1		
į:		391
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
2	MR. STANTON: Miss Cadena?	
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
4	MR. STANTON: Miss Frandsen?	
5)	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
6	MR. STANTON: Mr. Jones?	
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
8	MR. STANTON: Mr. Battaglia?	
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
10	MR. STANTON: Mr. Moss?	
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
12	MR. STANTON: Mr. Golbov?	
13	MR. STANTON: Yes.	
14	MR. STANTON: Miss Imasaki?	
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
16	MR. STANTON: Miss Kizis?	
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
18	MR. STANTON: Mr. Estey?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
20	MR. STANTON: Miss Roberts?	
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
22	MR. STANTON: Mr. Furrie?	
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
24	MR. STANTON: Miss Frankel?	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560	

	392
1	MR. STANTON: Miss Booth?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	MR. STANTON: Mr. Thomas?
4	A PROSPECTIVÉ JUROR: Yes.
5	MR. STANTON: Mr. Dunn?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	MR. STANTON: Thank you very much.
8	Pass the jury for cause save and except for any
9	additional issues that arise.
10	THE COURT: Counsel, approach, please.
11	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)
12	THE COURT: It's on you. Do you have any
13	additional things you want to raise with regard to your voir
14	dire? Do you want to take a break? Do you want we have
15	only been going about 10 minutes.
16	MR. BOSLER: I think the Court needs to rule on
17	our portion of the voir dire.
18	THE COURT: With regard to page 4?
19	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Do you have anything more to offer
21	as to why I should let you ask all those questions?
22	MR. BOSLER: Do you want me to do it right now
23	at the side-bar?
24	THE COURT: It takes us approximately 17
25	minutes to move the jury panel in and out of the courtroom.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

4 5 6

8

10

11

12

9

13 14

16

1.7

15

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

So if we don't do it right now at side-bar, we're going to take 35 minutes or so, in just getting them in and out.

So I have read -- it is marked as an exhibit. It's part of the record. I certainly heard everything you said before. I haven't received anything further from you.

But if you want to tell me some reason why those questions on page 4 are appropriate or a specific question is appropriate, or a specific area of inquiry is something you want to go into, now is the time for you to do that.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, what I would like to do, because I don't want the jury to appear there is any delay, allow my offer of proof at a later date. Maybe you will let me get through my questions, and then I will reserve if I'm going to pass them for cause and we can have a hearing.

May be time for a break, if the State will consider that contemporaneously. Because I don't want to waive the contemporaneousness.

THE COURT: I'm ruling now. I'm going to exclude those questions on page 4. If you don't give me a reason to allow you to ask those questions, you can't preserve that, because I can only rule on what I have before I have no problem with you going forward and asking your questions, and then if you want to wait to pass for

ر <u>ک</u>

cause and you want to think -- talk amongst yourselves and decide, if you want to make -- ask a couple of more questions and ask me to do that, that's fine.

MR. BOSLER: I will get a break at that point?

THE COURT: It depends on what time it is. We think we're going to go -- we will try to go at least an hour more before we take a break. I don't -- I don't know how long you are going to take. We can certainly do a side-bar, and you can tell me what your thoughts are at that point.

MR. BOSLER: I'd prefer to make an offer of proof at a later time and ask my questions that you have approved at this point and then consult with counsel and make a proffer.

THE COURT: Decide if you want to renew your request to ask more questions?

MR. BOSLER: Yes.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury.)

all think you are warm, think about me up about five feet higher, heat rises. So if you need water, we have the Jury Commissioner and my law clerk standing by. They are glad to help, and they will give you a glass of water if you need it. So just be sure to kind of get their eye, and they will

get it for you.

And we don't have any air conditioning in the building at this time of the year. So there is nothing I can do to cool it down. It will be cooler when we have less people on a regular basis in the courtroom.

Mr. Bosler, you may continue -- you may begin your inquiry.

MR. BOSLER: And I thank you, ladies and gentlemen. I know it's been not only a long day today, long day yesterday. You had a short lunch break, and I know that you people out there who are in the gallery, it's very difficult to sit in these circumstances. And I'm not here to prolong that.

Essentially I want to just ask you some questions about your background, your certain views on particular subjects. There is no right, there is no wrong answer. The only right answer is your true feelings, your true beliefs.

In that sense, if you feel uncomfortable sharing those, you could raise your hand. You have seen we have had little side bars over here where we talk to the judge separately. If there is something that you want to say in response to one of my questions, I encourage you to say that because this is your time to talk, where lawyers are supposed to be quiet. Take advantage of that because it

1 doesn't happen very often.

Just as a general background question, has anybody changed -- I know that on your questionnaires it lists your present occupation. Has anybody changed their occupation in the last five years from what's reflected on that questionnaire? We have a few people in back.

Mr. Hinxman.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just recently was appointed to the director of Court Services. Before for the past four years, I have been just as employee, employed by the County as a probation officer.

MR. BOSLER: For the last five years you have been some part of Court Services?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four years, yeah. Prior to that I worked for juvenile probation.

MR. BOSLER: How long did you work for juvenile probation?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About four years.

MR. BOSLER: Is that the job you did out of high school or out of college?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: After college, yeah. During and after.

MR. BOSLER: You worked here locally or another juvenile Probation Department?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here in Washoe County.

1	MR. BOSLER: Miss Kominek, you changed			
2	occupations?			
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did. I was a			
4	floral designer before.			
5	MR. BOSLER: How long did you do that for?			
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About three years.			
7	MR. BOSLER: Then you changed to your present			
8	occupation? You are actually			
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is what I did before			
10	I had this job then.			
11	MR. BOSLER: You are now involved in Reno			
12	Diagnostic?			
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.			
14	MR. BOSLER: Anybody else? Mr. Decker.			
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a field ironworker			
16	for 31 years, and I changed my occupation to estimator in			
17	the same field because of a severe injury to my back.			
18	MR. BOSLER: Back injuries?			
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.			
20	MR. BOSLER: Is it difficult sitting? I know			
21	some of these chairs aren't very comfortable.			
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm doing good as long as			
23	I can stretch my legs out.			
24	MR. BOSLER: Would you have any trouble if you			
25	had to sit for two or three hours at a time?			
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560			

	398
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. These chairs are a
2	lot more comfortable than the ones I sit in at the office.
3	MR. BOSLER: Sorry to hear that. Any
4	medications or anything you have to take to take care of
5	your back?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I generally take all
7	those in the morning.
8	MR. BOSLER: Does that affect anything, affect
9	your ability to perceive things?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. It's a mild well,
11	it is Aleve.
12	MR. BOSLER: Like a muscle relaxer?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Anti-inflammatory.
14	MR. BOSLER: Next down the line. Miss Guiler.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have done several
16	different things over the years after my children were gone
17	and married. But right now the last job that I had was
18	working for West Telecommunications as an AT&T operator, and
19	before that we had a business, a pizza parlor, and we sold
20	it and started another business that we're now involved in.
21	MR. BOSLER: For the sake of efficiency, does
22	that kind of account for the last five years as far as
23	occupation?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	MR. BOSLER: If you could share with me, how
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

long have you b	1
lived in this a	2
A	3
MR	4
The second row?	5
occupations? T	6
M±.	7
A	8
13 and a half ye	9
in a medical of	10
MR	11
A	12
MR	13
for about	14
A	15
TH	16
А	17
years have you	18
MR	19
A	20
Motorola as a l	21
came here five	22
at the Nugget.	23
tours of Nam.	24
MR	25
SIEF	

long have you been in Washoe County? How long have you lived in this area?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Since 1984.

MR. BOSLER: And let's continue down the line.

The second row? Towards me, anybody else change occupations? Third row?

Miss Lyman.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a sales clerk for 13 and a half years, and I changed to being a secretary and in a medical office.

MR. BOSLER: Was this in Reno?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Reno.

MR. BOSLER: And you have been in Washoe County or about --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About 10 months.

THE COURT: Mr. Lafond has his hand up.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That within the last five years have you changed jobs?

MR. BOSLER: Changed occupations, jobs, yes.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I worked for Motorola as a loss prevention officer for three years, and I came here five years ago, and I have been a security officer at the Nugget. Before that I had 28 years in service, three tours of Nam.

MR. BOSLER: That's quite a long time in

Vietnam. Were you there as a police officer or as a foot soldier?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PBI captain, and I was there on the first landing.

MR. BOSLER: Before your job as a security guard and this loss prevention officer, did you have other jobs that required you to enforce laws?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Loss prevention. That is basically a security officer in Motorola, but they call it loss prevention officer; and I'm a security officer at the Nugget.

MR. BOSLER: If you could, could you share why you decided to take that as a profession or change an occupation?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Retired for five years. I came back to the States. I lived over in the Philippines for five years. And when I was in the service, it was a pretty easy jeb to get ahold of. Because I came to the States, I was 52 years old looking, being so old, they don't want to hire you.

MR. BOSLER: Do you go back to the Philippines frequently or have family back there? I guess your son has returned there.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son, daughter-in-law and my wife goes back once in a while. We still have a

	401
1	house over there. I'm just working for Social Security
2	right now.
3	MR. BOSLER: Your daughter-in-law native
4	Filipino?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
6	MR. BOSLER: Next. I think we got down to the
7	fourth row. Yes, Miss Imasaki.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Prior to my current
9	occupation I worked for the school district as a school
10	social worker.
11	MR. BOSLER: Before that you were a school
12	social worker?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry. Prior to my
14	current position I was with the school district as a school
15	social worker, and then prior to that I was with Child
16	Protective Services.
17	MR. BOSLER: How long were you a school social
18	worker?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was on a 10-month
20	contract.
21	MR. BOSLER: And before that, Child Protective
22	Services, how long did you stay with them?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A little over two years.
24	MR. BOSLER: Child Protective Services, I
25	imagine you probably come in contact with law enforcement
l	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

21

22

23

24

25

authorities on occasion?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. BOSLER: Would that be correct? Anything about that that makes you think differently or have some reservations about sitting on this particular case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: I think there is someone in the front also. Did you get somebody else, Mr. Bosler?

MR. BOSLER: One here.

THE COURT: Mr. Moss.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Does my application thing show that I'm retired?

MR. BOSLER: Says just unemployed.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Before that was -- I had 31 years in food service.

MR. BOSLER: Was that locally?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. In California.

MR. BOSLER: I notice you have 25 years in

Washoe. So you got a job transfer and came up here?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My last employment was 14 years at Harrah's as a cook.

MR. BOSLER: Any particular restaurant?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Before that, to answer Mr. Stanton, it was my privilege to be hotel butcher at the Ahwahnee Hotel in Yosemite. So I have seen more blood than

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	you	can	tell.
2			

MR. BOSLER: How long were you in Yosemite?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Eight years, sir.

MR. BOSLER: Front row? Sorry, I missed one.

THE COURT: It is Miss Booth.

MR. BOSLER: Miss Roberts?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I retired four years ago.

I'm not sure what I put down.

MR. BOSLER: You list worked for the U.S.

Department of Health and Human Resources. It doesn't really -- the application doesn't give you a chance to put down what you did before. That is why I'm kind of interested.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was in the Air Force Reserve. I retired from that and retired from government service.

MR. BOSLER: What was the government service, if you could?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was an administrative officer. I worked in personnel. I worked in supply. So I have had varied jobs within the government.

MR. BOSLER: Administrative officer, is that something that requires you to --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hire and fire.

MR. BOSLER: Kind of like a boss type person

over others?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had three people under me.

MR. BOSLER: After you quit the administrative officer, you say you went to work where?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Health and Human Services. That was as a retirement clerk.

MR. BOSLER: Having had a government job, does that affect your ability? Do you feel any differently about that knowing there is going to be other government agents here testifying?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Probably not.

Probably anything that would go back to being married to a police officer and having a circle of friends that were officers and an officer for a short time myself.

MR. BOSLER: And I don't mean to put you on the spot because again, there is no right or wrong answers. You indicated earlier that you kind of had a bias in that regard. Having had a relationship and been around those people, you kind of had a bias?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably, yes.

MR. BOSLER: Thinking of that bias, do you think, taking that bias into consideration, do you think you are the type of person who is going to be a good juror in this type of a case?

1	
2	
2	
4	
5	]
6 7	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	- 16

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I would probably lean probably toward a police officer's testimony more than I would a civilian.

MR. BOSLER: Ultimately there is more than police officers testifying. This is a case about who caused the death of a police officer.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.

MR. BOSLER: Thinking about that and the bias, how does that affect your ability, thinking about, Am I going to be a fair juror to sit in this jury or should they put me on a different jury, I can't be fair, which camp do you put yourself in?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I could be fair.

MR. BOSLER: I appreciate your honesty. And that gets us to the front row.

Miss Booth.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Prior to working for Community Services Agency, I worked at the Silver Legacy in their human resources office.

MR. BOSLER: How long did you work at the Silver Legacy?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was there when they opened. But I was working there three years.

MR. BOSLER: Before that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Waitressing.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

li

MR. BOSLER: Has that always been locally?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I moved here from school. So I have been here since around '90.

MR. BOSLER: In your capacity in human resources, did you have occasion to hire, fire people?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't personally hire and fire, no. I dealt with people when they were coming in and going out.

MR. BOSLER: Review applications, things like that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. BOSLER: You have responsibility to say whether this person is qualified for certain types of positions?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. BOSLER: Anybody I have missed?

Is there anybody -- I guess this is kind of an obvious question. Anybody belong to an organization, a group, even a loose association, that is related to law enforcement or has law enforcement ties, executive ties or advocates of victims' rights, crime prevention, things like that? Neighborhood Watch.

There are a lot of organizations that probably fit in that organization. Anybody belong to any of those organizations?

MR. STANTON: Has any member of the prospective panel had any negative interactions with law enforcement, police, either yourself, close friend or acquaintance or family member?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Mr. Thomas.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a daughter who has gotten -- recently gotten into trouble, and that is still pending.

MR. STANTON: Is there anything that the State has to worry about if you were on this jury about holding us to a different standard or reviewing evidence in this case or the fact that the victim in this case was a police officer, based upon that interaction?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: Is there anybody else?

Yes, Mr. Dunn.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a stepson that has a little problem down in California right now. It has no bearing on anything.

MR. STANTON: You heard my questions of Mr. Thomas, and you wouldn't -- there wouldn't be any problem, there is nothing me and Mr. Gammick have to worry about in that case?

1	312
-	
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	MR. STANTON: Miss Booth.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I put on my questionnaire
4	also, but I have an ex-boyfriend who had been arrested a
5	couple of times when we were together.
6	MR. STANTON: Also a relative you put on your
7	questionnaire, a cousin.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Cousin that lives out of
9	state who had a DUI.
10	MR. STANTON: Is there anything about those
11	interactions with law enforcement that causes you a problem
12	in this case now that you know some facts about this case?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe so, no.
14	MR. STANTON: Are you certain?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. No, I can separate.
16	Different incidents.
17	MR. STANTON: Yes, Miss Imasaki.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have been stopped a
19	couple of times by Sheriff's officers for DUI, and one of
20	them was a jerk.
21	MR. STANTON: One of the police officers was a
22	jerk. That happens. That happens, and that is exactly the
23	type of instances that I'm talking about.
24	Miss Imasaki, there are going to be some
25	witnesses in this case that are police officers, as well as
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the fact that the victim in this case was a police officer on duty at the time he was murdered. Does that cause you any problem in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: Do you think the State has anything to worry about if you were a juror on this case in that regard?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: Thank you very much. Let me just stay with this row and I'll get you. Miss Kizis.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Nephew and cousin that were involved in drugs and arrested for that.

MR. STANTON: Is there anything about -- you have heard my questions to the other jurors. Is there anything about that experience that would cause you a problem in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: Thank you, ma'am.

And Miss Frandsen.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son in the '70s was picked up for drugs.

MR. STANTON: You put that on your questionnaire. Is there anything about that interaction with the police and your knowledge of it that would cause you a problem in the case based upon what you have heard so

1 far?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: None at all.

MR. STANTON: Anybody else? Let me go to Miss Frankel.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I actually forgot to put this on my questionnaire. Like maybe one years old when this happened, but my uncle was arrested for drugs. But I have no knowledge of it, has nothing to do with this case.

MR. STANTON: Can't even believe that you remember that, but thank you very much.

It would be Miss Roberts?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Roberts. My son was arrested for drugs.

MR. STANTON: Once again in the '70s?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

MR. STANTON: That is what you put down in your questionnaire.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Is that what I said? It must be around the day.

MR. STANTON: Is there anything about that experience, Miss Roberts, that would cause you a problem in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: Anybody else? Let me go row by row here.

Mr. Gerbatz.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Some friends that were arrested.

MR. STANTON: And anything about your knowledge of the experiences your friends encountered with the police that would cause you to be anything but fair and impartial in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: Anybody else in that second row? Yes, sir, Mr. Decker.

a PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. As I told the judge earlier, I was a character witness for a very good friend in the early, mid '80s, that was arrested for firing a weapon inside the city limits. I think he was -- I'm not sure of the charge that he was charged with. There was a couple people injured. Not too seriously, as I understand. But I think he was acquitted of that charge, but he received probation for the firearms.

MR. STANTON: Is there anything about your knowledge of that case, whether as a witness or subsequent to the event, about how the police conducted themselves either in the incident or during the investigation that would cause you a problem in this case based upon what you know of the facts of this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.

	1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
6 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I

MR. STANTON: Anybody else in the second row? In the third row starting from my left. Anybody?

Mr. Sotero.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have had several run-ins with the law.

MR. STANTON: Were those run-ins with the law here locally in Washoe County?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Anything about those interactions with law enforcement officers cause you a problem as far as today?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Like somebody said, some cops are jerks.

MR. STANTON: I think probably everybody in this room could agree with that to some extent. Is there anything about that interaction or those interactions that would cause you a problem to be a juror in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Your term "probably," can you give me some explanation why it's probably as opposed to some other term?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, whether a person is an officer or not, we're all human, we all make mistakes. And sometimes, in my past experience, I felt an officer made a mistake, but he was the officer, he had the gun and the

	317
1	badge, and I'm Joe Citizen, and I obviously lost.
2	MR. STANTON: In this case, do you feel that
3	you would carry any of those feelings into your deliberation
4	of the evidence as a juror?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will try not to.
6	MR. STANTON: Can you do that?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
8	MR. STANTON: Anybody else in the back row?
9	Miss Kominek.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do you want us to respond
11	other than what was on the questionnaire?
12	THE COURT: If you have something additional to
13	add, please do that.
14	MR. STANTON: And I'll be coming back to your
15	questionnaire when I get to individual. I'll ask it to you
16	now. You indicated there was a problem in the past with law
17	enforcement with a relative?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, two actually.
19	MR. STANTON: And was that locally?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, one.
21	MR. STANTON: Was there anything about those
22	interactions that would cause you a problem in this case?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	MR. STANTON: And Mr. Lafond.
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My son, was about
	sierra nevada reporters (702) 329-6560

1	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
5 6 7	
8	
9	
0.	
1	
12	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
16	١
17	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	- 11

how he did some shoplifting after he went back to the Philippines, and the police come knocking on my door. It has nothing to do with this case. He have made his mistake. That is his problem.

MR. STANTON: Mr. O'Daye?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I'm on house arrest right now.

MR. STANTON: Can you tell me what agency that was that arrested you that led to your house arrest?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just Sparks.

MR. STANTON: Somewhere in Sparks? Was it Sparks Police Department?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, police.

MR. STANTON: I'm assuming that the fact that you are here and someone hasn't come tracking you down, that you have worked it out with your house arrest folks that that doesn't set off the alarm.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, it's all right.

MR. STANTON: Is there anything, Mr. O'Daye, about that experience that would cause you a problem in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: From behalf of the State?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's all right.

MR. STANTON: Can you give us a fair shake in

this case as a juror?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Anybody else?

Occasionally in the two predominant newspapers that service the Washoe County area, the Reno Gazette-Journal and the Sparks Tribune, there is an advertisement relative to concept of jurors' rights. Has anybody on this panel ever seen that advertisement?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. STANTON: Anybody say yes?

There have been several questions so far about whether or not any of you, based upon your life experiences that you bring to court today, have any bias or prejudice in this case. And you all to this point have indicated that you do not.

Is there any of you -- along with those two concepts, there is another one called sympathy as a juror. In this case, both as your function in the guilt phase and in the penalty phase, you cannot interject in your decision-making --

MR. BOSLER: I object to that statement, Your Honor. I don't believe that's a correct statement of the law with regard to penalty.

THE COURT: Counsel approach.

4 5

(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Bosler.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, there is case law that says mercy is a valid concept to be imposed, considered by judges and jurors when they decide penalties. And to say they can't exercise sympathy, I know that is a general instruction with regard to the guilt phase, but it is not true in the penalty phase.

MR. STANTON: I couldn't disagree more. The anti-sympathy instruction has been upheld by the State of Nevada Supreme Court on no less than three occasions.

THE COURT: His objection is that you can allow sympathy to influence you with regard to mitigation in the penalty phase, not in guilt phase. That is his argument.

MR. STANTON: And that's what I'm saying is that there is an anti-sympathy instruction at both the guilt phase and the penalty phase, and that is upheld numerous times by the Nevada Supreme Court.

THE COURT: I'm very concerned about implying that the jury must contain their ideas of mitigation to one particular area or certain particular areas. I think the case law is very clear that the juror may consider anything they want by way of mitigation.

MR. STANTON: Yes, and in fact --

THE COURT: What my concern is, that unless we are very careful on how we ask the question, there could be implication the limiting can be mitigation. I think you would be fine if you ask the question with regard to guilt phase and we stay away from it for penalty.

MR. STANTON: The decisions say that if the instruction says that you may consider any evidence in mitigation. If that instruction is given along with the anti-sympathy instruction, that's a correct statement of the law.

THE COURT: But what are you calling sympathy? If they believe that his receiving the death penalty would deprive his mother of her son, I call that sympathy, but that's a mitigator they can consider, and I will allow the defense to argue that.

MR. STANTON: But I'm not sure that is distinctive from what I'm saying.

THE COURT: I'm not either. That is my problem. Just calling it sympathy generally. I think it's a semantic term, and I want to be sure with the objection on the record that we're careful that the jury doesn't get the wrong impression.

I think the way we can do it, you can just talk about it in terms of penalty, in terms of guilt phase, and then just say it is going to be reinstructed as to what they

can and must consider in penalty phase.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, we would ask the Court to instruct the jury at this point they are not allowed -- they are allowed to consider anything they think is appropriate in the penalty phase, to cure the implication they can't be sympathetic.

THE COURT: I think I will listen to how

Mr. Stanton modifies his question, and I feel at that time

it hasn't been corrected, I will make a corrected

instruction to the jury. But at this time I think

interrupting his question, he'll be able to fix it in his

answer and not have to cause special attention to this whole

issue.

MR. GREGORY: Judge, how long are we going before we take a break, because we have been here since 9:00 or something, something like that? So a lot of these jurors have also.

THE COURT: I'm not worried about the jurors.

They look perfectly okay to me. If he can go until quarter to 12:00, that's how long we will go.

We'll go til quarter to 12:00.

MR. BOSLER: Judge, while we're here, I'd ask the Court's guidance because there are people who say that they formed an opinion based upon media. They are still in

this pool. We have handled them individually before. I want to get the Court's feeling on that.

individually because the reason we ended up doing the other individuals was because it was lunchtime and I thought we were going to work straight through lunch, handle those jurors while the main body got lunch, but you all needed a break. We couldn't do it. So it just sort of happened that we got bogged down like that. It wasn't an intentional thing. I'm especially concerned about some of their responses, but in this group I don't think we have to do it individually.

MR. BOSLER: A lot of people say they have been influenced by media, people they have talked to, and I think you run the risk they are going to blurt out something that is going to contaminate the juror pool. That is our concern.

THE COURT: Thank you for that concern. I appreciate your calling it to my attention.

So far I haven't heard anything different.

They all seemed to have heard the exact same thing. I

just -- I'll play it by ear. I may interrupt them if I feel
they are going off and telling us everything they know about
the case.

They have also told us that they didn't -- all SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

these people said they haven't learned anything new since they filled out the questionnaire.

You have the questionnaire. So I don't think you have to go into what they already knew. I think the question goes to whether or not they can put that aside and decide the case. I'm going to allow you to inquire in that regard, but I don't think you have to go into what else they know.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, if I don't know what they have heard or read about the case, I'm not sure exactly if they have heard every detail about the case and they are going to say, I'm not biased.

THE COURT: You have got the questionnaire.

You have got the response to the questionnaire where they

filled out what they had heard. I have asked the question:

Is there anything new that you have heard or read about this

case in addition to the questionnaire? All of them said no.

There's no need for you to go beyond the questionnaire. You have got it. You can ask them again if there is something more, but they have all told me there isn't anything more.

Just pull the questionnaire out. That is why we have a questionnaire, so we don't ask everybody.

I also want to encourage counsel, I will shut you down if you ask the same question twice. And both of

you. We're going to move through this selection. You can not repeat your questions three and four times, the same question.

Ask your question once. If you have follow-up questions based on the answer, follow up. But don't repeat the question. And you don't have to repeat the same question more than once to the same jury panel. Okay?

MR. STANTON: Do you want all the generals and specifics at the same time?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I gave you a list of my questions, and you didn't disapprove of a lot of them. A lot of them require the questions as to each specific juror, occupation, things like that. There is no way I can ask it once just one juror and move on.

THE COURT: Well, you requested permission to ask -- and we didn't even go into this. We'll talk about it. You are not going to get -- we will have another break before you get to ask your questions.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury.)

MR. STANTON: Since it's a while since I asked my last question, I'll once again go over it.

We have talked before about bias, prejudice in this case. I would ask you relative to the issue of the

guilt phase of this trial, once again, there being two phases, the guilt phase and the penalty phase.

Could you, knowing and looking at yourself honestly, the character and the type of person you are, put aside your bias, your sympathy and your prejudice when determining the issues of the guilt or innocence of Mr. Vanisi?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I couldn't.

MR. STANTON: Okay. That's Miss Buckley?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Is that based upon the opinion that you have previously indicated that you have in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. It's just the way I feel.

MR. STANTON: I understand that. And you feel that way because of what you know about the case to date?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. And what I read back during that period of time. And the fright that we felt when we had to keep going to work that someone was out there.

MR. STANTON: Miss Buckley, you have heard about the presumption of innocence that we have talked about yesterday and today?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1.5	
16	
17	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Do you believe in that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe in that, and I feel that he should have a fair trial, and I don't feel that I could give him that.

MR. STANTON: What is it about it, about your opinion - I don't want necessarily the details of it - but what makes you think that you can't afford that to
Mr. Vanisi? Because you can't put what you know aside?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.

MR. STANTON: If the judge were to instruct you that as a juror in this case, you decide what the facts are, no one else does, no one tells you what to decide or what weight to give to the facts, you and you alone do that, do you think you could do that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I really don't. I'm afraid I can't. That's not fair. It's just the way I feel. I walked til 2:00 o'clock this morning. I can't erase that. It is the way I feel.

MR. STANTON: Anybody else have an answer to that question that we need to speak about?

In this case --

MR. BOSLER: Counsel, I believe Miss Cadena, if I'm not mistaken.

Did your hand go up?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It did and it went down again. It's really the same territory we covered yesterday. I still don't feel sure that I could be fair about it.

MR. STANTON: But the same issues and the same reasons we discussed yesterday?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Exactly.

MR. STANTON: Anybody else?

Mr. Gerbatz, same thing as to yesterday?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I need to let you know that I again have a very strong opinion, but I don't think -- I think I could look at this, the evidence and determine a verdict with the evidence, the instructions of the Court, but at this point I am burdened with a heavy thing.

MR. STANTON: The questions to all of you relative to having opinions, having opinions are fine. Some people have very strong opinions about a trial that may be starting this week in Washington, D.C.

The question is: Can you put aside whatever your opinions are and say, I have a special role as a juror, and that is you sit in those chairs, you listen to witnesses take the witness stand, there will be evidence presented in the form of documentation, photos, exhibits, and then make your decision solely on that, not what you hear, say, for example, in the newspaper?

In other words, this case isn't tried by what Mike Henderson says in the Gazette Journal or what Tad Dunbar says on the evening news. It is tried in this courtroom through that chair and through presentation of evidence by both sides here. That's the question about the people that have any preexisting opinion one way or another.

Can you put that aside and listen to what happens in this room? Is there anybody that cannot do that?

And that's Mr. Gerbatz and Miss Buckley. Is that correct?

In this case there will be what I think I can fairly state is powerful testimony and gruesome testimony and photographs. My question to you is how you know yourself, your personality, your character, is can you look and listen to that testimony? Are you the type of person that can listen to it?

It's not going to be pleasant. But it's necessary as a juror because there is specific forensic evidence that is addressed in not only the testimony but in the photographs.

Is there anybody in this panel that feels that they would have difficulty doing that?

Okay. Let me start with Miss Mueller.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't stand the site of any kind of blood or any gruesome pictures. It just gets to

me.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And when you say it gets to you, to the point where you couldn't look at them at all?

MR. STANTON: Okay. Anybody else in the back

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I couldn't.

row?

MR. BOSLER: Let the record reflect, Your Honor, that Miss Mueller is tearful at this point.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

MR. STANTON: Miss Guiler, what concerns do you have regarding this?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I have never been one to watch horror movies or like "The Titanic," I could never go to that movie and watch that movie. Even though, say, it's a love story, I could never go and watch it because of the fact that people were losing their lives. I have never been a fan of any kind of like "Star Trek" or anything that was like out of the ordinary as far as gruesome goes.

