed [1] 30/6 ail [51] allow [1] 7/19 allowed [3] 8/22 9/2 40/21 almost [2] 23/7 48/1 aiready [15] 20/4 25/9 28/15 33/3 34/21 47/6 52/16 54/11 61/3 61/4 61/15 61/24 62/6 62/7 62/17 also [9] 3/24 12/7 13/14 21/8 29/24 31/3 41/2 50/18 56/8 Alta [1] 11/18 although [1] 21/19 always [2] 13/13 41/5 ALZORA [1] 1/23 AM [1] 1/13 among [2] 24/5 42/15 analysis [1] 51/9 anniversary [2] 12/15 19/9 another [6] 21/4 38/5 47/7 53/1 53/22 answer [13] 6/15 22/5 24/7 24/8 34/20 37/1 37/2 37/3 37/8 37/11 37/12 41/4 50/12 answered [1] 38/18 answers [4] 19/2 37/10 41/10 41/23 any [16] 7/24 16/17 19/22 24/4 27/14 28/17 34/3 34/4 34/11 37/1 37/3 44/17 44/24 45/8 53/23 60/9 anybody [1] 26/9 anyone [4] 12/4 14/17 49/1 49/4 anything [15] 24/3 33/26 34/12 38/11 39/11 39/22 40/8 40/10 40/16 44/8 49/9 54/25 55/1 55/3 55/24 anyway [2] 2/20 15/9 apologize [2] 27/11 37/16

APPEARANCES [1] 1/20 appears [1] 34/1 apples [1] 7/12 applles [1] 15/18 apply [4] 5/9 5/11 7/8 50/2 appreciate [9] 20/2 20/7 21/12 23/7 24/10 38/14 51/22 52/4 52/23 approach [2] 63/14 63/15 appropriate [26] 3/23 3/25 4/6 5/19 17/8 17/17 17/19 17/25 18/3 19/12 19/16 23/22 24/1 26/10 26/18 39/23 41/22 51/4 51/12 63/21 54/19 61/10 61/18 61/21 63/3 approximately [2] 32/12 32/13 APRIL [2] 1/17 2/1 are [112] are -- [2] 4/24 31/19 area [1] 47/16 areas [1] 4/15 aren't [1] 33/8 arguing [3] 5/2 7/16 38/19 argumentative [2] 30/20 30/24 arguments [5] 4/18 6/10 16/17 17/18 17/23 around [1] 44/10 arrested [1] 59/2 articulate [1] 55/18 as [49] aside [1] 46/2 ask [13] 4/1 4/6 4/17 5/16 5/20 7/19 8/19 18/15 20/25 36/14 41/3 41/6 53/17 asked [7] 3/9 3/13 33/24 36/21 36/24 38/18 38/25 asking [13] 4/15 5/20 6/15 7/17 7/18 29/18 29/23 30/22 35/17 39/2 51/6 55/12 62/15 asks [2] 23/8 44/24 assaulted [1] 11/25 assessment [1] 36/15 assessments [1] 32/3 assigned [1] 31/18 associates [2] 57/14 57/17 assums [8] 13/24 18/16 25/15 41/4 51/11 53/12 53/18 53/19 assume - [1] 53/18 assumed [1] 13/13 [assuming [1] 51/8 at [36] attempt [1] 9/3 attention [2] 14/21 33/8 ATTEST [1] 63/23 attorney [1] 29/14 audible [1] 23/13 autometic [1] 23/18 automatically [2] 83/10 63/12 Avenue [1] 44/16 aware [1] 52/3 awry [1] 21/23

B.A [1] 47/18
B.S [1] 47/18
back [9] 34/7 36/8 43/8 43/11 45/12
53/10 53/18 56/21 56/22
background [8] 6/16 15/7 22/19 22/23
25/15 26/12 42/7 51/10
backgrounde [3] 21/20 25/6 30/2
bank [1] 47/23
banker [1] 47/22
base [2] 42/12 42/23
based [14] 4/13 4/16 5/3 6/12 17/8 19/2
29/17 29/23 41/10 41/23 46/15 51/9
51/13 61/14
baelcally [2] 3/11 23/8

asis [5] 4/20 32/24 43/3 46/7 65/2 pe [87] because [16] 7/10 12/14 14/20 17/12 19/23 28/16 29/4 29/18 36/1 37/9 38/21 38/22 38/24 41/8 54/2 54/16 been [23] 6/13 7/3 7/10 13/5 20/4 22/6 23/3 24/18 26/8 38/17 43/24 44/2 45/17 47/24 49/2 49/5 52/16 54/11 55/9 57/5 69/2 59/16 59/21 before [14] 1/16 11/5 27/5 29/16 30/5 30/10 33/2 41/22 44/5 48/24 50/19 50/23 53/16 60/24 Beg [1] 2/23 behaif [1] 29/14 being [2] 8/11 50/19 belief [1] 50/15 belleve [18] 5/4 5/13 6/23 11/19 16/21 24/16 34/7 34/10 34/17 41/8 41/24 42/13 42/18 49/13 50/13 51/3 51/11 59/1 bench [3] 63/15 63/15 63/17 bend [1] 5/14 Bernadino [1] 57/18 better [1] 43/11 between [2] 42/3 51/23 Billings [2] 47/16 48/22 Billings [1] 47/16 Billings [1] 47/16 Bin [7] 3/14 3/15 4/7 5/23 6/1 6/6 6/21 birth [1] 55/3 bit [3] 11/1 11/3 20/23 bits [1] 21/7 blanket [1] 17/14 blanketly [1] 38/4 blatant [1] 39/8 bombing [5] 12/9 19/10 20/19 35/3 40/7 born [5] 26/14 30/19 47/9 47/11 57/3 both [5] 4/19 8/22 18/7 50/22 61/18 bottom [1] 27/15 BRET [1] 1/23 brief [2] 3/4 63/16 briefly [1] 5/6 bring [4] 3/20 6/25 7/1 7/13 Brocksdiben [1] 12/12 brought [1] 10/2 budget [2] 46/10 46/10 burden [1] 24/4 Burger [4] 58/1 58/4 56/5 58/7 business [1] 46/9 but [33]

C

California [7] 9/25 10/3 10/6 11/7 21/5 45/18 57/1 call [4] 26/1 31/13 58/20 61/19 calling [1] 58/14 came [3] 14/18 30/1 58/10 can (56) can -- [1] 3/9 can't [11] 21/10 23/19 35/16 35/22 35/26 38/6 38/7 38/7 38/13 39/2 55/20 can't -- [1] 35/22 cannot [3] 3/11 24/6 34/12 captured [1] 21/6 care [1] 8/22 Carol [2] 3/7 9/13 carried [2] 41/4 42/21 case [46] cases [5] 3/21 7/24 45/5 50/13 53/13 caught [2] 59/11 61/2 cause [5] 24/11 40/14 43/3 54/5 56/18 CCR [2] 1/25 64/1 certain [8] 4/14 23/12 24/17 32/4 45/5 45/10 50/13 58/13 certainly [1] 32/16

C Chaffee [3] 2/16 56/25 57/2 challenge [3] 32/23 40/13 40/14 chance [1] 34/9 changed [2] 37/8 42/19 character [1] 23/4 charge [2] 15/20 50/3 charged [4] 43/24 49/5 59/2 59/21 check [2] 2/25 3/1 child [1] 8/11 childhood [2] 37/22 54/23 children [8] 21/25 25/2 25/3 29/25 31/19 32/3 32/11 48/13 children's [1] 31/24 choices [4] 30/12 42/6 49/22 59/23 chooses [3] 30/13 30/15 30/18 choosing [1] 42/8 circled [3] 23/11 23/14 63/6 circumetancee [21] 6/16 16/20 16/22 18/24 17/1 17/9 18/20 20/22 22/8 22/12 23/8 23/12 23/22 24/1 24/18 26/22 38/20 45/10 50/14 60/13 60/14 citizenship [1] 15/2 City [3] 20/19 40/7 48/20 clarify [1] 23/16 CLARK [3] 1/6 2/1 31/6 clear [1] 37/19 cleared [1] 25/9 clearly [2] 39/6 40/13 Clemens [1] 3/8 client [3] 4/10 36/3 41/23 clients [1] 46/6 cilnical [3] 10/14 29/24 29/25 clinical - [1] 29/24 close [1] 35/3 closer [1] 4/9 college [3] 9/24 57/10 57/12 collegee [1] 10/7 come [17] 2/9 18/20 16/24 17/1 17/13 19/11 21/19 22/8 22/11 22/23 25/6 26/22 43/8 43/11 45/18 46/8 51/20 comfortable [1] 53/25 coming [2] 11/21 13/15 comment [1] 7/4 comments [2] 21/17 46/15 commission [2] 45/16 46/5 commissioned [1] 45/13 Commissioner [1] 2/10 commit [2] 26/23 62/6 commitment [1] 20/25 committed [2] 4/25 62/6 community [1] 57/12 compensation [1] 46/5 competition [1] 46/7 competitors [1] 46/8 complete [1] 37/17 completely [1] 22/12 compliance [6] 31/5 31/10 32/6 32/7 33/20 comports [1] 17/5 concept [2] 51/7 62/14 concern [2] 4/25 5/4 concerned [3] 21/13 36/23 40/6 concerns [1] 14/9 condition [1] 46/16 confused [2] 13/15 13/20 confusing [1] 25/19 conscience [1] 51/17 conscious [2] 56/7 56/9 consecutive [1] 27/24 conelder [28] 3/10 3/12 4/3 6/5 6/14 9/4 15/22 17/7 17/12 18/1 21/2 23/12 26/11 29/16 34/12 36/25 36/25 37/5 37/21

37/23 40/5 40/11 40/12 41/21 42/7 5 50/22 60/8 considered [4] 22/22 25/15 29/19 38/8 considering [2] 19/24 42/5 constitution [1] 14/15 constraints [1] 32/18 |contemplate [1] 16/7 continue [2] 34/15 40/21 contrary [1] 6/23 conversation [2] 26/5 35/7 convicted [11] 6/13 13/6 20/5 20/12 24/18 26/8 52/16 53/2 53/22 54/11 59/22 convicting [1] 8/8 conviction [1] 9/5 convictions [1] 56/3 convinced [1] 53/19 correct [12] 11/15 13/1 13/2 27/26 34/12 37/6 41/12 43/6 45/8 45/11 49/9 could [26] 4/3 8/7 15/22 16/24 17/20 18/21 24/20 27/21 27/22 27/22 27/24 28/1 31/24 38/6 41/14 49/13 49/15 50/5 61/4 51/14 54/13 58/12 58/21 60/6 60/16 60/18 counsel [6] 16/17 17/18 17/23 38/19 46/12 63/15 country [3] 18/17 18/19 18/22 counts [2] 13/6 59/22 COUNTY [3] 1/6 2/1 31/6 couple [1] 47/4 courage [1] 56/3 course [3] 3/18 15/18 36/18 court [16] 1/5 1/17 3/18 3/21 4/13 5/14 5/16 5/20 7/19 8/4 8/22 9/2 27/24 28/7 40/19 43/6 Court'e [6] 3/3 7/18 9/7 18/9 49/15 55/7 courtroom [6] 32/18 38/11 42/24 51/25 64/13 65/8 coworker [2] 35/2 36/17 crime [9] 12/6 15/16 43/24 44/2 49/2 49/5 50/23 59/3 62/6 crime -- [2] 12/5 15/16 criminal [1] 52/19 <u>criteria [1] 36/8</u>

ID

dally [1] 46/7 danger [2] 34/2 34/11 dangerous [1] 16/4 dangers [1] 16/5 DASKAS [6] 1/21 3/16 6/24 7/3 8/1 daughter [2] 48/19 48/21 DAVID [1] 1/21 days [1] 47/4 deadline [1] 32/5 deadlines [4] 31/5 31/10 31/10 32/7 deadly [3] 15/17 50/2 60/3 deal [1] 24/5 death [61] decide [13] 13/5 29/9 41/22 49/20 50/24 59/17 59/21 61/10 81/14 61/17 61/21 62/8 62/16 decide -- [1] 59/21 decided [2] 61/15 63/3 decides [1] 26/10 deciding [2] 26/17 27/3 decielon [21] 14/9 14/14 14/16 18/2 18/19 18/21 22/19 27/20 29/17 31/4 42/21 42/23 50/20 51/19 53/5 53/6 54/1 54/3 55/15 55/22 56/7 decisions [2] 21/21 22/4 defendant [8] 1/13 1/23 49/8 50/23 52/15 53/21 54/23 59/21

atendant'e [1] 20/4 defense [2] 29/13 40/9 defined [1] 26/12 definite [3] 15/14 49/24 60/1 definitive [1] 19/24 degree [12] 8/14 8/9 9/5 13/6 15/10 20/5 20/13 25/23 25/26 26/9 57/14 59/22 degrees [2] 42/3 42/5 deliberate [1] 42/15 deliberated [2] 51/8 55/6 deliberation [3] 54/15 55/14 56/8 deliberation -- [1] 54/15 delineated [1] 4/14 demand [1] 56/8 denial [1] 43/7 denied [4] 33/4 40/15 40/23 43/5 department [1] 9/17 depending [2] 27/19 50/14 Depot [2] 11/19 11/21 deprived [1] 30/2 DEPT [1] 1/3 despite [2] 39/9 53/10 destructive [2] 19/18 19/21 detalls [1] 20/22 dictates [1] 26/11 dld [26] 8/17 9/19 9/21 9/23 10/1 11/23 11/24 22/8 22/23 25/7 25/12 26/13 30/2 33/25 35/6 35/13 35/14 37/1 37/11 39/23 48/6 57/10 57/16 59/9 62/17 62/23 didn't [7] 37/3 37/12 39/21 43/6 51/20 53/5 58/22 different [13] 3/25 5/7 5/10 5/12 5/17 8/11 18/16 22/12 29/21 32/9 32/10 36/20 52/9 differentiates [1] 23/1 differently [1] 20/3 difficult [4] 7/21 20/8 32/20 51/7 digits [1] 2/15 dire [2] 3/13 7/13 disagree [1] 19/8 discuss [1] 3/17 discussion [2] 51/24 63/16 disregard [1] 39/9 distinction [2] 29/5 51/23 district [6] 1/5 1/17 4/13 9/17 31/8 58/2 Divorced [1] 48/4 do [80] doctor [2] 2/20 47/5 does [9] 5/1 10/18 13/14 22/3 22/3 31/15 34/4 36/6 46/19 doesn't [4] 5/24 38/20 38/22 58/21 doesn't -- [1] 58/21 dog [2] 11/9 11/10 doing [4] 9/1 31/17 39/25 51/3 don't [49] Donald [1] 2/12 done [4] 33/2 33/16 33/21 40/18 DONTE [2] 1/13 30/4 doubled [4] 15/16 28/8 50/1 60/3 Douglas [1] 47/8 down [3] 2/7 2/21 3/1 downstairs [2] 2/22 2/24 dreeeed [1] 2/10 drug [1] 44/22 druge [2] 26/14 30/16 due [3] 54/15 55/14 56/8 Duncan [1] 54/8 during [1] 36/18 duty [2] 2/10 59/16 dying [1] 45/17

eaet [2] 44/15 44/15 easy [2] 14/17 51/19 eat [1] 26/13 education [5] 31/10 31/12 32/5 37/23 educational [2] 31/25 32/1 effect [2] 41/20 42/15 effects [1] 46/9 effort [1] 39/9 Elghth [1] 4/13 elther [4] 17/3 28/11 37/9 41/8 elementary [2] 25/2 31/9 eligibility [1] 31/11 else [3] 6/19 40/8 51/11 else's [1] 56/6 eludes [1] 54/20 Emelina [1] 2/16 employed [1] 12/21 employment [1] 32/19 end [5] 5/1 14/11 14/13 27/15 46/10 endeavor [1] 14/22 engaged [1] 55/6 enough [2] 26/13 33/17 enter [1] 14/22 equally [1] 19/18 especially [1] 46/15 ESQ [4] 1/21 1/21 1/23 1/23 essentially [3] 3/9 3/14 28/4 establishing (1) 40/13 even [6] 8/19 9/4 32/15 34/24 38/3 54/21 ever [7] 28/6 43/24 44/2 48/23 49/2 49/5 59/2 every [2] 8/23 23/17 everything [11] 7/2 40/5 40/11 50/17 50/19 51/11 51/13 51/14 51/18 64/12 56/8 evidence [13] 5/2 5/3 7/9 14/2 14/3 16/16 17/9 17/17 17/21 41/21 53/20 60/24 61/20 evidentiary [1] 5/10 ex [1] 48/6 Exactly [1] 21/15 EXAMINATION [8] 9/11 18/13 24/14 43/17 46/22 50/10 54/6 56/24 example [1] 55/6 except [1] 34/12 excuse [6] 26/23 29/3 53/4 63/18 63/19 excused [6] 2/7 2/11 2/17 6/20 43/3 46/19 excusing [1] 46/16 execution [2] 35/9 44/21 experience [1] 37/22 expertise [1] 39/6 explain [3] 23/14 53/12 55/13 explained [1] 39/22 explanation [3] 22/14 22/16 23/21 explore [1] 15/9 expose [1] 7/8 extends [1] 31/6 extreme [2] 7/21 19/14 extremely [6] 22/11 26/22 34/2 37/4 37/18 42/2 face [4] 14/14 21/24 46/6 46/6 facing [2] 5/18 7/21 fact [8] 5/15 6/7 14/19 20/12 21/24 28/25 42/13 53/22

factor [1] 20/19

factors [9] 19/25 25/7 25/15 29/19 37/21 37/23 38/8 42/6 42/7

factors -- [1] 42/6 facts [13] 4/17 5/2 5/3 6/16 14/4 17/13 18/3 21/13 34/25 44/17 51/10 60/13 60/24 factual [1] 8/11 fall [1] 22/3 falled [1] 21/24 fair [8] 18/8 37/16 49/11 50/17 64/2 54/16 54/17 55/9 fairly [1] 41/20 faith [1] 41/11 feilen [1] 40/12 familiar [1] 3/18 family [6] 43/24 44/1 49/2 49/5 50/18 59/2 far [1] 34/22 fast [1] 58/6 father [2] 45/15 45/16 father's [1] 46/16 favor [3] 23/10 23/11 63/8 federal [1] 32/6 feel [13] 13/23 14/10 14/24 21/21 34/10 35/17 36/2 37/24 38/3 38/7 55/13 55/18 55/21 feeling [2] 13/15 14/23 feelings [3] 23/9 35/16 35/22 feliow [1] 14/25 felt [6] 17/24 35/7 35/23 51/18 54/15 55/14 few [2] 37/4 37/18 field [1] 57/19 fields [2] 48/9 48/10 fifth [1] 25/4 figure [1] 23/3 final [1] 53/17 Finally [1] 23/20 find [2] 36/10 54/25 finding [2] 61/24 55/25 fine [3] 22/23 53/15 56/16 finish [1] 33/12 finish -- [1] 33/12 finished [1] 23/7 first [15] 3/7 6/13 8/9 9/5 13/6 15/10 20/5 20/13 21/22 25/23 26/8 46/3 47/13 59/22 63/5 five [3] 25/4 31/23 39/1 Florida [2] 3/24 5/7 focus [1] 32/17 focused [1] 16/8 focusing [1] 42/22 follow [2] 18/9 49/15 followed [1] 55/7 following [1] 8/10 food [2] 46/4 58/6 foreman [1] 11/11 foremost [1] 46/3 form [1] 51/16 forms [2] 50/6 60/7 forth [2] 23/11 42/7 forward [2] 16/4 54/3 found [3] 8/12 35/12 36/9 four [36] four -- [1] 44/14 Friday [6] 33/13 33/15 43/12 46/2 56/21 56/2: friend [2] 35/2 35/3 friends [1] 50/18 full [2] 8/18 63/23 full-time [4] 12/21 funding [1] 41/18

Ġ Gardner [2] 3/24 5/7 GATES [1] 1/17 generally [1] 63/8 German [1] 43/22 get [11] 9/5 14/21 17/3 26/4 26/13 36/14 41/8 64/18 56/13 57/16 58/12 getting [1] 58/13 [g]r] [1] 21/8 give [14] 16/21 16/25 17/2 21/4 24/17 24/20 24/21 29/12 33/16 33/19 54/10 54/13 55/1 55/2 given [8] 3/12 8/10 17/19 18/3 32/18 38/24 39/5 60/12 gives [1] 8/6 go [11] 9/21 10/6 10/8 14/20 24/25 31/7 31/23 32/16 54/3 67/10 63/20 goes [4] 21/23 34/7 34/22 53/18 going [24] 12/23 13/24 15/3 22/21 25/17 29/13 30/16 30/19 31/7 32/14 32/16 32/17 33/11 37/5 39/21 45/22 46/1 56/21 61/7 61/14 61/19 61/19 63/18 63/19 going -- [1] 29/13 gone [1] 52/24 good [4] 24/8 24/9 54/9 55/25 got [5] 12/11 18/22 42/11 47/19 57/13 grade [1] 25/4 grant [1] 40/20 Gregg [1] 3/22 grow [1] 9/19 guaranteed [1] 28/16 guess [10] 5/25 8/4 13/12 16/1 16/6 17/11 19/23 23/16 50/16 51/7 gullt [1] 61/14 guilty [4] 52/21 61/3 61/4 62/5 gun [1] 2/8 guye [2] 2/6 39/21

hacked [1] 21/7 had [10] 3/16 8/5 8/6 10/7 10/8 16/7 18/18 34/9 55/18 55/19 half [1] 33/3 hand [1] 6/19 hang [1] 63/22 happen [1] 59/9 happened [3] 30/4 30/9 44/10 happene [4] 13/17 16/11 53/13 54/12 hard [3] 19/22 19/23 34/25 hardehip [2] 32/24 32/25 harsh [1] 28/22 harshness [1] 25/25 has [28] 2/20 6/13 6/21 13/5 15/1 21/24 24/18 26/8 26/9 28/13 28/15 31/23 33/1 37/8 39/6 40/3 40/5 42/10 42/20 43/24 44/1 45/15 46/14 52/16 53/1 54/10 59/2 59/21 hatchet [1] 21/8 have [137] having [6] 14/8 20/11 20/11 35/10 51/23 51/25 he [28] 2/7 2/17 2/20 2/21 2/22 2/24 3/1 3/17 7/4 10/20 10/23 20/12 34/1 45/18 45/18 45/19 48/10 58/9 58/12 58/13 58/15 58/20 68/21 58/22 58/24 61/1 61/2 61/4 near [5] 5/11 8/10 41/14 42/24 61/20 heard [10] 16/16 16/17 27/4 39/16 44/18 49/8 51/13 52/19 53/15 54/12 hearing [4] 13/24 17/21 44/7 53/19 hears [1] 13/13 heart [3] 2/21 55/9 55/21

Page: 5630

Furhman [1] 3/22

Furthermore [1] 29/16

H hearts [1] 55/10 held [2] 32/4 63/17 Helena [1] 48/20 Henderson [2] 44/10 58/8 her [24] 3/13 3/13 4/16 11/23 12/1 12/18 21/7 35/6 35/10 35/11 35/16 35/16 35/16 35/19 35/22 35/23 36/1 38/21 38/23 38/24 39/10 39/16 39/22 40/5 here [23] 2/11 2/22 6/18 6/9 7/12 7/22 10/8 16/10 16/20 16/24 25/8 26/19 32/17 39/10 39/23 42/24 45/13 48/15 48/17 51/20 61/5 61/7 63/21 HI [3] 24/16 50/12 54/8 high [1] 9/21 him [11] 2/11 3/17 6/10 30/9 45/20 48/16 53/2 53/22 58/14 58/18 58/20 hindered [1] 31/25 his [7] 2/8 4/18 6/11 8/11 46/15 46/16 61/14 hlt [1] 11/23 hitchhiker [1] 21/7 hold [2] 41/19 44/24 home [3] 11/19 11/21 44/21 homicide [5] 4/25 6/18 21/14 25/8 29/3 homicides [2] 21/14 39/15 Honor [20] 3/6 5/13 7/7 7/7 8/20 24/13 27/13 32/23 33/4 33/6 33/14 38/17 40/2 40/19 40/24 43/1 45/16 46/13 46/21 56/17 Honor's [1] 27/20 HONORABLE [1] 1/16 hoping [1] 25/14 horrendous [1] 21/9 horrible [2] 21/20 25/6 how [20] 12/17 22/2 29/9 32/11 35/3 35/7 35/9 35/17 38/12 38/14 42/8 43/18 45/22 47/24 52/3 54/8 57/5 58/12 59/9 62/13 hundred [4] 27/18 27/22 28/1 28/9 hurdles [1] 25/9 husband [2] 10/19 48/7 hypothetical [1] 4/17 hypothaticals [1]\_5/3

l'm [38] |'ve [2] 13/12 22/6 |dea [2] 12/2 20/21 Identity [2] 59/6 59/14 IEPs [1] 31/11 lf [62] imagine [2] 19/17 20/13 Immediate [1] 3/16 Impact [3] 21/11 31/4 31/24 Impartial [1] 49/11 Implement [1] 18/18 Important [3] 22/19 26/16 50/21 Impose [11] 8/7 17/20 19/20 20/14 22/21 41/3 51/4 52/7 52/8 60/14 61/25 Imposing [2] 16/23 53/23 imposition [1] 46/1 imposible [2] 32/21 32/22 imprisonment [6] 15/12 15/13 49/22 49/24 59/24 60/15 Improper [2] 4/15 4/17 in [148] inadmissible [1] 7/14 incident [2] 12/22 21/4 Incilnation [1] 21/22 Include [2] 6/16 34/5 indeed [2] 29/22 42/25

