

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF: THE W.N.  
CONNELL AND MARJORIE T.  
CONNELL LIVING TRUST, DATED  
MAY 18, 1972,

ELEANOR C. AHERN, A/K/A ELEANOR  
CONNELL HARTMAN AHERN,

Appellant,

vs.

JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA; AND  
KATHRYN A. BOUVIER,

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF THE W.N.  
CONNELL AND MARJORIE T.  
CONNELL LIVING TRUST, DATED  
MAY 18, 1972, AN INTER VIVOS  
IRREVOCABLE TRUST,

ELEANOR CONNELL HARTMAN  
AHERN,

Appellant,

vs.

KATHRYN A. BOUVIER; AND  
JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA,

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF THE W.N.  
CONNELL AND MARJORIE T.  
CONNELL LIVING TRUST, DATED  
MAY 18, 1972, AN INTER VIVOS  
IRREVOCABLE TRUST.

ELEANOR CONNELL HARTMAN  
AHERN,

Appellant,

vs.

JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA; AND  
KATHRYN A. BOUVIER,

Respondents.

No. 66231

**FILED**

**JAN 27 2016**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 67782

No. 68046

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Cause appearing, respondents' motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondents shall have until February 19, 2016, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc: Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas  
The Rushforth Firm, Ltd.  
Albright Stoddard Warnick & Albright