And I don't really know how it would affect me to have to -- because I have kind of sheltered myself over the years from things like that, like from violence.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Well, in this case, as I indicated, there will be photographs and testimony in conjunction with those photographs that speak to the

evidence in this case. It's not designed to shock you or like maybe some of the movies are, but because it tells you evidence in this case. That's the role of the jurors is to determine the evidence in this case.

So knowing that, I know you said you shielded yourself purposely from that, what do you think about your ability as your personality goes, as a role of a juror when it comes to that evidence? Do you think you can, even though it may be distasteful to observe and to listen to, could you continue to perform your function as a juror?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir, I could.

MR. STANTON: Thank you. Anybody else in the back row? In the second row. Okay.

Miss Mills. Can you tell me about your concerns?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I have seen a lot of operations on TV in the medical channels and things like that, heart operations, and that didn't bother me. But to see photographs on a death, I think it would.

MR. STANTON: I'm hopeful that most compassionate people, photographs of this nature would bother them or they are not something that they would enjoy looking at. My question as it was to Miss Guiler and I'd ask you directly, can you put your feelings aside and perform your role as a juror to evaluate as evidence?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's a hard one to answer. I don't know.

MR. STANTON: The concern I'd be looking at is a potential juror who says, I can't look at them. Kind of shuts it off, both when they observe the photographs as well as hear the testimony. Someone that just simply cannot absorb and listen to it. It will not be pleasant for anybody. But can they do that.

Is there anybody that, other than the witnesses or the potential jurors that have already answered that question, is there anybody else that thinks that they will have trouble doing that?

Okay. That would be Miss Arlitz?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Could you tell me a little bit more about your concerns regarding this?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I never watch movies or anything like that that has to do with blood. I have passed out.

THE COURT: I'm going to stop you right there.

Mr. Lafond told me about -- do you need to leave right now?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead and go with the Jury Commissioner into the jury room, please.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(Prospective Juror LaFond excused from the courtroom.)

PROSPECTIVE JUROR IN THE AUDIENCE: Excuse me, Your Honor. There is some of us that have to go, too.

THE COURT: Go ahead and have a seat, please.

MR. STANTON: Do you want me to continue questioning while he is gone?

THE COURT: Do you stipulate to the questioning of these people who indicated specific issues? Mr. Bosler?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I would ask that we wait for the juror to come back because one of these questions may raise a concern that they want to express.

THE COURT: He is just inquiring as to the question that he gave that they raised their hand about concerns with the photographs.?

MR. BOSLER: My concern is the responses that are elicited may cause the person missing to raise his hand. So I ask that we wait.

THE COURT: Bailiff, approach, please.

(Prospective Juror LaFond returned to the courtroom.)

MR. STANTON: Miss Arlitz, my question ultimately is this: Can you knowing -- because you know yourself better than anybody in this courtroom, can you put your feelings about that subject matter aside and perform

your function as a juror to review it as evidence?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure.

MR. STANTON: Would you do your best?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'll try.

MR. STANTON: Ma'am, Miss --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have butterflies in my stomach, you just talking that way. I am very sensitive. I had trouble going to sleep last night just thinking about it. But I could try to put my thoughts aside to that.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you very much. That is Miss Kizis; right?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

MR. STANTON: Anybody else?

THE COURT: Before you inquire, we have lost one of our gallery. So we'll wait for just a moment before you move on to a new question.

Ladies and gentlemen, yesterday I noticed how long it takes a hundred of you to move in and out of a courtroom. If I take a recess now, we won't get back in before noon. I want to keep moving so that your time is well spent. So that's why we're taking this extraordinary measure just taking those people who have to.

I plan on recessing at a quarter to 12:00. So that's about 20 more minutes. I cannot proceed, there is no stipulation to proceed without you present for any of the

questions. So we must wait.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I was going to suggest an efficient use of time, may we have side-bar with Miss Mueller at this time?

THE COURT: We have the lady back in the courtroom. What do you want to do about Miss Mueller?

MR. STANTON: I know she is upset.

THE COURT: We'll just keep going.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury.)

MR. STANTON: Is there any other juror that would have any problem along the lines of testimony or photographs in this case?

Miss Mills?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would like to elaborate on my statement where you generally hear — this is your job, this is your life, you see this every day. I don't. I don't see photographs every day the way you do. But what I'm saying is what I see in a photograph, I don't want it to affect the rest of my life with that picture. I want to — I don't want to see it.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And do you think based upon what I have described to you today that it might do that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.

MR. STANTON: Based upon that, do you think it would affect your ability to continue or to perform your function as a juror? In other words, where you won't pay attention to the facts and evidence or where you couldn't be fair and impartial?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think where I couldn't pay attention to facts and evidence, I would just have this photograph in my mind, and that would be -- I have no idea when I'm going to look at that, and I think, yes, I don't want that image in my mind for the rest of my life.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, at this time we make a motion to challenge her for cause. If she can't sit fairly and look at photographs.

THE COURT: Do you want to traverse?

MR. STANTON: I would not traverse, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Miss Mills, you are excused.

(Prospective Juror Mills excused from the courtroom.)

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I don't know if this
is an opportune time, but based upon the responses from Miss

9

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

22

21

23 24

25

Buckley and Mr. Damoth -- Miss Buckley and Mr. Gerbatz, we make the same motion. I think they both expressed to the Court they can't be fair based upon their answers in this case.

MR. STANTON: Relative to the motion with Miss Buckley, Your Honor, the State would not traverse. would traverse the motion for cause as to Mr. Gerbatz.

> THE COURT: Miss Buckley, you are excused. (Prospective Juror Buckley excused from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Based upon Mr. Gerbatz's responses, the Court denies the motion with regard to Mr. Gerbatz.

The clerk will call the names of two more people to replace them. We will first replace Miss Mills and then Miss Buckley.

THE CLERK: Menandro H. Domingo.

Robin W. Sheets.

MR. GREGORY: Are they not sitting in sequence?

THE COURT: We excused Miss Mills first, therefore, the first person called replaces Miss Mills. second motion that was made was with regard to Miss Buckley. That motion was granted. Therefore, the second name called replaced Miss Buckley.

> MR. GREGORY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, do you want me to go MR. STANTON:

1	
2	

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

22

21

23 24

25

into the generals?

THE COURT: Yes. I'm giving them an opportunity to review the witness list.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know anyone on the list, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sheets?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, Your Honor, I don't know anyone.

THE COURT: My questions are for you, Mr. Domingo, and you, Mr. Sheets. Were you able to hear all my questions this morning?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you have responded to any of my questions affirmatively? Would you have raised your hand and said, Yes, judge, I have that issue?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just one.

THE COURT: Which one, Mr. Sheets?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Working with officers. The Reno PD does weaponless defense at the armory, and I have several officers that are in the National Guard as well.

Anything about that relationship THE COURT: with Reno police or the officers that are in the Guard that would cause you difficulty serving in this case?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

23

24

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUI	ROR: N	lo.
-------------------	--------	-----

THE COURT: Now, was that the same Guard that Mr. Gammick used to be a member of or is a member of?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no idea.

THE COURT: You are not familiar with him?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: And is there anything about your relationship with police officers that would cause you to favor someone's testimony over another person's testimony?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Domingo, what would you have responded to?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would like to respond that I know and am acquainted with one of the Sheriff's deputies, and his name is Jim Barros. He is a friend of mine, and he used to be my supervisor, too.

THE COURT: Is there anything about that relationship that would cause you difficulty serving as a juror in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Anything else that you would want to respond to?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. I have two daughters that I have to drop to school in the morning, and both of them are in variance because we moved from

Lemmon Valley closer to town, and they still go to the same school. One is in Stead Middle School, and one is in Lemmon Valley school.

And I have a hard time dropping them off.

Picking them up is no problem because my wife works during
the day. And to drop them off is really a hardship to me
because I don't know how to drop them so they won't get late
in school.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Because you have to come to court?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: What time are they due at school?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The middle schooler is starting at 8:00 and the other starts at 9:00.

THE COURT: If you have to be here before 9:00 you would have a problem?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You won't have to be.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good.

THE COURT: Anything else?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I also served as a juror about eight, nine, ten years ago. It was a DUI case, and if I remember it correctly, Attorney Stanton was the prosecutor at that time.

THE COURT: And now without telling me the

4 5

6 7

8

11

12

10

13

14

15 16

17 18

20

19

22

21

2324

25

result of the case, were you able to reach a verdict?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. The best of my knowledge.

THE COURT: Now, is there anything about the fact that you saw Mr. Stanton as an attorney in a case previously that would cause you to be biased for or against the State in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Or would you be biased for or against the defense in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's -- I have a mixed reaction on that question, Your Honor. Because we -- we also are victim of a crime, and if you let me continue my story, I'll tell you why.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because I have a relative who was murdered in the Philippines, and it's really left a big scar on our family. And out of that scar developed a rage, a rage that we want to see every -- whoever, whatever race that is, to be convicted, to be convicted and get the maximum penalty allowed by the law.

THE COURT: So you think you would be biased against the defendant because of that circumstance?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. Because of the scar that is left in our family.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE COURT: Anything else on your list that you wanted to tell me about?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Were you able to hear my question, especially my last question that I asked today of the whole panel with regard to the potential for the jury to determine the penalty in a case? Did you hear me talk about that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you feel compelled to enter any particular -- a particular verdict in all cases of first degree murder?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, because of the thing that happened to my family, I'm going to have a hard time figuring out the verdict, meaning to say that I would have a hard time to reach the decision because to me, I mentioned the word rage, to me, I would see the person, regardless of who he is, what he is, to be convicted.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I believe we have a stipulated cause challenge.

THE COURT: Okay. Make your motion.

MR. BOSLER: We would ask that he be excused for cause.

MR. STANTON: State would not traverse that.

THE COURT: Mr. Domingo, based on your answers

343 and their stipulation, I'll go ahead and excuse you. Thank 1 2 you. Call another name. 3 (Prospective Juror Domingo excused from the 4 courtroom.) 5 THE CLERK: Sandra J. Ellin. 6 THE COURT: Go ahead and review the witness list, Miss Ellin. 8 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know any of them, 9 Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Sheets, would you be compelled 11 to return any particular verdict with regard to penalty if 12 13 you were called upon to sit on a jury that was required to determine penalty? 14 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (Shakes head negatively.) 15 THE COURT: Would you feel you always had to 16 vote for the death penalty no matter what the Court's 17 instructions or the law? 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 19 THE COURT: Would you feel you always had to 20 vote against the death penalty no matter what the Court's 21 22 instructions or law? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 23 THE COURT: Miss Ellin, have you had an 24 opportunity to hear all my questions this morning? 25 SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you have wanted to respond to any of my questions if you had been sitting here?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have an uncle that was a sheriff in Riverside, California, and he was there for many years.

I don't think I'd be a good juror. I don't think I could listen to those pictures. I believe in the death penalty, strongly. I have a sister that's in Norgo, prison for women, that the police officers in California just harassed. I just don't think I'm a good juror.

Also, I'm sorry I'm kind of emotional. I work as a waitress, I work four hours a day. I get 5:15 an hour. I have been stressed over this for the whole week.

My income is tips. I'm the only person that pays my bills. And I have been worried about how I'm going to pay my bills. I don't think I would be very good at this.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, not to state the obvious, but the record should reflect she is tearful at this point.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect. Counsel wish to inquire?

MR. STANTON: I have no questions of this witness, Your Honor.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. BOSLER: I don't mean to put you on the spot.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fine.

MR. BOSLER: I heard you say that based upon your life experiences, you think that if this case came down to a murder conviction, you'd vote for the death penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I strongly believe in that. When a person does a crime, they should pay for what they have done.

MR. BOSLER: Is there anything that you would consider that would change your mind if you found a first-degree murder conviction you would -- you wouldn't vote for it?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I would vote for it.

MR. BOSLER: We would make a motion to excuse for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton.

MR. STANTON: Miss Ellin, are you saying that if under every circumstance you sat as a juror and someone was convicted of first-degree murder, you think the appropriate punishment would be the death penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.

MR. STANTON: There is no cases where you would think that something less than the death penalty would be appropriate?

	346
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir, I don't.
2	MR. STANTON: And you are absolute in your
3	convictions about that?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I am.
5	MR. STANTON: And it's just the fact that it is
6	a crime of first degree murder that the punishment would
7	be automatically should be the death penalty?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That, yes, I do.
9	MR. STANTON: Do you think that there's some
10	other things you should consider before you decide the death
11	penalty, such as mitigating evidence about who the defendant
12	is, whether or not they have any other prior contact with
13	the law, that would help you make that decision?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir, I don't. I
15	strongly believe in it.
16	MR. STANTON: Thank you. No further questions.
17	THE COURT: Motion has been made. Mr. Stanton.
18	MR. STANTON: We would not traverse it, Your
19	Honor.
20	THE COURT: Miss Ellin, based upon your
21	responses to the death penalty, I'm going to excuse you.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you, ma'am.
23	(Prospective Juror Ellin excused from the
24	courtroom.)
25	MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, the record should
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

reflect that Mr. Rumbaugh was also nodding his head on that question.

THE COURT: The problem I have is I want to make it really clear, in spite of what Mr. Bosler asked in terms of the way the question was asked, the law does not exclude people who have strongly held convictions one way or another. You must, as I told you earlier, be willing to follow the instructions that I give you with regard to the law and follow the specific instructions that I give you with regard to what you must consider before determining penalty. And that is of significance here.

So although I know many of you are hearing things and think there are some differences between you or that there are no differences between you and people who are excused, there are differences in responses and the reasons, and I will inquire of Mr. Rumbaugh in just a minute.

Call another name.

THE CLERK: Gordon D. Berg.

THE COURT: Mr. Berg, go ahead and look at those, that witness list, please. Do you know anyone?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I didn't recognize anyone, no.

THE COURT: Not related to anybody on that list; right?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Have you been able to hear my questions this morning?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you want to respond to any of those questions had you been sitting in the jury box all day?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Only that my ex-wife is married to a Washoe County detective; and I do strongly believe in the death penalty, but I do try to keep an open mind.

THE COURT: With regard to your -- the issue on the penalties provided, as I indicated this morning, it's only on certain kinds of cases that juries decide penalty, and it would only be after a determination of guilt were found as to first degree murder that that consideration could even come into play.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.

THE COURT: If that were the determination by the jury at some point, you would be called upon to determine the penalty. I will instruct you as to which penalties are available and what the law is with regard to things that you must consider before determining the penalty.

Would you be able to follow that instruction?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In spite of a strong belief in the THE COURT: death penalty, would you give -- would you be compelled to give the death penalty in all cases? Or would you consider --A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'd consider the circumstances always. THE COURT: And would you be compelled -- I

think based on your questions, the answer is going to be no, but I do want to make a record. Would you feel compelled to always vote against the death penalty if you sat on a jury that was -- had to determine penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You lost me there.

THE COURT: If you happen to be on a jury that was required to determine the penalty, would you vote against the death penalty no matter what the evidence or the instructions?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, no, I'd follow the instructions.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Rumbaugh, Mr. Bosler told me that you were modding your head when Miss Ellin was talking. Do you have something to add?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You know, I just kind of agree with her.

> About not wanting to be here? THE COURT: SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

6

9 10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not wanting to be The penalty. An eye for an eye and all that stuff.

THE COURT: Now, I had asked you before but I want to be sure you understood my question. understand that I will give you instructions as to what you must consider before you determine the proper penalty, if you even get there?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's awfully hard to blank a person's mind out and start two separate sides.

THE COURT: Nobody is asking you to blank out The cuestion is, will you be able to follow the law which requires you to weigh certain considerations.

The question is, no matter who the person is who was convicted of first degree murder, always, no matter what the evidence, no matter who the person was, vote to impose the death penalty? Or would you consider the person?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'd do the death penalty.

No matter if it was your daughter THE COURT: who committed the offense, you would say, Yeah, death penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Like I say, you commit the crime, you do the punishment.

THE COURT: Counsel, you may inquire.

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. STANTON: Mr. Rumbaugh, the adage that you just mentioned about doing the crime and doing time, there is a distinction between doing obviously prison time and the death penalty. So I'm not sure if I misunderstand your citation to that quote.

If you believe that someone committed a murder and you believe the only punishment that you would recognize and consider would be the death penalty, is that what you are saying?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sometimes murder might be justified.

Okay. There are some cases where MR. STANTON: someone would be convicted of murder in the first degree where the punishment based upon any number of factors that the jury considers in mitigation that would justify the person not getting the death penalty. Would you agree with that principle?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just say go with the death penalty.

MR. STANTON: There is a lot of murders that arcn't, in the eyes of the law at least, not death penalty cases, because in order for a case to be death penalty, there has to be specific facts that the Legislature has agreed to be aggravating circumstances that have stated in the law this aggravates first degree murder before the death

penalty is a viable form of punishment.

Do you agree with that concept? In other words, that you can't have the death penalty unless certain aggravating circumstances have been proven?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, we're going to object at this time. I think that is a misstatement of the law. In the eyes of the Legislature, even if you find aggravators, death is never an absolute. They can always choose life.

THE COURT: That's clear. But I don't think that's what his question implied. No, I don't think he was implying that. That's just the first part of it, was the aggravating. I'm sure he is getting to the mitigating. Motion is denied.

MR. STANTON: I certainly wasn't trying to imply that. Sir --

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, there always -- we got to be careful, and that's why I started at the beginning. I didn't really want to go through all the instructions.

Mr. Bosler is asking questions about what kind of a case -- do you understand that a certain kind of case only can even be considered for the penalty, you can even think about it?

Now, what Mr. Bosler is saying is that there is SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

5

7 8

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

a whole bunch of things that must be considered by the jury before they ever impose that kind of penalty, and that's what we're calling these mitigating circumstances. So be sure that you don't get bogged down on the instructions.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, that is not the correct statement of the law. Because even if the jury were to consider the aggravators and mitigators, they never have an obligation to impose death under Nevada law. they found nine aggravators or however many.

THE COURT: Mr. Bosler, thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm going to give you a special instruction at this time.

> "The jury must consider whether aggravating circumstances exist if they reach a penalty for first degree murder. This determination must be unanimous and it must be beyond a reasonable doubt.

The jurors need not find mitigating circumstances unanimously in determining the appropriate sentence. Each juror must consider and weigh any mitigating circumstances or circumstances which the juror independently finds.

The jury may impose a sentence of death only if: One, the jurors find

unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt
that at least one aggravating circumstance
exists; two, each and every juror determines
that the mitigating circumstance or
circumstances if any which he or she has
found do not outweigh the aggravating
circumstance or circumstances; and three,
the jurors unanimously determine that in
their discretion a sentence of death is
appropriate."

This is a cite to <u>Sonner versus State</u>, 114

Nevada 321, 1998 case. It is a quote, and I don't think we need to discuss further the circumstances. It is complicated. That is the instruction.

MR. BOSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may inquire.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Rumbaugh, having heard that instruction of law, is there anything about that instruction of law that would prohibit you from doing your sworn duty as a juror in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. No, there isn't.

MR. STANTON: Do you want me to continue with my generals?

THE COURT: We're ready to quit for the lunch break, as long as you have reached a determination of

whether or not you want to traverse Mr. Bosler's motion.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  STANTON: I would traverse the motion by  ${\operatorname{Mr}.}$  Bosler.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, we'll make a Weatherspoon challenge.

THE COURT: We'll -- fine. That is fine. We'll talk about it in a moment.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury panel, we're going to recess for lunch now, and I'm going to ask that you return at 1:00 o'clock. We'll start with the roll call at 1:00 o'clock.

Ladies and gentlemen in the audience, I have much more business to do with these attorneys while you leave the courtroom. I ask that you leave quietly and that you return at 1:00 o'clock. Remember the admonition that you have all received. I ask that you follow that admonition. Do not get up and leave until I give it to you, please. Be sure to remember to remain — to come back to the courthouse at 1:00 o'clock.

The admonition is as follows: You may not view, listen to or read any news media accounts regarding this case should there be any. You may not discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone else. Do not form any further opinions with regard to the outcome of this case and do not allow anyone to attempt to influence you with regard

| to it.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to take a very brief recess while you exit the courtroom. I ask that counsel remain in the courtroom so we can make a record as soon as the courtroom is clear. We have several things to puts on the record. Thank you. Court is in recess.

(Recess taken at 11:47 a.m.)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, outside the presence of the jury panel.)

THE COURT: Mr. Bosler, go ahead and make your record.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I'm not quite sure which record you wanted me to make. There are many, several jurors that expressed they formed opinions based upon the media. I asked the Court to consider allowing them to discuss what they have heard and how that affected their opinions outside the presence of the rest of the jury pool because of the risk of contamination. I think the judge, you said that you would consider that but you are reluctant to do that. That's one of the motions.

The other one is I think we have a --

THE COURT: Let's just do them one at a time.

I'm going to deny that motion at this time. Depending on what the jurors say, if I feel it's necessary based on what they say to have either a side-bar like we have been doing

or to do it individually, I will do it at that time.

MR. STANTON: In addition, Your Honor, I think the Court had made in a side-bar ruling that the question would have to be proffered whether there was anything in addition to what they had put on their questionnaire that would be a basis of additional information. Counsel would have to ask that and inquire of that as a predicate.

THE COURT: Right. That's correct.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, in addition, I think we have a stipulated challenge to Juror Mueller. She is in the first position.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton.

MR. STANTON: Yes, I would stipulate to
Miss Mueller's dismissal. I would indicate to the Court or
for the record that Miss Mueller was the juror who was quite
emotional relative to the subject matter of photographs.

Subsequent to the side-bar comment about Miss Mueller, I have watched her reaction. Her physical reaction is obviously one of grave discomfort as well as emotion, and I believe that based upon the facts of this case, that it would be ultimately presented that Miss Mueller may not be able to perform her function as a juror in this case.

THE COURT: Based upon the stipulation and motion of the defense, I will excuse Miss Mueller.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, at this time we renew

our motion to have Mr. Rumbaugh excused for cause. He indicated before anybody started questioning him he formed his opinion about this case months ago, has expressed the opinion. He's said eye for an eye, is what I heard, you do the crime, do the time.

He agreed with the one juror that said in every murder case, death penalty is appropriate. That is his opinion. He made that unequivocal expression. I think it is a Weatherspoon challenge.

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I don't believe

Mr. Rumbaugh's answers to the questions or his comments at
any point during the voir dire have indicated that he is a
person that, as a matter of law sitting as a juror when
properly instructed, he is going to automatically impose the
death penalty in all first degree murder cases. It is quite
evident from the questioning so far that Mr. Rumbaugh has
strong feelings relative to the death penalty, but that is
not the precise issue of life or death qualifying this jury.

Especially I think that the critical colloquy that occurred between Mr. Rumbaugh was after the Court had instructed relative to the deliberative process of the death penalty, and Mr. Rumbaugh answered that question with precision. And I think it's been the most precise question posed to him about the ultimate Weatherspoon criterion and that is he would follow the law by the Court. Based on his

response to that, I don't think that satisfies the cause motion by defense counsel.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, just for the record, Mr. Rumbaugh has said that he would favor police officer's testimony over civilian witnesses, he could not be fair to the defendant, and I think he was unequivocal when he said that if he is convicted, death is the appropriate remedy.

If it is not a Weatherspoon challenge, certainly it is a for-cause challenge. I'm not backing off the Weatherspoon argument, but I think it is a challenge for both reasons.

MR. STANTON: Well, Your Honor, if I may briefly respond. Mr. Rumbaugh indicated, and I think there is a misperception, at least it is the State's perspective, the defense is misconceiving the responses how jurors would treat police officers' testimony. There is no legal impediment that I'm aware of if a juror responds that if there is two conflicting witnesses in a case, that they would side on a police officer, that that is not violative of law or decision that I'm aware of.

In fact, I think it's a pretty commonplace perception of a juror. The question is, is can they or would they disregard any other person's testimony that would conflict with the police officer or that they would automatically believe a police officer's testimony. I think

from Mr. Rumbaugh's responses, they don't fall within either of those two examples.

As far as counsel's comment that he couldn't be fair to the defendant, I don't recall that in the context that he couldn't do his job as a juror in this case. He is one of the jurors that has an opinion, but he has also indicated that he could put that opinion aside and listen to the facts here.

And that phenomenon has occurred in many high-profile cases, both in federal and state court and that is a person coming in as a prospective juror that has a preexisting opinion. That is not a basis for disqualification. Absent something else, I don't think the defense has made a case for it.

THE COURT: I was concerned about his responses, and that's why I read the specific instruction of Sonner to determine whether or not he understood, and we were getting bogged down in the issue how to -- how do you explain to a jury without giving them specific instruction how they must deliberate in the penalty phase if they reach that phase and the requirement that they must determine mitigating circumstances, they have to look at anything that could possibly be mitigating. I read the instruction, and his -- any confusion or ambiguity was cleared up. His answer was unequivocal that he would follow the instruction

1 and he accepted it.

Based on that, the motion for Weatherspoon is denied.

We have a request with regard to your voir dire, Mr. Bosler. You asked me -- you had showed it to me before we started court yesterday, and you asked me about did I have any objections to your proposed voir dire. We did that at the side-bar, too, but we didn't get into the specifics.

MR. BOSLER: That is correct, Your Honor. And I think the Court should rule on the for-cause challenge issue, too.

THE COURT: I understand why the defense doesn't want him, but I don't see a legal reason for excluding him for cause. Therefore, the motion is denied.

MR. BOSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, let's get into the question of your questions, voir dire. Although you haven't had an opportunity to inquire of the whole jury in blanket form, although you have inquired independently of them, what you said at side-bar was you were going to want to ask every prospective juror each of those questions, and I didn't understand that was going to be your request. So that's why we have just got to go through it. Because I don't really see the need for all 36 people to be asked what all their

prior professional careers were.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I'm going to ask them what their last occupation was, not what they have ever done in their life. Of course, that is a question you can't ask to a group.

And as the Court is aware, the regular questionnaire we give out to all jurors indicates or gives them a blank to fill out their present employment. That is because your employment --

THE COURT: Let's go through your questions, and then you can tell me why you think this bears on their — the jurors' ability to be a fair and impartial jurors or their impartiality.

What was your last job or occupation? How does that bear?

MR. BOSLER: The same as what their present occupation is, Your Honor. If someone is now a truck driver and their last occupation was they worked in a lab that supplied police officers with guns, although that does not technically say that they have relatives or related to law enforcement, that would be something that would impact upon their ability to be impartial.

I don't know what these other occupations are going to be until I ask that question. And the other questions that are in the questionnaire, even on special,

7.4

haven't covered that area.

THE COURT: Your question with regard to background, what was your last job or occupation? how long did you work there: what other cities have you lived in: is there any reason you left? I'm not going to allow you to ask every juror that question.

I will allow to you ask the jurors if they changed their occupation in the last five years, not job but occupation. And if they have, I will allow you to inquire further of those individual jurors who raise their hand.

MR. BOSLER: Will the Court make a record why five years is an important date?

THE COURT: I don't think it's important. I don't think this question is really a very good question anyway. I'm just trying to give you something to stretch it to what your hypothetical is that maybe somebody somewhere had a job working in a lab. If they didn't do it within the last five years, I can't see any relevance to it. Unless it was something specific that they have brought up.

But people do change occupations. But they haven't changed it within the last five years, then they are pretty entrenched in their current occupation.

So I'm giving you something to go on. If they change occupations in five years, then you can inquire further, see if maybe in recent times they have had some

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

special experiences that you think might bear on their service.

I'm not going to allow you to ask what cities they have lived in. You can look at the questionnaire. they have lived in Washoe County less than six months, go ahead and inquire. Less than a year, inquire.

You have only lived here six months or a year, where did you live before?

But I still don't particularly see the relationship to living in another city before coming here. But if they are newly here, if that somehow impacts your defense, I'll go ahead and allow you to ask that.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, for the record, I think that if I ask a juror who has come from another state and they say, I left Los Angeles in order to get away from the crime because I was fearful, I left five years ago and I have lived in Washoe County ever since, I'm not going to be able to get that information based upon the Court's restriction of my voir dire. I think that greatly impacts upon Mr. Vanisi's chance, his opportunity to intelligently exercise not only for-cause challenges, but his right to exercise his peremptories.

> THE COURT: Why?

MR. BOSLER: If someone came from California to escape crime, obviously they are going to have some -- the

fact this is a criminal case as opposed to a civil case. The fact this involves murder as opposed to some other crime, the fact this involves the alleged killing of a police officer, those are things that are going to impact upon their ability to deliberate. Simply asking them if they are biased may not get to that core issue.

THE COURT: Motion is denied. I disagree that that would lead to reasons to -- just about half of our population left California to get away from the crime or the taxes. And I just don't -- it's not -- it is not realistic about where our population came from or how many people in Washoe County reside here from California. I just don't think that is going to help you.

Activities, what civic, social, religious or professional or trade organizations do you belong to? You are going to ask every juror this?

MR. BOSLER: I'll ask them if they belong to those organizations. Hopefully I'll get some hands and won't need to ask it individually.

THE COURT: You mean if they belong to any social, civic, religious or professional trade organizations?

MR. BOSLER: Yes.

THE COURT: Then you are going to inquire as to what they are?

MR. BOSLER: Yes.

THE COURT: How does that assist you?

MR. BOSLER: Of course, social organizations, professional organizations, things like the NRA, MADD.

THE COURT: You can ask about the NRA. You can ask if anybody is a member of the NRA. I'm not going to go into every religious organization that these jurors belong to. I don't think that is necessary. I'm going to deny that request.

MR. BOSLER: Why does the Court think the NRA is important?

THE COURT: If you think the NRA is important, you mentioned that, I said okay, I'll let you ask that question.

MR. BOSLER: I'd ask the Court to make the record why the Court agrees the NRA is important.

THE COURT: I don't agree. You asked, you said the basis for this question is that it's important to you to know if somebody belongs to the NRA. That's a specific you gave me. I said okay, fine. You can ask that question. You got another specific you want to offer?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, there is just too many organizations out there that we don't even know about until a juror says, I belong to the Retired Organization for the Fraternal Brotherhood of Police Officers. I just thought

I'd let you know it is not in existence anymore, but I belonged to it for ten years.

I'm not going to be able to go through every specific organization that may either impact upon again Mr. Vanisi's right to --

THE COURT: Why don't you come up with a general category for organizations that you are concerned about? You don't have to ask people everything about their lives. If you have a general category of organizations, you have something specific you are looking for, go ahead and tell me. I'll certainly consider it.

But just saying, I'm going to ask what every organization anybody ever belonged to, I'm not going to let you do that. So come up with a specific and/or a general that encompasses certain kinds of organizations. You wanted to ask that kind of a question, I sometimes allow that question, but it has to have some correlation to making an intelligent decision.

MR. BOSLER: That is the next question on the list, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The question 2, under activities?

MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Stanton, did you want to

say something?

MR. STANTON: No, I think the Court just

answered the question that maybe he could ask it in a fashion generally. That is my only comment.

THE COURT: If you come up with something during the lunch hour, Mr. Bosler, feel free to tell me with everyone else present, and I'll certainly consider your request. Your question as to 2 you are requesting to ask is fine.

2 under activities. You can ask a question on the news media. Any questions that come out, any specific questions that come out of what people have said or what they said on either of their questionnaires, you certainly may ask direct specific questions based on their answers.

However, the blanket question about what stations are watched, et cetera, I'm not going to allow. I will allow you to inquire as to general questions regarding whether or not they are regular viewers of police drama, whatever that question is, police and crime shows, the realistic things. You certainly can inquire and ask them about that. And if they answer affirmatively, you can inquire further as necessary.

I have asked all the questions I think about jury experience that are important. Does anyone have any objection to asking if they served as foreperson previously?

MR. STANTON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may ask that question. The

rest I have covered.

MR. BOSLER: Question 4?

THE COURT: 3, have you ever served as a juror or foreperson.

MR. BOSLER: You will allow that question?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BOSLER: The fourth question is what qualities should qualify or disqualify a person from serving as a juror? The Court objects to that?

THE COURT: Just asking every potential juror that question, yes, I think that's overly burdensome and could lead to confusion as well as colloquies that have nothing to do with this particular case or the selection of the jury.

I don't want to get into philosophical debates with the jury. If there is some particular person who responded in a manner that you think that question would be appropriate to ask that particular person, I'll certainly allow you to do that. But not just ask everyone because they are sitting here in the box that question.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, will the Court consider a question: Does anybody think there are qualities that should qualify or disqualify a person, and then I can follow up with those people who raised their hands as opposed to asking individually?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

			THE	COURT:	You	know	, there	are	cer	tain	people	3
are	going	to	say,	those	e peopl	le wh	o want	off	the	jury,	they	
are	going	to	say	their	qualit	ies	disqual	ify	them	a.		

MR. BOSLER: That is information we need to know, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I mean, you haven't figured out which of these people want off?

MR. BOSLER: Those people haven't figured out how to get off the jury.

THE COURT: They may not have figured out exactly the magic answers, but they certainly have exhibited — anyone sitting here has observed that there are certain people on this panel that do not want to be here. So I don't think you have to ask that question to figure out who those people are.

MR. BOSLER: I think human nature, people still may be reluctant to be forthcoming. Unless I have a chance --

THE COURT: I don't want to get into a debate about human nature. I said you can ask jury question number 3.

MR. BOSLER: You won't accept the modification of number 4?

THE COURT: Well, I don't know where we're going to go. You want to ask the question if anybody thinks

there is any qualities. As soon as they raised their hand, you are going to go into colloquy with that person what qualities may or may not exist.

I think it's much more instructive to you in making your determination if you ask them if there is something that would disqualify them. That's a direct question to ask the jury panel. And then if they say there is, let them tell you what it is.

But just going into the broad discussions about philosophy with every member of this panel or even a goodly number of them is not appropriate. So you can ask the question if there is anything that would disqualify someone. I have asked that question. But I will let you ask that again.

MR. BOSLER: The jurors can know whether it is a legal disqualification.

THE COURT: Do you have any qualities that you think should disqualify you from service, and then see if somebody gives you a response.

MR. BOSLER: My question is, what qualities should qualify or disqualify a person to be a juror? Will the Court maybe give me a suggestion how you want that modified?

THE COURT: Does anybody currently sitting in the jury box believe they possess any qualities which

should -- that a juror should not possess?

MR. BOSLER: Just the disqualify?

alcohol previously. You wanted to disqualify one of the potential jurors because she had an issue with alcohol.

Mr. Stanton told me that alcohol is not involved in this case. We didn't have a chance to inquire further on the record.

MR. SPECCHIO: I think she's gone, and alcohol isn't involved.

THE COURT: Is not? Do we really need to inquire? She's still here.

MR. SPECCHIO: Wasn't it Mills?

THE COURT: Kizis. But my question is, there are four questions here about alcohol, and if it is not involved in this case, I don't know why we have to ask all 36 people about alcohol four times.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, in order to properly respond to the Court's concern, I think we're going to have to ask the Court for an ex parte confidence where we can discuss what we think we can present. I don't think Mr. Vanisi should be handicapped by our putting things in evidence before the State had served them or things that may

handicap his ability to present a defense.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton.

MR. STANTON: I have no objection to that procedure if it would include that that be recorded by the court reporter and under seal.

MR. BOSLER: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll wait deciding about the alcohol questions. The second, the third and fourth pages that you have provided to me are excerpts from the questionnaire that I did not accept previously. Is it your request to ask each juror these questions?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I wanted to try to pose it to the panel and go from there, and hopefully I won't need to ask all the jurors questions. But obviously, a lot of people have formed opinions. I don't think they are strictly confined to the issues of race, issues of drug use again.

I mean, if those are issues in the case, they are things that need to be broached. I don't think the Court has included in its canvass or the State in its canvass issues as to race.

THE COURT: I agree with you with regard to the issues of race. If you want to go into that issue, you certainly are entitled to do that.

MR. BOSLER: It is just a difficult issue.

People don't want to stand up. I have had people stand up in this courtroom, say they are racist. That is the one in 10,000.

THE COURT: We have had that. I don't know if it's one in 10,000.

MR. BOSLER: I don't think that was the only racist in town, Your Honor. I think it is a delicate subject. People are afraid to express it, especially in the context of being in front of their peers and say, My daughter dated this guy, and I didn't like it because he was black. Those are issues that are difficult to broach. I'm trying to find a delicate way to try to get them talking.

THE COURT: Are we talking about the same piece of paper? My third page that you gave me was: What altitudes do you feel are most important in serving as a juror in a criminal case? That's what I had from you. And were you going back to drugs and raise those questions?

MR. BOSLER: That is the second page, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So you are going to make an in camera offer of proof why the drugs questions are appropriate?

MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: With regard to the race questions, I will allow you to ask 1 through 5. 6, I think we're

speculating and getting into areas that aren't necessary to inquire. That's the one that says: What effect do you think racial or other forms of discrimination have on the people who are the targets of the discrimination?

That blanket question to each juror I don't think is necessary. Certainly if someone says, I have discriminated, you can follow up: What do you think that -- would you think about that, if you are looking for some issue about whether they think about other people. But only if you have a legitimate reason to follow up on that question.

Then I just see blanks. Constitutional rights, penalty, exit questions.

MR. BOSLER: I guess these are akin to what the District Attorney has done, Your Honor. I would inquire of the Court whether the Court has had the opportunity to preview the District Attorney's proposed voir dire.

THE COURT: No. I will do that so that we -- so far I was ready to sustain any objections that you might make. I don't know how much more Mr. Stanton has to go.

MR. STANTON: I don't have much further to go, Your Honor.

MR. BOSLER: I guess it is a moot point then now, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So far he hasn't asked each juror SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

any particular -- I mean, we haven't asked them those kind of questions where they have to respond, every juror has to respond. Do you have any questions like that, Mr. Stanton?

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to ask the -- individually to each juror, explain to them the process, that there will be a foreperson in this case and ask that if they were elected the foreperson, whether or not with the appropriate facts and circumstances, whether or not they could sign the verdict form and impose death against Mr. Vanisi.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. STANTON: That is the only individual question I have of each member of this panel.

THE COURT: And how many more areas of inquiry do you have?

MR. STANTON: The only areas that I have at this juncture are the death penalty, specifically the four aggravators filed in this case, whether or not anybody has any problems with those aggravators. And whether anybody, a spouse, friend, co-worker or supervisor, would criticize them if they returned a verdict of death. Whether they have any religious, moral or conscientious objection to the death penalty. And then my individual question, and that's it.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, with regard to the question that you are going to ask on whether they would be

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

subject to any ridicule, I'd ask that you ask that in the neutral form, if they returned a verdict of the death penalty, or the alternative, that they did not return a verdict. Ask that these questions -- jurors can kind of figure out where each of you are going, but I would rather we tried to ask them as neutral as possible. Any objection to that general inquiry?

MR. SPECCHIO: I don't understand the question about whether they have any trouble with the aggravators. What does that mean?

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton, what are you going to ask there?

Well, the aggravators filed in MR. STANTON: this case are four in number. And I'm going to ask that if any of the members of this panel believe that any of those aggravators should not be or could not -- or disagree with the fact that there are aggravators in this case.

THE COURT: Are you objecting to that question? MR. SPECCHIO: They have no idea what any of that is all about, do they? I mean, that's a blind-sided question.

> Tell me if you object. THE COURT:

I object. MR. SPECCHIO:

THE COURT: Sustain that objection.

If you were going to talk about MR. SPECCHIO:

mitigators and aggravators.

THE COURT: The weighing process.

MR. SPECCHIO: Right.

THE COURT: Which he already has.

MR. SPECCHIO: I have no problem.

THE COURT: I do. I want to be real careful. I want to use this instruction we have given the jury. I really don't want to get into Mr. Stanton and Mr. Bosler instructing the jury and then me having to interrupt, and we're going to get really confused.

I think we have given the instruction. We'll stick with that, and I'd like us to move on. With regard to asking them, determine whether those should be aggravators, I'm going to deny that request. Now, we have this page that has the excerpts from the jury questionnaire, Mr. Bosler. What did you want to do with these?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I believe some of them are repetitive, but general thoughts on criminal justice process. Those aren't things that have been asked by either the Court or by the State. Their opinions as to death versus life without something that bears upon their ability to impose a sentence in this case. I'm going to have to — I just threw this in so I could glance at it for ideas, but this is the section where I talk about penalty on page 2 of my typewritten form. I think they are all relevant

questions. I don't want to ask them individually. I want to try to ask it as a group and get some people talking about it in that regard.

But each of those questions that you see on those pages is something that bears upon the jurors's ability to not only decide this case in the trial but to impose a penalty, and ultimately the answer to those questions are going to bear upon Mr. Vanisi's ability to intelligently exercise his peremptory challenges.

THE COURT: I have numbered your pages 1, 2, 3 and 4. The clerk will call them as an exhibit. They will be placed in the file just for purposes of the record.

MR. BOSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And with regard to page 3, it begins with: What attitudes do you feel are most important in serving as a juror in a criminal case? I don't see any of those questions on that page that need to be asked again. They have either been covered in the specific questionnaire that we gave the jurors or in the general questions of the Court.

With regard to the page 4, which begins with,
Because one of the possible sentences, that information,
that whole paragraph I don't think we need to go into. Plus
we have, What purposes do you think the death penalty
serves? I'm not going to allow that question of every

juror.

In what types of cases, offenses do you think the death penalty should be imposed? I'm not going to let you do that. Because then I'm going to have to get into the whole issue of the legislation and aggravating.

I think what's important here -- I'm making my ruling. You made your offer, Mr. Bosler.

MR. BOSLER: I need to still add something to the record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, why don't you then make it in writing and we'll get it at 1:00, and then I'll just rule on whatever you give me in writing. I'm not going to keep the court reporter here while we debate it. Go ahead and tell me why you need any specific question as to page 4, just a one-line sentence or whatever you think is important as to those questions, give it to me before the 1:00 o'clock hearing, I'll make my ruling, and then we'll move on. So you can have an opportunity to complete your record.

MR. BOSLER: Is the Court going to give me the opportunity to eat, breaks between lunch then if I have to be back at 1:00? I don't have an opportunity to eat before I present my voir dire. I think I should get a break so I can be fresh.

THE COURT: I don't know what you want me to do, Mr. Bosler. Do you want me to get you a sandwich, or

what do you need?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I can make a short record as to why I think these questions are important.

THE COURT: Mr. Nelson will be back here at 1:00 o'clock, and he's going to have to be working on this case all afternoon. His fingers have worn out. So I'm telling you, you can make it in writing.

If you need food or whatever you need, we're going to take a very short recess, because the court clerk and myself will be in court all during the lunch hour on another case. We'll be glad to get you whatever you need.

It doesn't have to be long. Just tell me just -- Mr. Gregory is here. I'm sure he will be glad to type it out for you while you eat your sandwich. But this has to be a priority, this case. If you need a break --

MR. GREGORY: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Gregory, sit down, please. Sit down, Mr. Gregory. It is Mr. Bosler's motion. He argues it.

Mr. Bosler, if you want to take a break before you begin your voir dire, when Mr. Stanton is through, I'll be glad to take that break for you so you can collect your thoughts. Ask me any more questions you have this afternoon before you begin your voir dire. So I won't make you go straight in cold. If that helps you. Or if you want to

5

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

make a record later this afternoon, you can certainly do that verbally. But you really need to get your thoughts together and decide what you want to do; okay?

MR. BOSLER: I prefer to make a verbal record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think the State is entitled and I'm entitled to see what you want. It is kind of hard to make these decisions if all you do is verbally request stuff.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I wasn't given a copy of their questions, nor do I suppose they were given a copy of mine. I'm the only person who has had to discuss in front of the Court.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton did, and I denied one of his basic questions. I'm sure he's not happy about it.

MR. STANTON: There is no other objection that he's made other than the one form of the question that we had a side-bar, and he just stated, I don't have his questions, never seen them before. So you are reading off documents that the State knows nothing about. So I don't want the record to reflect that it is some sort of an unfair playing field.

THE COURT: We're in recess. See you back at 1:00 o'clock.

(Recess taken at 12:25 p.m.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 383 RENO, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 1999, 1:12 P.M. -000-(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury panel.) THE COURT: Clerk will call the roll. (Roll call of jury panel conducted, and all were present.) THE CLERK: Rebeka A. Hilliary? THE COURT: Rhonda D. She was excused. Pembrook. THE COURT: She's been released. At this time based upon the hearings that we heard when you all weren't here, I'm going to excuse Miss Mueller, and I'm also going to excuse Mr. Rumbaugh. (Jurors Mueller and Rumbaugh were excused from the courtroom.) THE COURT: The clerk will call two more names. THE CLERK: Shelby A. Denton. THE COURT: Yes, Miss Denton will take the place of Miss Mueller. THE CLERK: Julie C. Springer. THE COURT: Go ahead and review those witness

SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

lists, please. Miss Denton, Miss Springer, have you had an

opportunity to review that list of potential witnesses?

i	384
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Do you know anyone or are you
4	related to anyone on that list?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Steve Sauter, I know his
6	wife, Debbie, just by acquaintances. And that's it.
7	THE COURT: Anything about that association
8	with his wife that would cause you difficulty serving as a
9	fair and impartial juror in this case?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Miss Springer.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know anyone.
13	THE COURT: You didn't know anybody?
14	The following questions are addressed to both
15	of you. Were you able to hear all of my questions thus far?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Would you have responded to any of
19	my questions had you been sitting here in the jury box the
20	entire time?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Yes, Miss Springer.
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Tell me what you would have
25	responded to.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	385
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just nothing, three
2	police officers.
3	THE COURT: Who were they?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Through our school. Jim
5	Overton, Bob Callry and Bob Stone.
6	THE COURT: You went to school with them?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My kids go to school with
8	their kids.
9	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
10	relationship between your children and their children that
11	would cause you difficulty serving as a juror in this case?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Is there anything about the
14	relationship between your children and just going to school?
15	Are they really good friends?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, they were just
17	friends in the same classes.
18	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
19	acquaintance with those police officers that would cause you
20	difficulty determining credibility of witnesses?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Is there any other are there any
23	other questions that I asked this morning that you would
24	have wanted to respond to if you were here in the jury box
25	the whole time?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just that I did form an opinion from last year when it all happened.

THE COURT: You formed an opinion based on the media accounts?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: As you know, I asked last -- a couple of days ago I guess at this point, yesterday, about setting aside those preconceived ideas. Are you able to do that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Do you understand my question with regard to the potential consideration for penalty in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that there is that possibility that that could come about depending on the circumstances?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Do you believe that you would always vote for the death penalty no matter what the Court's instructions or the law is?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Would you feel compelled to always vote against the death penalty no matter what the Court's instructions or the law was?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Do either of you, were either -were you both able to hear Mr. Stanton's questions up to
this point?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you have responded to any of his questions affirmatively?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton, you may continue with your inquiry.

MR. STANTON: Thank you.

Mr. Hinxman, I know you are the acting director. Based upon the time commitments that you heard, is there any problem with your operation slowing down or shutting down during your service as a juror in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think it will shut down. But it will -- I'm sure it will affect our operation.

MR. STANTON: Something that your office can't adjust to accommodate?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, they can accommodate.

MR. STANTON: Miss Imasaki, are you now in the

licensing care division?

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

14

15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

23

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I am.

The next series of questions I MR. STANTON: would like to address to the entire panel are questions specifically about the death penalty. First question I'd like to ask about that is if there is any member of the prospective panel that would have any religious, moral or conscientious objection to imposing the death penalty in this case.

> THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Anybody answer yes to that

question?

Is there anybody here who would have a problem based upon the dynamics that occurs at work with either a friend, a co-worker or supervisor, about the verdict that they would render in this case, something that would affect their ability to freely and impartially and fairly deliberate in this case?

> THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. STANTON: Anybody say yes to that question? My final question and the question I will ask each of you individually deals with the specific aspect of the death penalty. One of the things that occurs with a jury when they retire to deliberate is to pick someone who is a foreperson. How that is done, who that is, is entirely up to the jury, and there is no instructions or otherwise

23

24

25

directive to you. So at this juncture, neither you nor any of us know who is going to be the foreperson.

My question that I want to pose to each of you is as follows: If you were elected as the foreperson of this jury, and under the facts that are presented to you in this courtroom under oath, based upon the law that Judge Steinheimer instructs you, if you find that the facts and the law support it, could you -- and I'll start with you, Miss Denton -- affix your name to the verdict form putting that man to death?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I believe so.

MR. STANTON: Miss Guiler?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Sotero?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Adamson?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Miss Springer?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Hinxman?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Miss Kominek?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Lafond?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	1	
		39
1	MR. STANTON: Mr. O'Daye?	
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
3	MR. STANTON: Mr. Barger?	
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
5	MR. STANTON: Mr. Stephenson?	
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
7	MR. STANTON: Mr. Berg?	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
9	MR. STANTON: Mr. King?	
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
11	MR. STANTON: Mr. Gerbatz?	
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
13	MR. STANTON: Mr. Damoth?	
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
15	MR. STANTON: Mr. Sheets?	
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
17	MR. STANTON: Mr. Decker?	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
19	MR. STANTON: Miss Arlitz?	
20	MR. STANTON: Yes.	
21	MR. STANTON: Miss Lyman?	
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
23	MR. STANTON: Miss Viernes?	
24	MR. STANTON: Yes.	
25	MR. STANTON: Mr. McCargar?	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560	

1	THE COURT: No, it doesn't. Where did you read
2	that?
3	MR. GREGORY: I think to accommodate the
4	reporters it is.
5	THE COURT: No.
6	MR. GREGORY: Well, your rule last week said
7	10:00 o'clock.
8	THE COURT: I want to get a jury. Courts
9	normally start at 10:00, but we got to spend a little time
10	in the morning. Do you have a problem?
11	MR. GAMMICK: While I'm here, we have people
12	subpoenaed for penalty phase, and so does defense, that are
13	being told cannot sit in the guilt phase of the trial, that
14	involves familial members for both sides. So we would
15	like we're both ready to waive any kind of a problem.
16	THE COURT: I think the reason that anybody was
17	excluded today was that we said we would find seats for the
18	jurors first, and anybody who wanted to come in couldn't
19	come in unless there was a seat. If there was a seat, they
20	could come in. You thought they were being excluded?
21	MR. GAMMICK: The bailiff was under the
22	impression if they were subpoensed at all for the penalty
23	phase.
24	THE COURT: You both stipulate people who are
25	here for the penalty phase can as far as I know, have you
1	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

all instituted the rule of exclusion?

MR. SPECCHIC: Not yet. I usually do it after the jury is selected.

THE COURT: Are you going to?

MR. SPECCHIO: Sure. We will. And I don't have any problem with this. I just don't want

Mrs. Sullivan, who he is talking about, to let out a scream or a screech or where somebody talks about doing violent things to her dead husband or showing pictures or any of that kind of stuff.

MR. GAMMICK: We have advised her on a couple of occasions if she feels she is going to have any kind of emotional difficulty, to leave the courtroom, and she has also indicated a preference not to be here for some of the testimony. So we don't expect any problems, and we'll talk to her about that.

THE COURT: Why don't you all think about it.

Let me get rid of the jury, and while Mr. Vanisi is still

here, we will do the rule of exclusion and decide who can

come and go, who is subposenaed.

MR. GAMMICK: I just want to bring the issue up.

MR. GREGORY: We have two more problems. First of all, getting Vanisi in the courtroom if you get these jurors back in 9:30.

Secondly, I believe the Supreme Court required, since they require 24-hour transcripts, that there are set times to run these capital cases.

MR. STANTON: I don't remember.

THE COURT: I'll double check. If we can't start before 10:00, we won't, but I don't remember anything in the rule that requires that. We are starting later than 10:00 o'clock, by the way, on Wednesday. We're starting at 11:00. One of counsel has a doctor's appointment. So we're going to go ahead and start then.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court.)

THE COURT: All right. As I was saying, you will all come back at 9:30 in the morning. When you come back, you will report directly to this courtroom. The bailiff will assist you in finding your proper seat. Those of you seated outside the rail can just find a chair, and as you can see, you all will have a chair. We have made some improvement from this morning.

Those of you seated here in the jury box look at where you are seated, look at who you are sitting next to and find your chair tomorrow morning. Have a seat. The bailiff will check on you. I'll come in as soon as you are all here and we'll start, we'll continue this process. But we will call roll.

If when we call roll everybody isn't here, we'll have to stop and wait until everyone gets here. We can't do it with half the people here, and you have to continue to keep track of the questions that you would respond to.

So as you know, we're going — it's important that we don't have to repeat everything if you keep close tabs to what you want to respond to if you are called to replace someone. As those of you seated behind the rail have seen today, it happens, and there may be more replacements tomorrow. So please be here on time, and ready to take note of what's happening.

Those of you in the jury box, just be back here, and you will be responding to me as the questions are asked.

Now, the bailiff will be collecting — before you leave the courtroom, the bailiff will be collecting your pencils from you that he's given you. We're on a tight budget here in the county. And we want to be able to give them back to you tomorrow. So please be sure to follow the instructions of the bailiff.

In addition, I'd ask that counsel remain until the whole jury panel leaves because I want to go back on the record after the jury has gone and discuss some of the things we weren't able to quite do. So just wait for a few

minutes while everyone clears out.

Miss Guiler.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Are we supposed to wear these?

THE COURT: Wear your badges on your clothing tomorrow morning when you come in so that no one accidentally talks about the case in front of you.

Remember, just come in, get on the elevator, and come directly to the department. Have a seat.

Now during this break you are all, because of the oath that you took, obligated to fulfill the requirements of that oath. Among those requirements is that you must follow my directions, my lawful directions. And my lawful directions to you are that you may not view any news media accounts regarding this case. I do not want you to come back in the morning having watched more news accounts or read the newspaper. So do not watch the local news. Do not listen to the radio and do not view the newspaper unless someone sanitizes it for you.

In addition, you may not form or express any opinion to somebody else about this case. You may not allow anyone to attempt to influence you about it and don't be talking about it among yourselves or with anyone else.

When you go home and your family members ask you what was your day like, you can tell them how hot it was

1	in here, but I don't want you to talk about the questions
2	that were asked or your responses or your thoughts or what
3	anybody else's responses are. I want to find and get a jury
4	based on your thoughts and not your family members'
5	thoughts.
6	Does everyone understand these admonitions?
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. LaFond.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Can we get our
10	parking validated before we come up here?
11	THE COURT: Before you come up here? When do
12	you want them to validate?
13	MS. LOPSHIRE: It would probably be easier on
14	your lunch break. We will have a full house again in the
15	morning.
16	THE COURT: Can you come up here and validate
17	for them here?
18	MS. LOPSHIRE: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Just park, bring your tickets with
20	you, and you won't have to go down there to get validated.
21	Her staff will be up here and validating your tickets up
22	here.
23	Any other questions before we recess?
24	Please, we are going to hold a little court
25	after you all leave, so I would ask that you leave as
	STEPPA MEMBADA DEDODREDO (DAO), DOS ACAS

1	one also		24
2	quickly as you can when I call my recess.		
3	See you tomorrow morning. Court i	s in	recess.
	(Recess taken at 5:15 p.m.)		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	3		
24			
25			
11	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560	`	

	242
1	RENO, NEVADA, MONDAY, JANUARY 11, 1998, 5:20 P.M.
2	-000-
3	
4	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held
5	in open court, outside the presence of the jury panel.)
6	THE COURT: Mr. Gammick, do you want to go
7	ahead? Were you all able to stipulate to anything?
8	MR. GAMMICK: I believe, Your Honor, I believe
9	Mr. Specchio is going to request the rule of exclusion,
10	which I'll leave that up to him.
11	MR, SPECCHIO: We will, Your Honor.
12	MR. GAMMICK: And then based on that, Your
13	Honor, both Mr. Specchio and I have subpoenaed people for
14	the penalty phase who will not be witnesses during the guilt
15	phase. And we both agreed I believe that we have no
16	objection to those witnesses that are subpoenaed strictly
17	for the penalty phase can come in for the guilt phase if
18	they wish to watch a portion or all of the trial.
19	THE COURT: Specifically who are those people,
20	Mr. Gammick? We don't have I don't think on the witness
21	list we're providing to the jurors has I don't think that
22	list has the witnesses for penalty phase on it, does it?
23	MR. STANTON: Some.
24	MR. GAMMICK: Yes, some of them are on there,
25	Your Honor.

1	THE COURS. I down to the
2	THE COURT: I don't think the defense has
3	provided us with any names for penalty phase.
	MR. SPECCHIO: We're not sure yet.
4	THE COURT: So who are we talking about?
5	MR. GAMMICK: To date, my understanding, that
6	means today, because Court is aware things are subject to
7	change, but we're talking about Carolyn Sullivan and Officer
8	Steve Sauter. And I believe the defense has the wife of the
9	defendant, his aunt, his mother, that are expected to appear
10	at penalty phase but won't be in the guilt phase.
11	MR. SPECCHIO: And his sister.
12	THE COURT: What are those names for the
13	bailiff?
14	MR. SPECCHIO: That would be Sela, S-E-L-A,
15	Vanisi; Deanne Vanisi. I'm going to have to spell this one,
16	Your Honor. T-O-E-U-M-U, Toeumu Tafuna.
17	THE COURT: And the last name is spelled how?
18	MR. SPECCHIO: T-A-F-U-N-A.
19	THE CCURT: So those are the four individuals
20	for the defense?
21	MR. SPECCHIO: Actually three, Your Honor. It
22	is his aunt. Miss Tafuna is the aunt. Sela Vanisi is his
23	sister. Deanne Vanisi is his wife.
24	
25	May I have the Court's indulgence? THE COURT: Yes.
	TIM COURT: 188.
H	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

MR. SPECCHIO: That would be all the -- at least at this point, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you have the two, Mr. Gammick?

MR. GAMMICK: We have those two at this time.

There may also be Meghan Sullivan, who is the daughter of
George and Carolyn Sullivan, and then it's unknown at this
time if George Sullivan's sister will be here during the
guilt phase or not. But those are specific people we're
looking at that will not be called at guilt phase.

THE COURT: What is her name?

MR. STANTON: Susan Millard, M-I-L-L-A-R-D.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Specchio, are you stipulating that these people can be allowed in during the guilt phase in lieu -- in light of the motion for the rule of exclusion?