Indicate [4] 23/5 25/1 31/3 41/2 Indicated [1] 8/6 Indication [1] 34/20 Individual [4] 16/4 16/6 27/23 34/3 Indiv(duals [2] 5/16 22/11 Information [6] 29/11 29/12 50/22 51/9 53/20 Informed [1] 14/1 Initially [2] 8/5 8/6 Innocence [2] 52/20 61/14 Innocent [2] 24/19 52/21 Inquiry [1] 4/16 ineide [1] 54/12 ineilli [1] 22/7 inetructed [2] 38/21 45/17 inetruction [2] 38/24 54/18 inetruction [3] 18/10 49/16 55/7 Instructs [2] 20/8 53/7 Intend [1] 54/16 Interesting [1] 6/9 Interpreted [1] 37/20 Interpreting [1] 42/9 Into [4] 14/22 30/19 55/20 55/23 Investments [1] 10/24 Involved [2] 17/20 31/3 ls [191] ls -- [1] 39/10 |sn't [2] 38/15 53/4 Issue [4] 4/11 7/13 30/3 30/4 Issues [1] 16/8 lt [128] ite [1] 38/17 Ite [1] 44/25 Iteelf [2] 39/13 50/23

JACKSON [7] 1/23 24/12 27/11 27/17 37/16 40/4 40/16 Jersey [3] 9/20 9/22 10/6 job [1] 46/11 JOHNSON [6] 1/13 6/13 26/9 29/15 30/5 53/17 63/19 55/5 52/15 Join [1] 46/18 Judge [21] 1/17 2/19 4/12 4/22 7/16 8/25 9/9 9/10 14/25 20/8 24/11 30/23 36/13 37/15 40/14 43/10 50/9 52/4 52/15 53/7 54/5 judgment [1] 3/15 Judicial [1] 4/13 July [1] 59/14 Juror [16] 2/6 2/11 3/7 4/16 6/2 8/6 9/11 18/13 24/14 27/14 43/17 46/22 49/19 50/10 54/6 56/24 Jurors (10) 4/1 4/23 6/20 7/11 7/19 8/5 8/10 9/3 14/18 15/3 Jury [26] 1/12 2/8 2/10 5/2 6/3 6/18 7/8 11/5 11/12 13/13 25/14 27/3 28/14 29/21 33/8 38/23 42/1 42/20 44/4 48/24 53/1 53/4 53/22 55/6 55/11 59/16 Just [23] 6/25 7/1 7/7 8/13 13/21 15/9 19/23 20/19 21/10 23/15 23/18 37/11 38/4 39/20 41/14 45/24 53/13 53/15 55/15 55/20 56/6 56/13 62/12 uetice [1] 52/20 justifiable [1] 25/22 justification [6] 25/7 25/19 25/21 38/16 Justification -- [1] 25/21 justified [4] 25/8 29/16 39/8 39/20 justifies [1] 37/13 justifiy [11] 29/3 37/4 37/18 38/4 38/11 39/11 39/15 39/22 40/10 45/1 45/5 Justifying [1] 25/25

keep [3] 29/4 38/11 39/2 kids [6] 21/18 21/19 58/13 58/19 58/19 kili [2] 25/6 36/3 kilied [4] 5/23 8/12 12/11 44/20 killing [1] 61/2 kind [10] 9/14 10/18 21/9 47/21 48/6 54/20 55/25 57/24 58/11 62/16 Kindergarten [1] 25/4 kinds [1] 58/20 King [4] 58/2 58/4 58/5 58/7 know [48] knowing [6] 6/12 17/13 20/6 21/3 34/25

Laden [7] 3/14 3/15 4/7 5/23 6/1 6/6 6/21 lady [1] 12/10 Lahr [3] 43/16 43/20 43/23 Lahr's [1] 46/14 Lake [1] 48/20 LAS [3] 2/1 44/16 48/15 Las Vegae [2] 44/16 48/15 last [6] 2/15 37/8 37/9 45/21 59/13 later [2] 8/12 63/8 Laurei [1] 48/21 law [21] 17/4 17/5 17/6 18/3 18/10 18/18 18/22 26/7 26/10 27/2 29/1 29/13 29/15 29/20 29/20 38/14 38/21 38/22 38/23 39/9 49/16 lawyers [1] 17/23 least [2] 32/16 43/3 leave [3] 5/20 10/5 27/13 LEE [1] 1/17 legally [1] 26/1 Lemiey [2] 2/12 2/14 let [6] 4/23 18/15 20/2 27/5 43/13 53/17 let's [8] 7/12 15/9 37/18 51/11 53/13 level [1] 39/6 ilfe [27] 8/7 15/11 15/13 16/7 16/25 17/2 24/17 24/20 24/21 27/6 28/4 28/22 41/7 49/22 49/23 54/10 54/13 54/13 54/22 55/2 55/11 55/22 69/24 59/25 60/15 60/19 63/7 lifetime [1] 28/4 ilghtly [1] 14/23 like [23] 2/10 8/22 13/15 16/19 20/7 20/24 20/24 22/20 31/13 37/10 37/24 39/4 40/6 40/20 42/7 43/5 43/7 45/20 51/22 54/23 55/13 58/14 58/22 llmiting [1] 6/17 ilne [3] 5/19 22/10 41/2 lineage [1] 3/21 LISA [2] 1/25 64/1 |Isten [6] 30/4 30/9 41/20 60/23 61/8 61/9 listened [1] 55/8 iletening [3] 3/5 17/22 29/11 ||Ittle [6] 13/14 13/22 18/16 20/3 27/5 iive [4] 24/6 48/15 48/16 48/18 iives [1] 4/8 iong [9] 9/1 9/5 11/6 12/17 41/16 45/22 47/24 57/5 59/9 long-term [1] 46/9 long-time [1] 23/3 look [3] 22/6 50/17 50/19 looking [2] 23/4 45/12

iose [2] 46/8 46/11 lost [3] 36/11 35/24 41/17

lot [4] 14/8 15/8 23/3 29/24

M me'am [21] 9/12 24/10 29/5 29/7 29/23 33/7 34/23 35/4 35/17 36/7 37/12 41/10 41/16 42/24 43/7 43/12 54/4 54/18 62/9 63/18 63/19 made [9] 16/15 16/16 22/24 34/21 40/5 42/20 61/25 62/9 62/20 magnitude [2] 14/9 14/17 maintain [1] 31/5 maintained [1] 34/15 majority [2] 4/24 14/11 make [16] 3/4 14/9 18/2 18/21 18/22 20/25 21/20 22/4 22/20 30/10 39/5 50/20 53/5 60/24 62/17 62/23 makes [3] 7/4 24/3 30/12 making [5] 6/10 14/14 22/18 30/5 34/25 MAKOWSKI [2] 1/25 64/1 man [4] 8/11 15/1 21/5 21/6 man's [1] 51/10 manager [1] 58/2 mandates [2] 29/13 29/15 mankind [1] 24/5 manner [1] 39/23 many [5] 17/3 19/21 19/24 32/11 34/14 married [1] 48/2 marvelous [1] 30/3 masters [1] 47/19 matriculation [2] 32/1 32/2 matter [5] 4/5 20/1 22/2 24/6 47/7 may [8] 13/24 19/17 23/22 24/3 27/19 29/21 40/22 45/20 may -- [1] 13/24 maybe [7] 14/6 16/4 22/15 22/17 24/8 32/13 38/14 MBA [1] 47/18 McVeigh [2] 4/7 6/7 me [33] mean [15] 5/24 13/10 15/2 15/16 15/25 26/8 33/1 33/11 34/4 36/6 37/21 50/16 55/3 61/8 61/1 means [4] 5/9 19/7 36/6 45/4 meant [1] 39/24 media [1] 41/14 meet [2] 32/7 33/1 meetinge [1] 32/4 men [2] 8/12 8/12 men -- [1] 8/12 mental [1] 37/22 mentloned [4] 52/4 52/5 52/14 54/24 might [2] 40/11 49/7 mind [19] 16/15 18/16 19/20 26/22 30/5 30/10 34/21 35/1 37/4 37/19 40/5 42/19 53/11 60/25 61/24 62/9 62/18 62/21 62/24 minimum [1] 27/18 minute [1] 63/22 misconceptions [1] 27/14 mies [1] 14/7 Missoula [1] 47/20 mitigating [1] 55/1 mitigation [15] 6/17 25/20 26/2 26/7 26/11 29/2 29/14 30/5 37/1 37/6 38/16 39/2 41/22 54/19 54/22 mitigation -- [1] 54/19 mixing [1] 7/12 Montana [4] 47/14 47/17 48/21 46/21 moral [3] 12/25 44/24 45/7 more [4] 4/3 7/20 7/23 21/12 Morgan [2] 46/23 56/20 morning [1] 56/21 most [2] 14/24 17/8 mother [4] 12/8 35/10 36/9 45/17 mothers [1] 36/10

motion [3] 39/5 40/20 40/23 mouth [2] 6/11 19/6 move [1] 47/3 Mr. [10] 3/16 6/7 6/13 6/24 7/3 8/1 26/9 29/15 40/14 52/15 Mr. Daskes [4] 3/16 6/24 7/3 8/1 Mr. Johnson [4] 6/13 26/9 29/15 52/15 Mr. Lahr's [1] 46/14 Mr. McVelgh [1] 6/7 Mrs. [1] 18/15 Mrs. Salcido [1] 18/15 Ms [1] 24/12 Me. [15] 9/15 24/16 27/11 27/17 37/16 38/9 40/4 40/16 41/2 43/2 46/23 54/6 56/20 56/25 57/2 Ms. Chaffee [2] 66/25 57/2 Ms. Duncan [1] 54/6 Ms. Jackson [5] 27/11 27/17 37/16 40/4 Ms. Morgan [2] 46/23 56/20 Ms. Salcido [5] 9/15 24/16 38/9 41/2 much [12] 4/3 4/9 5/17 7/20 11/4 37/2 41/11 41/14 50/22 54/4 56/16 63/20 mugged [1] 11/15 multiple [2] 4/6 7/6 murder [13] 8/9 9/5 13/6 15/10 25/23 26/9 26/23 34/1 37/4 37/13 37/19 39/8 murderer [1] 20/12 murdering [2] 24/18 38/5 murders [5] 6/14 20/5 52/6 52/16 54/11 must [6] 13/14 26/11 29/16 32/7 36/25 41/3 Mutual [1] 47/23 my [37] myrlad [1] 22/8 myself (2) 13/23 22/23 name [4] 9/12 33/25 43/19 49/6

names [2] 56/14 58/20 narrative [2] 21/17 24/25 nature [1] 26/15 near [1] 46/21 necessarily [5] 24/2 36/4 36/5 36/8 40/8 need [4] 29/19 31/11 31/12 38/8 needed [1] 10/5 neighborhood [1] 30/19 NEVADA [6] 1/6 1/9 2/1 8/3 8/4 never [4] 10/16 10/17 44/4 53/15 over [6] 12/23 39/3 39/3 42/11 57/5 new [4] 9/20 9/22 10/5 13/15 own [4] 18/17 21/21 56/3 56/4 next [3] 33/2 33/13 43/15 nine-month [2] 31/22 31/23 no [68] No. [6] 2/7 3/7 8/17 33/24 43/16 50/12 none [2] 17/4 48/16 normal [1] 54/22 not [99] not -- [1] 28/24 nothing [6] 6/19 6/21 7/23 37/13 37/18 39/10 notions [1] 17/14 now [27] 7/2 10/11 10/25 12/20 12/23 12/24 14/13 15/10 16/10 20/6 20/11 21/3 25/8 26/6 27/16 33/7 44/23 45/12 48/12 49/7 49/16 57/22 57/25 56/7 59/7 59/15 60/6 nuances [1] 14/6 number [5] 2/11 2/13 20/14 20/18 21/10 60/1 60/2 60/21 63/7 numbers [1] 8/8

bject [2] 30/21 36/13 objected [3] 6/11 6/15 7/6 objection [6] 3/16 4/12 4/21 12/25 46/15 obligation [2] 15/2 36/2 observation [1] 35/21 obviously [1] 20/4 occur [1] 14/8 occurred [1] 14/5 off [3] 15/3 44/16 47/6 offered [1] 10/7 officer [3] 2/7 56/8 58/16 Oh [1] 28/12 oll [2] 48/8 48/10 okay [20] 10/10 10/25 11/20 12/23 18/6 25/11 25/17 26/1 26/3 33/22 34/17 38/21 38/13 43/23 47/6 48/23 55/2 66/23 56/25 59/15 Oklahoma [6] 12/9 19/9 20/19 35/3 35/8 40/6 old [1] 45/20 older [1] 21/6 on [62 one [37] only [7] 6/22 19/11 19/15 24/3 24/8 38/20 45/14 operates [1] 38/14 opinions [1] 41/19 opportunities [1] 56/1 opportunity [6] 3/13 3/17 4/1 10/7 55/19 oppose [1] 5/25 opposed [3] 23/10 23/15 37/16 opposition [2] 45/7 60/9 option [2] 16/24 18/25 options [3] 21/2 23/14 29/9 or [38] oranges [1] 7/12 order [2] 7/16 32/5 original [2] 14/18 53/16 Osama [7] 3/14 3/15 4/7 5/23 6/1 6/8 6/21 other [9] 6/19 14/11 15/2 20/17 23/2 28/14 36/10 42/11 43/8 others [1] 19/17 otherwise [3] 45/6 46/6 58/15 our [4] 2/16 17/6 17/6 52/19 out [14] 6/10 6/25 7/1 10/2 10/8 11/21 22/12 23/4 33/20 41/4 41/9 42/21 45/18 outside [1] 35/6

p.m [2] 1/16 2/2 page [6] 2/18 8/4 8/5 8/13 25/1 26/4 PalneWebber [1] 10/21 peinstaking [1] 39/9 paragraph [2] 8/14 8/16 parameters [1] 7/22 paraphrase [1] 21/18 paraphrasing [1] 3/10 pardon [1] 2/23 parents [4] 26/14 30/13 30/16 36/3 Parkinson's [2] 45/15 45/19 parole [22] 6/14 6/6 15/12 16/13 15/15 16/25 17/2 17/25 24/22 27/6 28/5 28/6 28/22 41/7 49/23 49/24 49/25 59/25 paroled [1] 17/3 part [1] 12/9 particularly [1] 14/10 parties [1] 61/19

Page: 5632

o'clock [1] 43/10

)gssessmente [1] 32/8

printout [1] 2/18 recall [3] 21/5 44/7 44/10 P prior [1] 14/5 prison [7] 17/24 27/9 34/5 34/14 59/25 record [6] 3/4 4/10 37/16 37/19 39/12 pase [3] 24/11 54/5 56/18 60/19 63/7 paet [3] 10/13 29/4 31/7 referring [3] 6/25 7/4 7/5 probably [6] 12/19 20/21 24/4 33/21 patience [1] 23/8 reflect [1] 43/2 refused [1] 37/9 regard [2] 7/2 12/3 34/8 42/2: pay [1] 33/8 problem [1] 5/22 Peggy [1] 12/12 penalties [2] 16/11 50/1 penalty [30] 3/10 3/12 3/23 6/1 6/5 problematic [1] 31/8 probleme [4] 2/21 58/10 58/11 62/11 process [2] 14/20 38/13 produce [1] 20/18 regarding [1] 23/9 regards [2] 26/7 41/7 regret [3] 23/21 23/23 23/25 rehabilitate [2] 6/20 9/3 12/24 13/1 15/11 16/21 16/22 16/23 17/17 18/16 18/18 18/23 19/4 20/15 professional [1] 14/19 23/9 23/18 40/7 44/25 45/5 45/10 49/22 reject [1] 40/11 related [1] 44/22 prohibited [1] 7/3 pronounce [1] 43/18 proper [2] 7/13 36/14 50/13 51/24 59/17 59/24 60/10 63/9 people [22] 4/8 4/24 5/23 7/10 14/22 relationship [1] 36/1 14/24 15/8 16/19 16/23 17/1 17/20 20/24 22/7 24/19 26/21 28/8 29/21 30/1 relevant [1] 14/2 prospective [11] 8/5 8/10 9/11 18/13 religious [3] 12/25 44/25 45/7 relocated [1] 10/9 remember [8] 11/3 11/4 12/3 33/24 24/14 27/13 43/17 46/22 50/10 54/6 34/14 43/8 58/18 61/2 56/24 perhaps [2] 20/8 21/4 protect [1] 16/5 protected [1] 28/14 proven [1] 52/21 permission [1] 3/3 33/25 44/17 49/9 49/20 person [20] 5/24 8/9 11/25 12/1 13/5 reminded [1] 44/20 16/25 17/2 17/6 26/17 30/12 30/13 renew [1] 32/6 renewed [1] 31/11 provided [2] 8/1 9/1 30/15 30/18 38/5 40/21 45/14 50/17 provides [1] 8/2 51/16 56/2 56/4 person's [1] 26/12 repeatedly [3] 40/3 40/10 41/15 rephrase [1] 60/16 reporte [1] 56/21 Reported [1] 1/25 providing [1] 9/3 psychologist [5] 9/17 10/11 10/14 persons [1] 17/7 Philippines [1] 57/3 philosophical [1] 51/23 phonetic [1] 12/13 31/17 35/14 psychology [1] 15/8 punish [1] 16/22 REPORTER'S [1] 1/11 reporters [1] 63/21 request [3] 4/11 7/22 6/25 punishment [29] 13/5 15/16 15/20 photographs [1] 7/14 19/16 22/21 26/10 28/18 28/11 28/16 photos [1] 7/9 phrase [1] 20/3 pick [1] 17/7 require [8] 5/12 19/19 20/18 20/20 39/7 28/18 28/23 34/18 34/22 41/23 49/20 39/12 39/21 40/9 50/6 50/24 51/5 51/12 52/7 60/7 61/10 regulring [2] 39/14 40/7 resilience [2] 22/2 22/7 61/18 61/21 61/25 62/8 62/10 62/16 picked [1] 49/18 picking [1] 5/2 pictures [1] 7/1 63/3 resolve [1] 35/24 resolved [1] 35/23 punishments [7] 15/23 27/3 30/7 41/25 42/14 42/16 52/9 plg [1] 58/20 respect [2] 56/6 56/9 respond [1] 5/6 purse [1] 59/6 place [1] 19/4 Plaintiff [2] 1/10 1/21 put [8] 4/10 17/4 19/6 20/23 47/6 51/16 response [2] 23/13 40/4 55/20 55/23 play [1] 9/6 responsibility [1] 16/3 puzzled [2] 13/8 13/11 pleasant [1] 14/25 rest [2] 47/14 55/11 retired [1] 12/20 plus [1] 27/8 plus -- [1] 27/8 retrial [1] 41/6 guadruple [1] 6/18 point [11] 3/20 4/22 5/1 5/1 15/4 16/10 reviewed [2] 31/12 46/13 revisited [2] 4/11 7/17 qualified [4] 4/2 6/2 6/8 40/3 qualifies [1] 23/4 21/19 30/21 40/20 41/16 54/3 pointed [1] 51/18 right [32] 2/6 5/15 7/2 8/25 9/8 11/20 poisoning [2] 11/9 11/10 police [3] 2/7 58/8 58/15 poor [1] 22/4 posed [1] 6/12 quality [1] 31/25 11/20 12/6 12/8 13/3 20/2 20/17 25/24 queen [2] 18/17 18/23 question [20] 6/12 12/3 12/4 16/2 18/15 43/13 43/15 44/23 48/13 52/22 52/25 56/5 56/7 56/9 56/20 56/22 57/14 59/3 38/14 38/21 38/22 38/24 37/11 37/21 59/15 62/7 62/7 63/2 63/2 63/14 39/2 41/6 41/18 42/4 44/23 53/17 53/18 position [4] 6/4 23/16 40/1 46/4 positions [1] 17/5 rigorous [1] 14/20 ROBERT [1] 1/21 55/17 60/17 possibilities [1] 28/10 possibility [16] 8/8 15/12 15/13 15/15 questioning [1] 5/19 questionnaire [11] 21/16 39/7 40/19 41/24 46/14 49/21 52/5 52/14 54/20 room [1] 2/8 row [1] 4/25 16/25 17/25 24/22 49/23 49/24 49/25 Rule [3] 4/13 4/20 5/5 rules [9] 3/18 4/20 5/9 5/11 5/14 7/8 54/24 63/1 59/24 59/25 60/2 60/21 63/7 questions [10] 4/18 5/21 6/17 7/6 12/24 poesible [4] 15/11 49/21 50/22 59/16 Poesibly [3] 20/16 25/14 34/6 poeture [1] 25/13 7/11 7/15 9/8 28/8 30/23 38/25 40/4 53/10 ruling [1] 9/7 quit [1] 58/24 ruiings [1] 6/16 run [1] 27/24 quite [2] 11/1 11/2 quote [1] 7/24 potential [2] 3/7 7/19 potentially [1] 52/1 precise [1] 7/5 preconceived [1] 17/14 sald [28] 2/20 3/11 3/11 5/25 7/7 8/4 race [1] 12/1 Rainbow [1] 11/19 preempted [1] 6/8 preaent [2] 29/1 29/14 10/25 11/14 20/7 20/11 30/12 37/14 39/20 40/3 40/10 41/7 43/6 43/7 43/9 raised [2] 47/12 47/14 ranges [1] 25/3 presented [5] 17/18 25/16 42/12 51/11 45/13 49/7 58/7 59/1 59/5 63/8 63/9 Salcido [7] 9/13 9/15 18/15 24/16 38/9 51/14 raped [1] 8/11 reach [1] 29/16 president [1] 10/23 pressure [1] 31/21 presumption [2] 52/20 52/24 41/2 43/2 sales [1] 45/14 read [2] 8/17 11/1 reading [1] 11/4 realize [2] 27/1 52/17 salesparson [1] 46/4 Salt [1] 48/20 pretty [1] 19/14 prevent [4] 14/12 preventing [1] 16/8 previous [1] 42/4 same [8] 5/10 9/6 12/21 15/3 26/4 41/6 really [5] 8/21 15/24 26/23 34/6 38/14 reason [4] 22/1 25/22 38/23 42/11 44/11 53/4 San [1] 57/18 reasoning [2] 29/17 39/23 previously [2] 36/23 43/4

