



FILED

OCT 24 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

April 3, 2018

Elizabeth Brown
Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court
201 South Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

Re: Comment on ADKT 501

Dear Ms. Brown,

I submit this comment on ADKT 501. The proposed changes to NRAP 3F would eliminate briefing and argument for appeals of grants of motions for summary judgment and motions for dismissal. Eliminating this briefing and argument would not serve the Court, particularly in the case of grants of summary judgment. Those orders are reviewed *de novo*, and I believe that briefing and argument are useful tools to help define the assigned errors and narrow the Courts task of *de novo* review. If appeals of these orders must be made more efficient, the extreme solution that is proposed is not the right solution. An expedited or abbreviated briefing schedule would better serve the Court and the parties. The proposed changes to NRAP 3F would not further the interests of justice.

Sincerely,

Daniel Price

Daniel R. Price
PRICE BECKSTROM, PLLC

18-41948