1 2 3 4 5	REQTBRENT D. PERCIVAL, ESQ.Nevada Bar #3656BRENT D. PERCIVAL, ESQ. P.C.Las Vegas, Nevada 89101(702) 868-5650Attorney for Defendant/AppellantElectronically FiledJan 30 2015 10:13 a.m.
	IN THE SUPREME COURT Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court
6	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7 8 9	CORY DEALVONE HUBBARD Defendant/Appellant, vs.
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.
11	
12	REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO FILE OPENING BRIEF AND APPENDIX
13	COMES NOW Appellant, CORY DEALVONE HUBBARD, by and through his
14	attorney of record, BRENT D. PERCIVAL, ESQ., of the law office Brent D. Percival,
15	Esq. P.C., and hereby respectfully submits the present Request for Additional Time to
16	File Opening Brief and Appendix.
17	This Request for additional time is made and based upon the entirety of the
18	pleadings and papers presently on file herein, upon the affidavit of Brent D. Percival,
19	Esq., attached hereto.
20	DATED this <u>30</u> day of January, 2015.
21	BRENT D. PERCIVAL, ESQ. P.C
22	
23	BRENT D. PERCIVAL, ESQ.
24	Nevada Bar #3656 630 South Third Street
25	Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 868-5650
26	Attorney for Appellant
27	
28	
	<sup>-1-</sup> Docket 66185 Document 2015-03275

## AFFIDAVIT OF BRENT D. PERCIVAL, IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO FILE OPENING BRIEF AND APPENDIX

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Brent D. Percival., Esq., being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

)ss:

1. That I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada, and I am Defendant/Appellant CORY HUBBARD's court-appointed attorney of record in the above-captioned matter. Your affiant represented the Defendant/Appellant in all phases of the proceedings which were held in the District Court action below.

2. That I have personal knowledge of the facts herein stated, except for those alleged upon information and belief and, as to those allegations, I believe them to be true.

3. This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction in a case alleging, essentially, the commission of the crimes of Count 1 – Conspiracy To Commit Robbery; Count 2 – Burglary While In Possession Of A Firearm; Count 3 – Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; Count 4 – Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; Count 5 – Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; Count 6 – Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; Count 7 – Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; Count 8 – Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; Count 9 – Robbery With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; County 13 – Attempt Murder With Use Of A Deadly Weapon; Count 14 – Assault With Use Of A Deadly Weapon and Count 15 – Discharge Of Firearm Within A Structure. The defendant was sentenced as to Count 1 – Life without the possibility of parole; as to Count 2 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 3 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 4 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 7 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 8 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 7 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 7 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 7 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 7 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 8 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 7 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 8 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 7 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 8 – Life without 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the possibility of parole, as to Count 9 – Life without the possibility of parole, as to Count 14 – Sentenced to Credit for Time Served, as to Count 15 – Life without the possibility of parole, all counts to run concurrent with each other.

4. Your affiant was court-appointed to represent Mr. CORY HUBBARD in place of the Clark County Public Defender's office. Counsel's appointment as attorney of record took place after a conflict of interest came to light which precluded the Public Defender's office from further serving as counsel for Mr. HUBBARD.

5. The Request for Transcripts was filed on October 15, 2014 and the Docketing Statement was filed in this matter on August 20, 2014.

6. That although the Opening Brief and Appendix are due February 6, 2015, the transcripts, have yet to be properly delivered to Brent D. Percival, Esq.

7. On August 8, 2014, the Supreme Court filed an Order Regarding Transcripts, which states, the court reporter is to "deliver to the party ordering the transcript 1 certified copy and an additional certified copy for the appendix." A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

8. On December 15, 2014, Court Reporter for District Court Department IX, Dana Tavaglione, filed with the Supreme Court a Certificate of Filing and Delivery stating that "transcripts of these proceedings were delivered". Ms. Tavaglione, however, apparently never notified this office that the transcripts had been prepared, or delivered copies of the requested transcripts to counsel, either by e-mail, fax, or U.S. Mail.

9. On January 26, 2015, Court Reporter for District Court Department IX, Robert Cangemi, filed a Certificate of Filing and Delivery stating that "the requested transcripts were delivered". Counsel was not notified of the preparation or finalization of these transcripts, whether by e-mail, fax, or U.S. Mail. Nor were the transcripts delivered, in any fashion, to counsel's office. The notification and non-delivery of the

- 3 -

requested Transcripts is also in violation of the Supreme Court's Order of August 8, 2014.

There has been no Certificate of Filing and Delivery filed by Bill Nelson or 10. Joann Melendez thus far.

That in light of the fact that the transcripts of a six (6) day trial have not 11. been received by counsel for Appellant and Certificates of Filing and Delivery have yet to be filed by Court Recorder Nelson and Melendez, as directed by order of the Supreme Court of Nevada, counsel respectfully requests an extension of ninety (90) days in which to file Appellant's Opening Brief and Appendix. If such order were to be granted, Appellant's Opening Brief would fall due on May 6, 2015.

## FURTHER, YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

DATED this <u>30</u> day of January 29, 2015.

SQ.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 17 SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this <sup>2</sup> day of January 29, 2015. 18 JENNA TOLENTINO Notary Public State of Nevada No. 10-1506-1 19 My Appt. Exp. Dec. 18, 2017 20 Notar Public 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- 4 -

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
3	
4	I hereby certify that on the <u>30/16</u> /day of January, 2015 I served a copy of the
5	foregoing Request for Additional Time to File Opening Brief and Appendix by
6	depositing a copy in the U.S. Mail, first-class postage attached, addressed as follows:
7	Clark County District Attorney's Office
8	Appellate Division 200 Lewis Avenue
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Counsel for Respondent
10	
11	Abistine Cosul
12	An Employee of
13	Brent D. Percival, Esq., P.C.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 5 -

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GREGORY A. FRITZ, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.



## ORDER REGARDING TRANSCRIPTS

Appellant served transcript request forms on court recorders Victoria Boyd and Yvette Sison on January 22, 2014. In a motion for an extension of time filed with this court on July 28, 2014, appellant represents that he has not yet been provided with copies of the requested transcripts. Copies of the transcript request forms are attached.

On July 2, 2014, Ms. Boyd filed a notice in this court indicating that she prepared and filed the transcripts requested of her and notified appellant's counsel via e-mail that the transcripts were available on Odyssey. However, based on appellant's motion, it appears that the requested transcripts have not been delivered to appellant's counsel. NRAP 9(b)(1)(A) requires a court recorder to both "file the original transcript with the district court clerk" and "deliver to the party ordering the transcript 1 certified copy and an additional certified copy for the appendix." It thus appears that Ms. Boyd has not yet completed her obligation to deliver copies of the requested transcripts to appellant's counsel. In addition, we note that although Ms. Boyd's notice indicates that she has prepared and filed all of the transcripts requested of her in

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

O) 1947A 🐗 🕬

this matter, it appears from the district court docket entries that the transcripts of proceedings held on July 15 and 17, 2013, have not yet been filed in the district court.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, Ms. Boyd shall have 20 days from the date of this order to (1) prepare and file the transcripts of the July 15 and 17, 2013, proceedings, and (2) deliver copies of all the transcripts requested of her to appellant's counsel. If Ms. Boyd believes she is not responsible for preparing or delivering any of the requested transcripts she shall so notify this court, in writing, within the same time period.

It appears that all transcripts requested from Ms. Sison have been filed in the district court. To date, however, Ms. Sison has not filed a notice of delivery of the transcripts in this court as required by NRAP 9(b)(2). And, based on appellant's motion, it appears that appellant has not yet received the transcripts requested of Ms. Sison. Accordingly, Ms. Sison shall have 11 days from the date of this order to deliver the transcripts requested of her to appellant's counsel and file a notice in this court confirming that the transcripts have been delivered to appellant's counsel. The notice must comply with NRAP 9(b)(2). If Ms. Sison believes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It also appears that the transcripts of proceedings held on April 3, 2011, and July 16, 2013, also requested from Ms. Boyd, have not been filed in the district court. Review of the district court docket and minute entries indicates that no proceedings were conducted on April 3, 2011. It also appears from the district court minute entries that the July 16, 2013, proceedings consisted of voir dire examination. However, appellant's transcript request form specifically stated that vior dire examination of jurors was not requested. It thus appears that Ms. Boyd is not responsible for the production of these transcripts.

she is not responsible for delivering any of the requested transcripts she shall so notify this court, in writing, within the same time period.

We caution Ms. Boyd and Ms. Sison that failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 9(b)(5); NRAP 13(b).

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc: Hon. Jennifer Togliatti, Chief Judge Hon. Jessie Elizabeth Walsh, District Judge Brent D. Percival Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk Steve Grierson, District Court Administrator Victoria Boyd, Court Recorder Yvette Sison, Court Recorder

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA