

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SCENIC NEVADA, INC.

Appellant,

Case No. 65364

v.

CITY OF RENO, a Political Subdivision  
of the State of Nevada,

Respondent.

**ERRATA TO APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF**

The Appellant's Reply Brief filed April 6, 2015 states at page 12, line 5:  
"The issue raised by this appeal therefore is moot."

The intended phraseology was exactly the opposite.

The sentence should read: "The issue raised by this appeal therefore is not  
moot."

///

///

///

1           The undersigned apologizes for the error and hereby files this errata to  
2 provide the correct language.

3  
4           DATED: April 6, 2015

LAW OFFICES OF MARK WRAY

5  
6           By

  
MARK WRAY

7           Attorneys for Appellant  
8           SCENIC NEVADA, INC.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned employee of the Law Offices of Mark Wray certifies that a true copy of the foregoing document was sealed in an envelope with first class postage prepaid thereon and deposited in the U.S. Mail at Reno, Nevada on April 6, 2015 addressed as follows:

Jonathan Shipman  
Reno City Attorney's Office  
One East First Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 1900  
Reno, NV 89505

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THERESA MOORE