S eaw [1] 8/11 eay [28] 6/6 8/24 11/2 16/20 16/24 17/1 19/10 19/25 21/10 23/21 28/13 34/4 34/13 34/13 35/25 38/4 39/16 39/21 41/25 42/14 42/18 50/18 53/13 55/5 55/24 58/13 62/13 62/18 eay -- [1] 62/16 saying [3] 6/1 39/12 55/4 says [3] 8/9 36/25 37/17 scenario [6] 4/8 5/17 8/11 9/4 19/11 19/14 ecenarios [1] 19/3 scheduled [1] 47/1 school [14] 9/16 9/21 10/6 10/8 10/10 12/8 12/8 12/21 31/8 31/17 31/18 31/22 31/22 31/23 school -- [1] 12/8 schools [6] 25/2 31/9 31/9 31/21 32/9 32/10 searching [1] 22/15 seat [1] 20/25 seated [1] 7/10 second [1] 8/16 section [1] 21/17 see [12] 2/25 3/1 4/1 4/2 8/23 25/7 25/18 32/25 37/10 45/20 46/6 56/22 |seeking [3] 22/6 38/11 39/11 seem [2] 41/8 41/11 seems [3] 8/22 41/5 51/22 sesn [1] 22/11 eelect [2] 42/2 42/11 sense [1] 24/4 sentence [5] 8/7 25/25 27/18 60/14 60/18 sentences [1] 60/3 sentencing [5] 13/14 17/6 18/24 18/25 26/17 serious [4] 14/21 14/21 30/7 52/3 serve [3] 6/2 22/3 49/19 served [2] 44/4 48/23 service [2] 46/4 46/16 services [3] 9/18 31/12 32/6 setting [3] 34/3 34/4 34/11 29/20 29/20 57/ severe [8] 28/11 28/17 28/23 34/18 42/2 status [1] 37/22 42/10 |severity [2] 42/3 42/6 ehe [47] short [1] 40/13 shot [1] 28/8 should [10] 6/8 6/20 9/2 17/3 17/7 22/22 40/15 43/2 52/11 61/25 shouldn't [1] 52/12 ehowed [1] 3/17 sic [1] 54/8 elde [1] 38/10 eldes [2] 6/11 18/7 sign [1] 51/15 elgnificantly [1] 31/4 slient [1] 43/21 similar [1] 22/11 simply [2] 27/2 30/22 since [1] 42/19 single [1] 4/25 eink [1] 27/5 sir [19] 43/19 43/26 48/25 49/3 49/8 49/14 51/21 56/19 57/1 57/23 59/19 60/5 60/17 60/20 60/22 61/12 62/1 62/3 63/1 sister [1] 10/8 sister-in-law [1] 11/14 sit [1] 14/25

eltting [2] 3/14 27/2

situation [5] 5/14 17/19 19/13 20/13 26/17 situations [4] 4/3 7/20 19/3 40/6 sixty [3] 27/19 27/23 28/1 ao (78) eo -- [1] 8/19 socialize [1] 35/6 society [7] 16/3 16/5 21/24 22/2 24/6 28/13 34/2 solution [1] 24/3 solves [1] 24/2 some [19] 4/22 15/6 15/9 16/19 16/23 17/1 21/18 21/19 22/1 22/1 22/24 23/21 23/25 29/12 38/4 40/11 41/8 53/13 58/19 somebody [3] 22/21 54/17 56/6 somehow [5] 7/14 21/8 21/23 22/24 someone [9] 15/1 16/23 24/17 26/8 34/11 52/21 54/10 59/5 60/18 someone's [1] 22/18 something [2] 12/4 19/20 sometimes [4] 13/18 13/21 41/13 41/13 somewhat [1] 37/2 son [7] 12/9 12/11 35/2 35/11 35/24 48/20 58/8 soon [2] 6/25 7/1 sort [2] 14/13 51/8 sound [1] 29/17 speak [2] 35/16 39/13 special [4] 9/18 31/9 31/11 32/5 epectrum [1] 14/12 epent [1] 14/10 stand [4] 3/8 4/23 36/11 43/7 STANTON [1] 1/21 sterted [2] 32/15 41/17 etarting [1] 16/3 etarts [1] 8/15 state [13] 1/9 8/3 10/7 18/12 23/20 29/12 32/7 40/1 46/14 47/17 50/8 61/7 61/8 stated [6] 21/17 28/7 39/6 43/4 45/1 50/12 states [6] 4/14 5/15 17/6 26/7 27/2 29/20 29/20 57/6 stay [1] 46/23 step [1] 16/4 stick [2] 56/2 56/11 still [6] 5/10 29/8 33/17 46/25 50/15 Stockbroker [1] 10/22 stole [1] 59/6 store [2] 11/19 11/21 streets [1] 58/16 etricity [1] 46/5 strike [3] 37/1 37/3 37/9 etrong [1] 44/24 etrongly [1] 21/21 etudent [1] 9/18 studies [1] 23/3 etuff [3] 2/9 33/1 54/21 etyle [1] 44/21 substance [1] 4/18 aubatitute [3] 12/20 31/13 31/14 such [1], 16/8 suggest [1], 7/14 euggesting [3] 27/17 61/19 52/11 euloide [1] 62/6 euloide -- [1] 62/6 summoned [1] 59/16 support [1] 8/2 supports [1] 41/16 suppossd [3] 2/9 2/21 16/15

Jupreme [3] 5/16 8/4 8/22 sure [7] 7/3 14/14 36/5 37/3 41/5 44/9 44/13 eurely [1] 38/9 surgery [1] 46/25 survival [1] 23/5 survived [1] 22/9 survived [1] 21/8 sustained [2] 30/25 36/15 ewitch [1] 63/21 system [3] 41/12 41/19 52/20 take [6] 8/23 19/2 21/14 22/10 50/14 68/21 taken [1] 4/8 takes [1] 27/5 talk [3] 3/22 6/21 25/18 talked [2] 35/9 36/22 talking [2] 25/21 26/25 talks [1] 54/22 teacher [3] 12/20 31/14 35/5 team [1] 13/15 teams [1] 31/16 technicality [1] 41/9 Technically [1] 27/12 teenagere [1] 44/20 tell [7] 8/13 19/7 21/1 23/25 51/17 53/14 55/12 telle [2] 37/5 38/12 ten [5] 12/19 25/5 43/10 43/12 56/22 term [7] 15/14 17/24 23/23 26/1 28/7 49/25 60/1 terms [3] 25/24 37/22 42/3 testimony [1] 17/23 than [5] 4/4 4/9 5/17 7/21 23/2 Thank [11] 9/9 9/10 24/10 24/13 31/1 43/14 46/20 50/9 54/4 56/16 63/20 that [298] that -- [1] 45/14 that's [35] theft [1] 59/7 their [11] 6/17 21/21 26/13 28/15 30/13 30/15 32/1 53/5 54/3 56/7 56/7 them [20] 4/6 6/15 15/8 21/24 22/3 27/4 27/5 27/24 42/3 43/5 47/25 48/16 55/12 55/13 55/14 55/18 59/11 61/9 63/6 63/9 themselves [1] 22/4 then [15] 2/11 4/22 8/1 8/9 9/6 9/24 17/18 28/6 28/9 34/11 37/3 42/19 58/10 61/20 63/9 therapeutic [6] 35/10 35/12 35/18 36/9 36/10 there [36] Therefore [2] 39/4 39/14 Thereupon [1] 63/16 these [13] 4/1 4/23 5/14 5/16 7/10 12/24 18/8 25/7 28/8 37/21 37/23 41/25 60/2 they [66] thlevee [1] 41/15 thing [2] 33/20 45/13 thinge (7) 25/17 26/14 26/16 29/21 30/3 54/23 58/14 think [59] thinking (3) 25/24 36/17 51/20 this [94] those [28] 4/20 5/20 7/22 8/10 17/5 20/7 20/9 23/1 23/2 24/5 25/9 25/16 26/16 28/11 28/17 29/4 29/9 29/19 30/6

Page: 5634

31/24 32/6 36/22 36/19 42/8 50/1 62/7

though [6] 14/10 22/18 38/3 38/3 38/8

thought [8] 16/2 17/16 17/19 17/21

54/24 55/25

thought... [4] 35/20 44/19 44/21 52/2 three [3] 43/3 47/13 48/12 through [4] 13/25 14/20 25/4 25/5 time [17] 8/23 11/6 12/22 20/12 24/4 24/9 27/5 31/3 33/17 34/25 39/1 39/17 44/11 45/21 47/14 54/19 59/13 times [3] 7/6 27/9 42/20 Timothy [1] 4/7 to -- [3] 21/10 32/14 54/13 today [9] 5/18 33/12 33/12 34/10 39/7 50/15 51/25 54/8 65/3 told [4] 27/24 36/11 43/8 52/15 tomorrow [1] 43/9 too [1] 41/14 took [2] 3/8 36/11 total [1] 32/11 tough [1] 46/7 towards [1] 44/25 track [1] 41/17 tragedies [2] 14/13 16/8 training [2] 15/8 29/25 TRAN [1] 1/1 transcript [2] 1/11 63/23 transitions [1] 22/24 Trenton [1] 9/20 trial [5] 1/12 13/25 14/8 14/18 36/18 triannual [1] 32/8 tried [3] 41/15 41/15 41/16 Tropicana [2] 44/15 44/18 true [6] 13/17 27/12 27/19 27/21 27/22 63/23 try [1] 23/3 trying [3] 14/12 22/7 29/3 turn [1] 22/12 TV [1] 12/14 Twenty-five [1] 57/8 Twenty-ninth [1] 33/14 two [13] 6/10 8/12 23/14 25/2 29/21 31/8 31/9 31/21 32/9 32/10 32/16 33/3 type [4] 4/7 5/20 22/14 37/23

U.S [1] 46/4 UBS [1] 10/20 uh-huh [6] 24/23 29/6 29/6 36/20 48/14 unanimoue [1] 68/14 uncomfortable [3] 13/23 14/23 14/24 under [13] 3/24 5/7 5/15 9/17 16/20 16/22 16/24 17/1 18/20 23/5 24/17 45/10 60/14 underlying [1] 21/13 understand [35] understanding [1] 62/11 unfair [1] 20/23 uniform [1] 2/8 United [2] 5/15 57/6 University [1] 47/18 unless [2] 14/17 55/23 untii [1] 52/21 |บทบธนิฆ์! [3] 13/18 13/19 14/23 up [20] 3/20 4/23 9/19 16/16 16/16 19/11 27/12 30/5 30/10 34/21 34/25 40/5 53/22 58/11 60/24 61/25 62/9 62/17 62/20 62/23 upon [9] 17/8 29/9 29/17 29/23 41/10 41/23 42/23 46/15 61/21 us [9] 6/15 7/19 8/1 20/18 20/23 21/1 24/5 30/1 39/14 use [5] 23/22 30/16 36/8 50/2 60/3 used [3] 16/17 19/24 59/14 using [2] 29/4 38/12

vaguely [3] 11/3 11/3 54/20 vaguely -- [1] 11/3 valid [1] 35/20 VEGAS [3] 2/1 44/16 48/15 venire [1] 40/21 verdict [4] 4/16 21/1 28/15 56/14 verdicts [2] 20/7 20/9 versus [2] 38/16 39/1 very [18] 19/22 19/23 22/23 30/2 30/7 33/6 35/12 36/20 36/23 37/2 40/24 42/10 46/7 50/17 50/21 52/3 55/25 63/20 vice [1] 10/23 victim [7] 12/5 21/9 36/3 44/2 49/2 52/9 69/6 victim's [1] 52/7 victims [8] 4/4 15/18 19/21 20/14 20/18 21/10 36/2 52/6 views [1] 44/26 violation [4] 4/19 5/4 7/11 7/15 violent [4] 19/18 34/2 34/14 34/15 voir [2] 3/13 7/13 VOLUME [1] 1/13 vote [10] 8/7 51/8 54/13 55/10 55/12 55/24 56/7 56/9 56/13 63/10 votes [1] 55/11

W

walt [2] 31/20 31/20

waiting [2] 32/3 32/3

Walker [1] 8/3 want [23] 3/20 4/10 5/11 6/18 6/21 7/8 14/21 14/22 16/14 16/19 17/15 17/16 18/1 19/6 21/1 21/2 24/25 27/13 37/16 40/17 43/1 54/2 54/17 wanted [2] 10/6 42/4 was [56] Washington [1] 47/23 wasn't [1] 10/4 way [5] 29/4 31/7 34/10 53/23 56/13 waye [1] 8/23 We [82] weapon [3] 15/17 50/2 60/4 WEDNESDAY [3] 1/17 2/1 32/15 week [11] 31/7 31/7 33/2 33/2 33/3 33/15 34/8 34/9 37/9 37/9 47/7 weekend [3] 45/18 45/20 45/24 weekends [1] 45/25 weeks [4] 31/23 32/16 33/3 33/20 well [16] 10/5 13/12 13/22 22/2 22/22 23/8 24/2 24/25 26/21 33/6 36/22 36/10 37/8 40/3 40/24 42/1 went [5] 3/8 9/24 30/2 35/8 47/17 were [23] 3/14 4/3 8/10 11/5 11/11 14/17 14/18 18/19 18/23 25/16 26/12 28/14 28/8 35/22 43/6 44/14 44/20 48/1 47/9 47/12 48/8 57/2 63/8 what [97] whatever [4] 5/24 14/19 16/18 42/12 when [21] 2/21 6/11 7/4 14/10 16/3 16/11 21/23 25/12 26/8 26/14 28/13 29/1 33/24 36/21 42/20 43/7 46/1 46/8 68/10 62/23 62/25 where [17] 4/8 8/15 9/19 11/17 12/21 19/3 22/20 41/17 41/17 44/13 44/20 47/9 47/10 48/18 55/10 57/10 59/17 whether [6] 18/1 23/10 35/18 35/23 36/24 42/5 which [25] 3/18 4/3 4/14 4/15 6/6 6/12 7/13 7/20 8/2 9/4 14/12 15/8 18/2 18/22 20/14 21/17 25/19 27/8 30/8 31/10 32/4 46/9 58/3 60/14 63/2

Aile [1] 47/6 WHIPPLE [1] 1/23 who [17] 6/21 12/6 12/9 13/5 21/6 21/6 24/17 24/18 26/9 26/22 30/13 31/17 32/3 34/3 34/14 43/15 54/10 whoever [1] 28/15 whole [1] 3/21 whose [1] 35/2 why [10] 5/19 9/1 14/19 23/14 23/25 42/21 53/4 63/11 53/12 55/12 will [39] wish [3] 22/6 38/6 38/6 with -- [1] 59/21 without [9] 15/12 17/2 24/22 28/5 28/22 41/7 49/23 59/24 63/7 witness [2] 17/22 38/20 witness' [1] 39/5 witnessed [1] 35/8 |witnesses [8] 17/22 60/24 61/6 61/6 61/7 61/8 61/9 61/19 won't [3] 15/6 47/7 55/12 wonder [1] 2/24 word [1] 38/12 worded [1] 12/4 words [6] 19/6 20/17 29/4 38/13 65/21 65/23 work [17] 9/14 9/16 10/18 12/8 21/18 22/10 25/1 25/3 29/25 31/16 35/5 45/25 47/21 48/6 57/21 57/24 58/1 worked [4] 10/13 12/7 12/17 48/10 working [2] 14/11 21/25 works [1] 10/20 worse [2] 4/4 5/17 worst [9] 3/23 3/23 4/9 4/9 6/5 6/6 7/20 7/20 23/5 would [86] wouldn't [9] 11/2 20/17 25/8 25/23 33/17 34/13 36/8 55/23 55/24 vrote [12] 21/16 25/12 25/19 34/8 34/8 37/4 37/13 38/2 39/18 39/19 62/25 63/4 Wyoming [2] 47/9 47/12

XV [1] 1/3

yeah [4] 5/22 53/5 55/19 61/4 year [2] 46/11 59/14 year-round [1] 31/22 yeara [26] 12/19 14/11 15/14 15/15 17/4 17/24 21/25 27/9 27/10 27/14 27/19 27/22 27/23 27/23 28/2 28/7 28/9 45/19 47/13 48/1 48/11 49/25 57/7 57/8 60/1 60/2 yes [79] vesterday [3] 3/6 7/6 43/10 yet [5] 7/10 22/12 32/15 38/24 59/12 you [407] young [5] 21/6 21/18 21/25 22/7 25/1 your [97] yours [2] 36/18 56/9 yourself [2] 20/24 51/26 vourseives [1] 20/24

| 1  | ORD ORIGINAL                                       |
|--|--|
| 2  | 2005 APR 211 A 9: 50                               |
| 3  | DISTRICT COURT                                     |
|  | DISTRICT COURT  CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA               |
| 4  | CHARR COOKIT, NAVADA                               |
| . 5  | AMARIA AM NILUADA                                  |
| 6  | STATE OF NEVADA )                                  |
| 7  | Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. C153154 DEPT. NO VIII        |
| 8  | ;  |
| 9  | DONTE JOHNSON, )                                   |
| 10   | Defendant. )                                       |
| 11   |  |
| 12   | ORDER TO PREPARE TRANSCRIPT                        |
| 13   |  |
| 14   | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that SONIA L. RILEY, CCR      |
| 15   |  |
| 16   |  |
| 17   | 1  |
| ¥ 18   | be prepared pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 250 and |
| 19 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 | at State's expense with cost and fees assessed     |
| 20   |  |
| 20 at 20 21  | DATED THIS, 2005.                                  |
| <b>5</b> 22  |  |
| 23   | (-)  |
| 2.4  | DISTRICT COURT JUDGE                               |
| 2.5  |  |
|  | SONIA L. RILEY, CCR 727 1<br>455-3610              |

DISTRICT COURT 1 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2005 APR 21 A 9 20 2 ORIGINAL 3 4 THE STATE OF NEVADA, PLAINTIFF, 6 CASE NO.: C153154 7 vs. DONTE JOHNSON, 8 DEFENDANT. 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT 11 OF 12 TRIAL BY JURY 13 (VOLUME II - P.M.) 14 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE LEE A. GATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 16 DEPARTMENT VIII 17 RECEIVED 18 DATED WEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 2005 19 20 21 ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ. FOR THE PLAINTIFF: DAVID STANTON, ESQ. 22 ALZORA B. JACKSON, ESQ. FOR THE DEFENDANT: 23 BRET WHIPPLE, ESQ. 24 REPORTED BY: SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 25 (702) 455-3610 **45** SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
APPEARANCES:
      FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
2
                         ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ.
3
                         DAVID STANTON, ESQ.
                         DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
4
                         200 South Third Street
                         Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
5
                         (702) 455-4711
 6
 7
      FOR THE DEFENDANT:
8
                         ALZORA B. JACKSON, ESQ.
 9
                         BRET WHIPPLE, ESQ.
                         SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
10
                         333 South Third Street
                         Second Floor
11
                         Las Vegas, Nevada
                                              89155
                         (702) 455-6265
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

2

```
INDEX
2
                                                     PAGE
 3
   VOIR DIRE (RESUMED)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
         SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
                                           (702) 455-3610
```

```
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
2
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 32
3
             THE COURT: Who is next, Miss Batts?
4
             Miss Batts, how long have you lived in
5
   Las Vegas?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 32: About a
7
   year-and-a-half.
8
             THE COURT: Where did you live before you
   came here?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kansas.
11
             THE COURT: Were you raised in Kansas?
1.2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; I was raised in
13
   Illinois.
14
             THE COURT: How long did you live in
15
   Kansas?
16 l
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This last time, we
17
18
   lived there six years.
            THE COURT: What part were you in?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Lawrence, so the
20
   eastern part.
21
              THE COURT: University of Kansas, right?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: So, you took some classes
24
25 there, didn't you?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Page: 5640

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  My husband did.
 1
              THE COURT: Your husband did?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Um-hmm.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 4
             And you said that your brother-in-law
 5
   abused your daughter?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 7
              THE COURT: This was in Kansas?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Well, no; it was
11
   actually when we lived in Tennessee. I'm sorry --
1.2
   when she was eight.
13
                          Was he prosecuted?
              THE COURT:
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, he was.
15
              THE COURT: Now, you understand that
16
   you're being considered for possible jury duty in a
17
   penalty phase of a trial.
18
              Do you understand that?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20
              THE COURT: Now, you understand that the
21
   defendant has already been convicted of first-degree
22
   murder, four counts, so the jury will have to decide
23
   the punishment.
24
              Do you understand that?
25
```

SONTA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
1
             THE COURT: And the jury will have four
2
   possible choices. One, the death penalty, life in
   prison without parole, life in prison with parole or
   for a definite term of 50 years with parole after 20
   years, and that crime is doubled, I mean the
   sentence is doubled if a deadly weapon is used.
             Do you understand that?
8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
9
             THE COURT: Of course, this is for each
10
            There are four counts of murder, and you
   charge.
11
   will have to decide on four punishments, one for
12
   each murder.
13
             Do you understand that?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15
             THE COURT: You will have the same four
16
17
   choices.
              Do you understand that?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19
              THE COURT: Could you consider all four
20
   choices?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
22
              THE COURT: You can listen to the
23
   evidence, the arguments of counsel and apply the
24
    appropriate verdict depending upon the circumstances
2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

6

```
and the facts of the case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes,
2
             THE COURT: Have you automatically made up
3
   your mind?
4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
5
             THE COURT: Not "automatically" -- have
 6
   you made up your mind already of what the penalty
7
   should be?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
9
             THE COURT: Do you believe you can be fair
10
   to both sides?
11.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
12
             THE COURT: Can you follow the Court's
13
   instructions on the law?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
15
              THE COURT: Can you wait until you've
16
   heard all the evidence before you make up your mind?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
18
             THE COURT: Have you had any close friends
19
   or relatives who have been the victim of a violent
20
   crime?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Νo.
22
              THE COURT: You said that you think you
23
   might have heard something about this case, but you
   don't remember any details?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I just remember
1
   hearing the name on the news.
2
             THE COURT: State?
3
                           Thank you, Judge.
             MR. DASKAS:
4
5
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
6
   BY MR. DASKAS:
7
              Hi.
8
        Q.
              Hi.
 9
              In one of your answers, I think it was
10
   Question 38 -- whether it would be important to
11
   consider things about the defendant's background,
12
   and you checked "very much." If I can paraphrase,
13
   you think it's important to know as much about this
14
   man as possible before you make a decision like
15
   you're about to make?
16
              Right.
17
         Α
              You would also like to know about the
18
   facts of the homicides themselves, I take it?
19
              Yes.
20
         Α
              That would be important to you?
21
              Very important.
         Α
22
              In a narrative section that you wrote, and
23
         Q
   I'm going to paraphrase again, in cases of
24
    cold-blooded murder that might be a situation where
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

8

```
death is justified?
        Α
             Yes.
2
             That's how you feel today?
3
             Yes.
4
             We're sort of talking about these things
5
6 in a vacuum. Let me sort of forecast for you what
   might happen in this case if you're selected as a
   juror. You're in the deliberation room, and you
   have to check the box marked "death" to impose death
   against somebody in this room today.
10
             If you think that's justified, do you
11
   think you can do that?
12
        Α
              Yes.
13
              It would be difficult, wouldn't it?
14
              It would. It would be very difficult.
15
        Α
              We understand that.
16
              If, in your heart of hearts, you feel like
17
   that's the right punishment, do you believe you can
18
   check that box?
19
        Α
              Yes.
2.0
              MR. DASKAS:
                           Thank you, ma'am.
21
              Judge, we'll pass for cause.
22
              THE COURT: Counsel?
23
              MS. JACKSON: Thank you, your Honor.
24
   11111
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

## EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE 1 BY MS. JACKSON: 2 Is it pronounced Bats (phonetic)? 3 Q Yes. Α 4 Good afternoon, ma'am. 5 Q Do you think that life imprisonment 6 without the possibility of parole -- do you think that's a severe punishment? Yes. 9 You hesitated a wee bit. 10 Yes, because I've seen -- of course 11 through the media, which you never know quite if 12 that's accurate or not -- a lot of people who have 13 been life imprisoned, and the life that they lead, 14 you sometimes wonder is it really a punished life or 15 is it just doing everything, only within the 16 confines of a prison setting but still having all of 17 the everyday things that people on the outside would 18 19 have. So, that's something you would want to Q 20 know about when you take into consideration what's 21 the appropriate punishment in this case? You would 22 find it helpful, you think, to know something about 23 what life in prison without parole really means here 24 in the State of Nevada? 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Page: 5646

(702) 455-3610

10

```
Α
             Yes.
1
                    That's fair enough.
2
             Okay.
             And you indicate here -- and Counsel has
3
   mentioned this -- "If someone of sound mind could
   calculate and carry through on a decision to kill
   someone, they may" -- and you used the word "may,"
   and that's a good thing -- "need to receive the
   death penalty. Evidence would need to prove
   cold-blooded murder.
             What do you mean by "cold-blooded murder"?
10
             I think it's a cliche phrase, I guess.
        Α
11
   For me, that would mean something that was sat down,
12
   thought about, calculated through, planned over a
13
   period of time, not just some spur of the moment
14
15
   decision.
             I realize that -- again, like Mr. Daskas
16
   said, we ask you these questions and you don't know
17
   what the case is about and you wasn't the jury that
18
   heard the trial, but you will learn enough
19
   information about why you're here to be able to make
20
   a fair decision. We do believe that.
21
             Now, you know that my client has already
22
   been convicted?
23
24
              Yes.
         Α
              Of four -- you might even want to use the
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

11

word "cold-blooded," because it's first-degree murder, and you say "of sound mind," and legally if he didn't have a sound mind, we wouldn't be here. Α Right. So, the situation that we find ourselves in is sound mind is not an issue. You could even call these murders cold-blooded times four. Can you still listen to everything that comes in and base your decision and consider all four forms of punishment even if it's cold-blooded times four? Yes, I believe I can. Again, not having Α heard the full evidence, upon hearing the evidence, I certainly might have a different opinion or -upon hearing the evidence, it might make me go to a particular decision, but at this point in time, I believe, yes, I can choose the death penalty. 17 That's what we want you to do. We want Q you to listen to the evidence and then choose one that, in your experience, based upon the evidence and with your common sense and all the instructions that the Court gives you. And it is a weighty 22 We understand that. 2.3 You're going to be in the jury room with 12 people, and the Judge is going to instruct you 25

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

24

(702) 455-3610 SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

12

that it is your duty to deliberate. The Judge will instruct you at the appropriate time that you must consider what we call "mitigating evidence" -childhood, things like that, things that we talk about. If, after hearing those things, you decide that based upon the mitigation -- the mitigation may be whatever you think it is -- you'll also get that instruction, and you may be the only one -- you may decide that life is the appropriate verdict in this case -- if all of your other jurors wanted to go for death, would you be able to stick to what you would be convinced would be what would be your considered decision to life? Could you hold to your opinion after due deliberation? Yes, I could hold that. Obviously, with other people's discussions and so forth, my decision could be changed. I could change my decision if someone presented a discussion to me that showed something that I hadn't thought of previously. From what I understand about what goes on in the jury room, that's called "deliberation," and the Judge will tell you, that's what you're supposed We want you to do that. However, after having done that and you have determined that this

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

13

is not a case where you feel death is justified,

