

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVE DELL MCNEILL,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 66697

**FILED**

**AUG 07 2015**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, respondent's motion requesting a third extension of time (30 days) to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until August 31, 2015, to file and serve the answering brief.

With this extension of time, respondent will have had 120 days to file the answering brief, four times the period contemplated by NRAP 31(a)(1)(B). Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of the most extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Neither counsel's caseload nor the reasons asserted in support of respondent's prior extensions will be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

1. Sanchez, C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney