

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY, A  
NEVADA CORPORATION,

Appellant,

vs.

WEST EDNA ASSOCIATES, LTD.,  
D/B/A MOJAVE ELECTRIC, A NEVADA  
CORPORATION; WESTERN SURETY  
COMPANY, A SURETY; THE WHITING  
TURNER CONTRACTING COMPANY,  
A MARYLAND CORPORATION,

Respondents.

CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY, A  
NEVADA CORPORATION,

Appellant,

vs.

WEST EDNA ASSOCIATES, LTD. D/B/A  
MOJAVE ELECTRIC, A NEVADA  
CORPORATION; WESTERN SURETY  
COMPANY, A SURETY; THE WHITING  
TURNER CONTRACTING COMPANY,  
A MARYLAND CORPORATION; QH  
LAS VEGAS LLC, A FOREIGN  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; PQ  
LAS VEGAS, LLC, A FOREIGN  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; L W T  
I C SUCCESSOR LLC, AN UNKNOWN  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND  
FC/LW VEGAS, A FOREIGN LIMITED  
LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondents.

CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY, A  
NEVADA CORPORATION,

Appellant,

vs.

WEST EDNA ASSOCIATES, LTD. D/B/A  
MOJAVE ELECTRIC, A NEVADA  
CORPORATION; WESTERN SURETY  
COMPANY, A SURETY; THE WHITING

No. 61715

**FILED**

MAY 29 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 65819

No. 66452

TURNER CONTRACTING COMPANY,  
A MARYLAND CORPORATION; QH  
LAS VEGAS LLC, A FOREIGN  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; PQ  
LAS VEGAS, LLC, A FOREIGN  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; L W T  
I C SUCCESSOR LLC, AN UNKNOWN  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND  
FC/LW VEGAS, A FOREIGN LIMITED  
LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondents.

### ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties have filed a second stipulation extending the time for filing the opening brief. We elect to treat the stipulation as a joint motion for an extension of time, and we grant the motion. *See* NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from the due date established by the rule). Appellant shall have until June 18, 2015, to file and serve the opening brief. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1).

No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extreme and unforeseeable circumstances. Counsel's caseload will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

1. Sanderley, C.J.

cc: Pezzillo Lloyd  
Holley, Driggs, Walch, Puzey & Thompson/Las Vegas