1	IN THE SUDDEME COUDT (E THE STATE OF NEVADA	
	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
2			
3	CAREY HUMPHRIES; AND) Electronically Filed	
4	LORENZO ROCHA, III,	Dec 12 2017 02:47 p.m.	
5	Appellants,	Clerk of Supreme Court	
6	vs.) S.C. CASE NO. 65316	
7	NEW YORK-NEW YORK HOTEL &		
8	CASINO,	{	
9	Respondent.	{	
10)	
11			
12	APPELLANTS' MOTION TO EX	XTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO	
12	RESPONDENT'S PETIT		
13			
	DRUMMOND L	AW FIRM. P.C.	
15	CRAIG W. DRU	-	
16	Nevada Bar		
17	801 S. Casino Cen	,	
18	Las Vegas, T: (702)		
19	F: (702)		
20	Craig@Drumn		
21	Attorney fo	r Appellant	
22	HOFLAND &	TOMSHECK	
	JOSHUA L. TO	MSHECK, ESQ.	
23	Nevada Bar		
24	228 South 4th Street First Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101		
25	T: (702)		
26	F: (702)	731-6910	
27	JoshT@HoflandLaw.com		
28	Attorney fo	r Appellant	

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Pursuant to NRAP 26(b)(1)(A) and 27, Appellants request that they be given additional time to respond to Respondent's Petition for Rehearing. Good cause exists for the Appellants to have time to file an Answer to Respondent's Petition for Rehearing.

Appellants require additional time to respond to Respondent's motion as lead counsel for appellants has a small law practice with himself and an associate. That as of November and early December, 2017, counsel has been preparing for two Federal criminal trials that were set to occur in early and mid-December, respectively. That such has taken a considerable amount of time and caused both the attorney and staff to focus heavily on trial preparation. Further, that during the month of December, key members of the staff of the attorney for Appellants have taken holiday leave and as such the office is currently shorthanded.

That attorney for the appellants, Craig W. Drummond, Esq., has been diligently preparing for a multi-defendant Federal criminal trial in the matter of United States v. Lloyd, 2:15-cr-00266-LDG-VCF, set for trial on December 18, 2017. It was not until December 8, 2017 that the trial was continued by the Federal District Judge.

That attorney for the appellants, Craig W. Drummond, Esq., has been diligently preparing for another criminal trial in the matter of United States v.

Fesolai, 2:17:cr-00074-JCM-NJK, set for trial on December 4, 2017. That in late
November a stipulation to continue the trial was signed and it was not until early
December that the trial was formally continued by the Federal District Judge, all
the while counsel was preparing for a possible trial.
That counsel for Appellants is asking for an additional fifteen (15) days to
file a responsive pleading in this matter. That this time is needed to fully review

the issues of law in this case and respond. The Respondent has recently hired additional counsel on appeal and filed a large pleading outlining a number of legal issues, claiming that the decision of the Supreme Court was wrong, and arguing that this issue is of extreme importance to the entire business industry of Nevada. Such claims must be addressed and a brief extension of time is needed to competently research the issues and respond.

1	<u>CONCLUSION</u>
2	Appellants respectfully request that this Honorable Court grant its motion to
3	extend time to respond to Respondent's Petition for Rehearing.
4	DATED this of December, 2017
6	Respectfully Submitted,
7	
8	DRUMMOND LAW FIRM, P.C.
9	Ву:
10	CRAIG M. DRUMMOND, ESQ.
11	Nevada Bar Nd. 011109 801 S. Casino Center Blvd., Ste. 101
12	Las Vegas, NV 89101
13 14	(702) 366-9966 Attorney for Appellant
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with
3	the Nevada Supreme Court on <u>1</u> December, 2017. Electronic Service of the
4	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as
5	follows:
6	
7	Pisanelli Bice LLC Todd L. Bice, Esq.
8	400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
10	Attorney for Respondent
11	Kravitz, Schnitzer & Johnson, CHTD.
12	Martin J. Kravitz, Esq.
13	8985 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
14	Attorney for Respondent
15	$\Delta b -$
16	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
16 17	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
17 18 19	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
17 18 19 20	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
17 18 19 20 21	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
17 18 19 20 21 22	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	An Employee of Drummond Law Firm