MR. SPECCHIO: Yes, Your Honor. And I do have the cautionary portion of that that we're not going to have outbursts from the family or the wife if photographs are shown or statements are made. There is going to be a lot of talk that is going to be upsetting to these people.

THE COURT: Okay. What I intend to do is I'll grant the motion for exclusion with the caveat that those individuals that you have each named will be allowed in.

Before you leave tonight, if you will confirm with the bailiff that he has their names correctly so he can

continue. Either he or Deputy Uptain, one of them will have to be working with this list. So please be sure that you confirm with him those names.

Those individuals will be allowed during the guilt phase as long as all of the people, from no matter which side, that they can conduct themselves with the proper decorum that is required by people in the courtroom.

If there is any display of emotion, outward display of emotion that distracts the Court or the jury, they will be excluded. And I advise counsel that it is your responsibility to, one, advise your witnesses of what the rule of exclusion is; two, see that everyone that you each are presenting understand the rule and abide by the rules; and three, that you make sure that those familial members that you have in attendance at the trial abide by the proper rules and you caution them.

I know both of you will do that or have done that; is that correct?

MR. GAMMICK: Well, Your Honor, I only have one problem with what the Court has just ordered. I don't see how we are in a position to make them abide by the rule. We have advised them that if they are going to get emotional or there is a problem, they need to leave the courtroom.

THE COURT: Abide by the rule of exclusion, what I mean. I want to make sure that you understand that

it's your responsibility to see that your two witnesses are not talking to each other in front of your investigator, any of those problems.

MR. GAMMICK: We have definitely advised them of that, Your Honor. We don't expect there will be any difficulties.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Specchio, you will advise Mr. Vanisi's family the same thing?

MR. SPECCHIO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further for tonight?

MR. GAMMICK: Your Honor, just one other thing just to make sure the record is clear. We are also invoking the rule of exclusion during the guilt phase for any defense witnesses that may be brought in during the guilt phase. That is with the understanding of the exceptions we have talked about.

THE COURT: I understood that to be reciprocal. Anything further?

We start at 9:30 tomorrow. We will try to go -- I really will probably -- it depends on how much moving around of people we have. We might go straight through until noon or quarter til.

MR. STANTON: We had the jury report up to the room. I'm sure the Court has made arrangements relative to

bringing Mr. Vanisi in. That was the one thing that caused the State some pause relative to that issue.

THE COURT: I have the same problem. We will be sure that Mr. Vanisi is here well in advance of the jury coming in and/or Mr. Vanisi will be in the jury room with his attorneys. I don't know exactly how they are going to work it out.

The concern, we just don't have another place for him to go.

MR. STANTON: I understand.

THE COURT: Some jury trials were continued from today until tomorrow. So the Jury Commissioner has full panels down there, and there is no other place to put them. So I'd just as soon they were in the courtroom.

We might take the roll without Mr. Vanisi's presence just so we're sure everybody is here, take a quick break and have you come in. We will be sure that the jury panel does not get the impression that Mr. Vanisi is in custody.

Counsel, if you would check -- counsel for Mr. Vanisi -- if you all would check with the security people early in the morning to see what time they want to move Mr. Vanisi so you can be with him, that would probably be a good idea, or you can talk to him right now.

MR. SPECCHIO: They have been calling us,

	248
1	Judge, when they get him to the top of the stairs, and we
2	have been walking with him.
3	THE COURT: They might want to come as early as
4	8:30, quarter to 9:00. I don't know what time they want to
5	come over. Maybe talk to them before you leave Loday.
6	MR. SPECCHIO: That is fine.
7	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Gammick.
8	MR. GAMMICK: Your Honor, not being quite
9	certain when we're going to pick a jury, I do have one
10	exhibit I do intend to use during my opening, and I believe
11	we have a stipulation as to Exhibit No. 7, which is the
12	large blowup photograph of the UNR campus.
13	THE COURT: You are going to want to move for
14	the admission of Exhibit 7?
15	MR. GAMMICK: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Is it for demonstrative purposes or
17	will it be utilized in the jury room?
18	MR. GAMMICK: It will be an exhibit during the
19	trial.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Specchio.
21	MR. SPECCHIO: We don't have any objection.
22	THE COURT: You stipulate to its admission?
23	MR. SPECCHIO: I don't know if it is No. 7.
24	THE COURT: Let's make sure. Clerk is nodding
25	her head.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	MR. SPECCHIC: No problem, Your Honor.
2	MR. GAMMICK: It is the large photograph of the
3	UNR campus, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Exhibit 7 then is admitted upon
5	stipulation.
6	(Exhibit No. 7 admitted.)
7	THE COURT: Anything further?
8	MR. SPECCHIO: We can leave everything in the
9	courtroom, Judge?
10	THE COURT: Yes. The bailiff has made
<b>1</b> 1	arrangements. Have you talked to them, Deputy?
12	THE SHERIFF: I will.
13	THE COURT: He will find a safe home for them.
14	Court is in recess.
15 ·	(Recess taken for day at 5:30 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE.

I, ERIC V. NELSON, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify:

That I was present in Department No. 4 of the above-entitled Court and took stenotype notes of the proceedings entitled herein, and thereafter transcribed the same into typewriting as herein appears;

That the foregoing transcript is a full, true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said proceedings.

At Reno, Nevada, this 11th day of January, 1999.

ERIC V. NELSON, CCR No. 57

19.5

## EXHIBIT 160

## EXHIBIT 160

	G-+- No. CD09 0516	
1	Case No. CR98-0516	
2	Dept. No. 4	
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL D	ISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR	THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
8	THE HONORABLE CONN	IE STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE
9		-000-
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) TRIAL
11	Plaintiff,	) VOLUME 2
12	vs.	January 12, 1998
13	SIAOSI VANISI,	) Reno, Nevada
14	Defendant.	)
15	APPEARANCES:	
16	For the Plaintiff:	
17		District Attorney DAVID L. STANTON
18		and THOMAS BARB Chief Deputies District Attorney 75 Court Street
19		Reno, Nevada 89520
20	For the Defendant:	MICHAEL R. SPECCHIO Public Defender
21		STEPHEN GREGORY and JEREMY BOSLER
22		Deputies Public Defender One South Sierra Street
23		Reno, Nevada
24	The Defendant:	SIAOSI VANISI
25	Reported by:	ERIC V. NELSON, CCR No. 57
	II	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## RENO, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 1998, 9:36 A.M.

THE COURT: The first thing that we will be doing today is calling the roll. The clerk will call the roll. Those present please answer here or present out loud.

(A roll call was conducted.)

THE COURT: Counsel, the Court has heard from Juanita Pierce's son.

MR. SPECCHIO: We'll stipulate to her being excused, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I just need to make a record. She is in the hospital, and we have confirmed she is in intensive care.

Any objection, Mr. Stanton, to her being excused?

MR. STANTON: No.

MR. BOSLER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Miss Pierce is excused. The clerk will call the names of the two individuals that weren't here earlier.

THE CLERK: Luisa Arlitz?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.

THE CLERK: Becky J. Ehly?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.

	251
1	THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the presence
2	of the entire panel?
3	MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor.
4	MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Counsel, please approach.
6	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)
7 !	THE COURT: Miss Pembrook, who was called at
9	the end of the day yesterday, she's having a very difficult
10	time. She didn't want to come to the courtroom. She is
11	crying hysterically. We calmed her down enough to get her
12	into the courtroom, but she says she's been unable to sleep
13	all night, that she's had flashbacks to some other
14	circumstance you might want to review.
15	MR. BOSLER: Do you want to talk to her
16	individually then?
17	THE COURT: I think we should. We'll put on
18	the record what she's told my staff.
19	MR. SPECCHIO: Is that the cocktail waitress?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. BOSLER: We'd like to do that one
22	individually.
23	THE COURT: I'm not going to do it
24	individually. I'm going to bring her here so she can leave
25	right away. Do you have the questionnaire on her? Have you
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	
2	
3	
4	ļ
5	
6 7	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

read it?

MR. BOSLER: Yes.

THE COURT: I think she mentioned something in her questionnaire. Why don't you go get your questionnaire.

Miss Pembrook, will you come up, please. (Prospective Juror Pembrook presented at bench conference.)

THE COURT: Do you want me to wait for Mr. Bosler, Mr. Specchio?

MR. GREGORY: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Miss Pembrook, you told my staff you were having a very tough time. Would you tell the lawyers what you told my staff?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All night flashing back to -- I had something happen to me in the past, and it's very traumatic for me to be in here.

THE COURT: In the courtroom?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. I didn't think it was going to have this effect.

THE COURT: And you started to point something out? What is causing you the problem?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just seeing him, being next to him and just being in here.

THE COURT: Can you tell us what happened?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was raped.

THE COURT: I see that you are crying, and I

1	253	
1	understand how upset you are.	
2	Counsel have any objection to excusing Miss	
3	Pembrook?	
4	MR. BOSLER: Under the circumstances, no, Your	
5	Honor.	
6	MR. STANTON: No, Your Honor.	
7	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Pembrook. You are	
8	excused.	
9	(Prospective Juror Pembrook excused from the courtroom.)	
10	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held	
11	in open court, in the presence of the jury.)	
12	THE COURT: The clerk will call the name of	
13	another juror to replace Miss Pembrook.	
14	THE CLERK: Jerome A. Moss.	
<b>1</b> 5	THE COURT: Yesterday right before the break we	
16	substituted many members on to the jury panel that had not	
17	previously been here. Some of you indicated that you were	
18	unable to hear all of my questions earlier. Therefore,	
19	we're going to have to repeat the questions that I went	
20	through.	
21	These questions that I'm about to ask are	
22	addressed to Mr. Rumbaugh, Mr. O'Daye, Mr. Decker, Miss	
23	Buckley, Miss Hilliary, Mr. Giordano, Miss Roberts,	
24	Mr. Thomas, and Mr. Moss.	
25	Can you all hear me at this time?	
	015000 MEMORA DECORPERC (702) 220 6560	

	354
	254
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: I'd ask that you tell me if any of
3	my questions you are unable to hear, and I'll be glad to
4	repeat them.
5	Is any juror acquainted with or related to the
6	defendant in this case? If it is no, answer out loud.
7	THE PROSPECTIVE JURCRS: No.
8	THE COURT: Is any juror related to or
9	acquainted with the attorneys who are involved in this case?
10	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Who raised their hand? Mr. Thomas.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm familiar with
14	Mr. Gammick through his association with the National Guard.
15	THE COURT: And how often do you see him?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, since he became the
17	D.A., I don't see him very often at all.
18	THE COURT: Are you able to set aside your
19	prior working relationship through the Guard with him and
20	decide this case based on the merits?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Do you feel you would be biased for
23	or against his position just because of your knowledge of
24	him?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Anyone else? Yes, Mr. Decker.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I met Mr. Gammick several
3	years ago. Fairly briefly, though.
4	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
5	earlier meeting that would cause you to be biased for or
6	against either side in this case?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
8	THE COURT: That was all who answered.
9	Is anyone related to or acquainted with any
10	member of the District Attorney's Office staff?
11	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.
12	THE COURT: Is anyone acquainted with or
13	related to any member of Mr. Specchio's staff?
14	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.
15	THE COURT: Is any juror related to or
16	acquainted with any law enforcement officers?
<b>1</b> 7	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	THE COURT: We have Mr. Decker.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have several friends
21	that are on the Sparks police force.
22	THE COURT: Can you give us their names?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Morrow is one of them.
24	Harris. Litke, I think he's retired now.
25	Also I'm acquainted with one of the court
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	- II
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

bailiffs. His last name is Ingerham. I think that's all.

THE COURT: Would you feel any pressure to

decide this case one way or another because of your relationship with law enforcement?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Do you feel you would give law enforcement officers any special treatment as witnesses because of your relationship with law enforcement officers?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: There was someone else. Was it you, Mr. Thomas?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I'm a member of the Sheriff's Search and Rescue Air Squadron. Through that affiliation I'm familiar with several deputies.

THE COURT: Anyone involved in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not that I know of.

THE COURT: And would you have any bias or prejudice towards either side because of your association or your membership in the Sheriff's Air Squadron?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Do you feel that you would have to give any special preference to law enforcement witnesses if they testified?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Miss Roberts.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was married to an L.A. Police Department officer for 14 years. I was an L.A. County Sheriff for a short period of time. I worked at the South Bay Muni Court and affiliated with many officers on a day-by-day basis.

THE COURT: How long ago has that been?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Twenty years.

THE COURT: Is there anything about your prior involvement in the court or in law enforcement that would cause you difficulty serving in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Would you feel you had to give any special preference to law enforcement witnesses in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I might take their word over someone else's word.

THE COURT: If you had two conflicting stories, is that what you are talking about?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Would you be able to assess their presentation in the courtroom in making that determination?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe I would, yes.

THE COURT: Would you always believe a police officer, or you would look at the way they presented themselves and the basis for their opinion?

 $\label{eq:approx} \mbox{A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I'd look at the} \\ \mbox{way they presented themselves, yes.}$ 

THE COURT: Are you talking about it would be two equal circumstances, you might go with the police officer?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Anybody else raise their hands? Yes, sir.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I forgot to mention yesterday, I forgot all about it, but I was a witness to a guy that beat up somebody in the casino, and he had bit my hand when I took him off, and he went to court. He only got six months, and there was four counts against him, four officers.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He got six months. I forget what it was now.

THE COURT:

THE COURT: In the Justice Court?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, it was Sparks.

That was a misdemeanor case?

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lafond. Is there anything about that --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I missed that question yesterday.

THE COURT: Okay. That's good. Is there anything about that that would cause you a problem in this

case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. Beings the guy only got six months, it kind of bothered me. And I had to sweat through HIV and everything else.

THE COURT: Right. The question would be whether or not you would be substituting your experience into this case and deciding the case based on your own experience.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I doubt it.

THE COURT: Anyone else?

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, before we proceed to the next question, Mr. Thomas indicated he was a member of Search and Rescue. I'd just like to indicate to the Court and counsel that on our witness list there is a gentleman by the name of Fernando Moreira. He is a member of Search and Rescue.

THE COURT: Do you have a witness list, Mr. Thomas?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I saw one yesterday, and there was only one name that I recognized, and it wasn't that one.

THE COURT: All right. Now that Mr. Stanton has called it to your attention, are you familiar with that person?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. BOSLER: We ask the Court to inquire about the jurors, new jurors were able to see the witness list or whether the old jurors maybe walked out with them?

THE COURT: The bailiff has been watching that, and I'll get to that question. Thank you, Mr. Bosler.

This question is to all of you even though -- all the new jurors, even though you may not have been acquainted with or friends with law enforcement.

Do any of you believe that if a law enforcement officer were to testify in this case, that you would tend to give more or less weight to that testimony simply because the person testifying is a law enforcement officer?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would, too.

THE COURT: Mr. Rumbaugh.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Rumbaugh.

THE COURT: You would give special

consideration to that testimony?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would.

THE COURT: And exactly what do you mean?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just favor them, their opinion, what have you. They have got the evidence and everything, and it is just kind of natural.

THE COURT: Are you telling me that you would take a police officer's testimony without analyzing what

they said or how they got the evidence? Would you just take it at face value and you'd never think about it? Or would you be able to evaluate that testimony like every other witness?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Usually in all the conversations that will work up to it, you just form an opinion, both sides.

THE COURT: What your role here will be is to sit and listen to the testimony. So you won't really get a conversation. But you will hear from the witnesses.

Now my question is: Would you automatically believe everything a police officer says on the witness stand, no matter how they got that information, no matter how they present themselves, or would you be able to evaluate their testimony like every other witness and say, Oh, I like what he is saying or I don't like what he is saying? Which way is it?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: When the witness -- when the juror answers my question.

MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, it's kind of hard, you know. I think I'd favor the police.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'd rather explore this area with Mr. Rumbaugh before I talk to you at side bar,

Mr. Bosler. Is that okay?

MR. BOSLER: I think it is necessary to have a side bar now.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let Mr. Stanton ask some questions.

Go ahead, Mr. Stanton.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Rumbaugh, one of the functions of a juror, as the judge said, is to listen to what a witness says, their demeanor and the content of what they say. If a police officer were to testify in this case about something, are you capable of listening to it and say, Well, I don't think he did his job very good, and therefore, I'm not going to believe his testimony?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's possible. But I can't refer to this case.

MR. STANTON: I understand. There is no evidence that's been presented to you yet. I'm just saying that the question here is whether or not just because somebody is a police officer, that you are going to automatically believe what they say. I guess it's, you know, not an unusual opinion, hopefully, that people --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Aren't all perfect.

MR. STANTON: You are able to assess a police officer based upon their demeanor and the content of their job, if they are not accurate, you can evaluate that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.

MR. STANTON: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Bosler.

MR. BOSLER: Mr. Rumbaugh, I don't want to try to put words in your mouth. It's my understanding that if you had two people come before you, both witnesses to the same thing, and a police officer said one thing and the other person who wasn't a police officer said something different, you would side with the police officer because he was a police officer?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If they were absolute strangers, then you got to make your own judgment then. But like you read in the papers, for months and months, and it's all set in your mind.

MR. BOSLER: If one -- going back to my example, if one of those persons was a police officer, would you give that person, his testimony, more weight, tend to believe him more because he's just a police officer and really no other reason?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I never heard of either one of them and I'm off the street, you make your own decision from that.

MR. BOSLER: Go ahead. I'm sorry.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Like it is, I know too much over the years, you know, and the months, in this case

l	
	264
1	it's shot down. You know what I mean?
2	MR. BOSLER: I think I do. I thank you for
3	your honesty.
4	May we approach, Your Honor?
5	THE COURT: Yes. I want to encourage the
6	audience to try to keep your voices down. Remember, this
7	isn't a spectator sport here.
8	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)
9	MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, at this time we're
10	going to make another objection. I think that the nature of
11	your questions, they are leading questions. You are going
12	to give an officer the same treatment as you give another
13	witness. And leading questions
14	THE COURT: Your objection is denied.
<b>1</b> 5	MR. BOSLER: I need to make a complete record.
16	THE COURT: It is the same objection you said.
17	MR. BOSLER: Well, I think when you have people
18	who express opinions and you lead them to disabuse those
19	opinions, then you are essentially taking an almost a
20	position the same as the State.
21	THE COURT: I'm not hearing anything new,
22	Mr. Bosler. Do you have something new?
23	MR. BOSLER: That is the same objection, Your
24	Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to make the same
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

ruling. I'm not doing that. There is nothing different in this case. In fact, in spite of the fact on this witness that you are claiming that I tried to rehabilitate him, he didn't rehabilitate with me at all. But with your questions he did.

We just happened -- yesterday on numerous occasions, you kept on asking questions until the witness, the juror finally was rehabilitated, when I was going to excuse them.

So, Mr. Bosler, your motion is without merit. All you have to do is say you have a continuing objection, and unless you have something new to add, I'll continue to rule on it.

If you have something new to add, approach and we will put the new evidence on.

MR. BOSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court.)

THE COURT: Counsel, anything further?

MR. BOSLER: No, Your Honor. Based upon the witness's -- the juror's earlier statements, we make a motion to have him excused for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton.

MR. STANTON: I don't believe defense has met the burden necessary for a motion for cause in this witness. I think ultimately this witness has been able to say that he

can evaluate the witness to include a police officer like any other witness. The fact that he may have initial impression believing a police officer if there is testimony that's in conflict with another person is not a basis for cause, nor is it improper instruction of law.

THE COURT: The motion is denied at this time. I think some of Mr. Rumbaugh's answers may require further inquiry and may be a basis for dismissal later on, and I'd ask you to keep a close eye on it, Mr. Bosler. I think there may be another basis for making a motion.

We will move on with the questions from the Court at this time.

Does anyone know anything of their own personal knowledge regarding the facts of this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Say that again.

THE COURT: Do you know anything of your own personal knowledge regarding the facts of the case? Did you have any contact with direct people who know about this case?

I'm not talking about media reports. I'm talking about something you know of your own personal knowledge.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just some friends of mine that had been on the campus just about after it happened.

THE COURT: So you did get some information

1	
2	1
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

II

from people who were on the University of Nevada campus?

Were they employees of the campus or were they just there to visit the campus?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They were just there seeing some friends.

THE COURT: And they told you something about the events after?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What was going on.

THE COURT: About after the alleged --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The investigation going on, so forth, like that.

THE COURT: Who were these people?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One of them was a son of a friend of mine and who had a friend on the campus. His name was Ron.

Other than that, I don't know any of the people. But we were over to the house there one evening, and his boy came in and was talking, and other than that -- then the account started coming over the media.

THE COURT: Now, did you tell us about everything you knew in your questionnaire when you filled it out before?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think so. I didn't realize there was a question in it.

THE COURT: About the news media accounts?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	li
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	İ

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

THE COURT: Is there anything that you learned from this son who learned it from Ron that was different than what you may have seen or heard in the news media accounts?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Have any of you read any news media accounts regarding this, or television?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: From the beginning to the end?

THE COURT: Yes.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have read.

THE COURT: I have to keep track of who is talking for the court reporter. So Mr. Rumbaugh has, Mr. Giordano has. Miss Decker.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Buckley.

THE COURT: You have. Who else was going to raise their hand in answer to this question? Yes,
Miss Roberts, Mr. Moss and Mr. Thomas. And --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: McCargar.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. McCargar. Now, I asked that question a little bit yesterday, too. Has anyone seen or heard anything other than what you told us about on your questionnaire?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

	269			
1	THE COURT: Answer out loud, please.			
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.			
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.			
4	THE COURT: Yes, Miss Buckley.			
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Related to it. My best			
6	friend was very supportive of the family, and of course, I			
7	got information back from that. Plus the news and the			
8	television.			
9	THE COURT: So your best friend is			
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maddie Stevens. She is			
11	the wife of the transportation director.			
12	THE COURT: For University of Nevada, Reno?			
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. No, the State.			
14	The State. Transportation of the State.			
15	THE COURT: That is what your friend does?			
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's what her husband			
17	does. But the friend that supported the family was Maddie			
18	Stevens. She works on campus. I also work on campus.			
19	THE COURT: Anyone else? Yes.			
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My wife told me when I			
21	got home last night about what she heard on the news. I			
22	didn't watch it but she told me. She knew she wasn't			
23	supposed to. But she likes to talk.			
24	THE COURT: Well, thank you for sharing that.			
25	MR. BOSLER: Could we have the juror			
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560			

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

identified?

THE COURT: It is Mr. McCargar. We are all adults in this room, and everyone knows that you can control your environment. I expect everyone to do that.

And I understand you had a problem, I appreciate you sharing that with us.

But is there anyone sitting on the jury box now that believes they will not be able to control their environment at least in terms of this requirement that you decide the case based on the evidence and not the news media accounts?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Out loud, please.

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: That will be a requirement if you sit on the jury.

Have any of you newly added to our panel formed or expressed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant in this matter?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: We have Miss Buckley. You have formed or expressed an opinion?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Both?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I haven't discussed

it, but in my own mind, I have formed an opinion. I have		
worked on campus, and I did not know the victim personally,		
but just to see him. I have been there 17 years.		
THE COURT: Thank you. Miss Hilliary, you		
raised your hand?		
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't.		
THE COURT: Mr. Rumbaugh.		
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I formed my opinion		
months ago.		
THE COURT: Have you expressed that opinion to		
others?		
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not recently.		
THE COURT: Mr. Giordano, did you raise your		
hand?		
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.		
THE COURT: You have formed an opinion?		
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have.		
THE COURT: Have you given that opinion to		
anyone else?		
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Through		
conversations, yes.		
THE COURT: Anyone else raised their hand to		
that question?		
THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: (No response.)		
THE COURT: Do any of you have anything other		
SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560		

	1	
1	than a passing interest in the outcome of this case?	
2	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.	
3	THE COURT: Do any of you entertain any bias or	
4	prejudice for or against the State of Nevada?	
5	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.	
6	THE COURT: Any of you harbor any bias or	
7	prejudice for or against the defendant?	
8	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.	
9	THE COURT: You all have been given a witness	
10	list of the new jurors. Has anyone not seen that witness	
11	list?	
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I give mine to him?	
13	THE COURT: Mr. Thomas, you saw it yesterday?	
14	He has it.	
15	Thank you, Mr. Moss.	
16	Have all the rest of you seen it?	
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.	
18	THE COURT: Is there anyone on that list that	
19	you are related to or acquainted with?	
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.	
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
22	THE COURT: Mr. Thomas, other than who you told	
23	us, one person?	
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: David Jenkins, who I have	
25	as a student. I don't know if it's the same one. He works	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560	

2

3

4

5

6

•

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

at the Clarion Casino.

MR. STANTON: David Jenkins is a homicide detective with the Reno Police Department.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not this one.

THE COURT: Any others?

At the conclusion of the evidence I will state to you the law that applies to this case. I will instruct you that it is your duty to apply that law to the facts as found by you. Would any juror be reluctant to apply the general principles of law as stated by the Court?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Giordano.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would. I have gone through life using common sense, and intuition, and it's held me in pretty good stead. So if anybody tries to get me to go against what I believe, I could have a problem with that.

THE COURT: Do you have a strongly held opinion as to what the law is?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: So you think -- you think common sense should override the legislation?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: From what I have seen in the last 30 years or so, I'd say yes.

1	THE COURT: Do you understand that you would		
2	take an oath to follow the law as I instruct you it is?		
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.		
4	THE COURT: Would you be able to follow that		
5	oath?		
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To the best of my		
7	ability.		
8	THE COURT: But if there came to be a conflict,		
9	you would not follow the law and you'd make up your own		
10	version?		
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't say that. It		
12	would have to be whatever the circumstances were.		
13	THE COURT: Did someone else say yes? Is that		
14	all?		
15	Do any of you believe		
16	MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I believe		
17	Mr. Rumbaugh.		
18	THE COURT: Did you raise your hand, too,		
19	Mr. Rumbaugh?		
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wanted to but I didn't.		
21	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler must be able to read		
22	your mind.		
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's hard to blank		
24	everything out of your mind and start off fresh. You know,		
25	it's just hard to do.		
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560		

that?

THE COURT: I want to make sure that you don't misunderstand the question. The role of the jury is to determine the facts in a case. No judge will instruct you as to what the facts are. You make that determination, the jury does.

The law is the general principles of law that apply to a case. There's many of them. The Court gives you those instructions instructing you on what the elements of offenses are, what evidence you can and cannot consider, what certain requirements are. That is the general principles of law that the Court will be instructing you on.

Now, the question is: Would you not follow those general principles of law? You'd make up your own version of the law?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could follow them.

THE COURT: Would you -- do you understand, all of you, that the role that the jury has is to take the facts as they find them to be, apply the general principles of law as I instruct you it is and reach a verdict consistent with the facts and the law? Do you all understand that?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: Now is there anybody who cannot do

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What if you have already formed an opinion?

THE COURT: I'm going to let the attorneys inquire a little further with regard to your forming of an opinion as to what the facts are. We'll talk about that in a few minutes, but the Court is not going to be instructing you as to the facts. The instruction goes to the law. Does everyone understand that?

If the Court were to instruct you that at the conclusion of this case a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and in case of a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant's guilt is satisfactorily shown, they are entitled to be acquitted, would each of you accept and follow that instruction?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: If you were to be instructed that a reasonable doubt is one based on reason, it is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life; if the minds of the jurors after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence are in such condition they can say they feel an biding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt; doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation, would each of you accept and follow that instruction?

!	277
1	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Have any of you ever served on a
3	jury before, criminal or civil?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: We have Mr. Rumbaugh. When did you
6	serve previously?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably 30 years ago,
8	twice.
9	THE COURT: Was there anything about your prior
10	service that would cause you difficulty serving again?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Would you be able to set aside
13	anything you were told about the law in that prior case and
14	decide the case on the law as I instruct you?
1.5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What kind of a case was it?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil.
18	THE COURT: Were you able to reach a verdict?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, yes.
20	THE COURT: Miss Buckley.
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Criminal and a civil.
22	THE COURT: How long ago?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The criminal trial was
24	about 12 years ago. It was a two-week trial. The civil one
25	was about five years ago, and it was settled the next day
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

THE COURT: Now, with regard to the case you actually went to the verdict, were you able to reach a verdict?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, they reached a verdict.

THE COURT: Is there anything about that prior service that would cause you difficulty serving again?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Thomas.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, '76 or '77, it was a civil case here in Reno.

THE COURT: Anything about that prior service that would cause you a problem?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Would you be able to set aside anything you might have learned about the law in that other case and decide the law as it is today in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And would you be able to do the same thing, Miss Buckley?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pardon?

THE COURT: Would you be able to set aside anything you might have heard in the prior case with regard to the law and decide this case based solely on the law as

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

			A I
7	Τ.	18	today?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: As I instructed you?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Anyone else?

Is there anyone sitting, any of the new people who know anybody else in the jury box?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Yes, Miss Hilliary.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm associated with Janet Francisen through the Reno-Sparks Association of Realtors.

THE COURT: Miss Frandsen, then you know Miss Hilliary?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I apologize.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's okay.

THE COURT: She's a realtor. So you guys probably have met somewhere.

Is there anything about being a realtor and kind of running into her in the past that would cause you a problem if you sat on the same case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Now, Miss Frandsen, you may later find out which realty company she works for, and it may jog your memory. But do you see any reason why at this time you could not serve on the same case?

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: None.