```
could you hold to your resolve?
             Yes, I believe I could, because if I
   didn't truly think that -- obviously, that's a
   serious conviction. I would not want to vote in a
   way that I didn't think -- if it wasn't right,
   certainly not end someone's life as a result of it,
             But you respect your fellow jurors'
   opinions?
        Α
             Sure.
             Would you respect their right to vote
10
   their conscience?
11
             Yes.
12
        Α
             Would you demand they respect yours?
13
             Yes.
14
             What if you couldn't explain it to their
15
   satisfaction, would you still be able to have the
16
   courage of your own convictions?
17
        Α
             Yes.
18
                                        That's all I
             MS. JACKSON: Thank you.
19
   have, Miss Batts.
20
             Your Honor, we pass Miss Batts for cause.
21
              THE COURT: Miss Batts, we're going to
22
   have you report back at 10:00 o'clock Friday
23
   downstairs in the jury lounge.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 32: Okay.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

14

```
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33
1
                         Miss Tweedy?
             THE COURT:
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 33: Yes.
3
              THE COURT: Stay seated.
 4
             Did you grow up in Iowa?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: What part?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fort Madison right on
8
   the river, Mississippi River, southeast corner.
 9
              THE COURT: You've been in Las Vegas 25
10
   years?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
12
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am a tuition manager
14
   for a private Christian school.
1.5
                         And you said you went to art
              THE COURT:
16
   school or received some art training?
17
                                  Yes.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
18
              THE COURT: What's your medium?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Portraits, sculpture
20
   and oil painting.
21
              THE COURT: Do you still do it?
22
                                        I have to work
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23
24
   for a living.
              THE COURT: Now, have you heard anything
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

15

```
about this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just years ago, but
 3
  not recently.
             THE COURT: How many years ago do you
 4
 5
   think?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, you said earlier
 6
   when we were in here that it happened in 1998.
   remember when it was in the news, but not in the
   last -- since then.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
1.0
             Do you remember any of the details?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
12
              THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
13
   family ever worked in law enforcement?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15
                         Now, you said that you've been
              THE COURT:
16
   on a jury two times?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
18
              THE COURT: And the person was charged
19
20
   with -- murder cases?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
21
              THE COURT: And the jury came back with
22
23
   manslaughter both times?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
24
              THE COURT: Were those cases here?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

16

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One was yours.
                                                  I was
1
2 in your courtroom.
             THE COURT: It was mine? Oh, gee.
3
   trying to remember. I thought you looked familiar.
             Which one was that, do you remember?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a case where
6
   the man had killed his wife -- that won't help.
                                                     I
   don't remember the names. I remember Judge
   Cherry -- it was right before he was elected a
   Judge. He was the defense.
10
             THE COURT: Oh, okay.
11
             Was that manslaughter?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
13
             THE COURT: That was where the woman shot
14
   her husband?
15
                                 Yes. Yes, okay, the
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
16
   one on the highway.
17
             THE COURT: Right. I don't think that was
18
19
   manslaughter though.
             Carbary. Do you remember Linda Carbary?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I remember one of the
21
   cases I was on was manslaughter.
22
             THE COURT: Miss Carbary, that was the
23
24
   one.
             You state because of your religion and the
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

17

```
kind of work you do, you don't believe you can
 1
   impose the death penalty.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: That's under any circumstance?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so. Where I
 5
   work, it would be -- it would be against the
 6
   principles of where I work.
              THE COURT: You would have to think about
 8
   your job?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess I would have
1.0
   to follow my true -- the way I was raised, but it
11
   would be extremely difficult to explain --
12
                          To them?
              THE COURT:
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- to my supervisor
14
   and the whole school, you know.
15
              THE COURT: I quess, generally, you don't
16
   believe in the death penalty?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't.
18
             MR. WHIPPLE: If I could, your Honor.
19
20
              THE COURT: Go on.
21
                EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
2.2
23
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
              Hi. My name is Bret Whipple, and I
24
        0
   represent Mr. Donte Johnson. This is an unusual
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

18

```
circumstance, because it's a penalty hearing and
   it's not a trial. You've been through two trials
   before where the individual that was charged was
   presumed innocent, and here, Mr. Johnson has already
   been convicted of four charges of first-degree,
   deliberate, premeditated murder. It's all of our
   jobs to get as fair jurors as we can.
   people to be fair and honest, and that's why we all
   appreciate you speaking from your heart.
             I want to inquire a little bit further
10
   with regard to your position, because it seems like
11
   it could be an influence from an outside source
12
   because of where you work.
13
             I think, recently, it was just the
14
   anniversary of the Oklahoma City Bombing; do you
15
   recall that?
16
17
        Α
             Yes.
             The United States Supreme Court says that
18
   the death penalty, which is certainly in issue here,
19
   is reserved for the worst of the worst, and it also
20
   says, all you have to do is be able to consider it.
21
   So, in the worst of the worst, if we have the
22
   Jeffrey Dahmer or the Timothy McVeigh --
23
                            I apologize, your Honor.
              MR. STANTON:
24
   would impose an objection, because it violates the
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

19

```
local court rules for voir dire using hypotheticals
   and arguing facts outside.
             THE COURT: Go on.
                                  Overruled.
3
             MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
4
  BY MR. WHIPPLE:
             We were talking about the worst of the
6
   worst, Timothy McVeigh or the Jeffrey Dahmer, and
   you were asked to sit with regard to making a
   determination what their punishment would be, is it
   possible for you to, in that situation, consider,
   just consider, the possibility of a death penalty?
11
   And when I say that, I mean by looking at all the
12
   facts and circumstances.
13
             I look at this young man, he's very young,
14
   and I work with high school students, and I know
15
   they make mistakes. It would bother me for somebody
16
   so young -- and I know this is a severe mistake, I
17
                                              It would
   realize that, but he's still very young.
18
   be very hard for me to give a death penalty for a
19
   very young person.
20
             I appreciate that. I acknowledge that.
        Q
21
              I want to do more of the worst of the
22
23
   worst.
              If there's a situation like Timothy
24
  McVeigh who literally hacks our own lifestyle, our
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

20

```
own government and kills children, innocent children
   at a daycare because he's unhappy with the system
   where there were many, many people killed, is there
   a situation where you could envision where you could
   just consider the death penalty, where if people
   discussed the facts and circumstances surrounding
   that particular crime, the facts and circumstances
   of the individual being charged, would you be in a
   position where you could at least consider the
   possibility of the death penalty?
10
             I don't think so.
11
        Α
             MR. WHIPPLE: And that's all we ask, and I
12
   appreciate your time.
13
             THE COURT: All right.
14
             MR. WHIPPLE: Submit it, your Honor.
15
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             Miss Tweedy, we're going to excuse you,
17
   ma'am.
18
             Approach the bench, though.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 33:
20
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
21
              (Prospective Juror 33 approached the
22
23
   bench.)
                           Can I mention something real
             MR. DASKAS:
24
   quick before the next juror comes in? I appreciate
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

21

```
you giving the defense some latitude in using
   examples. What I do have a problem with is when he
   mentions what the U.S. Supreme Court has said and
   how the penalty should be reserved for the worst of
               I'm objecting to him referring to U.S.
   the worst.
   Supreme Court decision with respect to jurors.
   appreciate the latitude you're giving them, we
   respect that decision, but I think the rest is
   objectionable.
             THE COURT: That's kind of argumentative,
10
11
   Counsel.
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
12
             MR. WHIPPLE: Your Honor, I don't want to
13
   go -- I don't want to go beyond your order. Would
14
   it be appropriate to say we believe or that we
15
   believe that the death penalty is saved for the
16 l
   worst of the worst?
17
             MR. DASKAS: It's still argument, your
18
   Honor.
19
             MR. WHIPPLE: If I can finish.
20
             MR. DASKAS: I apologize. Go ahead.
21
             MR. WHIPPLE: It's not argument; it's a
22
   hypothetical that we've already discussed.
                                                That's
23
   what we've discussed.
24
              THE COURT: That's not the hypothetical
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

22

```
saying it's reserved for the worst of the worst.
   hypothetical is -- I'm letting you do a hypothetical
 3 as long as it's not the hypothetical of this
   particular case and facts. Like I said, you can't
   say, "Mr. Johnson" -- "If we put on the evidence to
   show that his avid mother -- the defendant's mother
   testified to this and this" -- you can't do that,
   but if you're talking about a hypothetical -- you're
   not supposed to, but in a case like this, I'm
   allowing it, because in certain cases when you're
10
   trying to find out people who are going to impose
11
   the death penalty or not, I'm giving you some leeway
12
   here. I'm letting you say, "Well, if somebody did a
13
   terrible, terrible crime like Osama bin Laden or
14
   Timothy McVeigh" -- like you're doing -- "can you
15
   envision giving the death penalty?" That's fine.
16
   But only for guys who have killed whatever and
17
   whatever, then that's kind of argumentative.
18
             MR. WHIPPLE: Okay. I understand.
19
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
20
             THE COURT: You can say some but not --
21
             MR, WHIPPLE: I understand.
22
23
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34
24
             THE COURT: Miss Yaskin?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

23

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 34: Yes, sir.
 1
              THE COURT: You were born in Siler City, .
   North Carolina?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  That's correct.
 4
              THE COURT: How long did you live in North
 5
   Carolina?
                                  Two years.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 7
              THE COURT: Where did you grow up?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Mostly in
 9
   Williamsburg, Virginia.
10
              THE COURT: Williamsburg?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
12
              THE COURT: What did you do there?
13
                                  That's where my dad
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
14
   lived, so I lived there until I went to college.
1.5
                          You went to Wake Forest?
              THE COURT:
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes, sir.
17
              THE COURT: And received a BA in
18
   psychology?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
20
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not employed right
22
   now; I'm just at home.
23
              THE COURT: Now, your husband, he died in
24
   January of this year?
2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
Yes, sir.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 1
             THE COURT: Did he die from natural
 2
   causes?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He died of cancer.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay.
 5
             Now, did you ever work in the psychology
 6
   area or psychological area?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
 8
             THE COURT: Have you worked in the past?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
10
             THE COURT: What kind of work have you
11
   done in the past?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Started out doing
13
   retail management, then I worked in dental offices
14
   and more recently for the Clark County School
15
   District as a nurse's aid.
16
              THE COURT: What kind of work did your
17
   husband do?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was senior VP of
19
   sales for Caesar's Palace.
20
              THE COURT: How long have you lived in
21
   Las Vegas?
22
                                  Fourteen years.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
23
              THE COURT: A couple of things I want to
24
   go over with you. The first thing, you grew up in
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

25

```
You spent most of your young adult life
- 1
   the South.
           The South has had a lot of racial issues and
   there.
  problems.
3
             How do you feel or do you think your past
 4
   background growing up in the South, would that cause
 5
   you to be biased against the defendant because of
   his race?
                                       I don't look at
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
 Я
           I don't judge people based on color, and I
   grew up in the South for probably the first 18 or 19
10
   years of my life. I'm 46, and we've lived in
11
   Detroit; we've lived in Texas; we've lived in
12
   California; we've lived in Vegas.
13
              THE COURT: Texas doesn't mean anything.
14
   Maybe that's the worst, but the rest of them -- I
15
16
   get your point.
                                  I know what you're
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
17
18
   saying.
              THE COURT: We just have to ask these
19
20
   questions.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Sure.
21
              THE COURT: I'm not implying that you are
22
   prejudiced or anything --
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Sure.
24
              THE COURT: -- but I just have to ask for
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

26

```
the record.
 1
             You said you think you might have heard
2
   something about this case but you don't really know
 3
   any of the details; is that right?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
 5
              THE COURT: Now, on the death penalty, you
 6
   think that some criminals probably deserve it but
   you're not sure if you could vote for it?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  That's correct,
 9
              THE COURT: So, you really haven't made up
10
   your mind about whether or not you're for it or
11
   against it?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  That's exactly
13
   correct.
14
              THE COURT: And a little bit of it is
15
   religious, because you're not sure that God would
16
   approve of people taking other people's life, right?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Um-hmm.
18
              THE COURT: Now, I guess the question is,
19
   the defendant here has been convicted of four counts
20
   of first-degree murder, so if you're picked to serve
21
   on this jury, you would have to decide the
22
   punishment. Now, the law in the State of Nevada
23
   states that you will have to decide from four
24
   possible punishments, and you should consider all
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
four of them and apply the one that fits the
   circumstances, the facts of the case and that sort
   of thing.
             Do you understand that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 5
              THE COURT: Now, what I need to know is --
 6
   I know you said you haven't made up your mind yet on
   the death penalty, so we need to know right here
   whether or not you could impose it if the facts and
   the circumstances were appropriate?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would be very hard.
11
   I don't know if I could or not.
12
             Does that answer your question?
13
              THE COURT: Not really.
14
             See, the law never requires you to impose
15
   the death penalty.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
17
              THE COURT: They never say you have to do
18
   it or whatever --
19
                                  Right.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
20
              THE COURT: -- no matter what, but the law
21
   is that you should be able to consider it.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
23
              THE COURT: You can't be unalterably
24
   opposed to it. What I need to know right now is are
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

28

```
you opposed to applying the death penalty under any
   circumstance for whatever reason, religious?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: As I said in my
   questionnaire, I struggled with this for years when
   people discuss the death penalty and how you feel,
   but I hate to be so wishy-washy, but I cannot come
   to a resolution in my mind if it's the right thing
   to do or not; so, if I had to pick one, I would say
   I would probably be less likely to vote for the
   death penalty. I'm more in favor of not imposing
10
   the death penalty than imposing it.
11
             THE COURT: I understand that, but that
12
   doesn't answer our question.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's hard to give you
14
   an answer when I haven't made up my mind. You're
15
   saying would I be able to consider it -- yes, I
16
   would be able to consider it.
17
             THE COURT: But -- let me put it another
18
   way: You're saying that you would be able to
19
   consider it, but you lean to other punishments more
20
   so than you lean to it?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Correct.
22
             THE COURT: Tell me this here:
                                              Are there
23
   some circumstances under which you could envision
24
   voting for the death penalty?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

29

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I think so.
 1
                         And of course, would you have
              THE COURT:
   any problems with the other punishment -- life in
 3
   prison without parole, life in prison with the
 5
   possibility of parole --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 6
              THE COURT: -- or for a definite term of
 7
   50 years with the possibility of parole after 20?
 8
   Of course, you have to keep in mind that these
   punishments are doubled because a deadly weapon was
10
11
   used.
              Do you understand that 50 years is really
12
   a hundred for each?
13
                                  No, I have no problems
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
14
   with the other three.
15
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
16
17
              State?
18
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
19
20
              MR. DASKAS:
                           Thank you, Judge.
   BY MR. DASKAS:
21
              You can imagine where I'm going with my
22
        Q
               Understand, there are no right or wrong
   questions.
23
   answers. I'm sure you can appreciate that it
24
   wouldn't be fair to the defense if we had jurors who
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
said, "You know, I would consider life with parole"
 2 but automatically dismiss it, and it's not fair to
   the State and the victims in this case if we have
   jurors who say, "Well, death would enter my mind,
   but I would automatically dismiss it."
             Right, sure.
        Α
 6
             Tell me your thoughts about that, because
   the concern that I have is you're saying you would
   consider it but maybe you wouldn't really consider
   it.
10
             Well, I look at it differently if someone
11
   else is deciding it than if I'm on the jury.
12
              Absolutely.
13
        Q
              I have to look at the fact of can I live
14
   with myself if I have a factor in that, and I'm
15
   still struggling with that. I think I'm open-minded
16
   enough to listen to everything and be objective, but
17
   then I bring to that the subjectivity of not wanting
18
   to suffer the pain of that decision.
19
              Only you know if you can live with
        Q
20
   yourself for the rest of your life after having made
21
   that decision.
22
        Α
              Yeah.
23
              What I hear you saying is you're not sure?
24
        Q
              Yeah, I'm not sure.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
1
              Let me put it in more realistic terms.
 2
   Let's say you're on the jury and you're selected as
 3
                     One of the responsibilities as the
   the foreperson.
   foreperson is to sign the verdict form that puts
   this defendant to death.
 6
              Could you do that?
 7
        Α
              No.
 8
              No doubt about that?
 9
              (Inaudible response.)
10
              I need you to answer out loud.
11
              No, I don't think I can do that.
        Α
12
              Let me go back to my original question.
13
        Q
   Can you really consider death as an option, as a
1.4
   realistic option?
15
              Not as much as the others, no.
16
   want me to say "No," I'll say "No."
17
              No, no, no.
18
              I know you want clear-cut answers, and
19
   it's real hard for me.
20
              It's a huge decision, we understand that.
21
        Q
              I have not suffered what these victims'
22
   families suffered. If I had suffered that, I
23
   probably would be a little bit different in my
24
            I suffered other losses but not that loss.
25
   opinion.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
Absolutely. The problem we all have right
   now is like the Judge said, now is the time we have
   to know.
             Of course you do.
        Α
             In your questionnaire, you hadn't thought
        Q
5
   much about it, and you're not sure. I'm putting you
   on the spot right now.
        Α
             Right.
8
             Can you realistically consider death as an
 9
   option if you're the one who has to vote to impose
10
   it?
11
             Not as much as the others.
        Α
12
             All right.
13
        Q
             I mean, "consider it" is such a general
        Α
14
   term. Consider it -- consider it 10 percent,
15
   20 percent, 50 percent, I mean --
16
             Let me ask it slightly differently.
17
             Can you envision a situation where you can
18
   consider it and it's a realistic option in your
19
   mind, or are you telling me, "Yeah, I would consider
20
   it, but I know I'm going to dismiss it. I can't
21
   ever imagine actually imposing it"?
22
              Signing a verdict is a little different
23
   than being part of the panel.
24
              That's true, although it takes 12 people
25
         Q.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

33

```
to reach that decision. The foreperson can't do
   that on his or her own; everybody must agree.
   would be part of the process.
        Α
             Right.
             Even though you don't sign that verdict
5
   form, it's not getting signed without your consent.
             Then I probably would not be able to
        Α
   consider it.
             You couldn't consider?
             I've answered this question.
                                            I could
10
   consider it but not as much as the other options.
11
             Another jury has already convicted the
12
        Q
   defendant of four counts of first-degree murder.
13
             Can you accept their verdict?
14
             Absolutely.
15
        Α
             You have no problem with that?
16
             No problem. I have no problem with life
17
   imprisonment without parole, but death is a little
18
   different.
19
             You have a problem with the death penalty?
20
                    I'm just not sure how I feel about
             Yeah.
21
22
   it.
             Let me read one of your answers, and I
23
                                      It's the answer to
   want to discuss it a little bit.
24
            "I'm not sure if God would want us to take
25
   No. 35.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

34

```
another person's life."
1
        Α
             Right.
2
             Your opposition, if you have an
3
   opposition, is based on religious beliefs?
             Right.
5
        Α
             Let me ask you this: You would receive
6
        Q
   instructions, if you're selected at the end of the
7
   case, that you must consider death as an option.
   Let's envision a situation where what you say is
   you're not sure that God would want you to do that.
10
   Maybe when you're on the jury, there's some
11
   intervention, divine intervention, and now you're
12
   saying, "God says I can't consider it."
13
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, now he's going
14
   too far. I was giving him some leeway, but now
15
   you're saying that she's going to and what if she
16
   hears -- God tells her not to do it.
                                          That's not
17
   saying she cannot consider it. That's not what the
18
   law requires.
19
                           Your Honor, with all due
             MR. DASKAS:
20
   respect, I'm reading specifically her answer that's
21
   based on God's -- her belief.
22
              THE COURT: Hold on a minute. Read the
23
   question back to me, the last one, ma'am.
24
              (The record was read as follows:
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
"Let me ask you this: You would
 1
        receive instructions, if you're selected
2
        at the end of the case, that you must
 3
        consider death as an option.
                                      Let's
 4
        envision a situation where what you say is
 5
        you're not sure that God would want you to
 6
        do that. Maybe when you're on the jury,
 7
        there's some intervention, divine
 8
        intervention, and now you're saying, 'God
 9
        says I can't consider it.')"
10
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, I think that's
11
   an improper question.
12
              THE COURT: You know, you grabbed the
13
   jury's questionnaire, and that's what is on there,
14
   and she put it on here about her religion, and she
15
   wrote down there about God.
16
              Overruled.
17
              Go on.
18
              MR. DASKAS: Thank you, your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Of course, he hadn't finished
20
21
   the question.
              What was the end of the question?
22
              MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
23
   /////
24
   11111
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
BY MR. DASKAS:
             What I'm getting at is I'm concerned there
 2
   could be a conflict between your religious beliefs
   and what the Judge tells you.
        Α
             Right.
 5
             A lot of people would say my religious
 6
   beliefs are going to trump what the Judge in the
   robe tells you, for obvious reasons.
              Is that a concern with you?
              I wouldn't do something against the Judge,
10
   but if it's my opinion, yes, my belief system would
11
   take precedent.
12
              Over the instructions?
13
             Unless I'm doing something that's against
14
   what the Judge has ordered. I wouldn't go against
15
   what he ordered. I guess that's your question,
1.6
   right?
17
              That's my question; so, we're sort of
18
   right back where we started. You're not sure?
19
              I would have to say I would follow my
        Α
20
   religious beliefs.
21
              Over the Judge's instructions?
22
        Q
              You make it sound like I would be
23
   disobeying the Judge.
24
                                              First of
                         Which is correct.
              THE COURT:
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

37

```
all, on this question here, her religious belief or
   whether or not she can follow the law -- the law has
   nothing to do with her religious beliefs, sir.
   tell her to -- all I have to do is tell her she can
   consider it. If she says she can consider it and
   whatever her conscience says -- how that plays into
   it, that's not a violation of the Court's order.
             MR. DASKAS: That's my question.
   BY MR. DASKAS:
             If you're faced with a situation where
10
   there's a conflict between your religious beliefs
11
   and the Judge telling you you have to consider
12
   death, which you told me a moment ago was your
13
   religious beliefs would take precedent --
14
             Right, but the whole thing is the word
   "consider." You're saying would I consider it in my
16
                  I'm saying yes, I would consider,
   questionnaire.
17
   because I have not made my mind up, but you want a
18
   definite answer -- would I consider it in any sense
19
   of the word or would I rule it out, so it's like the
20
   word "consider" is not really the right word to use.
21
             I understand; unfortunately, that's what
22
        Q
                      That's the word the law uses.
   the law tells us.
23
   didn't make up the word.
24
              I know, but you understand what I'm trying
25
```

8

15

Page: 5674

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
to say.
 1
              Absolutely, and the problem for all of us
        Q
 3
   is --
              Sure.
        Α
              -- we have to have 12 jurors who can
 5
   realistically consider all these options, and only
   you know that.
              Right.
        Α
              So, let me ask one final time about the
   religious beliefs --
10
11
        Α
              Okay.
              -- versus the instructions you're given.
12
13
        Α
              Okay.
              What you told me a moment ago was -- is if
14
   you're faced with death, the religious beliefs would
15
   take precedent over the instructions.
16
              Is that accurate, or am I misstating what
17
18
   you said?
              Well, I think the Judge said it better.
19
   Yes, I'm going to consider it, but in the
20
   deliberation, my religious beliefs would be part of
21
   that consideration.
22
              If your religious beliefs at that time are
23
   that God wouldn't want you to put somebody to death,
24
   you couldn't do it?
2.5
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

39

```
Correct.
 1
                           Judge, we would challenge for
              MR. DASKAS:
 2
 3
   cause.
              MS. JACKSON: I would traverse.
              THE COURT: Yes.
 5
              MS. JACKSON: May I close, your Honor?
 6
              THE COURT:
 7
                          Yes.
   BY MS. JACKSON:
 8
              Miss Yaskin?
 9
        Q
10
        Α
              Yes, ma'am.
              It's an arduous process. It's necessary.
11
        0
              You indicated on your questionnaire in
12
   quiet reflection, when you filled the questionnaire
13
   out, you were in the jury room with nobody asking
14
   you questions.
15
        Α
              Uh-huh.
16
              Did you give it some thought before you
17
   completed the questionnaire?
18
        Α
              Yes, ma'am.
19
              You've had a week to think about it?
20
              Um-hmm.
21
        Α
              When you did that, you circled -- would
22
        Q
   you say that you are generally -- you circled C --
23
   "would consider the death penalty in certain
24
   circumstances," and you circled that?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Page: 5676

(702) 455-3610

40

```
Yes.
1
             Now, you're saying that you would find it
2
   difficult to impose death?
             Yes.
        Α
             I think anybody would.
 5
             Yes, I think so. I hope so. I hope no
   one would take that lightly.
             Exactly. So, those kinds of concerns --
   we're not asking about those, because any human
   being would find it hard. What we're trying to get
   you to understand is that the law says that you have
11
   to consider it.
12
             I understand.
13
             Can you consider it?
14
                    I already said I could consider it,
              Yes.
15
   but I think you wanted to know the degree or he
16
   wanted to know the degree.
17
        Q
             No.
18
             That's how I kind of understood the
        Α
19
20
   question.
             No; you just have to be able to tell us as
21
   you did in your questionnaire, that you would be
22
   able to consider it.
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
              Would you consider it fairly with an open
25
        Q
        SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
```

