			Q Do you keep that report and use it in the
1	report that was filled out on July 11, 2013?	1	ordinary course of your duties?
2	A It is.	2	
3	MR. COOPER: Move to admit State's Proposed	3	A I do. MR. COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, I would
4	Exhibit 9, Your Honor.	4	MR, COUPER: At this point, 10th 120101, 1 1001
5	MS. BONAVENTURE: No objection.	5	move to admit the State's Proposed Exhibit 10?
6	THE COURT: State's Exhibit 9 is admitted.	6	MS. BONAVENTURE: No objection.
7	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 9 was	7	THE COURT: State's Proposed 10 is admitted.
8	admitted into evidence.)	8	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 10 was
9	BY MR. COOPER:	9	admitted into evidence.)
10	Q Again, this is a similar report that we were	10	MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, we would just maintain
11	just looking at?	11	the previous objection that had been made.
12	A Yes.	12	THE COURT: The previous objection is noted for
1	Q And the address he put down is at Main and	13	the record.
13		14	MS. HOJJAT: Thank you.
14	Wyoming?	15	MR, COOPER: Permission to approach, Your Honor.
15	A Yes. Q Now, when you were, I guess, meeting with him in	16	THE COURT: You may.
16	Now, when you were, I guess, incoming when the	17	BY MR. COOPER:
17	July what, if anything, did you talk to him about?	18	Q So on the upper top portion it says "refused."
18	A His noncompliance, the fact that he was not	19	Do you see that?
19	attending counseling. In fact, I wasn't able to see him	20	A Yes.
20	at his house, his cross streets, that he was not paying	21	Q What does that mean?
21	his fees, that he wasn't working. It had been where time	22	A Refused UA, meaning that he refused to have a
22	had elapsed to the point where we were coming to a point	23	urinalysis so I could test for controlled substances.
23	where I have to file charges on him for being	24	Q Would that have happened on the 15th day of
24	noncompliant.	25	August?
25	Q And did you do that in July of 2013?	23	102
1	100		
	The Property of the Property o	1	A Yes.
1	A I arrested him in July. I believe it was July	2	Q So walk me through your discussion with the
2	11th that I arrested him.	3	defendant on the 15th day of August when he reported to
3	Q And what were the basis of the charges?	4	your office.
4	A Everything I just stated; the violation	5	A Right away when he comes in, I ask, Are you
5	concerning failure to meet the curfew, failure to pay	6	ready to give a UA? And he's like, I'm not doing it. I
6	fees, curfew violation. Anything that he was violating	7	don't know his exact words but he refused.
7	in the agreement I was charging him.	8	So at that point I took him upstairs to my
8	Q So subsequent to that are you aware if those	9	supervisor's office for noncompliance. He was not
9		1	listening to me. He can deal with my sergeant. And even
10	A They were denied.	10	my sergeant couldn't get across to him. And at that
11		11	point he still refused to a UA
12	A I saw him in August.	12	
13	MR. COOPER: Permission to approach the witness,	13	
14		14	s – a la cont
15	THE COURT: You may.	15	the contract of the contract o
10	BY MR. COOPER:	16	a second to the
1	Q Ma'am, I am now showing what has been marked as	17	- N T T T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
11	1711 10. In was reasonize that?	18	and the state of t
1	A I do.	19	Tomas I om going to
2	Q How do you recognize that?	20	
- 1		21	—— a series on the select did your
2	1 A This is a monthly report dated 8/10/13 inled		
2	out by Steve McNeill.	22	THE COOK! The question was that are you
- 1	out by Steve McNeill. Q And is that a true and correct copy of your	23	overhear the defendant say. You have an objection with
2	out by Steve McNeill. Q And is that a true and correct copy of your	23 24	overhear the defendant say. You have an objection with regard to what the defendant said?
2	out by Steve McNeill. Q And is that a true and correct copy of your report that is contained in your file? A It is?	23	overhear the defendant say. You have an objection with regard to what the defendant said? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor, because it is
2 2 2	out by Steve McNeill. Q And is that a true and correct copy of your report that is contained in your file?	23 24	overhear the defendant say. You have an objection with regard to what the defendant said?

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

Page 100 to 103 of 207

Q What's the "no one time weekly OV" mean? hearsay at this point in time. They have witness Officer 1 A That would be that we would want him to come Zana, and Officer Zana will be here to testify as to 2 into the office once a week to report since he was 2 3 exactly the conversation he had. 3 homeless and we could not track him down at his THE COURT: Overruled. residence. He refused to come in one time a week for an 4 MR. COOPER: You can say it. 5 office visit, which would be "OV." THE WITNESS: Okay. The language was something 6 6 Q And "not registering everything 30 days," what 7 like, I will not be kept like a dog on a leash. I refuse 7 does that mean? 8 UAs. I will refuse the curfew hours. I will sleep A Sergeant Zana brought that up. At that time I 8 wherever I want to sleep. You have no authority over me 9 was unaware, when you are homeless you have to register 10 basically. 10 every 30 days --11 And, specifically, I remember he said that he 11 MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, I am going object to as was doing Parole and Probation a favor by showing up at 12 12 least one a month to drop off a monthly report. And that 13 hearsay. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 14 was it. THE WITNESS: Sergeant Zana was saying that 15 BY MR. COOPER: 15 Q Now, while he was in Officer Zana's office with every 30 --16 16 THE COURT: Hold on. Before you weren't saying you, did you attempt to give him any additional 17 17 what Officer Zana said. 18 requirements on his probation period? 18 MR. COOPER: I can clarify that, Your Honor. A At that time I felt there was no point to direct 19 19 THE COURT: Please. him anymore because he flat out refused to do everything 20 20 BY MR. COOPER; and be compliant with his Supervision Agreement. 21 21 Q What is your understanding of when a homeless 22 Q Now, referring your attention back to that on 22 person has to register? the screen. Is that what you are referring to when you 23 23 A Every 30 days. 24 say he is noncompliant? 24 And it looks like on the right-hand side here it 25 A Right. 25 106 104 says "Sergeant Zana office." Is that what you were Q So what does it mean by "no UA"? ٦ referring to when --A He did not produce and he refused to do a 2 A We were in his office when we discussed those 2 3 urinalysis test. 3 4 things. Q What does it mean by "no curfew"? 4 Q Now, at some point after August of 2013, did you 5 A He refused to be placed on a curfew. He 5 have contact with the defendant again? verbally said, I am not going to be placed on a curfew. 6 6 A Not physical contact, no. 7 Q This was -- well, let me back up. So was it 7 Q What type of contact did you have? 8 technically the third curfew? 8 A Sergeant Zana did show me a letter addressed A Like I said, I adjusted the curfew from 5:00 to 9 from Steve McNeill. The letter was addressed to Captain 9 8:00. Again, I was going to say, Hey, man, you're on a 10 Sawyer who is in charge of Parole and Probation. It was 10 curfew, but he said, I am not going to be placed on a 11 11 a cease and desist letter. 12 curfew. 12 Q Essentially, what did that letter say? Q So in March, I think you said he was placed on a 13 13 A Basically, it said that Parole and Probation has 14 curfew? 14 no authority over him and to stop contacting him. 15 A Right. Q After August of 2013, did you see the defendant 15 Q And he came back to you at some other point and 16 16 again in Probation and Parole at the office? 17 said that he needed more time? 17 A Yeah, I give him an extension. It's hot out or A I did not. 18 Q Did he contact you again after August 2013? 18 whatever, and he wanted me to push it back and I did 19 19 A He did not. 20 agree to give him the 8:00 p.m. curfew. 20 Q Between August 2013 and March 2014, did you have Q In August were you basically saying you were on 21 21 any contact with the defendant? 22 a curfew or were you trying to give him a new curfew? 22 A No. 23 A Continuing saying you are still on a curfew. 23 Q Between that time period, did you attempt to 24 Q And is that when he said no? 24 contact the defendant? A Yes. 25 107 105

Page 104 to 107 of 207

		1	THE COURT: The objection is sustained as to the
1	A Yes.	2	form of the question.
2	Q And can you describe to the ladies and gentlemen	3	You may proceed.
3 (of the jury what attempts you made.	4	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	A Phone calls and more home attempts at Main and	5	BY MR. COOPER:
5 '	Wyoming.	6	Q I think you said you remember that you testified
6	Q And were you able to find him at Main and	i -	at a previous hearing?
7	Wyoming?	7	A I did.
8	A I was not.	8	And during that previous hearing, do you recall
9	Q And at this point he was still required to come	9	if you testified the exact same way as you testified
0 i	n regularly once a month?	10	
1	A Yes.	11	today?
2	Q And between August and March that would have	12	A I did not.
	peen about nine months?	13	Q Do you remember anything that you may have
4	A Correct.	14	misstated at that previous hearing?
5	Q Nine months of no contact?	15	A Yes. I definitely got confused on dates.
6	A No contact.	16	Q What do you mean by that?
7	O Now, let me ask you a question. We talked about	17	A When his lifetime supervision started, when I
8	lifetime supervision. Does lifetime supervision actually	18	started supervising him, things of that nature.
9	mean lifetime?	19	Q Do you recall what date you said you started
0	A No. After ten years	20	supervising him in that previous hearing?
.u !1.	MS. HOJJAT: Objection. Relevance.	21	A I believe I misspoke and said March 2012.
	THE COURT: Mr. Cooper.	22	Q Were you even a probation officer in March of
22	MR. COOPER: Your Honor, this goes directly to	23	2012?
23	the charge. I don't understand how it is not relevant.	24	A I was not.
24 25	THE COURT: I need more explanation of how it	25	 Q And when did you actually become a probation 110
1	goes to this particular charge.	1	officer? A Well in April 2012 I started the academy.
1 2	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor?	2	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy.
	MR, COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may.	2 3	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might
2	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.)	2 3 4	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that?
2	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may	2 3	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if
2 3 4	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.)	2 3 4	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have
2 3 4 5	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he
2 3 4 5 6	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a hearing like that? A It was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a hearing like that? A It was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BONAVENTURE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a hearing like that? A It was. Q Describe your state of mind at that point?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q Nice to see you again, Officer Mangan. How are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	MR, COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a hearing like that? A It was. Q Describe your state of mind at that point? MS, HOJJAT: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Mr. Cooper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q Nice to see you again, Officer Mangan. How are you doing today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	MR, COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a hearing like that? A It was. Q Describe your state of mind at that point? MS, HOJJAT: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Mr. Cooper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q Nice to see you again, Officer Mangan. How are you doing today? A I'm really good. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a hearing like that? A It was. Q Describe your state of mind at that point? MS. HOJJAT: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Mr. Cooper. MR. COOPER: Can we approach, Your Honor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q Nice to see you again, Officer Mangan. How are you doing today? A I'm really good. Thank you. Q Good. So Mr. Cooper started getting into this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR, COOPER: May we approach Your Honor? THE COURT: You may. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You may not answer the question. And I will ask counsel to proceed. MR. COOPER: Court's brief indulgence. BY MR. COOPER: Q All the different violations we're talking about, the curfew and the not reporting, that all happened here in Clark County, Nevada? A It did. Q Do you recall testifying in May at a previous hearing in this matter? A I do. Q And was that your first time testifying in a hearing like that? A It was. Q Describe your state of mind at that point? MS, HOJJAT: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Mr. Cooper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Well, in April 2012 I started the academy. Q Did you remember anything else that you might have misspoke on or anything like that? A I believe I was asked a question about maps, if he had drawn any maps, and at that point I didn't have monthly reports in front of me to say for certain that he had, but now that I did go back and look at the monthly reports he did draw me maps. Q Those would have been the maps that you would have used in order to try to find him? A Yes. I take those out in the field with me and tried to look for the offender using those maps. MR. COOPER: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q Nice to see you again, Officer Mangan. How are you doing today? A I'm really good. Thank you. Q Good. So Mr. Cooper started getting into this

1 (γ	- to the first fam I flow I houng a high
	experience, right?	1	know like if he's a tier 1, tier 2, tier 3 being a high
2	A Experience in what?		risk
3	Q I'm sorry. As a probation officer in general.	3	MS. HOJJAT: Objection. MS. BONAVENTURE: We are going to withdraw that
4	A Okay.	4	
5	Q Let me just reask the question.	5	objection, Your Honor.
6	A Okay.	6	BY MS. BONAVENTURE:
7	Q So you said that you were hired April 2012?	7	Q How many hours of training do you think you
8	A Yes.	8	received in specialized training for this special unit?
9	Q Okay. That's when you were hired. And you said	9	A I could not even approximate that. I do not
	you did go to an academy?	10	know.
1	A I did.	11	Q So then you went from your field training
2	Q How long was that academy?	12	A Yes.
	A I graduated September 19, 2012.	13	Q to getting assigned cases?
3	Q Congratulations.	14	A Right.
4	A Thank you.	15	Q So when you were in field training, were you
15	Q After you did the academy, you did say that you	16	working on your own cases or were you working with the
16		17	supervising officer?
	did field officer training; is that right?	18	A I had my own caseload and my supervisor would
18	A Field training, yes. Q And you had a senior officer with you?	19	watch me as I am supervising my other officers, so it
19	A I had several senior officers.	20	trickled down.
20		21	Q So they would always be with you?
21	Q How long did your field training last?	22	A Not always but a lot of the time they were.
22	A I would say approximately two months.	23	Q So you told me that you were off of your field
23	Q Two months?	24	training about January 2013?
24	A Approximately, yes.	25	A Between December and January I believe that
25	Q So it's your testimony today that by	20	114
	The same state of the same of	1	would be a good approximation.
1 2	December 2012, you were done with your field training? A It's possible. I do not know the exact date.	1 2 3	would be a good approximation. Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of
	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date.Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from		Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of
2 3 4	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September?	2 3	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases?
2	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes.	2 3 4 5	 Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases.
2 3 4	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training?	2 3 4	 Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender
2 3 4 5	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases?
2 3 4 5 6	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender
2 3 4 5 6 7	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training after your academy in order to deal with this population?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third month kind without a field training officer to run to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training after your academy in order to deal with this population? A I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third month kind without a field training officer to run to like a field officer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training after your academy in order to deal with this population? A I did. Q What training was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third month kind without a field training officer to run to like a field officer? A Oh, there's always people to talk to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training after your academy in order to deal with this population? A I did. Q What training was that? A It was general training as in the rules of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third month kind without a field training officer to run to like a field officer? A Oh, there's always people to talk to. Q Like Sergeant Zana, which we already know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training after your academy in order to deal with this population? A I did. Q What training was that? A It was general training as in the rules of lifetime supervision. It was something like — in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third month kind without a field training officer to run to like a field officer? A Oh, there's always people to talk to. Q Like Sergeant Zana, which we already know A Any senior officer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training after your academy in order to deal with this population? A I did. Q What training was that? A It was general training as in the rules of lifetime supervision. It was something like — in the Department of Parole and Probation we have like a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third month kind without a field training officer to run to like a field officer? A Oh, there's always people to talk to. Q Like Sergeant Zana, which we already know A Any senior officer. Q So at this point you were working up your own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A It's possible. I do not know the exact date. Q Okay. You did testify that you graduated from the academy in September? A Yes. Q You did about two months of field training? A I did. Q So that would take us into December, maybe December/January? A Probably December/January. Q Okay. January of 2013? A I would say yes. Q Now, the sex offender probation or the lifetime supervision, is that a special detail in your office? A Sex offender unit is a special detail within Parole and Probation. Q Now did you receive any additional training after your academy in order to deal with this population? A I did. Q What training was that? A It was general training as in the rules of lifetime supervision. It was something like — in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	Q And then earlier you did testify that in March 2013 that is when you got your first group of cases? A Yes, sex offender cases. Q So that's when you first got your sex offender cases? A That's when I transferred to the sex offender unit in March of 2013. Q So up until this point you were working on your own cases with the superior, right? A Yes. Q And that was generally other kinds of felonies? A Yes. Anybody on parole and probation. Q Okay. I am following you here. So suffice it to say at this point you had been on your own since January, February and March. So this was your third month kind without a field training officer to run to like a field officer? A Oh, there's always people to talk to. Q Like Sergeant Zana, which we already know A Any senior officer. Q So at this point you were working up your own cases though?

Q Okay perfect. Just to clarify about lifetime started lifetime supervision in 2007, correct? supervision, probation and parole. Now these are all 2 A Yes. separate things, right, they are separate institutions? 3 3 Q So by the time you became assigned as his A They are separate agreements that they sign. 4 4 probation officer he had basically been on probation for Q So lifetime supervision, earlier did you say it 5 about five years? was a kind of probation and parole, but it is a 6 6 A Lifetime supervision? completely different kind of supervision? 7 7 Q Yes. I'm sorry. Lifetime supervision. A No. I would not say it is completely different. 8 8 I would say the outcome of your violation will be A Yes. 9 9 Q Okay. So after you availed yourself of the different. If you violate your parole you are going to 10 10 information from the notes left by the previous go back to the Parole Board to answer. If you violate 11 11 supervising officers, did it ever come to your attention 12 lifetime supervision I will file charges for a new 12 that he was ever arrested on a prior violation for 13 felony, which is a new case. 13 violating lifetime supervision? Q Understood. They are separate ways of being 14 14 supervised, correct. Just like you had to get A I do not recall. 15 15 Q He did actually, and I'm sure you know this specialized training to do lifetime supervision versus 16 because I know you said that you went through the notes, 16 probation versus parole; is that correct? 17 that he did not become homeless up until I believe it was 17 A I don't supervise them really any differently. 18 February of 2013, correct? 19 19 A I would say January. A I would not say that there is very much 20 20 Q January? 21 21 variation. A I would say January. Q So you are assigned to that specialized unit in 22 22 Q So based upon the notes left to you by the prior March, that is when you first started getting your cases? 23 lifetime supervision officer, he was able to maintain a 23 24 A March 2013. 24 stable residence for that prior time period? Q So are you still currently assigned to that 25 118 116 A He had a residence. I do not know how stable it 1 detail? 1 2 was. A I am. 2 Q So he had been reporting the exact same Q So previously to becoming Mr. McNeill's lifetime 3 3 residence for the last five years? supervision officer, he did have other lifetime A Oh, I don't know about that. I don't know if it supervision officers before you, correct? 5 was the last five years. I just know as I look back he A He did. 6 was staying at a Casino Center address. That's all I Q He had four lifetime supervision officers to be 7 know. 8 exact before you? 8 Q So that's the only address you remember from the 9 A Okay. 9 prior note? 10 Q Is that not correct? 10 A I did not look back or count. I can only go off A Yes. From the file, yes. 11 11 Q And reviewing those prior case notes, I am sure 12 of what you say. 12 you are aware that he was employed previously to you Q Okay. So when you received this case were you 13 13 becoming his supervising officer? in receipt of a file? 14 A I know at one time he did report employment. I 15 A I was. 15 don't know what those dates are. Q Were there notes from previous lifetime 16 16 Q Okay. There were also periods of unemployment, 17 supervision officers in that file? 17 correct? 18 A There was. 18 A Yes. Q Did you avail yourself of that information when 19 19 Q Now based on those prior case notes, to your 20 you became his case manager? 20 knowledge, he had previously submitted to urinary 21 A Yes, I looked back at the file. 21 analysis, correct? Q Did you become aware of the fact that he did in 22 22 A Yes, he did. fact have four previous probation officers? 23 23 Q Which is the drug testing that you say he had A I know he had previous officers. I did not 24 24 25 refused you? count the number. 119 117

Q Okay. So we have already established that he

A I don't know what happened in the past. I don't 1 know. He could have been. I do not have any proof in A Yes. 1 Q Now based on those prior case notes, I am sure 2 front me or a computer to show me he was at home or 2 you are aware that Mr. McNeill was previously put on a 3 wasn't at home. GPS monitoring when he first started his lifetime 4 Q Okay. From here on out, when I ask you 5 questions I mean from the time in which you became his supervision? 5 6 A I was not aware of that, no. 6 probation officer. 7 Q You were not aware of that? 7 A Since March 2013? 8 A No. 8 Q Yes. Q Did you ever see any indication in the case 9 9 A Okay. 10 notes that he had violated any kind of GPS? Q So you previously testified that when you became 10 MR. COOPER: Objection, Your Honor. She was not 11 his probation officer, you did what you usually do which 11 aware that he was on GPS so how is she going to know if 12 is attempt to contact the person you are assigned to 12 13 he violated GPS. 13 supervise? 14 THE COURT: So the objection is that it's 14 A Yes. He is on lifetime supervision. 15 outside of this witness' knowledge? 15 Q Did you try to contact him? MR. COOPER: It's outside and technically asked 16 16 A I did. and answered in the last question and assumes a fact not 17 Q And you said you were you unable to contact him? 17 18 A I believe I wrote something along the lines of I 18 in evidence. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, Your Honor, just because 19 attempted to contact him but was unable by phone to make 19 20 she says she doesn't know whether he was on GPS contact with him. I don't know if that meant I got a 20 monitoring doesn't meant that she wouldn't know there is 21 voice mail or the phone got disconnected. I'm not sure. 21 a violation as a result of not following the GPS monitor. 22 I just know I was unable to get ahold of him. 22 THE COURT: If she knows. Overruled. 23 Q At that time did you annotate your notes in the 23 THE WITNESS: I do not know if he was violated 24 case file saying that you suspected him of being a 24 25 122 on any GPS system. 25 120 potential absconder? BY MS. BONAVENTURE: A Yes. Q But you did previously testify that he was never 2 Q Can you for the jury's sake explain what an 2 3 previously violated? 3 absconder is? 4 A I don't recall. I believe I said I do not A An absconder is someone who is no longer 4 5 recall. I don't know if he was or was not. I was only reporting as directed by the Parole and Probation Office. 5 6 concerned with what he does under my supervision. Q But when you recall the previous case notes, do 6 Q And so he had been pretty much making most, if 7 you recall whether or not Mr. McNeill had made his 7 not all, of his monthly reports based on those prior case February 2013 appointment which was the month that 8 immediately predated the month you became his supervising notes? 9 A He had been showing up submitting monthly 10 10 officer? 11 A I believe it is but I would not be able to 11 reports. 12 Q And by showing up you mean presenting himself confirm that without the file in front of me. 12 13 physically at the Department of Parole and Probation? Q Would it refresh your recollection to see it? 13 14 A I would be able to see the monthly report and A Yes. 14 15 Q So based on the prior case notes in the file, the date on it to see if that is a monthly report with 15 some other supervising officers did in fact conduct house 16 16 the February date. 17 MS. BONAVENTURE: Your Honor, may I approach? checks, correct? 17 18 A Yes. 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 Q In fact, I don't know if you know anymore of the 19 BY MS. BONAVENTURE: 20 details of it, but when they did do some of the house Q Tell me what this is that you are looking at? 20 21 calls - is that what you call them? A It is a monthly report dated 2/5/2013, Officer 21 22 A Home contact. Van Dyke. Filled out by Steve McNeill. 22 23 Q Home contact, okay. HC, home contact. Okay. Q So this is the month before you became his 23 When they did do these house visits he wasn't always at 24 probation officer? 123 home, correct? 121

Page 120 to 123 of 207

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

		1	A I could not testify to where he was registered,	
	A Yes.	2	only what he is reporting on his monthly report.	
	Q Did he in fact report the month before you	3	Q Fair enough.	
b	ecame his probation officer?	4	A What was your question again?	
	A He did.	5	Q What corner did he put on his monthly report?	
;	Q And then in March he did in fact report to you?		A For what month?	
;	A I was surprised by him reporting, but, yes.	6	a - varance argioned his case?	
,	Q Okay. And surprised because you had put him	7	A March?	
	lown as an absconder?	8	Q Yes.	
3	A No. Because I make appointments to see my	9	A It doesn't say.	
۱ ۱	offenders to come see me so they don't show up at the	10	Q So safe to say it was either one?	
1 (office and I'm not there. So I make appointments. So I	11	A Either one of those two. He reports the same	
2 I	had no appointment with him for March.	12		
3	Did you go look for him at this point?	13	thing every time. Q So this was the report I had shown you	
4	A I might have. I might have tried to drive by.	14		
	I don't know for a fact.	15	previously, the February report?	
6	Q If you had gone out would you have annotated	16	A Yes.	
	your notes?	17	Q So this is the February report that you had	
	A Yes.	18	looked at before. This is the one that the supervising	
8	Q Did you review that file before you came in here	19	officer before you had seen and this would have been	
9		20	information you had going in to his case, correct?	
	A It would be on my computer. It's called a	21	A Yes. Colorado and Main.	
1	"chrono list." So if I reviewed the chrono list I would	22	Q Thank you. So he was registered at Colorado and	
22	see if I did try to make phone contact.	23	Main, correct?	
	Q If I showed you the chrono list would you be	24	A No. I would not say he was registered	
24		25	Q I'm sorry. I keep confusing the words. Thank	126
25	able to look at it?	1		120
3	THE COURT: You may.		a to the interpolition of the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered?	4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	direction of his previous PO, correct? A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered?	55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 151 111 111 111	direction of his previous PO, correct? A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they habitually sleep, correct?	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered? A I did not check the sex offender registry at that moment.	55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 131 141 111 111 111 112	A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they habitually sleep, correct? A Yes. A Yes. A Yes.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered? A I did not check the sex offender registry at that moment. O Lam talking about in the monthly report that he	55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 13 14 15 11 11 12 22 22	direction of his previous PO, correct? A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they habitually sleep, correct? A Yes. Q So now let's turn to your preliminary hearing	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered? A I did not check the sex offender registry at that moment. O Lam talking about in the monthly report that he	55 66 77 88 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 11 11 11 12 22 22	A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they habitually sleep, correct? A Yes. Q So now let's turn to your preliminary hearing testimony because that is very curious to me.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered? A I did not check the sex offender registry at that moment. Q I am talking about in the monthly report that he was submitting to the Department of Parole and Probation.	55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 131 141 151 161 171 172 172 173 174 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175	A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they habitually sleep, correct? A Yes. Q So now let's turn to your preliminary hearing testimony because that is very curious to me. A Okay.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered? A I did not check the sex offender registry at that moment. Q I am talking about in the monthly report that he was submitting to the Department of Parole and Probation. Maybe this will help you recal!.	55 66 77 88 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 11 11 11 12 22 22 22	direction of his previous PO, correct? A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they habitually sleep, correct? A Yes. Q So now let's turn to your preliminary hearing testimony because that is very curious to me. A Okay. Q Mr. Cooper brought it up on direct, and you did	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q I know it might take a second as to the dates. It is not easy to read. A Looks like March 26th attempted to reach him by phone and was unsuccessful. Q Now does it say anything about you conducting a potential home contact? A I do not see it on here. I think this should have only been one page. Q So you did not attempt a home contact at that point? A I did not. Q But then he showed up in March? A He did. Q Do you remember where he was registered? A I did not check the sex offender registry at that moment. Q I am talking about in the monthly report that he was submitting to the Department of Parole and Probation. Maybe this will help you recal!. Do you recall him being registered at the corner	55 66 77 88 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 11 11 11 12 22 22 22	A I can't speak to what they discussed or I do not know anything about what happened before I was his PO really. Q Okay. Would that information have been put into a statement? A If the officer put it in, yes. Q So he is reporting to the Department of Parole and Probation an intersection because he is, homeless correct? A Yes. Q And he does that because homeless people are required by law to register, if they did not have a fixed address to register at a corner closest to where they habitually sleep, correct? A Yes. Q So now let's turn to your preliminary hearing testimony because that is very curious to me. A Okay.	12

was like, Officer Mangan, did Mr. McNeill ever provide A Yes. Q And then you received a monthly report from 2 you a map specifically indicating where he sleeps? 2 Mr. McNeill in July? A I remember that. 3 4 A Yes. Q And you said, No, he never drew me a map? Q So by July -- and July 11 is the date that you 4 5 A Yes. I did say no. 5 arrested him for violations of lifetime supervision? Q Which, like I said, is very curious because 6 A I did. 7. everybody did previously see these maps? Q And so by July you had only seen him three or 7 8 A They did. 8 met with him prior three times, correct? Q There is not just one map, but there's two maps? 9 9 A March, June, April -- June, so July that would THE COURT: Counsel, for our record, if you are 10 10 going to publish, can you identify which proposed or be four times. 11 Q I believe the monthly reports indicate that you 11 12 admitted exhibit that is. 12 met with him March --MS, BONAVENTURE: Absolutely. This is the 13 A Oh, are you speaking of the other officer? 13 State's Exhibit 6. And this is the monthly report that 14 14 he submitted for April 12th of 2013. So there was this Q Yes. 15 15 one map. And not only was there one map, there were two A Yes. 16 16 Q March, April and May? 17 maps. But everybody did in fact see that map. 17 A Yes. THE COURT: And that is State's exhibit --18 18 Q Not June? MS. BONAVENTURE: This is State's Exhibit 7. 19 19 A Okay. 20 BY MS. BONAVENTURE: 20 Q And then you met him again in July? 21 Q So suffice it to say that not just one but two 21 A Yes. maps existed and you had no recollection of that at 22 22 Q Okay. And July is the monthly reporting date 23 preliminary hearing? 23 that you arrested him? A Right. Without my monthly report I could not 24 24 A He filled out his monthly report on July 11th. 25 recall if he had drawn me a map or not. 130 128 He came in the office. We talked and I arrested him. Q In fact based on your own case notes I believe 1 Q And like you testified earlier, nothing came of you annotated that not only were the maps drawn but you 2 2 3 those charges? and Mr. McNeill looked it up Google earth. 3 A No. 4 A I pulled up Google carth on my computer, yes. 4 Q He was released from custody? 5 Q Where he indicated to you on Google earth where 5 6 A He was. he says he sleeps at night? 6 Q So you arrested him on July 11th and then he 7 A Yes. 7 reported to you in August, correct? 8 Q Yet you had no recollection of that at 8 A He did. 9 preliminary hearing? Q He reported to you on August 19th to be exact? 9 A I believe the question was of him drawing me a 10 10 A That was a typo. The actual physical date he map not whether I looked up a map on my own on Google 11 11 reported is on 8/15. I entered it in the computer on 12 earth. 12 8/19 and that's where I got that date from. Q You made no mention of that at preliminary 13 Q So you entered -- it was a typo that you entered 13 14 14 hearing? into the computer? 15 A I was not asked that question. 15 A It is not a typo. It is just -- so he comes in Q Okay. Mr. McNeill report toed you in March of 16 16 on the 16th and I see him. But lets say that was a 2013. He reported to you in April of 2013. He reported 17 Thursday, so that was my Friday. I come back on Monday 17 to you in March of 2013 -and I would enter when it happened. So on the computer, 18 A May, yes. 19 it puts in that date, which would have been the 19th. 20 Q Yes, I meant May. And you had said on direct 20 Q Okay. that some other officer was his officer for the month of 21 21 A So when I am looking at the computer it will say 22 June? 22 8/19 this is what happened, this is what I saw when it A Yes. I believe Office White was his officer. I 23 23 actually it occurred on the 15th. wasn't transferred. I don't know if it was May or June. 24 24 Q And I see corroborating proof of that. In fact 25 Q It was sandwiched right in there, yeah. 25 131 129 01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM Page 128 to 131 of 207

			
	this is State's Exhibit 10, and right here you can see	1	Department of Parole and Probation that day?
2	the report date that Mr. McNeill himself wrote down was	2	A He did.
	August 15th?	3	Q So you testified on direct that you never heard
4	A Yes.	4	from Mr. McNeill again?
5	Q So the computer error was because of a typo?	5	A I saw the letter he sent but I don't recall ever
6	A I entered the information on a different date	6	having a conversation with him.
7	then the 15th.	7	Q Right. You never saw him again, you never had
8	Q Got it. Okay. So on August 15th you testified	8	any verbal or telephone communication with him?
9	on direct that he came in, you asked him to take urinary	9	A No, I don't believe so.
10	analysis test and he flat out refused?	10	Q So the only indication of his existence that you
11	A Yes.	11	got was a cease and desist letter?
12	Q Did you at any point hand him a cup to, for lack	12	A Yes.
13	of a better word, pee in?	13	MS. BONAVENTURE: May I approach, Your Honor?
14	A I don't believe we would have got that far. I	14	THE COURT: You may.
15	don't recall having a cup in my hand or anything like	15	BY MS. BONAVENTURE:
16	that. I don't think I had a cup and I'm not sure how	16	Q Is this a true and accurate representation of
17	that makes a difference.	17	the cease and desist letter you were shown in reference
18	Q So you don't remember whether or not you gave	18	to Mr. McNeill?
19	him a cup?	19	A I believe it is.
20	A I don't.	20	Q You had testified on direct that the basic gist
21	Q And then you said you took him directly to	21	of it is he is telling Parole and Probation don't contact
22	Officer Zana's office?	22	me?
23	A I took him to my supervisor, Sergeant Zana.	23	A To cease and desist contact with him.
24	Q Sergeant Zana, sorry, who is your supervisor?	24	Q Any and all contact in fact?
25	A Yes, he is.	25	A Yes.
20	132		134
1	So at that point you overheard a conversation	1	THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure, if you want to
1	Q So at that point you overheard a conversation	1 2	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a
2	between Sergeant Zann and Mr. McNeill?	i	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you
2	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking.	2	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it?
2 3 4	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation?	2 3	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes.	2 3 4	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's
2 3 4 5 6	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a	2 3 4 5	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection?
2 3 4 5 6 7	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew?	2 3 4 5 6	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did. Q Okay. So a new curfew was never set? On August	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of letters. I just read it over. But I am not familiar with what this entails.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	between Sergeant Zann and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did. Q Okay. So a new curfew was never set? On August 15th no new curfew was set?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of letters. I just read it over. But I am not familiar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	between Sergeant Zann and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did. Q Okay. So a new curfew was never set? On August 15th no new curfew was set? A No new curfew.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of letters. I just read it over. But I am not familiar with what this entails.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	between Sergeant Zann and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did. Q Okay. So a new curfew was never set? On August 15th no new curfew was set? A No new curfew. Q And you did not arrest him that day, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of letters. I just read it over. But I am not familiar with what this entails. Q I would put it here just so that the jury can see what we are looking at. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did. Q Okay. So a new curfew was never set? On August 15th no new curfew was set? A No new curfew. Q And you did not arrest him that day, correct? A I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of letters. I just read it over. But I am not familiar with what this entails. Q I would put it here just so that the jury can see what we are looking at. A Okay. Q So at the top, can see that this is cease and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did. Q Okay. So a new curfew was never set? On August 15th no new curfew was set? A No new curfew. Q And you did not arrest him that day, correct? A I did not. Q Sergeant Zana did not arrest him that day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of letters. I just read it over. But I am not familiar with what this entails. Q I would put it here just so that the jury can see what we are looking at. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	between Sergeant Zana and Mr. McNeill? A I was in the room as they were speaking. Q So you overheard a conversation? A Yes. Q And so you said that you overheard them have a conversation about the curfew? A Yes. Q Wherein somebody wanted to put him on a curfew? A Well, he had to abide by his curfew. Q Okay. And you said that he had flat out refused? A He refused to be placed on a curfew. Q So a curfew was never set? A Well, his old curfew would still be in effect unless I said this curfew is no longer in effect, which I never did. Q Okay. So a new curfew was never set? On August 15th no new curfew was set? A No new curfew. Q And you did not arrest him that day, correct? A I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	discuss the document substantively. I don't have a problem with as long as it has been admitted. Do you seek to move to admit it? MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have marked it now as Defense's Exhibit Proposed A. Any objection? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: No, then, Defense Proposed A is admitted and you may publish. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.) MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. BY MS. BONAVENTURE: Q So the first couple of pages are the actual notice of the cease and desist letter, right? A I am not really familiar with these kind of letters. I just read it over. But I am not familiar with what this entails. Q I would put it here just so that the jury can see what we are looking at. A Okay. Q So at the top, can see that this is cease and

gets filed with the court which is why there is a stamp Q Okay. And so was this included in the letter there? 2 that you saw that day? 3 A Yes. A I believe it was. 3 Q And it's fair to say it's filed on that date, 4 Q It is a commercial affidavit open verification 4 the 14th? from Steve McNeill, correct, as part of his cease and 5 5 6 A Yes. desist letter? Q Thank you very much. And so the preliminary 7 A It came in the mail, that's all I know. 7 hearing transcript that we had earlier alluded to, you Q And also here is included a UCC financing 8 testified then that the reason you waited so long or the 9 statement; was that included in the packet? 9 reason it took so long was that you were attempting to 10 A It was included in the letter. 10 contact Mr. McNeill? Q Letter, okay. And then this also, an affidavit 11 11 A That was part of the reason, yes. 12 of political status. 12 Q And you even testified on direct that you said 13 A I believe it was included in the letter. 13 you made attempts to drive by the location he had 14 Q Looks like it is signed by Mr. Steven Dell 14 previously reported? 15 15 McNeill. 16 A Yes. A Yes. 16 Q And to make phone calls? 17 Q So this was in fact an accurate representation 17 A Yes. 18 of the actual cease and desist letter? 18 Q If you had done those would you have annotated 19 A It appears so, yes. 19 them in your case notes? 20 Q You previously testified the last time you saw 20 A They should be noted. 21 him was August 15, 2013? 21 Q Do you remember the dates that you made these 22 A Yes. 22 calls? 23 Q You received -- do you remember what day you 23 A I do not remember the dates. 24 received the cease and desist letter? 24 Q If I were to show you your case notes would you 25 A I did not receive it. My sergeant showed it to 25 138 136 be able to refresh your recollection? me on 8/29/13, around that time. It was later August that he had known me the letter that the captain had 2 A Yes. Q Please take your time in looking at that and let 3 received. 3 me know if you see any notes of any calls or any visits Q So a little bit after your last meeting with 4 made to contact Mr. McNeill between the dates of him? 5 August 2013 to March 2013 related to the charges in this A Yes. 6 7 case. Q And so you never heard from him again? 7 THE COURT: You mean March 2014. 8 A I did not. 8 MS. BONAVENTURE: I do. 9 Q Now the charges you filed in this case -- you 9 THE WITNESS: February 27, 2014, I tried to look filed that report with the alleged charges in this case 10 10 for the subject in alley and cross streets of Main and 11 in March of 2014, correct? 11 Wyoming. Subject was not observed. And then the same 12 A Can I see -- I believe so. I know I started 12 day I continued my surveillance between Main and Wyoming. that December. I started writing the new charges then 13 13 I drove around multiple alleys and roads on or near and there was a series of corrections that happened and Colorado and Las Vegas Boulevard, Wyoming, Commerce, then it was sent to the DA and it comes back with, We 15 15 Utah. That is the only thing I see. want more information. They sent it back, so it was kind 16 Q Between those two dates? of like a back and forth process. But I believe I 17 started writing the charges in December, which should be 18 18 Q So your case notes they only reflect that you 19 noted on there. 19 made one attempt to go down to try to locate Mr. McNeill 20 Q So it actually got filed -- here it is. Does 20 in February, correct? 21 that look familiar? 21 A Correct. A Well, this is part of it. It's not my complete 22 22 Q February 27th? 23 packet, but it is part of it. It was finally approved by 23 A Correct. 24 my sergeant on 3/10/14. 24 Q And there were no case notes that you could find 25 Q So that is the day that it goes to -- when it 25 139 137

1	ol to any orling	1	ordered him to return to sex offender counseling?
_	that you made any calls?	2	A I did.
2	A It was not written down, no.	3	Q Specifically you called Marcia Lee?
3	Q Okay. And just to clarify because I know all	4	A To get reenrolled in counseling.
4	these dates get confusing to us and they get confusing	5	Q Yes. Did you ever yourself just call Marcia
5	for our jury members. So you were never able to find him	6	Lee?
6	at the corners he had registered at, correct?	7	A I am sure we spoke. I don't know the date or
7	A I never personally saw him at Main and Wyoming,	1 -	time.
8	yeah.	8	MS. BONAVENTURE: No more questions, Your Hono
9	Q You did not see him there while he was reporting	9	
0	to you, correct?	10	Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Cooper, any redirect?
1	A I did not.	11	MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.
2	Q And you never saw him there after?	12	THE COURT: I gave the instructions yesterday so
3	A Right.	13	hopefully the jurors will remember that we give the
4	Q Okay. Now I know we touched upon this in the	14	hopefully the purors will remember that we give the
5	preliminary hearing a little bit, but one of the theories	15	opportunity for the jurors to ask questions of the
6	of the State's case is he was failing to cooperate with	16	witness. May I see by a show of hands if any of you have
7	you, his lifetime supervision officer.	17	any questions for this witness. Seeing no hands I
8	Now, so that basically means not cooperating	18	believe you are excused, Officer. You may exit the
9	with you, he is not abiding by the terms of his	19	courtroom.
20	supervision, correct?	20	(Witness exits the courtroom.)
21	A Can I actually read what cooperation says under	21 .	THE COURT: Okay, I think this would be a good
22	his Lifetime Supervision Agreement to better recollect?	22	time to take a break, ten minutes.
23	Q Okay. Here you go.	23	During the recess, you are admonished not to
24	A Cooperation: You shall at all times cooperate	24	talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on
	with your supervising officer and behavior should justify	25	any subject connected with the trial or read, watch or
	with your supervising officer and behavior should justify		142
	140		142
	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime	1	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any
25	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision.	1 2	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of
25	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would	1 2 3	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper,
1 2	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision?	1 2 3 4	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind,
1 2 3	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes.	1 2 3 4 5	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject
1 2 3 4	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused	1 2 3 4 5 6	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally
1 2 3 4 5	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
1 2 3 4 5	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'il have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'il have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'il have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'il have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'il have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'il have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes. Q So kind of like a two fer; two violations	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana. Whereupon, BRIAN ZANA,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 17 18 19	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes. Q So kind of like a twofer; two violations — A It's in there and I can violate on it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'ii have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana. Whereupon, BRIAN ZANA, was administered the following oath by the court clerk.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 4 15 16 17 18 19 20	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes. Q So kind of like a two fer; two violations A It's in there and I can violate on it. Q Okay. That is fine. So part of the State's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'ii have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana. Whereupon, BRIAN ZANA, was administered the following oath by the court clerk. THE CLERK: You do solernnly swear that the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 17 18 19 20 21	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes. Q So kind of like a two fer; two violations A It's in there and I can violate on it. Q Okay. That is fine. So part of the State's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'ii have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana. Whereupon, BRIAN ZANA, was administered the following oath by the court clerk. THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you give in this action shall be the truth, the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes. Q So kind of like a twofer; two violations A It's in there and I can violate on it. Q Okay. That is fine. So part of the State's theory of the case is also that he was terminated from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana. Whereupon, BRIAN ZANA, was administered the following oath by the court clerk. THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes. Q So kind of like a twofer; two violations A It's in there and I can violate on it. Q Okay. That is fine. So part of the State's theory of the case is also that he was terminated from sex offender counseling, correct? A He was.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana. Whereupon, BRIAN ZANA, was administered the following oath by the court clerk. THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God. THE WITNESS: I do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the opportunity granted to you by this lifetime supervision. Q Okay. So now a violation of that clause would be violating your lifetime supervision? A Yes. Q So what you had said earlier you said he refused urinary analysis, correct? A Yes. Q And so that is part and parcel of his failing to cooperate, right? A I would think so, yes. Q By just making that one violation. It is not just one violation for refusing to do the urinary analysis, but it's actually two violations because it violates not just having to do the urinary analysis, but it is also a violation of not cooperating with the lifetime supervision? A It seems to be the case, yes. Q So kind of like a twofer; two violations A It's in there and I can violate on it. Q Okay. That is fine. So part of the State's theory of the case is also that he was terminated from sex offender counseling, correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. THE COURT: We'll have to take some time to put on the record the bench conferences that we have had today — to the extent that I can remember them. MR. COOPER: There was a multitude of them. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) THE COURT: Now that he have all the jurors present we will have ask the State for their next witness. MR. COOPER: Sergeant Zana. Whereupon, BRIAN ZANA, was administered the following oath by the court clerk. THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God. THE WITNESS: I do.

guidance. We call it kind of like the "come to Jesus name for the record. 1 meeting" to give them one last opportunity to comply THE WITNESS: Brian Zana, B-r-i-a-n, Z-a-n-a. 2 usually. Officer Mangan came into my office and said THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be seated. 3 that she had Mr. McNeill at her desk and would it be all Mr. Cooper, whenever you are ready. 4 right if she brought him in for me to have a talk with MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 5 him and I told her of course it would be fine. 6 6 Q Do you remember the actual date of that? 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 A August 15th. 8 BY MR. COOPER: 8 Q And what happened at that point when he came in 9 Q Mr. Zana, how are you currently employed? 9 A I am a sergeant with the Department of Public your office? 10 10 A He came into my office. I listened to him and 11 Safety Division of Parole and Probation. 11 he was complaining about how Officer Mangan wanted to put 12 Q How long have you been employed with the 12 him on weekly reporting and he said that, Well, I am only 13 Division of Parole and Probation? 13 reporting to her once a month as a courtesy to her now 14 A Nearly 16 years. 14 and I explained to him that the law required him to 15 Q How long have you been a sergeant? 15 report as instructed by his officer. 16 A Six years. 16 We got into a little back and forth quoting 17 Q What particular area or assignment are you as a 17 NRS's and I explained to him that he's going to have to 18 sergeant? 18 abide by the conditions of his agreement. 19 A For the past three years I have been assigned to 19 He continued to go back and forth with me about 20 the sex offender unit. 20 how he would report when he wanted to report and we Q I want to turn your attention specifically to 21 21 discussed other issues, such as his residence where he 22 August of 2013; do you recall this time period? 22 was living and Officer Mangan had difficulty finding him 23 A Yes. 23 there. And at that point he said, Well, I'll live where 24 Q And during this time period, did you have an 24 I want to live, and I'm not going to be a dog on a occasion to meet anyone that you recognize here in court? 25 146 144 1 leash. A Yes. 1 Q Did he say anything to you in relation to 2 Q Can you please point to that person and describe 2 whether or not he is taking a urinalysis? something they are wearing. 3 A Officer Mangan had reported to me that he would 4 A The person who is wearing a khaki shirt with a 4 not take a urinalysis. checkered black and green tie. 5 Q And specifically during your conversation with 6 MR, COOPER: May the record reflect the 6 him -- how did that conversation end? 7 identification of the defendant, Your Honor. 7 A Basically, I could tell he just wanted to be 8 THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 8 argumentative. There was no reason to continue the 9 BY MR. COOPER: 9 banter back and forth. I explained to him that he would 10 Q Are you familiar with what's called a Lifetime 10 be required to follow the conditions of his Lifetime 11 Supervision Agreement? 11 Supervision Agreement and follow the rules that are set 12 A Yes. 12 forth by his officer. And if he didn't report as 13 Q And what is that agreement? 13 instructed and do what he was explained to do, that a A The Lifetime Supervision Agreement is an 14 14 warrant would issue for him. agreement created under NRS 213.1243 outlining the 15 15 Q Now, at some point after August 15th, 2013, did 16 conditions of the lifetime supervision offender's 16 you have occasion to receive a letter or anything of that 17 supervision. 17 18 nature? Q And to your knowledge was the defendant under a 18 A Yes, I did. Lifetime Supervision Agreement in August of 2013? 19 19 Q What did this letter entail? 20 A Yes, he was. 20 A I was called up on I believe it was August 29th, 21 Q Now, can you describe how you came in contact 21 my captain called me up to his office and said that he 22 with him and what happened as a result of that contact? 22 had received a certified letter from Mr. McNeill and he A My officers routinely when they have issues with 23 23 was confused as to why he was receiving it. I reviewed 24 some of their offenders will ask to bring an offender in 24 the letter and it appeared to be kind of a to speak with me so I can kind of give them a little more 25 147 145 01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM Page 144 to 147 of 207

self-generating cease and desist letter. MR. COOPER: Permission to publish, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 BY MR. COOPER: 4 Q This is Defendant's Exhibit A; is this that 5 letter that you were talking about? 6 A Yes, that looks like it is. 7 Q And when you received this letter did you 8 forward it on to your supervisor or other individuals? 9 A The captain advised me to forward it to our 10 deputy AG that represents this case. 11 **Q** And based on all the information you received, 12 did you continue to supervise the defendant? 13 A Yes, we did. 14 Q To your knowledge, that letter had no baring on 15 your ability to I guess follow a court order and 16 supervise him? 17 A None whatsoever. 18 Q Now, during your conversation with him in your 19 office, do you recall any other statements he might have 20 made to you or anything of that nature in relation to if 21 he was going to show back up or if he thought that you 22 guys should be --23 MS. BONAVENTURE: Your Honor, I am going to 24 object. This is leading at this point. Where is the 25 148

MR. COOPER: Thank you. No further questions, 1 2 Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Bonaventure. 3 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. BONAVENTURE: 6 Q Officer Zana, you said that Officer Mangan told 7 you that he refused a UA? 8 A That's correct. When she came in and asked if I 9 would talk to him. 10 Q And UA meaning urinary analysis? 11 A That's correct. 12 Q Did you ever ask him to do a UA? 13 A No, I did not. 14 Q You never handed him a cup or anything? 15 A No, I did not. 16 Q You testified that he refused to be put on a 17 18 curfew? A That's correct. 19 Q Was a curfew ever set that day -- on August 16th 20 did you set a curfew for him? 21 A No. Officer Mangan would have set one. I do 22 not micromanage my officers. 23 Q Okay. Now are there any situations in which you 24 make annotations to the case notes for a particular 25 150

question? 1 MR. COOPER: I can rephrase or I can say it 2 again because that question is not leading. 3 THE COURT: I will sustain and ask you to 4 rephrase. I think the question was did you remember any 5 other comments made by the defendant and that would 6 suffice. 7 BY MR. COOPER: 8 Q Do you remember any other comments made by the 9

A During our conversation he had stated in our banter back and forth about NRS, he was quoting NRS and stating that he wasn't required to register with sex offenders, he wasn't require to report to us. I, of course, was quoting NRS 176.0931 that clearly states that, you know, lifetime supervision is where these 23

most egregious offenses passed the law on October 1st, 1995.

After the banter, I decided that he was just going to want to continue to argue. I had explained to him that he would report as instructed by his officer. And at that point he said that he wasn't going to be coming in. And I told him, Well, if you don't comply, if you don't report, if don't do what you are ordered to do, then there will be a warrant issued.

person on lifetime supervision?

A If I get a phone call from a counselor or a family member or the offender themselves, if I get a phone call or something like that without the officer present I will make a notation in the CODIS system.

Q Do you ever remember annotating Mr. McNeill's file?

A On which day?

Q Any day.

A Yes. When I review a crime report, warrant request, it's routine that I put in there that I reviewed it, if there needed to be some corrections or additions I would make notes that that needs to be done and send to the officer to be done.

And then once a report would be finalized and it was acceptable then I would put another chronological entry noting that it was accepted and sent to the officer and forwarded to the District Attorney's Office, something like that.

Q Do you recall particularly in this case making an annotation on June 12th of 2013?

A I do not remember specifically.

Q If I showed you the chron notes in this case 23 would that help refresh your memory? 24

A Yes.

151

149

40 of 70 sheets

defendant?

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I hope nobody has any difficulty with that MS. BONAVENTURE: May I approach, Your Honor? 1 2 slight adjustment to the start time. Otherwise, I do THE COURT: Yes. 2 need to admonish you during this overnight recess, you BY MS. BONAVENTURE: are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves 3 Q And are these the chron notes? or with anyone else on any subject connected with the 4 A Right. 5 trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or 6 Q Okay. And what was that (indicating)? 6 commentary on the trial or any person connected with the 7 A "HC" refers to home contact. 7 trial by any medium of information including without 8 Q What does the note actually say? 8 limitation newspaper, television, radio, Internet or 9 A The note says that --9 social media of any kind, or to form or express any 10 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I have to object at 10 opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the this point. She was just refreshing his recollection. 11 11 case is finally submitted to you. 12 THE COURT: That's fine. 12 (Jury exits the courtroom.) 13 Do you have a question for him, Ms. Bonaventure, 13 THE COURT: So I gave you my card that had my 14 regarding his memory? 14 e-mail address where I can receive the jury instructions. 15 MS. BONAVENTURE: Sure. 15 I think the earliest I would probably be able to work on 16 BY MS. BONAVENTURE: 16 it would be after 9:00 tonight so no need to rush it. 17 Q Has this refreshed your memory? 17 MR. COOPER: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. 18 A Yes, it has. 18 THE COURT: I want to try my best to put into 19 Q On June 12, 2013 what annotation did you make? 19 the record those key bench conferences that we have had A It was a chrono discussing the need for a home 20 20 throughout the course today. A number of them took place 21 contact on Mr. McNeill. 21 during opening statements, but I am actually going to 22 Q Specifically because one had not been done? 22 start with the bench conferences that occurred when 23 A She was unable to get a home contact on him. 23 Officer Mangan was on the stand. 24 Q And it was high priority? 24 We have already addressed the objection that was 25 A Absolutely. 25 154 152 made when Officer Mangan testified what was State's Q So the file was returned to her to get that home 1 Proposed and is now State's Exhibit 2, the Lifetime contact? 2 Supervision Agreement that was signed while the defendant A Absolutely. 3 was incarcerated and was what prompted us to actually MS, BONAVENTURE: Okay. Great. No more 4 excuse the jury. questions, Your Honor. Thank you. And we have already put in the record with 6 THE COURT: Any redirect? 6 regard to the request for a mistrial and those MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. 7 circumstances. I just wanted to invite to see if anybody THE COURT: All right. May I see by a show of 8 had anything further to add in the record on that before hands if any jurors have any questions for this witness. 9 I then go back to the other bench conferences that I 10 Seeing none, Sergeant Zana, you are excused. 10 11 noted. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 MS, HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. We had also 12 THE COURT: Earlier I had determined with 12 objected and then at the bench further elaborated on our counsel that we would conclude with those witnesses today 13 13 objection that the State's Exhibit 2 that was admitted, at/or around 5:00, and it is just after 5:00, so we kept 14 14 we were objecting that it was a fugitive document because 15 it pretty close to the anticipated time frame. I do need it was not a document that was signed while the defendant to inform you, though, that yesterday when we were trying 16 16 was on lifetime supervision. It was not a document --

153

Page 152 to 155 of 207

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

essentially, it was a document that was signed while he

lifetime supervision are fairly clear in the statute. A

term of supervision does not begin until all other

sentences have expired. That includes parole and

And our objection was essentially the terms of

THE COURT: And your basis for -- you use the

was still incarcerated prior to his sentence.

probation and incarceration.

155 01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

normal course.

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

to determine what our schedule would be for today and for

tomorrow, I had indicated the start time for today and

at 11:00, so I will ask you to return here tomorrow at

then conclude with the remainder of the trial in the

then anticipated perhaps a 1:00 start time for tomorrow.

We actually have a witness of the State's that we need to

11:00. We will take a lunch break at the normal time and

accommodate their schedule and needs to come in tomorrow

term "fugitive document" and I have to be honest, at the time at the bench, and you used it again now, it doesn't really resonate with me. I have a very specific idea of 3 what, to me, a fugitive document is, but that doesn't 4 necessarily mean that it isn't. That just did not 5 resonate with me, as I said. To me a fugitive document 6 is one that's filed that shouldn't be filed.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Can you help me understand on what basis do you argue that it's a fugitive document? I mean, clearly, it is a document that was signed by this defendant. That's not protested as I understand. And clearly it was a document that was part and parcel of the process.

Are you suggesting that it is fugitive because it should not have been signed at the time that it was signed, or I guess I am not clear on what you mean by it being a fugitive document.

MS. HOJJAT: I guess I can rephrase the terminology for the Court, I guess. Basically, what I am saying is lifetime supervision, nothing about lifetime supervision commences, nothing having to do with lifetime supervision commences until all prior terms, sentences have been finished, including parole, probation and imprisonment.

If he was still incarcerated in prison, he was not on lifetime supervision. It is not a valid document.

156

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

9

10

11

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

the day, there is a point in time when someone is releasing from incarceration and they get their stuff, 2 they sign something and they walk out the door. So 3 presumably it could be signed as he was walking out the door. Presumably it could be signed at some other time. I don't think that is the point. 6

I think really the argument is this document is something that the defendant signed. You argued that it had relevancy. I allowed it to be admitted. We are just making a record now I think primarily of what was argued at the bench and ultimately trying to set forth so that there is clarity for the Court when we had this bench conference at the time that this document was objected to, this was the nature of the discussion.

So rather than sort of embellish on the argument now too much, along those lines do you have anything that you want to either put in the record that was said at the bench that we haven't covered or do you have anything else specific to add --

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- I am not going to change my mind and kick the document out.

MR. COOPER: Just as a base, I think they said it was a fugitive document and I think the Court also agreed it wasn't a fugitive document when it was signed

158

Essentially, it was a document that was signed regarding lifetime supervision before anybody had any authority

2 over him via lifetime supervision. It's very clear. 3

There is no authority of lifetime supervision. It 4

doesn't begin until after everything is done and

everything was not done. This document is not valid. THE COURT: Okay. Before I respond, Mr. Cooper,

did you want to respond? MR. COOPER: Their argument factually flawed in

the sense of when you are on lifetime supervision you have to at some point sign your lifetime supervision agreement. Why would they release someone from prison and then let them run around the streets and then weeks later say, Hey, oh, by the way, we did not have you sign your Lifetime Supervision Agreement.

Obviously, there has to be a first time for it to be signed and the only reasonable time for that to be is when they are still in prison when we can still find them and we can say, Hey, you are about to get out in ten days. You need to sign this Lifetime Supervision Agreement because this is explaining to you the terms of your lifetime supervision that you are --

THE COURT: Well, before we go too much further down the road of making either argument sound ridiculous and counterargument that that's ridiculous, at the end of

by the defendant and it obviously has relevance in this case and I think that should be enough.

THE COURT: That is the Court's ultimate determination was that the document is relevant. That there were three variations on this Lifetime Supervision Agreement in terms of when they were signed. I can't speak to for purposes of the admissibility of the evidence, I could, but I am not going to speak to in terms of whether or not the NDOC is following a procedure in which perhaps is in some way flawed because of some interpretation that defense counsel has that others may share that it really shouldn't be done until he has 12 completed his term of incarceration. 13

I think it would have been inappropriate to address that issue in terms of their protocol through this ruling. What this court is looking at is whether or not there was any issue as to the authenticity of the document, the fact that the defendant signed the document, the facts that I do want to put in the record that at the bench the main concern expressed, and it was expressed on the record in the previous argument as well when we were discussing redactions prior to starting the trial, that the main concern about that particular exhibit version of Lifetime Supervision Agreement was that Mr. McNeill had written "under duress."

159

That testimony was not elicited at this time. Yes, it is still remaining on the document. I did not redact it. Should the jurors choose to look at that exhibit when they go to deliberate, they may very well 4 see it, but there was no testimony or information 5 elicited that indicated that indicated that this type of protesting occurred then prior to Officer Mangan or 7 anything else, so at this point I think that record is completed as to that. 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The only other objection that took place during Officer Mangan's testimony was an initial objection as she began responding to a question from Mr. Cooper regarding what is lifetime supervision and she was explaining what it means to her and she was liking it to a type of parole and probation and there was an objection posed as to she should not testify to the law.

I honestly I cannot recall if I sustained or overruled the objection but I did ask Mr. Cooper to further clarify that we were just looking for what specifics of lifetime supervision applied to this defendant in this case and the witness's knowledge with regard to that.

But I think she was allowed to sort of finish her knowledge base and I think I indicated it was relevant for her to share what she understands it to be

160

2

3

4

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

THE COURT: Well, we'll have the reference for the record and the Court will obviously have it as well.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes. Basically, to supplement what we were saying at the bench, obviously, we have this time to make a more protracted argument, but what we were saying is we did object to relevance and we said that it's not an accurate statement of the law.

Essentially, I believe I said some of the requirements are not enumerated into 213,1243. And then at that point things were getting longer and we were told that we would put this on the record later.

But essentially our position is that NRS 213.1243 lays out very specifically and enumerates the 13 conditions that Parole and Probation have the authority to impose on sex offenders. We completely agree that the Department of Parole and Probation does have the authority to impose conditions, but the plain language of the statute lists the conditions. It enumerates every single one and there is no catchall phrase. There is no subordinate as it deems necessary to impose any other conditions. There is no statement of any other conditions set forth by the Division, nothing like that. There is no catchall that allows Parole and Probation to

set whatever regulations they want to. The enumerated regulation gives Parole and

162

with the understanding that we are ultimately going to provide to the jurors the clarification of what the law is in regards to this case.

And so is there anything that either counsel said that they would like to add to the record for that objection?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. I wanted to ask that that objection also kind of supplement the previous objection that we discussed about the Lifetime Supervision Agreements and knowledge because our objections on those two were kind of connected. We objected and said that she should not be instructing the jury on what the law is and what lifetime supervision is and further objected that she was giving an incorrect statement. And when we objected to the lifetime supervision agreement we objected to relevance as well at the bench to all three documents.

Specifically, to Exhibit 2, we objected to it being a fugitive document but we also stated to the Court that the Lifetime Supervision Agreement was not an accurate statement of the law and we objected on that ground.

And just to supplement that record, I do have a copy of NRS 213.1243 that the defendant is being charged under. I mean, you can mark it as a court exhibit --161

Probation -- essentially, it says that we have decided P

and P is the best organization to take care of this

because they supervise many other people in the sense

that they are equipped to do this, but it doesn't give 4

them the cart blanche that exists in Parole and Probation

statutes and that these are Parole and Probation statutes for sex offenders that do give Parole and Probation those

catchalls and that cart blanche and express and enumerate

those things that are in the Lifetime Supervision 9 Agreement. 10

Those are not contained in NRS 213.1243, so our 11 objection was that this is not a relevant document because it is not an accurate statement of law. And in 13 fact it is an inaccurate statement of the law that can 14 confuse the jury. 15

THE COURT: All right. I am assuming that we will have tomorrow is the settling of the jury instructions with regard to jury instructions on this point. But we will address that then.

19 Mr. Cooper, do you have anything you want to add 20 on this point or if you want to reserve further 21 discussion for the jury instructions tomorrow. 22

MR. COOPER: I would reserve for the jury 23 instructions since these issues have already been ruled 25 on.

163

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

Page 160 to 163 of 207

16

17

THE COURT: Okay. And the main part of me 2 putting this in the record now is so that what occurred at the bench conference is in the record because obviously it wasn't otherwise reported contemporaneous with the discussion. But appreciate the foreshadowing for the discussion tomorrow.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other bench conferences that occurred, I noted five, there may have been more. There was two during State's opening and three during the defense opening. And the one that I noted specifically at the beginning of the State's opening, again, went to the issue of whether or not counsel could speak to what the law of lifetime supervision was.

There was some discussion about whether or not that had actually been broached or simply a statement of what the charge was. And then we again clarified that the discussion should proceed by counsel not generally discussing what the law requires because that is something that is ultimately what the Court will instruct on and the jury will need to apply to the facts, but that to speak specifically as to what the evidence is intended to show or is expected to show with regard to this particular defendant's requirements. So I did in fact sustain that objection.

I honestly can't pull together my notes of what 164

perspective but just from a layperson's perspective of behavior.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

23

24

The State pointed out that we were objecting to something that we had originally asked for but there was a modified ruling. Part of what we wanted was not granted and then there was a modified ruling.

And so our position is that the Court's ruling at this point is that she cannot render any expert opinion because her expert notice was stricken. That she can testify to things she observed as a layperson and to the financial reasons for termination. And so we objected to what we believe was going into the professional diagnosis.

THE COURT: The only clarification I need is I am not certain it was my intent behind my ruling, although the wording of it may have given that impression. The issue that was raised was with regard to notes that appeared to opine to being moderate, I believe, in parentheses, at best, to re-offend and some aspect of that in these notes and what began the discussion and the Court determined that it would be inappropriate and those statements needed to be redacted for her to do that.

However, the Court did indicate that she could testify as the professional that she is in her treatment

166

the second or if there were more objections during the State's opening. I know that there was at least one other. I don't think it was significant. It was simply some guidance as to the tenor of the discussion but I don't remember the specifics.

MS. HOJJAT: I believe it was again relating to the instructions of law essentially that -- oh, I'm sorry. It was to the statement about what Marcia Lee would be testifying to. That was the second objection that we made because --

MS. BONAVENTURE: No progress.

MS. HOJJAT: Right. There was a ruling about her not being able to get into expert testimony. To clarify the defense's position on that, the defense had originally been requesting that she can't testify to anything other than those two things that were checked off.

My understanding is that that defense request was denied. There were redactions made. It was ordered that she could not testify to any predictions about future conduct and that she could not testify to any sort of -- anything having to do with expert testimony due to the insufficient notice, but that she could testify to things as aggressive behavior in therapy only as it relates to what she observed, not from a professional

of the defendant and the determination of that counseling 1 and the basis for that and the circumstances. And so I am concerned about the way you are phrasing what you 3 believe the Court's ruling to be that somehow she is a 4 lay witness who can only testify to certain things. 6

She is a professional and she is able to testify to her professional encounters with the defendant. What she is not able to do because she wasn't noticed as an expert witness is opine to something of a particular expertise that has not been allowed or established. But as a counselor in this context her counseling with this defendant and the circumstances of it and the circumstances of the conclusion of it are all fair game to testify to. So I just want to make sure we are not on different pages as far as that goes.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, I think we were on different pages. And for the record, I guess we did not receive a CV and we did not receive actual reports, so the defense would ask the Court to reconsider -- we misunderstood the ruling because --20 21

THE COURT: And I don't disagree you are misunderstanding the ruling, so let me try to say it one 22 more time. Anything up to the conclusion of her treatment of the defendant, she can testify to. I never said otherwise. What you were concerned about is if she

167

165

Page 164 to 167 of 207

were to be allowed to testify to her belief of what his 2 likelihood to re-offend is or other aspects that would 3 call for her to have been noticed as an expert witness and that expertise to be established to give that kind of 4 5 testimony. I wasn't going to allow it because, again, this was just provided to you and this was something that we hadn't had notice of as an expert.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

But her ability to testify as a percipient witness and her treatment is -- I guess, I don't think we're saying different things unless somehow once she got up there and said, Well, I was counseling and this is what as a counselor I observed. And you are going to go, Oh, no, objection because that requires expert testimony. I'm going to overrule that. If we're on the same page, then, okay, we're on the same page.

But I can't imagine that what you are suggesting is that this treating physician as a percipient witness to her treatment of this individual she can't testify about her treatment and her observation during her treatment. That's not what you are suggesting, is it?

MS. HOJJAT: What we are suggesting is because the State has talked about him acting out in the group session. Our suggestion was for percipient things that she had observed, such as him acting out. That's different in my one-on-one with him, not reaching to 168

MS. HOJJAT: Okay.

1

2

4

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

7

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

THE COURT: And I remember distinctly you indicating can she just testify to what were the underpinnings again of her determination but not beyond that. So I am sorry if there was confusion on that point. That's ultimately my responsibility, but I'm glad we ironed that out today.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. In that case, we would ask that the State be ordered to bring us a CV. If she is going to be rendering opinions based on her training and experience, we still don't have a CV to this day. My understanding just from Googling, I don't see any sort of training that she has actually had as a sex offender counselor. I have seen marriage and family counseling as the only counseling experience that she has. I am sure there is other stuff, I just don't have a CV, so I don't know about it.

THE COURT: Do you have one handy? MR. COOPER: I don't have a CV for her.

MS. HOJJAT: And the Court can see where our concern comes from if defense counsel -- if her degree isn't even remotely related to sex offender and she has no training.

THE COURT: Actually, no, I would not agree with you, counselor, because I happen to know any number of

170

expert conclusion, but saying in my one-on-one with him I didn't believe he was making any progress. 2 3

It is our position that's still an expert opinion. We haven't had the opportunity to challenge it and she wasn't properly noticed as an expert and we still dont' have a CV to challenge her on.

THE COURT: Then we were not on the same page because I clearly, I believe, sorry, I can't say that because if it wasn't understood it wasn't clear and that is my responsibility, that anything that led to her determination that treatment was concluded or terminated and the basis upon that determination of treatment was fair game to testify to. I never said in my opinion that it wasn't. I never intended to say that it wasn't.

I simply felt that you were correct in your 16. assessment that she could not further opine to something that would fall into the realm of an expert type report of this is what I think he is going to do in the future. That it was speculation and that it was expert.

But in terms of her being able to say that she did not feel that -- whatever underpinning there were for the termination of the counseling in terms of not making progress, which is clearly marked, and the nonpayment, she can testify to those and I don't believe I ever indicated she couldn't testify to those.

marriage and family therapists where their training is across the board on many, many things and I am not sure that I would agree that you can automatically presuppose that she doesn't have it. But you can cross on that and you can find out what she has.

So, again, you seeing her CV in advance -- he doesn't have it. I can't make him give it to you. And she is not being allowed to be an expert and I don't want to get into the territory of, oh, now you have a CV so now she can testify. And the State is going to ask if she can testify to all this other stuff that I've already precluded.

Bottom line is that she has one with her tomorrow, then I will give you a few minutes to take a look at it and see what you want to do with it. You have already done your research, you can see what you think she has. If you believe that marriage and family therapy licensing doesn't give her any of that background, you know, go for it. It very well may be that she's gotten it from other sources. 20

I get it that you want to go into that area because you believe that her percipient witness testimony 22 of her evaluation of him during the term of her handling of him and why she checked the box, little or no progress, opens the door to, you know, wanting to now

171

question her credentials, go for it. But it is not going to be reliant on you getting a CV in advance and if you don't have a CV now she's an expert and you can't -that's not what is happening here.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

She checked the box "little or no progress." She is allowed to testify why she checked the box and what she observed and that doesn't change. But if you want to go after what you believe her credentials or her training or her expertise is to do that I don't have a problem with you doing that and I will give you some time to take a look at her CV if she has one with her tomorrow.

But we have already determined that she's already an expert and we already determined that she cannot testify to certain things that would require that, okay?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, just to correct the record, all of her reports were previously handed over and in my discovery review that I previously was -- we are talking about all of her reports were contained in there. I don't know what they are talking about a final report or something like that, but every report she ever made was given to them previously.

THE COURT: I was more focusing on the documents

172

that we reviewed yesterday in terms of what needed to be redacted from them or not redacted from them, so that's all I understand we were talking about. If there is 3 something --4

MS. HOJJAT: The only comments I had made, yes, we did receive monthly therapy assessments. It's basically like a two-sentence synopsis. When I said we didn't receive the report, what I meant was typically speaking, when a therapist or psychiatrist, people with those credentials sit down and talk with someone for an hour or more usually the report gets generated particularly upon termination or things like that, a little bit more detailed, a little bit more like what we see in competency court or things like that where there's some sort of assessment. Here's what we talked about, here's my assessment of what I'm basing it on. What I am saying is we did not receive one. We did receive the monthly two-sentence synopsis.

THE COURT: It sounds like whatever there was you did receive, so we'll work with that.

Bear with me here, I noted we have three bench conferences during the opening of the defense. The first is related to sort of a counterargument of that you were commenting on the law in basing the comments in regard to when lifetime supervision would kick in and the way that

that was being argued.

1

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I sustained that objection sort of the same way 2 I did from the State's side basically that you needed to 3 keep it to what the law that was applicable in this case 4 was about starting after he had completed his other 5 proponents of his sentence. And then be specific as to 6 requirements with regard to this defendant and so that is 7 how we will address the first one. 8

Does anybody have anything further to add to that?

MS, HOJJAT: I will submit, Your Honor.

MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. 12

THE COURT: The second bench conference was an objection made by Mr. Cooper that there were certain facts not in evidence that were being testified to and it would not be able to be brought into evidence unless the defendant himself testified. Specifically, a reference to the fact that he was without employment and some other things that the argument was made that that evidence would only be able to come in if the defendant testified.

The counterargument at the bench was that, no, if in fact, this information exists in these monthly 22 reports, or the check-in reports with the parole and probation officer but that ultimately the PO had noted or the defendant had noted and then the PO had further with

174

these records indicated things and there was a whole argument about whether it was hearsay, whether it was a hearsay exception there was some business records. There 3 was some argument that opened the door if those are 4 business records other business would come in.

Ultimately the Court determined that it was information contained in a record. It was a defendant statement. There was any number of basis upon which hearsay would be excepted even if hearsay would be applicable in the circumstances. And, of course, was this being offered for the same thing that he was in fact unemployed.

But the opening statement was fair game to go into this information because they were contained in the Probation Department's official record and they were provided by the defendant.

Does anybody have anything that they want to add to that objection or that bench conference?

MS. HOJJAT: I will submit it, Your Honor.

MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. 20

THE COURT: And then the last objection that I 21 noted was, again, related to facts not being in evidence.

And I believe I sustained this objection but this was 23

specifically related to the argument that was being made 24

in the defense's opening going into sort of the state of

175

mind of whether the PO liked Mr. McNeill, whether Mr. McNeill liked the PO, whether this was a clash of 2 3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I didn't preclude the theory of the defense in terms of that clash of personalities but I did indicate that there couldn't be any testimony with regard to the defendant's opinion of whether the PO liked him because, again, that would be something that unless the defendant took the stand there would not be any independent evidence of that and it was not likely to be elicited testimony from the PO, so I did preclude that but I did allow the theory of the defense to still be argued.

Does anybody have anything to add to that? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.

MS. HOJJAT: Submit it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Those are the bench 16 conferences that I noted. Can anybody think of anything that I did not note. There might have been a few minor ones here and there that sort of follow-ups to other ones that have already been addressed and sort of clarification.

And then my ruling was made on the record in terms of whether I sustained or overruled. But I don't h have any other bench conferences that I thought had substance that needed to be put into the record.

176

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

17

18

19

20

21

that you had already talked to him and he understood he wasn't to comment on the law. 2

MR. COOPER: My intern talked to him and he said he was not going to comment on the charge and lewdness 4 with a minor charge. And I think there was another thing he wasn't supposed to talk about.

THE COURT: Well, we had the discussion about we weren't going to comment on the law.

MR. COOPER: And he did neither one of those things.

THE COURT: But this discussion, and I distinctly heard that and actually looked over because I think I anticipated that there would be an objection was of a general nature not related to this particular defendant, however, obviously was talking about lifetime supervision as being required in certain circumstances.

Again, I guess I will take it under advisement and we'll address it tomorrow if you believe it needs a mistrial and/or a curative instruction. Again, I did not perceive it as it was occurring that it would be anything that would require a mistrial or anything that would be inappropriate.

The difficulty that we have here, again, and I think this all comes back to the mistrial, and I did have my law clerk pull those few cases while we were on the

178

MS. HOJJAT: I don't recall any, Your Honor. There was one new matter that the defense wanted to raise. We did not object to the testimony at the time because it was so fast and we did not want to draw the jury's attention to it, but we are moving for a mistrial again.

Sergeant Zana was up there -- and, again, we ask that they be admonished before they testify not to say anything other than he is a sex offender, he's on lifetime supervision. And he started on, Well, this is one of the 23 most serious offenses and that's how they get on lifetime supervision and these are more serious sex offenses and he touched on that.

We didn't want to draw the jury's attention to it but they were specifically supposed to admonished not to be saying things like that so we would be moving for a mistrial.

THE COURT: I don't remember there being a discussion about an admonishment of the kind of specificity that you are talking about. We certainly had the discussion and I actually looked at Mr. Cooper before we called the sergeant in --

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: -- to say do you need to talk to 24 him. And you indicated, no, so it was my presumption break and take a look at a few of them. All of these

circumstances that go to not wanting to discussing incarceration goes because we are trying to avoid the

fact of a prior criminal history coming to the jury's

attention such as that would be used against him to bias 5

the defendant or in this circumstance in terms of a sex

offense that requires lifetime supervision without necessarily it biasing them against the defendant, 8

this the defendant. He is a defendant with a sex offense 10 subject to lifetime supervision. There is no 11 sugarcoating that. There is no sanitizing that further 12 than what we have had. And the testimony here is not 13 going to be anything other than what these individuals 14 would, I believe, already perceive to be of a convicted 15 felon who is under lifetime supervision. 16

But the reality is this is the charge. This is

So I fail to see how there is manifest injustice that would be occasioned by a reference of a general nature to a lifetime supervision applies to a certain type of crime. And that's just giving you, again, the benefit of my thoughts.

But I will certainly take it under advisement 22 and I will give a final determination on whether or not 23 to grant a mistrial, whether or not to give a curative 24

instruction, or whether or not to do neither.

179

But at this point, again, I am failing to see where this type of required or proposed sanitizing is required in a case such as this.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. HOJJAT: Very well, Your Honor. Would the Court prefer to reserve my argument for tomorrow?

THE COURT: No. I would like to complete the arguments now and make my ruling tomorrow. I am guessing that the settling of instructions is not going to be as quick as I anticipated and then my putting together the instructions is not going to be as quick, so this is one of the reasons why I want to get it done now.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Just to finish my argument, our argument is it rises to manifest injustice. At this point, I understand the Court's position that this is what it is. It is a sex offender. It is a violation of lifetime supervision, and that's correct. But the Court and attorneys are coming from a place of familiarity with the law. The jurors do not have familiarity with the law and they do not need familiarity with these specifics of the law.

It's one thing to tell them he's a sex offender and he's on lifetime supervision. They don't know what that means. They don't know what that means. They don't know what level offense rises to a sex offender on lifetime supervision.

180

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

credit for. That they don't know that a lifetime supervision is not your run-of-the-mill case. 2

I guess they want to assume that they don't know that. But, I mean, it does not rise to the level of manifest injustice by any stretch of the word.

Like the Court already said, the charge is the charge. Violation of lifetime supervision by a sex offender. They know he's a sex offender and they know he's a convicted felon. They know that he has a lot of different requirements that he needs to abide by.

I mean the common sense argument is that obviously the State is not going to waste their resources 12 on making someone do all these conditions if they are just peeing in a park. I mean, it just doesn't make any sense for them to think that the jury doesn't already know any of the stuff that has already come out.

And I think the curative instruction to tell the jury that, Hey, you can't think about that or it can't 18 enter into your deliberation is sufficient because -- and I don't have any cases because this is kind of being sprung on me, but I do remember previous cases that I have read where other things that have come out in front of the jury.

For example, in a case it comes out that someone is incarcerated but it's not this type offense. It's not

182

What will happen with each of the State's witnesses is it's becoming familiar that they are backdooring in evidence. The first one talking about incarceration. The second one talking about one of the 23 most serious sex offenses. And I may be misquoting him but I'm trying to get it specific. He said it's one of the 23 more serious sex offenses, that's how you get on lifetime supervision.

So, now the jury is hearing, well, one of the more serious ones. He was sent to prison for this. It is putting evidence before the jury that the jury does not need to make this determination. And it's become evidence on top of evidence on top of evidence. It seems like a little bit on its own but when you take the evidence in conjunction with each other, it's painting an impression for the jury that is not relevant and is incredibly prejudicial.

THE COURT: Mr. Cooper.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I think a curative instruction should be sufficient. I believe anymore, I guess, harmful to the defendant than saying imprisonment or incarceration or whatever it was that my first witness did say.

I guess I believe that the jury knows more about the law than the defense is attempting to give them

an ex-felon in possession. It is not a lifetime supervision case, but it did come out that he was incarcerated. I think it magnifies the situation the 3 prejudicial effect is far greater when the jury should be 4 seeing this guy as a first time offender and he has never done anything wrong before or anything like that, when in 6

fact that's not the case here. And I have seen those 7 cases be upheld when they had a curative instruction. 8 9

THE COURT: Well, the case law that I saw indicated that this primary concern when the reference to incarceration either in the past or currently, and there is cases on both, go to the issue of whether or not it revealed that there is a prior criminal history or a criminal history related to the defendant in question.

There is no issue with regard to the fact that there is a criminal history with this defendant in this case based on these charges. But that alone isn't the concluding factor.

Ultimately, the one statement of the document being signed while incarcerated, it was not set forth in a time frame. It wasn't set forth in terms of length of 21 incarceration. It was a reference that was absolutely 22 ended and admonishment given to the witness and a 23 curative instruction given to the jury. 24

The only other mention of anything related to

183

181

Page 180 to 183 of 207

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE the history of the defendant was the mention by Sergeant Zana in the general remarks of what a lifetime STATE OF NEVADA supervision defendant of how someone gets on lifetime) ss.) COUNTY OF CLARK supervision. And, again, I will take under advisement the request of a mistrial and/or the curative instruction and I, BRENDA SCHROEDER, a certified court reporter address that tomorrow. in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I would just note that the foregoing and attached pages 1-207, inclusive, the defense can't create their own mistrial in the sense comprise a true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings reported by me in the matter of THE STATE OF of when they heard it they should have objected at that NEVADA, Plaintiff, versus STEVE MCNEILL, Defendant, Case point. And they should have said something at that No. C297725, on July 8, 2014. point. A curative instruction would have happened close in time after that. Now they are waiting until after the jury is Dated this 6th day of January, 2015. gone. And now they want to say, Oh, we need a mistrial. They obviously heard it so I don't understand. I guess /s/ Brenda Schroeder they have their strategic reasons why they didn't want to BRENDA SCHROEDER, CCR NO. 867 object, and that's fine. But --THE COURT: And you had just concluded Sergeant Zana's testimony and this request is timely. And how the Court will address it will still be determined. Is there anything else before we adjourn for the evening? MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. MS. HOJJAT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. See you all tomorrow. (Proceedings were adjourned.) 01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM Page 184 to 186 of 207

A	45:13
	acted [2] - 69:17,
	69:18
a.m [8] - 21:25, 50:20,	acting [2] - 168:22,
86:18, 87:10, 87:12,	168:24
92:3, 92:4	action [2] - 53:20,
abide [8] - 8:17, 41:18,	143:22
43:8, 57:20, 80:17,	Action [1] - 78:6
133:10, 146:19,	acts [1] - 72:17
182:10	actual [13] - 11:20,
abiding (1) - 140:19	15:7, 24:22, 25:21,
ability [5] - 29:14,	32:9, 36:10, 37:1,
62:11, 70:15,	131:11, 135:15,
148:16, 168:8	136:18, 146:7,
able [38] - 6:11, 10:7,	167:18
10:8, 10:12, 11:12,	add (9) - 33:16, 77:12,
11:13, 16:15, 18:13,	155:9, 158:19,
22:20, 24:7, 25:18,	161:5, 163:20,
25:23, 28:23, 47:7,	174:9, 175:17,
58:24, 67:23, 83:6,	176:13
84:6, 86:14, 91:12,	added (1) - 30:11
95:17, 98:22, 99:6,	adding [1] - 30:3
100:19, 108:6,	additional [6] - 30:3,
118:24, 123:12,	30:11, 73:24, 78:22,
123:15, 124:25,	104:17, 113:17
139:1, 140:5,	additions [1] - 151:12
154:16, 165:13,	address [38] - 4:5, 8:5,
167:6, 167:8,	8:6, 11:1, 13:16,
169:20, 174:16,	21:13, 24:1, 37:14,
174:20	42:2, 42:3, 42:4,
absconder [7] - 48:14,	47:1, 48:3, 48:16,
48:20, 49:17, 123:1,	51:15, 51:24, 52:17,
123:4, 123:5, 124:8	62:10, 62:11, 68:13,
absolutely (6) - 24:5,	72:5, 86:6, 86:19,
63:23, 128:13, 152:25, 153:3,	86:22, 87:13, 87:20,
183:22	95:5, 100:13, 119:7,
academy [10] - 54:25,	119:9, 127:18,
55:1, 55:5, 55:6,	154:15, 159:15, 163:19, 174:8,
111:2, 112:10,	178:18, 184:7,
112:12, 112:16,	178:18, 184.7,
113:4, 113:18	addressed [9] - 25:8,
acceptable (1) -	25:10, 39:3, 63:22,
151:16	76:9, 107:9, 107:10,
accepted [2] - 51:1,	154:25, 176:20
151:17	addresses (1) - 34:8
access [1] - 24:10	adjourn [1] - 184:22
accommodate [1] -	adjourned [1] - 185:2
153:21	adjust (1) - 33:22
according (1) - 38:24	adjusted (1) - 105:9
accounts [1] - 133:25	adjustment [2] -
accurate [6] - 89:15,	33:24, 154:2
134:16, 136:17,	administered [2] -
161:21, 162:7,	53:18, 143:20
163:13	admissibility [1] -
acknowledge [4] -	159:7
4:20, 39:11, 39:13,	admissible (1) - 72:18
39:19	admission [2] - 59:13,
net/er 12:8 13:20	70:47

79:23, 80:3, 85:9, 89:25, 94:19, 97:11, 100:3, 102:5, 135:4 admitted [20] - 30:10, 80:8, 85:12, 85:14, 90:2. 90:4, 94:21, 94:23, 97:13, 97:15, 100:6, 100:8, 102:7, 102:9, 128:12, 135:3, 135:10, 135:12, 155:14, 158:9 ad mitting (1) - 29:17 admonish [6] - 20:10, 61:15, 61:16, 74:24, 75:21, 154:3 admonished [12] -19:17, 19:18, 20:1, 21:1, 61:20, 63:10, 63:14, 64:5, 142:23, 154:4, 177:8, 177:15 admonishment (3) -20:22, 177:19, 183:23 advance [3] - 25:20, 171:6, 172:2 advise (1) - 20:19 advised [1] - 148:10 advisement [3] -178:17, 179:22, 184:5 affidavit (2) - 136:4, 136:11 afford [1] - 47:8 afternoon [1] - 45:20 AG [1] - 148:11 agency [1] - 42:1 aggravates [1] - 71:20 aggressive [2] -43:24, 165:24 ago (4) - 15:23, 68:21, 72:16, 72:19 agree [8] - 5:18, 11:4, 70:21, 74:16, 105:20, 162:15, 170:24, 171:3 agreed [19] - 5:19, 6:3, 6:4, 14:20, 20:17, 26:10, 27:1, 27:19, 28:18, 30:4, 30:5, 33:11, 37:15, 37:16, 67:2, 67:12, 67:13, 69:5, 158:25 agreeing (1) - 25:1 Agreement (31) -30:18, 34:24, 35:18, 36:4, 36:22, 43:20, 57:24, 58:20, 58:21, 59:1, 59:3, 60:22, 77:20, 77:21, 78:3,

78:8, 80:5, 88:4, 104:21, 140:22, 145:11, 145:14, 145:19, 147:12, 155:3, 157:15, 157:21, 159:6, 159:24, 161:20, 163:10 agreement (16) -12:19, 25:16, 29:24, 53:1, 57:20, 77:10, 78:1, 80:12, 88:14, 88:19, 101:7, 145:13, 145:15, 146:19, 157:12, 161:16 Agreements [5] -36:7, 36:18, 77:7, 79:20, 161:10 agreements [6] -37:11, 58:1, 58:7, 78:12, 81:14, 116:4 ahead [3] - 57:4, 61:12, 88:17 ahold [2] - 98:18, 122:23 allegation [1] - 8:8 allegations [2] - 8:6, 40:6 alleged [3] - 6:20, 72:12, 137:10 allegedly (1) - 72:10 alley [1] - 139:11 alleys (1) - 139:14 alieyway [2] - 91:6, 92:9 alleyways (6) - 88:1, 93:7, 93:9, 93:10, 93:11, 93:13 allies [1] - 87:25 allow [4] - 21:5, 27:19, 168:5, 176:12 allowed [6] - 158:9, 160:23, 167:10, 168:1, 171:8, 172:6 allows [1] - 162:23 alluded [4] - 138:8 almost [2] - 12:7, 56:23 alone [1] - 183:17 amend [1] - 29:14 Amended (11) - 8:11, 9:21, 25:12, 28:19, 29:10, 29:17, 30:24, 34:13, 34:18, 38:12, 40:16 ample [1] - 16:9 analysis (7) - 57:19, 119:22, 132:10, 141:7, 141:14,

141:15, 150:11 annotate [1] - 122:24 annotated [3] -124:16, 129:2, 138:19 annotating [1] - 151:6 annotation (2) -151:21, 152:19 annotations [1] -150:25 annoyance [1] - 33:6 answer [2] - 109:6, 116:11 answered [1] - 120:17 answers [1] - 38:25 anticipate (2) - 19:8, 70:12 anticipated (4) -153:15, 153:19, 178:13, 180:9 anticipating [1] -68:10 antisocial [1] - 39:17 anytime (1) - 75:22 apartment (2) - 47:2, 47:8 apojogize [4] - 9:7, 10:22, 27:17, 70:10 арреаг (2) - 41:25, 97:5 appeared [2] - 147:25, 166:18 applicable [2] - 174:4, 175:10 applied [1] - 160:20 applies [1] - 179:19 apply [1] - 164:20 appointment [5] -82:19, 98:20, 98:24, 123:9, 124:12 appointments [2] -124:9, 124:11 appreciate [5] - 39:2, 43:3, 71:18, 75:17, 164:5 approach (29) - 5:23, 19:2, 34:25, 42:25, 44:2, 44:3, 46:14, 47:10, 50:1, 57:4, 58:9, 58:12, 58:14, 59:25, 61:8, 76:21, 84:14, 89:6, 94:1, 96:14, 99:11, 101:13, 102:15, 109:2, 109:23, 123:18, 125:2, 134:13, 152:1 approached [1] -62:14 appropriate [3] -

187

act [6] - 12:8, 13:20,

14:19, 40:24, 41:21,

78:17

admit [11] - 86:8, 67:5,

			T	
41:25, 68:13, 75:12	aspect [1] - 166:20	137:1, 139:6,	123:24, 124:3	bigger (1) - 46:1
approved [5] - 8:5,	aspects [1] - 168:2	144:22, 145:19,	become (5) - 54:21,	bit [8] - 22:12, 23:6,
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	asserted [1] - 16:19	146:8, 147:16,	110;25, 117:22,	51:17, 137:4,
8:16, 41:16, 68:9, 137:23	assessment (3) -	147:21, 150:20	118:18, 181:12	140:15, 173:13,
	169:16, 173:15,	authenticity [1] -	becoming (3) - 117:3,	181:14
approximate [1] -	173:16	159:17	119:14, 181:2	black [1] - 145:5
114:9	assessments (1) -	authority (7) - 40:19,	bed [2] - 11:20, 92:18	blanche [2] - 163:5,
approximation (1) -		104:9, 107:15,	began [3] - 43:24,	163:8
115:1	173:6	157:2, 157:4,	160:12, 166:20	board [1] - 171:2
April [15] - 6:2, 9:8,	assign [2] - 43:11,	162:14, 162:17	begin թյ - 39:25,	Board (12) - 56;24,
9:11, 89:2, 89:22,	43:13	automatically [1] -	155:22, 157:5	57:17, 60:23, 77:11,
90:9, 92:1, 92:20,	assigned.[11] - 48:9,	171:3	beginning (1) - 164:11	77:22, 77:23, 78:6,
93:15, 111:2, 112:7,	56:1, 82:2, 82:8,	avail [1] - 117:19	behavior [4] - 44:22,	78:8, 81:17, 81:18,
128:15, 129:17,	114:13, 116:22,	availed [1] - 118:10	140:25, 165:24,	. 116:11
130:10, 130:17	116:25, 118:4,	avoid [2] - 21:8, 179:3	166:2	bode (1) - 66:5
area (13) - 87:25, 88:1,	122:13, 126:7,	aware [13] - 29:14,	behaviors [1] - 44:9	bold [i] - 80:24
88:19, 91:12, 91:15,	144:19	56:16, 57:22, 68:16,	behind (4) - 4:11.	bolstering [1] - 19:23
95:7, 95:21, 95:23,	assignment (4) -	70:14, 78:24, 101:8,	11:24, 96:2, 166:15	Bonaventure [4]
96:3, 98:2, 98:3,	54:17, 55:24, 82:17,	117:22, 119:13,	belabor [1] - 73:21	111:16, 135:1,
144:17, 171:21	144:17	120:3, 120:6, 120:7,	belief [2] - 64:16,	150:3, 152:13
areas [3] - 6:2, 93:7,	assistance [1] - 92:18	1	168:1	BONAVENTURE [38]
93:8	associates [1] - 81:2	120:12	bench [34] - 43:2,	5:1, 74:8, 85:10,
argue [6] - 65:1,	assume [1] - 182:3		44:4, 46:16, 47:12,	90:1, 94:20, 97:12,
66:22, 67:25, 68:3,	assumes [1] - 120:17	B	50:3, 57:5, 60:1,	100:5, 102:6,
149:20, 156:9	assuming [2] - 12:22,		62:14, 63:1, 63:7,	103:20, 103:25,
argued [5] - 18:16,	163:16	backdooring[1] -		111:17, 111:20,
158:8, 158:10,	at/or [1] - 153:14	181:3	63:21, 65:6, 109:4,	114:4, 114:6,
174:1, 176:12	attachment [1] - 36:11	background (1) -	109;25, 143:9,	120:19, 121:1,
arguing [4] - 10:5,	attempt [7] - 93:5,	171:18	154:20, 154:23,	123:18, 123:20,
15:2, 27:11, 66:12	95:18, 104:17,	bad [9] - 12:8, 13:20,	155:10, 155:13,	125:2, 125:4,
argument [21] - 12:23,	107:24, 122:13,	14:19, 32:1, 39:20,	156:2, 158:11,	128:13, 128:19,
14:1, 30:17, 63:21,	125:13, 139:20	65:20, 65:21, 65:22,	158:12, 158:18,	128:20, 134:13,
68:23, 69:8, 69:23,	attempted [2] -	72:17	159:20, 161:17,	134:15, 135:5,
157:9, 157:24,	122:20, 125:7	ball [1] - 46:5	162:4, 164:3, 164:7,	135:13, 135:14,
158:7, 158:15,	attempting (3) - 98:18,	banter [3] - 147:10,	173:21, 174:13,	139:9, 142:9,
159:21, 162:5,	138:10, 181:25	1	174:21, 175:18,	148:24, 150:6,
174:19, 175:2,	attempts [3] - 108:3,	149:12, 149:19	176:16, 176:24	152:1, 152:3,
175;4, 175:24,	108:4, 138:14	baring [1] - 148:15	benefit [1] - 179:21	152:15, 152:16,
180:5, 180:13,	attending [3] - 86:5,	base (3) - 74:16,	benefits [3] - 6:9,	153:4, 165:11
182:11	90:19, 100:19	158:23, 160:24	6:10, 10:17	bottom (4) - 7:10,
argumentative [1] -	attention [8] - 5:21,	based [11] - 78:13,	best [9] - 17:19, 47:18,	12:17, 90:23, 171:1
147:9	55:21, 104:22,	81:17, 118:23,	47:20, 56:22, 62:11,	
arguments (2) - 12:22,	118:12, 144:21,	119:20, 120:2,	70:15, 154:19,	Boulder (1) - 97:25
180:7	177:5, 177:14, 179:5	121.8, 121:15,	163:2, 186:19	Boulevard [1] - 139:1
arrest (3) - 49:18,	attest (1) - 10:7	129:1, 148:12,	better (8) - 13:21,	box (3) - 171:24,
133:21, 133:23	Attorney [3] - 40:18,	170:10, 183:17	23:20, 44:23, 48:25,	172:5, 172:6
arrested [13] - 48:1,	i i	basic [1] - 134;20	49:2, 91:3, 132:13,	brand (1) - 16:17
48:17, 49:11, 49:13,	42:6, 42:7 Attorney's [2] - 101:9,	basing [2] - 173:16,	140:22	break (11) - 4:24, 20:
49:17, 50:6, 101:1,		173:24	between [18] - 9:3,	22:4, 22:23, 61:16,
101:2, 118:13,	151:18	basis (14) - 8:14,	30:25, 41:2, 47:21,	61:17, 76:10,
130:6, 130:24,	attorneys [1] - 180:17	18:19, 42:21, 57:1,	50:8, 51:3, 72:11,	142:22, 153:23,
131:1, 131:7	August (32) - 7:6,	61:6, 63:4, 71:7,	87:12, 91:6, 98:10,	179:1
	8:25, 9:3, 9:9, 9:10,	99:2, 101:3, 155:25,	107;21, 107:24,	breaking [1] - 10:10
arresting [1] - 49:7	15:2, 18:14, 18:22,	156:8, 167:2,	108;12, 114;25,	BRIAN [2] - 143:19,
articulated [1] - 37:2	45:3, 101:12,	169:12, 175:8	133:2, 139:5,	144:2
ascertain (2) - 11:12,	102:25, 103:3,	bear (2) - 10:22,	139:13, 139:17	Brian (1) - 144:2
58:24	105:21, 107:5,	173:21	beyond [3] - 40:9,	brief [2] - 61:12, 109
Ashley (6) - 44:12,	107:16, 107:19,	beast [1] - 39:21	70:23, 170:4	briefly [1] - 85:23
53:11, 53:25, 54:8,	107:21, 108:12,	became [7] - 117;20,	bias [1] - 179:5	bring [12] - 4:6, 5:21
54:10, 77:3	131:8, 131:10,	118:4, 122:6,	biasing [1] - 179:8	33:5, 33:13, 37:19
1 ADULEV 20 53:1/	132;3, 132:8,		<u> </u>	-
ASHLEY [2] - 53:17, 53:25	133:18, 136:21,	122:11, 123:10,	big _[2] - 17:5, 17:18	38:17, 69:24, 74:2

74:24, 76:7, 145:24,
170:9
bringing [1] - 33:7
broached [1] - 164:15
brought [7] - 8:3,
9:15, 106:9, 127:24,
146:5, 174:16
bullet [2] - 8:20, 8:21
burden [1] - 40:8
business (4) - 42:1,
175:3, 175:5

C

C-14-297725-1 [1] -40:15 calendar [5] - 15:25, 16:2, 16:6, 21:22, 21:24 candor [1] - 20:5 cannot [8] - 22:20, 39:11, 64:9, 81:8, 81:9, 160:17, 166:8, 172:15 capacity [1] - 54:14 captain [3] - 137:2, 147:22, 148:10 Captain [1] - 107:10 card [1] - 154:14 care [5] - 26:16, 62:15, 75:2, 76:4, 163:2 careen [1] - 80:15 cart [2] - 163:5, 163:8 case [88] - 6:19, 7:25, 8:8, 9:1, 11:14, 16:18, 16:19, 18:7, 18:16, 19:22, 30:2. 30:12, 38:23, 39:10, 40:2, 45:22, 45:25, 46:2, 52:1, 52:2, 52:4, 52:6, 52:9, 52:22, 62:3, 65:2, 68:14, 68:17, 69:11, 69:14, 69:19, 69:25, 70:10, 70:14, 70:17, 70:22, 71:12, 71:13, 71:16, 71:22, 72:24, 73:1, 73:4, 76:17, 82:21, 116:13, 117:13, 117:20, 119:12, 119:20, 120:2, 120:9, 121:8, 121:15, 122:25, 123:7, 126:7, 126:20, 129:1, 137:9, 137:10, 138:20, 138:25, 139:7, 139:19, 139:25, 140:16,

141:18, 141:22, 143:6, 148:11, 150:25, 151:20, 151:23, 154:12, 159:2, 160:21, 161:3, 170:8, 174:4. 180:3, 182:2, 182:24, 183:2, 183:7, 183:9, 183:17 Case [1] - 40:15 caseload [4] - 82:6, 82:7, 98:6, 114:18 cases [18] - 41:5, 68:15, 70:11, 71:22, 82:11, 114:13, 114:16, 115:4, 115:5, 115:7, 115:11, 115:24, 116:23, 178:25, 182:20, 182:21, 183:8, 183:12 caseworker [1] -36:22 Casino (1) - 119:7

catchall [2] - 162:19, 162:23 catchalis [1] - 163:8 categories [1] - 80: 16 category [3] - 40:23, 40:25, 51:11 Catholic [3] - 11:21, 12:2, 92:17 cease [10] - 107:12, 134:11, 134:17, 134:23, 135:16, 135:23, 136:5, 136:18, 136:24, 148:1 Center [1] - 119:7 certain [23] - 13:3, 13:12, 20:21, 28:21, 31:1, 31:5, 31:13, 31:23, 31:25, 32:2, 37:15, 37:16, 67:21, 76:13, 87:3, 111:7, 166:15, 167:5, 172:15, 174:14, 178:16, 179:19 certainly [5] - 62:12, 62:23, 75:15, 177:20, 179:22 Certificate [1] - 78:6 certified [1] - 147:23 cetera [1] = 74:3 challenge [2] - 169:4,

169:6

change [12] - 8:6,

32:11, 32:14, 33:15,

33:16, 51:15, 51:23,

72:4, 81:17, 97:24,

158:21, 172:7 changed [9] - 33:9, 33:18, 37:25, 42:2, 47:22, 48:6, 48:9, 50:20, 51:17 changing [1] - 30:2 characteristics [1] -25:19 charge [19] - 20:6, 20:12, 20:15, 25:22, 40:9, 70:18, 70:21, 71:21, 72:1, 73:15, 107:11, 108:24, 109:1, 164:16, 178:4, 178:5, 179:9, 182:6, 182:7 charged [2] - 64:12, 161:24 charges [24] - 16:16, 30:11, 40:2, 40:8, 51:16, 51:18, 51:19, 57:13, 72:7, 74:18, 75:14, 75:15, 76:17, 100:23, 101:3, 101:9, 116:12, 131:3, 137:9, 137:10, 137:13, 137:18, 139:6, 183:17 charging [6] - 40:1, 40:4, 40:12, 64:13, 101:7 Charities [1] - 92:17 charity [2] • 11:21, 12:2 check [9] - 15:10,

15:17, 16:4, 42:20, 48:4, 48:15, 49:7, 125:19, 174:23 check-in (4) - 15:10, 15:17, 48:15, 174:23 checked (4) - 165:16, 171:24, 172:5, 172:6 checkered [1] - 145:5 checking [3] - 15:22, 47:16, 90:14 checks (1) - 121:17 chief [1] - 25:18 children [1] - 13:13 choose [1] - 160:3 chron [2] - 151:23. 152:4 chrono [4] - 124:22, 124:24, 152:20 chronological [1] -151:16 chunk [2] - 17:5, 17:18 chunks [1] - 22:20 church [2] - 11:24,

96:2 circle [2] - 91:6, 92:9 circumstance [1] -179:6 circumstances [10] -62:18, 71:7, 74:4, 155:8, 167:2, 167:12, 167:13, 175:10, 178:16, 179:2 citations [1] - 70:15 cites[3] - 23:23, 23:24 claiming (1) - 91:14 claims [1] - 50:18 clarification [4] -46:18, 161:2, 166:14, 176:21 clarifled [1] - 164:16 clarify [7] - 30:16, 43:4, 106:19, 116:1, 140:3, 160:19, 165:14 clarity [1] - 158:12 Clark [13] - 28:10, 28:21, 29:1, 29:2, 40:13, 40:17, 40:19, 41:3, 41:14, 41:24, 42:3, 42:5, 109:12 CLARK [1] - 4:1 clash [2] - 176:2, 176:5 clause [1] - 141:3 clear [14] - 20:20, 20:23, 24:4, 25:3, 27:3, 34:4, 34:11, 46:8, 52:6, 64:14, 155:21, 156:15, 157:3, 169:9 cleared [1] - 21:19 clearly [5] - 149:15, 156:9, 156:11, 169:8, 169:23 clears [1] - 30:6 clerk [10] - 9:19, 34:12, 34:16, 37:23, 38:20, 40:11, 53:14, 53:18, 143:20, 178:25 CLERK [6] - 38:21, 40:13, 53:19, 53:23, 143:21, 143:25 close (3) - 45:15, 153:15, 184:12 closest [1] - 127:18 CODIS (1) - 151:5 Colorado [9] - 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:22, 125:25,

126:21, 126:22.

139:15

colored [1] - 56:11 comfortable [1] -51:20 coming [6] - 4:10, 70:12, 100:22, 149:23, 179:4, 180:18 commences (2) -156:20, 156:21 comment [3] - 178:2, 178:4, 178:8 commentary [3] -61:23, 143:1, 154:7 commenting [1] -173:24 comments (4) - 149:6, 149:9, 173:5, 173:24 commerce [1] - 93:12 Commerce [3] - 91:5, 91:6, 139:15 commerciai [1] -136:4 committed [4] - 40:21, 45:13, 51:10, 73:1 committing [1] - 72:10 common [3] - 78:12, 83:8, 182:11 communication [1] -134:8 competency [1] -173:14 complaining [1] -146:12 complete [5] - 14:15, 43:13, 88:23, 137:22, 180:6 completed (4) - 90:16, 159:13, 160:9, 174:5 completely [7] -26:14, 37:2, 63:7, 64:3, 116:7, 116:8, 162:15 compliant [1] - 104:21 comply [6] - 12:18, 45:5, 56:19, 57:17, 146:2, 149:23 complying [3] - 15:13, 15:15, 55:14 components [1] - 13:4 computer [9] - 32:22, 122:3, 124:21, 129:4, 131:12, 131:15, 131:19, 131:22, 132:5 concern [7] - 34:8, 34:20, 83:7, 159:20, 159:23, 170:21, 183:10 concerned [4] - 35:11, 121:6, 167:3, 167:25

189

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
concerning [1] - 101:5	83:3, 83:13, 83:17,	conviction (2) - 41:23,	175:20, 176:14,	130:9, 131:8,
concerns [4] - 9:23,	89:1, 92:21, 93:14,	56:18	177:23, 178:3,	133:21, 135:24,
27:6, 34:8, 90:15	93:15, 93:20, 96:6,	convinced [1] - 25:25	178:9, 181:19,	136:5, 137:11,
conclude [2] - 153:13,	96:9, 98:10, 99:6,	COOPER (164] - 4:23,	184:8, 184:24	139:21, 139:22,
153:24	107:6, 107:7, 107:8,	9:25, 10:6, 11:11,	cooper (13) - 9:17,	139:24, 140:6,
concluded [2] -	107:19, 107:22,	11:15, 14:8, 15:5,	35:6, 52:13, 62:17,	140:10, 140:20,
169:11, 184:19	107:25, 108:15,	17:23, 19:4, 19:11,	108:22, 109:22,	141:7, 141:23,
concluding [1] -	108:16, 121:22,	20:3, 20:18, 21:9,	111:24, 144:4,	150:9, 150:12,
183:18	121:23, 122:13,	21:12, 21:23, 22:8,	160:18, 163:20,	150:19, 169:15,
conclusion [3] -	122:16, 122:18,	22:15, 23:9, 23:21,	174:14, 177:21,	172:18, 180:17
167:13, 167:23,	122:20, 122:21,	24:3, 24:13, 24:21,	181:18	correctional [1] -
169:1	124:23, 125:10,	25:13, 26:11, 27:16,	Cooper [23] - 7:5,	55:17
condition [2] - 51:13,	125:13, 134:21,	28:23, 29:9, 30:22,	9:23, 15:2, 24:20,	corrections (2) -
56:24	134:23, 134:24,	31:4, 31:13, 32:3,	33: 19, 42:6, 43:5,	137:14, 151:12
conditions [26] -	138:11, 139:5,	32:8, 32:13, 32:16,	44:6, 45:17, 53:8,	Corrections (1) -
41:10, 42:21, 43:7,	145:21, 145:22,	32:19, 32:22, 33:2,	54:3, 57:7, 60:3,	55:18
51:6, 51:9, 55:15,	152:7, 152:21,	34:1, 34:3, 35:2,	65:24, 66:13, 68:11,	correctly (1) - 13:6
57:16, 57:22, 77:12,	152:23, 153:2	35:25, 36:9, 36:19,	73:7, 73:25, 76:19,	corroborating [1] -
78:7, 80:17, 81:16,	contacting (1) -	37:7, 37:9, 37:22,	127:24, 142:11,	131:25
	107:15	38:8, 38:13, 42:11,	157:7, 160:12	Counsel [2] - 13:25,
81:22, 81:23, 81:25, 82:1, 88:23, 145:16,	contained [14] - 8:8,	43:6, 44:7, 46:14,	cooperate [6] - 8:16,	64:8
· ·	8:23, 16:21, 16:22,	47:9, 49:25, 52:15,	18:8, 41:17, 140:16,	counsel [17] - 25:20,
146:19, 147:11,	18:5, 79:7, 79:13,	53:10, 54:4, 54:7,	140:24, 141:10	27:6, 37:10, 39:11,
162:14, 162:17,	79:16, 89:16,	56:14, 56:15, 57:8,	cooperating [2] -	59:25, 63:1, 68:9,
162:18, 162:21,	101:24, 163:11,	58:9, 58:12, 58:15,	140:18, 141:16	69:21, 74:16, 109:6,
162:22, 182:13	172:21, 175:7.	59:12, 60:9, 60:10,	cooperation [3] -	128:10, 153:13,
conduct [3] - 81:3,	175:14	65:25, 66:25, 67:8,	81:2, 140:21, 140:24	159:11, 161:4,
121:16, 165:21	contains [1] - 40:6	68:6, 68:17, 69:9,	copies [6] - 19:5,	164:12, 164:17,
conducting (1) - 125:9	contemporaneous [1]	73:8, 74:5, 74:20,	32:16, 32:18, 37:10,	170:21
conference [5] - 63:1,	- 164:4	74:25, 75:25, 76:20,	38:3, 79:25	counseling (21) -
158:13, 164:3,	context [2] - 7:8,	77:2, 78:16, 79:2,	copy [17] - 19:5,	8:18, 41:20, 43:13,
174:13, 175:18	167:11	79:3, 79:22, 80:6,	23:23, 29:12, 32:23,	44:11, 72:5, 86:5,
conferences (8) -	continuance [1] -	80:9, 80:11, 84:1,	34:21, 34:23, 34:25,	90:15, 90:16, 90:18,
143:9, 154:20,	17:20	84:14, 84:17, 85:8,	35:20, 59:6, 59:17,	90:19, 90:21,
154:23, 155:10,	continue [3] - 147:9,	85:15, 85:17, 88:5,	78:25, 85:5, 94:12,	100:19, 141:23,
164:7, 173:22,	148:13, 149:20	88:8, 89:6, 89:9,	97:4, 99:25, 101:23,	142:1, 142:4, 167:1,
176:17, 176:24	continued (3) - 47:3,	89:24, 90:5, 90:7,	161:24	167:11, 168:11,
confirm [1] - 123:13	139:13, 146:20	94:1, 94:4, 94:18,	corner [20] - 5:2, 6:25,	169:22, 170:15
confuse [1] - 163:15	continuing [1] -	94:24, 95:1, 96:14,	48:22, 48:23, 48:24,	counselor [6] - 43:22,
confused [2] - 110:15,	105:23	96:17, 97:10, 97:16,	49:1, 49:2, 49:3,	151:2, 167:11,
147:24	contrary [1] - 41:4	99:5, 99:11, 99:14,	49:8, 49:9, 86:23,	168:12, 170:14,
confusing [3] -	controlled [2] - 81:1,	100:3, 100:9,	86:24, 87:3, 87:13,	170:25
126:25, 140:4		101:13, 101:16,	87:21, 92:12,	count [3] - 41:7,
confusion [3] - 9:6,	102:23	102:4, 102:15,	125:24, 126:5,	117:11, 117:25
27:2, 170:5	conversation [11] -	102:17, 104:5,	127:18	Count [5] - 41:21,
congratulations (1) -	96:5, 103:17, 104:3,	104: 15, 106:19,	corners [1] - 140:6	51:12, 51:25, 52:10
112:14	133:1, 133:4, 133:7,	106:21, 108:23,	correct [51] - 32:6,	counter (1) - 12:16
conjunction [3] - 27:3,	134:6, 147:6, 147:7,	109:2, 109:8, 109:9,	34:9, 59:6, 59:17,	counterargument [3]
27:13, 181:15	148:19, 149:11	109:23, 110:4,	78:25, 79:25, 80:1,	- 157:25, 173:23,
connected [10] -	conversations [1] -	110:5, 111:14,	81:9, 81:10, 81:12,	174:21
61:22, 61:24, 62:3,	65:6	120:11, 120:16,	85:5, 94:12, 97:4,	counts [1] - 45:16
142:25, 143:2,	converse [3] - 61:21,	135:8, 142:12,	99:25, 101:23,	COUNTY (1) - 4:1
143:6, 154:5, 154:7,	142:24, 154:4	143:11, 143:16,	108:14, 116:15,	county [3] - 28:2,
154:11, 161:11	convicted [22] - 12:18,	144:5, 144:8, 145:6,	116:17, 117:5,	28:3, 28:4
connotation [2] -	28:2, 28:8, 28:10,	145:9, 148:2, 148:4,	117:10, 118:2,	County [13] - 28:10,
32:2, 32:4	28:14, 28:25, 31:1,	149:2, 149:8, 150:1,	118:19, 119:18,	28:22, 29:1, 29:3,
considered [3] -	31:24, 32:24, 34:6,	152:10, 153:7,	119;22, 121:17,	40:13, 40:17, 40:18,
50:21, 76:15, 76:18	40:23, 41:8, 41:12,	154:18, 157:9,	121:25, 126:20,	41:3, 41:14, 41:24,
30,21,70.10,70.10				1 - 2 (1.6) 1 (1.1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
consumed [1] - 16:20	42:13, 53:2, 56:16,	158:20, 158:23,	126:23, 127:5.	42:3, 42:5, 109:12
	42:13, 53:2, 56:16, 64:14, 70:21, 71:9, 179:15, 182:9	158:20, 158:23, 163:23, 170:19,	126:23, 127:5, 127:14, 127:19,	42:3, 42:5, 109:12 couple [4] - 4:8, 38:4,

61:14, 135:15	ļ
COUR [1] - 12:21	
course [13] - 20:15,	
39:23, 43:1, 59:9,	
85:1, 89:12, 93:13,	
102:2, 146:6,	
149:15, 153:25, 154:21, 175:10	
COURT [222] - 4:5,	
4:15, 4:19, 5:5, 5:11,	
5:22, 5:25, 7:4, 8:10,	
8:14, 9:6, 9:12, 9:16,	
9:19, 10:4, 10:21,	
11:14, 12:6, 12:10,	
13:10, 13:24, 14:6,	İ
14:22, 15:1, 17:22, 18:4, 19:3, 19:8,	
19:12, 20:14, 20:19,	
21:10, 21:21, 22:3,	
22:12, 22:16, 23:10,	İ
23:24, 24:5, 24:8,	
24:19, 25:8, 25:14,	
26;22, 27:12, 28:13,	
29:6, 30:20, 31:17,	
32:5, 32:11, 32:14, 32:18, 32:20, 32:24,	1
33:3, 34:2, 34:5,	ĺ
35:1, 35:6, 35:11,	
35:21, 36:17, 37:6,	
37:8, 37:10, 38:4,	
38:7, 38:11, 38:16,	1
38:19, 39:1, 42:9,	İ
43:1, 43:3, 44:3,	-
44:5, 45:17, 46:15, 46:17, 47:11, 47:13,	
50:2, 50:4, 52:12,	
52:16, 53:13, 54:2,	
56:12, 57:1, 57:4,	Ì
57:6, 58:11, 58:14,	
59:16, 59:21, 59:25,	
60:2, 61:6, 61:8,	
61:11, 62:6, 64:6, 64:24, 65:24, 66:12,	
67:6, 68:3, 68:10,	
69:4, 69:21, 70:1,	- 1
70:3, 70:13, 72:14,	
73:7, 73:9, 74:6,	1
74:10, 74:23, 75:2,	İ
75:5, 75:7, 75:17,	-
75:21, 76:3, 76:9, 76:22, 78:21, 79:24,	ł
80:3, 80:10, 83:25,	1
84:16, 85:11, 85:16,	Ì
88:7, 89:8, 90:2,	
90:6, 94:3, 94:21,	-
94:25, 96:16, 97:13,	
99:2, 99:4, 99:13,	i
100:6, 101:15, 102:7, 102:12,	
102:16, 103:22,	
104:4, 106:14,	

106:17, 106:20, 108:22, 108:25, 109:3, 109:5, 109:22, 109:24, 110:1, 111:16, 120:14, 120:23, 123:19, 125:3, 128:10, 128:18, 134:14, 135:1, 135:6, 135:9, 139:8, 142:11, 142:13, 142:21, 143:8, 143;13, 144:3, 145:8, 148:3, 149:4, 150:3, 152:2, 152:12, 153:6, 153;8, 153;12, 154:14, 154:19, 155:25, 157:7, 157:23, 158:21, 159:3, 162:1, 163:16, 164:1, 166:14, 167:21, 169:7, 170:2, 170:18, 170:24, 172:25, 173:19, 174:13, 175:21, 176:16, 177:18, 177:24, 178:7, 178:11, 180:6, 181:18, 183:9, 184:19, 185:1 Court's [6] - 5:21, 70:14, 159:3, 166:7, 167:4, 180:15 court's [1] - 109:8 courtesy (1) - 146:14 courtroom [7] - 38:18, 62:5, 62:8, 76:8, 142:19, 142:20, 154:13 covered [2] - 5:11, 158:18 create [4] - 31:8, 62:17, 71:13, 184:9 created [1] - 145:15 creates [1] - 64:25 credentials [3] -172:1, 172:8, 173:10 credit [1] - 182:1 crime [10] - 64:12, 65:20, 65:21, 67:10, 67:12, 73:3, 73:4, 151:10, 179:20 crimes [2] - 40:22, 73:1 criminal [4] - 179:4, 183:13, 183:14, 183:16

cross [7] - 18:13,

87:19, 91:4, 92:22, 100:20, 139:11, 171:4 CROSS [2] - 111:19, 150:5 CROSS-**EXAMINATION** [2] -111:19, 150:5 cross-examine [1] -18:13 crunched [1] - 33:12 cunt (1) - 98:25 cup [5] - 132:12, 132:15, 132:16, 132:19, 150:15 curative [11] - 64:10, 64:20, 73:12, 178:19, 179:24, 181:19, 182:17, 183:8, 183:24, 184:6, 184:12 cured (1) - 64:10 curfew [47] - 8:18, 15:4, 41:19, 44:24, 50:14, 50:15, 50:21, 51:1, 57:18, 81:5, 86:18, 87:10, 91:13, 91:18, 91:20, 91:22, 91:25, 92:2, 101:5, 101:6, 104:8, 105:4, 105:5, 105:6, 105:8, 105:9, 105:11, 105:12, 105:14, 105:20, 105:22, 105:23, 109:11, 133:7, 133:9, 133:10, 133:13, 133:14, 133:15, 133:16, 133:18, 133:19, 133:20, 150:18, 150:20, 150:21 curfews (3) - 50:18, 50:19, 50:24 curious (2) - 127:22, 128:6 current [2] - 42:4, 62:24 custody [3] - 64:2, 64:4, 131:5

D

DA [4] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:11, 137:15 dally [1] - 94:15 dark [1] - 21:18 date [24] - 16:5, 16:6, 25:15, 28:21, 29:23, 34:23, 63:18, 63:25, 78:4, 88:9, 110:19, 113:2, 123:16, 123:17, 130:5, 130:23, 131:11, 131:13, 131:20, 132:2, 132:6, 138:4, 142:7, 146:7 date-wise [1] - 63:25 dated (9) - 35:10, 35:17, 77:16, 84:23, 94:10, 97:8, 99:20, 101:21, 123:22 dates [6] - 110:15, 119:16, 125:5, 138:22, 138:24, 139:5, 139:17, 140:4 days [9] - 8:2, 8:7, 17:1, 17:14, 42:1, 106:7, 106:11, 106:24, 157:20 deal (6) - 9:22, 21:6, 25:24, 38:12, 103:10, 113:18 dealing (2) - 55:6, 75:14 debate [1] - 13:18 debt [1] - 46:13 December (9) - 41:2, 43:16, 43:19, 77:18, 113:1, 113:8, 114:25, 137:13, 137:18 December/January (2) - 113:9, 113:10 decided [3] - 11:22, 149:19, 163:1 decisions [1] - 33:16 declare [1] - 74:19 decreases (1) - 72:19 deems [1] - 162:20 defendant [79] -18:10, 24:14, 30:13, 37:15, 40:7, 40:21, 42:7, 42:12, 43:7, 43:15, 43:23, 44:16, 45:3, 45:10, 45:12, 53:1, 53:2, 56:13, 59:24, 62:22, 66:19, 70:9, 76:13, 77:19,

79:20, 82:3, 82:24,

85:19, 87:2, 89:1, 89:19, 92:15, 92:21, 95:24, 96:10, 103:3, 103:16, 103:19, 103:23, 103:24, 107:6, 107:16, 107:22, 107:25, 145:7, 145:18, 148:13, 149:6, 149:10, 155:3, 155:16, 156:10, 158:8, 159:1, 159:18, 160:21, 161:24, 167:1, 167:7, 167:12, 167:24, 174:7, 174:17, 174:20, 174:25, 175:7, 175:16, 176:8, 178:15, 179:6, 179:8, 179:10, 181:21, 183:14, 183:16, 184:1, 184:3 Defendant [1] - 40:15 defendant's [5] - 15:6, 44:14, 71:23, 164:23, 176:7 Defendant's [2] -135:11, 148:5 **Defense** [1] - 135:9 defense [17] - 7:23, 12:16, 21:6, 29:12, 78:19, 159:11, 164:9, 165:14, 165:18, 167:19, 170:21, 173:22, 176:4, 176:12, 177:2, 181:25, 184:9 defense's [2] - 165:14, 175:25 Defense's [1] - 135:6 defenses (1) - 30:4 definitely [2] - 110:15, 125:1 degree (1) - 170:21 delegate [2] - 23:16, 23:17 deliberate [1] - 160:4 deliberation (1) -182:19 deliberations [1] -76:16 delicate [1] - 63:12 Dell [4] - 40:14, 40:20, 41:11, 136:14 denied (6) - 51:19, 73:11, 74:7, 101:9, 101:10, 165:19 Department [13] -36:24, 40:15, 42:18,

54 of 70 sheets

cut [1] - 91:18

CV [11] - 167:18,

169:6, 170:9,

170:11, 170:17,

171:9, 172:2, 172:3,

170:19, 171:6,

172:11

·	
44:13, 54:11, 55:18,	1
113:23, 121:13,	1
125:22, 127:12,	1
134:1, 144:10,	1
162:16	1
department (1) - 18:7	di
Department's (i) -	di
175:15	-
depicting (1) - 95:13	di
depiction (1) - 89:15	di
deputy [1] - 148:11	4
Deputy [1] - 42:6	đi
describe [11] - 56:8,	1 :
56:22, 57:11, 83:16,	١.
84:3, 91:23, 98:16,	İ
108:2, 109:20,	
145:2, 145:21	
desist [10] - 107:12,	Ð
134:11, 134:17,	
134:23, 135:16,	d
135:24, 136:6,	d
136:18, 136:24,	"
148:1	đ
desk [3] - 52:7, 146:4	-
despite (1) - 34:10	İ
detail [4] - 28:19,	1
113:14, 113:15,	
117:1	1
detailed [3] - 51:20,	16
95:19, 173:13	d
details (2) - 10:24,	
121:20	١,
deteriorated [1] -	١,
50:10	1
determination (7) -	
159:4, 167:1,	1.
169:11, 169:12,	
170:4, 179:23,	
181:12	
determine [5] - 11:8,	١,
16:14, 51:10, 53:5,	
153:17	į,
determined [6] -	
153:12, 166:21,	ļ
172:13, 172:14,	ı
175:6, 184:21	-
diagnosis [1] - 166:13	İ
differ [1] - 11:5	
difference [3] - 16:25,	
50:8, 132:17	
differences (i) - 52:2	
different (34) - 30:11,	
32:16, 34:23, 35:21,	-
35:23, 37:4, 45:11,	
46:22, 47:22, 47:24,	
49:14, 60:17, 66:10,	
66:11, 69:14, 69:16,	
71:1, 77:4, 77:6,	1
78:12, 80:16, 81:6,	
82:9, 85:23, 109:10,	
i e	

116:7, 116:8,	
116:10, 132:6,	
167:15, 167:17,	
168:10, 168:25,	ļ
182:10	
differently [1] - 116:18	į
difficulty [3] - 146:23,	1
154:1, 178:23	ļ
dignity (1) - 41:5	1
dire [3] - 6:18, 27:10,	
46:4	
direct [11] - 19:25,	
20:20, 20:24, 21:2,	
104:19, 127:24,	1
129:20, 132:9,	
129.20, 132.8,	
134:3, 134:20,	
138:13	
DIRECT [3] - 54:6,	
77:1, 144:7	
directed [1] - 123:6	
direction [2] - 76:12,	
127:5	
directly [14] - 10:18,	
12:8, 15:12, 16:15,	
19:21, 22:18, 36:2,	
36:10, 36:24, 69:19,	
71:3, 92:11, 108:23,	
132:21	
disagree [1] - 167:21	
disagreed [3] - 5:21,	
23:11, 69:5	
23:11, 69:5	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [5] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 . disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [i] - 96:4 disclosure [i] - 18:18 disconnected [i] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [i] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 . disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 - disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 - disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery (1) - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 . disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 . disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [6] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [9] - 43:2,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [9] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 . disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [9] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [i] - 96:4 disclosure [i] - 18:18 disconnected [i] - 122:22 discovery [i] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [i] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [i] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [i] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [i] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [9] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25 discussion [24] -	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [9] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25 discussion [24] - 24:19, 26:9, 26:23,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [1] - 96:4 disclosure [1] - 18:18 disconnected [1] - 122:22 discovery [6] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [1] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [9] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25 discussion [24] - 24:19, 26:9, 26:23, 33:17, 33:18, 61:10,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [i] - 96:4 disclosure [i] - 18:18 disconnected [i] - 122:22 discovery [i] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [i] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [i] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [i] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [i] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25 discussion [24] - 24:19, 26:9, 26:23, 33:17, 33:18, 61:10, 62:7, 64:7, 65:3,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [i] - 96:4 disclosure [i] - 18:18 disconnected [i] - 122:22 discovery [i] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [i] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [i] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [i] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [i] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25 discussion [24] - 24:19, 26:9, 26:23, 33:17, 33:18, 61:10, 62:7, 64:7, 65:3, 70:20, 92:1, 103:2,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [i] - 96:4 disclosure [i] - 18:18 disconnected [i] - 122:22 discovery [i] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [i] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [9] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [5] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [9] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25 discussion [24] - 24:19, 26:9, 26:23, 33:17, 33:18, 61:10, 62:7, 64:7, 65:3, 70:20, 92:1, 103:2, 158:14, 163:22,	
23:11, 69:5 discern [i] - 96:4 disclosure [i] - 18:18 disconnected [i] - 122:22 discovery [i] - 7:19, 17:5, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 172:20 Discovery [i] - 36:12 discuss [4] - 37:19, 86:1, 98:21, 135:2 discussed [i] - 20:7, 23:19, 28:13, 31:3, 96:1, 107:3, 127:6, 146:22, 161:9 discussing [i] - 27:5, 152:20, 159:22, 164:18, 179:2 Discussion [i] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 109:4, 109:25 discussion [24] - 24:19, 26:9, 26:23, 33:17, 33:18, 61:10, 62:7, 64:7, 65:3, 70:20, 92:1, 103:2,	

```
165:4, 166:21,
 177:19, 177:21,
 178:7, 178:11
dispute [3] - 9:20,
 23:1, 27:1
disputing [1] - 6:10
disregard [2] - 73:12,
 73:23
disregarded [2] -
 76:15, 76:18
disruptive [1] - 43:25
distance [1] - 13:12
distinctly [2] - 170:2,
 178:12
District [8] - 40:13,
 40:18, 41:14, 41:24,
  42:5, 42:6, 101:9,
  151:18
 ditching (1) - 23:4
 Division [7] - 5:17,
  7:8, 42:18, 84:9,
  144:11, 144:13,
  162:22
 division [1] - 55:3
 document [73] -
  36:14, 38:1, 40:1,
  40:4, 40:5, 40:12,
  59:6, 59:9, 59:24,
  63:15, 63:24, 64:13,
  66:8, 66:9, 66:16,
  66:17, 66:18, 66:19,
  66:25, 67:2, 67:3,
  67:5, 67:6, 67:14,
   67:16, 67:17, 68:12,
   68:20, 68:21, 69:6,
   69:8, 69:9, 70:4,
   75:8, 79:5, 79:7,
   79:11, 79:13, 84:25,
   89:10, 89:12, 89:15,
   89:16, 89:18, 90:23,
   95:10, 135:2,
   155:15, 155:16,
   155:17, 155:18,
   156:1, 156:4, 156:6,
    156:9, 156:10,
    156:12, 156:16,
    156:25, 157:1,
    157:6, 158:7,
    158:13, 158:22,
    158:24, 158:25,
    159:4, 159:18,
    159:19, 160:2,
    161:19, 163:12,
    183:19
   documentation [3] -
    37:14, 84:10, 84:12
   documents (18) -
    35:22, 35:23, 37:5,
```

146:25 done [21] - 15:25, 23:2, 33:7, 33:24, 45:5, 46:13, 52:18, 65:2, 65:5, 91:3, 113:1, 138:19, 151:13, 151:14, 152:22, 157:5, 157:6, 159:12, 171:16, 180:11, 183:6 dont' [1] - 169:6 door [5] - 25:23, 158:3, 158:5, 171:25, 175:4 doubt (1) - 40:10 down [25] - 14:23, 35:13, 62:7, 67:23, 68:24, 77:24, 86:1, 86:5, 86:22, 86:25, 93:7, 93:9, 93:10, 93:11, 93:12, 100:13, 106:4, 114:20, 124:8, 132:2, 139:20, 140:2, 157:24, 173:10 downs [2] ~ 47:4, 47:5 draw [4] - 91:10, 111:9, 177:4, 177:14 drawing [1] - 129:10 drawn [5] - 91:8, 95:16, 111:6, 128:25, 129:2 drew [1] - 128:4 drive [6] - 49;2, 93:11, 93:12, 124:14. 138:14 drop [2] - 83:22, 104:13 drove [3] - 50:24, 51:22, 139:14 drug [2] - 44:24, 119:24 due (1) - 165:22 duress [5] - 35:19, 36:8, 36:14, 37:12, 159:25 During [3] - 61:20, 92:1, 142:23 during [30] - 15:7, 43:23, 47:4, 47:5, 47:6, 47:7, 47:21, 55:25, 77:5, 87:1, 91:13, 92:5, 93:23, 96:9, 96:10, 98:1,

68:7, 73:11, 74:12,

77:4, 161:17, 172:25

75:19, 76:1, 76:6,

dog [2] - 104:7,

110:9, 144:24, 147:6, 148:19, 149:11, 154:3, 154:22, 160:10, 164:9, 165:1, 168:19, 171:23, 173:22 duties [5] - 59:10, 85:1, 89:13, 94:15, 102:2 Dyke [1] - 123:23

e-mail [12] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 36:15, 154:15 e-mails [1] - 36:1 earliest [1] - 154:16 early [1] - 21:21 earth (4) - 129:3, 129:4, 129:5, 129:12 easlest (1) - 52:5 easily [2] - 16:11, 16:12 easy [1] - 125:6 eat (1) - 10:22 effect [9] - 10:2, 10:12, 10:19, 14:11, 41:4, 98:24, 133:15, 133:16, 183:4 effective [1] - 92:2 egregious [1] - 149:17 Eighth [2] - 41:13, 41:24 either [9] - 68:12, 68:15, 70:16, 126:11, 126:12, 157:24, 158:17, 161:4, 183:11 elaborated [1] -155:13 elapsed [1] - 100:22 electronic [5] - 32:20, 32:21, 33:4, 33:22, 34:14 elements (6) - 16:16, 40:9, 72:7, 72:21, 72:22 elevator [2] - 4:11, 4:12 elicit [2] - 28:16, 64:18 elicited [4] - 62:21, 160:1, 160:6, 176:10 embellish (1) - 158:15 employed [9] - 10:18, 48:3, 54:10, 54:13,

192

60:7, 60:12, 60:18,

60:20, 66:10, 66:11,

_	
54:16, 86:3, 119:13,	
144:9, 144:12	
employment [4] - 41:18, 81:4, 119:15,	
174:18	
encompasses [1] -	
37:3	
encounters (1) - 167:7 end (8) - 18:11, 52:9,	
69:7, 71:8, 73:16,	
74:15, 147:7, 157:25	ı
ended (i) - 183:23	
enforcement (1) - 42:1	l
entail [1] - 147:20	l
entails [1] - 135:19	
enter [3] - 76:15,	ĺ
131:19, 182:19	
entered [5] - 42:7,	l
131:12, 131:14,	l
132:6	
enters (2) - 38:18,	İ
76:8	l
entirely (2) - 35:22,	١
51:24	l
entitlement [8] - 6:15,	Į
6:16, 6:17, 10:11,	l
11:3, 13:20, 13:21,	Ì
14:15	Į
entries [1] - 14:24	
entry [1] - 151:17	
enumerate [1] - 163:8	I
enumerated [2] -	
162:9, 162:25	
enumerates [2] -	
162:13, 162:18	
equipped [1] - 163:4	
erased [1] - 64:22	
error [1] - 132:5	
especially (1) - 72:8	
essentially [12] -	
42:19, 66:19, 71:24,	
81:21, 107:13, 155:18, 155:20,	
157:1, 162:8,	
162:12, 163:1, 165:7	
establish [4] - 70:19,	
72:4, 72:8, 78:22	
established [6] -	
59:17, 59:23, 72:14,	
118:1, 167:10, 168:4	
et [1] - 74:3	
ethical [2] - 39:15,	
39:17	
evaluation (1) -	
171:23	
evening (3) - 24:11,	
61:17, 184:23	
evidence [34] - 20:4,	
35:13, 38:24, 40:5,	
43:18, 45:11, 45:15,	

52:20, 52:21, 53:4, 53:5, 80:8, 85:14, 90:4, 94:23, 97:15, 100:8, 102:9, 120:18, 135:12, 159:8, 164:21, 174:15, 174:16, 174:19, 175:22, 176:10, 181:3, 181:11, 181:13, 181:15 ex [1] - 183:1 ex-felon [1] - 183:1 exact [9] - 34:4, 56:23, 86:24, 103:7, 110:10, 113:2, 117:8, 119:3, 131:10 exactly [3] - 13:22, 49:23, 104:3 EXAMINATION [5] -54:6, 77:1, 111:19, 144:7, 150:5 examine [1] - 18:13 example [2] - 43:12, 182:24 excepted [1] - 175:9 exception [2] - 23:5, 175:3 exclude [1] - 18:19 excluded [2] - 11:10, 18:21 excuse [1] - 155:5 excused [2] - 142:18, 153:10 Exhibit [44] - 58:17, 59:13, 59:18, 60:15, 60:21, 75:9, 77:5, 77:14, 78:2, 78:10, 79:4, 79:10, 81:21, 81:24, 84:19, 85:9, 85:11, 85:13, 88:6, 89:25, 90:3, 90:8, 94:6, 94:19, 94:22, 97:1, 97:11, 97:14. 99:16, 100:4, 100:6, 100:7, 101:18, 102:5, 102:8, 128:14, 128:19, 132:1, 135:7, 135:11, 148:5, 155:2, 155:14, 161:18 exhibit [14] - 58:17, 58:19, 59:20, 84:20, 94:7, 94:9, 97:2, 97:4, 99:17, 128:12, 128:18, 159:24, 160:4, 161:25 exhibits [4] - 37:23, 38:8, 53:6, 60:4

Exhibits [6] - 60:14, 78:18, 78:23, 79:23, 80:3, 80:7 existed [1] - 128:22 existence [1] ~ 134:10 exists [2] - 163:5, 174:22 exit (1) - 142:18 exits [4] - 62:5, 62:8, 142:20, 154:13 expected [2] - 55:13, 164:22 expedite [1] - 23:7 experience [7] -54:23, 55:3, 55:16, 112:1, 112:2, 170:11, 170:15 experienced [1] - 47:5 expert [16] - 165:13, 165:22, 166:8, 166:9, 167:9, 168:3, 168:7, 168:13, 169:1, 169:3, 169:5, 169:17, 169:19, 171:8, 172:3, 172:14 expertise [3] - 167:10, 168:4, 172:9 expired [2] - 46:22, 155:23 explain (4) - 36:20, 37:11, 61:1, 123:3 explained [5] -146:15, 146:18, 147:10, 147:14, 149:20 explaining [2] -157:21, 160:14 explanation [1] -108:25 express [4] - 62:2, 143:5, 154:10, 163:8 expressed [2] -159:20, 159:21 extended (1) - 92:2 extension [2] - 92:4, 105:18 extent [2] - 13:1, 143:10 extra [1] - 23:5 eyes [1] - 48:19

> **F** 5:12, 6:9, 21, 6:22,

> > favor [2] - 45:1,

fact [61] - 5:12, 6:9, 6:19, 6:21, 6:22, 6:24, 7:1, 13:6, 13:14, 13:16, 13:19, 18:6, 18:17, 24:15, 25:2, 26:20, 27:13,

30:17, 30:18, 51:8, 52:25, 62:24, 65:18, 66:21, 67:1, 68:24, 69:24, 70:24, 71:2, 71:3, 71:24, 71:25, 72:3, 73:5, 74:17, 87:8, 100:18, 100:19, 117:22, 117:23, 120:17, 121:16, 121:19, 124:2, 124:5, 124:15, 127:25, 128:17, 129:1, 131:25, 134:24, 136:17, 159:18, 163:14, 164:23, 174:18, 174:22, 175:11, 179:4, 183:7, 183:15 factor(1) - 183:18 facts [12] - 7:1, 26:15, 26:25, 52:24, 53:5, 64:13, 71:22, 71:23, 159:19, 164:20, 174:15, 175:22 factually [1] - 157:9 fail [3] - 13:15, 41:25, 179:17 failed (2) - 18:7, 48:4 failing [12] - 8:15, 8:16, 8:22, 41:15, 41:16, 41:17, 41:18, 140.16, 141.9, 180:1 failure (6) - 8:5, 8:15, 8:17, 101:5 fair [7] - 33:19, 89:15, 126:3, 138:4, 167:13, 169:13, 175:13 fairly[1] - 155:21 fall [2] - 18:9, 169:17 falls [2] - 18:15, 18:16 false[1] - 18:9 familiar [10] - 71:12, 87:21, 87:24, 88:18, 91:15, 135:17, 135:18, 137:21, 145:10, 181:2 familiarity (3) -180:18, 180:19, 180:20 family [4] - 151:3, 170:14, 171:1, 171:17 far [6] - 5:2, 78:23, 98:22, 132:14, 167:15, 183:4 fast [1] - 177:4 fault[1] - 16:14

104:12 February [9] - 115:17, 118:19, 123:9, 123:17, 126:15, 126:17, 139:10, 139:21, 139:23 fed [1] - 43:17 fees [3] - 81:5, 100:21, 101:6 feet [1] - 113:25 felon [3] - 179:16, 182:9, 183:1 felonies (1) - 115:13 feloniously (2) -41:10, 41:22 felony [6] - 40:23, 40:25, 51:11, 51:24, 57:13, 116:13 felt [2] - 104:19, 169:15 few [6] - 21:12, 91:18, 171:14, 176:18, 178:25, 179:1 field [14] - 55:2, 55:9, 83:19, 111:12, 112:17, 112:18, 112:21, 113:1, 113:6, 114:11, 114:15, 114:23, 115:18, 115:19 figure [3] - 22:22, 33:10, 34:15 file [34] - 15:24, 15:25, 48:14, 52:7, 58:2, 58:4, 58:5, 58:7, 58:22, 58:25, 59:7, 59:18, 78:24, 79:8, 79:14, 79:17, 85:2, 89:16, 90:16, 94:13, 97:5, 100:23, 101:24, 116:12, 117:14, 117:17. 117:21, 119:11, 121:15, 122:25, 123:13, 124:19, 151:7, 153:1 filed [10] - 16:21, 16:23, 29:11, 137:9, 137:10, 137:20, 138:1, 138:4, 156:7 fili (3) - 84:10, 85:24, 93:23 filled (12) - 85:4, 85:6, 85:19, 85:22, 89:3, 97:9, 99:9, 99:21, 100:1, 101:21, 123:23, 130:25 fills [3] - 85:3, 96:19, 96:22

193

56 of 70 sheets

final [4] - 23:15, 69:8,

172:22, 179:23
finalized [1] - 151:15
finally (5) - 43:16,
62:3, 137:23, 143:6,
154:12
financial [1] - 166:11 financing [1] - 136:8
fine [14] - 23:11,
26:14, 27:15, 27:16,
27:23, 29:7, 67:4,
69:3, 70:13, 92:6,
141:21, 146:6, 152:12, 184:18
finish [2] - 160:23,
180:13
finished [1] - 156:22
firearm (1) - 55:7
first [40] - 4:9, 8:20,
10:1, 17:13, 19:6, 20:6, 20:9, 21:13,
25:10, 27:5, 33:8,
48:20, 51:18, 52:13,
53:9, 53:11, 62:15,
62:16, 65:25, 69:12,
69:16, 73:10, 77:14,
82:16, 82:18, 82:23, 85:18, 109:17,
111:25, 115:3,
115;6, 116:23,
120:4, 135:15,
157:16, 173:22,
174:8, 181:3, 181:22, 183:5
five [8] - 19:10, 48:15,
51:5, 72:11, 118:6,
119:4, 119:6, 164:8
five-and-a-half-year
[1] - 72:11
fix (2) - 33:4, 44:9 fixed (2) - 86:21,
127:17
fixes [1] - 32:23
flat [3] - 104:20,
132:10, 133:11
flavor [1] - 71:17
flawed [2] - 157:9, 159:10
focus [2] - 45:22,
90:10
focusing [2] - 70:1,
172:25
folks (1) - 39:8
follow [6] - 47:21, 147:11, 147:12,
148:16, 176:19
follow-ups [1] -
176:19
followed [1] - 55:11
following (9) - 23:8,

43:19, 48:7, 51:5,

120:22, 143:20,
159:9
food [11] - 6:7, 6:9,
6:12, 6:22, 7:2, 10:2,
10:16, 11:23, 14:14,
14:20, 92:18
foot [1] - 113:24
force [1] - 41:4
foremost [1] - 65:25
foreshadowing (1) -
164:5
forever (1) - 23:17
forget [1] - 20:12
forgot [1] - 20:8
forgotten [1] - 13:25
form [7] - 41:4, 62:2,
84:13, 85:24, 110:2,
143:5, 154:10
forth [12] - 25:25,
51:3, 137:17,
146:17, 146:20,
147:10, 147:13,
149:12, 158:11,
162;22, 183:20,
183:21
forthcoming (1) -
68:11
forward [6] - 31:9,
66:1, 67:4, 77:18,
148:9, 148:10
forwarded [1] - 151:18
foundation [18] -
59:15, 59:16, 59:22,
60:6, 62:18, 63:15,
63:18, 63:22, 63:23,
63:24, 66:9, 66:10,
66:14, 66:15, 66:23,
73:24, 75:18, 78:22
four [6] - 47:22, 47:24,
49:14, 117:7,
117:23, 130:11
frame [চ] ~ 9:12,
18:15, 18:18,
153:15, 183:21
frankly (1) - 7:25 free (1) - 68:16
11.00[1]
Friday [2] - 16:24,
131:18
front [10] - 24:17,
25:2, 26:10, 35:13,
36:22, 70:15, 111:7,
122:3, 123:13,
182:22
fucking [1] - 98:25
fugitive [12] - 59:24,
66:8, 155:15, 156:1,
156:4, 156:6, 156:9,
150.4, 150.0, 100.0,
156:13 156:16
156:13, 156:16,
156:13, 156:16, 158:24, 158:25,

53:18, 115:15,

120:22, 143:20,

```
161:19
full [6] - 20:5, 36:17,
41:18, 53:23, 143:25
full-time [1] - 41:18
fully [1] - 29:14
future [4] - 31:9,
73:22, 165:21,
169:18

G
game [3] - 167:13,
169:13, 175:13
gap [1] - 72:11
gated [1] - 96:2
```

general [10] - 56:4, 72:17, 85:25, 86:7, 95:21, 112:3, 113:21, 178:14, 179:18, 184:2 generally (3) - 49:4, 115:13, 164:17 generated (1) - 173:11 generating [1] - 148:1 gentleman [1] - 13:2 gentlemen (8) - 42:12, 45:20, 51:12, 52:1, 61:1, 83:16, 98:16, 108:2 gist [1] - 134:20 given [13] - 7:23, 9:2, 9:3, 42:20, 60:24, 67:18, 77.9, 82:6, 82:14, 166:16, 172:24, 183:23, 183:24 glad [1] - 170:6 God [3] - 38:24; 53:21, 143:23 Google (4) - 129:3, 129:4, 129:5, 129:11 Googling [1] - 170:12 government [3] - 6:15, 11:3, 14:15 governmental (1) -10:11 GPS [7] - 120:4, 120:10, 120:12, 120:13, 120:20, 120:22, 120:25 gracious (1) - 38:13 graduated [4] - 55:1, 112:13, 113:3 grant [1] - 179:24 granted [3] - 92:5, 141:1, 166:6 great [2] - 38:11,

greatly [1] - 71:20 green [1] - 145:5 greenish [1] - 56:10 ground [1] - 161:22 group [3] - 43:25, 115:3, 168:22 guess (20) - 10:1, 15:7, 70:18, 77:6, 77:23, 87:19, 93:15, 95:2, 100:16, 148:16, 156:15, 156:17, 156:18, 167:17, 168:9, 178:17, 181:21, 181:24, 182:3, 184:16 guessing (1) - 180:7 quesstimate (1) - 17:7 guidance [2] - 146:1, 165:4 guide (1) - 52:22 guidelines [2] - 50:13 guilty [6] - 29:22, 40:7, 42:8, 45:16, 52:10 guy [3] - 48:14, 65:22, 183:5 guys [i] - 148:23

Н

habitually [1] - 127:19 half [3] - 48:15, 51:5, 72:11 hand [7] - 38:21, 80:14, 86:9, 91:17, 106:25, 132:12, 132:15 handed [10] - 9:9, 16:24, 17:5, 17:6, 17:8, 17:18, 17:24, 34:17, 150:15, 172:19 handle [1] - 27:4 handled [1] - 9:21 handling [1] - 171:23 hands [3] - 142:16, 142:17, 153:9 handwriting (6) - 86:8, 86:9, 86:12, 86:13, 90:11, 90:12 handwritten [1] -34:16 handy (1) - 170:18 harassments [1] -135:24 hard [2] - 32:18, 32:23 harmful [1] - 181:21 HC [2] - 121:23, 152:7

head (4) - 68:18, 86:19, 86:25, 96:1 hear (31) - 7:5, 9:17, 14:3, 17:10, 27:6, 43:21, 44:12, 46:8, 46:11, 47:16, 47:20, 48:6, 48:11, 49:11, 50:7, 50:9, 50:10, 50:11, 50:12, 50:14, 50:17, 50:23, 51:2, 51:4, 51:7, 51:15, 52:4, 65:4, 69:8, 70:3, 70:9 heard (9) - 12:15, 45:23, 51:9, 65:17, 134:3, 137:7, 178:12, 184:10, 184:16 hearing (25) - 7:16, 8:3, 8:23, 15:9, 16:20, 17:13, 18:7, 31:21, 64:8, 65:13, 71:19, 109:15, 109:18, 110:7, 110:9, 110:14, 110:20, 127:21, 127:25, 128:23, 129:9, 129:14, 138:8, 140:15, 181:9 hearsay [13] - 20:1, 20:5, 20:10, 21:5, 28:25, 83:24, 103:21, 104:1, 106:13, 175:2, 175:3, 175:9 held [10] - 43:2, 44:4, 46:16, 47:12, 50:3, 57:5, 60:1, 61:10, 109:4, 109:25 heli [1] - 22:21 help [9] - 38:24, 39:18, 52:21, 52:22, 53:21, 125:23, 143:23, 151:24, 156:8 helpful [3] - 21:7, 60:8, 87:19 Henderson [1] - 97:25 hi (1) - 4:17 hide [1] - 46:5 high [2] - 114:1, 152:24 highly [1] - 65:23 Highway [1] - 97:25 himself [6] - 13:22, 19:22, 121:12, 132:2, 174:17 hired (2) - 112:7, 112:9 Hispanic (1) - 5:4

history [5] - 179:4.

194

153:4

greater [1] - 183:4

				1 f
183:13, 183:14,	honestly [2] - 160:17,	174:11, 174:12,	inaccurate (1) -	information [31] - 8:22, 15:11, 16:10,
183:16, 184:1	164:25	175:19, 175:20,	163:14	19:19, 23:20, 26:5,
HOJATT [1] ~ 6:1	Honor [171] - 4:7,	176:14, 176:15,	inappropriate (3)	26:7, 26:13, 27:4,
Hojjat [1] - 45:18	4:21, 4:23, 5:14, 7:7,	177:1, 177:23,	159:14, 166:22,	27:14, 29:8, 29:17,
HOJJAT [95] - 4:7,	8:13, 9:13, 9:25,	180:4, 180:12,	178:22	29:18, 30:1, 30:10,
4:17, 4:21, 5:3, 5:7,	11:11, 11:15, 12:4,	181:19, 184:8,	incarcerated (21) -	30:15, 61:25, 64:22
5: 14, 5:23, 7:6, 8:13,	13:5, 14:5, 14:8,	184:24, 184:25	61:4, 62:22, 62:25,	117:19, 118:11,
8:19, 9:10, 9:13,	14:25, 15:5, 16:17,	hope (1) - 154:1	66:14, 66:21, 66:24,	126:20, 127:9,
9: 18, 12:4, 12:7,	17:23, 18:25, 19:4,	hopefully [2] - 21;24,	67:1, 69:24, 71:3, 71:8, 71:15, 74:17,	132:6, 137:16,
12:20, 13:5, 13:11,	19:13, 20:3, 20:18,	142:14	75:11, 75:13, 75:23,	143:3, 148:12,
14:5, 14:7, 14:25,	21:9, 21:11, 21:12,	HOPPER [1] - 18:25	155:4, 155:19,	154:8, 160:5,
16:17, 19:10, 19:13,	21:23, 22:8, 22:15,	hot [2] - 92:5, 105:18	156:24, 182:25,	174:22, 175:7,
21:11, 23:22, 24:6,	23:9, 23:21, 23:22,	hour[1] - 173:11	183:3, 183:20	175:14
24:12, 27:8, 27:15,	24:3, 24:13, 24:21, 25:13, 26:11, 26:14,	hours [2] - 104:8, 114:7	incarceration [16] -	Information [14] -
29:4, 30:16, 30:21,			63:9, 63:17, 64:17,	8:11, 9:21, 25:12,
30:23, 31:11, 31:15,	27:8, 27:17, 29:4, 29:9, 29:13, 31:4,	house [4] - 100:20, 121:16, 121:20,	65:14, 71:4, 71:20,	28:19, 29:15, 29:18,
31:22, 34:21, 35:9,	32:3, 32:17, 32:23,	121:10, 121:20,	73:13, 76:13,	30:24, 31:8, 31:17,
35:16, 35:23, 36:5,	33:2, 34:1, 34:3,	housekeeping [1] -	155;24, 158:2,	34:13, 34:18, 38:12,
37:21, 42:24, 44:2, 45:19, 46:20, 47:15,	35:2, 35:25, 36:9,	39:3	159;13, 179:3,	40:16, 51:14
50:6, 56:25, 57:2,	36:19, 37:9, 37:21,	hung [2] - 98:22,	181:4, 181:22,	informed [2] - 7:13,
59:14, 59:20, 59:23,	37:22, 38:8, 38:13,	98:25	183:11, 183:22	9:19
61:5, 61:7, 61:9,	42:11, 43:6, 44:7,	00.20	incarcerations [2] -	informs [1] - 40:20
63:6, 64:21, 65:8,	45:19, 46:14, 47:9,		63:11, 63:13	initial (3) - 29;17,
69:22, 70:2, 70:6,	49:25, 52:15, 53:10,	•	include [1] - 93:9	66 16, 160:11
71:18, 72:16, 78:19,	54:4, 57:2, 58:10,		included [6] - 7:16,	injustice [9] - 64:25,
83:24, 99:1, 99:3,	58:13, 59:12, 59:14,	idea [5] - 9:14, 26:20,	136:1, 136:8, 136:9,	65:9, 65:19, 68:5,
102:10, 102:14,	60:9, 61:7, 61:9,	36:17, 86:7, 156:3	136:10, 136:13	68:7, 73:17, 179:17,
106:12, 108:21,	63:6, 64:21, 65:8,	identification [1] -	includes [1] - 155:23	180:14, 182:5
109:21, 114:3,	65:25, 66:25, 68:7,	145:7	including [4] - 61:25,	inlets [1] • 87:25
155:12, 156:17,	68:17, 69:10, 69:22,	Identified [3] - 11:1,	143:3, 154:8, 156:22	inquired [1] - 26:24
161:7, 162:3, 165:6,	70:6, 71:18, 71:24,	56:13, 75:8	incorrect [3] - 31:7,	instead [1] - 92:3
165:12, 167:16,	74:5, 74:8, 74:20,	identify [1] - 128:11	31:18, 161:14	Institutions (1) - 116:3
168:21, 170:1,	75:25, 76:20, 76:21,	ignore [2] - 39:15,	Incredibly (1) - 181:17	instruct [1] - 164:19
170:8, 170:20,	78:16, 78:19, 79:2,	39;20	independent [1] -	instructed [3] -
172:17, 173:5,	79:22, 80:6, 84:14,	ignored [2] - 4:12,	176:9	146:16, 147:14,
174:11, 175:19,	85:8, 88:6, 89:7,	4:18	indicate (6) - 26:6,	149:21
176:15, 177:1,	89:24, 90:5, 94:2, 94:18, 94:24, 96:15,	Ignoring [2] ~ 4:14, 5:10	73:14, 73:25,	instructing (1) -
180:4, 180:12,	97:10, 99:3, 99:12,	imagine (1) - 168:16	130:12, 166:24, 176:5	161:12
184:25	100:4, 101:14,	immediate [1] - 62:10	1 ** - * -	instruction [13] ~ 39:8,
hold (1) - 106:17	100.4, 101.14,	immediately [1] -	indicated [12] - 21:19, 39:25, 40:3, 129:5,	64:10, 64:20, 64:22, 73:12, 178:19,
holding (1) - 36:5	102:15, 103:20,	123:10	153:18, 160:6,	179:25, 181:20,
home [17] - 24:9,	103:25, 106:12,	implications [1] - 73:3	160:24, 169:25,	182:17, 183:8,
92:22, 93:5, 95:18, 108:4, 121:22,	106:19, 108:23,	important (4) - 28:3,	175:1, 177:25,	183:24, 184:6,
121:23, 121:25,	109:2, 109:23,	39:9, 61:18, 69:20	183:10	184:12
122:3, 122:4,	110:4, 111:15,	importantly [1] - 10:4	indicating (3) - 128:2,	instructions [14]
125:10, 125:13,	111:17, 114:5,	impose [3] - 162:15,	152:6, 170:3	4:15, 22:7, 22:10,
152:7, 152:20,	120:11, 120:19,	162:17, 162:20	indicating) [1] - 17:25	22:11, 52:18,
152:23, 153:1	123:18, 125:2,	imposed [1] - 41:11	indication (2) - 120:9,	142:13, 154:15,
homeless [20] - 10:8,	134:13, 135:5,	impression (6) - 18:9,	134:10	163:18, 163:22,
10:13, 10:16, 11:16,	135:8, 142:9,	35:17, 71:14, 71:20,	individual [8] - 10:8,	163:24, 165:7,
11:17, 11:18, 48:22,	142:12, 144:5,	166:17, 181:16	29:21, 65:10, 71:2,	180:8, 180:10
49:10, 50:15, 83:11,	145:7, 148:2,	imprisonment (11] -	72:9, 73:19, 75:12,	insufficient [1] -
83:12, 86:22, 87:8,	148:24, 150:2,	67:9, 70:7, 72:24,	168:18	165:23
92:15, 106:4,	152:1, 152:10,	72:25, 73:2, 73:10,	individuals (4) - 6:15,	intended [3] - 27:4,
106:10, 106:22,	153:5, 153:7,	73:15, 75:19, 76:5,	82:10, 148:9, 179:14	164;21, 169:14
1 18:18, 127:13,	154:18, 155:12,	156:23, 181:21	indulgence (1) - 109:8	intent [1] - 166;15
	, 160:00 181:7	1	influence on 71.5	intention [1] - 62:9
127:16	158:20, 161:7,	improper [2] - 63:8,	inflame [1] - 71:5	Interition [1] - 02.8
	167:16, 170:8, 172:17, 172:18,	64:3	inform [1] - 71.5	interact (1) - 39:19

130:10, 130:21, intern [2] - 38:13, 130:23, 130:25, 178:3 131:7 Internet [3] - 62:1, JULY [1] - 4:2 143:4, 154:9 June [12] - 96:6, interpretation [1] -96:12, 97:8, 98:8, 159:11 interrelate [1] - 62:19 98:10, 129:22, 129:24, 130:10, intersection [4] -130:19, 151:21, 87:16, 127:2, 127:4, 152:19 127:13 juror (3) - 4:24, 4:25, interview [1] - 15:7 14:13 intoxicants [1] - 80:25 Juror [1] - 5:4 introduce [1] - 60:5 jurors [16] - 4:11, 5:9. invite [2] - 42:10, 8:12, 33:11, 38:17, 155:8 64.15, 71:6, 73:20. involvement (1) -19:25 ironed [1] - 170:7 issue [21] - 6:18, 7:4, 10:1, 13:6, 19:1, 19:13, 20:11, 21:15, 24:14, 27:25, 31:4, 38:23, 62:10, 68:18, 147:15, 159:15, 159:17, 164:12, 166:17, 183:12, 183:15 issued [1] - 149:25 issues [5] - 21:12, 30:8, 145:23, 146:22, 163:24 item [1] - 18:3 items (2) - 15:24, 16:2 itself [2] - 53:4, 66:25 jail [1] - 73:19 114:24, 114:25,

January [7] - 113:11, 115:17, 118:20, 118:21, 118:22 Jesus [1] - 146:1 iob [6] - 47:1, 47:6, 47:17, 51:9, 51:11, 55:13 JOC [2] - 28:1, 28:24 Jonathan [1] - 42:6 jotted [1] - 7:10 Judge [1] - 42:24 judge [1] - 83:24 Judicial [2] - 41:14, 41:24 July [23] - 63:16, 98:9, 98:10, 98:24, 99:7, 99:8, 99:20, 100:1, 100:17, 100:25, 101:1, 101:11, 130:3, 130:5, 130:8,

76:7, 142:14, 142:15, 143:13, 153:9, 160:3, 161:2, 180:19 Jury [3] - 38:18, 62:5, 154:13 jury [57] - 4:6, 6:11, 6:18, 12:15, 22:10, 24:17, 25:2, 26:2, 26:10, 26:15, 26:16, 26:17, 27:3, 27:21, 33:5, 34:17, 35:14, 37:17, 37:20, 38:25, 52:17, 61:2, 61:12, 61:16, 62:10, 64:10, 64:23, 65:5, 70:9, 74:24, 75:12, 76:8, 83:17, 98:17, 108:3, 135:20, 140:5, 154:15, 155:5, 161:13, 163:15, 163:17, 163:18, 163:22, 163:23, 164:20, 181:9, 181:11, 181:16, 181:24, 182:15, 182:18, 182:23, 183:4, 183:24, 184:14 jury's (4) - 123:3, 177:5, 177:14, 179:4 justice [1] - 17:2 justify [1] - 140:25

Κ

keep [5] - 64:11, 85:2, 102:1, 126:25, 174:4 kept [8] - 58:2, 58:22, 59:7, 84:25, 89:12, 94:13, 104:7, 153:14 key (1) - 154:20 khaki [1] - 145:4 kick [2] - 158:22,

173:25 kind (24) - 17:23, 62:1, 62:13, 74:13, 86:6, 90:24, 93:9, 115:18, 116:6, 116:7, 120:10, 135:17, 137:16, 141:19, 143:4, 145:25, 146:1, 147:25, 154:10, 161:8, 161:11, 168:4, 177:19, 182:20 **kinds** [1] - 115:13 knife [3] - 6:5, 14:17, 14:22 knowing [1] - 10:2 knowingly [1] - 41:10 knowledge (11) -20:24, 21:3, 29:1, 88:12, 119:21, 120:15, 145:18, 148:15, 160:21, 160;24, 161:10 known [1] - 137:2 knows [2] - 120:23, 181:24

L

łack [2] - 59:14, 132:12 ladies [8] - 42:12, 45:20, 51:12, 52:1, 61:1, 83:16, 98:16, 108:2 Jaid (1) - 66:15 landmark [2] - 87:16, 87:19 language [8] - 20:16, 30:7, 32:9, 32:24, 34:4, 68:12, 104:6, 162.17 LAS(1) - 4:1 Las [2] - 42:3, 139:15 last (29] - 7:7, 7:9, 7:19, 8:21, 16:3, 16:7, 16:24, 16:25, 17:6, 17:18, 20:13, 21:15, 22:9, 42:2, 48:22, 51:17, 62:16, 90:17, 95:9, 95:17, 95:22, 112:21, 119:4, 119:6, 120:17, 136:20, 137:4, 146:2, 175:21 late (1) - 15:23 latest [1] - 16:6 law [38] - 10:10, 31:7, 41:25, 42:16, 57:3,

65:2, 66:1, 66:6, 68:2, 68:18, 69:25, 70:10, 70:14, 71:12, 127:17, 146:15, 149:17, 160:16, 161:2, 161:13, 161:21, 162:7, 163:13, 163:14, 164:13, 164:18, 165:7, 173:24, 174:4, 178:2, 178:8, 178:25, 180:18, 180:19, 180:20, 181:25, 183:9 laws [2] - 55:7, 81:3 lay [6] - 60:6, 63:17, 66:9, 66:13, 86:24, 167:5 laying [2] - 11:24, 11:25 layperson [1] - 166:10 layperson's [1] -166:1 lays [5] - 66:1, 86:19, 86:25, 96:1, 162:13 lead [4] - 25:11, 73:25, 74:9, 74:11 leading [2] - 148:25, 149:3 learn (1) ~ 55:10 learned [2] - 51:21, 55:12 learning [3] - 7:22, 8:1, 55:6 leash [2] - 104:7, 147:1 least [7] - 12:16, 39:8, 50:18, 50:23, 62:21, 104:13, 165:2 leave[1] - 71:14 led [1] - 169:10 Lee [6] - 43:21, 44:8, 90:11, 142:3, 142:6, 165:8 left [5] - 80:14, 86:9, 91:17, 118:11, 118:23 left-hand [3] - 80:14, 86:9, 91:17 legal [3] - 4:9, 4:22, 5:15 length [1] - 183:21 less [2] - 52:8, 72:25 letter [26] - 45:4, 107:9, 107:10, 107:12, 107:13, 134:5, 134:11, 134:17, 135:16, 136:1, 136:6,

136:10, 136:11,

136:13, 136:18, 136:24, 137:2, 147:17, 147:20, 147:23, 147:25, 148:1, 148:6, 148:8, 148:15 letters (1) - 135:18 level [6] - 17:2, 64:25, 65:9, 65:19, 180:24, 182:4 lewdness (1) - 178:4 licensed [1] - 43:21 licensing (1) - 171:18 life (1) - 86:7 Lifetime [34] - 30:18, 34:24, 35:18, 36:3, 36:6, 36:18, 36:21. 43:20, 57:23, 58:20, 58:21, 58:25, 59:3, 77:6, 77:20, 77:21, 78:3, 78:8, 79:19, 80:4, 88:3, 140:22, 145:10, 145:14, 145:19, 147:11, 155:2, 157:15, 157:20, 159:5, 159:24, 161:9, 161:20, 163:9 lifetime [139] - 12:18, 24:16, 25:16, 27:23, 28:5, 28:11, 28:15, 28:20, 29:21, 29:22, 30:5, 31:6, 31:10, 31:12, 31:14, 31:16, 31:19, 31:24, 32:25; 34:6, 40:22, 41:7, 41:11, 41:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:8, 44:16, 45:13, 46:3, 46:6, 46:8, 46:11, 46:20, 46:22, 46:23, 47:23, 48:1, 48:18, 49:8, 49:12, 49:14, 49:18, 51:6, 53:1, 56:19, 56:21, 56:22, 57:9, 57:10, 57:12, 60:22, 61:3, 63:19, 63:25, 65:11, 65:15, 65:17, 66:2, 67:20, 67:25, 68:1, 69:10, 69:12, 69:17, 69:20, 71:4, 71:25, 73:6, 77:5, 78:13, 81:7, 81:13, 82:8, 82:12, 108:18, 108:19, 110:17, 113:13, 113:22, 116:1, 116:5, 116:12, 116:16, 117:3, 117:4, 117:7,

117:16, 118:2,

118:7, 118:8,	117:11, 119:6,	man [1] + 105:10	101:17, 135:6,	180:23
118:14, 118:24,	124:13, 124:25,	manager [1] - 117:20	169:23	meant [8] - 9:10,
120:4, 122:15,	137:21, 139:10,	mandates [1] - 57:17	marriage (3) - 170:14,	16:14, 32:4, 88:3,
130:6, 140:17,	160:3, 171:15,	Mangan [25] - 44:12,	171:1, 171:17	120:21, 122:21
141:1, 141:4,	172:11, 179:1	44:21, 45:22, 48:10,	match [1] - 23:3	129:20, 173:8
141:17, 145:16,	looked [10] - 66:6,	48:11, 49:16, 49:22,	matches [1] - 22:23	media [3] - 62:1,
149:16, 151:1,	93:3, 95:7, 95:23,	50:9, 51:3, 51:16,	matter (10) - 9:18,	143:4, 154:10
155:17, 155:21,	117:21, 126:18,	53:12, 53:13, 53:25,	14:7, 21:14, 24:22,	medium [3] - 61:24,
156:19, 156:20,	129:3, 129:11,	111:21, 128:1,	25:7, 28:6, 39:7,	143:2, 154:8
156:25, 157:2,	177:21, 178:12	146:3, 146:12,	64:19, 109:15, 177:2	meet [6] - 65:7, 68:6,
157:3, 157:4,	looking [11] - 8:10,	146:23, 147:4,	matters [11] - 4:8,	82:19, 84:7, 101:5,
157:10, 157:11,	78:1, 95:22, 100:11,	150:7; 150:22,	4:10, 4:22, 5:15,	144:25
157:22, 160:13,	123:21, 131:22,	154:24, 155:1, 160:7	5:20, 15:1, 28:7,	meeting [6] - 48:20,
160:20, 161:13,	135:21, 139:3,	MANGAN [1] - 53:17	37:19, 39:3, 61:13,	49:6, 49:11, 100:16,
161:15, 164:13,	159:16, 160:19	Mangan's [1] - 160:11	61:14	137:4, 146:2
173:25, 177:10,	Looks [1] - 125:7	manifest (9) - 64:25,	McNeill [47] - 36:12,	meetings [1] - 49:17
177:12, 178:15,	tooks (7) - 77:18,	65:9, 65:19, 68:4,	36:14, 40:15, 40:21,	meets [1] - 68:4
179:7, 179:11,	80:14, 90:24, 91:17,	68:7, 73:17, 179:17,	41:11, 42:13, 46:3,	member [1] - 151:3
179:16, 179:19,	106:25, 136:14,	180:14, 182:5	52:10, 56:10, 58:5,	members [1] - 140:5
180:16, 180:22,	148:7	map [15] - 90:25,	59:4, 60:22, 79:20,	memory [3] - 151:24,
180:25, 181:8,	lost [1] - 47:6	91:10, 93:10, 95:14,	82:7, 84:7, 85:4,	152:14, 152:17
182:1, 182:7, 183:1,	lower [1] - 17:2	95:16, 95:19, 128:2,	89:18, 94:11, 95:14,	mention [6] - 29:23,
184:2, 184:3	lunch [5] - 10:23,	128:4, 128:9,	96:13, 97:9, 98:19,	29:24, 70:7, 129:13,
likelihood (1) - 168:2	22:4, 22:10, 61:17,	128:16, 128:17,	99:21, 101:22,	183:25, 184:1
likely [4] - 73:1, 73:19,	153:23	128:25, 129:11	103:18, 107:10,	mentioned (2) - 7:20,
91:7, 176:10	lunchtime [1] - 23:8	maps [10] - 111:5,	120:3, 123:8,	53:3
limitation [3] 61:25,		111:6, 111:9,	123:23, 128:1, 129:3, 129:16,	mentions [1] - 52:24
143:3, 154:9	M	111:10, 111:13,	130:3, 133:25,	mere [1] - 62:24
line (8) - 12:17, 18:2, 30:24, 34:5, 86:1,		128:7, 128:9, 128:17, 128:22,	134:4, 134:18,	message [1] - 49:21 met [5] - 48:12, 72:22,
	1	20. / 20.24,	101.11 1011101	1 Met 151 • 40, 12, 72,42,
	M-a-n-g-a-n [1] - 54:1		136:5, 136:15,	
171:13	M-a-n-g-a-n [i] - 54:1 Ma'am [i] - 101:17	129:2	136:5, 136:15, 138:11, 139:5,	130:9, 130:13,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4,	_	129:2 march [1] - 126:8	136:5, 136:15, 138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21
171;13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16	Ma'am [1] - 101:17	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4,	138:11, 139:5,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] -
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23
171:13 lines 3 - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list 3 - 124:22, 124:24	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1
171:13 lines 3 - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list 3 - 124:22, 124:24 listed 1 - 83:6	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] ~ 19:18,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNelll [2] - 132:2,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 middle [1] - 90:10
171:13 lines 3 - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list 3 - 124:22, 124:24 listed 1 - 83:6	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 middle [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 middle [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 middle [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 middle [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 113:24, 146:24, 146:25	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 113:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 113:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 113:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 106:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:10, 130:13,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 106:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:10, 130:13, 130:17, 137:11,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [3] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:10, 130:13,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23 locate [4] - 45:8,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21, 126:23, 139:11,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 106:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:10, 130:13, 130:17, 137:11, 139:6, 139:8	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 161:25,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5 minute [2] - 17:19,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23 locate [4] - 45:8, 95:14, 96:3, 139:20	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21, 126:23, 139:11, 139:13, 140:7	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:17, 137:11, 139:6, 139:8 Marcia [7] - 43:21,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 161:25, 182:4, 182:11,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5 minute [2] - 17:19, 75:3
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23 locate [4] - 45:8, 95:14, 96:3, 139:20 location [4] - 51:22,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21, 126:23, 139:11, 139:13, 140:7 main [3] - 159:20,	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:17, 137:11, 139:6, 139:8 Marcia [7] - 43:21, 44:8, 90:11, 90:17.	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 161:25, 182:4, 182:11, 182:14 meaning [2] - 102:22, 150:11	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5 minute [2] - 17:19, 75:3 minutes [6] - 19:10,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23 locate [4] - 45:8, 95:14, 96:3, 139:20 location [4] - 51:22, 97:24, 138:14	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 36:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21, 126:23, 139:11, 139:13, 140:7 main [3] - 159:20, 159:23, 164:1	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:17, 137:11, 139:6, 139:8 Marcia [7] - 43:21, 44:8, 90:11, 90:17, 142:3, 142:5, 165:8	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 15:16 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 161:25, 182:4, 182:11, 182:14 meaning [2] - 102:22, 150:11 means [10] - 10:24,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5 minute [2] - 17:19, 75:3
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23 locate [4] - 45:8, 95:14, 96:3, 139:20 location [4] - 51:22, 97:24, 138:14 lodged [1] - 75:7	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21, 126:23, 139:11, 139:13, 140:7 main [5] - 159:20, 159:23, 164:1 maintain [4] - 8:17, 41:18, 102:10, 118:24	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:10, 130:13, 130:17, 137:11, 139:6, 139:8 Marcia [7] - 43:21, 44:8, 90:11, 90:17, 142:3, 142:5, 165:8 margin [1] - 86:10 mark [1] - 161:25 marked [10] - 67:4,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 161:25, 182:4, 182:11, 182:14 meaning [2] - 102:22, 150:11 means [10] - 10:24, 17:10, 32:6, 86:21,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5 minute [2] - 17:19, 75:3 minutes [6] - 19:10, 33:5, 38:5, 142:22,
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23 locate [4] - 45:8, 95:14, 96:3, 139:20 location [4] - 51:22, 97:24, 138:14	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [2] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21, 126:23, 139:11, 139:13, 140:7 main [3] - 159:20, 159:23, 164:1 maintain [4] - 8:17, 41:18, 102:10, 118:24 majority [1] - 96:5	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:10, 130:13, 130:17, 137:11, 139:6, 139:8 Marcia [7] - 43:21, 44:8, 90:11, 90:17, 142:3, 142:5, 165:8 margin [1] - 86:10 mark [1] - 161:25 marked [10] - [67:4, 68:8, 81:20, 84:19,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 161:25, 182:4, 182:11, 182:14 meaning [2] - 102:22, 150:11 means [10] - 10:24, 17:10, 32:6, 86:21, 87:12, 90:14,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5 minute [2] - 17:19, 75:3 minutes [6] - 19:10, 33:5, 38:5, 142:22, 171:14
171:13 lines [3] - 26:4, 122:19, 158:16 list [3] - 124:22, 124:24 listed [1] - 83:6 listen [3] - 61:23, 143:1, 154:6 listened [1] - 146:11 listening [1] - 103:10 lists [1] - 162:18 literally [1] - 36:5 live [7] - 10:8, 11:13, 12:12, 44:25, 13:24, 146:24, 146:25 lives [1] - 86:23 living [12] - 6:25, 10:13, 10:25, 12:11, 42:23, 43:9, 44:25, 86:2, 91:4, 96:4, 146:23 locate [4] - 45:8, 95:14, 96:3, 139:20 location [4] - 51:22, 97:24, 138:14 lodged [1] - 75:7 look [17] - 17:4, 35:5,	Ma'am [1] - 101:17 machine [1] - 19:5 mad [1] - 14:21 Magnan [3] - 19:18, 19:20, 19:24 magnifies [1] - 183:3 mail [14] - 15:22, 16:4, 23:2, 23:25, 24:1, 24:8, 24:10, 35:5, 36:10, 36:12, 38:15, 122:22, 136:7, 154:15 mails [1] - 36:1 Main [21] - 42:3, 86:23, 87:5, 87:7, 87:14, 87:21, 91:5, 91:6, 93:5, 93:11, 95:6, 98:4, 100:13, 108:4, 108:6, 125:25, 126:21, 126:23, 139:11, 139:13, 140:7 main [5] - 159:20, 159:23, 164:1 maintain [4] - 8:17, 41:18, 102:10, 118:24	129:2 march [1] - 126:8 March [48] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 9:4, 9:8, 18:23, 38:1, 41:2, 44:15, 44:17, 45:9, 48:8, 48:9, 51:4, 55:21, 56:6, 82:2, 82:5, 83:2, 83:3, 83:14, 85:6, 85:22, 89:1, 91:25, 105:13, 107:21, 108:12, 110:21, 110:22, 115:3, 115:9, 115:17, 116:23, 116:24, 122:8, 124:5, 124:12, 125:7, 125:16, 129:16, 129:18, 130:10, 130:13, 130:17, 137:11, 139:6, 139:8 Marcia [7] - 43:21, 44:8, 90:11, 90:17, 142:3, 142:5, 165:8 margin [1] - 86:10 mark [1] - 161:25 marked [10] - 67:4,	138:11, 139:5, 139:20, 146:4, 147:23, 152:21, 159:25, 176:1, 176:2 mcNeill [2] - 132:2, 133:2 McNeill's [3] - 84:23, 117:3, 151:6 mean [37] - 10:11, 12:13, 12:17, 13:1, 14:12, 15:10, 15:20, 15:21, 16:13, 17:25, 22:20, 23:6, 33:8, 47:23, 68:22, 70:19, 86:20, 87:11, 90:13, 102:21, 105:1, 105:4, 106:1, 106:8, 108:19, 110:16, 121:12, 122:6, 139:8, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 161:25, 182:4, 182:11, 182:14 meaning [2] - 102:22, 150:11 means [10] - 10:24, 17:10, 32:6, 86:21,	130:9, 130:13, 130:21 micromanage [1] - 150:23 mid [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 8:1 mid-trial [1] - 90:10 might [15] - 14:13, 14:14, 15:23, 21:18, 32:5, 32:8, 64:16, 65:5, 68:1, 111:3, 124:14, 125:5, 148:20, 176:18 mill [1] - 182:2 mind [4] - 48:19, 109:20, 158:21, 176:1 minds [2] - 64:23, 65:5 mindset [1] - 73:20 minor [2] - 176:18, 178:5 minute [2] - 17:19, 75:3 minutes [6] - 19:10, 33:5, 38:5, 142:22, 171:14 mischaracterizes [3] -

misquoting (1) - 181:5 missed (1) - 26:12	п
misspoke (2) -	
110:21, 111:4	п
misstate d [1] - 110:14	
mistrial [20] - 63:2,	
63:5, 63:7, 64:9, 64:19, 65:1, 73:11,	
73:17, 74:6, 74:19,	r
155:7, 177:5,	r
177:17, 178:19,	r
178:21, 178:24,	r
179:24, 184:6,	ŀ
184:9, 184:15	
misunderstanding [1] - 167:22	
misunderstood [2] ~	١,
27:18, 167:20	
mixture [1] - 82:11	1
moderate [1] - 166:18	
modified [2] - 166:5,	1
166:6	
modify [2] - 77:12,	
81:18 moment [2] - 83:8,	ļ,
125:20	
Monday [4] - 16:3,	
16:7, 16:25, 131:18	-
money [2] - 11:19,	H
12:3	
monitor [1] - 120:22	
monitoring [2] - 120:4, 120:21	İ
month [12] - 49:16,	
104:13, 108:10,	
115:18, 123:9,	
123:10, 123:24,	
124:2, 126:6, 126:7,	
129:21, 146:14	
monthly [38] - 7:9, 9:24, 15:3, 83:22,	-
84:7, 84:8, 84:13,	
84:23, 85:18, 89:3,	
93:23, 94:10, 95:4,	
96:20, 97:8, 99:9,	
99:20, 101:21,	
104:13, 111:7, 111:8, 121:8,	Ì
121:10, 123:15,	
121:10, 123:15, 123:16, 123:22,	
125:21, 126:2,	
126:5, 128:14,	
128:24, 130:2,	Ì
130:12, 130:23, 130:25, 173:6,	
130(20, 1/3/0,	

morning [6] - 17:21, 21:17, 21:20, 21:21, 33:9, 68:25 **nos**t [10] - 5:19, 43:15, 62:10, 62:12, 88:11, 91:7, 121:7, 149:17, 177:11, 181:5 **mot** (1] - 31:20 motion [1] - 73:11 mouth [1] - 12:14 move [11] - 13:15, 59:13, 78:17, 79:23, 85:9, 89:25, 94:19, 97:11, 100:3, 102:5, 135:4 moved [5] - 8:6, 51:14, 51:23, 63:7 moving (2) - 177:5, 177:16 muitiple [9] - 32:16, 35:24, 50:19, 65:9, 74:2, 74:11, 88:1, 139:14 multitude [2] - 82:9, 143:11 must [4] - 26:12, 27:17, 39:15, 51:23 Ν

nailed [1] - 35:13 name [4] - 36:12, 40:19, 53:24, 144:1 named [1] - 40:21 nature [13] - 11:19, 29:25, 39:21, 43:10, 55:8, 57:19, 65:12, 110:18, 147:18, 148:21, 158:14, 178:14, 179:19 NDOC (1) - 159:9 near [2] - 93:8, 139:14 nearly (1) - 144:14 necessarily [5] -14:18, 28:6, 92:11, 156:5, 179:8 necessary [6] - 28:17, 70:24, 72:1, 72:4, 73:17, 162:20 Need [1] - 90:11 need [39] - 4:5, 10:24, 20:25, 22:6, 22:16, 22:19, 23:19, 23:25, 24:10, 25:7, 26:1, 32:20, 37:16, 46:1, 61:8, 61:13, 61:15, 63:17, 65:1, 70:22, 72:7, 72:22, 72:24,

108:25, 152:20, 153:15, 153:20, 154:3, 154:17, 157:20, 164:20, 166:14, 177:24, 180:20, 181:12, 184:15 needed [14] - 26:14, 26:23, 32:11, 33:7, 48:17, 63:22, 63:24, 87:2, 105:17, 151:12, 166:22, 173:1, 174:3, 176:25 needs [13] - 10:12, 24:17, 26:16, 68:22, 68:23, 70:13, 81:7, 87:5, 87:13, 151:13, 153:21; 178:18, 182:10 NEVADA [1] - 4:1 Nevada [16] - 40:14, 40:17, 40:19, 40:20, 41:3, 41:6, 41:14, 41:24, 42:4, 42:16, 42:18, 67:9, 67:10, 76:5, 109:12 never [27] - 8:2, 8:3, 8:7, 9:14, 16:18, 48:17, 49:13, 49:15, 50:17, 50:24, 121:2, 128:4, 133:14, 133:17, 133:18, 134:3, 134:7, 137:7, 140:5, 140:7, 140:12, 150:15, 167:24, 169:13, 169:14, 183:5 new (24] - 7:25, 13:16, 16:17, 18:6, 34:21, 34:23, 35:18, 48:9, 50:13, 51:24, 52:2, 57:13, 82:5, 82:16, 82:17, 105:22, 116:12, 116:13, 133:18, 133:19, 133:20, 137:13, 177:2 newly [1] - 22:19 noting [1] - 151:17 newspaper [3] -November [1] - 77:16 61:25, 143:3, 154:9 NRS [10] - 29:16, next [7] - 4:24, 35:19, 40:23, 40:25, 88:25, 93:14, 93:20, 145:15, 149:12, 98:9, 143:14 149:15, 161:24, nice [1] - 111:21 162:12, 163:11 night [5] - 20:13, NRS's [1] - 146:18

74:15, 74:19, 90:18,

noncompliance [2] -100:18, 103:9 noncompliant [2] -100:24, 104:24 none [4] - 7:19, 39:10, 148:18, 153:10 nonpayment (1) -169:23 nonworking [1] - 83:9 normal [2] - 153:23, 153:25 notation [1] - 151:5 note [6] - 119:10, 152:8, 152:9, 176:18, 184:8 noted [12] - 48:14, 102:12, 137:19, 138:21, 155:11, 164:8, 164:10. 173:21, 174:24, 174:25, 175:22, 176:17 notes [29] - 7:10, 15:6, 62:12, 117:16, 118:11, 118:17, 118:23, 119:12, 119:20, 120:2, 120:10, 121:9, 121:15, 122:24, 123:7, 124:17, 129:1, 138:20, 138:25, 139:4, 139:19, 139:25, 150:25, 151:13, 151:23, 152:4. 164:25, 166:18, 166:20 nothing [10] - 53:21,-72:21, 72:23, 72:25, 73:8, 131:2, 143:23, 156:19, 156:20, 162:22 notice [5] - 7:23, 135:16, 165:23, 166:9, 168:7 noticed [4] - 19:15, 167.8, 168:3, 169:5

Number [12] - 80:18,

81:2, 81:3, 81:4,

81:5

80:20, 80:25, 81:1,

39:18

observation [1] -

nobody [1] - 154:1

number [8] - 18:8, 36:13, 83:6, 86:2, 117:25, 154:21, 170:25, 175:8 numbers [2] - 80:15, 83:9

0

oath [2] - 53:18, 143:20 object [15] - 32:5, 32:8, 32:9, 32:10, 42:24, 59:14, 63:6, 83:24, 103:21, 106:12, 148:25, 152:10, 162:6, 177;3, 184:18 objected [11] - 28:24, 155;13, 158:13, 161:12, 161:14, 161:15, 161:16, 161:18, 161:21, 166:12, 184:10 objecting [7] - 19:15, 19:21, 30:21, 59:18, 59:20, 155:15, 166:3 objection [58] - 29:5, 29:6, 30:23, 36:7, 44:2, 44:5, 46:18, 47:13, 50:4, 56:25, 57:1, 57:6, 59:21, 60:2, 61:5, 68:10, 75:7, 75:11, 76:10, 85:10, 90:1, 94:20, 97:12, 99:1, 100:5, 102:6, 102:11, 102:12, 103:23, 108:21, 109:5, 109:21, 110:1, 114:3, 114:5, 120:11, 120:14, 135:7, 154:25, 155:14, 155:20, 160:10, 160:11, 160:15, 160:18, 161:6, 161:8, 161:9, 163:12, 164:24, 165:9, 168:13, 174:2, 174:14, 175:18, 175:21, 175:23, 178:13 objections [5] - 29:11, 62:15, 78:20, 161:11, 165:1 objects (1) - 21:6 obligation [5] - 13:7, 13:8, 13:11, 39:15,