THE COURT: Are any of you involved currently or have you previously been involved in litigation, actual cases that went to trial? Yes, Mr. Decker.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a character witness for a friend in a civil matter that was kind of in the mid '80s, early '80s.

THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would cause you a problem in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Was there something else you wanted to tell me?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, this friend is deceased now.

THE COURT: But you didn't have any uncomfortable -- were you uncomfortable at all as a witness, or is there anything like that that would cause you a problem in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't believe so.

THE COURT: Miss Hilliary?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a witness in a criminal embezzlement case about five years ago.

THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience being a witness in the courtroom that would cause you a problem?

	281
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Gerbatz, did you raise your
3	hand?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I did.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Giordano.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, about two years ago
7	a fellow let his dog out, and it killed two of my goats, pet
8	goats. And I turned in a complaint, and the State went
9	after him under Animal Killing Livestock Act or something.
10	So I was a witness for the State.
11	THE COURT: Anything about being a witness
12	previously for the State that would cause you difficulty in
13	this case?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: Was the District Attorney's Office
16	the prosecuting office?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And is there anything about that
19	experience that would cause you to be biased one way or
20	another with regard to the District Attorney's Office?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Thomas.
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was, five or eight
24	years ago, a defense witness in a criminal sexual harassment
25	case, I guess you'd call it.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

THE COURT: Anything about being a witness in 1 that case would cause you difficulty serving in this trial? 2 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 3 THE COURT: Is there anyone I have missed? 4 any of you have any health reasons why you should not or 5 could not serve as a juror in this case? 6 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Giordano. 8 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if it's 9 serious enough to be excused, but I have Type 2 diabetes, 10 and I have to eat every couple, three hours. Otherwise I 11 start getting a headache and so forth. Like yesterday 12 afternoon, by the time I got home, my head was splitting. 13 THE COURT: Did you bring something to eat 14 15 today? THE DEFENDANT: No, I did not. 16 THE COURT: A snack or something? 17 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I wasn't sure 18 whether I could sit here and eat a little donut. 19 THE COURT: Well, no. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Piece of bread or 21 something. 22 THE COURT: No. 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's what I thought. 24 That is why I brought it up. 25 SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

THE COURT: But we do take breaks every two 1 hours or so. The court reporter can't go steady much more 2 than two hours. So you will get a break. So you could have 3 snacks, you would get that opportunity. Would that solve 4 your medical problem? 5 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I think so. 6 THE COURT: Anyone else? How are you and 7 Mr. Rumbaugh doing with regard to the hearing issue that you 8 had yesterday? 9 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm missing some words, 10 but I'm able to fill them in. 11 THE COURT: You still are with me? 12 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, every now and then. 13 There is a certain pitch or tone that I have a problem 14 hearing. 15 THE COURT: The higher ones? 16 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, it's usually the low 17 ones. 18 THE COURT: How are you doing, Mr. Rumbaugh? 19 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm getting most of it. 20 THE COURT: Are you feeling like you are 21 missing some things? 22 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 THE COURT: No? 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not from you. 25 SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

284 Have any of you ever been a victim THE COURT: 1 2 of a crime? THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: 3 THE COURT: Do any of you have any business or 4 professional reason or personal reason why you feel you 5 cannot serve in this case because it will interfere with 6 your impartiality? 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: 8 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Miss Roberts. 9 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think it will, 10 impartiality would come up, but I do have an airline ticket 11 to go to Hawaii on the 18th of January. I don't know 12 whether you give a --13 THE COURT: I do care. 14 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just as long as you give 15 me something so I don't lose my money. 16 THE COURT: But we can -- it's a situation 17 where we could give a letter saying that you were compelled 18 to do service? 19 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is a personal. My son 20 lives there, and he gave me a ticket, yes. 21 THE COURT: So you could kind of reschedule 22 your time? 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't call them to ask 24 25 them what I could do with the ticket, but I guess with a SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

285 letter from the Court, they'd have to do something for me. 1 THE COURT: Yes. Which airline? 2 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: United. 3 THE COURT: I think we can help you there if 4 you are on the jury. 5 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Giordano. 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm in the same boat. 8 The first time I was called for jury duty, my wife and I 9 just made plans to leave town. I called up and they 10 graciously excused me and said they'd reschedule me. 11 waited two and a half months, made arrangements to fly down 12 to meet some buddies in Saint George, Utah. 13 About three days after I waited two and a half 14 months to be recalled, I made airline reservations for 15 tomorrow at 9:30 to leave, and I got picked for this jury 16 I didn't bring it up before because I had duty again. 17 already been excused once. But I'd sure like to go down to 18 19 Utah. MR. SPECCHIO: Judge, if I may approach. 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 (Whereupon, a bench conference was held among 22 Court and counsel as follows:) 23 Based upon really what is on his MR. BOSLER: 24 questionnaire, I don't think he is going to be here to stay. 25

THE COURT: I know that. And that's why I said that there is something he is going to tell us to be a reason to get rid of him.

MR. STANTON: We'll stip.

THE COURT: The only problem I have with doing it right now, I don't know if you read the newspaper this morning, but Mike Henderson said in the newspaper that the reason we were excusing people is because they had already formed an opinion, ergo our two gentlemen who want off anyway, and I don't want them to think because you have an airline ticket, how can I excuse him and not Mrs. Roberts who is going — can't go fly to Hawaii to see her family.

MR. SPECCHIO: I think we should do both,  $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{Judge.}$ 

THE COURT: See, my problem is I'm not going to just excuse everybody who could come up with any idea.

MR. STANTON: The State's perspective would be Mr. Giordano, I think, his hearing.

THE COURT: That is my problem.

MR. STANTON: And the fact that he can't hear everything here. Now, there is a way to ask the question if he can raise his hand to fill in the blanks. It's not an automatic excusal. But that in conjunction with everything else, the State is prepared to stipulate for his release.

MR. BOSLER: Stipulated, Your Honor, based upon

his questionnaire.

THE COURT: I'm going to excuse him because of his hearing so that the jury panel doesn't believe it is for the other things. But we do have a little education going on here, and we want to get a jury.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Did I hear from everybody with regard to that question?

Do any of you know of any reason why you cannot be a fair and impartial juror in this case? Mr. -- I'm sorry, did you raise your hand?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just that I formed my opinion.

MR. BOSLER: I believe three hands went up.

THE COURT: Did three hands go up? Okay, thank

you, Mr. Bosler.

Mr. Barger.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I don't know whether this is the place to ask it and that, but I have been waiting to see where it would fit in. At one point you asked the panel if there is anybody that knew the defendant. But I don't believe there was any place where it fit in that any of us knew the deceased.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Which I do. I did. 1 Excuse me. 2 THE COURT: You did. You were a personal 3 friend? 4 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for the school 5 I drive a school bus, and I have taken the school 6 Whitehead on field trips where he has been, had been --7 excuse me -- a chaperone on the bus at two different times. 8 We worked on a picnic and we were there. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. Now also, yes, Miss 10 Buckley. 11 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Working on campus during 12 that period of time, a lot of us were very relieved when 13 this man was arrested, and I just don't think I can just 14erase the feelings I have towards him. 15 THE COURT: Anyone else? Now, Mr. Rumbaugh, 16 you also raised your hand? 17 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, it's the same 18 thing. My mind is made up. 19 MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I don't want to put 20 anybody on the spot, but I thought I saw Miss Cadena's hand 21 22 go up. THE COURT: That's it. 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't hear. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't hear you. 25 SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

]	289
1	MR. BOSLER: I thought I saw a juror raise a
2	hand.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Giordano, I know you are having
4	trouble because I can see it on your face, and I noticed
5	that you couldn't hear Mr. Bosler. So I am going to excuse
6	you for your hearing problem. I don't want you missing
7	anything on the case. I don't want you to fill in the
8	blanks. You have to hear what the people say.
9	So I really appreciate your service and you
10	sitting through all this, but with your hearing situation,
11	the way it is, I'm going to excuse you. Thank you.
12	(Juror Giordano excused from the courtroom.)
13	THE COURT: We'll call another name to replace
14	Mr. Giordano.
15	THE CLERK: William B. King.
16	THE COURT: Mr. King, go ahead and review that
	witness list. I'm going to ask you if you know anyone.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I see no names that I
18	recall.
19	THE COURT: Also have you been able to hear my
20	questions both this morning and yesterday?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Did you keep a mental or written
23	note of what you would respond to?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have. There are a
25	A PROSEBOLIVE COROR. 1057 I Mave. There are a
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

few items I would like to address.

THE COURT: Thank you.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a brother-in-law that's a Reno policeman, Raymond Barrett. I live probably within a mile of the crime scene. I work on UNR campus.

And let's see. I think I recognize the defendant as a member of a crew that poured my concrete at my house when I was building my home. I'm not positive of that, but I think he was there.

And I have been a victim of a crime. Mostly thefts. I have had some tools stolen and bikes and license plates off my cars. But other than that, that's it.

association with the defendant through the concrete being poured, if in fact that were the case, is there anything about that job or the circumstances that would cause you difficulty serving in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you feel biased or prejudiced one way or another with regard to the defendant?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I do not.

THE COURT: And with regard to your being a victim of a crime previously, are you able to disassociate your prior personal experiences from this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

6

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

THE COURT: Can you decide this case based solely on the evidence as it's presented here in the courtroom?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so, yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: The question that I guess I didn't ask clearly -- specifically enough previously to be honest are you acquainted with the deceased in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I am not. I have had opportunity to speak with some of the officers on campus, but I don't know any of them personally.

THE COURT: Is there anything about that connection with university campus that would cause you difficulty serving in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now, that question with regard to being acquainted with or related to the deceased should be applied to everyone. So would anyone sitting in our jury box wish to respond to that question? Is that a no?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Just want to make sure I understand you clearly.

Now, I have one other question I'd like to ask of the jury panel, and I'm going to allow the attorneys to do some questioning. They may well want to explore some of your answers to me a little bit further, especially Miss

Buckley and Mr. Rumbaugh and perhaps Mr. Barger.

The question I have for the entire panel is that the Legislature has said in certain kinds of cases, certain results must be -- the penalty must be determined by the jury.

The charge that the defendant is facing in this case is that of murder. If the jury were to return a verdict of first-degree murder - and I'm not in any way implying that that is the right verdict in this case - but if that were the result, then the members of the jury would be called upon to determine penalty.

They would receive separate instructions from me with regard to the law, the penalties available, what evidence and circumstances must be considered by the jury before reaching a verdict with regard to penalty. I do not want to go into all the specifics of what might happen somewhere down the road. I don't want any — I don't want to worry you about all the eventualities which might happen, and there is no guarantee any of them will happen.

But I do want to inquire of the jurors, if they were compelled to serve on a jury that ultimately had to decide penalty, would any of you automatically vote for the death penalty no matter what my instructions were or the evidence?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

7

9 10

8

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

THE COURT: Did anyone say yes?

Would any of you, the same information available to you, would any of you automatically feel compelled to vote against the death penalty no matter what my instructions or the evidence?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Miss Hilliary.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I had a yes. don't think that I would be a good candidate to determine someone to die. I would not. I couldn't live with myself for that. I really couldn't.

THE COURT: So you wouldn't be able to follow the Court's instructions?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't be able to vote personally to that.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton, you may inquire.

MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Miss Hilliary, the judge would instruct you and there would be a separate penalty phase where evidence is adduced about what the law is in the State of Nevada regarding the death penalty. There are certain requirements that need be met, proved beyond a reasonable doubt, called aggravating factors. Mitigating factors are also presented.

After that is presented, the jury determines

whether the aggravation outweighs the mitigation and then makes a separate decision as to whether or not they think the death penalty is appropriate or what punishment is appropriate.

Is there any circumstance that you could impose the death penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe so.

MR. STANTON: You don't believe so?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think it's something that I could live with. A trial that has that kind of penalty would not be something I would be very effective.

MR. STANTON: Have you thought of a case, maybe hypothetical or something that has occurred in the news prior to this case, of a case where you thought the death penalty was appropriate?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Bosler, do you wish to inquire?

MR. BOSLER: Just briefly. Miss Hilliary, as you have heard the prosecutor say, the law may present certain aggravating factors to you, there may be things you consider as aggravators, things that you can consider as mitigators. The law never says you have to impose the death penalty. That is what the law says.

Are you willing to consider those aggravators and mitigators and decide what weight you want to give them and if you have to eventually come to a decision about a penalty, make that decision, knowing that all you have to do is make the weighing process?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could weigh the evidence. I just -- I know I could do that. I just know that I could never -- I wouldn't -- it would be very difficult for me to live with myself if I imposed a death penalty, if I was involved with voting someone to die. That would be very difficult to me.

MR. BOSLER: But you are at least willing to weigh the aggravating factors?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, definitely.

THE COURT: The occurrence may occur, Miss Hilliary, where you weighed it and the decision was against what you have told me you would want to do. Could you vote to impose the death penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel I would have to abstain from voting.

THE COURT: Any further inquiry?

MR. STANTON: No further questions of Miss
Hilliary, Your Honor. At this time I would make a motion
for cause. United States Supreme Court mandates under
Weatherspoon would make her a proper juror to be excused for

cause.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, just because she has reluctance in imposing the death penalty, the law does not require that, and she's admitted she will weigh the aggravators against the mitigators. That is all the law requires.

THE COURT: The law actually requires that you be able to impose the death penalty in certain circumstance. She cannot. She would abstain. We would have an automatic hung jury. Therefore, she must be excused.

You are excused.

(Prospective Juror Hilliary excused from the courtroom.)

I want to make sure you understand that we have all read your jury questionnaires. Many of my rulings are not based solely on what you're telling us verbally in front of everyone. So individuals who are being excused may have clearly delineated issues and concerns to me prior. So it is not based solely on what we're hearing here in the courtroom.

Call the name of someone to replace Miss Hilliary, please.

THE CLERK: Anita J. Cason.

THE COURT: Go ahead and look at the witness

list, Miss Cason. Thank you.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I recognize a couple of the names, I believe, but I don't know any of the people personally.

THE COURT: Okay. If it turned out that somebody you think you might know testified, are any of those -- who are those people you think you might know?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think the name Craig

Meyer. I don't know if he is a police officer. Somebody my

ex-husband may have known. I was married to a police

officer.

The other one was Jim Duncan. I just don't know.

THE COURT: Are those law enforcement officers?

LEFT1: Jim Duncan is a detective, homicide

detective with Reno. Craig Meyer is a deputy sheriff with

the Salt Lake County, Utah, Sheriff's Department.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a different Meyer then. I thought he was a Washoe County officer.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, if it turns out that the other officer, that you recognize him and you remember him from when your ex-husband might have been friends with him, would it cause you any difficulty serving on this case if that person were to take the stand?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: Would you feel compelled to decide the case in any particular manner based upon your relationship with that potential witness?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think I would.

THE COURT: Were you able to hear all my questions?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I was. I have a list.

THE COURT: Thank you.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was married to Sean Cason. He was a deputy when the jail first opened. He went through the academy, so I got to meet a lot of the people that he was going through the academy with.

My cousin, Dan Parker, is a Reno police officer. He is on the SWAT team. He is a first cousin. We're close with his family.

I have a very good friend, Stacy Hill, who works for Washoe County. I have been friends with he and his wife for quite some time.

Eric Soderblom who is with the Reno PD. I work with his wife very closely. She did give me some information after the death that I think may cause me to -- one of the comments she made, I don't know if I can erase that.

THE COURT: Okay. So is that the extent -let's start with the extent of your law enforcement
connections. Is that it?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so. There is John Bohach who we were friends with when I was married to my ex-husband. He'd come to the house frequently, and they were good friends. Just associations like that that he developed.

I have been divorced or separated from him for seven years. So I haven't seen these people in quite some time other than the ones I just named off.

THE COURT: Now, is there anything about the connection that you previously had with law enforcement that would cause you difficulty serving in this case?

may weigh their testimony more heavily. My father, too -- I forgot to say -- my father was a Lyon County search and rescue. He always taught us to have a lot of respect for the law. So just in growing up in having that type of -- having to have that respect for people, I may weigh their testimony more credibly or not. I can't say what I would do, though, until I'm put in that position.

THE COURT: You will receive an instruction from the Court that tells you that you must weigh all witnesses' testimony based upon their manner on the stand,

their biases or lack thereof, et cetera. It goes into great deal of detail about the kinds of things that jurors should look at at witnesses.

Are you willing to follow that instruction?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I'm willing to follow it, but I think I may weigh a police officer's testimony more heavily.

THE COURT: As you're weighing the different things that the law allows you to weigh?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: I understand that answer. Go on with your list.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. The other morning on KBUL I heard a slight -- I couldn't get to the radio fast enough -- I heard a little bit about the trial was starting. It was just a really quick thing.

And I do have pending child custody. It's been a two-year process that I have ongoing child custody litigation. It's not been finalized. It's been going on for two years. We have been to court twice to trial, but there has been no final decision.

THE COURT: Is there anything about your experiences in the courtroom that would cause you difficulty serving as a juror?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I'm just upset that SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

it's taken so long to make a decision. But other than that, 1 no, I don't think so. 2 THE COURT: Would you substitute your personal 3 experiences in your case for the facts and circumstances of 4 this case? 5 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'd try not to. 6 THE COURT: Anything else? 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And like I said, a 8 friend, the lady I work with did give me personal knowledge 9 of the crime. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Soderblom's wife? 11 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 12 THE COURT: And that was everything on your 13 list? 14 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so. 15 THE COURT: Were you able to hear all of my 16 questions, including my last question with regard to the 17 potential for determining penalty? 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Would you have the -- would you 20 have the position that you would always have to vote for the 21 death penalty no matter what the instruction or the 22 evidence? 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 24 THE COURT: Would you feel that you would 25 SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

ľ	1			
	302			
1	always have to vote against the death penalty no matter what			
2	the instruction or evidence?			
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.			
4	THE COURT: You will be able to consider the			
5	law as I instruct you it is?			
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so.			
7	THE COURT: Any of the other questions that you			
8	would want to respond to?			
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. If I have to			
10	respond, as you're asking more of them, I'll raise my hand.			
11	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.			
12	Counsel, I'd ask you to approach.			
13	Miss Cason, I'm going to ask you to come down			
14	here. I wanted to talk for just a minute.			
15	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel and Prospective Juror Cason			
16	as follows:)			
17				
18	THE COURT: Could you tell me and the attorneys			
19	what you heard?			
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The comment was made that			
21	it was a trophy kill, and I don't know if I could set that			
22	aside. I heard that he was killed because he was a police			
23	officer. I don't know if I can put that away.			
24	MR. BOSLER: The record should reflect that she			
25	is on the verge of tears at this point.			
	STERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560			

THE COURT: She is not on the verge; she is crying.

Go ahead and inquire.

MR. STANTON: Miss Cason, I know that you had heard something that most people will consider graphic, but that's not evidence yet presented to you.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand that.

MR. STANTON: That is kind of the question that I would ask you is can you put that aside knowing that it's not evidence yet, and wait till you hear the evidence in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had set it aside for a year, and then when I got called for jury, it came back to me. Some of the other comments that I haven't been able to recall, but I think that as I go through this case, I may be able to recall other comments that she had made. I don't know. I'd like to say yes, I could put them aside, but I don't know that I could.

MR. STANTON: Well, there's no one that would know better than you whether you can or not. We need an assurance that you can put it aside and listen only to the facts in this case.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't assure that, no.

MR. STANTON: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?

	204			
1	MR. BOSLER: No questions, Your Honor.			
2	THE COURT: Do you have a motion?			
3	MR. BOSLER: Yes. Move to challenge her for			
4	cause, Your Honor.			
5	THE COURT: Okay.			
6	MR. STANTON: I would not traverse the motion.			
7	THE COURT: You do want to traverse?			
8	MR. STANTON: I do not.			
9	THE COURT: Miss Cason, I'm sorry that we have			
10	to upset you.			
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry.			
12	THE COURT: Though we appreciate your candor,			
13	and I understand your concerns. I'm going to go ahead and			
14	grant the motion. You can go ahead and go on down and			
15	report to the Jury Commissioner.			
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you very much.			
17	(Whereupon Prospective Juror Cason was excused from the courtroom.)			
18	TION CHE COULTOOM!			
19	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury.)			
20	THE COURT: The clerk will call the name of			
21	another potential juror.			
22	THE CLERK: Paul E. Damoth.			
23	MR. GAMMICK: I didn't catch the last name.			
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is Damoth, for your			
25	correction.			
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560			

	305
1	
1	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead and read the
2	witness list, Mr. Damoth.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do not recognize any of
4	the names.
5	THE COURT: Have you been able to hear my
6	questions thus far this morning?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Would you respond to any of my
9	questions affirmatively?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have a list.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In relation to
13	Mr. Gammick, his son has worked for me in the past at UPS.
14	In relating to officers and filling out my
15	questionnaire, I have been arrested twice. One I did not
16	put on the questionnaire. I kind of forgot about it. It
17	was in 1984.
18	I was a student at UNR, and I don't recall if
19	it was RPD or UNPD, but I was arrested at that time. And my
20	arrest, I did put on the questionnaire, was by a university
21	police officer. I do not remember the name.
22	THE COURT: Is there anything about that prior,
23	those two prior circumstances that would cause you
24	difficulty being fair and impartial in this case?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not at all. In '82,
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

306 1 I was burglarized, and I was involved as a witness in that 2 trial. 3 Anything about your experiences as THE COURT: the recipient of a burglary and testifying that would cause 4 you difficulty being a fair and impartial juror in this 5 6 case? 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not at all. 8 THE COURT: Do you hold any bias for or against 9 the State of Nevada based upon your experiences? 10 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: None at all. I would 11 like to state to all the questions I feel very impartial, 12 and I think I could be a good juror. 13 THE COURT: Anything else you would want to 14 respond to? 15 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 16 THE COURT: You heard my last question with regard to your ability to determine penalty in a case if it 17 were required. Would you automatically vote for the death 18 19 penalty no matter what the instructions? 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 21 THE COURT: Would you automatically feel compelled to vote against the death penalty no matter what 22 23 the instructions or circumstances? 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 25 THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else you

wanted to tell me about before I allow the attorneys to question you?

Okay, Mr. Stanton, you may inquire.

MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen, first series of questions that I'm going to ask are going to be general questions to the entire panel, and as you have done with Judge Steinheimer, if you could all answer affirmatively and out loud what your response are to those questions.

First question I'd like to ask you is that we have talked about certain fundamental rights that exist in a criminal case. One of them is the presumption of innocence that that man sits before you today, Mr. Vanisi, he's presumed innocent. Very important, very critical aspect of a criminal case.

There are also some other rights that we haven't discussed this morning, and my first question to you is whether or not you all agree that the right of the State in a criminal case is that if it meets its burden, that it proves a case beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has a right that the verdict be guilty. Would you all agree with that?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Anybody say no?

There are some people who have expressed, not

necessarily on this panel, concerns either with a religious, moral or some other belief system that makes it very difficult to sit as a juror. Does anybody feel that that is a problem based on any of those grounds I have just mentioned?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. STANTON: In a criminal case, the judge has told you that the burden of the State, Mr. Gammick and myself, is proof beyond a reasonable doubt. That is the standard in all criminal cases. There is not an increasing standard the more serious a crime gets.

And in this case, there is no more serious crime than murder in the first degree. But the burden of proof is the same standard as it would be in any other criminal case. Do you all understand that?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. STANTON: The proof, the standard of proof in a criminal case in charging -- involving the charge of first degree murder is not proof beyond any doubt.

Is there anybody here that would require, based upon the nature and seriousness of the charges, the State -- holding the State to a higher burden than the proof beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Barger had indicated, and I'd

ask of the entire panel now a series of questions about knowledge of some other people that are involved in this case. The victim in this case is Sergeant George Sullivan. Does anybody on the panel, besides Mr. Barger, know Sergeant George Sullivan?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. STANTON: In addition, George Sullivan was married and had children. His wife's name was Carolyn Sullivan. She is a nurse at the Northern Nevada Medical Center.

Does anybody, based upon that information, feel that they have any knowledge of Carolyn Sullivan?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Barger.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My wife formerly ran the lab at the hospital, and that's back quite a few years.

MR. STANTON: Do you have any specific knowledge as to whether or not she would have known Carolyn Sullivan or knows Carolyn Sullivan?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She knows who she was. It has not been social.

MR. STANTON: So you and your wife or your wife alone has not socialized or otherwise been involved beyond what you mentioned?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

310 1 Anything about that relationship MR. STANTON: 2 that would cause you to be anything but a fair and impartial 3 juror in this case? 4 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 5 MR. STANTON: Anybody else? 6 In addition, there are four children of the 7 Meghan, Kyle, Scott and Brian. Sullivans: Meghan, Scott and Brian all would attend junior high or elementary school 8 in the Sparks area. And all of those children's last name 9 10 would be Sullivan. 11 Based upon that information, is there anybody in the prospective panel that would have any knowledge of 12 13 those individuals? 14 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: 15 MR. STANTON: Mr. Barger again. 16 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My grand -- my grandchildren go to Whitehead. My grandson has played at 17 the Sullivan home when they lived in the same area. 18 19 MR. STANTON: And anything about that 20 relationship that would cause you not to be fair and 21 impartial? 22 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 MR. STANTON: You can put that aside and listen to the facts of this case? 24 25 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

SIAOSI VANISI,

Appellant,

No. 65774

Electronically Filed Jan 14 2015 12:24 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

vs.

RENEE BAKER, WARDEN, and CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Volume 18 of 26

Respondents.

## APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Appeal from Order Denying Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)

Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County

RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender

TIFFANI D. HURST Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 11027C 411 E. Bonneville, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577 danielle\_hurst@fd.org

Attorneys for Appellant

## **INDEX**

<b>VOLUME</b>		<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<b>PAGE</b>	
22	Corp	wer to Petition for Writ of Habeas ous (Post-Conviction)		
	July	15, 2011	AA05476-AA05478	
26	Case Appeal Statement May 23, 2014			
1	Exhi	bits to Amended Petition for Writ of		
		eas Corpus (list) 4, 2011	AA00238-AA00250	
	EXHIBIT			
2	1.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et Reno Township No. 89.820, Crimina January 14, 1998	al Complaint	
2	2.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et Reno Township No. 89.820, Amende February 3, 1998	ed Complaint	
2	3.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et Judicial Court of the State of Nevad County, No. CR98-0516, Informatio February 26, 1998	la, Washoe n	
2	4.	ABA Section of Individual Rights and Responsibilities, Recommendation February 3, 1997		
2	5.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath, M. May 16, 2006, including attached Exhibits		

<u>VOLUME</u>		<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
2	6.	Birth Certificate of Siaosi Vanisi, District of Tongatapu June 26, 1970	.AA00421-AA00422
2	7.	Immigrant Visa and Alien Registrat of Siaosi Vanisi May 1976	
2	8.	Siaosi Vanisi vs. The State of Nevado Supreme Court Case No. 35249, App Judgment of Conviction, Appellant's Opening Brief April 19, 2000	peal from a
2	9.	Siaosi Vanisi v. The State of Nevada Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 35 Appeal from a Judgment of Convicts Appellant's Reply Brief November 6, 2000	5249, ion,
2	10.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et Court of Reno Township No. 89.820 Amended Criminal Complaint February 3, 1998	
2-3	11.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et Washoe County Second Judicial Dis No. CR98-0516, Juror Instructions, September 27, 1999	strict Court Case Trial Phase
3	12.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et Washoe County Second Judicial Dis Court Case No. CR98-0516, Juror Instructions, Penalty Phase October 6, 1999	strict

<b>VOLUME</b>		<u>1</u>	DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
3	13.	Cont	fidential Execution Manual, Procedures for Executing the Death Penalty, Nevada State Prison, Revised February 2004AAG	
3		14.	Leonidas G. Koniaris, Teresa A. Zimmer David A. Lubarsky, and Jonathan P. She Inadequate Anaesthesia in Lethal Inject Execution, Vol. 365 April 6, 2005, at http://www.thelancet.com	eldon, ion for
3		15.	David Larry Nelson v. Donald Campbell Grantt Culliver, United States Supreme Case No. 03-6821, October Term, 2003 Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Petit AAC	Court ioner
3		16.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi Def In Proper Person, Washoe County Secon District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Motion to Appoint Counsel June 16, 1999	d Judicial
3		17.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Court Ordered Motion for Self Represent August 5, 1999	ation
3		18.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Ex-Parte Order for Medical Treatment July 12, 1999	

<u>VOLUME</u>		<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3	19.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al	
J	19.	Washoe County Second Judicial District C	<del></del>
		Case No. CR98-0516, Order	ourt
		August 11, 1999AA00	633-1100613
3	20.		
Э	<i>2</i> 0.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al	<u>·</u> ,
		Washoe County Second Judicial District	
		Court Case No. CR98-0516,	
		Transcript of Proceedings	0C 1 1 - 1 1 0 0 C 0 7
		June 23, 1999AA00	044 AAUU08 I
3	21.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al	
0	<b>4</b> 1.	Washoe County Second Judicial District C	
		Case No. CR98-0516	our
		Transcript of Proceedings	
		August 3, 1999AA00	688-AA00730
		11agust 0, 100011100	00011100100
3-4	22.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al	
_	,	Washoe County Second Judicial District C	
		Case No. CR98-0516	
		Reporter's Transcript of Motion for	
		Self Representation	
		August 10, 1999AA00	731-AA00817
		3	
4	23.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al	·••,
		Washoe County Second Judicial District C	
		Case No. CR98-0516	
		In Camera Hearing on Ex Parte	
		Motion to Withdraw	
		August 26, 1999AA00	818-AA00843
4	24.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al	.,
		Washoe County Second Judicial District C	
		Case No. CR98-0516	
		Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death F	Penalty
		February 18, 1999AA00	•

VOLUME	<u>!</u>	DOCUMENT	PAGE
4	25.	Phillip A. Rich, M.D., Mental Health Diag October 27, 1998AA00	
4	26.	Various News Coverage ArticlesAA00	)857-AA00951
4	27.	Report on Murder and Voluntary Manslaughter- Calendar Years 2005 and Report to the Nevada Legislature In Compliance with Nevada Revised Statutes 2.193 and 178.750, March 2007	
4	28.	Report on Murder and Voluntary Manslaughter Calendar Years 2003-2006	
4	29.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., W County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Verdict, Guilt Phase September 27, 1999	
4-5	30.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., W County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Verdict, Penalty Phase October 6, 1999	
5	31.	Photographs of Siaosi Vanisi from youth	1002-AA01006
5	32.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi Defe In Proper Person, Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Ex Parte Motion to Reconsider Self-Repre August 12, 1999	esentation

<b>VOLUME</b>		<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
5	33.	The State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, Wask County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Defense Counsel Post-Trial Memorandum Accordance with Supreme Court Rule 250 October 15, 1999	in
5	34.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-C January 18, 2002	
5	35.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw August 18, 1999	116-AA01124
5-6	36.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Supplemental Points and Authorities to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-C February 22, 2005	
6	37.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Reply to State's Response to Motion for Protective Order March 16, 2005	319-AA01325

<u>VOLUME</u>		DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
6-7	38.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Memorandum of Law Regarding McConnel March 28, 2007	
7	39.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Transcript of Proceedings Post-Conviction Hearing May 2, 2005	590-AA01691
7-8	40.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Transcript of Proceedings Continued Post-Conviction Hearing May 18, 2005	392-AA01785
8	41.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Transcript of Proceedings April 2, 2007	786-AA01816
8	42.	Siaosi Vanisi v. Warden, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P0516 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and J November 8, 2007	
8	43.	Siaosi Vanisi vs. The State of Nevada, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 50607 Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Habeas Petition Appellant's Opening Brief August 22, 2008	833-AA01932

VOLUME	<u> </u>	<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
8	44.	Siaosi Vanisi vs. The State of Nevada, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 5060 Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Habeas Petition Reply Brief December 2, 2008	)7 1
		December 2, 2000A	A01933 AA01930
8-9	45.	Siaosi Vanisi vs. The State of Nevada, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 5060 Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Order of Affirmance April 20, 2010	)7 Petition
		11p111 20, 2010	1101001111102002
9	46.	Siaosi Vanisi vs. The State of Nevada, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 5060 Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Petition for Rehearing May 10, 2010	)7 Petition
9	47.	Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Inmat Visitors Reports and Visiting Log	
9	48.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al. County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Order for Competency Evaluation December 27, 2004	
9	49.	Thomas E. Bittker, M.D., Forensic Psychiatric Assessment January 14, 2005	A02024-AA02032
9	50.	A.M. Amezaga, Jr., Ph.D., Competency Evaluation February 15, 2005A	

<u>VOLUME</u>	2	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
9	51.	State of Nevada v. Vernell Ray Evans, Clark County Case No. C116071 Sentencing Agreement February 4, 2003	A02049-AA02054
9	52.	State of Nevada v. Jeremy Strohmeyer, Clark County Case No. C144577 Court Minutes September 8, 1998	
9	53.	State of Nevada v. Jonathan Daniels, Clark County Case No. C126201 Verdicts November 1, 1995	A02058-AA02068
9	54.	State of Nevada v. Richard Edward Pov Clark County Case No. C148936 Verdicts November 15, 2000	
9	55.	State of Nevada v. Fernando Padron Ro Clark County Case No. C130763 Verdicts May 7, 1996	_
9	56.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Order finding Petitioner Competent to March 16, 2005	Proceed
9	57.	Omitted	AA02098
9	58.	Rogers, Richard, Ph.D., "Evaluating Competency to Stand Trial with Evider Practice", J Am Acad Psychiatry Law 3	7:450-60 (2009)

VOLUME	<u>!</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	PAGE
9	59.	Thomas E. Bittker, M.D., Sanity Ev June 9, 1999	
9-10	60.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Preliminary Examination February 20, 1998	ırt
10	61.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Arraignment March 10, 1998	ırt
10	62.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Status Hearing August 4, 1998	ırt
10	63.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Status Hearing September 4, 1998	
10	64.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Status Hearing September 28, 1998	ırt
10	65.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Report on Psychiatric Evaluations November 6, 1998	ırt

<u>VOLUME</u>		<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<u>PAGE</u>
10	66.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cour Case No. CR98-0516 Hearing Regarding Counsel November 10, 1998	rt
10	67.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cour Case No. CR98-0516 Pretrial Hearing December 10, 1998	°t
10	68.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cour Case No. CR98-0516 Final Pretrial Hearings January 7, 1999	rt e
10-11	69.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cour Case No. CR98-0516 Hearing to Reset Trial Date January 19, 1999	rt
11	70.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cour Case No. CR98-0516 Pretrial Motion Hearing June 1, 1999	rt e
11	71.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cour Case No. CR98-0516 Motion Hearing August 11, 1999	rt

<b>VOLUME</b>		DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
11	72.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Decision to Motion to Relieve Counsel August 30, 1999	
11	73.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 In Chambers Review May 12, 1999	
11	74.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Trial Volume 5 January 15, 1999	
11-12	75.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Preliminary Examination February 20, 1998	
12	76.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Arraignment March 10, 1998	
12	77.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Motion to Set Trial March 19, 1998	

VOLUME	<u>!</u>	DOCUMENT	<b>PAGE</b>
12	78.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., V County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Status Hearing August 4, 1998	
12	79.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., V County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Status Hearing September 4, 1998	
12	80.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., V County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Status Hearing September 28, 1998	
12	81.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., V County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Report on Psych Eval November 6, 1998	
12	82.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., V County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Hearing Regarding Counsel November 10, 1998	
12-13	83.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., V County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Pre-Trial Motions November 24, 1998	

VOLUME	<u> </u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
13	84.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Pretrial Hearing December 10, 1998	
13	85.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Telephone Conference December 30, 1998	
13	86.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Hearing January 7, 1999	
13	87.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Continued Jury Selection January 7, 1998	
13	88.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Jury Selection January 8, 1999	
13-14	89.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Trial, Volume 4 January 14, 1999	

<u>VOLUME</u>	<u> </u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
14	90.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Order (Granting Motion for Mistrial January 15, 1999	)
14	91.	Omitted	AA03380
14	92.	Declaration of Paulotu Palu January 24, 2011	.AA03381-AA03389
14	93.	Declaration of Siaosi Vuki Mafileo February 28, 2011	.AA03390-AA03404
14	94.	Declaration of Sioeli Tuita Heleta January 20, 2011	.AA03405-AA03418
14	95.	Declaration of Tufui Tafuna January 22, 2011	.AA03419-AA03422
14	96.	Declaration of Toeumu Tafuna April 7, 2011	.AA03423-AA03456
14	97.	Declaration of Herbert Duzan's Inte of Michael Finau April 18, 2011	
14	98.	Declaration of Edgar DeBruce April 7, 2011	.AA03465-AA03467
14	99.	Declaration of Herbert Duzan's Inte of Bishop Nifai Tonga April 18, 2011	
14	100.	Declaration of Lita Tafuna April 2011	.AA03474-AA03476

<b>VOLUME</b>		<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<u>PAGE</u>
14	101.	Declaration of Sitiveni Tafuna April 7, 2011	.AA03477-AA03486
14	102.	Declaration of Interview with Alisi I conducted by Michelle Blackwill April 18, 2011	
14-15	103.	Declaration of Tevita Vimahi April 6, 2011	.AA03490-AA03514
15	104.	Declaration of DeAnn Ogan April 11, 2011	.AA03515-AA03523
15	105.	Declaration of Greg Garner April 10, 2011	.AA03524-AA03531
15	106.	Declaration of Robert Kirts April 10, 2011	.AA03532-AA03537
15	107.	Declaration of Manamoui Peaua April 5, 2011	.AA03538-AA03542
15	108.	Declaration of Toa Vimahi April 6, 2011	.AA03543-AA03566
15	109.	Reports regarding Siaosi Vanisi at Washoe County Jail, Nevada State I and Ely State Prison, Various dates	
15	110.	Declaration of Olisi Lui April 7, 2011	. AA03745-AA03749
15-16	111.	Declaration of Peter Finau April 5, 2011	.AA03750-AA03754
16	112.	Declaration of David Kinikini April 5, 2011	.AA03755-AA03765

<b>VOLUME</b>		<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
16	113.	Declaration of Renee Peaua April 7, 2011	AA03766-AA03771
16	114.	Declaration of Heidi Bailey-Aloi April 7, 2011	AA03772-AA03775
16	115.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Into of Tony Tafuna April 18, 2011	
16	116.	Declaration of Terry Williams April 10, 2011	
16	117.	Declaration of Tim Williams	
16	118.	April 10, 2011  Declaration of Mele Maveni Vakapu April 5, 2011	una
16	119.	Declaration of Priscilla Endemann April 6, 2011	AA03794-AA03797
16	120.	Declaration of Mapa Puloka January 24, 2011	AA03798-AA03802
16	121.	Declaration of Limu Havea January 24, 2011	AA03803-AA03812
16	122.	Declaration of Sione Pohahau January 22, 2011	AA03813-AA03815
16	123.	Declaration of Tavake Peaua January 21, 2011	AA03816-AA03821
16	124.	Declaration of Totoa Pohahau January 23, 2011	AA03822-AA03844

<b>VOLUME</b>		<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
16	125.	Declaration of Vuki Mafileo February 11, 2011	AA03845-AA03859
16	126.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 State's Exhib (Photographs) with List	urt its 4B-4L
16	127.	Declaration of Crystal Calderon April 18, 2011	AA03873-AA03878
16	128.	Declaration of Laura Lui April 7, 2011	AA03879-AA03882
16	129.	Declaration of Le'o Kinkini-Tongi April 5, 2011	AA03883-AA03886
16	130.	Declaration of Sela Vanisi-DeBruce April 7, 2011	
16	131.	Declaration of Vainga Kinikini April 12, 2011	AA03903-AA03906
16	132.	Declaration of David Hales April 10, 2011	AA03907-AA03910
16	133.	Omitted	AA03911
16	134.	Omitted	AA03912
16	135.	State of Nevada vs. Siaosi Vanisi, S Time Record Michael R. Specchio January 1998-July 1999	
16	136.	Correspondence to Stephen Gregory from Edward J. Lynn, M.D. July 8, 1999	

<u>VOLUME</u>		<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
16	137.	Memorandum to Vanisi File from M April 27, 1998	
16	138.	Omitted	AA03941
16	139.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Motion to Limit Victim Impact Stat July 15, 1998	urt ements
16	140.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Defendant's Offered Instruction A, September 24, 1999	urt B, & C, Refused
16	141.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Order November 25, 1998	urt
16	142.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 Order August 4, 1998	urt
16	143.	Memorandum to Vanisi File From Mike Specchio July 31, 1998	AA03966-AA03968
16	144.	Correspondence to Michael R. Spece from Michael Pescetta October 6 1998	

<u>VOLUME</u>		DOCUMENT	<b>PAGE</b>
16	145.	Correspondence to Michael Pescetta from Michael R. Specchio October 9, 1998	
16	146.	Index of and 3 DVD's containing vid footage of Siaosi Vanisi in custody on various dates	
16-17	147.	Various Memorandum to and from Michael R. Specchio 1998-1999	.AA03976-AA04045
17	148.	Memorandum to Vanisi file Crystal-Laura from MRS April 20, 1998	.AA04046-AA04048
17	149.	Declaration of Steven Kelly April 6, 2011	Δ Δ 0 4 0 4 9 - Δ Δ 0 4 0 5 1
17	150.	Declaration of Scott Thomas April 6, 2011	
17	151.	Declaration of Josh Iveson April 6, 2011	.AA04055-AA04057
17	152.	Declaration of Luisa Finau April 7, 2011	.AA04058-AA04063
17	153.	Declaration of Leanna Morris April 7, 2011	.AA04064-AA04068
17	154.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a County Second Judicial District Cou Case No. CR98-0516 State Exhibit 45 - Sullivan Family V	rt <sup>7</sup> ideo
17	155.	Declaration of Maile (Miles) Kinikin April 7, 2011	

<u>VOLUME</u>		<b>DOCUMENT</b>	PAGE
17	156.	Declaration of Nancy Chiladez April 11, 2011	AA04077-AA04079
17	157.	University Police Services Web P of George D. Sullivan http://www.unr.edu/police/sulliva last modified February 8, 2010	n.html#content
17	158.	Motion in Limine to Exclude Gru- November 25, 1998	
17-18	159.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, County Second Judicial District C Case No. CR98-0516 Reporter's Transcript Trial Volume 1 January 11, 1999	Court
18-19	160.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, County Second Judicial District C Case No. CR98-0516 Reporters Transcript Trial Volume 2 January 12, 1999	Court
19-20	161.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, County Second Judicial District C Case No. CR98-0516 Reporter's Transcript Trial Volume 3 January 13, 1999	Court
20	162.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, County Second Judicial District C Case No. CR98-0516 Juror Chart-Peremptory Sheet	Court

<b>VOLUME</b>		<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
20	163.	Neuropsychological and Psychological Evaluation of Siaosi Vanisi Dr. Jonathan Mack April 18, 2011	A04789-AA04859
20	164.	Independent Medical Examination in the Field of Psychiatry, Dr. Siale 'Alo Folia April 18, 2011	ki
20-21	165.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516 Juror Questionnaires September 10, 1999	
21	166.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Case No. CR98-0516 Minutes September 21, 1999	et Court
21	167.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Case No. CR98-0516 Motion for Individual Voir Dire of Pros June 8, 1998	et Court pective Jurors
21	168.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial Distric Case No. CR98-0516 Motion for Individual Sequestered Voir April 15, 1999	et Court · Dire
21	169.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Case No. CR98-0516 Order December 16, 1998	et Court

<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>I</u>	DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
21	170.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Concase No. CR98-0516 Motion for Additional Peremptory Challen, June 1, 1998	ges
21	171.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Concase No. CR98-0516 Motion to Renew Request for Additional Peremptory Challenges April 13, 1999	
21	172.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Concase No. CR98-0516 Motion for Change of Venue July 15, 1998	
21	173.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview with Tongan Solicitor General, 'Aminiasi K April 17, 2011	Kefu
21-22	174.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et al., Washoe County Second Judicial District Concase No. CR98-0516 Defendant's Proposed Juror Questionnaire December 14, 1998	<b>,</b>
22	175.	Siaosi Vanisi vs. The State of Nevada, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 50607 Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Petrorer Denying Rehearing June 22, 2010	

<b>VOLUME</b>	!	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
22	176.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, e Washoe County Second Judicial D Case No. CR98-0516 Motion for Jury Questionnaire (Request for Submission) August 12, 1999	istrict Court
22	177.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, e Washoe County Second Judicial D Case No. CR98-0516 Order September 10, 1999	istrict Court
22	178.	Declaration of Thomas Qualls April 15, 2011	AA05292-AA05293
22	179.	Declaration of Walter Fey April 18, 2011	AA05294-AA05296
22	180.	Declaration of Stephen Gregory April 17, 2011	AA05297-AA05299
22	181.	Declaration of Jeremy Bosler April 17, 2011	AA05300-AA05303
22	182.	Birth Certificates for the children Luisa Tafuna Various dates	
22	183.	San Bruno Police Department Cris Report No. 89-0030 February 7, 1989	
22	184.	Manhattan Beach Police Departm Report Dr. # 95-6108 November 4, 1995	

<b>VOLUME</b>		<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<u>PAGE</u>
22	185.	Manhattan Beach Police Departmen Crime Report August 23 1997	
22	186.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a Washoe County Second Judicial Dist Case No. CR98-0516 Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penal February 26, 1998	crict Court Ity
22	187.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a Washoe County Second Judicial Dist Case No. CR98-0516 Judgment November 22, 1999	crict Court
22	188.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a Washoe County Second Judicial Dist Case No. CR98-0516 Notice of Appeal November 30, 1999	crict Court
22	189.	State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a Washoe County Second Judicial Dist Case No. CR98P-0516 Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court (Death Penalty Case) November 28, 2007	crict Court
22	190.	Correspondence to The Honorable Co Steinheimer from Richard W. Lewis, October 10, 1998	Ph.D.

<b>VOLUME</b>	<u>!</u>	DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
22	191.	People of the State of California v. Sitives Finau Tafuna, Alameda Superior Court Hayward Case No. 384080-7 (Includes police reports and Alameda Cou Public Defender documents) May 4, 2005	unty
22	192.	Cronin House documents concerning Sitiveni Tafuna May 5, 2008AA0	5356-AA05366
22	193.	People of the State of California v. Sitives Finau Tafuna, Alameda Superior Court Hayward Case No. 404252 Various court documents and related court matter documents August 17, 2007	
22	194.	Washoe County Public Defender Investig Re: <u>State of Nevada v. Siaosi Vanisi, et a</u> Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98P-0516 	<u>l.,</u>
22	195.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview Juror Richard Tower April 18, 2011AA0	
22	196.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview Juror Nettie Horner April 18, 2011	
22	197.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview Juror Bonnie James April 18, 2011	

<b>VOLUME</b>	<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<u>PAGE</u>
22	198. Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Int Juror Robert Buck April 18, 2011	
25	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas C April 10, 2014	orpus
22	Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Ha Corpus (Post-Conviction) July 15, 2011	
25-26	Notice of Entry of Order April 25, 2014	AA06246-AA06253
26	Notice of Appeal May 23, 2014	AA06254-AA06256
25	Objections to Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismi Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus March 31, 2014	J
22-23	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss September 30, 2011	AA05483-AA05558
24	Order March 21, 2012	AA05943-AA05945
23	Petitioner's Exhibits in Support of Oppos To Motion to Dismiss (list) September 30, 2011	
	EXHIBIT	
23	101. Michael D. Rippo v. E.K. McDaniel, Clark County Eighth Judicial Distr Case No. C106784	

<b>VOLUME</b>		DOCUMENT	PAGE
		Reporter's Transcript of Hearing September 22, 2008	.AA05564-AA05581
23	102.	In the Matter of the Review of Issue Concerning Representation of Indig Criminal and Juvenile Delinquency Supreme Court Case No. 411 October 16, 2008	ent Defendants in Cases, Nevada
23	103.	In the Matter of the Review of the Is Concerning Representation of Indig Criminal and Juvenile Delinquency Supreme Court ADKT No. 411 January 4, 2008	ent Defendants in Cases, Nevada
23	104.	Farmer v. Director, Nevada Dept. of No. 18052 Order Dismissing Appeal March 31, 1988	
23	105.	<u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 22562 Order Dismissing Appeal February 20, 1992	.AA05661-AA05663
23	106.	<u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 29120 Order Dismissing Appeal November 20, 1997	.AA05664-AA05669
23	107.	<u>Feazell v. State</u> , No. 37789 Order Affirming in Part and Vacatin November 14, 2002	
23	108.	Hankins v. State, No. 20780 Order of Remand April 24, 1990	.AA05680-AA05683

<b>VOLUME</b>	! !	DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
23	109.	Hardison v. State, No. 24195 Order of Remand May 24, 1994	AA05684-AA05689
23	110.	Hill v. State, No. 18253 Order Dismissing Appeal June 29, 1987	AA05690-AA05700
23	111.	Jones v. State, No. 24497 Order Dismissing Appeal August 28, 1996	AA05701-AA05704
23	112.	Jones v. McDaniel, et al., No. 3909 Order of Affirmance December 19, 2002	
23	113.	Milligan v. State, No. 21504 Order Dismissing Appeal June 17, 1991	AA05721-AA05723
23	114.	Milligan v. Warden, No. 37845 Order of Affirmance July 24, 2002	AA05724-AA05743
23-24	115.	Moran v. State, No. 28188 Order Dismissing Appeal March 21, 1996	AA05744-AA05761
24	116.	Neuschafer v. Warden, No. 18371 Order Dismissing Appeal August 19, 1987	AA05762-AA05772
24	117.	Nevius v. Sumner (Nevius I), Nos. Order Dismissing Appeal and Deny February 19, 1986	ying Petition

<u>VOLUME</u>		<u>DOCUMENT</u>	PAGE
24	118.	Nevius v. Warden (Nevius II), Nos. Order Dismissing Appeal and Deny Writ of Habeas Corpus October 9, 1996	ing Petition for
		October 9, 1990	AA05116 AA05191
24	119.	Nevius v. Warden (Nevius III), Nos. 29027, 29028 Order Denying R July 17, 1998	_
24	120.	Nevius v. McDaniel, D. Nev. No. CV-N-96-785-HDM-(RAM) Response to Nevius' Supplemental I October 18, 1999.	
24	121. <u>.</u>	O'Neill v. State, No. 39143 Order of Reversal and Remand December 18, 2002	.AA05805-AA05811
24	122.	Rider v. State, No. 20925 Order April 30, 1990	.AA05812-AA05815
24	123.	Riley v. State, No. 33750 Order Dismissing Appeal November 19, 1999	AA05816-05820
24	124.	Rogers v. Warden, No. 22858 Order Dismissing Appeal May 28, 1993 Amended Order Dismissing Appeal June 4, 1993	.AA05821-AA05825
24	125.	Rogers v. Warden, No. 36137 Order of Affirmance May 13, 2002	.AA05826-AA05833

<b>VOLUME</b>		DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
24	126.	Sechrest v. State, No 29170 Order Dismissing Appeal November 20, 1997	AA05834-AA05838
24	127.	Smith v. State, No. 20959 Order of Remand September 14, 1990	AA05839-AA05842
24	128.	Stevens v. State, No. 24138 Order of Remand July 8, 1994	AA05843-AA05850
24	129.	Wade v. State, No. 37467 Order of Affirmance October 11, 2001	AA05851-AA05856
24	130.	Williams v. State, No. 20732 Order Dismissing Appeal July 18, 1990	AA05857-AA05860
24	131.	Williams v. Warden, No. 29084 Order Dismissing Appeal August 29, 1997	AA05861-AA05865
24	132.	Ybarra v. Director, Nevada State Pr No. 19705 Order Dismissing Appeal June 29, 1989	l
24	133.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981 Order Affirming in Part Reversing in Part, and Remanding November 28, 2005	AA05870-AA05881
24	134.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981 Order Denying Rehearing February 2, 2006	AA05882-AA05887

<u>VOLUME</u>	DOCUMENT	<u>PAGE</u>
1	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-C May 4, 2011	
22	Reply to Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) August 29, 2011	AA05479-AA05482
25	Response to "Objections to Proposed Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus"  April 7, 2014	Dismissing
24	Response to Opposition to Motion to Dismi Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) October 7, 2011	
24	Transcript of Proceedings Hearing-Oral Arguments February 23, 2012	AA05892-AA05942
24-25	Transcript of Proceedings Petition for Post Conviction (Day One) December 5, 2013	AA05946-AA06064
	<b>EXHIBITS</b> Admitted December 5, 2013	
25	199. Letter from Aminiask Kefu November 15, 2011	AA06065-AA06067
25	201. Billing Records-Thomas Qualls, Esq. Various Dates	AA06068-AA06089
25	214. Memorandum to File from MP March 22, 2002	AA06090-AA06098

<u>VOLUME</u>		<b>DOCUMENT</b>	<u>PAGE</u>
25	215.	Client Background Info Summary	AA06099-AA06112
25	216.	Investigation-Interview Outline	AA06113-AA06118
25	217.	Table of Contents "Mitigating Circumstances"	AA06119-AA06122
25	218.	Publication "Defense Resources in Capital Cases"	AA06123-AA06132
25	219.	Communication between Center for Assistance and Marc Picker, Esq. Undated	_
25	220.	Communication between Marc Pick and Roseann M. Schaye March 12, 2012	
25	Petit	script of Proceedings ion for Post Conviction (Day Two) mber 6, 2013	AA06139-AA06219
		<b>IBITS</b> itted December 6, 2013	
25	200.	Declaration of Scott Edwards, Esq. November 8, 2013	AA06220-AA06221
25	224.	Letter to Scott Edwards, Esq. From Michael Pescetta, Esq. January 30, 2003	
25	Decis	script of Proceedings sion (Telephonic) ch 4, 2014	AA06223-AA06230

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 7th day of January, 2015. Electronic Service of the foregoing Appellant's Appendix shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Terrence P. McCarthy Washoe County District Attorney tmccarth@da.washoecounty.us

> Felicia Darensbourg An employee of the Federal Public Defender's Office

way.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Look that way.

They tend to what?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, we'll make a for-cause challenge.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton.

MR. BOSLER:

MR. STANTON: Thank you.

Mr. Battaglia, relative to your comments regarding a code that goes beyond the instructions of law in this case, can you give me an idea of where those might be in conflict? Instead of talking about hypothetical, can you tell me, one, where your moral code would trump what the law is?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: In this case or in all criminal cases, one of the fundamental cornerstones is that presumption of innocence, as Judge Steinheimer indicated. Are you the type of person if she tells you as a matter of law that you cannot form an opinion about this case, if you are a juror in this case, until you have heard all the evidence, do you think you could do that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would wait til the end.

MR. STANTON: So you have enough discipline to
follow that oath and wait till the end and then make your

decision?

?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Relative to the presumption or how you sit here and believe about Mr. Vanisi today, if the judge were to tell you he's presumed innocent and that me and Mr. Gammick have the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt to you of his guilt, could you follow that instruction?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Thank you. Nothing further. I would oppose the objection based upon -- the motion based upon those answers.

THE COURT: Mr. Battaglia, you don't know of any rule or instruction of the Court that you think that right now you know you couldn't follow?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could up til the -- can follow that, yes. I don't know of any that I could not follow.

THE COURT: You started to say up til the -A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, it's hard to
explain. I guess how I'm going to feel at that time, the
end of this trial, is a different thing than what I feel
now, I'm sure.

THE COURT: One of the things the Court will tell you is that I won't be making the decisions about the facts for you. That's the jury's determination.

i	102
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
2	THE COURT: What I do is give you the law as it
3	is, and I tell you that you make a determination as to the
4	facts, and then you apply the facts to the law, and then you
5	reach a verdict consistent with the facts and the law.
6	Now, would you make up your own version of the
7	law to get to whatever result you think you want to get to,
8	or would you follow that instruction?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You're asking me to
10	predict the future.
11	THE COURT: No, I'm asking if you will follow
12	the law.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will follow your
14	instructions.
15	THE COURT: As to what the law is?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
17	THE COURT: Motion is denied.
18	Go ahead and go on back with the jury. We'll
19	have you back in here in just a few minutes, I hope. Thank
20	you.
21	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror Battaglia enters the courtroom.)
22	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror Kizis enters the
23	courtroom.)
24	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am, for coming in. I
25	want to let you know, I didn't bring you in to make you
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	uncomfortable in the courtroom by yourself. But you had
2	indicated that you had a strongly held opinion based on
3	information that you had received outside the courtroom, and
4	so I wanted to find out what that information was that you
5	had received outside the courtroom. Is that the basis of
6	your
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That was from the radio.
8	THE COURT: From the radio?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: What did you hear? Whatever you
11	remember.
12	See why we didn't want you to tell us in front
13	of everybody else?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: From the radio's point of
15	view, the whole story, I guess. That a hatchet was used;
16	that the defendant did not let's see. Bragged about the
17	crime. That he told his friends he was going to he
18	wanted to kill a policeman. That it was premeditated. Hid
19	in the bushes, killed the man.
20	Let me see what else. Tried to kill himself in
21	jail.
22	THE COURT: Anything clse? I'm not saying that
23	that's all.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm trying to think. I
25	think that's about it.
	CTEDDA NESSADA DEDODERDA (FOO) DOO GEOO

of the things I didn't get to ask the whole panel I want to ask you now, and that is if I were to instruct you at the conclusion of this case that a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proved by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, and in a case where reasonable doubt -- where there is reasonable doubt as to whether or not the defendant's guilt has been satisfactorily shown, then the defendant is entitled to an acquittal.

Do you understand that instruction?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Would you accept that instruction?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. If it was beyond a

reasonable doubt, yes.

THE COURT: Yes.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, the beyond a reasonable doubt is the burden, part of the burden that the State has. The other part of that question calls for something called the presumption of innocence. That means that the defendant in any criminal case is presumed by the Court, by the jury, everyone, to be innocent, by the court of law, until it is proven to the contrary, until something is proven to the contrary.