41

```
mind?
1
             I hope so. I mean, I'm trying to be as
   honest with you as I can, and maybe most people have
   made up their decision on this, but it's such a
   tough decision for me that I haven't been able to
   form an opinion about the death penalty.
             But as you indicated on your
        Q
   questionnaire, you are willing, as the Judge will
   instruct you, to consider the death penalty?
             Yes.
        Α
10
             In the right circumstances?
11
             Yes.
12
        Α
             And that's really all that we're asking.
13
             Yes.
14
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, I think that she
15
   has fulfilled her requirements of the law.
16
                         Ma'am, I guess the question
              THE COURT:
17
   is -- it's my job to instruct you on what the law
18
   is, and you take an oath that you will follow the
19
   law. The Court basically -- I know they're asking
20
   all of these questions. The only thing that I need
21
   to know is -- I'm going to instruct you on what the
22
   law is, and then, of course, you have to make your
23
   own decisions based upon the law that I give you.
24
   There's no exact thing. What I want to know is can
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
you follow the Court's instructions on the law?
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
2
             THE COURT: Now, the D.A. was asking you
3
   about this one thing. You're basically just saying
   you were wondering what the morality of imposing the
   death penalty is. That's how I read that answer
   that you gave, and everybody who walks into a jury
   room or whatever, we all have our own belief system
   that's been formulated since we were kids.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
10
             THE COURT: Go to church, school,
11
   ethics -- all of that -- you're not required to
12
   throw all of that out because you become a juror,
13
   but you have to follow the law. The law says could
14
   you consider the four forms of punishment, and
15
   that's all I want to know, can you do that if I
16
   instruct you?
17
                                  Yes, sir.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
18
              THE COURT: To look at that; is that
19
   correct?
20
                                  Yes, sir.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
21
             THE COURT: Challenge is denied.
22
             Anything else, Miss Jackson?
23
             MS, JACKSON: Mr, Daskas.
24
             MR. DASKAS: No, your Honor.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

THE COURT: I thought he was done. 1 Just a couple of questions MS. JACKSON: 2 real quick, your Honor. I won't be long. BY MS. JACKSON: Miss Daskas (sic), if you're selected for Q this jury and you've deliberated as the Judge will instruct you to and you discussed it and you find that after listening to everything in this courtroom and weighing it fairly in your own mind and you find that this is not an appropriate case for death and 10 the other 11 people do, would you be able to have 11 the courage to stick to your own convictions? 12 I hope so. A 13 What if you're asked to articulate to the 14 jury why you feel that way and you found, even 15 though you tried and you just could not find the 16 words, would you still hold to your own convictions? 17 Well, I don't think I would have a problem 18 with the articulation, I really don't. 19 If you asked one of your fellow jurors who 20 Q were voting opposite what you felt was appropriate, 21 why they felt that way, and they were unable to 22 articulate that even if it was up to your 23 satisfaction, would you respect their right to hold 24 to their sincerely held convictions? 25

Page: 5680

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
Sure.
1
             Would you demand that they give you the
2
   same respect?
3
4
        Α
             Yes.
             MS. JACKSON: Very well.
5
             Thank you, your Honor.
 6
             We would pass.
7
             THE COURT: Miss Tweedy -- not Miss
8
   Tweedy -- Yaskin. Sorry about that.
9
             Is that what you said?
1.0
             MS. JACKSON: No, that's not what I said.
11
   I mistakenly called her Miss Daskas at one point,
12
   but I don't think Mr. Daskas heard me.
13
              THE COURT: We're going to have you report
14
   back Friday at 10:00 a.m. downstairs in the jury
15
   lounge, and we'll let you know.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 34: Okay.
17
   very much, sir.
18
19
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 38
20
              THE COURT:
                          Mr. Roybal?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 38: Yes, sir.
22
              THE COURT: How long have you lived in
23
   Las Vegas?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was born and raised
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

45

```
here -- 44 years.
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a flooring
3
   contractor.
             THE COURT: What kind of meeting are you
5
   supposed to go to tomorrow?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, I'm going on
7
   vacation. I've had a vacation planned for about six
   or seven weeks. I'm not going to be available
   Thursday and Friday, but I'll be back Sunday night.
10
             THE COURT: When are you leaving?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tomorrow.
12
             THE COURT: Why did you put down here it
13
   was a meeting that you had to go to?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is. It's a meeting
15
   in Laughlin.
16
              THE COURT: I thought you said it was
17
   vacation.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Vacation, meeting.
                                                      Ι
19
   work for myself. A lot of my meetings are
20
   vacations.
21
              THE COURT: Approach the bench, counsel.
22
              (Sidebar conference outside the presence
23
   of the court reporter.)
24
              THE COURT: Mr. Roybal, we're going to
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
excuse you, sir.
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 38:
                                     Thank you.
 2
 3
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39
              THE COURT: Doctor -- how do you pronounce
 5
   your last name?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 39: Anes (phonetic).
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Anes.
 8
             You're a practicing physician?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm retired now.
10
             THE COURT: Retired.
                                    Okay.
11
             Where did you have your practice?
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  In Las Vegas.
   with Associated Pathologists Laboratories for 24
14
15
   years.
              THE COURT:
                         So, you went to Wayne State
16
17
   University?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Do you believe that you can be
19
   fair and impartial in this case?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.
21
              THE COURT: Can you follow the Court's
22
   instructions on the law?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can.
24
              THE COURT: Now, you have a daughter who
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
is an attorney; is that correct?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a daughter who
3 is an attorney, a son-in-law who is an attorney, and
   an ex-son-in-law who is an attorney.
             THE COURT: Now, do they all live in San
5
   Francisco?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. My ex-son-in-law
   lives in Las Vegas.
             THE COURT: What's his name?
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: His name is Matthew
10
   Dushoff.
11
             THE COURT: At the Attorney General's
12
   Office?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was with them for
14
   about ten years, and for the last year or so, he's
15
   been in private practice.
16
             THE COURT: I know Matt very well.
17
             So, you've never been on a jury before; is
18
   that correct?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  That's correct.
20
              THE COURT: Can you be fair to both sides
21
   in this case?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir, I think I
23
24
   can.
              THE COURT: Now, as you probably already
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

48

```
know, the defendant was convicted of four counts of
   first-degree murder. It will be your job as a juror
   in this trial to decide on the punishment. You will
   have four possible punishments to decide upon or to
   decide from. One will be the death penalty, life in
5
   prison without parole, life in prison with the
   possibility of parole or for a definite term of 50
   years with the possibility of parole after 20 years,
   and of course, those penalties are doubled because a
   deadly weapon was used.
10
             Could you consider all four punishments?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I could.
12
             THE COURT: You can be fair to both sides
13
   in this case?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes, I can.
15
             THE COURT: State?
16
             MR. STANTON:
                           Thank you, your Honor.
17
18
                 EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
19
   BY MR. STANTON:
20
             Good afternoon, sir. I noticed in your
21
   questionnaire that I think you probably filled out
22
   approximately a week ago that you indicated your
23
                        There will be testimony in this
   field in pathology.
24
   case, anticipated testimony involving forensic
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

49

```
pathology in this case, and I'm sure for the reasons
   that you can probably understand that area.
   there any difficulty in you listening to that
   testimony, having extensive training and experience
   and all that?
             I have a lot of training in pathology but
   very little training in forensic pathology.
             There's nothing about your training in
   pathology that you think would be an inhibitor in
   absorbing and listening fairly and accurately to the
10
   testimony in that field and that science in this
11
12
   case?
             No.
13
        Α
             You had previous military service in the
14
   Air Force; is that correct?
15
             Correct.
16
        Α
             Where were you duty assigned?
17
             Eglin Air Force Base in Fort Walton Beach,
18
   Florida.
19
             Your family members that are attorneys,
20
   you indicate that one is an estate planning and
21
   taxation attorney in San Francisco?
22
             Correct.
23
        Α
             Always has been, as far as the type of
24
25
   practice?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

50

```
Since he's been an attorney, which has
   been for about five years.
             The two in-laws which were litigators,
   besides the question the Judge asked about the
   attorney general, was any of that in the field of
   criminal law?
             My son-in-law who is married to the estate
   planning attorney was with the Nevada Attorney
   General's Office, and he was involved with
   securities and litigation. Whether that was
10
   criminal or not, I don't know.
11
             And any other -- I guess that would be,
1.2
   then, your ex-son-in-law that would be the litigator
13
   as well -- any criminal experience in that regard?
14
             He was involved in the State Attorney
1.5
   General's Office, but I don't know.
16
              THE COURT: He did mostly criminal
17
   prosecution, Matthew Dushoff.
18
   BY MR. STANTON:
19
              You stated that as far as your interaction
2.0
        0
   with them, there wasn't any significant interaction
21
   relative to legal matters?
22
        Α
            Correct.
23
              The point in all of this is that the
24
   instructions of law will be given to you from Judge
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

51

```
Gates, and the concern regarding family ties with
   attorneys is whether or not you can put aside what
   you may have learned from someone or some person
   outside the context of this courtroom to focus
   strictly on and abide by the rules of law instructed
   by Judge Gates in this case.
             Could you do that?
7
             Yes, I could.
8
             The other thing is the potential
9
   punishments. As the Judge indicates, Mr. Johnson
10
   stands dually convicted by a jury of four counts of
11
   first-degree murder, and the Judge asked you about
12
   the four potential punishments, one being the death
13
   penalty, and three ~- what I will refer to as "life
14
   options." Is it a fair assessment, sir, that you
15
   can and would consider all four of those options
16
   until the case is finally submitted to you for
17
   deliberations?
18
        Α
             Yes.
19
             Is there anything in your -- that you
20
   would have difficulty in determining in this case
21.
   that you would have to deliberate and determine the
22
   appropriate and just punishment as it relates to
23
   each victim in this case?
24
25
             Could you do that?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

| 1.                               | A Could you ask that question again?  |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2                                | Q Certainly,  |
| 3                                | Four counts of murder there were four   |
| 4                                | separate individual victims in this case, four human  |
| 5                                | beings, and your duty as a juror in this case would   |
| 6                                | be to select the just and appropriate verdict as it   |
| 7                                | relates to each victim.   |
| 8                                | Could you do that?  |
| 9                                | A Yes, I could.   |
| 10                               | MR. STANTON: Thank you, Judge.  |
| 11                               | Pass the perspective juror.   |
| 12                               | MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.   |
| 13                               |   |
| 14                               | EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE  |
| 15                               | BY MR. WHIPPLE:   |
|                                  | Q My name is Bret Whipple. Thank you for  |
| 16                               |   |
|                                  | being with us here today.   |
|                                  | being with us here today.  I want to inquire a bit with regard to   |
| 17<br>18                         |   |
| 17<br>18                         | I want to inquire a bit with regard to  |
| 17<br>18<br>19                   | I want to inquire a bit with regard to your position at APL.  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20             | I want to inquire a bit with regard to your position at APL. You're a pathologist?  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | I want to inquire a bit with regard to your position at APL.  You're a pathologist?  A Yes.   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | I want to inquire a bit with regard to your position at APL. You're a pathologist? A Yes. Q What exactly did you do at APL?   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | I want to inquire a bit with regard to your position at APL. You're a pathologist?  A Yes.  Q What exactly did you do at APL?  A For a period of time, I was the laboratory |

```
physicians as a consultant when they needed help
  interpreting laboratory tests.
2
             You basically looked at the slides and
3
   made interpretations from that?
             Yes.
5
        Α
             Now, APL we all know is widely -- in fact,
 6
   I know the State of Nevada uses them a lot on a lot
   of these cases and, in fact, you could have
   information in this case that came through APL.
   don't know one way or the other.
10
             The fact that you had a relationship with
11
   the State of Nevada -- do you feel there's a
12
   relationship with the State of Nevada as the
13
   director of the laboratory?
14
             I've never had any interaction with the
15
   State of Nevada.
16
             Kind of an asset to us would be to ask
17
   you -- you know how lawyers work. We advocate for
18
   different positions. Only you know your frame of
19
   mind. I represent Mr. Johnson who has been
20
   convicted of first-degree, premeditated, deliberate
21
   murder, four of them.
22
             -Do you -- knowing what you know, do you
23
   sense that you would be concerned if you were in my
24
   shoes representing Mr. Johnson or for the State?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

54

```
Knowing what you know, what you're
   you understand?
   insight is, what could happen over the next few
   days, would you feel reluctant if you were in my
   shoes or the State's shoes?
              You're asking me could I just as easily
 5
        Α
   represent one side or the other?
 6
 7
        Q
              Yeah.
             No; I would suspect that if I were in the
 8
   legal profession, I probably would have a problem
   representing an accused criminal.
10
        Q
             Okay.
11
              If you were in my shoes knowing what you
1.2
   think, would you have a concern knowing what you
13
   think?
14
              Would I have a concern for what you are
15
        Α
   doing or what I'm doing?
16
              For what I'm doing if you were in my
17
   shoes.
18
              I would have a problem with it, but I
        Α
19
   would have no concern about you doing it.
20
              So, you wouldn't hold it against me?
21
        Q
             No.
22
        Α
              Do you think you can be fair to both
23
24
   sides?
              Yes, I think I can.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

55

```
Why do you say that?
 1
             Because I think I can be objective, and to
 2
  be perfectly frank, I wouldn't be very excited about
 3
   spending the next two weeks here, but I feel I have
   a civil responsibility that I think I can honestly
   fulfill.
              I want to ask you some questions about the
   death penalty.
              Do you have an opinion about the death
 9
   penalty?
10
              I would favor the death penalty in cases
11
   in which it's deserved.
12
             How long have you had this opinion?
13
        Q
              I think most of my life.
14
              Is this something that you've talked to
15
   other people about? Is this something that you
16
   discuss with other people from time to time?
17
              On rare occasion I would think, but it
18
   isn't something that is in the forethought of my
19
20
   mind.
              I think you said you would advocate or you
21
   favor the death penalty in those cases in which it's
22
23
   deserved.
              What do you mean by "in which it's
2.4
   deserved"?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
I think there are a lot of reasons for
   murder ranging from one end where I pay you $10,000
   and ask you to kill somebody, you go out and you do
   that. I think that deserves the death penalty.
   however, somebody killed your brother, and you ran
   across him six months later or nine months later and
   ended up killing him, I don't put that in the same
              I think that's a mitigating circumstance,
   so no, I am not against the death penalty.
   against mitigating circumstances.
10
             We've touched on this with other potential
   jurors. In this case, there is no excuse. You will
12
   never hear us justify, excuse or try to make amends
13
   to say what Mr. Johnson did was ever right.
14
   would all agree, it was a terrible wrong. The only
15
   issue is what is the appropriate punishment. So, in
16
   this case, there are four individuals who were
17
   executed, who were tied up with tape, taped with
18
   their hands behind their back, laid on the ground
19
   and summarily executed, one bullet in the head of
20
   each individual, one after another.
21
             Now, in a situation like that, would you
22
   be able to consider a life --
23
             MR. STANTON: Your Honor, once again, I
24
                  That's an improper statement of the
   would object.
25
```

11

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

57

```
proceeding. There is going to be mitigating
   evidence, and Counsel is asking it in a very
   unilateral and improper format as to what this juror
   would hear and to make that ultimate decision.
             MR. WHIPPLE: My next question, your
5
   Honor, is to ask after you deliberate. Right now
   I'm going to see if he can consider a life sentence
   knowing nothing more.
             MR. STANTON: That's the problem with the
   question, your Honor. They're breaking it up and
10
   saying "knowing nothing more," and that's not the
11
   procedure.
12
             MR. WHIPPLE: Of course it is.
                                              I have a
13
   right to ask an individual if he can consider life
14
15
   with --
             THE COURT:
                         Go on.
16
17
             Overruled.
             MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, your Honor.
18
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
19
             Dr. Anes, my question to you was if an
20
        Q
   individual committed first-degree, cold-blooded,
21
   premeditated, deliberate murder execution style with
22
   four individuals, could you consider a life
23
   sentence?
2.4
              I might consider it, but if there were no
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

58

```
mitigating circumstances, if the circumstances were
   as you propose, I think it would be very unlikely
   that I would seriously consider anything else.
             Other than the death penalty?
             Other than the death penalty.
5
             What was brought up by the State is you
6
   will have an opportunity to hear mitigating
   circumstances, and you will have an opportunity to
   hear other information. At the conclusion of that,
   if you felt that a life sentence was appropriate, I
10
   assume you would have no problem giving a life
11
12
   sentence?
             Absolutely.
13
        Α
             There's an old adage, an eye for an eye.
        Q
14
             Have you ever contemplated that or what do
15
   you think about when people say "an eye for an eye"?
16
             You respond to the same depth of violence
17
   or horror that is perpetrated on you or someone very
18
   close to you.
19
             Do you think that's appropriate,
20
        Q
   inappropriate?
21
              I think it's, basically, inappropriate.
22
   We have laws, and you can't take the law into your
23
   own hands, but again, that's a mitigating
24
   circumstance, and as I mentioned, if you were
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
responding to the murder of somebody in your own
2 | family, that's wrong as a mitigating circumstance
  and wouldn't necessarily deserve the death penalty.
3
             So, obviously, there's facts and
   circumstances that you will take into consideration?
        Α
             Yes.
             I know there's a Hippocratic Oath, and I
7
   know nothing more than that.
             Is that something that you would have to
9
   address at all in your serving on this jury?
10
                  Hippocratic Oath pertains to medical
11
             No.
   care and medical situations, not to legal
12
   proceedings outside of the medical environment.
13
             We touched on the issue of mitigating
14
   circumstance, and you're going to get proper
15
   instructions at the proper time from this Court that
16
   will instruct you that the death penalty is never
17
   required, and mitigating circumstances is simply a
18
   reason to give life. In fact, there were some
19
   questions that pretty much address that issue, and I
20
   know one is No. 38. It said, "In reaching a verdict
21
   in this penalty phase you must consider the
22
   defendant's background. Do you feel you would
23
   consider those types of factors?" and you said,
24
   "Very much."
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Again, it depends on the background. you say he committed the crime because he came from a slum area and had a broken family, that would not carry all that much weight with me, because I was brought up in a slum from a broken family, so I don't know what I was thinking when I answered that question specifically, but I'm not sure what I would consider a strong mitigating circumstance and a weak mitigating circumstance. I understand. I think there's a broad spectrum, and I Α would tend to not necessarily be all that flexible if you're giving stuff that I don't think is necessarily mitigating. What I want -- this is important to be Q open and honest. There's no right answers, there's no wrong answers. Nevada law requires you to consider these different options, you need to be able to consider mitigating circumstances? Correct. Α Okay. Q I can tell you that some of those mitigating circumstances will be exactly what you've raised -- the background, the way a person was

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

61

raised and their family. You need to be able to

```
consider those, and if you feel -- to be honest, if
   you don't think you can consider those, those are
   things that I need to know now.
             I can consider those. The point I think I
   was trying to convey is how much weight would I give
   to something, and there are some things that I think
   have significant weight and there are some things
   that I think would have minimal weight, and being
   perfectly frank, being brought up in a bad
   neighborhood from a broken family -- since I and a
10
   lot of people I know were brought up under
1.1
   circumstances and have not done such terrible
12
   things, then I might not give that as much weight as
13
   somebody who has not experienced that and been in
14
   that type of background.
15
             Just sitting here -- and if you can think
16
   of any -- what mitigating circumstances are a reason
17
                    What would you give great weight
   to choose life?
18
   to?
19
                            Your Honor, I apologize.
             MR. STANTON:
20
   I'm going to have to object to that. I think that's
21
   an improper question getting into the deliberative
22
   process and asking for a precommitment from a
23
   potential juror in this case.
24
                            If I can respond, your
              MR. WHIPPLE:
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

62

455-3610

(702)

```
Honor, we list those factors here on No. 38, mental
   status, age, childhood, education -- that he
   responded to. If you like, I can go through them
   unilaterally, but I was trying to save time.
                           He's, I believe, already
5
             MR. STANTON:
   asked the question. I don't need an objection if he
   goes to those questions, but I think he's answered
   some of those already, but if he's going to focus on
   the ones --
             THE COURT: I think so, Counsel.
10
   you're trying to solicit the kind of stuff that you
11
   would probably come up with as a juror as mitigating
12
   circumstances which I think is improper to ask them
13
   how they're going to rule.
14
             MR. WHIPPLE: I understand, your Honor.
15
             THE COURT: If you limit it to what's
16
   already on here, that's fine.
17
             MR. WHIPPLE: I'll do so, your Honor.
18
   Thank you.
19
   BY MR. WHIPPLE:
20
             Dr. Anes, on Question No. 38, it listed a
21
   number of mitigating circumstances, and I'm going to
22
   ask you -- we already touched on childhood
23
                There's several others here -- mental
24
   experiences.
   status, age, education.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

63

Are those issues or things that you would 1 consider mitigating circumstance? 2 I think there would be mitigating 3 Α circumstances, but I think if somebody only has a high school education or maybe dropped out of school in the tenth grade, that would not carry the same type of weight as he had been abused by these people and finally something snapped and he responded that these people had done something to seriously hurt somebody whom he loved or cared for. Again, they 10 would be mitigating circumstances, but if you had to 11 give them a one, which is very light consideration 12 to something that was very, very heavy, I might give 13 something like not having a high school education a 14 one, whereas his brother had been repeatedly abused 15 by these guys --1.6 O. Sure. 17 -- as maybe a seven or an eight. Α 18 That makes sense. Q Okay. 19 Mitigating circumstance, a reason to 20 choose life, sometimes this doesn't need to be 21 described, it's just what we call a gut feeling, 22 mercy or just something that you feel. 23 If there were other individuals who 24 differed from you with regard to their belief that a 25

Page: 5700

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

64

```
mitigating circumstances exist and you didn't see
   it, would you be able to respect other people's
   opinions with regard to that?
                     I wouldn't necessarily agree with
             Yeah.
   them, but I would not hold it against somebody else
 5
   if he or she disagreed with me.
             Why not?
 7
        Q
             Well, my wife is probably my biggest
 8
   advocate and disagrees with me all the time, and
   most of the time we disagree, she's right.
10
             Reasonable minds differ.
                                        I understand.
        Q
11
             Your relationship with Mr. Dushoff --
12
   actually, I think most all of us know him -- is that
13
   something that we should be concerned about?
14
                   He is an ex-son-in-law. We still
15
   maintain a relationship with him because we have a
1.6
   granddaughter, and we probably see him or speak to
17
   him once a month, and we stay very, very pleasant
18
   because it's to our granddaughter's benefit for us
19
   to always be on talking terms.
20
              So, you wouldn't hold it for or against us
        Q
21
   if some of us knew him or worked in the same type of
22
   businesses that he did?
23
24
        Α
              No.
                            Doctor, thank you for your
              MR. WHIPPLE:
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

65

```
time.
             And pass for cause, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Doctor, we're going to let you
 3
   know on Friday about 10:00 o'clock. You'll either
   report downstairs to the jury commissioner at
   10:00 o'clock on Friday.
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 39: In the jury room at
   10:00 o'clock on Friday?
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Right.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 39: Thank you.
10
              THE COURT: Counsel, come up here.
11
   to chat for a minute.
12
              (Sidebar conference outside the presence
13
   of the court reporter.)
14
              THE COURT: Does the State want to
15
   exercise their first peremptory challenge now?
16
             MR. DASKAS: We haven't thought about it.
17
             THE COURT: Take a few minutes.
18
             (Brief pause.)
19
             THE COURT: Who is your first peremptory
20
21
   challenge?
             MR. DASKAS: Your Honor, I just want to
22
   clarify, we're not going to waive the first one.
23
24
   and when we do waive, we don't waive the subsequent
25
   challenges.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

66

```
THE COURT: You only waive the individual
 1
   one at that time.
 2
             MR. DASKAS: We would exercise our first
 3
   peremptory on prospective No. 11-0034, Miss Yaskin.
              THE COURT: All right. We'll call up
 5
   another one to replace Miss Yaskin.
 6
             Who is that?
              THE BAILIFF: That would be Beverley
 8
   Jensen.
10
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40
11
              THE COURT: Miss Jensen, how are you
12
13
   doing?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 40: Fine.
14
              THE COURT: You were born in LA and
1.5
16
   married.
             How long have you been in Las Vegas?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Twenty-seven years.
1.8
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a housekeeper.
20
              THE COURT: What hotel do you work in?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for Westbrook
22
            I'm a private housekeeper at the owner's
23
   Homes.
   house.
24
              THE COURT: You're a private housekeeper
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

67

