IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

2

1

4

3

4

5

VS.

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street Third Floor. Reno, Nevada 89519 (775) 786-6868 Fax (775) 786-9716 * * * * *

KIRK ROSS HARRISON,

Appellant,

NO.

Electronically Filed Mar 24 2015 02:41 p.m. NO. 661 Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON,

Respondent.

MOTION TO EXPAND FAST TRACK STATEMENT

Pursuant to NRAP 3E(d)(3), appellant Kirk Harrison hereby moves to expand the length of his fast track statement, which is presently due for filing on April 6, 2015. Pursuant to NRAP 3E(e)(2), a fast track statement is acceptable if it contains no more than 7,000 words. Appellant is requesting permission to file a fast track statement consisting of 10,000 words.

This appeal involves child custody issues primarily involving a "teenage discretion" provision. The appeal also involves the propriety of a parenting coordinating provision. As set forth in appellant's motion to remove the case from the fast track program, there are numerous issues appellant intends to raise in the fast track statement. These issues include standards for interpreting teenage discretion provisions; the extent to which such provisions can empower the child to require her parents to modify custody schedules; appropriate interpretation of teenage discretion provisions; whether parenting coordinator provisions are unenforceable, indefinite, and contrary to public policy; the extent to which a district court can grant judicial authority to a parenting coordinator; and whether parenting coordinator provisions can be in the best interests of children.

These important issues involve matters of first impression and statewide precedent. An adequate discussion of these issues could easily exceed twice the normal word limit for a fast

¹In a separate motion, appellant is requesting a 5-day extension of time for the fast track statement.

track statement. Nevertheless, appellant and counsel Eisenberg believe they can present the issues adequately in a fast track statement consisting of no more than 10,000 words.² 2 Accordingly, appellant hereby requests an order expanding the fast track statement to 3 10,000 words. 4 DATED: March 24, 2015 5 6 Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 7 6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor 8 Reno, Nevada 89519 Phone: 775-786-6868 9 Email: rle@lge.net 10 ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

² In the order denying appellant's motion to remove the case from the fast track program, the court stated: "Appellant/cross-respondent may file a motion to file an expanded fast track statement in accordance with NRAP 3E(d)(3), if deemed warranted."

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg and that on this date the foregoing was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and therefore electronic service was made in accordance with the master service list as follows:

Edward L. Kainen Thomas J. Standish Radford J. Smith Gary R. Silverman Mary Anne Decaria

I further certify that on this date I served a copy of this notice, postage prepaid, by U.S.

Mail to:

Kirk Harrison 1535 Sherri Lane Boulder City, Nevada 89005

Settlement Judge Lansford Levitt 4747 Caughlin Parkway Suite 6 Reno, Nevada 89519

DATED: 3

Vicki Shapiro, Assistant to ROBERT L. EISENBERG

LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street Third Floor. Reno, Nevada 89519 (775) 786-6868 Fax (775) 786-9716

27

28