1	Now, even though you have this information from
2	the media that we have already talked about, whether or not
3	that's good information or not, even though you have some
4	information and kind of formed an opinion, are you able to
5	set aside that opinion and decide the case based solely on
6	the evidence?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
8	THE COURT: And can you afford the defendant
9	the presumption of innocence?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can try.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Stanton.
12	MR. STANTON: I have no questions of this
13	juror.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler.
15	MR. BOSLER: Miss Kizis, you say you have heard
16	a lot of things on the radio about the events?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
18	MR. BOSLER: But you can't remember them all
1.9	today if called upon to recite them?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think those were the
21	main ones, yeah. The main points.
2.2	MR. BOSLER: If there became a point where you
23	sat through the trial and didn't hear things in the trial
24	that you had heard with the media, would the things you
25	heard on the radio still play a part in your opinion, play a
	SIFDDA NEVADA BEDODITEDE /700) 200 6560

1	part in your deliberations in this case?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess I would be real
3	surprised if I didn't hear those things. I guess I would be
4	surprised to think, wow, that's pretty amazing. So if I
5	didn't hear it in court as evidence, and I would assume that
6	you had all the evidence in here, then I guess I would have
7	to set that aside, what I heard on the radio.
8	MR. BOSLER: Is that something you think you
9	can do?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can try to, yes.
11	MR. BOSLER: You are just not quite sure at
12	this point whether you can do it?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To be honest, yeah, it's
14	hard for me to set it aside.
15	MR. BOSLER: Is there a chance that your
16	ability to deliberate would be impaired by the things you
17	have heard through the media?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At this point, yes.
19	MR. BOSLER: If the Court instructed you you
20	are only supposed to hear what you hear in the courtroom, I
21	think that was part of the questionnaire you filled out.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: i would do my best to do
23	that.
24	MR. BOSLER: You would feel there is a little
25	bit of impairment from what you heard in the radio?
l	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	MR. BOSLER: I don't mean to pry or drag up
3	anything that is painful to you. You said something about
4	alcohol.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	MR. BOSLER: How that would affect your
7	deliberations.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, definitely.
9	MR. BOSLER: Because you have had
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because my brother was
11	killed by a drunk driver.
12	MR. BOSLER: I guess you filled out the
13	questionnaire indicating you don't feel you can be objective
14	in a case that involves alcohol.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
16	MR. BOSLER: Again, I'm not trying to pry. If
17	you were to sit on a case where there was an indication of
18	alcohol consumption, is it your statement today in front of
19	the Court that you can't be objective in those
20	circumstances? You can't sit in judgment of someone if
21	there is alcohol involved?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
23	MR. BOSLER: Is there anything the Court can
24	say that if the Court said, I want you to disregard that and
25 .	you are supposed to treat this person or every situation,
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	whether it has alcohol in it or not, the same, do you
2	understand? Can you do that based upon your life
3	experiences?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think when it
5	comes to alcohol. Because the person that killed my brother
6	did it many times thereafter and was picked up for drunk
7	driving, and the key should have been thrown away, quite
8	frankly. He should have been put in jail. That is all
9	there is to it. He had a slap on the wrist. My brother is
10	dead, two little children and wife.
11	MR. BOSLER: I think obviously something close
12	to your heart. Is there anything the Court's instruction
13	could
14	THE COURT: Wait a minute. I have a question.
15	Are we talking about just the mention of alcohol?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I said that if there
17	was alcohol involved in this case, I didn't feel I could be
18	an objective juror.
19	THE COURT: You mean if I don't understand
20	what you mean by "involved in the case." Do you mean if it
21	was caused by alcohol?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If the defendant had been
23	drinking.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
25	MR. BOSLER: And I guess you stand by that
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1 | thought?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

MR. BOSLER: Nothing further, Your Honor. We make the for-cause challenge.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton.

MR. STANTON: I'm not sure the basis of the challenge. My gist of what I guess -- I'm assuming from what counsel's motion is that the prospective juror said that she at this point couldn't put some of her feelings aside. But I think when she is making that term, she is being very precise about it.

She is saying at this point, at this point in the proceedings, not after she's been instructed and waiting til the evidence has been presented to put aside her feelings, which I think she responded directly to the precise questions by the Court about following the Court's instructions.

As far as the latter part, if indeed that is part of the motion for cause, this isn't a drunk driving case. The State is unaware of any alcohol that was involved in this case relative to the offenses. So I'm not sure how that is germane.

THE COURT: Motion is denied.

Thank you. Go ahead and wait for us in the jury room. Okay?

(Whereupon, Prospective Juror Kizis was excused from the courtroom.)

(Whereupon, Prospective Juror Turnage entered the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Miss Turnage, I asked that you come in by yourself because you had indicated that you had a strongly held opinion with regard to something, and I thought it was the basis — the basis of that was the media information that you had gotten before you came in here.

And so I wanted to find out what the media information was that you were relying on. I wanted to do it in a way so everybody else didn't hear it in case they didn't hear that same media coverage.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That makes sense.

THE COURT: So can you tell us what you --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, one of the things I heard is that the defendant, after having allegedly done the crime, told friends of his that, yes, he had done it and he was proud of it. And now, as you said, the media is oftentimes wrong.

But I can't help but feel that there's good chance that what I heard was true and that, I mean, I wouldn't want to say that I have made a strong opinion that he's guilty at this point, but I can't help but feeling a little bit swayed by that.

THE COURT: Before we took our break I normally would have asked everyone this question. I didn't get a chance to ask you and everyone else. So I'll ask you now by yourself.

And that is if the Court were to instruct you at the conclusion of the case that a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and in case of a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant's quilt is satisfactorily shown, then the defendant is entitled to be acquitted, would you follow and accept that instruction?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would try my best to do that.

THE COURT: The instruction talks about presuming the defendant innocent until the evidence is presented here in the courtroom. Do you understand that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right, I do understand that. It's hard to shut off feelings and to just separate that. I would do my best to do that, but I can't say that for sure I would. I would try my best to separate that and put that aside and to go ahead and make him innocent in my mind. But I don't know that that would be the case.

THE COURT: Mr. Bosler.

MR. BOSLER: Miss Turnage, you were able to fill out a questionnaire before you came to court today?

	i
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
2	MR. BOSLER: They gave you time to think about,
3	read the questions and think about your answers?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
5	MR. BOSLER: You were asked a question, do you
6	remember I don't know if you remember. You were asked a
7	question whether you could put aside your strongly held
8	opinions or opinions that you have about this case and
9	follow the Court's instruction if you were called to do so.
10	Do you remember what you responded?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe I wrote no.
12	MR. BOSLER: You wrote no. You say that you
13	are not going to follow directions. I could not go against
14	my beliefs, unquote. Is that something you put on your
15	questionnaire?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is something I did
17	put on my questionnaire, yes.
18	MR. BOSLER: Does that fairly describe how you
19	feel today?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, it does.
21	MR. BOSLER: So in spite of what the Court has
22	said, these are the presumptions, things like that you feel
23	if your beliefs are going to conflict with the instructions,
24	you are not going to follow the instructions?
25	I don't want you to think there is a right or
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

wrong answer. Whatever you give is your answer.
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm just saying that it
would be very difficult for me to follow the instructions
and go against what I believe, and I would try my best to do
that, but I can't say that I would.
MR. BOSLER: If you had I don't mean to put
you on the spot. If you had an instruction that was
different from your belief, which one would you follow?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would have to go with
my belief.
MR. BOSLER: You are honest about that?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (Positive nod.)
MR. BOSLER: I think you said yes.
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: She nodded her head.
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I did say yes.
MR. BOSLER: If at these two tables, who do
you think has the burden of proof in this case?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The burden of proof is on
the prosecution.
MR. BOSLER: And have you formed an opinion as
to whether Mr. Vanisi is guilty at this point?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have.
MR. BOSLER: And that opinion is what?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That he is guilty.
SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	MR. BOSLER: That's despite what the Court
2	said?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right, but I mean, I
4	would try, like I said, to put that aside. But it would be
5	difficult to do.
6	MR. BOSLER: You can't even promise that that
7	could happen?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't promise that that
9	could happen.
10	MR. BOSLER: No further questions, Your Honor.
11	We make the challenge.
12	THE COURT: You make a motion?
13	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
14	THE COURT: We'll let Mr. Stanton inquire.
15	MR. STANTON: Miss Turnage, one of the things
16	that jurors have the sole province in a criminal case is you
17	will determine what are the facts in the case. So from that
18	perspective, and that is that there is no one going to be
19	giving you instructions about what the facts are as far as
20	how you determine them to be, is there any instructions of
21	law that you can think of that would go against your
22	personal beliefs and therefore you wouldn't follow? Can you
23	give me an example?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I can. Say that
25	something came up in the court case that we were admonished
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	by the judge to disregard, to forget we heard it. I
2	couldn't forget I heard anything, if I heard it.
3	MR. STANTON: If you were instructed to, as you
4	just said, disregard it and yet you heard it, when you went
5	back to deliberate to that and you said, Hey, look, this was
6	an important part of the case, I heard it, and then someone
7	said, Hey, but you were instructed by the judge to disregard
8	it, are you saying that you couldn't do that?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's what I'm saying.
10	MR. STANTON: Even if you had taken an oath to
11	follow the rules of the Court?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would still be
13	difficult to disregard the information, yes.
14	MR. STANTON: It may be difficult for the
15	average person to do that, to disregard something they have
16	heard. But the question is, are you the type of person that
17	will take the instructions and the oath to follow the law
18	serious enough that you will put it aside?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm the type of person
20	that would do my best to do that.
21	MR. STANTON: You indicated on your
22	questionnaire, "My beliefs don't allow me to judge others."
23	Could you explain that to me?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, God is the only
25	judge, and I know that may sound kind of ridiculous to you
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	people. But to me, I take it very seriously, and I wouldn't
2	want to have to be put in the position to judge anybody for
3	anything.
4	MR. STANTON: When you say judge somebody,
5	would that include finding whether or not they are guilty or
6	innocent of first-degree murder?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is correct.
8	MR. STANTON: How about making the decision
9	whether someone should die or not?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't want to have
11	to make that decision. I believe that the decision needs to
12	be made, obviously, by somebody.
13	MR. STANTON: But not by you?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But I would feel very
15	uncomfortable having to make that decision.
16	MR. STANTON: No objection to counsel's motion.
17	THE COURT: You are excused for cause. Thank
18	you for all your candor. We appreciate your service here.
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Go ahead on down to the Jury
21	Commissioner's office and report to her that you were
22	excused.
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Where is that located?
24	THE COURT: The first floor where you first
25	went this morning.
	STEPPA NEVADA DEPONTURA (EGG) DO 1

i i	
1	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror Turnage was
2	excused from the courtroom.)
3	MR. GREGORY: Your Honor, I believe I have one
4	juror left, Miss Kenny.
5	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror Kenny enters the courtroom.)
6	•
7	THE COURT: Yes, that is correct.
8	Go ahead and find a seat anywhere, Miss Kenny.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Miss Kenny, I asked that you come
11	in by yourself because you had some feelings with regard to
12	forming judging a case, and you had some issues about the
13	pretrial publicity that you might have seen or heard, and
14	you said you had formed an opinion about the case. So I
15	wanted to hear what the basis of your pretrial publicity
16	that you have heard was. What had you heard?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I heard everything,
18	everything. Because I read the paper every day, mostly
19	every day, except the last few weeks I didn't. But my
20	husband watches the news very carefully every night. That's
21	his thing.
22	But I know a lot about it, but I'm not here
23	to do you know what I mean? I can't judge anybody. I
24	know it was the most horrible crime committed in the town
25	here since I have been living here. And I am who I am and
	STERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	the personality I do have, and I'm German, I cannot judge
2	and I will not judge.
3	MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, at this time we're
4	going to offer a stipulation to the Court to challenge her
5	for cause.
6	MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor. For the record,
7	Miss Kenny's responses on both questionnaires I believe
8	establishes a basis for cause.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Do you stipulate to that?
10	MR. SPECCHIC: We do, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Miss Kenny, they have read
12	your questionnaire, and on the basis of what you told us
13	just now, both sides agree you may be excused from the case.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you very much.
15	THE COURT: Thank you for your assistance. We
16	appreciate it.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thanks for the respect
18	you have given me.
19	THE COURT: We'll see you back go ahead down
20	to the Court Jury Commissioner on the first floor.
21	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror Kenny exited the courtroom.)
22	Codicioonii y
23	THE COURT: Anybody else counsel wants to
24	stipulate to excluding before I call them in?
25	MR. GREGORY: I hate to do this because I know
İ	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

11	
1	it is going to make Mr. Stanton's day. But are we going to
2	take an afternoon break, a 15-minute break or something like
3	that?
4	MR. SPECCHIO: Judge, can I just ask one
5	question before you even make that call? I had Mr. Jones as
6	saying that he had some kind of an opinion, Lawrence Jones.
7	MR. BOSLER: He said he had an opinion who is
8	responsible for the crime.
9	MR. SPECCHIO: He is the only one that I had.
10	THE COURT: Whatever he said, it wasn't of such
11	a nature that I felt we had to talk to him individually.
12	You can certainly follow up with any questions you might
13	have for him.
14	MR. BOSLER: I also have Janet Frandsen saying
<b>1</b> 5	she was biased.
16	MR. SPECCHIO: The woman from UNR. Says she
17	taught at UNR or something.
18	THE COURT: Right. But I don't think there was
19	anything that would contaminate the whole panel if she told
20	us her feelings. My main concerns were the media issues,
21	what they might have known outside the courtroom that nobody
22	else would know about. I didn't really want them exploring
23	that. If it is just their personal beliefs.
24	MR. SPECCHIO: As long as she doesn't say
25	anything about UNR.
	91FDDA NEWADA DEDODTED9 (702) 329-6560

THE COURT: We'll watch it kind of carefully and if we get to that point. That was Mrs. Frandsen?

MR. SPECCHIO: That was 23, Judge.

quarter to 2:00. And it's now 20 to 4:00. They wanted a smoke break. And as much as you all know how I feel about cigarette smoke, so as much as my initial response was no way, Mr. Gregory, that, no, I wouldn't let anybody have a smoke break, I did let them go on a smoke break, and that was about 10 minutes ago. Seven minutes ago. So that was anticipating that we would take a short recess now while we're waiting for them to come back. Because we have to let them — I mean, I don't want to hold them captive here.

MR. GREGORY: I think Mr. Bosler would thank the Court for that courtesy.

THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to call the other names to fill it. Would you like those names called now so you can kind of during the break be looking at their questionnaires?

MR. SPECCHIO: You were not going to have them in there? You are going to call them from out of the room?

THE COURT: We can if you want to. We have got quite a few to replace. So if you want to have a chance to look at it, I thought that might be -- save you a little time. I don't care how we do it.

THE COURT: Be back in 10 minutes. You may bring the regular jury panel and the full panel back into the courtroom as soon as our recess is over. I think about 10 more minutes should do it. Thank you. Court is in

## RENO, NEVADA, MONDAY, JANUARY 11, 1998, 4:04 P.M.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury panel.)

THE COURT: Those people who have been taking charge of the jury, Mrs. Davis, have any of the jurors left your presence?

THE CLERK: No, Your Honor, they have not.

THE COURT: Thank you. And, Miss Lopshire, the other group of people that you had, have any of those jurors left your presence since we took the roll?

MS. LOPSHIRE: No, they have not.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, will you stipulate to the panel as it is now in the box -- we're missing some people -- to their being present?

MR. STANTON: State will stipulate.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$  BOSLER: Based upon the responses we just heard, we stipulate.

THE COURT: Clerk will call the names of those to replace some of the jurors whom we have lost. As your name is called, please come forward and take the seat that the bailiff instructs you to take. We'll be filling the seats like we did before. We'll start in the back row and we'll fill the blanks and then we'll come forward.

	103
1	THE CLERK: Frank X. Sotero.
2	John W. Auld.
3	Bo H. Larsson.
4	Mary A. Schultz.
5	Grace B. Mills.
6	Randall A. McCargar.
7	Alan R. Golbov.
8	Stephen L. Sowers.
9	THE COURT: Go ahead and fill in those seats.
10	There should be a place for every juror by now.
11	Okay. Those of you who have joined us at this
12	break on our jury panel, as you remember, I asked you to
13	keep track of those questions that you would respond to if
14	you were called upon to replace someone, and now you have
15	been called upon to replace someone. So we will proceed
16	with asking you to tell me what those questions would be
17	that you would respond to.
18	And I think, Mr. Sotero, you were the first
19	person called back up. So far were there any of my
20	questions that you would have wanted to respond to if you
21	were sitting here in the jury box from the beginning?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: You aren't familiar with any of the
24	names on that witness list?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: None.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: And you don't know anybody in law
2	enforcement?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: You heard all my questions?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Auld.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hello.
8	THE COURT: Hello.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No on the witness list.
10	THE COURT: I won't go through all my
11	questions. You just tell me.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Go ahead.
13	THE COURT: You tell me which ones you would
14	want to respond to.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The acquaintance with a
16	police officer.
17	THE COURT: Tell us about that, please.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Friend who is a highway
19	patrolman and some cousins that are in Sparks police force.
20	THE COURT: What are your cousins' names?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Zarubi.
22	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
23	association with those police officers that would cause you
24	a difficulty serving in this case?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1 .	THE COURT: Would you feel compelled to reach
2	any particular verdict based solely on your relationship
3	with the police officers?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
6	association with police officers that would require you to
7	justify any particular verdict
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: to them or anyone else? Go
10	ahead.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And then I have got down
12	articles in paper. I saw it as I was crumpling it up
13	putting it underneath the fire on Sunday.
14	THE COURT: So you just saw that headline?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just saw the headline.
16	Didn't read the article. As far as formed opinion, I have
17	formed an opinion.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And the basis of that
19	opinion?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just from media prior to
21	that.
22	THE COURT: No other questions would you have
23	responded to?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Larsson.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Did you keep track of those
3	questions you would respond to?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did.
5	THE COURT: Which ones would you have responded
6	to?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The one about the media.
8	I did form an opinion earlier, not this time when they wrote
9	about it but a long time ago. So I do have an opinion.
10	THE COURT: Based on the media accounts that
11	you observed?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is correct. I do
13	not know anybody on this list, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	Mrs. Schultz? Did you keep track of what you
16	would have responded to?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't. I'm sorry.
18	But I heard all the questions that you asked everybody.
19	THE COURT: Okay. But I need to make sure
20	that I'll go kind of quick. If any of my questions, if
21	you have a question about it, just ask me. Okay?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
23	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with or related
24	to the defendant in this case? Are you related or
25	acquainted with the attorneys on either side of this case?
1	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	You have to answer out loud.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Are you related to or acquainted
4	with any member of the District Attorney's Office?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Any member of Mr. Specchio's
7	office?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Are you related or acquainted with
10	any law enforcement officers?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know one law officer.
12	THE COURT: Who is that?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jim Stegmeier.
14	THE COURT: How do you know him?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He is a good friend of
16	our family.
17	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
18	relationship with him that would cause you a problem serving
19	on this case?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. There is not.
21	THE COURT: Would you feel you had to justify
22	any particular verdict to him?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess not.
24	THE COURT: It's very hard for me to hear you,
25	ma'am.

	100
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Would it affect you? Yes, no.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: I'm really sorry, Miss Schultz, but
5	there is a lot of people in here, and I just can't hear you.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I said no.
7	THE COURT: Can you talk even louder?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Louder?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: That's better. Thank you. I know
12	you will feel like you are yelling, but we're going to have
13	to have you do that. All these bodies around you absorb the
14	sound. So that is what happens.
15	If any law enforcement officer were to testify
16	in this case, would you tend to give that testimony any more
17	or less weight simply because that person is a law
18	enforcement officer?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: Do you know anything about this
21	case of your own personal knowledge?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just what I heard in the
23	past media, I guess.
24	THE COURT: You don't have any other knowledge
25	other than what you saw or read in the media accounts?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Now, you have indicated that you
3	have read some newspaper accounts?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't read the
5	newspaper. I just seen little spurts on TV.
6	THE COURT: Anything other than what you wrote
7	down on your questionnaire, when you were in here before?
8	You told us about what pretrial publicity you had seen.
9	Have you seen any since then?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you formed or expressed any
12	opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant in
13	this case?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sort of. I guess.
<b>1</b> 5	Borderline. I don't know all the facts.
16	THE COURT: All right. Do you have anything
17	more than a passing interest in the outcome of the case?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't understand that.
19	THE COURT: Do you feel committed to the case
20	in any way? Do you feel like you have some personal
21	connection to this case?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: As I go through these list of
24	questions, those of you who I have not asked these questions
25	of personally before, please feel free to raise your hand.
	STERRA MEMADA DEDORTERS (702) 320_6560

1.	I didn't mean to exclude you. If any of you wanted to
2	respond to these questions.
3	Are you related to or acquainted with anyone on
4	the witness list?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: At the conclusion of the evidence I
7	will state the law that applies to this case to you. I will
8	tell you that it is your duty to apply that law to the facts
9	as you find them to be.
10	Would you be reluctant to apply these general
11	principles of law which are stated to you by the Court?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: In other words, would you realize
14	that you are not allowed to make up your own version of the
<b>1</b> 5	law?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I understand that.
17	THE COURT: Miss Mills, would you have
18	responded to any of those questions?
19	A PRCSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did. I don't know
20	how important it is to the Court, but as the former employee
21	of NJC on Reno campus in the '80s, I would just like to show
22	that for the record, and that has no bearing over my
23	decision on this Court or this case; only what does matter
24	would be what I hear in this courtroom.
25	THE COURT: By NJC, you mean the National
i	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	191
1	Judicial College?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right.
3	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Any other of my
4	questions that I have gone over just now or that I went over
5	earlier that you would respond to?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Mr. McCargar.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Would you have responded to any of
10	my questions?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: As I went over them again, did any
13	of those that you wanted to raise your hand and respond to?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Sowers.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I sent a sympathy
17	card to Carolyn Sullivan. I was asked to do so by the
18	leaders of my fellowship group, Sparks Fellowship Church. I
19	was asked that on Tuesday night, not aware that I was a part
20	of this trial. And just keep her in my prayers.
21	So that might tend to jade things. I don't
22	think so, though. I have never met Carolyn, and I don't
23	know a whole lot about the case.
24	The only relationships with law enforcement

SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

would be just casual acquaintances, no actual friendship.

1	Like I would go to their house or them to mine. As far as
2	being related to anybody, no.
3	I wouldn't make a judgment without hearing the
4	facts as far as the relationship to the police as well as
5	other witnesses. However, as far as personal knowledge of
6	the facts, this could be difficult because I am an employee
7	of Siddell Communications and on-air announcer for KBUL
8	Magic 95.5, The Hawk, Cat Country.
9	THE COURT: No commercials.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: As well as News Talk KOH.
11	So I might be privy to information that shouldn't be I
12	shouldn't be privy to.
13	THE COURT: Why don't you do you announce
14	for all those stations?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.
16	THE COURT: And is that do you do the news,
17	or does it happen while you are doing a regular radio show?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The job that I do is as a
19	floater. So one day I can be on one station, the next day
20	on the next. I don't know what my schedule is. It is a
.21	fill-in part-time type position. But it is inside the media
22	center, and that makes it real difficult.
23	THE COURT: Do you remember things that you
24	learned in the past regarding publicity?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had heard some, but I
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	was out of town while a lot of it was going on. So I didn't
2	hear a whole lot.
3	THE COURT: If you were to serve as a juror in
4	this case, could you and your co-workers insulate you from
5	the news part?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That would be very
7	difficult because, like I say, inside the building, you
8	know, it is going to be one of those cases where they are
9	going to drill me or they are going to maybe, Well, what's
10	going on? What's going on? So it would make it very
11	difficult. It is very hard to be isolated into any one area
12	of the building.
13	THE COURT: One of the things that will happen
14	is if you are on this jury, I will tell you that you can't
15	talk about the case or even the evidence as it is being
16	presented.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand that.
18	THE COURT: And would that help with your
19	co-workers if you said, No, the judge will hold me in
20	contempt if I did something?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure, absolutely.
22	THE COURT: Any of the other questions that I
23	have asked everyone that you'd want to respond to?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. The other questions
25	were okay, and that was it.

THE COURT: Counsel, I think that's all of our new juror members. Did I miss someone?

I'm sorry, Mr. Golbov.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. The only question I would respond to is forming an opinion some months ago when the incident first happened just based on the media.

THE COURT: That was the only basis for your opinion?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the entire panel, I have some more questions. We took our break a little bit prematurely, and I didn't get to ask all my questions. I'm going to finish all my questions with all of you. Those of you who have been here all day, feel free to raise your hand to these questions.

And some of you I may have asked this question a little bit earlier. So if you have already been asked these questions, you don't have to worry about responding now.

If the Court were to instruct you at the conclusion of this case that a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proven beyond a reasonable doubt and in case of a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant's guilt is satisfactorily shown, the defendant is entitled to be acquitted, would each

of you accept and follow that instruction? You can answer 1 2 yes or no outloud. 3 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: 4 THE COURT: Did anyone answer no? 5 If the Court were to instruct you that a 6 reasonable doubt is one based on reason, it is not mere 7 possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or 8 control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. 9 the minds of the jurors after the entire comparison and 10 consideration of all the evidence are in such condition that 11 they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of 12 the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be 13 reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or 14 speculation. Would each of you accept and follow that 15 instruction? 16 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Anyone feel they cannot? 18 Have any of you ever served on a jury before? 19 Raise your hands if you have. 20 Miss Schultz. No, I'm sorry, Miss Mills. You 21 have served before? Where did you serve? 22 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At the federal building 23 perhaps 12 years ago. 24 THE COURT: And was that a civil or criminal 25 case?

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a civil.
. 2	THE COURT: Now, the laws are different
3	between the federal laws and the state laws in civil
4	versus criminal. Plus times change.
5	Would you be able to set aside anything you
6	might have learned or believed was the law based on that
7	service and decide this case based solely on the law as I
8	tell you it is today?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Is there anything about that prior
11	experience that would cause you a problem serving again?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Stephenson, did you raise your
14	hand also?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: When did you serve?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About four and a half
18	years ago.
19	THE COURT: And where?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right here. It was over
21	insurance problems or whatever.
22	THE COURT: A civil case?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Anything about that service that
25	would cause you a problem serving again?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: And would you again it would
3	be this case is different than that would be and it has a
4	different burden of proof, et cetera. Would you be able to
5	set aside anything you learned in that other case and decide
6	this one based solely on the law as it is today?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: I had two other people. I had
9	Mrs. Kizis.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it was here in a
11	criminal case.
12	THE COURT: How long ago?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember the
14	exact year, but it was the early '80s.
15	THE COURT: And anything about that prior
16	service that would cause you a problem serving again?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: And would you be able to set aside
19	anything you might have learned in that other case and
20	decide the law as I instruct you it is today?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Mrs. Imasaki, you served before;
23	right?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Where?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	[]
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sparks Justice Court
2	about 15, 20 years ago.
3	THE COURT: A misdemeanor case?
4	A PRCSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it was.
5	THE COURT: And is there anything about that
6	prior service that would cause you a problem serving again?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Would you be able to set aside
9	anything you might have learned in that other service and
10	decide the case based solely on the law as I instruct you it
11	is?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Now, all four of you, without
14	telling me the verdict, were you each able to reach a
15	verdict in your prior service?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They settled out of
17	court.
18	THE COURT: And Miss Mills or Miss Mills,
19	were you able to reach a verdict?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I was an alternate.
21	THE COURT: So you didn't get to deliberate.
22	Anything about that circumstance that would cause you a
23	problem?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anyone sitting
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	133
1	in the jury box now that is acquainted with anyone else in
2	the jury box? You have been in a very small room for a
3	while.
4	Yes, Miss Arlitz.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: John Auld.
6	THE COURT: And is there anything about your
7	knowledge or your relationship with Mr. Auld that would
8	cause you a problem serving on the same jury?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10	THE COURT: How do you know each other?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: From business.
12	THE COURT: From work?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We have a business
14	together.
15	THE COURT: Oh, okay. And if you were to
16	happen to be on a jury and you ended up disagreeing with
17	each other, would that cause you a problem?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: You could disagree with him?
20	Mr. Auld, would you have any problem serving on
21	the same jury as Miss Arlitz?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Would you have any problem if you
24	ended up disagreeing? Would that cause you any difficulty?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: There was someone else. Yes, sir.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know Mr. Dick Adamson.
3	THE COURT: And how do you know him?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was my employer many
5	years ago.
6	THE COURT: He's not any longer; correct?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
8	THE COURT: Is there anything about that prior
9	relationship that would cause you difficulty serving on the
10	same jury?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Adamson, would you have any
13	problem serving on the same jury?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: You would be okay with that?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: You could disagree? That would be
18	all right? Could you disagree if you had to?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Have any of you ever been involved
21	personally in litigation, a lawsuit that went to trial?
22	Ever been a witness in a case?
23	Yes, Mr. Sotero.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was involved in a civil
25	suit here in Reno about two years ago, and civil suit in
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	201
1	small claims about eight years ago.
2	THE COURT: Anything about that prior
3	experience in court that would cause you a problem serving
4	in this case?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not a problem. It
6	was quite an education.
7	THE COURT: Miss Guiler.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Guiler, yes. Now that,
9	you are making me go back a lot of years. In the '70s, I
10	was in divorce court, and in the '70s, I was shot and had to
11	go to court as a witness for the State.
12	And then I have to stop and think. And then I
13	was in court as a witness for the State also for a stalker
14	that was stalking me.—I just went to court in Sparks as a
15	witness on a domestic abuse case. It was last month.
16	THE COURT: In all those experiences in the
17	court, were there anything about those experiences that
18	would cause you difficulty sitting through a jury trial?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think so.
20	THE COURT: Now, was it the District Attorney's
21	Office that called you as a witness in those cases?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do you hold any bias for or against
24	the District Attorney based upon those prior occasions?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, a little bit.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	O
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

25

THE COURT: By your reaction, I don't think it's for the District Attorney's Office. You have mixed feelings about that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I did because it was back in the '70s when women didn't really have rights, and there was a lot of things that went on that now as I'm not 20 anymore, I'm older, and I know how women should be treated. It was — it's difficult to be — how can I say it? — in that situation where I can see the prejudice that was there at that time which is now a whole different situation.

