### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 65935 STEVEN DALE FARMER, 3 **Electronically Filed** 4 Appellant, Feb 23 2015 11:42 a.m. 5 Tracie K. Lindeman ٧. Clerk of Supreme Court 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Respondent. 8 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME V PAGES 760-1001 10 11 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 13 Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO 14 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 15 (702) 687-3538 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

### INDEX STEVEN DALE FARMER Case No. 65935

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PAGE NO. Amended Order Granting State's Motion to Consume Entire DNA Samples for Y-STR Testing Defendant's Motion for Recordation of All Proceedings Including Bench Conferences & Contingent Motion for Stay of Proceedings in the Event the Motion for Recordation of Bench Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed 12/02/2011..... 323-330 Defendant's Opposition to State's Notice of Motion and Motion for Videotaped Testimony of Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 04/10/2014...... 486-490 Defendant's Reply to State's Motion to Use Videotaped Testimony of Victim, Marcia Peterson Defendant's Reply to State's Oppositon to Motion for Discovery filed 01/21/2009...... 147-158 Defendant's Second Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 01/27/2014 ..... Defense Opposition to State's Motion to Consolidate filed 04/06/2010......254-268 Eighth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 01/27/2014.... 435-441 Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed 01/22/2009...... 163-164 Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed 01/22/2009...... 165-166 

1	Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 05/09/2011315-316
2	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 10/23/2009
3	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 11/03/2009219-220
4	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 11/05/2009
5	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 02/25/2010
6	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 03/17/2010251
7	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 02/11/2011
8	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 08/08/2011
9	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 08/30/2011
10	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 02/27/2012352
11	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 01/31/2013380
12	Expedited Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 05/15/2009
13	Farmer's Motion to Sever Counts Involving Separate Counts Involving Different Accuser filed 06/04/2010
14	Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/22/2012 373-379
15	Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 01/20/2012 331-350
16	Indictment filed 11/19/2008
17	Indictment filed 11/19/2008
18	
19	Indictment Warrant Return filed 11/20/2008
20	Information filed 07/02/2008
21	Instructions to the Jury filed 02/28/2014
22	Judgment of Conviction filed 06/02/2014
23	Justice Court Minutes from 05/21/2008 through 07/01/2008
24	Motion for Discovery filed 12/30/2008
25	Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 01/20/2009
26	Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 06/05/2009
27	Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 02/23/2011
28	Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 07/11/2012370-372

1	Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 02/14/2013
2	Notice of Appeal filed 06/16/2014
3	Notice of Appeal filed 06/20/2014
4	Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Limit Cross Examination of Roxanne and Scot Cagnina on an Order Shortening Time filed 01/28/2014
5	Notice of Motion and Motion to Consume Entire DNA Samples for Y-STR Testing by ar Outside Laboratory filed 02/27/2012
7	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 06/04/2009
8	Objection to State's Request for Destructive Testing of DNA Samples for Y-STR Testing filed 03/30/2012
9	Order for Transcript filed 01/31/2012351
10 11	Order Granting State's Motion for Videotaped Testimony of Victim, Marcia Peterson fileo 11/17/2010
12	Order Granting State's Motion to Consoslidate and Partially Denying Defendant's Motion to Sever filed 11/02/2011
13 14	Order Granting State's Motion to Consume Entire DNA Samples for Y-STR Testing by Outside Laboratory filed 04/17/2012
15	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/04/2009
16	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/04/2009
17	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/04/2009
18	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/04/2009
19	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/04/2009
20	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/12/2009
21	Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 04/10/2014 491-492
22	Real Party in Interest and Victim Roxanne Cagnina's Response to Defendant Steven Farmer's Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 02/22/2013
23	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 07/01/2008
24	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings heard 11/18/2008
25 26	Second Amended Information filed 02/24/2014
26 27	Second Amended Order Granting State's Motion to Consume Entire DNA Samples for Y-STF Testing by Outside Laboratory filed 05/22/2012
28	Second Supplemental Notice of Wintesses and/or Expert Wintesses filed 09/28/2009 207-210

1	Seventh Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 01/24/2014
2	Sixth Supplemental Notice of Wintesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 01/09/2014 402-408
3	State's Notice of Motion and Motion for Videotaped Testimony of Victim, Marcia Peterson filed 03/08/2010
5	State's Notice of Motion and Motion for Videotaped Testimony of Victim, Marcia Peterson filed 08/20/2010
6	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Consolidate filed 03/08/2010 225-245
7 8	State's Notice of Motion to Use Videotaped Testimony of Victim, Marcia Peterson at Trial filed 01/16/2014
9	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery filed 01/16/2009 132-142
10	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 09/28/2009 196-206
11	Third Supplemental Notice of Wintesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/16/2009 211-216
12	Verdict filed 02/28/2014
13	
14	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
15 16	Transcript of Proceedings,  Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 02/03/2014
17 18	Transcript of Proceedings,  Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 02/04/2014
19 20	Transcript of Proceedings,  Jury Trial—Day Three  Date of Hrg: 02/05/2014
21 22	Transcript of Proceedings,  Jury Trial—Day Four
24	Jury Trial—Day Four   Date of Hrg: 02/06/2014 1351-1596
23 24	Date of Hrg: 02/06/2014
23	Date of Hrg: 02/06/2014  Transcript of Proceedings,
23 24 25	Date of Hrg: 02/06/2014

Į.	
1	Transcript of Proceedings,
2	Jury Trial—Day Eight Date of Hrg: 02/12/2014
3	Transcript of Proceedings,
4	Jury Trial—Day Nine   Date of Hrg: 02/13/2014
5	Transcript of Proceedings,
6	Jury Trial—Day Ten Date of Hrg: 02/14/2014
7	Transcript of Proceedings,
8	Jury Trial—Day Eleven Date of Hrg: 02/19/2014
9	Transcript of Proceedings,  Jury Trial—Day Twelve
10	Date of Hrg: 02/20/2014
11	Transcript of Proceedings,  Jury Trial—Day Thirteen
12	Date of Hrg: 02/21/2014
13	Transcript of Proceedings,  Jury Trial—Day Fourteen
14	Date of Hrg: 02/24/2014
15	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Fifteen
16	Date of Hrg: 02/27/2014
17	Transcript of Proceedings,
18	Jury Trial—Day Sixteen         2797-2805
19	Recorder's Transcript,
20	All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 03/07/2011760-766
21	Recorder's Transcript,
22	Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 01/27/2014 855-865
23	Recorder's Transcript,
24	Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion for Discovery and Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date
25	Date of Hrg: 02/04/2009
26	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion for Discovery
27	Date of Hrg: 01/12/2009
28	

.	B 11 B city
2	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 01/21/2009
3 4	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion for Discovery/ Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 02/02/2009
5	Recorder's Transcript,
6	Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date (Both) Date of Hrg: 02/25/2013
7 8	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date (C245739) Date of Hrg: 07/23/2012
9 10	Recorder's Transcript, Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date/ Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 06/17/2009
11 12	Recorder's Transcript, Grand Jury Indictment Return Date of Hrg: 11/09/2008
13 14	Recorder's Transcript, Motion to Consolidate Date of Hrg: 07/07/2010
15 16	Recorder's Transcript, Sentencing Date of Hrg: 05/28/2014
17   18	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion for Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen/ State's Motion to Consolidate Date of Hrg: 03/17/2010
19 20 21	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion for Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen/ State's Motion to Consolidate Date of Hrg: 03/22/2010
22 23	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion for Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen/ State's Motion to Consolidate Date of Hrg: 04/07/2010
24 25 26	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion to Consolidate Date of Hrg: 05/05/2010
27 28	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion to Consolidate/ State's Notice of Motion and Motion for Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen Date of Hrg: 05/19/2010

1 2 3	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion to Consolidate with C245739/ State's Notice of Motion and Motion for Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen Date of Hrg: 06/07/2010
4	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion to Consolidate with C245739/ State's Notice of Motion and Motion for Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen
5	Date of Hrg: 06/28/2010707-709
6	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion to Consolidate with C245739/ State's Notice of Motion and Motion for
7 8	Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen/ On Calendar Per Department Date of Hrg: 09/01/2010
9	Recorder's Transcript, State's Motion to Consume Entire DNA Samples for Y-STR Testing by an Outside Laboratory (C245739)
10	Date of Hrg: 04/04/2012
11 12	Recorder's Transcript, State's Notice of Motion and Motion for Videotaped Testimonoy of Victim, Marcia Petersen Date of Hrg: 09/22/2010
13 14	Recorder's Transcript, State's Request to Continue Trial Date of Hrg: 10/28/2009
15 16	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check (Both) Date of Hrg: 10/17/2011
17 18	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check (Both) Date of Hrg: 02/06/2012
19 20	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check: Hearing: Preservation of Witness Testimony (Both) Date of Hrg: 12/14/2011
21 22	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check: Pending Court Dates (Both)/ Further Proceedings/ Status Check (Both) Date of Hrg: 10/25/2010
23	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check: Reset Video Deposition (Both)/ Status Check: Amended Information/
24	Consolidation (Both)/ Further Proceedings: Video Deposition of Victim (Both)/ Status Check: As to Severed Counts (Both)
25	Date of Hrg: 12/13/2010
26 27	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check: Trial Date and Video Exam (Both) Date of Hrg: 10/19/2011
28	

1 2	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Date of Hrg: 07/08/2008
3	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call
4	Date of Hrg: 01/27/2009
5	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call
6	Date of Hrg: 05/19/2009657-630
7 8	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Hearing: Preservation of Witness Testimony Date of Hrg: 01/20/2012
9 10	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Initial Arraignment; Indictment Warrant Return Date of Hrg: 12/02/2008
11 12	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Initial Arraignment; Indictment Warrant Return Date of Hrg: 12/11/2008
13 14	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Trial Setting Date of Hrg: 07/14/2009
15 16	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Trial Setting Date of Hrg: 11/17/2009
17 18	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Trial Setting Date of Hrg: 05/04/2010
19	Reporter's Transcript,
20	Hearing   Date of Hrg: 08/18/2008 566-568
21	Reporter's Transcript, Hearing
22	Date of Hrg: 08/20/2008
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 08/14/2014 12:35:50 PM

1 **RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 3 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 STATE OF NEVADA. CASE NO. 08C245739 Plaintiff, 9 08C249693 VS. 10 DEPT. I STEVEN DALE FARMER 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEN CORY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 MONDAY, MARCH 7, 2011 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING MOTIONS 16 **APPEARANCES:** 17 For the State: JAKE MERBACK, ESQ. 18 **Deputy District Attorney** 19 For the Defendant: GREGORY COYER, ESQ. JEFFREY MANINGO, ESQ. 20 **Deputy Public Defenders** 21 22 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: BEV SIGURNIK, COURT RECORDER

3

1

THE CLERK: Steven Farmer, case number C245739 and C249693. The Defendant is present in custody.

5

MR. MANINGO: Jeff Maningo on behalf of Mr. Farmer along with Mr. Coyer.

U

THE COURT: I didn't receive an opposition. Is there an opposition from the

7

8

State?

MR. MERBACK: There is Judge; I was just going to respond orally.

9

THE COURT: All right.

10

MR. MANINGO: And I spoke with Mr. Merback. I knew that he was going to object; however, we are asking to continue the trial date based on the fact that I just

12

11

cannot be ready on this matter this quickly. The amount of discovery is voluminous

13.

because of the - the number of individuals and witnesses involved in the case, and I

14

think the Court knows some of the circumstances of what happened; the original

15

attorney for Mr. Farmer had to leave unexpectedly and immediately, and when that

16

happened, I took over a number of her cases, reassigned them to myself including

17

Mr. Farmer. I think she and probably Mr. Merback have had somewhere in the area

18

of about two years to prepare the case. I've had about three and a half months, and

19

I had just gotten to know Mr. Farmer and starting to get up to speed on the case. So

20

THE COURT: What would be realistic?

an April date would not be realistic for me.

21 22

MR. MANINGO: Well, I think we need to set it off probably towards the end of the year, I think.

23

THE COURT: You could be ready at that point?

24 25

MR. MANINGO: I think I could be ready. I think if we set something in like a

THE COURT: Mr. Merback.

3

MR. MERBACK: Judge I'm not - I understand Mr. Maningo's position. I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

understand he had just been put into this case.

The reason I'm objecting - I kind of feel like I'm obligated to object is the length of time the case has been around. The victims in the case are anxious for the case to go forward. It's been continued before on multiple occasions for a variety of reasons, so I'm objecting based upon that. But, at the same time, you know, I can see where Mr. Maningo is coming from in this situation he's in.

THE COURT: Has there been able to be any testimony preserved. Is this a case that that could be?

MR. MERBACK: There is - there's one witness who we have actually had the Court granted our motion to do a videotape deposition.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MERBACK: We haven't done that yet because Mr. Maningo has indicated - we've continued it a few times because he's been preparing for it and so it's - the motion has been done. The deposition needs to be taken at some point. We just need to set that as well.

THE COURT: And is that a - that was an aged witness was it?

MR. MERBACK: She's not necessarily extremely aged as much as she just has bad health. Her health is just very poor.

THE COURT: When do you think you'd be able to take the deposition?

MR. MANINGO: We could do that anywhere in about - I'd say about 45 days.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MERBACK: Obviously whatever the Court rules we'll -

3

5

6

9

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. MERBACK: Yeah, probably two or three hours.

THE COURT: So, if we can do that and one of those – if it's a criminal stack and we can put it on a Monday afternoon – but that won't work if we've got a, you know, a full one-week trial that – for that week and that's a -- It's kind of hard to pick but –

MR. MERBACK: Judge, can I make a suggestion?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MERBACK: We've done this before where we've had a Monday set on this hearing, and the Court has been in trial, so it's had to be pushed, what if we did it toward the end of the week –

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MERBACK: -- and we could leave it on. If the trial goes away or something like that, then we could do it. And if it doesn't obviously, we could push it again.

THE COURT: Well yeah, what we could do is this, we could do it on a Thursday afternoon and then – that may catch me in the middle of a trial, but I may just let the jury go and come back Friday, which is a good pick up day for a trial. You want to try that? Do it on a Thursday afternoon?

MR. MERBACK: That sounds great, Judge.

MR. MANINGO: That'd be fine, Judge.

[Colloquy - the Court and the Clerk]

THE CLERK: We can put it on April 21st?

THE COURT: Is that criminal stack?

THE CLERK: Or May 5<sup>th</sup>?

THE COURT: Which one suits you?

25

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Yvette/G. Sison

Could Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 01:55:27 PM

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C245739/C249693 6 Plaintiff, 7 DEPT. NO. V VS. 8 STEVEN DALE FARMER, 9 Defendant. 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 11 12 MONDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2011 13 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: 15 STATUS CHECK (BOTH) 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 JESSICA WALSH For the Plaintiff: 19 Deputy District Attorney 20 JEFFREY S. MANINGO For the Defendant: 21 AMY A. FELICIANO **Deputy Public Defenders** 22

RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER

23

24

25

Dale Farmer.

THE COURT: Case Number C245739, State of Nevada versus Steven

MR. MANINGO: Good morning, Judge, Jeff Maningo and Amy Feliciano from the Public Defender's office, here representing Mr. Farmer, who's present in custody.

THE COURT: Thank you. What's it on for?

MR. MANINGO: Judge we – Mr. Merback and I had put this back on calendar because – for two reasons: one, we had set up a video examination of one of the witnesses because she suffers from seizures, and she will still be expected at trial, however, we would like to do a direct and cross on video beforehand just in case, because if she has a seizure then she's unable to communicate for about 48 hours afterwards. So we want to have that as a backup.

Now, because of the shifting in courtrooms that was set for last week and there was no courtroom ready to do that, so we set it on today for a status check to reset that. However, before we reset that date we are also coming in, the defense, and asking to continue the current trial date right now, which is in November.

I know that Mr. Merback, and through Ms. Walsh, will oppose the continuance today, but the reason we're doing so is because this case involves six different accusers, all with extensive medical histories. Because Mr. Farmer was a nurse working in a hospital there is voluminous discovery and, unfortunately, at the beginning of this year the original counsel for Mr. Farmer was Stacey Roundtree, who is no longer with the office, so I came in and took over and had to get caught up

to date. Well, co-counsel, who was handling half the trial and who had been on in the beginning, was Greg Coyer. Mr. Coyer just recently left the Public Defender's office for private practice and I have dragged Ms. Feliciano in here to help me out and she's been great about it. The problem is we've got boxes and boxes of discovery. She cannot be ready to go and up to speed in three weeks, and that's when the trial date is set.

So we are asking, first, for the Court to continue the current trial date — and Mr. Farmer's okay with that, we've spoke with him about that — and set it ordinary course so that we have an opportunity to get Ms. Feliciano up to speed with everything, and then once we get that new date reset, a time where Mr. Merback and I can do our video examination.

THE COURT: State.

MS. WALSH: Good morning, Judge, Jessica Walsh for the State.

Judge, this case goes all the way back to May of 2008. I understand there has been numerous continuances on the case. It was continued back in March of this year because of the issue with Ms. Roundtree being the lead counsel leaving the office and Mr. Maningo did take over. And it's now October of this year and there's another defense attorney coming in to get ready on it.

And the State's position is we have three weeks. That it's October 17<sup>th</sup> today. The trial is set November 14<sup>th</sup>. There's plenty of time to be prepared on this case and go forward at trial and we would oppose a continuance. The case is very old and the victims in this case really do need closure.

THE COURT: 2008? He's been in custody since 2008?

MR. MANINGO: That's correct, Judge. The case has been going on since 2008. Unfortunately, I've only been on the case since this year. March of this

1	year is when I came in and took over for Ms. Roundtree. So Mr. Merback has been	
2	on the case with Ms. Roundtree since 2008. I was a – well, Ms. Roundtree was on	
3	the case since 2008. I came in as a new player in all of this as of March of this yea	
4	and now Ms. Feliciano, this is - she's just been put on about two weeks ago, so.	
5	That's when she agreed to do it.	
6	THE COURT: If we were going to continue this what would be the	
7	earliest date?	
8	THE CLERK: We have some dates in February, February 27 <sup>th</sup> . We	
9	have dates in December as well, December 5 <sup>th</sup> , December 12 <sup>th</sup> .	
10	THE COURT: All right, A short continuance until December.	
11	December 5 <sup>th</sup> , is that —	
12	MS. FELICIANO: I already -	
13	THE COURT: Is that -	
14	MS. FELICIANO: I already have a three-victim, two-week trial set in	
15	December 5 <sup>th</sup> in this department, actually.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you know, I mean, we can hold the date we	
17	have. What are the other -	
18	THE CLERK: We have one on December 12 <sup>th</sup> .	
19	MR. MANINGO: I don't know –	
20	THE COURT: No Christmas shopping.	
21	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor—	
22	THE CLERK: Or we go into February. That's the next criminal stack.	
23	MR. MANINGO: Oh, Mr. Famer – yeah, we're anticipating that this – to	
24	be probably a three-week trial. We might be better off - I mean, for our schedules,	
25	the Court's schedule and Mr. Merback's - and, Jessica, are you doing the trial with	

Jake? No, okay. So just Mr. Merback's schedule -- to go into February. That way at least we're all clear as far as - I'm okay with February. December I've got a trial set and I know that Amy has a trial also and this Court is doing that trial.

MS. FELICIANO: As well as Mr. Merback.

MS. WALSH: And -

MR. MANINGO: So.

MS. WALSH: I'm not familiar with Mr. Merback's schedule. He just happened to be out sick this morning. I would suggest that if we could possibly set the video deposition maybe within the next couple weeks and give the chance for Mr. Merback and counsel to get with your clerk and come up with a date that would be okay with everybody so you don't have to reset it a couple times, find a date where the Court's available for three weeks of time. That might be the best course of action in setting a trial date today.

THE COURT: I agree with that, and I want to get this evidentiary video, you know, deposition taken – testimony taken.

MR. MANINGO: Right. Let's get that taken. Okay.

THE COURT: So let's put it on - can we put it back on Wednesday?

THE CLERK: This Wednesday?

THE COURT: I mean, this Wednesday for you to talk to each other?

MS. WALSH: That's fine, Judge.

MR. MANINGO: And so what will we set on Wednesday?

THE COURT: Well, we're going to try and set the – we'll try and set both if you guys can agree, but otherwise – right now I'm not vacating that trial date. So – right now I want you to try and talk to each other and see if we can get a date that's acceptable. But I don't – I'm really loathe to put this in 2012 when this is a

2008 case.

3.

MR. MANINGO: I agree, Judge. Unfortunately, it's not – you know, it were – it was things that were beyond our control, as far as Mr. Farmer's counsel. I would understand if I'd been on since 2008, then I'd have no excuse as to not be ready of course, but because of the change in counsel we're sort of behind the eight ball. We're just trying to catch up and be able to be effective in a case that has so many people involved in it.

THE COURT: I understand. So what I'd like you to do is get with the prosecutor's office and talk with the clerk to see what the availability is and come up with the quickest date that we can set this on, and get your videotape testimony as well. All right?

MR. MANINGO: Okay.

THE COURT: So Wednesday maybe you'll be a little better informed about that. I mean, obviously, a trial date, all right, will drive the other date, so.

MR. MANINGO: Right. And there is – there are trial dates available in February, correct?

THE CLERK: February 27<sup>th</sup>.

MR. MANINGO: Okay. And -

THE COURT: Three - saying it's a three-week trial?

THE CLERK: Yeah.

MR. MANINGO: Okay.

THE CLERK: And it – that starts the criminal stack.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MANINGO: Okay. And then – so we could then do the video deposition on some of the dates in December, right? Okay.

	•	
1	THE COURT: All right. So it'll be back on Wednesday at 9.	
2	THE CLERK: At 9 o'clock.	
3	MR. MANINGO: Okay.	
4	THE CLERK: That'll be the 19 <sup>th</sup> .	
5	MR. MANINGO: Thanks.	
6	THE COURT: Thank you.	
7	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 10:09 A.M.	
8	*****	
9		
10	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-	
11	video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.	
12	LARA CORCORAN	
	Court Recorder/Transcriber	
13		
13 14		
	GOUIT HOSSICON / LANCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	
14	Goditinosono	
14 15		
14 15 16		
14 15 16 17		
14 15 16 17 18		
14 15 16 17 18 19		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 01:56:44 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun to Chum
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3	<u> </u>	T COURT NTY, NEVADA
5 6 7 8 9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,  Plaintiff,  vs.  STEVEN DALE FARMER,  Defendant.	CASE NO. C245739/C249693  DEPT. NO. V
10 11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN	· ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12 13 14 15 16	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2011  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: STATUS CHECK: TRIAL DATE AND VIDEO EXAM (BOTH)	
18	APPEARANCES:	
19 20	For the Plaintiff:	WILLIAM "JAKE" MERBACK Chief Deputy District Attorney
21 22 23	For the Defendant:	AMY A. FELICIANO Deputy Public Defender
24		
25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, CO	OURT RECORDER

# LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2011, 10:14 A.M.

THE COURT: Case Number C245739 and 249693, State of Nevada versus Steven Dale Farmer in both cases.

MS. FELICIANO: Good morning, Judge, Amy Feliciano on behalf of Mr. Farmer, who's present in custody.

MR. MERBACK: And, Judge -

THE COURT: I brought this back, as you'll remember from Monday.

MS. FELICIANO: May we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. FELICIANO: Thank you.

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: All right. This matter was continued from Monday's calendar because we've got a trial date set in three weeks and we have new defense counsel. Normally I would not want to continue this and I know that the State is vehemently objecting to this case being continued because it's been continued many times.

Mr. Farmer has been – you know, obviously, has waived his right to speedy trial because he's been in custody about four years and – since 2008, is my understanding. By the same token, I don't want this to end up as an ineffective assistance of counsel because counsel didn't have adequate time to prepare.

The soonest dates that we have would be in December, but this, I'm told, is a three-week trial with many victims. December is never an easy time, as we all know, to select a jury – to get a jury that doesn't have other obligations over all of the holiday periods, and by the same token, the victim's counsel.

And so what I'd like to do is continue this into my February stack, which will be the earliest time that we can do this. But also I understand that there is a evidentiary – well, it's not an evidentiary hearing, it's a preservation of witness testimony, basically, that we're going to need to do in December.

And so, I'm going to ask the clerk to look at my calendar and see when in December – as early in December as we can do it so we avoid the holiday issues.

MR. MERBACK: Judge, the only issue in December is Ms. Porray and I are actually in trial that week of December 5<sup>th</sup>, so if it could not be that week, any other time is fine.

THE COURT: Is that just a week-long trial you think?

MR. MERBACK: Yeah, that's just a week-long trial.

MS. FELICIANO: It could go into two weeks. That one is also a multivictim case, so.

MR. MERBACK: Toward the middle of December probably would work.

THE COURT: What do we have?

How long do you think that it will take for that? Because we could do it after my calendar on the 14<sup>th</sup> of December –

MR, MERBACK: That should work. It -

THE COURT: — which is a Wednesday, or we could do it on Friday after my civil.

MR. MERBACK: Either one of those is fine, Judge. A Friday afternoon would work. I think it takes an afternoon. If we started at 1 o'clock/1:30, we would be done by the end of the day with that hearing.

(Colloquy between Court and staff)

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to do it on December 14th after my

1	criminal calendar.	
2	MR. MERBACK: Is that a Friday, Judge?	
3	THE COURT: That's a Wednesday.	
4	MR. MERBACK: Wednesday. Okay.	
5	THE COURT: So we'll put it at the end of my criminal calendar, and my	
6	calendar - not that I have a huge track record to look at, but the last two days, have	
7	- we've been wrapping it up at about 10:30. So we could start and potentially be	
8	done –	
9	MR. MERBACK: Okay.	
10	THE COURT: - by lunch.	
11	MR. MERBACK: Okay.	
12	THE COURT: And if the courtroom is needed then for trial -	
13	MR. MERBACK: So -	
14	THE COURT: - elsewhere it was -	
15	MR. MERBACK: So 10:30 will be the time? Okay.	
16	THE CLERK: December 14th at 10:30.	
17	THE COURT: And the trial – new trial setting.	
18	THE CLERK: Yes, we've got —	
19	MS. FELICIANO: I think we had talked about the February 27 <sup>th</sup> date.	
20	It's the beginning of that stack.	
21	THE CLERK: Yes. Okay.	
22	MS. FELICIANO: Thank you.	
23	THE CLERK: Calendar call will be February 22, 2012, at 9 o'clock.	
24	Jury trial will be February 27, 2012, at 1:30.	
25	MR. MERBACK: Thank you, Judge.	