```
now.
         Okay.
             Has anyone in your family ever been
 2
   charged with a crime?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
              THE COURT:
                         Who?
 5
                                  My husband.
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
              THE COURT: What was he charged with?
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Something petty when
 8
   he was young.
                                            Nothing
              THE COURT: A long time ago.
10
11
   recent?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (Inaudible response.)
12
              THE COURT: Is that "No"?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
14
              THE COURT: You have to speak up, because
15
   she has to take down everything.
16
             Have you or anyone in your family ever
17
   been the victim of a crime?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Almost.
19
              THE COURT:
                          Who?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A couple years ago my
21
   husband and I were almost murdered on Thanksgiving
22
   when my friend was murdered.
23
                          Tell me what happened.
              THE COURT:
24
                                  We were invited over
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
for Thanksgiving, and that day, my friend's son
  murdered him and his friend just minutes before we
   showed up.
                                          You were
             THE COURT:
                         Wait a minute.
4
   invited over to your friend's house?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  For Thanksgiving.
6
             THE COURT: This is last Thanksgiving?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two years ago.
8
             THE COURT: Two years ago -- 2003.
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
10
             THE COURT: Before you guys got there, the
11
   son killed --
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Both men.
13
             THE COURT: Your friend?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And his friend.
15
             THE COURT: You guys were on your way over
16
   there or were supposed to be there?
17
                                  We were there just as
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
18
   the police started taping up the yard. It was just
19
   a matter of minutes.
20
              THE COURT: Do you know why he killed
21
   them?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  I didn't attend the
23
   trial; I just heard different things.
24
              THE COURT: What happened at the trial?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

69

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think he got 40
 1
   years on each count -- no, 20 years on each count.
2
             THE COURT: Did you know the son?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 4
                                  Yeah.
             THE COURT: Did he have any kind of
 5
  problems? Did he have mental problems or anything?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I always thought so.
7
             THE COURT: You did?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yeah.
 9
             THE COURT: Would that affect your ability
10
   to be fair in this case?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
12
             THE COURT: We're going to have to get
13
   past "I don't know," because we need to know before
14
   you're allowed to serve on the jury whether or not
15
   you can be fair.
16
             Now, you know the defendant here has been
17
   charged with or convicted of four counts of murder,
18
   so you'll have to decide the punishment.
19
             Do you understand that?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yeah.
21
             THE COURT: Do you think you can do that?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I really don't think
23
24
   so,
25
              THE COURT:
                         Why not?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

70

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because I couldn't
1
  judge my friend's son. I leave it in God's hands.
2
             THE COURT: Are you a religious person?
3
                                 To life and death I
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
4
   think I am.
5
             THE COURT: Are there any circumstances in
6
   which you could impose the death penalty?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If somebody killed one
8
   of mine.
             THE COURT: All right.
10
             You can see some circumstances where you
11
   can impose it; is that right?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess so.
13
             THE COURT: You said you're in favor of
14
   the death penalty; is that true?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Would you be able to consider
17
18 life in prison with or without parole?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess I could
19
   consider it.
20
              THE COURT: And you could consider a
21
   definite term of 50 years. Of course, let me tell
22
   you, this is for each count, plus, the penalties are
23
   doubled because a deadly weapon was used; so,
24
   really, 50 years is really a hundred years and
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
parole after 40 years.
1
              Do you understand that?
 2
             You have to speak up.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 4
              THE COURT: Could you consider that as a
 5
   punishment?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That would be awful
 7
   lightweight.
 8
              THE COURT: What now?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He killed four people.
10
              THE COURT: This is for each person.
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Oh.
12
              THE COURT: Do you understand what I'm
13
   saying?
14
                                  So, he would never be
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
15
   able to get out of jail is what you're saying?
16
              THE COURT: I don't know that.
                                               It depends
17
   on what the sentence is.
18
              Do you understand what I'm saying?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
20
              THE COURT: What I want to know is could
21
   you consider all four? You have to decide which one
22
   to impose, you and your fellow jurors.
23
              Do you understand that?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

(702) 455-3610

72

```
But you would have to be able
1
              THE COURT:
   to consider all of them and pick the one that's most
2
   appropriate based upon the facts and the evidence in
   the case.
             Do you understand that?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
 6
             THE COURT: Do you think you can do that?
7
                                  I could only try.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
8
             THE COURT: Well, is there any one of
 9
   these penalties that you don't think you can impose
10
   or that you would not consider?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Freedom.
12
              THE COURT: So, you don't think you can
13
   consider life with parole or for a definite term?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; I don't think he
15
   should ever get paroled.
16
              THE COURT: So, those two you couldn't
17
   consider under any circumstances?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
19
                         State?
              THE COURT:
20
             MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
21
22
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
23
24
   BY MR. DASKAS:
              Let me give you a slightly different
25
        Q
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

73

```
factual scenario, and you tell me what you think.
   Let's just imagine a case where someone has been
   convicted of first-degree murder but you learn that
   the reason the defendant killed the victim was
   because the victim had maybe hurt the defendant's
   daughter, raped his daughter, and months later the
   defendant -- the dad goes out and finds the guy who
   raped his daughter and kills him, so it's legally
   first-degree murder.
             How about that situation, might you
10
   consider parole in a situation like that?
11
             Yeah.
12
        Α
             So, you don't automatically exclude that
        Q
1.3
   in a first-degree murder conviction?
14
             No.
15
        A
             You might give it in a situation like
16
   that?
17
              Right.
18
        Α
             And you can imagine a situation, not
19
        Q
   necessarily this case, but where death would be
20
   appropriate?
21
22
        Α
              Right.
              So, you would consider that as well?
         Q
23
24
         A
              Yes.
              And can you imagine situations in between
25
         Q
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

74

```
where maybe someone shouldn't get death, maybe they
   didn't get parole, but at least they should get life
   in prison with no chance of getting out?
 3
             Can you imagine a situation like that?
             Yeah, but it's a waste of our money.
 5
        Α
 6
        0
             All right.
             Regardless of the economic impact, you can
 7
   imagine that might be an appropriate punishment; is
 8
   that true?
        Α
              Yes.
10
              As you sit here today as a potential juror
11
   on a murder case, you can consider all the possible
12
   punishments depending on the facts and circumstances
13
   of the case; is that true?
14
15
        Α
              Yes.
                          Thank you, ma'am.
              MR. DASKAS:
16
              We'll pass for cause, Judge.
17
18
                 EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE
19
   BY MS. JACKSON:
20
              Hi, Miss Jensen. You said it's a waste of
21
        Q
   our money when Mr. Daskas asked you about the life
22
   sentence.
23
              Why do you think that?
24
              All these people are in prison, and
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

75

```
they're never going to get out, and they've done
   horrible crimes, and they're living, some of them
   better than some of us out on the street.
             That's why you said in your Answer No. 4
4
        Q
   "If you said if they're guilty without question, put
5
   them to sleep."
             In your mind, put them to sleep is better?
7
8
        Α
             Yeah.
             Because it saves money?
9
        0
             Well -- plus --
10
        Α
             One of the reasons?
11
             There's no benefit out of their life
12
        Α
             They've ruined their lives.
13
   anymore.
             Are you saying that you believe that --
14
        Q
   like you say, when they're guilty without
15
   question -- that would be Mr. Johnson, he's guilty
16
   without question times four, brutal murder, horrible
17
   murder, tied up, bound with duct tape, shot in the
18
   back of the head -- you're going to have to look at
19
   pictures of that.
20
              That certainly would be guilty without
21
   question -- yes?
22
23
         Α
              Yes.
              The only punishment in your mind would be
24
   to put him to sleep?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

76

```
Yes.
 1
              You certainly wouldn't consider anything
   else?
 4
        Α
              No.
             MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, I challenge for
 5
 6
   cause.
                           She left out the most
 7
              MR. DASKAS:
   important part of the question, and that would be
 8
   mitigation.
              THE COURT:
                          Traverse her.
                                          Go on.
10
11
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
12
1.3
   BY MR. DASKAS:
              Understand in the death penalty hearing,
        Q
14
   the defense can, and the prosecution can, for that
15
   matter, present what we call mitigation, good
16
   things, if you will, about the defendant, reasons
17
   you might consider giving a sentence of life with or
18
   without parole. That could be any number of things.
19
   I'm not talking about this defendant, but in
20
   general -- maybe the defendants were abused sexually
21
   or physically or mentally or emotionally, maybe they
22
   weren't fed growing up, maybe they witnessed dad
23
   beating mom on a regular basis, maybe they witnessed
24
25
   a murder.
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

77

```
Can you imagine a situation where someone
1
   kills more than one person if you heard enough bad
2
   things about that person's life, tragic things,
3
   awful things, maybe you would consider parole?
             No.
5
        Α
             Not in any situation?
 6
        Q
             No.
 7
        Α
             MR. DASKAS: I'll submit it, Judge.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. She's excused.
 9
             You can go.
1.0
              THE COURT: Who's the next one, Pursley?
11
12
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41
13
              THE COURT: Mr. Pursley, I read that you
14
   said you were a single father.
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR 41: Yes, sir.
16
              THE COURT: You have custody of your
1.7
   daughter?
18
                                   Yes, I do.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
19
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sunstar Optical.
21
   an optical technician.
22
              THE COURT: You said you don't have any
23
   money in the bank and they don't pay you for being
24
   on the jury?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

78

```
I asked that this
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
1
  morning, and he won't do it, but the simple fact --
  like I said, no welfare or anything, I could barely
   pay for baby-sitting yesterday.
             THE COURT: We're going to excuse you on
5
   the basis of hardship.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you very much,
7
   your Honor. I appreciate that.
             THE COURT: Guys, let's come back tomorrow
 9
   at 10:00. We're going to be in recess until
10
   tomorrow at 10:00.
11
              (Evening recess taken at 4:45 p.m.)
12
              (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE
13
              CONCLUDED.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

79

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 STATE OF NEVADA) :SS COUNTY OF CLARK) 4 5 I, SONIA L. RILEY, CERTIFIED COURT 6 REPORTER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I TOOK DOWN IN 7 STENOTYPE ALL OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE BEFORE-ENTITLED MATTER AT THE TIME AND PLACE INDICATED, AND THAT THEREAFTER SAID STENOTYPE NOTES 10 WERE TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING AT AND UNDER MY 11 DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND THE FOREGOING 12 TRANSCRIPT CONSTITUTES A FULL, TRUE AND ACCURATE 13 RECORD TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY OF THE PROCEEDINGS 1.4 HAD. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO 1.6 SUBSCRIBED MY NAME IN MY OFFICE IN THE COUNTY OF 17 CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA. 18 19 20 21 22 SONIA L. RILEY, CCK 727 23 24 25

Page: 5716

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

120 H 200 M DISTRICT COURT 1 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2 2005 APR 21 P 12: 09 3 **ORIGINAL** THE STATE OF NEVADA, 5 6 PLAINTIFF, CASE NO.: C153154 7 VS. DONTE JOHNSON, 8 9 DEFENDANT. 10 REPORTER'S AMENDED COVER PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT 11 OF 12 HEARING: MOTION TO EXCLUDE PRIOR BAD ACTS 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE LEE A. GATES 15 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT VIII 16 17 18 DATED FRIDAY, APRIL 15, 2005 19 20 DAVID STANTON, ESQ. FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 21 ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ. 22 ALZORA B. JACKSON, ESQ. FOR THE DEFENDANT: DAVID SCHIEK, ESQ. 23 24 CHVED REPORTED BY: SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5717

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 STATE OF NEVADA) : SS COUNTY OF CLARK) 3 4 5 I, SONIA L. RILEY, CERTIFIED COURT 6 REPORTER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I TOOK DOWN IN 7 STENOTYPE ALL OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE 8 BEFORE-ENTITLED MATTER AT THE TIME AND PLACE INDICATED, AND THAT THEREAFTER SAID STENOTYPE NOTES 10 WERE TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING AT AND UNDER MY 11 DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND THE FOREGOING 12 TRANSCRIPT CONSTITUTES A FULL, TRUE AND ACCURATE 13 RECORD TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY OF THE PROCEEDINGS 14 15 HAD. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO 16 SUBSCRIBED MY NAME IN MY OFFICE IN THE COUNTY OF 17 CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA. 18 19 20 21 22 SONIA L. RILEY, CCP 727 23 24 25

Page: 5718

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

| 1 ORD 2005 AF 2 3 DISTRICT COURT  | PR 21 A 9 50 |
|---|--------------|
| 2   | 20.          |
| DISTRICT COURT  | Constant (   |
|   | 17.5 16.14 1 |
| 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA  |              |
| 5   | 1            |
| 6 STATE OF NEVADA   | VAL ,        |
| 7 Plaintiff, ) CASE NO.   | C153154      |
| ,   | AIII         |
| 9 DONTE JOHNSON,  |              |
| Defendant.  |              |
| 11  |              |
| ORDER TO PREPARE TRANSCRIPT   |              |
| 13  |              |
| 14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that LISA MAK   | OWSKI, CCR   |
| 15 345, prepare a transcript in the above-                                      | captioned    |
| matter heard on $4/9$ Ame $4/20$ A.M.   | 2005.        |
| FURTHER ORDERED, that said transcr  | ipt shall    |
| be prepared pursuant to Supreme Court R at State's expense with cost and fees a | ule 250 and  |
| at State's expense with cost and fees a   | ssessed      |
| 20 according to NRS 3.370.  |              |
| DATED THIS DAY OF   | ,2005.       |
| 22  |              |
| 23  | <del></del>  |
| DISTRICT COURT JUDG   | E            |
| 25  | رې ر         |

LISA MAKOWSKI, CCR 345
Page: 5719 455-4288

```
FILED
                       DISTRICT COURT
                    CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2005 APR 22 1 A 8:41
 2
 3
                           ORIGINAL
 4
   THE STATE OF NEVADA,
              PLAINTIFF,
 6
                                 CASE NO.: C153154
 7
   VS.
   DONTE JOHNSON,
 9
              DEFENDANT.
10
                   REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
11
                              OF
12
                        TRIAL BY JURY
13
                     (VOLUME III - P.M.)
14
15
          BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE LEE A. GATES
                    DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
                       DEPARTMENT VIII
              DATED THURSDAY, APRIL 21, 2005
21
                        ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ.
   FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                        DAVID STANTON, ESQ.
22
                        ALZORA B. JACKSON, ESQ.
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
23
                        BRET WHIPPLE, ESQ.
24
                                                            512
   REPORTED BY: SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727
25
         SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610
```

NSC Case No. 65168 - 4947

```
APPEARANCES:
2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                         ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ.
 3
                         DAVID STANTON, ESQ.
                         DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 4
                         200 South Third Street
                         Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 5
                          (702) \overline{455} -4711
 6
 7
       FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 8
                         ALZORA B. JACKSON, ESQ.
 9
                         BRET WHIPPLE, ESQ.
                         SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
10
                         333 South Third Street
                         Second Floor
11
                         Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
                          (702) 455-6265
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
I N D E X
 1
 2
                                                      PAGE
 3
                                                       4
 4
   VOIR DIRE (RESUMED)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
         SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610
                                                              3
```

NSC Case No. 65168 - 4949

```
1
              VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
 2
 3
              THE BAILIFF: Be seated, come to order.
   Court is again in session.
 4
              THE COURT: Who is next?
 5
 6
             Shriver, Vanessa Shriver.
 7
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR No. 95
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Miss Shriver, you're from
   California originally?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 95:
11
              THE COURT: How long have you been here --
12
13
   approximately nine years?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yes.
14
15
             THE COURT: Are you married?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
16
              THE COURT: Divorced?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18
             THE COURT: Never been married?
19
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
              THE COURT: But you have an adult
21
   daughter?
2.2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: Where does she go to college?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fullerton.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5723

```
THE COURT: Pardon?
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fullerton.
              THE COURT: Cal State Fullerton.
 3
             You don't remember hearing anything about
 4
   this case?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
              THE COURT: What kind of work do you do,
 7
   ma'am?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Transportation, Levi
 9
10
   Strauss.
              THE COURT: Are you a truck driver?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I work in the
12
   office.
13
             THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury
14
15 before?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
17
   family ever been charged with a crime before?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19
             THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your
20
   family ever been the victim of a crime?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22
              THE COURT: Have you had any friends or
23
   neighbors who have been the victim of a violent
24
25
   crime?
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

Page: 5724

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
1
             THE COURT: Now, you're being considered
2
   for service on this jury here to consider the
3
   penalty for the defendant Mr. Johnson there.
             Do you understand that?
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
6
             THE COURT: He's been convicted of four
7
   counts of first-degree murder, so you'll have to
                                        The four choices
   decide the appropriate punishment.
   you will have are the death penalty, life
10
   imprisonment without parole, life imprisonment with
11
   the possibility of parole or for a definite term of
12
   50 years with the possibility of parole after 20
13
   years, and those are double if a deadly weapon is
14
   used.
15
             Do you understand that?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Sort of.
17
             THE COURT: What do you mean "sort of"?
18
   What do you have a question about?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To be honest with you,
20
   I have a very weak stomach, and I can't really
21
   listen or see any really graphic thing, because I
22
   might just pass out. I just can't do it. I don't
23
   really understand all the --
24
              THE COURT: You don't think you understand
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
what now -- all the --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All the penalties.
2
             THE COURT: There's four.
3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
             THE COURT: I just told you.
                                            You can
5
   sentence a person to death, you and the fellow
   jurors -- you can sentence him to life in prison
   without the possibility of parole, you can sentence
   him to life in prison with the possibility of
   parole, and that would be after 40 years plus
10
   another 40 years -- excuse me -- it will be after 40
11
   years, because it's 20 years, and then it's double
12
   for the use of a deadly weapon.
13
             Do you understand that?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Okay.
15
             THE COURT: Or you can sentence him to 50
16
   years plus an additional 50 years for the use of a
17
   deadly weapon, and he would be eligible for parole
18
   after 40 years.
19
              Do you understand that?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Yeah.
21
              THE COURT: Are we clear? All right.
22
             Now, are you opposed to the death penalty?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In a way I am, because
2.4
   I don't know if I can sit through and hear
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

7

```
everything. I probably wouldn't pay attention
   because I wouldn't want to hear it.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. You're excused.
 4
 5
     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 97
 6
              THE COURT: Mr. Larson, how long have you
 7
  been in Las Vegas?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR 97: Seventeen years.
              THE COURT: Seventeen years.
 9
10
             What part of the country did you grow up
  in?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Southern California.
12
             THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm an Exxon retailer.
14
             THE COURT: What kind?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Exxon.
16
             THE COURT: Oh, retailer.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Gas service and
18
   automotive service center.
19
             THE COURT: You've never been on a jury
20
  before?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22
23
             THE COURT: You never worked for law
   enforcement before?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (702) 455-3610

```
THE COURT:
                         Do you recall hearing anything
   about this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My daughter went to
   school with one of the kids that was killed.
              THE COURT: Okay.
 5
 6
             Would that affect your ability to be fair
   and impartial in this case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
              THE COURT: The fact that your daughter
 9
   knew the victim, that wouldn't cause you to be
10
   biased or prejudiced against the defendant?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
12
                                  No.
              THE COURT: What are your views on the
13
   death penalty?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, that's a good
15
              It would depend on the facts and
16
   circumstances behind what was done. I'm not saying
   I'm for it or really against it.
18
              THE COURT: Well, first of all, the law
19
   requires that you be able to consider all four forms
20
   of punishment.
21
             So, are you opposed to the death penalty?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  No.
23
              THE COURT: Do you think under some
24
   circumstances you could impose the death penalty?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so, yes.
1
             THE COURT: Now, for a person that's been
2
   convicted of four counts of first-degree murder with
3
   a deadly weapon, could you consider life in prison
   without the possibility of parole?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I could.
 6
             THE COURT: Could you consider life in
7
   prison with the possibility of parole?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 9
             THE COURT: Could you consider a definite
10
   term of 50 years plus 50 years and parole
11
   eligibility after 40 years? Of course, this is for
12
   each count.
13
             Do you understand that?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, depending on the
15
   facts.
16
              THE COURT: Right, right, right.
17
   course, that's what you'll hear. You'll hear
18
   testimony from the State, you'll hear testimony from
19
   the defendant and his lawyers, witnesses and other
20
   evidence and evidence in mitigation of punishment,
21
   and you have to listen to all that evidence and
22
   decide which is the appropriate verdict according to
23
24
   the facts and the law.
              Do you think you can do that?
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure. THE COURT: What we don't want is people 2 to come in -- it's all right to think it, but the law requires that you have to be open to consider all four forms of punishment. Some people think for first-degree murder, there's only one punishment, and that is the death penalty, an eye for an eye. Of course, you understand that's not the 8 law? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. THE COURT: And of course, some people 11 think that some people shouldn't -- life in prison 12 without parole is the worst punishment, and that's 13 the one that's most befitting, and they won't 14 consider life with the possibility of parole or the 15 death penalty. Some people think that a person 16 should not be in prison forever at the taxpayer's 17 expense, so they either think you should get the 18 death penalty or life with the possibility of 19 parole. 20 Do you have any of those views? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'm not for either 22 one of them. 23 THE COURT: You can to listen to the 24 evidence and the facts and the circumstances before 25

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727 (

(702) 455-3610

11

```
you make a decision?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                  Right.
 2
              THE COURT:
 3
                         Okay.
              State?
 4
              MR. STANTON: Thank you, your Honor.
 5
 6
                  EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
 7
   BY MR. STANTON:
              Good afternoon, Mr. Larson.
 9
              Hi.
        Α
10
              The questionnaire that you filled out
11
   about a week ago -- do you remember that?
12
        Α
              Yes.
13
              There's a couple of questions that you
14
   didn't answer, and I would like to raise them with
15
   you briefly here.
16
              One of the questions, No. 24, was what
17
   your feelings about the criminal justice system are.
18
              Do you have any strong feelings or
19
   feelings about the system that we deal with in
20
   criminal matters?
21
              No, I don't have any strong feelings.
22
              Do you think it works well, poorly?
23
              I think it works well.
24
              And you mentioned, I think to the Judge's
25
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

12

```
questions, no one either in your business or close
 1
    family members or friends have ever been a victim of
   a crime.
 3
 4
         Α
              What do you mean "a victim of a crime"?
 5
   I've been burglarized.
              That's precisely --
 6
         Q
 7
         A
              My business has been broke into.
              Was that here in Las Vegas?
 8
 9
              Yes.
         Α
10
              As a result of those experiences, did
11
   you -- being the victim of a crime, do you harbor
12
   any resentment either for the State or for the
   defense in this matter?
13
14
         Α
              No.
15
              They're unrelated to that?
         Q
              THE COURT:
16
                          Have you ever been the victim
17
   of a robbery, an armed robbery, your business?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, never armed
19
   robbery.
2.0
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
21
   BY MR. STANTON:
              You said that as the Judge gave you the
22
23
   four options that are potential punishments in this
24
   case, your mind is open, as you sit here today, as
25
   to those potential punishments possibly being
```

SONIA L. RILEY, CCR NO. 727

Page: 5732

13

Docket 65168 Document 2015-01026

|  | 1                               |               | IN THE SUPREME                                       | COURT OF NEVADA   |           |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------|--|-------------------|-----------|
|  | 2                               | DONTE JO      | HNSON,   | CASE NO. 65168    |           |
|  | 3                               |               | Appellant,   |                   |           |
|  | 4                               | vs.           |  |                   |           |
|  | 5                               | THE STAT      | E OF NEVADA  |                   |           |
|  | 6                               |               | Respondent.  |                   |           |
|  | 7                               |               |  |                   |           |
|  | 8                               |               | OPENING BRII   | EF APPENDIX       |           |
|  | 9                               | <u>VOLUME</u> | <b>PLEADING</b>                                      |                   | PAGE NO   |
|  | 10                              | 7             | ADDENDUM TO NOTICE OF                                |                   |           |
| OR<br>3  | 11<br>12                        |               | SUPPORT OF AGGRAVATING (FILED 04/26/2000)            | G CIRCUMS I ANCES | 1733-1734 |
| ER R. ORAM, LTD. STREET! SECOND FLOOR S, NEVADA 89101 63   FAX. 702.974-0623   | 13                              | 6             | AFFIDAVIT OF JOSEPH S. SC<br>OF THE MOTION TO CONTIN |                   |           |
| ORAM, 1<br>F1 SECC<br>ADA 893<br>XX. 702.9   | 14                              |               | (FILED 12/14/1999)                                   | VOL.              | 1428-1433 |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. COUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOC LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623 | 15                              | 19            | AMENDED EX PARTE ORDE WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNI          |                   |           |
| <b>Снкізторн</b><br>SOUTH 4 <sup>тн</sup><br>Las Vega<br>702.384-55  | 16                              |               | MATERIAL WITNESS CHARI<br>(FILED 08/24/2000)         | LA SEVERS         | 4585      |
| <b>CH</b><br>520 SOU<br>L<br>TEL. 70   | 17                              | 7             | AMENDED JURY LIST                                    |                   | 1922      |
| 4,   | 18                              | 8             | (FILED 06/06/2000)  AMENDED JURY LIST                |                   | 1823      |
|  | 19                              | O             | (FILED 06/08/2000)                                   |                   | 2131      |
|  | 20                              | 3             | AMENDED NOTICE OF MOT<br>TO VIDEOTAPE THE DEPOSI     |                   |           |
|  | 21                              |               | CHARLA SEVERS<br>(FILED 10/08/1999)                  |                   | 659-681   |
|  | 22                              | 31            | APPELLANT'S OPENING BRI                              | EF                |           |
|  | 23                              |               | (FILED 02/03/2006)                                   |                   | 7174-7225 |
|  |                                 | 19            | CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (FILED 11/08/2000)             |                   | 4651-4653 |
|  | <ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul> | 42            | CASE APPEAL STATEMENT                                |                   | 9200 9202 |
|  |                                 | 31            | (FILED 03/06/2014)  APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF          |                   | 8200-8202 |
|  | 28                              | . · ·         | (FILED 05/25/2006)                                   |                   | 7254-7283 |
|  |                                 |               |  |                   |           |
|  |                                 |               |  |                   |           |

