Electronically Filed 09/11/2014 12:45:05 PM

4

			Alton J. Elun
	1	ASTA	CLERK OF THE COURT
	2	Jennifer R. Lloyd, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9617	
		Marisa L. Maskas, Esq.	
	3	Nevada Bar No. 10928	
	4	PEZZILLO LLOYD	
	5	6725 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 290	
	3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	
	6	Tel: 702 233-4225	FILED
	7	Fax: 702 233-4252	
	Í	<u>illovd@pezzillollovd.com</u> mmaskas@pezzillollovd.com	OCT 0.0 2014
	8	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	OCT 2 0 2014
	9	Cashman Equipment Company	TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
			T COURT BY K. MUME
	10		NTY, NEVADA
5290	11	CLARK COUR	NO. 66492
	12	CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY, a	CASE NO.: A642583
	12	Nevada corporation,	DEPT.: 32
LLOYD MAY, SU ADA 891 3-4225	13		
C S A C	.14 .	Plaintiff,	Constituted as the Constant of 662020
ALL S NA		VS.	Consolidated with Case No.: A653029
PEZZII LA AUSTI 5 VEGAS TEL. 70	15	CAM CONSULTING INC., a Nevada	
ה אַ אַ	16	corporation; ANGELO CARVALHO, an	
3725	17	individual; JANEL RENNIE aka JANEL	
•	17	CARVALHO, an individual; WEST EDNA	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT
	18	ASSOCIATES, LTD., dba MOJAVE	
	19	ELECTRIC, a Nevada corporation; WESTERN SURETY COMPANY, a surety; THE	
		WHITING TURNER CONTRACTING	
	20	COMPANY, a Maryland corporation;	
• •	21	FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF	
	22	MARYLAND, a surety; TRAVELERS	
		CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA, a surety; QH LAS VEGAS LLC, a	
	23	foreign limited liability company; PQ LAS	
	24	VEGAS, LLC, a foreign limited liability	
		company; L W T I C SUCCESSOR LLC, an	
	25	unknown limited liability company; FC/LW	
	26	VEGAS, a foreign limited liability company;	
	27	DOES 1 - 10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 - 10, inclusive;	
	28	Defendants.	J
		DETACHED FROM MOTION FILED O AND FILED SEPARATELY PER O	N 10/01/14 IN DOCKET NO. 66402
		AND FILED SEPARATELY PER O	RPER OF 10/20/14.

	1	AND	ALL RELATED MATTERS.
	2		
	3		Plaintiff, CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY ("Cashman"), by and through its counsel of
	4	record,	, PEZZILLO LLOYD, hereby submits the following Case Appeal Statement:
	5 6	1.	Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY.
	7	2.	Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: Hon. Rob Bare
	8	3.	Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant: CASHMAN
	9		EQUIPMENT COMPANY c/o Jennifer R. Lloyd, Esq., Pezzillo Lloyd, 6725 Via Austi Pkwy., Suite 290, Las Vegas, NV 89119.
	10	4.	Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each
580	11		respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel): WEST EDNA
Surre 89119 25	12 13		ASSOCIATES, LTD., dba MOJAVE ELECTRIC ("Mojave"); WESTERN SURETY COMPANY ("Western"); THE WHITING TURNER CONTRACTING COMPANY
RKWAY EVADA	14		("Whiting Tuner"); FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND ("Fidelity"); TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA ("Travelers"); QH
UST PA GAS, N CAS, N	15		LAS VEGAS LLC ("QH"); PQ LAS VEGAS, LLC ("PQ"); L W T I C SUCCESSOR LLC ("LWTIC"); and FC/LW VEGAS, c/o Brian Boschee, Esq., Holley, Driggs, et al., 400 S. 4 th
5725 VIA AUSTI PARKWAY, SUITE 290 5725 VIA AUSTI PARKWAY, SUITE 290 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 891 19 Tel. 702 233-4225	16		St., 3 rd Fl., Las Vegas, NV 89101.
672	17	5.	Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to
	18	-	practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission): Not
	19		applicable in this matter.
	20	6.	Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court: Retained counsel.
	21		
	22	7.	Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal: Retained counsel,
	23 24		
	24 25	8.	Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave: Not appearing in forma pauperis.
	26		chily of the district court order granting such reave. Not appearing in forma paupons.
	27	9.	Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed): The initial complaint was filed on June 3,
	28		2011.

Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court: Cashman filed the matter in district court seeking payment for materials supplied to the New Las Vegas City Hall project (the "Project") in Clark County, Nevada. Cashman supplied these materials, which consisted of generators, switchgear and associated items and the materials were incorporated into the Project, but Cashman did not receive payment. Certain claims were resolved before trial. The matter proceeded to trial January 21, 2014 on the following claims: Cashman's mechanic's lien claim against Mojave and the surety that issued the lien release bond, Western, on the lien release bond; Cashman's payment bond claim against Mojave and the surety that issued the bond, Western; Cashman's security interest in the materials against Mojave, Cashman's claim for Fraudulent Transfer against Mojave, Cashman's claim for unjust enrichment against the owners of the Project at the time of construction and Mojave's claim of misrepresentation against Cashman. The district court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law were entered on May 5, 2014. Cashman filed a Notice of Appeal as to this judgment on May 30, 2014.

Thereafter, Mojave filed a Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to NRS Chapter 108 on March 20, 2014. Cashman filed a Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Interest on April 15, 2014. The Court denied both requests and issued a Decision and Order on August 4, 2014, with the Notice of Entry of Decision and Order entered on August 13, 2014. Cashman also requested an award of Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.020, filing its Memorandum of Costs on May 13, 2014. The Court issued an Order Denying Cashman Equipment Company's Request for Costs Pursuant to NRS 18.020, filed and noticed on September 2, 2014. Cashman hereby appeals these two orders.

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

a. On July 18, 2012, Defendants filed a Motion to Procure Codes seeking a preliminary injunction from the Court requiring Cashman to start up the equipment even though Cashman has not received payment for the equipment from the party with which it contracted. The Court granted the Motion and issued the preliminary injunction without making the required findings in support. Cashman filed a Notice of Appeal on September 13, 2012. (Supreme Court Case 61715).

b. On May 5, 2014, the district court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law were entered. The district court erred in denying recovery to Cashman on its mechanic's lien claim, its payment bond claim and its fraudulent transfer claim. The district court erred in reducing Cashman's award on its security interest claim using an equitable fault analysis and the district court erred in conditioning payment of the amount awarded on Cashman's unjust enrichment claim on performance of work by Cashman at the Project. The district court erred in ruling that any proceeds from the criminal case be split between Cashman and Mojave equally. Cashman filed a Notice of

EZZILLO LLOYD Austi Parkway, Suite Vegas, Nevada 89 1 19 Tel. 702 233-4225 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10.

	1	Appeal on May 30, 2014. (Supreme Court Case 65819). On July 24, 2014, this Court issued an Order Consolidating Appeals.		
	2 3	12. <u>Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation</u> : Not applicable in this matter.		
	4	13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement: Appellant believes settlement is a possibility.		
	5 6	DATED: September 11, 2014 PEZZILLO LLOYD		
	7 8			
	9	By: <u>/s/ Jennifer Lloyd, Esq.</u> Jennifer R. Lloyd, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9617		
	10 11	Marisa L. Maskas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10928		
D urre 290 119	12	PEZZILLO LLOYD 6725 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 290		
11.0Y akway, S wada 89 33-4225	13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Cashman Equipment Company		
VUSTI PAL PEGAS, NE EL. 702 2	14 15			
	16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
6 ··	17 18	The undersigned, an employee of the law firm of PEZZILLO LLOYD, hereby certifies that on		
	19	September 11, 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, CASE APPEAL		
	20	STATEMENT, was served via the Court's Odyssey E-Filing system to:		
	21 22	Brian Boschee, Esq. HOLLEY, DRIGGS, ET AL.		
	23	400 S. 4 th St., 3 rd Fl. Las Vegas, NV 89101		
	24	Attorneys for Respondents		
	25 26	<u>/s/ John Lloyd</u> An Employee of Pezzillo Lloyd		
	27			
	28			

•]

4

.