

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL J. SCHOFIELD,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 65193

FILED

SEP 04 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER REINSTATING BRIEFING

Because appellant filed the notice of appeal in this case after the verdict was announced, but before sentencing, on March 19, 2014, we suspended the briefing of this appeal and directed the clerk of the district court to inform this court when the judgment of conviction was entered. The district court entered the judgment of conviction on July 28, 2014. *See George v. State*, 122 Nev. 1, 3, 127 P.3d 1055, 1056 (2006) (“[A] premature notice of appeal filed after the verdict but before sentencing will be treated under NRAP 4(b)[2] as filed after the entry of judgment.”); NRS 177.015(3). Because appellant was not represented by counsel in the proceedings below, we remanded the matter to the district court for the limited purpose of securing appellate counsel for appellant. The district court has appointed attorney Karen K. Wong to represent appellant. This case shall proceed pursuant to the provisions in NRAP 28, 28.2, 30, 31, and 32.

Appellant shall have 20 days from the date of this order to file and serve a transcript request form or a certificate indicating that no transcripts will be requested, NRAP 9(a), and a docketing statement, NRAP 14. Appellant shall have 120 days from the date of this order to file

and serve the opening brief and appendix. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with the schedule set forth in NRAP 31(a)(1).

We caution the parties that the failure to timely file documents in this appeal or comply with the rules of this court may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 9(a)(6), 14(c), 28(j), 28.2(b), 30(g), and 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Karen K. Wong
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Michael J. Schofield