CERTIFICATE FOR ATTENDANCE OF OUT 1 OF STATE WITNESS CHARLA CHENIQUA SEVERS AKA KASHAWN HIVES 2 (FILED 09/21/1999) 585-606 3 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OF EXHIBITS (FILED 04/17/2000) 1722 4 19 CERTIFICATION OF COPY 5 **DECISION AND ORDER** 6 (FILED 04/18/2000) 1723-1726 DEFENDANT JOHNSON'S MOTION TO SET BAIL 7 (FILED 10/05/1998) 294-297 8 DEFENDANT'S MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION 6 TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE ILLEGALLY SEIZED 9 (FILED 12/03/1999) 1340-1346 10 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE (FILED 11-29-1999) 1186-1310 11 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF ANY 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 POSSIBLE BASIS FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF **DISTRICT ATTORNEY** CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 13 (FILED 11/29/1999) 1102-1110 14 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE PERTAINING TO THE 15 IMPACT OF THE DEFENDANT'S EXECUTION UPON VICTIM'S FAMILY MEMBERS 16 (FILED 11/29/19999) 1077-1080 TEL. 17 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION FROM THE JURY VENUE OF ALL POTENTIAL JURORS 18 WHO WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY IF THEY FOUND MR. JOHNSON GUILTY OF 19 **CAPITAL MURDER** (FILED 11/29/1999) 1073-1076 20 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF 21 POLICE OFFICER'S PERSONNEL FILES (FILED 11/29/1999) 1070-1072 22 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR JURY QUESTIONNAIRE 23 (FILED 11/29/1999) 1146-1172 24 15 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL (FILED 06/23/2000) 3570-3597 25 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO 5 26 FILED OTHER MOTIONS (FILED 11/29/1999) 1066-1069 27 DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE FOR ORDER 28 PROHIBITING PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT IN **ARGUMENT** (FILED 11/29/1999) 967-1057

|  | 1  | 4  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING<br>CO-DEFENDANT'S SENTENCES<br>(FILED 11/29/1999)           | 964-966   |
|--|----|----|--|-----------|
|  | 2  | 4  |  | 704-700   |
|  | 3  | 4  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE<br>EVIDENCE OF WITNESS INTIMIDATION<br>(FILED 10/27/1999) | 776-780   |
|  | 4  | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PROHIBIT   |           |
|  | 5  |    | ANY REFERENCES TO THE FIRST PHASE A THE "GUILT PHASE" (FILED 11/29/1999)                           | 1063-1065 |
|  | 7  | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ALLOW THE DEFENSE  | 1005 1005 |
|  | 8  | 5  | TO ARGUE LAST AT THE PENALTY PHASE (FILED 11/29/1999)  | 1058-1062 |
|  | 9  | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO AUTHENTICATE AND   |           |
|  | 10 |    | FEDERALIZE ALL MOTIONS, OBJECTIONS, REQUESTS AND OTHER APPLICATIONS AND ISSUES RAISED IN           |           |
|  | 11 |    | THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED CASE (FILED 11/29/1999)                                      | 1081-1083 |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623  | 12 | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO BIFURCATE PENALTY PHASE  |           |
|  | 13 |    | (FILED 11/29/1999)   | 1142-1145 |
| <b>R. Ora</b><br>Eet   S<br>Ievada<br> Fax. 7  | 14 | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY BECAUSE               |           |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD<br>SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND F<br>LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101<br>702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974- | 15 |    | NEVADA'S DEATH PENALTY STATUTE IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL   |           |
| CHRIS'SOUTH<br>LAS'  | 16 |    | (FILED 11/29/1999)   | 1115-1136 |
| 520 S  |    | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE AUTOPSY PHOTOGRAPHS  |           |
|  | 18 |    | (FILED 11/29/1999)   | 1098-1101 |
|  | 19 | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE<br>OF ALLEGED CO-CONSPIRATORS STATEMENTS                   |           |
|  | 20 |    | (FILED 11/29/1999)   | 1091-1097 |
|  | 21 | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT THE USE OF PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES TO EXCLUDE JURORS                  |           |
|  | 22 |    | WHO EXPRESS CONCERNS ABOUT CAPITAL PUNISHMENT  |           |
|  | 23 |    | (FILED 11/29/1999)   | 1084-1090 |
|  | 24 | 5  | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REQUIRE PROSECUTOR TO STATE REASONS FOR EXERCISING PEREMPTORY                |           |
|  | 25 |    | CHALLENGES   | 1137-1141 |
|  | 26 | 10 | (FILED 11/29/1999)   | 1137-1141 |
|  | 27 | 19 | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEATH SENTENCE OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION                        |           |
|  | 28 |    | TO SETTLE RECORD<br>(FILED 09/05/2000)   | 4586-4592 |
|  |    |    |  |           |

|   | 1     | 1  |   |            |
|---|-------|----|---|------------|
|   |       |    |   |            |
|   | 4     | 3  | DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO STATE'S MOTION TO   |            |
|   | 1 2   |    | VIDEOTAPE THE DEPOSITION OF CHARLA SEVERS (FILED 10/06/1999)                                    | 650-658    |
|   | 3     | 3  | DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO WITNESS SEVER'S MOTION TO VIDEOTAPE THE DEPOSITION OF                 |            |
|   | 4     |    | CHARLA SEVERS<br>(FILED 10/12/1999)   | 686-694    |
|   | 5     | 43 | COURT MINUTES   | 8285 -8536 |
|   | 6     | 5  | DONTE JOHNSON'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE THE INTRODUCTION OF VICTIM                         |            |
|   | 7     |    | IMPACT EVIDENCE<br>(FILED 11/29/1999)   | 1111-1114  |
|   | 8     | 2  | EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO   |            |
|   | 9     |    | PRODUCE<br>(FILED 05/21/1999)   | 453-456    |
|   | 11    | 2  | EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO PRODUCE JUVENILE RECORDS                                      |            |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623   | 12    |    | (FILED 05/14/1999)  | 444-447    |
|   | 13    | 2  | EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO PRODUCE JUVENILE RECORDS                                      | 440, 450   |
| CORAI   | 14    | _  | (FILED 05/14/1999)  | 448-452    |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD<br>SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND  <br>LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101<br>. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974 | 15    | 2  | EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER REQUIRING<br>MATERIAL WITNESS TO POST BAIL<br>(FILED 04/30/1999) | 419-422    |
| HRIST<br>DUTH<br>LAS V<br>702.38  | 16    |    | EX PARTE APPLICATION TO APPOINT DR. JAMES   | T1) T22    |
| C<br>520 SC<br>TEL. 7   | 17    | 2  | JOHNSON AS EXPERT AND FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY MAXIMUM                                   |            |
|   | 18    |    | (FILED 06/18/1999)  | 493-498    |
|   |       | 19 | EX PARTE MOTION FOR RELEASE OF EVIDENCE (FILED 10/05/2000)                                      | 4629       |
|   | 20 21 | 15 | EX PARTE MOTION TO ALLOW FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY MAXIMUM FOR ATTORNEY ON                    |            |
|   | 22    |    | COURT APPOINTED CASE FOR MATERIAL WITNESS CHARLA SEVERS   |            |
|   | 23    |    | (FILED 06/28/2000)  | 3599-3601  |
|   | 24    | 15 | EX PARTE MOTION TO WITHDRAWAL AS<br>ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR MATERIAL WITNESS                     |            |
|   | 25    |    | CHARLA SEVERS<br>(FILED 06/20/2000)   | 3557-3558  |
|   | 26    | 15 | EX PARTE ORDER ALLOWING FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY MAXIMUM FOR ATTORNEY ON                     |            |
|   | 27    |    | COURT APPOINTED CASE FOR MATERIAL WITNESS CHARLA SEVERS   |            |
|   | 28    |    | (FILED 06/28/2000)  | 3602       |
|   |       |    |   |            |

EX PARTE ORDER ALLOWING WITHDRAWAL OF 15 1 ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR MATERIAL WITNESS **CHARLA SEVERS** 2 3559 (FILED 06/20/2000) 3 42 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 4 (FILED 03/17/2014) 8185-8191 5 42 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 6 (FILED 03/17/2014) 8192-8199 7 **INDICTMENT** (FILED 09/02/1998) 1-10 8 10 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 9 2529-2594 (FILED 06/09/2000) INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 15 10 (FILED 06/16/2000) 3538-3556 11 26 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 6152-6168 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 19 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. (FILED 10/03/2000) 4619-4623 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 30 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION 14 (FILED 06/06/2005) 7142-7145 15 19 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (FILED 10/09/2000) 4631-4635 16 **JURY LIST** TEL. 17 (FILED 06/06/2000) 1822 18 MEDIA REQUEST (FILED 09/15/1998) 274 19 MEDIA REQUEST 20 (FILED 09/15/1998 276 21 2 MEDIA REQUEST (09/28/1998)292 22 MEMORANDUM FOR PRODUCTION OF 23 **EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE** (FILED 05/12/1999) 432-439 24 MEMORANDUM FOR PRODUCTION OF 25 **EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE** (FILED 09/20/1999) 577-584 26 MEMORANDUM IN PURSUANT FOR A CHANGE 27 OF VENUE (FILED 09/07/1999) 570-574 28

MEMORANDUM IN PURSUANT FOR A MOTION 1 TO DISMISS INDICTMENT (FILED 11/02/1999) 783-786 2 MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF GRANTING STAY 17 3 (FILED 07/18/2000) 4149-4152 4 17 MEMORANDUM REGARDING A STAY OF THE PENALTY PROCEEDINGS 5 (FILED 07/19/2000) 4160-4168 6 17 MEMORANDUM REGARDING THE THREE JUDGE **PANEL** 7 (FILED 07/12/2000) 4102-4110 8 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT (FILED 03/23/1999) 394-399 9 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT 10 499-504 (FILED 06/28/1999) 11 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT (FILED 12/22/1999) 1457-1458 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT 13 (FILED 12/29/1999) 1492-1495 14 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT (FILED 02/02/2000) 1625-1631 15 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT 16 (FILED 04/04/2000) 1693-1711 TEL. 17 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT 1715-1721 (FILED 04/11/2000) 18 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT FOR REQUEST 19 OF MOTION TO BE FILED 1652-1653 (FILED 02/24/2000) 20 MEMORANDUM TO THE COURT FOR REQUESTED 21 MOTION TO BE FILED BY COUNSELS (FILED 11/15/1999) 956-960 22 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR DISCOVERY 23 OF PROSECUTION FILES, RECORDS, AND INFORMATION NECESSARY TO A FAIR TRIAL 24 (FILED 04/26/2000) 1727-1732 25 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ANY MEDIA COVERAGE OF VIDEO 26 **DEPOSITION OF CHARLA SEVERS** (FILED 10/26/1999) 769-775 27 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION IN LIMINE 28 TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES OR **BAD ACTS** 699-704 (FILED 10/18/1999)

| 1 2      | 3   | MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF OTHER GUNS WEAPONS AND AMMUNITION NOT USED IN THE CRIME (FILED 10/19/1999) | 743-756   |
|----------|---|--|---|
| 3        | 2   | MOTION FOR DISCOVERY<br>(FILED 05/13/1999)   | 440-443   |
| 5        | 5   | MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND EVIDENTIARY HEARING REGARDING THE MANNER AND  |   |
| 6        |   | METHOD OF DETERMINING IN WHICH MURDER CASES THE DEATH PENALTY WILL SOUGHT  | 1181-1185   |
|          | 17  | MOTION FOR IMPOSITION OF LIFE WITHOUT THE  |   |
|          |   | ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO EMPANEL JURY FOR  |   |
| 10       |   | OF EVIDENCE MATERIAL TO CONSTITUTIONALITY  |   |
| 11       |   | (FILED 07/10/2000)   | 4019-4095   |
| 12       | 6   | MOTION FOR OWN RECOGNIZANCE RELEASE<br>OF MATERIAL WITNESS CHARLA SEVERS<br>(FILED 01/11/2000)   | 1496-1500   |
|          | 5   | MOTION TO APPLY HEIGHTENED STANDARD OF   |   |
| 15       |   | STATE IS SEEKING THE DEATH PENALTY (FILED 11/29/1999)  | 1173-1180   |
| 16<br>17 | 2   | MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINTMENT OF ALTERNATE COUNSEL   | 402,400   |
|          | 2   |  | 403-408   |
| 19       | 2   | AND SUBSTANCE OF EXPECTATIONS, OR ACTUAL RECEIPT OF BENEFITS OR PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT   |   |
| 20       |   | FOR COOPERATION WITH PROSECUTION (FILED 06/29/1999)  | 511-515   |
| 21       | 3   | MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXISTENCE   |   |
| 22       |   | RECEIPT OF BENEFITS OR PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT FOR COOPERATION WITH PROSECUTION   |   |
|          |   | (10/19/1999)   | 738-742   |
|          | 2   | ALL STATEMENTS OF THE DEFENDANT  | 516 520   |
| 26       | 3   |  | 516-520   |
| 27       |   | AND ALL STATEMENTS OF THE DEFENDANT (FILED 10/19/1999)   | 727-731   |
| 28       | 2   | MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL<br>(FILED 06/16/1999)   | 481-484   |
|          | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 3 2 4 5 6 7 8 17 9 10 11 12 6 13 14 5 15 16 2 17 18 2 19 20 21 3 22 23 24 2 23 24 2 25 26 3 27   | PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF OTHER GUNS WEAPONS AND AMMUNITION NOT USED IN THE CRIME (FILED 10/19/1999)  MOTION FOR DISCOVERY (FILED 05/13/1999)  MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND EVIDENTIARY HEARING REGARDING THE MANNER AND METHOD OF DETERMINING IN WHICH MURDER CASES THE DEATH PENALTY WILL SOUGHT (FILED 11/29/1999)  MOTION FOR IMPOSITION OF LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE SENTENCE; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO EMPANEL JURY FOR SENTENCING HEARING AND/OR FOR DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE MATERIAL TO CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THREE JUDGE PANEL PROCEDURE (FILED 07/10/2000)  MOTION FOR OWN RECOGNIZANCE RELEASE OF MATERIAL WITNESS CHARLA SEVERS (FILED 01/11/2000)  MOTION TO APPLY HEIGHTENED STANDARD OF REVIEW AND CARE IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE STATE IS SEEKING THE DEATH PENALTY (FILED 11/29/1999)  MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINTMENT OF ALTERNATE COUNSEL (FILED 04/01/1999)  MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXISTENCE AND SUBSTANCE OF EXPECTATIONS, OR ACTUAL RECEIPT OF BENEFITS OR PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT FOR COOPERATION WITH PROSECUTION (FILED 06/29/1999)  MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXISTENCE AND SUBSTANCE OF EXPECTATIONS, OR ACTUAL RECEIPT OF BENEFITS OR PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT FOR COOPERATION WITH PROSECUTION (FILED 06/29/1999)  MOTION TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF ANY AND SUBSTANCE OF EXPECTATIONS, OR ACTUAL RECEIPT OF BENEFITS OF THE DEFENDANT (FILED 06/29/1999)  MOTION TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF ANY AND ALL STATEMENTS OF THE DEFENDANT (FILED 06/29/1999)  MOTION TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF ANY AND ALL STATEMENTS OF THE DEFENDANT (FILED 10/19/1999)  MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL |

MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL 1 (FILED 12/16/1999) 1441-1451 2 MOTION TO PROCEED PRO PER WITH CO-COUNSEL AND INVESTIGATOR 3 (FILED 05/06/1999) 429-431 4 2 MOTION TO REVEAL THE IDENTITY OF INFORMANTS AND REVEAL ANY BENEFITS, DEALS, PROMISES OR 5 **INDUCEMENTS** (FILED 06/29/1999) 505-510 6 MOTION TO REVEAL THE IDENTITY OF INFORMANTS 7 AND REVEAL ANY BENEFITS, DEALS, PROMISES OR INDUCEMENTS 8 (FILED 10/19/1999) 732-737 9 19 MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEATH SENTENCE OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO SETTLE RECORD 10 4593-4599 (FILED 09/05/2000) 11 MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL AND APPOINT OUTSIDE COUNSEL 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 (02/10/1999)380-384 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 19 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED 11/08/2000) 4647-4650 14 NOTICE OF APPEAL 42 15 (FILED 03/06/2014) 8203-8204 16 NOTICE OF DEFENDANT'S EXPERT WITNESSES (FILED 05/15/2000) 1753-1765 TEL. 17 42 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT. 18 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER (FILED 03/21/2014) 8184 19 NOTICE OF EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF 20 AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES (FILED 06/11/1999) 460-466 21 NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES 22 (FILED 11/17/1999) 961-963 23 NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY (09/15/1998) 271-273 24 25 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO PERMIT DNA TESTING OF THE CIGARETTE BUTT FOUND AT THE 26 CRIME SCENE BY THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT FORENSIC LABORATORY OR 27 BY AN INDEPENDENT LABORATORY WITH THE RESULTS OF THE TEST TO BE SUPPLIED TO BOTH THE 28 DEFENSE AND THE PROSECUTION (FILED 08/19/1999) 552-561

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO VIDEOTAPE 1 THE DEPOSITION OF CHARLA SEVERS (FILED 09/29/1999) 622-644 2 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO VIDEOTAPE 3 THE DEPOSITION OF MYSELF CHARLA SEVERS (10/11/1999 682-685 4 17 NOTICE OF MOTION AND STATE'S MOTION IN LIMINE 5 SUMMARIZING THE FACTS ESTABLISHED DURING THE GUILT PHASE OF THE DONTE JOHNSON TRIAL 6 (FILED 07/14/2000) 4111-4131 7 NOTICE OF WITNESSES (FILED 08/24/1999) 562-564 8 NOTICE OF WITNESSES 9 (FILED 12/08/1999) 1425-1427 10 NOTICE OF WITNESSES AND OF EXPERT WITNESSES PURSUANT TO NRS 174.234 11 (FILED 11/09/1999) 835-838 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 19 NOTICE TO TRANSPORT FOR EXECUTION (FILED 10/03/2000) 4628 13 **OPINION** 31 14 (FILED 12/28/2006) 7284-7307 15 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF ANY POSSIBLE BASIS FOR 16 DISQUALIFICATION OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY (FILED 12/06/1999) 1366-1369 TEL. 17 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR 18 DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE PERTAINING TO THE IMPACT OF THE DEFENDANT'S 19 EXECUTION UPON VICTIM'S FAMILY MEMBERS (FILED 12/06/1999) 1409-1411 20 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR 21 DISCOVERY AND EVIDENTIARY HEARING REGARDING THE MANNER AND METHOD OF 22 DETERMINING IN WHICH MURDER CASES THE DEATH PENALTY WILL BE SOUGHT 23 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1383-1385 24 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION FROM THE JURY VENIRE OF 25 ALL POTENTIAL JURORS WHO WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY IF THEY FOUND 26 MR. JOHNSON GUILTY OF CAPITAL MURDER 1380-1382 (FILED 12/06/1999) 27 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR 28 INSPECTION OF POLICE OFFICERS' PERSONNEL FILES (FILED 12/06/1999) 1362-1365

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD.

OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION 1 TO FILE OTHER MOTIONS (FILED 12/06/1999) 1356-1358 2 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE 3 FOR ORDER PROHIBITING PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT IN ARGUMENT 4 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1397-1399 5 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE THE INTRODUCTION OF VICTIM 6 IMPACT EVIDENCE (FILED 12/06/1999) 1400-1402 7 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE 8 TO PROHIBIT ANY REFERENCES TO THE FIRST PHASE AS THE "GUILTY PHASE" 9 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1392-1393 10 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ALLOW THE DEFENSE TO ARGUE LAST AT THE PENALTY 11 **PHASE** (FILED 12/06/1999) 1386-1388 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO APPLY CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 13 HEIGHTENED STANDARD OF REVIEW AND CARE IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE STATE IS SEEKING 14 THE DEATH PENALTY (FILED 12/06/1999) 1370-1373 15 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO 16 AUTHENTICATE AND FEDERALIZE ALL MOTIONS **OBJECTIONS REQUESTS AND OTHER APPLICATIONS** TEL. 17 AND ISSUES RAISED IN THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED CASE 18 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1394-1396 19 6 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO BIFURCATE PENALTY PHASE 20 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1359-1361 21 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS 6 STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY 22 BECAUSE NEVADA'S DEATH PENALTY STATUTE IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL 23 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1403-1408 24 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE **AUTOPSY PHOTOGRAPHS** 25 (FILED 1206/1999) 1377-1379 26 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED CO-CONSPIRATORS 27 **STATEMENTS** (FILED 12/06/1999) 1374-1376 28

|  | 1      | 6  | OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT THE USE OF PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES TO EXCLUDE JURORS WHO EXPRESS CONCERNS ABOUT CAPITAL |            |
|--|--------|----|--|------------|
|  | 2      |    | PUNISHMENT<br>(FILED 12/06/1999)   | 1389-1391  |
|  | 3      | 6  | OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REQUIRE  | 100, 10, 1 |
|  | 4      | O  | PROSECUTOR TO STATE REASONS FOR EXERCISING PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES   |            |
|  | 5      |    | (FILED 12/06/1999)   | 1415-1417  |
|  | 6<br>7 | 3  | OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE TO PERMIT THE STATE TO PRESENT "THE COMPLETE STORY OF THE COMPLETE STORY OF THE COMPLETE."          |            |
|  | 8      |    | CRIME"<br>(FILED 07/02/1999)   | 524-528    |
|  | 9      | 4  | OPPOSITION TO MOTION INN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF OTHER GUNS, WEAPONS AND  |            |
|  | 10     |    | AMMUNITION NOT USED IN THE CRIME (FILED 11/04/1999)  | 791-800    |
|  | 11     | 6  | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL   | 1424 14440 |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623 | 12     |    | (FILED 12/16/1999)   | 1434-14440 |
|  | 13     | 6  | ORDER<br>(FILED 12/02/1999)  | 1338-1339  |
| RISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTI<br>JTH 4 <sup>th</sup> Street   Second<br>AS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101<br>2.384-5563   FAX. 702.974           |        | 15 | ORDER<br>(FILED 06/22/2000)  | 3568       |
| : OPHER<br>4 <sup>тн</sup> S1<br>7EGAS,<br>.4-5563   | 15     | 17 | ORDER  |            |
| CHRIST<br>OUTH<br>LAS V<br>702.38  | 16     | 6  | (FILED 07/20/2000)<br>ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL FOR MATERIAL  | 4169-4170  |
| <b>,</b> 520 S   | 17     | O  | WITNESS CHARLA SEVERS<br>(FILED 12/02/1998)  | 1337       |
|  | 18     | 2  | ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SET  |            |
|  | 19     |    | BAIL (FILED 10/20/1998)  | 378-379    |
|  | 20     | 10 | ORDER FOR CONTACT VISIT  | 310 317    |
|  | 21     | 10 | (FILED 06/12/2000)   | 2601-2602  |
|  | 22     | 17 | ORDER FOR CONTACT VISIT  | 4173-4174  |
|  | 23     |    | (FILED 07/20/2000)   | 41/3-41/4  |
|  | 24     | /  | ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE MELVIN ROYAL (FILED 05/10/2000)   | 1001 1002  |
|  | 25     |    | (FILED 05/19/2000)   | 1801-1802  |
|  | 26     | 7  | ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE SIKIA SMITH (FILED 05/08/2000)  | 1743-1744  |
|  | 27     | 7  | ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE TERRELL YOUNG   |            |
|  | 28     |    | (FILED 05/12/2000)   | 1751-1752  |
|  |        |    |  |            |