198

21:15, 87:6, 96:2,

nine [3] - 45:9, 108:13,

129:6

108:15

173:18, 174:22

months [7] - 45:9,

54:15, 108:13,

108:15, 112:22,

112:23, 113:6

168:19	off
observed [6] - 139:12,	46
165:25, 166:10,	6
168:12, 1 68:24,	83
172:7	13
obviously [26] - 8:11,	14
10:6, 11:17, 14:8,	11
14:17, 15:12, 21:4,	off
21:6, 24:18, 25:4,	2:
25:23, 26:17, 27:21,	3
37:11, 63:12, 64:18,	3
66:12, 70:24,	3
157:16, 159:1,	4
162:2, 162:4, 164:4,	6
178:15, 182:12,	7
184:16	1 1
occasion [2] - 144:25,	1
147:17	ofi
occasioned [1] -	1
179:18	1
occasions [1] - 45:12	off
occur (1) - 39:24	off
occurred [9] - 18:12,	Of
65:15, 72:13, 72:19,	1
131:24, 154:23,	1
160:7, 164:2, 1 64:7	off
occurring [1] - 178:20	1
occurs [1] = 65:18	3
October [1] - 149:17	8
offend [2] - 166:19,	1
168:2	1
Offender [1] - 54:12	1
offender [69] - 6:21,	1
12:18, 24:15, 24:24,	1
25:6, 26:21, 27:10,	
27:11, 27:20, 27:22,	1
28:10, 28:14, 30:6,	_ '
31:23, 40:23, 40:24,	O
41:8, 41:19, 41:21,	'
42:19, 43:12, 43:23,	1
44:19, 46:4, 46:5,	1 1
53:2, 55:12, 56:1,	1
56:3, 56:16, 57:17,	
57:21, 57:24, 64:14,	9
67:24, 73:5, 82:3,	
82:6, 82:17, 82:19,	
84:5, 88:15, 88:20,	
90:16, 93:8, 96:19,	
96:22, 111:13,	
113:13, 113:15,	1
113:24, 115:5,	İ
115:6, 115:8,	
125:19, 141:23,	0
142:1, 144:20,	
145:24, 151:3,	
170:14, 170:22,	
177:9, 180:16,	
180:21, 180:24,	
182:8, 183:5	
offender's [1] - 145:16	

```
47:16, 47:22, 47:23,
47:24, 48:8, 49:16,
49:21, 49:22, 52:3,
53:13, 54:19, 54:22,
55:7, 55:11, 55:14,
57:18, 57:25, 58:3,
67:21, 69:15, 69:16,
69:17, 69:18, 82:5,
83:21, 84:2, 84:4,
85:1, 89:13, 90:20,
94:16, 96:11, 97:19,
98:3, 98:20, 99:22,
110:22, 111:1,
112:3, 112:17,
112:19, 114:17,
 115:18, 115:19,
 115:22, 117:4,
 118:5, 118:24,
 119:14, 122:7,
 122:12, 123:11,
 123:25, 124:3,
 126:19, 127:11,
 129:21, 129:23,
 130:14, 140:17,
 140:25, 146:3,
 146:16, 147:13,
 149:21, 150:7,
 150:22, 151:4,
 151:14, 151:17,
 174:24
officer's [2] - 15:14,
 16:10
officers [17] - 10:24,
 46:25, 49:14, 63:12,
 96:18, 97:23,
 112:20, 114:19,
 117:5, 117:7,
 117:17, 117:23,
 117:24, 118:12,
  121:16, 145:23,
 150:23
offices [1] - 44:17
official [1] - 175:15
often [1] - 39:22
old [1] - 133:15
once [14] - 7:15, 7:20,
  9:5, 9:14, 17:12,
  23:14, 24:9, 39:5,
  106:3, 108:10,
  146:14, 151:15,
  168:10
one [95] - 4:10, 5:1,
  7:11, 7:12, 8:12, 9:6,
  9:18, 10:15, 12:21,
  14:13, 15:10, 15:14,
  15:17, 16:16, 19:1,
  22:16, 25:5, 30:23,
  33:23, 35:18, 35:24,
  36:3, 36:25, 37:3,
  39:6, 39:8, 46:4,
```

```
47:25, 48:2, 49:16,
50:15, 50:18, 50:23,
51:8, 52:7, 52:16,
59:18, 59:19, 62:16,
62:21, 69:17, 77:6,
77:14, 77:16, 83:21,
88:9, 88:11, 95:2,
95:9, 96:22, 104:13,
106:1, 106:5,
119:15, 125:12,
126:11, 126:12,
126:18, 128:9,
128:16, 128:21,
139:20, 140:15,
141:12, 141:13,
146:2, 150:22,
 152:22, 156:7,
 162:19, 164:10,
 165:2, 167:22,
 168:25, 169:1,
 170:18, 171:13,
 172:11, 173:17,
 174:8, 177:2,
 177:11, 178:9,
 180:10, 180:21,
 181:3, 181:4, 181:6,
 181:9, 183:19
one-on-one [2] -
 168:25, 169:1
ones (6) - 22:18,
 22:25, 23:5, 176:19,
 181:10
ongoing [1] - 34:20
open [2] - 71:14,
 136:4
opened [2] - 25:23,
 175:4
opening [12] - 42:10,
 52:19, 53:3, 72:15,
 154:22, 164:9,
 164:10, 164:11,
 165:2, 173:22,
 175:13, 175:25
openings (1) - 19:9
opens (1) - 171:25
opine [3] - 166:18,
 167:9, 169:16
opinion [7] - 62:2,
  143:5, 154:11,
  166:9, 169:4,
  169:13, 176:7
opinions [1] - 170:10
opportunities [1] -
  44:9
opportunity [6] - 16:9,
  43:3, 141:1, 142:15,
  146:2, 169:4
 opposed [1] - 65:15
 opposing (2) - 8:19,
  68:9
```

```
opposition [1] - 22:18
order [8] - 11:12,
 54:21, 60:11, 77:22,
 91:3, 111:11,
 113:18, 148:16
Order [2] - 60:23,
 77:11
ordered [5] - 78:8,
 142:1, 149:24,
 165:19, 170:9
ordinary [3] - 84:25,
 89:12, 102:2
organization (1) -
 163:2
original [4] - 8:24,
 16:22, 77:9, 77:10
originally [3] - 7:18,
 165:15, 166:4
originals (2) - 79:24,
 79:25
otherwise [5] - 14:4,
 24:1, 154:2, 164:4,
  167:25
outcome [1] - 116:9
outlandish [1] - 14:10
outlined [1] - 18:24
outlining [1] - 145:15
outside [4] - 4:8,
  64:14, 120:15,
  120:16
 outweigh [1] - 14:11
 OV [6] - 7:12, 7:21,
  16:14, 17:10, 106:1,
  106:6
 overemphasize [1] -
  71:11
 overhear [2] - 103:19,
  103:23
 overheard [3] - 133:1,
  133:4, 133:6
 overlap [1] - 62:13
 overly [1] - 18:20
 overnight [1] - 154:3
 overrule (1) - 168:14
 overruled (10) - 44:5,
  47:13, 57:6, 60:3,
   99:4, 104:4, 106:14,
   120:23, 160:18,
   176:23
 own [12] - 16:13, 21:2,
   51:24, 114:16,
   114:18, 115:11,
   115:16, 115:23,
   129:1, 129:11,
   181:14, 184:9
             P
```

P.m [16] - 50:19,

199

E0.00 96:49 97:40	115:14, 116:2,
50:20, 86:18, 87:10,	116:6, 116:10,
87:12, 91:19, 91:20,	
91:22, 92:1, 92:3,	116:17, 155:23,
92:4, 92:6, 92:7,	156:22, 160:15,
93:2, 105:20	174:23
P.M [1] - 4:2	part (22) - 14:20,
packet [2] - 136:9.	14:22, 26:12, 32:9,
137:23	37:13, 42:13, 43:15,
page [14] - 6:5, 7:6,	46:10, 66:23, 67:11,
18:1, 36:13, 78:5,	68:14, 82:7, 90:10,
90:23, 95:9, 95:10,	136:5, 137:22,
95:11, 95:13,	137:23, 138:12,
· ·	141:9, 141:21,
125:12, 168:14,	156:12, 164:1, 166:5
168:15, 169:7	participants [1] -
pages [9] - 17:4, 17:7,	39:10
17:24, 17:25, 18:1,	
35:24, 135:15,	particular [13] - 7:11,
167:15, 167:17	13:9, 26:1, 33:18,
paid [1] - 46:13	54:16, 55:19, 109:1,
painting [1] - 181:15	144:17, 150:25,
panel [1] - 5:13	159:23 , 164 :23,
panhandling (1) - 10:9	167:9, 178:14
paragraph [3] - 73:10,	particularly [2] -
88:19, 88:22	151:20, 173:12
	parties [3] - 26:6,
parcel (4) - 37:14,	39:11, 52:25
68:14, 141:9, 156:12	parts [1] - 80:24
parentheses [1] -	pass [1] - 111:14
166:19	
park [2] - 113:25,	passed [2] - 42:1,
182:14	149:17
Parole [51] - 5:17, 7:8,	past [3] - 122:1,
7:13, 7:17, 8:24,	144:19, 183:11
9:24, 16:22, 36:24,	patience [1] - 39:2
42:19, 44:13, 44:18,	pay[1] - 101:5
45:1, 45:4, 45:6,	paying [2] - 47:1,
54:20, 55:2, 55:24,	100:20
56:24, 57:16, 60:23,	peace [1] - 41:5
77:10, 77:11, 77:22,	рее [1] - 132:13
	peeing [1] - 182:14
77:23, 78:6, 78:7,	penalties (2) - 65:10,
81:17, 81:18, 84:9,	
104:12, 107:11,	88:23
107:14, 107:17,	Pending [2] - 60:23,
113:16, 113:23,	77:10
116:11, 121:13,	pending [1] - 77:22
123:6, 125:22,	peopie [15] - 6:14,
127:12, 134:1,	6:16, 6:17, 11:4,
134:21, 144:11,	13:22, 14:13, 44 :18,
144:13, 162:14,	47:24, 52:3, 82:9,
162:16, 162:23,	82:13, 115:20,
162:25, 163:5,	127:16, 163:3, 173:9
163:6, 163:7	per[1] - 78:8
parole (34) - 44:14,	perceive [3] - 18:6,
44:15, 46:9, 46:21,	178:20, 179:15
46:23, 47:22, 47:23,	perceived [1] - 19:22
49:14, 54:11, 54:19,	
54:21, 56:23, 57:11,	percent [1] - 16:1
57:12, 57:14, 69:13,	percipient [4] - 168:8,
1	168:17, 168:23,
69:15, 69:17, 82:11,	171:22
89:13, 90:20, 94:16,	perfect (1) - 116:1
96:18, 97:23,	perhaps [2] - 153:19,
į.	i .

```
159:10
period [12] - 37:2,
 47:5, 55:22, 55:25,
 77:5, 78:13, 91:13,
 104:18, 107:24,
 118:25, 144:22,
 144:24
periodically [1] -
 97:23
periods [1] - 119:17
permission (15) -
 25:7, 58:9, 58:12,
 73:25, 74:8, 76:21,
 80:9, 85:15, 88:5,
 89:6, 90:5, 94:1,
 96:14, 101:13,
 102:15
Permission [2] -
 99:11, 148:2
permit (1) - 30:9
person [17] - 41:25,
 48:7, 50:15, 52:5,
 52:8, 56:8, 61:24,
 68:1, 71:3, 98:14,
  106:23, 122:13,
  143:2, 145:2, 145:4,
  151:1, 154:7
personal [1] - 29:1
personalities [2] -
  176:3, 176:5
 personality [2] - 50:8,
  52:2
personally (1) - 140:7
 perspective [3] -
  64:11, 166:1
 persuaded (1) - 18:4
 persuasive [1] - 18:19
 pertinent [1] - 70:4
 philosophical [1] -
  13:18
phone (13) - 83:5,
  83:6, 83:9, 98:13,
  98:15, 108:4,
  122:20, 122:22,
  124:23, 125:8,
  138:17, 151:2, 151:4
 phrase [1] - 162:19
 phrasing (1) - 167:3
 physical [3] - 87:20,
  107:7, 131:11
 physically [1] - 121:13
 physician [1] - 168:17
 picked [1] - 49:15
 picture [1] - 46:1
 place [10] - 11:20,
  13:15, 25:20, 47:7,
  47:17, 61:13, 92:15,
  154:21, 160:10,
   180:18
 placed [11] - 46:3,
```

46:6, 46:20, 46:23, 50:18, 81:13, 105:5, 105:6, 105:11, 105:13, 133:13 places [2] - 13:3, 49:4 plain [1] - 162:17 Plaintiff [1] - 40:14 plan [1] - 38:5 play [1] - 69:14 plays [1] - 12:8 plea [1] - 42:7 pleaded [1] - 40:7 PO [10] - 48:23, 98:19, 127:5, 127:7, 174:24, 174:25, 176:1, 176:2, 176:7, 176:11 pocket[1] - 14:18 point (82) - 10:25, 17:1, 25:19, 26:25, 27:2, 37:12, 50:7, 56:8, 59:12, 62:22, 63:3, 63:19, 64:7, 64:17, 73:21, 74:17, 74:19, 75:13, 76:14, 77:23, 78:16, 79:22, 83:4, 83:10, 83:12, 83:18, 85:8, 87:1, 89:24, 92:14, 93:7, 93:21, 94:18, 96:9, 96:10, 97:10, 97:17, 98:5, 98:17, 99:6, 99:22, 100:22, 102;4, 103:8, 103:12, 103:16, 103:21:104:1. 104:19, 105:16, 107:5, 108:9, 109:20, 111:6, 115:10, 115:16, 115:23, 124:13, 125:14, 132:12, 133:1, 145:2, 146:9, 146:24, 147:16, 148:25, 149:22, 152:11, 157:11, 158:1, 158:6, 160:8, 162:10, 163:19, 163:21, 166:8, 170:6, 180:1, 180:14, 184:11, 184:12 pointed [1] - 166:3 points [3] - 8:20, 8:21, 9:6 police [6] - 7:17, 8:24, 16:21, 17:16, 54:25, 55:16 political [1] - 136:12 popped [1] - 64:7

population (1) -113:18 portion [2] - 90:24, 102:18 posed [1] - 160:16 position [8] - 11:11, 34:22, 63:12, 162:12, 165:14, 166:7, 169:3, 180:15 positions [1] - 44:19 possession [1] -183:1 possibility [1] - 64:15 Possibility [1] - 60:23 possible [5] - 23:13, 48:14, 48:20, 49:17, 113:2 potential [3] - 65:10, 123:1, 125:10 potentially [2] - 70:23, 74:4 practice (1) - 55:7 preclude [2] - 176:4, 176:11 precluded [2] - 65:13. 171:12 predated [1] - 123:10 predicate [2] - 70:22, 74:18 predictions [1] -165:20 prefer [1] - 180:5 preference [1] - 26;4 prejudice (2) - 12:9, 13:22 prejudiced (2) - 30:13, 30:14 prejudices [1] - 6:16 prejudiciai (20) - 6:13, 10:1, 10:12, 10:19, 11:6, 11:9, 14:11, 14:19, 18:20, 64:24, 65:8, 65:19, 65:23, 67:15, 67:22, 69:23, 70:23, 73:2, 181:17, 183:4 preiim [2] - 15:20, 17:15 preliminary [15] - 4:8, 4:10, 7:16, 8:3, 8:23, 15:9, 16:20, 39:7, 127:21, 127:25, 128:23, 129:9, 129:13, 138:7, 140:15 prepared [1] - 23:13 presence [1] - 4:8 present [4] - 6:11, 12:15, 143:14, 151:5

presenting [1] -

121:12	
presumably (2) - 158:4, 158:5	
presumption [2] -	
21:2, 177:25	
presuppose [1] - - 171:3	ļ
pretty [3] - 103:17,	
121:7, 153:15 previous [17] - 78:20,	
102:11, 102:12,	,
109:14, 110:7, 110:9, 110:14,	
110:20, 117:16,	
117:23, 117:24, 118:11, 123:7,	
127:5, 159:21,	
161:8, 182:21 previously [18] -	
84:18, 94:5, 95:3,	
96:25, 117:3, 119:13, 119:21,	
120:3, 121:2, 121:3,	
122:11, 126:15, 128:7, 136:20,	
138:15, 172:19,	
172:20, 172:24	
primarily (1) - 158:10 primary (1) - 183:10	
print (1) - 33:24	
priority [1] - 152;24 priors [1] - 71;23	
prison [21] - 36:21,	
55:19, 60:25, 65:22, 67:10, 67:16, 67:17,	
69:19, 70:10, 71:6,	
71:10, 72:3, 72:7, 72:9, 72:12, 76:6,	
156:24, 157:12,	
157:18, 181:10 prisons [1] - 55:20	
probation [45] - 37:2,	
42:20, 42:22, 43:9, 43:11, 43:12, 44:20,	
44:22, 46:9, 46:21,	
46:23, 54:22, 65:15,	
65:16, 65:18, 66:4, 67:18, 69:12, 82:11,	
85:1, 89:13, 94:16,	
96:18, 97:23, 99:22, 104:18, 110:22,	
110:25, 112:3,	
113:13, 115:14, 116:2, 116:6,	
116:17, 117:23,	
118:5, 122:7, 122:12, 123:25,	
124:3, 155:24,	
156:22, 160:15, 174:24	

Probation [41] - 5:18, 7:9, 7:14, 7:17, 8:25, 9:24, 16:22, 36:24, 42:19, 44:13, 44:18, 45:1, 45:4, 45:7, 54:11, 54:20, 55:2, 55:24, 84:9, 104:12, 107:11, 107:14, 107:17, 113:16, 113:23, 121:13, 123:6, 125:22, 127:13, 134:1, 134:21, 144:11, 144:13, 162:14, 162:16, 162:23, 163:1, 163:5, 163:6, 163:7, 175:15 probation/parole [1] -98:20 probative (14) - 6:8, 6:19, 6:20, 6:21, 6:22, 6:24, 10:20, 10:22, 11:2, 14:9, 14:10, 14:12, 15:12, 15:20 problem (9) - 27:12, 27:24, 28:12, 31:13, 32:23, 35:8, 66:7, 135:3, 172:10 problematic [1] -31:21 problems [2] - 5:8, 31:8 procedural [1] - 24:22 procedure (1) - 159:9 proceed [13] - 39:4, 43:5, 44:6, 46:19, 47:14, 50:5, 57:7, 70:5, 76:19, 79:1, 109:7, 110:3, 164:17 proceedings [1] -185:2 PROCEEDINGS (1) -4:3 proceeds [1] - 52:23 process (8) - 23:7, 61:2, 71:17, 73:20, 77:7, 82:4, 137:17. 156:12 produce (1) - 105:2 produced [1] - 35:12 professional [5] -165:25, 166:13, 166:25, 167:6, 167:7 program [2] - 42:17, 81:4 programs [1] - 13:23 progress [6] - 44:1, 165:11, 169:2, 169:23, 171:25,

172:5 prohibited (2) - 40:24, 45:14 Prohibited [1] - 41:21 promoted [1] - 44:18 prompted [3] - 62:25, 63:1, 155:4 proof [3] - 90:15, 122:2, 131:25 proper (3) - 31:20, 63:18, 70:9 properly [1] - 169:5 proponents [1] -174:6 Propose (2) - 60:15, 79:10 proposed [6] - 5:17, 22:19, 23:3, 85:11, 128:11, 180:2 Proposed [22] - 58:16, 75:9, 77:5, 78:2, 78:9, 78:17, 78:22, 79:4, 84:19, 85:9, 88:6, 94:6, 97:1, 97:11, 99:16, 100:3, 101:18, 102:5, 102:7, 135:7, 135:9, 155:2 proposing [2] - 22:19, 23:5 prosecute [1] - 28:4 protested [1] - 156:11 protesting (1) - 160:7 protocol [1] - 159:15 protracted [1] - 162:5 prove [1] - 11:14 provide [2] - 128:1, 161:2 provided [6] - 37:7, 37:13, 41:5, 68:8, 168:6, 175:16 proving [1] - 40:9 psychiatrist [1] -173:9 Public [3] - 42:18, 54:11, 144:10 publish [8] - 80:9,

105:19 put [37] - 4:25, 5:15, 7:8, 7:14, 12:14, 15:9, 15:17, 28:5, 30:1, 31:4, 35:13, 44:24, 49:23, 50:24, 55:2, 66:2, 75:12, 95:5, 100:13, 120:3, 124:7, 126:5, 127:9, 127:11, 133:9, 135:20, 143:8, 146;12, 150:17, 151:11, 151:16, 154:19, 155:6, 158:17, 159:19, 162:11, 176:25 puts [1] - 131:20 putting [4] - 86:22, 164:2, 180:9, 181:11 Q

questioning [1] -20:22 questions [12] - 15:8, 16:12, 20:21, 26:19, 34:7, 122:6, 142:9, 142:15, 142:17, 150:1, 153:5, 153:9 quick [3] - 38:2, 180:9, 180:10 quickly [3] - 50:10, 52:18, 76:4 quoting [3] - 146:17, 149:12, 149:15

R

radio (3) - 62:1, 143:4. 154:9 raise [3] - 38:21, 62:23, 177:3 raised [1] - 166:17 ran [1] - 42:17 rather (2) - 16:24, 158:15 re [2] - 166:19, 168:2 re-offend [2] - 166:19, 168:2 reach(2) - 38:19, 125:7 reaches [1] - 64:8 reaching [1] - 168:25 reactions [1] - 38:2 read [18] - 4:15, 8:12, 34:12, 34:17, 34:18, 39:16, 40:11, 51:14, 61:18, 61:22, 80:23, 88:18, 125:6,

135:18, 140:21, 142:25, 154:6, 182:22 reading [3] - 26:5, 26:13, 40:1 ready [8] - 22:4, 38:7, 39:4, 52:13, 53:8, 54:3, 103:6, 144:4 real (1) - 76:4 reality [1] - 179:91 realized (1) - 92:14 really (15) - 10:16, 32:1, 38:2, 39:18, 57:11, 65:20, 65:21, 66:5, 111:23, 116:18, 127:8, 135:17, 156:3, 158:7, 159:12 realm [4] - 14:3, 17:7. 64:15, 169:17 reask [1] - 112:5 reason [8] - 12:23, 36:3, 36:13, 69:10, 138:9, 138:10, 138:12, 147:9 reasonable (3) 🐇 24:11, 40:10, 157:17 reasons [5] - 10:15, 66:20, 166:11, 180:11, 184:17 receipt[1] - 117:14 receive [12] - 6:17, 113:17, 136:25, 147:17, 154:15, 167:18, 173:6, 173:8, 173:17, 173:20 received [23] - 5:16, 7:7, 7:9, 7:18, 7:20, 8:25, 16:7, 19:19, 34:21, 34:24, 36:6, 53:7, 55:2, 82:7, 114:8, 117:13, 130:2, 136:23, 136:24, 137:3, 147:23, 148:8, 148:12 receiving [3] - 6:9, 6:15, 147:24 recent [1] - 88:11 recently [1] - 56:1 recess [7] - 38:6, 61:12, 61:17, 61:20, 142:23, 143:12, 154:3 recognize [17] - 56:5, 58:17, 58:19, 60:17, 79:4, 79:10, 84:19,

89:10, 94:6, 94:9,

95:10, 97:1, 99:16,

201

85:15, 88:5, 90:5,

94:24, 128:11,

135:10, 148:2

puli (3) - 23:14,

164:25, 178:25

pulled [1] - 129:4

74:9, 74:10

push [2] - 22:1,

purpose [3] - 11:14,

purposes [1] - 159:7

pursuant [7] - 27:25,

28:3, 29:16, 41:12,

41:23, 42:15, 56:18

99:19, 101:18,	
101:20, 144:25	
recollect (1) - 140:22	
recollection (5) -	
123:14, 128:22, 129:8, 139:1, 152:11	1
recommendations (1)	
- 15:14	l
reconsider (1) -	
167:19	
record (37) - 4:25, 5:6, 5:16, 25:11, 53:24,	l
56:12, 61:10, 61:13,	-
62:10, 63:4, 75:3,	
102:13, 128:10,	
143:9, 144:1, 145:6,	
145:8, 154:20, 155:6, 155: 9 ,	
158:10, 158:17,	
159:19, 159:21,	
160:8, 161:5,	İ
161:23, 162:2,	
162:11, 164:2,	
164:3, 167:17, 172:19, 175:7,	
175:15, 176:22,	
176:25	
records (4) - 75:18,	
175:1, 175:3, 175:5	-
red (1) - 6:2	
redact [8] - 6:3, 6:5, 14:20, 69:2, 73:9,	
73:21, 75:19, 160:3	ĺ
redacted [15] - 6:7.	
7:1, 7:3, 7:23, 8:21,	-
14:23, 19:5, 37:8,	
67:3, 68:20, 68:23, 70:8, 166:22, 173:2	
redaction [4] - 18:14,	-
25:21, 67:13, 74:7	
redactions [14] - 5:17,	
5:19, 5:20, 18:22,	
18:24, 34:20, 37:24, 37:25, 38:9, 67:2,	
69:1, 76:1, 159:22,	
165:19	
redirect [2] - 142:11,	i
153:6	
reenrolled (1) - 142:4	
reference [18] - 6:5, 6:6, 25:15, 25:17,	
73:9, 73:13, 73:18,	
73:22, 75:9, 75:19,	
134:17, 162:1,	
174:17, 179:18,	
183:10, 183:22 referenced [2] - 9:7,	
25:18	
references (3) - 15:3,	
25:21, 75:22	

referred [1] - 92:17 referring [6] - 80:16, 81:6, 92:8, 104:22, 104:23, 107:2 refers (1) - 152:7 reflect [4] - 56:12, 139:19, 145:6, 145:8 refresh [3] - 123:14, 139:1, 151:24 refreshed (1) - 152:17 refreshing (1) - 152:11 refuse [2] - 104:7, 104:8 refused (17) - 92:19, 102:18, 102:22, 103:7, 103:12, 104:20, 105:2, 105:5, 106:5, 119:25, 132:10, 133:12, 133:13, 141:6, 150:8, 150:17 refusing [5] - 9:4, 41:15, 44:23, 44:24, 141:13 regard [13] - 9:24, 15:2, 75:10, 103:24, 155:7, 160:22, 163:18, 164:22, 166:17, 173:24, 174:7, 176:6, 183:15 regarding [4] - 76:13, 152:14, 157:1, 160:13 regards [2] - 59:21, 161:3 register [10] - 13:6, 13:7, 13:16, 17:14, 42:14, 106:10, 106:23, 127:17, 127:18, 149:13 registered [15] -13:15, 42:2, 47:1, 48:2, 48:16, 48:21, 48:23, 49:8, 125:18, 125:24, 126:1, 126:22, 126:24, 140:6 registering (3) - 8:1, 8;7, 106:7 registry [1] - 125:19 regular (t) - 42:21 regularly [1] - 108:10 regulation [1] - 162:25 regulations [1] -162:24 rejected [1] - 26:8 relate [3] - 60:5, 60:7, 89:18 related [9] - 62:16,

139:6, 170:22,

173:23, 175:22, 175:24, 178:14, 183:14, 183:25 relates [1] - 165:25 relating [1] - 165:6 relation (4) - 19:22, 59:4, 147:2, 148:21 relationship [1] - 50:9 release [1] - 157:12 Release [1] - 60:24 released [4] - 49:20, 50:7, 60:24, 131:5 releasing [1] - 158:2 relevance [7] - 11:8, 99;3, 108:21, 109:21, 159:1, 161:16, 162:6 relevancy [7] - 10:5, 11:10, 13:4, 14:23, 72:6, 72:19, 158:9 relevant [18] - 5:24, 10:6, 11:7, 11:12, 15:21, 18:20, 70:24, 72:6, 73:4, 73:14, 74:18, 75:15, 76:16, 108:24, 159:4, 160:25, 163:12, 181:16 reliant [1] - 172:2 remain [2] - 38:20, 53:13 remainder (2) - 28:16, 153:24 remaining [1] - 160:2 remarks [2] - 42:10, 184:2 remember [22] -104:11, 110:6, 110:13, 111:3, 119:9, 125:18, 128:3, 132:18, 136:23, 138:22, 138:24, 142:14, 143:10, 146:7, 149:5, 149:9, 151:6, 151:22, 165:5, 170:2, 177:18, 182:21 remind [9] - 4:13, 4:20, 5:6, 5:12, 20:14, 39:23, 40:3, 52:17, 52:19 reminded (1) - 39:8 reminder (1) - 75:5 remotely [1] - 170:22 removed [1] - 25:20 removes (1) - 34:7 render [1] - 166:8

renew [1] - 78:20 repeating [1] - 19:23 rephrase [3] - 149:2, 149:5, 156:17 report[92] - 6:4, 6:6, 7:7, 7:17, 8:15, 8:22, 8:24, 9:5, 9:9, 9:14, 15:3, 16:21, 16:23, 17:11, 17:12, 17:16, 17:17, 18:15, 18:22, 18:23, 41:15, 43:10, 55:13, 61:23, 81:11, 84:7, 84:8, 84:23, 85:3, 85:6, 85:18, 87:15, 87:16, 87:18, 87:20, 89:3, 89:21, 90:9, 93:23, 94:10, 94:13, 94:15, 95:2, 95:4, 96:20, 96:23, 97:8, 99:8, 99:9, 99:20, 100:1, 100:10, 101:21, 101:24, 102:1, 104:13, 106:3, 119:15, 123:15, 123:16, 123:22, 124:2, 124:5, 125:21, 126:2, 126:5, 126:14, 126:15, 126:17, 128:14, 128:24, 129:16, 130:2, 130:25, 132:2, 137:10, 143:1, 146:16, 146:21, 147:13, 149:14, 149:21, 149:24, 151:10, 151:15, 154:6, 169:17, 172:23, 173:8, 173:11 reported [15] - 46:25, 84:6, 87:13, 90:17, 98:9, 103:3, 127:4, 129:17, 131:8, 131:10, 131:12, 138:15, 147:4, 164:4 reporting (17) - 57:18, 80:18, 83:23, 84:13, 95:6, 109:11, 119:3, 123;6, 124;6, 126;2, 127:1, 127:12; 130:23, 140:9, 146:13, 146:14 reporting/release [1] -80:19 reports (22) - 5:24,

6:1, 7:7, 7:9, 7:17,

9:7, 9:24, 111:7,

7:18, 8:4, 8:9, 8:25,

111:9, 121:8, 121:11, 126:12, 130:12, 167:18, 172:19, 172:21, 174:23 representation (2) -134:16, 136:17 represents (1) -148:11 reprint (1) - 32:6 request [9] - 17:20, 62:25, 63:2, 74:6, 151:11, 155:7, 165:18, 184:6, 184:20 requesting (1) -165:15 require [11] - 18:14, 31:6, 31:10, 31:14, 34:10, 64:19, 71:12, 73:17, 149:14, 172:15, 178:21 required [18] - 18:21, 18:23, 29:19, 42:14, 42:20, 46:12, 54:22, 56:18, 84:10, 108:9, 127:17, 146:15, 147:11, 149:13, 178:16, 180:2, 180:3 requirements (10) -12:17, 12:19, 43:16, 56:19, 67:21, 104:18, 162:9, 164:23, 174:7, 182:10 requires [6] - 31:19, 41:13, 61:16, 164:18, 168:13, 179:7 requiring [4] - 31:24, 32:25, 34:6, 64:9 research [2] - 16:13, 171:16 reserve [3] - 163:21, 163:23, 180:5 residence [15] - 8:16, 41:16, 57:18, 80:21, 83:11, 87:4, 92:6, 92:8, 98:21, 106:5, 118:25, 119:1, 119:4, 127:1, 146:22 resoive [1] - 61:14 resonate (2) - 156:3, 156:6 resources [1] - 182:12 respond [4] - 12:5, 39:13, 157:7, 157:8 responding (2) -69:15, 160:12 responsibility [2] -

202

rendered [1] - 38:23

rendering [1] - 170:10

				T
169:10, 170:6	S	139:4, 139:16,	115:21, 132:23,	Sex (1) - 54:12
rest [1] - 75:2	•	140:9, 142:16,	132:24, 133:2,	shail (3) - 53:20,
restate [1] - 57:7		153:8, 155:8, 160:5,	143:16, 153:10,	140:24, 143:22
restrictions [1] -	safe [1] - 126:11	170:12, 170:20,	184:1, 184:19	share [2] - 159:12,
135:24	Safety [3] - 42:18,	171:15, 171:16,	series [1] - 137:14	160:25
result (3) - 84:3,	54:12, 144:11	173:14, 179:17,	serious (5) - 177:11,	sheiter (5) - 10:13,
120:22, 145:22	safety [1] - 55:7	180:1, 185:1	177:12, 181:5,	10:17, 11:16, 11:17,
resume [2] - 61:14,	sake [1] - 123:3	seedy [1] - 31:22	181:7, 181:10	11:18
62:9	sandwiched [1] -	seeing [7] - 11:10,	session [1] - 168:23	shirt [1] - 145:4
RESUMED (1) - 77:1	129:25	33:8, 90:17, 142:17,	set (20) - 23:15, 48:19,	short [1] - 61:17
return [3] - 45:16,	sanitize (1) - 71:13	153:10, 171:6, 183:5	50:13, 50:14, 82:19,	show [19] - 17:10,
142:1, 153:22	sanitized [1] - 70:20	seek [1] - 135:4	91:25, 92:1, 98:20,	29:12, 34:25, 36:15,
returned (1) - 153:1	sanitizing [2] -	seeking (2) - 13:21,	133:14, 133:18,	38:9, 43:18, 45:6,
returns [1] - 75:4	179:12, 180:2	60:4	133:19, 147:12,	45:11, 60:11, 74:13,
revealed [1] - 183:13	sat (1) - 68:24	seem [1] - 18:8	150:20, 150:21,	107:9, 122:3,
review [5] - 15:24,	saw [9] - 101:12,	selection (1) - 4:16	150:22, 158:11,	124:10, 138:25,
15:25, 124:19,	131:23, 134:5,	self [1] - 148:1	162:22, 162:24,	142:16, 148:22,
151:10, 172:20	134:7, 136:2,	self-generating (1) -	183:20, 183:21	153:8, 164:22
1 ' '	136:20, 140:7,	148:1	setting (1) - 50:13	showed [8] - 33:20,
reviewed (4) - 124:22, 147:24, 151:11,	140:12, 183:9	send [11] - 22:10,	settie [6] - 22:6, 22:9,	69:3, 91:4, 124:24,
173:1	Sawyer [1] - 107:11	23:23, 23:24, 24:2,	22:10, 22:13, 23:12,	125:16, 136:25,
173.1 reviewing (1) - 119:12	schedule [3] - 21:20,	24:4, 24:7, 24:9,	23:14	151:23
revisiting [1] - 24:20	153:17, 153:21	33:3, 36:3, 36:10,	settled [1] - 26:24	showing [14] - 43:25,
_	scheduling [1] - 21:14	151:13	settling (3) - 23:8,	45:2, 58:16, 81:20,
ridiculous [2] - 157:24, 157:25	school [2] - 13:12,	senior (4) - 55:11,	163:17, 180:8	81:24, 84:18, 94:5,
right-hand [1] -	113:25	112:19, 112:20,	seven [1] - 55:18	95:9, 96:25, 99:15,
106;25	screen [1] - 104:23	115:22	several [3] - 44:9,	101:17, 104:12,
_	scurried (1) - 4:11	sense (6) - 40:5,	45:11, 112:20	121:10, 121:12
rights [3] - 30:8,	search [1] - 11:2	157:10, 163:3,	severity [1] - 65:14	shown [2] - 126:14,
30:12, 30:14 rise [1] - 182:4	seat [1] - 49:23	182:11, 182:15,	sex [79] - 6:21, 12:18,	134:17
''	seated [2] - 54:2,	184:9	20:16, 24:15, 24:24,	side [5] - 80:14, 86:9,
rises [2] - 180:14,	144:3	sent [17] - 16:1, 16:5,	25:6, 25:17, 26:21,	91:17, 106:25, 174:3
180:24	seats [2] - 38:19, 39:2	34:22, 35:2, 35:4,	27:10, 27:11, 27:20,	sides [1] - 24:4
risk (1) - 114:2	Second [8] - 9:20,	35:5, 36:1, 36:2,	27:22, 28:10, 28:14,	sign [10] - 36:21,
road [2] = 67:23,	25:12, 29:10, 30:24,	36:10, 36:15, 38:9,	28:21, 30:6, 31:1,	36:25, 37:3, 57:20,
157:24 roads (1) - 139:14	34:13, 34:18, 38:12,	45.3, 134.5, 137.15,	31:2, 31:5, 31:9,	66:20, 116:4,
rookie (1) - 159, 14	40:16	137:16, 151:17,	31:13, 31:18, 31:23,	157:11, 157;14,
room [1] - 133:3	second [11] - 7:6,	181:10	31:24, 32:25, 34:6,	157:20, 158:3
!	49:6, 51:19, 66:17,	sentence [7] - 42:14,	34:10, 40:23, 40:24,	signature [2] - 35:19,
routine [1] - 151:11	77:19, 90:23, 125:5,	46:10, 46:13,	41:8, 41:12, 41:19,	78:4
routinely (1) - 145:23	165:1, 165:9,	155:19, 173:7,	41:21, 41:23, 42:13,	signatures [1] - 59:2
rude (1) - 39:17	174:13, 181:4	173:18, 174:6	43:23, 45:14, 46:4;	signed [31] - 29:23,
rule [1] - 113:24	secretary (1) - 38:14	sentenced [3] - 42:15,	46:5, 46:12, 53:2,	30:19, 42:6, 57:24,
ruled [1] - 163:24	section [1] - 54:17	65:11, 70:7	54:18, 56:1, 56:3,	62:19, 63:15, 63:16,
rules [5] - 20:4, 47:21,	see (52) - 5:8, 10:1,	sentences [2]	56:16, 64:14, 67:24,	63:18, 63:25, 66:14,
48:8, 113:21, 147:12	11:21, 35:9, 35:16,	155:23, 156:21	70:25, 71:25, 73:5,	74:1, 75:10, 77:19,
ruling (13) - 35:7,	50:25, 71:7, 86:9,	separate (4) - 116:3,	82:5, 86:6, 90:16,	80:4, 80:12, 136:14,
70:14, 159:16,	88:9, 88:14, 90:18,	116:4, 116:14	113:13, 113:15,	155:3, 155:16,
165.12, 166.5,	90:24, 91:19, 91:21,	September [2] -	113:24, 115.5,	155:18, 156:10,
166:6, 166:7,	92:22, 93:7, 100:19,	112:13, 113:4	115:6, 115:8,	156:14, 156:15,
166:15, 167:4,	101:11, 102:19,	sergeant [14] - 55:17,	125:19, 141:23,	157:1, 157:17,
167:20, 167:22, 176:22, 180:7	107:16, 111:21,	103:10, 103:11,	142:1, 144:20,	158:4, 158:5, 158:8,
run [4] - 38:2, 115:18,	120:9, 123:14,	106:9, 106:15,	149:13, 162:15,	158:25, 159:6,
157:13, 182:2	123:15, 123:16,	107:9, 133:23,	163:7, 170:13,	159:18, 183:20
run-of-the-miii (1) -	124:9, 124:10,	136:25, 137:24,	170:22, 177:9,	significant [1] - 165:3
182:2	124:23, 125:11,	144:10, 144:15,	177:13, 179:6,	signify [1] - 87:7
rush [1] - 154:17	128:7, 128:17,	144:18, 177:7,	179:10, 180:16,	signing [3] - 66:16,
reactiff 104.17	131:17, 131:25,	177:22	180:21, 180:24,	66:17, 66:18
	132:1, 135:21,	Sergeant [10] -	181:5, 181:7, 182:7,	similar [3] - 56:23,
	135:23, 137:12,	103:18, 107:1,	182:8 	95:2, 100:10

66 of 70 sheets

simply [3] - 164:15,	Spanish [1] - 96:2
165:3, 169:15	speaking [3] - 130:14,
single [7] - 6:20, 18:2,	133:3, 173:9
47:25, 48:2, 48:13,	speaks [2] - 65:11,
49:15, 162:19	65:13
sit (3) - 14:9, 18:2,	special [6] - 24:8,
173:10	54:22, 81:16,
sits[1] - 5:1	113:14, 113:15,
sitting [5] - 11:23,	114:8
33:13, 49:24, 64:1,	specialized [3] -
64:4	114:8, 116:16,
situation [7] - 26:6,	116:22
30:12, 69:16, 71:1,	specials [1] - 22:24
71:2, 98:1, 183:3	specific (15) - 22:25,
situations [1] - 150:24	28:18, 55:3, 57:16,
six [1] - 144:16	70:11, 70:17, 76:11,
sieep [3] - 104:8,	86:25, 87:15, 87:18,
104:9, 127:19	156:3, 158:19,
sleeps [3] - 95:15,	174:6, 181:6
128:2, 129:6	specifically [19] -
slight [2] - 14:10,	29:21, 40:2, 66:1,
154:2	76:11, 86:24,
social (3) - 62:1,	104:11, 128:2,
143:4, 154:10	142:3, 144:21,
society (1) - 46:13	147:6, 151:22,
solely [1] - 73:2	152:22, 161:18,
solemniy [3] - 38:22,	162:13, 164:10,
53:19, 143:21	164:21, 174:17,
someone [12] - 28:4,	175:24, 177:15
36:23, 48:9, 64:12,	specificity [1] - 177:20
84:8, 123:5, 157:12,	specifics [4] - 62:23,
158:1, 173:10,	160:20, 165:5,
182:13, 182:24,	180:20
184:3	specify [1] - 28:20
sometimes (3) -	speculating [1] -
20:20, 20:23, 49:4	14:13
somewhere [4] -	speculation [2] -
12:11, 22:5, 34:15,	14:16, 169:19
87:17	spell [2] - 53:23,
soon (2) - 36:23,	143:25
38:19	spent [1] - 71:6
sorry [17] - 4:23, 9:10,	spoken [1] - 48:13
13:5, 26:12, 30:16,	spot [2] - 86:19, 86:25
33:6, 35:15, 47:9,	sprung [1] - 182:21
49:25, 88:2, 112:3,	square [1] - 25:5
118:8, 126:25,	stable [3] - 83:11,
132:24, 165:8,	118:25, 119:1
169:8, 170:5	stack [2] - 35:3, 36:2
sort [11] - 64:17,	staff (3) - 23:17,
158:15, 160:23,	23:18, 39:11
165:21, 170:13,	stamp [2] - 6:10,
173:15, 173:23,	138:1
174:2, 175:25, 176:19, 176:20	stamps [9] - 6:7, 6:13, 6:23, 7 :2, 10:2,
sound [1] - 157:24	10:16, 11:23, 14:14,
sounds [6] - 30:20,	14:20
31:22, 31:25, 37:12,	stand [10] - 20:2, 26:9,
37:13, 173:19	49:1, 49:9, 63:10,
sources (1) - 171:20	75:1, 75:4, 87:2,
spah [1] - 72:18	154:24, 176:9

standard [6] - 64:9, 65:2, 65:7, 68:4, 68:6 standing [4] - 38:20, 48:25, 49:3, 53:14 start [12] - 4:9, 22:3, 22;4, 26:18, 38:5, 38:15, 38:16, 77:4, 153:18, 153:19, 154:2, 154:23 started [22] - 33:12, 39:5, 39:6, 44:23, 45:21, 50:13, 51:4, 72:9, 93:6, 110:17, 110:18, 110:19, 111:2, 111:24, 111:25, 116:23, 118:2, 120:4, 137:12, 137:13, 137:18, 177:10 starting [6] - 21:19, 44:15, 60:20, 62:16, 159:22, 174:5 starts [1] - 46:2 State [36] - 5:18, 12:7, 13:19, 16:18, 27:16, 27:24, 28:11, 29:14, 29:16, 40:8, 40:14, 40:17, 40:19, 40:20, 41:3, 41:6, 42:10, 45:20, 45:24, 46:9, 51:12, 63:13, 67:9, 67:22, 76:5, 78:17, 79:23, 85:8, 89:25, 94:19, 143:14, 166:3, 168:22, 170:9, 171:10, 182:12 state [9] - 53:23, 55:20, 63:4, 66:4, 67:10, 81:3, 109:20, 143:25, 175:25 state's [2] - 97:13, 100:6 State's [60] - 5:16, 16:14, 34:22, 53:11, 58:16, 60:14, 60:21, 63:9, 63:21, 64:3, 69:23, 75:9, 77:4, 77:14, 78:2, 78:9, 78:17, 78:22, 79:4, 79:10, 80:3, 80:7, 81:21, 81:24, 84:19, 85:9, 85:11, 85:13, 88:5, 90:2, 90:3, 90:8, 94:6, 94:19, 94:22, 97:1, 97:11, 97:14, 99:16, 100:3, 100:7, 101:18, 102:5, 102:7, 102:8,

128:14, 128:18, 128:19, 132:1, 140:16, 141:21, 153:20, 155:1, 155:2, 155:14, 164:9, 164:11, 165:2, 174:3, 181:1 statement (21) - 27:2, 31;7, 34:9, 50:25, 53:3, 76:12, 76:14, 83:23, 127:10, 136:9, 161:15, 161:21, 162:7, 162:21, 163:13, 163:14, 164:15, 165:8, 175:8, 175:13, 183:19 statements [5] -52:20, 75:8, 148:20, 154:22, 166:22 states [1] - 149:15 stating (2) - 57:2, 149:13 status [3] - 62:24, 90:19, 136:12 statute [9] - 28:1, 28:4, 29:19, 29:20, 30:9, 41:4, 155:21, 162:18 statutes [2] - 163:6 stay [7] - 13:8, 13:12, 35:7, 47:7, 47:17, 49:4, 92:16 staying [5] - 13:7, 13:14, 48:21, 91:7, 119:7 step[4] - 19:4, 20:10, 46:1, 62:7 Stephen [1] - 40:17 stereotypes [1] - 12:9 Steve |42] - 36:13, 40:14, 40:20, 41:11, 42:12, 46:3, 46:5, 46:20, 46:23, 47:6, 47:16, 47:20, 48:2, 48:3, 48:7, 49:12, 49:19, 49:21, 50:6, 50:8, 50:12, 50:21, 51:3, 51:5, 52:4, 52:10, 59:4, 60:22, 79:20, 82:7, 84:23, 85:4, 89:18, 94:10, 97:9, 99:21, 101:22, 107:10, 123:23, 136:5 Steven (3) - 42:5, 56:10, 136:14 stick[1] - 49:19 still [23] - 22:6, 25:12, 31:17, 34:9, 48:7,

77:22, 95:7, 95:21, 103:12, 105:23, 108:9, 116:25, 133:15, 155:19, 156:24, 157:18, 160:2, 169:3, 169:5, 170:11, 176:12, 184:21 stipulated [12] - 26:6, 26:15, 26:20, 27:13, 27:22, 31:11, 31:15, 52:25, 64:13, 72:2, 72:23 stipulating [1] - 24:14 stipulation (13) -24:17, 24:22, 24:25, 25:1, 25:4, 25:11, 26:2, 27:20, 28:9, 28:12, 28:13, 28:18, 29:7 stock [2] - 22:17, 22:23 stood [1] - 27:10 stop [3] - 77:13, 103:13, 107:15 stopped [1] - 43:19 straight [1] - 69:13 strategic [1] - 184:17 street [6] - 6:25, 11:24, 12:12, 48:22, 92:23 streets (5) - 87:19, 91:5, 100:20, 139:11, 157:13 stretch (1) - 182:5 stricken (1) - 166:9 strongly [1] - 6:14 stuff [6] - 26:19, 113:25, 158:2, 170:16, 171:11, 182:16 subject [12] - 57:13, 57:14, 61:22, 62:2, 68:1, 139:11, 139:12, 142:25, 143:5, 154:5, 154:11, 179:11 submit (7) - 8:15, 27:8, 41:15, 71:19, 174:11, 175:19, 176:15 submitted [9] - 51:16, 51:18, 51:19, 51:21, 62:4, 119:21, 128:15, 143:7, 154:12 submitting [3] - 57:19, 121:10, 125:22 subordinate [1] -162:20

	\$50.5 150.24	supervisions [1] -	166:11, 169:22,	themselves (2) -
subsequent [1] - 101:8	159:5, 159:24, 161:10, 161:20,	81:4	173:12	52:20, 151:3
subsequently [1] -	163:9	supervisor [6] - 19:17,	terminology [1] -	theories [2] - 15:14,
28:5	supervision [145] -	114:18, 132:23,	156:18	140:15
substance [1] -	12:19, 15:15, 18:12,	132:24, 148:9	terms (21) - 18:12,	theory [14] - 7:25, 9:1,
176:25	24:16, 25:16, 27:23,	supervisor's [1] -	36:6, 37:1, 45:12,	9:2, 12:10, 12:13,
substances [2] - 81:1,	28:5, 28:11, 28:15,	103:9	71:23, 73:15, 78:23,	16:18, 16:19, 18:6,
102:23	28:20, 29:22, 30:5,	supplement [3] -	140:19, 155:20,	18:7, 18:16, 30:2,
substantial [1] - 30:12	31:6, 31:10, 31:12,	161:8, 161:23, 162:3	156:21, 157:21,	141:22, 176:4,
substantially [4] -	31:14, 31:19, 31:25,	supposed [6] - 55:12,	159:6, 159:9,	176:12
11:6, 11:9, 14:11,	32:25, 34:7, 40:22,	63:11, 67:11,	159:15, 169:20,	therapist [2] - 43:22,
70:23	41:7, 41:11, 41:13,	177:15, 178:6	169:22, 173:1,	173:9
substantive [1] - 30:7	42:15, 42:17, 43:8,	supreme (1) - 61:15	176:5, 176:23,	therapists [1] - 171:1
substantively [1] -	44:16, 45:13, 46:3,	surprised [2] - 124:6,	179:6, 183:21	therapy [3] - 165:24,
135;2	46:6, 46:7, 46:9,	124:7	territory [1] - 171:9	171:17, 173:6
successful (1) - 92:24	46:11, 46:21, 46:22,	surveillance [2] -	test (3) - 102:23,	therefore (1) ~ 40:8
suffice [3] - 115:15,	46:24, 47:23, 48:1,	93:6, 139:13	105:3, 132:10	they've [2] - 65:12,
128:21, 149:7	48:18, 49:8, 49:12,	surveyed [1] - 93:6	testified [17] - 110:6,	65:17
sufficient [4] - 31:18,	49:14, 49:18, 51:6,	suspected [1] -	110:10, 122:11,	third [7] - 37:3, 49:11,
73:14, 181:20,	53:1, 56:4, 56:19,	122:25	131:2, 132:8, 134:3,	66:18, 78:5, 98:23,
182:19	56:21, 56:22, 57:9,	sustain [2] - 149:4,	134:20, 136:20,	105:8, 115:17
sugarcoating [1] -	57:10, 57:12, 61:3,	164:24	138:9, 138:13,	thoughts [1] - 179:21
179:12	63:19, 64:1, 65:11,	sustained (9) - 46:18,	141:25, 150:17,	thousand [2] - 113:24,
suggesting [5] - 71:6,	65:15, 65:17, 66:2,	50:4, 83:25, 109:5,	155:1, 174:15,	113:25
156:13, 168:16,	67:20, 67:25, 68:2,	110:1, 160:17,	174:17, 174:20	thousand-foot [1] -
168:20, 168:21	69:11, 69:12, 69:18,	174:2, 175:23,	testify [30] - 18:12,	113:24
suggestion [1]	69:20, 71:4, 72:1,	176:23	104:2, 113:3, 115:2,	three [23] - 37:4, 37:6,
168:23	73:6, 77:6, 78:13,	swear [5] - 38:20,	121:2, 126:1,	37:7, 42:1, 49:17,
superior [1] - 115:11	81:7, 81:14, 82:8,	38:22, 53:14, 53:19,	127:25, 160:16,	59:25, 60:3, 60:12,
supervise [10] - 52:8,	82:12, 87:1, 108:18,	143:21	165:15, 165:20,	66:3, 66:10, 66:11,
54:18, 55:12, 82:13,	110:17, 113:14,	switch [1] - 44:19	165:21, 165:23,	67:8, 67:9, 79:16,
97:25, 116:18,	113:22, 116:2,	synopsis [2] - 173:7,	166:10, 166:25,	79:19, 95:10, 130:8,
122:14, 148:13,	116:5, 116:7,	173:18	167:5, 167:6,	130:9, 144:19,
148:17, 163:3	116:12, 116:16,	system (3) - 67:10,	167:14, 167:24,	159:5, 161:17,
supervised [2] - 98:2,	117:4, 117:5, 117:7,	.120:25, 151:5	168:1, 168:8, 168:18, 169:13,	164:9, 173:21
116:15	117:17, 118:2,		169:24, 169:25,	three-page [1] - 95:10
supervising (23) -	118:7, 118:8,	1 T	170:3, 171:10,	throughout [2] -
8:17, 15:7, 15:13,	118:14, 118:24, 120:5, 121:6,		171:11, 172:6,	55:20, 154:21
41:17, 44:15, 44:23,	122:15, 130:6,	tabs [1] - 6:3	172:15, 177:8	throw (1) - 68:16
47:24, 52:3, 58:3,	140:17, 140:20,	talks [2] - 7:11, 67:1	testifying (8) - 19:14,	Thursday [1] - 131:18
85:20, 96:10, 96:11,	141:2, 141:4,	task [2] - 43:13, 54:16	19:25, 20:23, 21:1,	tie [3] - 56:11, 78:25,
97:19, 110:18,	141:17, 145:16,	tasks [1] - 43:12	21:16, 109:14,	145:5
110:20, 114:17,	145.17, 149:16,	technically [2] - 105:8,	109:17, 165:9	tier (4) - 66:3, 114:1
114:19, 118:12,	151:1, 155:17,	120:16	testimony (28) - 7:15,	timely [1] - 184:20
119:14, 121:16,	155:21, 155:22,	telephone (1) - 134:8	8:23, 22:5, 28:17,	timing [3] - 18:4,
123:10, 126:18,	156:19, 156:20,	television [3] - 62:1,	37:17, 53:20, 62:17,	18:21, 35:11
140:25	156:21, 156:25,	143:4, 154:9	62:21, 63:5, 64:18,	title [1] - 54:20 to-wit [1] - 41:14
Supervision [36] -	157:2, 157:3, 157:4,	ten [5] - 19:11, 38:5,	74:16, 75:10,	to-wit [1] - 41:14 today [27] - 7:13, 7:21,
30:18, 34:24, 35:18,	157:10, 157:11,	108:20, 142:22,	112:25, 127:22,	17:10, 19:14, 21:18,
36:4, 36:7, 36:18,	157:22, 160:13,	157:19	143:22, 160:1,	22:14, 24:7, 34:15,
36:22, 43:20, 57:23,	160:20, 161:13,	tenor (1) - 165:4	160:5, 160:11,	34:25, 39:5, 39:23,
58:20, 58:21, 59:1,	161:16, 164:13,	term [7] - 42:15,	165:13, 165:22,	40:1, 49:24, 51:10,
59:3, 60:22, 77:7,	173:25, 177:10,	46:21, 65:16,	168:5, 168:13,	56:5, 66:6, 72:10,
77:20, 77:21, 78:3,	177:12, 178:16,	155:22, 156:1,	171:22, 176:6,	110:11, 111:22,
78:8, 79:19, 80:5,	179:7, 179:11,	159:13, 171:23	176:11, 177:3,	112:25, 124:20,
88:4, 104:21, 140:22, 145:11,	179:16, 179:19,	terminated (5) - 8:18,	179:13, 184:20	143:10, 153:13,
145:14, 145:19,	180:16, 180:22,	41:19, 44:11,	testing [1] - 119:24	153:17, 153:18,
147:12, 155:3,	180:25, 181:8,	141:22, 169:11	tests [1] - 44:24	154:21, 170:7
157:15, 157:20,	182:2, 182:7, 183:2,	termination [3] -	theirs (1) ~ 23:1	toed [1] - 129:16
101.101 1011201	184:3, 184:4			
·		<u>!</u>		<u> </u>

68 of 70 sheets

	together [3] - 23:15,	61:24, 62:3, 142:25,	57:10, 57:11, 58:1,	119:17	value [8] - 6:8, 6:22,
	164:25, 180:9	143:1, 143:2, 143:6,	58:2, 66:1, 74:12.	unilateral [1] - 33:16	6:24, 10:22, 11:3,
	tomorrow [16] - 21:14,	153:24, 154:6,	81:21, 84:12, 85:23,	uninterrupted [1] -	14:9, 14:10, 14:12
	39:24, 153:18,	154:7, 154:8,	96:20, 107:8, 160:6,	39:5	Van [1] - 123:23
	153:19, 153:21,	154:11, 159:23	160:15, 169:17,	unit [8] - 56:2, 56:3,	variation [1] - 116:21
	153:22, 163:17,	trickled [1] - 114:20	179:20, 180:2,	82:6, 113:15, 114:8,	variations [2] - 74:2,
	163:22, 164:6,	tried [12] - 4:17, 7:14,	182:25	115:9, 116:22.	159:5
	171:14, 172:12,	10:14, 12:1, 15:9,	types [2] - 13;23,	144:20	various [3] - 66:20,
	178:18, 180:5,	45:8, 50:14, 83:5,	87:24	Unit [1] - 54:12	80:4
	180:7, 184:7, 185:1	93;17, 111:13,	typical [1] - 74:1	unknown (1) - 42:4	Vegas [2] - 42:3,
	tonight [2] - 34:15,	124:14, 139:10	typically (3) - 64:18,	unlawfully (2) - 41:9,	139:15
	154:17	triggered [1] - 13:25	71:5, 173:8	41:22	VEGAS (1) - 4:1
	took (8) - 30:17,	true [12] - 38:23, 59:6,	typo (4) - 131:11,	uniess [6] - 20:20,	verbal [i) - 134:8
	103:8, 132:21,	59:17, 65:18, 78:25,	131:14, 131:16,	20:21, 133:16,	verbally [1] - 105:6
	132;23, 138:10,	79:25, 85:5, 94:12,	132:5	168:10, 174:16,	verdict [4] - 29:15,
	154:21, 160:10,	97:4, 99:25, 101:23,	102.0	176:8	30:10, 38:23, 45:16
	176:9	134:16	Ū	unsuccessful [1] -	verification [1] - 136:4
	top [6] - 59:5, 68:18,	truly [1] - 38:23	,	125:8	verified [1] - 62;13
	102:18, 135:23,	truth [6] - 53:20,		up [54] - 4:10, 7:19,	verify (2) - 5:5, 16:4
	181:13	53:21, 143:22,	UA (9) - 8:15, 15:3,	8:3, 9:15, 17:10,	version (11) - 19:6,
	topics (1) - 23:5	143:23	102:22, 103:6,	22:23, 23:3, 24:7,	32:20, 32:21, 33:1,
	total [1] - 37:4	try [11] - 38:23, 82:20,	103:12, 105:1,	25;9, 26:10, 26:18,	33:4, 33:10, 33:21,
	louched (2) - 140:14,	83:3, 92:15, 92:22,	150:8, 150:11,	27:3, 27:10, 29:15,	33:22, 34:14, 34:16,
	177:13	111:11, 122:16,	150:13	30:6, 43:17, 45:2,	159:24
	towards [1] - 6:17	124:23, 139:20,	UAs [1] - 104:8	45:6, 49:15, 51:17,	versions [1] - 80:4
	track (1) - 106:4	154:19, 167:22	UCC [1] - 136:8	66:6, 68:19, 70:12,	versus (4) - 40:14,
	tracking (1) - 10:21	trying (21) - 7:1, 11:16,	uitimate [1] - 159:3	73:22, 76:4, 79:1,	64:19, 116:16,
	trained [1] - 96:19	12:7, 12:13, 13:19,	ultimateiy [9] - 18:11,	82:19, 93:6, 93:12,	116:17
	training [24] - 54:23,	13:21, 14:1, 17:19,	70:18, 158:11,	98:20, 98:22, 98:25,	via [1] - 157:3
	55:3, 55:4, 55:9,	35:12, 46:5, 47:2,	161:1, 164:19,	104:12, 105:7,	Vilchez [2] - 5:3, 5:4
	112:17, 112:18,	66:22, 83:22, 95:14,	170:6, 174:24,	106:9, 115:10,	violate [5] - 41:10,
	112:21, 113:1,	96:3, 105:22,	175:6, 183:19	115:23, 118:18,	57:12, 116:10,
	113:6, 113:17,	153:16, 158:11,	unable [4] - 122:18,	121:10, 121:12,	116:11, 141:20
ĺ	113:20, 113:21,	179:3, 181:6	122:20, 122:23,	124:10, 125:16,	violated (8) - 45:12,
	114:7, 114:8,	TUESDAY [1] - 4:2	152:23	127:24, 129:3,	50:19, 51:13, 57:14,
	114:11, 114:15,	Tuesday (1) - 16:7	unaware [1] - 106:10	129:4, 129:11,	120:10, 120:13,
	114:24, 115:18,	tune [1] - 10:23	under (19) - 25:16,	147:21, 147:22,	120:24, 121:3
	116:16, 170:11,	turn [6] - 12:7, 13:19,	35:17, 35:19, 36:8,	148:22, 167:23,	violates [2] - 29:22,
	170:13, 170:23,	17:1, 55:21, 127:21,	36:11, 36:14, 37:12,	168:11, 177:7	141:15
	171:1, 172:9	144:21	53:1, 70:21, 121:6,	upheld (1) - 183:8	violating [3] ~ 101:6,
	transcript [2] - 16:20,	twice [1] - 98:22	140:21, 145:15,	upper (1) - 102:18	118:14, 141:4
	138:8	two [29] - 5:20, 8:20,	145:18, 159:25,	ups [3] - 47:4, 47:5,	violation [26] - 6:20,
	transfer [1] - 98:3	8:21, 9:23, 15:23,	161:25, 178:17, 179:16, 179:22,	176:19	8:15, 9:3, 40:22,
	transferred [2] -	17:4, 22:20, 35:21,	184:5	upstairs [2] - 38:14,	41:7, 48:1, 48:18,
	115:8, 129:24	35;23, 36;17, 51:21,	underlying [6] - 65:12,	103:8	49:7, 49:11, 49:13,
	transient (7) - 6:10,	52:24, 54:15,	65:14, 71:21, 73:3,	urinalysis [6] - 41:15,	49:15, 49:18, 50:22,
	6:12, 6:25, 13:17,	112:22, 112:23,	73;4, 76:5	102:23, 105:3,	51:1, 57:15, 101:4,
	13:18, 49:10, 51:8	113:6, 126:12,	underpinning (1) -	147:3, 147:5	101:6, 116:9,
	transportation [1] -	128:9, 128:16,	169:21	urinary (6) - 119:21,	118:13, 120:22,
	86:4	128:21, 139:17,	underpinnings [1] -	132:9, 141:7,	141:3, 141:12,
	trave[[1] - 81:3	141:14, 141:19,	170:4	141:13, 141:15,	141:13, 141:16,
	treating [1] - 168:17	161:11, 164:8,	understood [4] -	150:11 urine իլ - 57:19	180:16, 182:7
	treatment [10] - 43:23,	165:16, 173:7,	13:10, 116:14,		violations [8] - 28:7,
	44:1, 166:25,	173:18	169:9, 178:1	Utah [1] - 139:16	50:16, 72:10, 72:13,
	167:24, 168:9,	two-sentence [2] -	unemployed [1] -		109:10, 130:6,
-	168:18, 168:19,	173:7, 173:18	175:12	V	141:14, 141:19
	168:20, 169:11	twofer [1] - 141:19	unemployment [8] -	· ·	visit (2) - 17:11, 106:6
	169:12	type (25) - 11:5, 11:16, 54:16, 54:17, 54:22,	6:6, 6:9, 6:12, 6:23,	valid [2] - 156:25,	visits (3) - 7:15,
	(rial (17) - 8:1, 26:25,	54:16, 54:17, 54:22, 54:23, 55:4, 56:23,	7:2, 10:3, 10:17,	157:6	121:24, 139:4
	40:1, 61:22, 61:23,	57,20, 00,7, 00.20,			voice (1) - 122:22
- 1		i	į.	i	1

voir [3] - 6:18, 27:10, 46:4

W

waited [1] - 138:9 waiting (3) - 33:11, 33:13, 184:14 waive [2] - 29:4, 29:6 wałk [3] - 85:23, 103:2, 158:3 walked [1] - 133:25 walking [1] - 158:4 wants (2) - 49:23, 81:17 warrant [3] - 147:15, 149:25, 151:10 warranted [1] - 65:22 warrants [2] - 63:5, 71:10 waste [1] - 182:12 watch [4] - 61:22, 114:19, 142:25, 154:6 watched [1] - 55:11 ways [1] - 116:14 weapons [2] - 81:1, 81:9 wearing [4] - 56:9, 56:10, 145:3, 145:4 Wednesday [1] -21:17 week [14] - 7:7, 7:9, 7:20, 9:5, 9:14, 15:10, 15:17, 16:8, 17:1, 17:6, 17:12, 106:3, 106:5 weekly [4] - 7:12, 7:15, 106:1, 146:13 weeks (3) - 15:23, 68:21, 157:13 weigh [1] - 37:17 whatsoever [3] -10:19, 39:14, 148:18 wherein [1] - 133:9 White [5] - 38:1, 96:12, 97:18, 97:19, 129:23 White-out [1] - 38:1 whole [11] - 10:25, 25:19, 35:3, 36:2, 53:21, 61:2, 77:7, 82:3, 95:23, 143:23, 175:1 willfully [2] - 41:9, 41:22 wind [1] - 45:10 wink [2] - 31:25, 32:1

wit [1] - 41:14 withdraw [1] - 114:4 witness [54] - 19:7, 20:6, 20:9, 20:22, 21:15, 22:9, 24:18, 24:24, 25:6, 25:9, 25:24, 27:19, 28:17, 52:14, 53:9, 53:11, 56:12, 57:2, 58:9, 58:12, 60:5, 62:6, 62:8, 62:16, 63:8, 64:3, 66:13, 74:3, 74:21, 75:1, 76:12, 76:21, 78:24, 84:15, 89:6, 94:1, 96:14, 99:11, 101:13, 104:1, 111:14, 142:16, 142:17. 143:15, 153:9, 153:20, 167:5, 167:9, 168:3, 168:9, 168:17, 171:22, 181:22, 183:23 WITNESS (14) - 53:22, 53:25, 75:6, 75:16, 75:20, 75:24, 80:2, 104:6, 106:15, 120:24, 139:10, 143:24, 144:2, 153:11 Witness (2) - 75:4, 142:20 witness' [1] - 120:15 witness's [1] - 160:21 witnesses [5] - 19:16, 53:6, 63:9, 153:13,

181:2

42:5

182:5

166:16

126:25

Wolfson [2] - 40:18,

word [6] - 22:2, 48:13,

wording [2] - 31:21.

words [5] - 12:14,

works [3] - 16:11,

44:13, 61:2

worried [1] - 6:3

worse [1] - 44:22

worst [2] - 66:2, 66:3

wrap [2] - 23:25, 24:7

writing [2] - 137:13,

written [5] - 15:6,

wrote [2] - 122:19,

67:17, 91:11, 140:2,

137:18

159:25

132:2

36:8, 50:11, 103:7,

76:5, 91:18, 132:13,

Wyoming [13] - 42:3, 91:5, 93:5, 93:12, 95:6, 98:4, 100:14, 108:5, 108:7, 139:12, 139:13, 139:15, 140:7

Υ

year [3] - 28:1, 46:2, 72:11 years [11] - 48:15, 51:5, 54:15, 55:18, 108:20, 118:6, 119:4, 119:6, 144:14, 144:16, 144:19 vesterday [22] - 4:16, 5:11, 5:16, 20:7, 24:19, 25:25, 26:23, 27:4, 27:9, 27:18, 31:3, 33:17, 39:9, 39:25, 40:3, 52:18, 68:20, 68:24, 72:9, 142:13, 153:16, 173:1 young [1] - 5:4 yourself [3] - 117:19, 118:10, 142:5 yourselves [3] -61:21, 142:24, 154:4

Z

Z-a-n-a [1] - 144:2
Zana [23] - 19:14,
20:8, 103:14,
103:18, 104:2,
106:9, 106:15,
106:18, 107:1,
107:9, 115:21,
132:23, 132:24,
133:2, 133:23,
143:16, 144:2,
144:9, 150:7,
153:10, 177:7, 184:2
ZANA [1] - 143:19
Zana's [3] - 104:16,
132:22, 184:20

207

wise [1] - 63:25

70 of 70 sheets

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	STEVE DELL MCNEILL,) No. 66697
4	Appellant,
5) V.)
6	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
8	Respondent.)
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME II PAGES 243-398
10	
11	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT
14	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Novada 80701 4717
15	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16	Counsel for Respondent
17	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
19	Supreme Court on the day of, 2015. Electronic Service of the
20	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
21	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO SHARON G. DICKINSON STEVEN S. OWENS HOWARD S. BROOKS
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	STEVE DELL MCNEILL
25	NDOC # 84046 c/o HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON
26	P.O. Box 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89018
27	
28	BYEmployee, Clark County Public Defender's Office

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

1	IN THE SUPREME C	OURIO	r ine Stati	OF NEVADA
2				-
3	STEVE DELL MCNEILL,)	No. 66697	
4	Appellant,)		Electronically Filed Apr 30 2015 09:16 a.m.
5	V.)		Apr 30 2015 09:16 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court
6)		Clerk of Supreme Court
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
8	Respondent.)		
9	APPELLANT'S APP	——) PENDIX V	OLUME II P.	AGES 243-398
10	MI DISTRICT			
11	PHILIP J. KOHN		STEVEN B.	
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street		200 Lewis A	District Attorney venue, 3 rd Floor
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		Las Vegas, N	
14	Attorney for Appellant		ADAM LAX Attorney Ger 100 North Ca	
15			Carson City,	nson Street Nevada 89701-4717 38
16				
17			Counsel for F	Respondent
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

INDEX STEVE DELL McNEILL Case No. 66697

PAGE NO. Amended Jury List filed 07/09/14.....127b Bench Memorandum in Supporet of Defense Proposed Jury Instructions #7-14 filed 07/09/2014 Defendant's Exhibits (List)637 Judgment of Conviction filed 09/18/2014...... 190-191 Jury List filed 07/07/14......127a Jury List Dated 07/07/14609 List of Exhibits Dated 07/07/14......610 Motion for Arrest of Judgment Pursuant to NRS 176.525 or, in the Alternative, Motion for Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Arrest of Judgment Pursuant to NRS 176.525 or, in the Alternative, Motion for Judgment of Acquittal [sic] Pursuant to NRS 175.381 filed 08/08/2014

1	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 06/30/2014
2	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing filed 06/06/2014
3	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/02/2014
4	Second Amended Information filed 07/09/2014
5	States' Exhibit 2 dated 07/08/14611
6	State's Exhibit 3 dated 07/08/14612
7	State's Exhibit 4 dated 07/08/14
8	State's Exhibit 5 dated 07/08/14
9	State's Exhibit 6 dated 07/08/14
10	State's Exhibit 7 dated 07/08/14
11	State's Exhibit 8 dated 07/08/14
12	State's Exhibit 9 dated 07/08/14
13	State's Exhibit 10 dated 07/08/14
14	State's Exhibit 11 dated 07/09/14636
15 16	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Arrest of Judgment Pursuant to NRS 176.525 or, in the Alternative, Motion for Judgment of Acquittal [sic] Pursuant to NRS 175.381 filed 07/29/2014
17	State's Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery filed 06/27/2014 102-110
18	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 06/02/2014 094-095
19	Verdict filed 07/09/2014169
20	
21	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
22	Reporter's Transcript
23	Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 07/07/2014
24	Reporter's Transcript
25	Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 07/08/2014
26	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings
27	Jury Trial—Day Three Date of Hrg: 07/09/2014
28	

2	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 05/07/2014
3	Reporter's Transcript, Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 06/30/2014
5	Reporter's Transcript, Motion
6	Date of Hrg: 07/30/2014
7 8	Reporter's Transcript, Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 07/07/14
9	Reporter's Transcript,
10	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 09/10/2014
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24 25	
25 26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 12/29/2014 09:54:27 AM

Ì	12/29/2014 09:54:27 AW
1	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY NEVADA
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA CLERK OF THE COURT
3	
4	
5	
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
7	Plaintiff,) Case No. C-14-297725-1
8 .	vs.) Dept No. XXV
9	STEVE DELL MCNEILL,
10	Defendant.)
11	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE JENNIFER TOGLIATTI
15	JUNE 30, 2014, 9:00 A.M.
16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF
17	CALENDAR CALL; DEFENDANT'S MOTION
18	FOR DISCOVERY
19	
20	APPEARANCES:
21	(See separate page)
22	
23	
24	
25	REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHROEDER, CCR NO. 867
	1

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Plaintiff:
3	JOHN JONES, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
6	For the Defendant:
7	XIOMARA BONAVENTURE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street, Suite 226
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
9 10	NADIA HOJJAT, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street, Suite 226
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
12	;
1.3	i
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	2

1.5

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA MONDAY, JUNE 30, 2014, 9:00 A.M.

PROCEEDINGS

* * *

THE COURT: Okay. We discussed several things up at the bench on Mr. McNeill's matter, including but not limited to the trial schedule. My understanding is that both sides are calling ready; is that correct?

MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. JONES: That is correct, Your.

THE COURT: Okay. And so I went through and showed you the Judge's calendar, and so you said you would be ready to go on Monday picking a jury at 1:00 p.m.

Then the Department is advising me that you would be dark in the trial on Tuesday, not because she is not doing anything but because she has a full docket that day. And then you could start 10:30 on Wednesday.

And then for the record, how many witnesses is it for the State?

MR. JONES: Your Honor, for the record, three to four witnesses and one to two days.

THE COURT: And the defense told me up at the bench that you concurred in that estimate. You may have more witnesses but that you concurred it might be able to

. 3

be done in two days. 1. MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: But if it wasn't done then I have 3 advised the parties that the next available date for 4 trial would be July 15th at 10:30. So the understanding 5 is maybe you will finish on Wednesday, but if you don't 6 when you are picking a jury you have to keep in mind the schedule, which is a Monday, Wednesday, Tuesday trial. 8 So everybody is in agreement with that and we 9 know that going in, right? 1.0 MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: State, right? 1.2 MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. 1.3 THE COURT: Okay. And you said three to four 1.4 witnesses, one to two days. Any out of state? 1.5 MR. JONES: I show no out of state. 16 THE COURT: How about for you, any out-of-state 17 witnesses? 1.8 MS. BONAVENTURE: No, Your Honor. 1.9 THE COURT: Do you have witnesses? 20 MS. BONAVENTURE: At this point, Your Honor, 21 that is unknown. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So the trial is set. Let's 23 go then to Defendant's Motion for Discovery. I would 24 like to go to the last page, starting with Number 1, any 25

and all written sworn statements made by agents in the police department, including but not limited to the P and P file.

State, your response to this was that you -MR. JONES: We have disclosed everything that
should be disclosed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that you have requested it from McNeill or that you already got it? It says that you requested it from McNeill on page 6 of your response.

MR. JONES: I am going to submit on the written opposition with respect to that question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you get it yet?

MS. BONAVENTURE: Your Honor, we did get a substantial amount of discovery based on Number 1. I did have one issue, Your Honor, based on facts that have come to light. Apparently there was an arrest -- or two arrests which were in July of 2013 and October of 2013, which I believe had to do with violations of his lifetime supervision.

What we have been trying to do, Your Honor, is get violation reports that went along with those violations as they will have bearing on the case and is relevant to our defense.

We attempted to get those files, the court files, through the Clerk's Office and we were told that

we had to get an order from the Court releasing those documents for us to acquire it and it was never turned over by the State, Your Honor.

1.1

THE COURT: So in this case you have violation reports from July and October of 2013 pending in the case that is the subject of the trial, the one that he's on probation for or should have been on lifetime supervision for. I mean, that's what I am trying to understand what it is you want the Court to give you.

MS. BONAVENTURE: I would like the Court to grant me an order releasing the documents that were filed in that case by either the State or the P and P officer that went to very similar charges that are very reminiscent in this case that have to do with violations of his lifetime supervision.

THE COURT: Okay. So let's just go back to the request. The request says give us McNeill's file. The answer says, the State's response, copy of all relevant information as legally required to the extent any exist contained in the P and P file for Mr. McNeill has been requested and will be provided once received.

So you are telling me that what was provided from Mr. McNeill's file did not include those things; is that what you are saying?

MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes.

THE COURT: And you believe that the Court has 1 them on the left side of the file? 2 MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm sort of standing 5 here with my hands tied because I was not aware of that 6 particular request. I am covering this matter for 7 Jonathan Cooper. 8 THE COURT: Don't take this the wrong way, but I 9 don't know what your objection possibly is. 10 MR. JONES: I don't know what it would be 11 either, Your Honor, but I --12 THE COURT: I am going to let you know out of 13 courtesy, I hope I'm not cutting you short, but your 14 motion is granted. You need to submit an order that 15 requires the Clerk's Office to produce a copy of the left 16 side of the file of the subject case. Do you have a case 17 number for the record, not this case but the other case. 18 MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. There is two 19 case numbers. One is 13-F11219-X, the other is 20 13-F17150-X. 21 THE COURT: So are you saying that both of these 22 cases were bound over to district court? 23 MS. BONAVENTURE: No, Your Honor. I am saying 24 that these were filed and nothing ever came from them. 25

But they are violation reports that I believe were filed in order to even get them to that place that has information that would be relevant to our case that was not turned over.

THE COURT: Okay. I can order production by the Clerk's Office anything on the left side file of the district court case. I have no power to get these documents in a justice court case that was never bound over, so I'm kind of confused.

If you have a pending district court case where there was a violation the Court prepared and it's on the left-hand side of the file with the PSI and everything else, I can get you that. If, however, you have documents that you believe to be out of a justice court case you are in the wrong court. I have no ability to order discovery there. I don't even know what they have.

But, I mean, if it's a violation report prepared by P and P it should be in some district court case, no?

MS. BONAVENTURE: Not that I know of, Your
Honor. It was never turned over and there has never been
any other violation in this defendant's record.

THE COURT: My Clerk's Office told you need a court order -- I mean you're in the wrong Clerk's Office, Number 1; you have to go to justice court.

Even if I give you an order you are still going

to have to go to the Justice Court's Clerk's Office. We're not the keeper of the justice court record.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, just to clarify the record, that was what we were requesting. We were requesting an order to serve on the Justice Court Clerk's Office.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. HOJJAT: It's not a district court violation of --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: -- lifetime supervision so the case is filed in the justice court, discovery that was turned over to the justice court. The cases were just never pursued. We believe there is exculpatory material in that discovery, that's why we are trying to get our hands on it.

THE COURT: I will sign an order for the Justice Court Clerk to provide any and all records on file for 13-F11219-X and 13-F17150-X.

Number 2, any and all lifetime supervision agreements signed by Mr. McNeill from 2007 and 2012.

State, your response was any and all lifetime supervision agreements have been requested and provided once received.

MR. JONES: And, Your Honor, I show those are

provided. That information has been previously provided. 1 MS. BONAVENTURE: And, Your Honor, upon a review 2 of my file we have the one from 2012 but we do not have 3 the one from 2007. THE COURT: Okay. Is it something that would 5 normally be kept? I mean this is one of those things 6 where it's not in Mr. McNeill's file and has nothing to 7 do with this particular case, or the underlying case that 8 is the subject of trial. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Begging the Court's pardon. Ιt 10 is specifically of November of 2007. 11 MS. BONAVENTURE: It does have to do 12 specifically with this case, Your Honor. It is the 13 lifetime agreement that was signed that resulted in his 14 responsibility and which was violated in this case. 15 MR. JONES: Your Honor, according to the copy I 16 have it says this information has been provided. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, can you show that to 18 her, please. 19 MR. JONES: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Can you make another copy? 21 MR. JONES: I will do that and give it to 22 defense as soon as this hearing is over, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So that will be produced in 24 court today. 25

Number 3, cease and desist letter. 1 MS. BONAVENTURE: We have it, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Number 4, letter from sex 3 offender counseling referred to by both Probation 4 Officers Ashley Mendez and Marsha Lee at preliminary 5 hearing. 6 MS. BONAVENTURE: We have one, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Standard State requirement if 8 there has been any inconsistent statements made to you or 9 any representative of your office that you have to 10 produce those and advise the defense of their existence. 1.1 MR. JONES: And I will submit on our response, 12 Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I am going to ask that you make a 14 record of that at the time of trial. You asked for it 15 and that the State's position is during pretrial 16 conferences they don't have to give you that information. 17 So that the inquiry can be made for the record, you 18 reserve that at the time of trial. 19 Next, any information on criminal history of any 20 material witnesses in the case. Is this more than 21 probation officers? 22 MS. BONAVENTURE: It is their probation officer, 23

THE COURT: You want me to --

Your Honor.

24

25

MS. BONAVENTURE: Your Honor, I just include that as standard language.

THE COURT: Okay. You understand in order to keep their peace officer certification they cannot be convicted of any crime. Post would not allow them to continue on their job at this point. And if they were to get a conviction at any time during the term of their employment there's audits, there's intermittent criminal background checks.

And so are you withdrawing that or do you want a ruling?

MS. BONAVENTURE: I want a ruling, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. It's denied as being an unreasonable burden and waste of taxpayer money.

Anything else?

MS. BONAVENTURE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So you did say you have a writ that you filed. I don't have a copy of it. The parties are asking me to set that on the 7th so it gets heard before the trial.

And then you said something else. Was there something else you wanted to discuss?

MS. BONAVENTURE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, you are Number 1 to go to trial on Monday, July 7th, at 1:00. There is a writ

pending that has just been filed that will be heard that morning and a couple of discovery issues will have to be addressed by the judge at that time. Good luck. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. (Proceedings were concluded.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF NEVADA) ss.
4	COUNTY OF CLARK
5	
6	I, BRENDA SCHROEDER, a certified court reporter
7	in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that
8	the foregoing and attached pages 1-16, inclusive,
9	comprise a true, and accurate transcript of the
10	proceedings reported by me in the matter of THE STATE OF
11 .	NEVADA, Plaintiff, versus STEVE DELL MCNEILL, Defendant,
12	Case No. C297725, on June 30, 2014.
13	
14	
15	
16	Dated this 28th day of December, 2014.
17	
18	/s/ Brenda Schroeder BRENDA SCHROEDER, CCR NO. 867
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Α	certification [1] - 12:4	department [1] - 5:2	11:6 history (1) - 11:20	L
Α	charges [1] - 6:13	Debarring (1)	HOJJAT [3] - 9:3, 9:8,	
	checks [1] - 12:9	design[i]	i i	language [1] - 12:2
.M [1] - 3:2	clarify [1] - 9:3	disclosed [2] - 5:5,	9:11	LAS [1] - 3:1
bility [1] - 8:15	CLARK (1) - 3:1	0,0	Honor [32] - 3:9, 3:21,	last [1] - 4:25
ole (i) - 3:25	Clerk [1] - 9:18	Discovery (1) - 4:24	4:2, 4:11, 4:13, 4:19,	Lee [1] - 11:5
cording [1] - 10:16	Clerk's [7] - 5:25,	discovery 同 - 5:14,	4:21, 5:6, 5:11, 5:13,	left [4] - 7:2, 7:16, 8:6,
quire (1) - 6:2	7:16, 8:6, 8:22, 8:23,	8:16, 9:12, 9:15,	5:15, 5:20, 6:3, 7:5,	8:12
idressed (1) - 13:3	9:1, 9:5	13:2	7:12, 7:19, 7:24,	left-hand [1] - 8:12
icresseu (i) - 10.0	concluded (1) - 13:5	discuss (1) - 12:22	8:20, 9:3, 9:25, 10:2,	legally (1] - 6:19
lvise [1] - 11:11	concurred [2] - 3:24,	discussed [1] - 3:5	10:13, 10:16, 10:23,	letter [2] - 11:1, 11:3
dvised [1] - 4:4		district [6] - 7:23, 8:7,	11:2, 11:7, 11:13,	lifetime [7] - 5:18, 6:7,
dvising [1] - 3:15	3:25	8:10, 8:18, 9:8	11:24, 12:1, 12:12,	6:15, 9:11, 9:20,
gents [1] - 5:1	conferences [1] -	docket [1] - 3:17	12:16, 12:23	
greement [2] - 4:9,	11:17	documents [4] - 6:2,	hope (1) - 7:14	9:22, 10:14
10:14	confused [1] - 8:9	.		light [1] - 5:16
greements (2) - 9:21,	contained [1] - 6:20	6:11, 8:8, 8:14		limited (2) - 3:7, 5:2
9:23	continue [1] - 12:6	done (2) - 4:1, 4:3		luck [1] - 13:3
llow [1] - 12:5	convicted [1] - 12:5	during (2) - 11:16,		
mount [1] - 5:14	conviction [1] - 12:7	12:7	include (2) - 6:23,	M
nswer [1] - 6:18	Cooper [1] - 7:8		12:1	<u> </u>
rrest (1) - 5:16	copy [6] - 6:18, 7:16,	E	including [2] - 3:6, 5:2	
	10:16, 10:21, 12:18		inconsistent [1] - 11:9	Marsha [1] - 11:5
rrests (1) - 5:17	correct [2] - 3:8, 3:10	0.40.742	information (6) - 6:19,	material [2] - 9:14,
Ashley [1] - 11:5		either (2) - 6:12, 7:12	8:3, 10:1, 10:17,	11:21
attempted [1] - 5:24	Counsel [1] - 10:18	employment [1] - 12:8	11:17, 11:20	matter [2] - 3:6, 7:7
audits (1] - 12:8	counseling[1] - 11:4	estimate (1) - 3:24	inquiry[1] - 11:18	McNeill [3] - 5:8, 5:9,
avaj(able [1] - 4:4	COUNTY (1) - 3:1	exculpatory [1] - 9:14	intermittent [1] - 12:8	9:21
aware [1] - 7:6	couple [1] - 13:2	exist(1) - 6:19		mcNeill (1] - 6:20
	COURT (35) - 3:5,	existence (1) - 11:11	issue [1] - 5:15	McNeill's [4] - 3:6,
В	3:11, 3:23, 4:3, 4:12,	extent [1] - 6:19	issues (1) - 13:2	MCNeill a [4] - 0.01
	4:14, 4:17, 4:20,	extense(i) - 0.10		6:17, 6:23, 10:7
	4:23, 5:7, 5:12, 6:4.	F	J	mean (4) - 6:8, 8:17,
background [1] - 12:9	6:16, 7:1, 7:4, 7:9,	<u> </u>		8:23, 10:6
based [2] - 5:14, 5:15	7:13, 7:22, 8:5, 8:22,			Mendez (1) - 11:5
bearing [1] - 5:22	9:7, 9:10, 9:17, 10:5,	facts [1] - 5:15	job [1] - 12:6	might (1) - 3:25
begging (1) - 10:10	10:18, 10:21, 10:24,	file (11) - 5:3, 6:17,	Jonathan [1] - 7:8	mind [1] - 4:7
bench [2] - 3:6, 3:24	11:3, 11:8, 11:14.	6:20, 6:23, 7:2, 7:17.	JONES [13] - 3:10,	MONDAY (1) - 3:2
BONAVENTURE [21] -		8:6, 8:12, 9:18, 10:3,	3:21, 4:13, 4:16, 5:5,	Monday [3] - 3:13, 4:8
BONAVENTONE [21]	11:25, 12:3, 12:13,		5:10, 7:5, 7:11, 9:25,	12:25
3:9, 4:2, 4:11, 4:19,	12:17, 12:24	10:7	10:16, 10:20, 10:22,	money (1) - 12:14
4:21, 5:13, 6:10,	Court's [2] - 9:1, 10:10	filed [6] - 6:11, 7:25,	11:12	morning [1] - 13:2
6:25, 7:3, 7:19, 7:24,		8:1, 9:12, 12:18,	judge [1] - 13:3	motion [1] - 7:15
8:19, 10:2, 10:12,	covering [1] - 7:7	13:1	Judge's [1] - 3:12	
11:2, 11:7, 11:23,	crime (1) - 12:5	files [2] - 5:24, 5:25	July (4) - 4:5, 5:17.	Motion (1) - 4:24
12:1, 12:12, 12:16,	criminal [2] - 11:20,	fine [1] - 9:7		p. 1
12:23, 13:4	12:8	fin(sh (1) - 4:6	6:5, 12:25	N
bound [2] - 7:23, 8:8	cutting [1] - 7:14	four [2] - 3:22, 4:14	JUNE [1] - 3:2	
burden (1) - 12:14		full [1] - 3:17-	jury 2 - 3:13, 4:7	7:45 9:00
	D	innio and	Justice [3] - 9:1, 9:5,	need [2] - 7:15, 8:22
С		- G	9:17	NEVADA (1) - 3:1
		.	justice [6] - 8:8, 8:14,	never (5) - 6:2, 8:8,
	dark [1] - 3:16		8:24, 9:2, 9:12, 9:13	8:20, 9:13
calendar [1] - 3:12	date [1] - 4:4	grant [1] - 6:11		next [2] - 4:4, 11:20
cannot [1] - 12:4	days [3] - 3:22, 4:1.	granted [1] - 7:15	K	normally [1] - 10:6
case [22] - 5:22, 6:4,		Granica in		nothing [2] - 7:25,
6:5, 6:12, 6:14, 7:13	4:15	LJ		10:7
7:18, 7:20, 8:3, 8:7,	D_1 = 1.1	Н	keep [2] - 4:7, 12:4	November (1) - 10:1
8:8, 8:10, 8:15, 8:1			keeper [1] - 9:2	Number (6) - 4:25,
8:8, 0:10, 0:10, 0:19	50,51,51	hand [1] - 8:12	kept[1] - 10:6	5:14, 8:24, 9:20,
9:11, 10:8, 10:13,	defendant's (1) - 8:21	hands [2] - 7:6, 9:15	kind _[1] - 8:9	11:3, 12:24
10:15, 11:21	defense (4) - 3:23,	heard [2] - 12:19, 13:		number [2] - 7:18,
cases [2] - 7:23, 9:13		hearing [2] - 10:23,		number (2) - 1, 10,
cease [1] - 11:1	denied [1] - 12:13	i hagringipi - 10023.	1	1

11:1	13:5	several [1] - 3:5	U
numbers (1) - 7:20	produce [2] - 7:16,	sex [1] - 11:3	
Implicate [i] - 1.20	11:11	short [1] - 7:14	
0	produced (1) - 10:24	show [3] - 4:16, 9:25,	underlying (1) - 10:8
	production [1] - 8:5	10:18	unknown [1] - 4:22
	provide [1] - 9:18	showed [1] - 3:12	unreasonable (1) -
objection [2] - 7:4,	provided (a) - 6:21,	side [4] - 7:2, 7:17,	12:14
7:10	6:22, 9:23, 10:1,	8:6, 8:12	up [2] - 3:6, 3:23
October [2] - 5:17, 6:5	10:17	sides (1) - 3:8	
offender [1] - 11:4	PSI (1) - 8:12	sian (1) - 9:17	V
office (1) - 11:10	pursued (1) - 9:14	signed [2] - 9:21,	
Office [7] - 5:25, 7:16,	pursuew (i) o. i i	10:14	VEGAS(1) - 3:1
8:6, 8:22, 8:23, 9:1,	R	similar [1] - 6:13	violated [1] - 10:15
9:6	<u>N</u>	soon [1] - 10:23	violation [7] - 5:21,
officer (3) - 6:12,		sort (1) - 7:5	6:4, 8:1, 8:11, 8:17,
11:23, 12:4	ready [2] - 3:8, 3:13	specifically (2) -	
Officers [1] - 11:5	received [2] - 6:21,	10:11, 10:13	8:21, 9:8
officers [1] - 11:22	9:24	standard [2] - 11:8,	violations [3] - 5:18,
once [2] - 6:21, 9:24	record [8] - 3:19, 3:21,	12:2	5:22, 6:14
one [9] - 3:22, 4:15,	7:18, 8:21, 9:2, 9:4,	standing (1) - 7:5	LAT
5:15, 6:6, 7:20, 10:3,	11:15, 11:18	stanting (1) - 7.5	W
10:4, 10:6, 11:7	records (1) - 9:18	starting [1] - 4:25	
opposition [1] - 5:11	referred (1) - 11:4		waste (1) - 12:14
opposition (i) - 5.11 order (ii) - 6:1, 6:11,	releasing [2] - 6:1,	State [5] - 3:20, 5:4,	Wednesday [3] - 3:18,
7:15, 8:2, 8:5, 8:16,	6:11	6:3, 6:12, 11:8	4:6, 4:8
	rejevant [3] - 5:23,	state [5] - 4:12, 4:15,	withdrawing [1] -
8:23, 8:25, 9:5, 9:17, 12:3	6:18, 8:3	4:16, 4:17, 9:22	12:10
1 ' '	reminiscent [1] -	State's 2 - 6:18,	witnesses [7] - 3:19,
out-of-state [1] - 4:17	6:14	11:16	3:22, 3:25, 4:15,
	report [1] - 8:17	statements [2] - 5:1,	4:18, 4:20, 11:21
P	reports [3] - 5:21, 6:5,	11:9	writ[2] - 12:17, 12:25
	8:1	stiil [1] - 8:25	written[2] - 5:1, 5:10
p.m [1] - 3:14	representative [1] -	subject [3] - 6:6, 7:17,	Willtelife] - 0.11, 0.15
page [2] - 4:25, 5:9	1 -	10:9	
pardon [1] - 10:10	11:10	submit [3] - 5:10,	
particular (2) - 7:7,	request [3] - 6:17, 7:7	7:15, 11:12	
10:8	requested [4] - 5:7,	substantial [1] - 5:14	
parties [2] - 4:4, 12:18	5:9, 6:21, 9:23	supervision [6] - 5:19,	
peace (1) - 12:4	requesting [2] - 9:4,	6:7, 6:15, 9:11, 9:20,	
peace (1) - 12.4 pending (3) - 6:5,	9:5	9:23	
8:10, 13:1	required [1] - 6:19	sworn [1] - 5:1	
picking [2] - 3:13, 4:7	requirement [1] - 11:8		-
	requires [1] - 7:16	T	
place (1) - 8:2	reserve [1] - 11:19		7
point [2] - 4:21, 12:6	respect (1) - 5:11	temporary 12:14	
police [1] ~ 5:2	response [5] - 5:4,	taxpayer[1] - 12:14	
position [1] - 11:16	5:9, 6:18, 9:22,	term (1) - 12:7	
possibly[1] - 7:10	11:12	three (2) - 3:21, 4:14	
post (1) - 12:5	responsibility (1) -	tied [1] - 7:6	
power [1] - 8:7	10:15	today [1] - 10:25	
preliminary (1) - 11:5	resulted [1] - 10:14	trial [11] - 3:7, 3:16,	
prepared [2] - 8:11,	review [1] - 10:2	4:5, 4:8, 4:23, 6:6,	
8:17	ruling [2] - 12:11,	10:9, 11:15, 11:19,	
pretrial [1] - 11:16	12:12	12:20, 12:25	
		trying (3) - 5:20, 6:8,	
previously [1] - 10:1		9:15	
previously [1] - 10:1 probation [3] - 6:7,	S		
previously [1] - 10:1 probation [3] - 6:7, 11:22, 11:23	S	Tuesday [2] - 3:16, 4:8	
previously [1] - 10:1 probation [3] - 6:7, 11:22, 11:23 Probation [1] - 11:4		turned [4] - 6:2, 8:4,	
previously [1] - 10:1 probation [3] - 6:7, 11:22, 11:23 Probation [1] - 11:4 PROCEEDINGS [1] -	schedule [2] - 3:7, 4:8	turned [4] - 6:2, 8:4, 8:20, 9:12	
previously [1] - 10:1 probation [3] - 6:7, 11:22, 11:23 Probation [1] - 11:4		turned [4] - 6:2, 8:4,	

Electronically Filed 03/26/2015 03:37:34 PM

1	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA CLERK OF THE COURT
3	CLERROL THE GOOK!
4	
5	
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
7	Plaintiff,) Case No. C-14-297725-1
8	vs.) Dept No. XXV
9	STEVE DELL MCNEILL,
10	Defendant.)
11	ý
12	
13	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHLEEN DELANEY
15	JULY 7, 2014, 9:00 A.M.
16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
17	OF PETITION FOR WRIT
18	OF HABEAS CORPUS
19	
20	APPEARANCES:
21	(See separate page)
22	
23	
24	
25	REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHROEDER, CCR NO. 867

, 1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiff:	
3	JONATHAN COOPER, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney	
4	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
5		
6	For the Defendant:	
7	XIOMARA BONAVENTURE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender	
8	330 S. Third Street, Suite 22 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	6
9	NADIA HOJATT, ESQ.	•
10	Deputy Public Defender 330 S. Third Street, Suite 22	6.
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
12		
13		
14	·	
15		
16	·	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

1 LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2 MONDAY, JULY 7, 2014, 9:00 A.M. 3 PROCEEDINGS 5 THE COURT: Call the State of Nevada versus Steve McNeill. 6 MR. COOPER: Jonathan Cooper on behalf of the 8 -State. 9 MS. BONAVENTURE: Xiomara Bonaventure on behalf 10 of the State. 11 MS. HOJATT: Nadia Hojjat. 12 THE COURT: Good morning. Thank you. 13 I've got the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, 14 I've got the State's return to that. There was an issue 15 raised in the return, and there's not been a reply filed, 16 so I just want to address the issue first with regard to 17 the timeliness of the Petition, if you could. 18 MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, Your Honor. As far as 19 the timeliness goes, there had been an issue with the filing because this was the trial that was set on a 20 21 60-day-speedy-trial setting, we only received the transcript on the 6th of June. The 21st day would have 22 23 been, I believe, the 27th, 28th of June. I had given

this to my secretary, I believe, the 24th of June, which

was within that filing period. I believe she attempted

24

- 25

to file the writ and it was rejected.

