IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RANDOLPH MOORE.

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 66652

FILED

MAY 1 5 2015

BY___

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the opening brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(7)(d). Appellant shall have until June 4, 2015, to file and serve the opening brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(7)(d). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty, C.J.

cc: Federal Public Defender/Las Vegas Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

COURT OF APPEALS

OF

NEVADA

(U) 1947B

15-14930