THE COURT: So anything that went on in the past you wouldn't hold against the particular District Attorney who is here now?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Yes, Miss Mueller.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Back in 1981, I was also a witness in a criminal case where I was shot, and I was used as a witness for the prosecution.

THE COURT: Just the luck of the draw the two of you are together. Is there anything about that prior circumstance that would cause you difficulty in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: And do you hold any bias for or against either side based upon your experiences?

	203
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, none at all.
2	THE COURT: Was there anyone else in the back
3	row? Yes, Miss Mueller.
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Myself, personal. I went
5	through a trial with my daughter.
6	THE COURT: You were sort of her support?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Was there anything about that
9	circumstance in going through the trial that would cause you
10	a problem serving as a juror?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Mr. LaFond.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Going back to that
14	acquaintance, I know the lady down there because we worked
15	the same casino together.
16	THE COURT: Miss Mueller. Now that she's
17	talked, you recognize her.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He tried getting your
19	attention earlier.
20	THE COURT: I missed it. Is there anything
21	about being on the same jury with Miss Mueller that would
22	cause you a problem?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Miss Mueller, would you have any
25	problem being on the same case with, the same jury as
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	204
1	Mr. LaFond?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Would you both be able to
4	deliberate with each other?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
6	THE COURT: Mr. LaFond?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
8	THE COURT: Anybody in the second row?
9	Yes, Mr. Judson.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, for the
11	record, in about 1992, I worked as a trial consultant for a
12	firm that did large civil cases nationwide.
13	THE COURT: Was that a jury trial consultant?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. It was a firm. I
15	specialized in jury selection.
16	THE COURT: Have you had fun today?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Excuse me?
18	THE COURT: Have you had fun today?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tremendous amount of fun,
20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: I'm sure it feels different sitting
22	in that chair. I hope we're making good use of your time.
23	Anything about what you did in that with that
24	civil law firm that would cause you a problem serving as a
25	juror in this case?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Let's see. I think, Miss Mills,
3	did you raise your hand?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I was involved in
5	small claims court.
6	THE COURT: How long ago?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: '86.
8	THE COURT: Anything about that prior
9	circumstance of you being in court that would cause you a
10	problem in this case?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
13	Third row. Okay, yes, Mr. Jones.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jones, yes. I'm not sure
15	I understood the question, but I have been a witness, a
16	defendant and a plaintiff.
17	THE COURT: You have been it all.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But I don't believe it
19	would affect me in any way.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
21	experiences that you would that would cause you a problem
22	being a juror in a case?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe so.
24	THE COURT: Anyone else în that row?
25	The fourth row? Miss Kizis.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Small claims court in I
2	think the mid '80s. There wouldn't be anything that would
3	affect.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Furrie, did you raise your
5	hand?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did. About three
7	years ago I was a witness in a civil case of a wife beating
8	that was a couple minutes in court.
9	THE COURT: Anything about your experience as a
10	witness that would cause you a problem in this case?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
12	THE COURT: And there was someone on the other
13	side. Yes, Miss Imasaki.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have had to
15	attend various family court hearings.
16	THE COURT: And that's in relationship to your
17	employment?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To my previous position,
19	yes.
20	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about those
21	court hearings and what went on in the court environment
22	that would cause you any problem serving as a juror?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row? In the
25	front row?
	CTEDDA NERIADA DEBADERRO (ESA) CON CEGA

1 Yes, ma'am. 2 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About a year ago I was a witness in a civil trial. 3 4 THE COURT: In a civil trial. Anything about that experience being a witness in a court that would cause 5 6 you a problem being a juror? 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am. 8 THE COURT: We have heard about two individuals 9 that have indicated they were victims of a crime. Is there anyone else that was a victim of a crime in the past that 10 11 you haven't already told me about? 12 Does any juror have any health reason why you 13 feel you should not serve in this case? 14 Anyone have any trouble hearing me or any back 15 problems that even if you could still serve, that I should 16 be aware of? 17 Yes, ma'am. 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Back. 19 THE COURT: Back problem? 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Arthritis. 21 THE COURT: The chairs that the jury will be 22 sitting in are more comfortable than the one you are in now. 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Aspirin. 24 THE COURT: That's what you take for it? 25 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

	208
1	THE COURT: Now, would you feel comfortable
2	telling me if you needed to stand during the course of the
3	trial? Would you do that?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It comes and goes during
5	the years, 27 years.
6	THE COURT: How are you doing today?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Better now than earlier.
8	Arthritis in the foot too.
9	THE COURT: You let me know if you are having
10	any difficulty. Just raise your hand.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'll put up with it.
12	THE COURT: You tell me if it is bothering you
13	too much.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is for lifetime, so I
<b>1</b> 5	have learned to accept it.
16	THE COURT: Anyone else? Yes, ma'am.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I lost my husband June
18	1st, and I am under doctor's care and therapy.
19	THE COURT: Are you having difficulty following
20	what's happening?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not at all.
22	THE COURT: Are you taking any medication?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	THE COURT: What kind of medication?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Stuff for stress.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	20
1	Zoltoc, Zoloff.
2	THE COURT: I have to get the name of it for
3	the record, but I have absolutely no idea what it is.
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is like Valium, I
5	guess.
6	THE COURT: It is like Valium?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
8	THE COURT: I don't need the jury to tell me.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It makes me very calm.
10	THE COURT: Does it make you sleepy at all?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Do you feel like you have any
13	problems processing information?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not yet.
15	THE COURT: Have you been able to understand
16	everything today?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	Yes, Mr. LaFond.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I take blood pressure
21	medicine and water pills, and I have to go to the bathroom
22	quite often. I got high blood pressure.
23	THE COURT: Are you doing okay right now?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have been doing all
25	right today because we have been closed in the other room.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	210
1	THE COURT: Well, if you have a problem, just
2	raise your hand, and the bailiff and I will take care of
3	you. We'll watch it.
4	Anybody else? Yes, ma'am.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I take like antianxiety
6	pills.
7	THE COURT: And do you know what kind they are?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I take Prozac and Buspar.
9	THE COURT: Is there anything do you have
10	any problems on that medication? Does it make you sleepy at
11	all?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I get real jumpy like I
13	get nervous, but it's supposed to calm me, but I still get
14	really nervous.
15	THE COURT: How are you doing today?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel really nervous.
17	THE COURT: Especially talking in front of
18	everyone; right?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	MR. SPECCHIO: Your Honor, might we approach?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among
23	Court and counsel as follows:) MR. STANTON: I'll stipulate to excuse the
24	juror.
25	MR. BOSLER: She indicated she'd faint if she
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

got called.

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

. .

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

\_\_\_

25

THE COURT: You stipulate to it, Mr. Bosler?

MR. BOSLER: I stipulate, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury panel.)

THE COURT: Anybody else? Does anybody sitting in the jury box know of any business or personal reason that you have not already discussed with me why you should not or why it would be hard for you to serve as a juror in this case? Let me rephrase the question.

Do you have any business or personal reason which you feel would interfere with your impartiality to serve as a juror in this case? And I'm going to include in that your ability to listen and pay attention to the evidence? Okay.

Mr. Auld?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: What is your --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, let's put it this way. It's a small business, and when things — I am not there, things do not take place. As such, I'm sitting making — here making notes about what I got to do when I get out of here instead of paying attention.

THE COURT: Do you feel like you would be doing

1	that throughout the course of the trial?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would hope not. But
3	it's a difficult situation to be in.
4	THE COURT: I understand that difficulty. But
5	if we took regular breaks I know today has been a little
6	strange; we have been trying to get through this would
7	that help you?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if anybody
9	has read the little questionnaire, but I do have a business
10	trip the 15th through the 19th planned and paid for. Beyond
11	that, I actually thought it would be kind of interesting,
12	and the hours are not so bad that things could not get
13	accomplished.
14	THE COURT: The business trip you have to
15	take
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a food show in San
17	Francisco, Moscone Center.
18	THE COURT: So you have plane tickets to San
19	Francisco?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I drive, but I have a
21	hotel, and I paid for a booth and all that.
22	THE COURT: So you will be showing your foods?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Auld, I know about the foods,
25	and I remember you and remember meeting you. So I know what
H	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	
	the circumstance is on that. But what was that, was that a
2	Friday?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. It's the 15th I
4	leave, and the show is over on the 19th, and I will come
5	back on the 19th.
6	THE COURT: That's the Tuesday after the
7	holiday.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The Tuesday.
9	THE COURT: What kind of a hardship would it
10	create for you if you had to shorten that up a little bit,
11	you could only be there Saturday, Sunday, Monday?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You can't. The show runs
13	Sunday through Tuesday. I drive, I work the show from 10:00
14	to 5:00 Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, and it's actually 10:00 to
<b>1</b> 5	4:00 on Tuesday that I pack up and get out.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Also raising her
17	hand I think was somebody over here.
18	Yes, Mr. Larsson.
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. As I have stated
20	before, I don't have anybody to run my business. I was
21	flooded out in '97, and I had to let the employees go and
22	borrow a lot of money. I think I stated that also.
23	THE COURT: Yes, I remember.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't have anybody
25	there to run it. I have a trainee right there and delivery
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	person, and they cannot run it. So while I'm sitting here,
2	I'm losing a lot of revenue that I cannot afford to lose.
3	Any other time I wouldn't have a problem serving at all on a
4	jury, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: You mean like another month?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When a time when I can
7	get back on my feet again. It is going to take another
8	couple years, I'm afraid.
9	Well, it was the will of God I guess that made
10	the flood, you know, flood my business. I had nothing to do
11	with it. But I'm trying to crawl back up on top again.
12	It's been pretty tough.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Larsson. Getting
14	more hands here.
15	Mr. Sotero.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just want the Court to be
17	aware that I also am self-employed.
18	THE COURT: And I know how much trouble it is
19	for everyone who is self-employed, but would the breaks that
20	we are going to take help you a little bit?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I'm in the
22	construction business. If I'm not there, nothing gets done.
23	THE COURT: Miss Burkholder.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You guys are all aware I
25	do have a three-month old infant that I do nurse. She is
	STEDDA NEVADA DEPONEREDS (700) 200 CE CO

1	not on my schedule. She demands her own schedule usually.
2	It is not like I can do anything about that.
3	THE COURT: I understand your husband has been
4	with us all day?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Appreciate your sticking with us
7	working so hard at making this work.
8	Yes, Miss
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Saputo. My grandma had a
10	stroke Friday night, and I'm going to move out of where I'm
11	living and move in with my grandpa. With work and that,
12	it's going to be a strain. But I guess everyone has got
13	strains.
14	THE COURT: You think you really want to tell
15	me about this?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I apologize, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: No, it is fine.
18	Mr. Judson. You were sort of half holding your
19	hand up.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There is nothing that
21	would affect my impartiality. However, my office is the
22	Nevada State Demographers office, and it works for the
23	Department of Taxation. The department has requested that I
24	request an excuse from you. They fax'd a memorandum to me
25	this morning to give to you, and this is the moment I guess

1	when it would be appropriate to bring that to your attention
2	for your judgment.
3	THE COURT: You're not legislatively exempt,
4	though, are you?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not to the best of my
6	knowledge.
7	MR. SPECCHIC: Your Honor, may we approach?
8	THE COURT: I kind of want to get everybody
9	done. Do you want me to do part?
10	MR. STANTON: We can wait until you go through
11	the whole panel.
12	THE COURT: We have quite a few more hands.
13	Then you can talk to me about them all at one time.
14	MR. SPECCHIO: You want a wholesale list?
15	THE COURT: I kind of do.
16	Mr. Battaglia.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have a
18	nine-year-old daughter who gets out of school at three, and
19	my wife gets off work at six, and we haven't solved the
20	child care problem yet.
21	THE COURT: What school does your child go to?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jerry Whitehead.
23	THE COURT: Do you have Latch Key?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
25	THE COURT: I'm looking at the Jury
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	Commissioner She comptimes because
2	Commissioner. She sometimes knows those answers off the top
	of her head. It's my understanding that Jerry Whitehead
3	does have Latch Key. Hopefully your wife and you can work
4	that out.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: How late do they last?
6	THE COURT: They usually the Latch Key?
7	5:30, 5:45.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think 6:00 o'clock.
9 .	THE COURT: A lot of people use it. It's 6:00
10	o'clock. You will be out of here by then in time to go pick
11	up your child. If that was resolved, would that be okay?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Fourth row. No, we have got
14	someone else.
15	Miss Arlitz.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I also have a business
17	and it's a two-person business. I'm in the office answering
18	the phones and the other person in the warehouse.
19	MR. GREGORY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. We didn't
20	hear that.
21	THE COURT: She is in the office answering
22	phones. The other person is in the warehouse.
23	Anyone else in that row? Okay.
24	And yes, Miss Timmerman.
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, slightly
•	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	embarrassing. I graduated from high school 37 years ago,
2	and I don't understand that hard vocabulary anymore. I
3	don't have my Webster dictionary with me.
4	THE COURT: Are there some words I have used
5	today that have confused you?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was here last week.
7	There was a word or two I couldn't figure out. That's all.
8	THE COURT: On the questionnaire, on the
9	written questionnaire?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Speaking. Speaking to
11	us, sitting out there where the jury is, wherever. That's
12	my but I got A's and B's in English. That was a long
13	time ago.
14	THE COURT: Well, I have a fourth grader, and
15	sometimes she comes home with stuff I have never even heard
16	of,
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm rusty.
18	THE COURT: We all get that way.
19	Mrs. Timmerman, if you are selected to serve on
20	this jury, and somebody uses a word that you don't
21	understand, I would require of you to tell the bailiff, and
22	then he'd tell me, and we'd have the word explained. Would
23	you do that?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's embarrassing.
25	THE COURT: You could do it in a note to the
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	bailiff. You wouldn't have to do it in front of everybody.
2	Would you do that if you were on the jury?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a tablet in my
4	purse, yes.
5	THE COURT: You would pass that note to the
6	bailiff for me, and let me know that you wanted me to
7	explain it? I won't tell anybody that it is you.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do I just say the one
9 .	word if I could spell it correctly?
10	THE COURT: Or close. I'm a lousy speller. I
11	can guess real good.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. That was all it
13	was.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. Yes, Mr. Estey.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's my understanding
16	that after 30 days I don't get a paycheck. So if the trial
17	runs more than 30 days, I would be uncomfortable.
18	THE COURT: That won't happen. In spite of my
19	rotten guess about today. My guess for the whole trial is a
20	lot more accurate than today.
21	Yes, Miss Kizis.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Child care problem. My
23	husband travels out of town quite a bit, and no family in
24	the area. So that's tough. Especially if he would get sick
25	during the day and have to be brought home or something like

SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1 that. 2 THE COURT: But your child is school age, 3 right? 4 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A second grader. 5 THE COURT: Miss Imasaki. 6 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a misdemeanor 7 hearing scheduled in Sparks Justice Court for the 21st of this month, and that could be schedule -- rescheduled. 8 9 THE COURT: I'm sure we can work that out for 10 you. Is it with Judge Volk? 11 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure which judge 12 will be sitting on that. 13 THE COURT: If we could arrange it for a time 14 when we're on a break so you could go both places, would 15 that work out for you? It wouldn't cause you any 16 difficulty? 17 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sowers. 19 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My primary source of 20 income is production and Mobile Music Company run by myself. 21 I have some business booked up throughout the month on 22 Friday and Saturday evenings as well as booking business during the week. It is real important to be there. It is 23 24 not an impartiality issue but more so being at those 25 engagements on Fridays.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Sowers, I usually try not to
2	keep anybody late, especially Friday night.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One engagement in
4	particular is Clayton Middle School from 1:05 until 2:18, I
5	believe, next Friday. So it's right in the middle of the
6	day.
7	THE COURT: Actually it's lunchtime, so we
8	could probably work around that for you if that's the
9	daytime when we have it.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There is some time
11	involved in take-down time.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And now, Miss Burke, did you
13	raise your hand?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did. I also own a
15	small business which has signed a contract with a very large
16	convention coming into Reno next week, and I have signed a
17	contract saying I will be there to do it.
18	THE COURT: What will you be doing?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I handle all of their
20	transportation, their business affairs. It is a
21	25,000-person convention. I don't want to be the one to
22	tell them.
23	THE COURT: You handle the transportation for
24	them?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Transportation, all of
i	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	their business needs that they have, all of their
2	entertainment needs.
3	THE COURT: What convention is it?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Safari Club
5	International.
6	THE COURT: The big Safari?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It goes from 6:30 in the
8	morning until midnight.
9	THE COURT: Counsel approach.
10	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)
11	THE COURT: Okay. You all are going to
12	stipulate to Mrs. Schultz, correct?
13	MR. BOSLER: Correct.
14	MR. STANTON: Correct.
15	THE COURT: Auld you stipulate to?
16	MR. BOSLER: Correct.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Larsson is flooded out?
18	MR. STANTON: It is a tough one for us, but
19	Larsson and Auld I think is the basis if the Court agrees
20	with it.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler.
22	MR. EOSLER: Yes. He's been flooded out.
23	MR. STANTON: I don't want to stipulate to Auld
24	and Larsson. If they make the motion and you do it, then we
25	will do it.

1	THE COURT: You make the motion?
2	MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.
3	MR. SPECCHIO: Timmerman and Burke.
4	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to think about
5	those two. We have got Sotero.
6	MR. STANTON: No. I don't accept that.
7	THE COURT: Burkholder, the nursing mother?
8	MR. STANTON: I don't have any idea about
9	nur <b>sing, Judge.</b>
10	HE COURT: What she's done today is her husband
11	has been here to hold on to the baby, and then whenever she
12	needed to nurse, he gave her the baby and she nursed. He's
13	told the Jury Commissioner he can't be here all day every
14	day.
15	MR. BOSLER: I'm also told the baby takes some
16	type of medication, something I couldn't understand in the
17	questionnaire.
18	MR. STANTON: I'll stipulate to Burkholder.
19	THE COURT: Saputo.
20	MR. STANTON: I'll stipulate to Saputo.
21	THE COURT: What do you want?
22	MR. BOSLER: I'll defer to you.
23	MR. SPECCHIO: We'll stipulate.
24	THE COURT: You stipulate to Saputo?
25	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	[] 224
1	THE COURT: Okay. Burkholder?
2	MR. GREGORY: That is the nursing mom.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. Judson,
4	Department of Taxation?
5	MR. STANTON: I stip to that.
6	MR. SPECCHIO: Does he do franchise stuff?
7	THE COURT: He makes the topographical maps.
8	He just happens to be under that. He does the demographics.
9	MR. SPECCHIO: I don't care.
10	THE COURT: I'm not going to do it unless you
11	want to.
12	MR. STANTON: I'll stipulate to it.
13	MR. SPECCHIO: You will?
14	THE COURT: You want him off?
15	MR. STANTON: Stipulated.
16	MR. BOSLER: We'll stipulate.
17	THE COURT: Battaglia. Latch Key, we have
18	confirmed they do have Latch Key.
19	MR. BOSLER: No basis.
20	THE COURT: Hold him. Arlitz.
21	MR. SPECCHIO: Isn't Arlitz and Auld partners?
22	Do I have that wrong?
23	THE COURT: They were in the same business.
24	Auld is Mrs. Auld's Food. He's the owner of Mrs. Auld's
25	Food. They are products that are made.
	was refreche
. "	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	MR. SPECCHIO: Didn't Arlitz say she was his
2	partner?
3	THE COURT: She just works in the business.
4	She does not have to go to San Francisco.
5	MR. SPECCHIO: I would say no with her.
6	MR. STANTON: I wouldn't stip to her.
7	THE COURT: Timmerman.
8	MR. SPECCHIO: Yes. We would stip.
9	THE COURT: Imasaki.
10	MR. STANTON: No, I think we can work around
11	that.
12	MR. SPECCHIC: What was her problem?
13	THE COURT: Misdemeanor in court.
14	MR. STANTON: We can bump that.
15	THE COURT: I'm not inclined to let her off.
16	Sowers, I'm not inclined to let him out for the
17	Clayton Middle School one to two hours on Friday. We can
18	work around that.
19	MR. STANTON: I don't have any problem stipping
20	to him. If they want to keep him.
21	THE COURT: Burke, Safari Club lady.
22	Continuing her. Sowers.
23	MR. SPECCHIO: You can do whatever you want on
24	Sowers and this one.
25	THE COURT: Stop, You guys talk amongst
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	yourselves.
2	MR. GREGORY: She has the business contract.
3	MR. STANTON: I'll stip to Burke and Sowers.
4	MR. SPECCHIG: We'll stipulate.
5	THE COURT: Is there a motion on Miss Sowers by
6	the defense?
7	MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And you are stipulating to it?
9	MR. STANTON: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Burke, is there a motion by the
11	defense?
12	MR. SPECCHIO: There is.
13	THE COURT: Stipulating to it, Mr. Stanton?
14	MR. STANTON: Yes.
15	MR. SPECCHIO: Who else did you want?
16	THE COURT: That's it.
17	MR. SPECCHIO: Do you want us to make a motion
18	on these two?
19	THE COURT: Right. Do you want to make a
20	motion on Auld or Larsson? Larsson is flooded out. Auld is
21	the guy who owns Mrs. Auld's.
22	MR. SPECCHIO: He probably needs the money more
23	than Auld does. So I figure if we are going to do one, we
24	should do the other. If nothing else, for humanitarian
25	reasons, I will make a motion as to both.
	STEDDA NEWADA DEDODUEDO (200) 200 CCCO

1	MR. STANTON: I won't stipulate to it, Your
2	Honor.
3	MR. GREGORY: Wait a minute, David. He's
4	already got his plane reservations.
5	MR. STANTON: I don't care. If the judge feels
6	that there is a basis to the motion, she'll grant it.
7	MR. GREGORY: Wait a minute now. This man has
8	set up his booths and stuff.
9	THE COURT: Not yet. He does that on Friday.
10	MR. GREGORY: He rents the space, Your Honor.
11	MR. BOSLER: If he is paid to be down there to
12	be part of his business.
13	MR. GREGORY: He rents the space. That is not
14	fair to him.
15	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to do something
16	that I do, and I'm going to continue both Mr. Auld and Miss
17	Burke, so people don't think just because you have a
18	financial issue that you are going to get off.
19	MR. SPECCHIO: You can tell them all that.
20	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court.)
21	an open court,
2.2	THE COURT: As you could tell, your particular
23	circumstances we take very seriously, and I want to tell you
24	that I wish I could just excuse everyone who had any issue
25	and just keep going until we had a panel. But I really have
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

to have a legitimate legal reason to excuse you from service, one that is recognized by counsel for both sides as well as the Court generally.

So everyone that had an issue will not be excused, and I want you to understand that it isn't that we're not listening to you, because I am listening to you, and I appreciate your concerns.

The following people, though, will be excused.

I thank you for your service here today, and you are to
report to the Jury Commissioner's office on the first floor.

Mary Schultz, Mr. Larsson, Miss Burkholder, Miss Saputo.

Mr. Judson, you do have a statutory exception we decided.

Miss Timmerman, Mr. Sowers, your service is continued to a later time when you don't have a conflict.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Excellent. Thank you.

THE COURT: So because you have this problem, we might have problems with witnesses. So you are going to have to serve again, though. You won't be excused because of this.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's fine. I don't mind serving. It was just the conflict with the schedules.

THE COURT: And, Miss Burke, you are in the same boat. You are not going to be excused from service.

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't mind that.
2	THE COURT: But you will be required to serve
3	as soon as the Safari Club thing is over. If you have any
4	other booked conventions, you are notified now to notify the
5	Jury Commissioner so she can get you on the panel so that
6	you can be brought in, and then you can't fulfill that
7	window that we give you because I won't be able to excuse
8	you again.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I appreciate it. Thank
10	you.
11	THE COURT: So your service is continued.
12	Mr. Auld, your service is continued, the same
13	circumstance.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What does that mean?
15	THE COURT: That means you have to serve on a
16	jury, but because you have a prearranged business trip that
17	has already been booked, prior to the time that you were
18	called for this case, we're going to allow that you serve
19	your service on a different case. So you have to tell the
20	Jury Commissioner what business trips you have scheduled and
21	then
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right now?
23	THE COURT: Yes. Once we get that schedule,
24	she will call you for the next opening when you don't have a
25	business trip planned.

Т ;	Anyone I missed, counsel?
2	MR. SPECCHIO: I think you have got them all,
3	Judge. You have eight people; is that right?
4	MR. GREGORY: May we have the names one more
5	time, Your Honor?
6	THE COURT: Nine people. We have lost
7	Mr. Auld, Mr. Larsson, Miss Burkholder, Miss Saputo,
8	Mr. Judson, Miss Timmerman, Mr. Sowers, and Miss Burke.
9	MR. GREGORY: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Battaglia, we have confirmed
11	that there is an opening at Jerry Whitehead for your child
12	as long as you are signed up by 2:00 o'clock tomorrow
13	afternoon. The clerk will give you the information, or the
14	Jury Commissioner, at Latch Key.
15	I understand that there are some people that
16	have child care issues by 5:30. I still would like to
<b>1</b> 7	replace those jurors that we have lost before we leave so
18	counsel will be able to look at that during the evening
19	recess.
20	Will the clerk confirm with me we have an
21	opening in seat five? Just tell me if it is something
22	different. Seat nine
23	THE CLERK: I'm behind you. I'm sorry.
24	MR. GREGORY: That is correct, Your Honor. We
25	show five and nine, Your Honor.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

	231
1	THE COURT: We're trying not to get ahead of
2	the clerk. Then we have seat 10, 11, 12, and 14 vacant.
3	MR. GREGORY: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And then we have seat 27 and 32 and
5	35. Is everyone stipulating those are the ones?
6	MR. SPECCHIO: Yes.
7	THE COURT: We'll draw the next jurors to
8	replace in the order that we struck I don't know if I
9	struck them in the exact order of their seats, but I'm going
10	to replace them in the order of their seats. Does counsel
11	stipulate to that procedure?
12	MR. SPECCHIO: That is fine.
13	MR. BOSLER: No objection, Your Honor.
14	MR. STANTON: No objection, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: When your name is called, please
16	come forward, and the bailiff will help you find the right
17	seat.
18	THE CLERK: James G. Rumbaugh.
19	Preston E. O'Daye.
20	George A. Decker.
21	Rayetta Buckley.
22	Rebeka A. Hilliary.
23	John J. Giordano.
24	Doris E. Roberts.
25	Rhonda D. Pembrook.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	Peter G. Thomas.
2	THE COURT: Those of you who have just been
3	seated in the jury box, would you please raise your hands
4	for me? Hold them up for me so I can see who you are.
5	Thank you.
6	Have you all been able to hear my questions
7	that I have asked of everyone else? I'd like an outloud
8	answer.
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Some of them.
11	THE COURT: Some you could not hear? Okay.
12	You are Mr. McCargar?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Giordano.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Giordano. Sorry.
15	And do you know, was it my questions or
16	counsel's questions you couldn't hear?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I never heard the counsel
18	asking any questions. But it was some of your questions and
19	the responses I couldn't hear.
20	THE COURT: Okay. You couldn't hear what other
21	people said in response to my questions?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Some of your
23	questions, I didn't understand the whole question. I
24	couldn't hear the whole question.
25	THE COURT: Now, I have asked my questions two
l	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560

1	times through.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a general idea of
3	what you were talking about.
4	THE COURT: But you don't know which ones that
5	you couldn't understand?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: And, Mr. Rumbaugh, did you raise
8	your hand, too?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just have a little
10	trouble hearing, too.
11	THE COURT: So you weren't able to hear all my
12	questions either?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not all the time.
14	THE COURT: Other than Mr. Giordano and
15	Mr. Rumbaugh, was everyone else able to hear my questions?
16	Yes or no?
17	THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: I'd like you to tell me which
19	questions you would have responded to affirmatively if you
20	were up here, and just raise your hand and we'll go through
21	it.
22	Mr. O'Daye?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no questions.
24	THE COURT: You wouldn't have responded to any
25	of my questions?

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean I would have, yes.
2	THE COURT: Which ones? I'm confusing you.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, you are.
4	THE COURT: It's probably just because it is
5	late. I know how everyone is tired. I think we'll stop
6	here. I don't know about you, but I'm tired. We're going
7	to stop here.
8	Ladies and centlemen of the jury panel, those
9	of you seated outside the rail, I am going to require that
10	you return tomorrow morning. Ladies and gentlemen, I ask
11	that you return tomorrow. You are going to be coming back
12	at 9:30. Everyone is going to be coming back at 9:30 in the
13	morning.
14	Now, when you come back, you can come right on
15	back in here. I don't know at what point the courtroom will
16	be opened. I ask that you come
17	Do you have room for them? No, you have jurors
18	coming in tomorrow.
19	You are going to have to come up here.
20	MR. GREGORY: May we approach, Your Honor?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)
23	MR. SPECCHIO: Doesn't 250 require 10:00
24	o'clock starts?
25	MR. GREGORY: I think it does.
}	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (702) 329-6560