

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WESLEY ALLEN LEWIS,
Appellant,
vs.
MARIA DANIELA LEWIS A/K/A MARIA
DANIELA PERDOMO,
Respondent.

No. 66497

FILED

NOV 05 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

Respondent has filed a motion for clarification and an extension of time to file the answering brief. Counsel for respondent seeks clarification based on her assertion that appellant's opening brief cites to volumes I and II of an appendix but no such volumes have been served on respondent or appear to have been filed in this court.

Review of appellant's opening brief reveals that it cites to volumes I-IV of an appendix and volumes I-II of a supplemental appendix. The citations to volumes I-IV of the appendix appear to correlate to volumes I-IV of the record on appeal filed in this court on February 19, 2015. The citation to volumes I-II of the supplemental appendix appear to correlate to the supplemental appendix filed in this court on September 10, 2015. Both the record on appeal and the supplemental appendix are available to counsel via this court's docket.¹

Given the confusion with regard to the citations, the motion for an extension of time is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(C). Respondent shall

¹Respondent's counsel should have been electronically served with the supplemental appendix as it was filed via this court's electronic filing system.

have 11 days from the date of this order to file and serve the answering brief. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sanchez, C.J.

cc: Greenberg Traurig, LLP/Las Vegas
Fine Law Group