MS. FELICIANO: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 10:22 A.M.

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audiovideo recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.

LARA CORCORAN

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 02:00:56 PM

1 2	RTRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT
3		T COURT NTY, NEVADA
5 6 7 8 9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,  Plaintiff,  vs.  STEVEN DALE FARMER,  Defendant.	CASE NO. C245739/C249693 DEPT. NO. V
10   11   12   13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN	ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15 16 17	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: STATUS CHECK: HEARING: PRESERVATION OF WITNESS TESTIMONY (BOTH)	
18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: For the Defendant:	WILLIAM "JAKE" MERBACK Chief Deputy District Attorney RICHARD H. SCOW Deputy Public Defender
22   23   24   25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, CO	

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 2011, 9:43 A.M.

THE COURT: Case Number C245739 State of Nevada versus Steven Dale Farmer. Show Mr. Farmer in custody with his counsel.

I apologize to counsel. We were - I was in a jury trial and then there was a - one of the attorneys had a death in the family and we ended up having after two days of trying to get a jury we ended up having to continue it. And now is there any way that we can still proceed on -

MR, MERBACK: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Or is it too late?

MR. MERBACK: Your Honor, unfortunately -

THE COURT: On Friday?

MR. MANINGO: Unfortunately, we cannot. And, Your Honor, the defense attorney in this case is actually Mr. Maningo from the Public Defender's office. He's in Henderson today and he and I have been communicating by text as to some representations I'm going to make today in Court.

The reason we're attempting to have this hearing prior to the trial is because the victim has a severe seizure disorder.

THE COURT: Right. And I understand that and so I'm - that's why I say I'm so sorry that -

MR. MERBACK: And that's fine, but we can't do it today because if we bring her down here today she would go - the trip would cause her to go into a seizure and then she can't speak for about a 24-hour period. So what we're having to do is, even though she lives in Las Vegas, she's having to come down here, we're checking her into a hotel, she's spending a 24-hour-period in the hotel to recover

3

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

from the seizure and then we're going to bring her here in a wheelchair prior to testimony so that she can testify.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MERBACK: And I understand what happened with the Court. And the only thing that I would request is when we reset this if we could reset it on a completely firm setting so that - obviously, it's very difficult on her with her health conditions to kind of be back and forth.

THE COURT: Well, that's why I was inquiring as to whether we could do it on Friday. Would that give you sufficient time to make arrangements?

MR. MERBACK: It would -

THE COURT: Because it's Wednesday now.

MR. MERBACK: It would, Your Honor. I don't know how she would react to that at this point. I know that when I spoke to her last she seemed - I could tell in just the period of the conversation when I explained to her we weren't going to be going forward today that she was deteriorating rapidly and potentially was going to end up in that situation. I don't think at this point it would be smart to bring her back in on kind of that roller coaster of this week.

And what I would ask - and I spoke to Mr. Maningo and he indicated that any day in January is fine with him. And I was going to ask the Court about that second week in January, maybe like the Wednesday or the Thursday or the Friday, if any of those days in the afternoon or in the morning would work for the Court?

THE COURT: Right. I'm - I'll be in my civil cycle and actually we've got a fairly light calendar. I think we've, in fact, got - or sort of planning on me doing a criminal trial in there. So we could set it on a Friday morning perhaps.

(Colloguy between Court and clerk)

THE COURT: How about Friday the 20 <sup>th</sup> of January? And that's		
normally my civil calendar. I'll do my civil calendar first and then we'll go ahead and		
do the evidentiary or the - you know, take the testimony. And so I'm thinking 10		
o'clock?		
MR. MERBACK: That's fine, Judge.		
THE CLERK: And do you want me to put firm on it so –		
THE COURT: Yes, put firm on it.		
THE CLERK: Okay.		
MR. MERBACK: And, Judge, my only other question was - and !		
emailed briefly with your law clerk about this issue – I don't know if the Court knows		
at this point, this is videotaped testimony that we're trying to preserve –		
THE COURT: Correct.		
MR. MERBACK: - potentially for the purposes of trial. Does the JAVS		
system have the ability to record that video or do we need to bring equipment in for		
that?		
THE COURT: JAVS'II do it.		
MR. MERBACK: Thank you, Judge.		
THE COURT: Okay.		
MR. SCOW: Thank you, Judge.		
THE COURT: Thank you. February 20 <sup>th</sup> at 10 o'clock.		
MR. MERBACK: Thank you.		
THE CLERK: And that will be for preservation of witness?		
THE COURT: Yes.		
THE CLERK: Okay.		

### 

## THE COURT: And that's a firm setting. Thank you.

PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:48 A.M.

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.

LARA CORCORAN

Court Recorder/Transcriber

**RTRAN** CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE#: C245739 Plaintiff, 9 DEPT, V 10 VS. 11 STEVEN DALE FARMER. 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 FRIDAY, JANUARY 20, 2012 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HEARING: PRESERVATION OF WITNESS TESTIMONY 17 APPEARANCES: 18 WILLIAM JAKE MERBACK, ESQ. For the State: Chief Deputy District Attorney 19 20 JEFFREY S. MANINGO ESQ. For the Defendant: AMY FELICIANO, ESQ. 21 **Deputy Public Defenders** 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER 25

### FRIDAY, JANUARY 20, 2012 AT 10:11 A.M.

THE COURT: All right. Case number C245739, State of Nevada versus

1	
_	
2	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 Steven Dale Farmer. We are here to havr a hearing to preserve the witness testimony in this case. Is the State ready to proceed?

MR. MERBACK: We are Judge,

THE COURT: Proceed.

MR. MERBACK: Thank you. Does the Court want to swear in the witness?

THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MR. MERBACK: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: Raise your right.

THE COURT: You're calling -- what's the witness's name?

MS. MERBACK: I'm sorry. The State's going to call Marcia Petersen, Judge.

THE COURT: Marcia Petersen.

#### **MARCIA PETERSON**

[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. Could you please state your name and spell it for the record?

THE WITNESS: Marcia Petersen, M-A-R-C-I-A P-E-T-E-R-S-E-N.

THE COURT: Thank you. Proceed.

MR. MERBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

#### **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

### BY MR. MERBACK:

Q Ms. Petersen, can you tell the Court something about your current medical condition?

1 ·	A	l didn't hear your last part.	
2	Q	What is your current medical condition? Do you have any medical	
3	issues right now?		
4	A	Yeah. I suffered brain trauma and its left me with seizures and	
5	uncontrollable sensory overload so my senses don't connect correctly any longer.		
6	Q	Okay. And is that condition a result of the brain trauma that you	
7	suffered?		
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	Do you when it was when you suffered that brain trauma?	
10	A	March 12 <sup>th</sup> of '08.	
11	Q	Of 2008 you said? Could you repeat that?	
12	THE MARSHAL: Excuse me, counsel. Court's indulgence, Your Honor,		
13	please.		
14	THE COURT: There you go.		
15	THE WITNESS: March 12th of '08.		
16	MR. MERBACK: Is that better? Okay.		
17	BY MR. MERBACK:		
18	Q	And as a result of your condition you said that sometimes you'll have	
19	seizures; is that correct?		
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	What kinds of things trigger you to have these seizures?	
22	Α	Loudness, loud noises, riding in cars. I can't filter out the motion.	
23	When the car stops my brain doesn't I keep feeling the motion and being startled		
24	things outside the norm of my world.		
25	Q	When you have a seizure, are you aware of how long they normally	

	11	
1.	last?	
2	Α	No.
3	Q	Okay. Will you normally just have one seizure at a time or will you have
4	multiple se	zures?
5	A	I have clonic-tonic style seizures and what that is is that I contract up,
6	all of my bo	ody contracts up. So, my hands curl up, my arms curl up. I can seize -
7	we've coun	ted and I can seize anywhere from like minimum of maybe three times
8	up to 42 tim	nes.
9	Q	Okay. Now you indicated that that's your current medical condition.
10	Now was th	nat your condition as well back in 2008 after you had the brain trauma?
11	Α	It started with my hospitalization in May. That's when the seizures
12	started.	
13	Q	Okay. Now after you've had a seizure, what condition is your body in
14	after the se	izure is over?
15	· A	I can't talk and I can't move for up to 24 hours.
16	Q	Now when you're in that state, are you conscious? Can you do you
17	know what's	s going on around you or are you completely unconscious?
18	A	No, I'm aware of everything going on around me. I just can't participate
19	in any of it.	
20	Q	Okay. Now are there times in that period after you had a seizure where
21	you will con	ne in and out of sleep?
22	Α	Yes, uh-huh.
23	Q	Okay. But when you're awake, you indicated that you're aware of
24	what's going	g on around you?

Yes.

hospital that causes you to be here in Court today?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	What was that?
3	A	Do you want me to
4	Q	What generally happened to you that causes you to be here today?
5	A	I was assaulted by I believed him to be a nurse, nurse aide there.
6	Q	Okay. Did you know the name of that person that assaulted you?
7	A	He introduced himself as Steven.
8	Q	Do you see that person here in this courtroom today?
9	A	Yes.
0	Q	Could you point to that person and describe something that they are
1	wearing?	
2	A	The white hair, and he's wearing red, and white beard and he's wearing
3	black glass	es.
4	MR.	MERBACK: Judge, can the record reflect the identification of the
5	Defendant'	?
6	THE	COURT: Yes, it will.
7	BY MR. ME	ERBACK:
8	Q	Now, Ms. Petersen, you indicated that the Defendant introduced himsel
9	as Steve; is	s that correct?
0	Α	Yes.
1	Q	What, if anything else, did he say to you when he introduced himself to
2	you?	
3	A	He said: Hi, I'm Steve and I've been assigned to you tonight. So, I'll be
4	looking in d	
5	Q	Could you repeat that last phrase for me? I'm sorry.

been invoked and a witness is leaving the courtroom.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## BY MR. MERBACK:

Q Ms. Petersen, you indicated that there were multiple instances. Can you describe one of those instances that you remember for the Court?

A One of -- I woke up and I was aware that my nipples were being pinched, and I looked straight into his face because he was that close to me, and he said: Oh, one the leads has come off on your heart monitor. But the thing about my heart or the telemetry buttons that they put on, it makes a noise if one becomes detached so that telemetry is advised as well. That was one instance.

- Q Let me go back and ask you a few questions about that. You said the Defendant said your leads were off. Do you recall where your leads were located on your body at that point in time?
  - A Yes; they're not on my nipples.
  - Q Do you recall where they were?
- A They have like one here and they have numerous ones underneath the abdomen.

MR. MERBACK: And, Judge, for the record, she's pointing to it looks like about the middle of her chest, kind of in the middle of her sternum, I would say.

THE COURT: Towards the right, yes, on her upper chest well above her breasts.

THE WITNESS: And then underneath.

MR. MERBACK: And then he also has indicated -- I think she showed both sides well beneath her breasts on kind of the side of her torso.

THE COURT: Correct; approximately at waist level.

## BY MR. MERBACK:

Q Now could you feel or did you notice if any of the leads were actually off

1	<sub>e</sub> Q	Do you recall what you were wearing at the time?
2	А	Just a hospital nightgown.
3	Q	And do you know how could you tell how it was that his hands had
4	gotten unde	rneath your nightgown?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Could you speak or move at this point in time?
7	A	No, still not.
8	Q	Do you recall whether the Defendant said anything to you besides that
9	your leads h	nad come off?
10	A	Nothing.
11	Q	Do you recall about how long that lasted that he was pinching your
12	nipples?	
13	A.	No.
14	Q	And do you recall what if anything that caused him to stop doing it?
15	A	I think me continually looking at him and me becoming awake.
16	Q	And you continued to look at him, is that what you said?
17	A	Yes, because like I said, I woke up and he was doing it.
18	Q	Now was the only time, the only occasion on which he touched your
19	nipples or y	our chest in that way or were there other occasions?
20	_ A	I'm sorry?
21	Q	You just talked about an incident where he was pinching your nipples?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Was that the only time that you recall that happened or do you recall
24	whether the	ere was other times that that occurred?
25	A	That that specific pinching of my nipples occurred?

You didn't have a bra on?

25

Q ·

1 <sup>-</sup>	A	No.
2	Q	you didn't have any underwear on?
3	A	No. He did it more than once, lifting my nightgown up and down.
4	Q	Did he tell you at that point why he was taking up the sheets or what he
5	was doing?	Did he say anything to you?
6	Α	No, not at that point. But he then walked around to my right, to the righ
7	side of my b	ed and he said: Oh, you have some feces, and he took my right leg and
8	instead of ro	olling me to my side he took my right leg and brought it all the way up
9	and he ha	nd nothing to clean me with. He had not gotten new pads to put under
10	me or wipes	or anything. And that's when I became aware of a very uncomfortable
11	feeling and	realization that he had his thumb in my anus.
12	MR. N	MERBACK: Okay. Your Honor, for the record, she made a motion with
13	her finger sh	nowing the Defendant lifting her leg, about a 90 degree angle I would
14	say.	
15	THE	COURT: That's correct.
16	BY MR. ME	RBACK:
17	Q	Now you said that he had indicated to you that there was some feces
18	on you?	
19	Α	That's what he said.
20	Q	But he had not done anything to change anything?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Is that correct? Was there a pad or anything of that nature that you had
23	in case you	had a bowel movement?
24	A	Yeah, a pad underneath me 'cause I also had a catheter so in case,
25	you know, th	nere's a leak of any sort, I had a blue pad underneath me.

1	· Q	This blue pad, did he do anything to change that pad?
2	А	No.
3	Q	Were you wearing any underwear at the time?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Do he do anything to change your hospital gown?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Now you indicated that you felt his thumb go into your anus; is that
8	correct?	
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q	Was it just his thumb or was there fingers as well; do you recall?
11	A	How many I couldn't tell you but - is that what you're asking me.
12	Q	You indicated that his thumb went into your anus.
13	A	Right.
14	Q	I'm asking did any of his fingers also go into your anus or was it just his
15	thumb?	
16	A	I couldn't I can't couldn't look down there but so I would have to
17	say it wa	s his thumb.
18	Q	Okay. Could you tell how far into your anus his thumb went?
19	А	Probably as far as his thumb is long.
20	Q	Okay. And how did it feel when he did that? Did you have any pain or
21	anything	like that?
22	A	Yes, it hurt and my him holding my leg as he was hurt and the next
23		said to me one thing during this because then I felt pressure on my
24	vagina.	And he said he was checking my catheter. But from knowledge, a catheter

25 lis not inside your vagina, it's above it. But the pressure I was feeling was inside my

was absolutely nothing I could do. I couldn't ring the bell, I couldn't scream, I

couldn't move. I couldn't -- I just had to lay there. I was humiliated, I was

24

Yes.

Α

Yeah, I believe it was morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Q Once you had ability to speak, did you tell anyone about what had occurred?

A No, I didn't really have a chance 'cause my heart went into A-fib and immediately they had me rushed down to a different floor because my heart was in A-fib. While all that activity was going on though in my room, he stopped inside the door and said: I'm not assigned to you today but I just wanted to see how you were doing, and I thought was very bizarre.

Q That what you're talking about where he stopped and said that to you, that was after these incidents that you've talked about occurred; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Okay. But before you moved to the other room?

A Right.

Q Now when you were moved to this other room because of your heart, did you see the Defendant again at any point after that?

A No, I was on a different floor.

Q Did you eventually -- were you eventually able to tell anyone about the things that had happened?

A I had told my two sons as soon as I could talk, but it was probably another good 24 hours before my heart came out of A-fib. But as soon as it did, that was the very first thing I told them, that there was a nurse on the other floor, his name was Steve, he had white hair, and that he had put his thumb in my rectum and he had been pinching my nipples. I did not tell them the rest because they're my sons so --

Q Now your sons, what are their names?

A Marshall and Micah [phonetic] Petersen, both.

Did you tell anyone at the hospital about what had happened? Q No. Α And why didn't you do that? Q Because it was kind of like not knowing who to trust or who to -- you go Α to a hospital because you need to and your one expectation is to be safe and to be treated humanly and decently and that had been taken away. And so I didn't trust this hospital anymore. I didn't trust --Q Now I'm going to call your attention to about a month later to sometime in June of 2008. Actually strike that. Let me go back. You said you didn't tell anyone at the hospital. Did you at that point in May call the police? No, because at that point in time, the start of those -- of that -- the Α seizures in May, I seized, they told me, I think it was like nine times in the ambulance from the Smith's parking lot to the hospital, which is just through the parking lot, I seized nine times. And that started a series of seizures to where some months I was seizing like every two days. Now you didn't call the police at that point, but did there come a point in time later on when the police were called? Α Yes. Okay. Was that about a month later in June? Q Sounds right, yes. A٠ So, if I said June 15<sup>th</sup> of 2008, would that sound about right to you? Q Yes, because my son had seen him on -- the Defendant, I guess, on TV Α

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and he came and told me about it and that there are multiple women and at that

point in time. I said I have to do this no matter what my health is doing, I have to do

this. Of course, I didn't foresee -- I didn't foresee how bad my health would actually

1 1	Q	Do you know a person named Denise Hanna?
2	A,	No.
3	Q	Do you know a person named Roxanne Cagnina?
4	Α	No.
5	Q	Are you aware of whether or not any of these individuals were at the
6	hospital arc	und the same time you were?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Have you ever spoken with any of these people about the Defendant o
9	the things h	e did to you?
10	A	No.
11	MR.	MERBACK: Court's indulgence. Your Honor, I have no further question
12	at this time.	
13	MR. I	MANINGO: Judge, would the Court or counsel have any objection if I
14	were to remain seated during my examination.	
15	THE	COURT: Well would you be able to see him if he's sitting?
16	MR. I	MANINGO: I'll just slide over this way. I don't want to get in the way of
17	any cameras or anything.	
18	THE	COURT RECORDER: The camera's locked on the witness so we can't
19	see anyone	•
20	THE	COURT: No, I just want her to be able to see him.
21	THE	COURT RECORDER: Oh, okay. If he stands, if he stands up, he's
22	going to be	in the way and blocks her.
23	THE	COURT: I know
24	MR. I	MANINGO: Right. That's why it be best if I

THE COURT RECORDER: Phil, can you move those two things out of the

1	way and the	en she can see him.
2		CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. MA	ANINGO:
4	Q	Hello, Ms. Petersen. My name is Jeff Maningo and I'm just going to ask
5	you some o	questions to follow-up on what Mr. Merback was talking about; okay?
3	A	Okay.
7	Q	During the time period of May of 2008, you were having a lot of seizure
8	activity at t	hat time; correct?
9	A	It started May 12 <sup>th</sup> .
0	Q	Okay. During that time though you were having a lot of seizures;
1	correct?	
2	Α	During what time? I don't
3	Q	May of 2008.
4	THE	COURT: Her answer was it started May 12th, counsel.
5	MR.	MANINGO: All right.
6	THE	WITNESS: I guess I don't understand the timeframe. They started May
7	12 <sup>th</sup> .	
8	BY MR. M	
9	Q	Now you were having seizures before May 12th, though; correct?
20	Α	No. I had seizures like five years ago. I hadn't had any seizures up
21	until I hit m	ny head.
22	Q	And you hit your head in March; correct?
23	A	And then I had no seizures until in May 12 <sup>th</sup> .
24	Q	Okay.

And that's when they started and everything else came with it.

Α

Okay. Once they did start, was it common to have several seizures in a Q 1 single day? 2 Explain what you mean. 3 Α Would you have more than one seizure in a day? Q Maybe I should explain my seizures again. Can I do that? 5 THE COURT: Yes. THE WITNESS: Okay. I get an aura and a taste and then I know that a 7 seizure's coming. I will seize up, my whole body seizes up. I stop breathing while 8 I'm having one. My legs curl up, my arms curl up, and then I'll relax and then I'll curl up again. But if what you're asking me is will I have this happen in the morning and then maybe happen in the afternoon and the evening, no. I may have a seizure, you 11 know, in the morning and then I'm done for that entire day. I have to go to sleep and 12 take medicine and sleep because I'm in pain. 13 BY MR. MANINGO: 14 Okay. When you would have one of these seizures it would be very 15 traumatic for you; correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. As you explained just a few minutes ago, you would seize up 18 and then relax and then seize up again and that could happen, you said I think, up 19 to 42 times? 20 Yes. Α 21 Okay. And each time that you would seize up, you would be -- you 22 would become unaware of what was happening; is that fair to say? 23 No. From the very -- from when I -- when I get that aura, I have like five Α 24

minutes and then as soon as the seizing starts, I'm not there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay.

Q

Α

For the first few seconds as they lay there and blink, I realize I've had a

1	seizure. A	nd then as I look around, I know where I am. It's not like it doesn't take
2	me three h	ours to remember or to know.
3	Q	Okay.
4	Α	I mean
5	Q	Okay.
6	Α	Only a matter of minutes.
7	Q	Okay. Thank you. During the episode, you lose time though; correct?
8	A	Right.
9	Q	Okay. And when you're recovering from one of the episodes, you'll be
10	in and out	of consciousness. You'll fall asleep and then wake up and fall asleep
11	easily agai	n; correct?
12	. A	Well, yes, yes.
13	Q	You're in and out of it?
14	A	Normally if I'm at home I just pretty much sleep straight 12 hours
15	through.	
16	Q	Okay. Is it fair to say though that during recovery though you're in and
17	out of cons	ciousness?
18	A	In and out of sleep, yes.
19	Q	Okay. Do you remember speaking to a detective about this case?
20	A	Back in '08?
21	Q	Yes.
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. And the words you used were in and out of consciousness?
24	A	Okay.
25.	, Q	Okay. So, is that fair to use?

Okay. And you also know that Dilantin will also affect your brain

Q

1	chemistry?		
2	Α	Dilantin is for epileptic seizures.	
3	Q	Yes.	
4	Α	Yes, I didn't stay on Dilantin.	
5	Q	I'm asking about the time period though of May 13 <sup>th</sup> to May 20 <sup>th</sup> while	
6	you were at	Centennial Hills Hospital. At that time you were on Dilantin.	
7	Α	Okay.	
8	Q	Are you aware that one of the side affects of Dilantin is confusion?	
9	A	No.	
10	Q	Are you aware that one of the side affects of Dilantin is delirium?	
11	A	No.	
12	Q	Besides being on the drugs I've already listed, you were also being	
13	given doses	s of morphine; correct?	
14	Α	It's the only pain medication I can take.	
15	Q	Okay. And you understand that morphine is a very strong narcotic?	
16	A	Yes.	İ
17	Q	Okay. And morphine can certainly cause a change in someone's	
18	awareness;	would you agree?	
19	Α	No.	
20	Q	No?	
21	A	No.	
22	Q	So, you think that it would be okay for someone to drive while on	
23	morphine?		
24	A	I take I can no longer drive because of the brain trauma.	
25	∥ a	That wasn't my question though. My question is: Do you think it's okay	

Okay. Have you ever heard the term intimate care?

Q

	i	
1	Α	No.
2	Q	Okay. You do understand that nurses are asked to take care of
3	personal hy	giene tasks at certain points?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. You understand that nurses are asked to clean up any leaks or
6	bowel move	ements, that's part of their job; you know that?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. You know that nurses are asked to check on a patient's catheter
9	if they have	one?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. During this incident where Mr. Farmer, you say, he lifted up your
12	gown, at thi	s point you're also on medications; correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. And one of the medications that you're on at that point is
15	Morphine?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	You discussed another incident where you said Mr. Farmer had told
18	you that you	u had feces on you?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And that he lifted your leg up?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And his hand moved from your leg to your rectum?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Mr. Farmer explained to you that he was cleaning you?
25	Α	No.

1	Q	No? Did he explain to you that he was checking your catheter?
2	A	At one point he said that.
3	Q	And you still had a catheter at that point
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	during that incident?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. You were still unable to move at that point?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	You couldn't look down and see what was going on?
10	A	No.
11	. a	Okay. You couldn't look down to see if, you know, what Mr. Farmer
12	was doing;	correct?
13	A ·	Correct.
14	Q	Okay
15	А	But I could feel that he was not wiping me. I could feel that nothing
16	Q	That wasn't my question, Ms. Petersen. We'll get to that. Thank you.
17	Because of	your inability to move you couldn't sit up and see anything either
18	obviously; i	s that correct?
19	A	Correct, but I was not laying flat.
20	Q	Okay. So, you were at an angle?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. Was at that point as he had your leg up, you had a gown on;
23	correct?	
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And you couldn't see past the gown; correct?

	11	
1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. You didn't notice any wipes or pads?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay. You didn't feel any you didn't feel yourself go to the bathroom?
5	Α	Right; no, I did not.
6	Q	Okay. But before Mr. Farmer had come in to check it, you were
7	sleeping; co	orrect?
8	A	Off and on, yes.
9	Q	You woke up and Mr. Farmer was already there?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And you were still on your medications during this incident, correct, to
12	the best of	your knowledge?
13	Α	I would assume so if, I mean, I had just taken some, no, I don't believe I
14	diđ.	
15	Q	Do you remember?
16	A	I don't remember taking any during this time, no. But some medications
17	I had throug	gh the IV as well.
18	Q	Okay. But you had just awaken as Mr. Farmer was already there?
- 19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Based on your experience that you've talked about from being a
21	patient in ho	ospitals you know that they will check patients who cannot move
22	themselves	for bed sores; right?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And you know that date they look at different factors with
25	patients to s	see if you're at risk for bed sores such as whether or not you can feel
	, <b>C</b>	

pain or discomfort; are you aware of that?

- A Not so much, no.
- Q Okay. Are you aware of the fact that your doctor noted that you have a very limited ability to feel pain or discomfort during that time that you were there?

MR. MERBACK: Judge, I'll actually object to that question on a number of reasons. I think it calls for a hearsay response because it's the statement of -- it's an out of court statement of another witness and also it would be -- I guess that would be my main objection at this point.

THE COURT: Well it also lacks foundation and assumes facts not in evidence so I'll sustain it on those grounds.

## BY MR. MANINGO:

- Q Well let me ask you this, Ms. Petersen. Did you feel like you had full feeling in your body that you could feel discomfort normally?
  - A Could I feel pain?
  - Q Well pain or discomfort.
  - A Yes.
  - Q Okay. I mean, on a normal level.
- A I don't know how to answer that because I mean, on a normal level if you feel discomfort or pain you know, like if your shoulder is getting tight, well you move it; right? You move so you can get comfortable. I can't move, but I can feel the discomfort. I just can't do anything about. So, I don't know how to answer your question.
- Q Now you mentioned one other incident, I believe. You said that there was -- Mr. Farmer had come in and pinched your nipples?

A Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

Α

eight leads on your chest; do you remember saying that to the detective?

1 -	A No.
2	Q Okay. You said that you know he wasn't adjusting the leads on your
3	chest because you didn't hear any beeping?
4	A Right.
5	Q Okay.
6	MR. MERBACK: Objection. That's misstates her testimony. She said she
7	knew the leads didn't come off because she didn't hear the beeping.
8	MR. MANINGO: I'm sorry. What did I say?
9	MR. MERBACK: You said you knew he wasn't adjusting the leads which is
10	different than what she testified to.
11	THE COURT: Well restate the question because she had answered so
12	MR. MANINGO: I think
13	THE COURT: Yeah.
14	MR. MANINGO: I think she understood.
15	BY MR. MANINGO:
16	Q Ms. Petersen, You believed that none of your leads had come loose
17	from your body because you didn't hear any alarm go off; correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Okay. Are you aware of the fact that at Centennial Hills Hospital in the
20	room that you were in the telemetry monitors are actually at the nursing station in
21	the hall and that's where the alarms go off; did you know that?
22	A I
23	Q Were you aware of that?
24	A No.
25	Q Okay. It was your understanding that the there would be a telemetry

You mean did I hear him walk in, you mean? No.

Α

where someone else could have seen Mr. Farmer doing something inappropriate;

2

9

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

A I don't know if that's correct or not. I mean -- because what happened was I was rushed down to another room after my heart went in A-fib and I'd been in a seizure prior so, no, I don't know that -- I don't know the lay of the hospital floor no, I don't. I don't know where the room was located or anything.

Q To the best of your knowledge though no one else saw Mr. Farmer do anything inappropriate to you?

- A I don't know if anybody saw or not.
- Q No one's come to said: Ms. Petersen, I saw this happen?
- A No, nobody's done that.
- Q You did not come forward with any of these allegations until a month after being released from the hospital; correct?
  - A Correct.
- Q After this happened to you, the very first incident, when this happened to you in the hospital, you didn't tell your doctors what had happened; did you?
  - A No.
  - Q Okay. And you didn't tell any of the other nurses what had happened?
  - A No.
- Q Okay. You didn't ask to speak with the police or for the police to be called and come to your room?
  - A No. I didn't even -- I didn't -- didn't -- didn't -- didn't -- didn't -- didn't --
  - THE MARSHAL: Your Honor.
  - THE COURT: Do you need to take a short rest, a recess? Yes?
  - THE WITNESS: Yes, yes, yes, yes, yes.
  - THE COURT: Okay. Court will be in recess for five minutes.

## [Recess taken at 11:28 p.m.] [Proceedings resumed at 12:03 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to go back on the record? All right. We're back on the record. Go ahead with your cross.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

## BY MR. MANINGO:

11.

- Q Ms. Petersen, let's get this finished up now.
- A Okay.
- Q Do you remember what we were just talking about a minute ago?
- A A lot of things.
- Q That's true. I had asked you about the fact that you did not come forward with any of these allegations until about a month after you were released from the hospital?
  - A Correct.
- Q Okay. And while you were still in the hospital between the dates of May 13<sup>th</sup> and May 20<sup>th</sup> of 2008, you didn't speak to any doctors at the hospital about what happened with Mr. Farmer; correct?
  - A Correct.
- Q Okay. And you did not speak with any of the other nurses about what had happened; correct?
  - A Correct.
- Q Okay. And did you not ask for the police to come to your room and speak to them; correct?
  - A Correct.
  - Q Okay. Now earlier when you were speaking with Mr. Merback, you said

1	Α	Not specifically. We talked about a lot of things.
2	Q	Okay. Do you remember telling the detective that the reason you didn't
3	tell anyone	was because you couldn't speak?
. 4	Α	Which is true. At the time it was happening I could not speak.
5	Q	Right. But during your visit you were able to speak?
6	Α	Right, and I told my sons.
7	Q Q	Okay. After you told your sons, they did not report it to the hospital staff
8	as far as yo	u know; correct?
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	And they did not call the police; correct?
11	A	As far as my knowledge, no.
12	Q	Okay. After you told your sons about what had happened, you still
13	remained ir	that hospital for the duration of your stay, correct?
14	Α	Correct; I believe I did, yes.
15	Q	Okay. What I'm asking, I guess, Ms. Petersen, is your sons allowed
16	you to rema	ain in Centennial Hills Hospital after you told them what happened with
17	Mr. Farmer	; correct?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Okay. Now after May 20 <sup>th</sup> you were released from Centennial Hills; is
20	that right?	
21	A	I believe so, yes.
22	Q	Okay. And you went back home at that time?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Once you got home you still didn't contact the police, correct,
25	right away	I should say? You didn't call the police right away when you got home?

A Correct.

- Q All right. And you didn't call the hospital to inform them of what had happened; correct?
- A Correct. Part of the reason I didn't call the hospital is I had been in Centennial previously for the flu and had several items stolen and making phone calls to get resolution got me nowhere.
- Q Okay. And, Ms. Petersen, I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. You have to answer just from the questions I ask otherwise it gets confusing. And so you did answer me and I appreciate it. Now once you did get home after May 20<sup>th</sup> you were able to at least speak and communicate; correct?
  - A Yes.
  - Q And you were able to make phone calls if you needed to; correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. But at that time you still chose not to contact anyone about what had happened?
- A At that time my body started experiencing -- when I hit my head and got the brain trauma, all the sudden I could do Suduko in like two minutes; finish a puzzle which was totally abnormal for me. And then when the seizure started in May it's like all the sudden I was down the chute of a rollercoaster. I started experiencing high blood pressure. All my --
- Q Ms. Peterson, I'm going to interrupt you just for a second. I'm sorry, Your Honor, but I think this is all non-responsive.
- A No, I'm answering why I didn't call right away because my health started deteriorating so fast that that was on the front burner at the time. I started having seizures like every other day. I started experiencing uncontrollable sensory

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

25

question and now we're giving her free reign to make these narratives.

Α

	i .	
1	Q	Okay. And you could have made that phone call weeks earlier if you
2	wanted to?	I mean, you were physically capable of making that phone call?
3	A	I was capable
4	Q	Okay.
5	A	physically.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	But medically not so much.
8	Q	Earlier you said that you that because of your medical condition you
9	live with Mo	rphine every day?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. Are you on morphine today?
12	A	Yes.
13	· Q	Okay. What kind of dosage did you take today?
14	Α	My normal dosage. I take 7.5 milligrams three times a day.
15	Q	Three times a day?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And at this point, how many doses how many 7.5 milligram
18	doses have	you had?
19	Α	One.
20	Q	One. And then you'll take one midday and then another one in the
21	evening?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. While you were in the hospital, do you remember how many
24	times your o	loctor saw you?

No.

Α

24

25

Q

Okay. Ms. Petersen, you currently have a pending lawsuit against

Q

25

Because of what happened with Mr. Farmer you're suing the hospital?

1	A	Right.	
2	Q.	Okay. And you're suing the hospital for money; right?	
3	А	Right.	
4	Q	Okay.	
5	А	My attorney is.	
6	Q	And you're aware that a conviction in this criminal case will help the	
7	lawsuit?		
8	MR. I	MERBACK: Objection, Judge. That's clearly beyond her lack of	
9	foundation.	It's beyond her scope of knowledge and it's not relevant.	
10	THE	COURT: Lacks foundation and assumes facts not in evidence. It's	
11	sustained.		
12	MR. MANINGO: Court's indulgence.		
13	BY MR. MA	NINGO:	
14	Q	Ms. Petersen, we're just about finished. You had started to mention a	
15	situation where Centennial Hills Hospital, you had property stolen from you while		
16	you were th	ere?	
17	Α	Yeah.	
18	Q	And did you ever file any kind of a complaint or anything with the	
19	hospital?		
20	A.	Yes.	
21	Q	Okay. And you did not receive any satisfaction from them regarding	
22	that?		
23	Α	No.	
24	Q	And did you pursue it by calling the police or just by contacting the	
25	hospital?		

witness that this is actually trial testimony at this point and that the witness is not

allowed to discuss her testimony with anybody else or what went on here because there are other potential witnesses, in particular her family members and her sons.

THE COURT: Let me admonish her. All right.

MR. MANINGO: Yes, please.

THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Petersen, because what we did today is in order to preserve your testimony for trial and later your testimony will be played for the jury. The reason your son was asked to step out into the hall and wait there was because he will be a witness in the trial and so don't discuss your testimony here today with your son. It's important that we maintain that exclusion of the witness and so don't discuss your testimony with your son or other members of your family who might be trial witnesses in this matter. All right.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything further?

MR. MANINGO: Oh, no, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Court is adjourned.

MR. MERBACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Proceedings concluded at 12:24 p.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Particia Slattery

## PATRICIA SLATTERY Court Transcriber

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 02:03:33 PM

1	RTRAN	Alm to Chum
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3	DISTRIC	T COURT
4	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
6		CASE NO. C245739/C249693
7	Plaintiff, vs.	) ) DEPT. NO. V
8	STEVEN DALE FARMER,	•
9		
10	Defendant.	ELLEWORTH DISTRICT COLIRT HIDGE
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN	ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	MONDAY F	FEBRUARY 6, 2012
13	WONDAT,	EDITORITY O, EDITE
14		
15	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: STATUS CHECK: (BOTH)	
16		
17		
18	APPEARANCES:	10/10 1 1434 # 144/E# 14E B# 4 OI/
19	For the Plaintiff:	WILLIAM "JAKE" MERBACK Chief Deputy District Attorney
20	For the Defendant:	AMY A. FELICIANO
21		Deputy Public Defender
22		
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, C	OURT RECORDER

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 02:05:21 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun to Comme
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3 4	·	T COURT NTY, NEVADA
5 6 7 8 9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,  Plaintiff,  vs.  STEVEN DALE FARMER,  Defendant.	CASE NO. C245739/C249693  DEPT. NO. V
0	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN	ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
1   1   2   3   4   1   5   1   6   1   7	RECORDER'S T STATE'S MOTION TO CONSUME ENTIF	AY, APRIL 4, 2012 RANSCRIPT RE: RE DNA SAMPLES FOR Y-STR TESTING ORATORY (C245739)
8	APPEARANCES:	
19 20	For the Plaintiff:	ELISSA LUZAICH Chief Deputy District Attorney
21 22 23 24	For the Defendant:	JEFFREY S. MANINGO Deputy Public Defender
25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, CO	OURT RECORDER

testing then it sort of, if we're keeping a tally, it racks up two consistent and one inconsistent, in which case we may want to test at that time. Unfortunately, we wouldn't be able to then because of the destructive nature of this third testing.

If the third testing came out, you know, somewhat similar to the second testing that was done by the State, which was that there really wasn't anything that was found, possibly because of not enough sample, well then we would have two that way and we probably wouldn't test.

The dilemma we're in is that we don't know what that third testing would result in.

THE COURT: And we're not going to know unless we do the test, and if we do a test it's going to deplete the sample because there's not much of a sample left.

MR. MANINGO: Exactly.

THE COURT: And it seems to me that, you know, the proper scientific approach to this is, in fact, you've got the first questioned sample; you've got reason, in fact, for the State to retest. In fact, it would have been irresponsible for the State not to have that retested, given the facts concerning that forensic specialist.

And so when you have the result of that second test showing numbers that are different, the procedure would be to do another testing to determine whether in fact there was an error in the second testing. And the only way to do that is, in fact, this third test.

And the State has given the defense the ability to keep from being prejudiced by allowing them to send their own expert. I know that you oppose that on the grounds that it would go to an extra expense, but, obviously, that's an

	f I
1	expense the State will bear since the defendant is being defended at public expense
2	as it is.
3	So I'm going to grant the motion and the State will prepare the order.
4	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:28 A.M.
7	* * * * * * *
8	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audiovideo recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.
9	Lara Cercuan
10	LARA CORCORAN
11	Court Recorder/Transcriber
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
<b>2</b> 3	
24	
25	

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 02:06:47 PM

1	RTRAN	Stan & Comm
2	<u>,                                    </u>	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	DISTRICT COU CLARK COUNTY, N	
5 6 7 8 9	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs.  STEVEN DALE FARMER, Defendant.	SE NO. C245739/C249693 PT. NO. V
10	REFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSV	VORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11 12 13 14 15 16	MONDAY, JULY RECORDER'S TRANS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINU	CRIPT RE:
18	8 APPEARANCES:	•
19		LIAM "JAKE" MERBACK of Deputy District Attorney
20 21 22	For the Defendant:  AMN Dep	A. FELICIANO uty Public Defender
23 24	3	
<b>2</b> 5	FECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT	RECORDER

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, JULY 23, 2012, 9:48 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	THE COURT: Case Number C245739, State of Nevada versus Steven
4	Dale Farmer, who's present in custody with his counsel. Good morning.
5	MS. FELICIANO: Good morning, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Counsel, approach.
7	MS. FELICIANO: Thank you.
8	(Off-record bench conference)
9	THE COURT: All right. So I read the motion for continuance in this
10	case, and good cause appearing, the motion is granted. We're going to reset it for
11	the earliest date we can after the first of the year.
12	MS. FELICIANO: Thank you.
13	(Colloquy between the Court and clerk)
14	THE CLERK: March 4 <sup>th</sup> .
15	THE COURT: My birthday.
16	THE CLERK: Your birthday?
17	THE COURT: That'll be a great day. Okay.
18	THE CLERK: At 1:30 for jury trial and February 25 <sup>th</sup> at 9 a.m. for
19	calendar caller – for calendar caller – calendar call.
20	MS. FELICIANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
22	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:52 A.M.
23	*****
24	///
25	///

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case. Court Recorder/Transcriber 

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 02:08:10 PM

1	RTRAN	Alun & Column	
2		CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4	CLARK COUR	VIY, NEVADA	
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	OACE NO 0045700/0040602	
6	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C245739/C249693	
7	vs.	DEPT. NO. V	
8	STEVEN DALE FARMER,		
9	Defendant.		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN	ELL SWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
11	BEI ONE THE HONOTINBEE OMITOEIN		
12	MONDAY EED!		
13	MONDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2013		
14			
15		RANSCRIPT RE:	
16	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE (BOTH)		
17			
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	For the Plaintiff:	OFELIA MONJE Deputy District Attorney	
20			
21	For the Defendant:	JEFFREY S. MANINGO AMY A. FELICIANO	
22		Deputy Public Defenders	
23	Also Present:	NEAL K. HYMAN, ESQ.	
24		ROBERT E. MURDOCK, ESQ.	
25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, CO	OURT RECORDER	

3

1

THE COURT: All right. I want to call Case Number C245739, State of Nevada versus Steven Dale Farmer, also C249693.

4 5

MR. MANINGO: Good morning, Your Honor.

6

THE COURT: Good morning.

7

8

9

10

Court and counsel for both sides in chambers and discussed the issues surrounding

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

MR. MANINGO: Jeff Maningo and Amy Feliciano on behalf of Mr. Farmer, who's present in custody. This matter is set for calendar call; however, we did file a written motion to continue. Also, this is a matter where we met with the the need for a continuance.

It's outlined in the written motion, but briefly, just for the record, we have received a large amount of additional discovery coming from the pending civil matters which we have to review which include in the neighborhood of thirty-some transcripts of depositions and so forth. Those are things that we need to review and then pass on to the District Attorney, Ms. Bluth. These are things that have been discussed.

I think we had agreed that there was good cause for this request and the only question left was a matter of when we would reset this. I believe Ms. Bluth left some notes. Because of her maternity leave I think she's looking at November. Is-

MS. MONJE: That's correct, Your Honor. Ms. Bluth was here. She needed to leave. She stated that she has no opposition, as the defense is in possession of approximately 16 boxes of depositions that the State has to go through, as was stated.

filed?

She's asking that this matter be reset to the beginning of November when she comes back from maternity leave. She believes it's going to be a sixweek trial, about – approximately 60 witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay. And both sides received the motion that was

MR. MANINGO: Yes.

MS. MONJE: Yes.

THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure. I noticed that you were served with it. That the counsel for one of the alleged victims in this case has – who was representing that alleged victim in a civil lawsuit against one of the medical providers, filed a motion.

is counsel here that filed that motion?

MR. HYMAN: Yes, Your Honor, Neal Hyman. If Your Honor would entertain my response brief?

I represent one of the victims and actually Mr. Murdock is the other civil attorney.

THE COURT: Okay, so no. Okay. Here's the thing, you are not a real party in interest in a criminal case. The people of the State of Nevada — that's why it's State of Nevada and not the individual victims' names. Of course, this Court, as well as our legislature, has recognized that victims have an interest, certainly, in criminal proceedings where they are alleged to be victims in the case, but the burden of proof in your civil case and this criminal case are entirely different.

I know that you argue in that motion, which I did read, that the five-year rule is coming up and you need to go forward and that the Discovery Commissioner is going to lift the – a stay. Well – and that's fine.

I mean, if you try to take Mr. Farmer's deposition and he takes the Fifth, that – and – you know, that's different. The effect of that in a civil case is entirely different than the effect of that in a criminal case, and so it still comes in. Moreover, I'm not sure that a conviction in this case would act to trigger the statute that says you're entitled – that that proves liability, because it would prove liability only against him not against – and he's – he was dismissed from your civil case.

So -

MR. MURDOCK: Your Honor -

THE COURT: There are hand gestures going on.

MR. MURDOCK: Yeah. Your Honor, just so we're clear.

THE CLERK: And your name?

MR. MURDOCK: Rob Murdock, 4013.

I'm counsel in Dill versus Farmer, et al. Mr. Farmer is a defendant in my case.

The reason that I believe we have standing in this matter is the discovery that they're claiming they have now –

(Colloquy between counsel and the court recorder)

MR. MURDOCK: The discovery that apparently was given to them by defendants – all the defendants in my case is my discovery. It's mine. It's my depositions. That's why I have some standing here.

I'm not – I don't care about a continuance. What I care about is I'm being stopped in the civil case from getting all the discovery. I haven't seen one lick of discovery from the DA's office. I've subpoenaed –

THE COURT: All right. But that's all -

MR. MURDOCK: I know. And I - I'm not trying to get -

	1		
1,	THE COURT: - not for this Court to decide.		
2	MR. MURDOCK: And I'm not trying to get this Court to do it.		
3	What I'm saying is I'm doing their work. And it seems to me -		
4	MR. MANINGO: We don't have any of his depositions.		
5	MR. MURDOCK: I filed my case -		
6	MS. FELICIANO: Yeah, if we can just stop this.		
7	MR. MURDOCK: I filed my case —		
8	THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, wait.		
9	MR. MANINGO: The depositions we have are from –		
10	THE COURT: Stop.		
11	MR. MURDOCK: I filed my case —		
12	THE COURT: All right. Stop.		
13	MR. MURDOCK: - in 2009.		
14	THE COURT: Stop.		
15	MR. MANINGO: We don't even have a motion pending from this		
16	attorney.		
17	THE COURT: What do you not understand when this Court says stop?		
18	We have a record, and my court recorder needs one person to speak at a time.		
19	don't want to hear - see that in this courtroom. I find that contemptuous. Please,		
20	gentlemen. All right.		
21	MR. MURDOCK: Your Honor –		
22	THE COURT: Just let him finish and then I'll hear from you.		
23	MR. MURDOCK: Your Honor, I filed this case in 2009. I've served		
24	subpoenas on the DA's office. I've never heard from the Public Defender's office at		
25	all. They certainly know we've been prosecuting the case. And for them to come		

here and in their motion state that they have — they were just made aware of all of these, I find somewhat incredulous.

I'm not trying to intervene in this case. I don't care whether there's a continuance or not. It doesn't really affect my case. The problem is what they're doing is affecting my case. That's the problem I'm having.

With what Your Court – what Your Honor just said with regard to what I can hopefully get lifted, that's great, and that's what we will try and do now. But with that said, for the victims' sake we disagree, with that said.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. And I understand the – your position. The problem is, as I stated, there's a different burden of proof. The defense lawyers, regardless of how they came into or when they came into possession of this information – and I understand that there may even be some violation, potentially, of a protective order and agreement, which needs to be taken up again in the civil case –

MR. MURDOCK: Absolutely.

THE COURT: But they – as they explained what they believe to be there, there's – in this additional discovery and depositions, goes to intent to the testimony of the victims potentially. And I don't know what it is, you know, in any detail. All I know is that it sounds as if it's something that should be explored.

And, yes, I think that perhaps if defense counsel had also practiced in the civil arena they might have been more aware to make inquiries beforehand, but they didn't, and I don't think that the defendant should be punished for that, if you will. I mean, he's already waived and he has remained in custody all of this time in the jail. He's been in custody in the jail since 2008.

So, as much as this is the case – one of the very first cases I got when I was appointed to the bench – and I would like to move this case along, believe me. It's languished far too long and I want to get this case tried, but I don't think I can move forward and have defense counsel be entirely effective until they have looked at this information, and, of course, the State has the right to look at it as well, you know.

So, I am sorry that it may have an effect on your civil case but I would think that the Discovery Commissioner, seeing that we're going to have a trial date that's going to be – now is at – beyond your five years; is that right?

MR. HYMAN: At least my case, Your Honor.

MR. MURDOCK: Not mine.

THE COURT: Your case. Okay. Well, you know.

And as far as, you know, your renewal of motions to obtain discovery from the State, that's also at play and may be further ruled upon in the civil case, but it's not my case.

MR. MURDOCK: I appreciate that.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MURDOCK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. So, the motion to continue appears to be made for good cause, and good cause appearing, going to grant the motion. We're going to continue it until sometime in November you said?

MS. MONJE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: Now, I can tell you we have two murder trials set in November, so if they want a firm setting we've got to go out to February.

i	
1	THE COURT: All right.
2	THE CLERK: If they don't care about a firm setting I can put it -
3	THE COURT: We need a firm setting.
4	MR. MANINGO: We need a firm setting.
5	MS. FELICIANO: We need a firm setting.
6	THE COURT: We need a firm setting, so let's get the February date.
7	THE CLERK: Okay.
8	MS. FELICIANO: And I think six to eight weeks because the State's
9	noticed well over 60 witnesses. We would likely be calling an additional probably 20
10	to 30 witnesses. So I think six to eight weeks is a more realistic timeframe, Your
11	Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MS. FELICIANO: And I know we had discussed before in chambers
14	doing a jury questionnaire and we'll work with the State on getting that resolved so
15	we can find jurors who can actually accommodate that time period.
16	MR. MANINGO: And we spoke with Ms. Bluth and -
17	MS. FELICIANO: And she's agreeable.
18	MR. MANINGO: - I think they're in agreement with the amount of time
19	and the number of witnesses as well.
20	MS. FELICIANO: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: All right. February 3 <sup>rd</sup> at 1:30 for jury trial, January 27 <sup>th</sup> at
<b>2</b> 2	9 a.m. for calendar call.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	All right. Mr. Farmer, you got that now, so -
<b>2</b> 5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

1	THE COURT: You're about due for another haircut and a trim.	
2	THE DEFENDANT: Well, it'll be - what I do is I cut it and then I wait 'til	
3	just before trial 'cause I want to get it styled or whatever, you know, fixed for court,	
4	so. And as far as this, 'cause, you know, it's just a big hassle to shave. I just - you	
5	know, I let it grow. It'll be - remember last time I cut it off? Well, when I go back to	
6	over there right now I'll do the same thing, I'll cut it all off again and then let it grow.	
7	MR. MANINGO: We'll get it –	
8	THE DEFENDANT: This is like -	
9	MR. MANINGO: We'll get it cleaned up right.	
10	THE DEFENDANT: This is like the fifth time it's done this, but, you	
11	know, if this is what it takes for me to get, you know, to get to trial and have them be	
12	prepared then I'm prepared to just wait.	
13	THE COURT: All right.	
14	MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Judge.	
15	MS. FELICIANO: Thank you.	
16	THE COURT: Thank you.	
17	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 11:50 A.M.	
18.	*****	
19		
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-	
21	video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.	
22	LARA CORCORAN	
23	Court Recorder/Transcriber	
24		

Electronically Filed 08/22/2014 02:09:26 PM

1	RTRAN	Street to Character
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
з	DISTRICT COURT	
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
6		CASE NO. C245739/C249693
7	Plaintiff, vs.	) DEPT. NO. V
8	STEVEN DALE FARMER,	) . )
9	Defendant.	
0	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGI	
1	BEFORE THE HONOTRABLE OF THE	
2	MONDAY, JANUARY 27, 2014	
3		
4	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:	
5	CALENDAR CALL/ALL PENDING MOTIONS	
6		
7	APPEARANCES:	
8	For the Plaintiff:	JACQUELINE M. BLUTH
9	TOT THE TRANSMISS.	BRIAN J. KOCHEVAR Chief Deputy District Attorneys
1		Office Deputy District Attentions
2	For the Defendant:	JEFFREY S. MANINGO
23		RYAN J. BASHOR Deputy Public Defenders
24		• •
25	THE PART OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	
:	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER	
	l P	

THE COURT: No. I can't - I'm-

I think that's the most appropriate thing.

And I did speak with defense. It's going to be about 25 to 35 witnesses. We do think it will take four weeks, but we're completely against pushing it back, you know, another week. I think that we can take other precautions during jury selection that will get us the same result that Mr. Maningo is seeking.

THE COURT: And, you know, additionally, as I say, I'm ordering this large panel, so, you know, if we've got any concern that they've heard about this we'll dismiss those jurors. And, obviously, there was one particular thing about the victim – the deceased victim – the alleged victim that concerned me most. So, that's what we've got to make sure that we don't have prejudice arising out of that report.

MS. BLUTH: Correct.

THE COURT: And, also, we're going to have a problem just because the length of the trial. Even if it's only four weeks a lot of people aren't going to want to serve and we're going to have a lot of hardship people, so.

THE CLERK: And, State, I'm sorry, I didn't catch your names.

MS. BLUTH: Jacqueline Bluth and Brian Kochevar.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MANINGO: All right.

THE COURT: Any other concerns?

We've got a motion – let's see, oh, for recordation of all proceedings, including bench conferences, contingent motion for stay.

Okay. So here's the thing with that. I granted a motion to record bench conferences in a capital case fairly recently, you know, several months ago. Since that time, of course, there – the transcripts were done. And even though I told counsel you need to – because of course there were four counsel in that case as well – and you need to identify yourselves when you speak, we're not used to doing

6

7

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

that, so it wasn't done very often. There was over-talking, and the transcripts, because I specifically asked my recorder about it, how did the transcripts look on that? A mess, you know there are whole sections where it says inaudible. And part of that is because, of course, even with the white noise you don't want to talk so loud that the jury can hear.

MR. MANINGO: Right.

THE COURT: And so, I'm just telling you that we could do it potentially, but it may not have the result you're looking for.

MR. MANINGO: I understand, Your Honor. And it's - that puts -

THE COURT: And it's - certainly on - it's not something I'm going to routinely do in every case. It's just not happening that we're going to do that, because of that very thing. I would prefer that a better record be made outside the presence of the jury that's clear than have a garbled record.

MR. MANINGO: And we – our request would be that you do record the bench conferences for this trial. It'll put responsibility on us to make a clear record and to identify ourselves. That's - and that will be - at that point Mr. Howard Brooks from our appellate office can then take it up with each of us individually and say, look, we've made the request as our office has preferred that we do, the judge granted it and the record was still unclear, and that's because of what – you know, how the attorneys handled it.

So it'll put the responsibility on us. We're willing to accept that. And we would ask that the Court record the bench conferences.

THE COURT: All right. What - what's the State's position on it?

MS. BLUTH: We'll submit it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You - we're fine. So what I'll do is I'm going to grant it

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

and we'll see how it goes. If it - if you're not adhering to those specifications of identifying yourself and speaking clearly and not over-talking each other then we'll continue. If it looks like that's not happening then we'll just have to, you know, stop and have a hearing outside the presence if it – if you need that. Okay?

MR. MANINGO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Because I do want a clear record. I don't want there to be - even if it's your fault, I don't want there to be later something, if there is a conviction, that there was ineffective assistance because of that. All right.

THE CLERK: Did you grant the other one too, the videotape?

THE COURT: No.

Oh, and there is also a motion, the State's motion to use the videotaped testimony of the victim, and there wasn't any opposition to that.

MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor, we - I would hope the Court received our filed opposition to that.

THE COURT: No, I did not, so tell me what it savs.

MR. BASHOR: This was filed, just for the record, Your Honor, on January 21<sup>st</sup> of this year.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BASHOR: Your Honor, our concern was that at the time, while we were aware of the limited amount of the mental health issues surrounding this particular alleged victim, we did not [sic] aware of the extent which eventually led to her taking her own life. And, therefore, we would submit that the cross-examination at the time was not full and adequate and did not meet, what we would submit under Crawford v. Washington, Davis v. Alaska, and Chavez v. State as a full and appropriate time to cross-examine this particular victim.

. 

THE COURT: But the case law, does it not, provides that you have the opportunity for a full cross-examination. And as – my recollection of it was that it went – it was quite a lengthy hearing.

MR. BASHOR: I believe it was about an hour/hour and a half total, Your Honor. But I would quote from <u>Chavez v. State</u> at the adequacy of the opportunity. It's not simply just an opportunity. Of course we would concede we had an opportunity. We would just submit that it was not adequate given this information.

THE COURT: State.

MS. BLUTH: Judge, the State completely disagrees. This is an individual who testified at the Grand Jury, so they first had her transcript of the Grand Jury to completely go through. They then had an hour and a half where they had the opportunity to cross-examine her on any issues that they saw fit, including how this had affected her mentally.

This – what they're trying to do here is get these counts struck because she's a major – one of the only victims of the sexual assault charges. So I understand why they're doing what they're doing, but they don't have a legal basis for doing so. We have followed every rule, every statute to the tee, and we're asking that Your Honor deny the motion because of that.

THE COURT: So - well it's your motion -

MS. BLUTH: Oh, grant -

THE COURT: – to use the videotape.

MS. BLUTH: Correct.

THE COURT: I'm granting that, so.

All right. Any further questions or matters that we need to discuss

1	before we – before Monday, next Monday?
2	MR. MANINGO: Just procedurally, Judge, do we typically do - start in
3	the afternoon?
4	THE COURT: Yes, because I have calendar on Monday.
5	MR. MANINGO: Right, right.
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	MR. MANINGO: No, that's fine. I just want to know from our
8	scheduling.
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	MR. MANINGO: And then -
11	THE COURT: Oh, not every other day, just Monday. Monday's start
12	will be 1:30.
13	MR. MANINGO: Right.
14	THE COURT: But I'll give you – in fact, I'll have my JEA email both of
15	you the - my anticipated schedule so you can plan -
16	MR. MANINGO: Oh, okay. Sure.
17	THE COURT: - for the week.
18	MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
19	MR. MANINGO: Sure. That's fine.
20	THE COURT: And we'll do that every week so you'll be able to
21	coordinate your witnesses, et cetera.
22	MR. MANINGO: Just to know if we're going to do mornings or
23	afternoons or how that'll work.
24	THE COURT: And it really depends on what I have, if I have other
25	evidentiary hearings. You know, normally I try not to - if I anticipate that I'm going to

be in trial I try not to set other things, but this case was going to be so long I couldn't just clear the decks for everything, so.

MR. MANINGO: Right. No, that's fine. That's fine.

THE COURT: Sure. But we'll give you plenty of advance notice on that. In fact, I'll send that out, like I say, today.

MR. MANINGO: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MANINGO: And my second question was, jury selection, do we do jury selection as a panel? In other words, will we be – will counsel be addressing questions to the panel as a whole as opposed to Juror Number 1, 2, 3?

THE COURT: How I do it is we'll start with the whole venire panel and I'll do some of the common questions, you know, do you know the lawyers, do you know any of the witnesses, those kinds of things, have you heard about the case, and the – is there any reason you can't serve. Then we take all of the excuses about is there any reason you can't serve and I just get them and then I have you come up to the bench and we'll discuss which ones, you know, you agree that we should let go and which ones we don't and which ones we're going to keep. Then I read out those names, those people leave.

Then we – then we put 12 people in the box, okay. Then when I drill down more I'll ask some general questions like, have you ever served on a jury before, of the – just the people in the box, so we're not spending too much time on all the other folks out here.

When I finish with those general questions I'll let you ask general questions to the whole box, but let you do follow-up questioning. In other words, I don't want you to – these are the kind of questions, it's a show of hands. And then

we do the individual you'll be able to go back and ask them any follow-up questions you have, any questions that weren't covered that you feel are important, long as they don't violate the rule. 4 MR. MANINGO: Just in any order though? 5 THE COURT: No. We'll go 1, 2, 3. MR. MANINGO: Oh, okay. 6 THE COURT: Because we'll - if we kick one for cause -7 MR. MANINGO: Okay. 8 THE COURT: - say Juror Number 1 that's sitting in seat 1 gets kicked 9 for cause, we call the next in order, so you always know who's coming up because 10 it's next in order out of the venire panel. Then we'll put that person in. 11 MR. MANINGO: Okay, Okay, great. Thank you. 12 MS. BLUTH: And, Your Honor, would you be inclined to allow four 13 alternates in this case because of the length of the trial? 15 THE COURT: Yes, we'll need more. And if you would like to meet with me this week beforehand to discuss 16 17 this kind of housekeeping issues -MS. BLUTH: Okay. 18 THE COURT: - just schedule it with my JEA. I'd be happy to do that. 19 MR. MANINGO: Terrific. 20 MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Judge. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. BASHOR: Thank you. 23 24 ///

///

25

·1	MR. MANINGO: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:17 A.M.
4	*****
5	
6	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-
7	video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.
8	LARA CORCORAN
9	Court Recorder/Transcriber
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	

CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-245739 CASE NO. C-249693

Plaintiff,

DEPT. NO. 5

v.

STEVEN DALE FARMER,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2014

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.

BRIAN J. KOCHEVAR, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

JEFFREY S. MANINGO, ESQ.

RYAN J. BASHOR, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defenders

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

LARA CORCORAN District Court VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC Englewood, CO 80110

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

## LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2014, 1:37 P.M. 1 (Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.) 2 THE COURT: This is Case No. C-245739, consolidated 3 with C-249693. State of Nevada versus Steven Farmer. record will reflect the presence of Mr. Farmer with his 5 counsel, as well as the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting 6 7 the case. Is the State ready to proceed? 8 MS. BLUTH: The State is, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And is the defense ready? 10 MR. MANINGO: We are, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Are there matters outside the presence 12 before we even bring in the venire? 13 MS. BLUTH: Did Your Honor want to handle that 14 motion right now, or would you like to do it at a later time, 15 the motion in limine? 16 THE COURT: Right. And refresh my recollection. 17 read it, but that was like --18 MS. BLUTH: That's okay. It was the motion in 19 limine in regard to Roxanne and Scott Cagnina's divorce, that 20 there was a lot of things that came out during their divorce, 21 a lot of mudslinging on both sides that we don't find is 22 relevant. Does that refresh --23 Let's do that after since, I THE COURT: All right. 24

mean, there's no sense in keeping the jury --

25

1 MS. BLUTH: Sure. 2 THE COURT: -- waiting, or venire panel waiting. 3 And I'm sure we're not probably going to get a jury today given the length of the trial. And I'm sure there's going to 4 5 be a lot of people wanting to leave. 6 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 7 THE COURT: So we'll take that up tomorrow maybe. 8 MR. MANINGO: Okay. That's fine. 9 THE COURT: But definitely before we do openings. 10 MS. BLUTH: Thank you. 11 MR. MANINGO: That's fine. 12 THE COURT: Anything else? 13 MR. MANINGO: We would ask for the Court to impose 14 the exclusionary rule throughout the trial. THE COURT: Of course. We'll -- that's granted. 15 16 And so we'll bring in the venire as soon as we get them 17 assembled. I think we've got 50 today. I ordered 60, but 18 they had -- the jury commissioner had 700 jurors. There were 19 so many trials going on and they could only give us 50. I think we've got -- I think we ordered up 100 for tomorrow. 20 21 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 22 THE CLERK: We do? 23 THE COURT: Yeah. 24 MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, do you plan on asking the --

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

if they had seen it in the media fairly soon in the

25

questioning? Because, you know, otherwise, I think we'll get into stuff we don't need to get into with people that are just going to take off early on.

THE COURT: Right. Now, that's a good question

because normally what I do before I would ask that question —

I do ask that question pretty early on, but we're talking

about, then, the ones that say yes, bringing them in

separately one at a time. I wonder if it might be better for

us to try and ask the ones that, you know, they have the

unrefundable airplane tickets or they're expecting a baby

during our trial or, you know, if they have surgery

scheduled —

MS. BLUTH: Sure.

THE COURT: -- and get rid of those people first.

MS. BLUTH: Okay.

THE COURT: Because what I do with that is I ask them that question, take all the excuses from everybody at one time, and then I call you up to the bench and we'll talk about which ones we agree on or which ones you don't want to let go, and then I'll just read off all the names that we're going to let go at that point.

MS. BLUTH: Okay.

THE COURT: And that'll get us down and we won't have to worry because there might be some cross over and no sense in having those kind of people being brought in

```
1
    individually --
 2
              MS. BLUTH: Right.
 3
              THE COURT: -- on an immediate issue.
              MS. BLUTH:
                          Okay.
 4
              MR. MANINGO:
 5
                            I agree.
              THE COURT: All right.
 6
 7
              MR. MANINGO:
                            Thank you.
 8
                       (Pause in the proceedings)
 9
          (Inside the presence of the prospective jury panel)
10
              THE COURT: Please be seated. All right.
    Case No. C245739, State of Nevada versus Steven Farmer.
11
12
    the record will reflect the presence of Mr. Farmer with his
13
    counsel, as well as the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting
    the case, and all officers of the court.
14
15
              Will counsel so stipulate?
              MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17
              MR. MANINGO: Yes, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen,
19
    and welcome to Department 5 of District Court. Obviously, you
20
    are here because you were summoned to see if you could serve
    to be jurors in this case. And so I welcome you to
21
22
    Department 5.
              Now, the Deputy District Attorney that's prosecuting
23
24
    this case is going to give you just a brief synopsis of what
```

type of case this is and she's going to read to you the list

of witnesses that she's expecting that will be called as witnesses. I'd like you to please listen carefully to what she's saying because you're going to be asked if you recognize the names of any of these witnesses.

Ms. Bluth.

1.3

MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon. My name is Jacqueline Bluth, and sitting beside me at counsel's table is Brian Kochevar. Both of us are Chief Deputy District Attorneys within the Clark County District Attorney's office. We are here to prosecute the State of Nevada versus Steven Farmer, and the facts of this case will show that in 2008 the defendant was working as a certified nursing assistant at Centennial Hills Hospital. During the time period of April 27, 2008, through May 20, 2008, he inappropriately touched and/or indecently exposed and/or sexually assaulted five different victims who he was treating at the hospital.

I am now going to read you a list of the potential witnesses that could be called in this case. The following individuals are doctors, Dr. Curtis Bazmore, Salvador Borromeo, Damaj Nouhad, Hamid Haider, Darrin Houston, Alladin Lajvard, Christopher Milford. Bhatti Muhammad, Amir Shuja, and Kevin slaughter.

The following nurses could be called, Carol Butler, chief nursing officer John Coldsmith, Kimberly Davis, Christen

Edwards, Karen Goodhart, Jeanine James, Julie Montero,
Christine Murray, Sandra Pagain, Julie Rackley, Pam Robertson,
Jackie Schumacher, Julie Rackley -- excuse me, I said that
twice -- Lorraine Wescott, Margaret Wolfe, and Linda Ebbert.

The following individual are employed at the Las
Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Jennifer Bas, Michelle
Casper, Lora Cody, Luke Doty, Kellie Gauthier, Craig Jex,
Ronald Miller, Kim Murga, Julie Marschner, Kristina Paulette,
Misty Pence, Mike Saunders, Jeffery Smith, and Emily Jeskie.

The following individuals are lay witnesses, Roxanne Cagnina, Scott Cagnina, Ada Dotson, Craig Fabert, Denise Hanna, Thomas Hanna, Neil Hyman, Elaine Knepp, Tim Lehan, Heather Shank, Ruth Leon, Marsha Peterson, Marcia Petersen, Marshal Petersen, Micah Petersen, Heather Shank, Ernestine Smith, and Ledahlia Spurlock.

Thank you, Your Honor.

1.7

THE COURT: Thank you, Bluth.

Mr. Maningo, would you like to introduce yourself and your partner and your client.

MR. MANINGO: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Jeff Maningo and my friend and co-counsel here is Ryan Bashor, and we represent Steven Farmer, who is down here on the end. They -- you heard the State give a summary of what they hope to prove in this case. The only thing that we would add to that is that Mr. Farmer

has entered pleas of not guilty to all of these charges stating that he did not do this.

The witness list that the State read included many witnesses that we may call ourselves. I think the only ones that were left off the list that we would read to you in addition would be Robert Murdock, I believe I heard Neal Hyman already, both in Las Vegas. A Sandra Higelin from California, and Jane Everett from Las Vegas. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Well, ladies and gentlemen, the interest of all of the lawyers that are involved, as well as this Court, is that we get a jury of 12 people that are completely unbiased and have an open mind and will listen to the facts and apply the law as it's directed by the Court to come up with a fair and just verdict. We don't want to pry into your personal lives, but in order for us to determine whether you can be fair and impartial in this case, we do have to ask you questions.

At this point in time you are considered to be the venire panel, and as we go forward and eventually select a jury, then you'll be known as the jury panel. But right now you're known as the venire panel, and the process of asking you the questions is known as the voir dire. So before we begin that process of asking you questions, we have to swear you in because this process is done under oath. So I'd ask you all to stand, and the clerk will swear you in.

(Clerk swears the venire panel)

THE COURT: Thank you. Now, it's very important that you understand how important your full and truthful answers to these questions are. It's our only way of determining whether you're qualified to be a juror in this case. And so, obviously, if you withhold something or you tell us something that's not actually truthful or what you're not really thinking, that could contaminate your verdict and that could be a problem for this trial. So please try and answer the questions as fully and completely as possible.

I'm going to -- first I'm going to conduct a general questioning of everybody in the audience, whether you're sitting in the box or whether you're out here. And then we'll go ahead and zero down, you know, more so later. But first of all, let me ask to everyone seated in the courtroom in the venire panel, is there anyone, and you'll answer with a show of hands, who believes that they are acquainted with the defendant Mr. Farmer? All right. The record will reflect a negative response.

Are there any of you who are acquainted with either of the defense attorneys, Mr. Maningo or Mr. Bashor? Okay. The record will reflect a negative response.

How about is there anyone who believes they are acquainted with either of the Deputies District Attorney Ms. Bluth or Mr. Kochevar? The record will reflect a negative

response.

been acquainted with any of the witnesses? Okay. All right. So let's start with -- I've got one, two, three, four five. Okay. We're going to give you a hand-held microphone. Every time you speak in answer to a question I need you to state your name and I need you to state the last three digits of your badge number. And the reason for that is because everything that we do in this courtroom is recorded. That's how we keep the record. We don't have a court reporter who types into the machine. It's all recorded and a transcript can be produced later. So we need to identify who is speaking.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: My name is Cynthia Lo Presti. The last numbers are 762.

THE COURT: And who do you think you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Salvador Borromeo.

THE COURT: And how do you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: I actually work with him. I'm a medical contractor, a technician for ultrasound.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you friends?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Well, I work with him every day, basically.

THE COURT: Okay. So this is somebody that's a co-worker that you see every day on the job and --

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Because of that, do you feel that you would give more credibility to his testimony than you would any other witness? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Is there any additional 7 questioning that either counsel would like to make? it's probably appropriate that she be excused since she knows 9 one of with the witnesses that well. MS. BLUTH: Okay. That's fine with the State, Your 10 11 Honor. 12 MR. MANINGO: No objection. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. If you would 14 just go across the way and ask -- and tell them that you need to be reassigned to another case because you can't serve on 15 16 this one. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Okay. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. And let's call the next in 19 order off the list. 20 THE CLERK: Okay. There will be someone else in the 21 seat. Lourdes Rodriguez. 22 THE COURT: We just want you to move up to that. 23 Thank you. And did we have someone else that was in the box who 24 25 knew a witness?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1	Marshal, if you'll give the microphone
2	THE MARSHAL: Who else raised their hand?
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 864: My name is Uen Tuvida.
5	My number is 864.
6	THE COURT: And who do you think you know?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 864: I know Christopher
8	Milford. I work at Desert Springs Hospital. He's our
9	neurologist; I'm an ICU nurse.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And how well do you know him?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 864: I know him. I work with
12	him. He consults with our neuro patients.
13	THE COURT: Okay. How often do you see him?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 864: Once a week, twice a
15	week
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 864: in our unit.
18	THE COURT: All right. Is this somebody that you
19	would, if he testified, you would give his testimony more
20	credibility because of your relationship with him?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 864: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Any traverse?
23	MR. MANINGO: No, Your Honor.
24	MS. BLUTH: Not by the State, Your Honor.
25	THE CLERK: And, I'm sorry, what badge number were
ĺ	1

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1	you?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 864: 864.
3	THE CLERK: All right.
4	THE COURT: And so we're going to likewise let you
5	be dismissed and you'll need to report to the jury
6	commissioner.
7	Okay. Out in the audience here.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 917: Hi. My name is Genice
9	Rojas. I am 917.
10	THE COURT: And which witness do you think you know?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 917: Darrin Houston.
12	THE COURT: How do you know Mr. Houston?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 917: We're close personal
14	friends, as well as church members.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And you're certain it's the same
16	person?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 917: Yes, he's a medical
18	doctor for Centennial Hills, ER Department.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And since you're close personal
20	friends, I assume that you would give his testimony more
21	weight than any other
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 917: Yes.
23	THE COURT: witness?
24	Any traverse?
25	MS. BLUTH: Not by the State, Your Honor.

1 MR. MANINGO: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: You'll be excused. Thank you. Let the jury commissioner know that you need to be reassigned if they 3 4 have another case for you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: My name is Benedict 5 6 Moreno --7 THE RECORDER: I can't hear him. Closer to --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: Hello. 9 THE COURT: There you go. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: My name is Benedict 11 Moreno and my Badge No. is 977, and I do know Dr. Borromeo. 12 I'm also a registered nurse. I used to work at Summerlin 13 Hospital, but that was two years ago. I haven't spoken to him 14 since. 15 THE COURT: All right. So when you did see him two 16 years ago, how often did you see him? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: Over three times a week, 18 maybe those three times a week. THE COURT: So each day of that week, how much time 19 did you actually spend interacting directly with him? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: Only if he asked me about a patient of his. Not that much, maybe five, ten 22 23 minutes. THE COURT: All right. Do you think that you could 24 be fair and impartial even though you knew -- you know, you 25

knew the doctor sometime back? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: Not really. Not with 3 the doctor's statement, but as a nurse, you know, in regards to the sexual abuse it might be a problem for me. 5 THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't you have a seat and we'll follow up some more with you about that. Counsel, have you got notes on that? 8 MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor. 9 MR. MANINGO: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, yes, ma'am? If you would stand. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 981: My name is Elizabeth 11 12 Lockamon, I'm 981, and I know Craig Fabert. 13 THE COURT: And how do you know --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 981: He's a close friend. 14 15 husband and his wife and the four of us are close friends. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So you socialize on a regular 17 basis? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 981: Yes. 19 THE COURT: And as a result of that you feel you 20 could not --21 Are you anticipating calling this witness? MS. BLUTH: To be honest, Your Honor, no. He was a 22 23 potential witness at first, but no. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So the District Attorney is 25 telling me that he's not going to be called as a witness in

this case --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 981: Okay.

THE COURT: -- as it turns out. All right. Thank you.

Okay. Now, the next question I have is this. Are there any of you who believe that you may have heard or read about this case before coming here today? All right. We've got -- keep your hands up so I can get a count. Just three it looks like over here. All right. So what I'm going to do is I need everybody but the three people who raised their hands to wait for us in the hall. And so if you'll just do that right now.

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel)

THE COURT: All right. So the three that are remaining, what I'm going to do is actually ask you — one of you will remain and the other two will wait in the hall. But I wanted to say that I'm going to call you each back individually, so kind of stay near the door so the marshal will know. And after I question each of you and, you know, we have done what we're going to do, please don't discuss any of this with the other jurors out in the hall. Does everybody understand that? Okay. Great. So our far left gentleman in the yellow shirt, you can remain, and the other two out near the door.

(Inside the presence of Prospective Juror No. 874)

THE COURT: Thank you. That'll work a little better with -- oh, no. Stand right there by the podium because there's a microphone that she just put there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: I see that.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and tell us your name and the last three digits of your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: I'm Dustin Scott, 874.

THE COURT: Mr. Scott, what do you think you've heard about this case or know about this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Well, I read about it in the Review Journal, I think about a week ago, maybe. I know that there was one victim that initially came out and after that, more came out afterwards. And that one of them has died since and is unable, obviously, to give testimony. And that's about all I remember from the case.

THE COURT: Now, as a result of reading about the case in the newspaper, do you feel that you've made up your mind or formed opinions of the guilt or innocence of the defendant in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Do you feel that you could still remain fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to let the attorneys ask you some questions about that if they wish to.

You can just go ahead and stay where you are --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Okay. 3 THE COURT: -- to do that because you're near a microphone. 5 So, State, would you like to inquire further? 6 MS. BLUTH: Was that the first time that you had heard about the case or read about the case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: The first and only time. 8 9 MS. BLUTH: Okay. And so your immediate thoughts after reading that were what? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: I didn't really have any thoughts about it. I read the newspaper every morning. 12 work in a bar so I don't have anything better to do so I just 13 14 read all the articles. 15 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: And most of it sticks in 17 my head, but for the most part I -- I didn't have any feelings about it. 18 19 MS. BLUTH: Okay. And you still feel like you can 20 be fair and impartial and listen to all of the evidence? 2.1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes, ma'am. MS. BLUTH: And then do you feel like you can based 22 your decision on this case in regards to what you see within 23 24 this courtroom versus what you read? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes, ma'am.

```
MS. BLUTH: Do you recognize that, you know,
 1
 2
    reporting agencies aren't perfect? Is that a yes?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes.
 4
              MS. BLUTH: Sorry. They're -- they're --
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Sorry.
 6
              MS. BLUTH: -- recording everything we say. And so,
 7
    you know, everything you read might not have been true.
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes.
 9
              MS. BLUTH: And that you'll need to rely on the
    evidence that you hear that's presented here in the courtroom?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes.
11
12
              MS. BLUTH: And you think you can do that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes, ma'am.
13
14
              MS. BLUTH: Okay.
                                Thank you.
              Your Honor, I'll pass.
15
              THE COURT: Defense.
16
17
              MR. MANINGO: Hi, Mr. Scott.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Hello.
18
              MR, MANINGO: If it's easier you can just sort of go
19
    around that side. There you go. It'll still pick it up.
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Right on.
21
22
              MR. MANINGO:
                            Okay. When you read the article in
23
    the Review Journal, did you also see the picture?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: I do not remember a
24
25
   picture.
```

MR. MANINGO: So you don't remember seeing Okay. any picture? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: 4 MR. MANINGO: Okay. Do you remember in the article any comments regarding his -- Mr. Farmer's attorneys? 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: No. MR. MANINGO: Okay. And you understand that 7 whatever is in that article, that's not evidence? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes. MR. MANINGO: Okay. When you typically read through 10 the newspaper and you see stories about cases going on here in 11 Las Vegas, do you make any assumptions regarding guilt or 12 innocence? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: No, sir. MR. MANINGO: Okay. You -- you've heard of the 15 16 presumption of innocence? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes. MR. MANINGO: Okay. Do you know what that means? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Well, when you read or 19 you take a piece of news and you just automatically side with, 20 you know, a victim or, you know, somebody that's being judged 21 22 against, something like that, I think. MR. MANINGO: Right. And in our country, in our 23 constitution it says that everyone is presumed innocent --24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Right.

MR. MANINGO: -- unless they are proven guilty. And do you believe that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes. 4 MR. MANINGO: Okay. So this article won't affect how you look upon the evidence in this case? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: No, sir. 6 7 MR. MANINGO: Okay. Great. Thanks. No further 8 questions. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. If you'll -obviously, you may not discuss any of this, you know, or 10 11 reading the article. That's why we brought you in 12 individually. It's really important you don't discuss that with any of your fellow potential jurors, even if you are 13 seated on the jury that you never discuss what you read in 14 that article. Do you understand that? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Yes, ma'am. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you'll wait in the hall with the other jurors and we'll bring in the next 18 19 person. (Outside the presence of Prospective Juror No. 874) 20 (Inside the presence of Prospective Juror No. 939) 21 THE COURT: Ma'am, if you'd just come up and sit in 22 the witness stand it'll be easier. 23 2,4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Sure. THE COURT: Then you won't have to mess around with 25

that hand-held mic. Okay. Can you tell me your name and the last three digits of your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Certainly. My name is Bonita Batchelder. The last three are 939.

THE COURT: So tell us what you remember reading or knowing about this case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Mostly what I know about it was from the newspaper articles that I read, the allegations.

THE COURT: Okay. What do you remember specifically that the article said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Gosh, it's been a couple three or four weeks ago. Just that it happened -- this happened in a hospital environment and the nature of the allegations against the defendant.

THE COURT: Do you remember seeing any photographs along with the article?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: No, I did not.

THE COURT: So when you read the article, what was your thought? Did you think, well, this person must be guilty or did you form any opinions upon reading the article?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: I leaned toward the thought that perhaps the person was guilty.

THE COURT: So obviously you didn't expect to be called as a juror, but you're aware that in our system of

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1 justice --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Right. 3 THE COURT: -- a person is presumed innocent until 4 the State proves beyond a reasonable doubt otherwise? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: Would you be able to set aside what you 7 read and put that out of your mind and make your decision 8 based only on the evidence, or do you feel that you just wouldn't be able to do that in this case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: I can't say for sure. would try, but I can't say for sure that I wouldn't be 11 12 influenced by what I read before. 13 THE COURT: Was it your impression that the 14 newspaper article was saying that the defendant was guilty? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Yes. THE COURT: Would the State like to traverse? 16 MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 17 Good afternoon. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Hi. 20 MS. BLUTH: Would you agree with me that sometimes reporting, whether it be on television or in a newspaper, 21 they're not always 100 percent correct? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Oh, absolutely. MS. BLUTH: And if you are called or selected to a 24 juror, you will be tasked with listening to the evidence and 25

```
only taking into account the things that you hear in this
 1
 2
    courtroom and the things that you see. So do you think that
 3
    you would be able to sit as a juror, listen to everything that
 4
    both sides present to you, photos, testimony, and then base
 5
    your opinion just on those things?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: I think so.
 6
 7
              MS. BLUTH: All right. And when you say I think
 8
    so --
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: I think so.
              MS. BLUTH: So we can't get to the end of this trial
10
    and then you say, yeah, well, I thought I could do it, but,
11
12
    guys, sorry, I was wrong.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: I understand.
13
              MS. BLUTH: And so -- and we only want you to be
14
15
    honest.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Yeah.
16
              MS. BLUTH: And both sides just want a fair and
17
    impartial juror, so I appreciate --
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Of course.
19
20
              MS. BLUTH: -- your honesty, but at this point you
    kind of have to know. So do you think you would be able to
21
    sit and only consider the things presented that you hear
22
    during this trial?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939:
24
                                          No.
              MS. BLUTH:
25
                          Okay.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: No. 2 MS, BLUTH: Nothing further. Thank you. THE COURT: 3 Thank you. You'll be excused. Ιf 4 you'll report across the street. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: Across the street? 6 Okay. 7 THE COURT: I think of it across the street. 8 the hall. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 939: That's how it feels. 10 Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. (Outside the presence of Prospective Juror No. 939) 12 13 (Inside that presence of Prospective Juror No. 878) 14 THE COURT: And, sir, if you'll come up here and 15 take the witness stand. Thank you. If you'll please state your name and tell us the last three digits of your badge 16 17 number. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Troy Shaffer, 1878. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Shaffer, you said you thought you 19 had read something or heard something about this case? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Initially I heard about it on the news, of course. My wife is a therapist, my 22 mother-in-law is a nurse, and my sister-in-law is a nurse and 23 they have discussed different things that they had heard and 24 whatnot around my dining room table numerous times. 25

THE COURT: So, now, as a result of seeing this on the news and discussing it around the dinner table, have you formed an opinion in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: I don't know that I have formed an opinion. You know, most of it is like, well, I know so-and-so and they said this, and I know so-and-so and they said that. So I don't know if that's actually made up my mind in any way, but, of course, it's hearsay, but you don't really know what to believe, I guess.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's really important that the jurors who make the decision in this case make it based upon the evidence that they hear --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Right.

THE COURT: -- in this courtroom --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- and not from something that they heard, which --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Sure.

THE COURT: -- could be completely untrue.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay. And so what we have to figure out is whether you can set aside these things that you've heard and just listen to the evidence and make a decision on that. And all we want to know from you, because we don't -- we don't care whether -- what your answer is, we just need to know what

```
it is. In other words, there's not any pressure on you to
     say, oh, yes, I could be fair if you feel you could not be
     fair.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878:
                                           I don't -- I don't know.
     It may be a bit difficult considering some of the stuff that
  5
     I've heard. I like to think that I could be fair, but I don't
    know if I could in all honesty say that I would be completely
  7
 8
    unbiased.
               THE COURT: So you've heard in addition to what you
 9
    saw on the news, you've heard from various sources --
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Right.
12
              THE COURT: -- other employees --
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878:
14
              THE COURT: -- and nurses --
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878:
                                         So --
              THE COURT:
16
                          -- additional information that wasn't in
17
    the article?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Right. And then they
18
    were not necessarily working at that hospital, but it was more
19
    hearsay, so-and-so that I know said this, and you know how the
20
    medical community, like anything, is kind of intertwined.
21
    so, yeah, I've heard some stuff besides what was in the media.
22
23
              THE COURT: All right. Would the State like to
24
    inquire further?
25
              MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, the State is going to ask
```

```
1
     that he be excused.
  2
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
  3
               MR. MANINGO: No objection.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878:
  4
                                           Thank you.
  5
               THE COURT: And if you'll report across the hall to
 6
    the jury commissioner.
  7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Sure. Thank you.
              THE COURT: Thank you. And please don't mention
 8
    anything on your way out to any of the other jurors.
 9
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 878: Sure.
11
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
          (Outside the presence of Prospective Juror No. 878)
12
13
              THE COURT: All right. So I made the command
14
    decision when asked -- because I asked that, I wanted to see
15
    how many people so we could get that out of the way first.
    Since it was only three, I did it that way instead of how we
16
    discussed. So let's bring the rest back in.
17
18
              MR. MANINGO: Oh, one -- one moment, please.
19
              THE COURT: Oh, wait just one moment.
20
              MR. MANINGO: And, Your Honor, I would just ask if
    we could just -- I don't know the timing of when we would want
21
    to do it, but now that they also know that there's been some
22
23
    media about it, if we could make sure they don't -- with this
    -- with the new age now they can go out and Google it and all
24
25
   kinds of --
```

THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask them when they all come back in if anyone did that while they were in the hall. You're right. Thank you.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

2.1

(Inside the presence of the prospective jury panel)

THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. The record will reflect we are back and in the presence of the entire venire panel, and the presence of defendant with his counsel, Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case, and all officers of the court.

Ladies and gentlemen, was there anyone who, while they were out in the hall, got on their smart phone and Googled this case? Okay. So the record will reflect a negative response. Now, one of the things I want to caution you about is, obviously, you know, before the Internet and especially smart phones, we didn't have this issue come up, but — as much. But we have it come up quite frequently now, and that is jurors who are actually seated or potential people on a jury doing research and looking things up on the Internet. Please do not do that.

The only thing that the jurors in this case will hear as evidence will happen in this courtroom. No other place. So it's really important because if you get other information that is outside this courtroom, you could taint the entire trial. We might have to start all over again or,

you know, someone, you know, could question a verdict that was brought, and so we don't want that to happen. And the easiest way to avoid it is to not try and research the case on your own. All right. So that's just a continuing admonition to you as we complete the afternoon.

All right. So the next thing I want to ask you is going to be about your ability to serve during this trial, and I'm going to tell you what the length of the trial is and what our schedule, type of schedule is going to be. So we're expecting — you heard a very long list of witnesses. Not all of them will be called, but we're still expecting quite a few witnesses. So this case is expected to take between four and five weeks to try. So it's — it is a longer case in the big scheme of things. I mean, it's not the longest case that's been tried by far in this district, we've had cases that have gone six months, but it is a lengthy time period.

So I'm going to be asking you if there is any reason that you feel you can't serve for that length of time. But before I see a show of hands, I kind of want to talk to you about what kinds of things I'm looking for. The -- our legislature has said that everybody is supposed to serve as jurors if you're qualified to be a juror, and there are only two exceptions to that.

In other words, it used to be that if you were a doctor, you could get out. If you were a teacher, you could

claim an exemption and there were -- there were categories of people who would routinely get out of jury service. And the legislature said that's really not fair. We need juries of -- that reflect the diversity of our community, and that means everybody should serve.

So right now there are only two exceptions for service where you can absolutely get out. The first one is if you are over 70 years of age, you're 70 or over and you do not want to serve, you don't have to. But, of course, if you want to serve, we would dearly love you to serve if you wish to. But that is one of the exceptions. So is there anyone who qualifies for that that does not want to serve? All right. The record will reflect a negative response.

The other exception is if you are 65 years of age or older and you live at least 65 miles from the courthouse, which would mean you would have to live in Mesquite, Nevada, in order to still live in the county and get a jury summons but be that far away. Is there anyone that qualifies for that? All right. The record will reflect a negative response.

And so let me just kind of tell you about the schedule. Now, like, for instance, for this week tomorrow we would be going from 10:00 to 5:00 with a lunch break.

Wednesday we're going to go from 1:00 to 5:00 and there will be no lunch break because we're not starting until 1:00. But

there would be -- I'm not out to torture people and make them sit in these uncomfortable chairs for longer than is humanly tolerable. So we do take breaks and, you know, you're allowed to use the restroom and drink water, things like that that sustain life, right. So don't -- don't worry that when I say, oh, my gosh, we're going until 5:00, I'm going to be sitting here for four hours and how am I going to do that? No, you don't have to worry about that.

The Court does have other business it conducts as well as these trials. So for instance, when I say to you on Wednesday I can't start until 1:00, it's not because I'm playing golf all morning. It's because I will be doing my criminal calendar from 9:00 in the morning until noon. And although I often skip lunch or eat at my desk, my staff does need to take a break. They are very busy up here and they need to eat their lunch. So that's why we would not start until 1:00 on Wednesday.

On Thursday, depending — I have an evidentiary hearing on Thursday, so we won't be able to start right at 9:00, which we would normally do, or 8:30 on Thursdays. And so it's going to be a little later depending on how long that evidentiary hearing goes, but we won't go past 5:00. We'll never go past 5:00 because we're not allowed to go past 5:00 and incur overtime because the County is on a budget. And so we're mindful of taxpayer dollars, and I know you appreciate

that.

And so on Friday I have a civil calendar in the morning, and Friday would also be probably 1:00 to 5:00.

Actually, on Friday I have another matter in the afternoon, and so we'll be recessing a little early like at 4:00 on Friday. But that's kind of the schedule that we'll be keeping. So on Mondays and Wednesdays, every Monday and Wednesday we're not going to be able to start until 1:00 because of the calendar in the morning. It usually goes —well, it many times goes until noon and we never are sure. It's a very busy calendar, and as well on Friday mornings.

So it's not going to be a sprint every day. It's more like a marathon over the four to five weeks. The lawyers are hoping it will take only four weeks, but I wanted to tell you it could take five because then you know what your answers are going to be to these -- these other considerations.

So, for instance, if you have surgery scheduled, I need to know about that so you need to tell me that's -- that's why I can't serve because I'm going into the hospital to have a knee replacement or whatever it is, or if you have a very important doctor's appointment that you -- like a pre-surgery doctor's appointment or something like that. Not just a routine checkup that you could potentially reschedule, but something where you can't reschedule it.

Prepaid airline tickets to either wonderful places

because you're going on vacation or on business where nobody else can do this, okay. We need to know that. Don't -- don't tell me that somebody else -- nobody else but you can do it if really, you know, a coworker can do it, you know, if you were tragically hit by a bus tomorrow. You know, they could replace me, I'd tell you, like that if I got hit in the crosswalk coming in from the Judge's parking lot. They would get another judge to cover my docket. So as much as we'd like to think we're indispensible, the sad truth of it is that many times we can be -- have someone cover us. So think about that when you're answering the question.

If you are expecting a baby to be delivered in this five-week -- next five weeks or are the parent of a baby that's going to be delivered and you're going to need to be at the hospital, we need to know about that, as well. Know that most major employers in Las Vegas pay their employees while they're on jury service. So that would include, you know, most major corporations, certainly all of the gaming companies. So if you are worried about that, know that your -- you would be paid your salary while you're on jury service.

You also cannot be fired if you serve on a jury. It's illegal in the state of Nevada to punish someone or retaliate against them and fire them for serving on a jury, so you should not worry about that. And if you experience any pressure from a boss about your jury service, then you let me

know and anybody that would need it we can give letters to --to let them know that they're serving on a jury.

So all of that being said, now I'm going to be asking for a show of hands. What I'm going to do when I get the show of hands is I'm going to ask each person to stand, tell me their name, the last three digits of their badge number, and what their reason is that they could not serve on this jury for that length of time. And this only has to do with the timing. It's not about I don't think I can be fair because the — because I'll be asking you that question later, all right. This is only the timing and your schedules.

Okay. Once we get the name -- we get everybody's excuses as to why they can't serve, because I'm going to be taking notes up here about each one of you that says that, then I'll meet with the lawyers up here at the bench and then we'll call the names of those, if any, who are excused.

All right. So, with that, is there anyone sitting out there who believes that they cannot serve for the next potentially five weeks on this jury? We're going to start in the box.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 824: My name is Lourdes Rodriguez, my number is 824, and the reason why I may not be able because I have prepaid tickets to fly to Mexico on March, first week of March.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Prepaid tickets to

Mexico first week of March. Got it. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 824: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: My name is Krista Tryba, my number is 778. My only concern would be for Tuesday, 5 Wednesday, Thursdays. I have a five month old and a two and a half year old that go to daycare, so I would have to see if my daycare would be able to accommodate the change in schedule, 8 so to speak. Right now they are Tuesday, Thursday half days, 9 which would be noon to 6:00, and Wednesday full day. So just 10 as long as they could change the schedule at my daycare I 11 12 would be fine. 1.3 THE COURT: Okay. And you could -- how would you find out about that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: I would have to call the 15 director and see if she would be able to accommodate me. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: My name is Cornelia 18 Fletcher. My badge number is 779. I just had a two days 19 20 problem because I babysit my grandson just born on Tuesday and 21 Thursday, but -- and I don't know, just --THE COURT: Okay. So you babysit on Tuesday and 22 23 Thursday newborn grandson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: Granddaughter. 24 25 THE COURT: Congratulations on that newborn

```
1
    grandson.
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: Granddaughter.
 3
              THE COURT: Granddaughter. Okay.
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: And my daughter --
 5
    daughter-in-law goes to school.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. Could you make other
 7
    arrangements?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: I'm not sure.
 8
                                                         I can ask
 9
    them, but that's the only -- they don't want somebody to watch
10
    the baby, so --
11
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: The first baby.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 788: My name is Harold
15
    Openshaw. My badge number is 788. The 21st I have a prepaid
    air ticket to Miami. And on the 22nd I have a prepaid
16.
17
    seven-day cruise out of Miami.
              THE COURT: So we're all jealous, of course. All
18
19
    right. Okay.
                   I got it.
                              Thank you.
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: My name is Kyung Oh. My
21
    badge number is 801. I work at a very small company, family
    owned, I'm the only one employer and I do design in the
22
23
    printing shop. And if I have to be off that many days, the
24
    shop cannot be operated.
              THE COURT: Okay. So you -- it's a print shop you
25
```

```
1
    said?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: Print shop.
 3
              THE COURT: Print shop. You're the only employee?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: Yeah.
 5
              THE COURT: It's your business.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: I'm the only employer.
 6
 7
    I'm the only worker --
              THE COURT: You're the --
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: -- in the office.
 9
              THE COURT: -- only worker?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: Yeah.
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: Besides me they're all
13
    family and I'm the only capable designer.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. And so what -- the people -- the
15
16
    rest of the people in the family do other jobs other than
    design?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: Yeah, they can do --
18
    they run machines and we've got some -- a few more things
19
20
    going on at the shop.
              THE COURT: Okay. Do you do all that designing on
21
    the computer?
22
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 801: Yes, I do.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. I've got it.
24
                                                           Thank
25
    you.
```

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: My name is Julieta
 2
    Ramirez, Badge No. 1795. And I have two problems, one of them
 3
    I work also for the healthcare system, Valley Healthcare
 4
    System.
             I don't know anything about this case.
                                                     I don't know
 5
    anybody, but I work for that corporation. I don't think they
    pay for the time being off, and five weeks is a long time for
 6
    me. And I'm single, so I cannot afford to be here six weeks.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: Five.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: Five weeks.
10
    would need to use my vacation time and I don't think I have
11
    enough time to cover my expenses.
12
              THE COURT: Oh, gosh. Yeah, I wouldn't think that
13
    you would have five weeks of vacation time. If you do --
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: No, I don't.
15
              THE COURT: -- then I want your job.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: Yes.
16
17
              THE COURT: All right. So -- and where do you work?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: I work for the Valley
19
    Healthcare Systems. I work from home, so I really don't know
20
    anybody about this case. I have no idea about the case
21
    because I don't want TV, but I work for these hospitals,
    Centennial, Valley Hospital, all those hospitals from home.
22
23
              THE COURT: Okay. So you work for Valley
   Healthcare --
24
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795:
```

```
THE COURT: -- but you work from home, did you say?
   1
  2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: I work from home.
     Uh-huh.
               THE COURT: And you're the sole source of income for
     yourself?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: Yes. Yes, I'm the only
  6
     one and I have three dogs that they get sick every week.
  7
     cannot afford to -- to -- I mean, I work 68 hours a week to
     cover my expenses as it is.
 10
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Okay. Who is next?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 795: Thank you.
 11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: David Coffman.
12
                                                           Is it
13
    on?
14
              THE COURT: Okay. What's your badge number?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: 837,
16
              THE COURT:
                          837.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: I have two problems.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: The first one is on the
    14th I have a doctor's appointment for surgery for my nose.
20
    And I'm not sure if the surgery is going to be done that day
    or not, but we will be discussing it. That's all I can tell
22
23
    you about that.
24
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: It's for 2:30 in the
25
```

afternoon.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: The other problem is the potential over that time period for me to have a health issue because of my diabetes.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: I have a pretty severe case of diabetes and I currently keep it under control most of the time. However, sometimes my blood sugar gets too low, most of the time it's the problem I have, which means I can go — I can faint and go into diabetic coma. So in order to not interrupt your court because of those issues, because I need to go a minimum of every four hours and check my blood sugars and I don't want to interrupt your court, you know, unless we can work around it.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Obviously, we try to accommodate any kind of, you know, challenges like that, health challenges, disabilities, because we want everybody to be able to serve. And this I say — I'm not trying to make you — you know, this isn't the death march so we would certainly be able to accommodate your need to check your sugar rates every four hours, and I'm sure you need to eat regularly and those things.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: Yeah, I have to.

THE COURT: Okay. Right. All right. Thank you.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: The real reason why I brought it up is I'm currently having some kind of issue going 3 on right now. I can tell by what's going on with my body. THE COURT: Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: And I just checked my 6 blood sugar half an hour ago --7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: -- and also ate, so I'm 9 not sure what it is without checking my blood. 10 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: But I feel okay, but 11. 12 there is something going on right now, so --13 THE COURT: Okay. Well, if you start feeling worse, 14 you'll signal the marshal; right? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: Yeah. I'm actually 16 starting to feel better now. It was over the last 15 minutes I got worse and kind of dizzy and all kinds of stuff happens 17 18 with me when I -- I get weird. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 THE MARSHAL: I've got my eye on you. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 837: You can watch the color 23 of my face, then. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 844: My name -- my name is

```
1
    Karma Collins and 844 is the juror number.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 844: I'm employed by parents.
 4
    I work with their child that has severe disabilities and
 5
    special needs. I provide services within the home and at the
    private school that he attends. And I'm a one-woman show, so
 6
 7
    I don't have anybody else to support me if I'm not able to do
 8
    that. Hence, if I'm sick, he doesn't go to school or get
    services, so I try not to get sick a lot. But so that amount
    of time would not only -- it would affect someone else.
10
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. What about --
    so like when you -- do you ever get any vacation?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 844: Uh-huh.
13
              THE COURT: And when you do, do they bring somebody
14
15
    else in?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 844: No. My vacations
17
    coincide with his vacations from school.
                                              So his parents
    assist him at home, but I go to school. If I'm sick, he
18
19
    doesn't go to school.
              THE COURT: Oh, I see. So you go to school with
20
21
   him?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 844: Uh-huh.
              THE COURT: That's very interesting. Okay.
23
                                                           Thank
24
   you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 844: Uh-huh.
25
```

1 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 845: My name is Evelyn Jahr, and my number is 845. 3 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 845: And I am preparing for 5 6 surgery, so I have doctor appointments leading up to that time, and I also have an appointment in California on the 7 8 19th. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So you have multiple 10 appointments? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 845: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 802: My name is Ivan Quiroz, 13 last three is 802, and I'm expecting my son to be born on 14 15 February 16th. 16 THE COURT: Congratulations. Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 868: My name is Jacqueline 17 Custis. My badge number is 868. And I have two appoint --18 well, I have two appointments on the same day on February 13. 19 20 A doctor's appointment at the endocrinologist that I've been waiting for for a month, and I have a foster care -- I have a 21 foster care license and I have an appointment on that same 22 day. And I keep my great-grandson who is six months old. 23 I've been keeping since he was born and his mother lives with 24

me, my granddaughter, and she has like three part-time jobs

25

and I'm the only one that keeps him. 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And when do you have 3 -- when do you keep him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 868: I keep him Monday through Friday, anywhere from 4:30 in the morning to 10:00 at night depending on where she's working. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And how old is he? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 868: Six months old. 9 THE COURT: Oh. Six months. Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: Hello again. I'm Dustin 10 Scott, 874. Like I said earlier, I'm a bartender. 11 I actually have three jobs. I work about 80 hours a week. I was just 12 recently involved in a car accident two weeks ago that costs a 13 lot of money, and I also have an aunt that I take care of and 14 I pay for her rent, as well. That's why I work so much. 15 really cannot afford to take time off of all three of my jobs 16 for five weeks. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. And how many hours did you say 19 you work a week? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: About 80. 21 THE COURT: Oh. Gosh, when do you sleep? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 874: I don't. I sleep about 22 23 four hours a day. 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 887: My name is Judy Sandeen. 25

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 887: Badge number is 887. 3 husband is scheduled to have surgery on February 25th. 4 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: My name is Hui Ding. 5 6 badge number is 890. 7 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait. I'm still making notes here. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: I have two problems. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry, sir. Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Okay. They already send 11. 12 a contract for the IRS because every day we get --THE COURT: I didn't hear your name or badge number, 13 14 though. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: So I work in the casino 17 We already signed the contract for the IRS. 18 day I have to -- every day I have to pay for like \$12 for hour 19 for the tax. So you do like for five weeks, I've got to pay 20 for my parking. Then today I know to come we get a pay \$40, 21 22 but I have to come to work, too. I work night time. I cannot 23 sleep, you know. 24 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. You -- you couldn't work at 25 night and then come and be here in the day because we need

everybody to be awake. And I had a juror one time who was trying to -- he didn't tell us this, but he was trying to work all night long and then come and listen to court in the day time and he was falling asleep. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yeah. 6 THE COURT: And I had to -- we had to excuse the rest of the jury and ask him about it. It turned out, yeah, he was working all night long operating heavy machinery and, you know, not getting any sleep. And this was not good for either the Court or his health because he was operating heavy 10 11 machinery. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: So we can't have that. So what is it 14 that you do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Casino dealer. 15 16 THE COURT: Casino Theater? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: Like doing what? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: The card. The card. 20 Dealing the card. 21 THE COURT: You do a car? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: No, no, no. 23 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Casino game. 24 25 THE COURT: Oh, card games.

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Table game.
  2
               THE COURT: You're a dealer.
  3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yeah. Yeah.
               THE COURT: Okay. So you deal at night. You work
     the graveyard shift?
  5
  6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: No, 8:00 to -- 8:00 to
  7
     4:00.
 8
              THE COURT: 8:00 to 4:00.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: 8:00 to 4:00.
10
              THE COURT:
                          8:00 to 4:00. Swing shift.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yeah, swing shift.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. But where do you work?
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Silverton.
14
              THE COURT:
                          Silverton. And you don't think the
15
    Silverton will pay you?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: They pay me, but they
16
17
    already --
18
              THE COURT:
                          They won't pay you tips.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: They doing it table by
19
            So they already signed the contract, whatever you
20
    make, you do work, you have to pay for tax for the IRS?
21
22
              THE COURT: Well, right, but if they're paying
23
    you --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yeah, but I signed a
24
   contract for like a $120 for the day.
```

1	THE COURT: Okay. But
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: So what am I going to
3	pay for \$120 for the other times?
4	THE COURT: Well, your in other words, they're
5	estimating your tips
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yes.
7	THE COURT: at \$120 a day?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Yeah. But if I don't
9	work
10	THE COURT: But when you're
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: I make nothing
12	THE COURT: If you're on jury duty
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: I have to pay.
14	THE COURT: If you're on jury duty, do they still
15	not pool the tips for you while you're on?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: No. Nothing. I have to
17	pay my pocket if I don't work.
18	THE COURT: You have to pay your parking?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: No, pay for myself.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: And I not think, you
22	know, my language very it's bad. I think I don't
23	understand the case, you know.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 890: Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: Ze Wu Li, and my badge
3	number is 687. I have purchased an air ticket to China on
4	February 26th.
5	THE COURT: That's not your badge number, so let
6	me
7	THE CLERK: Yeah, something is wrong.
8	THE RECORDER: It's 895.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Your badge number is 895.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: My number is 687.
11	THE COURT: No.
12	THE CLERK: What is your name?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: Ze We Li.
14	THE COURT: Ze Li?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: Oh, I got it.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: 895.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: Sorry.
20	THE COURT: All right. Start again. You're going
21	to China?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: Yes, on February 26th.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Is that for business or pleasure?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 895: No, a vacation.
25	THE COURT: Vacation. Okay. All right. Thank you.
- 1	

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 899: Jennifer Doyle. badge number is 899. I have a pre-paid family vacation to Buffalo, New York, February 13th through the 18th. 3 I am also a parole officer with the state of Nevada and I do supervise an active case load, so I would be worried about the 5 6 supervision of my case load. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: My name is Liza Baranski. My badge number is 923, and I'm self-employed. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. What do you do? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: A hairdresser. THE COURT: 12 So you rent a --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: I rent, and I pay 13 14 whether I'm there or not. 15 THE COURT: Right. Okay. So you rent your station and then you have a clientele? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: Not a station, but, yes. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: Thank you. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 929: Good afternoon. is David Fehrmann, Badge No. 929. February 13th myself, my 21 wife, and my family is expecting to find out the gender of my 22 I work for Nevada Division of Forestry at High Desert 23 child. State Prison. I take about 150 inmates into my possession. 24 This Thursday I've got a training class to operate the semis 25

```
1
     that we're going to be driving to transport them, as well as
  2
     three other classes in February through the state to qualify
     me for fire season this year as a state firefighter.
  3
  4
               THE COURT: Okay. So you work for the Department of
  5
     Forestry, did you say?
  6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 929: Yeah, Division of
  7
     Forestry.
  8
               THE COURT: Division of Forestry. What's your job
  9
     title with them?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 929: Inmate crew supervisor.
 10
11
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. And you've got training.
     the 13th you have an appointment, your wife has an appointment
12
13
    for an ultrasound? Is that --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 929: Correct.
14
1.5
              THE COURT: -- what it is?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 929: Correct.
16
              THE COURT: I guess you can just go in and get those
17
18
    at, you know, like -- I found out I was going to be a
19
    grandmother on Saturday, so I'm pretty excited about that.
20
    But, yeah, because you can just go in. My daughter-in-law was
    visiting and went in to surprise me with the picture of the
21
22
    new baby.
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 929: It's pretty exciting.
24
              THE COURT: Yeah. Pretty exciting stuff.
25
    right.
            Thank you.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 935: My name is Ignacio Flores. 935 is my badge number. I have a two year old and an eight year old that I take care of on my days off, Wednesdays and Thursday, and the mom takes care of them Sundays and 5 Mondays. Tuesday and Friday my -- my father-in-law and my mother switch on and off, but my mom has emphysema so it's been quite hard for her lately. And me missing Thursdays and 7 her picking up that babysitting, I don't think she'll be able to do it. And also, I work for tips for Wyndham. bellman there. Me missing five weeks is really going to hurt 10 us financially if I'm out of work for five weeks tip wise. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. What is it you do there? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 935: I'm a bellman. THE COURT: Oh. And which days do you have to 14 15 babysit for the kids? Which days are you babysitting? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 935: Wednesdays and 16 17 Thursdays, my days off. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 937: Hi. My name is Nicole 20 Rubino, Badge No. 937. I'm also a self-employed hair stylist. 21 I rent a station and I'm the sole supporter of my eight year 22 old son. 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 937: Thank you. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 908: Hello. I'm Erica

1 Perreira, Badge No. 908, and I'm scheduled for a pre-paid 2 flight to Miami on Sunday the 9th through the 13th. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 912: My name is Eduardo 4 5 Martinez, Badge No. 912. I'm a college student attending 6 University in Baltimore, Maryland, and the classes for the 7 spring semester come in exactly one week from today and this 8 Thursday I have a non-refundable flight out to Baltimore. THE COURT: Okay. Off to college in Baltimore next 9 10 Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 943: My name is Clinton Luu, 11 12 Badge No. 943. Two things, the first thing is that I have a 13 wedding planned to go to Costa Rica February 13th through the 19th. And then the second is I'm a college student and I'm 14 only scheduled Mondays and Wednesdays. And essentially I'd be 15 missing about three classes for the five weeks --16 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 943: -- if I was to do jury 19 service. 20 THE COURT: And where do you go to college? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 943: 22 THE COURT: UNLV? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 943: 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 961: Hi. Derek Rodriquez,

Badge No. 1961. On February 17th I'll be traveling in Northern California to lay my father to rest. He passed away 3 earlier this month. 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry to hear that. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 961: It's quite all right. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 965: Hello. My name is Dina 8 Reyes, Badge No. 965. I have my mom as my dependent and she's scheduled to have surgery within this month. 1.0 THE COURT: Okay. So your mother is scheduled for surgery? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 965: Yes. They will call me with a date on these days. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. And are you going to have to --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 965: I have to take care of 16 And I'm a single mom and she lives with me. 17 THE COURT: I see. Okay. Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: My name is Benedict 18 Moreno, Badge No. 977. My main concern is I have a 19 20 kindergarten son. I bring him to school 8:10 in the morning, picking him up around 2:30. He goes to a private school so he 21 22 don't use the bus system or the school bus. My wife also 23 works. I mean, she can bring my son to school, but there will be a conflict in schedule because she works at nights, so she 24 sleeps during the day time. She's a nurse at St. Rose 25

Hospital. 2 THE COURT: Okay. But she would be able to pick --3 pick him up? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: There are days that she can, maybe when she's off, but, you know, Monday through 5 6 Friday, five weeks. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Do you work normally? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: I -- I'm also a nurse. I do home health, which is the main reason I'm doing home 9 health because my hours are flexible. 10 I work from like 8:00 to 5:00, but by 2:00, 2:30 -- I mean, 2:30 I pick my son up on 1.1 12 my way home. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And how old is your son? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: He's five. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 977: In kindergarten. 17 THE COURT: Oh, yes. Thank you. Anybody else? 18 Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 981: My name is Elizabeth Lockamon, Badge No. 981. I'm a stay at home mom. I have a 20 four year old and seven year old. So my four year old is not 21 22 in school all day, so my husband would have to ask off for 23 vacation or sick time for the next three to six weeks. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 981: I also have four doctor

appointments this month, two this week, two the week after next, and the last Friday of the month we're scheduled to go on a family trip to California.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I got all of that. Thank you. All right. So, ladies and gentlemen, normally I do this at the bench, but because we have so many what I'm going to do is I send you on a break while I speak to the lawyers about this. And so I'm going to read you an admonition. Before I read it to you, I'm also going to tell you this other thing, and that is that you may see the lawyers --

Yes, ma'am?

4.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: I work grave yard, too, 10:00 to 6:00, 10:00 at night to 6:00 in the morning.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: My badge is 779.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 779: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. So the lawyers, you may see them in the hallways walking to court. They are all very charming individuals and would normally, as courteous and charming individuals greet you if you greeted them. But they're not allowed to speak to you because to do so might look like they were trying to curry favor with a juror. And so please don't try and engage them in conversation or even say hello to them because they'll feel really bad when they have to just

completely ignore you, all right. So just avoid that.

If you have to communicate with the Court, you need to do so through the marshal, just like we just did. That was great. If you have anything you need to bring to the Court's attention, do that. That's your contact. So, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a 20 minute recess. I have ten minutes after 3:00 right now.

During this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or Internet, that includes smart phones. And you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this trial and case until it is finally submitted to you.

So we'll be in recess for 20 minutes, and just wait outside in the hall and the marshal will collect you when the recess is over.

(Prospective jury recessed at 3:07 p.m.)

THE COURT: The record will reflect we're outside the presence of the venire. Oh, my goodness. There's a lot of people. Okay. My count is 27 people who are asking to be excused at this point. All right. So I think maybe the best way to do it is just kind of go down the list in the order

that they -- we did it. 2 So first one is Lourdes Rodriguez, Badge No. 824. 3 She's got prepaid tickets to Mexico the first week of March. Anybody --5 MR. MANINGO: I think that's good enough to go. 6 THE COURT: Right. 7 MR. MANINGO: I would have no objection. 8 MS. BLUTH: I would agree. THE COURT: Okay. So she's a yes. And next one is Krista Tryba, 778 badge number. She has the Tuesday, 10 Wednesday, Thursday daycare potential issue, although, she 11 12 could call. 13 MS. BLUTH: Right. THE COURT: And I guess we should ask. Andrew, ask 14 15 the marshal if this Krista Tryba, 778, see if maybe she can call while we're doing this. 16 MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, and after that Ms. Fletcher, 17 779, also said that she could call her, apparently it was her 18 19 daughter or her granddaughter and see if they can make other 20 arrangements. 21 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Then she's also the one, 22 though, that came back and said she also works graveyard. 23 MS. BLUTH: Yeah, she did say that. 24 THE COURT: So what's the defense's feeling about Ms. Fletcher? Do you want me to try and get her to --

1 MR. MANINGO: I'll submit it at this point on Ms. 2 Fletcher. 3 THE COURT: I mean, I think she's going to keep giving us excuses until she gets off at this point. 5 MR. MANINGO: I have no objection to letting her go. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Let's let her go. The other gal, Krista Tryba, she seemed more genuinely, I think, trying to 7 8 work it out. Next one is Badge No. 788, Harold Openshaw. got -- he's going to Miami on February 21st and he has the 10 prepaid cruise. Everybody agree --11 12 MS. BLUTH: Yes. 13 THE COURT: -- to let him go? 14 MR. MANINGO: I agree. 15 THE COURT: Okay. The next one is 801, Kyung Oh. He's the family business print shop. He's the only one that 16 knows how to run the design computer and so it's a hardship 17 for his family business. What do you think? 18 19 MR. MANINGO: Defense has no objection to -- to 20 letting him go. I mean, I understand his scenario. Certainly 21 I don't want to run someone out of business. 22 THE COURT: State? 23 MS. BLUTH: I'm fine with letting him go, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Next is 795, Julieta 25 Ramirez-Cossio. She is the person who works for Valley

Healthcare doing something from home, doesn't think she's going to get paid, and she works 68 hours a week. That was a little vague. I wasn't sure what she's doing from home for Valley Healthcare. And she may or may not. I mean, if she's working from Valley Healthcare from home, then she might not actually be employed by them. She might be a contract worker of some kind. We could ask her to find out whether she'll be paid.

MS. BLUTH: Judge, my concern with her is two-fold. The 68 hours of work thing was bothersome to me, but more of the bigger picture is she does work for Valley Health System, which is a major component in this entire thing.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. BLUTH: And I recognize she doesn't think she knows anything or she doesn't think she knows somebody. I'd just rather just let her go at this point. I don't want someone to get on the stand and she recognizes them, etcetera.

THE COURT: Does defense agree?

MR. MANINGO: I agree.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's let her go. Next one, 837, David Coffman. He is on February 14th, got the appointment for the surgery on his nose, plus he has the severe diabetic health problems and could collapse on us during the trial. I think we should let him go.

MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor.

1 MR. MANINGO: We agree. 2 THE COURT: Next is Karma Collins, Badge 844. 3 employed as the caretaker for the disabled child. She goes with him to school every day. 5 MS. BLUTH: I think we should let her go. THE COURT: 6 Okay. 7 MR. MANINGO: That's fine. 8 THE COURT: Yeah, I think especially in light of 9 this case it might be a good idea. Evelyn Jahr is the next 10 person, and that's Badge No. 845, preparing for surgery. She has multiple appointments and an appointment in California. 11 12 We need to let her go. 13 MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor. MR. MANINGO: We agree. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Number 802 is next, Ivan Quiroz. 16 He's expecting his son to be born on February 17th, so I think 17 we would be losing him even if the baby is late. probably going to end up in the middle of the trial. 18 19 MS. BLUTH: Yes, Judge. 20 THE CLERK: Just for the note, February 17th is the 21 holiday. 22 THE COURT: Oh. The 17th is a holiday. if we were willing to gamble. Yeah. Babies never cooperate 23 24 that way, though, do they?

25

MR. MANINGO: I think he's going to have his hands

```
full for --
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 3
              MR. MANINGO: -- probably about the next 18 years,
 4
    so --
 5
              THE CLERK:
                          Or more.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. So we'll let him go.
 7
    is 868, Jacqueline Custis. She's got appointments on February
    13th at an endocrinologist, foster care appointment, and she
    babysits her great-grandson every day who is six months old.
    What's the defense's feeling?
10
              MR. MANINGO:
                            I have no objection to letting her go,
11
12
    especially for the sole caretaker issue. If it's -- if we're
13
    talking about doctor appointments on one day, we can always
    accommodate by going dark that day or doing a half day one way
14
    or the other or however it needs to work out, but --
1.5
              THE COURT: Yeah, she's got multiple issues.
16
17
              MR. MANINGO: I think there are other issues, so I
    would have no objection to letting her go.
18.
19
              THE COURT: All right. I didn't try and suggest
    that to any of these people because pretty soon -- I mean,
20
    we've got 25 people were all trying to schedule around their
21
    schedule. It's going to be a nightmare. It's hard enough to
22
    just schedule around our own schedules. All right.
23
              THE CLERK: Which one was that?
24
              THE COURT: She's Jacqueline Custis, 868.
25
```

```
right.
            Next one is --
 2
              THE CLERK: And she's excused?
 3
              THE COURT:
                          -- Dustin Scott. Yes.
              THE CLERK:
                          Okay.
                                 I'm sorry.
              THE COURT:
                          That's okay. Dustin Scott, 874.
    -- he's the bartender with three jobs and cares for his aunt
    and he works 80 hours a week, plus he's also the gentleman who
 7
    read about the matter in the paper, so --
 9
              MS. BLUTH: Yeah, probably safer to let him go.
10
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. No. 887, Judy Sandeen.
                                                         She's --
12
    her husband is scheduled for surgery on February 25th.
13
    she's got to go to that and then take care of him afterwards,
    I assume. So we should let her go. Anybody object?
15
              MS. BLUTH: No objection.
16
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
              THE COURT: 890, Hui Ding. Card dealer, 8:00 to
17
18
    4:00 at the Silverton. Language barrier big time. I really
19
    had a hard time.
20
                          No objection.
             MS. BLUTH:
21
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
             MR. MANINGO: No objection.
22
                         895 is Ze Li. He's going to China
23
              THE COURT:
24
   February 26th on vacation, so --
                         No objection.
25
             MS. BLUTH:
```

THE COURT: -- we need to let him go. 2 MR. MANINGO: No objection. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 899. Jennifer Doyle. She's got the prepaid vacation from the 13th to the 18th, and then she just let us know she is also a parole officer, so you probably don't want her for that reason, as well. 7 MS. BLUTH: I like that reason, but no objection. THE COURT: Yeah. 8 Okay. 9 MR. MANINGO: No objection. 10 MR. KOCHEVAR: I just want to know why she's going 11 to Buffalo in February. 12 THE COURT: I know. When she said -- I held my 13 tongue. I didn't say Buffalo for vacation? It's cold there right now, I think, really cold. Okay. Next is 923, Liza 14 Baranski. She is the self-employed hairdresser. I mean, if 15 it was a couple day trial it would be one thing. 16 But to have somebody that -- I mean, the only way they make any money is 17 18 to be there. She could lose half her clientele being off five 19 weeks like that. 20 MS. BLUTH: No objection, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. MANINGO: No objection. 23 THE COURT: Next is 929, David Fehrmann. He's got the 2/13 ultrasound appointment. I was trying to suggest to 24 him that you can just -- you know, you can get those any time.

```
So it's not -- that's not that big of deal to me. He also,
    though, indicated to us that he was an inmate crew supervisor
    for the Division of Forestry and he had some upcoming
    training. So how do you feel about him?
              MR. MANINGO: My concern for his hardship issues was
 5
    not so much the ultrasound, but I think he said he has his
    qualification testing that he needs to do during this time
    period in order to be a firefighter for the upcoming --
 9
              THE COURT: Oh, that's right. He did say that and I
10
    didn't write that down.
11
              MR. MANINGO: So I think that's probably a greater
             I have no objection to -- to excusing him.
12
    concern.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. And does the State agree with
    that, then?
14
              MS. BLUTH: Well, I'm sorry. Was his -- did he have
15
    the qualification, or was he training others to take part in
16
17
    it?
              THE COURT: He was --
18
             MR. BASHOR: My recollection is he mentioned two
19
    things, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Right.
21
             MR. BASHOR: First was that he was training other
22
   people to drive these inmate semi trucks.
23
              THE COURT: Yes.
24
25
             MR. BASHOR: But later he said that he had three or
```

four classes that require training to be a firefighter for the state. 3 THE COURT: For the -- for the --If that is correct, then I have no MS. BLUTH: 5 objection. 6 THE COURT: For the firefighting season that will be 7 upcoming. 8 MR. BASHOR: Correct. THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right. 9 So we'll let him go. Next is 935, Ignacio Flores. He is the guy who 10 11 babysits the kids on Wednesdays and Thursdays which are his days off from Wyndham where he works for tips. That's where 12 he is a bellman. I don't know about Wyndham as far as whether 13 14 they pay their folks, but, of course, bellman make a very low wage. They really do work for tips. And while I wouldn't 15 mind letting somebody forego a week's worth of tips, five 16 17 weeks is another thing altogether. 18 MS. BLUTH: No objection. 19 MR. MANINGO: No objection. 20 THE COURT: Next is Nicole Rubino, Badge 937. Another self-employed hair dresser and single parent sole 21 22 support. 23 MS. BLUTH: No objection. 24 MR. MANINGO: No objection. 25 THE COURT: Next is Erica Perreira, 908. And she's

```
1
    got prepaid tickets to Miami on the 13th.
 2
              MR. MANINGO: Right, the 9th.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          The 9th through the 13th, right, is her
 4
    trip.
 5
              MS. BLUTH:
                          No objection.
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 7
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
 8
              THE COURT: Next is Eduardo Martinez, Badge 912.
 9
    is leaving for college next week, so he's got to --
              MS. BLUTH: No objection.
10
              THE COURT:
11
                          Yep.
12
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
13
              THE COURT: Next is Clinton Luu, Badge 943.
    going to Costa Rica February 13th through the 19th and he's
14
15
    also a college student. So that must be, what, that's spring
16
    break that week. And he's also a college student at UNLV, and
17
    they're in class now so he'd miss class. And so that -- I
18
    would excuse him.
                          No objection.
19
              MS. BLUTH:
20
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Next is Derek or Derk Rodriquez.
22
    Is it Derek or Derk?
23
              MR. KOCHEVAR: Derek.
              THE COURT: Derek. And Badge No. 19 -- or 961.
24
    is -- let's see, on 2/17 he's traveling to California to bury
```

```
his father.
 2
              MS. BLUTH: No objection.
 3
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
              THE COURT: Okay. Dina Reyes, 965, mother is
 4
 5
    scheduled for surgery. She cares for her mother as a single
    mom and she'll have to take care of her mom after the surgery.
 6
 7
              MS. BLUTH: No objection.
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
 8
              THE COURT: Benedict Moreno, he has a five year old
 9
    son he takes -- he takes to school and picks up. He's also a
10
    nurse and his wife is a nurse who works at night.
11
              THE CLERK: And he knew one of the doctors.
12
              THE COURT: Oh. And he also, as my clerk reminds
13
   me, knew one of the doctors.
141
15
              MR. MANINGO: Yes.
              MS. BLUTH: He has the issues with sexual abuse.
16
              MR. MANINGO: Yes, he had problems with sexual
17
    abuse, he knew one of the witnesses, worked with them.
18
   He's --
19
              THE COURT: So all in all we'll probably lose him
20
   later, so we may as well let him go now.
21
              MR. MANINGO: I -- I would think so.
22
              MS. BLUTH: No objection.
23
                          Okay. And finally Elizabeth Lockamon,
              THE COURT:
24
         She is a stay at home mom with a four year old, plus
```

```
multiple doctor's appointments. And the last Friday of
 1
 2
    February she's leaving on a trip to California.
 3
              MS. BLUTH: No objection.
              MR. MANINGO: No objection.
 4
              THE COURT:
 5
                          Okay.
                          So do we have to find out about Seat No.
 6
              THE CLERK:
 7
    4 before -- so I can know who to put in.
                          So the only thing we need to know,
 8
              THE COURT:
 9
    Marshal, is whether Ms. Tryba can contact her daycare.
              THE MARSHAL: And I talked to her.
10
              MR. JANZ: She said that she's going to call her
11
    within the 20 minutes that you allowed.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                 Why don't you go out and see if
14
    you can find out for us. And we'll be in recess so court
15
    staff and lawyers can take a break.
              MR. KOCHEVAR:
                             Thank you.
16
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              MS. BLUTH:
                            Thank you, Judge.
              MR. MANINGO:
18
              THE COURT: You're welcome.
19
            (Court recessed at 3:24 p.m., until 3:31 p.m.)
20
          (Inside the presence of the prospective jury panel)
21
              THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
22
    record will reflect we are back within the presence of the
23
    venire panel. Defendant is present with his counsel, the
24
   Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case are present,
25
```

1 as are all officers of the court. Will counsel so stipulate? 2 MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor. 3 MR. MANINGO: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. So, ladies and gentlemen, 5 what I'm going to do now is read off the name and badge numbers of those people who have been excused. And as I read 7 your name you may get up and make your way across the hall to the jury commissioner to let the jury commissioner know you've 9 been excused. 10 Lupe Rodriguez, 824; Cornelia Fletcher, 779, Harold 11 Openshaw, 788; Kyung Oh, 801; Julieta Ramirez-Cossio, 795; David Coffman, 837; Karma Collins, 844; Evelyn Jahr, 845; Ivan 12 13 Quiroz, 802; Jacqueline Custis, 868; Dustin Scott, 874; Judy 14 Sandeen, 887; Hui Ding, 890; Ze Li, 895; Jennifer Doyle, 899; 15 Liza Bavanski or is it --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: Baranski. 16 17 THE COURT: -- Baranski -- I can't read my own 18 writing -- 923; David Fehrmann, 929; Ignacio Flores, 935; 19 Nicole Rubino, 937; Erica Perreira, 908; Eduardo Martinez, 20 912; Clinton Luu, 943; Derek Rodriguez, 961; Dina Reyes, 965; 21 Benedict Moreno, 977, and Elizabeth Lockamon, 981. 22 So now we're going to fill in the seats. THE CLERK: 23 THE COURT: The clerk is going to tell you Yes. 24 where she wants you to move to.

Okay. For Seat No. 1, which is the top

THE CLERK:

25

right up there, Dawn Pratt, Badge 819. Seat No. 5 -- will show them which one is 5 -- Douglas Sproul, 853.

I'm sorry. 1 was Melissa Mehl, 833. I'm sorry, Ms. Pratt. You're already on there as Number 12. So I've got No. 5. I just called the wrong one.

For Spot No. 6, Michael Holder, 863. For Seat No. 8, 872, Patrick McCoy. For Seat No. 10, 902, Cory Walker. And for Seat No. 11, 903, William McKelphin.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay. The next question I have, and this will be to everybody that's in the box and the rest of you that are remaining, those few people back in the audience there. Is there anyone who believes for whatever reason that they would be unable to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this case raise your hand. All right. The record will reflect a negative response.

So the next questions I'm going to ask I'm just going to direct towards the individuals in the box and --well, actually, you know, there's so few of you out in the audience, I'm going to direct it to everybody just to get it out of the way. So, are there any people who have served as a juror before? All right. Nobody in the box. We've got two out in the audience. Let's find out about those.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 967: My name is Wanda Brooks, and Badge No. 967, and I served as a juror four years ago.

THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 967: It was here.
2	THE COURT: Was it a criminal or a civil jury?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 967: It was criminal.
4	THE COURT: And without telling us what the verdict
5	was, did the jury ready a verdict?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 967: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 967: No.
9	THE COURT: Was there anything about that experience
10	that would make you think I never want to be on a jury again?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 967: No.
12	THE COURT: Did you find it to be a rewarding
13	experience?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 967: I did. I enjoyed it.
15	THE COURT: Thank you very much. And the lawyers
16	may ask you questions about that later, but not at this time.
17	We're not going to ask any further questions about that right
18	now.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: My name is Susan
20	Solorzano, and my badge number is 941. I served on a jury, I
21	think, two times, many years ago in Los Angeles, in Los
22	Angeles and in Santa Monica.
23	THE COURT: All right. And in those cases were they
24	criminal matters or civil?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: They were criminal.

1 THE COURT: And without telling us what the verdicts 2 were, did the jury reach verdicts in each of those cases? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: Yes, they did. 4 THE COURT: Were you the foreperson at any time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: No, I was not. 5 6 THE COURT: Was there anything about that that would 7 make you think you would not want to be a juror again? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: 9 THE COURT: Was the experience rewarding? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: It was very interesting. THE COURT: 11 Okay. So you felt -- did you feel that 12 you did your civil duty as a citizen? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: Of course, yes. Okay. Thank you very much. THE COURT: 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 941: Uh-huh. 15 THE COURT: All right. Now, to the -- everybody, is 16 17 there anyone who is now involved in law enforcement as 18 employment? All right. The record will reflect a negative 19 response. How about anyone who has served in law enforcement 20 in the past? All right. We have one in the back. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: My name is Loran 21 Huffman, Juror No. 927. I retired after 20 years, a little 22 23 over 20 years in the air force as a special agent with the office of special investigations. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. And as a result of that, do you

feel that you would tend to give the testimony of a person who was in law enforcement more weight than you would other -- or other witnesses merely because they were in law enforcement and for no other reason?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: So you could evaluate the testimony of each witness, even if it was a law enforcement person and give it the weight and credibility it deserves?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: I believe so.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And there were no other affirmative responses, I believe, to that.

Let's see. Now, I'm going to just direct these questions to the people that are sitting in the box. Is there anyone that has -- in your family that is in law enforcement that's -- of the people that are sitting in the box? Anyone closely -- that's a negative response. Anyone closely associated with you, close friends in law enforcement? Okay. We've got two.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: My name is Krista Tryba. The last three of my badge number is 778. My friend Lindsey Bisch, her mom Laurie Bisch ran for sheriff. I've known her since I was eight, really close friends. She just moved back from college, actually, so we're doing a lot of family barbeques and such.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're friends with Officer

1 Bisch's --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Our families get 3 together. THE COURT: Do you ever talk to your friend's mom 5 about her work as a police officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: We used to when she was 6 7 running for sheriff, but -- we'd converse a lot about it, but not anymore now. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel that you could be fair and impartial knowing that there may be law enforcement 10 individuals testifying? 11 1.2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: No. Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: You don't think you could be fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Oh, no. I do think I 14 15 could be fair, Your Honor. I apologize. 16 THE COURT: All right. Do you feel that you would 17 give more weight or credibility to the testimony of someone in law enforcement merely because they were in law enforcement 18 19 but for no other reason? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Do you feel you could listen to the testimony of police officers or other law enforcement agency 22 officials and give their testimony equal consideration with 23 24 any other witness?