I do have communication between my secretary,
Carolyn Gray, and somebody from your office, Jamie Combs,
your law clerk, I believe, trying to figure out exactly
what the problem was because it was getting rejected from
the Clerk's Office.

Apparently, things were only able to be figured out by the 30th, at which pointed it was officially electronically e-filed, Your Honor.

At this point, it was filed on the 30th, although, we did attempt to file it previous to that time and simply had to deal with the procedural aspects of it.

On top of that, Your Honor, the State has already had time to reply. They have replied. I don't seen any prejudice at this point in time to the State.

MR. COOPER: Well, Your Honor, there is prejudice because obviously if I was given my statutory allowed ten days to actually respond my response would have been a lot more in depth. I actually would have went into the facts a little bit more. This was set on a short setting. I did not get this until, I believe, Tuesday of last week, and so I had two days to respond to a writ, and pursuant to statute I am actually supposed to have ten judicial days to respond. So I don't even know how it got short set, but regardless of that, it was

untimely, so I think it's procedurally barred based on that fact alone.

THE COURT: Well, you know, we were originally looking at the record that Arraignment Master DeLaGarza saw that if everything, you know, went before us then there would be an even earlier request, but the transcript didn't come out. There is some question about when the transcripts were due. It's pretty close.

While I don't typically give too much leeway on these things, I am not going to consider this a bright line procedural bar. I think there is some good cause for the circumstances of the delays.

I am actually growing ever increasingly concerned about how long it's taking these transcripts to come out. I have another case on the calendar today where we have been looking for something since April. It is still not there from what we can see from the record.

So I don't know what the circumstances were, but I'm going to give, since it was just a few day swing, the benefit of the doubt in terms of the procedural component of this. I'm going to deem it as it is possible that it is untimely, however, I am going to exercise my discretion to show good cause for that few days of untimeliness and I'm going to proceed substantively.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, also the other problem



is I had no idea this was even going to come. Obviously, they could have contacted my office and let me know, Hey, we've been trying to file this writ, or something of that nature.

I did not find out until after calendar call.

Calendar call happened in front of Judge Togliatti. The defense and the State announced ready. It's not until that afternoon where I get a writ. I don't understand how somebody can announce ready on a trial and then file a writ a couple hours later. I just don't think it makes sense.

And the problem is I did not get my ten days response, so if this writ is going to be heard substantively, I would actually ask for my ten-day time to actually sit down and do a complete response. That would actually bump his trial date. Pursuant to statute if he files a writ his 60 day trial date is waived.

So again, it was the case where I put together an extremely quick response; as you can see it's only a couple of paragraphs. It puts the State in a very bad position where I am expected to go forward with trial today and now we are going to hear a writ and I've only had two days to respond to it.

MS. BONAVENTURE: Your Honor, if I could respond to his comments?

THE COURT: Yes.

/

Ø

_

MS. BONAVENTURE: I actually showed up at calendar call surprised that nobody had received copies of my writ. In fact, if you do pull the transcript you will 100 percent see that. It was my understanding that the writ had already been filed the week before, and that the DA had already been sent a courtesy copy at that point, which is why I was also extremely unhappy that the Court had not yet received a copy, and it was Judge Togliatti sitting in on that day.

THE COURT: Yes. There had been some communication -- my law clerk is confirming for me so we have it in the record -- been some communication from your office November 26th, as indicated. And so, again, whatever the circumstances were, this is why I feel there is good cause to find that to the extent that it could be deemed untimely, once the dust settles when exactly it was filed and when exactly it was due that there is good cause and it came when it came.

But Mr. Cooper has asked for additional time to supplement his return to the Petition. I agree with his assessment that the filing of the writ does effectuate the waiver of his right to speedy trial, so at this point I am inclined to consider his request for additional time to file further or a more supplemental, more established

1 response if he so wishes. 2 What is your position on that, Ms. Bonaventure? 3 MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, Your Honor, I would ask just for a moment of the Court's time so I can confer 4 5 with my client. So I do want to trail it. 6 THE COURT: Yes. Why don't you take a few 7 minutes and we will recall it. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: Let's recall page 10, McNeill. 11 Ms. Bonaventure. 12 MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you very much, Your 13 We had a chance to speak to Mr. McNeill. He 14 would like to at this point continue to invoke his right to a speedy trial. Based on that we will withdraw the 1.5 16 writ under consideration. 17 THE COURT: All right. If he wants to withdraw 18 the writ we will proceed with trial if you're ready. 19 MR. COOPER: I am, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Then we will proceed with trial 21 today. 22 MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, one additional 23 matter. I do have an Amended Information. I actually 24 went through the transcripts in this matter and in the

transcripts Mr. Zadrowski actually amended the Complaint.

It was just never transferred into the actual

Information. So I have the page of the transcript where
the amendment happened.

It is one minor amendment on Count 1, Your

Honor. It says the original Complaint -- I believe that

Honor. It says the original Complaint -- I believe that he signed the document in 2007. And I added in "or November 2012." That actually is what conforms to the Criminal Complaint that changes line 64 of the transcript. I do have a copy for the defense.

It goes from -- just for some reason it was a typo that didn't get changed in the actual Information itself.

Can I approach with this Amended Information, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

Ms. Bonaventure, any questions or concerns about that?

MS. BONAVENTURE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So we have the amended charging document and it looks like we can proceed.

Well, then, I will state for the record I accept the representations that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus has now been withdrawn and that we will proceed with trial at 1:00. We will bring the jury up and begin the selection.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

It would be my hope that we would have opening statements if everybody is prepared to do that. The only reason I suggested that was because I don't know how long jury selection would or should take. I typically do it very quickly. We have not had occasion, I don't think, with either counsel here for a trial but you may have spoken with your colleagues. The questions that I will allow are of a general nature to the panel to see what positive responses are had and then follow-up inquires of those positive responses.

There will be some opportunity for individual questioning following up on questions that I asked of the panel. But ultimately the voir dire is usually a fairly quick process. I know we do not have the ability to do trial tomorrow. I know you are aware of that. And then we are reconvening on Wednesday and I am unavailable the remainder of the week, so if we don't complete by Wednesday then we are looking to have to carry it over to the next week, but as you know, I have a trial that needs to get started on Monday, so it would be a little tricky. I am trying to create as many efficiencies and get us as much trial time in as possible.

MS. HOJATT: Your Honor, we are prepared to do opening statements today. The only thing that I was going to suggest is if it gets to about 4:00 or 4:30 and

we are done finishing that voir dire, my suggestion was 1 going to be that we do the preliminary objections to the 2 jury. And then because there is that Tuesday off, I 3 think openings are going to be relatively short here. 4 Again, it is going to depend on when voir dire finishes, 5 but because openings literally are so short it might be 6 just a little easier for the jury in terms of retaining 7 information. 8 9

THE COURT: It's not my favorite thing to do when they're in the shock of realizing that they ended up on the panel and to then end up do opening statements at the same time.

MS. HOJATT: Right.

THE COURT: So depending on the timing of it, let's just see how we do with voir dire.

Again, I have a set of questions; they are not lengthy. They would be mostly general type questions to elicit positive responses, not a one by one type of thing. But beyond that I think we can create some efficiencies. Let's see how it goes. We'll have the panel here at 1:00 and be ready to get started.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. HOJATT: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings were concluded.)

25

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF NEVADA)
4	COUNTY OF CLARK)
5	
6	I, BRENDA SCHROEDER, a certified court reporter
7	in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that
8	the foregoing and attached pages 1-12, inclusive,
9	comprise a true, and accurate transcript of the
10	proceedings reported by me in the matter of THE STATE OF
11	NEVADA, Plaintiff, versus STEVE DELL MCNEILL, Defendant,
12	Case No. C297725, on July 7, 2014.
13	
14 .	
15	
16	Dated this 26th day of March, 2015.
17	
18	/s/ Brenda Schroeder BRENDA SCHROEDER, CCR No. 867
19	BRENDA GOIROLDER, COR NO. 007
20.	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

258L

Electronically Filed 01/01/2015 08:40:09 PM

		Jun & Chum
1	DISTRIC	CLERK OF THE COURT
2	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
3		
4		
5		
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
7	Plaintiff,) Case No. C-14-297725-1
8	vs.) Dept No. XXV
9	STEVE DELL MCNEILL,)
10	Defendant.	
11		_)
12		
13		
14		
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JU	UDGE JENNIFER TOGLIATTI
16	JULY 7, 201	4, 1:00 P.M.
17		TRANSCRIPT
18	JURY TRIA	
19		
20	APPEARANCES:	
21	(See separate page)	
22		
23		
24		
25	REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHROEDE	R, CCR NO. 867
		1

2	For the Plaintiff:
3	JONATHAN COOPER, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney
4	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
5	das vegasy nevada ostor
6	For the Defendant:
7	XIOMARA BONAVENTURE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
8	309 South Third Street, Suite 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
9	NADIA HOJJAT, ESQ.
10	Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street, Suite 226
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

1

APPEARANCES:

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MONDAY, JULY 7, 2014, 1:00 P.M.

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5 THE COURT: I understand we have some 6 housekeeping matters.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. First, at this point the defense cannot proceed. Mr. McNeill was brought and he is dressed out but he is in jail shoes. Bright orange socks and jail shoes. They have not provided him with --

* * *

THE COURT: Does he want to take his socks off? MR. HOJJAT: You know, he's only got the jail

sandals. THE COURT: Do you think people would realize that? I'm not trying to be weird about it --

MS. HOJJAT: I know.

THE COURT: -- I just don't think that much of somebody in those shoes without the socks.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, our concern is even without those socks, those are sandals. Essentially 21 it would be him sitting in trial with sandals. It's 22 pretty obvious something is going on, the implication that he is in custody is very strong given that he 24 doesn't have regular shoes. 25

there's different things that we've had.

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

3

But I would like to try to get the shoes, but we have a whole panel sitting out there ready to go. I appreciate that this is not your fault, but I do not know why somebody was brought up here without shoes unless, obviously, he didn't have any in his mix, but why didn't we know that and why didn't we take care of that, you know what I mean. Why didn't we get him the shoes. Why wasn't there the communication regarding that -- and this is just rhetorical.

What else do we have that we need to address? MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, other than that, the defense did have two other preliminary matters. First, there was no bad acts motion by the State in this case. There was no motion to bring in any prior bad acts, but we would be moving for this State to admonish all of their witnesses and make sure that all of their exhibits don't mention any prior bad act.

Obviously, this is a sex offender failure to 20 comply with lifetime supervision, so it's a little bit unique in that respect, however, in terms of details about the prior bad acts or anything like that, no motions have been filed.

Specifically relating to two issues I wanted to address in light of the fact that no bad acts motion had

I am trying to contact somebody from my office to see if we can come up with some shoes, but at this point we need my client to have regular shoes before we can proceed.

THE COURT: Well, let's try to find him some shoes. What size is he?

MS. HOJJAT: 14.

THE COURT: Here's the thing, I don't want to speak to how and when I think we should proceed because he doesn't have the proper shoes, but there are always some indicia with the ones who are in custody. Sometimes there are things that make it patently obvious that someone is in custody and we still have to proceed and we still can tell the jury to disregard it if it gets to that point. I do not anticipate that happening here.

There is not a black-and-white rule that it has to be a certain dress out or a certain way. I have had questions come up -- I always try to accommodate -- but I have had questions come up because the person is wearing the same outfit every day for the week of trial and that's an indicia that he's in custody and other things. I don't think that the jury does not necessarily pay attention to those types of details, but at the end of the day, we can't necessarily eliminate it. We have

been filed. First, is the name of the prior offense that he was convicted of. It is a sex offense relating to a minor, victim under the age of 14.

There is case law directly on point. I have brought a copy for the Court and for the District Attorney that essentially says if the defense is willing to stipulate that he is -- in that case it was ex-felon possession of firearm case, but here we are willing to stipulate he is a sex offender.

We would ask that this jury not be informed of what the underlying offense was. And the United States Supreme Court case Goldcheek (phonetic) specifically said that where the defense was willing to stipulate it was a felony it was more prejudicial than probative. The jury did not need to know what the underlying felony was.

We would like to stipulate that he is a sex offender and for the jury not to be informed of what the underlying sex offense was. There is no probative value in what the underlying sex offense was. The only probative value in this case is that he is a sex offender and that he is on lifetime supervision. We will stipulate to both of those.

MR. COOPER: This issue was just brought up right now so I haven't had a chance to actually thoroughly read the case they're citing. But I do

6

officers of the court; why do we have them here. I mean,

generally know what that case refers to. I have heard of it before. And that case was a felon case.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Obviously, this court is fully aware that any felon found in possession of a firearm, it's a crime. So just stipulating to the fact that a felon is enough. In this case, stipulating to the fact that he's a sex offender is not enough because he has to also be on lifetime supervision.

The JOC I have actually explicitly lays out that he is further ordered a special sentence of lifetime supervision. Just being a sex offender is not enough for me to prove my case. I have to also prove that he is on lifetime supervision --

THE COURT: But they said they would stipulate to that as well. 15

MR. COOPER: If they are stipulating to he's on lifetime supervision as well --

THE COURT: Yes. They said they would stipulate to both.

MR. COOPER: Okay. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: At first when we first started talking we were just talking about the one stipulation, 22 but at the conclusion she indicated, correct me if I'm wrong, that he would stipulate to both predicates for the crime and I think in that respect it does seem that that 7

time to review to ensure that the redactions were complete because people are human and mistakes do get 2

3 MR. COOPER: The other issue is that, again, I 4 was just brought this issue right now, is that Marcia 5 Lee, who is his therapist, is expected to testify on 6 Wednesday and my understanding is that individuals that 7 are some type of sex offenders get certain counseling, 8 other type of sex offenders get a different type of 9 counseling. So for her to go into detail about the type 10 of counseling he was receiving and how he was not 11 actually being compliant with that therapy, she is going 12 to have to go into detail about he was doing this kind of 13 counseling and it bodes the question why was he in that 14 kind of counseling versus the other kind of counseling. 15

There are a lot of different issues that get raised. And I like I said, if I would have known about this issue earlier, if there was a motion in limine filed or something like that I would have definitely been able to address these issues, so I am kind of put in a bad situation.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, if I may address that? THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 MS. HOJJAT: Well, actually Marcia Lee was going 24 to be the second issue that we wanted to address with the

is sufficient and we don't need the other details.

MR. COOPER: So he would agree that he is a sex offender and that he is on a sentence of lifetime supervision.

I guess the only issue would be the redacting of documents because I just now received this information. And I would say a majority of my documents do mention the fact that he has an attempt lewdness with a minor.

For example, the Lifetime Supervision Agreement dated from November of '07, the Lifetime Supervision Agreement dated from December of '07, the Lifetime Supervision Agreement, again, dated from I think this is 2012. All of these say "attempt lewdness with a minor" in the very first paragraph.

I would have to actually sit down and redact these documents. I wish I would have known this issue was going to come up before and I could have done that previously. But, obviously, now I need to take time to actually revise these documents to conform with any court order.

THE COURT: Well, I think we would have time to do that in that, you know, if we were to get to openings, I don't know that any of that would be shown to the jury. But we would have to take the time to do that; you could have a staff member do that. We would just need to have

Court. First, as to the State's statement about motions in limine. Motions in limine are issues that can be raised by objection during trial. There is no required 3 motion in limine. So for the State to say that somehow 4 it's our burden to somehow litigate something like this ahead of time --6

THE COURT: No. I think all the State is saying is this is a lot of maneuvering that he's going to have to do to make sure that witnesses are prepared and that documents are accurate so what is not supposed to go to the jury doesn't, and he hasn't had a heads-up. That's all I hear him arguing.

So in fairness, if and when you all knew you were going to have this stipulation and you were going to not have all this stuff in the record, you should have given him a heads-up so he had time to do it, that's all.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, as to the issue of Marcia Lee, that is actually the second issue we wanted to address. 19

First and foremost, Your Honor, Marcia Lee was not properly noticed as an expert in this case. We 21 received a document saying that she was going to be called to testify. We didn't receive a CV from Marcia 23 Lee. We didn't receive any sort of -- I mean we received 24 summary reports but there is no report from Marcia Lee 10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

explaining how she reached the conclusion she reached 2 about the defendant, why she reached the conclusion she reached.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Just to give an example, in competency court we receive reports saying competent or incompetent and there's a report that backs it up. Here's what was said, here's what was argued, here's what the exchange was, this is why I find this person is incompetent. No such report has been produced from Marcia Lee. No CV was given to us by Marcia Lee. She had not been properly noticed as an expert.

Additionally, the reason for termination, the only thing we received that explains the reason for termination is a document that essentially says that Marcia Lee determined she thinks he is going to re-offend. That's not admissible, Your Honor. That's, again, no bad acts motion was filed and, frankly, it's not even a bad act. It's an anticipated potential future bad act that's why he was terminated from counseling.

And I have that document, the termination summary, if I can approach with a copy for the Court so the Court can see precisely what it is.

THE COURT: You may.

MS. BONAVENTURE: And, Your Honor, if I may, I did bring this up prior to trial with Mr. Cooper at our

because that crosses the line into expert testimony.

Certainly if she is just going to get up there and say, I treated him, he was terminated. We are not 3 objecting to her testifying to that. We are objecting to testimony that goes into expert opinion because there was not proper expert notice, CVs weren't prepared, reports weren't prepared. And, frankly, the opinion is about future behavior that hasn't been shown in this case.

I mean, again, I could say that a bad acts motion needs to be filed to bring in testimony that is that prejudicial but it is not even a bad act that is something that occurred. It something that she believes may occur in the future. That's our understanding of why he was terminated from the counseling. And we just don't believe it's appropriate and that no proper motion has been filed for that kind of opinion to be presented to the jury.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I do not anticipate her saying that. When I talked to her in length, she did not say the reason she terminated him was because she thought he was going to be a danger in the future. There was some other reason given to me as to why she terminated him. I will get those out. But obviously I know it would be prejudicial for me to get that he might re-offend in the future. I don't plan on going down that

13

final review and I asked him, I need the CV for Marcia 1 Lee, you have her noticed as an expert. And he said, I'm not calling her as an expert in the sense that she needs 3 to testify to expert opinions. 4

THE COURT: It was not my understanding that he wasn't calling her as an expert. All I heard him say was that he was -- you know, one of the predicates to the violation and the predicate acts, the predicate to Count 1 was that he was terminated from the sex offender counseling and that is one of the underlying for the violation of lifetime supervision. It's one of the and/or's but there's a number of matters.

So, I mean, he's on notice that that's a possibility and this is the witness that would testify that he was in fact terminated. We can certainly figure out what the parameters of that examination would be, but I don't think it's a basis to exclude her as a witness.

MS. HOJJAT: We are not moving to exclude her. THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MS. HOJJAT: We're just -- the reason I brought it up in that light was because Mr. Cooper seemed to be implying that -- at least what I heard was he was talking about tier offender and type of treatment that was going to be received and determinations that were made from the treatment, those are things we will be addressing too

1 road.

2

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

11

2 And I don't plan on asking her for her expert 3 opinion or anything like that regarding his ability to do anything. I am just going to strictly ask her about what she did in this specific case with this specific defendant and why he was terminated in this specific 7 case. There is not going to be any opinions or anything 8 like that from this witness.

THE COURT: Well, we will have to tread lightly. But this last little piece that you said determining when you talk about, you know, why she terminated because she does say in her own report here, He lacks integration of the treatment concepts such that he is a moderate, at least, risk to re-offend given the opportunity.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, if the Court wants me to admonish her not to say he's a moderate risk to re-offend, that's fine. I can make sure I admonish her. I actually planned on admitting that document, so I can have that redacted out of there as well.

THE COURT: Well, it's a fine line to walk, right. I mean, she terminated him. Why did she terminate him. There's some reference to inability to pay, going back through the file. So I don't know if it was a pay issue. I don't know if it was a -- you normally make the evaluation of somebody likelihood to

14

re-offend when you are completing your process, not 2 that's your reason to cut someone loose. So I'm assuming 3 that the reason that she ended it was because he wasn't 4 coming or he wasn't paying and it wasn't anything to do 5 with this. And this was sort of like now that it's done, 6 here's what I think my thoughts are. I mean that makes 7 sense to me why she would put that there.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23 24

25

So I think depending on when you talk to her what her basis for the termination was but I think you would need to assess that, but I would like her to be admonished that any speculation that she might have had even if it's based on her, again, expertise or her thought process of once she terminated as his therapist what she thought would happen in the future she can't testify to that.

She can only testify as to what caused the separation from the treatment or what caused the termination. Now, if it is anything other than failure to appear or pay, and it goes into things that would go into the facts and the details of her supposition that he's going to re-offend, then we would have to figure out 22 how we do that.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I can tell it is things other than being able to be pay. I don't think it's going to go into his ability to re-offend. It was

THE COURT: If the underlying basis for 2 violation of lifetime supervision in addition to some of 3 these other things that are listed that the officers can testify to. If the doctor is going to testify to being 4 terminated, what is the relevancy of the basis for the termination?

7 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, she is going to say that he was terminated, but then obviously she is going to need to explain why he was terminated. 9

THE COURT: Why? What's the relevance? As long as I can make an analysis for the balance of the relevancy against the substantial prejudice. What was clearly a substantial prejudice here, potential from what

14 you are describing to me she is going to say. 15

6

10

11

12

13

17

25

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

MR. COOPER: Well, Your Honor, I guess I was not 16 detailed enough as to what she was going to say. Basically, all she is going to say is he was aggressive

18 in group and he was not complying with what I told him to 19 do. She is not going to go down any road about how he's

going to re-offend or anything like that. So, I mean, 20

21 that is basically what she is going to say. He didn't do

22 what I wanted him to do. He said that he wanted to leave 23 so I said, that's fine, you can leave. And that was it.

24 That is basically my questioning for her.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's more of his demeanor

17

basically his behavior in the program itself. And I can 2 make sure to admonish her not to say that she thinks he is going to re-offend or anything like that. So that should be fine.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, I think, again, we are getting into -- basically this sheet without the handwritten portion talking about re-offending, we are not objecting to this sheet without that handwritten portion because I think it fairly reflects little or no progress, refused to make payment. That we're not objecting to. We think that that's appropriate testimony.

But once we are starting to get into -- if she is going to start talking about behavioral and my expert opinion, that behavior indicated it wasn't going to be successful, things that are expert opinions, again, she was not noticed as an expert.

MR. COOPER: And I did not say I was to going have an expert opinion, Your Honor. She can say what she observed him do in classes in treatment and she can say that that was either beneficial to the group, that hurt the group. She can say everything like that. I am not 22 asking her, In your expert opinion does that behavior make you think that he is going to re-offend? I don't plan on going down that road whatsoever.

and attitude at the time of treatment not the treatment 2 itself.

3 MR. COOPER: Exactly, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Did you want to discuss it 5 further?

MS. HOJJAT: If the Court wants us to object 6 7 contemporaneously we can certainly do that. Again, our position is little or no progress in treatment is what we 9 received in the termination find. That is a big 10 difference from aggressive in group. I mean, aggressive, 11 again, it is implying a bad act. It's implying some sort of violent tendencies it sounds like. 12

Our position would be that -- and I agree with 14 the Court's assessment of relevance versus probative nature. Probative nature they need to show that he was terminated. We are not trying to keep them from showing he was terminated. We just think that couldn't make payments and little or no progress in treatment, which is precisely what was checked off on the termination sheet is sufficient to reach that probative value and it would limit the undue prejudice that would otherwise result from things like aggressive to group, which we have not heard before today.

MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, I'm going to need to be able to explain what do you mean by little or no

18

progress in treatment, and she's going to say, Well, he 2 acted like he was entitled. He didn't want to do things, blah, blah, blah. She is not - I mean, these are the violations. It's not like I am going out on a limb right 4 5

6 THE COURT: From counsel's explanation of what 7 the testimony will be I believe that that testimony is 8 valid. It is relevant and it is not outweighed by substantial prejudice. I do still though want Mr. Cooper 9 10 to admonish the witness that anything that would go into her expertise area of her evaluation of him as a 11 12 candidate likely to re-offend or anything in that regard 13 is not permissible. I do believe that going into that 14 analysis, that discussion would be substantially 15 prejudice and would outweigh the relevancy. 16

MR. COOPER: I agree.

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

THE COURT: But the minimal explanation of what the circumstances were, why there was little or no progress is valid and doesn't sound like that crosses the line. So, appreciate that. But no expertise, no expert testimony.

22 MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 And we would just ask in line with the Court's 24 ruling that all witnesses be admonished on that because I 25 know POs are often aware of what the counselors say and

19

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: All right. Keep checking on that if 2 you can.

3 The difficult balance here is that if this 4 gentleman is going to be convicted on these charges it can't be because of any kind of bias or prejudice of him because of what his prior conviction is. And the line 7 that we have to walk here has to be because, again, he 8 fits the elements of these crimes.

And the line that we have to walk here is how much information comes in about the prior such that and we get the predicate but we don't inflame and we don't cause concern.

As I look at this, I don't disagree that the first part of this is handwritten notation could be complimentary or a positive, that he has completed his homework, he passed his history by a polygraph.

But then going into the lacks integration of the treatment concept, you know, again, this is all sort of talking about who he is as this convicted sex offender and those kinds of details of treatment I am worried about crossing that line.

So because there are some things that are positive and there are some things that are negative, out of an abundance of caution if the termination summary needs to come in to show that he was in fact terminated,

21

we would ask that all of State's witnesses be admonished not to talk about anything about risk to re-offend or things like that they might be aware of.

And we would also ask that that document -we're not necessarily objecting to its entry but we would ask that that handwritten portion be redacted.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I would agree, and I

will make sure my witnesses are admonished. Obviously, I am not going to backdoor the Court by any means, however, on the document, again, I would agree that maybe the last part of that sentence needs to be redacted, such that he 12 is a moderate at least risk to re-offend, but I think Steven completed his homework -- actually it's beneficial to him -- however it appears he lacks integration or the treatment concept. I think that should be left. The only thing that should be redacted is, "such that he is a moderate at least risk to re-offend given the opportunity."

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, we would ask that the whole paragraph be redacted.

THE COURT: The most difficult balance in this whole case, and I really do appreciate that we have the time to talk about this, but just because it's weighing on my mind, what's the status on the shoes, anything?

MS. BONAVENTURE: No. I haven't heard anything.

it should come in with all of the handwritten portions 2 above the date of intake, date of last session and the amount owed. Anything in that small paragraph that is 4 sort of adjacent to Section B should be redacted. 5

MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor, THE COURT: And, of course, that is just part and parcel of the various redactions that are going to be necessary to remove the reference to charge and to remove

9 anything that would run afoul of the stipulation.

Now, I don't know, Mr. Cooper, that I got it nailed for the record. Do you accept the stipulation to 12 the fact that he is a convicted sex offender and subject 13 to lifetime supervision, and I appreciate the 14 difficulties of the need to redact and admonish the witnesses, but do we think we can accomplish that by

15 16 Wednesday? 17

MR. COOPER: I can redact it and have everything done by Wednesday, yes. Obviously, for the record I would just object. I think my previous recitation of the facts as well as the law about whether or not the JOC is admissible was appropriate and should come in, but obviously I understand the Court's order and I will make sure everything is redacted.

THE COURT: Okay. We will go ahead and note the stipulation for the record that that is how we would

22

1	proceed is that you will be referred to as a convicted	1	THE COURT: Right.
2	sex offender. There has been a stipulation. I don't	2	MR. COOPER: So I want to make sure.
3	know how you want to address it at some point with the	3	THE COURT: I would rather have the we kind
4	jury, or if we do, in terms of the parties have	4	of file-stamped this why don't we do a second amended
5	stipulated to these facts for purposes of proceeding with	5	that just removes the charge but keeps the date and the
6	the trial because it is going to get out there anyway.	6	reference to the Lifetime Supervision Agreement date and
7	Maybe it needs to get out there as sort of a	7	the prior case date
8	beginning point of the case and then the charges are what	8	MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.
9	the charges are related to that and then we just proceed	9	THE COURT: but doesn't have the type of
10	with the proof of the charges.	10	charge. And that is the second amended information will
11	MS, HOJJAT: Your Honor, we had not really	11	be actually what we read to the jury on Wednesday
12	discussed too much how we are going to bring it out. But	12	morning.
13	it might be simplest to have the PO get up there and say	13	MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.
14	are you familiar with Mr. McNeill? Yes. Do you know him	14	MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	to be a and obviously there will need to be some	15	MS. BONAVENTURE: And the way we would propose
16	leading during this part of the direct examination	16	if the court and parties have no objection would be
17	THE COURT: Right.	17	Lifetime Supervision Agreement signed by this defendant
18	MS. HOJJAT: but simply do you know him to be	18	in 2007. And I believe the new one says and signed in
19	a convicted sex offender? Yes. Do you know him to be on	19	2012. And then say pursuant to having in 2004 being
20	lifetime supervision? Yes. And the defense would not	20	convicted of a sex offense in the Eighth Judicial
21	object to any of that. And that might be the simplest	21	District Court, Clark County, Nevada, to-wit by refusing
22	way to present the evidence at the beginning of the	22	to submit literally just taking out the convicted of,
23	direct examination to the jury.	23	the name of, the charge, and replacing the name of the
24	THE COURT: Well, one of the things that my	24	charge with "of sex offense in the Eighth Judicial
25	clerk was just pointing out as I was raising this issue	25	District Court."
	23		25
1	is that we have this Amended Information that was filed	1	THE COURT: Mr. Cooper, what do you think about
1 '	19 mat Me have and winding a intornation that May need		This cooler: Mr. cooper, what do you think about
1 2		9	that?
2	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate	2 3	that? MR COOPER: That's fine Your Honor
3	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of	3	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor.
3 4	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date.	3 4	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and
3 4 5	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it	3	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2.
3 4 5 6	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime	3 4 5	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor.
3 4 5 6 7	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.	3 4 5 6	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, That sounds like that's
3 4 5 6	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact	3 4 5 6 7	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll
3 4 5 6 7 8	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, That sounds like that's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONAVENTURE: Hernandez.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONA VENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered. MR. COOPER: So, Your Honor, do you want me to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONAVENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez. MS. HOJJAT: And that is fine. Mr. McNeill will
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered. MR. COOPER: So, Your Honor, do you want me to do a second amended information or can we just, I guess,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONA VENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez. MS. HOJJAT: And that is fine. Mr. McNeill will stipulate if the Court wants to change that, he
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered. MR. COOPER: So, Your Honor, do you want me to do a second amended information or can we just, I guess, interlineation to take that out just for the purposes of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONAVENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez. MS. HOJJAT: And that is fine. Mr. McNeill will stipulate if the Court wants to change that, he understands. We have discussed with him the importance
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered. MR. COOPER: So, Your Honor, do you want me to do a second amended information or can we just, I guess, interlineation to take that out just for the purposes of my jury instructions because when I do jury instructions	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONAVENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez. MS. HOJJAT: And that is fine. Mr. McNeill will stipulate if the Court wants to change that, he understands. We have discussed with him the importance of keeping out the name of the charges.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered. MR. COOPER: So, Your Honor, do you want me to do a second amended information or can we just, I guess, interlineation to take that out just for the purposes of my jury instructions because when I do jury instructions I have to make sure that the correct information is in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONAVENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez. MS. HOJJAT: And that is fine. Mr. McNeill will stipulate if the Court wants to change that, he understands. We have discussed with him the importance of keeping out the name of the charges. THE COURT: Yes, I don't have my cheat sheet
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered. MR. COOPER: So, Your Honor, do you want me to do a second amended information or can we just, I guess, interlineation to take that out just for the purposes of my jury instructions because when I do jury instructions I have to make sure that the correct information is in there.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONAVENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez. MR. HOJJAT: And that is fine. Mr. McNeill will stipulate if the Court wants to change that, he understands. We have discussed with him the importance of keeping out the name of the charges. THE COURT: Yes, I don't have my cheat sheet for the Hernandez hearing in front of me. But certainly
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this morning and the Amended Information does indicate that the person the defendant violated conditions of Lifetime Supervision Agreement, signed and gives a date. And pursuant to having been in convicted of, and then it goes into the specific style of the crime MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: so we're going to have to redact that then my clerk can read that. We wouldn't read it today obviously during jury selection, obviously not until we began on Wednesday with the beginning before we get to opening statements. MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Honor. THE COURT: So we would have to fix that. But it does reference already in the charge that there is Lifetime Supervision Agreement and a date and prior conviction. MS. HOJJAT: Yes. THE COURT: So I think that's got it covered. MR. COOPER: So, Your Honor, do you want me to do a second amended information or can we just, I guess, interlineation to take that out just for the purposes of my jury instructions because when I do jury instructions I have to make sure that the correct information is in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's referenced in both Counts 1 and 2. MR. COOPER: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That sounds like that's agreed to then. So we will get that adjusted and we'll have a Second Amended Information. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my apologies. The only other issue that I can think of is that because he would be stipulating to actually one of the facts or one of the issues that I would have to prove that he was on lifetime supervision I think he needs to be, I guess, admonished that he is actually stipulating to a fact that the State would be required to prove and I'm sorry, I just forget the name of the MS. BONAVENTURE: Hernandez. MR. COOPER: Hernandez. MS. HOJJAT: And that is fine. Mr. McNeill will stipulate if the Court wants to change that, he understands. We have discussed with him the importance of keeping out the name of the charges. THE COURT: Yes, I don't have my cheat sheet

what we need to accomplish, Mr. McNeill, is your counsel 2 is doing a lot of talking for you, obviously, when it comes to certain things like whether or not you would 4 intend to testify on your own behalf, which we won't discuss that until it's closer to the time to do that. 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8 9

10

15

16

17 18

19

21

22 23

But for purposes of today, as we are proceeding, we have you through your counsel indicating that you are choosing to do a stipulation to a particular fact, that particular fact being that you are someone who is currently subject to a requirement of lifetime supervision, that you did in fact sign the Lifetime Supervision Agreement back in 2007, and then another one it sounds like in 2012, although I haven't seen the reference to that.

And if you stipulate to those facts then that means that there is not the same requirement on the State to establish all the details to establish those facts to the jury. You would just stipulate and agree to them. But if you do that, you are stipulating to facts that are a component of the crime charged. So it's not the same as stipulating that you are guilty, but it is basically stipulating that you are guilty to a fact which may in turn result in you being found guilty to the charge as a whole.

Do you understand what all that means? Do you

27

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

9

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 inquiry can be with regard to that, although it is still 2 very limited, that it might implicate something that 3 today we've otherwise agreed to try to redact in the 4 record and have not introduced. 5

But in keeping with what we were trying to do, we would probably need to address that in some fashion unless it's already likely that the defendant won't testify. So I think what would happen is I would like to canvass Mr. McNeill at the appropriate time regarding his desire to testify. I typically do that after the State rests, before the defense begins their case. If they are going to have witnesses then maybe later in the case, but before if they are not going to have witnesses, certainly before we bring the jury back.

If something were to occur that he would wish to testify certainly that canvass whether he wishes to or doesn't wish to would include what could be gotten into as far as the prior history. But typically it is what the charge is and not the details and the background, but that there was a charge --

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- or what the conviction was only if there was to be some representation with documentation necessarily be provided.

So, again, I am not sure we are going to cross

29

understand the circumstances of what you are doing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can comprehend that.

THE COURT: Okay. Can I just get you to indicate for the record then what it is you are agreeing

to for purposes of this hearing; what fact or facts are 5 6

you agreeing to stipulate to.

THE DEFENDANT: I am agreeing I am a sex offender and I am on lifetime supervision.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that we should canvass or question to complete the record?

MS. HOJJAT: I think the record is --

11 THE COURT: As long as we heard it from 12 Mr. McNeill directly, which is what we need to achieve, 13 14 and so we have.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my other issue would just be that if Mr. McNeill did decide to testify the State still would be able to introduce his certified JOC pursuant to statute because it is still within the time period allowed and everything like that. None of the rulings that happened today affect the State's right to go forward with impeachment with the JOC. I just wanted to make sure that we are clear on that point.

THE COURT: I would agree with you in terms of 24 the fact if you look at what the State's rights are with a conviction that's been within ten years and what the

that line and have a problem, but I don't know until we get there. And I am assuming at this point there has

3 been some discussion but it is unlikely that Mr. McNeill

is going testify. We'll just leave it at that to be

5 determined at a future date.

Anything else that we need to cover -- well, just to summarize, there is a stipulation that has been accepted by counsel and by the Court that Mr. McNeill is a convicted sex offender subject to lifetime supervision, as such, that obviates the need to go into what the actual prior conviction in 2004 was for.

The records that are going to be -- the evidence that is going to be introduced by the State will be 13 redacted to remove reference to the prior charge and the witnesses will be admonished not to go into the details of the prior charge. And further, there was an issue raised with regard to the treatment provider and that there is no CV been provided so that treatment provider will not be qualified or be able to testify as an expert, but will be allowed to testify as a percipient witness to the treatment, the reasons of the termination of the treatment but no details further as to what was the offense or how that would be ranked.

And then as far as the further need to canvass as far as the defendant testifying, we will do that in

30

the normal course and address any issues that might be 2 implicated by that testimony should he give it at that 3 time. 4 Is there anything that we need to cover further? 5 MS. BONAVENTURE: No, Your Honor. 6 MS. HOJJAT: I do not think there is anything 7 further, Your Honor. Just the defense's objection based 8 on the shoes. 9 MS. BONAVENTURE: And I have Mr. Gutierrez right 10 now going down to the murder team who has a closet full 11 of stuff and they are checking right now to see if they 12 have size 14 shoes. And we do have pretty big guys on 13 the homicide team, 14 THE COURT: Okay. Officer, what is the rule 15 with regard to the socks; do we have to keep those on? 16 UNIDENTIFIED OFFICER: No. If they don't have 17 socks we will provide socks for them, but we didn't have 18 socks or shoes for him over at the jail. 19 THE COURT: All right. I was just curious.

I will give it a little bit longer, but in all 21 honesty, it would be my intention if we cannot locate 22 shoes within the next 10 or 15 minutes that we would remove the socks and allow him to proceed with the sandals. Again, I look at those and I don't necessarily

20

23

24

25

10

31

1 into. So nobody misunderstands that this case is not the 2 2004 case.

3 MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: This is the current. But we'll figure it out. I'm going to step out so somebody let me

6 know when the shoes get here. 7

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

21

23

24

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All right. I guess we are ready to bring the jury in.

(Jury enters the courtroom.)

13 THE COURT: Welcome to Department 25 of the 14 Eighth Judicial District Court. My name is Kathleen

15 Delaney. I am the district court judge who will be

16 presiding over the trial. You have been sent to

17 Department 25 as potential jurors for the case of the

18 State of Nevada versus Steve Dell McNeill, and the record

19 will reflect the presence of counsel for the State,

20 counsel for the defense and the defendant.

In a moment we will give you a little bit more 22 information with regard to the case specifically, but I will do some introductions right now because we need to first and foremost find out if there are any of you here

25 today that are familiar with any of us, and whether or

33

perceive those to be anything that would be indicative of 2 - plus the way he is seated with his feet adjacent to 3 the desk and where the jury panel is going to be, he 4 might stand up briefly to be introduced, but other than

5 that -- oh, do we have shoes? 6 MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes, size 13's are on the way,

7 Judge, 8 THE COURT: We'll make them work. All right. 9 Good to know.

I looked through the list and I did not 11 recognize any names on the potential panel. We did bring 12 forward a slightly additional number of people just

13 because, again, even though we're not going to 14

necessarily I would think be canvassing folks on, you 15 know, well, I take that back, we might have to go into

16 and I typically would with any kind of sex offense, I

17 would typically when I do the part of the questioning

18 about whether or not you have had any prior involvement

19 with the legal criminal justice system and we talk about victim of crime or accused of crime, I will typically in

20 21 any case that involves a sex offense further inquire

22 specifically about whether there has been any sex abuse 23 or sex history. So I will be doing that.

24 And, again, just a fine line to walk in terms of 25 depending on what panel we get is how much detail to go

not that will interfere with your ability to serve as 2 fair and impartial jurors.

3 We will also get into some discussion and details about the length of this trial and whether or not there is any conflicts of your ability to serve.

6 I can always tell when I see folks coming in, especially on a Monday afternoon, oftentimes they would rather be anywhere but here. But I'm sure you can 8

9 appreciate that if you feel that way, certainly parties 10

to the case who have endeavored to be prepared, but 11 obviously this matter has been unable to be resolved in

12 any other way, that we need to have that fair and

13 impartial jury that will be attentive to the details of 14

the case here and do their duty as jurors. 15

So we just really appreciate your service in advance, and we also want to be sure that you are going to be honest and candid in all of your responses to our questions.

But I do have one piece of business before we get started and that is that I will ask each of you to stand and pay attention to my court clerk here. Raise your right hand and she will swear you in as possible jurors.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that you will well and truly answer such questions that may be put to

34

you touching upon your qualifications as jurors in the case at issue.

(Potential jurors answer affirmatively.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Noting that everyone appears to have taken the oath and not objected to the oath. At this time, I will take a moment to introduce you to the remainder of my staff, then I am going to ask the counsel for the State to introduce themselves and to give a brief a synopsis of the case as well as what witnesses he intends to call.

And then I am going to turn it to counsel for the defendant and find out what witnesses they intend to call and that way we can take a question to each of you as to whether or not you are familiar with any of us.

What will happen is any question that is posed 16 to you either by the Court or by counsel if you have an answer to give to the question, raise your hand and then you will be called on by me to give your answer. And I usually call on the folks in the order in which you were seated. And of course the order in which you were seated is the order in which you came into the courtroom starting in the far back row further left, and then all the way down to the front and then with the remaining panel there.

I should also just give a little bit of

something extraordinary happens you can be pretty sure 2 that you are not going to be called forward, but we never know. If you are in the front of this secondary panel, 3 it is very possible that you may be filling one of the seats, but we never know. But I like to give folks sort of the understanding of why you are seated where you are 7 and what's going on.

So let me introduce the rest of my staff present 8 in the courtroom. I've got my marshal, who just stepped 9 out of the courtroom, Jonathan Crenshaw. You have 10 already seen him as he has escorted you into the courtroom. I have a court clerk, Kristen Brown. I have 12 a court reporter, Brenda Schroeder. Behind the scenes I 13 have a judicial executive assistant, Cindy Springberg. I 14 have a law clerk Jamie Combs. And I have an extern for the summer who's name is Eli Trodatt. That's my staff. 16

I am going to now turn it over to Mr. Cooper to ask you to introduce yourself and any potential witnesses for the State, and then we will hear from the defense.

MR, COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Greetings, ladies and gentlemen. My name is 22 Jonathan Cooper and I am a deputy district attorney with the Clark County District Attorney's Office. The 23 defendant in this case is charged with violation of

25 lifetime supervision by a convicted sex offender and one

37

background so you understand what's going to happen

today. A lot of times there is the question of why is

there a certain number of people to my right in the jury

4 box, and why are there a certain number of people seated

behind counsel table. And here's the reason for that, 5

6 We utilize the selection method that hopefully will

expedite things and make things go a little bit quicker 7

and that is we ultimately need for this trial to qualify 8

9 24 people.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

10

11

13

14

15

19

20

21

23

24

25

Ultimately, 14 of those will serve; 12 as jurors to deliberate and two alternates. So 14 of course will be geared throughout the course of the trial, but the 12 will deliberate. And if there is a need to call on an alternate then we can do so.

So we have to qualify 24 people because ultimately each side has the opportunity to preempt five 16 people to serve and allow for the final 14 to be decided. 17 So essentially if you are in the first 24 seats to my 18 right, unless some reason comes up that you cannot serve, you will be in that qualified panel. If some reason comes up that you cannot serve and you are excused then we fill those empty seats with the group to my left 22 behind counsel table staring with the first row, first seat and then on down through the remaining of the panel.

So if you are in the back of the panel, unless

count of prohibited acts by a sex offender.

2 These violations and prohibitive acts were said to have occurred between December 2012 and March 2014. 3 In my case-in-chief I plan on calling three witnesses;

Probation and Parole Officer Ashley Mangan, Probation and

Parole Sergeant Brian Zana, and licensed therapy Marcia 6 7 Lee. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, Ms. Bonaventure.

MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 Good afternoon. My name is Xiomara Bonaventure. 11 I was previously known as Xiomora Ferrera, just for some

people that I might recognize here. This is my

co-counsel, Nadia Hojjat. We are counsel for Mr. McNeill 13

14 and he has pled not guilty to these charges. Thank you 15

very much.

11

17

18

19

20

21

24

8

9

16

17

18

19

35

THE COURT: Thank you. So may I see by a show of hands at this time if there are any members of the potential jury panel that are familiar with any of the names, any of the witnesses that have been identified.

I see just a couple of hands. Let me tell you 20 21 how this works. Until we get to know you a little bit 22 better we need you to identify yourself by your name and

23 the last three digits on the badge that you are wearing.

24 There is a long number on there but we just need those

last three so that we can find you on our list and be

38

trials where we have had multiple days or weeks, and it 1 able to make notes. is very difficult and we appreciate that with people's So, sir, what is your name and the last three 2 2 schedules to accommodate that time, we hope that this digits of your badge number. 3 limited amount of time will not be difficult beyond any A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jacob Spooner, Number 708. 4 4 typical inconvenience for folks. 5 5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Spooner, who are you Now, of course, it needs to be something much 6 familiar with? more significant than a typical inconvenience that would 7 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Eli. THE COURT: And how do you know Mr. Trodatt? 8 prevent you from jury service. Mainly if someone has 8 travel plans for which they have already paid for airline PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: I went to a couple 9 9 tickets that's nonrefundable or a doctor's appointment 10 10 of classes at UNLV with him. that cannot be rescheduled, although in most cases even 11 THE COURT: Do you believe that there is any 11 12 that is not necessarily a basis to preclude your service. reason why having a familiarity with my extern would 12 13 But we need to know if you do in fact have a hardship 13 interfere with your ability to be a fair and impartial with this time frame. So can I see by a show of hands if 14 14 juror in this case? 15 anybody does have a hardship. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: No. I see just a few hands so I will call on you in 16 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else? 16 the order in which you are seated. Gentleman in the very 17 17 back left; what is your name and badge number? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bonnie Schultz, Number 18 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Darrell Hoss, Badge No. 19 19 958. I was your eighth grade teacher. 20 008. I have a traveling job and I'm supposed to be THE COURT: I don't even want to say how long 20 21 leaving Thursday morning. If this goes too long then I ago that was. And I am sorry I did not recognize you. 21 22 will not be able to go. 22 But thank you for remembering me. I hope it's in a good 23 THE COURT: What is your job? 23 way. For the record, Cashman Junior High. Born and 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: I am a concert raised in Las Vegas so I have a lot of connections. 24 25 technician or you can call me a "roady." Any reason why you being familiar with me would 25 41 1 THE COURT: And your next travel is Thursday interfere in any way of you being able to be a fair and 2 morning. Would there be any ability to delay that 2 impartial juror? slightly if needed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. 3 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: No. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. Good to see you again. 5 THE COURT: All right. We don't necessarily 5 Was there anyone else? Seeing no other hands. 6 anticipate that this is going to carry over that time Let me advise you then that this is a two-day trial, 6 7 frame but I do appreciate you bringing that to our however, it is a little unique because the court 7 8 attention. otherwise was obligated on Tuesday to handle a matter 8 unrelated to the trial. The trial is going to commence 9 I saw another hand. Sir, in the back row. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO: Jeffrey Swain, 679. We 10 today with jury selection and then resume again on 10 11 have set plans for Monday to travel to San Diego. It Wednesday, midmorning, about 10:30 for opening statements 11 12 should be okay but ... 12 and presentation of evidence. 13 THE COURT: I would not anticipate this trial We do anticipate absent some unique 13 14 going that long. Now if you were to travel on Monday, circumstances that even with a lunch recess on Wednesday 14 15 when would you be returning? that we would be able to at least deliver the case to you 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Monday, and we're for deliberations on Wednesday. Now, whether or not that 16 17 coming back this Saturday. is late enough in the day that you would elect to come 17 18 THE COURT: I do not -- the difficulty with this back another day to deliberate or to further deliberate 18 19 is if we finish on Wednesday in terms of deliberating -that day. But it is our belief that we can complete this 20 if we finish on time to deliver the evidence to you and trial within that two day time frame. 20 21 you are able to start your deliberations, then it is up 21 Is that still true for counsel? 22 to you whether you continue through on Wednesday to MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. So it is essentially two 23 deliberate and reach a verdict, or you need to come back. 23 24 If you need to come back, you would probably come back on days of your time, with the second day being Wednesday 24 Thursday. The Court would not be available on Thursday, 25 approximately 10:30 in the morning. So unlike some 25 40 42

1	there is a State bar conference which I am required to	1	and so it helps him out.
2	attend and I would not be present. But the jury could	2	THE COURT: Okay. Has he ever had occasion to
3	certainly deliberate and one of the other judges	3	use a different babysitter if you were not available?
4	available could receive the verdict if need be.	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 936: Yes, he has.
5	If, however, we have not completed evidence, it	5	THE COURT: So in the off chance that we needed
6	is possible that we would need to return for that and	6	you on Thursday, you might be able to make other
7	that would be one day next week. So, again, I do not	7	arrangements?
8	think it is likely at all. We are going to do everything	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 936: It would not be
9	we can to complete the trial and deliver it for	9	easy.
10	deliberations in time, but I do appreciate you letting me	10	THE COURT: It would not be easy but you might
11.	know about that.	11	be able to do it; is that fair?
12	But our main need to know is if you have	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 936: My grand baby would
13	hardship with these two days or any portion.	13	not like it but I could do it.
14	Ma'am.	14	THE COURT: I appreciate that.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Erika Rojas, Badge No.	15	Anybody in the row behind counsel table?
16	913. I have a daughter who is 22 months. She was born	16	All right. Thank you. Give me just a moment
17	with down syndrome and she has an appointment this	17	with counsel at the bench and we will get back to you.
18	Wednesday at 2:10 with her hematologist.	18	(Discussion held at the bench.)
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. At this time
20	Go ahead, sir.	20	I will excuse and I want to thank very much for your
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Merrill Whittenberger,	21	service, and since it is so early please go back to Jury
22	951. My wife is eight months pregnant and it is a high	22	Services to see if they might have something else for
23	risk pregnancy. She works during the day and I work at	23	you.
24	night and we also have a toddler and we have no daycare.	24	At this time we are going to excuse Juror No.
25	THE COURT: Okay, How is that being handled	25	913, Erika Rojas; Juror No. 936, Mary Neven; and Juror
	43		45
1	today?	1	No. 951, Merrill Whittenberger. Thank you for your time.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 951: I dropped her off at	2	Please take your belongings. Again, please go back down
3	a friend's house.	3	to Jury Services on the third floor to check in with them
4	THE COURT: So you were already able to pick up	4	to make sure they don't need you for any other purposes.
5	your child from the daycare and take her somewhere. I'm	5	I will ask my clerk to fill the vacant seat
6	trying to understand what you indicated. Your wife is	6 7	starting with the middle row, the middle spot.
7	eight months pregnant but she is in fact working?		THE COURT: All sinks Next
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 951: Yes, in the morning.	8	THE COURT: All right. Next. THE CLERK: Badge No. 987, Steven Manning.
9	THE COURT: So who picks up your child at	10	THE COURT: Take the first seat in the front row
10	daycare? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 951; I take care of her	11	here on the left.
11	during the morning when she goes to work. When she comes	12	Next.
12 13	back she takes care of her.	13	THE CLERK: Badge No. 000, Martin Burgess.
14	THE COURT: I see. So you are unavailable in	14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
15	the day but found a babysitter for today.	15	I guess I should ask just out of an abundance of
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 951: Yes.	16	caution Jury Service is very good about catching these
17	THE COURT: Would you be able to do something	17	things but just to make sure, is there anyone here who is
18	for tomorrow like that?	18	not a US citizen or who is a convicted felon who has not
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 951: It's possible but it	19	had their rights restored? May I see by a show of hands.
20	is hard.	20	Sir.
21	THE COURT: I appreciate your candor.	21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am a convicted felon
22	Next.	22	from '92. I don't know if my rights are restored.
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mary Neven, 936. I baby	23	THE COURT: Well, there is after you well, I
24	sit my grand baby on Thursday and Friday for the summer	24	don't want to go necessarily into too much detail
25	while she is out of school. My son is a single parent	25	first of all, give us your name and number.
	. 2 . 44		46

1 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Culver Smith, 532, 2 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, did that take place here 3 or in another state? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 532: In Ohio, 5 THE COURT: And obviously if you were either convicted and served a successful term of probation or 6 served some incarceration and successful term for both. 7 I mean how did you complete your --8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: It was drug abuse and I was on probation for five years and then at the end 10 of my five years I absconded and so I went and did six 11 12 months in the penitentiary in Ohio. 13 THE COURT: All right. And that was all 14 completed back when? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: I got the case in 15 16 '92 and then I absconded and -- I ended up getting out in 17 18 THE COURT: All right. Did you ever do any 19 parole or that was the service of --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: It was just a simple 20 21 drug --THE COURT: So you basically completed your 22 23 underlying sentence? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: Right. THE COURT: I am not sure if you would have had 25 to do anything in particular to have your rights

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Seat 6, then, next juror in line. THE CLERK: Juror No. 001, Brian Lagomarsino. THE COURT: Now, for the remainder of the time we are going to inquire of the panel, we are going to mainly focus our attention to the group here in the first 24 seats. The folks over there, pay attention because if you are called forward you may be asked some of the similar questions. But for now we are going to try to get to know

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 these folks a little bit better and find out in fact if there are any conflicts that you may have that would require you to be excused from this trial, based on the subject matter of the trial, the circumstances of the trial, we are going to figure that out now. I do not anticipate that, but we have some questions we need to ask.

So we are going to focus our attention on these 24 folks here. But, again, I do ask you to pay attention just in the event that you might be called forward. Once we have fully qualified the 24 folks, then the remainder of you will be excused. But until then you are still part of our potential panel.

The first thing then that I guess I would like

49

restored, but typically a convicted felon would lose the 2 right to vote. Do you vote? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: No, I haven't. THE COURT: Is that by choice? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: That's by choice. THE COURT: All right. Well, we need to work on that. Honestly, it is impossible for me to speak to what your ability to serve is based on the fact that this was 10 in Ohio and I don't know if you would have needed to do anything specific or if that would have come naturally with the paperwork upon your discharge from your probation.

You were not honorably discharged because you had to serve a term of incarceration. Do you remember what the paperwork was when you finished up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 531: Yeah, basically, I was on probation. And after I did the six months and I got out free and clear. Honestly, I really don't know.

THE COURT: Well, that's good to know. Just out of an abundance of caution, I am going to go ahead and 21 22 excuse you because I really don't know and I would hate for you to serve and there be any questions with regard 24 to those circumstances. So start voting, we will wish you well and you are excused.

to remind you is that you did just take an oath, and to

be honest in your answers to all of our questions. It is

never our intention to pry into your personal lives or in

circumstances that would be uncomfortable for you, but we

do by nature of ensuring justice be done and be fair to

both sides of the case, the State and the defense, that

7 this is a fair and impartial trial, we must do our best

8 at this process of selection to be sure that whoever is

9 here is not harboring any biases, not going to be unfair

10 or not impartial that would be a problem for our case. 11

So I just want to remind you again that you did 12 take an oath. As we commence this process, there may be 13 some times that I refer to notes just to be sure I haven't missed anything and that we are covering 15 everything that we need to cover. 16

We have already talked about the length of this 17 trial. We know that you are not likely to have hardships with what we anticipate to be the time of the trial. I do want to remind everybody about something that is very, very basic in our criminal justice system and make sure that I have your responses to that,

This is, again, a criminal trial. That means 23 that you as the members of the jury are sitting collectively as the judges of the questions of facts in the case. And as the judge in this case I am the one who

50

48

18

19

20

21

22

24

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

is going to determine what law you will apply to the THE COURT: Was it an acquittal? 1 2 facts as you find them to be if you end up being our 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. I do not know 3 panel that deliberates. 3 if it ended up being an acquittal. It is my responsibility to give you those 4 4 THE COURT: Was there a plea? 5 instructions and I will do so. Some of the instructions 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. 6 will come early on in the process and the majority and 6 THE COURT: So ultimately a conviction in the 7 the final set of instructions will come at the end of the case from a plea it sounds like. process. You will each have your own copy set. It would 8 8 Was it here in Nevada? be a violation of your duty as jurors to render a 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Nevada, 10 judgment based upon anything other than what's in those 10 THE COURT: All right. And so your connection instructions or what you find to be the facts. 11 11 to that, you do obviously recognize that none of the 12 In other words, you cannot base your judgment on individuals involved in this case would have had anything 12 13 what you believe or think the law should be, you have to 13 to do with that case, I'm assuming from the time frame 14 base it on what I give you as the instructions on the 14 you are describing. 15 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Right. 16 With that in mind, is there anyone here who 16 THE COURT: And I think that you appreciate but 17 feels that they cannot be the factfinders and follow my 17 it may not be entirely clear that the charges in this 18 instructions and applicable law in this case? 18 case relate to the requirements to have followed 19 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My badge number is 032. 19 directives of lifetime supervision and following 20 Being a person who has had a lot of sexual issues growing 20 directives of the specific charges of violation of 21 up, I think it would be hard to be fair and impartial. 21 lifetime supervision by a convicted sex offender, again, 22 THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate your candor. 22 related to address and reporting requirements. There are other questions that I am going to ask that 23 23 So understanding that this is not a case involving directly any allegations of sex offense. But, 24 will be a little bit more about anyone's involvement with 24 25 the criminal justice system, whether it be as a victim of 25 again, what those particular charges are, do you still 51 53 crime, whether it be as someone who has been accused of a believe that you would be unable to be fair and crime, specifically any involvement with regard to sexual 2 impartial? 3 event type crimes. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes, ma'am. I can have a little bit of that questioning here 4 4 THE COURT: You don't believe that you would be 5 with you. It is not, again, an intent to embarrass you 5 able to receive the evidence provided in this case and 6 6 or to go into any details of something that you would apply the law as I give it to you? 7 7 prefer not to go into, but it is important that we PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. ma'am, 8 understand at least minimally the nature of what that 8 THE COURT: I appreciate your candor. Is there anyone else who would raise their hand 9 9 potential bias would be. 10 So can I ask you this: The time frame for your 10 to the question that I asked? All right, experience was that when you were younger? Was it more 11 Under our criminal justice system more generally 11 12 recent? 12 we have certain principles that we apply to every criminal case. Those principles are that this PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Younger. 13 13 14 information as I discussed, the charging document, is 14 THE COURT: Was it a situation that ever simply an accusation. It is in no way, shape or form 15 involved the criminal justice system? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. 16 evidence of guilt. THE COURT: Was it someone who was known to you? 17 The defendant as he sits here in the courtroom 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. 18 18 today is not only presumed innocent, he is in fact innocent. He would only be determined to be guilty if 19 THE COURT: Was that person -- ultimately, did 19 20 the State has proven that he is guilty beyond a 20 you go through a trial process and that person was 21 reasonable doubt. 21 convicted? 22 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. Does anyone not understand or believe in these 23 23 THE COURT: Okay. Was there ever any police basic principles of our American justice system? Seeing 24 24 no hands. involvement? I believe we asked if anybody was familiar with 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. 25 52 54

any of the parties in the case, but just to confirm, is 1 there anyone in the panel who is in anyway familiar with 2 3 the facts or the circumstances of this case? All right, 3 4 Seeing none. 4 5 What I would like to do at this time then is I 5 would like to ask individually, one by one, and you can 6 6 7 remain seated if you can speak up a little bit and if not 7 8 we may ask you to stand. 9 You will see a set of questions on the board, 10 hopefully you can see them, and if not I can certainly assist you by reading the questions to you. But we would 11 11 12 like to get to know you a little bit better, who you are, 12 13 what job you currently have or if you were recently 13 Sir. 14 retired or unemployed, what job or jobs you have had 14 15 recently. A spouse or domestic partner and what is their 15 16 occupation. If you have any children old enough to work 16 17 what is their occupation and for whom. 17 18 This whole idea here is to identify here whether 18 19 there are inadvertently perhaps some connections to the 19 20 facts and circumstances of the case. 20 21 And also how long have you lived here. If it 21 has been less than five years we would like to know where 22 22 **2**3 you have lived previously. And we would also like to 23 24 know whether you have had jury service before, what type 24 25 and whether a verdict was reached, not what it was, and 25 55 whether or not you were the foreperson. 1 1 2 Let me start with the juror in the Number 1 2 3 position. Answer those questions there. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: My name is Darrel 4

THE COURT: Where did you live previously? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Boise, Idaho. THE COURT: Okay. And just to be clear the question regarding jury service is here or there. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: No. THE COURT: Thank you very much. Next. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Linda Alarcon, Number 032. I work for United Nissan. I am not married. I have one child at home who is not old enough to work. I have lived here for almost ten years in Las Vegas, I have never been a juror here or anywhere. THE COURT: Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jace Mande, 055. I own my own business. I live with my girlfriend. She works for me. No children. Never been a juror. Lived here ten THE COURT: Never been a juror here or anywhere? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, Badge No. 060. I'm a business agent for the Brick Layer's Union. I'm a delegate. I have a wife and I have two children. THE COURT: Your wife's name is? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Lucile DeLucca. 57

5 Hoss, 008. As I said, before I am a roady. 6 THE COURT: What company do you work for or how 7 does that work? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: I'm kind of 9 independent. 10 THE COURT: You do not have a paycheck from a 11 company? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Whatever band I am 13 traveling with. 14 THE COURT: Just out of curiosity, who are you 15 traveling with this weekend? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Currently a band 17 called The Reverend 14. 18 THE COURT: I have a friend who would be very 19 jealous of me right now because she's a very big fan. 20 All right. Can you go ahead and answer the remaining 21 questions. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: My wife's name is 23 Lonnie Argyle. She is a pharmacy technician at UMC. No

THE COURT: And what does she do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: She is a housewife. I have a daughter and she is not working. She is a student at Berkley University. I have been in Las Vegas since 1990. I have been a juror before. 6 THE COURT: Do you remember if it was a civil or 7 a criminal case? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Criminal. 9 THE COURT: And did you actually start any part 10 of the jury trial process? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: No. I was kicked 12 out of the jury. 13 THE COURT: So you were not actually selected? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060; No. 15 THE COURT: I see. That question is geared more 16 towards people who were in fact selected and maybe there 17 was a deliberation process and whether or not they were 18 the foreperson. But I am happy to know that you were 19 called but you were not actually selected; is that 20 correct? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Gianni Cardoza. 25 My number is 166. I am a full-time student, I am 58

56

children. Been in Vegas coming up on eight years. Never

25 been a juror.

1	married. My husband's name is James Shoemaker. He is a	1	before.
2	•	2	THE COURT: Never been called, huh?
3	work. I lived here all my life, so 29 years, and I have	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: No.
4	never been a juror.	4	THE COURT: All right.
5	· .	5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Jason Moore,
Į.	•	6	885. I sold a business. December 2012 was the last time
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Brian	7	I worked.
7	Lagomarsino, 001. I work at Mandalay Bay. I am a	8	THE COURT: What kind of business was it?
8	director of guest services. I am not married. No	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 885: It was a direct mail
9	children. Been in Las Vegas for 11 years in August. I	_	
10	have never been a juror.	10	company, money mailer.
11	THE COURT: Here or anywhere?	11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 885: I went back to
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Just double checking.	13	school and got my bachelors degree. My wife is in
14	Go ahead, sir.	14	pharmaceutical sales.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jeff Swain, 679. I work	15	THE COURT: What is her name?
16	at Encore Casino. My wife's name is Emma Swain. She	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 885: Katherine Moore, I
17	also works at Encore. We have a five-year-old daughter,	17	do have children. I have three children. One is old
18	THE COURT: What do you and your wife do at	18	enough to work. He did work part time as a sales clerk
19	Encore?	19	at the Smith Center. Born and raised here. I also went
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: She is a dealer and	20	to Cashman.
21	I'm a baccarat manager.	21	THE COURT: So you remember Ms. Schultz?
22	THE COURT: Okay,	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 885: Actually, I don't.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I have lived in Las	23	And I have never been a juror before.
24	Vegas for 15 years. And I have made it to the selection	24	THE COURT: What did you get your bachelors in?
25	process but was not actually selected as a juror.	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 885: Business
1	59		. 61
1	THE COURT: Never on a panel.	1	administration and management.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Right.	2	THE COURT: Okay. Sometimes these are questions
3	THE COURT: Thank you.	3	that the counsel like to follow up on, too, so I try to
4	Sir.	4	question where I can. Thank you.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Jacob Spooner,	5	Ma'am.
6	708. I am a poker dealer at Planet Hollywood. I have a	6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Idalia Demetria
7	fiance who stays at home with my two children.	7	Rice-Wilson, 905. I am a licensed practical nurse. Work
8	THE COURT: What is her name?	8	for the VA Hospital. I am divorced. I have three
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Linda. I have lived	9	children. Two are old enough to work. One is here in
10			chitaton, 1 no are old choden to nork, One is note in
		10	_
11	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin	1	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit.
11 12	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror.	11	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that
12	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin?	11 12	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential,
12 13	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area.	11 12 13	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case.
12 13 14	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here	11 12 13 14	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work?
12 13 14 15	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago?	11 12 13 14 15	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No.
12 13 14 15 16	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes.	11 12 13 14	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here?
12 13 14 15 16 17	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you.	11 12 13 14 15 16	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am a ramp agent at the airport.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here five years. Never been a juror, never served.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am a ramp agent at the airport. THE COURT: What kind of agent?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here five years. Never been a juror, never served. THE COURT: And I assume you moved here from
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am a ramp agent at the airport. THE COURT: What kind of agent? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: Ramp agent.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here five years. Never been a juror, never served. THE COURT: And I assume you moved here from Detroit?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am a ramp agent at the airport. THE COURT: What kind of agent? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: Ramp agent. THE COURT: Oh, okay. Go ahead.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here five years. Never been a juror, never served. THE COURT: And I assume you moved here from Detroit? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Correct.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am a ramp agent at the airport. THE COURT: What kind of agent? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: Ramp agent. THE COURT: Oh, okay. Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: I am not married.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here five years. Never been a juror, never served. THE COURT: And I assume you moved here from Detroit? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Correct. THE COURT: Thank you.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am a ramp agent at the airport. THE COURT: What kind of agent? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: Ramp agent. THE COURT: Oh, okay. Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: I am not married. No kids. Bom and raised in Vegas. Never been a juror	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here five years. Never been a juror, never served. THE COURT: And I assume you moved here from Detroit? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Correct. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in Vegas for about five years and I lived in Wisconsin before that and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: Where did you live in Wisconsin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: O'Claire area. THE COURT: And then you said you moved here about five years ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isaac Vilchez, 883. I am a ramp agent at the airport. THE COURT: What kind of agent? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: Ramp agent. THE COURT: Oh, okay. Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 883: I am not married.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vegas with me, he does not work. And one is in Detroit. THE COURT: Just interested in the ones that might work in our community and might have potential, again, unknown but crossover with the case. So he doesn't work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: And your ex-spouse is here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I have been here five years. Never been a juror, never served. THE COURT: And I assume you moved here from Detroit? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Correct. THE COURT: Thank you.

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. I		THE COURT DIA . C. 1 . C.
2		1	the second secon
3		2	
4		3	juror before on a criminal case. We reached a verdict
5		4	and I was not the foreperson.
	The Branch of the Control of the Con	5	THE COURT: How long ago was your jury service?
6	children. Two live in California. Two in Texas. And	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Over 20 years ago.
7	the only one with me is 31 years old and he is a civil	7	THE COURT: Okay. And you did in fact get the
8		8	opportunity to deliberate?
9	THE COURT: For what company?	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: I have no idea. And	10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11	the one that lives in Texas she is a chiropractor. And	11	Sir.
12	two in California, the twins, one is a medical assistant	12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Richard Benson, 927. I
13	and the other one is a housewife. And the youngest one,	13	work as a dealer at the Encore. I am single. Never been
14	who was a surprise, she is only seven years old.	14	married. No children. I have been here for 17 years and
15	THE COURT: Okay. Again, we are mainly	15	I have never been a juror.
16	interested if someone is working here in our community.	16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	We're going to have some follow-up questions like, for	17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Gilbert
18	instance, connections to law enforcement that some folks	18	Ganales, 930. I am a raw milk receiver at a local dairy.
19	may have in terms of their family member or friends.	19	I am married to Marina Ganales. She is a domestic
20	I think we have covered everything. Did you say	20	engineer, stay-at-home mom. We have both lived here
21	that you had jury service?	21	since 2005 and I have never been a juror.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: I haven't had any.	22	THE COURT: Since 2005. Okay. And you have
23	THE COURT: Okay, Thank you,	23	never been called here or anywhere to sit on a jury?
24	Sir.	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 930: Right.
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Windell	25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
	63		65
	-		00
		1	
1 1	Whisenant, Badge No. 009. My wife's name is Maryanne	1 1	Ma'am.
1 2	Whisenant, Badge No. 009. My wife's name is Maryanne Whisenant, I am a retired construction worker. I worked	1 2	Ma'am. A PROSPECTIVE HIROR: My name is Tami Taylor
i i	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked	2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor,
2	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired	2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company.
2 3 4	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased.	2 3 4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons?
3	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in	2 3 4 5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner
2 3 4 5 6	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter	2 3 4 5 6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years	2 3 4 5 6 7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My wife is also retired. She worked for O'Nelly and Meyers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My wife is also retired. She worked for O'Nelly and Meyers also in data processing, not the legal side. We have no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. I am a school teacher. I am married to Rick Towers. He works	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My wife is also retired. She worked for O'Nelly and Meyers also in data processing, not the legal side. We have no kids. We have lived in Las Vegas since 2006. I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. I am a school teacher. I am married to Rick Towers. He works at Cesar's Entertainment as a dealer. I have two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My wife is also retired. She worked for O'Nelly and Meyers also in data processing, not the legal side. We have no kids. We have lived in Las Vegas since 2006. I have been on a jury before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. I am a school teacher. I am married to Rick Towers. He works at Cesar's Entertainment as a dealer. I have two children. My son is unemployed. My daughter works at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My wife is also retired. She worked for O'Nelly and Meyers also in data processing, not the legal side. We have no kids. We have lived in Las Vegas since 2006. I have been on a jury before. THE COURT: Was that here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. I am a school teacher. I am married to Rick Towers. He works at Cesar's Entertainment as a dealer. I have two children. My son is unemployed. My daughter works at Red Rock in hospitality. I was born and raised here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My wife is also retired. She worked for O'Nelly and Meyers also in data processing, not the legal side. We have no kids. We have lived in Las Vegas since 2006. I have been on a jury before. THE COURT: Was that here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: No. It was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Whisenant. I am a retired construction worker. I worked for Las Vegas Paving for 12 years. My wife is retired from Lockheed. I have four children and one deceased. Two boys. One lives in Oregon and one lives here in town. Both of them are disabled. I have one daughter and she sells online. I have lived in Vegas for 14 years and I have never been a juror. THE COURT: You indicated there is a connection to law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No. THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood you, sir. Thank you. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Justin Walker, Badge No. 985. I am a job manager for American Auto Works. I am not married. No children. And lived in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have never been a juror before. THE COURT: Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. I am a school teacher. I am married to Rick Towers. He works at Cesar's Entertainment as a dealer. I have two children. My son is unemployed. My daughter works at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tami Taylor, 933. I work for Vons Grocery Company. THE COURT: What do you do for Vons? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am a scanner coordinator/checker. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I am divorced. I have one son but he lives in San Diego. I lived in Vegas 26 years. And I have never been on a jury here or anywhere else. THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Steve Manning, 987. I am retired. My wife is Pam. THE COURT: What are you retired from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: I was a programer analyst for Home Savings of America. And I was responsibile for the bank's general ledger system. My wife is also retired. She worked for O'Nelly and Meyers also in data processing, not the legal side. We have no kids. We have lived in Las Vegas since 2006. I have been on a jury before. THE COURT: Was that here?

		.,	
1	California. And we didn't come to a conclusion because	1	Badge No. 971. I am tattoo artist at Club Tattoo in
2	it was a civil case and they settled before we were	2	Planet Hollywood. My wife is Leona Hamilton. She is a
3	deliberating and they said	3	IV pro-athlete, like bodybuilder-type stuff. I have a
4	THE COURT: And they settled instead.	4	son who is not old enough to work. I have lived in Las
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: Yes. Exactly,	5	Vegas for eight years. I have never been on a jury
6	THE COURT: But you had the opportunity to be	6	before.
7	selected, sit as a factfinder.	7	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hamilton.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: And I was not the	8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Phyllis Ash, 976. I am
9	foreperson.	9	retired. I am a widow. I have a daughter who is a
10	THE COURT: All right. But you were able to	10	school teacher at Lee Middle School. I have lived in Las
11	undertake in deliberations so you know what the process	11	Vegas for 22 years and I have never been a juror.
12	is like?	12	THE COURT: What position did you retire from?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: Yes.	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: Actually, I have not
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	14	worked for 11 years. I worked at the Star Dust poker
15	Sir,	15	room and I took cake of my husband while he was ill.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is James	16	THE COURT: What profession was he in?
17	Bakkedahl, 940. I am a union electrician here in town.	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: He was an orthopedic
18	My wife, Angela Bakkedahl, is a non-food manager for	18	surgeon.
19	Smiths. We have two children. My stepchild, Alicia, my	19	THE COURT: And you said you have never done
20	daughter, Jane. They are 16 and 4. She does not work	20	jury service?
21	right now but is driving now. Lived here over 13 years	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: No. I got called
22	off and on. Never been a jury before in this state or in	22	while he was sick and I could not do it.
23	California.	23	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that.
24	THE COURT: All right, Thank you very much.	24	My follow-up questions then, there are a couple
25	Sir.	25	of folks who indicated that they have a connection to law
	67		69
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Martin Burgess,	1	enforcement. The remaining questions that I have for you
1 2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Martin Burgess, and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an	1 2	enforcement. The remaining questions that I have for you are directed to whether you yourself or a family member
2	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an	1	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member
	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I	2	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions.
2 3 4	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years.	2	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member
2	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before?	2 3 4	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel
2 3 4 5	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury	2 3 4 5	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked
2 3 4 5 6	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before?	2 3 4 5 6	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal. THE COURT: And how long ago was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections officer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal. THE COURT: And how long ago was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: That was in 2000.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: In the courthouse.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal. THE COURT: And how long ago was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: That was in 2000. THE COURT: So 14 years ago. You did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: In the courthouse. THE COURT: Used to be you said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal. THE COURT: And how long ago was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: That was in 2000. THE COURT: So 14 years ago. You did deliberate, the verdict was reached but you were not the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: In the courthouse. THE COURT: Used to be you said? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Up until a couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal. THE COURT: And how long ago was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: That was in 2000. THE COURT: So 14 years ago. You did deliberate, the verdict was reached but you were not the foreperson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: In the courthouse. THE COURT: Used to be you said? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Up until a couple years ago, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal. THE COURT: And how long ago was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: That was in 2000. THE COURT: So 14 years ago. You did deliberate, the verdict was reached but you were not the foreperson? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: In the courthouse. THE COURT: Used to be you said? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Up until a couple years ago, yeah. THE COURT: Okay. And is that the only law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and my badge is 000. I work for Cox Communications as an HFC tech. My wife, Julie, is a CNA for a hospital. I have been here about 14 years. THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Never been on a jury before. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Bonnie Schultz and my badge number is 958. I am a retired school teacher. My husband, Gary Schultz, is a retired police officer. We don't have children. I have been here for 52 years. I have been on a jury. We did reach a verdict and I was not the foreperson. THE COURT: Do you remember if it was civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was criminal. THE COURT: And how long ago was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: That was in 2000. THE COURT: So 14 years ago. You did deliberate, the verdict was reached but you were not the foreperson? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Correct. THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	are directed to whether you yourself or a family member or a close friend, okay, can answer these questions. So, first question is has anyone on the panel themselves or close friend or family member ever worked in the area of law enforcement? I know we had a couple of folks that answered that already, but let's see your hands again and then I will ask a few more questions and details. Let me start with Juror No. 1. If you can give us your name and badge number and then your response. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Darrell Hoss, 008. You did say close friend, right? THE COURT: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: One of my very good friends used to be a marshal here. THE COURT: In the courthouse or a corrections officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: In the courthouse. THE COURT: Used to be you said? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Up until a couple years ago, yeah. THE COURT: Okay. And is that the only law enforcement connection that you have then?

1	THE COURT: Okay. I know that you mentioned	1	fact innocent. He would have to be proven guilty by the
2	that you had some concerns in terms of time frame of the	2	State meeting its burden to prove him guilty beyond a
3	trial, but let me ask you a more specific question about	3	reasonable doubt. That's our basic tenent of American
4	that connection to law enforcement. Is there any reason	4	justice. So do you have any questions or concerns about
5	that you believe because of that familiarity with that	. 5	that? Would you be able to follow that?
6	person that you would tend to give significantly more	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 008: Maybe.
7	weight to the testimony of someone just because they are	7	THE COURT: I think we will get some more
8	a member of law enforcement.	8	details here in a minute.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: No. Just answering	9	Law enforcement section. Sir.
10	the question.	10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jace Mande, 055. A couple
11	THE COURT: I appreciate that, Is there any	11	of friends are cops back in New York.
12	reason why knowing someone in law enforcement would	12	THE COURT: Okay. How long has it been since
13	impact your ability to be fair and impartial.	13	you lived in New York?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes, yes.	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Ten years.
15	THE COURT: So that would impact your ability to	15	THE COURT: Are you still friends with them?
16	be fair and impartial?	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Absolutely.	17	THE COURT: Do you believe that those folks
18	THE COURT: But it would not cause you to give	18	being your friends would cause you to give either greater
19	undue weight to testimony from someone in law	19	or lesser weight to law enforcement, would that cause you
20	enforcement?	20	to weigh the testimony of law enforcement any greater or
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: No.	21	different than with anyone else?
22	THE COURT: How would it impact you in terms of	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: (No audible
23	your impartiality?	23	response.)
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Not a big fan of the	24	THE COURT: The real question is would you be
25	whole system to be honest with you.	25	biased in favor, would you weigh significantly more in
	71		73
			6
1	THE COURT: And that's the kind of thing that I	1	favor of law enforcement just because you have these friends?
2	try to elicit a little bit of information in my questions	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.
3	and then I let the counsel do some follow-up stuff. So I	4	THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still
4	am going to give you some questions in a minute that	5	be able to be fair and impartial in being a juror and
5	might help us understand better that response. One of the questions that's going to be	6	receiving the evidence in this case, receiving the law as
6	forthcoming is going to be, again, if anyone has ever had	7	I instruct you on the law and actually deliberating
7	dealings with the criminal justice system either as a	8	fairly and impartially. Do you believe you would be able
8	victim or accused or whatever those circumstances may be.	9	to do that?
10	So we'll get to that.	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Sure.
11	But appreciate that you feel that perhaps your	11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12	interests would be more in line with the defense, would	12	Anyone else on law enforcement? Ma'am.
13	that be fair, that you are not a fan of the system. Or	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: My husband and
14	are you talking about the system as a whole?	14	grandfather.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Fair.	15	THE COURT: Okay. You mentioned that your
16	THE COURT: Okay. Now, I did ask about the	16	husband is a current member of Metro; is that correct?
17	basic principles of innocent until proven guilty. The	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.
18	State has the burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt	18	THE COURT: And your grandfather?
19	and you did not indicate that you couldn't follow that.	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.
20	Does it go that deep?	20	THE COURT: And your grandfather's position?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: I must have missed	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Police officer.
22	that.	22	THE COURT: Do you believe that your husband
23	THE COURT: So our basic principles of American	23	currently being a member of Metro would cause you to give
24	justice, again, the defendant is innocent until he's	24	significantly more weight to the testimony of a law
25	proven guilty. In fact, as he sits there today, he is in	25	enforcement officer?
}	72		. 74
		74.00	20 of 70 chapte

	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: 1 have a similar	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No.	
1	mindset,	2	THE COURT: Do you believe you believe you would	
2		3	be able to be fair and impartial?	
3	THE COURT: Okay. That's an interesting way to	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes.	
4	answer that question. Counsel may want to follow up	5	THE COURT: The next question that we would have	
5	further.		for you, and this goes a little deeper, closer to the	
6	Do you believe that you would be able to receive	6	- 1	
7	the evidence that's provided in this case and weigh it	7	criminal justice system itself. But I am going to ask	
8	and understand how important it is that that evidence be	8	here if there is anyone on panel who themselves have ever	
9	found to be whatever the facts are by the jury, the law	9	encountered the criminal justice system because they have	
10	that I give apply to it, and that is basically how our	10	been a victim of crime.	
. 11	system of justice works.	11	Okay. And I am keeping this that general	
12	Do you believe that you would be able to do that	12	because I want to get your candid answers. But it would	
13	in this case and be fair and impartial in your	13	include, though, if there would ever be a crime of a	
. 14	deliberations?	14	sexual offense type crime.	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: I could.	15	So, ma'am, you gave us some basic information.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. Law enforcement connections	16	We may or may not need more information. Can you please	
17	in the middle row. Ma'am.	17	identify yourself again.	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. Law	18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Linda Alarcon, 032.	
19	enforcement, does that include probation?	19	THE COURT: In addition to what you have already	
20	THE COURT: Yes. Any connection with law	20	told us is there any other situation where you	
21	enforcement of any type would be valuable for us too.	21	encountered the criminal justice system?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: My nephew just had a	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No, ma'am,	
23	graduation ceremony a couple of weeks ago.	23	THE COURT: Okay. So that one circumstance.	
24	THE COURT: For what?	24	And we have already I think explored that. And counsel	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: I believe probation.	25	is welcome to further inquire if they wish to do so.	
	75	1	77	
1	THE COURT; Parole and Probation or a	1	Back row, sir.	
	111 000111, 1 110.11 1111.11 110.11	ا م		
1 2	corrections officer?	4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was not a victim. I was	
2	corrections officer? PROSPECTIVE IUROR NO. 924: Yes.	3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was not a victim. I was arrested a couple times.	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.	3 4	arrested a couple times.	
3 4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that	3	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let	
3 4 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to	3 4	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself	
3 4 5 6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in	3 4 5 6	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or	
3 4 5 6 7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way?	3 4 5 6 7	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have	
3 4 5 6 7 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all.	3 4 5 6 7 8	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a	
3 4 5 6 7 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers. THE COURT: Also retired?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I don't know.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers. THE COURT: Also retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I don't know. THE COURT: It's just out there.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers. THE COURT: Also retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I don't know. THE COURT: It's just out there. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yeah, it's out	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers. THE COURT: Also retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I don't know. THE COURT: It's just out there. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yeah, it's out there.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers. THE COURT: Also retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would be able to receive the testimony from a law enforcement officer and give it substantially greater weight than any other witness in this case?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I don't know. THE COURT: It's just out there. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yeah, it's out there.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers. THE COURT: Also retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would be able to receive the testimony from a law enforcement officer and give it substantially greater weight than any	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I don't know. THE COURT: It's just out there. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yeah, it's out there.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Having a relative that is in that capacity do you think that would cause you to be bias towards or against a law enforcement witness in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not at all. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would still be able to receive the evidence in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be able to be fair and impartial in your deliberations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the middle row? How about the front row? THE COURT: Ms. Schultz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My husband is retired since '96 and we have several friends also who were police officers. THE COURT: Also retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that you would be able to receive the testimony from a law enforcement officer and give it substantially greater weight than any other witness in this case?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	arrested a couple times. THE COURT: We'll get to that in a minute. Let me first ask and remember this applies to you yourself have ever been a victim of crime or a family member or close friend. We are trying to understand if you have had direct knowledge of, or even if it's been through a close friend or family member, involvement with the criminal justice system that might in some way influence your ability to be fair and impartial. In the back row, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jeffrey Swain, 679. I had my car stolen last month. THE COURT: Was anybody caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: No. THE COURT: Is the case still active? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about how that case has been handled so far by the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: I don't know. THE COURT: It's just out there. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 679: Yeah, it's out there. THE COURT: Was your car recovered?	

1		1	was assaulted one time. The guy that did it was brought
2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	before the system and found guilty.
3		3	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
4	June 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: About eight years
5		5	ago,
6		6	THE COURT: And you said that the person was
7	THE COURT: Anybody else in the back row? How	7	caught?
8	about the middle row?	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Yes.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Could you repeat the	9	THE COURT: How did you feel about that
10	question.	10	circumstance? How did you feel about how it was handled?
11	THE COURT: I will. You yourself, family member	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It was handled to my
12	or close friend ever been a victim of crime including hut	12	satisfaction. Really good.
13	not limited to serious crime or a sex offense crime.	13	THE COURT: And is there anything about that
14	We're looking for any criminal involvement as a victim	14	that you think would impact your ability to be fair and
15	that would have brought you into contact with law	15	impartial, understanding that the whole point is to do
16	enforcement, the criminal justice system, anything like	16	equal justice to both sides of this case.
17	that?	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It would not bother
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Idalia Demetria	18	me at all.
19	Rice-Wilson, 905. I was a victim of a crime.	19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Can you be more specific.	20	Anybody else?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: My son was in a park	21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924. Over
22	and someone tried to rob him at gunpoint.	22	20 years ago my brother-in-law was shot and killed.
23	THE COURT: Was this in Detroit?	23	THE COURT: Was that here in Las Vegas?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No, this was here.	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.
25	THE COURT: How long ago?	25	THE COURT: Was the person ever caught?
	79		81
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Maybe a year ago.	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Was anybody ever caught?	2	THE COURT: Was it the subject of a criminal
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Yes.	3	case?
4	THE COURT: Did it go to any kind of a	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.
5	preliminary hearing or trial?	5	THE COURT: Were you directly involved in that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No.	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Not directly
7	THE COURT: Do you know how it resolved?	7	
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		involved, no.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Not really. The	8	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Not really. The last time we went to court the young man there was two	8 9	-
9 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it.
1	last time we went to court the young man there was two	9	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the
10	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to	9 10	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be
10 11	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified	9 10 11	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the
10 11 12 13 14	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing?	9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No.
10 11 12 13 14 15	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony of the witnesses in this case, apply the law as I give it	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000. About three years ago my apartment was broken into and
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony of the witnesses in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be fair and impartial?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000. About three years ago my apartment was broken into and burglarized.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony of the witnesses in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I think I could do	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000. About three years ago my apartment was broken into and burglarized. THE COURT: Was anybody ever caught?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony of the witnesses in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I think I could do that, yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000. About three years ago my apartment was broken into and burglarized. THE COURT: Was anybody ever caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony of the witnesses in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I think I could do that, yes. THE COURT: Thank you.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000. About three years ago my apartment was broken into and burglarized. THE COURT: Was anybody ever caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No. THE COURT: Do you know if that case is still
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony of the witnesses in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I think I could do that, yes. THE COURT: Thank you. Sir.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000. About three years ago my apartment was broken into and burglarized. THE COURT: Was anybody ever caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No. THE COURT: Do you know if that case is still ongoing?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last time we went to court the young man there was two of them actually, one was caught where my son was able to identify a young man and the other one was not identified or caught. THE COURT: Do you know if the case is still ongoing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. THE COURT: Is there anything about that circumstance that you believe would impact your ability to receive the evidence in this case, hear the testimony of the witnesses in this case, apply the law as I give it to you and be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I think I could do that, yes. THE COURT: Thank you.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: But you have knowledge of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe that this would in any way prevent you from being able to then receive the evidence in this case, apply the law to this case and be fair and impartial in your deliberations in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: No. THE COURT: You think you could do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Martin Burgess, 000. About three years ago my apartment was broken into and burglarized. THE COURT: Was anybody ever caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No. THE COURT: Do you know if that case is still

	the state of the s		**************************************	_
1	anything from Metro.	1	dropped?	
2	THE COURT: Anything about that that would cause	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I was arrested one	e
3	you to have a difficulty in a criminal case such as this	3	more time because a friend of mine had come to the club	
4	to receive the evidence and weigh it and be impartial?	4	and he had drugs on him.	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No, not at all.	5	THE COURT: How did that case turn out?	
6	THE COURT; Okay.	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was dropped.	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: The other thing is I	7	THE COURT: Okay. So you have had a couple of	
8	was rear-ended by an impaired driver last week and that	8	situations where you had to deal with law enforcement,	
9	went fine the cops were fine.	9	and you had some friends in law enforcement but you have	
10	THE COURT: Do you know if that person was	10	also had some run-ins with law enforcement. Do believe	
11	arrested?	11	that that would impact your ability to be fair and	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: She was taken away	12	impartial in this case?	
13	in an ambulance with two motorcycle cops following.	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.	
14	THE COURT: But you do not know what has come of	14	THE COURT: You don't think you would be	
15	that?	15	favorable or disfavor law enforcement in any way?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No.	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I would hope I	
17	THE COURT: But so far, as you said, you still	17	wouldn't. It was over 20 years ago. I have changed my	
18	believe that you could still receive the evidence in this	18	life around.	
19	case and be fair and impartial?	19	THE COURT: All right. We just need your best	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Absolutely.	20	candid answer. And counsel may have some follow-up	
21	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Schultz, did I see	21	questions for you. But I appreciate your candor.	
22	your hand up?	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Is this going to	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. 46 years ago	23	rely on police testimony?	
24	my husband's car was stolen.	24	THE COURT: Well, counsel pointed out that there	
25	THE COURT: That was a long time ago but I think	25	is going to be law enforcement testimony with regard to	
	83		85	
1				
1	you also know that we know you have the connection to law	1	the circumstances. And so that is why we ask these	
1 2	you also know that we know you have the connection to law enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything	1 2	the circumstances. And so that is why we ask these questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the	
1		1	-	-
2	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything	2	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else?	
2 3	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair	2	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was	
2 3 4	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial?	2 3 4	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor	-
2 3 4 5	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No.	2 3 4 5	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting	
2 3 4 5 6	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I	2 3 4 5 6	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which	
2 3 4 5 6 7	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped. THE COURT: Can you just let us know what the circumstances of that were briefly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I owned a nightclub	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should have been different than what occurred?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped. THE COURT: Can you just let us know what the circumstances of that were briefly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I owned a nightclub and I was harassed by the police repeatedly. The chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should have been different than what occurred? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped. THE COURT: Can you just let us know what the circumstances of that were briefly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I owned a nightclub and I was harassed by the police repeatedly. The chief of police owned a restaurant down the street and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should have been different than what occurred? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about that in terms	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped. THE COURT: Can you just let us know what the circumstances of that were briefly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I owned a nightclub and I was harassed by the police repeatedly. The chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should have been different than what occurred? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about that in terms of how the system worked for you?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped. THE COURT: Can you just let us know what the circumstances of that were briefly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I owned a nightclub and I was harassed by the police repeatedly. The chief of police owned a restaurant down the street and they wanted our business so they did everything they could to drive us out of there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should have been different than what occurred? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about that in terms of how the system worked for you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: I didn't have the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped. THE COURT: Can you just let us know what the circumstances of that were briefly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I owned a nightclub and I was harassed by the police repeatedly. The chief of police owned a restaurant down the street and they wanted our business so they did everything they could to drive us out of there. THE COURT: Sounds like one of those things we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should have been different than what occurred? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about that in terms of how the system worked for you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: I didn't have the chance to present myself to the case. The judge at the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	enforcement that you have. But do you believe anything about that incident would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. THE COURT: Okay. The next question, and I believe Mr. Mande got into it. This question is sort of the mirror of that. But if you or a family member or close friend has ever been accused of a crime of any type, and we are trying to find out, again, how that might have affect you and your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror. So, Mr. Mande, you indicated that you were arrested at some point? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It was over 20 years ago and everything was dropped. THE COURT: Can you just let us know what the circumstances of that were briefly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I owned a nightclub and I was harassed by the police repeatedly. The chief of police owned a restaurant down the street and they wanted our business so they did everything they could to drive us out of there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions. And, again, it is a criminal trial at the basis so we will find out. I appreciate your candor. Anybody else? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joe Rivera, 060. I was accused of contracting without a license. I did a favor for a neighbor and he turned me in that I was contracting without a license. So I went to the court system, which they had me paid restitution because I did not have the license. But I was not contracting. I just did some work at his house and turned out I had to pay everything back and went through the court system. Of course I was not here in town. THE COURT: So it sounds like the case went forward and you were not present to defend it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Exactly. THE COURT: So it sounds like you have some reason to believe that what occurred in that case should have been different than what occurred? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes. THE COURT: How do you feel about that in terms of how the system worked for you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: I didn't have the	

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: Not at all. you end up being guilty. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay, Thank you. THE COURT: All right. That circumstance that 2 you had I guess most people would consider it somewhat 3 Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Gilbert Canales, 930. 4 negative about the justice system. Do you think that 4 About 13 years ago I was arrested for kidnapping, assault would impact your ability to sit in this case as a juror, 6 with a deadly weapon in California. and understand that this is an unrelated case, but it THE COURT: Okay. 7 7 will have facts in evidence that you would have to PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 930: All charges were determine facts and weigh what the law as I give it to 8 8 dropped. And all of my family is not good. They get in 9 you. Do you believe that you can still do that? 9 trouble with the law. A lot of drug trafficking. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: It would not affect 10 10 11 THE COURT: The charges in your case were 11 me at all. dropped. What was the time frame of when these charges 12 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in terms of, 12 were pending? again, accused of a crime, yourself, family member or 13 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 930: Within a month it 14 friend. 15 was all dropped because the guy basically -- this case 15 Go ahead, sir. started breaking into houses and cars and me and my 16 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Are you asking the 16 brother-in-law got fed up with it so we called the cops 17 question if you have been arrested? 17 18 told them to come. And he would do drugs in front of his THE COURT: That would be considered to be 18 19 kids and it really bothered us so one day we confronted accused of a crime. I hate to say arrested because if I 19 20 him outside and we took him for a ride to get him about say arrested then there's other folks that might have 20 an hour away from the house so he could have a long walk been questioned and not arrested. But arrested, again, 21 21 home to think about it and he pressed charges on us so we 22 you, close friend or family member as I think again you 22 pressed charges on him and then he was stealing from our 23 get the gist I am trying to find out if you had 23 interactions with the criminal justice system or law 24 house including my watch and other stuff so when they 24 asked specifies on the watch, I had all the details of 25 25 enforcement. 89 87 it. But when I went to press charges on him he was set A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct. 1 2 free. THE COURT: And you are again? 2 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jason Benson, 927. So I 3 THE COURT: So neither side's charges went 3 4 forward? have two. The first is I was arrested for littering PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 930: Right. approximately 25 years ago in New Hampshire and I have a 5 5 6 THE COURT: Have you appreciated since then that class C substance arrest about 20 years ago. maybe acting as your own law enforcement is not the best 7 THE COURT: And those cases, were they 7 8 idea? citations? Did they get dropped? How did that get 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 930: Yes. They told me 9 resolved? 10 to just keep calling. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: The first one, the 10 THE COURT: Okay, You indicated your family 11 littering was a fine paid and the second one was a no 11 12 members have had interaction with law enforcement. 12 contest plea. Again, you have heard the questions that I've asked of THE COURT: And the most recent one you said was 13 13 others who have same or similar circumstances. We could 14 about 20 years ago? 14 go one by one, the point really is here has those 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: Yes. 15 16 interactions with law enforcement impacted you in such a THE COURT: And how did you feel about that 16 circumstance with your dealing with the criminal justice way that you would not be able to be fair and impartial 17 17 to both sides of this case, the State and the defense, 18 18 system? weigh the evidence and apply the law; do you think you 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: Everything went 19 20 could still do that? 20 fine. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 930: I could. THE COURT: Thought it was fair? 21 THE COURT: Think you would be okay. Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: Correct. 22 Like I said, counsel may have some follow-up questions THE COURT: Any reason why you having been 23 24 for you. I appreciate your candor. involved with those circumstances would impact your 24 25 Did I see any more hands? Sir. ability to be a fair and impartial juror here? 25 90 88

THE COURT: And stayed out of trouble? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: James Bakkedahl, 940. In 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: Yes. 2 2 '91 I was convicted for assault to an undercover cop. I THE COURT: Anything about that situation that 3 was guilty. Took a plea. Put on probation and had impacted you in a way that would impact your ability to 4 trouble with possession of paraphernalia about 10 or 4 15 years ago was on probation. Nine years sober serve in this trial? 5 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: No. 6 July 26ht of this year. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? THE COURT: Congratulations. 7 Go ahead, Mr. Walker. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940: And I have no 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Last week I was 9 impartial judgment toward either side. 9 accused of impaired driving. So I'm going through that. 10 THE COURT: Well, congratulations on your sober 10 THE COURT: So you are currently in the process 11 date and I appreciate your candor on those prior 11 and currently involved in a case in the system? circumstances. You understand that both sides in this 12 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Correct. 13 case are due your attention and want equal justice 13 THE COURT: And where does that stand? Were you 14 because both sides want you to be able to receive the 14 actually arrested and taken into custody? 15 evidence, apply the law and fairly and impartially 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Yes. 16 deliberate with your fellow jurors? 16 THE COURT: Have you entered a plea yet? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940: Yes, I could. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. I have court 18 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 19 date on the 9th of this month. 19 Ms. Schultz. THE COURT: Okay. Don't talk any specifics 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: My dad was arrested 20 about the case. Just wanted to know about that 21 21 several times. 22 connection on the current case. THE COURT: And did be ever -- did the cases 22 Is there anybody yet that has not answered any 23 23 ever go to court? of the questions that we have asked, again, being accused 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. He was 24 of or a victim of crimes specifically involving a sexual 25 convicted and served prison. 25 93 91 nature that we haven't heard? THE COURT: Can you be more specific as to the 1 All right. What we will do now is take about a 2 2 circumstances. ten-minute recess. And then when we come back I will PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: He bounced checks. 3 3 invite counsel to further inquire of the panel. Please THE COURT: Financial-type fraud? 4 be aware that it is very important that you not discuss PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Yes. 5 this case or try to speculate about anything about this 6 THE COURT: All right. And was that some 6 case. You obviously do not have any facts or 7 significant period of time ago or more recent? 7 circumstances about this case at this time, but try not PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: It was back in the 8 8 to talk about it in any way, shape or form, and certainly 9 '60s. 10

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that 10 would impact your ability to be fair and impartial? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. 12 THE COURT: Again, I think you have had dealings 13 on perhaps both sides, but you don't believe that that 14 would impact you in any way? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. I had 19 a DUI in '89. 20 21 THE COURT: Was that here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: No, in Florida. 22 THE COURT: Were you able to -- how did that 23 resolve itself? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: I paid my fines. 25

no Facebook posts or anything like that. At some point you may be able to disclose that you are not impaneled 11 but you were here for the selection process. If you are 12 empaneled you can disclose that you are on a criminal 13 trial but that's it. See you back in about ten minutes. 14 15

(Jurors exit the courtroom.)

THE COURT: The woman in the back, it's up to you guys whether or not you want to ask her any questions. She was pretty adamant that she was not going anywhere in her drawing her line in the sand. I did not 20 want to just let her go because I didn't want to open the door for others, but I would suggest that we could either 21 -- my inclination is that we do all the questioning and 22 then get to the point where we'll discuss privately that 23 way you don't have to do it in front of the panel if you 24 have somebody for cause.

94

16

17

18

The discussion about whether we are going to excuse anybody for cause will take place out of the presence of the jurors either up at the bench or in the hallway. If it is really just that one that remains then so be it.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But what will happen, Mr. Cooper, when you finish you don't have to say I pass the panel. You can just say I have completed my questions. And then you can undertake your questions. And then we will deal with whatever cause issues there might be.

If you really feel strongly about one and you don't want to inquire further because you think you have gotten to the point where there is really no going back and no rehabilitation, you can ask to approach and we can discuss that potential juror then. But typically I would rather complete it. Let you complete, and then we will talk and have our cause discussion about who is going to go and who is going to stay. I don't know if you want to inquire of that individual, you are welcome to. I just know that she was pretty adamant.

MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, I might have missed this for the preemptory challenges. Is it four and then one for the alternate?

THE COURT: No, five. The alternates will be 24 the last two seated. 25

prevented us from having trial tomorrow has now been -- I don't know how to describe it -- something has occurred 2 unexpectedly that will now free up that time for us 3 tomorrow. 4

And what the Court intends to do is do what it 5 would have liked to have done from the beginning, which 6 is run the trial dates consecutive and get the trial finished for certain by Wednesday so we did not have any 8 concern or question about the time frame. 9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 95 to 98 of 207

95

When I mentioned and we talked about the hardships and not having trial tomorrow, is there anybody that would have a problem with having trial tomorrow?

Seeing no hands that is good to know. It is 13 likely, however, from what we know about the witnesses' 14 availability because with that issue we also had to determine who was available. We still will be present 16 for the remainder of trial on Wednesday but it is quite 17 certain that we will be able to deliver the evidence to 18 you and allow you to begin your deliberations sometime 19 midafternoon on Wednesday. 20

And, of course, depending on your time frame for deliberation, that you would be able to complete on Wednesday. So it does not mean that we don't come on Wednesday it just means that we will absolutely be certain we will complete on Wednesday. So I just wanted

97

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. HOJJAT: But we can exercise all five on whoever?

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

The State just handed over some monthly reports. We already had these monthly reports in evidence. Some of them need to be redacted. The State just handed over their proposed redactions. We are going to make a copy of this. We might have some proposed additional redactions. We are going to work with the State to see if we can reach redactions that everybody is comfortable with, if not, we may need to address it with the Court.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: But at this point it looks like hopefully we can reach a state that everybody is happy with and we can just go forward tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Okay. Sounds good.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: So something has come up that I just want to bring to the panel's attention and see if anybody would have an issue. When you came in here today and we talked about the time frame for the trial, the two days, that has only changed slightly and here's what the change is. The Court's obligation that it had that would have

96

to clarify that. Does anybody have a question or concern about that? All right. Thank you very much. 2 3

I will invite Mr. Cooper at this time to inquire of the panel.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen, the process of jury selection is a little bit of a unique process. It allows the attorneys both for the prosecution and the defense to ask you guys questions in order to ascertain if you guys are the best suited jurors for this case in particular. And everyone is suited to sit on different types of 12 cases.

For example, if there was a law suit over the producers of the game of Drone, or something like that, because I love that show, I wouldn't be able to sit on that jury. I would be bias. And that is because of our life experiences, our opinions, everything of that nature.

So there are no right or wrong answers to any of my questions. There is only your answers. And with that said. I know we all remember back in middle school, I know Ms. Schultz does, when the teacher picked on us in front of the whole class and made us answer a question and it's kind of a nerve-wracking experience. I am going to ask a couple of questions that will be a little less

			1 . 1 . C ind that I goved not be that importion
1	nerve wracking.	1	back of my mind that I could not be that impartial. MR, COOPER: Would you want someone like you
2	Does anyone watch NCIS or CSI? I see a lot of	2	
3	hands there. Who thinks NCIS is the best? I see a lot	3	sitting on this jury? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: On this case, no.
4	of hands going up. Does anybody think that everything	4	MR. COOPER: Thank you.
5	that happens on NCIS or CSI is real life? I see no	5	Was there any other go ahead, ma'am.
6	hands.	6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Linda Alarcon, 032. I
7	Did anyone see the episode where they got	7	would not be able to fair and impartial either way.
8	fingerprints off of running water? No one thinks that	8	MR. COOPER: What do you mean by either way?
9	can happen, right? Does anyone expect in every criminal	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032; For the defense or
10	case for there to be forensic evidence? Who does? I see	10	
11	no hands on that as well.	11	for the State.
12	Now, part of instructions the judge has already	12	MR. COOPER: Could you give me a little more of
13	talked to you guys about is that both sides, the defense	13	what you mean. Would you be able to listen to all of the
14	and the State, are entitled to a fair and impartial jury.	14	evidence that is given?
15	Does everybody understand that?	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
16	Does anybody think for any reason or any opinion	16	MR. COOPER: And at the end of listening to all
17	they may have that they might not be able to be fair and	17	of the evidence, do you think you would be able to reach
18	impartial to both the defense and to the State?	18	a decision of the guilt or innocence of the defendant?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have been reading true	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: My brain says he is
20	crime books for about 50 years	20	guilty right now.
21	MR. COOPER: What is your name and badge number?	21	MR. COOPER: Thank you. Did anyone else want to
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Phyllis Ash, 976. I have	22	answer that question or have anything else to add?
23	been reading true crime novels for about 50 years at	23	Now, Ms. Rice-Wilson, you were saying that your
24	least and I think I would have a hard time being	24	son was robbed in a park?
25	impartial.	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Yes, at gunpoint.
	99		101
1	MR. COOPER: Do you mean being impartial toward	1	MR. COOPER: How do you feel the police
2	the defense or toward the State?	2	investigated that matter; do you think they did a good
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: To the defense. I	3	job?
4	do not think I could be that impartial.	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I really can't say
5	MR. COOPER: You do understand the concept that	5	because after a period of time I did not hear anything
6	everyone is presumed innocent until they are found	6	about it. They didn't really contact us as far as the
7	guilty?	7	outcome of it so.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: Yeah, but I think by	8	MR. COOPER: So it might still be going on?
9	the time we get to court and there is evidence enough to	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Yes,
10	convict.	10	MR. COOPER: And you said that one person was
11	MR. COOPER: Well, let me ask you this: If I	11	caught but not both people were caught.
12	didn't do anything throughout the trial and I submitted	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Right.
13	to you, would you still find him guilty?	13	MR. COOPER: Do you know if my office, the Clark
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: Well, if you did not	14	County District Attorney's Office would have prosecuted
15	do anything, I mean that's impossible.	15	the individual charged?
16	MR. COOPER: I did not prove my case.	16	Well, let me ask you, did it happen in Las
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: That's just	17	Vegas?
18	impossible for you not to do anything. That is not a	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Yes.
19	valid question.	19	MR. COOPER: And based on your interactions with
20	MR. COOPER: Do you understand the concept of	20	the attorney in charge of that case, did you get any type
21	beyond a reasonable guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?	21	of opinion on the criminal justice system or anything
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: Yes.	22	like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905; I don't remember
23	MR, COOPER: Do you think can you apply that	23	
24	concept to the facts of this case?	24	having any contact, just the two investigators.
25	PROGRESSION IN CORNE Letil have in the	25	MR. COOPER: Okay. Well, based on your
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: I still have in the	1	102
	PROSPECTIVE JOROK NO. 970. Tsun nave in all		102

			11 000 TD
1	interaction with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	1	We talked about police officers. Does anyone
2	Department, how do you feel about the criminal justice	2	have any experience with probation or parole officers?
3	system?	3	They are a little bit different.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I really do not have	4	Mr. Bakkedahl.
5	an opinion.	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940; Yes, I was on
6	MR, COOPER; That's fair. Thank you.	6	probation.
7	Mr. Bakkedahl, you did indicate that you had	7	MR. COOPER: How do you feel your probation
8	some experience with the criminal justice system.	8	officer treated you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940: Yes.	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940: At the time I felt
1	MR. COOPER: How do you feel the police treated	10	that it was unnecessary, but they were just doing their
10		11	job.
11	you in that case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940: Fine. Just fine.	12	MR. COOPER: How long were you on probation?
12	MR. COOPER: What about the criminal justice	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940: 18 months.
13		14	MR, COOPER: Thank you.
14	system as a whole, how do you feel they treated you?	15	Mr. Walker, I do not want you to go into the
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 940: It was okay. I was	16	facts of your pending case or anything like that, but do
16	guilty. I was caught,	17	you know if it was the Clark County District Attorney's
17	MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have	1	Officer or the Las Vegas City Attorney's office that is
18	any experience with the criminal justice system that we	18	prosecuting your case?
19	haven't talked about yet?	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: I honestly do not
20	What about an opinion? Does anyone have an	20	remember. But it could be the first one.
21	opinion about the criminal justice system?	21	MR. COOPER: The Clark County District
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is absolutely	22	
23	ridiculous. Nothing gets accomplished. A lot of money	23	Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985; Yes.
24	gets spent and nothing gets accomplished. It is a very	24	
25	small portion of my opinion.	25	MR. COOPER: And based on that interaction,
	103		103
1		1 .	
4			the state of the s
1	MR. COOPER: So it is not so much a bias toward	1	brief interaction you might have had with someone in this
1 2	the State or a bias toward the defense?	2	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 3	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that?
2	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State.	2 3 4	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No.
3	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State.	2 3	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened
2 3 4 5	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State	2 3 4	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me
2 3 4	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State.	2 3 4 5	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's
2 3 4 5 6	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have	2 3 4 5 6 7	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: I do. There was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that commits a crime looks a certain way? Does anyone believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: I do. There was a couple of things I can think of. It was an accident, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: I do. There was a couple of things I can think of. It was an accident, it was a hit-and-run accident and the officers helped and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that commits a crime looks a certain way? Does anyone believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: I do. There was a couple of things I can think of. It was an accident, it was a hit-and-run accident and the officers helped and there were not many witnesses but they got caught. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that commits a crime looks a certain way? Does anyone believe that a bank robber has a certain look or someone who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: I do. There was a couple of things I can think of. It was an accident, it was a hit-and-run accident and the officers helped and there were not many witnesses but they got caught. And I remember speeding and an officer let me go. That was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that commits a crime looks a certain way? Does anyone believe that a bank robber has a certain look? I see no hands on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: I do. There was a couple of things I can think of. It was an accident, it was a hit-and-run accident and the officers helped and there were not many witnesses but they got caught. And I remember speeding and an officer let me go. That was before I was married to an officer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that commits a crime looks a certain way? Does anyone believe that a bank robber has a certain look or someone who would burglarize a home has a certain look? I see no hands on that. At the end of the trial the judge is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the State or a bias toward the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: It is pretty bias toward the State. MR. COOPER: What do you mean by that; the State is the one spending the money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Does anyone else have an opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Shirley Towers, 924. I believe in the system. You have to have some type of law. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, we have talked about negative experiences. Does anyone have any positive experiences? They were speeding but didn't get a ticket that one time or anything like that? Anything? Okay. You married a cop so you have to have some positive experiences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: I do. There was a couple of things I can think of. It was an accident, it was a hit-and-run accident and the officers helped and there were not many witnesses but they got caught. And I remember speeding and an officer let me go. That was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	office, or my office, have you had an opinion about my office or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. MR. COOPER: Do you think anything that happened in relation to that case would affect your opinion on me as an employee of the Clark County District Attorney's Office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No, sir. MR. COOPER: Thank you. Now, this is another question that I will just open up to the group. Does anybody do any particular type of blogging? I just learned what that word meant a couple of weeks ago. Does anyone do any blogging? What about when you are reading the Review Journal, there is also the comments on the bottom, does anyone like to comment on the Review Journal or anything like that? I see no hands on that one either. Now, does anyone believe that a person that commits a crime looks a certain way? Does anyone believe that a bank robber has a certain look? I see no hands on that.

promise that they are going to be able to follow that 2 law? What if there is a law that you don't agree 3 with? Do you think you will still be able to follow a law even if you don't agree with it? 5 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 6 MR. COOPER: So if there was a law you don't 7 believe you would be able to follow it if the judge gave 8 9 it to you? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If it something that I do 10 10 not agree with or believe in, no, absolutely not. 11 11 MR. COOPER: Now, do you also feel the same way, 12 12 13 ma'am? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Linda Alarcon, 032. Yes. 14 14 15 MR. COOPER: And, sir, you also said no. 15 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Jace Mande, 055. 16 16 MR. COOPER: Do you also agree with Juror No. 1? 17 17 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I cannot speak for Juror 18 18 No. 1, but if something goes against my own morals then I 19 19 20 would not be able to follow it. 20 MR. COOPER: Well, let me ask everyone this: If 21 21 this was a marijuana case, this isn't, but if it was, and 22 22 23 you believe that marijuana should be legal but I prove 23 beyond a reasonable doubt --24 MS. HOJJAT: Objection. Your Honor, may we 25 107 1

you are in fact on the panel, will instruct you on how to do that. Some of those instructions will cover what is the charge and what are the elements of the charge and what is the State's burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt those elements of those charges. That's what the instructions are. That's all we are talking about when we say "follow the law." This isn't hypothetical out in somewhere land

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where something is going to be offensive to your dignity or anything like that. This is very specific to this case and what has been alleged in this case and what the burden is by the State to prove this beyond a reasonable doubt to the charges in this case.

And ultimately we will also have instructions that will help you understand what the defendant's rights are and how to carry out your job. So with that clarification, is there any reason that you believe that you would not be able to apply the law as I give it you if you are empaneled?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No. I can follow instructions.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anything further, Counsel?

MR. COOPER: Just briefly. That was a lot 24 25

better than I could ever do so thank you for that.

109

```
approach?
```

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You may.

(Discussion held at the bench.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I am going to sustain the objection and take a different tactic to see if maybe we can make it a little clearer to the potential jurors what we are talking about here when we say follow the law, what the jury instructions will actually entail. And your duty as jurors to follow those instructions.

Go ahead.

MR. COOPER: So if there were a law given to you, you said if you disagree with your morals you wouldn't be able to follow it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right. MR. COOPER: Okay. I guess do you have an example where that could happen?

THE COURT: Actually, I am going to interrupt on that because I want to try to clarify here. There aren't going to be any instructions that I can imagine would offend yours or any other person's morals. The instructions are exactly these, how you as jurors carry out your duties. You need to understand what you are supposed to do.

The instructions that will be read to you, if

Does your opinion still remain the same based on the Judge's recitation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Which question? 3 MR. COOPER: On whether or not you would be able 4 to follow the law and instructions? 5

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Yes. MR. COOPER: Does yours as well, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. I am done with my questioning.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Bonaventure. 12

MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. 13

So we have all heard from Ms. Alarcon. We know she has a very strong opinion at this point in time. She did say that she cannot be impartial. She says right now if she was asked whether or not he was guilty she would say he was guilty.

Who else here shares that opinion? Anybody else share that opinion? Okay. Well, we have something that is very important. It's called the presumption of innocence. At this point in time, as Mr. McNeill sits there by every law in this land, by the Constitution of the United States he is considered innocent.

In fact, you heard Judge Delaney when you sat

110

proof was in that case? down after she read you your oath that he is considered 1 2 innocent at this point by all accounts. 2 Does anybody have any concerns or issues with 3 3 4 that at this point? 4 5 Your badge? 5 6 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 976. 6 7 MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Ash, you said that you 7 8 would not be impartial because you read a lot of criminal 8 9 9 novels. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: True crime novels. 10 10 I just think by the time something gets to court they've 11 11 got enough evidence to declare the person guilty. I am 12 12 13 just old and I am set in my ways. I'm sorry. 13 MS. BONAVENTURE: That's fine. I am, too, also 14 an avid reader of crime novels. Obviously, my profession 15 15 in and of itself contradicts what you are saying. I have 16 16 read many crime novels, would you agree it cuts the other 17 17 18 way, too, that somebody has been wrongly accused? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: I don't know. For 19 19 20 the last 20 years it just seems like everybody bargains 20 21 unless they have the dead nuts on it. 21 MS. BONAVENTURE: I'm sorry. The what on it? 22 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: Just the stone cold 23 23 Amendment is. 24 facts to, you know. 24 25 MS. BONAVENTURE: So you thought -- I don't want 25 111 to put words in your mouth. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 976: No. It's just that 2 3 the District Attorney's Office is not going to spend 3 4

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't. It was almost 20 years ago. All I remember the thing that actually happened. We were there for five minutes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes. I'm sorry about that. I am sure you put a lot of time and consideration to everything that was presented before you. The burden at trial in a civil case is much different than the burden at a criminal trial, as I am sure you can appreciate. The burden is much higher. In fact, the State, Mr. Cooper, has to prove every element of every charge that he puts before you. In fact, I and my co-counsel, Ms. Hojjat, can 14 sit there and twittle our thumbs the entire time and not say one word and it would still be the State's responsibility to prove to you every element of the charge. We have absolutely no burden in this courtroom to prove anything. We do not have to prove that Mr. McNeill is innocent, which leads me to his right not to testify. Does anybody know -- well, you all said you watched NCIS and CSI. Who heard of the Fifth Amendment? Okay. Ms. Schultz, can you tell me what the Fifth PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: You have the right 113

their time on a case that they are not probably 4 99 percent sure it is going to be in his favor. 5 MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Well, speaking as a 6 person who has gotten not guilty in courtrooms, I have to 7 respectfully disagree with you. But I absolutely respect 8 9 your opinion. Does anybody else share that opinion? No. 10

Everybody here understands the presumption of innocence? Great. So we all understand that is a pretty important

concept here. Another concept that is really important here is it's the State that has the burden to prove Mr. McNeill is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Somebody here said they sat on a civil jury. Can you raise your hand.

Did it not come to resolution?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They settled. In fact we had just got in the jury room and we had not been there more than five minutes and they came in and interrupted us and said that they had settled.

MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. That's a lot of time to 24 invest in something. Do you remember what the burden of 25 112 not to incriminate yourself.

MS. BONAVENTURE: And do you believe that that right extends to trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: Absolutely. MS. BONAVENTURE: Absolutely true. He has the

5 right not to incriminate himself. He does not have to take that stand. But there is a lot of reasons why 7 people decide not to take the stand. Can you think of 8 9 one?

Sir, what's your badge number?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 909.

MS. BONAVENTURE: Can you think of any reason 12

why Mr. McNeill wouldn't take the stand? 13 14

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: No. It's up to him whether he wants to take the stand or not.

15 16

MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you agree that maybe he would be afraid to take the stand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: No. Like you said, there are certain reasons why he might not want to take

the stand. 20

MS, BONAVENTURE: He may be shy --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Or whatever. He can 22 refuse. That's his business. 23

24

MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. As a matter of fact I might even tell him not to take the stand. As his

114

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10

11

17

18

			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	attorney, I definitely have that ability to advise him as		had the same opinion? What's your name and badge? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Phyllis Ash, 976.
2	to whether or not we believe the State has proven their	2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR, Frights Asia, 976.
3	case beyond a reasonable doubt and whether or not that	3	MS. BONAVENTURE: Oh, I'm sorry. The lady
4	would be a good thing for him to do at that time,	4	behind you.
5	correct?	5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tami Taylor, 933.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Correct.	6	MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you. Can you explain
7	MS, BONAVENTURE: Okay, Great.	7	your response.
8	Somebody here said they sat on a criminal trial	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I believe that if
9	awhile back. What's your name again, ma'am?	9	you don't want to defend yourself that there's a reason
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Shirley Towers, 924.	10	why. There's something hidden that you are not coming
11	MS. BONAVENTURE: And you said there was a	11	forth, you are not defending yourself, and I do not
1	verdict rendered in that case?	12	understand why somebody would not want to defend
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Correct.	13	yourself,
13	MS. BONAVENTURE: Out of curiosity, what was the	14	MS, BONAVENTURE: Now, you understand that as
14		15	Mr. McNeill's attorney we are here to defend him.
15	outcome? MR. COOPER: Your Honor, can we approach?	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: But if he takes the
16		17	stand, if he was telling his side of it.
17	THE COURT: You may.	18	MS. BONAVENTURE: So you feel that unless he
18	(Discussion held at the bench.)	19	takes the stand you just are at a default he is guilty?
19	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. We	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I did not say he is
20	will not inquire as to what the verdict was, but	21	guilty. But I would not understand why he didn't do it
21	certainly some questions with regard to the jury service	22	because he would be able to clarify his side of what
22	is available if you would like to proceed, Ms.	23	happened or whatever the situation was.
23	Bonaventure.	24	MS, BONAVENTURE; Okay. I know a lot of you
24	MS. BONAVENTURE: Thank you, Your Honor.	25	said you had children. When you send your kids out in
25	So without telling us the actual verdict, based	23	117
-	113	ŀ	
i			C 11.1 - the would be subject
		1 4	the world are you ever affair that they would be subject
1	on your experience since you were there from opening to	1	the world are you ever afraid that they would be subject
1 2	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a	2	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on
1	on your experience since you were there from opening to closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their	2 3	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the
2	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden?	3 4	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other?
3	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes.	2 3 4 5	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain.
2 3 4	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that	2 3 4 5 6	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in
2 3 4 5	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of	2 3 4 5 6 7	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up
2 3 4 5 6	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off
2 3 4 5 6 7	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The way I see it, if you have nothing to hide, why not? That is what I feel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the most part I recall what I did as a juvenile and probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The way I see it, if you have nothing to hide, why not? That is what I feel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the most part I recall what I did as a juvenile and probably got away with a lot of stuff that I shouldn't have and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The way I see it, if you have nothing to hide, why not? That is what I feel. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. So you think that if he did not take the stand he has something to hide?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE FUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the most part I recall what I did as a juvenile and probably got away with a lot of stuff that I shouldn't have and I came from a lower income and I'm trying to have my kids
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The way I see it, if you have nothing to hide, why not? That is what I feel. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. So you think that if he did not take the stand he has something to hide? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: That is my opinion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the most part I recall what I did as a juvenile and probably got away with a lot of stuff that I shouldn't have and I came from a lower income and I'm trying to have my kids have more, and this is the greatest country in the world.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The way I see it, if you have nothing to hide, why not? That is what I feel. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. So you think that if he did not take the stand he has something to hide? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: That is my opinion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the most part I recall what I did as a juvenile and probably got away with a lot of stuff that I shouldn't have and I came from a lower income and I'm trying to have my kids have more, and this is the greatest country in the world. We can be whatever we want to be. So I try to really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The way I see it, if you have nothing to hide, why not? That is what I feel. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. So you think that if he did not take the stand he has something to hide? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: That is my opinion. That's the way I see it now. If you don't tell the truth or if you don't mention what you did you are guilty.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE FUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the most part I recall what I did as a juvenile and probably got away with a lot of stuff that I shouldn't have and I came from a lower income and I'm trying to have my kids have more, and this is the greatest country in the world. We can be whatever we want to be. So I try to really I'm their bubble. I try to protect them and show them a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	closing, do you feel that you would be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State does not prove their burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 924: Yes. MS. BONAVENTURE: Is there anybody here that thinks that they would not be able to render a verdict of not guilty if the State is unable to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? I got you Ms. Alarcon, don't worry. And just before we leave the subject of his Fifth Amendment right not to testify, is there anybody here that would hold it against him if he did not take the stand? Is there anybody here who thinks that if he is not guilty then why wouldn't he say his peace? No hands. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jorge Morales, 907. The way I see it, if you have nothing to hide, why not? That is what I feel. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. So you think that if he did not take the stand he has something to hide? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: That is my opinion. That's the way I see it now. If you don't tell the truth or if you don't mention what you did you are guilty.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to peer pressure, that somebody might force them based on their opinions to make a certain decision one way or the other? Ma'am, I see you shaking your head. Explain. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Well, in the day in which I am living in that is what our kids are up against. A lot of kids are getting a lot of things off of the Internet going on as much as much as we can as a parent to teach them not to do they don't want to listen to those that they are around, their friends and their peers. MS. BONAVENTURE: And, sir, you were nodding your head as well. Your name and badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Justin Walker, 985. MS. BONAVENTURE: You nodded your head. Do you agree? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Well, yeah, for the most part I recall what I did as a juvenile and probably got away with a lot of stuff that I shouldn't have and I came from a lower income and I'm trying to have my kids have more, and this is the greatest country in the world. We can be whatever we want to be. So I try to really I'm their bubble. I try to protect them and show them a

116

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MS. BONAVENTURE: So you hope that you kids don't fall victim to peer pressure? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Absolutely. My wife is monitoring constantly. MS. BONAVENTURE: So do you try to practice those own values in your own life? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Absolutely. MS. BONAVENTURE: So if you are picked to be on this jury, and I will direct this question to both of you and then to the rest of the panel. If you are chosen to be on this jury and you get back there with the entire jury, there is going to be 12 of you back there with potentially, if you felt one way and everyone else was thinking another way, would that sway you from changing your opinion just based on everybody's clse's opinion and maybe them getting on you about your own opinion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: No. I think I am very objective and working with others in a group and I sometimes am very vocal about what I want to do and it contradicts what the team wants to do and then we work it out, all sides say what they need to say and we come to a resolution. MS. BONAVENTURE: So you feel like you can stand by a decision? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Yes. I also feel	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	cannot avoid that.
1 2	that others can help influence me to change my ways. So sometimes, if I fully believe something very, very strongly that someone is going to have to persuade me	1 2 3	conflict. Did you ever have an instance where you

1	that others can help influence me to change my ways. So
2	sometimes, if I fully believe something very, very
.3	strongly that someone is going to have to persuade me
4	otherwise.
5	MS. BONAVENTURE: They have to give you what to
6	persuade you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 985: Objective
8	quantifiable evidence.
9	MS. BONAVENTURE: All right, Thank you.
10	Ma'am, if you are back there with the jury and
11	if you had already made up your mind either for the State
12	or the defense, that you would feel peer pressure if
13	everybody else was of a different mind.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. I am not one
15	1 1
16	what I am going to stand on.
17	MS. BONAVENTURE: But are you open to logical
18	argument?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I am, but they have
20	to make sense to me. If it didn't make sense I know I
21	have to be able to see it.
22	MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. And does everybody kind
23	
24	differently? No? Yes? No responses. Okay.
25	I know some of you are retired but I know even
	120

1	MS. BONAVENTORE. Sometimes yet cannot a total	
2	conflict. Did you ever have an instance where you	
3	yourself came into conflict with somebody else at work or	
4	with two people around you or if your supervisor and you	
5	came into some type of a conflict?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: Not so much at Home	
7	Savings but earlier in my career there were several	
8	things that I can think about that were conflicts. And	
9	you just have to work through those.	
10	MS, BONAVENTURE: By work through them, what do	
11	you mean? Talk to out?	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 987: Yes. Talk it out.	
13	MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Ms. Taylor, you work at	
14	Vons?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933; Yes.	
16	MS, BONAVENTURE: I know every time I go to Vons	
17	they have lots of coworkers there, correct?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: Yes.	
19	MS. BONAVENTURE: Lots of people working	
20	together. And that is a large supermarket, correct?	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: Yes, ma'am.	
22	MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever run into any	
23	type of conflict at work?	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: Every day.	
25	MS, BONAVENTURE: Every day. How do you deal	
1	122	

4	with conflict?	1	dad was in the Army.
1 2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: To the best of my	2	MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias
3	ability I try to diffuse it.	3	you in any way?
1	MS, BONAVENTURE: How do you diffuse it?	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 958: No.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: By me weighing the	5	MS, BONAVENTURE: Okay.
	situation of what is being presented to me. What they	6	Sir, we need your badge number.
6	are telling me. What the issue is, what the problem is	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Number 000. I was
7	and if I can take care of it I will take care of it	8	in the Air Force for four years.
8	myself, and if I cannot I will call a supervisor over and	9	MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. And do you think that
9	have them take care of the situation.	10	that would bias you in any way?
10	MS. BONAVENTURE: So that goes to say that you	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No.
11		12	MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Anybody else?
12	try not to do anything to exacerbate it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I would not want to	13	Sir.
13		14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a son that was in
14	exacerbate it. That can get ugly. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Is there somebody here	15	the Army and a daughter that was in the Army and I was in
15	who has a lot of kids, three or four kids?	16	the Army.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do.	17	MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay.
17	MS. BONAVENTURE: I will ask the both of you	18	Go ahead, sir.
18	together, you have quite a few children. Have your kids	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 927: Badge 927. I did
19	ever fought, not with each other but with other kids?	20	six months in the Army. I had a medical discharge.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.	21	MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. And, sir, you had
21	MS. BONAVENTURE: Your kids never fight?	22	raised your hand.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not that I know of.	23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My dad was in the Marines
23		24	and I was in the Army.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: When they were	25	MS. BONAVENTURE: Your name and badge number?
25	PROSPECTIVE JOROK NO. 909. When they were		125
	,		
 _	younger they would get in scrapes but they knew their dad	1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Gilbert Canales, 930.
1 1	VOUNTER THEY WOULD DELIN SCIALIES DUCKING AND WINDER ONE		
1 2		2	MS, BONAVENTURE: Anybody else?
2	was around to teach them better.	2	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson.
2 3	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better?	Į.	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA.
2 3 4	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the	3	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson.
2 3 4 5	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was	3 4	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand?
2 3 4 5 6	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and	3 4 5	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise
2 3 4 5 6 7	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good.	3 4 5 6	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear.	3 4 5 6 7	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay	3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Do you think that experience would at all bias you in this case?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it could.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it could. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Did anybody else raise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Do you think that experience would at all bias you in this case?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it could. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Did anybody else raise their hand for that question I did not ask? Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was around to teach them better. MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Do you think that experience would at all bias you in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: No. Okay. Ma'am, your badge number.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it could. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Did anybody else raise their hand for that question I did not ask? Okay. Now, I know that some of you sat on juries
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Do you think that experience would at all bias you in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: No. Okay. Ma'am, your badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Number 958. My husband	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it could. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Did anybody else raise their hand for that question I did not ask? Okay. Now, I know that some of you ast on juries previously, and I am sure some of you in the past have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Do you think that experience would at all bias you in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: No. Okay. Ma'am, your badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Number 958. My husband was in the Air Force and my brother was in the Army. My	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it could. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Did anybody else raise their hand for that question I did not ask? Okay. Now, I know that some of you sat on juries previously, and I am sure some of you in the past have had legal issues whether they were civil or even trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BONAVENTURE: How did you teach them better? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I just lay down the law. If they didn't behave they got in trouble. We was always good to our kids. Always took them camping and fishing. They were raised pretty good. MS. BONAVENTURE: Well, that's good to hear. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: It is easier to stay out of trouble then to get out of trouble. MS. BONAVENTURE: Right. So I know there is a lot of people in the jury who have family members, friends, who are police officers. Is there anybody here who has friends or family or who they themselves have previously served in the military? Your badge, sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Joey Hamilton, 971. Ten years in the Air Force. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Do you think that experience would at all bias you in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 971: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: No. Okay. Ma'am, your badge number. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Number 958. My husband	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I worked for the VA. MS. BONAVENTURE: Ms. Alarcon, did you raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. I have a brother in the Navy. MS. BONAVENTURE: Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badge No. 606. I was in the Army for two years. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you think that would bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 606: No. MS. BONAVENTURE: And I forgot to ask you, ma'am. Do you think your current service working for Provider Services for the VA would that bias you in any way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I cannot see how it could. MS. BONAVENTURE: Okay. Did anybody else raise their hand for that question I did not ask? Okay. Now, I know that some of you ast on juries previously, and I am sure some of you in the past have

MS. BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was control to labor less. BY When thinks the law is easy? Whe dinks is a supply band to do last? Has applying the day legal training? Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was control law. It was not anything like eritants of anything like from the law of the search of the trained in labor law. BY When thinks the law is easy? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was control law. It was not anything like eritants of anything like from the law of the was often and the search of the search				POLLATE WEIDE. Call year complicated I'm
2 Who thinks the law is easy? Who finitis it is asy to interpret 4 the law? Who thinks it is realy but to do shat? His 5 anybody lad any logal training? 8 Kir. 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I took a hasio law class 8 in college; 9 MS BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? 10 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 years ago. 11 MS BONAVENTURE: Do you remember how easy that 12 class was? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was contract law. It 14 was not anything like entirally and expressed an once, maybe 15 anything like entirally and expressed an once, maybe 16 ms and anything like that. It 17 was complex and mannees that had to be understood. 18 MS BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somebody else 18 residenther than II str. 19 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Incre 600. As a union 19 representative in the union being a delegative we have to 10 be trained on labor law. 11 MS BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are, 13 and SONAVENTURE: Why do you gays employ your 2 own attorneys? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 2 No. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 3 tipst? That is why you have an attorney? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 4 No. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 3 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No. 1 was it and you gays dishay you would all bed an attorney. 4 No. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No. 1 was it and you gays and you gay ago and you gay to make additional questions in any way." 5 No. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 6 PROSPECTIVE	1	to look up property or zoning law, any kind of issue.		MS. BONAVENTURE: Still very complicated, I'm
3 easy to read the law? Who thinks it reasy to interpret 4 the law? Who thinks it is easy to interpret 5 support had any legal training? 5 Sir. 6 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I took a hasic law class 5 in college. 9 MS BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? 10 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 years ago. 11 MS BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? 12 class was? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: R. was counted law. It 14 was not anything like ethnical or anything like that. It 15 was compion and numeros that had to be understood. 16 MS BONAVENTURE: Yes I know sembody class 17 raised their hand. Sir. 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 660. As a union 19 representative in the union being a delegate we have to 21 he tenised on labor law. 22 MS BONAVENTURE: Are shey very complicated? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. 24 attorney? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. 27 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. 28 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. 29 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. 21 Was BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 29 right? That is wily you have an attorney or try to 20 own attenneys? 30 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Correct. 31 MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 32 right? That is will you have an attenney or try to 33 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Correct. 34 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Correct. 35 Inc. 36 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Correct. 36 MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever bandled a legal issue by yoursel, even lift was with your landiord? 36 MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever bandled a legal issue by goursel, even lift was with your landiord? 36 MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else o ever bandled - 37 Juno and the provided that was been lawned to a legal problem do you guys employ your of the provided that was been lawned to a legal problem do you guys employ your of the provided that was been lawned to the same than your deliberations in any way. 39 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Correct. 30 MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever bandled a legal issue by yoursel, even lift was with your landior	1	Who thinks the law is easy? Who thinks it is		SUITE.
the law? Who thinks it is really hard to do that? His 5 anyhody had any legal training? Sin solveys. A ROSPECTIVE JUROR: I took a hasio law class in college. M. B. BONAVENTURE: How long sgo was that? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 years ago. M. B. BONAVENTURE: How long sgo was that? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 years ago. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Was contract law. It was complex that had to be understood. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know sensebody due to see with regard to the defendant is estimony. What I would like to do it a may give rose that had to be understood. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know sensebody also a storone he trained on inbote law. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attorneys? M. B. BONAVENTURE: Why do you gays employ your own interneys? M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Why do you gays employ your own when the requisitors and all that so we have to be aware. M. B. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Why do you gays employ your own had the requisitions and all that so we have to be aware. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Why do you gays employ your own had be requisitions and all that so we have to be aware. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Why do you gays employ your own had be requisitioned by your sold whit was a made of the train of the whole had be added to a contracting with	L	easy to read the law? Who thinks it's easy to interpret	3	PROSPECTIVE JUKOK NO. 000. On, yes.
5 arrybody had any legal training? 6 (Discussion held at the bench) 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I took a basic law class 8 in college. 9 MS BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? 10 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. It was contract law. It 11 was not anything like critical or anything like that. It 12 class was? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was contract law. It 14 was not anything like critical or anything like that. It 15 was complex and numees that had to be understood. 16 MS BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somehody else 17 raised their band. Str. 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union 19 representative in the union being a delegate we have to 19 be trained on labor lew. 21 MS BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. 23 antorneys? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 26 was and neepalacines and all that so we have to be aware. 27 NS BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? 28 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 29 TROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law author neepalacines and all that so we have to be aware. 29 TROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law author neepalacines and all that so we have to be aware. 29 TROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 20 MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 21 gister. That is why you baye an amorney. 22 MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal roblem do you guys think you would all go to an amorney. 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 24 MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal roblem do you guyse that you would all go to an amorney. 25 MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal roblem do you guyse that you would all go to an amorney. 26 MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal roblem do you guyse that you would all had no amorney. 27 MS BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 28 MS BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 29 MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled a local single like the contracting without a license. 29 MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled a local single	1	the law? Who thinks it is really hard to do that? Has	-	
6 Sir 7 APROSPECTIVE JUROR: I took a hasde law class 8 in college 9 MS. BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? 10 APROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 years ago. 11 MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you remember how easy that 12 class was? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR It was contract law, it 14 was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It 15 was complex and numere that had to be understood. 16 MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes I know somehody clee 17 raised their hand. Sir. 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juro 060. As a union 18 representative in the union being a delegate we have to 19 he trained on labor law. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are: 20 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your 21 own attorneys? 22 own attorneys? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are: 24 attorneys 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 26 rown. 27 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your 27 own attorneys? 28 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 29 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 20 to law school. We don't take training within the labor 29 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 20 was attorneys? 21 APROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 22 prospective JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 23 prospective JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 24 of it by yourself? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Wes, we do. 26 aware. 27 MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to 28 prospective JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 29 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 20 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever bandled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landinary. 20 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever bandled a legal issue with my landord and links an actorney. 21 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: No. It was in and with my landord and links an actorney. 23 APROSPECTIVE JUROR. NO. 905: No. It was in and your delegations in a revision before you would not be clearly a work of the prospective provided in the prospective provided in the provided provided in the provided provided in the provided provi	_	anybody had any legal training?	5	
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. How long ago was that? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. 20 years ago. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. It was contract law, it a A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. It was contract law, it a A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. It was contract law, it was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It was complex and nounces that had to be understood. MS BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somehoody else resided the hand. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. Juror 060. As a union representative in the cution being a delegate we have to be tenined on labor law. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, deey are. MS BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. This a consistintional right of adelendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compleided to testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify it self-broad that a criminal trial that he may not be compleid to the safty, and a comment of the way and the monarce that should you be a self-broad trial that he may not be compelied to testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify it a self-broad to a criminal trial that he may not be compelied to the safty, and the comment of the way and the monarce that he defendant on the advice and comment of this attence, when it came time to be aware. The MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, single? That is why you had have an antomory? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS BONAVENTURE: Alterousy. MS		Sir.	-	(Discussion held at the bench,)
in college. MS. BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 years ago. MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you remember how easy that? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR It was contract law, it was not anything like chin. It was contract law, it was not anything like chin. It was contract law, it was not anything like chin. It was contract law, it was not anything like chin. It was composed and traces that lad to be understood. MS. BONAVENTURE: Yea: I know somehody else traited their hand. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 660. As a union perpresentative in the traiten being a delegate we have to be trained on shoot law. MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, they are. MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is very you have an attorney? MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is very you have an attorney? AMS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is very you have an attorney? AMS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is very you have an attorney? AMS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is very you have an attorney? AMS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is very you have an attorney? AMS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is very you have an attorney? AMS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, was with your landlord? AMS. BONAVENTURE: Are they you ever handled a legal isnee where the properties of the trains houd in take the decision as to whether he should testify is decision as to whether he should testify is decision as to whether he should testify is decision as to whether he should testify is decision as to whether he should testify is decision as to whether he should testify in the decision as to whether he should testify in the decision as to whether he should that the may not a decision as to	1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I took a hasic law class	7	THE COURT: I have a couple of questions and
MS. BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 you remember how easy that class was? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was contract law. It was complex and numers that had to be understood. was complex and numers that had to be understood. MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somehody else MS. BONAVENTURE: Juror 660. As a union preparentative in the union heing a delegate we have to he trained on labor law. MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own automeys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own automeys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own automeys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Owney? ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Owney? ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own automeys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Owney? ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060	1		8	then counsel is going to follow up with some additional
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do you remember how easy that Class was?	1	MS. BONAVENTURE: How long ago was that?	-	questions that have not been asked yet.
totass was? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was contract law. It was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It was complex and numers that had to be understood. Was complex and numers that had to be understood. MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know someholy else that had no be understood. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union representative in the union being a delegate we have to he trained on labor law. MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attomey? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your automeys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attomeys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attomeys? MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attomey? MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, or in the prospective JUROR NO. 060: Creat. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attomey or try to do it by yourself, even lift was with your landlord? MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attomey. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and your. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and your. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and your. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and your. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and your. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and your. MS. BONAVENTURE: Are you ever handled - solution and your reason that his anothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, gain, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional that the delandar toos are price at it was with your would not be a whole to follow t		A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 years ago.		Let me start with Mr. Morales. Wr. Wiorales, you
class was? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was contract law. It was ond anything like criminal or anything like criminal or anything like criminal or anything like criminal or anything like that. It was complex and magnets that had to be understood. MS BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somebody else raised their hand. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union propresentative in the union being a delegate we have to be trained on labor law. MS BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. THE COURT: This is how the instruction that goes to the jury reads: "This is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that be may not be compelled to testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is "The same of the defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of gailt from the fact that he decision as to whether he should not be a ware. MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yoursel? MS BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yoursel? (we fill was with you read and that an attorney. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. 1t was in and 201 out. MS BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 201 out. MS BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 201 out. MS BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 201 out. MS BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 201 out. MS BONAVENTURE: Archeby gue ever handled he average of the properties of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant of the defendant		MS. BONAVENTURE: Do you remember how easy that		were one of the two folks that expressed a concern, mayor
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. It was contract law. It was complex and numeros that had to be understood. MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somehody else raised their hand. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juro 600. As a union presentative in the union being a delegate we have to be trained on labor law. MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, they are. MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own autorneys? ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Yes, we do. MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own autorneys? ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Why? We do not go to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an autorney? MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever bandled a legal od to by yourself? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 660: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was vist your landlord? KS. BONAVENTURE: Was vist your semple and the regulations and fire any one of the compelled to testify nor should this fact be discussed by you ce cuter into your deliberations in any way. The COURT: This is how the instruction that goes to the jury reads: "It is a constitutional right of a defendant on a criminal thal that he may not be compelled to testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is 129 129 120 130 140 150 150 150 150 150 150 15	1	class was?		that's the wrong word, but indicated what you might nice
was not anything like citarinal or anything like teta. It was complex and numees that had to be understood. M. B. GONAVENTURE: Yes. Thenow somebody else raised their hand. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union prepresentative in the union being a delegate we have to be trained on labor law. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. M. B. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, yougu yot link you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever bandled a legal to do it by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Ihad a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and the regulations and all go to an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and the regulations and all go to an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and that has nothing to do with guilt or innoceace, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he many not be compelied to testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify in the defendant on the advice and connect of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that be does not testify nor should thits fact he does not testify nor should this fact he does not testify nor should	1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was contract law. It		to see with regard to the defendant's testimony. What I
15 MS BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somehody else 16 mised their hand. Sir. 17 arised their hand. Sir. 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. Juror 060. As a union 18 propresentative in the union being a delegate we have to 19 be trained on labor law. 10 be trained on labor law. 11 MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled 12 prospective JUROR NO, 060: Yes, they are. 13 MS BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own 14 attorneys? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 060: Yes, we do. 16 MS BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your 17 own attorneys? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 060: Why? We do not go 18 attorneys? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 060: Why? We do not go 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 060: Why? We do not go 10 aware. 10 MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 10 you guys think you would all go to an attorney? 11 MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 12 do it by yourself, even if it was with your tandlord? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 060: Correct. 14 MS BONAVENTURE: Was it sill very complicated? 15 Issue by yourself, even if it was with your tandlord? 16 MS BONAVENTURE: Was it sill very complicated? 17 MS BONAVENTURE: Was it sill very complicated? 18 with my landlord and I had an artorney. 19 MS BONAVENTURE: Was it sill very complicated? 19 MS BONAVENTURE: Was it sill very complicated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 905: No, It was in and 21 out. 21 MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled 22 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060: Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 25 Law and the regulations and all that a nartorney. 26 MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, and the subject to suffix that the may not be completed to testify, hus, the decision as to whether he should testify is a defendant on the advice and commend that the does not testify in a defendant on the advice and commend to the deliberation in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify, but the decision is to whether he should testify in a defendant on the advice and commend to the advice and commend to the advice and commend to the advice and commend to the	1	was not anything like criminal or anything like that. It	14	would like to do is 1 am going to read to you me
16 MS. BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somehody else 17 raised their hand. Sir. 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union 19 representative in the union being a delegate we have to 20 be trained on labor lew. 21 MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. 23 MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own 24 attorneys? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 26 127 1 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your 27 own attorneys? 28 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go 30 to law school. We don't take training within the labor 31 law and the regulations and all that so we have to be 42 aware. 43 MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 45 right? That is why you bave an attorney? 46 do it by yoursel? 47 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Creet. 48 MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do 49 you gusy think you would all go to an attorney or try to 40 it by yoursel? 40 it by yoursel? 41 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you over handled a legal 45 itsue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 46 itsue by fourself, even if it was with your landlord? 47 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you over handled a legal 48 with my landlord and I had an attorney. 49 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 40 of the yoursel? 40 of the province of guilt from the fact that a defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a dead of the tribe to does not testify on the province of guilt from the fact that a dead of the province of guilt from the fact that a dead of the province of guilt from the fact that a dead of the counsel and determination. 40 of the province of guilt from the fac		was complex and nuances that had to be understood.	15	instruction now that would be included in the packet that
raised their hand. Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union properly and the trained on labor law. MS BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. MS BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? MS BONAVENTURE: Have you over handled a legal you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS BONAVENTURE: Aus it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS BONAVENTURE: A was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. 128 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060: Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: A prophody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: A prophody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license.		MS BONAVENTURE: Yes. I know somehody else	1	would be given at the end of the trial should you be a
18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union 19 representative in the union being a delegate we have to 10 the trained on labor law. 21 MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. 23 MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own 24 attorneys? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 26 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your 27 own attorneys? 28 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go 29 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go 20 to law school. We don't take training within the labor 21 law and the regulations and all that so we have to be 22 aware. 23 MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 24 right? That is why you have an attorney? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 26 MS. BONAVENTURE: Brown at large problem do 27 you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to 28 do it by yourself? 29 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. NO. 905: I had a legal problem do 29 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal 20 its yourself? 21 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal 21 its issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 22 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled and sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 26 Its attorney. 27 Its defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. 28 Jurine the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he should testify, thus, the decision as to whether he shou	1		1	
representative in the union being a delegate we have to be trained on labor law. MS BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060; Yes, they are. attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go does not be regulations and all that so we have to be aware. MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, sirght? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal yoursel? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yoursel? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Are you ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Old. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Old. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Old. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Old. Yes, I handled the contra		A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Juror 060. As a union	ı	
be trained on labor law. MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and lad an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your decisted from the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your deliberations in any way." Do you have any reason to believe you would not be able to follow that instruction when it came time to deliberate? PROSPECTIVE JUROR Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue with my landlord and lad had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very compl	- 1	representative in the union being a delegate we have to	!	
MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are. MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, aright? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself; even if it was with your landlord? MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue by Ms. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 128 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR no. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR no. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR no. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR no. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR no. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR no. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR no. 905: No. It w	1	he trained on labor law.	1	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are, attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware.	1	MS. BONAVENTURE: Are they very complicated?	1	goes to the jury reads:
attorneys? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 1 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your 2 own attorneys? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go 4 to law school. We don't take training within the labor 5 law and the regulations and all that so we have to be 6 aware. 17 MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 8 right? That is why you have an attorney? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 10 MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 20 you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to 40 it by yourself? 21 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. Attorney. 4 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal 15 issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 4 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 20 out. 4 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 4 128		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, they are.	1	
24 attorneys? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do. 127 1 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your own attorneys? 2 own attorneys? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. 4 to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. 7 MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 10 MS. BONAVENTURE: thy on had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. 14 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. 15 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 16 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 17 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 18 With my landlord and I had an attorney. 19 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled with an and out. 20 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. 21 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 22 Conselled this actomety. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor should this fact he discussed by you or enter into your deliberations in any way." 2 Do you have any reason to believe you would not be able to follow that instruction when it came time to deliberate? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with each of a very specific reason because there could be a whole host of reasons why someone would not take the stand in a criminal riral and be subject to cross-examination by the State and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. 25 Conservation of the trace of the proposed of the contracting without a license	- 1	MS. BONAVENTURE: Does the union have their own	1	
129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129			t t	not be compelled to testify, thus, the
1 MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your 2 own attorneys? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go 4 to law school. We don't take training within the labor 5 law and the regulations and all that so we have to be 6 aware. 7 MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, 8 right? That is why you have an attorney? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 9 MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do 11 you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to 12 do it by yourself? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. Attorney. 14 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have yon ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 15 issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 16 MS. Rice-Wilson. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. 18 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled - 20 Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR. 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 128		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Yes, we do.	25	decision as to whether he should testify is
own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 128 Counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wany inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wany inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wand any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wand any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wand any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in		127		•
own attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 128 Counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wany inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wany inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wand any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wand any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your wand any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in			+	Color de defendant on the advice and
2 own attorneys? 3	1	MS. BONAVENTURE: Why do you guys employ your	1	
any Intercetor gunt to law school. We don't take training within the labor law and the regulations and all that so we have to be aware. 7		own attorneys?		counsel of mis automey. Four the fact that he
to law school. We doin take thanks what we have to be law and the regulations and all that so we have to be a ware. 7	- 1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Why? We do not go	-	does not testify nor should this fact he
deliberations in any way." MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yoursel? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license.	4	to law school. We don't take training within the labor	1 .	discussed by you or enter into your
MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, right? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult, Boy have any reason to believe you would not be be able to follow that instruction when it came time to deliberate? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Since you put it that way, no. THE COURT: Well, the instruction is worded that way for a very specific reason because there could be a whole host of reasons why someone would not take the stand in a criminal trial and be subject to cross-examination by the State and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional right for a reason. So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	5	law and the regulations and all that so we have to be	1	
right? That is why you have an attorney? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to you guys think you would all go to an attorney. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled	6	aware.	1 -	Do you have any reason to believe you would not
9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. 10 MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do 11 you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to 12 do it by yourself? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. 14 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 15 issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 16 Ms. Rice-Wilson. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. 18 with my landlord and I had an attorney. 19 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. 21 out. 22 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 28 Contracting without a license. 29 deliherate? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Since you put it that way, no. 21 that way, no. 21 THE COURT: Well, the instruction is worded that way, no. 22 whole host of reason because there could be a whole host of reasons why someone would not take the stand in a criminal trial and be subject to cross-examination by the State and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. 25 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and in a criminal trial and be subject to cross-examination by the State and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. 26 And it is a constitutional right for a reason. 27 So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	7	MS. BONAVENTURE: But it is very difficult,	1	be able to follow that instruction when it came time to
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct. MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to do it by yourself? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 128 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Since you put it that way, no. THE COURT: Well, the instruction is worded that way for a very specific reason because there could be a whole host of reasons why someone would not take the stand in a criminal trial and be subject to cross-examination by the State and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional right for a reason. So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	8	right? That is why you have an attorney?		
11 you guys think you would all go to an attorney or try to 12 do it by yourself? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. 14 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal 15 issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 16 Ms. Rice-Wilson. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue 18 with my landlord and I had an attorney. 19 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 21 out. 22 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled 23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 11 that way, no. 12 THE COURT: Well, the instruction is worded that way for a very specific reason because there could be a whole host of reasons why someone would not take the stand in a criminal trial and be subject to cross-examination by the State and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional right for a reason. So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 060: Correct.	1 -	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Since you put it
12 do it by yoursel? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. 14 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 15 issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 16 Ms. Rice-Wilson. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. 18 with my landlord and I had an attorney. 19 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. 21 out. 22 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled—Sir. 23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 26 THE COURT: Well, the instruction is worded that way for a very specific reason because there could be a whole host of reasons why someone would not take the stand in a criminal trial and be subject to cross-examination by the State and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. 20 And it is a constitutional right for a reason. 21 So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	10	MS. BONAVENTURE: If you had a legal problem do		
12 do it by yourself? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney. 14 MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 15 issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? 16 Ms. Rice-Wilson. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. 18 with my landlord and I had an attorney. 19 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. 21 out. 22 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled-sir. 23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 25 contracting without a license. 26 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 27 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 28 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 29 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 29 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 21 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 29 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 21 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 29 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 21 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license.	11			
MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever handled a legal issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? MS. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 128 MS. BONAVENTURE: Average of course and for any other reason that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional right for a reason. So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in		THE COLUMN A MANAGEMENT	- 1	way for a very specific reason because there could be a
issue by yourself, even if it was with your landlord? Ms. Rice-Wilson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. Ms. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and process. Ms. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled-sir. Ms. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled-sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. Ms. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled-sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. Ms. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled-sir. Ms. BONAVEN		A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Attorney.		whole host of reasons why someone would not take the
15 issue by yourself, even If it was with your tanded. 16 Ms. Rice-Wilson. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue 18 with my landlord and I had an attorney. 19 Ms. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 11 out. 12 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled— 23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 16 cross-examination by the State and for any other reason 17 that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but 18 simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. 19 And it is a constitutional right for a reason. 20 So I always want to address it with folks who 21 indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they 22 might like to see to help them understand that the actual 23 law on this point is that you must not draw any inference 24 of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify 25 nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	- 1	MS. BONAVENTURE: Have you ever nationed a legal		stand in a criminal trial and be subject to
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I had a legal issue with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled— Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled the contracting without a license. 128 That has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional right for a reason. So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in			1	cross-examination by the State and for any other reason
with my landlord and I had an attorney. MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 18 simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination. And it is a constitutional right for a reason. So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in		OD 3TO COE. I had a local issue		that has nothing to do with guilt or innocence, but
18 with my landlord and That an attorney. 19 MS. BONAVENTURE: Was it still very complicated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and 21 out. 22 MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled 23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 19 And it is a constitutional right for a reason. So I always want to address it with folks who indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in			- 1	simply by, again, advice of counsel and determination.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. It was in and out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in 130		Year in a real in the second little at the second literary or and literary		And it is a constitutional right for a reason.
prospective jorder No. 903. No. 16 manual and the out. MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled Sir. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the contracting without a license. 128 indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in 130		The second of th		So I always want to address it with folks who
MS. BONAVENTURE: Anybody else ever handled 23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 128 might like to see to help them understand that the actual law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	L			indicate, and we appreciate the candor, of what they
23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 28 law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in 29 law on this point is that you must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in	1	1 Out.	1	might like to see to help them understand that the actual
23 Sir. 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 060. Yes, I handled the 25 contracting without a license. 24 of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify 25 nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in 26 nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in				law on this point is that you must not draw any inference
25 contracting without a license. 25 nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in 130				of guilt from the fact that a defendant does not testify
25 contracting without a needse. 128	- 1			nor may you discuss this or it enter into your verdict in
	2	5 contracting without a ricense.		130

any way. So I just want to be sure that you would 2 understand that that is the instruction and that you 3 would be able to follow it. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Taylor, same question to you. 6 Would you understand that instruction and would you be 7 able to follow that instruction if you were to be on the 8 panel and deliberate? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: (No audible 10 11 response.) THE COURT: Regardless of what it is that you 12 might like to see and regardless of whether or not you 13 can perhaps in your mind think of hypothetical reasons 14 why someone would not testify, would you be able to 15 recognize that this is a constitutional right. There are 16 a myriad of reasons why that might be exercised and that 17 ultimately that cannot be used as a basis for your 18 deliberations. Would you be able to respect that and be 19 able to follow that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I think I would have 21 a hard time with it. 22 THE COURT: I don't typically like to ask these 23 24 kind of open-ended questions because of course everybody has their own views and I don't necessarily want to 25 131

But there is no requirement on the defense to prove innocence. In fact innocence is already in place, right, 2 So I only give that additional information and 3 in no way, shape or form am I trying to put you on the 4 spot. I a just want your candid reason for why this would be difficult for you to help me better understand 6 the thought process. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I have always had a 8 hard time with -- my life has always been very black and 9 white. Very little grey in between. And so I just don't 10 understand why somebody would not want to speak up for 11 12 themselves. THE COURT: Maybe they do, but for many reasons 13 they don't. Can you shift your black and white focus 14 over to the idea that the State proved beyond a 15 reasonable doubt the elements that lead to a finding of 16 guilt on the charges or they do not and that be your 17 focus and not be your focus on what you need or expect to 18 hear from the defense. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I can try. I can 20 21 give it a good try. THE COURT: I appreciate your candor. 22 I will invite counsel now to make your further 23 inquiries and then give the State an opportunity to 24 follow up to any of those questions. 25

influence anybody else's views, but I guess, I am a little surprised by your answer. I'm going to be candid 2 with you. Just because of the other answers you had 3 given indicate to me that overall you appear to be able 4 to be a fair and impartial juror, and it would seem 5 strange to me that that issue would be something that 6 might mean that you could not be fair and impartial. 7 What I am really ultimately asking you is can 8 you be fair and impartial. And part of that goes along 9 with this idea of whether you understand why or not, 10 there is the basic issue the State has to prove beyond a 11 reasonable doubt. The defense doesn't have to do a 12 single thing. They don't have to put on a single 13 witness. They don't have to do anything. 14 If the State proves its burden and you find so, 15 so be it, and if they don't and you find so, so be it. 16 But the defense does not have to do a thing. And when 17 folks begin to maybe go back to what they have seen on TV 18 or read in books believe that somehow that this is a 19 civil case, if you will, why one side has to prove 20 something and the other side has to defend something and 21 prove guilt, prove innocence, that's not how this works 22 at all. Period. End of story. 23 The State has to prove guilt. If they don't 24 meet their burden, then they don't meet their burden. 25

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor. Just to 1 follow-up on questions to the State's? 2 THE COURT: Yes. And Mr. Cooper has the 3 opportunity to follow up. MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 Mr. Morales, the Judge just read directly the 6 instruction you will be given at the end of the case. 7 When you said, Well, when you put it like that, then, 8 9 yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes. 10 MS. HOJJAT: But what you said earlier was, The 11 way I was raised, kind of my whole life the way I thought 12 if you don't have anything to hide why don't you tell 13 your side. And you also said if he doesn't take the 14 stand he has something to hide. 15 Now, obviously, you will be given the 16 instruction that you should not think those things while 17 you are deliberating. But it sounds like something that 18 you felt strongly about your whole life. This is not 19 something that you just walked into the courtroom today 20 and thought, You know what, if that guy doesn't take the 21 stand, I think he's got something to hide. You have 22 always felt you don't have anything to hide you have to 23 tell your side of the story? 24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Right.

132

134

133

MS. HOJJAT: And that has been ingrained in you for years and years. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Since I was a little 3 4 him? 4 kid. 5 MS. HOJJAT: So let me ask you, if you are 5 6 selected for jury service and Mr. McNeill chooses not to 6 7 testify, do you think you could put aside the way you 7 8 felt your whole life about this idea and not hold it 8 9 against him at all if he doesn't testify? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Um --10 11 MS. HOJJAT: There is no wrong answer. We just 11 12 12 want the truth. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: You are making a lot 13 14 of sense. I think I should not think about the way I 14 used to think, the way I was raised. Even though in the 15 15 past my dad was the one, you know, if it was black, even 16 16 17 if it's yellow, it's black. That is the way he thinks. 17 18 MS. HOJJAT: You think you could do that? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes. 19 MS. BONAVENTURE: So you do not think you will 20 20 21 have a problem if he does not testify? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: No. 22 23 behalf. MS. HOJJAT: Thank you. 23 24 And, Ms. Taylor, I guess even in speaking with 24 asking me to go against, and I think you were going to 25 the judge, it sounds like this is something you feel 25 135 say, I don't want to put words in your mouth, but strongly about as well? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: Yes. 2 MS. HOJJAT: And it sounds like this is not 3 3 4

your deliberations. Do you think you can follow that instruction or do you think, If I get back there I am going to be thinking about it and I might hold it against PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: Like I can try. That's all I can say. I don't know what the circumstances are. MS. HOJJAT: Well, you do know what is ahead of you. But what I am asking is the one thing I am asking if you knew he is not going to testify, if you knew he is not going to testify do you think you could tell us -let me rephrase the question. If you were sitting in Mr. McNeill's seat, you were the one on trial and there was a potential that you weren't going to be testifying and there was a juror sitting in the panel who felt the way you felt, who was saying, You know what, I'm going to try, but I can't be sure that I wouldn't hold it against him if she didn't testify, would you want that juror sitting on your jury? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I can't answer that because you are asking me to try to go against - I don't understand why somebody won't testify on their own MS. HOJJAT: Okay. You just said, You are

something that you just came up with today. You have 4 always felt this way? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: That is how I was 6 raised. You stood up for yourself and that's how I have 7 8 always been. MS. HOJJAT: And in fact we actually talked a 9 little bit to some of the jurors why somebody would chose 10 not to testify and you heard those reasons? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933; Yes. 12 MS. HOJJAT: But you still, you know, just in 13 the back of my mind it's going to be why isn't he 14 testifying, he must have done something wrong if he is 15 16 not testifying. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: I do not understand 17 why somebody would not want to stand up for themselves. I was raised you stand up for yourself and that's how it 19 20 has always been. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. You heard the jury 21 22 instruction word for word, the instruction that you will be given if you are chosen for the jury, the instruction says that you cannot hold it against Mr. McNeill at all

if he doesn't testify. That it cannot even enter into

basically go against what you thought for a very long PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: Yes, exactly. So what are you asking me? MS. HOJJAT: I am asking you not to hold it against him. Can you do that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: That's how I have been raised. It was pounded in my head for years and years and years as a child. 10 MS, HOJJAT: So just sitting there you can't say 11 for sure I won't hold it against him? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 933: No, I can't. 13 MS, HOJJAT: I appreciate your honesty. 14 Now, ladies and gentlemen, you were told that 15 the charges here are violation of lifetime supervision by 16 a sex offender. That means Mr. McNeill is a convicted 17 sex offender who is on lifetime supervision. 18 The judge instructed you that you are to presume 19 him innocent. The presumption of innocence is going to 20

go whether or not he violated lifetime supervision not to

whether or not he is a sex offender. You are going to

hear in this trial that Mr. McNeill is a convicted sex

offender. Is there anybody here who thinks, You know what, 138

136

36 of 70 sheets

137

21

23

24

25

why he is here, what made him be in the situation. knowing that information, I don't know that I can be fair 1 MS. HOJJAT: Okay. 2 and unbiassed towards him in rendering a verdict, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: There is a lot of 2 3 Ms. Alarcon. 3 reasons that led to this situation. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I can't. 4 MS. HOJJAT: I see. And correct me if I am 5 MS. HOJJAT: Thank you for your honesty. misunderstanding you, are you saying basically you see 5 6 Is there anybody else here hearing the word sex him here but you do not necessarily think he's guilty but 6 offender that makes you really uncomfortable, I don't 7 7 you are thinking about -know if I could be fair. Seeing no hands from the panel. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: That is not my first 8 9 Ms. Cardoza, I wanted to speak with you 9 specifically you mentioned that your husband is a police 10 automatic go to. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. But you are wondering why is 10 officer. And I belive the judge was asking you about 11 11 whether or not that would influence you whether or not he here? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes. 12 you could be fair. And I believe you made the comment, 13 13 MS, HOJJAT: Okay. Does the fact that he is and correct me if I'm wrong, I thought you said, I hold 14 here make you feel like, well, maybe he did do something 14 15 the same views as my husband. 15 wrong otherwise he would not be sitting here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: In what sense? 16 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Maybe he could have MS. HOJJAT: Well, I wasn't sure what you were 17 17 violated. I don't know. referring to when you said, I hold the same views as my 18 18 MS. HOJJAT: Does anybody else feel that way 19 husband, in the response to the question, Can you be about what I just started to say the idea of there has to 19 20 be a reason if is he sitting here? Seeing no hands from 20 fair. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: When I said I can be 21 21 the panel. 22 22 fair I think there is a lot of things as in evidence that Speaking about cops, I did want to ask does 23 I see legal terms, probable cause, then, yes, I do go anybody here have strong feelings about cops good or bad 23 24 back and look at everything that comes to me with feelings about cops in general. Anybody here think --25 evidence and weigh in on other situations. Like what if 25 141 139 oh, Ms. Cardoza. -- it's hard to explain. What if he did this, what other PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: They are human and 2 things are in the situation. I know there's a crime that cops could be great at their job but they also can be 2 has been violated so he is obviously guilty in that 3 really crappy at their job. It goes both ways because sense. Do you want me to elaborate? 4 they are all human. MS. HOJJAT: Go ahead, please. MS. HOJJAT: Anybody here disagree with that on 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: A lot of things when 6 the panel? Seeing no hands. So does anybody here think, 6 it comes to being open minded, I am. But there is things you know what, if a cop gets up there, I just don't see 7 that I also think, Okay, maybe this is there on a guilty 8 what reason they have to lie. Seeing no hands. 9 sense, I think a lot in facts in a mistaken way. 9 Anybody here feel like, you know what, I just MS. HOJJAT: Okay. You mentioned probable 10 can't imagine why a cop would make something up. Cops 10 11 11 cause. just don't make things up. If they are saying it, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: When you come in 12 12 perhaps, there has to be something to it. Seeing no 13 there is a reason why -- it's kind of hard for me to 13 hands from the panel. 14 Anybody here think that a cop would never lie to 14 MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Well, let me ask you, and I 15 15 get somebody in trouble? They might tell a fib here or believe Ms. Ash had mentioned this earlier about the 16 there but a cop would never deliberately tell a lie just 16 17 idea, Well, he's sitting there. for the purpose of getting somebody in trouble. Anybody 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Not because he's 18 18 here feel that way? Seeing no hands. 19 19 sitting here. I have no more questions. 20 MS. HOJJAT: Okay. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Cooper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: He cannot not be 21 21 MR. COOPER: No follow-up. 22 22 sitting here and still have a case upon him does not mean THE COURT: My I have counsel at the bench 23 he is guilty. But that the cases have to be -- there is 23 24 please. a reason why. It's not about he is guilty because he's 24 (Discussion held at the bench.) 25 here. I am not assuming he is guilty. I want to know

140

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Not at all. THE COURT: I am going to step into chambers 1 THE COURT: Does the fact that you have these 1 with counsel briefly. Everybody keep your seats. We 2 connections with friends and family to law enforcement 2 3 cause you to believe that you would give any greater will be back shortly. 3 4 (Discussion held in chambers.) weight to the testimony of law enforcement? 4 THE COURT: All right. We will resume at this 5 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: No. time and I will let you know that I have three jurors who 6 THE COURT: Is there any reason why you would 6 at this time we are going to excuse but thank you for 7 not be able to be fair and impartial to both sides of 7 8 your service. At this time I excuse from the panel, this case, the State and to the defense, to receive the 8 Juror No. 032, Linda Alarcon, Juror No. 933, Tami Taylor, 9 evidence and apply the law and fairly and impartially 9 and Juror No. 976, Phyllis Ash. Thank you for your 10 10 deliberate with your fellow jurors? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: 1 have no problems service. 11 12 We will call now the next three members to the 12 with that. 13 THE COURT: I appreciate that. Have you ever jury box. 13 14 THE CLERK: Juror No. 003, Jason Alper. been, close friend or family member ever been a victim of 14 15 THE COURT: Next juror. 15 a crime or had interaction with the criminal justice THE CLERK: Juror No. 005, Gary Pollard. 16 16 system or accused of a crime? 17 THE COURT: And the next juror. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: When I was a child I 17 18 THE CLERK: Juror No. 006, John Burris. 18 was hit by a drunk driver. He was convicted. 19 THE COURT: So we are going to start with THE COURT: Do you have a specific recollection 19 Mr. Alper. If you can please answer the questions on the 20 20 of that? Did you have to testify? 21 board here. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I don't think I was 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Badge No. 003. My 22 old enough to testify. I was in physical therapy for 22 name is Jason Alper. I work for a company called Cal 23 about 10 to 12 years, so I still have some effects of 23 Pour, it's ready mix concrete. My spouse is Emily Alper. 24 24 25 25 She works at Arnoff Partners, which is an RV company. that. 145 143 THE COURT: But the person was caught and you 1 And I also went to Cashman Junior High School. say they were convicted? THE COURT: What year did you graduate high 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Correct. 2 3 school? 3 THE COURT: Is there anything about that PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I graduated in '94. 4 dealings with the criminal justice system that would 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have one child. I 6 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: No. 7 was born and raised in Las Vegas. Never been a juror 7 THE COURT: Have you or a friend or family 8 member ever been accused of a crime or connection with 8 before. THE COURT: First of all, did you know Ms. 9 the criminal justice system in that way? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I do not believe so. Schultz? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I did not. 11 THE COURT: Anything that you heard us discuss 11 THE COURT: Do you have any connections with law 12 with the other folks that you would think would impact 12 13 your ability to be fair and impartial in this trial? enforcement? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I do. My 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: No. 15 stepbrother works for Metro. I speak to him a couple THE COURT: Thank you. Let me turn then to 15 times a day. Another good friend works out of the north 16 Mr. Pollard. Please answer the questions that are on the 16 west and then my brother-in-law is the medical director 17 17 18 at Metro and another good friend of mine is on SWAT. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I am retired from 18 19 THE COURT: I think that is the record for the the grocery business. I am divorced. I have two 19 20 most law enforcement connections. 20 children, two sons. One is say policeman in North Las 21 Let me see if we can kind of sum it up. You do 21 Vegas the other is a podiatrist. I have lived in Vegas 22 have these connections with law enforcement. You 22 way too long. And I have never been a juror before even 23 obviously have the obligation not to discuss this case though I have been summoned three times. Just never 23 with anybody in law enforcement. Would you have any 24 24

25

problem following that instruction?

144

25

picked.

	THE COURT: Never made it to the end. Okay.	1 be	lieve very strongly in our principles of the criminal
1	You said you have is it your son in law enforcement?		stice system.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: My oldest son.	3	THE COURT: Do you have a brother who is an
3	THE COURT: Okay. So how about you and same	4 at	torney as well?
4	questions as well with Mr. Alper, is that going to	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: No, but I sometimes
5	questions as well will the Aiper, is that going to	6 g	et
6	influence the way you receive the evidence if it is	7	THE COURT: Do you get asked that a lot?
7	coming from law enforcement?	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Steve?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, it won't.	9	THE COURT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Is it going to impact you in any way	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I get asked that.
10	being fair and impartial if you are selected as a justi-	11	THE COURT: I thought you might be related when
11	in this case?	12 I	first saw you, and I saw the name and it took me a
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005; I don't think so.	13 n	ninute to realize you weren't the other attorney. I
13	THE COURT: You say you don't think so. I	15 H	poreciate that. I do not think I have anything further.
14	appreciate and we have actually had some discussion with		I will turn it over to Mr. Cooper.
15	counsel about no one can ever say definitively how they	15	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	would be, but do you believe that you would be able to	16	This is just for the three gentlemen who just
17	receive the evidence that is provided in this case and	17	oined us. All three of you, do you understand that at
18	weigh it fairly, apply the law to it and with your fellow	18 j	omed its. All three of you, do you and sain a give
19	jurors deliberate fairly.	19 t	he end of all the evidence the judge is going to give
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005; Yes.	2 0 y	ou some instructions on the law. Does everyone think
21	THE COURT: Ever been accused of or been the		hat they can follow those instructions?
22	victim of a crime or involved with the criminal justice	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes.
23	system that way?	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I have been a victim	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
25	of crime but it's never gotten to court. I had a vehicle	25	MR. COOPER: Even if you don't agree with them 149
123	147		143
1	<u>. </u>		
	stolen and it was never recovered and that is about it.	1	would you still be able to follow them?
	otalen alti il was licyci loco rotog and sees		TIDOD NO. 006, Voc
1	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
2 3 4	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here?	2 3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes.
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room	2 3 4 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem.	2 3 4 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go?
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would	2 3 4 5 6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I iust want to go over some things that we have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am automey. I do civil litigation business commercial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil itigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil litigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks be is innocent is my presumption.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil itigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and before that I was in Salt Lake City. I practiced there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks he is innocent is my presumption. MS. HOJJAT: Does anyone disagree with Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil litigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and before that I was in Salt Lake City. I practiced there. And I have never been a juror before. I do not have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks be is innocent is my presumption. MS. HOJJAT: Does anyone disagree with Mr. Burris? Okay. Great.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil litigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and before that I was in Salt Lake City. I practiced there. And I have never been a juror before. I do not have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks he is innocent is my presumption. MS. HOJJAT: Does anyone disagree with Mr. Burris? Okay. Great. We talked about him not testifying. We talked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil itigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and before that I was in Salt Lake City. I practiced there. And I have never been a juror before. I do not have any contacts with police officers or the criminal justice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks be is innocent is my presumption. MS. HOJJAT: Does anyone disagree with Mr. Burris? Okay. Great. We talked about him not testifying. We talked about different reasons people might not want to testify.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil litigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and before that I was in Salt Lake City. I practiced there. And I have never been a juror before. I do not have any contacts with police officers or the criminal justice system. THE COURT: No law enforcement in your extended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks be is innocent is my presumption. MS. HOJJAT: Does anyone disagree with Mr. Burris? Okay. Great. We talked about him not testifying. We talked about different reasons people might not want to testify. Is there any reason you can think of why someone would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil itigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and before that I was in Salt Lake City. I practiced there. And I have never been a juror before. I do not have any contacts with police officers or the criminal justice system. THE COURT: No law enforcement in your extended family circle or friend circle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR. COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks be is innocent is my presumption. MS. HOJJAT: Does anyone disagree with Mr. Burris? Okay. Great. We talked about him not testifying. We talked about different reasons people might not want to testify. Is there any reason you can think of why someone would not testify?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about the criminal justice system itself and how that operated that might impact your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: There's always room for improvement, but I have no problem. THE COURT: I don't think that anybody would disagree with you that there is always room for improvement. Any questions that you have heard or anything that you think you need to bring to our attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Last, but not least, Mr. Burris. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: John Burris. I am an attorney. I do civil itigation business commercial. My wife is a stay-at-home mom. The children are too young to work. I have lived in Las Vegas since 2008 and before that I was in Salt Lake City. I practiced there. And I have never been a juror before. I do not have any contacts with police officers or the criminal justice system. THE COURT: No law enforcement in your extended family circle or friend circle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MR COOPER: No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to go? MS. HOJJAT: I am, Judge. Hello, gentlemen. Welcome to the panel. So I just want to go over some things that we have been talking about with the panel. The presumption of innocence. Do any of you feel the presumption of innocence is kind of bogus, kind of ridiculous. He's sitting here, there must be a reason he is sitting here. Any sort of thoughts like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: He is sitting here because he thinks be is innocent is my presumption. MS. HOJJAT: Does anyone disagree with Mr. Burris? Okay. Great. We talked about him not testifying. We talked about different reasons people might not want to testify. Is there any reason you can think of why someone would

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Maybe a little. prosecution would be able to cross-examine him and that 1 MS. HOJJAT: If the State fails to meet their 1 2 could mischaracterize him. burden of proof would you be comfortable of returning a 2 MS. HOJJAT: Okay. That's interesting. You are 3 3 verdict of not guilty? talking about the prosecution cross-examining him. Do 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If they can prove you think cross-examination is something that is scary 5 5 that he is not guilty of the charges. 6 MS. HOJJAT: Let me ask a little bit more about 6 for somebody? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Absolutely. And it 7 that. Do you think we need to prove that he is not 7 could also be misleading. 8 guilty of the charges? MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cross-examination 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I think it would 9 would be especially scary if somebody is already a 10 10 depend on the case. 11 convicted sex offender? MS. HOJJAT: Depends on the case. Okay. Judge 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: It could be very 12 Delaney is going to instruct you that I don't have to 12 13 prove anything and Ms. Bonaventure doesn't have to prove 13 complicated. MS. HOJJAT: If he did not testify in the back 14 14 anything, so if we don't prove it but the State also of your mind would you be a little bit uncomfortable with 15 doesn't prove that he's guilty, what would your verdict 15 16 it and maybe wonder why he is not speaking? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I think you always 17 be? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Probably not guilty. 17 have that question in the back of your mind but it does 18 18 MS. HOJJAT: Probably not guilty. not mean they aren't innocent. They might have a speech 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I have to hear all 19 impediment or something or some other reason. Who knows. 20 the evidence. We are presuming. So I am going to 20 MS. HOJJAT: So you do not know why he is not 21 presume I have heard all the evidence and if I hear all 21 22 22 testifying. the evidence then he is not guilty. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: And you heard the 23 MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Well, let me ask you a 23 jury instruction that Judge Delaney will be giving you at 24 question. Right now you have not heard a bit of 24 25 the end of the trial about not holding it against Mr. 153 151 1 evidence. McNeill if he does not testify? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Right. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: The State has not met their burden, 2 MS. HOJJAT: Could you follow that instruction? 3 right? They haven't shown you a bit of evidence. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Right. 5 MS. HOJJAT: Mr. Burris, could you follow that 5 MS. HOJJAT: If you were sent to the back and 6 handed a verdict form and you had to vote guilty or not 6 instruction? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes, I could. 7 guilty, what would you vote? MS. HOJJAT: I want to talk about the State's PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Probably not guilty. 8 9 burden of proof. Mr. Burris, I know you know this one, MS. HOJJAT: Mr. Burris, what are your thoughts 9 10 law school 101, right? 10 11 on that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Not guilty. 11 MS. HOJJAT: The burden of proof, essentially 12 12 MS. HOJJAT: Why is it not guilty? 13 the judge is going to instruct you that myself and Ms. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: The State has to 13 Bonaventure don't have to do anything. We can sit here 14 prove every single element beyond a reasonable doubt, so 14 and do absolutely nothing through the whole trial. And 15 they have their check list and they have to meet every 15 Mr. Cooper has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable 16 single one of them. doubt every element of every charge that the State has 17 MS. HOJJAT: Would you agree or disagree with 17 18 brought against my client. 18 what Mr. Burris just said? 19 So even if I do not say a word and Ms. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would agree. 19 20 Bonaventure doesn't say a word and Mr. McNeill doesn't 20 MS, HOJJAT: So if you were to vote right now say a word, if he doesn't prove the burden you must 21 it's got to be not guilty because they haven't met their 21 22 22 acquit. Are you comfortable with that? Would you have burden, right? 23 23 any problem returning a verdict of not guilty if you PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: That's true. believe the defense did not mount a defense? Any problem 24 MS. HOJJAT: What about your thoughts on cops. 24 25 with that? 25 154

	·		
1	Does anybody here feel that cops are more honest than	1	as that.
2	other witnesses may be?	2	MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Is it possible he did
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: They are just human	3 .	nothing wrong and he's sitting here?
4	beings just like us. They are everyday people.	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Could be an
5	MS. HOJJAT: So you are saying they are human,	5	interpretation of something done wrong.
6	some are honest and some are not.	- 6	MS. HOJJAT: What do you think, Mr. Pollard, is
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Right. Just like	7	it possible that he did nothing wrong and he's sitting
8	everybody.	8	here?
9	MS. HOJJAT: What did you think about what I	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Somebody could have
10	said earlier about when I asked the panel, Would a cop	10	framed him.
11	ever lie to deliberately try to put pressure on somebody.	11	MS, HOJJAT: And then the last thing I want to
12	Is that something you think is possible or, no, that's	12	talk to you guys about was he is a convicted sex
13	just crossing the line. A cop wouldn't do that.	13	offender. Does that cause you any concern in terms of
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I am sure there are	14	giving him a fair trial?
	cops that probably push the envelope and then there are	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: He probably has to
15	cops out there that are 100 percent.	16	work twice as hard not to be here.
16	MS. HOJJAT: Okay. What about you, sir; what do	17	MS. HOJJAT: Do you think that it is going to
17		18	influence your ability to look at things impartially
18	you think? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I think cops are	19	because he is a sex offender?
19	human. They might lie. And there have been incidents	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Not at all.
20	where a cop has placed evidence to get somebody guilty.	21	MS, HOJJAT: What about you, Mr, Pollard?
21	where a cop has placed evidence to get someouth garry	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes, I think it
22	So, yes, overall I think policeman are very honest. From	23	would influence my judgment.
23	my experience with the police. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. So overall you think they	24	MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Now, when you are saying
24		25	influence your judgment did you think that if you were
25	are very honest?		157
<u> </u>	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.	1	chosen for the jury when you were deliberating would you
1 1			
1 2		2	hold it against him?
2	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where	3	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to.
2 3	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is		hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the
2 3 4	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel.	3	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about.
2 3 4 5	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher	3 4	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender
2 3 4 5 6	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to	3 4 5	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth?	3 4 5 6	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper.	3 4 5 6 7 8	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: 1 have heard stories	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. 110JJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. 110JJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Do any of you gentlemen	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex offender. I would not hold it against him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Do any of you gentlemen think, Well, he's sitting here, so he must have done	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hold it against him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex offender. I would not hold it against him. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. That's interesting, you said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Do any of you gentlemen think, Well, he's sitting here, so he must have done something, there must be a reason he's sitting here.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. 110JJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex offender. I would not hold it against him. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. That's interesting, you said convicted, that does not mean that he necessarily is one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Do any of you gentlemen think, Well, he's sitting here, so he must have done something, there must be a reason he's sitting here. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not necessarily.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. 110JJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex offender. I would not hold it against him. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. That's interesting, you said convicted, that does not mean that he necessarily is one. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: There are people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Do any of you gentlemen think, Well, he's sitting here, so he must have done something, there must be a reason he's sitting here. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not necessarily. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. 110JJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex offender. I would not hold it against him. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. That's interesting, you said convicted, that does not mean that he necessarily is one. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: There are people that get convicted incorrectly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Do any of you gentlemen think, Well, he's sitting here, so he must have done something, there must be a reason he's sitting here. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not necessarily. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Maybe a procedure	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. 110JJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex offender. I would not hold it against him. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. That's interesting, you said convicted, that does not mean that he necessarily is one. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: There are people that get convicted incorrectly. MS. HOJJAT: That's interesting. For the people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HOJJAT: But there are occasions where PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah, there is always a bad apple in the barrel. MS. HOJJAT: Do you think cops get a higher presumption if a cop is up there are you going to think, Well, he is more likely to be telling the truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I have heard stories from people and there are some pretty bad apples, so I really cannot truly say. MS. HOJJAT: What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Cops are just like people. Stake out a position and if they believe in it then they probably find ways to support that position just like anybody would be. Might be mistaken. Might be lying. Just like lawyers are people. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Do any of you gentlemen think, Well, he's sitting here, so he must have done something, there must be a reason he's sitting here. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not necessarily. MS. HOJJAT: What about you, Mr. Alper. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Maybe a procedure	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would try not to. I would try to keep it out because that is not what the trial is about. MS. HOJJAT: So even though he is a sex offender you would not find him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: If the evidence proves he is not guilty. If the evidence proves the other way I would find him to be guilty. MS. HOJJAT: But the fact that he is a sex offender PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Would not make a difference. MS. HOJJAT: So if the State does not meet their burden you would not convict him. What are your thoughts, Mr. Burris? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would not hold it against him. It does not mean that he actually was a sex offender. I would not hold it against him. MS. HOJJAT: Okay. That's interesting, you said convicted, that does not mean that he necessarily is one. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: There are people that get convicted incorrectly.

in the back who maybe couldn't hear him he said there are people who get convicted incorrectly. 2

So you believe it's possible for a person to be convicted of a crime they didn't commit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Sure. I cannot help but go through some of the complications of what is at work here. People make mistakes. Cops make mistakes. Lawyers, not the Court of course. I would not hold it

against him if he is here for a different charge. MS. HOJJAT: And you would only look at the evidence of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I would only look at that charge.

MS. HOJJAT: Does anybody here feel differently? Seeing no hands.

I have no more questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll qualify the panel and that allows me to excuse the remainder of the panel. But we have qualified the 24 that we need to qualify to ultimately wind up with a panel of 14. I am going to

20 allow the attorneys now to complete their final selection 21 process. The remainder of you are excused. I do believe

22 there is someone in Jury Service so just check in for 23

further instruction. Thank you very much for your 24

25 service.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

personnel, other than the marshal are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are 2 not being antisocial. We are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you, to do so might contaminate your 4 verdict whether intended or not. You are admonished additionally that you are not

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

159

to visit the scene of any acts or occurrences made mention of during the trial unless specifically directed to by me and you are not to investigate this case or anyone who has anything to do with this case on your own or undertake any legal or factual research on your own.

Those are the very, very basic general instructions. Basically, what will happen is obviously each day when you return here tomorrow at 1:00 -- but you never know who might be in the hallway, it might be a witness, we just don't want anybody to misunderstand if we happen to see you if you run into us somewhere and say, Nice day or Hello or wave and somebody from across 18 the hallway sees that and doesn't understand that that is not a conversation about the case. We just try to avoid improper appearances.

So you can converse with and speak to the marshal, but otherwise the rest of us will not converse with you. The safest people to talk to are the ones wearing the jury badges just like you because they are

161

So what is going to happen now is counsel is going to make their final selection, and unlike in previous times when I read off names and numbers that meant that you are excused then you know that you are on the panel. The final selection will leave us with a panel of 14 individuals, 12 of who will deliberate and two of whom will be alternates throughout the course of the trial. You will not know obviously which of you are the alternates.

I am going to read some basic instructions to sort of orient you for how the process will continue for those of you who are able to and will in fact remain on the panel. And for those of you who are not selected, we just want to thank you again. It's been a long afternoon and we appreciate your service very much.

I should also note for those who are going to be returning tomorrow that we are going to start tomorrow at 1:00 p.m., so you will have the morning free and maybe you can get some work in, and we do expect to break at or around 5:00. Typically we don't go past 5:00. Today, of course, I wanted to make sure we had the full panel before I let the remainder go. So that is for your schedule tomorrow.

