

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MEHMET SAIT KAR,
Appellant,
vs.
KATHLEEN A. KAR,
Respondent.

No. 65985

FILED

DEC 29 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER REGARDING TRANSCRIPTS
AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE*


This appeal was referred to the Pro Bono Committee of the State Bar of Nevada's Appellate Litigation Section, in conjunction with the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, to evaluate whether appellant and respondent could benefit from the pro bono program. On December 10, 2014, pro bono counsel Amberlea S. Davis, Esq., entered an appearance on appellant's behalf. As for respondent, the Legal Aid Center filed a notice in this court stating that respondent declined the appointment of pro bono counsel and that she would retain her own attorney, if needed. As counsel has not entered an appearance on respondent's behalf, it appears that respondent will be proceeding without legal representation, and we set forth a schedule for requesting transcripts and briefing in this appeal.¹

Appellant filed a pro se request for a transcript of the May 22, 2014, proceeding, and the court reporter filed a notice that the transcript was delivered. If appellant's counsel determines that additional

¹If respondent desires legal representation in this appeal, respondent shall cause counsel to enter an appearance in this court on her behalf within 30 days from the date of this order. If respondent fails to do so within that time period, we will presume that she intends to proceed without legal representation.

transcripts are necessary, counsel shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file a supplemental transcript request form; otherwise, this court will presume that all necessary transcripts have been prepared. Appellant shall have 90 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. *See* NRAP 28; NRAP 30; NRAP 31(a)(2). Appellant shall state on the cover page of the opening brief whether it is a replacement brief or a supplement to appellant's previously filed pro se appeal statement. This court encourages the submission of a replacement brief. Although respondent is proceeding pro se, we grant respondent leave of this court to file an answering brief in this matter. *See* NRAP 46(b). Respondent shall have 30 days after service of the opening brief to file and serve an answering brief. Appellant shall have ten days after service of the answering brief to file and serve any reply brief. Because the trial court record has been filed in this appeal, the parties are permitted, but not required, to cite to that record in lieu of filing an appendix. *Compare* NRAP 10(a) (governing transmission of trial court record), *with* NRAP 30 (setting forth requirements for appendices). We caution respondent that failure to file an answering brief will result in this appeal being decided on the opening brief and record before this court.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Amberlea S. Davis
Kathleen A. Kar
Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Barbara E. Buckley,
Executive Director
Anne R. Traum, Coordinator, Appellate Litigation Section,
Pro Bono Committee, State Bar of Nevada