IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SIAOSI VANISI,

Appellant,

vs. RENEE BAKER, WARDEN; AND THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondents.

No. 65774

FILED

MAR 2 0 2015



ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(7)(d). Respondent shall have until April 15, 2015, to file and serve the answering brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(7)(d). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

/ Jardesty, C.J

cc: Federal Public Defender/Las Vegas Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT

OF

NEVADA

(O) 1947A

15-08563