During the course of this trial, the attorneys 24 for both sides, the parties, the witnesses and court 25

under the same admonishment.

You are not to discuss this case with anyone, not to do any research to try to understand the case better. Whatever your verdict is will be based on the evidence that is provided in this trial as you find it to be, and the law as I give it to you in the instructions at the end of this case. And then you will deliberate from that and that alone.

Again, this is a criminal case brought by the State of Nevada, oftentimes referred to as the State. And it is based upon what will be the information or a charging document. That will be read to you tomorrow before we begin opening statements, and you will have a specific understanding of what the charges are that have been filed against Mr. McNeill.

You need to be reminded, however, and I have already gone over this a little bit in the questioning of the jury panel, but that this charging document is simply that. It is simply a charge. It is not in any sense evidence of the allegations it contains. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to these two charges that are set forth in the information that you will hear tomorrow.

The State, therefore, has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. As the defendant sits there now he is

162

42 of 70 sheets

innocent. And unless and until he is proven guilty by the State he will remain so.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet the burden. It is your primary responsibilities as jurors to find and determine the facts.

Under our system of criminal procedure you are the sole judges of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and the other evidence including exhibits introduced in court. Anything you hear or see outside of courtroom is not evidence and may not be considered by you.

It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence. At times I may sustain objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard.

Anything that you may, again, have seen or heard outside of the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You must also not be influenced in any degree by any personal feelings or sympathy or prejudice against the State or the defendant. Both sides are entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration. When considering the weight and value of the testimony of

verbally by you engaging with the witness. What will happen is once the witness questioning has commenced and completed then I will invite jurors if they have questions to write a question down. You will all be given notepads and pens, and you will write your question or questions down. 6

I will then go over the questions with counsel and if they are permitted to be asked, they are proper questions and done in the proper format, then we will ask it of the witness. The Court will read the questions verbatim to the witness. The witness will answer and counsel will be given an opportunity to follow-up.

There is no right or wrong way to do it, it's just that you are governed by the same rules that counsel are governed by. So obviously you can't ask something that would be impermissible to be asked, and you may or may not know what that is, but the Court will make that determination.

And your questions of course should be based on what evidence and questioning has already taken place, things for clarification or further questioning on certain topics that have been asked. But anything that is outside of the scope of what has been asked is also not available to you. And there may be other restrictions. But you do not have to worry about that.

165

any witness you may take into consideration the appearance, attitude and behavior of the witness, the interest of the witness in the outcome of the case, if 3 any, the relation of the witness to the defendant or the State, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully 5 or not, and the probability or improbability of the witness's statement and all of the facts and 7 circumstances in evidence, thus, you may give the 8 testimony of any witness just such weight and value you believe the testimony of the witness is entitled to 10 receive. 11

There are two kinds of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which if proven you may infer a second fact.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding the case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both. But it is of course for you to decide how much weight to give to any of the evidence.

This is something new that most jurors are not familiar with if they haven't served recently, but you are given the opportunity to yourself ask questions of the witnesses when they testify. This is not done

If you have a question please do not hesitate to write it down during the course of the testimony because, again, at the end I will ask for questions and you will show me by way of hand if you have a question and my marshall will collect it and bring it to me and then we will 6 proceed. 7

Remember that the questions must be factual in nature and are designed to clarify information already presented. Of course you may not place any undue weight on a response just because a juror asked it and you should not have any adverse inference drawn if the Court determines not to ask a question. Do not speculate as to why that may be the case; there could be any number of 14 reasons.

Opening statements and closing arguments of the 15 attorneys are intended to help you in understanding the 16 evidence and applying the law, but they are not 17 themselves evidence. Until this case is submitted to 18 you, again, you must not discuss it with anyone even your 19 fellow jurors. You cannot discuss it with anyone 20 outside, I think everyone knows that, and anyone else 21 means family members and friends. You can of course let 22 them know that you are on a criminal trial jury but that 23 is it until the case is done and you are discharged, at 24 which point you can discuss it with anyone in any way you 166

164

01/01/2015 08:36:59 PM

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10

11

see fit. But you are also not entitled to discuss it with other jurors because we don't want there to be any appearance or actual deliberation occurring until all the evidence is received and you have now been instructed on the law and you are now ready to deliberate.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If for any reason you cannot hear a witness or you have any other reason to get the Court's attention during the course of while we are in trial, please raise your hand. I will acknowledge you and you can make a written note or you can give a note or speak to the marshal on a break.

I will take regular breaks with witness testimony to let everybody have the opportunity to use the restroom and stretch their legs. Again, if you need to get in touch with the Court let the marshal know.

I may take notes during the course of the trial of what the witness's is testimony. Please do not make any inference from that action. I am required to prepare for legal arguments and any objections that may be made by counsel and also to put things in the record that are appropriate to put in the record that might take place outside of the jury's presence.

You, of course, should be prepared to take your own notes. You are not required, but we will provide a notepad and pen for you to do that.

counsel for the defendant may cross-examine the 2 witnesses.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

167

Following the State's case the defendant may present evidence if they chose and the Deputy District 4 Attorney may cross-examine the witnesses. However, as I have said and we talked about repeatedly in this voir 6 dire process, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence.

After all of the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the opportunity to present oral argument in closing.

What is said in closing just like what is said in opening is not evidence. It is simply designed to summarize and interpret the evidence, remind you what has been provided into evidence and give you suggestions on how to interpret.

Since the State has the burden to prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt to each of the charges and the elements of each of those charges the State has the right to open and close the argument.

After the arguments have been completed you will retire to deliberate your verdict. Again, let me remind you that until the case is submitted to you do not talk to each other about it or anyone who has anything to do

169

You will not have a transcript at the end of the trial to consult and readbacks are very, very difficult. And if while you are deliberating want to identify a necessary part of the trial be read back you have to be very specific about what that is so the reporter can gather her notes. That is not encouraged, so we are encouraging you to take notes during the course of the trial.

Of course we do not want you to be so busy taking notes of certain testimony that you miss subsequent testimony. So you really have to figure out how to balance receiving the information and taking notes that will help remind you at the end of trial.

The trial will proceed in the following manner. The Deputy District Attorney will make an opening statement, which is an outline to help you understand what the State intends to prove. Of course, again, you are reminded that is not in and of itself evidence. That is simply helping you to understand what is coming and what the State will prove.

Next the defendant's attorney may but does not have to make an opening statement. Opening statements serve as introductions to the evidence to the party making the statements intend to prove.

The State will then present its evidence and

with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict.

Do not talk with anyone else about this case or 3 about anyone who has anything to do with it until the 4 trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and friends. You may tell them that you are a juror on a criminal case but do not tell them anything else about it until after you have been discharged by me.

The further detailed instructions obviously that you will need to have some of these will be repeated and then additional instructions added including, again, the elements of the charges and all of the instructions you need to have will be made available to you when the case closes before closing arguments and you will each get a copy set so that you have that to refer to. And you will also have all the evidence that was presented in the trial at the time you deliberate and you will have the form of verdict for you to complete your duty.

I will check in with counsel at this time to see where we are in the process.

MS. BONAVENTURE: On the last one. We're almost done.

THE COURT: All right. So as soon the counsel will complete their selection process we will announce

170

who the 14 jury members. If any of you do need a letter 2 from the court for your work, we will be happy to provide 3 one to you, you just have to let the marshall know and my JEA will prepare one for you. And you will get 4 additional instructions from the marshal. 5 Okay. It looks like we are ready to go. 6 MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, may we approach 7 briefly? 8 THE COURT: You may. 9 (Discussion held at the bench.) 10 THE COURT: I appreciate the opportunity to 11 clear up with counsel a question that they had. We have 12 now done that. The 14 names are now going to be read and 13 let me remind you if you do not hear your name you will 14 remain until the names are all called and then you will 15 be excused. 16 17

THE CLERK: Juror No. 1 is Jason Alper; Juror No. 2, Gerald Rivera; Juror No. 3, Brian Lagomarsino; Juror No. 4, Jeffery Swain, Juror No. 5, Jacob Spooner; Juror No. 6, Isaac Vilchez; Juror No. 7, Jason Moore; Juror No. 8, Idalia Rice-Wilson; Juror No. 9, Justin Walker, Juror No. 10, Gilbert Canales; Juror No. 11, Steven Manning; Juror No. 12, James Bakkedahl; Juror No. 13, Bonnie Schultz, and Juror No. 14 is Joey Hamilton.

THE COURT: All right. If you did not hear your

your deliberations, how long that takes of course depends on how long you wish to take in those deliberations. 2

Thank you. I will go ahead and excuse you for 3 today. We will see you back tomorrow at 1:00. Thank 4 5 you.

(Jurors exit the courtroom.)

THE COURT: We do have some things that we need to put on the record. There was a challenge raised and I apologize I misunderstood the nature of the challenge. When counsel asked to approach, the challenge that was raised was that the five preemption selections made by the State were all to white males. The same as the 12 defendant. There was some discussion here at the bench 13 with regard to the basis, appropriate basis for a Batson 14 challenge and whether or not that would be an appropriate 15 basis which is why I had indicated that I wanted to have 16

that discussion on the record. I had made a determination based on the full argument that was made at the bench that the Court would not change the makeup of the panel as selected by counsel, but that I would allow for argument to be made in the record.

One of the things that I did note at the bench was that a large number of the remaining jurors are also white males and that was just noted in terms of the

173

name your are excused. 1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

For those of you who are remaining thank you in advance for your time today. We very much appreciate, the counsel and the Court, that you will serve as jurors in this case and fairly and impartially deliberate once you receive the evidence and the law from me.

We are going to start tomorrow at 1:00 with opening statements and we will read the Information and take at least two of the three witnesses who were identified by the State which then should complete our afternoon.

I am still trying to determine the return time on Wednesday. It is possible that it could be as late as 14 1:00. It will really depend on what the Court's calendar 15 looks like. I will certainly be able to tell you tomorrow whether or not we will return in the morning or 16 in the afternoon. Right now I would say it will most likely be the afternoon on Wednesday as well. So at least your mornings are free and hopefully will have as little impact on your work and personal life as possible.

But, again, very serious business that we will be doing here in terms of determination of whether the State meets its burden on the charges of Mr. McNeill.

And we do anticipate completing at 5:00 24 tomorrow. Once we provide the case to you and you begin discussion.

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

But let me go ahead and turn it over to counsel record on this challenge.

2 for Mr. McNeill to make any further argument or clearer MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we 5

were challenging the fact that all five of the State's 6 preemptory challenges were white males. I understand the Court's characterization of who is left on this panel,

however, the defense would respectfully disagree in terms

of Mr. Rivera who is a Hispanic male. I think Mr. 10

Vilchez is a Hispanic male. Ms. Rice-Wilson is an 11

African-American female. So there are people -- we are 12 not just looking at a panel that is all white males. 13

THE COURT: And Mr. Canales is Hispanic.

14 MS. HOJJAT: Yes. And Mr. Canales is a Hispanic 15 male. All of the challenges to white males, frankly, it 16 shows a pattern of conduct and so we raised a Batson 17

challenge at the bench. The Court did deny that 18

challenge. We did ask the State for race-neutral reason. 19 THE COURT: The State indicated that we would --

20 let's just be clear, too, of the five preemptions there 21 was not a dispute raised as to Juror No. 1, Darrell Hoss 22 23

MS. HOJJAT: That's correct. 24

THE COURT: -- as we know, and I don't think we 25

necessarily made any record yet of the cause challenges, 2 but Mr. Hoss was the one juror that the State asked to 3 have removed for cause. The Court determined that he did 4 not reach the level of bias that would be necessary to 5 remove him for cause, that he had indicated ultimately that he would do his analysis, fair and impartial. 6

He indicated concern and questions about the criminal justice system, primarily it appeared to be a bias of the system as a whole against both sides. In any given criminal case I felt that his responses were sufficient that he had not exhibited a basis for the Court to excuse him for cause, so I did encourage that if 12 there was going to be an excusat it would have to be a 13 preemptory challenge which the State exercised as their fifth of their challenges. So that one was not being disputed.

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But as to Jury No. 3, Jace Mande; Juror No. 16, Richard Benson; Juror No. 21, Martin Burgess; and Juror No. 24, John Burris. And I am referencing these jurors by their seat number not the juror number. Just to be clear in our record, Juror No. 055, Jace Mande; Juror 927, Richard Benson; Juror No. 000, Martin Burgess, and Juror No. 006, John Burris, those are the ones that are being challenged.

MS. HOJJAT: That's correct, Your Honor. Those 175

reason. We are seeing four white males kicked off of this jury and we would ask the State for a race-neutral 2 3 reason.

THE COURT: Mr. Cooper, you did indicate that 4 you had race-neutral reasons. I had speculated at the 5 bench that I can determine race-neutral reasons to at least two of the four that are being challenged by them. I wanted to give you the opportunity -- my basis, just so it's clear in the record, for not allowing the jurors to 9 step out and have this discussion before anyone was 10 excused was I do not believe that Batson would apply in 11 this circumstance; however, to the extent that that 12 Batson does apply in this circumstance we need to have a 13 complete record. And I will ask Mr. Cooper at this time 14 if he has race-neutral reasons. 15

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. And I would 16 concur with the Court's assessment, I think Batson does not apply in this type of scenario. I would also note 18 from my calculation, obviously, I did not go into 19 anyone's ethnicity or background. But there were still 20 eight white males that were still on the jury even now. 21 So, obviously, I was not just kicking white males. I 22 mean I had to kick someone. There was a lot of white 23 males on this jury. So by default a lot of white males 24 are going to be kicked.

177

are the challenges we raised at the bench.

THE COURT: And your basis for believing that Batson would apply to white males is simply that they share the same race identification with the defendant and therefore that's the circumstance --

MS. HOJJAT: Well, Your Honor, in this case they do share the same race identification as the defendant, however, that is not the basis for the challenge. It is our position that Batson stands for the idea that no group should be discriminated against based on their gender that Batson and the follow-up case -- I apologize, I cannot remember the name of the case, but the case dealing with gender essentially that race and gender --

THE COURT: An Alabama case.

MS. HOJJAT: Right. That those cases say race and gender should not be a basis for discrimination and it doesn't matter whether the individual is of a classification that is classified as a minority or classified as a majority. The idea is a person should never be excluded from service based upon their race or ethnicity.

And in this case we have five white males kicked. One of whom we understand the State raised a cause challenge on, but the other four of whom there does not appear to be as far as we can see a race-neutral

But with that said, Your Honor, going through my race-neutral reason, I think the juror that was in position 3, Mande, I think that was the first person I kicked. He actually indicated that he had a dispute with police officers back in New York. He seemed to indicate that it was, I guess, a very contentious dispute and police officers were subsequently falsifying issues with him or his business in order for them to drum up business on themselves.

Obviously, this Court is aware, my witnesses in this case are law enforcement and he had prior bad experience with law enforcement and could definitely taint his ability to be fair and impartial when he sees somebody with a badge get up there and says something happened. That was my reason for that juror.

Benson, I think that was the juror in position 16, Richard Benson. He indicated that he was arrested for drug possession and littering. Obviously, the littering is not as concerning as drug possession, but he had a bad interaction with police officers. He also indicated that he had no kids. That was also a concern to the State as well. 22

THE COURT: Can you be more specific on that concern.

MR. COOPER: Well, Your Honor, no kids was just 178

176

17

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

indicating to me that he had no real responsibilities. I think he also indicated that he was also single. He is 2 single, he has no kids and he gets picked up for drugs 3 and littering and that was not the type of person that I 4 would want on my jury because obviously he has shown that 5 he does not really have that much in responsibility, and 6 in this case that is going to be very important to 7 actually ascertain what the responsibility of the defendant had, whether or not they were reasonable to a 9 certain extent, and whether or not he followed through 10 with those responsibilities. For someone that does not 11 have the responsibilities that a lot of people his age 12 have, I would say I did not want him on my jury. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14

MR. COOPER: And the next one was Burgess. Mr. Burgess, he also indicated that he did not have children as well. He did have a wife, but he didn't have children. Again, a man of that age without children doesn't have the responsibility, not that's he wrong in that, he just doesn't have the responsibility that someone with children have so he might not look as favorably upon the responsibilities that are being put 22 upon this defendant thinking that they are overburdensome, that he shouldn't have to go through with

MR. COOPER: I did not say that, Your Honor. He 1 was single, had no kids and he also was arrested for drug 2 possession and littering. 3 4

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, I do have some responses to that.

THE COURT: Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

179

5

7

10

11

12

13

MS. HOJJAT: The third prong of Batson is the defense has the opportunity to present the race-neutral reason are pretextual. At this point we would submit to the Court that the State has now made a reference that two individuals were stricken, at least partially because they had no kids. Mr. Lagomarsino also indicated that he has no kids. Mr. Vilchez also indicated that he has no kids.

14 In terms of that being the reason for Mr. Benson 15 and Mr. Burgess to be kicked -- in terms of Mr. Benson 16 being kicked because he had some sort of criminal arrest, 17 Mr. Morales pretty much confessed to first degree 18 kidnapping during voir dire today and he was not kicked 19 off of the jury. He is not a white male. So, I mean, if 20 we are talking about people who committed crimes and had 21 contact with law enforcement, he was arrested for some 22 really serious charges; kidnapping and assault with a **2**3 deadly weapon. He was not kicked off. He is not a white 24 male. We have Mr. Lagomarsino, we've got Mr. Vilchez who 181

And then also he did indicate that he took a law class in college and he seemed to be very proud about that so I think that he might try to interpret the law in his own way and not give full deference to this court that's due. And that's actually the same reason I kicked the last one, Burris, No. 24. He was an attorney. He made a lot of statements that basically he knows what the burden is and that people are -- I think he said people are wrongfully accused or something.

He said a couple things to me that indicated to me that he had somewhat of a bias against the prosecution and actually as he was leaving he looked at the defense attorneys and said, Good luck. So, I mean, it seemed that he did have a bias and that bias was confirmed when he said good luck to them. So I had a reason for him as well.

And that would be the same reason I had for Mr. Burgess who took a contract law class and there was some contracts that are going to be involved in this case and he might think he knows too much,

THE COURT: Okay. Anything you would like to 21 22 add?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. And I just want 23 to clarify, the only reason given for Mr. Benson was that 24 he has no kids, right?

has no children. So we would submit to the court that these are pretextual race-neutral reasons to kick these people off the jury. 3 4

In fact, there were quite a few people who talked about having had contact with law enforcement who haven't had arrests who were not kicked by the State.

MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, I can't kick everyone. That's not as simple as it goes. I have to base it on their answers so that's why I kicked them. It had nothing to do with their race. I can't kick everyone. I don't know what I'm supposed to do.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, if I can just finish the record. I apologize.

THE COURT: No, go ahead.

14 MS. HOJJAT: As to Mr. Burris, I mean, he 15 indicated that he would follow the burden and follow the 16 presumption of innocence. He certainly didn't say anything about knowing better than anybody what the 18 burden is or understanding, you know, he's going to 19 follow his own law, he's not going to follow the Court's 20 instruction. I heard him say, Good luck as he walked out 21 of here. He kind of looked at both tables and said, Good 22 luck to everybody and walked out. We certainly didn't 23 interpret him as saying good luck to us. It seemed like 24 he was talking to all counsel in general who were going

180

182

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

that.

			1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reasons that these four individuals were kicked because they were white males. THE COURT: Well, again, the Court indicated it does not feel that Batson would apply in these circumstances to the extent that that would apply in these circumstances the Court would find that there is race-neutral basis that has been articulated. I understand you're arguing it's pretextual because there may be others that on balance maybe that one should have been struck before this one was struck, but as counsel pointed out there are only a certain number of challenges. Just a couple things that I would add for the record for what they're worth. There are 8 white males by my count that remain on the panel out of 12 that will deliberate. There are 9 total out of the 14 including the one alternate. If Mr. Burris had not been selected he would have been the alternate instead of Mr. Hamilton, so we remain with a white male in the second alternate position, just not Mr. Burris. And, again, by my count there are 8 white males of the 12 that will ultimately be deliberating unless we have a jurger for whatever reason and have to go to an			REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF NEVADA) ss. COUNTY OF CLARK) I, BRENDA SCHROEDER, a certified court reporter in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached pages 1-207, inclusive, comprise a true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings reported by me in the matter of THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, versus STEVE DELL MCNEILL, Defendant, Case No. C297725, on July 7, 2014. Dated this 2nd day of January, 2015. /s/ Brenda Schroeder BRENDA SCHROEDER, CCR NO. 867
25	lose a juror for whatever reason and have to go to an	183	25	185
1	alternate, at which point we have a white female and a			

white male in the alternate position. So that's just information to conclude the record.

But the Court did make the determination that the panel would remain as is and I trust we will have fair and impartial jurors that will help us receive the evidence and weigh it and reach a verdict. So I will go ahead and conclude today and see you all at 1:00 tomorrow.

I do need to know that at some point tomorrow I will get jury instructions?

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Because I can't receive them on Wednesday morning and still have the opportunity to settle them and get them ready to go. If I can get them at least - well, it depends. As long as I have them by the time we leave court or sometime in the evening, just not too late, it gives me an opportunity to work on them.

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. (Proceedings were adjourned.)

22 23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

24

25

184

71:14, 71:17, 71:21.	183:18	4	103:4, 118:6, 120:14, 120:19,
			126:4, 128:17,
		174:40	128:20
		46 [1] - 83:23	907 [16] - 63:1, 63:5,
			63:10, 63:22,
		5	116:17, 116:22,
	75.4 75.45 120:16	· :	129:19, 130:10,
		. <u>.</u>	131:5, 134:10,
			134:25, 135:3,
	-	50 _[2] - 99:20, 99:23	135:10, 135:13,
77:22, 101:7,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52 [1] - 68:12	135:19, 135:22
101:10, 101:15,		531 ₍₈₎ - 47:9, 47:15,	909 (13) - 80:25, 81:4,
101:19, 107:14,	141:17, 142:2		81:8, 81:11, 81:17,
	1 7 [1] - 65:14		114:11, 114:14,
	18 (1] - 105:13		114:18, 114:22,
			1
		1	115:6, 123:25,
		1/2:24	124:4, 124:9
	470:44 473:4 184:8		913 [2] - 43:16, 45:25
84:15, 84:19, 85:2,	172:14, 173.4, 104.0	6	924 [23] - 64:21, 65:2,
			65:6, 65:9, 75:18,
	į 2	0 474.00	75:22, 75:25, 76:3,
108:15, 109:20,			76:8, 76:13, 81:21,
175:21	a no.5 474:49	606 [2] - 126:10,	81:24, 82:1, 82:4,
060 rigi - 57:21, 57:25,		126:14	82:6, 82:9, 82:14,
		679 [13] - 42:10, 42:16,	82:16, 104:10,
	84:15, 85:17, 88:6,	59:15, 59:20, 59:23,	1
	88:14, 111:20,		115:10, 115:13,
	113:2, 127:10		116:5
			92 7 [10] - 65:12, 88:3,
		19.1, 19.0	88:10, 88:15, 88:19,
		_	88:22, 89:1, 125:19,
128:24, 129:3		7	175;22
			930 (9) - 65:18, 65:24,
1 1		7 2.0 474:20	89:4, 89:8, 89:14,
			90:5, 90:9, 90:21,
			126:1
1 (8) - 12:9, 26:4, 56:2,			933 [28] - 66:3, 66:5,
70:10, 107:17,	27:13, 38:3, 61:6	60:9, 60:13, 60:16	
107:19, 171:17,			66:8, 117:5, 117:8,
1		8	117:16, 117:20,
			122:15, 122:18,
	1 1		122:21, 122:24,
1		8 [3] - 171:21, 183:16,	123:2, 123:5,
	24 [9] - 36:9, 36:15,		123:13, 131:10,
101 (1) - 152:10			131:21, 133:8,
10:30 [2] - 40:11,	49:22, 159:19,		133:20, 136:2,
40:25	175:19, 180:6		136:6, 136:12,
11 _[3] - 59:9, 69:14,	25 (3) - 33:13, 33:17,		
	1		
12 m - 36:10, 36:12,	L .	61:25	137:20, 138:4,
64:3 119:12			138:8, 138:13, 143:
145:24 460:6	_	9	936 [5] - 44:23, 45:4,
145,24, 100.0,			45:8, 45:12, 45:25
	2:10 (1) - 43:18	100 40	940 [10] - 67:17, 91:1
	_		91.8 91:17, 103:9,
13 ន្យ - 67:21, 89:5,	3	905[27] - 62:7, 62:15,	103:12, 103:15,
171:24		62:17, 62:19, 62:23,	105:5 105:9, 105:1
13's (1) - 32:6		79:10 70:21 79:24	
14 1161 - 4:7. 6:3,			33 (4) - 30,22, 11.00
31:12 36:10 36:11.	•	00.1, 00.0, 40.4	44:8, 44:11, 44:16,
01.72, 50.47 GA:7	31 {1} - 63:7	101:25, 102:4,	44:19, 46:1
1 36-1 (SD 1) 194 /			
36:17, 56:17, 64:7,			958 [24] - 39:19, 40:3
68:4, 68:20, 159: 20, 160:6, 171:1,		102:9, 102:12,	958 [24] - 39:19, 40:3 68:10, 68:17, 68:1
	101:19, 107:14, 110:8, 126:7, 126:19, 139:4, 143:9 055 [19] - 57:14, 57:19, 73:10, 73:14, 73:16, 73:22, 74:3, 74:10, 84:15, 84:19, 85:2, 85:6, 85:13, 85:16, 85:22, 107:16, 108:15, 109:20, 175:21 060 [19] - 57:21, 57:25, 58:2, 58:8, 58:11, 58:14, 58:21, 86:5, 86:16, 86:20, 86:23, 87:10, 127:18, 127:22, 127:25, 128:3, 128:9, 128:24, 129:3 1 1 1 [8] - 12:9, 26:4, 56:2, 70:10, 107:17, 107:19, 171:17, 174:22 10 [4] - 31:22, 91:4, 145:24, 171:22 10 [1] - 155:16 101 [1] - 152:10 10:30 [2] - 40:11, 40:25 11 [3] - 59:9, 69:14, 171:22 12 [9] - 36:10, 36:12, 64:3, 119:12, 145:24, 160:6, 171:23, 183:17, 183:24 13 [3] - 67:21, 89:5, 171:24 13's [1] - 32:6 14 [16] - 4:7, 6:3,	71:24, 72:15, 72:21, 73:6, 103:22, 104:3, 104:7, 110:3, 110:6 009 [2] - 64:1, 64:11 032 [28] - 51:19, 52:13, 52:16, 52:18, 52:22, 52:25, 53:2, 53:5, 53:9, 53:15, 54:3, 54:7, 57:8, 77:18, 77:22, 101:7, 101:10, 101:15, 101:19, 107:14, 110:8, 126:7, 126:19, 139:4, 143:9 055 [19] - 57:14, 57:19, 73:10, 73:14, 73:16, 73:22, 74:3, 74:10, 84:15, 84:19, 85:2, 85:6, 85:13, 85:16, 85:22, 107:16, 108:15, 109:20, 175:21 060 [19] - 57:21, 57:25, 58:2, 58:8, 58:11, 58:14, 58:21, 86:5, 86:16, 86:20, 86:23, 87:10, 127:18, 127:22, 127:25, 128:3, 128:9, 128:24, 129:3 1 1 1 1 [8] - 12:9, 26:4, 56:2, 70:10, 107:17, 107:19, 171:17, 174:22 10 [9] - 31:22, 91:4, 145:24, 171:22 10 [1] - 155:16 101 [1] - 152:10 10:30 [2] - 40:11, 140:25 11 [3] - 59:9, 69:14, 177:22 12 [9] - 36:10, 36:12, 64:3, 119:12, 145:24, 160:6, 171:23, 183:17, 183:24 13 [3] - 67:21, 89:5, 171:24 13 [3] - 67:20, 175:17, 1766 [19] - 58:25, 74:13, 74:17, 74:19, 74:21, 140:16, -143:3, 141:9, 141:13, 140:12, 140:18, 140:19, 141:3, 141:17, 142:2 17 (1) - 65:14 18 (1) - 105:13 180 (1) - 65:4 18 (1) - 105:13 180 (1) - 65:4 18 (1) - 105:13 180 (1) - 65:4 18 (1) - 105:10 18 (1) - 65:4 18 (1) - 105:11 18 (1) - 65:4 18 (1) - 65:4 18 (1) - 105:11 18 (1) - 105:11 18 (1) - 65:14 18 (1) - 105:13 18 (1)	71:24, 72:15, 72:21, 73:6, 103:22, 104:3, 104:7, 110:3, 110:6 108:18 - 87:20, 175:17, 109:19 - 64:1, 64:11 1032 [28] - 51:19, 52:13, 52:18, 52:12, 52:25, 52:25, 52:25, 53:9, 53:15, 54:3, 54:7, 57:8, 77:18, 77:22, 101:7, 101:10, 101:15, 101:19, 107:14, 110:8, 126:7, 126:19, 139:4, 143:9 1055 [19] - 57:14, 57:19, 73:10, 73:14, 73:16, 73:22, 143:3, 74:17, 74:19, 74:13, 143:17, 142:2 110:10, 103:15, 84:19, 85:2, 85:6, 85:13, 85:16, 85:22, 107:16, 183:24, 143:9, 126:19,

_	68:23, 76:17, 76:21,
	77:1, 77:4, 83:23,
	84:5, 91:20, 91:24,
	92:3, 92:5, 92:8,
	92:12, 92:16,
	104:19, 113:25,
	114:4, 124:24, 125:4
	971 [8] - 69:1, 92:19,
	92:22, 92:25, 93:2,
	93:6, 124:17, 124:21
	976 [19] - 69:8, 69:13,
	69:17, 69:21, 99:22,
	100:3, 100:8,
i	100:14, 100:17,
	100:22, 100:25,
Ì	101:4, 111:6,
1	111:10, 111:19,
ļ	111:23, 112:2,
l	117:2, 143:10
	985 [17] - 46:7, 64:16,
l	93:9, 93:13, 93:16,
1	93:18, 105:20,
l	105:24, 106:4,
1	106:9, 118:15,
	118:18, 119:3,
1	119:7, 119:17,
ļ	119: 2 5, 120:7
İ	987 [13] - 46:9, 66:15,
ļ	66:17, 66:25, 67:5,
	67:8, 67:13, 121:7,
	121:10, 121:13,
	121:24, 122:6,
	122:12
	99 [1] - 112:5
	9th [1] - 93:19

Α

ability (27) - 14:3,

15:25, 34:1, 34:5,

39:13, 42:2, 48:9,

71:13, 71:15, 78:11,

79:4, 80:17, 81:14,

84.3, 84:11, 85:11,

87:5, 88:25, 92:11,

93:4, 115:1, 123:3,

able [62] - 9:19, 15:24,

18:25, 28:17, 30:19,

39:1, 40:1, 40:15,

41:22, 42:21, 44:4,

44:17, 45:6, 45:11.

54:5, 67:10, 73:5,

74:5, 74:8, 75:6,

75:12, 76:10, 76:11,

76:23, 77:3, 80:10,

82:11, 90:17, 91:14,

92:23, 94:11, 97:18,

146.6, 146.14,

148:4, 157:18,

178:13

97:22, 98:15, 99:17, 101:8, 101:13, 101:17, 107:1, 107:4, 107:8, 107:20, 108:14, 109:18, 110:4, 116:2, 116:7, 117:22, 120:21, 130:8, 131:4, 131:8, 131:15, 131:19, 131:20, 132:4, 145:8, 147:16, 150:1, 151:1, 160:12, 172:15 absconded [2] -47:11, 47:16 absent [1] - 40:13 absolutely [14] -71:17, 83:20, 96:4, 97:24, 103:22, 107:11, 112:8, 113:17, 114:4, 114:5, 119:3, 119:7, 151:7, 152:15 abundance [3] -21:24, 46:15, 48:21 abuse [2] - 32:22, 47:9 accept [1] - 22:11 accepted (1) - 30:8 accident [2] - 104:20, 104:21 accommodate [2] -4:18, 41:3 accomplish [2] -22:15, 27:1 accomplished (2) -103:23, 103:24 accounting (i) -121:15 accounts [1] - 111:2 accurate (1) - 10:10 accusation (1) - 54:15 accused (14) - 32:20, 52:1, 72:9, 84:9, 86:6, 87:13, 87:19, 93:10, 93:24, 111:18, 145:17, 146:9, 147:21, 180:9 achieve (1) - 28:13 acknowledge [1] -167:9 acquit [1] - 152:22 acquittal [2] - 53:1, 53:3

act [5] - 5:18, 11:18,

acted [1] - 19:2

acting [1] - 90:7

action (1) - 167:18

active (1) - 78:17

11:19, 13:11, 18:11

95:20 add [3] - 101:22, 180:22, 183:15 added [1] - 170:12 addition [2] - 17:2, 77:19 additional [6] - 32:12, 96:10, 129:8, 133:3, 170:12, 171:5 additionally [2] -11:12, 161:6 address [12] - 5:11, 5:25, 9:20, 9:22, 9:25, 10:19, 23:3, 29:6, 31:1, 53:22, 96:13, 130:20 addressing [1] - 12:25 adjacent [2] - 22:4, 32:2 adjourned [1] - 184:20 adjusted [1] - 26:8 administration [1] -62:1 admissible (2) -11:16, 22:21 admitting [1] - 14:18 admonish [6] - 5:16, 14:16, 14:17, 16:2, 19:10, 22:14 admonished [7] -15:11, 19:24, 20:1, 20:8, 26:14, 30:15, 161:6 admonishment [1] -162:1 advance (2) - 34:16, 172:3 adverse [1] - 166:11 advice [2] - 130:1, 130:18 advise [2] - 40:6, 115:1 affect [5] - 28:20, 84:11, 87:10, 106:6, 146:6 affirmatively [1] - 35:3 afoul [1] - 22:9 afraid [2] - 114.17, 118:1 African [1] - 174:12 African-American [1] - 174:12

acts [10] - 5:14, 5:15,

5:22, 5:25, 11:17,

12:8, 13:9, 38:1,

actual [4] - 30:11,

115:25, 130:22,

adamant [2] - 94:18,

38:2, 161:7

167:3

afternoon [6] - 34:7, 38:10, 160:14, 172:11, 172:17, 172:18 age [3] - 6:3, 179:12, 179:18 agent [4] - 57:22, 60:20, 60:21, 60:22 aggressive [4] -17:17, 18:10, 18:22 ago [29] - 39:21, 60:15, 65:5, 65:6, 68:18, 68:20, 70:22, 75:23, 79:25, 80:1, 81:3, 81:5, 81:22, 82:19, 83:23, 83:25, 84:16, 85:17, 88:5, 88:6, 88:14, 89:5, 91:5, 92:7, 106:14, 113:3, 121:14, 127:9, 127:10 agree [17] - 8:2, 18:13, 19:16, 20:7, 20:10, 27:18, 28:23, 107:3, 107:5, 107:11, 107:17, 111:17, 114:16, 118:17. 149:25, 154:18, 154:20 agreed (2) - 26:8, 29:3 agreeing [3] - 28:4, 28:6, 28:7 Agreement (8) - 8:9, 8:11, 8:12, 24:4, 24:16, 25:6, 25:17, 27:12 ahead (19] - 9:23, 10:6, 22:24, 43:20, 48:21, 56:20, 59:14, 60:23, 87:15, 93:8, 101:6, 108:11, 125:18, 137:8, 140:5, 173:3, 174:2, .182:14, 184:8 Air [3] - 124: 18, 124:25, 125:8 airline [1] - 41:9 airport [1] - 60:20 Alabama (1) - 176:14 Alarcon [8] - 57:7, 77:18, 101:7, 107:14, 116:9, 126:5, 139:3, 143:9 alarcon [1] - 110:14 Alicia [1] - 67:19 allegations [2] -53:24, 162:20 alleged [1] - 109:11 allow [5] - 31:23,

36:17, 97:19,

159:21, 173:21 allowed [2] - 28:19, 30:20 allowing [1] - 177:9 allows [2] - 98:7, 159:18 almost (3) - 57:10, 113:2, 170:22 alone [1] - 162:8 Alper [7] - 143:14, 143:20, 143:23, 143:24, 147:5, 156:23, 171:17 alper (1) - 156:9 alternate [7] - 36:14, 95:23, 183:19, 183:20, 183:21, 184:1, 184:2 alternates [4] - 36:11, 95:24, 160:7, 160:9 ambulance (1) - 83:13 Amended [3] - 24:1, 24:2, 26:9 amended [3] - 24:21, 25:4, 25:10 Amendment [3] -113:22, 113:24, 116:12 America (1) - 66:18 American [5] - 54:23, 64:16, 72:23, 73:3, 174:12 amount (2) - 22:3, 41:4 analysis (3) - 17:11, 19.14, 175.6 analyst [2] - 66:18, 121:9 and/or's [1] - 12:12 Angela [1] - 67:18 announce [1] - 170:25 answer (17) - 34:25, 35:3, 35:17, 35:18, 56:3, 56:20, 70:3, 75:4, 85:20, 98:23, 101:22, 132:2, 135:11, 137:20, 143:20, 146:17, 165:11 answered [2] - 70:7, 93:23 answering [1] - 71:9 answers [6] - 50:2, 77:12, 98:19, 98:20, 132:3, 182:9 anticipate (8) - 4:15, 13:18, 40:13, 42:6, 42:13, 49:17, 50:18, 172:24 anticipated [1] - 11:18

187

					In the steward on 16:14	
	itisocial (1) - 161:3	arguments [4] -	148:16, 149:4,	124:16, 124:23,	behavioral [1] - 16:14 behind (5) - 36:5,	
	ıyway [2] - 23:6,	166:15, 167:19,	149:13, 168:21,	125;6, 125:19,		
		169:22, 170:15	180:6	125:25, 178:14	36:23, 37:13, 45:15,	
	55:2	Argyle [1] - 56:23	Attorney's [7] - 37:23,	badges [1] - 161:25	117:4	ı
	partment [1] - 82:19	Army [8] - 124:25,	102:14, 105:17,	Bakkedahl [6] - 67:17,	beings [1] - 155:4	ı
ap	ologies [1] - 26:10	125:1, 125:15,	105:18, 105:23,	67:18, 91:1, 103:7,	belief (1) - 40:19	í
	ologize [3] - 173:9,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	106:7, 112:3	105:4, 171:23	believes [1] - 13:12	
	176:11, 182:13	125:16, 125:20,	attorneys [7] - 98:8,	balance [5] - 17:11,	belive (1) - 139:11	
ap	opear [3] - 15:19,	125:24, 126:11	127:24, 128:2,	20:21, 21:3, 168:12,	belongings [1] - 46:2	
1	132:4, 176:25	Arnoff [1] - 143:25	159:21, 160:24,	183:11	bench [15] - 45:17,	
ar	ppearance [2] -	arrangements (1) -	166:16, 180:13	band [2] - 56:12,	45:18, 95:3, 108:3,	ĺ
	164:2, 167:3	45:7	audible [2] - 73:22,	56:16	115:18, 129:6,	
ar	ppearances [1] -	arrest [2] - 88:6,	131:10	bank [2] - 106:21,	142:23, 142:25,	
	161:21	181:17	•	121:19	171:10, 173:13,	l
	ppeared [1] - 175:8	arrested [16] - 78:3,	August [1] - 59:9	bank's [1] - 66:19	173:19, 173:23,	
	pple [1] - 156:4	83:11, 84:14, 85:2,	Auto [1] - 64:16	bar [1] - 43:1	174:18, 176:1, 177:6	
3	pples [1] - 156:11	87:17, 87:19, 87:20,	automatic [1] - 141:10		beneficial [2] - 16:21,	
4	pplicable [1] - 51:18	87:21, 88:4, 89:5,	availability [1] - 97:15	bargains [1] - 111:20	20:13	ĺ
	pplies [1] - 78:5	91:20, 93:15,	available [7] - 42:25,	barrel[1] - 156:4	Benson [9] - 65:12,	
a	pply (19] ~ 51:1, 54:6,	178:17, 181:2,	43:4, 45 :3, 97:16,	base [3] - 51:12,	88:3, 175:18,	
		181: 2 2	115:22, 165:24,	51:14, 182:9	175:22, 178:16,	
	54:12, 75:10, 76:10,	arrests (1) - 182:6	170:14	based [18] - 15:12,		
	80:19, 82:12, 90:19,	articulated [1] - 183:9	avid (1) - 111:15	31:7, 48:9, 49:14,	178:17, 180:24,	
	91:15, 100:23,	artist [1] - 69:1	avold [3] - 121:25,	51:10, 102:19,	181:15, 181:16	Ì
	109:18, 145:10,	ascertain (2) - 98:9,	122:1, 161:20	102:25, 105:25,	Berkley [1] - 58:4	
	147:18, 176:3,	179:8	aware [6] - 7:3, 19:25,	110:1, 115:25,	best [6] - 50:7, 85:19,	Ì
	177:11, 177:13,	ash [1] - 140:16	20:3, 94:5, 128:6,	118:2, 119:15,	90:7, 98:10, 99:3,	
	177:18, 183:6, 183:7	Ash [5] - 69:8, 99:22,	178:10	162:4, 162:11,	123:2	İ
	applying (1) - 166:17	ASN [5] - 09.0, 99.22,	awhile [1] - 115:9	165:19, 173:18,	better [11] - 38:22,	
	appointment [2] -	111:7, 117:2, 143:10	diring (i)	176:10, 176:20	49:12, 55:12, 72:5,	
	41:10, 43:17	Ashley (1) - 38:5	В	basic (10) - 50:20,	109:25, 118:25,	1
a	appreciate (31) - 5:4,	aside [1] - 135:7	<u> </u>	54:23, 72:17, 72:23,	124:2, 124:3, 133:6,	Ì
	19:20, 20:22, 22:13,	assault [3] - 89:5,		73:3, 77:15, 127:7,	162:4, 182:18	1
	34:9, 34:15, 41:2,	91;2, 181:23	baby [3] - 44:23,	132:11, 160:10,	between [2] - 38:3,	İ
	42:7, 43:10, 44: 21 ,	assaulted [1] - 81:1	44:24, 45:12	161:12	133:10	1
	45:14, 51:2 2, 53 :16,	assess [1] - 15:10	babysitter [2] - 44:15,	basis [16] - 12:17,	beyond [18] - 41:4,	١
	5 4:8, 69:23, 71:11 ,	assessment (2) -	45:3	15:9, 17:1, 17:5,	54:20, 72:18, 73:2,	-
	72:11, 85:21, 86:3,	18:14, 177:17	baccarat [1] - 59:21	41:12, 86:3, 131:18,	100:21, 107:24,	Ì
	90;24, 91:11,	assist (1) - 55:11	bachelors (2) - 61:13,	173:14, 173:16,	109:4, 109:12,	١
1	113:10, 130:21,	assistant [3] - 37:14,	61:24	175:11, 176:2,	112:16, 115:3,	ı
.	133:22, 138:14,	63:2, 63:12	backdoor [1] - 20;9	176:8, 176:16,	116:9, 132:11,	
	145:14, 147:14,	assume [1] - 62:21	background [3] -	177:8, 183:9	133:15, 152:16,	
	149:14, 160:15,	assuming (4) - 15:2,	29:19, 36:1, 177:20	Batson [10] - 173:14,	154:15, 162:24,	
	171; 11, 172:3	30:2, 53:13, 140:25	backs [1] - 11:6	174:17, 176:3,	169:19	
ĺ	appreciated [1] - 90:6	athlete [1] - 69:3	bad [17] - 5:14, 5:15,	176:9, 176:11,	bias [17] - 21:5, 52:9,	
	approach (/) - 11:21,	attempt [2] - 8:8, 8:13	5:18, 5:22, 5:25,	177:11, 177:13,	76:6, 98:16, 104:1,	
	95:14, 108:1,	attend [1] - 43:2	9:20, 11:17, 11:18,	177:17, 181:7, 183:6	104:2, 104:3,	
	115:16, 129:4,	attention [11] - 4:23,	11:19, 13:9, 13:11,	Bay [1] - 59:7	124:20, 125:2,	
- [171:7, 173:10	34:21, 42:8, 49:7,	18:11, 141:24,	began (1) - 24:11	125:10, 126:12,	
	appropriate [7] -	49:8, 49:19, 49:20,	156:4, 156:11,		126:17, 175:4,	
j		10.01	100,4, 100,11,	begin [4] - 97:19,	· ·	
		91:13, 96:21,		420:40 462:43	175:9. 180:11.	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21,	91:13, 96:21, 148:11, 167:7	178:11, 178:20	132:18, 162:13,	175:9, 180:11, 180:14	
	13:15, 16:11, 22: 2 1, 29:9, 167:21,	148:11, 167:7	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9] - 41:19,	172:25	180:14	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15	148:11, 167:7 attentive (1) - 34:13	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9] - 41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21,	172;25 beg inning [4] - 23:8,	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area [3] - 19:11, 60:13,	148:11, 167:7 attentive (1) - 34:13 attitude (2) - 18:1,	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9] - 41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25 biases (1] - 50:9	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area [5] - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6	148:11, 167:7 attentive (1) - 34:13 attitude (2) - 18:1, 164:2	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9] 41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25 biases [1] - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12,	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area [3] - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6 argued [1] - 11:7	148:11, 167:7 attentive (1) - 34:13 attitude (2) - 18:1, 164:2 Attorney (3) - 6:6,	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9] 41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22 badge [20] - 38:23,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11 behalf [2] - 27:4,	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25 biases [1] - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12, 56:19, 71:24	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area [3] - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6 argued [1] - 11:7 arguing [2] - 10:12,	148:11, 167:7 attentive (1) - 34:13 attitude (2) - 18:1, 164:2 Attorney (3) - 6:6, 168:15, 169:5	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9]:-41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22 badge [20] - 38:23, 39:3, 41:18, 46:9,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11 behalf [2] - 27:4, 137:23	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25 biases [1] - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12, 56:19, 71:24 bit [20] - 5:20, 31:20,	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area (3) - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6 argued (1) - 11:7 arguing (2) - 10:12, 183:10	148:11, 167:7 attentive [1] - 34:13 attitude [2] - 18:1, 164:2 Attorney [3] - 6:6, 168:15, 169:5 attorney [14] - 37:22,	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9]:- 41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22 badge [20] - 38:23, 39:3, 41:18, 46:9, 46:13, 51:19, 68:2,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11 behalf [2] - 27:4, 137:23 behave [1] - 124:5	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25 biases [1] - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12, 56:19, 71:24 bit [20] - 5:20, 31:20, 33:21, 35:25, 36:7,	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area (3) - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6 argued (1) - 11:7 arguing (2) - 10:12, 183:10 argument (6) - 120:18,	148:11, 167:7 attentive [1] - 34:13 attitude [2] - 18:1, 164:2 Attorney [3] - 6:6, 168:15, 169:5 attorney [14] - 37:22, 102:20, 115:1,	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9]:-41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22 badge [20]: -38:23, 39:3, 41:18, 46:9, 46:13, 51:19, 68:2, 68:10, 70:11, 99:21,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11 behalf [2] - 27:4, 137:23 behave [1] - 124:5 behavior [5] - 13:8,	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25 biases [1] - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12, 56:19, 71:24 bit [20] - 5:20, 31:20, 33:21, 35:25, 36:7, 38:21, 49:12, 51:24,	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area [3] - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6 argued [1] - 11:7 arguing [2] - 10:12, 183:10 argument [6] - 120:18, 160:12, 169:21,	148:11, 167:7 attentive [1] - 34:13 attitude [2] - 18:1, 164:2 Attorney [3] - 6:6, 168:15, 169:5 attorney [14] - 37:22, 102:20, 115:1, 117:15, 128:8,	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9]:-41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22 badge [20]:-38:23, 39:3, 41:18, 46:9, 46:13, 51:19, 68:2, 68:10, 70:11, 99:21, 111:5, 114:10,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11 behalf [2] - 27:4, 137:23 behave [1] - 124:5 behavior [5] - 13:8, 16:1, 16:15, 16:23,	180:14 biased (1) - 73:25 biases (1) - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12, 56:19, 71:24 bit [20] - 5:20, 31:20, 33:21, 35:25, 36:7, 38:21, 49:12, 51:24, 52:4, 55:7, 55:12,	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area [3] - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6 argued [1] - 11:7 arguing [2] - 10:12, 183:10 argument [6] - 120:18, 160:12, 169:21, 173:19, 173:21,	148:11, 167:7 attentive [i] - 34:13 attitude [2] - 18:1, 164:2 Attorney [3] - 6:6, 168:15, 169:5 attorney [14] - 37:22, 102:20, 115:1, 117:15, 128:8, 128:11, 128:13,	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9]:-41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22 badge [20]: -38:23, 39:3, 41:18, 46:9, 46:13, 51:19, 68:2, 68:10, 70:11, 99:21,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11 behalf [2] - 27:4, 137:23 behave [1] - 124:5 behavior [5] - 13:8,	180:14 biased [1] - 73:25 biases [1] - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12, 56:19, 71:24 bit [20] - 5:20, 31:20, 33:21, 35:25, 36:7, 38:21, 49:12, 51:24,	
	13:15, 16:11, 22:21, 29:9, 167:21, 173:14, 173:15 area [3] - 19:11, 60:13, 70:6 argued [1] - 11:7 arguing [2] - 10:12, 183:10 argument [6] - 120:18, 160:12, 169:21,	148:11, 167:7 attentive [1] - 34:13 attitude [2] - 18:1, 164:2 Attorney [3] - 6:6, 168:15, 169:5 attorney [14] - 37:22, 102:20, 115:1, 117:15, 128:8,	178:11, 178:20 Badge [9]:-41:19, 43:15, 46:7, 57:21, 64:1, 64:16, 69:1, 126:10, 143:22 badge [20]:-38:23, 39:3, 41:18, 46:9, 46:13, 51:19, 68:2, 68:10, 70:11, 99:21, 111:5, 114:10,	172:25 beginning [4] - 23:8, 23:22, 24:11, 97:6 begins [1] - 29:11 behalf [2] - 27:4, 137:23 behave [1] - 124:5 behavior [5] - 13:8, 16:1, 16:15, 16:23,	180:14 biased (1) - 73:25 biases (1) - 50:9 big [4] - 18:9, 31:12, 56:19, 71:24 bit [20] - 5:20, 31:20, 33:21, 35:25, 36:7, 38:21, 49:12, 51:24, 52:4, 55:7, 55:12,	

420:40, 451:45	128:10, 128:14,	113:9, 113:10,	130:21, 133:22	109:13, 112:4,
136:10, 151:15,	128:19, 128:22,	113:17, 116:4,	cannot [22] - 3:8,	113:1, 113:8, 115:3,
153:7, 153:25,	129:1, 129:4,	132:15, 132:25,	31:21, 36:19, 36:21,	115:12, 116:8,
154:4, 162:17	135:20, 170:22	152:9, 152:12,	41:11, 51:12, 51:17,	124:20, 132:20,
black [5] - 4:16, 133:9,	bonaventure (1) -	152:16, 152:21,	107:18, 110:16,	134:7, 140:22,
133:14, 135:16,	110:12	153:3, 154:3,	121:25, 122:1,	144:23, 145:9,
135:17	Bonaventure (6) -	154:23, 158:16,	123:9, 126:19,	147:11, 147:17,
black-and-white (1) -	38:8, 38:10, 115:23,	162:23, 163:4,	131:18, 136:24,	153:11, 153:12,
4:16	152:14, 152:20,	169:18, 172:23,	136:25, 140:21,	161:9, 161:10,
bl ah [3] - 19:3		180:8, 182:16,	156:12, 159:5,	161:20, 162:2,
bl oggin g [2] - 106:13,	153:14	182:19	166:20, 167:6,	162:3, 162:7, 162:9,
106:14	Bonnie [3] - 39:18,	Burgess [7] - 46:13,	176:12	164:3, 164:19,
bo ard [3] - 55:9,	68:9, 171:24	68:1, 82:18, 175:18,	canvass (4) - 28:10,	166:13, 166:18,
143:21, 146:18	books [2] - 99:20,	175:22, 179:15,	29:9, 29:16, 30:24	166:24, 169:3,
bodes [1] - 9:14	132:19	180:18	canvassing [1] - 32:14	169:24, 170:1,
bodybuilder [1] - 69:3	born (6) - 39:23,	burgess [2] - 179:16,	capacity [1] 76:5	170:3, 170:7,
bodybuilder-type (1) -	43:16, 60:25, 61:19,	181:16	car [3] - 78:14, 78:25,	170:14, 172:5,
69:3	64:25, 144:7	burgiarize [1] - 106:22	83:24	172:25, 175:10,
bogus (1) - 150:14	bother [1] - 81:17	burglarized [1] - 82:20	Cardoza [3] - 58:24,	176:6, 176:11,
Boise [1] - 57:2	bothered [1] - 89:19	purgianized [1] - 02.20	139:9, 142:1	176:12, 176:14,
BONAVENTURE (95) -	bottom (1) - 106:16	Burris [11] - 143:18,	care [6] - 5:7, 44:11,	176:22, 178:11,
11:24, 20:25, 22:5,	bounced [1] - 92:3	148:14, 148:15,	44:13, 123:8, 123:10	179:7, 180:19
25:15, 26:18, 31:5,	bound [1] - 161:3	150:20, 152:5,	career (1] - 122:7	case-in-chief (1) -
31:9, 32:6, 33:8,	box [2] - 36:4, 143:13	154:19, 156:13,	carry [3] - 42:6,	38:4
38:9, 110:13, 111:7,	boys (1) - 64:5	158:17, 175:19,	108:22, 109:16	cases [6] - 41:11,
11::14, 111:22,	brain [1] - 101;19	175:23, 180:6		88:7, 91:22, 98:12,
111:25, 112:6,	branches [1] - 121:19	burris [5] - 152:9,	cars (1) - 89:16	140:23, 176:15
112;24, 113:5,	break [2] - 160:19,	154:10, 182:15,	case [149] - 5:14, 6:4, 6:7, 6:8, 6:12, 6:20,	Cashman [4] - 39:23,
114:2, 114:5,	167:11	183:19, 183:22	6:25, 7:1, 7:2, 7:6.	61:20, 65:1, 144:1
114:12, 114:16,	breaking [1] - 89:16	business [13] - 34:19,	7:12, 10:21, 13:8,	Casino [1] - 59:16
114:21, 114:24,	breaks (1) - 167:12	57:15, 57:22, 61:6,		catching [1] - 46:16
115:7, 115:11,	Brenda [1] - 37:13	61:8, 61:25, 84:22,	14:5, 14:7, 20:22,	caught [12] - 78:15,
115:14, 115:24,	Brian [4] - 38:6, 49:4,	114:23, 146:20,	23:8, 25:7, 29:11,	80:2, 80:10, 80:12,
116:6, 116:20,	59:6, 171:18	148:16, 172:21,	29:12, 32:21, 33:1,	81:7, 81:25, 82:21,
113:25, 117:3,	Brick [1] - 57:22	178:8	33:2, 33:17, 33:22,	102:11, 103:16,
117:6, 117:14,	brief [2] - 35:9, 106:1	busy [1] - 168:9	34:10, 34:14, 35:2, 35:9, 37:24, 38:4,	104:22, 146:1
117:18, 117:24,	briefly [5] - 32:4,	but., (1) - 42:12	39:14, 40:15, 47:15,	caused (2) - 15:16,
1 18:13, 118:16,	84:18, 109:24,	butler [1] - 63:2	50:6, 50:10, 50:25,	15.17
119:1, 119:5, 119:8,	143:2, 171:8		51:18, 53:7, 53:12,	caution [3] - 21:24,
149:23, 120:5,	bright [1] - 3:10	C	53:13, 53:18, 53:23,	46:16, 48:21
120:9, 120:17,	bring (10) - 5:15,			Center (1) - 61:19
120:22, 121:8,	11:25, 13:10, 23:12,	cake [1] - 69:15	54:5, 54:13, 55:1,	ceremony [1] - 75:23
121:11, 121:22,	29:14, 32:11, 33:11,		55:3, 55:20, 58:7,	certain [20] - 4:17, 9:8,
122:1, 122:10,	96:21, 148:11, 166:5	Cal (1) - 143:23	62:13, 65:3, 67:2,	27:3, 36:3, 36:4,
122:13, 122:16,	bringing [1] - 42:7	calculation [1] -	74:6, 75:7, 75:13,	54:12, 97:8, 97:18,
122:19, 122:22,	broken [1] - 82:19	177:19	76:10, 76:25, 78:17,	97:25, 106:20,
12:::25, 123:4,		calendar [1] - 172:14	78:19, 80:13, 80:18,	106:21, 106:22,
123:11, 123:15,	brother [6] - 81:22,	California [5] - 63:6,	80:19, 81:16, 82:3,	114:19, 118:3,
123:18, 123:22,	89:17, 124:25,	63:12, 67:1, 67:23,	82:12, 82:13, 82:23,	163:16, 165:22,
120:04, 124:3,	126:8, 144:17, 149:3	89:6	83:3, 83:19, 85:5,	168:10, 179:10,
123:8, 124:11,	brother-in-law [3] -	camping [1] - 124:6	85:12, 86:14, 86:18,	183:13
124:19, 124:22,	81:22, 89:17, 144:17	Canales (6) - 89:4,	86:24, 87:5, 87:6,	certainly [14] - 12:15,
125:2, 125:5, 125:9,	brought [10] - 3:9, 5:5,	126:1, 171:22,	89:11, 89:15, 90:18,	13:2, 18:7, 26:25,
123:12, 125:17,	6:5, 6:23, 9:5, 12:20,		91:13, 93:12, 93:21,	29:13, 29:16, 34:9,
1:3:1:31, 125:25,	79:15, 81:1, 152:18,	candid (6) - 34:17,	93:22, 94:6, 94:7,	43:3, 55:10, 94:9,
1: 3:2, 126:5, 126:9,	162:9	77:12, 85:20, 132:2,	94:8, 98:10, 99:10,	115:21, 172:15,
11.5:12, 126:15,	Brown [1] - 37:12	133:5	100:16, 100:24,	182:17, 182:23
123:21, 127:9,	bubble [1] - 118:24	candidate [1] - 19:12	101:4, 102:20,	certified [1] - 28:17
127:11, 127:16,	burden (30) - 10:5,	candor [9] - 44:21,	103:11, 105:16,	Cesar's [1] - 64:23
127:21, 127:23,	72:18, 73:2, 109:4,	51:22, 54:8, 85:21,	105:19, 106:6,	challenge [10] - 173:8,
12.81, 128.7,	109:12, 112:15,	86:3, 90:24, 91:11,	107:22, 109:11,	Citation Re Lioi - 14 0:01
120019-15001	112:25, 113:8,			
·				

470:0 172:10	child [7] - 44:5, 44:9,	180:2, 180:18	148:16	conclude [2] - 184:3,
173:9, 173:10, 173:15, 174:4,	57:9, 59:2, 138:10,	classes [2] - 16:20,	commit [1] - 159:4	184:8
174:18, 174:19,	144:6, 145:18	39:10	commits [1] - 106:20	conclusion [4] - 7:23,
175:14, 176:8,	children [25] - 55:16,	classification [1] -	committed [1] -	11:1, 11:2, 67:1
176:24	56:24, 57 :16, 57:23,	176:18	181:21	concrete [1] - 143:24
challenged (2) -	59:9, 60:7, 61:17,	classified [2] - 176:18,	communication [1] -	concur [1] - 177:17 conditions [1] - 24:3
175:24, 177:7	62:9, 63:6, 64:4,	176:19	5:9	conduct[1] - 174:17
challenges [7] -	64:17, 64:24, 65:14,	clear [8] - 28:22,	Communications [1] -	conference [1] - 43:1
95:22, 174:7,	67:19, 68:12,	48:19, 53:17, 57:3,	68:2	confessed [1] -
174:16, 175:1,	117:25, 123:19,	171:12, 174:21,	community (2) -	181:18
175:15, 176:1,	146:21, 148:17,	175:21, 177:9	62:12,63:16	confirm (1) - 55:1
183:14	179:17, 179:18,	clearer [2] - 108:6,	Company [1] - 66:3	confirmed [1] - 180:14
challenging (1) -	179:21, 182:1	174:3	company [6] - 56:6, 56:11, 61:10, 63:9,	conflict (6) - 121:4,
174:6	chiropractor (1) -	clearly [1] - 17:13	143:23, 143:25	122:2, 122:3, 122:5,
chambers [2] - 143:1,	63:11	CLERK [9] - 34:24,	compelled [1] -	122:23, 123:1
14 3:4	choice [2] - 48:5, 48:6	46:7, 46:9, 46:13,	129:24	conflicts [5] - 34:5,
c hance [3] - 6:24,	chooses (1) - 135:6	49:4, 143:14, 143:16, 143:18,	competency (1) - 11:4	49:13, 121:2,
45;5, 86:24	choosing [1] - 27:8	i "	competent [1] - 11:5	121:22, 122:8
change (4) - 26:21,	chose [2] - 136:10,	171:17 clerk [7] - 23:25, 24:9,	complete [14] - 9:2,	conform (1) - 8:19
96:24, 120:1, 173:20	169:4	34:21, 37:12, 37:15,	28:10, 40:19, 43:9,	confronted [1] - 89:19
changed (2) - 85:17,	chosen (3) - 119:10,	46:5, 61:18	47:8, 95:16, 97:22,	congratulations (2) -
96:24	136:23, 158:1	client [2] - 4:3, 152:18	97:25, 159:21,	91:7, 91:10
changing [1] - 119:14	Cindy [1] - 37:14 circle [2] - 148:24	close [10] - 70:3, 70:5,	170:19, 170:25,	connection [9] -
characterization [1] -	circle [2] - 146.24	70:13, 78:7, 78:9,	172:10, 177:14	53:10, 64:9, 69:25,
174:8	77:23, 80:17, 81:10,	79:12, 84:9, 87:22,	completed [8] - 20:13,	70:24, 71:4, 75:20,
charge [20] - 22:8,	87:2, 88:17, 176:5,	145:15, 169:21	21:15, 43:5, 47:14,	84:1, 93:22, 146:9
24:15, 25:5, 25:10, 25:23, 25:24, 27:23,	177:12, 177:13	closer [2] - 27:5, 77:6	47:22, 95:8, 165:3,	connections [8] -
29:19, 29:20, 30:14,	circumstances [20] -	closes [1] - 170:15	169:22	39:24, 55:19, 63:18,
30:13, 102:20,	19:18, 28:1, 40:14,	closet [1] - 31:10	completing (2) - 15:1,	75:16, 144:12,
109:3, 113:12,	48:24, 49:15, 50:4,	closing ទ្រ - 116:2,	172:24	144:20, 144:22,
11 ;17, 152;17,	55:3, 55:20, 72:9,	166:15, 169:12,	complex [1] - 127:15	145:3 consecutive (1) - 97:7
151:19, 159: 13 ,	84:18, 86:1, 88:24,	169:13, 170:15	compliant (1) - 9:12	consider [3] - 87:3,
162:19	90:14, 91:12, 92:2,	club (1) - 85:3	complicated (4) - 127:21, 128:19,	163:17, 164:18
charg rd [3] - 27:20,	94:8, 137:7, 164:8,	Club (1) - 69:1	129:1, 151:13	consideration [3] -
37:2 102:15	183:7, 183:8	CNA [1] - 68:3	complications (1) -	113:6, 163:24, 164:1
charges [30] - 21;4,	circumstantial (3) -	co (2) - 38:13, 113:13 co-counsel (2) -	159:6	considered [4] -
2811, 1319, 23:10,	164:13, 164:15,	38:13, 113:13	complimentary [1] -	87:18, 110:24,
26:20, 38:14, 53:17,	164:18 citations (1) - 88:8	cold [1] - 111:23	21:15	111:1, 163:12
53:20, 53:25, 89:8,	citing [1] - 6:25	collect 1] - 166:5	comply [1] - 5:20	considering (1) -
89:11, 89:12, 89:22, 89:23, 90:1, 90:3,	citizen (1) - 46:18	collectively [1] - 50:24	complying [1] - 17:18	163:25
100:5, 109:13,	City (2) - 105:18,	college [2] - 127:8,	component (1) - 27:20	constantly (1) - 119:4
1: 3: 17, 138:16,	148:19	180:2	comprehend (1) -	Constitution (1) =
153:3, 153:9,	civil (9) - 58:6, 63:7,	Combs (1) - 37:15	28:2	110:23
1e 2:14, 162: 21 ,	67:2, 68:15, 112:17,	comfortable [3] -	concept [7] - 20:15,	constitutional [3] -
162:24, 169: 20,	113:8, 126:25,	96:12, 152:22, 153:3	21:18, 100:5,	129:22, 130:19,
170:13, 172:23,	132:20, 148:16	coming (7) - 15:4,	100:20, 100:24,	131:16
184:23	clarification (2) -	34:6, 42:17, 56:24,	112:14	construction (1) - 64:2
c harming pj 54:14,	109:17, 165:21	117:10, 147:7,	concepts [1] - 14:13 concern [9] - 3:20,	consult [1] - 168:2
102:12, 162:18	clarify (5) - 98:1,	168:19	21:12, 97:9, 98:1,	contact [6] - 4:1,
ch eat 1] - 26:24	108:19, 117:22,	commence [2] - 40:9,	129:11, 157:13,	79:15, 102:6,
chr 150:46:3,	166:8, 180:24	50:12	175:7, 178:21,	102:24, 181:22,
15/1/6, 159:23,	Clark [6] - 25:21,	commenced [1] - 165:2	178;24	182:5
1729	37:23, 102:13, 105:17, 105:22,	comment [2] - 106:17,	_	contacts [1] - 148:21
checked (1) - 18:19 checking (3) - 21:1,	106:7	139:13	178:19	contains [1] - 162:20
30:11, 59:13	CLARK [1] - 3:1	comments [1] -	concerns (3) - 71:2,	contaminate [1] -
checks [1] - 92:3	class [6] - 88:6, 98:23,		73:4, 111:3	161:4
chi f - 38:4, 84:20	127:7, 127:12,	commercial (1) -	concert [1] - 41:24	contemporaneously

		100.40	163:20, 173:6	cross-examining [1] -
[1] - 18:7	103:17, 104:1,	130:2, 130:18,	courtrooms [1] -	151:4
contentious (1) -	104:5, 104:8,	133:23, 142:23,	112:7	crosses [2] - 13:1,
178:6	104:13, 104:25,	143:2, 147:15,	cover (4) - 30:6, 31:4,	19:19
contest (1) - 88:12	105:7, 105:12,	160:1, 165:7,	50:15, 109:2	crossing (2) - 21:21,
continue [2] - 42:22,	105:14, 105:22,	165:12, 165:14,	covered [2] - 24:19,	155:13
160:11	105:25, 106:5,	167:20, 169:1,	63:20	crossover [1] - 62:13
contract [2] - 127:13,	106:10, 107:7,	170:20, 170:24,	covering [1] - 50:14	CSI (3) - 99:2, 99:5,
180:18	107:12, 107:15,	171:12, 172:4,	coworkers [1] -	113:22
contracting [4] - 86:6,	107:17, 107:21,	173:10, 173:21,	122:17	Culver [1] - 47:1
86:7, 86:10, 128:25	108:12, 108:16,	174:2, 182:25,	Cox [1] - 68:2	curlosity (2) - 56:14,
contracts [1] - 180:19	109:24, 110:4,	183:13		115:14
contradicts [2] -	110:7, 110:9,	Counsel [1] - 109:23	crappy[1] - 142:4	curious (1) - 31:19
111:16, 119:20	115:16, 142:22,	counsel's [1] - 19:6	Crenshaw [1] - 37:10	current [4] - 33:4,
conversation [1] -	149:16, 1 49 :25,	counseling [9] - 9:8,	crime [32] - 7:4, 7:25,	74:16, 93:22, 126:16
161:20	150:5, 177:16,	9:10, 9:11, 9:14,	24:6, 27:20, 32:20,	custody [5] - 3:24,
converse (3) - 161:2,	178: 25 , 17 9 :15,	9:15, 11:19, 12:10,	52:1, 52:2, 77:10,	4:11, 4:13, 4:21,
16 1:22, 161:23	181:1, 182:7,	13:14	77:13, 77:14, 78:6,	,
consict (2) = 100:10,	184:12, 184:19	counselors [1] - 19:25	79:12, 79:13, 79:19,	93:15
	cooper [6] - 11:25,	count [3] - 38:1,	84:9, 87:13, 87:19,	cut [1] - 15:2
15 916 convicted [29] - 6:2,	12:21, 19:9, 22:10,	183:17, 183:23	99:20, 99:23,	cuts [1] - 111:17
21:4, 21:19, 22:12,	26:1, 142:21	Count [1] - 12:8	106:20, 111:10,	CV [4] - 10:23, 11:9,
	coordinator/checker	country [1] - 118:22	111:15, 111:17,	12:1, 30:18
23:1, 25:19, 24:5,	[1] - 66:6	Counts (1) - 26:4	140:2, 145:16,	CVs (1) - 13:6
25:20, 25:22, 30:9, 37:35, 46:18, 46:21,	cop [10] - 91:2,	COUNTY [1] - 3:1	145:17, 146:9,	
	104:17, 142:8,	County [6] - 25:21,	147:22, 147:25,	ס
47:6, 48:2, 52:21,	142:11, 142:15,	37:23, 102:14,	159:4	
53:21, 91:2, 91:25,	142:17, 155:10,	105:17, 105:22,	crimes [4] - 21:8,	dad [5] - 91:20, 124:1,
138:17, 138:23,	155:13, 1 55:21,	106:7	52:3, 93:25, 181:21	125:1, 125:23,
145:15:, 146:2,	156.6	couple [16] - 38:20,	criminal [63] - 32:19,	135:16
151:11, 157:12,	cops [17] - 73:11,	39:9, 69:24, 70:7,	50:20, 50:22, 51:25,	dairy [1] - 65:18
158:00, 158:24 ,	83:9, 83:13, 89:17,	70:21, 73:10, 75:23	52:15, 54:11, 54:13,	
15 () 159(4	141:23, 141:24,	78:3, 85:7, 98:25,	58:7, 58:8, 65:3,	danger (1) - 13:21
consists on [a] - 21:6,	14 1:25, 142:3,	104:20, 106:14,	68:16, 68:17, 72:8,	Darrel [1] - 56:4
2: 17, 78:25, 29:22,	142:11, 154:25,	129:7, 144:15,	77:7, 77:9, 77:21,	Darrell (3) - 41:19,
3:	155:1, 155:15,	180:10, 183:15	78:10, 79:3, 79:14,	70:12, 174:22
Coc; . mg - 37:17,	155:16, 155:19,	course [27] - 22:6,	79:16, 82:2, 83:3,	data [1] - 66:21
37,000, -5:6, 98:3,	156:5, 156:14, 159:7	31:1, 35:20, 36:11,	86:2, 87:24, 88:17,	date [10] - 22:2, 24:4,
1 13:14, 134:3,	copy [5] - 6:5, 11:21,	36:12, 41:6, 86:12,	94:13, 99:9, 102:21,	24:16, 25:5, 25:6,
149:15, 152:16,	51:8, 96:9, 170:16	97:21, 121:17,	103:2, 103:8,	25:7, 30:5, 91:11,
177:4, 17 7:14	corporate [1] - 121:15	121:19, 131:24,	103:13, 103:18,	93:19
COOPER [88] - 6:23,	correct [20] - 7:23,	159:8, 160:7,	103:21, 111:8,	dated [3] - 8:10, 8:11,
7:16, 7:20, 8:2, 9:4,	24:24, 58:20, 62:23,	160:21, 160:24,	113:9, 115:8,	8:12
13:18, 14:15, 15:23,	68:23, 74:16, 88:1,	164:20, 165:19,	127:14, 129:23,	dates [1] - 97:7
16:18, 17:7, 17:15,	88:22, 93:13, 115:5,	166:2, 166:9,	130:15, 145:16,	daughter [8] - 43:16,
18:3, 15:24, 19:16,	115:6, 115:13,	166:22, 167:8,	146:5, 146:10,	58:3, 59:17, 64:6,
20.7, 10:17, 24:20,	122:17, 122:20,	167:16, 167:23,	147:02, 148:3,	64:24, 67:20, 69:9,
25 1, 21 113, 26:3,	128:9, 139:14,	168:7, 168:9,	148:21, 1 49:1 ,	125:15
26.6.7 (10, 26:19,	141:5, 146:3,	168:17, 173:1	162:9, 163:7,	daycare [3] - 43:24,
2(:15, 1.3:21, 37:20,	174:24, 175:25	Court's [9] - 18:14,	166:23, 170:7,	44:5, 44:10
40;22, 95;21, 96:1 ,	corrections (2) -	19:23, 22:22, 96:25,	175;8, 17 5 :10,	days [4] - 40:24, 41:1,
98:5, 99:21, 100:1,	70:17, 76:2	167:7, 172:14,	181:17	43:13, 96:23
100:5, 100:11,	counse! [46] - 27:1,	174:8, 177:17.	cross [8] - 29:25,	dead [1] - 111:21
100:111, 100: 20 ,	27:7, 30:8, 33:19,	182:20	130;16, 151:1,	deadly (2) - 89:6,
1 00000, 1010 2,	33:20, 35:8, 35:11,	courthouse [2] -	151:4, 151:5, 151:9,	181:24
1 611: , 10 1:9 ,	35:16, 36:5, 36:23,	70:17, 70:19	169:1 , 169:5	deal [3] - 85:8, 95:9,
101:13, 101:16,	38:13, 40:21, 45:15,	courtroom (12)	cross-examination [3]	122:25
101:: 102:1,		33:12, 35:21, 37:9,	- 130:16, 151:5,	dealer [4] - 59:20,
1020 , 402:10,	45:17, 62:3, 72:3,	37:10, 37:12, 54:17,	151:9	60:6, 64:23, 65:13
1	75:4, 77:24, 85:20,		cross-examine [3] -	dealing [2] - 88:17,
102:15, 102: 19 ,	1 0E.04 0D-02 04-4			
100:13 102:19, 100:2 1103:6,	85:24, 90:23, 94:4, 113:13, 129:8,	94:15, 113:17, 134:20, 163:11,	15 % 3, 169;1, 1 69:5	176:13

tulianam 72:8	153:13	184:4	discharge (2) - 48:12,	65:19
dealings [3] - 72:8, 92:13, 146:5	delay [1] - 42:2	determinations (1) -	125:20	done [17] - 8:17, 15:5,
deceased [1] - 64:4	delegate [2] - 57:23,	12:24	discharged [4] -	22:18, 50:5, 69:19,
December [3] - 8:11,	127:19	determine (9) - 51:1,	48:14, 166:24,	97:6, 110:9, 121:17, 136:15, 156:20,
38:3, 61: 6	deliberate [20] - 36:11,	87:8, 97:16, 163:3,	170:5, 170:9	156:25, 157:5,
decide [4] - 28:16,	36:13, 40:18, 42:23,	163:5, 163:8,	disclose (2) - 94:11,	164:25, 165:9,
114:8, 164:21, 170:2	43:3, 65:8, 68:21,	163:13, 172:12,	94:13	166:24, 170:23,
decided (1) - 36:17	91:16, 130:9, 131:9,	177:6	discriminated [1] -	171:13
deciding [1] - 164:19	145:11, 147:19,	determined [4] -	176:10	door [1] - 94:21
decision [4] - 101:18,	160:6, 162:7, 167:5,	11:15, 30:5, 54:19,	discrimination (1) -	double [1] - 59:13
118:3, 119:24,	169:23, 170:18,	175:3	176:16 discuss (13) - 18:4,	doubt [16] - 54:21,
129:25	172:5, 183:18	determines [1] -	27:5, 94:5, 94:23,	72:18, 73:3, 100:21,
declare (1) - 111:12	deliberately [2] -	166:12	95:15, 130:25,	107:24, 109:5,
deep [1] - 72:20	142:17, 155:11	determining (1) -	144:23, 146:12,	109:13, 112:16,
deeper [1] - 77:6	d eliberates [1] - 51:3	14:10	162:2, 166:19,	115:3, 116:9,
defau" (2) - 117:19,	deliberating [7] -	Detroit [3] - 62:10,	166:10, 166:25,	132:12, 133:16,
177:24	42:19, 67:3, 74:7,	62:22, 79:23	167:1	152:17, 154:15,
defend (5) - 86:15,	134:18, 158:1,	Diego [2] - 42:11, 66:9 difference [2] - 18:10,	discussed [4] - 23:12,	162:25, 169:19
117:5, 117:12,	168:3, 183:24	158:14	26:12, 54:14, 130:5	down [15] - 8:15,
117:15, 132:21	deliberation [3] -	different [13] - 5:1,	Discussion [6] -	13:25, 16:25, 17:19,
DEFECOANT [2] -	58:17, 97:22, 167:3	9:9, 9:16, 45:3,	45:18, 108:3,	31:10, 35:23, 36:24,
28:2, 73:7	deliberations [13] -	73:21, 86:19, 98:11,	115:18, 129:6,	43:17, 46:2, 84:21,
defendant (28) - 11:2,	40:16, 42:21, 43:10,	105:3, 108:5, 113:9,	142:05, 171:10	111:1, 124:4, 165:4,
14:3] 04:3, 25:17,	67:11, 75:14, 76:12, 82:13, 97:19, 130:6,	120:13, 150:22,	discussion [11] -	165:6, 166:2
29(7, 00):25, 33:20,	131:19, 137:1,	159:9	19:14, 30:3, 34:3,	draw [2] - 130:2,
35:40, 37:24, 54:17,	173:1, 173:2	differently (2) -	95:1, 95:17, 143:4,	130:23
72:2 101:18,	deliver (4) - 40:15,	120:24, 159:14	147:14, 173:13,	drawing [1] - 94:19
129:11, 130:1,	42:20, 43:9, 97:18	difficult [7] - 20:21,	173:17, 174:1,	drawn [2] - 163:14,
130:04, 162:20,	Dell (1) - 33:18	21:3, 41:2, 41:4,	177: i0	166:11
162:25, 163:23,	DeLucca [1] - 57:25	128:7, 133:6, 168:2	disfavor [1] - 85:15	dress [1] - 4:17
16491, 169:1, 169:3, 169:0, 169:19,	demeanor (1) - 17:25	difficulties [1] - 22:14	dispule [3] - 174:22,	dressed (1) - 3:9
173:13, 176:4,	Demetria [2] - 62:6,	difficulty [2] - 42:18,	178:4, 178:6	drive [1] - 84:23 driver [2] - 83:8,
17::7, 179:9, 179:23	79:18	83:3	disp://id (1) - 175:16	145:19
defor innt's (3) -	deny [1] - 174:18	diffuse [2] - 123:3,	discound [3] - 4:14,	driving [2] - 67:21,
10.005, 129:13,	Department [3] -	123:4	163 .5, 16 3:18	93:10
160.01	33:13, 33:17, 103:2	digits [2] - 38:23, 39:3	discounded [1] -	Drone [1] - 98:14
defending (1) - 117:11	Deputy [2] - 168:15,	dignity [1] - 109:9	163:: 1 Dist 5 t [12] - 6:5,	dropped [8] - 44:2,
deference (29] - 3:8,	169:4	dire [2] - 169:7,	25: 1, 25:25, 33:14,	84:16, 85:1, 85:6,
5:13, 6 3, 6:13,	deputy [1] - 37:22	181:19	37:: 1, 102:14,	88:8, 89:9, 89:12,
23: 0, 0:11, 33:20,	describe (1) - 97:2	direct (9) - 23:16,	100:17, 105:22,	89:15
37:1 (2):6, 72:12,	describing [2] - 17:14,	23:23, 61:9, 78:8,	16 - 1, 112:3,	drug (6) - 47:9, 47:21,
90:1 3:3, 99:13,	53:14	119:9, 163:15,	16 5, 139:4	89:10, 178:18,
99:1 100:2, 100:3,	designed [2] - 166:8,	164:12, 164:13,	dis : [2] - 33:15,	178:19, 181:2
101:10, 104:2,	169:14	164:18 directed [2] - 70:2,	37:21	drugs [3] - 85:4,
120:12, 132:12,	desire[1] - 29:10	i	diver ad [3] - 62:8,	89:18, 179:3
132:17, 133:1,	desk [1] - 32:3	161:8 directives [2] - 53:19,	66:8, 146:20	drum [1] - 178:8
103:13, 145:9,	detail [4] - 9:10, 9:13,	53:20	d ocher(t) - 17:4	drunk [1] ~ 145;19
15.2:24, 174:9,	32:25, 46:24	directly [6] - 6:4,	doct sig-41:10	due [2] - 91:13, 180:5
180:12, 181:8	d etail ed (2) - 17:16,	28:13, 53:24, 82:5,	dosu ent [9] - 10:22,	92:20 - נון ועם
determe's [1] - 31:7	170:10	82:6, 134:6	11.1 11:20, 14:18,	during (12) - 10:3,
defer nce [1] - 180:4	details (15) - 4:23,	director (2) - 59:8,	20:4, 20:10, 54:14,	23:16, 24:10, 43:23,
de (.n' .ly(3) - 9:19,	5;21, 8:1, 15;20,	144:17	100 12 , 16 2:18	44:12, 160:24.
1150, 178:12	21:20, 27:17, 29:19,	disabled [1] - 64:6	door nortation [1] -	161:8, 166:2, 167:8,
definitionally [1] -	30:15, 30:22, 34:4, 34:13, 52:6, 70:9,	disagree [8] - 21:13,	2 9. 0	167:16, 168:7,
147.1	73:3, 89:25	108:13, 112:8,	docts: ants [5] - 8(6,	181:19
degree: - 61:13,	determination (5) -	142:6, 148:8,	8:7, o:16, 0:19,	Dust [1] - 69:14
163: 7, 181:18 Detail y [4] - 33:15,	130:18, 165:18,	150:19, 154:18,	10;11	duties [1] - 108:23
110:: 5, 151: 24 ,	172:22, 173:18,	174:9	do e: lic [2] - 55 ;15,	duty [4] - 34:14, 51:9,
1 107, 10, 10 (127)	1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1			
1				

		1		
108:9, 170:19	endeavored [1] -	establish [2] - 27:17	12:13	extraordinary [1] -
	34:10	ethics [1] - 161:3	excluded [1] - 176:20	37:1
E	ended [6] - 15:3,	ethnicity [2] - 176:21,	excusat[1] - 175:13.	F
-	47:16, 53:3, 83:8,	177:20	excuse[9] - 45;20,	F
	131:24, 170:5	evaluation [2] - 14:25,	45:11, 48:22 , 95:2,	
early [2] - 45:21, 51:6	enforcement (45) -	19:11	14007, 14308,	Facebook [1] - 94:10
easier (;;) - 124:9	63:18, 64:10, 70:1,	evening (1) - 184:17	1 50:13 , 173 :3,	fact [42] - 5:25, 7:5,
asy [r] - 45:9, 45:10,	70:6, 70:24, 71:4,	event (2) - 49:21, 52:3	176:12	7:6, 8:8, 12:15,
127:2, 127:3, 127:11	71:8, 71:12, 71:20,	everyday [2] - 121:18,	excused (9) - 36:21,	21:25, 22:12, 26:15,
effects [1] - 145:24	73:9, 73:19, 73:20,	155:4	48:25, 49:14, 49:23,	27:8, 27:9, 27:11,
eight [6] - 43:22, 44:7,	74:1, 74:12, 74:25,	evidence [70] - 23:22,	. 159:22, 160:4,	27:22, 28:5, 28:24,
56:24, 69:5 , 81:4 ,	75:16, 75:19, 75:21,	30:12, 40:12, 42:20,	171:16, 172:1,	41:13, 44:7, 48:9,
177:21	76:6, 76:23, 79:3,	43:5, 54:5, 54:16,	177:11	49:12, 54:18, 58:16,
eighth [1] - 39:19	79:16, 84:2, 85:8,	74:6, 75:7, 75:8,	executive [1] - 37:14	65:7, 72:25, 73:1,
Eighth pj - 25:20,	85:9, 85:10, 85:15,	76:10, 80:18, 82:12,	exercise [1] - 96:2	109:1, 110:25,
25:24, 33: 14	85:25, 87:25, 90:7,	83:4, 83:18, 87:7,	exercined (2) - 131:17,	112:20, 113:11,
either (12) - 16:21,	90:12, 90:16,	90:19, 91:15, 96:7,	17500	113:13, 114:24,
35:16, 47:5, 72:8,	144:13, 144:20,	97:18, 99:10, 100:9,	exhibered [ii] - 175:11	130:3, 130:4,
73:18, 91:9, 94:21,	144;22, 144;24,	101:14, 101:17,	exhibit sign - 5:17,	130:24, 133:2,
95:3, 101:8, 101:9,	145:3, 145:5, 147:2,	111:12, 120:8,	16.31D, 103:16,	136:9, 141:14,
106:18, 120:11	147;7, 148:23,	139:22, 139:25,	16 3:15	145:2, 158:11,
elaborata [1] - 140:4	178:11, 178:12,	145:10, 147:6,	exit. 94:15, 173:6	160:12, 164:16,
electr:] - 40:17	181:22, 182:5	147:17, 149:19,	exp. (3) - 99:9,	164:17, 174:6, 182:4
electri in ni - 67:17	engaging (1) - 165:1	153:21, 153:22,	100:13, 160:19	factfinder (1) - 67:7
element (4) - 113:11,	engineer [2] - 63:8,	153:23, 154:1,	expected (1) - 9:6	factfinders [1] - 51:17
113:16, 152:17,	65:20	154:4, 155:21,	exp cite [i] - 36:7	
154:16	ensure [1] - 9:1	158:8, 158:9,	experi nce [9] -	facts [25] - 15:20,
	ensuring [1] - 50:5	159:11, 162:5,	52:11, 98:24, 103:8,	22:20, 23:5, 26:12,
elements [7] - 21:8,		162:20, 163:9,	103, 3, 105:2,	27:15, 27:17, 27:19
100.0, 109; 5 ,	entail (1) - 108:9	163:11, 163:14,	1111, 10-1:20,	28:5, 50:24, 51:2,
13.01 1, 162:24,	enter [3] - 130:5,	163:17, 163:20,	15: 3:12	51:11, 55:3, 55:20,
166:1.0, 170:13	130:25, 136:25	164:8, 164:12,	exp = 10.5 [4] +	75:9, 87:7, 87:8,
Elf (c) = 37:16, 39:7	entered [1] - 93:17	164:13, 164:15,	981 111114,	94:7, 100:24,
elicit(*) - 72:2	enters [1] - 33:12	164:19, 164:21,	10-1 1:18	105:16, 111:24,
eliminate (1) - 4:24	Entertainment [1] -	165:20, 166:17,	exp = 10:21,	140:9, 163:6, 163:8
embar- (71) - 52:5	64:23	166:18, 167:4,	11: 1: 2, 12:3,	163:9, 164:7
Emily : - 113:24	entire [2] - 113:14,	168:18, 168:23,	12: 12:6, 13:1,	factual [2] - 161:11,
E mano (s) = 59:16	119:11	168:25, 169:4,	13:5 10:1, 14:2,	166:7
empa = 3/4 [2] =	entirely (1) - 53:17	169:8, 169:9,	16:43, 1:13, 16:17,	fails [1] - 153:2
94;110.::19	entitled [5] - 19:2,	169:14, 169:15,	1611, 10.23, 19:20,	failure [2] - 5:19,
empleე թ - 128:1	99:14, 163:24,	169:16, 170:17,	30:11	15:18
empin - a [i] - 106:7 -	164:10, 167:1	172:6, 184:7	experimetal - 15:12,	fair [52] - 34:2, 34:12
em::1 - 36:22	entry (1) - 20:5	ex [2] - 6:7, 62:16	19 1, 19:20	39:13, 40:1, 45:11,
Endor [2] - 59:16,	envelope (1) - 155:15	ex-felon [1] - 6:7	exp1 i (e) - 17:9,	50:5, 50:7, 51:21,
5@11.150:19 ,65:13	environment (2) -	ex-spouse [1] - 62:16	18: 1, 1, 1:3, 118:5,	54:1, 71:13, 71:16,
encot = red [2] -	121;2, 121:12			72:13, 72:15, 74:5,
7 7:9, " 1:21	environmentai (1) -	exacerbate [2] -		75:13, 76:11, 77:3,
enchr 9 30 (1) +	6 3: 3	123:12, 123:14	ext 11:12	78:11, 79:5, 80:20
173:10	episode [1] - 9917	exactly (6) - 18:3, 67:5, 86:16, 108:22,	exp = 25 - 11:13 exp = 25 - 19:6,	81:14, 82:13, 83:19
en ros: aga 1 (1) -	e qual (3) - 81:16,	!		84:3, 84:11, 85:11
103.	91:13, 164:20	138:4	10.	88:21, 88:25, 90:1
enson aging (1) -	Erika (2) - 43:15,	examination [6] -	exp(11/y)) - 7:9	92:11, 99:14, 99:1
133:	45;25	12:16, 23:16, 23:23,	exp! cap; - 77:24	101:8, 103:6, 132:
end p = 4013, 47:10,	escorted [1] - 37:11	130:16, 151:5, 151:9	exp: \sec (*) *	132:7, 132:9, 139:
51:2. (17, 87:1,	especially [2] - 34:7,	examine [3] - 151:1,	12 1	139:8, 139:13,
101:1 103:24,	151:10	169:1, 169:5	ext: 13 (1 - 148:23	139:20, 139:22,
123:1 13 2:23 ,	essential (1) - 162:24	examining (1) - 151:4	ext. : (:: - 114:3	145:8, 146:6,
134: , 47:1,	essentially [7] - 3:21,	example [4] - 8:9.	ext: g - 177:12,	146:14, 147:10,
1.00; 151; 25 ,	6:6, 11:14, 36:18,	11:4, 98:13, 108:17	177 - 1, 1, 13:7	148:4, 157:14,
102: 3:3, 168:1,		exchange (1) - 11:7 exclude (2) - 12:17,	exta	163:24, 175:6,
			30:	178:13, 184:6

