IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MEHMET SAIT KAR, Appellant, vs. KATHLEEN A. KAR, Respondent. No. 65985

FILED

JAN 2 8 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER REGARDING APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

This appeal was referred to the Pro Bono Committee of the State Bar of Nevada's Appellate Litigation Section, in conjunction with the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, to evaluate whether appellant and respondent could benefit from the pro bono program. Thereafter, pro bono counsel entered an appearance on appellant's behalf, but respondent declined the appointment of pro bono counsel and indicated that she might retain her own attorney.

On December 29, 2014, this court entered an order directing briefing and granting respondent leave to file a pro se answering brief, as she was proceeding without legal representation at that time. On January 22, 2015, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., and Jason P. Stoffel, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group filed a notice of appearance in this court as respondent's counsel. In accordance with the briefing schedule set forth in this court's December 29, 2014, order, respondent's counsel, rather than respondent, shall have 30 days after service of the opening brief to file and serve the answering brief. Because appellant is represented by pro bono counsel, this matter will be scheduled for oral argument upon completion

SUPREME COURT OF NEVAOA

(O) 1947A 🐠

of briefing, but either party's counsel may notify this court in writing if counsel believes that oral argument is undesirable or unnecessary.

It is so ORDERED.

/ Sarlesty_, C.J.

cc: Amberlea S. Davis
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group