

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed
Sep 12 2014 08:32 a.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

LEWIS HELFSTEIN; MADALYN
HELFSTEIN; SUMMIT LASER PRODUCTS,
INC.; AND SUMMIT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Petitioners,

v.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR
THE COUNTY OF CLARK; THE HON.
ELISSA F. CADISH, DISTRICT JUDGE; AND
THE HON. ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

And

IRA AND EDYTHE SEAVER FAMILY
TRUST; IRA SEAVER; AND CIRCLE
CONSULTING CORPORATION, Real Parties
in Interest.

Real Parties in Interest.

Supreme Court Case No.: 65409

District Court Case No. A-09-587003

**MOTION TO SCHEDULE ORAL
ARGUMENT ON
PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY
WRIT RELIEF**

19 Respondents and Real Parties In Interest, pursuant to NRAP 34, and by and through their
20 attorney Jeffrey A. Albregts, Esq. of Holley, Driggs, Walch, Puzey & Thompson, hereby
21 respectfully request and/or move this Honorable Court to schedule oral argument on the Petition
22 For Extraordinary Writ Relief pending herein.

23 DATED this 11th day of September, 2014.

24 **HOLLEY, DRIGGS, WALCH,**
25 **PUZEY & THOMPSON**

26 s /Jeffrey R. Albregts

27 JEFFREY R. ALBREGTS, NSB 0066
28 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Real Parties In Interest

1
2 POINTS & AUTHORITIES

3 Petitioners filed a Supplemental Appendix in support of their Reply Brief providing
4 materials to this Court from the record or proceedings below which Respondents and Real
5 Parties In Interest were not able to address in their Answering Brief. Likewise, Petitioners also
6 raised arguments in their Reply Brief which Respondents and Real Parties In Interest were
7 unable to address accordingly in their Answering Brief. In short, Respondents and Real Parties
8 In Interest were unable to address the additional arguments raised by Petitioners in their Reply
9 Brief, along with the Supplemental Appendix Petitioners submitted in support of the same. For
10 these reasons, and due to no fault of Respondents and Real Parties In Interest, they have not been
11 fairly allowed to address all of the issues raised by Petitioners in support of their pending
12 Petition.

13 Therefore, Respondents/Real Parties In Interest respectfully request and/or move for oral
14 argument on this matter if this Honorable Court is indeed inclined to grant the relief requested in
15 the pending Petition For Extraordinary Relief filed herein by Petitioners. See NRAP 34.

16 Dated this 11th day of September, 2014.

17 s/Jeffrey R. Albregts

18 JEFFREY R. ALBREGTS, ESQ.

19 Submitted by:

20 **HOLLEY, DRIGGS, WALCH,**
21 **PUZEY & THOMPSON**

22 s/Jeffrey R. Albregts

23 JEFFREY R. ALBREGTS, NSB 0066
24 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
25 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
26 Attorneys for Real Parties In Interest
27
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

J. Michael Oakes, Esq.
Foley & Oakes
850 East Bonneville Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
mike@foleyoakes.com

*Attorneys for Petitioner
Lewis Helfstein, Madelyn
Helfstein, Summit Laser
Products, Inc., and
Summit Technologies, LLC.*

An employee of Holley, Driggs, Walch,
Puzey & Thompson