19 ORDER FOR RELEASE OF EVIDENCE 1 (FILED 10/05/2000) 4630 2 19 ORDER TO STAY OF EXECUTION (10/26/2000)4646 3 ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT 4 (FILED 09/09/1999) 575-576 5 ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPTS (FILED 06/16/1999) 486-487 6 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY 7 (FILED 09/15/1998) 275 8 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY (FILED 09/15/1998) 277 9 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY 10 (FILED 09/28/1998) 293 11 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY (FILED 01/13/2000) 1610-1611 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 19 ORDER OF EXECUTION LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 10/03/2000) 4627 14 ORDER REQUIRING MATERIAL WITNESS TO POST BAIL OR BE COMMITTED TO CUSTODY 15 (FILED 04/30/1999) 423-424 16 ORDER TO PRODUCE JUVENILE RECORDS (FILED 05/31/2000) 1805-1806 TEL. 17 2 ORDER TO TRANSPORT (FILED 03/16/1999) 392-393 18 ORDER TO TRANSPORT 19 (FILED 03/25/1999) 400-401 20 3 ORDER TO TRANSPORT (FILED 07/27/1999) 549-550 21 ORDER TO TRANSPORT 22 (FILED 08/31/1999) 567-568 23 ORDER TO TRANSPORT (FILED 10/18/1999) 708-709 24 PAGE VERIFICATION SHEET 15 25 (FILED 06/22/2000) 3569 26 2 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 03/29/1999) 402 27 RECEIPT OF COPY 28 (06/16/1999) 485

RECEIPT OF COPY 1 (FILED 06/29/1999) 521 2 3 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 06/29/1999) 522 3 RECEIPT OF COPY 4 (FILED 0629/1999) 523 5 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 07/02/1999) 529 6 RECEIPT OF COPY 7 (FILED 07/28/1999) 551 8 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 09/01/1999) 569 9 RECEIPT OF COPY 10 (FILED 10/18/1999) 710 11 RECEIPT OF COPY 3 (FILED 10/18/1999) 711 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 RECEIPT OF COPY CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 10/19/1999) 757 14 3 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 10/19/1999) 758 15 RECEIPT OF COPY 16 (FILED 10/19/1999) 759 17 3 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 10/19/1999) 760 18 RECEIPT OF COPY 19 (FILED 10/19/1999) 761 20 4 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 10/27/1999) 781 21 RECEIPT OF COPY 22 (FILED 11/30/1999) 1311-1313 23 RECEIPT OF COPY 6 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1418-1420 24 RECEIPT OF COPY 25 (FILED 01/11/2000) 1501 26 RECEIPT OF COPY 27 (FILED 01/12/2000) 1502 28 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 03/31/2000) 1692

RECEIPT OF COPY 1 (FILED 04/27/2000) 1735 2 RECEIPT OF COPY 14 (FILED 06/14/2000) 3248 3 RECEIPT OF COPY 15 4 (FILED 06/23/2000) 3598 5 17 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 07/10/2000) 4101 6 17 RECEIPT OF COPY 7 (FILED 07/20/2000) 4171 8 17 RECEIPT OF COPY (FILED 07/20/2000) 4172 9 RECEIPT OF COPY 19 10 (FILED 09/06/2000) 4600 11 19 RECEIPT OF EXHIBITS (FILED 10/18/2000) 4645 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD, 40 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 **HEARING** (FILED 04/11/2013) 7972-8075 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY 41 15 **HEARING** (FILED 04/11/2013) 8076-8179 16 41 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY TEL. 17 **HEARING** (FILED 04/11/2013) 8180-8183 18 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 42 19 **EVIDENTIARY HEARING** 8207-8209 (FILED 09/18/2013) 20 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING STATUS 42 21 **CHECK** (FILED 01/15/2014) 8205-8206 22 37 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 23 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO RESCHEDULE EVIDENTIARY HEARING 24 (FILED 10/29/2012) 7782-7785 25 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 42 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR 26 TO RESCHEDULE EVIDENTIARY HEARING (FILED 04/29/2013) 8281-8284 27 42 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 28 **EVIDENTIARY HEARING** (FILED 06/26/2013) 8210-8280

37 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS 1 CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING (FILED 10/01/2012) 7786-7788 2 37 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS 3 CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING (FILED 07/12/2012) 7789-7793 4 37 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS 5 CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 6 (FILED 03/21/2012) 7794-7797 7 37 REPLY BRIEF ON MR. JOHNSON'S INITIAL TRIAL **ISSUES** 8 (FILED 08/22/2011) 7709-7781 9 REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE 4 TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF OTHER GUNS. 10 WEAPONS AND AMMUNITION NOT USED IN THE CRIME 11 (FILED 11/15/1999) 950-955 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 17 REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL (FILED 07/10/2000) 4096-4100 13 36 REPLY TO THE STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S 14 PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST-CONVICTION, DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF, 15 AND SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST 16 CONVICTION (FILED 06/01/2011) 7672-7706 TEL. 17 REPLY TO STATE'S OPPOSITION REGARDING THREE 15 18 JUDGE PANEL (FILED 07/18/2000) 4153-4159 19 REPLY TO STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO 20 **SUPPRESS** (FILED 02/16/2000) 1632-1651 21 19 REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TI SET 22 ASIDE DEATH SENTENCE OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO SETTLE RECORD 23 (FILED 10/02/2000) 4615-4618 24 REPLY TO STATE'S SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO SUPPRESS 25 (FILED 03/30/2000) 1683-1691 26 35 REPLY TO THE STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 27 (POST-CONVICTION), DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF, AND SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT 28 OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST CONVICTION (FILED 06/01/2011) 7579-7613

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD.

|   |          |   |   | I                |
|---|----------|---|---|------------------|
|   |          |   |   |                  |
|   | 1        |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 1,1998<br>PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 09/14/1998)                    | 11-267           |
|   | 2 3      | ] | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 2,1998<br>RE: GRAND JURY INDICTMENTS RETURNED IN<br>OPEN COURT |                  |
|   | 4        |   | (FILED 10/06/1998)  | 299-301          |
|   | 5<br>6   |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 8,1998<br>ARRAIGNMENT<br>(FILED 09/14/1998)                    | 268-270          |
|   | 7        |   |   | 20 <b>0-</b> 270 |
|   | 8        | , | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 15,1998<br>SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT<br>(FILED 10/20/1998         | 309-377          |
|   | 9        |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF   |                  |
|   | 10       |   | APRIL 12, 1999 PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 05/03/1999)  | 425-428          |
|   | 11       |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 15, 1999<br>DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION TO DISMISS                  |                  |
| <b>D.</b><br>Floor<br>1-0623  | 12       |   | COUNSEL AND APPOINTMENT OF ALTERNATE COUNSEL (FILED AND UNDER SEALED)                             |                  |
| M, LTI<br>ECOND<br>, 89101<br>702.974   | 13       |   | (FILED 04/22/1999)  | 409-418          |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623 | 14       |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 8, 1999<br>PROCEEDINGS  |                  |
| OPHER<br>4 <sup>TH</sup> ST<br>'EGAS,<br>'4-5563  | 15       |   | (FILED 06/17/1999)  | 491-492          |
| CHRIST<br>520 SOUTH<br>LAS V<br>TEL. 702.38   | 16<br>17 | ] | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 29, 1999<br>PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 07/15/1999)                       | 541-548          |
| 52  | 18       |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 8, 1999   |                  |
|   | 19       | ] | PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 07/15/1999)   | 530-537          |
|   | 20       |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 13, 1999  |                  |
|   | 21       |   | PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 07/15/1999)   | 538-540          |
|   | 22       |   | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF AUGUST 10, 1999<br>STATE'S MOTION TO PERMIT DNA TESTING                  |                  |
|   | 23       |   | (FILED 08/31/1999)  | 565-566          |
|   | 24       | 3 | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 2, 1999<br>STATE'S MOTION TO PERMIT DNA TESTING                |                  |
|   | 25       |   | (FILED 10/01/1999)  | 647-649          |
|   | 26       | 3 | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 30, 1999<br>STATE'S REQUEST FOR MATERIAL L WITNESS             |                  |
|   | 27       |   | CHARLA SEVERS<br>(FILED 10/01/1999)   | 645-646          |
|   | 28       |   | (   |                  |
|   |          |   |   |                  |

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 11, 1999 1 STATE'S MOTION TO VIDEOTAPE THE DEPOSITION OF CHARLA SEVERS 2 (FILED 10/18/1999) 712-716 3 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 14, 1999 STATE'S MOTION TO VIDEOTAPE THE DEPOSITION 4 OF CHARLA SEVERS (FILED 10/18/1999) 717-726 5 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 21, 1999 6 STATUS CHECK: FILING OF ALL MOTIONS (FILED 11/09/1999) 821-829 7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 26, 1999 8 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF CHARLA SEVERS (FILED UNDER SEAL) 9 (FILED 11/09/1999) 839-949 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 28, 1999 **DECISION: WITNESS RELEASE** 11 (FILED 11/09/1999) 830-831 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 8, 1999 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. **PROCEEDINGS** 13 (FILED 11/09/1999) 832-834 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 18, 1999 **DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS** 15 (FILED 12/06/1999) 1347-1355 16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 16, 1999 AT REQUEST OF COURT RE: MOTIONS TEL. 17 (FILED 12/20/1999) 1452-1453 18 7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 20, 1999 AT REOUEST OF COURT 19 (FILED 12/29/1999) 1459-1491 20 6 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 6, 2000 **RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS** 21 1503-1609 (FILED 01/13/2000) 22 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 18, 2000 **PROCEEDINGS** 23 (FILED 01/25/2000) 1623-1624 24 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEBRUARY 17, 2000 **PROCEEDINGS** 25 (FILED 03/06/2000) 1654-1656 26 7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 2, 2000 **PROCEEDINGS** 27 (FILED 03/16/2000) 1668-1682 28 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 24, 2000 **PROCEEDINGS** (FILED 05/09/2000) 1745-1747

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 8, 2000 1 **PROCEEDINGS** (05/09/2000)1748-1750 2 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 18, 2000 3 **PROCEEDINGS** (FILED 05/30/2000) 1803-1804 4 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 23, 2000 5 **PROCEEDINGS** (FILED 06/01/2000) 1807-1812 6 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 1, 2000 7 **PROCEEDINGS** (FILED 06/02/2000) 1813-1821 8 11&12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 5, 20000 9 (JURY TRIAL-DAY-1- VOLUME 1 (FILED 06/12/2000) 2603-2981 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 6, 2000 11 JURY TRIAL- DAY 2- VOLUME II (FILED 06/07/2000) 1824-2130 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 9&10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 7, 2000 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 JURY TRIAL-DAY 3- VOLUME III (FILED 06/08/2000) 2132-2528 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 8, 2000 15 15 JURY TRIAL- DAY 4- VOLUME IV 2982-3238 (FILED 06/12/2000) 16 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 9, 2000 TEL. 17 JURY TRIAL (VERDICT)- DAY 5- VOLUME V (FILED 06/12/2000) 3239-3247 18 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 13, 2000 14 19 JURY TRIAL PENALTY PHASE- DAY 1 VOL. I (FILED 06/14/2000) 3249-3377 20 15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 13, 2000 21 JURY TRIAL PENALTY PHASE- DAY 1 VOL. II (FILED 06/14/2000) 3378-3537 22 16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 14, 2000 23 JURY TRIAL PENALTY PHASE- DAY 2 VOL. III (FILED 07/06/2000) 3617-3927 24 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 16, 2000 17 25 JURY TRIAL PENALTY PHASE DAY 3 VOL. IV (FILED 07/06/2000) 3928-4018 26 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 20, 2000 15 27 STATUS CHECK: THREE JUDGE PANEL (FILED 06/21/2000) 3560-3567 28

|   | 1                               | 17      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 13, 2000<br>DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL<br>(FILED 07/21/2000) | 4175-4179  |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|--|------------|
|   | 3                               | 17      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 20, 2000<br>PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 07/21/2000                         | 4180-4190  |
|   | 4<br>5                          | 18      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 24, 2000<br>THREE JUDGE PANEL- PENALTY PHASE- DAY 1                  |            |
|   | 6<br>7                          | 19      | (FILED 07/25/2000)  REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 16, 2000 THREE JUDGE PANEL- PENALTY PHASE- DAY 2 | 4191-4428  |
|   | 8                               | 10      | VOL. II<br>(FILED 07/28/2000)  | 4445-4584  |
|   | 10                              | 19      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 7, 2000<br>PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 09/29/2000)                    | 4612-4614  |
| LOOR<br>1623  | 11<br>12                        | 19      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 3, 2000<br>SENTENCING<br>(FILED 10/13/2000)                       | 4636-4644  |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623 | 13<br>14                        | 20      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 19, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME I- A.M.<br>(FILED (04/20/2005)    | 4654-4679  |
|   | 15<br>16                        | 20      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 19, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME I- P.M.                           |            |
| <b>CHRI</b><br>520 SOUT<br>LAS<br>TEL. 702  | 17                              | 21      | (FILED 04/20/2005)  REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 20, 2005 TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME I-A.M.           | 4680-4837  |
|   | 18<br>19                        | 21      | (FILED 04/21/2005) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 20, 2005   | 4838-4862  |
|   | 20<br>21                        | 21 & 22 | TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME II- P.M. (FILED 04/21/2005)  REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 21,2005          | 4864-4943  |
|   | 22                              |         | TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME III-P.M.<br>(FILED 04/22/2005)   | 4947-5271  |
|   | <ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul> | 22      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 21, 200<br>PENALTY PHASE- VOLUME IV- P.M.<br>(FILED 04/22/2005)     | 5273-5339  |
|   | <ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul> | 23      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 22, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME IV- P.M.<br>(FILED 04/25/2005)    | 5340-5455  |
|   | 27<br>28                        | 23      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 22, 2005<br>PENALTY PHASE- VOLUME IV- B<br>(FILED 04/25/2005        | 5457-5483  |
|   |                                 |         | (I ILED 04/23/2003   | C046-1 C+C |

|   | 1 2                             | 23      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 25, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME V- P.M.<br>(FILED 04/26/2005) | 5484-5606 |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|--|-----------|
|   | 3                               | 24      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 25,2005<br>PENALTY PHASE- VOLUME V-A<br>(FILED 04/26/2005)      | 5607-5646 |
|   | 4<br>5                          | 24      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 26, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME VI- P.M.                      | 5649-5850 |
|   | 6                               | 25      | (FILED 04/27/2005) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 26,2005                                      | 3049-3630 |
|   | 7<br>8                          |         | PENALTY PHASE- VOLUME VI-A<br>(FILED 04/26/2005)   | 5950-6070 |
|   | 9                               | 25      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 27,2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME VII-P.M.<br>(FILED 04/28/2005) | 5854-5949 |
|   | 10                              | 26      | SPECIAL VERDICT  | 6149-6151 |
| 00R   | 11<br>12                        | 26      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 27, 2005<br>PENALTY PHASE - VOLUME VII- A.M.                    |           |
| <b>I, LTD.</b> COND FL 89101 2.974-06   | 13                              |         | (FILED 04/28/2005)   | 6071-6147 |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623 | 14                              | 26      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 28, 2005<br>PENALTY PHASE - VOLUME VIII-C<br>(04/29/2005)       | 6181-6246 |
| <b>TOPHER</b> I 4 <sup>TH</sup> ST VEGAS, 84-5563   | 15                              | 26 & 27 | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 29, 2005  |           |
|   | 16<br>17                        |         | TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME IX (FILED 05/02/2005)  | 6249-6495 |
| 520<br>TE   | 18                              | 27 & 28 | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 2, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME X<br>(FILED 05/03/2005)          | 6497-6772 |
|   | 19                              | 30      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 2, 2005   | 0497-0772 |
|   | <ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul> | 30      | TRIAL BY JURY (EXHIBITS)- VOLUME X (FILED 05/06/2005)  | 7104-7107 |
|   | 22                              | 29      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 3, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME XI                               | <b></b>   |
|   | 23                              | 20      | (FILED 05/04/2005  | 6776-6972 |
|   | 24                              | 29      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 4, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME XII<br>(FILED 05/05/2005)        | 6974-7087 |
|   | 25                              | 30      | REPORTER'S AMENDED TRANSCRIPT OF   |           |
|   | <ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul> |         | MAY 4, 2005 TRIAL BY JURY (DELIBERATIONS)<br>VOLUME XII<br>(FILED 05/06/2005                   | 7109-7112 |
|   | 28                              | 30      | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 5, 2005<br>TRIAL BY JURY- VOLUME XIII<br>(FILED 05/06/2005)       | 7113-7124 |
|   |                                 |         |  |           |

31 RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF 1 (FILED 04/05/2006) 7226-7253 2 3 REQUEST FOR ATTENDANCE OF OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS CHARLA CHENIQUA SEVERS AKA 3 KASHAWN HIVES (FILED 09/21/1999) 607-621 4 SEALED ORDER FOR RLEASE TO HOUSE ARREST 5 OF MATERIAL WITNESS CHARLA SEVERS (FILED 10/29/1999) 782 6 33 SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT 7 OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 07/14/2010) 7373-7429 8 19 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XI) 9 (FILED 07/26/2000) 4433-4434 10 19 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XI) (FILED 07/26/2000) 4439 11 19 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XII) 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 (FILED 07/26/2000) 4435 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 19 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XII) (FILED 07/26/2000) 4440-4441 14 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XIII) 15 (FILED 07/26/2000) 4436 16 19 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XIII) (FILED 07/26/2000) 4442-4443 TEL. 17 19 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XII) 18 (FILED 07/26/2000) 4437-4438 19 19 SPECIAL VERDICT (COUNT XIV) (FILED 07/26/2000) 4444 20 STATE'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PERMIT THE STATE 21 TO PRESENT "THE COMPLETE STORY OF THE CRIME" (FILED 06/14/1999) 467-480 22 17 STATE'S OPPOSITION FOR IMPOSITION OF LIFE 23 WITHOUT AND OPPOSITION TO EMPANEL JURY AND/OR DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE MATERIAL TO 24 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE THREE JUDGE PANEL **PROCEDURE** 25 (FILED 07/17/2000) 4132-4148 26 6 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE 27 (FILED 12/07/1999) 1421-1424 28 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING CO-DEFENDANT'S SENTENCES (FILED 12/06/1999) 1412-1414

STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 1 TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF ANY AND ALL STATEMENTS OF THE DEFENDANT 2 (FILED 11/04/1999) 787-790 3 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REVEAL THE IDENTITY OF THE INFORMANTS AND 4 REVEAL ANY DEALS PROMISES OR INDUCEMENTS (FILED 11/04/1999) 816-820 5 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 6 TO SET BAIL (FILED 10/07/1998) 302-308 7 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S PRO PER 8 MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL AND APPOINT OUTSIDE COUNSEL 9 (FILED 02/19/1999) 385-387 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO SUPPRESS 10 **EVIDENCE ILLEGALLY SEIZED** (FILED 01/21/2000) 1612-1622 11 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXISTENCE AND SUBSTANCE OF EXPECTATIONS, OR ACTUAL CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 13 RECEIPT OF BENEFITS OR PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT FOR COOPERATION WITH PROSECUTION 14 (FILED 11/04/1999) 801-815 15 34 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) 16 AND DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF AND SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S TEL. 17 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) ON 04/13/2011 7436-7530 18 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 19 19 TO SET ASIDE SENTENCE OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO SETTLE RECORD 20 (FILED 09/15/2000) 4601-4611 21 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO STATE'S MOTION TO VIDEOTAPE THE DEPOSITION 22 OF CHARLA SEVERS 762-768 23 15 STATE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL (FILED 06/30/2000) 3603-3616 24 STIPULATION AND ORDER 25 (FILED 06/08/1999) 457-459 26 2 STIPULATION AND ORDER (FILED 06/17/1999) 488-490 27 STIPULATION AND ORDER 28 (FILED 10/14/1999) 695-698

STIPULATION AND ORDER 1 (FILED 12/22/1999) 1454-1456 2 STIPULATION AND ORDER (FILED 04/10/2000) 1712-1714 3 STIPULATION AND ORDER 4 (FILED 05/19/2000) 1798-1800 5 SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT (FILED 09/16/1998) 278-291 6 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF 32 7 DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 10/12/2009) 7308-7372 8 39 SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBITS 9 7880-7971 (FILED 04/05/2013) 10 3 SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION TO VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF CHARLA SEVERS 11 (FILED 10/18/1999) 705-707 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES (FILED 05/17/2000) CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD, 1766-1797 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK 14 DEATH PENALTY PURSUANT TO AMENDED **SUPREME COURT RULE 250** 15 (FILED 02/26/1999) 388-391 16 SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF TEL. 17 OTHER GUNS, WEAPONS AND AMMUNITION NOT USED IN THE CRIME 18 (FILED 12/02/1999) 1314-1336 19 SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF 20 OTHER GUNS, WEAPONS AND AMMUNITION NOT USED IN THE CRIME 21 (FILED 05/02/2000) 1736-1742 22 7 SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO SUPPRESS 23 (FILED 03/16/2000) 1657-1667 24 38 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND PETITION FOR WRIT 25 OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 01/19/2012) 7798-7804 26 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS CHECK: 38 27 EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 28 (FILED 1/01/2012) 7805-7807

|   | 1 2  | 38 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS ARGUMENT: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ALL ISSUES RAISED IN THE PETITION AND SUPPLEMENT (FILED 12/07/2011)                                     | 7808-7879 |
|---|--|----|--|-----------|
|   | 3  | 35 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO EXTEND THE TIME TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF                                   |           |
|   | 5  |    | HABEAS CORPUS<br>(FILED 04/12/2011)  | 7614-7615 |
|   | 6<br>7                                     | 35 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: HEARING (FILED 10/20/2010)  | 7616-7623 |
|   | 8  | 36 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DECISION:<br>PROCEDURAL BAR AND ARGUMENT: PETITION FOR   |           |
|   | 9  |    | WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS<br>(FILED 07/21/2011)  | 7624-7629 |
| 520 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR<br>LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101<br>TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623 | 11   | 36 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO EXTEND THE TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/HEARING AND ARGUMENT: |           |
|   | 12<br>13                                   |    | DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 07/06/2011)  | 7630-7667 |
|   | 14   | 36 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO EXTEND THE  |           |
|   | 15   |    | TIME TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF<br>DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS<br>(FILED 04/12/2011)  | 7707-7708 |
| 520 SOUTH<br>LAS TEL. 702.3   | <ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul> | 36 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO EXTEND THE TIME TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS                     |           |
|   | 19   |    | (FILED 06/07/2011)   | 7668-7671 |
|   | 20   | 33 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATUS CHECK:<br>BRIEFING/FURTHER PROCEEDINGS<br>(FILED 06/22/2010)  | 7430-7432 |
|   | <ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>            | 33 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO EXTEND THE TIME   |           |
|   | 23   |    | FOR THE FILING OF A SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS  |           |
|   | 24   |    | AND TO PERMIT AN INVESTIGATOR AND EXPERT (FILED 10/20/2009)  | 7433-7435 |
|   | 25   | 35 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DECISION:<br>PROCEDURAL BAR AND ARGUMENT: PETITION FOR   |           |
|   | 26   |    | WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 07/21/2011)   | 7531-7536 |
|   | 27   |    |  |           |
|   | 28   |    |  |           |

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD.

|  | 1           | <u> </u> |  | ļ         |
|--|-------------|----------|--|-----------|
|  |             |          |  |           |
|  | 1<br>2<br>3 | 35       | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO EXTEND THE TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/HEARING AND ARGUMENT: DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 07/06/2011) | 7537-7574 |
|  | 4           | 35       | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S  |           |
|  | 5           |          | MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO EXTEND THE TIME<br>TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S<br>WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS   |           |
|  | 6           |          | (FILED 06/07/2011)   | 7575-7578 |
|  | 7           | 10       | VERDICT<br>(FILED 06/09/2000)  | 2595-2600 |
|  | 8<br>9      | 19       | VERDICT (COUNT XI)<br>(FILED 07/26/2000)   | 2595-2600 |
|  | 10          | 19       | VERDICT (COUNT XII)  | 4.420     |
| CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623 | 11          |          | (FILED 07/26/2000)   | 4429      |
|  | 12          | 19       | VERDICT (COUNT XIII)<br>(FILED 07/26/2000)   | 4430      |
|  | 13          | 19       | VERDICT (COUNT XIV)<br>(FILED 07/26/2000)  | 4432      |
| R.OR<br>LEET  <br>VEVAD  | 14          | 19       | WARRANT OF EXECUTION   | 1132      |
| HRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTI<br>DUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND<br>LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101<br>(02.384-5563   FAX. 702.974    | 15          | 19       | (FILED 10/03/2000)   | 4624      |
| CHRIST<br>OUTTH<br>LAS V<br>702.38   | 16          |          |  |           |
| 520 S<br>TEL.  | 17          |          |  |           |
|  | 18          |          |  |           |
|  | 19          |          |  |           |
|  | 20          |          |  |           |
|  | 21          |          |  |           |
|  | 22          |          |  |           |
|  | 23          |          |  |           |
|  | 24          |          |  |           |
|  | 25          |          |  |           |
|  | 26          |          |  |           |
|  | 27          |          |  |           |
|  | 28          |          |  |           |
|  |             |          |  |           |

## CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

| I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada                 |
|---|
| Supreme Court on the 9 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015. Electronic Service of the foregoing document |
| shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:                                    |
| CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO<br>Nevada Attorney General   |
|   |

STEVE OWENS Chief Deputy District Attorney CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

<u>/s/ Jessie Vargas</u> An Employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.

BY: