IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CORY DEALVONE HUBBARD, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 66185

FLED

NOV 2 1 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction. Appellant has submitted a document in proper person asking this court to "withdraw" anything filed by counsel because appellant did not authorize counsel to file anything other than the notice of appeal. No action is warranted for two reasons. First, appellant is represented by counsel and has no right to represent himself in this appeal, see Martinez v. Court of Appeal of California, 538 U.S. 152 (2000); Blandino v. States, 112 Nev. 352, 914 P.2d 624 (1996); therefore, he must proceed through counsel in the prosecution of this appeal. Second, this court's rules do not require a client's consent to counsel filing documents. Statutes regarding the filing of a petition for a writ of habeas corpus do not govern proceedings before this court on appeal from a judgment of conviction. When a party is represented by counsel before this court, it is counsel's responsibility to comply with this court's rules and file all required documents and is within counsel's professional judgment to determine what issues to raise on appeal, see Jones v. Barnes, 463 U.S. 745 (1983). We thus will take

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

no action on the proper person document received on November 14, 2014.

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Brent D. Percival
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Cory Dealvone Hubbard