	40.45	34:6, 35:19, 37:5,	frame: oj = 40:20,	gentlemen [6] - 37:21,
air'y (1 - 10:9, 74:8,	figure [5] - 12:15,	41:5, 49:8, 49:12,	41: 4; 7, 52:10,	98:6, 138:15,
91:15, 145:10,	15:21, 33:5, 49:16,	49:20, 49:22, 63:18,	53: 7 ! 2, 89:1 2,	149:17, 150:9,
147:17, 147:19,	168:11		96:15, 97.9, 9 7:21	156:19
172:5	file [3] - 14:23, 25:4,	69:25, 70:7, 73:17,	framed [1] - 157:10	Gerald [1] - 171:18
airness [1] - 10:13	86:25	87:20, 129:11,	frankly (3) - 11(17,	Gianni [1] - 58:24
all [1] - 119:2	file-stamped [1] - 25:4	130:20, 132:18,		Gilbert [4] - 65:17,
falsify og [1] - 178:7	filed [8] - 5:23, 6:1,	146:13	13:7, 174:16	89:4, 126:1, 171:22
(alsity: :::(1] - 110.1	9:18, 11:17, 13:10,	follow (40) - 51:17,	fraud m - 92:4	girlfriend [1] - 57:15
familia: [5] - 23:14,	13:16, 24:1, 1 62 :15	62:3, 63:17, 69:24,	free [-] - 48:19, 90:2,	gist (1) - 87:23
33:25,5:14, 38:18,	fill [2] - 36:22, 46:5	72:3, 72:19, 73:5,	97:2, 460:48, 172:19	
39:6, (:25, 54:25,	filling (1) - 37:4	75:4, 85:20, 90:23,	Friday (1) - 64:24	given [18] - 3:24,
55:2, 1 4:23		107:1, 107:4, 107:8,	friend (16) - 56:18,	10:16, 11:10, 13:22,
familia: (y 2] - 39:12,	final [6] - 12:1, 36:17,	107:20, 108:8,	70 :3, 70:5, 7 0:13 ,	14:14, 20:17,
71:5	51:7, 159:21, 160:2,	108:9, 108:14,	78:7, 13:11, 79: 12,	101:14, 108:12,
family [19] - 63:19,	160:5	109:7, 109:20,	84: 15:3, 87:14,	129:16, 132:4,
70:2, 70:5, 78:6,	financial (1) - 92:4	110:5, 129:8, 130:8,	87: i4:116,	134:7, 134:16,
73:9, 79:11, 84:8,	financial-type [1] -	131:4, 131:8,	14. 1, 15,	136:23, 164:24,
87:13, 87:12, 89:9,	92:4	131:20, 133:25,	14: 1 24	165:5, 165:12,
90:11, 124:12,	fine [15] - 14:17,		frien (ii) - 14:3	175:10, 180:24
124:14, 145:3,	14:20, 16:4, 17:23,	134:2, 134:4, 137:1,	frien: [14] - 53:19,	Goldcheek [1] - 6:12
145:11, 146:8,	26:3, 26:6, 26:20,	142:22, 149:21,	I	governed [2] - 165:14,
	32:24, 83:9, 88:11,	150:1, 152:3, 152:5,	70: 70:11,73:15,	165:15
148:24, 166:22,	89:20, 103:12,	165:12, 176:11,	73: 3, 74:2, 76:18,	
170:"	•	182:16, 182:20	8 5. , 118: 11,	grace [1] - 63:5
fan (3) - 13:19, 71:24,	111:14	follow-up [9] - 63:17,	10 003, 124014,	grade [1] - 39:19
72:13	fines (1) - 92:25	69:24, 72:3, 85:20,	145;3, 160:22 , 170:6	graduate [1] · 144:2
far (8) - 29:18, 30:24,	fingerprints [1] - 99:8	90:23, 134:2,	frost [6] - 20:25,	graduated (1) - 144:4
30:25, 35:22, 78:20,	finish (4) - 42:19,	142:22, 165:12,	3 5:11, 37:51, 4 6:10,	graduation (1) - 75:23
83:17 02:6, 176:25	42:20, 95:7, 182:13	176:11	76:1 . 89.18, 94:24,	grand [2] - 44:24,
fashion [1] - 29:6	finished [2] - 48:16,	followed [2] - 53:18,	96:	45:12
fault (t) - 5:4	97:8	179:10	fult; 5 0,1;10, 58:25,	grandfather [2] -
favor [- 73:25, 74:1,	finishes [1] - 121;20		I	74:14, 74:18
86:6, 12::	fires: m (2) - 6:8, 7:4	following [5] - 53:19,	•	grandfather's [1] -
	first (27) - 3:7, 5:13,	83:13, 144:25,	150	
favora : e [1] - 85:15	6:1, 7:21, 8:14, 10:1,	168:14, 169:3	full = 11 = 08:25	74:20
favora [y [i] - 179:22	10:0, 21:14, 33:24,	food (1) - 67:18	full	great [4] - 112:12,
fed [t] = 19;17		Force [3] - 124:18,	12	115:7, 142:3, 150:20
feeling: [4] - 141:24,	36:18, 36:23, 46:10,	124:25, 125:8	futu /1 - 1 1:18,	greater (4) - 73:18,
141:0. , 148:2,	46:25, 49:7, 49:25	force [1] - 118:2	13: . 10:13, 13:21,	73;20, 76:24, 145:4
163017	70:4, 78:5, 88:4,	foremost [2] - 10:20,	13:01, 13:14, 30:5	greatest [1] - 118:22
fect (1) - 32:2	88:10, 105:21 ,	33.24		greetings [1] - 37:21
fellow ⊬] - 91:16,	141:9, 144:9,	forensic (1) - 99:10		grey [1] - 133:10
145:11, 147:18,	149:12, 178:3,	foreperson [6] - 56:1,		Grocery [1] - 66:3
166:20	181;18	TOTE PERSON [6] - 50: 11		grocery (1) - 146:20
	fishing (1) - 124:7	58:18, 65:4, 67:9,	game 1;-11:14	grocery[i] - 140:20
felon -6:7, 7:2,	fit (1) - 167:1	68:14, 68:22	Garin (n 65.18,	group[10] - 16:21,
7:1, 7:1, 46:18,	fits [1] - 21:8	forget [1] - 26:17	60	16:22, 17:18, 18:10,
45:21, 3:2		forgot [1] - 126:15	. i	18:22, 36:22, 49:7,
felony = 5:14, 6:15	five [1/] - 36:16,	form [5] - 54:15, 94:9,		106:12, 119:18,
felt [9] - 35:30, 119:13,	47:10, 47:11, 55:22,	133:4, 154:7, 170:19	1	176:10
134:1 134:23,	53:17, 60:10, 60:15,	format [1] - 165:9	ga: 1 = 163:6	growing [1] - 51:20
135:8 08:5,	62:00, 63:5, 95:24,	forth [2] - 117:11,	ge : 3 ::12,	guess [12] - 8:5,
137:1 , 175:10	96:2, 11 2:22 , 113:4,	162:22	5a.	47:15, 24:21, 26:14
female 13 - 174:12,	173:11, 174:6,	forthcoming [1] - 72:7	g en : - 176;11,	33:10, 46:15, 49:25
16.30	174:21, 176:22	tottleoning in 12.	17 " '1:16	87:3, 108:16, 132:1
Ferror 17 - 38:11	five-y⊴ar-o]d [1] -	forward (8) - 28:21,	gert : - 6:19,	1::5:24, 178:6
Ten 1 1 00.11	59:17	32:12, 37:2, 49:9,	77:1 11 1:17,	
fev 1:16, 70:9,	fix [1] - 24:14	49:21, 86:15, 90:4,	101: 1.25,	guest[1] - 59:8
1(); 1 , 182:4	ficor (1) - 46:3	96:17	161;** 1 .::25	guilt [8] = 54:16,
fia: -::: 60:7		fought [1] - 123:20	gener dy (a - 7:1,	101:18, 130:3,
	Florida [1] - 92:22	four [8] - 64:4, 95:22,		130:17, 130:24,
fib (5) - √42:16			1 54:1	132:22, 132:24,
fib (i) = 0.42(16) Fitto (p) = 113(22)	focus (5) - 49:7,	123:16, 125:8,		102.22, 102.21,
Fitter p(- 113:22,	49:19, 133:14,	123: 16, 125:8, 176:24, 177:1,	gene:nr [2] - 21:4,	133:17
		123: 16, 125:8, 176:24, 177:1, 177:7, 183:3		

				10.5 40.40 47.7	
	70.0 00.75 07:13	109:15, 120:1,	141:19, 142:6,	16:5, 16:19, 17:7,	
21,22,21,	70:8, 90:25, 97:13,	130:22, 133:6,	150:8, 150:19,	17:15, 18:3, 18:24,	
1 04.1010 (1-0)	99:3, 99:4, 99:6,	159:5, 166:16,	151:3, 151:9,	19:22, 20:7, 20:19,	
72:25, 73:1, 73:2,	99:11, 106:18,	168:13, 168:16,	151:14, 40:1:21,	22:5, 23:11, 24:7,	
81:0, 87:1, 91:3,	106:23, 116:16,		152:1, 100:5, 152:8,	24:13, 24:20, 25:8,	
10.017, 100:13,	139:8, 141:21,	184:6	152:12, 1.73:2,	25:13, 25:14, 26:3,	
10 1, 101:20,	142:7, 142:9,	helped (1) - 104:21	153:0, 153:12,	26:6, 26:10, 28:15,	
103:15, 110:17,	142:14, 142:19,	helping [1] - 168:19	153:19, 153:24,	29:21, 31:5, 31:7,	
110:13, 111:12,	159:15	helps (1) - 45:1		33:3, 33:7, 33:8,	
1 1 -	nandwritten [5] - 16:7,	hematologist [1] -	154:3, 154:6,	37:20, 38:9, 40:22,	
112	16:8, 20:6, 21:14.	43:18	15.1111), 154:13,	95:21, 96:1, 96:5,	
11-80, 116:8,	22:1	Hernandez (3) - 26:18,	15 3 . 1, 154:21 ,	98:5, 107:25, 110:9,	
116:15, 116:24,		26:19, 26:25	15 4: 3, 156: 5 ,		
	happy [3] - 58:18,	hesitate [1] - 166:1	15 5⊕, 195:1 7 ,	115:16, 115:24,	
140:3, 140:8,	96:16, 171:2	HFC [1] - 68:3	155 ::1, 156: 2 ,	129:4, 134:1, 134:5,	
	harassed [1] - 84:20		15 6:ა, 158:9,	149:16, 150:6,	
	harboring [1] - 50:9	hidden (1) - 117:10	15:, 1 13:19,	159:16, 171:7,	
150:002, 153:4,	hard 🗐 - 44:20,	hide [6] - 116:18,	15: 3, 1 7:2,	174:5, 175:25,	
15. 53:9,	51:21, 99:24, 127:4,	1 16:21, 1 34:13 ,	157. (151:11)	176:6, 177:16,	
1: 53.18,	131:32, 133:9,	134:15, 134:22,	157 ., 1 7:21,	178:1, 178:25,	
15 153:23,	140:1, 140:13,	134:23	157 4, 1 3:6,	180:23, 181:1,	
15 15/1:8, 154:9,	157:16	High [2] - 39:23, 144:1		181:4, 182:7,	
	hardship [3] - 41:13,	high [₄] - 43:22,	158: 1, 1 :: 1 5 ,	152:12, 184:12,	
1(- 2, 254; 13,	41:15, 43:13	121:21, 121:22,	158 1, 1025,	184:19	
154 1 158:21,		144:2	159 h, 15 d14,	honorably (1) - 48:14	
15 857 : 15859.	hardships [2] - 50:17.	higher [2] - 113:10,	171 , 1.34.5,		
10 1; 1, 1, 2 1 ,	97:11		174; 5, 174;24,	hope [4] - 39:22, 41:3,	
10.14, 1.8019	hate (2) - 48:22, 87:19	156:5	1750 -, 176:6,	85:16, 119:1	ł
gurta 11 (2) - 79:22,	head [4] - 118:5,	himself (1) - 114:6	176: , 0:2 3,	hopefully [4] - 36.6,	l
10 1.49	118:14, 118:16,	Hispanic (4) - 174:10,	181:4, 151:7,	55:10, 96:16, 172:19	l
Guildernit (1) - 31:9	138:9	174:11, 174:14,	182:12, 182:15	Hospital [1] - 62:8	
guy % = 53:1, 89:15,	heads [2] - 10:11,	174:15	hQJ/1\T(# - 0:13	hospital [1] - 68:3	١
1341	10:15	history (3) - 21:16,	hold ::- 1 :: 13,	hospitality (1) - 64:25	
	head :-up [2] - 10:11,	29:18, 32:23	13: 10 24,	Hc ss (4) - 41:19, 56:5,	
	10::-5	hit [2] - 104:21, 145:19		70:12, 174:22	ì
9. 99:13,	hear[/s] - 10:12,	hit-and-run (1) -	137. , 1: :18,		
1: 1:41%		104:21	138: 1 12,	hoss [1] - 175:2	Į
1:	37:1.1, 80:18, 102:5,	Hojjat (2) - 38:13,	139:14, 1 - 118,	host [1] - 130:14	1
	124.4, 133:19,		158 .2 , 1 7 - 18 ,	hour (i) - 89:21	l
	13 3, 153:20,	113:13	158%),8	horrse [4] - 44:3,	١
	153:22, 159:1,	HOJJ AT [116] - 3:7,	hold 1 1 - 151:25	86:11, 89:2 1, 89:24	-
ve.4	162.32, 163.9,	3:17, 3:20, 4:7, 5:12,	Hoth: 4-111-60:6,	housekeeping (1) -	١
hal' - 5:4,	163:11, 167:6.	9:22, 9:24, 10:17,	69:	3:3	١
16 (4. 3) 1319	171:14, 171:25	12:18, 12:20, 16:5,	hom 7.9, 60:7,	houses [1] - 89:16	
Har 11 68:25,	heard [23] - 7:1, 12:6,	18:6, 19:22, 20:19,	65 :2 :2,	housewife (2) - 58:2,	I
65 9:7, 92:19,	12:22, 18:23, 20:25,	23;11, 23;18, 24;7,	10% 17	63:13	1
12 7, 171:24,	28:12, 82:25, 90:13,	24:13, 24:18, 25:8,	Home p.; - 6a.18,	human [6] - 9:2,	
18	94:1, 110:14,	25:14, 26:20, 28:11,		1420 4425 1553	
Han hehire (1) - 88:5	110:25, 113:22,	31:6, 33:3, 33:7,	122:6 homovioris (2) - 20:13,	142:2, 142:5, 155:3,	
har 11 1 - 3 1:22,	136:11, 136:21,	96:2, 96:5, 96:15,		155:5, 155:20	
3: 54:9		107:25, 134:1,	21;***	hurt [1] - 16:21	
	146:12, 148:10,	134:5, 134:11,	hom 19619 - 31:13	husband [10] - 68:11,	
81 , 118, 15, 13, 13, 13, 16,	15 1:23, 153:22,		hon : $7 - 4.17$,	63:15, 74:13, 74:1 6,	
	15.11.15, 156:10 ,	135:1, 135:5,	5 0:: 1: 15 5:1 ,	74:22, 76:17,	
48 3, 107,17,	161:19, 164:14,	135:11, 135:18,	15500, 1 (12)	1::4:24, 139:10,	
10 % (% ⁽⁾)	18.121	135:23, 136:3,	155 1 3	1:9:15, 139:19	
han - : ::6;6,	hearing [4] - 26:25,	136:1136:13,	hone v :- 48:8,	husband's (2) - 59:1,	
96 . , 417	28:5-80:5, 139:6	136:£1, 137:8,	48.1 20	83:24	
har - 2018	held j - 45:18, 108:3	, 137:24, 138:6,	hone - 31:21,	hypothetical (2) -	
ha: 43:25,	115:18, 129:6,	138:11, 138:14,		109:8, 131:14	
7	142.25, 143:4,	139:5, 139:17,			
1: 128:22,	171.10	140;5, 140:10,	Hone 7, 3:20,		
1.	Helio [1] - 161:18	140:15, 140:20,	5:12 10:17		
harar s = 38:17,	_	141:2, 141:5,	10:21, 11:16, 11:24,		
36 17, 4 35, 41:14,	hello [1] - 150:9	141:11, 141:14,	13:1 14:15, 15:23,	•	
	help (10) - 72:5,	 			
411 8,4719, 54:24,	İ				_

			····	
	165:16	indicating [2] - 27:7,	153: 13, 189:10	180:3, 182:24
,	Implicate [1] - 29:2	179:1	instru 1944 -	interpretation (1) -
	implicated [1] - 31:2	indicative [1] - 32:1	138: 1., 163: 18,	157: 5
Idah 111] - 57:2	Implication [1] - 3:23	Indicia [2] - 4:11, 4:21	167:4	interrupt [1] - 108:18
	· 1	individual (3) - 95:19,	instruktion (19) -	interrupted [1] -
	implying (s) - 12:22,	102:15, 176:17	129:1 ::20,	112:22
17	18:11	individually [1] - 55:6	130:8 12,	introduce [5] - 28:17,
ide - 1 - 55:18,	importance (1) - 26:22	individuals [6] - 9:7,	131:3, 1, 1:7, 131:8,	35:6, 35:8, 37:8,
63. 0:8, 132:10,	important [7] - 52:7,	53:12, 160:6, 161:2,	134:7, 10-0:17,	37:18
1,000 135:8,	75:8, 94:5, 110:21,	181:11, 183:3	136 :22, 136: 23 ,	introduced (4) - 29:4,
14 141:20,	112:13, 112:14,	infer [1] - 164:17	137:2, 144:25,	30:13, 32:4, 163:10
17 19, 176:19	179:7	inference [4] - 130:3,	151 :24, 152:3,	introd uctions [2] -
identification [2] -	Impossible [3] - 48:8,	130:23, 166:11,	152:6, 156:24,	33:23, 168:23
17:14, 1/4:7	100:15, 100:18		182:2	invest [1] - 112:25
Idenside 1 (5) - 38:19,	improbability (1) -	167:18	instru (1917 (30) -	investigate [1] - 161:9
80:::::10	164:6	inferences [1] -	24:2 51:7,	investigated (1) -
iden 11 - 38:22,	impre per [1] - 161:21	163:13	51:1 4, 51:18,	102:2
55: 17, 80: 11 ,	imp: =vement (2) -	Inflame [1] - 21:11	99:1:	investigators (t) -
16 103	14 -3, 148:9	influence (8) - 78:10,	108, 1.3:20,	102:24
W ph 1016	ina! !y [1] - 14:22	120:1, 132:1,	108: 1:25,	invite [4] - 94:4, 98:3,
ima = 108:20,	inaction [1] - 79:3	139:12, 147:6,	109:2, 5,	103:23, 165:3
12 (2011	inadvertently [1] -	157:18, 157:23,	109:1	involved (6) - 52:15,
im: [] - 71:13,	55:19	157:25	110:5, 20,	53:12, 82:5, 82:7,
71. , 71:22, 79:4,	inca: ceration (2) -	influenced [1] -	149:21, 160:10,	88:24, 93:12,
80:17, 81:14, 84:3,	47:7, 48:15	163:21	161:13, 182:6,	147:22, 180:19
85 11 17:5, 8 8:24,	incident (1) - 84:3	information [17] - 8:6,	169:10, 170:10,	involvement [6] -
92 : 15, 93:4,	incidents [1] - 155:20	21:10, 24:21, 24:24,	170:12, 13,	32:18, 51:24, 52:2,
14: 7:9,	inclination [2] - 94:22,	25:10, 33:22, 54:14,	171:5, 1. :11	52:24, 78:9, 79:14
14 :20	164:5	72:2 , 7 7: 15, 7 7: 16,	intake:: 2.2	involves (1) - 32:21
inu: , 90:16,	include [3] - 29:17,	133:3, 139:1,	integr [3]	
9: :	75:10, 77:13	162:11, 162:22,	14:1: :4, 21:17	involving [2] - 53:24,
im: 33:8,	incl: :d [1] - 129:15	166:8, 168:12, 184:3	intend 7:4,	91 :25
93.	inc! das [i] - 170:6	Information [4] - 24:1,		[sana[2] - 60:19,
imp: 1/11 - 94:11	including [5] - 79:12,	24:2, 26:9, 172:8	35:1: 4 intend 1 - 161:5,	17 ::20
imp 34:2,	8. 1, 163:10,	informed [2] - 6:10,	1	issue (23) - 6:23, 8:5,
3 3,40.2,	17): 12, 183:18	6:17	166:	8. 13, 9:4, 9:5, 9:18,
50 E 51:21,	inc. nie [1] - 118:21	Ingrained (1) - 135:1	intend 35:10,	9:: 5, 10:17, 10:18,
54. 1 (15, 71:1 6,	incompetent (2) -	innocence (11) -	97:5, 7	1::24, 23:25, 26:11,
74 75 15, 76: 12,	11:5, 11:8	101:18, 110:22,	Intent (1) - 2:5	2 : 15, 30:16, 35:2,
77. " 11,79:5,	inconvenience [2] -	112:11, 130:17,	Intention 31:21,	93:22, 97:15, 123:7,
80 3 1:15, 82: 13 ,	1 ***	132:22, 133:2,	50:3	127:1, 128:15,
83 1 84:4,	41.5, 41:7	138:20, 150:12,	interación si - 90:12,	
84, 12, 88:25,	incomectly [2] -	150:13, 182:17	103:1. [35,	132:11
90; 4, 8, 92;11,	15.55.4, 159:2	innocent [13] - 54:18,	106:1, 16,	issues [11] - 5:24,
99: 0:13, 99: 25,	incriminate (2) -	54:19, 72:17, 72:24,	178:2	9:16, 9:20, 10:2,
10/ 100:4, 101:1,	114:1, 114:6	73:1, 100:6, 110:24,	intera 31-	2 1:13, 31:1, 51:20,
1! : ::6,	indr grandent [1] - 56:9	111:2, 113:20,	87:2 1, 102:19	€ :10, 111:3;
1: 2:5, 132:7,	indi see [9] - 24:2,	138:20, 150:18,	interc 34:3	1 ::25, 178:7
13: 5 3 146:6,	26 1, 72,19, 103:7,	151:19, 163:1	interc : - 62:11,	its 17] - 16:1, 18:2,
14 1:10,	15 - 11, 132:4,	inquire [8] - 32:21,	63:1	7 17, 92:24, 111:16,
1. 1.	17 4, 178:5, 180:1	49:6, 77:25, 94:4.	intere +[4] - 75:3,	141:3, 168:18
1 & 3 184:6	ind ted [22] - 7:23,	95:12, 95:19, 98:3,	151:: :21,	IV (1) - 69:3
ime 171:23	1 5,44:6,64:9,	115:20	158:2	
imp - 74:8,	6. 1,84:13,90:11,	inquiries [1] - 133:24	intere: - 72:12	J
91: 50,	13: :42, 173:16,	inquiry (1) - 29:1	interio 34:1,	
10 0005	17 (20, 175:5,	instance [2] - 63:18,	39:1 3, 1111	jace (1) - 73:10
im; t[t] -	17: 7, 178:4,	122:2	interii : m (i) -	
28:	17 . 17, 178:21,	instead [2] - 67:4,	24:20	Jane [4] - 57:14, 107:16, 175:17,
4 4 C	1 179:16,	183:20	Intern 1 (°; - 118:9	1/5:21
irons 15 / 11 -				1 1.3 21
imp 4501-	15 1.0, 181:12,		interp t - 127:3,	
imps	15 1.0, 181:12, 16 1:3, 182:16, 16 35	instruct (5) - 74:7, 109:1, 152:13,	Interport = 127:3, 169:3 , 8:17,	Jacob [3] - 39:4, 60:5

171	144;1	68:13, 69:5, 69:20,	182:9, 183:3	173:24
17.1.1 ail.gov=10.3:10,	juries [1] - 126:23	75:9, 98:6, 98:16,	kicking [1] - 177:22	Las [16] - 39:24,
	Jurer (35) - 45:24,	99:14, 101:3, 108:8,	kid [1] - 135:4	57:10, 58:4, 59 :9,
3:1, . π.18 James to - 59:1,	45:25, 49:4, 70:10,	112:17, 112:21,	kidnapping [3] - 89:5,	59:23, 64:3, 66:22,
	107:17, 107:18,	115:21, 119:9,	181:19, 181:23	69:4, 69:10, 81:23,
67:10 (31:1, 171:23	127:18, 143:9,	119:11, 119:12,	kids (22) - 60:25,	102:16, 103:1,
Jamie (- 37:15	143:10, 143:14,	120:10, 124:12,	66:22, 89:19,	105:18, 144:7,
Jane (1) - 37:20	143:16, 171:17,	129:21, 135:6,	117:25, 118:7,	146:21, 148:18
Jaschini - 61:5,	171:18, 171:19,	136:21, 136:23,	118:8, 1 18:21,	LAS [1] - 3:1
141.1 143:23,	171:20, 171:21,	137:19, 143:13,	119: 1, 123:16,	last [17] - 14:10,
171: 171:20	171:22, 171:23,	151:24, 158:1,	123 :19, 12 3:20,	20:10, 22:2, 38:23,
]as⇔:(+ 8;3	171:24, 174:22,	161:2, 161:25,	123 ;2%, 12 4 :6,	38:25, 39:2, 61:6,
JEA : 1:4	175:17, 175:18,	162;18, 166:23,	178:21, 178:25,	78:14, 80:9, 83:8,
jealor (mj 56:19	175:21, 175:22,	170:1, 171:1, 177:2,	179:3, 180:25,	93:9, 95:25, 111:20,
jeff (†) - 15	175:23	177:21, 177:24,	181:2, 181:12,	148:13, 157:11,
Jeffr 171:19	juror [47] - 39:14,	179:5, 179:13,	181:13, 181:14	170:22, 180:6
jeff:: 12:10	40;2, 49:2, 56:2,	181:20, 182:3,	killed [1] - 81:22	late [3] - 40:17,
Jef(r · · 73;13	1	184:11	kind [24] - 9:13, 9:15,	172:13, 184:18
job (;	56:25, 57:11, 57:16,	Jury [6] - 33:12, 45:21,	9:20, 13:16, 21:5,	law [105] - 6:4, 22:20,
55: 11,64:16,	57:10, 58:5, 59:4,	46:3, 46:16, 159:23,	25:3, 32:16, 56:8,	37:15, 51:1, 51:13,
100 1 5001,	59:10, 59:25, 60:11,	175:17	60:21, 61:8, 72:1,	51:15, 51: 18, 54 :6,
101 142:4	60:25, 61:23, 62:20,	jury's [1] - 167:22	80:4, 98:24, 120:22,	63:18, 64:10, 69:25,
Jobsyn - 1014	6413, 64:18, 65:3,	jury \$ [1] = 107.22 justice [36] - 32:19,	121:4, 127:1,	70:6, 70:23, 71:4,
John 9, 22:20,	65:15, 65:21, 68:5,	50:5, 50:20, 51:25,	131:24, 10:4:12,	7 ;:8, 71:12, 71:19,
25: :21	69:11, 74:5, 84:12,	52:16, 54:11, 54:23,	140:13, 144:21,	73:9, 73:19, 73:20,
Jon 21, 86:5	87:: 88:25, 95:15,	72:8, 72:24, 73:4,	150:1:, :52:22	7-1:1, 74:6, 74:7,
Jor: 225,	12∢17, 132:5 ,	75:11, 77:7, 77:9,	kinds: - 21:20,	712, 74:24, 75:9,
91 4:17,	130:15, 137:19,	77:21, 78:10, 79:4,	164:1:	7:::16, 75:18, 75:20,
17	143:15, 143:17,	79:16, 81:16, 87:4,	knowi (3/3) - 71:12,	7 :6, 76:11, 76:23,
Jo! 113:18,	14. 18, 144.7,	1	139:1, 1::2:18	7. 3, 79:15, 80:19,
1	143:23, 147:10,	87:24, 88:17, 91:13,	knowle (ge [2] - 78:8,	8 .22, 82:12, 84:1,
1 3	14500, 166 (10,	102:21, 103:2,		£ :8, 85:9, 85 :10,
join : 9:18	17,7 7, 175:2,	103:8, 103:13,	82:8	85:15, 85:25, 87:8,
Jona : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	171 (10), 178:2,	103:18, 103:21,	known = [- 8:16, 9:17,	87:24, 89:10, 89:17,
3*::	174 : 15, 178:16,	145:16, 146:5,	38:11, 12:17	90:7, 90:12, 90:16,
	15.75.5	146; 10, 147;22,	knows ;;; - 151:20,	90:19, 91:15,
	junc is (21) - 33:17,	148:3, 148:21,	166:21, 190:7,	104:12, 106:25,
1 to 1 Journal 1 - 106:16,	3-:, 34:14, 34:23,	149:2, 175:8	180:20	107:2, 107:3, 107:5,
10% 17	3::1, 35:3, 36:10,	Justin [4] - 46:7,	Kr isten [1] - 37:12	107:7, 108:8,
	5 : .:, 91:16, 94:15,	64:15, 118:15,		1:3:12, 109:7,
Ju lig et	95.11, 98:10, 108:7,	171:21		1: 9:18, 110:5,
	10 d9, 108: 22,	juvenile [t] - 118:19		1.0:23, 124:5,
150 1.074	130:10, 143:6,		laborg: - 127:20,	107:1, 127:2, 127:3,
judge 00:15,	14(11, 147:19,	Κ	128:4	1 74, 127.7
50:2 (4, 99: 12,	16: b, 164:22,		lacks; - 14:12,	1: ':13, 127:20,
106 :8,	1603, 166:20,	Katherine [1] - 61:16	20:1: 1:17	11 st4, 128:5 ,
135 , 19,	160:2, 170:5, 172:4,	Kathleen [1] - 33:14	ladies : - 37:21,	1: 0:23, 144:12,
130 1, 56 (19,	170%, 17 3:24,	keep [6] - 18:16, 21:1,	98:6, 1:8:15	1-4:17, 144:20,
1: 3:12	17 .19, 177:9, 184:6	31:15, 90:10, 143:2,	lady [i] - 117:3	144:24,
Julije 110;2	jur _{: [4.]} - 4:14, 4:22,	158:4	lagome tino [1] -	145:3, 145:5,
jud res = 43:3,	6: 13, 6:14, 6:17,	keeping [3] - 26:23,	-	145:10, 147:2,
50 1 10 0	8; 3, 10:11 , 13 :17,	29:5, 77:11	181:1.	1:7:7, 147:18,
jud: 147-51:10,	2: , 33:23, 24:10,	keeps [1] - 25:5	Lagon rsino [4] -	1:3:23, 149:20,
51.31, 157:23,	2/ (25), 25:11 , 27:18,		49:4, 9:7, 171:18,	1/2:10, 161:3,
1:	2. 32:3, 33:11,	klck [4] - 177:23, 182:2, 182:7, 182:10	181:25	102:6, 164:19,
Ju∃ F = 25:20,	341 (3, 36:3, 38:18,	1	Lake (1) - 1 ! 8:19	10::17, 167:5,
2.	40. 1, 41:8, 43:2,	kicked (13) - 58:11,	land [2] - 169:8,	1: 0:10, 169:11,
judi. 17:14	50:: , 55:24, 57:4,	176:23, 177:1,	110:27	112:6, 178:11,
Julie 8.3	58: , 58:12, 63:21,	177:25, 178:4,	landio (2) - 128:15,	178:12, 180:1,
T * *****	1	180:5, 181:16,	12 8:1	1.0.12, 100.11
JULY 3;2	65: . 55:23, 66:10,	1		1/0/3/180/18
·	65: 165:23, 66:10, 66: 3, 67:22, 68:6,	181:17, 181:19, 181:24, 182:6,	large [2] - 122;20,	180:3, 180:18,

				447.45
181:02, 182:5,	119:6, 133:9,	local [1] - 65:18	179:18	McNeill's [2] - 117:15,
180000	104:12, 134:19,	locate [1] - 31:21	management (1) -	137:13
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	135:8, 172:20	Lockheed [1] - 64:4	62:1	mean (28) - 4:25, 5:8,
lav 98:13	life:ime [21] - 5:20,	logical [1] - 120:17	manager [3] - 59:21,	10;24, 12:13, 13:9,
[av	6::1, 7:8, 7:10, 7:13,	Lonnie [1] - 56:23	64:16,67:18	14:21, 15:6, 17:20,
16.1	li e	look [11] - 21:13,	Mandalay (1) - 59:7	18:10, 18:25, 19:3,
lay:::- 4:4	7:17, 8:3, 12:11	28:24, 31:25,	Mande [8] - 57:14,	47:8, 97:23, 100:1,
Lays: - 57:22	17:2, 22:13, 23:20,	106:21, 106:22,	73:10, 84:7, 84:13,	100:15, 101:9,
lays (** - 2:9	26:13, 27:10, 28:8,		107:15, 175:17,	101:13, 104:5,
lead :: - 333:16	30:9, 37:25, 53:19,	127:1, 139:24,	175:21, 178:3	122:11, 132:7,
leading : - 23:16	53:21, 138:16,	157:18, 159:10,	maneuvering [1] -	140:22, 151:19,
leads [1] - 113:20	138:18, 138:21	159:12, 179:21	10:8	158:19, 158:22,
tear (ac. 11 - 106:13	Lif etime [8] - 8:9,	looked [3] - 32:10,	Mangan (1) - 38:5	177:23, 180:13,
least[m] - 12:22,	8:10, 8:11, 24:4,	180:12, 182:22	manner[1] - 168;14	181:20, 182:15
14:14, 30:12, 20:17,	24:16, 25:6, 25:17,	looking (2) - 79:14,	manning [1] - 121:6	means [7] - 20:9,
40:15 (2.49, 99:24,	27:11	174:13		27:16, 27:25, 50:22,
10	ինցին լոյ - 5:25, 12:21	looks [4] - 96:15,	Manning [3] - 46:9,	97:24, 138:17,
17. 2.7.	[igt ay [i] - 14:9	106:20, 171:6,	66:14, 171:23	166:22
18 (41.16	ijie ⊞⊯od [i] - 14:25	172:15	March[1] - 38:3	meant [2] - 106:13,
.]ea - 17:22,	like (19) - 19:12, 29:7,	loose [1] - 15:2	Marcia [11] - 9:5, 9:24,	160:4
17 °0:4, 116:11,	4: 50:17, 97:14,	lose [2] - 48:2, 183:25	10:18, 10:20, 10:23,	me lical [3] - 63:12,
10 14:17	121:1, 156:7, 172:18	love [1] - 98:15	10:25, 11:9, 11:10,	1: 5:20, 144:17
leavi 10 , 41:21,	imb ::: - 19:4	lower [1] - 118:21	11:15, 12:1, 38:6	mest [6] - 132:25,
1	[[man/]] = 9:18, 10:2,	Lucile [1] - 57:25	marijenna (2) -	153:2, 154:16,
18	105	luck [5] - 180:13,	107:22, 107:23	
led - 134	Jimi! , ! - 18:21	180:15, 182:21,	Marina [1] - 65:19	1: 3:15, 163:4
led or - 65(19,	limitad (3) - 29:2.	182:23, 182:24	Marines (1) - 125:23	me ting [1] - 73:2
19714 187120	41:1, 79:13	lunch [1] - 40:14	married [10] - 57:8,	me ts [1] - 172:23
Lec 38, 324,	Linda [6] - 57:7, 60:9,	lying [1] - 156:18	59:1, 59:8, 60:24,	member (15) - 8:25,
10. 10.24,	77:18, 101:7,	lying(i) iooni	64:17, 64:22, 65:14,	63:19, 70:2, 70:5,
10	107:14, 143:9	M	65:19, 104:17,	71:8, 74:16, 74:23,
11: -1, 08:7,	lin 12 : - 13:1, 14:20,	iv:	104:24	78:6, 78:9, 79:11,
6	1 37 19:23, 21:6,		marshal (7) - 37:9,	84:8, 87:13, 87:22,
left , 35:22,	2 3: 21:21, 30 :1,	ma'am [22] - 39:17,	70:18, 163:1,	1:5:15, 146:9
3-1 3,46:11,		43:14, 54:3, 54:7,	161:27, 1-7:11,	m inbers [9] - 38:17,
11 43	9 1, 49;3, 72 ;1 2 , 9 1, 155; 13	58:23, 62:5, 66:1,	167; 1:5	5:::2 3, 90:12,
Jec 31119		66:12, 74:12, 75:17,	mars ¹ : [2] - 166:4,	1 1:12, 143:12,
6. 7. 3.		77:15, 77:22, 79:1,	17.1:	16 1:2, 166:22,
1: 5,	15 4.00	101:6, 107:13,	Marti - 46:13,	17:1:6, 171:1
11 11:8:14,	lis: (1) - 17:3	110.7, 115.9, 118:5,	68:1, 75:18, 175:22	mention [4] - 5:18,
11: 23,	lis: [2] - 101:13,	120:10, 122:21,	martin ::: - 82:18	8: 116:24 , 161:8
11 :19	1.441	124:23, 126:16	mary [1] - 44:23	me doned (6) - 71:1,
leC ::	list: 1 ։ց լդ - 101:16	mail [1] - 61:9	Mary[i] - 45:25	7 ± 15, 97:10,
len :: 19,	lite / / (4) - 25:22	mailer [1] - 61:10	Maryanne [1] • 64:1	139:10, 140:10,
3.:		main [1] - 43:12	matter [6] - 34:11,	140:16
Let 11 - 6 - 2	litigs (ion (i) - 148:16	majority [3] - 8:7,	40:8, 49:15, 102:2,	Mercill [2] - 43:21,
les: 1:0:2, 98:25	lita viera (6) - 88:4,	51:6, 176:19	114:24, 176:17	46:1
tes. 7 : 19	€ 111, 178 ; 18,	makeup [1] - 173:20	matters (3) - 3:6, 5:13,	me* (2) - 154:3, 154:22
lel 1	17.200), 179:4, 181:3	male [7] - 174:10,	12:12	me h od [1] - 36:6
Jat 5:10	jive με = 57: 1, 57:15,	174:11, 174:16,	mcM itter; - 26:20	Mc o [6] - 59:2,
lev 7.44	6 1, 63:6	181:20, 181:25,	1 "	74:16, 74:23, 83:1,
lenn :: - 8:8,	Jiv∈d rug - 55:21,	183:21, 184:2	McNa 19 - 3:8,	1.:4:15, 144:18
8:	53:03, 57:10, 57:16,	males [15] - 173:12,	23:1:/:1, 28:13,	Mar ropolitan [1] -
lic 5:6,	5 59: 23, 60:9,	173:25, 174:7,	28:1: .:9:9, 30:3,	103:1
8 128;25	€ :11, 64:7, 64:17,	173.25, 174.7,	30:8 53:18, 38:13,	Mogers [1] - 66:20
lic: 43:6,	€. , 66:9, 66:22,	176:3, 176:22,	110:12, 112:16,	MG M [2] - 63:2, 63:3
6	6 : 69,4,69,10,	177:1, 177:21,	113:13, 114:13,	minifternoon[1] -
lie 142:15,	7 , 146:22,	177:1, 177:21,	135:6, 136:24,	95 20
14 (11,	1 3	183:4, 183:16,	138:17, 138:23,	mid::tle [6] - 46:6,
15.	Jives , g - 50:3, 63:11,		152:1, 152:20,	75:17, 76:14, 79:8,
life 1 8 - 11,3, 64:18,	04.5 00.0	183:23	162:15, 172:23,	98.21
86 3, 117, 99:5,		man [3] - 80:9, 80:11,	174:3	55.01
0				
į.				

				night [2] - 43:24,	
Mac 11-11-20:10	33:1	64:12, 72:21, 130:2,	48:7, 40:17, 50:15,	121:18	
1	misunderstood [2] -	130:23, 136:15,	77:10, 85:19, 96:8,	nightclub (1) - 84:19	
mic = □ (1) *	64:12, 173:9	150:15, 152:21,	96:1:, 108:23,	nine (1) - 91:5	
40: mir: - 13:24,	mix [2] - 5:6, 143:24	156:20, 156:21,	119:21, 125:6,	Nissan (1) - 57:8	
l '''''	moderate [4] - 14:13,	163:16, 163:20,	133:18, 148:11,	Missaut (i) - or .o	
15.1 (3, 23:13,	14:16, 20:12, 20:17	163:21, 166:7,	153:8, 159:19,	noteody [1] - 33:1	
23 (1, 1, 31:1,	mom [1] - 65:20,	166:19	162:13, 167:14,	non [1] - 67:18	
32.4, 38:12,	148:17	myrlad [1] - 131:17	170:11, 170:14,	non-food [1] - 67:18	
46.3,4 ,45:22,	moment [3] - 33:21,		171;1, 173;7,	none [3] - 28:19,	
45:111, 12,72:5,	35:6, 45:16	N	177:13, 184:10		
78. 0, 80:11, 87:20,	MONDAY [1] - 3:2	-	needed [3] - 42:3,	53:11, 55:4	
95. 1, 95:21, 96:10,			4 5:5, 48: 10	nonrefundable [1] -	
99:17, 100:8, 106:1,	Mon day [4] - 34:7, 42:11, 42:14, 42:16	Nadia [1] - 38:13	needs [/] - 12:3,	41:10	
114:14, 114:25,		nailed [1] - 22:11	13:10, 20:11, 21:25,	normal [1] - 31:1	
11/62, 10 612,	money [3] - 61:10,	name [47] - 6:1, 25:23,	23:7, 03:14, 41:6	normaliy [1] - 14:25	ı
13/ 113,	103:23, 104:6	26:17, 26:23, 33:14,	negati: [3] - 21:23,	north [1] - 144:16	i
10 : 17,	moraitoring [1] - 119:4	37:16, 37:21, 38:10,	87:4 1:14	North [1] - 146:21	
1; 11.18,	month [3] - 78:14,	38:22, 39:2, 41:18,	neig: - or [1] - 86:7	netation (1) - 21:14	İ
1. 191 11,	89:14, 93:19	46:25, 56:4, 56:22,	nept: 🖙 [1] - 75:22	nint : [6] - 22:24,	l
1: :19,	monthly [7] - 96:6,	57:7, 57:24, 58:24.	nerve [7] - 98:24, 99:1	160:16, 167:10,	
16 : 1017,	9:.7	59:1, 59:6, 59:16,	nerve-wracking [1] -	173:23, 177:18	
10 1, 1, 15,	mondus [/] - 43:16,	60:5, 60:8, 61:5,	98:24	no' d [1] - 173:25	
10 0 .21,	43:22, 44:7, 47:12,	61:15, 62:6, 63:4,	neutral (10) - 174:19,	no: pad [1] - 167:25	
18 170	43:18, 105:13,	63:25, 64:1, 64:15,	176:03, 177:2,	not loads [1] - 165:5	
mil : :24:15	125:20	65:17, 66:2, 66:14,	177:£, 177:6,	notes (8) - 39:1,	
mit to unit is	Moore [3] - 61:5,	67:16, 68:1, 68:25,	177:15, 178:2,	50:13, 167:16,	
mir : - :24,	61:16, 171:20	70:11, 99:21, 115:9,	181:5, 182:2, 183:9	167:24, 168:6,	
51	pre rat es [3] - 129:10,	117:1, 118:14,	NEVA" \[n] - 3:1	168:7 , 168:10,	
12 11 1 113,	134: 6, 181 :18	125:25, 143:23,	Nevas sj - 25:21,	163:12	
10. 5 1.34,	Microles [3] - 63:1,	149:12, 171:14,	33:10 3:8, 53:9,	nc 1 ing [8] - 103:23,	İ
10 15,	1 . 1:17, 129:10	172:1, 176:12	16:::	24, 116:18,	
1	mc:s թյ - 107:19,	names [5] - 32:11,	Nev. 6 - 44:23,	17, 152:15,	
mi: 40:7	1, 3:13, 108:21	38:19, 160:3,	45:2	3, 157:7, 182:10	
mi 75:2	morning [11] - 24:2,	171:13, 171:15	never erg = 37:2, 37:5,	n e [2] - 12:13	1
mi 31, 144:18	25, 12, 40:25, 41:21,	naturally [1] - 48:11	50:3. 3:24, 57:11,	15:3	
mi: 9:17	4.1(2, 44)8, 44:12,	nature [6] - 18:15,	57:11: 67:18, 59:4,	n i ed [4] - 10:21,	
min - 52:8	9 (517, 180;18,	50:5, 52:8, 94:1,	59:1: 60:1, 60:11,	11, 12:2, 16:17	
mir 8:8,	172:16, 184:14	98:18, 166:8, 173:9	60:2 1:2, 61:23,	no og [1] - 35:4	
8:	m: rnings (1) - 172:19	Navy [1] - 126:8	62:2., 64:3, 64:18,	not, is [6] - 99:23,	-
100.40	most (a) - 20:21,	NCIS [4] - 99:2, 99:3,	65:13, 65:15, 65:21,	111:9, 111:10,	ļ
	a1:11, 87:3, 88:13,	99:5, 113:21	65:23, 66:10, 67:22,	111:15, 111:17	
1 **	118:19, 144:20,	necessarily [14] -	68:6, 89:5, 69:11 ,	November [1] - 8:10	
1 '	1,34:22, 172:17	4:22, 4:24, 20:5,			i
1/2 mir - 31:22,	motion (a) - 5:14,	29:24, 31:25, 32:14,	69:1., 123:22, 142:11, 142:17,	nuances [1] - 127:15	
	£ 16, 5:25, 9:18,	41:12, 42:5, 46:24,			-
9. 9. 113:4	1 11:17, 13:10,	131:25, 141:7,	14407 146:23, 14801 147:1,	(12, 36:3, 36:4, (14, 39:3, 41:18,	ļ
	115				
mi. ize [1] -	moti. ns [3] - 5:23,	156:22, 158:22, 175:1	14"; 148:1,	5, 51:19, 58:25,	}
15		necessary [3] - 22:8,	141: 1,161:15,	0, 70:11, 99:21,	
mi: 151:8	motorcycle [1] - 83:13	necessary (3) - 44.01	1735	111:10, 118:14,	Į
mi. 10		168:4, 175:4	new j2; 115:18,	124:23, 124:24,	
mis 3:14.	mount (1) - 152:24	need [55] - 4:3, 5:11,	164:2	1"5:6, 125:25,	
7.54	mouth (2) - 112:1,	6:15, 8:1, 8:18, 8:25,	New [5] - 73:11, 73:13,		
mi - 140:9,	1.38:1	12:1, 15:10, 17:9,	84:25,::5, 178:5	17:20, 183:14	
11	nscred pj - 60:14,	18:15, 18:24, 22:14,	next [15] 31:22, 42:1,		
mi (+ 9:2,	£ 2:21	23:15, 27:1, 28:13,	43:7, 44:22, 46:8,	39:18, 56:2, 57:8,	
1	m hvie [1] - 84:25	29:6, 30:6, 30:10,	46:12, 9:2, 57:6,	125:7	
mi (and [1] -	moving [2] - 5:1 6 ,	30:24, 31:4, 33:23,	77:5, £ 1:6, 143:12,	numbers [1] - 160:3	
16	12:18	34:12, 36:8, 36:13,	143:1 143:17,	ner te (1) - 62:7	
mi. tending (1		38:22, 38:24, 41:13,	168.2 179:15	յունք իլ - 111:21	
-1	m: / . r[i] - 31:10	42:23, 42:24, 43:4.	Nice [1] - ∃61:18		
mis .n ds [1] -	m (15) - 50:7,	43:6, 43:12, 46:4,			
1					

<u> </u>			64:6, 66:9, 70:15,	169:12, 171:11,	14:1:7, 142:14,
	.a	6:9, 6:17, 6:20, 7:7,	72:6, 77:23, 80:10,	177:8, 111:8,	143:8, 150:9,
·		7:11, 8:3, 12:9,	80:11, 81:1, 84:24,	184:14, î34:18	150:11, 155:10,
	_	12:23, 21:19, 22:12,	85:2, 88:10, 88:11,	oral (1) - 169:12	159:17, 159:18,
•	n = 60:13	23:19, 28:8,	88:13, 89:19, 90:15,	orange (*) - 3:10	159:20, 160:5,
11°C	~ #3:20	30:9, 37:25, 38:1,	95:4, 95:11, 95:23,	order [8] - 8:20, 22:22,	180 :6, 160:13,
ati - i	5;5, 35:6,	53:21 , 138:17 ,	99:8, 102:10, 104:6,	35:19, 35:20, 35:21,	160:21, 162:18,
50:	32, 111:1	138:18, 138:22,	404.40 405.94	41:17, 18:9, 178:8	173: 20, 174:8,
	- 1º:6,	138:34, 139:7,	104:16, 105:21,	ordere: 151 - 7:10	174(13, 183:17,
	1.21	151:31 , 157:13, [106:18, 113:15,	Oregon(1) - 64:5	18 4: 5
	դ - 35:5	157:19, 158:6 ,	114:9, 118:3,	orient[:] - 160:11	pan el s (1) - 96:21
obj:	13:4,	155:12, 158:20	119:13, 120:14,	orthopodic [1] - 69:17	paperwork [2] - 48:12,
	20:5	offenders [2] - 9:8, 9:9	129:11, 132:20,	otherwise [6] - 18:21,	43:16
	. (e) - 10:3,	offending (1) - 16:7	135:16, 137:9,	otherwise [6] = 10.21,	paragraph (a) - 8:14,
25:	107:25,	offense [13] - 6:1, 6:2,	137:14, 144:6,	29:3, 4 1.6, 120:4,	2 20, 22:3
1(:	જાતાંગ,	6:11, 6:18, 6:19,	146:21, 147:15,	141:16, 131:23	par neters (1) - 12:16
16	,	2 :20, 25:24, 30:23,	152:9, 154:1 7 ,	outcom 102:7,	par. phernalia [1] •
		3. 146, 32:21, 53:24,	158:22, 170:22,	115:1:, 4:3	91.4
	⊬ 135 + 17: 19	7 111, 79:13	171:3, 171:4,	outfit[i] - 3:20	parcel [1] - 22:7
1!		offensive [1] - 109;9	173:23, 175:2,	outline [1] - 168:16	parent [2] - 44:25,
	arii - 119:18,	office [6] - 4:1,	175:15, 176:23,	outside թյ - 89:20,	parimic 23 = 44.20; 148:10
1:	· an.o	102:13, 105:18,	179:15, 180:6,	163:11, 163:20,	
	- 40:8,	106:2, 106:3	183:12, 183:19	165:20, 166:21,	part (2) - 79:21,
16117	45.55	Officers] - 37:23,	ones 4] - 4:11, 62:11,	167:22	1 ::24
Olui 🐬	- 96:25,	102.14, 105:23,	1 61:24, 175: 2 3	outwei = [1] - 19:15	pa : le [2] - 47:19,
14 . 3			ongoing [2] - 80:14,	outwei 10 [1] - 19:8	1: :2
oi:	··	106:3, 112:3	82:24	overal - 132:4,	Par lie [3] - 38;5, 38;6,
ol:	- 30:10	office [11] - 59:2,	online [1] - 64:7	155:1 1 5:24	7/1:1
o h:	į - 3;23,	65:11, 70:18, 74:21,	open ∷] - 94;20,	overbe 1 (some (f) -	part [12] - 20:11,
411		74:25, 76:2, 76:24,	106:12, 120:17,	179:24	21:14, 22:6, 23:16,
ola "	5:6,	3, 104:24,	121:10, 131:24,	owed (1 = 12:3	@2 17, 49:24, 58:9 ,
5:	- 3,	1992, 139:11	140:7, 159:21	own (i : 12, 27:4,	61 48, 99:12,
11.	20:8,	C (0) - (5) - 31:14,	open-ended [1] -	51:8, (1, 57:15,	19, 132:9, 168:4
20	23:15,	,a d., 105:18	131:24	90:7, 1 19, 119:6,	pa = ally [1] = 181:11
	- 1, 34: 11,	C, 44 TFR (1) + 31:16	opening [10] - 24:12,	119:11. 127:23,	pericular [7] - 27:8,
	31 1, 94: 7,	o licers [11] - 4:25,	40:11, 116:1,	1	2: 3, 48:1, 53:25,
1)	1, 16,	:7:3, 76:19, 104:21,	162: (3, 166:15,	1280, 131:25, 137:01 111:10,	9 10, 106:12,
1.		1 Et1, 105:2,	168:15, 168:22,	161:1 , .67:24,	1 4:16
1	;13,	10 1100, 1486 21.			pa ins [5] - 23:4,
1 .	.10,	1.5., 178:7, 178:20	169:14, 172:8	180:4, 132:20	2 6, 34:9, 55:1,
17	7,22,	of ion [1] = 19:25	openings (1) - 8:22	owner - 1:19,	1 :25
47 .	/:18,	often imes [2] - 34:7,	operated (i) - 148:3	84(2)	ра вег [1] - 56:15
7.		1: 2.10	opinion [27] - 13:5,		Parmers [1] - 143:25
	- 45.2	Object 14-47:4, 47:12,	13:7, 13:16, 14:3,	**	p: -/[1] - 168:23
OCC	: [1] - 156:2		16:10, 16:19, 16:23,		05.7
0 CC		o1 55:16, 57:9,	99:11, 102:21,	P.M (t) = 117	04.46
OC.	: . -	r (ch:17, 62:9,	103: 403:20,		400.04
5:		30:14, 69:4,	103: 1, 103:25,	p.m.; - 18	p. (3) - 126:24,
OC:	13,	3, 145:23	104: , 106:2, 106:6,	parket = 129:15	1 :16, 160:20
29	.0.40	e ² = 23 - 147:3	110: , 110:15,	paid (1), 86:9,	p htly [1] - 4:12
ocr.		o: 0)) - 15:13,	110 13, 110:20,	88:11 1/5	p rn[1] - 174:17
30	- 86:19,	1 113, 49:21, 165:2 ,	112.9, 112:10,	Parng (1.115	P; 1g [1] - 64:3
9.7		1 .10, 40.41, 100.E)	11602, 117:1,	pa nel 1 - 13, 32:3,	pr [6] - 4:22, 14:2
oc:	:1] -	1 '2:5, 172:25	119: 5, 119:16	32:1 25, 35:24,	1 34, 15:19, 15:2
11 .		or [83] - 7:22, 12:7,	opinì ns ⊚ - 12:4,	36:2 : 4, 36: 25 ,	1, 49:8, 49:20
oc	167:3	110, 12:11, 23:24,	14:7, 16:16, 98:17,	37:3 1 49:6,	{ 11, 118:25
offe	1:16,	26:18, 26:12, 27:12,	118:3	49:2 :1, 55:2,	pn. heck (t) - 56:1
10.	. 1, 14:17,	3.1:19, 37:4, 37:25,	oppor unity [16] -	60:1, 77:8,	p ing (1) - 15:4
15:	1, 15:25,	45:3, 43:7, 50:25,	14:14, 20:18, 36:16,	94.4 95.7.	p nent (1) - 16:10
	17.20,	55:6, 57 :9, 59:2,	65:8, 57:6, 13 3:24 ,	98:4, 119:10,	paients [1] - 18:1
16		61:17,62:9,62:10,	00.0, 27.00		
L	· 20:12,		1340 164:24.	131:5,	po -ce m - 116:15
L	e ∈ 1 20:12, 2121	63:17, 63:11, 63:12, 63:13, 64:4, 64:5,	134:5, 194:24, 165:11, 197:13,	131:9, 1 4: 16, 139:0, 1:2 2 ,	po ree [i] - 116:15 pr [ii] - 118:2,

		position [12] - 18:8,	13:6, 13:7, 34:10,	probation (12) - 47:6,
119:2, 100:12,	99:22, 117:2, 143:10	18:13, 56:3, 69:12,	167:23	47:10, 48:13, 48:18,
12'	physical [1] - 145:23		present n [3] - 33:19,	75:19 , 75:25, 91:3,
per - 118:12	pick [2] - 44;4, 121:5	74:20, 156:15,	95:3, 22	91:5, 105:2, 105:6,
pen ; 7.25	picked [4] - 98:22,	156: 16, 176:9,	presen (11) - 23:22,	105:7, 105:12
peri - 1 : - 89:13,	119:8, 146:25, 179:3	178:3, 178:16,	37:8, 87, 86:15,	Probation [3] - 38:5,
10° 10°	picks [1] - 44:9	183:22, 184:2	37:8, 30:10,	78:1
L *	piece [2] - 14:10,	positive (4) - 21:15,	86:24, 7:16,	prohative (6) - 6:14,
bou; [il] -	34:19	21:23, 104:15,	168:5 169:4,	6:13, 6:20, 18:14,
47:	place [9] - 47:2, 95:2,	104:18	169:7, 369:12, 181:8	18:15, 18:20
pend 115	place [9] - 47.2, 85.2,	possession (6) - 6:8,	preser ation [1] -	
pec: 3:15, 9:2,	121:2, 121:12,	7:4, 91:4, 178:18,	40:12	problem [10] - 30:1,
32: 3,36:4,	121:15, 133:2,	178: 19, 181:3	presented [6] - 13:16,	50:10, 97:12, 123:7,
36.17,	165:20, 166:9,	possibility [1] - 12:14	113:7, 123:6, 166:9,	128:10, 135:21,
38.1	167:21	possible [10] - 34:22,	169:9, 170:17	144:25, 148:6,
1000000, 10408,	placed [1] - 155:21		presiding (դ - 33:16	152:23, 152:24
12 11 113, 122:4,	plan [4] - 13:25, 14:2,	37;4, 43:6, 44:19,	press (1) - 90:1	problems [3] - 121:18,
10 .24:12,	16:25, 38:4	155.12, 157:2,	press [7] - 89:22,	1.1:20, 145:12
1; 155:4,	Pi net [2] - 60:6, 69:2	157: , 15 9:3,		pricedure [2] -
1	pt nned [1] - 14:18	172::3, 17 2:20	89;2	1. 6:24, 163:7
, , ,	plns [2] - 41;9, 42;11	posts :] - 94:10	press: - :: 118:2,	pr ceed [11] - 3:8, 4:4,
1.5. 72.23,		poter fal p2] - 11:18,	119:2 112,	9, 4:13, 23:1, 23:9,
15 : 30:2,	plus [5] - 53;4, 53;7,	17:1. 32:11, 33:17,	120: :21,	
1: 1:24,	8.4:12, 91:3, 93:17	37:1., 38:18, 49:24,	155:	1:23, 62:18,
17 12,	plaaded [i] - 162:21	52:9, 62:12, 95:15,	presu it mj 138(19,	5:22, 166:6,
121,	p!:d[i] - 38:14	108:7, 137:14	153:	8:14
18	plus [1] - 32:2:		presu .d [2] - 54:18,	prcceeding (2) - 23:5,
peo 3 -41;2	PO m - 23:13	Potential [1] - 35:3	100:	27:6
per cost	podia!rist [1] - 146:22	potentially [2] -	prese fog (1) *	pr-ceedings [1] -
10.5	peint (24) - 3:8, 4:3,	119:13, 121:1	'	134:20
	4:15, 6:4, 23:3, 23:8,	poun ad (1) - 138:9	153:11	PROCEEDINGS (1) -
15 pe 30:20	23:22, 30:2, 81:15,	Pour] - 143:24	presumption [8] -	±3
55.40	ξ::(1.1, 90:15, 94:10,	pre=== at pt = 62:7	110:21, 112:11,	process [21] - 15:1,
per - 55:19,		pra = 2 [1] - 119:5	138:: 1, 1, 0:11,	15:13, 50:8, 50:12.
7.1 .14,	C.:23, 95:13, 96:15,	pra ed [1] - 148:19	150:1 , 100:18,	
11 42:13	1 0:15, 110:22,	pre !y [2] - 11:22,	156:6 192:17	51:6, 51:8, 52:20,
pe: 3:19,	1.1:2, 111:4,	·	protes oj - 181:9,	58:10, 58:17, 59:25,
9 , 132:23	J:2 3 , 166:2 5 ,	15. pre: _te[i] - 41:12	182:: 2, 183:10	87:11, 93:11, 94:12,
per: .fir	1:9, 194:1, 184:10	pre: _!e [i] - 41:12	pretty - 3:23,	98:6, 98:7, 133:7,
1:	p at. 4 p.; - 85:24,	pr est te [3] - 12:8,	31:1 1,94:18,	59:22, 160:11,
pc 64:19	155,13	21:11	95:0 .4:3,	169:7, 170:21,
P" 404.4	p: Inting [1] - 23:25	pre des [2] - 7:24,	112: 124:7,	170:25
P.	pilker ::: - 60:6, 69:14	12:7		processing [1] - 66:21
16.	Posts/(g) - 103:1	present (1) - 36:16		pr_duced [1] - 11:9
per aj - 4:19,		preen tion [1] -	prove: [2] - 41;8,	p ducers [1] - 98:14
1: 2 1:20,	p: Պատկայ - 5 2:23, - (թ.ա. 58:11, 74: 2 1,	17.	82:11	p: fession [2] - 69:16,
51, 71:6,	70.00 94420	presitions [1] -	preve and [1] - 97:1	I '
8: .83:10,	7a:1 -, 78:20, 84:20,	17 5 1	previor / [2] - 22:19,	1 11:15
1. 119,	gagat, 8 8:23, 102:1 ,		160%	program (1) = 16:1
1: 2:7,	103010, 105:1,	11.7 475114	previ∈ ∃y ⊴j - 8:18,	programer [1] - 66:17
1. 3,	104:13, (39:10,	50.7	38:1; 5::3, 57:1 ,	pr ogress [5] - 16:10,
1: 13;3, 179;	4 1.13.01, 155:23,	pre 1 - 52:7	124;1 11 3:24	18:8, 18:18, 19:1,
po , 108:21	+ 5.55 , 178:7, 178:20	pr. 10y [1] - 43:23	prima y 1 - 175:8	19:19
per :	p. 3.4.man (2) -	pre ent [2] - 43:22,	prima [1] - 163:5	prohibited [1] - 38:1
11 :20	or: 1, 175:22	4:,	principles (1-54:12,	prohibitive [1] - 38:2
1 '	P ::: 1pj = 143:16	premilice [7] - 17:12,		
F	p [3] - 146:17,	1: 1:3:21, 19:9,	54:1 5 :: 3, 72:17,	prong(1) - 181:7
		1ht 21:5, 163:22	72:2 14a:1	proof [6] - 23:10,
pe - 161:1		press taiol [3] - 6:14,	p rise g - 91:25	
рен да эр 120;3	, p. cb.;1-21:16	1. 10:24	prism: *[1] - 94:23	113:1, 152:9,
10.116	pr 1 . /9 - 16:7,	pre 1 . sary [2] - 5:13,	pr c (i) 19:3	152:12, 153:3,
ph m = [cal(t] =			pr o-a te (1) - 69:3	164:16
6	1 (3) 3	8 : 167:18	prob y 1] - 164:6	p oper [5] - 4:10, 13:6,
pi - 56:23		pre : 121 - 167:18,	prote: [2] - 139:23	13:15, 165:8, 165:9
ph - 6:12	P (kmj = 1.025	1.11	140: (p operly [4] = 10:21,
Pl: 9:8,	pc (e/Lij) - 05:15	pr - d (s) - 10:9,	1910, 3	
	[' ''			

	110.0 100.10	178.20, 176 :25,	168;4, 169:11,	130:14, 131:14,
11: 14: 14: 2 5 ,	113:6, 130:10,	175(70), 176(25), 177(0), 177(5), 177(6),	171:13, 172:8	131:17, 133:13,
16.	132;13, 133:4,		readba %s [1] - 168:2	136:11, 141:4,
pro: 127:1	134:8, 135:7, 138:1,	1	reader[1] - 111:15	150:22, 166:14,
pro. 10 25:15	155:11, 167:20,	181:8, 182: 2 ,	reading 41 - 55:11,	177:5, 17 7 :6,
pro 96:9,	16 7 :: 1, 173:8,	183.10, 183:9	99:19 0:23, 106:15	177:15, 182:2, 183:3
96:10	179:72	race-trutral [10] -	reads [1] - 129:21	receive (20) - 10:23,
proc : (1) -	pets [i] - 113:12	174:19, 176: 25 ,	reads [1] - 129:21 ready [6] - 5:3, 33:10,	10:24, 11:5, 43:4,
102.11		177:2, 177:5, 177:6,	ready [8] - 5:3, 33:10, 143:24, 167:5,	54:5, 75:6, 76:10,
prosect 19 [1] *	Q	177:15, 178:2.		76:23, 80:18, 82:11,
105		181:8, 182: 2, 183 :9	171:6, 184:15	83:4, 83:18, 91:14,
pros = 1 (4) =		raise(4 - 34:21,	real [3] - 73:24, 99:5,	145:9, 147:6,
ga: 1.11, 151:4,	qualifications [1] -	35:17, 54:9, 112 :18,	179:1	147:17, 164:11,
981 7311, 1 5 13 4. 1873]	35:1	128;5, 126;21, 167;8	realize [2] - 3:15,	172:6, 184:6, 184:13
pre' 118:24	qualified [4] - 30:19,	rais od (22) - 9:17,	149:13	received [7] - 8:6,
•	(8):20, 49:22, 159:19	103, 30:17, 39:24,	really [15] - 20:22,	10:22, 10:24, 11:13,
P**	qualify[q - 36:8,	6 , 61:19, 64:25,	23:11 04:15, 48:19,	12:24, 18:9, 167:4
pro :: 7:12,	Co. 15, 150:17,	1' , 125: 22 ,	48:22 1/3, 81:12,	receiver [1] - 65:18
20 16, 72:18,	150(1)	1: 1: 7, 134: 12 ,	89;19; 11:15, 95:4,	receiving [4] - 9:11,
73: , , , ,	quanti នៃ le (1) -	1. '5, 136:7,	95:11. 5:13, 102:4,	74:6, 168:12
1. 14,	100:	13c 19, 138:9,	102:6 102:4,	
1(:15,	quisti med (1) - 87:21	147.7, 173:8,	112:14, 118:23,	recent [3] - 52:12,
1 16,	quistioning (9) -	17:±1, 174:17,	127;4, 132;8, 139;7,	88:13, 92:7
1: 5:19,	17:24, 32:17, 52:4,	174. 2, 176:1,	142;4, 156; 12,	recently [3] - 55:13,
116 3,	94:22, 110:10,	123	168:11, 172:14,	55:15, 164:23
133 2:20,	162:17, 165:2.	raiding թյ - 23:25	179:6, 181:23	recess [4] - 33:9,
131 '2: 24.	165:20, 165:21	гантра (- 60:20,	rear [1] - 83:8	40:14, 94:3, 96:19
13. 1,21,	qui stions [59] - 4:18,	80:00	rear-outled [1] - 83:8	recitation [2] - 22:19,
1;	4, 19, 34, 18, 34, 25,	ran (i) = 121:18	reas: 11:12,	110:2
16	#8:20, #0:10, 49:17,	raints. [1]:- 30:23	11:13, 12:20, 13:20,	recognize [5] - 32:11,
150 1115,	50th, 50 th, 51:23,	ration (1)= 30.23	13:20, 15:0, 15:3,	38:12, 39:21, 5 3 :11,
1: "20,	95.0, 5a. 11, 5 6:3 ,	g	36:5, 28:11 , 36:20,	131 :16
10 018	: 0.21, 62.2, 63:17,	ra -65:18	39:12. :::25, 71:4,	recollection (1) -
pre: 33:15	6-12-1, 02-12, 03-17, 16-12-1, 70: 3 ,	re: 11:16, 13:25,	71:12 9:2, 86:18,	145:20
pr 20,	70:9, 71.1, 72:4,	re: 11116, 15.25, 15.25, 15.17, 15:1,	86:23, ::9:15,	record (24) - 10:15,
7' , 73:1,	72:6, 73:4, 85:21,	13. 1, 15:25, 16:3,	109:17, 114:12,	22:11, 22:18, 22:25,
11 1,164:16	8 3(2, 5/0):13, 90:23,	15: 1, 15:25, 16:3,	117:9, 130:7,	28:4, 28:10, 28:11,
pro 2:15,	\$ 5,2 5,5,13, 80.23 , \$ 5,2 5, 54:18, 95:8 ,	1 20:2, 20:12,	130:17, 100:16,	29:4, 33: 18, 39:23 ,
15	95:9, 98:0, 98:20,	27	130:13, 133:5,	144:19, 167;20,
prc - 31:17,	93:25, 115:21,	re- nd [14] - 11:16,	140:13, 140:24,	167:21, 173:8,
10 3 32,	109:7, 120:9,	re- nd [14] - 13:10, 14:14, 14:17,	141:21, 142:9,	173:17, 173:22,
17 15	109:7, 120:9,	1 1, 14:14, 14:17,	145.1, 150:15,	174:4, 175:1,
pros r 3:11,			150 00, 151;20,	175:21, 177:9,
2. 13, 54:5 ,	1:3:25, 134:2, 5:2:20, 143:20,	1 ::3, 16:24, 17:20,	156:21, 167:6,	177:14, 182:13,
7. 7, 162:5,	7/2:70, 143:20, 1, 5/3:47, 147:5,	10:10:20:2, 20:12,	167:7, 174:19,	183:16, 184:3
10	7 3:37, 3.475, 1 3:37, 3.50:5,	2 :: ding m = 16:7	177:1, 177:3, 178:2,	records [1] - 30:12
prc - 30:17,		re iding [1] - 16:7	1780 1.0.5,	recovered [2] - 78:25,
prc - 50.17,	4 973, 414:2 4, 1 4 973, 414:24,	re ij - 18:20,	1/80 1/35,	148:1
100.47	1.65 (175.6, 165:7,	4 68:13, 96:12,	180:2 163:9,	Red [1] - 64:25
1		9 101:17,	180% 1649, 181% , 18×25	redact [6] - 8:15,
l l.	165: 3, 136/3,	1 , 184:7	76100 , 1800.20 reason thic [18] -	22:14, 22:17, 24:8,
no.	10.00, 17.50	re. d [7] - 11:1,	54:21, '2:18, 73:3,	29:3
pr) pui :42:18,	qu + + [1] - 38:7	1 11:3, 55:25,	100:21, 107:24,	redacted (9) - 14:19,
] ·-	quille (4 - 97:17)	61. 38:21	100:2 -, 107:24, 109:4, 109:4,	20:6, 20:11, 20:16,
10 sec - 23:5,	121:13, 123:19,	ren 1001 - 6:25, 24:9,	109:4, 109:12,	20:20, 22:4, 22:23,
50.5	132:4	25.11, 108;25,	112:16, 115:3, 116:9, 132:12,	30:14, 96:8
		1:::: 111:8,	•	30:14, 90.6 redacting (1) - 8:5
24.5		1.1117, 127:3,	133:16, 15 2:16 ,	redacting [1] - 6:5
pu 24:5,		1 9:11, 129:18,	154:16, 16 2:25,	
2	race [18] - 174;19,	1 2: 134:6,	169:15 , 1 79:9	22:7, 96:9, 96:11,
pu. :15	race [ta] - 374019, 17004, 10307,	1 1, 1, 160:10,	reasons (16) - 30:21,	96:12
pc , 15:7,	5/80%, 1/80%, 5/801 5, 5/8013, 1/801 5,	1 . 1, 165:10,	1447, 14419,	refer [2] - 50:13,
3. 112:1,	: :, d _i (3;			
1	1			

_			131:11, 139:19,	178:17	saw [4] - 42:9, 149:12,
170 19		105:21, 112:25,	10.0	Rick [1] - 64:22	164:14
efu	· (·:: - 14:22,	113.0, 127:11,	rest rises [5] - 34:17,	ride [1] - 89:20	scanner (1) - 66:5
22	25:6,	166.7, 175/12		ridiculous (2) -	scary [2] - 151:5,
27.	U. 1, 181:10	remembering (1) -	50 01, 120:24,	103:23, 150:14	151:10
refc:	ad (a) - 26:4	39:22	17:::10, 181:5		scenario [1] - 177:18
refer	11] -	remind [7] - 50:1,	resp = sibile [1] -	rights (5) - 28:24,	scene [1] - 161:7
175 19		50:11, 50:19,	66:19	46:19, 46:22, 48:1,	scenes [1] - 37:13
		138:13, 169:15,	responsibilities [5] -	109:15	schedule [1] - 160;23
refer 1 =		169:23, 171:14	1 63:5, 179:1 ,	risk ஞ - 14:14, 14:16,	
100	. 420:49	reminded [2] - 162:16,	179:11, 179:12,	20:2, 20:12, 20:17,	schedules [1] - 41:3
ref	- 139: 18	158:13	179:22	43:23	School [2] - 69:10,
ref		remeve (4) - 22:8,	responsibility (6) -	Rivera [4] - 57:21,	144:1
refi	.\:19		51:4, 113:16, 179:6,	86:5, 171:18, 174:10	school [9] - 44:25,
ref	- 16:9	30:14, 31:23, 175:5	179:8, 179:19,	road [3] - 14:1, 16:25,	61:13, 64:22, 68:10,
ref	- 114:23	removed (1) - 175:3	179:10	17:19	69:10, 98:21, 128:4,
rei	6:10	remotins (1) - 25:5	rest ::: - 37:8, 119:10,	ready [2] - 41:25, 56:5	14 3, 152:10
rei	25:21	re Ha (2) - 51:9,		rob m - 79:22	Sch oder [1] - 37:13
	. 19:12,	116 ., 115:7	1.11.13	robbed [1] - 101:24	Sch. 37 (10) - 39:18,
re q 20	31:15,	rend=red (1) - 115:12	res rant[1] - 84:21		61.21.68:9,68:11,
		rendering (1) - 139:2	re lon թյ - 86:9	robher [1] - 106:21	7:18.83:21,91:19,
		reneat [1] - 79:9	res. red [5] - 46:19,	Rock(n) - 64:25	
8	1 21,	repeated the 170:11	4 48:2	Roja 🖙 - 43:15,	98:22, 113:23,
11	1.14	rej paind1 (2) - 84:20,	re: .ions [1] -	45 :25	171:24
re:	[:] - 5:9 ,	1	1 3	roon (ii) - 69:15,	schultz (1) - 144;10
1.1	7:4	1.91	res 15 mm [1] - 167:14	11: .::1, 121:3,	scope [۱] - 165:23
res	· "] -	rech serg - 137:12	rests 29:11	1. 148:8, 170:2	scrapes [1] - 124:1
1, 1	:: 13	runtr ing (i) - 25:23	resu : 1- 18:21,	row [13] - 35:22,	seat [6] - 36:24, 46:5,
re	.25, 4:3,	готог а ј - 10:25,	L	35:23, 42:9, 45:15,	46:10, 49:2, 137:13,
1+		11,8, 11:9, 14:12	27:1.	46:6, 46:10, 75:17,	175.20
re	- 128:5	rene ter [2] - 37:13,	resuch (2) - 40(10,		sear 1 [8] - 32:2,
	1[1] -	1 .	102.0	76:15, 78:1, 78:12,	35 7, 36:4, 37 :6,
re.	. [1]	re - 5 no [1] - 53:22	reti: ; - 69:12,	79:7, 79:8	41. 7, 55:7, 95:25
į.	: 0	re 10:25,	1(4) +	reto (4 - 4:16, 31:14	
Lus	18	1 13 , 96:6,	rec ::si - 55:14,	retent) - 165:14	s 5 5 - 36:18,
re:	, a,	900	64.1 4:3, 66:15,	ruling [ւ] - 19:24	31.22 , 37:5 , 49:8 ,
55	, . :1	representation (1) -	6 8:14 66:2 0, 68:10,	rulings [1] - 28:20	143:2
reta n	9 - 5:24 , 6:2	1 '	6::11, 69:9, 76:18,	run [8] - 22:9, 85:10,	second [9] - 9:25,
rela	06: 6 ,	29:23	7. 1 . 118:25,	97:7, 104:21,	10:13, 24:21, 25:4,
1.	•	representative (1) -	1; 1, 121:1,	122:22, 161:17	25:11, 40:24, 88:11
re:	- 5.4	127:10	1	run-ins [1] - 85:10	164:17, 183:21
Le;	- 17:10,	require m - 49:14	retaria di - 43:6,	running [1] - 99:8	Second [1] - 26:9
1.	•	remuli 1 - 10:3,			secondary (1) - 37:3
1	- 17:5,	[[54,1] 43d 1, 167:18,	1-1:11, 172:12,	RV [t] - 143:25	Sec: n(1) - 22:4
re ¹		-	1 1	<u> </u>	secti n (1) - 73:9
1	.5 4048	re чи .ment [3] -	retur = eg (4) - 42:15,	S	2601 1111 - 1010
rei	- 19:8	27:16, 133:1	150., 3, 153: 3,		see: :- 4:2, 11:22,
re:		re ments [3] -	1/30:17	anfortus 464-94	31; 1, 34:6, 38:16,
L0:	:7,	222, 121:16	Re ad (ii) - 56:17	safest (1) - 161:24	38 1, 40:4, 41:14,
1			Re ∃. (2) - 106:15,	s des [2] - 61:14,	41 1, 44:14, 45:22
1	' 5,	re [1] -	1.061	a1:19	40 19, 55:9, 55:10,
	21,	4.(1)	revis: [2] - 9:1, 12:1	Satt pj - 148:19	5=:15, 70:8, 83:21,
18		research (2) - 161:11,	resis, n - 8:19	San [7] - 42:11, 66:9	84:25, 90:25, 94:1 4
rea	r [7] - 35:7,	162:3	rh = cally - 5:10	sand(n) - 94:19	11, 96:21, 99:2,
۷,	2, 97:17,	resolution (2) -	Ric = 62:7, 79:19,	sandals [4] - 3:14,	9. 3, 99:5, 9 9:7,
1	re:22,	112:19, 119:2 2		3:21, 3:22, 31:24	9: ::), 106:18,
10	,	redols 40 - 92:24	10 11, 126:3,	satar - 110:25,	10 02, 108:6,
	n i ⊵ - 35:23,	resol = 14 - 34:11,	1. 171:21,	112:17, 115:8,	11 8, 116:23,
re		0:7.	1' . '	126:23	11: 5, 120:21,
3,	e er∋, 70:1,	r pr - 5:21,	Ri ilson [7] -	45 5 45	
1 -	.4	7 pr 3.21, 21 12:8, 131:19	en., 79:19, 101:23,	satisfaction [1] +	100 19, 129:13,
re	·5: 4		1. 1.28:16.	81;12	1: :2, 131:13,
re	; -	r : (2) -	1 174:11	Saturday [1] - 42:17	1; 3, 141:5,
	61:21,	(174)9	Ri 65:12,	Savings [2] - 66:18,	14 ∃ , 142:8 ,
≓ઇ.			R 1111 - 00.12-1		
	0.5.04	re peutrepy - 70:11, composit 23, 117:7.	1 5: 1, 175:22,	122:7	14 - 31 , 161:17 ,

	10 14 54.7	66:21, 91:9, 117: 17 ,	slightly [3] - 32:12,	14/6, 24:6, 48:11,
161 11 167:1,	set[8] - 42:11, 51:7,	117:22, 132:20,	42:3, 96:24	5: .::0 , 71:3, 79:20,
17 14,	51:8, 55:9, 90:1,	132:21, 134:14,	small [2] - 22:3,	91:1, 1 09:1 0,
17	111:10, 162:21,	134:21, 169:11	103:25	1: 13, 145:20,
sec 197] - 40:5,	170:16	side's :11 - 90:3	Smith [2] - 47:1, 61:19	182:1 4, 168:5,
57 8714, 971 13,	srttle;i) - 184;15		smith [1] - 47:2	178:23
10. 21,	settle 145 - 67:2,	sides (12) - 50:6,	Smiths pg - 67:19	specifically [9] - 5:24,
12.0	67;4, 11°;2 0, 112;23	81:16, 90:18, 91:12,	sober [2] - 91:5, 91:10	6: !2, 32:22, 33:22,
151 15/11:19,	รองอก (ช) - 63:14	91:14, 92:14 , 9 9: 13 ,	socks [9] - 3:10, 3:12,	50:2, 93:25, 121:5,
15.0 11	sever-1[3] - 76:18,	119:::1, 145:8,		1; :10, 161:8
seem: 5, 132:5	::1:21, 12 2 :7	160., 5 , 163:23,	3:19, 3:21, 31:15,	spe: ifics [2] - 89:25,
sees! 119,	sax [41] - 5:19, 6:2,	175,5/	31:17, 31:18, 31:23	93 20
178:	6:9, 6:16, 6:18, 6:19,	s ign (1) - 27:11	sold (1) - 61:6	speculate [2] - 94:6,
selec - 58:13,	6:20, 7:6, 7:11, 8:2,	signed (3) - 24:4,	soie [1] - 163:8	166:12
58:10, 419, 59:25,	9:8, 9:9, 12:9, 21:19,	25:17 25:18	solemnly (1) - 34:24	speculated (ղ - 177:5
67.0, 10006, 147:10,	22:12, 23:2, 23:19,	significant (2) - 41:7,	solve (1) - 121:20	speculation (1) -
100 170020,	25:20, 25:24, 28:7,	92:7	semeone [22] - 4:13,	19:11
1	U0:9, PE:16, 32:21 ,	significantly (3) -	15:2, 27:9, 41:8,	sp = ch [i] - 151:19
04.40	32:27, 12:23, 37:25,	71:6, 73:25, 74: 24	52:1, 52:17, 63:16 ,	10000
	(3:1,:21, 53:24 ,	s imitar pj 49:10,	71:7, 71:12, 71:19,	1 -
	1:8:17,	75:1, +0:14	79:22, 101:2, 106:1 ,	1 13
	133:22,	siar 11 (3) - 47(20,	106 21, 120:3,	sp ::-{(1] - 112;3
16 1 5	:	155:15, 182:8	130:14, 131:15,	sr = {ing [1] - 104:6
''	151. 157:12,	simple at [7] - 23:13,	150:23, 15 9: 23 ,	sp [1] - 103:24
\$0.	:58:6,	23:	;77; 23 , 1 7 9:11,	S ₁ er (3) - 39:4,
17.	58:11, 158: 1 9	sinar [a] - 23:18,	179:21	6 . 171:19
setts	s xual m - 51:20,	54;1 , 130;18,	scenetime [3] - 97:19,	spin ner[i] - 39:5
15.7	5.2;2, 77:14, 93:25	1:2:13, 162:19,	30:1:14, 184:1 7	sp = [2] - 46:6, 133:5
sens - 1:3, 15:7,	st aking (1) - 118:5	1 (4.17), 169:14,	semelimes [7] - 4:11,	sp ⊬e [3] - 55:15,
100 014,	s. npe [3] - 54:15,	176:	62:2, 119:19, 1 20:2,	62:16, 143:24
4	1:9, 137:4	si≘g′ (⊎] - 44:25,	11:1:24, 122:1, 149:5	Sp: (mgberg [1] - 37:14
154.6	s - 110:20,	65;10, 132:13,	scan what (2) - 87:3,	sta 1 - 8:25, 35.7,
se , 154:6	176:4, 176:7	134 7, 154:17,	1.,111	3 37:16
50 7:10,	s - 110:19	179 179.3, 181.2	sc. newhere [3] - 44:5,	st: 1] - 156:15
8. 7:23	5 15 15 16, 16:8,	sit [9] - 5:15, 44:24,	109:8, 161:17	st. ∋d.[1] - 25:4
se - 5:17	1 1 1.004	65:2 67:7, 87:5,	senjaj-44.25,	st: [22] - 32;4,
Se 3:6	si in 1= 137014	çe:1 08:15,	64;14, 66;9, 6 9:4,	(* 1, 55:8, 93:14,
50: 13,	el: 1 - 64:21,	10% 4, 152014	7 96 1, 33:10,	, 114:8,
17 23	S	si . 54:17, 72:25,	10 : 24, 125 : 14,	* 13, 114:15,
ser :1, 34:5,	s 104:10,	110. 2, 162:25	147:2, 147:3	1 .17, 114:20,
36 7, 36:19,		si [20] - 3:22, 5:3,	sons[i] - 146:21	1 → 0.5 , 116:14,
36. , 48:15,	Single-19:1	5 do 1, 101:3,	s: in [1] - 170:24	1 ≥1, 117:17,
ag 7, 1, 93:5,		13 3, 137:16,	s/ - 1/7] - 7:00, 26:16,	1 19, 119:23,
1 :4	s 105 11 - 3:9, 3:10,	1: :19, 138:11,	39:01, 11 1:13,	1 ? . 16, 130:15,
se :6, 47:7,	1 (18) 15, 4: 2, 4:3,	1.:0: 7, 140:19,	111:22, 113:5, 117:3	1° ∹15, 134:22,
6. 5 _{4.2}	1, 4:10, 5:2, 5:5,	1.00 1.3, 14 1:16,	sort[1] - 10:24, 15:5,	1 :18, 136:19
1	5 3, 20:24, 31:8,	111: 1, 150:14,	15:11, 21:18, 22:4,	st is [1] - 176;9
so - 4(15,	2,34:18, 31:22,	18.1.15, 130:17,	: 3:7, 37:5, 84:7,	St - 69:14
a +	10:5,3:05	155: 0, 156:21,	1.015, 10.011,	st g [1] - 36:23
4" 5 , 7:4,	s y 143:3	157:1 157:7	1.01:17	st. oj - 16:14,
1:20,	s]- 22	situa n poj - 9:21,	sear. (††) - 19: 19	a 1, 48:24, 56:2,
11 15	s - 1-:15,	52:1 , 77:20, 93:3,	som is on 18:12,	f 70:10, 129:10,
12 0		1173, 12336,	260,000,00,53:7,	9, 160:17,
16 1 .25,	: 5 ₁	1.7 : 0, 140:2,	84:11, 18:11, 86:17,	1 7
10 . 3	10:46	1.1 , 14 1.4	94:18, 134:18,	st ~! [4] - 7:21,
Ser 3:16,	s . i- [1] - 18:16	eir ne iai - 85:8.	135:25, 13::3	0, 89:16, 141:20
15.	y - gameta) - 8:23, 13:8,	1 5	s - at ing [4] - 112:6,	sı g [3] - 16:13,
ser 59:8,	5 . 17 45	si* 17;11, 48:18,	5:24, 14 ::23,	3 46:6
60	.74:17	1: 1	1. 1:16	st: 1 - 47:3, 67:22,
Se 45:22,	s = 0.000 21	size: - 4:6, 31:12,	snecial [1] - 7:10	9 3
4 1 7	s · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	323	sinct ic (15) - 14:5,	St : [/3] - 5:14, 5:16,
se 22:2	g: τη - 56:16,	Oi	3, 6 (9) (1.00)	_ , ,
Ì	1		1	

		24:6	S upreme (Կ - 6:12	56:°3
	_{ств} рет 451 н д - 67:19	04/10	surgeon]': - 69:18	ten ; : - 28:25, 57:10,
27:53 29:10,	37:9	30 0) 1(0)	surprise (4 - 63:14	£7.16, 73:14, 94:3,
30 1 33:19,	s v _{i 1} - 13; 18 ,	27: 1, 30:9, 49:15,	surprised [1] = 132:2	g.: 14, 124:17
35 , 43:1,	3;14, 1338	82:5 116:11, 118:1,	surprised [1] * 102.2	ten minute (1) - 94:3
1 1	ε w e.g _b - 20: 13,	130 .5	sustain [3] - 108:5,	ter-1 [1] - 71:6
1 "	- 3.11, 171:2 3	subn 1 [4] - 25:22,	115:19, 163:15	tendencies [8] - 18:12
73 (3, 96:6,	3. ', 17 6.20 	181: , 182:1, 183:2	sustained [1] - 163:18	
1 "	s (d.j.ar) - 4:13, 4:14,	submitted [3] -	Swain [5] - 42:10,	tenent [1] - 73:3
90 2.	19:9, 28:17, 28:18 ,	100:12, 166:18,	59:15, 59:16, 78:13,	terro [3] - 47:6, 47:7,
10 1 1 1 (04)2,	(:0:1, 40: 21, 49:23,	1	171:19	48:15
101 1 415,	5.8:05 , 73:15, 74:4.	169:	SWAT[1] - 144:18	terminate [1] - 14:22
1(76:6, 78: 17, 80:13 ,	subscattent [1] -	sway [1] - 119:14	terminated [17] -
11. 115:2,	82:23, 83: 17, 83:18,	168:11		11:19, 12:9, 12:15,
116. 16 8,	87:9, 90: 20, 97:16,	subsequently [1] =	swear [2] - 34:22,	13 3, 13:14, 13:20,
120: 1: 1:16,	100:12, 100: 25 ,	178 :7	04:24	132, 14:6, 14:11,
,	: y:: ", 1::7: 4, 110:1,	subs*ace [1] - 88.6	sympathy (i) - 163:22	1.0 1, 15:13, 17:5,
10.0	.8: 19 ,	subs. alial [3] -	stant on 1313 - 43:17	17:9, 18:16,
130 1 15,	11 1:13,	17: 17:13, 19:9	syoupsis 11 - 35:9	
127	5: 24,	subs wially [2] -	5 m [m [m] - 32:19,	1 17, 21:25
16		19: 76:24	50:00, 51:25, 52: 15 ,	terration (10) -
1: 1:3,	1. 1. 17 ::1 2,	18 70,24 subce stul [3] -	54:11, 54:23, 66:19,	11.12, 11:14, 11:20,
1: 3:15,			71:25, 72:8, 7 2:13,	1 9, 15:18, 17:6,
10 2:23,	:9 .d	18: 147:6, 47:7	72:14, 75:11, 77:7,	1 9, 18:19, 21:24,
11 , 14,	s - e - de (ta) - 6:7,	suffi of [3] - 8:1,		C1. 21
.5,	± 51, €113, 6:16, 6:22,	137 175:11	77:9, 77:21, 78:10,	ter = [17] - 5:21, 23:4,
1 20,	7:11, 7:18, 7:24 ,	sup: 1[1] - 94:21	79:4, 79:16, 81:2,	2 .3, 32:24, 42:19,
1: 18,	27:15, 27:18,	sugg tions (1) -	86:8, 86:12, 86:22,	e. 9,71:2,71:22,
1 :10,	78:	16:	(7)4, 87:24, 88:18,	(3. 1, 87:12,
1, 112,	gripurared [1] - 23:5	suit: 4-98:10,	9301 2, 1000 21,	1 23, 157:13,
	g - 7:5,	98:	113, 103.8,	1 22, 173:25,
1 ''	9 6:12,	su.n [] - 144:21	j.F., 14, 103: 18,	1.5.3, 181:15,
1 '''	2, 19, 27:21,	summ 12e (2) - 30:7,) 3 :21, 1(\d:11,	
	13	101	145:17, 146:5,	1
	t 1 (6) - 7:22,	sunt	146:10. 47:03,	te (39) - 9;6,
1.	22:11,	11:2 1:24	1 18:0 8:22,	1 1, 12:4, 12:14,
Size of 11,	27:8,		109/2, 133:7, 175:8,	5, 15:16, 17:4,
2, 128:24,	, 21.0,	sur or [2] - 37:10, 44:	175.0	2 1, 28:16, 29:8,
10904 . 18,	1.			(10), 29:16, 30:4,
11 11 11 11 169:3,	g et og 27 8:14,	sure red [1] *	T	\$ 9,30:20,
17	1/4/1	1		20, 116:12,
st: - 10:1,	s m = 111:23	sum erket [1] -		24, 129:25,
1	st - 136:7	1 2 '	tatelege - 36:5, 36:23,	1 :4, 130:24,
1	в д гу- 15 6:10	Sti ion [21] -	4 3:15	1 :15, 135:7,
st: i -	s or one 132:23,	5:: :21, 7:8, 7:11,	ta11 3 (η - 182:22	1 9, 135:21,
2 1,	1.0	7:1 1:17, 8:4,	to: [1] - 108:5	1 11, 136:25,
16 15,	s - 132:6	1, 17:2, 22:13,	tn - 11 - 373:13	1 !0, 137:11,
16 . :04,	21	Dist. 108:14, 27:11,	T - nigg - 30;2, 117;5,	1 :9, 137:22,
17.	- 121:14,	200 19, 37:25,	43:9	1 = 21, 145:23,
State - 11,	1 3	50: 63:21,	ti (100 [1] + 8911	2, 150:24,
1."	157:14	ղ, է Հ. 135:1 8,	T. to ant - 1 Add	* .\1,152:1,
st.	1 181:11	1.	Tables [6] = 66.2,	5
st. uter - 3 3	14:4	St. ion (8) - 8:9,	117:5, 122:13,	tc ing [7] - 13:4,
star [4] - 5 0, 95:18,	. 04	8 12, 24:4,		
1: 9, :17	η - 3:24, 1:24	24:1 5:6, 25:17,	131:6, 135:24, 143:9	1. 16, 137:15,
1	0.5.44	21.1	teach [9] - 118.10,	1 21, 151:22
ste di 221-	- 95:11,	s: or [2] - 122:4	124:2, 1 24:3	40.4
	p (%1019)	1.	Etractific fall - partire	40 40 40:40
sta 1	(HB);1	su [] - 156:16	8:10, 69:10,	1004 04.0
sta	183;12	1 . 40-40	3 ≪ 2	7 71:19, 73:20,
sic 0:23	1 (2) + 58:4,	i '	[t] 1 [a] - 3 1, 10,	
ste . , 143:1,	1."	415 108324.	; 1 3, 119:20	7 4, 76:23, 80:18,
47 (4)	s f -10:15,	1	t 1. 1-18:3	8 3,85,25,
ste - 1	· · · , & 3, 72:3,	s: "on(1) -	t .hn Lian (2) - 41:25	1 13, 145:5,
141.8	: , 11 :20	1.81		
1	*.	<u> </u>		

		_		
			63:12, 64:5, 64:23,	UPPENTIFIED (1) -
16319, 160116,	1- pro	129::'`, 130:15,	53.12, 04,0, 04.20,	3 :: 16
i I	97:1, 97:4,	137.11, 138:23,	67:19, 80:9, 83:13,	uninn [4] - 67:17,
1 1 1 19,	67:11, 97:12,	146: 151:25,	88:4, 95:25, 96:23,	127:18, 127:19,
13,		152:15, 157:14,	102:24, 122:4,	i i
10000 2,	160:17, 160:23,	158:5, 160:8,	126:11, 129:11,	127:23
167:17, 16 1:17,	161:14, 162:12,	160:04, 161:8,	146:20, 146:21,	Union [1] - 57:22
10 11 16 11	162.52, 172.7,		160:7, 162:21,	unique [4] - 5:21,
Toy 61 5, 63:11	172 18, 172:25,	162:5, 163:3,	164:12, 172:9,	40:7, 40:13, 98:7
the 1 - 35:8,	17: :: 184:9, 184:10	108:11, 167:8,	177:7, 181:11	United [3] - 6:11, 57:8,
	t = k = - 69:15,	157: 1, 168: 2,	two-day[1] - 40:6	110:24
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	91:3, 124:6,	1881, 168:8,	6W0-039 (1) - 40.0	University (1) - 58:4
127 18,	grai, 149: 12,	15 1, 168:14,	type [20] - 9:8, 9:9,	unknown (1) - 62:13
100 0 213	180:1, 180:18	170: 170:18, 183:1	0:10, 12:23, 25:9,	
the 1 9:6,		tr ials () - 41:1	52:3, 55:24, 69: 3 ,	uniess (9) - 5:5, 29:7,
1:000	topics [1] - 165:22	tried pr - 79:22	75:21, 77: 14 , 84: 10 ,	39:19, 36:25,
tho apyre; - 9:12,	a - 50 - 9 - 183:1 8	Trod: [2] - 37:16,	£2:4, 102:20 ,	111:21, 117:18,
3 3,1	- 16 7:15		104:11, 106:13,	1 11:8, 163:1, 183:24
the 62:23,		39:8	122:5, 122:23,	ur e [2] - 40:25,
•	[s] - s/1:9,	trouk 'a aj - 89:10,	177:18, 179:4	16 :2
1	30.5 100:2, 104:1 ,	91:4 3:1, 124:5,	1/1.10, 110,7	unif ely [1] - 30:3
th: 1:11	3401, 10404	124: 1, 14 2:16,	types [2] - 4:23, 98:11	
thi. 1 19:14,		14	typical [2] - 41:5, 41:7	UH: ∀[1] - 39:10
1; 41 . 179:23	150 s 5 58:16,	true: -40:21, 99:19,	typically [9] - 29:10,	ur cessary (1) -
thi (10) - 115,	109	99:1 111:10,	29:18, 32:16, 32:17,	1 5:10
1: ~:3 ÷:8,	す。 ₂ 両 - 64:21,	1:4: 13.1:24	32:20, 48:2, 95:15,	un⇔lated [2] - 40:9,
1 1 1 5 5	€ 11 , 75:18 , 81:21,	tru! : :::25,	131:23, 160:20	8 5
127:4,	15. 0, 115.10	i		up (1) - 4:2, 4:18,
	1, 6 9 6 6, 67:17,	1.	U	4: 3, 5:5, 6:23, 8:17,
, , ,	10. V	tru + 18415		1 :11, 10:16, 11:6,
1:	1 : 51 Ling 11 - 89:10	tru! - 115:23,		11:25, 12:21, 13:2,
thi 181:7	et 11 - 12 7:20	1.5 156:7	ย ,[7] - 123:14	
th - 6:25		troth: հչար - 164:5	u mately [11] - 36:8,	2 1:13, 32:4, 36:19,
th 15:6,	+ a. : :2] - 127:5,	tryp. 5, 4:18, 5:2,		(* :21, 42: 21, 44:4 .
10,		23. 11, 62:3,	: 110, 36:16, 52:19,	ے 1, 47;16, 48:16,
13,	er i et jaj - 168:1		SS:6, 109:14,	÷ ÷ 51:21, 53:3,
1	$\frac{1}{1}$, $\frac{1}{1}$, $\frac{41:9}{1}$, 42:1,	7 Pr 1:6, 94: 8,	131:18, 132:8,	5: 56:24, 62:3,
1 .		10 119:23,	159:20, 17 5 :5,	61 7, 69:24, 70:21,
1 2	s ₁₄ ~ - 41:20,	1: ,119: 5,	103:24	75.4, 83:22,
5, 39:2,	13.15	1, 11 123:12,	UniO μη - 56:23	3, 87:1, 89:17,
2:19,		1, 11, 133: 20,	unable (3) - 34:11,	g 23, 94:16, 95:3,
1		1; (37:5,	51:1, 116:8	
	t. Heg- 13:3,	1. 7,21,	I	9 20, 97:3, 99:4,
7,	1/03:14,	155: 9:3,	unnvai[able [1] -	1. 1:12, 114:14.
1)		11 31:20,	44:14	11년 7, 120:11,
thir -	ant [17] - 12:23,		umbiassed (i) - 139:2	1 1:15, 127:1,
2, 160:7		10.41	uncomfortable [3] -	1 :::8, 133:11,
1 10.44	18:1, 18:8,	tryi 16, 4.1,	£ 0:4, 139:7, 151:15	1 25, 134:2,
10.01	1 19:1, 20:15,	44:6,	unider [4] - 6:3, 54:11,	1 .4, 136:4, 136:7.
1	21:20, 30:17	78 17, 87:23,	32:1, 163:7	1 :18, 136:19,
14:24,		ητ _{τε} (126: 25,	unitercover [1] - 91:2	1 3, 142:11,
4	30:21, 30:22	at 17 172:12	ut derlying [7] - 6:11,	1 12, 142:22,
tic - 116	1 3:72, 4:20,	Tu 10:8		
tic 10	. Hd 6, 23:6,	tum, 123, 35:11,	6:15, 6:18, 6:19,	121, 156:6,
ti	53. 6, 34:4, 36:8,	37:17: 3:5, 146:16,	12.10, 17.1, 41.20	159:20, 163:13,
tc 21	06:12, 40:6, 40:9,	1: 1: 171:2	Buneratood (it -	165:12, 171:12,
to - 123,	45/1 h, 42:13 , 43:9 ,	7	127:15	10 311, 178:8,
	49:16,		unde rtake [3] - 67:11,	1 1::14, 179:3
1 ' -	17, 50:18,	b	95:9, 161:11	U C mj = 46:18
1 1 14.45	20, 58:10,	TV 00 118	ս ::due թյ - 18:21,	uti 1 e [1] - 36:6
4 :4:15,	2. 06.2	twi - 1/, 10	71:19, 166:9	
5 96:22	94:14, 96:23,	twi - : : - : : 3:12	u=cm plo yed [2] -	
11 11 15	1	twin 1-113:14		V
1. 3.	7:7, 97:11,	ty 5:3,5:24,	. 5:14, 64:24	
184	8 97:17	40:20,	u .x pec tedly[i]-	v /· - 62:8, 1 26:4 ,
to (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	105:24,		. 3	1 17
to :22:20	, 113.9, 114:3	·	u nir (1) = 50:9	' ''
)	:5 , 105 :16,	F 7, 1000		
			_	
1	1			

					
		400-0 404-40	77:01 : 15:19, 150:9	165:10, 165:11,	47:10, 47:11, 55:22,
	₹5	volativ - 169:6, 181:19	we: 1 - 144:17	167:6, 167:12	5 3114, 57:10, 57:17 ,
alid #	19:19,	Von 1; = 06:3, 66:4,	Wat 1, 1 (early)	witness's [2] - 164:7,	5 59:9, 59:24,
1(%):		12 - 4, 122:16		167:17	6c.::0, 60: 15, 62:20,
'a [‡]	75:21	were - 46:3, 154:7,	16° J	witnesses [23] - 5:17,	65 7, 63:14, 6 4:3 ,
	B, 6:20,	1 154.21	Whitepant pj - 64:1,	10:9, 19:24, 20:1,	64:7, 64: 18, 65:6,
• ·	. n. 164:9	v 114 (g - 48:24	64: 0:25	20:8, 22:15, 29:12,	65:14, 66:10, 67:21 ,
•	1:6	<u> </u>	white 1-4:16,	2018, 22.10, 28.12,	68:4, 68:12, 68:20,
ra!	2:7	///	122 ", 133;14,	29:13, 30:15, 35:10,	69:5, 69:11, 69: 14 ,
/n		,	17.00 j. 173025,	75:12, 37:18, 38:4,	70:22, 73:14, 81:4,
Λť.	-,1		17-11, 514013,	38:19, 80:19,	81:22, 82:19, 83:23,
•	.);24,	erally per-14:20, 21:7,	i 1735 : 176:3,	104:22, 155:2,	
5	3, 58:4,	21;5, 32:24, 89:21	17. 177:1,	160:25, 164:25,	84:15, 85:17, 88:5,
5S.	60:10,	walked pj = 134:20,	177:::: 1, 177:22,	169:2, 169:5, 172:9,	88:6, 88:14, 89:5,
60.25), 64:3,	182:21, 182:23	177:11 177:24,	178:10	91:5, 99:20, 99:23,
64:7	. 66: 9,			vidnesses* [1] - 97:14	111∷0, 11 3:3,
66:27	₽9; 11 ,	vinty or [1] - 105:15	181. 181;24,	woman [1] - 94;16	13/13, 125:8,
£1;23	7,	· · · (ik · · [i] = 46:7,	16.75 3 1116,	v/: nder[1] - 151;16	1 11, 127:10,
	3,	թ. է դ.Տ. Բ, 118:1 5,	1 23,	1	1 3, 138.9,
1111		17 2	1, 11, 10/62	wheelering [1]	1. (0, 145:24
1	2,		W) to aberger [2] -	141:11	ye == [1] - 135:17
1	_	3 3:21, 114:15,	41 1 1 1011	vrord [9] - 106:13,	
v e"	7:25	3.2.1, 1.1.1.2.	wh 5:3,	113:15, 129:12,	ye aday [1] - 121:17
Ve	`5; 1		27:24,	136:22, 139:6,	Year 19 - 73:11,
Via	∂ 5:11		1	12:19, 152:20,	73 3, 84:25, 178:5
vii vii	.:23,	397 (971)	L .	2:21	year (3] - 80:9,
Vir. it	:5:3,	d and p 113:21	72: 81 13, 98:23,	withded [1] = 130;12	80:11, 148:18
		sinterty - 1918	100 10034,		youn jer (3) - 52:11,
ſ.	,	1:18	1, 11,41,19,	w ∈ds [3] - 51:12,	52:13, 124:1
115.	.20,	v. yajaj - 111:13,	10 . mai 15, 175:9	112:1, 138:1	youngest [1] - 63:13
115:1.	.3,	120:1, 142:4, 156:16	widow/(t) - 69:9	worker (1) - 64:2	
116:7	; 25,	western [2] = 89:6,	wife pre-13:22, 44.6,	works [13] - 38:21,	yourself [17] - 37:18,
139:2.	3-1 3,	1811	5 2 , 61:13,	3:23, 57:15, 59:17,	38:11, 70:2, 77:17,
453(1)	4.		63:2 14:1:48:15,	(3;3, 64:2 2 , 64:2 4 ,	735 79:11, 87:13,
₹ <u>5</u> 1	162:4,	agting to = 4:19,	6 33, 68:3,	75:11, 132:22,	11 - 1, 117:9,
íu.	-	1:25	1	143:25, 144:15,	1 1, 117:13,
17	7	111 - 1d ([21] - 9:7,	65 1860, 148:17,	1	1 1, 128:12,
	15,	/* gttp33, 24:11,	1	144:16	1 5, 136.7,
vers-	i siè p	15.1 30:11, 40:14,	wit = 55022.	ViorEs@j - 64:17	164:24
$\langle V \rangle$		40:24, 42:19,	g 9(44), 64:1	worldg: - 11511,	107.27
v ici		a 3 40:18, 97:8,	w ; - t : - , 6:8,	0.030	7
'n.	, 72; 9,	97 7 97 10, 97:23,	*	venerio (10 - 21:20	Z
-	.6,	7. (10125,	Wits marting,	v: 179 () - 116:10,	
7	. 79:19, .			5(2)	one
:	,	7.50., 572:18,		wtrp:] - 183:16	Zana (1) - 38.6
14.5	2,	_ =	1		zoni- g [1] - 127:1
142.	,	we is a 20, 43:7.	171. 7, 174:11	wracking [2] - 98:24,	
147:	-0.5	83(1, 9 30)	wice: 150020	99:1	
views	. :25,	Made and (1) - 56:15	w ip to the 90: 25	w ite (s) - 165.4,	
1235	٦,	(g) - 4 1:1 ,	W: 1:25	165;5, 166:1	
100 v		770. , 1114	w: 60:10,	v. /itten (i) - 167:10	
viti 1111		3,500 m / 3:20,	69:1	v ronginity (1) - 180:9	
Vilc.	:19,	· ·	wis 313, 29:15,	va: ong y[t] - 111:18	
171	.:1,	83:4,	ne	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
18		139:25,		X	
vi	4:3,	11.1, 164:7	1		
VIII),	y - 20: 23 ,	w i 20:16		
	**	,	w1 11 1.021	Ylomarn (i) - 38:10	
	40.0		wi get - 12:14,	· ·	
vi	10:8,	7, 19, 74:24,	1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Σίσειο τ[η - 35:11	
**	7:24,	5.	3 76:25,		_
1 .	138: 1 6	1 19 1 19, 1 1	6,	Υ	
vic.	19:4.	1, 134:21,	1: 164:3,		_
30.1					1
vic	12	16:00	16 164:9,	y 51 [5] 55.11 55.11	1
vis		√ , 6 г (ŋ - Э:16	16 10 10 10 144,	1:6, 144;2	
Atta		g - Sepe (c) - 33:13,	10	y ars 95; - 28:25,	ì
ve:	:19			3 116	

Electronically Filed 01/06/2015 03:32:56 PM

	Atun & Comme
1	DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF THE COURT
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
3	
4	
5	
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
7	Plaintiff,) Case No. C-14-297725-1
8	vs. Dept No. XXV
9	STEVE DELL MCNEILL,
10	Defendant.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE JENNIFER TOGLIATTI
16	JULY 8, 2014, 1:00 P.M.
17	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF
18	JURY TRIAL - VOL. 2
19	
20	APPEARANCES:
21	(See separate page)
22	
23	
24	
25	REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHROEDER, CCR NO. 867
	1

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Plaintiff:
3	JONATHAN COOPER, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney
4	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
5	
6	For the Defendant:
7	XIOMARA BONAVENTURE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
8	309 South Third Street, Suite 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
9	NADIA HOJJAT, ESQ.
10	Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street, Suite 226
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS: Officer Ashley Mangan	PAGE
4	EXAMINATION	
5	Direct	54
6	Cross	111
7		
8		
9	WITNESS: Sergeant Brian Zana	
10	EXAMINATION	
11	Direct	144
12	Cross	150
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

3 of 70 sheets

Page 3 to 3 of 207

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 1 TUESDAY, JULY 8, 2014, 1:00 P.M. 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 THE COURT: Is there anything we need to address 5 before we bring in the jury? 6 MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. We did have a 7 couple of preliminary matters outside the presence. 8 First, before we start with the legal 9 preliminary matters, when we were coming up one of the 10 jurors scurried in the elevator and got in behind us and 11 got in the elevator with us and we just ignored him, but 12 if we could just ask the Court to remind them that we are 13 not ignoring them, it's just --14 THE COURT: I did read that in the instructions 15 when you were doing your selection yesterday. 16 MS. HOJJAT: Right. He tried to say hi and we 17 just ignored him. 18 THE COURT: I told them that we were not to even 19 acknowledge them, but I will remind them of that. 20 MS. HOJJAT: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 21 As to the legal matters --22 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Can we also 23 just when the juror comes in maybe at the next break we 24 can just put on the record what juror that was. 25 4

MS. HOJATT: Thank you. The reports from March 29, 2013 and April 15, 2013, the areas with the red 2 tabs the DA has agreed to redact. What we are worried 3 about is for the March report, the DA has agreed to 4 redact reference to the knife on page 2 of the March 5 report; however, there is also reference to unemployment 6 and food stamps that we would ask to be redacted. 7 We believe there is no probative value to the 8 9

fact that he is receiving unemployment benefits or food stamp benefits. We are not disputing he was transient, the DA will be able to present that to the jury that he was transient. But we believe that unemployment and food stamps are prejudicial.

A lot of people feel very, very strongly about government entitlement, about individuals receiving entitlement. A lot of people have a lot of prejudices towards people who receive entitlement.

We did not voir dire the jury on this issue. It is not probative to any fact in this case. It is not probative to a single alleged violation. It's not probative to the fact that he's a sex offender. There is just no probative value to the fact that he's on food stamps and unemployment.

There's probative value to the fact that he was transient and living on a street corner, and those aren't

```
MS. BONAVENTURE: It's the one that sits in the
1
    far corner over there.
2
           MS. HOJJAT: Yeah, Vilchez I think. I believe
3
   it's Juror No. 9, the young Hispanic male, Vilchez.
4
            THE COURT: All right. We'll verify that with
5
    him and we will remind them in the record.
6
            MS. HOJJAT: Okay. He did not say anything and
7
    we didn't say anything. I don't see any problems with
8
    it. I just do not want the jurors to think we are
9
    ignoring them or anything.
10
            THE COURT: I covered it yesterday but I will
11
```

12 remind them of this now that they are in fact on the panel.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you very much, Your Honor. As to the legal matters, we did put it on the 16 record yesterday that we had received the State's proposed redactions from the Division of Parole and Probation that we were working on with the State to agree upon the redactions. We have agreed upon most of the redactions. There are two matters that we wanted to bring to the Court's attention that we disagreed on.

21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MS. HOJJAT: If I could approach with the 23 relevant reports. 24 25

THE COURT: Sure.

facts that we are trying to get redacted. But the fact that he is on food stamps and unemployment we would ask to be redacted.

THE COURT: What is your other issue and then we'll hear from Mr. Cooper.

MS. HOJJAT: The second page of the August report, we received these reports last week, Your Honor. To put this in context, all of these Division of Parole and Probation monthly reports we received this last week. There is some notes jotted at the bottom.

There is one in particular that talks about no one time weekly OV. We did not know what that was. We were just being informed today and the Parole and Probation officer did say that she tried to put him on once weekly office visits. There was no testimony on this at the preliminary hearing. This was not included in any reports, police report, Parole and Probation reports that we originally received.

18 None of the discovery that we had up until last 19 week mentioned that. And then once we received that we 20 didn't know what "OV" was. It is only today that we are 21 learning what that is, so we would ask that that be 22 redacted as well given that the defense has had no notice 23 24 of this.

And, frankly, it's a new theory of the case that

7

5

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

13

14

15

17

18

19

we are learning about mid-trial. And the not registering every 30 days that's also something that was never 2 brought up at the preliminary hearing, never brought up 3 in any of the reports. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Failure to have his address approved and failure to change his address after he moved were allegations but not registering every 30 days has never been an allegation in this case. And it has not been contained in any of the reports.

THE COURT: Just looking back and then looking obviously at the prior Amended Information but not the one that we are going to read to the jurors --

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- but I have as the basis for the violation: Failure to submit a UA, failing to report, failing to have residence approved, failing to cooperate with supervising officer, failure to maintain and abide by curfew and being terminated from counseling.

MS. HOJJAT: Right. And we are not opposing the first two bullet points. We are only asking that the last two bullet points be redacted. And when we are talking about failing to report in the information, the testimony at preliminary hearing and what was contained

in the police report and the original Parole and 24 Probation reports that we received was after August of

25

first issue, I guess, I don't see what the prejudicial effect is for knowing that he is on food stamps or 2 unemployment. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

8

THE COURT: Well, I think more importantly they are arguing relevancy.

MR. COOPER: It is relevant because obviously this officer is going to have to be able to attest how he was being able to live. He is a homeless individual, so she is not going to get into he was panhandling or breaking the law or anything like that.

I mean, he had a governmental entitlement there's prejudicial effect. But she needs to be able to say that he wasn't living at a homeless shelter, which she actually tried to get him into and he said no. And one of the reasons for that is because he already had food stamps, so he didn't really have to go to a homeless shelter. He was actually getting unemployment benefits which actually goes directly to why he was not employed.

So there is no prejudicial effect whatsoever and I think it is probative.

THE COURT: I am not sure I am tracking to the 22 probative value. I apologize. Bear with me. I did eat lunch so I should be more in tune, but why does your officers need to go into the details by what means he was living. The whole point is, is it not, where he

10

2013. That has always been the theory of the case that we were given. That's always been the theory of the violation that we were given was between August of 2013 and March of 2014. This now talking about refusing to 4 report once a week. 5

THE COURT: Well, one of my points of confusion, and I apologize, you had referenced that you had reports from March 29th of 2013, and April 15th, 2013, but you actually handed me a report from August 15th.

MS. HOJJAT: I'm sorry. I meant August not April.

THE COURT: So this is within the time frame.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. It's just the idea of him having to report once a week and it has never been brought up before.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything further before I hear from Mr. Cooper?

MS. HOJJAT: There was one other matter.

THE COURT: Okay. My clerk just informed me that there may be some dispute as to how the Second Amended Information was going to be handled. But we'll deal with that later.

All right. Mr. Cooper, on these two concerns with regard to the Parole and Probation monthly reports.

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, the

identified his address to be and she went there and he wasn't there for her search. Or what is the probative value of the use of government entitlement, if you want

to call them that. I agree that there are people who

differ on these type of things. I don't know that this is substantially prejudicial, but I also don't know that

it's relevant. If there is relevance then we have to determine that it's substantially prejudicial and would be

excluded, but I am not seeing the relevancy. MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my position is I think it is relevant in order to be able to ascertain how he was able to live. This officer --

THE COURT: For what purpose to prove your case?

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, because this officer was trying to get him into some type of homeless shelter.

He didn't go to that homeless shelter. Obviously, if he 17 would have went to that homeless shelter, because he 18

didn't have money or something of that nature, he would have actually had a bed, had an actual place she could 20

have went to see him at this Catholic charity. 21 Because he decided not to do that because he was 22 getting food stamps, that's why he was sitting on the 23

street or laying behind the church or wherever he was 24 laying at.

But it all goes back to I tried to get him into a Catholic charity, he didn't want to go because he 2 already had money.

3 MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, if I could just 4 respond? 5

THE COURT: Yes.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. HOJJAT: The State is almost trying to turn it into a bad act. That plays directly into the stereotypes and the prejudice.

THE COURT: Well, what is your theory about why he wasn't living somewhere where she could go and find him, because he had to live on the street, right? I mean, isn't that your theory? I mean, I am not trying to put words in your mouth. I don't know what you are going to present to the jury; I haven't heard it yet.

But your defense, or at least your counter to --I mean, the bottom line is he has requirements as a convicted sex offender to comply with lifetime supervision agreement requirements.

MS. HOJJAT: Right.

THE COUR: He didn't do that. One of your arguments, I'm assuming, is he couldn't do that or some reason why he didn't do that. Or, maybe your argument just is that he was there and she just didn't find him. I don't know.

12

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

10

11

12

13

14

for me why you were trying to make the argument that this is why he was where he was or doing what he was doing. 2 So if you are not in that realm, I hear you, but 3 something you said made me think otherwise. 4

MS. HOJJAT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further on that?

MS. HOJJAT: On that matter --

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, obviously, I am not going to sit here and say that the probative value is so outlandish. It is slight probative value, but the prejudicial effect has to substantially outweigh the probative value. I mean, all they are doing is just speculating that maybe one juror might not like people that get food stamps or might not like somebody that has a government entitlement. It is just complete speculation. 16

Obviously, I understand that having a knife in his pocket or something like that is not necessarily a bad act, that is prejudicial. I understand that. I have agreed to redact that part already. The food stamps, I don't understand why they are so mad about it.

THE COURT: The part from "had knife on him" all the way down is redacted. I do not find the relevancy of any of those entries.

MS. HÖJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

But I mean to the extent that you are going to be talking about who this gentleman is and why he wasn't 2 certain places or doing certain things, I would think there would be relevancy to all of those components.

MS. HOJJAT: Well, Your Honor, no, I'm sorry. The issue of fact here is did he register correctly? He has an obligation to register where he is staying. He does not have an obligation to stay anywhere in particular.

THE COURT: Understood.

MS. HOJJAT: The only obligation he has is to not stay within a certain distance of a school and children and things like that.

The question of fact here is was he staying at the place he was registered. Did he move and fail to register his new address. The question of fact here isn't why was he transient, let's get into a philosophical debate about why he was transient.

And the State it trying to turn the fact that he was on entitlement into a bad act by saying because he was seeking entitlement he is not trying to better himself. That's exactly the prejudice that people have against these types of programs.

THE COURT: Well, it was something you said, Counsel, and now I've forgotten what it is that triggered 25

THE COURT: As to the other matters you were 1 arguing, Mr. Cooper, with regard to the August 15, 2013 2 monthly report which has references to no UA and no curfew. 4

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. Basically, those are notes that actually were written by the defendant's supervising officer during the actual interview. I guess I understand that no questions were asked of the officer at the preliminary hearing whether or not he tried to put him on a one time a week check-in, but that doesn't mean that this information doesn't come.

Obviously, it is directly probative as to whether or not he was complying with his supervising officer's recommendations, which is one of the theories that he wasn't complying with supervision.

15 Basically, what happened is the officer says, I 16 am going to put you on a one time a week check-in. He 17 said, No, I'm not going to do that. That's all I am 18 going to get into. Just because somebody did not ask the 19 question at prelim doesn't mean it's not probative, it 20 doesn't mean that it's not relevant. 21

And I am actually checking my e-mail right now. It might have been as late as two weeks ago because I know we did a file review and I didn't have these items. But the file review was done before the calendar call.

15

22

23

And I would say I am 99 percent sure that I sent all of these items before calendar call, which would have been 2 last Monday.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Again, I have to check my e-mail to verify the date but I am very sure I would have sent them before the calendar call date. If I didn't, at the very latest they would have received them on Monday or Tuesday of last week.

They had an ample opportunity to talk to the officer. They have the officer's information. They know where she works at. They could have easily called her and could have easily have asked her these questions. I mean, just because they didn't do their own research to determine what OV meant, it's not the State's fault. And I should be able to get into it because it goes directly to one of the elements of my charges.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, it is a brand new theory of the case that the State has never before 18 asserted. It is a theory of the case that wasn't consumed in the preliminary hearing transcript. It wasn't contained in a police report that was filed. It wasn't contained in the original Parole and Probation 22 report that was filed.

Maybe it was handed over last Friday rather than last Monday. We are talking about the difference of a 25

Each page maybe has 20 pages on it. It's not like she had to sit there line by line and go through every single 2 little item. 3

4

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

4

11

13

16

THE COURT: I am not as persuaded by the timing of it as I am what is contained here and whether it is in fact a new theory. I don't perceive it to be a new theory of the case. The hearing department failed to 7 cooperate and there are any number of them that seem to 8 fall within. I am not going to have a false impression of who the defendant is and what the defendant did. 10

Ultimately, at the end of day, this officer is going to testify to what occurred in terms of supervision and you are going to be able to cross-examine. But I will not require redaction of the August 15th, 2013 report. It falls within the time frame that has been argued. It falls within the theory of the case and it is in fact who he is and what he was doing.

The time frame of the disclosure is not persuasive for any basis to exclude it. I find it relevant. I find it not overly prejudicial because or required to be excluded because of the timing.

So the August 15th report, no redactions 23 required. And the March 9th report, the required redactions that are outlined. 24

MS. HOPPER: Thank you, Your Honor.

18

week then, but the point is they had 60 days to turn this over from lower level and we were in justice court before that. This is not something that we've had time to know 3 about or look through. And this wasn't two pages that 4 was handed over to us. This was a big chunk of discovery 5 that was handed over to us last week. We are talking 6 about, I would guesstimate in the realm of over 50 pages 7 of discovery that was handed over to us that we're going 8 through. 9

Today we show up and we hear, Oh, OV means office visit. By the way, we told him he had to report once a week and he didn't report once a week. This is the first time we are hearing that.

The not register every 30 days, again, this is not something that was talked about at prelim. This 16 isn't something that was in the police report. This 17 isn't something in a P and P report. And when they handed over a big chunk of discovery like that last minute we are trying to do the best we can to go through everything. We didn't request a continuance. We didn't know about this until this morning.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, it is kind of misleading that I handed over 50 pages of discovery. I mean, they were all 50 pages like this (indicating).

And there was one other issue, if I may 1 approach? 2 3

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I will have to step out to the copy machine and make copies of the redacted version because I will be using this for my first witness.

7 THE COURT: Well, how long do we anticipate our 8 openings?

MS. HOJJAT: Five minutes. 10

MR. COOPER: Ten maybe.

THE COURT: Okay. 12

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, the other issue was I believe P and P Officer Zana will be testifying today.

We are not objecting to him; he was noticed. The only 15

thing is we want to make that the witnesses are 16

admonished about is because he is a supervisor to Officer 17 Magnan we just wanted him to be admonished not to be

18 talking about information that he received from Officer 19

Magnan. 20

We are not objecting to things that he directly 21 perceived or did himself in relation to this case, but we 22 don't want bolstering where he is just repeating things 23 that Officer Magnan has told him. We only want him to be 24 testifying to direct involvement. Anything else would be

19

hearsay and we would like him to be admonished of that before he gets on the stand.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, I understand the rules of evidence, that I will not be offering any hearsay. But in full candor to the Court, I did speak to my first witness about not talking about the charge and everything like that, as we discussed yesterday. I talked to Officer Zana but I forgot to tell him that, so if we can take a break after my first witness so I can step out and admonish him not to have the hearsay, which I don't think will be an issue, but to make sure that he doesn't talk about that charge because I did forget to do that last night.

THE COURT: I would like you to remind him, of course, he is not to talk about the charge. Let's just say prior sex offense is I think the language that we agreed upon.

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I do think if you could advise him because sometimes it's not clear. Unless you direct your questions a certain way or unless you make the admonishment to your witness as you are questioning. Sometimes it is not clear when they are testifying if it is something that they have direct knowledge of or if it's know from somebody else. And so I do need them to 20 work for her. If we push it to 11:30 or something I am sure I can get the word to her.

2 THE COURT: Let's just start at 11:00. We'll 3 make sure we're ready to start. We'll take a lunch break 4 somewhere in there and maybe after that testimony, 5 depending on where we are, I still need time to settle 6 instructions. 7

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. And that was my last witness, so I don't know if you want to just settle instructions. We can send the jury to lunch, settle instructions.

THE COURT: Take a little bit longer time to settle them. Like I said, I believe I was going to be getting them today --

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: One of the things I need, you are going to have your stock but you are going to have some that are going to be directly in opposition to the ones they are proposing or newly proposed and I need to be able to -- I cannot just get two chunks -- I mean, I can do that, but it is going to take me a hell of a lot longer to get through everything and figure out what 22 matches up. Give me a break. Give your stock and give me your specials, if you want to call them that, if you have specific ones where you know, and you tell me which 22

be admonished that if he is testifying that the presumption is going to be that it's from his own direct 2 knowledge.

If he gets into obviously something that was hearsay and there isn't some exception that would allow it, if defense objects to it, obviously, we'll deal with it. But it would be helpful to not have that if we can avoid it.

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Did you have something? MS. HOJJAT: That was it, Your Honor.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I do have a few issues

to address with the Court as well. The first is just a scheduling matter. I know we talked about 1:00 tomorrow, but the issue is when I spoke to my witness last night she initially thought she was going to be testifying Wednesday morning because I thought the Court was going to be dark today, and then I told her we might be starting at 1:00. She indicated that she had cleared her schedule for the morning --THE COURT: How early in the morning, because I

21 have a calendar. 22 23

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor, I am actually doing that calendar, so hopefully it won't be too long. When I talked to her 11:00 a.m. would actually

of theirs is in dispute.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

And this can all be done by e-mail. Say, here's our proposed and we think these match up with those and this is basically what we're ditching out for these topics, and here's the extra ones that we're proposing, if you can. I mean, give me a little bit of where your 6 thought process is at so that we can expedite the settling of them the following day at lunchtime. 8 9

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If there's not that many that are being disagreed upon, that's fine. I don't usually take that long to settle them. But I want to get everything as prepared as possible because I am not going to have a lot of time once we settle them to actually pull the 14 final set together. And I do that myself. I don't

15 delegate it to you all because then it has to go back to 16 staff and then it takes forever. And I don't delegate it 17

to my staff because they are not in here and they don't 18 know what we discussed. So I just need some time to do

19 that and the more information you can give me the better. 20

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. 21 MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. Would Your Honor 22 like us to send you a copy with cites and without cites? 23

THE COURT: You can just send them with cites. 24 But I need you to e-mail them to me before we wrap for

23

the day otherwise I will give you another e-mail address to send it to.

MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, just so we're clear, we send them to both sides?

THE COURT: Absolutely.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOJJAT: And I can tell the Court we are not going to be able send ours before we wrap up today.

THE COURT: I will give you a special e-mail to send them to because I don't once I'm home I don't have access to my e-mail here. But I do need them within some reasonable time this evening.

MS. HOJJAT: We will have them to you.

MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, the only other issue, I know that the defendant is already stipulating to the fact that he is a sex offender and that he is on lifetime supervision, but my understanding is that stipulation needs to be made in front of the jury because obviously me just asking a witness --

THE COURT: We had this discussion yesterday, Mr. Cooper, why are we revisiting this now?

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, only because it's a procedural matter of the actual stipulation because my understanding is that they were talking about me just asking a witness, Is he a sex offender. That's not a stipulation.

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

that time that we did not need to have a particular stipulation stated to the jury and I talked about if we 2 were going to do that how we were going to do that and 3 that my preference would be something along the lines of 4 when we are reading the information that we would 5 indicate the parties have stipulated to this situation 6 and that there's the information. And we talked about 7 that and I don't know that that was rejected but we had 8 some discussion. But we are not going to have them stand 9 up in front the jury and say this is what we agreed to. 10 MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. I understand. 11

I'm sorry. I must have missed the part when we talked about actually reading the information. That is completely fine with me, Your Honor. I just needed the jury to know that we stipulated to these facts. I don't care who says it, just the jury needs to know, because the jury obviously doesn't know what's going on in here right now, so when I get up there and start asking all these questions and doing all this other stuff they will 19 have no idea that it is a stipulated fact that he is a 20 sex offender. 21 22

THE COURT: Well, like I said, we had that discussion yesterday about how we needed to do it and I thought we settled on that it would be inquired of and come out at some point in the trial that these facts had

26

A stipulation is them actually agreeing to the fact in front of the jury. And I just want to make sure we're clear on that because if that's not what they are doing, then that's not a stipulation and obviously we are right back at square one.

I can ask my witness if he is a sex offender no matter what; I don't need their permission to do that.

THE COURT: Well, I thought we had addressed this more than just it would come up with this witness. We addressed this first of all that we had the stipulation in the record, which was going to lead to the Second Amended Information, which I still don't have.

MR. COOPER: I have it right here, Your Honor. THE COURT: And that right there was going to have the reference to the date in which we find the agreement to be under lifetime supervision and it was going to have the reference to the prior sex offense, that it was able to be referenced that he had these chief characteristics. The whole point was just to have that in place in advance with counsel so that it removed the references and we had the redaction so that the actual

prior charge was out. Then it opened the door to be able to obviously ask the witness to deal with that. I talked about and yesterday we went back and forth and I was convinced at

been agreed to. But if there is going to be a dispute or 1 some confusion on that point, I will make the statement to the jury to clear it up in conjunction with the 3 information is the way I intended to handle it yesterday when we were first discussing. 5

But let me hear from counsel what your concerns

are. MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, I would submit to the Court, I think the way we worked it out yesterday was I stood up in voir dire and said, He's a sex offender; we're not arguing he's not a sex offender.

THE COURT: So what's the problem with me saying it as a stipulated fact in conjunction with the information?

MS. HOJJAT: That's fine.

15 MR. COOPER: That is fine with the State as 16 well, Your Honor. I apologize. I must have 17 misunderstood what we talked about yesterday. I thought 18 they had only agreed to allow me to ask a witness if he 19 was a sex offender and that would not be a stipulation. 20

Obviously, if the Court tells the jury it's 21 stipulated that he's a sex offender and stipulated that 22 he is on lifetime supervision, I am fine with that. That 23 is not a problem with the State. 24

The only other issue would just be that pursuant

27

Page 24 to 27 of 207

25

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

to statute and my JOC I would also be giving out the year 2 he was convicted as well as the county he was convicted in. And the county is important because pursuant to the statute I can only prosecute someone in the county in 4 which they were subsequently put on lifetime supervision or something like that. It does not necessarily matter where the violations happened. It matters were they were convicted at.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So as long as the stipulation just says he was convicted in Clark County in 2004, he's a sex offender and he's on lifetime supervision, the State has no problem with that stipulation.

THE COURT: Well, we discussed the stipulation of he's a convicted sex offender and that he is on 14 lifetime supervision and that was what I would say.

You would then elicit the remainder, if necessary, testimony from your witness. I don't know that the stipulation was ever agreed to in specific detail, although in the Amended Information it does specify when he went on the lifetime supervision and that he had a prior sex offense on a certain date in Clark County.

22 MR. COOPER: I would not be able to get into my 23 JOC. And my officer, she would get objected to for 24 hearsay if she said that he was convicted in 2004 in 25 28

So that was all just information we put it in. It is not changing any theory of the case. It is not 2 adding any additional -- it's not taking away from any defenses or anything like that. They have already agreed he is on lifetime supervision. They have already agreed 5 that he's a sex offender. I think it clears up the 6 language and I don't think that there is any substantive 7

issues to his rights. The statute says that the court may permit the information to be admitted at the time for verdict if no different or additional charges are added, which is not the case in this situation, and the substantial rights of the defendant are not prejudiced. And I don't know in any way why his rights would be prejudiced just by taking out information.

15 MS. HOJJAT: I'm sorry. I just want to clarify. 16 Is all of this argument over the fact that he took out 17 the fact that the Lifetime Supervision Agreement was signed --19

THE COURT: Sounds like it.

MS. HOJJAT: We are not objecting to that.

21 MR. COOPER: Okay. 22

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

23

24

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

MS. HOJJAT: We do have one objection to the Second Amended Information, though. Is says on line, I think it's between 4 and 5, they have him in 2004 being

Clark County because she has no personal knowledge. She was not even working with P and P in 2004 in Clark 2 County. 3

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, we'll waive the objection.

THE COURT: You will waive the objection. Okay. We're fine. I will say the stipulation. You will get to the information.

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. I do have the Second Amended, and I am sure there will be some objections to it, so I have not filed it yet, and I will show a copy to the defense.

But basically, Your Honor, as this court is fully aware, the State has the ability to amend the Information up until before a verdict and that is pursuant to NRS 173.095. The State would actually be admitting their information because the initial Amended Information gave a lot more information that is actually isn't required by the statute.

I do have the statute right here and the statute specifically says that an individual that is on lifetime supervision that violates lifetime supervision is guilty. There is no mention of the date in which they signed an agreement. There is no mention of anything of that nature.

convicted of a "certain sex offense." We would ask that it just say "of a sex offense." That is what we

discussed yesterday. 3

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, this issue I put "certain" is because every sex offense doesn't actually require lifetime supervision, so that would actually be an incorrect statement of the law that I would have in my Information and then that would create problems going forward in the future because every sex offense does not require lifetime supervision.

MS. HOJJAT: We stipulated that he is on lifetime supervision.

MR. COOPER: But the problem is only certain sex offenses require lifetime supervision, so --

14 MS. HOJJAT: We stipulated that he is on 15 lifetime. 16

THE COURT: Well, the Information still has to 17 be sufficient and it's not incorrect that not every sex 18 offense requires lifetime supervision. I think this is 19 the proper way to say it and I'm mot understanding or 20 hearing why that wording would be problematic. 21

MS. HOJJAT: It sounds seedy saying that he's a 22 certain sex offender. We'd be okay saying having been 23 convicted of a sex offense requiring lifetime 24 supervision. A certain offense just sounds like, wink,

wink, we all know it's something really bad. It has a certain connotation. 2 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, that is not the 3 connotation I meant. 4 THE COURT: So it's something you might object 5 to but you don't have the means to correct it and reprint 6 7 it? MR. COOPER: I thought they might object to the 8 actual language, the actual part that they didn't object 9 to. That's what I thought they were going to object to. 10 THE COURT: If you needed to make a change, how 11 were you going to do it? 12 MR. COOPER: It's from the --13 THE COURT: If I want to change something in 14 here, how are we going to do it? 15 MR. COOPER: I have multiple different copies 16 with me right now, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Hard copies? 18 MR, COOPER: Yes. 19 THE COURT: I need an electronic version. Where 20 is your electronic version? 21 MR. COOPER: It's on my work computer, Your 22 Honor. But I have a hard copy that fixes the problem. 23 THE COURT: I like the language "convicted of a 24 sex offense requiring lifetime supervision." Do you have 25 32

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Can we do that? MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, just so I am clear, what is the exact language you want? THE COURT: At line 4, "having in 2004 been convicted of a sex offense requiring lifetime supervision." So that removes any of the questions or concerns. It addresses your concern, I believe, and still has a correct statement so that we're not just saying sex offense that may not require it despite that it's clear. My clerk has worked out a way to read the Information without having to have the Second Amended electronic version right now. So we are going to do that somewhere later today, tonight, figure that out. But my clerk has basically taken the version you handwritten and handed us so she can read it to the jury. So we have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

6

7

8

9

good there. What is the ongoing concern of redactions? MS. HOJJAT: We just received a new copy. I understand the State's position is that they sent us a copy. There is a different date. There is a new Lifetime Supervision Agreement that we just received today. If I can approach with a copy to show the Court.

Second Amended Information that we will read from and be

34

```
a version that says that?
1
            MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.
2
            THE COURT: Can you get somebody to send us an
3
    electronic version so we can fix it right now so we can
4
    bring the jury in now that we're 45 minutes in to this.
5
            Here's my annoyance, I'm sorry, is we know we
6
    needed to have this done. Now we're bringing it in here
7
    and for the first time we're seeing it. I mean, why
    couldn't this be changed this morning so we all could
    talk about it and figure this out and get a version that
10
    we agreed upon. I've got jurors out there waiting. I
11
    want to get started. I know we are not time crunched but
12
    they are sitting out there waiting and I want to bring
13
```

them in. So I want to make the change. You made some 16 unilateral decisions to change and add and take out where we didn't have that discussion yesterday. We had a particular discussion of what was going to be changed. You didn't do it, Mr. Cooper, fair enough. But you 19 should have showed them and you should have showed the 20 Court so we could have a version we could work with and 21 have an electronic version so we could adjust it. We 22 don't have one. I would like to get one so we can make 23 the adjustment and get it done and print it and be good 24 to go.

THE COURT: You may.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I sent that all at the same time. All I did was take the whole stack I have and sent it all over. I don't know why they don't have it but I sent it. And I can look in my e-mail and --

THE COURT: Mr. Cooper, I will look at it and maybe my ruling is going to be to stay the way that it is and we won't have a problem.

MS. HOJJAT: And if the Court can see, they are both dated 2004.

10 THE COURT: I'm not concerned about the timing 11 of when they were produced. I'm just trying to get this 12 nailed down what evidence we are going to put in front of 13 this jury. 14

I'm sorry, what is it you wanted to say?

15 MS. HOJJAT: As the Court can see, they are both 16 dated in 2007. We were under the impression we had the 17 Lifetime Supervision Agreement from 2007. The new one says "under duress" next to his signature. We did not 19 have that in the copy we have. 20

THE COURT: Well, these are two different documents entirely.

22 MS. HOJJAT: They are two different documents. 23 We had the one that has multiple pages. 24

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I went through all my 35

33

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

21

25

14

15

18

e-mails to make sure I sent it to them. I know I did so 1 all I did was take the whole stack and sent it directly 2 over. There is no reason for me not to send one Lifetime 3 Supervision Agreement. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. HOJJAT: And the Court is literally holding everything that we received in terms of Lifetime Supervision Agreements. And our objection is to the words "under duress."

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I just found it. I did send it. I have an actual e-mail that I sent directly to them under the attachment and it says right here the e-mail name was "Discovery for McNeill." I don't have the page number for some reason. But it says Steve McNeill under duress. It's that document. I don't know 14 why they don't have it. I sent it. I can show my e-mail to the Court.

THE COURT: Any idea why there would be two full Lifetime Supervision Agreements?

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. I can actually explain that to the Court. Basically, what happened is 20 that when he was in prison they make them sign a Lifetime Supervision Agreement in front of his caseworker or someone like that. And then as soon as he gets out and goes directly to the Department of Parole and Probation 24 they make them sign another one. I don't know why but 36

March 2013 document. I have White-out right now. I can make the reactions really quick and then run back and 2 make the copies. 3

THE COURT: All right. Let's take a couple 4. minutes to do that. We'll plan to start in ten minutes. 5

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 6 THE COURT: Are we ready?

11

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

9

7 MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. All my exhibits 8 have been sent over and I did show all of my redactions 9 before I made them. 10

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Like I said, we'll deal with the Second Amended Information later.

12 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, my intern was gracious 13 enough to go upstairs and my secretary is doing it now, 14 but I believe we can start without it. 15

THE COURT: All right. We will start without it. Let's bring in the jurors.

(Jury enters the courtroom.)

18 THE COURT: As soon as you reach your seats 19 please remain standing and my clerk will swear you in. 20

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. You and each of you do solemnly swear that you will well and truly try the case at issue and a true verdict rendered according to the evidence so help you God.

(Jury answers in the affirmative.)

38

they do. And then after that when the actual terms of his probation period are actually completely articulated 2 they make them sign a third one that encompasses 3 everything. So there is a total of three different 4 documents. 5

THE COURT: Do we have all three? MR. COOPER: Yes. All three have been provided.

THE COURT: And they are all redacted? MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel, come get your copies. These are obviously all the agreements. You can explain the under the duress. This is not a point -- it sounds like it has been provided. It sounds like it is part and parcel of this documentation and we will just address it.

We know that the defendant has agreed to certain things and not agreed to certain things and we need to get the testimony in before the jury so they can weigh it.

Any other matters to discuss before we bring the jury in?

MS. HOJJAT: No, Your Honor.

MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor, I just want to give your clerk my exhibits and we do have to make some redactions. And I know that is going to take some time because the redactions just got changed on this 37

THE COURT: Thank you everybody. Please take your seats. We appreciate you patience very much while we addressed some housekeeping matters to make sure that we would have everything ready so we can proceed uninterrupted once we get started today. 6

Before we get started, though, there is one preliminary matter. We just want to be sure that the folks are reminded of at least one instruction that I went over yesterday and that is it is very important that you understand that none of the participants in the case, 10 the counsel, parties, staff cannot acknowledge you or 11 talk to you in any way, although the Court can 12 acknowledge you, or respond to you or talk to you in any 13 way whatsoever. So if you just happen to come across us we must ignore you. It is our ethical obligation.

15 Please don't read anything into it or think that 16 we are being rude or antisocial. It's just our ethical 17 obligation. And it would really help us if you did not 18 acknowledge us or interact with us in any way so then we 19 do not have to feel so bad when we have to ignore you. 20 21

So, again, that is just the nature of the beast and it doesn't happen very often, so I just wanted to 22 remind everybody of that because over the course of today and tomorrow that may very well occur. 24 25

As I indicated, yesterday, we would begin the

39

23

12 of 70 sheets

trial today with the reading of the charging document in this case so you understand what the charges specifically are. I want to remind you again as I indicated yesterday that this charging document is just that, a charging document. It is not in any sense evidence of the allegations it contains.

The defendant has pleaded not guilty to the charges, and therefore, the State has the burden of proving each of the elements of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

At this time I will ask my clerk to please read the charging document.

THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County, Nevada, State of Nevada, Plaintiff, versus Steve Dell McNeill, Defendant, Case No. C-14-297725-1, Department No. 25. Second Amended Information.

The State of Nevada, County of Clark, Stephen B. Wolfson, District Attorney, within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada informs the court that Steve Dell McNeill, the defendant above named having committed the 22 crimes of violation of lifetime supervision by a convicted sex offender, category B felony, NRS 23 213.1243-53481 and the prohibited act by a sex offender, 24

category D felony, NRS 179D.441, 179D.441, 179D.447,

enforcement agency before three business days passed and since he changed his address from his last registered address at Main and Wyoming, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada to his current unknown address.

Steven B. Wolfson, Clark County District 5 Attorney, signed by Jonathan Cooper, Deputy District Attorney to which the defendant has entered a plea of not 7 guilty. 8 9

THE COURT: Thank you. At this time I would invite the State to make opening remarks.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen, the defendant, Steve McNeill was convicted of a sex offense in 2004. As part of his sentence he was required to register and also to be sentenced to a term of lifetime supervision pursuant to Nevada law.

Lifetime supervision is a program ran by the Nevada Department of Public Safety through their Division of Probation and Parole. And essentially the offender he is given a probation officer. They are required to check in on a regular basis and there is also conditions on that as well. They have to let their probation officer know where they are living, who they are living with. 23 24

MS. HOJJAT: Judge, I am going to object. If we can approach? 42

179D.550-52950.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On or between December 14, 2012 and March 10, 2014, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity against the State of Nevada.

Count 1 - violation of lifetime supervision by a convicted sex offender.

Did then and there willfully, unlawfully, knowingly and feloniously violate the conditions of lifetime supervision imposed on said Steve Dell McNeill pursuant to having in 2004 been convicted of a sex offense that requires lifetime supervision in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, to-wit: By refusing to submit to a urinalysis, failing to report, failing to have his residence approved, failing to cooperate with his supervising officer, failing to 17 maintain full-time employment, failing to abide by a curfew and/or was terminated from his sex offender counseling.

Count 2 - Prohibited act by a sex offender. Did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously pursuant to his conviction in 2004 of a sex offense in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, did fail to appear in person at the appropriate law

THE COURT: Of course.

1 (Discussion held at the bench.) 2

THE COURT: I appreciate the opportunity to

clarify.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

You may proceed, Mr. Cooper.

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.

As I was saying, the defendant had conditions to abide by while on lifetime supervision. He had to let his probation officer know where he is living. He had to report, things of that nature.

And the probation officer also would assign tasks to the offender as well. For example, a probation officer could assign a task to complete counseling or something like that.

14 Now, for the most part the defendant did his 15 requirements until about December of 2012 when he finally 16 just had enough and was fed up with everything. He 17 didn't want to do it anymore. The evidence will show 18 that in December of 2012 he stopped following his 19 Lifetime Supervision Agreement. 20 21

You will hear from Marcia Lee, who is a licensed therapist and she was also the counselor for the 22 defendant during his sex offender treatment, she will 23 talk to you about how he began to get aggressive. How he 24 was disruptive in group and how he was showing little or 43

41

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

no progress in his treatment. 1 MS. HOJJAT: Objection. May we approach? 2 THE COURT: Please approach. 3 (Discussion held at the bench.) 4 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 5 You may proceed, Mr. Cooper. 6 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 Marcia Lee will tell you that she gave him 8 several opportunities to fix his behaviors but he didn't. 9 He told her, I don't want to be here. So he was 10 terminated from counseling. 11 You will also hear from Officer Ashley Mangan, 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

and she works with the Department of Parole and Probation as a parole officer, and she was the defendant's supervising parole officer starting in March of 2013.

Now the defendant was on lifetime supervision well before March of 2013. But like in a lot of offices, people in Probation and Parole they get promoted, they switch positions, so the offender doesn't always have the same probation officer.

And Officer Mangan will tell you that as his 22 probation officer his behavior got worse. It did not get better when she started supervising him. He was refusing to do drug tests. He was refusing to be put on a curfew. He didn't live where he said he was living. He even told

need to take a step back and look at the bigger picture. This case starts in 2007 not 2005. 2007 is the year that 2 Steve McNeill was placed on lifetime supervision. He is 3 a sex offender. I told you that in voir dire. No one is trying to hide the ball. Steve is a sex offender and he 5 is on lifetime supervision but he got placed on lifetime 6 supervision in 2010. 7

To be clear, you are going to hear lifetime supervision is not probation, it's not parole. The State talked about it as part of his sentence.

Lifetime supervision, what you are going to hear is what sex offenders are required to do after their sentence is done, after they paid their debt to society.

13 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, can we approach? 14

THE COURT: Sure. 15

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

25

(Discussion held at the bench.) 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. The 17

objection is sustained. And with that clarification you 18 may proceed. 19.

MS. HOJJAT: Steve was not placed on lifetime supervision until any term of probation or parole is expired. Lifetime supervision is a different thing than 22 parole or probation. Steve was placed on lifetime 23 supervision in 2007. 24

In 2007 he reported to his officers, he

46

Probation and Parole that he was doing them a favor for 1 showing up. 2

Then in August of 2013, the defendant sent Parole and Probation a letter. And basically the letter said he was not going to comply anymore. He was done. And he did just that. He didn't show up to Parole and Probation. He did not call his officer. His officer tried to locate him, she couldn't find him. And this went on for nine months until March of 2014. The defendant was in the wind.

The evidence will show that on several different occasions the defendant violated the terms of his lifetime supervision and he also committed an act that is prohibited by sex offenders.

And at the close of the evidence I will ask you to return a verdict of guilty on both counts. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cooper.

Ms. Hojjat.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. The State just started talking to you about 2012, 2013. Officer Mangan. They want you to focus in. They want this case

21 22 to be about very little. And that's why you just heard 23 very little from the State. 24

To understand what is going on in this case, you 45

registered his address, he had a job. He was paying for his apartment and he was trying to get along. And he 2 continued doing that in 2007 until 2013. 3

There were ups and downs during that time 4 period. Everybody has experienced ups and downs during 2007 and 2013. During that time Steve lost his job.

During that time he wasn't able to have a place to stay 7

because he couldn't afford his apartment. 8

MR. COOPER: I'm sorry. Your Honor, may we 9 approach again? 10 11

THE COURT: Yes.

(Discussion held at the bench.)

12 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may 13 proceed. 14

MS. HOJJAT: As I was saying, you are going to hear that in 2007 Steve was checking in with his officer. He had a place to stay. He had a job. He was doing his best. Same with 2008. Same with 2009. Same with 2010. Same with 2011. Same with 2012.

19 You are going to hear Steve was doing his best 20 to follow the rules. During that time between 2007 and 21 2013, his parole officer changed four different times. 22

When I say parole officer, I mean lifetime supervision 23 officer. Four different people supervising him. 24

And in all that time not a single one ever

47

Page 44 to 47 of 207

25

15

16

17

arrested him for violation of lifetime supervision. Not a single one said, Steve, you are not registered at the 2 right address. Steve, why aren't you employed. Steve, you failed to check in. He was doing what he was told to 4 5 do.

So what changed in 2013. You are going to hear Steve, he was still the same person, he was following the rules in 2007 to 2013. But in March of 2013, his officer changed. In March of 2013 someone new is assigned, Officer Mangan.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

You are going to hear that before Officer Mangan ever met him, before she ever made contact with him, before she had spoken a single word to him, she already noted in her file "possible absconder." This is a guy who for five and a half years had made every check-in, had always registered his address, had always been doing what he needed to do and had never been arrested for violation of lifetime supervision.

Before she set eyes on him, in her mind he was a possible absconder. On their first meeting she asked him where he was staying. He told her, I'm registered at a street corner. I am homeless right now, I told my last PO, I'm registered to a corner. I'm registered to a corner.

She told him, You better be standing on that

48

approach again? 1

THE COURT: You may.

(Discussion held at the bench.)

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Please

proceed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

7

9

10

MS. HOJJAT: So Steve gets arrested. Steve gets released. At that point, you are going to hear there was a personality difference between Steve and Officer Mangan. You are going to hear that that relationship deteriorated quickly. You are going to hear they didn't get along. You are going to hear they had words. You are going to hear when Steve went into her office and she started setting new guidelines, she set guidelines such as a curfew. You are going to hear she tried to set a curfew on a homeless person. That's one of the violations.

But you are going to hear that he was never actually placed on at least one of the curfews she claims he violated. There were multiple curfews; 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m., 8:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. It changed. And because Steve said no she considered that a curfew of violation.

You are going to hear that at least one of the curfews she put on him she never even drove out to actually see if he was there. His statement was, no.

50

corner. He said, Well, no, I don't stand on this corner 24/7. She said, If I drive by that corner you'd better be standing on that corner. He said, I'm not there all the time. I stay other places sometimes. I am generally there.

At their second meeting she told him, If you are not there when I go check, I'm arresting you. Violation of lifetime supervision. You are registered to a corner, you can stand on that corner.

He's transient, he's homeless. You are going to hear, their third meeting, she arrested him for violation of lifetime supervision. This is the same Steve who since 2007 had never been arrested for violation of lifetime supervision. Four different parole officers, never picked up for a single violation.

Officer Mangan is his officer for one month, three meetings, possible absconder, arrested for violation of lifetime supervision. That arrest did not stick. She was told, No, we're not doing this. Steve is released.

By this time Steve got the message. Officer Mangan does not like him. Officer Mangan does not like 22 him and she wants to put him exactly in the seat he is sitting in today.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I'm sorry. May we

She accepted his curfew violation.

You are going to hear that there was a lot of back and forth between Officer Mangan and Steve. You are going to hear all of this started happening in March of 2013. Five and a half years Steve was following all the conditions of his lifetime supervision.

You are going to hear that a lot of them have to do with the fact that he is transient. One of the conditions you heard about is that he did not have a job. You are here today to determine if he committed a category B felony because he did not have a job.

11 Ladies and gentlemen, Count 2. The State said 12 he violated a condition. They did not tell you what it 13 was. The Information that was read to you, he moved and 14 didn't change his address. You are going to hear that 15 Officer Mangan this time when she submitted charges again 16 changed things up a little bit from last time. 17 The first time she submitted charges they got

18 denied. Second time she submitted charges they were a 19 little more detailed. A little more comfortable. She 20 learned. This time she submitted two. This time she 21 said, When I drove to that location he wasn't there. He 22 must have moved. He moved and he didn't change his 23 address. He's has a entirely new felony all on his own, 24 That's how you get Count 2. 51

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

Ladies and gentlemen, this case is about 1 personality differences. This is a case about a new officer, hasn't been supervising people long, and you are going to hear that from her. It is a case about Steve, 4 who is not always the easiest person to get along with. It is a case about a rookie who wanted to clear off her 6 desk. She wanted to get a file off her desk. Have one 7 less person to supervise. 8 At the end of this case we are going to ask you 9 to find Steve McNeill not guilty on Count 1 and Count 2. 10 Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 Mr. Cooper, are you ready to call your first 13 witness? 14 MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: One of the things that I wanted to 16 address and remind the jury, we did go over so many 17 instructions yesterday and it was very quickly done and I 18 wanted to remind you that although these are opening 19 statements, they are not evidence themselves. They are 20 there to help you understand what the evidence will be 21 and help guide you in your understanding as the case 22 proceeds. 23 However, there were mentions made of two facts 24 that the parties have stipulated to. The fact that the 52 defendant was under an agreement of lifetime supervision

1 M-a-n-g-a-n. THE COURT: Thank you. And you may be seated. 2 Mr. Cooper, whenever you are ready. 3 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. COOPER: 7 Q Is it okay if I call you Ashley? 8 A It is. 9 Q Ashley, how are you currently employed? 10 A Parole and Probation, Department of Public 11 Safety, Sex Offender Unit. 12 Q And how long have you been employed in this 13 capacity? 14 A Two years and two months. 15 Q Are you employed in a particular type of task or 16 type of assignment in the section? 17 A I just currently supervise sex offenders. 18 Q Are you a parole officer? 19 A Well, my title is Parole and Probation Officer. 20 Q And in order for you to become a parole and 21 probation officer are you required to do any special type 22 of training or have any type of experience or anything 23 like that? 24 A Yes. I went through a police academy and 25 54

and that the defendant was a convicted sex offender. 2 Anything else mentioned in opening statement is not in and of itself evidence. That evidence that you will 4 determine what the facts from the evidence will be what comes through the witnesses and the exhibits that are 6 received. 7 At this time, Mr. Cooper, if you are ready to 8 call your first witness. 9 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 The State's first witness is Officer Ashley 11 Mangan. 12 THE COURT: Officer Mangan, please remain 13 standing and my clerk will swear you in. 14 15 16 Whereupon, ASHLEY MANGAN, 17

was administered the following oath by the court clerk. THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God. THE WITNESS: I do.

22 THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full 23 name for the record. 24

THE WITNESS: Ashley Mangan. A-s-h-l-e-y,

graduated that. And then when I graduated the academy I put in for Parole and Probation and received field training experience for that specific division.

Q What type of training do you go through in the academy?

A In the academy you are dealing with learning laws, officer safety, firearm practice, things of that

Q What about your field training, what did you learn doing that?

A I followed around a senior officer, watched them supervise offenders. Learned how an offender is supposed to report. What is expected of them and what our job is as an officer to make sure that they are complying with conditions.

Q Do you have any other police experience?

16 A I was a correctional sergeant with the 17 Department of Corrections for seven years. 18

Q Did you work at a particular prison?

A I worked at prisons throughout the state.

Q I want to turn your attention to March of 2013.

Do you recall this time period? 22 23

A Yes.

Q What was your assignment in Parole and Probation 24 during that time period? 55

Page 52 to 55 of 207

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

15

19

20

21

16 of 70 sheets

18

19

20

21

1 2	A I was recently assigned to the sex offender unit.	1 Q Are those type of agreements, would those be 2 kept in any type of file or anything like that? 3 A Yes. The supervising officer should have that	. <u></u>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q Where were you before the sex offender unit? A General supervision. Q Do you recognize anyone in court here today that you would have had contact with in March of 2013? A Ido. Q Can you please point to that person and describe what he is wearing. A Sure. Steven McNeill who is wearing a greenish colored tie. THE COURT: The record will reflect the witness has identified the defendant. MR. COOPER: Thank you. BY MR. COOPER: Q Are you aware if he is a convicted sex offender? A He is. Q And pursuant to his conviction is he required to comply with the requirements of lifetime supervision? A Yes, he is. Q What is lifetime supervision? A The best way I can describe lifetime supervision is similar to a type of parole. It's almost the exact same condition, and actually the Parole Board does— MS. HOJJAT: Objection.	in their file. Q Do you have a file for Mr. McNeill? A I do. A They were. MR. COOPER: Permission to approach the wift your Honor. THE COURT: You may. MR. COOPER: Permission to approach the wift again, Your Honor. THE COURT: You may approach. BY MR. COOPER: Q I am now showing you the State's Proposed Exhibit 2. Do you recognize this exhibit? A I do. Q How do you recognize this exhibit? A This is a Lifetime Supervision Agreement. Q Was this the Lifetime Supervision Agreement to was kept in your file? A It is. Q And how are you able to ascertain that? A This was in the file. It's a Lifetime	iness,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the law. THE COURT: All right, Go ahead and approach. (Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may proceed. I will ask Mr. Cooper to restate the question. BY MR. COOPER:	1 Supervision Agreement. It appears to have some 2 signatures on it. 3 Q Was this the Lifetime Supervision Agreement 4 relation to Steve McNeill? 5 A Yes. It does say so at the top. 6 Q Is this a true and correct copy of the document 7 that you kept in your file? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you use that document every day in the co- 10 of your duties?	ŧ

A Lifetime supervision is a type - I would describe it as a type of parole where - it's not really parole, though, if you violate lifetime supervision you would be subject to new felony charges. Where, if you violated parole you would just be subject to parole violation.

There is specific conditions that the Parole Board mandates that the offender comply by. Things like reporting, residence, reporting to your officer, curfew, submitting to urine analysis and things of that nature. They sign that agreement saying that they will abide.

Q Let me ask you this, how is an offender made aware of these conditions?

A Usually, there is a Lifetime Supervision Agreement that is signed by the offender and by the officer.

of your duties? 10

A I do.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

25

MR, COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, I would move for admission of Exhibit 2.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, I will object to lack of foundation.

THE COURT: Foundation seems to have been established. She said this is a true and correct copy as the one in her file. Are you objecting to this Exhibit 2 18 or the other one? 20

MS. HOJJAT: We're objecting to this exhibit. THE COURT: What is your objection with regards to foundation?

22 MS. HOJJAT: It has not been established that 23 the defendant has this fugitive document. 24

THE COURT: Counsel, approach with all three. 59

57

Page 56 to 59 of 207

01/06/2015 03:29:27 PM

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Discussion held at the bench.) THE COURT: Thank you. The objection is overruled, however, Mr. Cooper, you do have three exhibits there that you are going to be seeking to introduce through this witness and they all relate some way, if you could lay a foundation for what those documents are and how they relate to each other that would be helpful.

MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.

BY MR. COOPER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q So in order to do that, I will show you all three documents.

A Okay.

Q This is Exhibits 2 and 3. And this is State's Propose Exhibit 4.

A Okay.

16 Q Do you recognize all of these different 17 documents? 18

A I do.

19 Q And what are these documents, starting with 20 State's Exhibit 2. 21

A Lifetime Supervision Agreement for Steve McNeill and Pending Parole Board Order, and Possibility of 23 Release. This was given to him before he was released 24 from prison. 25 60

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

3

television, radio, Internet or social media of any kind, or to form or express any opinion on any subject 2 connected with the trial until the case is finally 3 submitted to you. 4

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: I will ask the witness to please step down while we have this discussion,

(Witness exits the courtroom.)

It is my intention before we resume with this jury to address this most immediate issue in the record, but also to address the others to the best of my ability.

I think I have most of my notes and certainly a lot of the things overlap and we just kind of verified each time you approached the bench.

So let's take care of, first of all, objections related to this witness starting with the last one first, which was the testimony I did ask Mr. Cooper to create some foundation about the circumstances of when each of these would be signed and how they interrelate with each

That elicited the testimony of at least one point in time the defendant had been incarcerated. Certainly did not raise any other specifics as to his current status or anything else. But the mere fact that he has been incarcerated then prompted their request for

62

Q Can you explain for the ladies and gentlemen of 1 the jury how that whole process works when they get on 2 lifetime supervision? 3

A Well, he was incarcerated with --

MS. HOJJAT: Objection. THE COURT: Basis?

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Do you need to approach?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

(Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I am going

to go ahead and ask the jury to take a brief recess. We have some matters that we need to place in the record and resolve a couple of matters before we resume.

So I do need to admonish you. Our supreme court 16 requires us to admonish every jury at every break whether it be a short break or a lunch break or an evening recess because they are that important. So let me read this to you now.

During the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspaper,

the bench conference, which then prompted counsel to request a mistrial. 2

So at this point I would ask for you to please state for the record your basis upon which you believe this testimony warrants a mistrial.

5 MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. We did object and 6 moved for a mistrial at the bench. It is completely

7 improper for a witness to be talking about prior

incarceration. And all of the State's witnesses should

be admonished before they even got on the stand that they 10

are not supposed to talk about prior incarcerations. 11

Obviously, P and P officers are in a delicate position, 12

they know about prior incarcerations and the State should 13 be admonished not to talk about those things. 14

Foundation for a document is was this signed in 15 July of 2007? Yes. It was signed in July of 2007. 16

There is no need to get into incarceration to lay a 17

proper foundation. It is the date that it was signed. 18 Was he already on lifetime supervision at that point, yes 19

or no. 20 And the State's argument at the bench was that 21 this foundation that was needed that we had addressed 22

with you was absolutely not the foundation that was 23 needed. The foundation for the document was when it was 24

signed date-wise and whether he was on lifetime

61

18 of 70 sheets

supervision at this time not whether he was sitting in custody. 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is completely improper for a State's witness to talk about him sitting in custody and they should have been admonished and they know not to talk about it.

THE COURT: And maybe they were and maybe at this point in the discussion it is what popped out. But what I am not hearing, Counsel, is that it reaches to the standard of requiring a mistrial and why it cannot be cured by a curative instruction to the jury at this time.

Again, let's keep this in perspective. This is a charged crime for someone who has already been 12 stipulated to the facts of and the charging document makes clear, a convicted sex offender. It is not outside the realm of possibility that the jurors would have some 16 belief or understanding that there might have been some sort of incarceration at some point. I understand that we obviously typically would not elicit this testimony. But why would this matter require a mistrial versus a curative instruction?

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, there isn't an instruction that -- it's information that can't be erased from the minds of the jury.

THE COURT: What is prejudicial about it to the level of it creates manifest injustice? If you are not

law specifically lays forward the type of offenders that could be put on lifetime supervision; it's only the worst of the worst. It's tier three offenders only. So to 3 state somebody gets probation or something like that or whatever they are saying, it doesn't really bode by the 5 law. And I looked it up earlier today.

The problem also is that I was just about to admit the document and then they say that it's a fugitive document. But then I get to lay foundation as to why there's three different documents. The foundation as to why there is three different documents --

THE COURT: You are obviously arguing, Mr. Cooper, that it was okay for this witness to lay the 13 foundation that he signed it while he was incarcerated, she could have laid the foundation that there is a initial time that there is a document signing. There's a 16 second time that there is a document signing, there's a third time there is a document signing. This is essentially the same document that the defendant is asked to sign at various times for various reasons without actually going into the fact that he's incarcerated.

Are you actually trying to argue to me that it is part of the foundation that she had to say that he was incarcerated?

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, the document itself

64

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

15

16

17

going to argue for me why you need a mistrial using the law and the case law and the standard, then we are done 2 with this discussion. 3

I don't want to hear anymore about what you think might be in the minds of the jury. I am done with those conversations at the bench. Okay.

What is the standard and why does it meet it? MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, it is prejudicial for the level of manifest injustice because there is multiple potential penalties -- even an individual who is sentenced with lifetime supervision it speaks to the nature of the underlying offense which they've already been precluded from hearing about, and it speaks to the severity of the underlying offense and incarceration occurred as opposed to probation lifetime supervision after a term of probation.

They've also heard that lifetime supervision occurs after probation, which is a true fact. It's prejudicial to the level of manifest injustice because it's telling them this was a really bad crime. This wasn't just a crime, this was a really bad crime that warranted prison time. Bad guy right there, that's what it tells them and that is highly prejudicial.

THE COURT: Mr. Cooper.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, first and foremost, the

talks about the fact that he was incarcerated. The document that they had already agreed to the redactions of. I redacted the document and then they said this was 3 fine. I get it marked and then we actually go forward to 4 admit and the document says --6

THE COURT: Which document are we talking about now, 2, 3 or 4?

MR. COOPER: All three of them say it. All 8 three of them say State of Nevada to imprisonment in the Nevada state prison system for the crime of. The only 10 thing I was supposed to do was take out the part that 11 said the crime. That was it. That's what we agreed to. 12 I did that. They agreed to my redaction. It says it in 13 the document. 14

So I do not understand how it can be prejudicial for her to say he was in prison when the document says he was in prison. And that is how the document is written because he wasn't given probation. That mischaracterizes 18 what happened, that mischaracterizes why he was on 19 lifetime supervision. That mischaracterizes why this 20 officer gave him certain requirements.

21 And I think it is prejudicial to the State to 22 not be able to get down that road. Because we already 23 know he's a sex offender. We already know he's on lifetime supervision. Do they want to argue that any

person that might do anything is subject to lifetime supervision, which is not the law and it's not --

THE COURT: I just want you to argue what the standard is and whether it meets the standard of manifest injustice.

MR. COOPER: It doesn't meet the standard of manifest injustice, Your Honor, because these documents that I have already provided that have been marked and have already been approved by opposing counsel --

THE COURT: I am anticipating another objection is forthcoming, Mr. Cooper, that they don't think that that language should be on the document either, so why don't you address that now, whether it's appropriate for that to be part and parcel of this case or not.

And if either of you have some cases that you want to throw at me that I am not aware of feel free.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I do not have any case law off the top of my head. I didn't know this issue was going to come up.

Yesterday when we redacted this document -- they had these weeks ago. They knew what was in the document, so for them now to say that it needs to be -- I mean, it's argument, it needs to be redacted, it's whatever they want to do. But the fact is yesterday we sat down, we talked about this earlier this morning. We got the

THE COURT: I am not focusing on that.

MS. HOJJAT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

THE COURT: Let's hear what you have to say about the document, what's pertinent in it and how we proceed.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, we believe that it should not mention that he was sentenced to imprisonment. We believe that that should also be redacted. It is not proper for the jury to hear that this defendant has been in prison. There is case law on that. I apologize, I do not have specific cases to give to the Court. I did not anticipate this coming up.

THE COURT: That's fine. The Court needs to make a ruling. The Court's aware of the case law to the best of my ability. I don't have the citations in front of me either.

We have a specific case here with a specific charge and I guess ultimately what I am asking you to establish for me is why you believe -- I mean, we have already sanitized this through our discussion that the prior charge under which he was convicted, I agree, we didn't need that to make the predicate case. And that potentially could be substantially prejudicial beyond what was necessary obviously and relevant about the fact that there was a prior sex offense.

70

redactions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I said, Okay, you want me to redact this? I showed this to them. They said, Yes, that's fine.

THE COURT: This is not about whether they agreed or disagreed. This is about whether this should be in the document, okay, and this is where we are now. But at the end of the day, whether this should be in the document or not, I just want to hear your final argument.

MR. COOPER: It should be in the document, Your Honor, because that is the reason he was on lifetime supervision. That's what happened in his case to get him to lifetime supervision. He was not on probation first. He did not go straight from parole. So all these different things play into the case as to why he wasn't responding to this officer, because if he had a parole officer first then it would be a different situation with a parole officer acted one way and my lifetime supervision officer acted another way. But that's not what happened in this case. He went directly from prison to lifetime supervision and I think that's important.

THE COURT: Okay, Counsel.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, if I am understanding the State's argument, I think it is prejudicial to them if they don't bring in the fact that he was incarcerated. There is no case law that says that.

I think this is a very different situation.

1 This is a situation where, in fact, this individual was 2 incarcerated, in fact, this person went directly to

lifetime supervision from incarceration.

While we typically would not want to inflame the jurors by suggesting somebody spent time in prison and somehow that now they see the basis of the circumstances or that they are currently incarcerated. At the end of the day, this is somebody who was convicted of an offense that warrants him being in prison and he was in prison.

We are not going to overemphasize it but I am not familiar with case law that would require me to further sanitize this case to create some other impression or leave open the question of whether or not he was ever incarcerated. That is not where we are at in this case. That is not what this case is. But I just give you that flavor of my thought process.

MS. HOJJAT: I appreciate that, Your Honor. We would submit to the Court that hearing about incarceration greatly aggravates the impression of the underlying charge. I understand the Court saying these are the facts of this case but a lot of cases have a lot of facts in terms of this defendant's priors. That's what this is essentially, Your Honor, the fact that this is a sex offense and the fact that he's on lifetime

71

supervision are necessary for the charge here. We 1 understand that. We have stipulated to that. But the fact that he was in prison before is not 3 necessary for them to establish that he did not change 4 his address or didn't go to counseling. It's not 5 relevant. There's no relevancy to him having been in 6 prison to the elements of their charges that they need to 7 establish. Especially since we are not talking about an 8 individual who got out of prison yesterday and started 9 allegedly committing these violations today. We are 10 talking about a five-and-a-half-year gap between the time 11 that he was in prison and the time that these alleged 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

violations had occurred. THE COURT: Which you established in your opening.

MS. HOJJAT: Things that happened so long ago just in general even when we are talking about bad acts that are admissible, when we look at the time span and how long ago something occurred, relevancy decreases as we go back in time. We are talking about something that has nothing to do with the elements here. The elements here, the elements that they need have already been met. 22 We stipulated to them. There is nothing about the imprisonment that they need in this case. There is 24 nothing about the imprisonment that makes it more or less

that that is typical for these things to be signed multiple times, are these the multiple variations of 2 them, et cetera, without asking this witness to further 3 potentially go into those circumstances, okay? 4 MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: But the mistrial request, again, is 6 denied and we will do this further redaction. 7 MS. BONAVENTURE: And, Your Honor, permission to 8 lead for this purpose. 9 10

THE COURT: Just for this purpose. I thought you were going to lead already with these are multiple documents, are they the same thing type of thing. You just kind of show them to her and this is where they came from.

So at the end of the day, we don't need this in the testimony, I agree with counsel, at the base of this the fact that he was incarcerated at some point in time is not relevant to your predicate charges; however, I do not believe we need to declare a mistrial at this point.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, would you like me to talk to my witness right now so we don't have this happen again?

THE COURT: We will bring her back in and admonish her before we bring the jury back in.

MR. COOPER: Do you want her to come back to the

74

likely that he committed the crimes in this case.

The imprisonment is solely prejudicial and it's implications of what the underlying crime are. And what the underlying crime was is not relevant to this case but for the fact that he's a sex offender and he is on lifetime supervision.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Cooper? MR. COOPER: Nothing further.

THE COURT: I am going to redact the reference to imprisonment in the first paragraph of each of the documents. The motion for mistrial is denied. I am going to give a curative instruction to disregard the reference to incarceration. I believe that is sufficient. I will indicate that that is not relevant to the charge in terms of imprisonment.

At the end of the day, I believe this is not the manifest injustice necessary to require a mistrial. It was a reference to that there was a time when this individual was in jail, as I said, likely to be in the thought process, in the mindset of all of the jurors. We 20 are not going to belabor the point but we will redact the reference here so that it doesn't come up in the future 22 and we will ask to disregard.

And then the additional foundation, I will give 24 you the permission, Mr. Cooper, to lead and just indicate 25

witness stand now?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

72

THE COURT: Yes. I will take care of the rest 2 of the record in a minute. 3

(Witness returns to the stand.)

THE COURT: I just have a reminder for you. 5

THE WITNESS: Okay.

6 THE COURT: There is an objection lodged about 7 the statements that the document which was identified as

the State's Proposed Exhibit 2, had a reference, or your 9

testimony with regard to this was signed when he was 10

incarcerated. There has been objection to it not being 11

appropriate to put before the jury that this individual 12 was incarcerated at any point in time. We are only

13 dealing with what the charges are here and it is 14

certainly not relevant to these charges. 15 16

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: We appreciate that you were giving 17 us the foundation of those records, but I am going to 18 redact from the documents the reference to imprisonment. 19

THE WITNESS: Okay.

20 THE COURT: And I'm going to admonish you to 21 please uot make any references to anytime being 22

incarcerated. 23

THE WITNESS: Okay. 24

MR. COOPER: And, Your Honor, because I will be 25

75

Q And is that the agreement that we are looking at using those documents do we want to do the redactions right now on State's Proposed Exhibit 3? 2 A Yes. This is the Lifetime Supervision Agreement now? 2 THE COURT: Sure. Give them back to me and 3 with his signature and date of 11/7/12. 3 4 we'll take care of that real quickly. Right up to the Q And on the back of it is a third page. Is that 4 word "to the underlying imprisonment in the Nevada State the Certificate Board of Parole Action? 6 prison" from each of the documents. A Yes. They are the conditions that the Parole 6 7 All right. Let's bring the jurors back in. Board has ordered per the Lifetime Supervision Agreement. 7 8 Q Okay. And that is the State's Proposed (Jury enters the courtroom.) 8 9 THE COURT: The Court has addressed the 9 Exhibit 4? objection that was made prior to the break and 10 A Yes. 10 11 specifically I wanted to at this time give you a specific Q And it's common to have the different agreements 11 direction that there was a statement made by the witness 12 just based on what period of lifetime supervision they 12 regarding incarceration of the defendant at a certain 13 13 are at? 14 point in time and that that statement is to be A Yes, sir. 14 disregarded. It is not to considered by you, or enter 15 MR. COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, the 15 16 into your deliberations in any way. It is not relevant State would move for admission of State's Proposed 16 17 to the charges in this case and, again, should be Exhibits 2, 3 and 4. 17 18 disregarded and not considered by you in any way. MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, the defense would just 18 19 Mr. Cooper, you may proceed. renew the previous objections. 19 20 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: And I would just ask you to 20 Permission to approach the witness, Your Honor? 21 22 establish the additional foundation of State's Proposed 21 Exhibits 3 and 4. We only got as far as 2 in terms of it THE COURT: You may. 22 23 came from the file and that this witness was aware that 23 /// it is a true and correct copy. So can you just tie that - /// 24 78 25 /// 76 up and then we could proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED) MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 BY MR. COOPER: MR, COOPER: 2 3 Q Now, Ashley, we were going through these Q State's Proposed Exhibit 3, do you recognize 3 4 different documents, and I will start again on State's that document? 4 5 Proposed Exhibit 2. During the time period of lifetime A Yes. 5 6 supervision, does one, I guess, get different Lifetime Q Is that the document that was contained in your 6 Supervision Agreements or how does that whole process 7 7 8 file? work? A It was. 8 9 A They are given the original at the time of the Q And State's Propose Exhibit 4, do you recognize 9 original agreement. And on it will say "Pending Parole 10 that document? 10 Board Order" and that is just a way for the Parole Board 11 11 12 to add or modify conditions later on. And so --Q And is that another document contained in your 12 13 Q Let me stop you there. So would that be the 13

4th, 2007, the defendant would have signed a second Lifetime Supervision Agreement? A Yes. This is a Lifetime Supervision Agreement that also is still pending Parole Board order. 22 Q And I guess at some point the Parole Board comes down and gives whatever they are going to give? 24 A Yes. 25 77

Q And that is the one dated on November 8th, 2007?

Q And going forward, it looks like on December

file? 14 15 Q So all three of these are contained in your 16 file? 17 A Yes. 18 Q All three are the Lifetime Supervision 19 Agreements of the defendant, Steve McNeill? 20 A Yes. 21 MR. COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, the 22 State would move to admit Exhibits 2, 3 and 4. 23 THE COURT: These are not the originals, they 24 are true and correct copies of the originals; is that 79

22 of 70 sheets

first one, State's Exhibit 2?

A Yes.

A Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q Just the bold parts. A Number 3 would be intoxicants. Number 4 would 80		d e
1 2 3	is associates. Number 7 is cooperation. Number 8 is	6 1 Q When was that? 2 A March 29, 2013. 3 Q Before March 29th, did you ever try to contact 4 him before that point?	

10 is employment program. Number 11 is supervisions fees. And Number 12 is curfew. 5 Q Okay. Is that referring to all the different 6 things he needs to do while on lifetime supervision? 7 A Yes -- well, can or cannot do. 8 Q Right. He cannot have weapons, correct? 9 A Correct. 10 Q But he has to report? 11 A Correct. 12 Q Does everyone that gets placed on lifetime 13 supervision have these agreements? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And you said the special conditions those can 16 change based upon what the Parole Board wants to do? 17 18

A Yes. The Parole Board can modify those at any time.

19 Q And now I am showing you what is marked as 20 State's Exhibit 3. Essentially, those are the same type 21

of conditions? 22 A They are the same conditions.

23 Q And State's Exhibit 4, which I am now showing 24 you, the same conditions again?

A I believe I tried to make a phone call to his listed phone number and I was not able to get through.

Q Did that cause you any concern?

A Not at that moment, no, because it is common for offenders to have nonworking phone numbers.

Q At that point did you also know whether or not he had a stable residence or he was homeless?

A At that point I believe he was homeless. 12 Q So you actually had contact with him on 13

March 29th?

A I did.

Q Can you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how that contact happened? What you were doing? What happened at that point?

A Yes. On that day I was out in the field away from the office. And I had got a call from another 20 officer who was at the office and said that one of my offenders was there trying to drop off a monthly 22 reporting statement --23

MS. HOJJAT: Judge, object to hearsay. THE COURT: Sustained.

83

81

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

1 BY MR. COOPER: Q And without saying what that officer told you, 2 just describe what you did as a result of what that 3 officer said. A So I got the call that my offender was at the 5 5 office. I reported back to the office and was able to 6 meet with Mr. McNeill and go over his monthly report. 7 7 Q And you said monthly report. Any time someone 8 8 actually comes to the Division of Probation and Parole 9 9 margin? 10 are they required to fill out documentation? 10 A Yes. 11 A They are. 11 Q And what type of documentation is there? 12 12 13 A It is a monthly reporting form. 13 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, may I approach the 14 14 says? 15 witness? 15 A Yes. 16 THE COURT: You may. 16 17 BY MR. COOPER: 17 Q I am now showing you what has been previously 18 18 marked as State's Proposed Exhibit 5. Do you recognize 19 19 20 this exhibit? 20 21 A I do. 21 22 Q What is this? 22 A This is Steve McNeill's monthly report dated 23 23 3/29/2013. 24 Q And would that document be kept in the ordinary 25 1 course of your duties as probation officer? 2 A Yes. I keep it in his file. 2 Q Now, who actually fills out that report? 3 3 4 A This was filled out by Steve McNeill. 4 Q And is that a true and correct copy of the 5 5 report that was filled out on March 29th of 2013? 6 6 7 A It is. 7 MR. COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, State 8 8 A Yes, it did. 9 would move to admit State's Proposed Exhibit 5. 9 10 MS. BONAVENTURE: No objection. 10 THE COURT: State's proposed Exhibit 5 is 11 that mean? 11 12 admitted. 12 (Whereupon, State's Exhibit 5 was 13 13 14 admitted into evidence.) 14 MR. COOPER: Permission to publish. 15 15 16 THE COURT: You may. 16 17 somewhere else? BY MR. COOPER: 17 18 Q This is the first monthly report that the

A I just go down line by line and discuss, you 2 know, where are you living; is this a good number for you; are you employed. I ask how he gets to the office, what his transportation is. Further down if he has been attending counseling I like to address that with sex offenders. And just kind of get a general idea of what is going on in his life. Q Now there also seems to be some handwriting on the left-hand side; do you see that handwriting in the Q And whose handwriting would that be? A That's my handwriting. Q Are you actually able to make out what that Q What does it say? A It says "5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. curfew." And by your address it says "no spot he lays his head." Q What does that mean? A Basically that means he does not have a fixed address, so he is homeless so he is putting down that he lives at Main and Colorado at the corner there, but he probably does not lay specifically on that exact corner so there is no specific spot where he lays down his head.

Q Now, at any point during your supervision of the defendant did you tell him that he needed to stand at a certain corner at all times? A Not at all times. But if his residence is going to be Main and Colorado then he needs to be there at

Q Now, Main and Colorado, does that signify to you that he was in fact homeless?

Q And the 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. curfew, what does

A It means between 5:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m. he needs to be at the corner of his address he reported as Main and Colorado.

Q Now, does he have to report that specific intersection or can he report like a landmark or

A No. He has to report a specific -- It would be cross streets, I guess, a landmark would be helpful but they have to report some physical address.

Q Are you familiar with this corner of Main and Colorado?

A I am.

Q And are you familiar if there is also types of inlets and allies in that area?

87

85

general.

supervising him?

A Yes.

defendant would have filled out while you were

Q And you said he filled this out on March 29th.

Now, briefly just walk through with me the different type

of things that they have to fill out and the form in

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

	A There are multiple alleyways in that area.	1	MS. BONAVENTURE: No objection.
	Q Now, let me ask you a question, I'm sorry, I	2	THE COURT: State's 6 is admitted.
me	ant to ask this earlier. Going back to this Lifetime	3	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 6 was
me	pervision Agreement	4	admitted into evidence.)
Su	MR. COOPER: Permission to publish State's	5	MR. COOPER: Permission to publish, Your Honor.
т.	pposed Exhibit 4, Your Honor?	6	THE COURT: You may.
Pro	THE COURT: You may.	7	BY MR. COOPER:
734	Y MR. COOPER:	8	Q So this is State's Exhibit 6. And, again, this
	Q Do you see the date on that one?	9	would be the report from April of 2013. I actually want
	A Yes, I do.	10	to focus with you on the middle part there where it says,
	Q And would this be the most recent one to your	11	"Need to call Marcia Lee." Whose handwriting is that?
		12	A That is my handwriting.
	owledge?	13	Q What does that mean?
	A Yes. Q And do you see where it says "agreement of	14	A Basically it means that he is checking "no" for
		15	counseling, which concerns me because I have no proof in
	fender"?	16	my file that he has completed sex offender counseling.
) -	A I do. Q And I am going to go ahead and give you this.	17	So he last reported that he was seeing Marcia for
7	ast read for me what that says. Are you familiar with	18	counseling, so I would need to call her and see what the
3 Ju	at area, that paragraph where it says "agreement of	19	status is and why he is not attending counseling.
	at area, that paragraph where it bays agreement	20	Q So as long as you were his parole officer he was
	ffender"?	21	not in counseling?
1	A Yes.	22	A He was not.
2	Q In this paragraph does it talk about the	23	Q And on the second page of this document, bottom
	enalties if they don't complete those conditions?	24	portion, do you see that thing that kind of looks like a
4	A Yes.	25	man?
5	Q Thank you. So when was the next time you came 88		90
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And would he have filled out a monthly report at hat time? A Yes. MR, COOPER: Permission to approach the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: You may. BY MR. COOPER: Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Is this document kept in the ordinary course of your duties as a probation and parole officer? A Yes. Q Is that document a fair and accurate depiction of the document that's contained in your file? A Yes. Q Does that document relate to Mr. Steve McNeill	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I know I believe he did draw this map. I don't know if I would have written on it as well. Q Would this be an area that you would be able to find him during his curfew period? A What he's claiming. Q And, again, you are familiar with that area? A Yes. Q Now it looks like on the left-hand side here I think the word curfew is cut off. It says "few
19	the defendant?	20	A com . I I know he con "correform & fill n m "
20	A Yes.	2	- d 10
21	Q And is that the report we were just talking	2	c 0.00
22	about from April 12th of 2013?	2:	- u o 1 dellement of what's
23	A Yes.		
24	MR. COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, the	2.	A way we to it at his confern in March it was
	1. 0.70 1 10 2 6	2	U PR TITION & MATERIARY DATE TO THE TOTAL OF
25	State would move to admit Exhibit 6.		91

		4	MR. COOPER: Permission to approach the witness,
set for 5:00 p.m. During	this discussion in April he had	1 2 3	Your Honor?
2 asked for an extended co	rfew, so it would be effective	3	THE COURT: You may.
3 from 8:00 p.m. to 5:00 a	m. instead of 5:00 p.m. to 5:00		RY MR. COOPER:
4 a.m. So he asked for an	extension to 8:00 p.m. and I	E	O Lam now showing you what has been previously
granted that. I said yes,	it is hot during the day.	6 ı	narked as State's Proposed Exhibit 7; do you recognize
	ist be there at your residence	7 t	his exhibit?
7 at 8:00 p.m.	referring to is that	8	A I do.
8 Q And the resident	e you were referring to is that	9	Q How do you recognize this exhibit?
9 circle right there in that a	leyway:	10	A That is a monthly report dated 5/8/13 from Steve
o A Yes.	iter directly on the		McNeill.
	essarily directly on the	12	Q And is that a true and correct copy of the
2 corner?	·	13	report that is kept in your file?
3 A No, it's not.	int when you realized that the	14	A It is.
4 Q Now at some po	4.4 you try to get him a place to	15	Q Do you use that report in your daily duties as a
A 1 121 47 4	did you try to get him a place to	16	probation and parole officer?
6 stay or anything like that	him to Catholic Charities.	17	A I do.
7 A Yes. I referred	od and assistance that could be had	18	MR. COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, the
	THE MILES SELLENGES TO THE TOTAL SECTION OF THE SEC	19	State would move to admit State's Exhibit 7.
19 there and he refused.	f 2013, did you have any other	20	MS. BONAVENTURE: No objection.
1 0 1.	nt?	21	THE COURT: It is admitted.
21 contact with the defenda 22 A I believe I did	ry to see him at his home cross	22	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 7 was
	-	23	admitted into evidence.)
23 street. 24 Q Were you succ	essful?	24	MR. COOPER: May I publish, Your Honor?
25 A I was not.		25	THE COURT: You may. 94
	92	1	•
		1	BY MR. COOPER:
1 Q Do you recall t	ne time you went out there?	2	Q This is, I guess, a similar report to the one we
2 A I believe it wa	8:20 p.m.	3	have previously seen?
	all how long you actually looked	4	A Yes, monthly report.
4 for him?	A Main and Wyoming	5	Q What did he put for an address?
5 A Yes. After my	home attempt at Main and Wyoming,	6	A Now he is reporting Wyoming and Main.
6 I started my surveillar	ce up until about 9:41 I surveyed	7	Q Is that still in the area in which you looked?
7 the areas going down	lleyways. At no point did I see	8	A Yes.
8 the offender anywhere	near those areas.	9	Q I am now showing you the last page of this one.
9 Q And going do	n the alleyways would include kind	10	This is a three-page document. Do you recognize this
10 of using this map and g	ong down ancyways:	11	page?
11 A The alleyway	and I drive down Main, drive down commerce, back up again and through		A I do.
12 Wyoming, drive down	Collination program and again and again	13	Q And what is this page depicting?
13 alleyways of course.	ext time you had contact with him	14	A This is another map trying to locate Mr. McNeill
	act on April 12th?	15	and where he sleeps.
	we out apare among	16	Q And why was this map drawn?
16 A Right.	you tried to go find him and you	17	A Because I was not able to find him on my last
	,	18	home attempt.
18 could not find him?		19	Q Is this map more detailed?
20 Q When is the n	ext time you had contact with him	20	A Yes.
21 at that point?	- *	21	Q And was this still the same general area in
22 Δ May 2013.		22	which you were looking the last time?
23 Q Again, did he	fill out a monthly report during	23	A Yes. I looked through that whole area.
24 that time as well?		24	
25 A Yes.		25	about in May of 2013?
_ :	93		
	Page 9	1	of 207

	A Probably discussed where he lays his head at	1	so during that time they may have been a situation where
~ : -	ght, which would be behind a Spanish church in a gated	2	he was no longer in the area that I supervised, so I
nig are	ea. I was just trying to locate, you know, trying to	3	would transfer him to an officer who would go to the area
ar	scern where he is living. That was probably the	4	of Main and Wyoming.
dis	scern where he is hving. That was property	5	Q Now, at some point did he actually come back on
m	ajerity of what our conversation was in May.	6	your caseload?
	Q Did you have any contact with him in June of	7	A He did.
20	13?	8	Q About how long after June of 2013?
	A I believe I did.	9	A The next time he reported to me was in July.
	At some point during your contact or at some	10	Between July and June did you have any contact
pc	oint during your supervising the defendant, did another	11	with him?
su	mergicing officer come in as well?	12	A Yes, I did.
2	A Yes. I believe that was in June, Officer White	1	Q Do you recall was that over the phone or in
m	n have had Mr. McNeill.	13	
, <u></u>	MR. COOPER: Permission to approach the witness,	14	person? A It was over the phone.
	our Honor.	15	Q Can you describe to the ladies and gentlemen of
	THE COURT: You may.	16	Can you describe to the maint?
; - T	Y MR, COOPER:	17	the jury what happened at that point?
	Q Now, are all parole and probation officers	18	A Yes. I was attempting to get ahold of
3	rained to make sure that the offender fills out the same	19	Mr. McNeill so I could tell him that I was his PO again,
) tr	amed to make suite that the offender	20	probation/parole officer, and set up an appointment so he
D ty	ype of monthly report?	21	could come in and discuss residence again. I was not
1	A Yes.	22	able to get very far with him; he hung up on me twice.
2	Q And the offender is actually the one that fills	23	And then the third time I was going to say come in for
3 tl	hat report out?	24	your July appointment and he said something to the effect
4	A Yes.	25	of You fucking cunt, and then hung up on me.
5	Q I am now showing you what has been previously 96	-	98
3 4 5 6	A Yes. Q Is that a true and correct copy of the exhibit that would appear in your file? A Yes.	5	BY MR. COOPER: Q At some point you were able to come in contact with him in July though?
7	Q And what is that?	8	A Yes, he did report in July.
8	A It is a monthly report dated June 6, 2013,	1	• 11 Gilled out another monthly report?
9	filled out by Steve McNeill.	110	r Δ He did.
10	MR. COOPER: At this point, Your Honor, I would	1	are cooper, permission to approach the withe
11	move to admit State's Proposed Exhibit 8.	1:	
12	MS. BONAVENTURE: No objection.	1	GREE COLUMN Von mou
13	THE COURT: State's 8 is admitted.	1	A RY MR. COOPER:
14	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 8 was	1	a harmonic has been marked as
15	admitted into evidence.)	1	. p. 1 m. 1 ii. ii. Or do yrou recognize this
16	BY MR. COOPER:	1	
17	Q Now you said that at this point it was Officer	1	
18	White and not you?	1	• 11 - 1 and anide this?
19	A Yes. Officer White was the supervising officer	- 1	a man a second detail Inly 11, 2013.
20	- 4 Al-od Homa	1	man a state of the Manifell
21	Q And why is that? Do you know what happened or	- 1	a this is a representation officer
ĺ	enverthing like that?		
22	A Daviddically parole and probation officers	- 1	23 again?
23	Large depending on location. Like right now my location		A I was.
24	is I supervise offenders on Boulder Highway in Henderson,	2	Q And is this a true and correct copy of that
25	is I supervise offenders on Boards 97		
1	•	- 1	01/06/2015 03:29: