IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WESLEY ALLEN LEWIS,
Appellant,

vs.
MARIA DANIELA LEWIS A/K/A MARIA
DANIELA PERDOMO.

Respondent.

No. 66497

FILED

APR 0 8 2015

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. V. DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER REMOVING APPEAL FROM PILOT PROGRAM, DIRECTING COMPLIANCE WITH NRAP 9, AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE

This court referred this matter to the Pro Bono Committee of the State Bar of Nevada's Appellate Litigation Section for the selection of pro bono counsel to represent appellant. Appellant has not filed an objection to the appointment. On April 7, 2015, Tami D. Cowden, Esq. filed a notice of appearance on behalf of appellant as his pro bono counsel. Accordingly, this appeal no longer stands submitted for decision on the civil pro se appeal statement, and we set forth the following schedule for the requesting of transcripts and briefing in this appeal.

Appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to determine the transcripts necessary for a review of this appeal and to file either a transcript request form or a certification that no transcripts are requested under NRAP 9(a). As appellant is now a client of a program for legal aid, see NRS 12.015(1)(b), appellant's counsel is directed to follow NRS 12.015(3) for obtaining any necessary transcripts at county expense. Appellant shall have 90 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. See NRAP 28; NRAP 30; NRAP 31(a)(1). Appellant shall state on the cover page of the opening brief whether it is a replacement brief or a supplement to appellant's previously filed pro se

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

appeal statement. This court encourages the submission of a replacement brief. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1). Because the trial court record has been filed in this appeal, the parties are permitted, but not required, to cite to that record in lieu of filing joint or separate appendices with their briefs. Compare NRAP 10(a) (governing transmission of trial court record), with NRAP 30 (setting forth requirements for appendices). Although this matter will be scheduled for oral argument upon completion of briefing, counsel may notify this court in writing if counsel believes that oral argument is undesirable or unnecessary.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Jarlesty, C.J.

cc: Greenberg Traurig, LLP/Las Vegas
Wesley Allen Lewis
Fine Law Group
Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Barbara Buckley,
Executive Director
Anne R. Tatrum, Coordinator, Appellate Litigation Section,
Pro Bono Committee, State Bar of Nevada