

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MATTHEW WASHINGTON,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 65998

**FILED**

OCT 13 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION**

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until October 16, 2015, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

*[Signature]*, C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney

15-31074