

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

KIRK ROSS HARRISON,

Appellant/Cross-
Respondent

vs.

VIVIAN MARIE LEE HARRISON,

Respondent/Cross-
Appellant.

Electronically Filed
May 13 2015 04:20 p.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court
NO. 66157

**MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE REPLY TO FAST TRACK
RESPONSE, AND TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX**

Appellant, Kirk Ross Harrison (Kirk), hereby moves for permission to file a reply to the fast track response filed by respondent, Vivian Marie Harrison (Vivian), and for permission to file a supplemental appendix with the reply.

This appeal is in the child custody fast track program, pursuant to NRAP 3E. Respondent filed her fast track response and her respondent's appendix on May 6, 2015. The response contains extensive discussions of a report from psychiatrist Dr. Norton Roitman. (Response pp. 2, 16) The report, which the response attacks, was never mentioned or discussed in Kirk's fast track statement.¹ The response contends that Kirk drafted Dr. Roitman's report, and that such drafting was improper and

1

Dr. Roitman prepared two reports. The only one mentioned in Kirk's fast track statement was dated January 14, 2014. 6A.App.1299-1311. The report attacked in Vivian's response, which was never mentioned in Kirk's fast track statement, was dated June 9, 2011. 2A.App.222-257.

unethical for both Dr. Roitman and Kirk. (*Id.*) The response contains other attacks on Dr. Roitman and his June 9, 2011 report. Respondent filed a response appendix containing documents that allegedly support respondent's contentions on these issues. Rule 3E does not provide for a reply to a child custody fast track response. This court may grant permission for such a reply. See NRAP 2.

To the extent that there is any relevance to Kirk's alleged drafting of Dr. Roitman's June 9, 2011 report, or to the other attacks in Vivian's response, the documents in the appendices now on file are incomplete, giving only a one-sided view of the facts relating to these issues. Moreover, although Kirk's fast track statement discussed one of Dr. Roitman's reports, the fast track statement did not discuss the other report that Vivian now attacks in her response. Vivian's contention in the response regarding the other report constitutes an attack that was not reasonably foreseeable in Kirk's fast track statement.

There are additional district court records that would provide this court with more complete and accurate facts relating to Dr. Roitman's other report that Vivian now attacks. These documents consist of portions of Kirk's opposition, counter-motion, and reply papers dealing with attorneys' fees. These documents are explained in appellant's proposed reply.

Fundamental fairness dictates that Kirk should be given an opportunity to reply to Vivian's attacks on a doctor's report that was never discussed in Kirk's fast track statement. Kirk respectfully contends that a short reply, with a limited supplemental appendix, will provide the court with more complete and accurate information for the court's determination of any issues relating to the other Dr. Roitman's report.

///

///

///

Accordingly, appellant requests permission to file the reply and a supplemental appendix, both of which are provided to the court concurrently with this motion.²

Dated: May 19, 2015

Robert L. Eisenberg
ROBERT L. EISENBERG (Bar #0950)
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor
Reno, Nevada 89519
Phone: 775-786-6868
Email: rle@lge.net
~~ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT/CROSS-~~
~~RESPONDENT~~
SEE NEXT PAGE
KIRK R. HARRISON (Bar #0861)
1535 Sherri Lane
Boulder City, Nevada 89005
Phone: (702) 271-6000
Email: kharrison@harrisonresolution.com
~~APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT~~

The proposed appendix consists of only the relevant pages of the lengthy district court filings containing information on this issue. If the court wishes to see the full documents, appellant will certainly provide them to the court.

Accordingly, appellant requests permission to file the reply and a supplemental appendix, both of which are provided to the court concurrently with this motion.²

Dated: _____

SEE PRIOR PAGE

ROBERT L. EISENBERG (Bar #0950)

Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor

Reno, Nevada 89519

Phone: 775-786-6868

Email: rle@lge.net

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT

Kirk R. Harrison
KIRK R. HARRISON (Bar #0861)

1535 Sherri Lane

Boulder City, Nevada 89005

Phone: (702) 271-6000

Email: kharrison@harrisonresolution.com

APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT

The proposed appendix consists of only the relevant pages of the lengthy district court filings containing information on this issue. If the court wishes to see the full documents, appellant will certainly provide them to the court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg and that on this date Appellant/Cross-Respondent's Motion for Permission to File Reply to Fast Track Response and to File Supplemental Appendix was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and therefore electronic service was made in accordance with the master service list as follows:

Edward L. Kainen
Thomas J. Standish
Radford J. Smith
Gary R. Silverman
Mary Anne Decaria
Kirk Harrison

DATED: May 13, 2015

Margie Nevins