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 2 And I think we had someone down here. Sir? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 903: Hi. William McKelphin, Badge No. 903. I just -- I have a couple of friends that are police officers in North Las Vegas. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 903: That fact alone really 8 doesn't have any bearing on, you know, my decision making, so --10 THE COURT: All right. You don't speak with them 11 about their work? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 903: Oh, all the time. 13 THE COURT: You do all the time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 903: Yes. 14 THE COURT: But still knowing that you could still 15 evaluate the testimony of a police officer and give it the 16 17 same weight you would any other witness? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 903: Absolutely. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Has anyone sitting in the box ever been the victim 20 21 of a crime? And I'm just seeing two hands. Nobody else --22 nobody else besides the two raising their hands ever had their 23 car stolen, their purse stolen, anything like that, their house broken into? No? Okay. That's good. Let me hear from 24 25 the people that have been.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Again, my name is Krista 1 2 Tryba, Badge No. 778. My home was burglarized a year ago. 3 THE COURT: That was here in Clark County? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Here in Las Vegas. Yes, 5 ma'am. THE COURT: Did the police respond? Did you call 6 7 them? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: They did respond. 9 THE COURT: And did they take a report? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Of course they did. 10 11 THE COURT: Did they do anything else, process any 12 scene? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: No, they kind of just 13 took a glance around my house. They believed it was someone 14 who knew us because none of my cabinets or drawers were rifled 15 They knew exactly what they wanted and exactly where 16 through. 17 it was. THE COURT: Okay. Was anyone every apprehended for 18 19 that burglary? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: No. Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Do you feel that police did everything 21 they could to do investigate? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Yeah, there's -- there 23 was no real proof of anything other than my neighbors seeing a 24 white pickup truck, and we know a lot of people with white 25

1 pickup trucks, so --2 THE COURT: All right. Anything about that 3 experience that makes you feel that you could not be fair and impartial in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: No, Your Honor. 5 6 Thank you. And down -- yes, thank you. THE COURT: 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Dawn Pratt, 819. 8 vehicle was burglarized a couple years ago, and my bank account compromised. She was caught. Okay. So the person who got into your 10 THE COURT: vehicle got some identification and then got into your bank 11 12 account? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: 14 Okay. Did you have to testify in court? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: No. 15 Did you feel that the police that 16 THE COURT: 17 investigated the crime did a good job? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: Yes. THE COURT: Anything about that experience that 19 makes you feel you could not be fair and impartial in this 20 21 case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: 22 23 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Has anyone 24 sitting in the box had a family member or close friend that

was the victim of a serious violent type crime? The record

will reflect a negative response.

All right. Is there anyone sitting in the box who feels that they would be unable to follow the instructions on the law that the Court will give to you, even if your own personal beliefs about what the law should be differs from what the instructions will be that I will give you on the law? Anyone that feels they could not follow the instructions? The record will reflect a negative response.

Now, in our system of justice, obviously, we have the presumption of innocence, which means that anyone that's accused of a crime is presumed innocent until and unless that is proved otherwise beyond a reasonable doubt by the prosecution. Is there anyone who disagrees with that premise? The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who feels that they -- that they think that a person accused of a crime should have to explain themselves, take the stand and explain themselves? The record will reflect a negative response.

All right. Now, also the defendant does not have to present any evidence in order for you to return a verdict of not guilty. In other words, it's the State's burden to prove that the defendant is guilty. He doesn't have to prove anything, so unless the State proves to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the crimes were committed that are charged, then defense doesn't have to present any evidence.

Does anyone not understand that concept? The record will reflect a negative response. Does anyone disagree with that premise in our law? The record will reflect a negative response.

Has anyone sitting in the box ever been accused of a crime? The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who has had a close family member or a friend accused of a crime? The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who feels at this point in the trial, I know I've asked this before but I'm going to ask again, believes that they could not be fair and impartial in this case? The record will reflect a negative response.

All right. I'm going to at this point give each of the lawyers an opportunity to ask general questions where there's a show of hands. You'll be able to follow up when we do the individual voir dire. So at this point if they -- if somebody raises their hand just make notes, and don't feel like you're under any time pressure to make your notes. Take the time you need to do that and then -- because you'll be able to follow up and I want you to make sure that you've done that.

MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, before we do that, may we approach for a brief issue?

THE COURT: Yes.

1 (Bench conference) 2 THE COURT: Before we start our first bench 3 conference, this is recorded, however. And so I want you to identify yourselves before you speak. 5 MS. BLUTH: Okay. Jacqueline Bluth, District б Attorney. Judge, do you have them, you know, introduce 7 themselves, where they work --8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 -- if they have kids. At one point? MS. BLUTH: 10 THE COURT: After we finish this general 11 questions --12 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 13 THE COURT: -- then we start with the individual. 14 MS. BLUTH: Got it. Okay. THE COURT: And I'll ask them all to tell me about 15 yourself, you know, all those things that we -- on voir dire. 16 And then I'll follow up if something jumps out at me, and then 17 18 each of you will get to take each individual person and ask them the questions you need to ask. Okay. So at this time, 19 at this point, it's just kind of to get a general -- if you 20 want to ask any general question where you'd want to follow up 21 22 with somebody when you get your individual time with them. 23 MS. BLUTH: Got it. 24 MR. MANINGO: Jeff Maningo. And we should direct our general questions just to the box; correct?

1 THE COURT: Yes, because when we -- if we lose 2 somebody, we'll fill in and then I'll ask them these same 3 questions that I've only asked the box. MS. BLUTH: Jacqueline Bluth, District Attorney. 4 5 But just to clarify, so when I ask my general questions, you know, if they have a specific answer, can we -- I can go back 6 7 regarding that specific answer; correct? THE COURT: Not at this time. But when you --8 9 MS. BLUTH: Not at all. Okay. 10 THE COURT: -- when you get them on your -- on the 11 individual, you know, when you go through and I say tell me 12 about yourself, then -- and you get them and I'm done with 13 them, then you're going to get them to ask anything your heart 14 desires. 15 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 16 THE COURT: As long as it's within the rules and 17 proper. MS. BLUTH: 18 Sure. 19 THE COURT: And then that's when you'll follow up on 20 anything that you thought as a result of your general

THE COURT: It seems to work to help cut down the time so you're not having to ask everybody the same question

Okay.

25 | over and over.

21

22

23

24

question. Oh, I want to follow up with this person on --

MS. BLUTH: Understood.

1	MS. BLUTH: Got it.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	(End of bench conference)
4	THE COURT: Does the prosecution would the
5	prosecution like to ask general questions of the box?
6	MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: And, again, this is a response by hands.
8	MS. BLUTH: And, Your Honor, would it be okay if I
9	ask the questions from here so I can
10	THE COURT: Of course.
11	MS. BLUTH: use all my notes? Okay. Thank you.
12	During this trial if you are selected as a juror
13	you're going to be asked to sit in judgment of another
14	individual's actions, Mr. Farmer's actions. Is there anyone
15	here who feels uncomfortable, whether it be for religious
16	reasons, personal reasons, sitting in judgment of another
17	individual?
18	Okay. And, ma'am, if you could just state your name
19	and your badge number, please.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: My name is Aya Antonio.
21	The badge number is 772.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: And just have a seat. We'll follow up
25	with that later.

MS. BLUTH: Did anyone else have their hands raised 2 in response to that question? 3 THE COURT: This is just for the box. MS. BLUTH: Just for the box. Thank you. 5 Is there anyone here who watches shows such as CSI and CIS, those types of criminal shows? Okay. Now, those 6 people who have their hands raised, out of the four of you I 7 believe it was, now is there anyone who thinks that that's real or has those types of expectations that those are the 10 things you should see in the courtroom? I think all of the hands have gone down, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. The record will so reflect. 13 MS. BLUTH: Has anybody had a crime committed 14 against them or witnessed a crime and you did not report it because you weren't sure what was going on at the time? 15 16 have your badge number, sir? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 797: 797. 18 MS. BLUTH: Has there been anyone who has had something like that happen to them or they witnessed something 19 like that and they reported it but later in time? Showing no 20 21 response. THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 22 MS. BLUTH: If selected as a juror, you will see 23 graphic photos, or some may think that those are graphic 24 photos of different body parts. Is there anyone here who

would be offended by that or bothered by that? Showing no 1 2 response. 3 THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 4 MS. BLUTH: Is there anyone who knows any rape victims? May I have your badge number, sir? 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 903: William McKelphin, 903. 7 MS. BLUTH: At some point at the end of the trial 8 after you've heard all of the evidence you'll go back into a room, you'll discuss your points of views with your fellow 10 Is there anyone who doesn't feel comfortable voicing 11 opinions or concerns in front of other individuals? Showing 12 no response. 13 THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 14 MS. BLUTH: Has anyone had negative contacts with 15 law enforcement? And when I mean negative contacts, I mean you've ran into an officer or been involved with an officer 16 17 and left with kind of a bad taste in your mouth. You didn't 18 feel like you were treated correctly or a family member or 19 close friend was treated correctly. Showing no response. 20 The record will so reflect. THE COURT: 21 Is there anyone who, when they received MS. BLUTH: 22 their jury summons, was excited or happy about the thought of 23 being a juror? Showing no response. 24 THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

MS. BLUTH: Is there anyone who has a bumper sticker

25

on their vehicle? Ms. Pratt? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: 3 MS. BLUTH: And what's your badge number? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 819: 819. 5 MS. BLUTH: Is there anyone who has an issue with the victim of a crime not only pursuing criminally, what we're doing here, but also civilly? So suing the individual or the entity that they work for for compensation? Showing no 8 response. 10 THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 11 MS. BLUTH: Is there anyone here who needs more than 12 the victim's testimony, you know, that they were raped or that these things happened to them to find the defendant guilty? 13 14 You need fingerprints, you need DNA, you need something else 15 other than the victim's testimony? Okay. 16 MR. MANINGO: Excuse me, Your Honor. If we could 17 please ask, we have a number of hands, if we could keep those 18 up for just a second so we can make notes. MS. BLUTH: And if we could just -- yeah. 19 Honor, that's it for the general questions on behalf of the 20 21 State. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: 23 Would defense like to ask general questions? 24 MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 Hello again, everyone. As I said earlier, my name

is Jeff Maningo. I'm just going to ask a few general -general questions for the moment. Anybody who's here today in
the jury box nervous about being placed on this jury?

Okay. Go ahead and raise your hand up, thanks, so we can make a note of it.

THE COURT: That's Juror No. 3. And what's your badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: 772.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MANINGO: Is there anyone -- well, let me ask it this way. Raise your hand for me if you think that this process of having a trial to determine an individual's -- whether they're guilty or not guilty, is this important, this whole process? Raise your hand if you think this process is important. Let the record reflect that all jurors raised their hand.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you. Raise your hand if you agree that the process is important enough to require this much time from each of you as citizens. Thank you. Let the record reflect that all potential jurors raised their hand.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Does anyone here have any medical background as far as working as a doctor, nurse, EMT, anything like that?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1	And that is Mr. Sproul?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 853: Yeah.
3	MR. MANINGO: And what's your badge number, sir?
4	I'm sorry.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 853: 853.
6	MR. MANINGO: Thank you.
7	Could you raise your hand for me if you've ever
8	spent what you consider a significant amount of time in
9	hospitals? Whether it's for yourself or or for a close
10	family member. And we have three hands up.
11	THE COURT: Four.
12	MR. MANINGO: Oh, excuse me, four. We have four.
13	We have Juror No. 2, Mr. Miranda?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
15	MR. MANINGO: Okay. And Juror No. 4, Ms. Tryba?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Tryba.
17	MR. MANINGO: Tryba. And Juror No. 5, Mr. Sproul.
18	And Mr. Norris; correct?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 797: Yes, sir.
20	MR. MANINGO: Juror
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 797: 797.
22	MR. MANINGO: Thank you. No. 9.
23	A bit more specifically, please raise your hand if
24	you have experience, whether it's yourself, family member, or
25	one of your children who is someone who has seizure disorders.

If you have any sort of experience regarding seizure disorders, head trauma, epilepsy, something like that. One hand, Mr. Sproul, Juror No. 5.

And let me shift to -- shift gears to a different type of profession. Does anyone here have any profession -- excuse me, any experience in the legal profession, whether it is as an attorney, legal secretary, paralegal, anything like that? All right. Ms. -- is it Reda?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 789: Reda. Uh-huh.

MR. MANINGO: Reda, Juror No. 7.

Is there anyone here who has experience -- and I believe Ms. Bluth asked this. She might have asked something about this question. Anyone here have any experience with lawsuits as far as being a part of or involved in a lawsuit? And let the record -- oh, yes ma'am. Okay. Yes, ma'am. One hand, that was Ms. Reda, Juror No. 7.

Is there anyone here who believes that -- I know that this will sound silly, but we're just asking general for right now. Is there anyone who believes that in general people are incapable of telling lies? All right. Let the record reflect, please, there were no hands.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Is there anyone here who believes that while people may tell lies at a different time that they would never tell a lie while on the stand under oath? Getting a

look like --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 778: Can you repeat the question, please?

MR. MANINGO: Sure. Sure. In fact, let me -- let me rephrase it. It was a little bit confusing. Raise your hand if you believe that there are people who -- who have lied under oath in a courtroom. Let the record reflect, please, that all hands -- well, excuse me, all hands except for Ms. Tryba.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you. Is there anyone here who feels that they would not be able to judge a witness's credibility? In other words, to sit and watch a witness and decide whether or not they're telling the truth? Is there anyone who feels incapable of doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I'm confused about the question.

MR. MANINGO: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Can you repeat the question?

MR. MANINGO: Sure, Mr. Miranda. Is there anyone who feels that they would not be able to judge a witness's credibility? And what I mean by that is there anyone who would not be able to listen to what a witness has to say and decide if they're telling the truth or not?

THE COURT: You're not -- you're not asking whether they would accurately be able to predict that or --

MR. MANINGO: Right. No, no. Just if they would be able to do that as your job, to basically listen to the evidence and decide on whether or not you believe it yourself. Is there anyone incapable of doing that? Okay. The record reflect, please, no hands.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Who here thinks that it would be important in deciding whether or not someone is telling the truth that their story remain consistent? Do you think that's something that would be important to you? If so, raise your hand. We have all hands raised except for Mr. McKelphin.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

Raise your hand if you think that a witness's ability to remember things with a clear memory, if that's something that you would use in judging their truthfulness.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 903: Can you --

MR. MANINGO: To clarify, what I'm talking about is their -- their ability to recall something that happened, if there are -- whether or not there are spaces in their memory or if it's -- or their memory is clear. Is that something that you would look at and consider in trying to decide if someone is telling the truth or not? If it is something that

you would consider, raise your hand for me, please. We have all hands up except for Mr. Miranda, Juror No. 2.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

MR. MANINGO: Raise your hand if -- if when you're trying to tell if someone is telling the truth or not if body language is something that you consider, if that's something that's important to you. All hands are raised.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

In trying to determine if someone is telling the truth or not, would you also look at whether or not there is other evidence to back up the story? Would you -- in other words, is other evidence, things to support what someone is telling you, is that something that you're going to consider whether or not they're telling the truth? And please let the record reflect that all hands are raised.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

Raise your hand if you believe that memories can be affected by later events. Please let the record reflect that all hands are raised except for Juror No. 1, Ms. Mehl. Thank you.

Raise your hand if you believe that -- if you believe that the media can affect someone's memory. Please let the record reflect that all hands are raised.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

Raise your hand if you -- if -- I'm sorry. Let me start over on that before I get too confusing. If something happened to -- a crime happened to you this morning, let's say someone took your wallet or your purse, raise your hand if you would report that right away today. Please let the record reflect all hands are raised.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: Is there anyone here who would wait one week, two weeks, a month before reporting that? Please let the record reflect no hands.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. MANINGO: These types of cases, because they are of a sexual nature, can oftentimes become emotional. What we ask of the jury is to apply your logic, your experience, your common sense. Is there anyone here who is concerned that they will become too emotional or that emotions will take over your judgment throughout a case where we're talking about these types of charges? Ms. Mehl raised her hand.

The Judge had already spoken to you folks about some of the really important rules, constitutional principles that we all care a lot about in our system of justice, one of those being the presumption of innocence, that a person is presumed to be innocent. There's no right or wrong answers. This is

the time to speak the truth, so please don't feel embarrassed. But raise your hand if when you came in here your thought was, okay, who is the guilty guy, or he must have done it. Anybody? Thank you, sir. That was Mr. Norris, Juror No. 9 5 raised his hand. 6 The Court also explained that the burden of proof is on the prosecution. The government is doing the accusing, so 7 they have to do all the proving. Is there anyone who thinks that that is not fair? Let the record reflect, please, that 10 no hands were raised. 11 THE COURT: It will. 12 MR. MANINGO: Along with those same lines that means that whoever is accused that they don't need to testify, they 13 don't need to present evidence because all the proving is done 14 15 by the prosecution. THE COURT: I already explained that, Counsel --16 17 MR. MANINGO: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: -- and asked them if anybody disagreed. 19 So let's not repeat what I've already asked. 20 MR. MANINGO: Yes, ma'am. 21 Let me just ask, is everyone okay, then, with the 22 concept that if Mr. Farmer does not testify or does someone 23 believe that they -- that he should testify? 24 THE COURT: The record will reflect a negative

25

response.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Just as it was when I asked that.

MR. MANINGO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MANINGO: Court's indulgence. Thank you, Your

Honor. I'll pass to the next stage.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, this is my next favorite part of our jury selection process because I get to ask you about yourselves. And so I'm going to start out, I'm going to ask each of you one at a time to tell me about yourself. When I ask you that question, these are the things I want to know about you. I want to know how long you've lived here in Clark County, where you lived before if you haven't lived here all your life, if you're married, single, divorced, significant other, partner, whatever what you do for a living, what your significant other, husband, wife, partner does for a living, if you have a significant other, partner, wife, etcetera, if you have any children and their ages, if they're adult children, what they do for a living. And don't worry that you might forget any of those things because, believe me, once you've heard a few people go through it, you will remember. But if you don't, no problem, I'll ask you. So don't worry about it. And so we'll start

```
with Juror No. 1.
 1
                          Juror No. 1 is Melessa Mehl.
 2
              THE CLERK:
              THE COURT: And would you tell us about yourself?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. I'm Melessa Mehl.
 4
    I'm No. 833.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 6
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I moved to Clark County
    August 2012. I originally came from Southern California where
 8
    I went to school at UC Riverside for five years. Before that
    I grew up in Central California. Currently I am a third grade
10
    teacher for Clark County. I am single, no children, and --
11
              THE COURT: Okay. And so when you studied did you
12
    -- did you get your degree in education?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes.
14
              THE COURT: And you have some -- is it primary
15
16
    school age --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes.
17
              THE COURT: -- emphasis? Have you ever taught any
18
    other grades?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I've taught second
20
    grade, as well. And when I was student teaching I did second
21
22
    and fifth grade.
              THE COURT: How long have you taught school?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I started last December,
24
    so exactly a year.
25
```

1	THE COURT: And you, I believe, answered that you
2	would feel uncomfortable, perhaps, in this type of case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Depending on the nature
4	of the sexual harassment.
5	THE COURT: It's it's actually not sexual
6	harassment
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Or sexual
8	THE COURT: is a term that we use
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: in civil cases involving Title 7 and,
11	you know, that type of thing. This is an alleged sexual
12	assault
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Alleged. Okay.
14	THE COURT: type of case, a crime.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Okay.
16	THE COURT: And is there some particular reason that
17	you would be uncomfortable with that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Depending on whether it
19	was children involved.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So if the alleged victims were
21	adults, you feel that you would be better able emotionally to
22	handle that
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes.
24	THE COURT: than if it was children?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh.

1 THE COURT: Is that because your -- you teach small 2 children? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. 4 THE COURT: All right. Anything else as you've been hearing the questions that were asked and you thought, boy, 5 6 I'm not sure I can be fair and impartial in this case? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: No, nothing other than 8 just that. 9 Thank you. THE COURT: All right. You can have a 10 seat. You don't need to stand up. We can all see you, I 11 think, just fine. And I'm going to turn it over to the District Attorney to ask you questions and then the defense 12 13 will ask. 14 Ms. Bluth. 15 MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 When I asked the question you would need more than 17 -- would you need more than a victim's word, you know, a 18 victim of sexual assault to find an individual guilty, you 19 stated yes. You raised your hand yes; right? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh. 21 MS. BLUTH: Okay. And why is that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I -- I consider physical 22 23 evidence, as well, because I consider the science of it, as 24 well, so I much rather the physical evidence. Because dealing

with children all day, they can come up with many answers, but

25

having that physical evidence helps with my decision making. 2 MS. BLUTH: Sure. And I guess there would be a 3 difference between it helping with your decision making and then absolutely meaning it. So are you saying that it would help you, that's one thing, or are you saying, no, I need it 6 in order to believe an individual on that stand? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I believe I would need 8 it in this case, 9 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yeah. 11 MS. BLUTH: So if an individual sexually assaulted 12 someone and maybe used a condom or something like that and 13 they did not leave any DNA --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh. 15 MS. BLUTH: -- you would then not believe that 16 person? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: It would be difficult 18 for me to come up with a final decision. 19 MS. BLUTH: Besides physical evidence, what other 20 things would help you in coming to that decision? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I guess their testimony 21 and my judgment of whether or not they are being truthful in 22 23 that time and the body language and such. MS. BLUTH: So just to clarify what the Judge was 24

asking you, you're not affected by the charges if it's adults,

25

1	just purely children?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh.
3	MS. BLUTH: Is that a yes?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes.
5	MS. BLUTH: Sorry, we have to
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes, I'm sorry.
7	MS. BLUTH: That's okay. It's hard to get used to.
8	We understand.
9	I don't have any other specific questions for this
10	juror, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	Defense like to inquire?
13	MR. MANINGO: Yes, please, Your Honor.
14	Hello, Ms. Mehl. You had
15	THE RECORDER: Mr. Maningo, can you grab a
16	microphone, please?
17	MR. MANINGO: Oh, sure. This one?
18	THE RECORDER: Yeah. Just make it over to the
19	podium before you go.
20	MR. MANINGO: Oh, okay. Sure. I'm not usually
21	accused of being too quiet.
22	THE RECORDER: I'm paranoid.
23	MR. MANINGO: Okay. Ms. Mehl, during the general
24	questioning you had stated that you would rely on different
25	things in order to tell if someone where telling the truth.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh. 2 MR. MANINGO: I'm sorry. You have to --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Oh, yes. Yes. 4 MR. MANINGO: Thank you. As a -- as a third grade 5 teacher, do you have a number of occasions where you have to 6 tell who is telling the truth and who is not? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. 8 MR. MANINGO: Okay. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. 10 MR. MANINGO: Kids sort of get into a scuffle or something, pointing at one another saying he did it? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh. Yes. 13 MR. MANINGO: Okay. What do you use to sort of tell 14 whether or not they're telling the truth? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I look at their body language and whether or not -- and also their facial features 16 because some of my students do have the guilt face that they 17 18 put on. 19 MR. MANINGO: Uh-huh. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: So I judge off of that, 20 and I also look around at other students that were around the 21 22 scene and look at how they're reacting to my questioning of 23 them, and that's how I make my final decision on who had done 24 it.

MR. MANINGO: Okay. Would you also look at whether

25

```
or not their -- their story sort of makes sense?
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Oh, yes. Yes.
 3
              MR. MANINGO: And whether or not their story stays
    the same each time they tell it?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes.
 5
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. You had said that you would be
 6
    concerned if -- if this -- about the emotional content if the
 7
    case involved children.
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833:
 9
10
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. It does not involve children,
11
    okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Okay.
12
              MR. MANINGO: Knowing that, do you feel like you
13
    will be able to sort of let that logical side of your head
14
    control your decision making throughout, or will the sexual
15
    nature of it or crying, something, you know, witnesses crying,
16
    that sort of thing, do you think that that will have too much
17
    of an impact on you?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833:
19
20
              MR. MANINGO: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: No.
21
              MR. MANINGO: So you'll be able to -- to take that,
22
23
    those witnesses in and listen to them without becoming
    emotionally distraught?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: No. No, I'd be fine.
25
```

MR. MANINGO: Okay. The -- the prosecutor was asking you -- asking you some questions about needing certain 2 3 types of evidence. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. 5 MR. MANINGO: Okay. And that's -- and you understand that that's because they have to prove everything beyond a reasonable doubt? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. 9 MR. MANINGO: Okay. Why do you -- why do you want, you know, certain things like physical evidence to -- to back 10 11 up a story? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: I'm more of a see it to 13 believe type person. 14 MR. MANINGO: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: And plus the -- you know, the science of you can see it and it can be -- it's 16 17 right there, then --18 MR. MANINGO: Right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: -- something like that 19 20 | has to be true I believe. 21 MR. MANINGO: Okay. Okay. And that would make you feel more comfortable? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Making my final 24 decision, yes. 25 MR. MANINGO: Right. And you understand, obviously,

1	that as a juror you make big decisions.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Absolutely.
3	MR. MANINGO: And you want to be sure about or
4	feel comfortable about your decision.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes.
6	MR. MANINGO: Okay. You you heard me ask a
7	number of questions, as well as the Court and the prosecution.
8	Is there anything that you would like to tell me now that
9	that you think is important as to whether or not you think you
10	can be a fair and impartial juror, anything that we didn't ask
11	you or didn't cover?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: There was mention
13	Centennial Hills, and I am a patient at Centennial Hills. And
14	so I do interact with some doctors there, but I don't know if
15	they've actually interacted with your client. So
16	MR. MANINGO: Okay. You didn't
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: that's my
18	MR. MANINGO: You didn't recognize any of the names
19	from the witness list?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: No.
21	MR. MANINGO: Okay. If if you hear about a
22	situation happening at Centennial Hills, is that going to have
23	any kind of effect on the way you listen to the trial?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: No. No.
25	MR. MANINGO: Okay. The fact that it is the same

hospital that you're a patient at, will that -- will you feel uncomfortable about that being discussed in a way that will 3 sway whether or not you can be fair to both sides? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: No. 5 MR. MANINGO: Okay. One moment, please. 6 quickly, Ms. Mehl. You had said that during the general 7 questioning you didn't think that memory could be affected by 8 later events. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh. 10 MR. MANINGO: Is that right? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. 12 MR. MANINGO: Okay. And it's a little bit confusing what I'm asking, but let's say something happens to you today. 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh. 15 MR. MANINGO: Like being involved in this experience 16 right now --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Uh-huh. 18 MR. MANINGO: -- and you remember it a certain way. 19 You remember me asking you questions a certain way. 20 weeks from now you gain new information about me or the 21 courtroom or something like that, do you think that that can 22 affect how you look back on things? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes. 24 MR. MANINGO: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 833: Yes, I do.

1	MR. MANINGO: Okay. Do you think that well,
2	
3	
4	
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	
7	If you'd pass the microphone over to Mr. Miranda.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Hi, Your Honor. My name
8	is Americo Miranda. I moved here in '05 with my wife Jamie.
9	We have three kids, a four year old, three year old, and a
10	newborn that was born at Centennial, actually, two weeks ago.
11	THE COURT: Congratulations.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I'm a doorman at Wynn
13	and my wife is an executive director at Venetian.
14	THE COURT: At the Venetian, did you say?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Venetian.
16	THE COURT: All right. Where did you move from?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: New York and New Jersey.
18	THE COURT: Were you in the involved in the
19	gaming industry there, as well?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, there's no gaming in
21	New York whatsoever, only in Connecticut, really.
22	THE COURT: But New Jersey there is; right?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yeah. My wife is from
24	Jersey. I'm from New York.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And what did you do in New York?

1	DROCDECTIVE TUDOR NO 740. T 1
	a document, alboy
2	at the Sheraton
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: for 20 years.
5	THE COURT: 20 years? Wow. What caused you to come
6	to Las Vegas?
. 7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Well, I went to Caesars
8	one time and I saw the doorman with thick pockets and I said,
9	wait a minute, I need to move out here. And that was it.
10	Financials, I guess.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And do you like it here?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I love it here.
13	THE COURT: Do you like the weather better?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: A lot better, except
15	July and August. We go back to New York.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, that's a challenge. Well,
17	when you lived in New York, did you live in New York City,
18	then?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I lived in the Bronx.
20	THE COURT: In the Bronx, okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I worked in New York
22	City.
23	THE COURT: In Manhattan?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Okay. A big, big city.

	‡
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Very different than here.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And all your kids are little kids, then?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I have a four year old,
6	three year or and a one year old and a two week old.
7	THE COURT: And you said your wife was an executive
8	director?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Director. She runs
10	groups, hotel ops, and also security.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12	Would the State like to inquire further?
13	MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	Mr. Miranda, I believe you raised your hand when Mr.
15	Maningo asked if you had spent or if anyone had spent
16	significant time in hospitals. Did you raise your hand to
17	that question?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes, I did.
19	MS. BLUTH: Okay. And how much time have you spent
20	in hospitals and why?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I did. I spent two
22	months. I had rheumatic fever twice.
23	MS. BLUTH: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: That was in New York,
25	though.

MS. BLUTH: And so you were hospitalized both times? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes, ma'am. 3 MS. BLUTH: And how long were you in the hospital each time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: First time two months, 5 6 second time three months. MS. BLUTH: Was there anything about your care, I mean, besides being ill, of course, but anything other than 8 that that bothered you? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: When they took my blood. 11 That's about it. 12 MS. BLUTH: When they took your blood? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yeah, I didn't like 13 14 that, but that was -- everything was fine. 15 MS. BLUTH: Okay. And you were one of the individuals who raised your hand to Mr. Maningo's question 16 about, you know, you would report the crime immediately? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes. 18 19 MS. BLUTH: Could you see a set of situations where perhaps you didn't know the surrounding circumstances so you 20 didn't report it immediately, but then later thought it was 21 appropriate to do so. Could you see a set of circumstances 22 where you would feel that was appropriate? 23 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes. MS. BLUTH: Okay. You were also an individual who 25

1 stated you needed more than the victim's word in a sexual 2 assault type case. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I don't think that was the question. I don't -- I don't remember them saying in a 5 sexual case. Just in a case. 6 MS. BLUTH: Okay. Well, then, perhaps I should have 7 posed it as a sexual assault. Would you need more than the sexual assault victim coming in here, swearing to tell the truth, and saying this is what happened to me and I know who 10 did it? Would you need more than that? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: It would help my 12 decision, yes. 13 MS. BLUTH: Would you agree with me that most sexual 14 assaults don't happen in front of other people? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes. 16 MS. BLUTH: Mostly, you know, behind closed doors or 17 in a type of situation where other individuals don't see it? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I agree. 19 MS. BLUTH: And like I said with Ms. Mehl, if an individual wore a condom, you know, and didn't leave some type 20 of evidence, you know, then there would not be that evidence. 21. 22 So then do those people just get away with the crime? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, of course not. 24 MS. BLUTH: Could you judge the victim's demeanor

and the way she told the story and consider those types of

	$\cdot$
]	things?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes, I would.
3	MS. BLUTH: Would those be as important to you as,
4	you know, DNA evidence or fingerprints or some of the things
5	that you see on TV?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
7	MS. BLUTH: When you were in the hospital for the
8	rheumatic fever, did you have leads placed on your body? Do
9	you know what I'm talking about with leads?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, I didn't. No.
11	MS. BLUTH: So you never had any of the apparatus
12	attached to your body?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, only to my arm.
14	MS. BLUTH: Okay. The IV?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
16	MS. BLUTH: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: And I had a port.
18	MS. BLUTH: And a port. Thank you, Mr. Miranda.
19	Nothing further.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Defense.
22	MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	HI, Mr. Miranda.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Hi. How are you?
25	MR. MANINGO: I'm good. Thank you. You're from New

1	York and your wife is from Jersey?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
3	MR. MANINGO: So the house is, what, Yankees or
4	Mets?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I'm a Yankees fan.
6	MR. MANINGO: All right.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: She's a Yankee fan,
8	also.
9	MR. MANINGO: Oh. Okay. Converted her?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, most of South Jersey
11	is Yankees.
12	MR. MANINGO: Oh. Okay. Let me ask you about
13	you said I was writing notes, so I didn't get it clearly,
14	some connection to Centennial Hills Hospital?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: My newborn was just born
16	there two weeks ago.
17	MR. MANINGO: Oh. Oh, okay. Congratulations.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: All our children were
19	born there.
20	MR. MANINGO: Oh, okay. So if you hear about
21	Centennial Hills being involved in in this case or involved
22	in lawsuits or anything like that, is that going to affect
23	bless you will that affect your decision making on whether
24	or not you can be fair in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Not at all. It won't

```
affect me.
 2
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. You had mentioned that you
    spent a total of about five months in the hospital yourself.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
 5
              MR. MANINGO: During your -- your visits to the
    hospital, were there a lot of other people around in the
    hospital?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
 9
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. Is it fair to say that
10
    hospitals are busy places?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Very busy.
                                                      Too busy, if
12
    you ask me.
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. While you were in your hospital
13
    room on your -- on your various visits, would nurses come in
14
15
    and check on you on a regular basis?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
              MR. MANINGO: Would doctors also come in?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
18
19
             MR. MANINGO: So there was a lot of people going in
20
    and out?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
22
             MR. MANINGO: Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Especially when you're
23
24
   sleeping.
             MR. MANINGO: Especially while you're sleeping?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yeah, they seem to time
 2
    it that way for some reason.
              MR. MANINGO: Right. Okay. So it's -- it's
    difficult to even get much sleep, is that fair?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
 6
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. Because there's -- there's so
 7
    much activity going on in a hospital?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Exactly. Yes.
 9
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. What about -- what do you
10
    remember about a room layout in a hospital? Do they -- are
    there call buttons in case you have an emergency if you need
11
12
    someone?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, it was low budget.
13
    It was Lincoln Hospital in New York. There was two other
14
    patients next to me. Nothing like -- nothing like here.
15
16
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. But you've seen like here at --
17
    in fact, at Centennials Hills; right?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes,
18
                                                It's a beautiful
19
    hospital. It's --
20
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. And they have call buttons if
21
    you have an emergency or something like that?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
23
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. You were speaking with Ms.
   Bluth a moment ago about having evidence to support someone --
24
25
   someone's story; right?
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.
2	MR. MANINGO: All right. If someone that you don't
3	know, you don't know anything about them and they come up to
4	you and they just tell you a story, do you just automatically
5	take their word for it?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No.
7	MR. MANINGO: Okay. You would want them to back
8	that up?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Of course.
10	MR. MANINGO: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I mean, depending on the
12	story. If they told me the sun is shining, I would believe
13	that.
14	MR. MANINGO: Right.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: It depends what story
16	you told, you know.
17	MR. MANINGO: Right. If a stranger walks up to you
18	and said, hey, look, I've got a situation around the corner,
19	why don't you just if you could just loan me your wallet
20	and I'm going to run down and I'll bring it right back.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Of course not. I'm from
22	New York.
23	MR. MANINGO: Right. That's something important to
24	you. You're not just going to take their word for it.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.

MR. MANINGO: On the general questions I had asked about some of the things that — that matter when deciding if someone is telling the truth or not and you had raised your hand to a number of them. But one of the things that you said wouldn't really matter is if a witness can remember things clearly or not or have a solid memory or being able to recall things. Do you understand what I'm talking about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I think so. Well, I

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: I think so. Well, I think a case like this your memory can be a little -- it can be affected.

MR. MANINGO: Okay. Okay. And would you expect someone who was a witness to something you would consider a really big deal, would you expect them to have a clear memory of -- of things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: For the most part, yes.

MR. MANINGO: Okay. Okay. I also had asked Ms.

Mehl this question, but is there anything that you feel you haven't mentioned or that we haven't asked you about that

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, sir.

would impact whether you can be fair or impartial?

MR. MANINGO: Okay. So if -- if this were a situation and you were just an observer and you looked into this jury box and you saw Mr. Miranda sitting there, you'd feel comfortable with that person being a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

MR. MANINGO: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: You're welcome. Thank you.

If you'd hand the microphone to Ms. Antonio. Yes, tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Okay. My name is Aya Antonio. The badge number is 772. And I've been in Clark County since 1996. I was born and grew up in Japan and I moved to the United States for the opportunity of college, CSN. And after I graduated college I start working with the Japanese Tour Company and I met my husband, I'm married to him.

And since he is Japanese American and I am working at the Japanese Tour Company and almost 100 percent of our customers are Japanese and all my coworkers are Japanese, too, so it's kind of embarrassing, I've been in this country for a long time, but I still don't understand English very well. I mean, the usual conversation is okay, but this trial thing, I don't understand quite well, like, I'm sorry I forgot her title, but when she explained the trial in the beginning, I don't quite understand the detail of the — the case. That's my main concern about doing this — the jury.

Also, I became American citizen three years ago and I do understand how important the jury is to the American  $\overline{\ }$ 

citizens, to become American citizen. But I still quite afraid I -- if I -- I won't be able to understand the details to judge someone.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you speak English very well.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Thank you.

THE COURT: And we — the lawyers and — you know,

I'm a lawyer. My background is a lawyer. I practiced law for

32 years. So we all learn how to speak legalese, they call

it. And we will try to avoid that because we realize that not

everybody does use that kind of language every day. And so,

of course, when witnesses are examined on the stand the

lawyers want to make sure the questions are clear. So they're

going to try and keep their questioning clear and simple

because if they don't, the questions aren't going to be

understood.

So I think some of your concern may be similar to what your fellow English as their native language may also be feeling, that you're -- you're hyper sensitive to it because it's your second language, but you speak very well and very fluently. Your vocabulary is quite impressive. And so we will -- because for all the jurors we want to keep it simple and clear and we're going to make sure we try and do that.

As we go on in the case, there will be more explanation. And Ms. Bluth explained the nature of the

charge. It was very brief. She wasn't allowed to be anything but brief. You will have the opportunity if you're seated as a juror to hear all the charges read from the charging document. All right? So does that make you feel a little better about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes

THE COURT: Okay. So we might have got off track and I didn't hear whether you had any children.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: I don't. I'm trying to, but I don't think I can, so --

THE COURT: Oh. Well, we'll hope for the best. If you want to have children, I hope you do. And what about -- you said you work for a tour company, but what actually do you do for the tour company?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Taking care of the Japanese tourist who -- the company's headquarters in Japan and they sell the package tour to the United States or anywhere else. And those people come over to Las Vegas, we come over to airport to pick up them and take -- take them to the hotels, sometimes to the tour to Grand Canyon.

THE COURT: Okay. So you just make sure that they have a good site seeing tour of Las Vegas --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes.

THE COURT: -- and that they're cared for. And do you actually take them around to all of these places? Do you

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 go with them? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: I'm working in the 3 office, so I don't take them by myself. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Would the State like to inquire further? 5 6 MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Ms. Antonio, earlier you had stated that you were 7 somewhat nervous about being a juror. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. 10 MS. BLUTH: Is that due to what you felt was a 11 language issue? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. 13 MS. BLUTH: Were there any other reasons? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: No. MS. BLUTH: Okay. Do you feel comfortable with the 15 16 system that we have here? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. MS. BLUTH: Okay. And I know that the Judge just 18 asked you some questions regarding, you know, your ability to 19 20 We're going to have some medical testimony in understand. this case so you'll hear from doctors and nurses. Do you feel 21 comfortable with listening to that type of testimony? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: If it's too -- too 23 medical language, I don't think I understand. The -- the 24

general things would be fine.

25

```
1
               MS. BLUTH:
                           In your employment, I think you just
 2
    said that you work within the office.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes.
 4
              MS. BLUTH:
                           So every day are you usually speaking or
    are you usually --
 5
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Japanese.
 7
              MS. BLUTH:
                           Japanese.
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772:
                                           Uh-huh.
 9
              MS. BLUTH: So about what percentage of your day are
10
    you speaking English?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Maybe 1 or 2 percent
12
    maybe.
13
              MS. BLUTH:
                          1 or 2 percent?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes.
15
                          So nothing further than just the
              MS. BLUTH:
16
    language is your only issue, otherwise, you can be a juror and
17
    you can be fair and impartial?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes.
18
19
              MS. BLUTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
              Mr. Maningo.
21
22
              MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Judge.
23
              Hi, Ms. Antonio.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772:
25
              MR. MANINGO: Earlier during the questioning I
```

thought that you had expressed some concern about being able to judge others. Was -- was that something that you were 3 worried about? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. 5 MR. MANINGO: Okay. Could you explain that for me? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Well, the main worry 6 about is my language abilities mainly. I mean, if this trial is done in Japanese I will be much more comfortable. But 8 because it's in English, so I don't -- I might misunderstood some of the statement and I -- it's really hard to judge with 10 the -- without the conflict of the understanding the 11 12 statement. 13 MR. MANINGO: Okay. And that's definitely a fair I appreciate you being honest about that. Do you 14 think that -- well, let me -- I'll come back to that in a 15 moment. You had raised your hand during the general questions 16 when I asked about why do people or do people tell lies. 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. 19 MR. MANINGO: Okay. Can you -- can you think of any 20 reasons why people lie sometimes? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: To -- to make himself or 22 herself to telling the truth. MR. MANINGO: I'm sorry? That's okay. 23 Take your

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: To try to make people

21

24

25

time.

-	
1	
2	MR. MANINGO: Okay. To try and impress somebody?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. Uh-huh.
4	MR. MANINGO: Okay. Do you think people ever tell
5	lies for money?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes, I think so.
. 7	MR. MANINGO: Okay. Do you think people ever tell
8	lies to try and hurt someone else?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Maybe, yes. Uh-huh.
10	MR. MANINGO: Okay. Do you think people ever tell
11	lies to try and get attention?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes, I think so, too.
13	MR. MANINGO: Okay. Are you familiar with any of
14	the television lawyer shows?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: You said do I believe
16	that?
17	MR. MANINGO: No, are you do you ever watch any
18	of the TV shows that involve like American courtrooms or
19	anything like that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. Well, when my
21	husband watches those TV show, I watch with him. But those TV
22	shows are quite difficult for me so I get bored and I stop
23	watching it, so
24	MR. MANINGO: Right. They're definitely more
25	exciting than the real thing, though; right? Let me just ask
_	onoroung chan the rear thing, though; right? Let me just ask

1	you, if if this case were for you, would you be concerned
2	about your language issues? If there were other jurors, you
3	know, standing in judgment of you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: You mean the jury don't
5	understand it?
6	MR. MANINGO: Right. I mean, right, would you
7	would you be concerned about that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes.
9	MR. MANINGO: You would?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes.
11	MR. MANINGO: Okay. And do you think you would just
12	feel more comfortable on a on a different kind of case, one
13	that didn't have medical in it or something like that, or do
14	you think any type of case you would be concerned?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yes. I think so, yes.
16	MR. MANINGO: Which one? On any case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Yeah, any case.
18	MR. MANINGO: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Antonio.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 772: Thank you.
20	MR. KOCHEVAR: Court's indulgence.
21	(Pause in the proceedings)
22	MR. KOCHEVAR: Can we approach, Judge?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	MR. KOCHEVAR: Thank you.
25	(Bench conference)
- 1	

MS. BLUTH: Jacqueline Bluth. Both sides have some issues with the language barrier, and so we're going to stipulate to release her and we didn't know if we needed to 3 approach to that, if Your Honor was okay with this. THE COURT: You could have -- yeah, you could have 5 said it in front of me then, or from the counsel table. That's fine. 8 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 9 THE COURT: You're both in agreement to excuse her? 10 That's fine. MR. MANINGO: Jeff Maningo. Yes, I would agree, 17 12 Your Honor, to excuse this juror. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 14 MS. BLUTH: Thank you. 15 (End of bench conference) THE COURT: All right. Ms. Antonio, the lawyers 16 17 have agreed to release you. They're both -- since you're concerned, they're both concerned, and so that's fine. 18 excuse you and if you'll report across the way to the jury 19 20 commissioner. 21 And, ladies and gentlemen, it's two minutes to 5:00 and so we're going to run out. We're going to see one person 22 23 in the empty seat. 24 THE CLERK: Badge 927, Loran Huffman. 25 THE COURT: All right. So, ladies and gentlemen,

we're going to recess for the evening. I need everybody that's here to come back tomorrow because we're -- you know, we knew it was going to take more than one day to pick a jury in a case that's going to go this long. And with sensitive charges like this, some people have difficulty with that. And we've just got to take our time so we can get a fair and impartial jury because that's what we need in this case.

So we'll see you tomorrow and we're going to start tomorrow at 10:00. If you'll assemble outside the same courtroom at 10:00 tomorrow. I'm going to read you the admonition again that I've already read you and you'll come to know and love.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take an overnight recess. During this recess it is your duty not to converse amount yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, television, newspaper, radio, or Internet, and you are not to form or express an opinion on anything connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

And, again, no research on any issue in this case or anything having to do with this case or any person having to do with this case, including the lawyers or court personnel. Thank you. We'll be in recess until tomorrow at 10:00.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1 (Prospective jury recessed at 5:01 p.m.) 2 THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the venire has departed the courtroom. Are there any matters 3 4 outside the presence? 5 MS. BLUTH: Not on behalf of the State, Your Honor. 6 MR. MANINGO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 7 THE COURT: All right. I'll see you tomorrow at 8 10:00. 9 I should have said one MS. BLUTH: I'm sorry. 10 thing. About that motion, when do you think Your Honor would 11 like to hear arguments on that? Would you like us to come a little earlier tomorrow? I just want to make sure that it's 12 13 decided, you know, before openings. 14 THE COURT: Oh, yes. It definitely will be. 15 to go to the eye doctor tomorrow or we would be starting at 9:00. 16 17 MS. BLUTH: Oh, okay. 18 THE COURT: I've been, you know, putting it off for 19 like two years. So I really need to go. 20 MS. BLUTH: Sure. THE COURT: So I had to schedule this and -- and so 21 22 I have an appointment at like 7:40 in the morning, but you 23 never know how long it's going to take. 24 MS. BLUTH: Sure. 25 THE COURT: So how long do you think your arguments

```
will last?
               MS. BLUTH: Oh, gosh.
 3
               THE COURT:
                          Is this something that we could do first
    thing tomorrow?
 5
               MR. MANINGO: Yeah, that would be fine. I don't
 6
    think it's --
 7
               THE COURT: If we get here at 10:00 --
 8
              MS. BLUTH:
                           Okay.
 9
              THE COURT: -- you know, if you're a little early
    and I'm here, or you can even call and see if I'm here and I
10
    can always take the bench early because it's -- I'm going to
17
12
    come directly in.
13
              MS. BLUTH: All right.
              MR. MANINGO: I don't think -- it's not like a
14
    hearing or anything. It's -- I think it'll be short, a couple
15
    minutes before we bring in the venire.
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Great. I'll see you tomorrow.
18
    Thank you.
19
              MS. BLUTH:
                          Thank you.
20
              MR. MANINGO: Thank you.
             (Court recessed at 5:02 p.m., until Tuesday,
21
22
                   February 4, 2014, at 10:03 a.m.)
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

## AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC Englewood, CO 80110 (303) 798-0890

JULIE LORD TRANSCRIBER

8-22-14

DATE

Alm & Lum

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-245739

CASE NO. C-249693

Plaintiff,

DEPT. NO. 5

ν.

TRANSCRIPT OF

PROCEEDINGS

STEVEN DALE FARMER,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2014

<u>APPEARANCES</u>:

FOR THE STATE:

JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.

BRIAN J. KOCHEVAR, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

JEFFREY S. MANINGO, ESQ.

RYAN J. BASHOR, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

LARA CORCORAN District Court VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2014, 10:03 A.M.

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel)

THE COURT: This is Case No. C245739, State of Nevada versus Steven Dale Farmer. The record will reflect the presence of the defendant with his counsel, the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case, all officers of the court, and we are outside the presence of the venire panel.

Good morning.

MS. BLUTH: Good morning, Your Honor.

MR. MANINGO: Good morning, Your Honor. the first issue we'd like to raise from the defense is that Mr. Farmer -- his clothes were not changed. We've purchased three different suits for Mr. Farmer, a number of different shirts and clothing so that it will not appear as obvious that he's in custody.

He's in the same exact clothes. They didn't change him from anything yesterday, so we called our investigator just now and said at least let's get a change of shirt and then we'll worry about the suits from here on out. And I'll have her go in and make sure each morning that he's changed if need be.

However, the COs are stating that for security reasons they can't change his shirt in the holding cell. not sure what the issue is or what's -- why we can't do that, but we're trying to get a different shirt on him today even

though it's the same suit and tie. We have the shirt, so that's -- that's kind of our issue right now.

1.6

THE COURT: What's the problem with that?

OFFICER HAMM: For the record this is Officer Hamm. This is Officer Hamm, P-number 6675. The way it works is any items that an individual is going to wear for trial, they have to be taken through post ten at the jail and screened first. If the situation was that he needed to be changed, this morning he wanted to wear a different suit, he's a grown man, it's his responsibility to let us know that his suit needs to be changed. If he has another one over there, we'll give him a different one.

Otherwise, we give him a stack of clothes, and as an adult he's afforded the opportunity to change — to put on his suit. If he didn't want to wear that suit this morning, all he had to do was tell us I wore that yesterday, I have different clothes here, we would have looked around and found the suit that he had. He did not express to us that he needed to change his suit for any strange reason.

We also have an abundance of white shirts over at the Clark County Detention Center. If he wanted to change his shirt, he merely has to let us know — he merely has to let us know that he wants to change his shirt and we change it. We'd be more than happy to change his shirt for him. We can't hold his hand and make him change his clothes. It's up to him to

change his clothes, and that's the way it works.

And we're not allowed to change his clothes over here. That shirt, even though it's just a shirt, I understand it may seem like a small — small thing to us, but per policy we have to take him over to the jail, that shirt has to go through post ten. We'll be more than happy to take it back over there if Your Honor wants us to and wait until that shirt gets over to the jail and we'll change him out there. But we can't take that shirt and change him in the back. We can't change him — make him take his clothes off outside of the confines of the CCDC.

THE COURT: Okay. I had this same kind of issue in my last trial where there was some issue with the shoes and one of the defendants didn't have shoes on, she had her jail slipper things. And we -- I had her daughter who was in the courtroom give her boots, and then I found out afterwards the corrections officer got written up for that. He didn't tell me that it was a violation of policy and I didn't know that. So I don't want these corrections officers to be written up for their job because you guys didn't make sure what -- what the procedures are.

MR. MANINGO: Well, Your Honor --

THE COURT: So what do you want to do? I mean, we can send him back to the jail.

MR. MANINGO: And let me correct that. First of

all, we're not asking for any kind of handholding or anything else, okay. We brought the clothes over. The thing is Mr. Farmer has been in custody for about five years in Henderson, so he had a lot of belongings there. We brought the suits and everything over. He wasn't given the option of any changes. He wasn't told as the corrections officer has stated on the record that he's given an option and he refused to put on a new clean pair of clothes. He was given only this pile --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MANINGO: -- of clothes to put on.

THE COURT: I don't care about all of that. I guess the issue is do you want me to have him go take him back to the jail?

MR. MANINGO: Yes.

THE COURT: How long will that take?

OFFICER HAMM: We can have him over there in five minutes. It's — the thing is how fast can she get that shirt over to post ten.

THE INVESTIGATOR: Five minutes.

OFFICER HAMM: When we take him over there, we'll check his name and if there's another white shirt over there or suit over there, we can just change him out right now since we're taking him all the way over there if that's -- it that'll please them.

THE COURT: That sounds good.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 OFFICER HAMM: Okay. 2 MR. MANINGO: That would. Thank you. 3 MR. BASHOR: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Counsel, while he is gone, do you want 5 to argue this motion in limine, or do you feel --6 MR. MANINGO: Steve --7 THE COURT: -- he needs to be here? MR. MANINGO: -- are you okay with us arguing the 8 motion while you go change your clothes? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I trust you guys. 10 MR. MANINGO: Okay. We will waive his presence for 11 the -- the discussion of the motion. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. So the motion is 13 the motion in limine to limit cross-examination of Roxanne and 14 Scott Cagnina. I don't -- didn't get an opposition. 15 16 didn't file an opposition; is that correct? 17 MR. MANINGO: That's correct, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So, obviously, the motion is, you know, very short. It just indicates that the State is 19 concerned that you're going to cross-examine these two 20 witnesses regarding domestic violence or child abuse issues 21 that may have occurred during their relationship and reference 22 pornographic movies created by them or reference infidelity in 23 their relationship. And their objection is that it's not 24

25

relevant.

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	STEVEN DALE FARMER, ) No. 65935
4	Appellant, )
5	vi.
6	)
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA, )
8	Respondent. )
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME V PAGES 760-1001
10	
11	PHILIP J. KOHN  Clark County Public Defender  STEVE WOLFSON  Clark County District Attorney
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610  Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13 14	Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General
15	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16 17	Counsel for Respondent <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
19	Supreme Court on the 28 day of 7, 2014. Electronic Service of the
20	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
21	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO HOWARD S. BROOKS
22	STEVEN S. OWENS  I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	STEVEN DALE FARMER
25	NDOC # 1121584 c/o ELY STATE PRISON
26	P.O. Box 1989
27	Ely, NV 89301
28	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office