

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DONALD TAYLOR,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 65388

FILED

NOV 07 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY R. Malone
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

Cause appearing, appellant's motion for leave to file an opening brief in excess of the type-volume limitation is granted. NRAP 32(a)(7)(A)(ii), (D). We cannot, however, file the proposed opening brief submitted with the motion. Although the certificate included with the brief pursuant to NRAP 32(a)(8) indicates that the brief complies with the formatting requirements in NRAP 32(a)(4), review of the brief indicates that the text is not double-spaced as required by NRAP 32(a)(4).¹ NRAP 32 was amended effective January 3, 2012, to ensure that limits on the length of briefs apply uniformly. Because the brief is not prepared in accordance with NRAP 32, we decline to file the proposed opening brief submitted with the motion. See NRAP 32(e) ("If a brief... is not prepared in accordance with this Rule, the clerk will not file the document, but shall return it to be properly prepared.").

¹Headings, footnotes, and quotations of more than two lines may be single-spaced. NRAP 32(a)(4).

Appellant shall have until November 14, 2014, to file and serve an opening brief that complies with NRAP 32 and does not exceed 14,951 words. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Drummond & Nelson
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney