[1] 57:12 111 70:14 (11) 30:5 30:8 31:14 31:17 Guilty 31:17 44:20 52:15 65:21 81: Girls [1] 74:1 Herself 10 81:14 81:15 (11 32:6 Gunfire 131 43:21 44:6 58:16 Housed Given Hesperia [1] 47:23 [6] 80:7 84:21 87:24 87:25 [4] 6:15 30:4 104:14 104:16 [5] 65:3 65:5 65:6 71:11 71: Gunned 89:15 102:6 11 Glenford 111 21:20 Houses [60] 1:6 4:6 15:2 22:1 22:8 22:10 22:14 22:16 23:6 23:8 Hi Gutter f11 71:6 111 111:4 [1] 43:12 Housing 23:10 23:14 23:14 23:21 23: Hid Guy 22 24:8 24:10 24:12 24:14 24: [9] 76:17 76:17 76:18 76:25 [1] 23:22 [4] 28:2 69:8 83:5 111:1 15 25:3 25:10 25:17 25:23 25: 77:5 77:24 87:14 96:7 98:13 High Guys 25 26:2 26:2 26:4 26:11 26: Howard [24] 25:22 31:9 47:21 47:21 13 26:21 27:4 27:8 27:16 27: [3] 27:3 27:4 38:22 [2] 1:21 4:6 49:3 57:19 57:22 65:5 65:6 17 27:23 28:1 28:12 28:14 28: 18 28:21 29:9 29:23 30:1 30: Hug 82:8 82:20 88:13 88:14 99:9 H 7 30:7 30:8 30:22 30:24 31:8 32:7 32:12 32:18 32:19 32:23 99:20 109:19 109:20 109:24 [1] 30:20 Hair 110:3 110:4 110:5 110:8 112: Humor [1] 66:16 4 113:22 33:3 101:9 101:20 109:18 110: 131 112:2 112:8 112:8 Half Higher Hung [5] 13:10 47:17 64:2 84:25 116:1 Glenford's [1] 81:13 [1] 35:24 [10] 24:20 25:6 25:13 25:19 26:8 26:19 28:1 28:11 30:3 Highway Hungry Hallway [1] 99:16 [1] 32:20 31 - 7 [1] 74:8 Himself Hurt Goal Hand 131 22:13 29:7 105:7 [3] 22:17 45:1 71:5 (1) 81:9 [17] 6:11 33:12 39:11 46:3 Histories Husband 50:17 62:3 74:16 87:9 91:13 God [1] 78:18 [2] 25:8 56:21 92:5 93:15 93:17 93:20 94:2 111 53:7 History Hysterical 96:23 97:6 108:22 Gold [8] 22:9 22:11 22:15 79:3 81: [1] 59:4 Handballs 111 27:2 7 83:4 83:11 89:7 [1] 97:9 Good-looking Hit Ι Handcuff [1] 66:15 [2] 28:22 28:24 Idea [1] 84:1 Governing Hold f11 86:18 Handcuffed [1] 79:25 [4] 37:21 41:16 67:25 75:16 [2] 95:23 96:1 Identical Grade Holder [5] 25:21 37:1 37:6 37:7 113: 21 [1] 96:15 Handcuffs [1] 94:20 Identification [2] 100:1 100:9 Hole Handed [1] 46:25 Grades [1] 98:4 [1] 98:4 Identified [2] 113:16 113:17 Holidays Handing (1) 96:10 Graduate [5] 49:21 49:22 49:23 56:21 [1] 101:11 Identifies 121 49:3 65:5 57:4 [1] 92:1 Handle Graduated Home Identify [1] 18:20 [1] 93:1 [12] 29:15 29:19 29:21 31:19 Handling 44:16 44:22 45:15 52:21 55: [3] 11:23 12:7 90:1 Graduating Identifying 13 67:1 72:5 99:17 [2] 37:21 99:3 [1] 54:24 111 96:21 Hands Homeless Graduation [4] 48:16 48:20 48:21 48:21 Idnt'd [2] 95:22 100:1 [3] 65:23 65:24 65:24 Homes [1] 3:2 Handsome Grandma [4] 71:7 71:8 71:8 71:15 [4] 52:20 53:9 54:25 66:15 Ignored [8] 44:17 44:20 44:22 65:11 Homey 66:12 67:2 71:21 72:22 [1] 101:2 Hang [1] 66:10 Illegal Grandmother 121 39:3 43:1 Нарру Homicides 111 23-17 [11] 10:7 26:16 26:17 27:2 27:12 27:19 32:14 44:13 44: 14 62:22 68:17 [1] 109:25 [1] 83:11 Imagine Hard Honest. 111 27:17 Grandson Immediately (11 48:15 [17] 22:5 34:13 36:7 36:9 36: [6] 63:22 64:13 64:17 66:23 72:12 72:13 12 36:16 37:14 40:16 52:1 52: Honestly [1] 6:12 8 52:10 64:20 66:21 69:11 71: [1] 40:24 Impact 2 92:16 105:4 Grandson's Honor [13] 10:4 10:6 10:8 10:10 11: [1] 69:14 Head 2 11:10 11:17 11:23 16:11 20: [23] 8:3 17:14 18:14 33:9 39: 9 42:10 45:18 45:20 46:1 47: Grave [1] 92:13 8 20:25 69:2 69:5 [1] 59:7 Headquarters 6 47:9 54:1 59:21 61:21 63: Impacts [1] 77:12 13 64:8 91:4 101:5 108:12 Great [1] 11:7 108:18 113:9 114:11 114:21 [2] 15:18 63:22 Heal Impeccable Honorable Green [1] 58:13 [1] 100:24 [1] 1:13 [1] 92:2 Hear Impenetrable Honored [6] 19:17 20:10 21:5 22:21 Grew [1] 92:6 48:24 115:2 f11 78:12 [2] 25:4 54:20 Important Heard Hoop Grieve [3] 45:6 88:20 88:22 [2] 21:9 47:23 [2] 96:25 97:7 [1] 77:16 Impose Hearing Hope Grooming [2] 20:20 21:3 [6] 19:6 19:18 20:4 20:14 68: (3) 24:22 25:1 25:13 [1] 22:13 Imposed 4 73:14 Hoped Grouping [1] 87:14 Heart [1] 27:6 [1] 88:5 Improve 131 58:12 64:21 70:3 Hoping Grow [1] 36:15 Heavy [2] 13:7 13:18 [1] 24:23 Improvement [1] 27:25 Hospital Growing [11 114:4 Held [2] 67:9 69:17 [2] 64:24 72:1 Inches [4] 24:2 32:24 76:8 76:9 Hour Guess [3] 92:7 92:7 100:3 Help [13] 13:10 15:3 15:19 15:20 [3] 10:22 45:14 111:5 Include 15:23 15:24 97:15 105:15 105: [6] 14:20 22:9 22:10 22:11 Guideline [4] 9:24 12:10 31:12 98:13 71:25 99:16 24 106:6 106:6 116:1 116:1 f11 103:20 Including Helped Hours Guidelines [4] 9:22 11:24 74:1 79:10 [2] 30:8 48:13 [11] 18:18 83:20 83:23 84:25 f11 104:16 Increase 88:16 96:4 105:15 105:23 106: 3 106:10 106:13 Helpful Guidlines [1] 23:11 [2] 13:7 13:12 [1] 104:13 Incredible House Henderson

[1] 112:13 [1] 91:10 Judge [1] 56:10 Independent Interior [28] 4:5 6:23 7:22 8:16 11: Kitchen [1] 50:1 [1] 95:2 20 12:10 12:19 13:22 13:23 [1] 107:6 Indian 14:21 14:25 15:12 15:25 16:1 Internet Knock [1] 66:16 16:2 16:5 16:25 23:1 24:4 45: 24 58:3 64:3 73:21 74:7 74: [1] 85:7 [1] 28:17 Indicate Interrupt Knock-down-drag-out 10 74:13 90:11 103:16 [1] 92:14 [3] 16:20 42:11 82:24 [1] 28:17 July Indicated Interview Knowing [1] 68:2 [2] 58:23 70:15 [1] 103:18 [2] 80:13 82:10 Jump Indicating Interviewed Knowledge [1] 112:10 (11 5:18 [1] 80:9 [2] 86:1 115:17 Jurors Indications Investigated Known [2] 5:18 112:25 [1] 16:10 [1] 77:13 [3] 11:11 32:17 78:10 Jury Individual Investigator Knows [44] 1:11 4:23 4:23 5:4 5:7 5:11 5:12 6:2 6:2 6:9 8:18 8: 19 10:25 13:11 15:7 16:4 17: [1] 10:19 [1] 106:25 [1] 77:12 Individuals Kokitha Involved 5 17:6 17:13 35:5 38:19 40: [8] 2:6 38:12 38:16 39:9 39: 14 39:23 39:24 40:3 [1] 10:15 [2] 70:2 101:25 Information 10 42:18 47:14 48:24 49:12 Involvement 53:25 54:7 55:7 56:5 59:10 60:21 61:7 61:12 61:13 61:18 63:12 72:12 73:24 90:3 90:15 [3] 20:21 20:22 76:18 [2] 81:8 87:20 Informed Involves [4] 4:22 5:3 13:3 24:17 Lack 91:8 109:17 115:15 [1] 9:6 Infractions [3] 83:11 100:21 104:23 TO Justice [1] 105:7 Ladies [1] 23:13 [2] 1:13 38:24 [5] 17:7 17:16 18:15 59:24 Initial Issue Juvenile 114:24 [4] 76:4 102:14 103:18 103:21 [12] 7:1 7:13 7:22 8:6 8:24 9:5 12:17 12:20 12:20 15:12 [1] 22:15 Lamb Initials 16:9 104:21 K [2] 54:19 54:20 [11] BO:12 Issues Lammy Inject Kane [4] 4:7 48:14 60:14 115:11 [1] 54:21 [1] 29:7 [53] 1:17 2:7 2:10 2:21 2:25 Language Injured Items 4:18 7:22 8:3 8:5 8:19 8:22 [2] 6:25 102:18 9:4 9:14 9:21 10:13 10:22 12: [3] 111:6 114:3 114:4 [1] 67:12 9 12:15 13:3 13:23 14:25 15: Itself Lap Inmate 10 15:18 15:25 16:5 16:8 17: 3 17:15 18:12 18:14 39:9 40: [1] 54:19 [2] 69:24 95:16 [30] 76:25 77:11 77:13 77:23 77:24 80:7 81:4 81:10 81:16 82:7 84:16 84:20 87:15 88:18 Large 2 42:14 45:17 46:1 46:20 47: 5 47:9 47:11 50:7 59:20 59: [1] 27:13 88:22 92:2 92:22 94:8 94:12 95:16 95:21 95:21 97:24 97: Jager Las 21 73:21 90:11 101:17 103:12 [1] 13:17 103:15 108:1 108:12 113:10 [8] 4:1 32:10 36:21 41:5 41: 24 99:1 102:2 102:20 103:21 James 113:13 114:11 115:20 20 65:8 65:11 67:5 104:10 104:16 [5] 2:19 74:14 74:18 75:1 75: Karen Last Inmate's [11] 4:9 25:24 56:1 56:19 56: 22 66:13 77:11 79:20 88:17 [5] 28:11 30:3 31:24 32:6 32: f11 100:8 Janice Inmates 110:14 111:21 [2] 1:25 116:18 Karen's [46] 76:6 76:13 77:1 77:14 78:18 80:4 81:18 82:6 83:18 Late [2] 31:21 32:18 Jason [1] 55:20 83:19 83:20 86:25 87:2 87:4 [43] 21:7 21:18 41:19 41:22 Kasmeric Laugh 87:7 87:8 87:16 87:17 87:21 42:1 42:19 42:25 46:22 47:15 [2] 16:9 16:13 47:18 47:23 48:21 49:1 49:3 [3] 57:13 73:4 112:7 87:23 88:5 89:1 89:2 89:14 Keep 49:3 49:6 49:9 49:9 49:12 51: 10 52:17 52:17 52:19 52:23 94:4 95:10 95:10 96:2 96:18 97:2 97:14 98:15 101:25 102: [8] 5:22 8:9 29:14 29:15 31: Law 14 31:25 32:1 107:12 [5] 20:17 20:22 51:23 60:16 1 102:2 102:3 104:4 104:7 106:14 106:18 106:24 107:1 52:24 52:24 53:8 53:22 54:11 115:13 Keeping 54:23 55:9 55:11 55:12 55:19 Lazon [1] 12:24 55:20 55:25 56:8 56:24 57:9 57:12 58:7 58:17 59:11 107:5 107:9 107:20 107:23 [12] 2:3 33:10 33:15 33:24 Kennedy Innocent 33:24 34:5 34:19 35:15 39:1 [1] 110:17 Jason's [1] 55:4 39:5 40:8 42:25 Kept [3] 51:12 54:16 56:20 Insert Lazon's Jeff [1] 53:2 [1] 29:7 [1] 40:3 [1] 13:17 Kern Inside Lead Jerry [1] 86:14 [2] 24:2 45:1 (2) 8:9 16:19 Kid [1] 78:6 Institution Leader [1] 40:21 Job [6] 76:19 77:10 81:18 83:10 [1] 49:10 [9] 23:17 30:4 52:5 65:11 66: 2 67:4 67:16 107:3 112:16 Kids 89:18 94:7 Leading Instruct [8] 28:3 28:5 31:22 31:24 41: [1] 8:6 22 44:7 57:8 66:4 Jobs [1] 13:11 League Kill Instructed [1] 76:9 [5] 48:3 48:4 53:11 55:7 56:2 [1] 22:24 Joe [2] 5:21 73:24 Lean [2] 77:23 77:24 Killed Instruction [1] 41:1 [8] 21:21 22:17 29:25 65:18 66:3 70:16 70:21 70:22 (9) 5:11 8:22 9:6 9:6 9:8 9: 8 9:18 60:16 115:13 John Leaned [1] 111:8 121 26:3 28:23 Killer Instructions Join Leaning [1] 22:17 [7] 8:18 8:20 8:20 9:14 12: [1] 57:23 [1] 37:23 15 18:22 21:11 Killing Jones Learn Instructor [1] 22:3 1301 2:3 2:6 21:5 21:6 21:19 [4] 22:20 23:5 30:21 31:6 [1] 76:6 21:20 33:10 33:11 33:15 33: Kind Learned Intelligence [23] 16:15 20:10 20:13 20:24 24 33:25 38:9 38:12 38:12 38: 13 39:9 39:10 39:14 39:23 39: 24 44:15 62:1 62:2 62:5 62: 15 62:15 62:20 62:21 63:19 25:10 25:16 28:17 32:8 32:14 40:11 47:15 47:20 57:21 64: 131 29:5 67:14 89:13 [2] 9:25 114:9 Least Intent 20 66:5 66:11 69:11 78:4 80: 18 97:10 102:5 111:14 112:10 [6] 6:20 15:19 19:14 81:11 [2] 10:3 84:21 81:11 99:19 Intention Jose Kindergarten Leave [1] 6:1 [1] 75:20 [1] 65:4 [6] 27:18 31:19 66:25 67:1 68:22 97:14 Interest Joy Kinds f11 93:21 [1] 23:15 121 19:5 35:22 Leaves Interested Jr Kirk [1] 84:3 [1] 78:13 [7] 2:3 33:10 33:15 33:24 33: [1] 32:11 Leaving 6v1726 Interesting 25 62:21 63:B Kiss [1] 103:3

ŧ

Left. [4] 25:8 25:15 26:8 78:24 [2] 4:16 6:6 Mandated Living IIS 552623 41:54612 86 [1] 19:12 Met 229229395981598 [2] 65:20 68:19 [5] 5:12 38:5 41:23 42:4 66:2 Mannar 179523916111:1911521 Lizzie [1] 11:22 Middle 11522 [6] 2:15 62:1 62:5 62:14 62: [2] 25:5 100:21 Manufactured Teft hand 15 70:10 Might [1] 102:2 @ 87:69259B159B179G Load [8] 7:3 16:11 54:13 60:12 65: 16 70:18 110:8 115:9 Marine [1] 76:13 111 75:23 Iera] Locked Miller Marissa [3 18:24:21:321:11 [4] 24:11 24:13 83:19 83:23 [7] 28:10 28:15 28:20 28:23 [4] 51:17 56:8 56:16 57:2 legally 29:6 29:16 29:24 Lockup Mark [1] 199 Million [4] 76:17 86:25 87:5 88:16 [1] 113:22 Ies [1] 11:10 Look Marked [2] 504 X08 Mind [11] 34:21 47:2 53:17 63:6 [6] 34:19 46:24 53:16 63:4 letiercy 66:14 68:11 70:12 70:20 72:7 74:7 91:12 (3) 8-13 18:12 88:24 63:19 101:11 rr 249 Mine Marks Lenient Looked [1] 57:13 [5] 91:14 93:22 93:23 94:1 ZI 24:10:24:14 [2] 41:25 53:6 Minimal Looking Less [3] 101:22 101:23 103:4 Married [9] 3£2487.1687.1787.18 [6] 25:22 59:2 66:15 93:14 Minor [2] 25:7 65:12 87:1987:19100:20105:10 93:17 93:20 Marshal [1] 102:14 10522 Looks Minus [1] 78:8 Tetter [3] 68:6 72:8 72:10 Master 111 85:8 [1] 44:4 Lord Minuscule 111 75:18 Icrel [3] 45:15 71:25 71:25 [1] 77:21 Matching **6] 43:23 41:1** 77:1 77:2 81: Los [1] 112:10 Minute 1381:1695:1095:10 [3] 25:15 26:9 27:21 [1] 45:25 **Materials** Lesv Lose Minutes [21 14:13 97:1 89 223 108:19 KBZI KBI [1] 70:17 [5] 5:6 5:16 6:18 6:19 6:20 10 10 10 11 12 15 11 13 Maternal Losing Miracle [1] 27:14 Total [1] 30:21 [2] 68:3 68:6 Math 01926 Loss Mi randa Library [2] 111:9 111:10 [2] 39:6 48:6 [1] 65:13 III 99:22 Matter Lost Miscounted [7] 8:16 17:9 17:17 51:15 60: Liebeerts (8) 26:2 29:11 31:13 32:2 40: DJ 775 13 61:15 115:10 [1] 12:22 16 45:5 45:6 50:4 Matters Miss Life Lovable [3] 4:4 14:20 61:2 131 57:16 57:17 106:4 19 2:32:22:23 [1] 47:19 217212021202020202020 Mattress Missing Love 27:15:28:934:11 35:18:35:21 [1] 92:4 [2] 42:8 58:12 [6] 37:22 40:14 41:10 44:2 36836154914453492 Maximum Mistaken 52:19 56:9 49449249272117221 [15] 78:23 80:7 82:21 83:10 [1] 47:22 **78.1978.1983.1984.10** Loved 83:14 83:15 84:4 84:4 87:7 87:8 87:14 94:12 100:19 100: Mitigate 84.2087:187:288:988:996 [13] 10:13 11:3 11:18 12:2 [1] 20:6 20106153061930213025 12:11 29:10 36:1 36:2 41:17 Life tem 53:1 59:13 66:6 66:6 Mitigated Mayotte [1] 9:9 Loving DI 83:19 [1] 111:3 [1] 59:11 Mitigating Life without possibility of parole McDaniel [11] 9:11 9:14 9:23 10:14 10: [3] 84:20:107:1 107:5 Low [6] 80:12 81:21 89:2 92:11 16 10:21 11:1 11:8 11:16 20: [1] 113:23 Ticht 93:22 96:25 4 20:12 Z 924 9624 Lower McDaniel's Mitigation [1] 92:3 Likely [1] 107:4 [2] 24:5 24:6 Lunch D 136 Meal Mitigator [15] 13:4 15:3 15:6 15:7 15: Timb 111 95:17 19 15:20 15:23 15:24 59:25 [4] 10:3 10:4 11:22 11:23 F) 2125014502051451: Meals 60:3 60:6 60:9 60:21 77:21 Mitigators [1] 95:13 [4] 9:15 9:18 10:19 12:4 Line Lunchtime Mean Mockingbird 23 11:25 14:5 [11] 8:17 14:1 57:9 57:11 59: [1] 14:24 [1] 22:25 List 12 65:22 78:15 81:1 82:25 101:23 106:2 Mom [5] 913 913 922 104 1913 Μ [10] 27:15 30:25 37:4 51:12 55:5 64:25 66:16 68:17 70:23 Tasted Meaning Ma'am S 1014 1019 124 1212 [1] 96:13 [11] 35:3 35:17 35:19 35:21 36:3 36:5 37:25 59:17 73:18 71:21 82-11 Mechanics Mom's Listen [2] 19:2 21:13 113:14 113:14 53 18 19 20 17 98 6 60 11 115 8 [1] 45:5 Medical Magazine Mommy [2] 80:20 82:12 [1] 102:19 [3] 51:15 59:12 59:13 Listering Medically Magazines Money [] 19:21 £11 75:25 [8] 32:1 65:25 67:18 72:18 [1] 94:6 Listin Medication 72:22 107:12 107:19 108:6 Mailed ZI 1031014 [3] 49:17 52:7 52:7 [1] 14:14 Monies Lists Meat [1] 108:7 Major n m [8] 15:2 66:4 66:8 66:10 66: Montebello [1] 105:7 Libeary 12 81:11 81:12 96:24 [2] 27:23 109:20 Mama's ш ш.7 Members (1) 53:2 Month Liberally [13] 7:25 11:24 12:2 12:8 12: 12 20:15 21:1 21:2 21:9 37: 11 48:7 116:4 116:7 [3] 41:14 58:20 65:16 Man (1) <del>69</del> Months [12] 23:1 28:9 29:6 41:18 43: Live 18 52:15 66:9 68:12 68:13 72: [4] 30:18 52:6 54:17 64:1 [10] 24:16:34:13 35:18:35:10 Men 3 73:6 87:24 Moore 4017402270157215739 [4] 20:16 48:25 65:21 88:3 Man's 7512 [21] 2:9 2:12 2:15 21:7 21: Mention [1] 24:3 18 46:1 46:2 46:6 46:15 46: 16 46:22 50:3 50:7 50:15 50: Liveri [1] 105:14 Management [7] 23-72611 261627:22 Mentioned 16 50:20 51:4 51:11 53:13 54: T-1541-2041-20 [1] 87:15 [4] 7:2 7:14 55:6 80:25 5 59:15 Mandate Lives Message Morals [1] 19:11

{1].47:21	[1] 9:16	[2] 64:15 65:3	[5] 11:14 21:9 23:6 85:25
Morgue	Necessary	Nowhere	106:7
[1] 69:17	[1] 16:20	[2] 82:6 100:22	One
Morning [6] 13:10 13:18 17:3 21:17	Need	Nuclear	[86] 4:23 7:13 8:22 8:24 10: 4 10:6 10:8 10:9 10:11 10:12
30:12 115:14	[8] 11:7 19:3 31:1 43:13 58: 7 60:19 60:25 115:18	[1] 26:13 Nude	10:13 10:14 10:15 10:19 10:
Most	Needed	111 69-21	25 11:4 12:1 12:1 12:4 12:4 12:9 13:24 14:5 16:5 16:6 18:
[8] 20:5 33:4 54:25 78:17 81:	[8] 5:2 27:20 40:14 40:20 41:	Nuisance	15 18:17 19:14 19:20 19:22
10 87:24 100:14 111:23 Mostly	1 41:1 68:24 72:19 Needs	[1] 102:4	22:15 23:7 25:25 28:16 30:19
[1] 80:23	[6] 49:12 81:5 81:5 81:11 81:	Number   121 12:21 16:14 24:2 54:9	30:25 31:1 31:1 31:2 31:6 31: 11 32:7 37:21 43:2 43:14 47:
Mother	12 104:25	54:15 54:22 55:7 55:24 56:5	22 49:7 54:6 54:11 55:10 57:
[24] 10:5 25:15 26:8 26:23	Neighbor's	56:18 96:15 102:23	10 58:20 58:20 70:13 84:17 84:18 85:19 86:6 86:20 86:21
27:11 27:20 27:20 28:8 28:11 28:22 28:24 29:2 30:3 30:23	[1] 31:16 Neighborhood	Numbers	86:22 86:24 87:5 87:10 89:13
37:13 40:18 40:21 40:22 41:	[4] 29:20 31:20 31:25 73:8	[7] 7:4 7:5 7:8 7:17 7:19 85: 7 90:19	90:10 91:25 92:17 92:21 92: 23 93:2 94:25 95:10 95:11 96:
21 43:16 43:18 43:25 44:4 64: 17	Neighbors	Numerous	8 96:19 97:15 97:22 102:11
Mother's	[1] 73:10	[1] 83:8	102:22 105:15 105:24 106:24 107:2 107:2 113:18
[1] 66:2	Nephew	0	One-line
Move	[2] 41:12 70:22 Nevada	O'Brien	(1) 14:5
[9] 10:18 36:12 36:21 53:24 63:11 64:6 70:14 90:1 101:15	[24] 1:1 1:3 4:1 16:14 70:12	[37] 1:22 2:24 4:20 16:2 16:	Ones
Moved	70:14 70:15 70:16 77:15 80:1	24 17:14 21:16 21:17 33:6 35:	[5] 11:3 11:18 12:2 12:11 113:2
[5] 64:5 65:2 80:4 82:16 82:	81:20 82:4 82:6 82:7 82:22 83:4 83:12 83:17 85:1 85:2	8 39:5 42:10 45:19 45:20 47: 7 50:9 50:10 54:1 59:16 59:	Och
17 Movement	100:15 107:9 107:20 107:23	17 61:20 61:21 63:13 64:8 73:	[1] 112:20
[5] 83:17 83:21 87:16 87:19	Nevada's	17 74:5 74:10 74:12 108:18 109:14 113:8 114:12 114:19	Open
100:3	[1] 107:18 Never	115:23 116:2 116:5 116:10	[1] 95:15 Opened
Moves	[24] 19:12 22:23 23:16 28:24	O'clock	[4] 95:9 95:14 95:17 95:25
[1] 35:4 Moving	38:4 42:22 48:8 48:9 48:23	[2] 5:2 5:9 Objected	Opening
[1] 31:19	49:7 50:5 50:6 56:4 57:12 67: 23 67:24 68:14 68:15 68:15	(1) 85:21	[4] 13:2 17:20 17:23 95:23
Mrk'd	68:18 68:20 69:12 70:17 71:5	Objection	Operations
[1] 3:2 Mud	New	[9] 12:17 15:8 35:7 35:8 47: 7 54:1 63:13 64:8 101:17	[1] 80:6 Opinion
[1] 57:10	[8] 28:7 28:7 28:9 77:8 83: 22 83:22 91:11 91:11	Obligations	[5] 16:12 16:14 16:19 60:14
Multiply	Newly	[2] 5:1 5:24	115:11
[1] 11:9	[1] 83:18	Observed	Opportunity [1] 15:1
Mumbling [1] 68:16	Next [17] 8:16 10:2 11:25 12:1 18:	(2) 42:3 97:13 Obtained	Oppose
Murder	18 25:22 39:8 45:23 50:13 59:	(2) 75:18 75:19	[2] 9:22 10:1
[12] 9:9 19:23 36:6 37:10 58:	20 61:8 67:9 73:20 77:6 106: 8 107:8 108:17	Obviously	Optimal
9 69:2 69:5 74:2 81:25 84:6 88:8 103:6	Nice	[1] 20:1	[1] 85:9 Option
Murdered	[6] 26:13 29:19 42:5 68:12	Occasion [2] 79:20 79:21	[3] 24:10 88:20 88:21
[2] 68:1 70:7	68:13 68:23	Occasions	Options
Murders	Nicely [1] 112:12	[2] 79:18 80:10	[1] 24:14
[1] 22:2 Must	Night	Occupation	Oral [1] 6:6
[4] 33:2 110:2 115:5 115:7	[4] 4:9 31:2 65:20 67:19	(1) 75:7 Occurred	Order
Mutual	Nights	(1) 4:13	[2] 8:9 60:7
[1] 29:9	[3] 31:4 31:4 31:7 Nine	October	Ordered
N	[1] 55:9	[10] 67:24 79:21 79:21 80:14	[3] 15:7 69:13 69:17
Name	Nobody	85:12 85:14 89:16 90:13 91:1 99:14	Ordering [1] 98:25
[19] 11:25 25:20 28:10 33:22	[7] 66:14 70:24 72:24 72:25 72:25 73:2 114:6	Offense	Orders
38:6 39:21 39:23 46:13 51:2	Non	[4] 20:7 77:18 81:6 104:22	[1] 99:1
51:4 61:25 62:12 62:14 74:24 80:11 102:24 109:8 109:10	[1] 12:5	Offenses	ORE
111:25	Non-family	Offer	[1] 51:5 Organized
Named [1] 86:24	[1] 12:5	[2] 14:8 47:5	[1] 86:17
Names	None [1] 15:10	Office	Ought
[2] 38:11 38:14	North	[4] 5:5 79:2 86:14 110:19 Officer	[1] 42:20
Nancy	[1] 84:25	[3] 76:19 89:19 95:19	Ourselves
[1] 1:13 Narcotics	Northern	Officers	[1] 43:24 Out-of-cell
[1] 87:19	[1] 85:1 Note	[3] 77:4 77:9 83:25	(2) 106:4 106:6
Narrow		Offset	
1111 00 "			Outfield
[1] 99:5	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23	[1] 76:6	[1] 55:12
Nationwide	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes		[1] 55:12 Outside
	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old	[1] 55:12
Nationwide [1] 81:3	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider
Nationwide [1] 81:3 Natural [3] 26:3 55:10 66:15 Naturally	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22 Nothing [23] 22:16 33:18 38:24 38:24 39:17 42:2 45:16 46:9 50:8	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 26:11 27:24 30:11 54:	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider [1] 32:16
Nationwide [1] 81:3 Natural [3] 26:3 55:10 66:15 Naturally [1] 27:25	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22 Nothing [23] 22:16 33:18 38:24 38:24	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 26:11 27:24 30:11 54: 11 54:17 56:13 63:23 64:1 64: 16 70:6	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider [1] 32:16 Overcrowded
Nationwide [1] 81:3 Natural [3] 26:3 55:10 66:15 Naturally [1] 27:25 Nature	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22 Nothing [23] 22:16 33:18 38:24 38:24 39:17 42:2 45:16 46:9 50:8 50:23 56:21 58:13 62:8 68:21 74:21 78:5 97:3 108:1 109:4 110:12 113:5 114:11 114:12	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 26:11 27:24 30:11 54: 11 54:17 56:13 63:23 64:1 64: 16 70:6 Older	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider [1] 32:16
Nationwide [1] 81:3 Natural [3] 26:3 55:10 66:15 Naturally [1] 27:25	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22 Nothing [23] 22:16 33:18 38:24 38:24 39:17 42:2 45:16 46:9 50:8 50:23 56:21 58:13 62:8 68:21 74:21 78:5 97:3 108:1 109:4 110:12 113:5 114:11 114:12 Notice	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 26:11 27:24 30:11 54: 11 54:17 56:13 63:23 64:1 64: 16 70:6	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider [1] 32:16 Overcrowded [1] 85:10 Overnight [1] 115:5
Nationwide [1] 81:3 Natural [3] 26:3 55:10 66:15 Naturally [1] 27:25 Nature [1] 82:18 Navy [4] 49:4 57:23 57:25 58:5	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22 Nothing [23] 22:16 33:18 38:24 38:24 39:17 42:2 45:16 46:9 50:8 50:23 56:21 58:13 62:8 68:21 74:21 78:5 97:3 108:1 109:4 110:12 113:5 114:11 114:12 Notice [2] 14:1 28:5	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 26:11 27:24 30:11 54: 11 54:17 56:13 63:23 64:1 64: 16 70:6 Older [10] 25:5 25:7 38:5 40:5 42: 1 58:19 68:13 70:19 72:16 72: 16	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider [1] 32:16 Overcrowded [1] 85:10 Overnight [1] 115:5 Owed
Nationwide [1] 81:3 Natural [3] 26:3 55:10 66:15 Naturally [1] 27:25 Nature [1] 82:18 Navy [4] 49:4 57:23 57:25 58:5 Near	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22 Nothing [23] 22:16 33:18 38:24 38:24 39:17 42:2 45:16 46:9 50:8 50:23 56:21 58:13 62:8 68:21 74:21 78:5 97:3 108:1 109:4 110:12 113:5 114:11 114:12 Notice	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 26:11 27:24 30:11 54: 11 54:17 56:13 63:23 64:1 64: 16 70:6 Older [10] 25:5 25:7 38:5 40:5 42: 1 58:19 68:13 70:19 72:16 72: 16 Oldest	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider [1] 32:16 Overcrowded [1] 85:10 Overnight [1] 115:5 Owed [1] 108:8
Nationwide [1] 81:3 Natural [3] 26:3 55:10 66:15 Naturally [1] 27:25 Nature [1] 82:18 Navy [4] 49:4 57:23 57:25 58:5	[7] 1:25 4:23 4:25 5:14 5:18 5:21 5:23 Notes [1] 4:22 Nothing [23] 22:16 33:18 38:24 38:24 39:17 42:2 45:16 46:9 50:8 50:23 56:21 58:13 62:8 68:21 74:21 78:5 97:3 108:1 109:4 110:12 113:5 114:11 114:12 Notice [2] 14:1 28:5 Noticed	[1] 76:6 Often [1] 105:19 Old [15] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 26:11 27:24 30:11 54: 11 54:17 56:13 63:23 64:1 64: 16 70:6 Older [10] 25:5 25:7 38:5 40:5 42: 1 58:19 68:13 70:19 72:16 72: 16	[1] 55:12 Outside [5] 5:25 27:23 52:18 57:10 61:3 Outsider [1] 32:16 Overcrowded [1] 85:10 Overnight [1] 115:5 Owed

P [2] 100:14 104:23 [1] 77:22 [31:15]  Pack [17] 7:25 8:7 9:21 16:13 18: [1] 57:10 [1] 21: [2] 36:20 40:6 [2] 19:6 19:11 19:11 19: Pinch Precly	uded
[2] 36:20 40:6 [23 19:2 19:6 19:11 19: 11 19: Dinch	
1Dmmon   1   2   14   16   19   30   20   21   1	-
[1] 69:18 3 73:23 84:11 Place	
Paglini People [2] 81:4 94:21 [1] 10:	<del></del>
[9] 13:9 13:15 13:18 14:2 14: [22] 11:7 19:25 20:24 26:24 Placed Pregn. 3 14:16 14:22 15:2 115:24 31:23 42:23 59:2 66:14 68:13 Placed	
69:7 69:10 71:20 72:17 73:8 [6] 80:5 82:15 82:20 83:13 [1] 65:	
[1] 13:15   84:13 92:23 100:12 105:14   94:8 97:8   Preliging   Pr	minary
Paid Perceive [3] 78:23 79:4 83:9 Prepa	ration
[3] 79:6 79:8 79:9 [1] 4:9 Places [1] 79:	:15
Prepar	
[3] 107:16 107:17 107:18 Plaintiff [5] 6:5 Painting Perfect [1] 1:4 Prepar	5 13:16 14:16 33:7 74:5
[1] 94:13 [1] 66:9 Plan [2] 13:	:13 13:14
Palled Perfectly [2] 86:19 87:21 Presci	
1Dam	23 54:24
Pam [1] 58:8 Presc: [2] 65:25 72:2 [5] 4:10 7:17 26:24 99:21 Plant [1] 21:	
Pam's [1] 52:23 Preser	
[1] 65:24 Perimeter Plate [4] 4:4	1 17:13 61:3 61:18
Pamela	
[11] 8:	:2 11:21 13:2 13:6 13: 17:10 61:15 61:16 73:
[34] 1:19 2:4 2:13 2:16 13:4 113:20 Play 22 88:2	
14:15 33:9 34:4 34:15 34:18 Periods [3] 55:19 57:18 66:6 Presen	ntation
[50:14 51:9 53:24 54:4 59:15 ]	14 14:13
105:20 01:4 01:5 01:15 01:24 101 0:0 00:01	ntations
62:1 62:19 63:3 63:11 63:16 (2) 63:9 69:21 (7.57:8 57:19 67:15 [1] 14: 64:3 64:10 73:15 116:4 Permitted Playing Present	
Paper [6] 89:8 89:18 94:6 97:18 [2] 55:11 57:10 [1] 9:2	
[1] 94:19   107:10 107:12   Plumbing   Preser	_
Pardon [2] 96:11 96:11 [1] 19: [1] 69:4 [25] 19:10 30:6 34:9 34:22 Plus President	
34:24 40:11 40:13 42:6 42:7 (3) 70:0 85:0 313:16	
[4] 26:12 26:14 26:19 26:22 70:21 72:19 73:1 81:22 Point Presu	me
Park 81:24 84:8 88:8 93:1 99:22 [12] 6:1 6:17 7:3 8:11 8:21 [1] 115	3
104.12.212.21	Y :12 45:8 60:7 72:21
[1] 79:13 Pointed 112:3	12 43;0 00.7 72.21
Parole Personally [1] 45:12 Previous	
(12) 1011 10120 01120 10120 1012	20 85:22 104:14
TOUR OF THE TOUR TOUR PARKET	ousiy :10 41:21
Part 14 73:23 73:25 84:17 84:18 [1] 107:4 Pride	
[9] 20:5 23:6 27:13 43:4 58: 86:19 86:20 86:21 86:21 86: Polite [1] 23: 12 71:9 71:10 78:17 98:12 22 86:23 87:5 87:10 89:9 89: [1] 68:13	1
Particular (13 90:9 90:10 91:25 92:17 92: Polled	ipal }:16 110:17
[3] 12:17 94:8 113:25   23 93:1 93:2 93:7 93:9 94:25   11 6:13   Printe	
Parties 106:10 106:14 106:18 Population (11 76:	. B
[2] 17:10 61:16	
Partner	
Partners 19 67:7 67:9 98:8 98:11 98: [4] 95:8 95:9 95:22 98:4 [36] 26	5:10 29:13 7B:23 79:5
[1] 102:3   11 98:17 98:18   Portion   79:17 8	31:23 82:8 82:18 82:21
	32:22
Page Photograph (1) 18:1 15 84:1	18 84:23 84:24 85:5 86:
[3] 27:6 103:11 113:8 [4] 63:21 64:4 64:7 98:12 [POSITION   25 99:3	l2 89:9 89:10 91:3 91: 3 100:19 100:20 103:7
Passed Photographed [3] 76:9 76:23 77:6 104:19	107:9 107:24
[1] 27:6 [1] 95:2 Positive Prison Past Photographs [3] 110:12 111:16 113:1 [1] 85.	
[1] 79:21 [12] 34:22 34:25 53:18 53:21 Possession Drien	
Path 62:25 63:6 63:9 89:5 94:5 94: [2] 102:1 102:18 [4] 80:	1 82:5 83:16 87:14
(1) 113:19 Possibility Prist	ine
[1] 106:22 [1] 70:20 84:9 84:10 84:20 [1] 100	
Payed Physical 19 107:1 107:5	em :24 23:25 114:3
[1] 27:1 [2] 86:19 111:3 Possible Proble	
Pay (1) 30.6 (2) 15:4 107:7 (10) 68	3:2 <b>68:4 68:4 87:17 87:</b>
[1] 32:25 Paycheck Picked [1] 78:4 22 107:	:7 114:1 114:2 114:7
[1] 23:16 [4] 42:22 64:19 69:21 112:7 Post-retirement Proces	ed
PE Picture [1] 78:4 [2] 16:	:13 91:5
1	eding
Peeled 8 96:5 111:10 Potential Broad	7 18:3 18:11 edings
Pictures [41 79:4 87:18 87:20 [41 79:4	ecings 25 8:2 23:6 116:13
1 01 4/10 33/13 03/14 1 M	
Penal Piece [5] 13:13 13:14 14:7 14:13 [2] 81:	.2 97.23 till 1774

11   10   12   13   13   13   13   13   13   13				
Processing   Classic   C	Processes	Questioning	Recollection	REPORTER'S
		■ T 1	[2] 112:9 112:13	[1] 1:11
Productive   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:16 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:17 109:10   10.59.18 73:18	_			Reports
13.144   Chickly   13.154   Chickly   13.154   Chickly   13.154   Chickly   13.154	[2] 82:9 88:14			[1] 14:6
13   13   13   13   13   13   13   13	Productive		12:14 17:1 17:8 22:21 33:23	Request
Program	<i>{</i> 1} 78:5	113:14		1 •
	1	Quickly		1 · ·
Property   Collett   Col		[1] 103:24		1 -
Top   Promoted   Collision	,	Oniet		
Description   Court			Records	
	[1] 22:11	<b>3</b> 5 5		- "
Rahem   R	Promoted	<b>,</b>	80:21 81:20 101:9 101:13 101:	Required
Table   Tabl	[1] 76:22	[2] 5:22 32:14		[1] 99:3
Table   Tabl	Prone	R	Recovering	Requires
Proof   13   344   16   10   30:20   30:52   36:1   36:3   30   50   36:3   3	<b>1</b>			
10   20   20   20   20   20   20   20	3 · ·	1	Recr	
Property (1) 36:14   Propose   Fig. 13 3:13 3:11.2   Propose   Fig. 13 3:13 3:13.2   Propose   Fig. 13 3:13 3:13.3 3:13				
1	1 T	2		* *
Dropose		Raheem's		
13   16-22   13   13   13   13   13   13   13		[2] 26:3 30:19		
	Propose	Raise		
Proposed	[1] 8:21	[7] 33:12 39:11 46:3 50:17	* *	
18   18   18   18   18   18   18   18	Proposed		Redr	Resolved
12 8:19 9:23 10:3 3a:20 35:5   46:25 53:16 33:5 53:5 0 99:4	• <del>-</del>	Raised	(1) 2:2	[1] 61:5
46:25 53:16 63:5 63:26 90:4   Range   (1) 07:19   (1) 16:11 16:16   (1) 16:1			Reduce	Resolving
	1			
Prosecutor's   Reached	1 1	[	, · · · .	
11 86:14   Protect	t -	[1] 6:16		
Reaching   Reaching   Reaching   Reaching   Reaching   Reaching   Reaching   Reaction		Reached		
Protect		[1] 5:8		
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- ·	r - ·	- <del>-</del>
Protection   Reaction   Real   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Reagand   Regulation   Reagand	[1] 81:17		Reflects	[1] 77:19
	Protection	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	[1] 4:12	Response
Protective	[11 44:7		Regard	[1] 97:2
	1.7	£ * -		
			<b>■</b> * *	-
	* ·			
	1 To	1	L	
		Reading		
Provide   Ready	Prove	[5] 111:6 111:11 111:13 114:	<b>T</b> .	
15   9:5   15:14   29:17   60:3   88:   23   23   23   23   23   23   23   2	[1] 19:14	4 114:4	Regulations	
Real   Signature	Provide	Ready		Rest
Real	[5] 9:5 15:14 29:17 60:3 88:	[3] 18:13 30:11 61:8	105:3	{3} 37:9 37:10 74:2
Provided		•	Related	Restitution
13   17:8   8:19   80:20   112:14   Realize   (5)   43:10   43:11   44:10   45:14   69:24   (7)   23:8   29:3   29:8   29:12   46:   (6)   84:1   84:2   100:1   100:6   100:7   100:7   Restraint   (7)   23:8   29:3   29:8   29:12   46:   (6)   84:1   84:2   100:1   100:6   100:7   100:7   Restraints   (11   82:1   123   76:18   79:2   Realize   (11   82:2   123   76:18   79:2   Realize   (11   82:3   76:18   79:2   Realize   (11   82:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:18   79:2   76:19   7	Provided	I	[2] 49:1 49:1	
Realize   (5) 43:10 43:11 44:10 45:14   (5) 43:10 43:11 44:10 45:14   (5) 43:10 43:11 44:10 45:14   (6):24   (7) 23:8 29:3 29:8 29:12 46: (6) 20:7   (1) 20:13				
11   43-19				
Psychiatric   (3) 22:14   (1) 42:16   (1		1		
Public			-	
Really	1	•		
Publish	Public			
10  35:5 35:10 47:9 53:24 54:5 34:16 47:5 21:1 45:3 63:16 47:9 53:24 54:3 63:11 63:15 64:6 64:6 90:24	[4] 1:21 1:23 76:18 79:2			
Second   S	Publish	[13] 11:9 16:6 28:25 29:1 29:		Result
Said   G3:11   G3:15   G4:6   G4:6   G4:6   Gazer	[10] 35:5 35:10 47:9 53:24		[2] 7:24 100:12	{1} 24:12
90:24   Rear   [3] 91:23 92:16 94:2   Released   Retired   Resson   [2] 91:15 91:18   Reasonable   [1] 91:15   Resonable   [1] 91:15   Receipt   [2] 19:21 20:2   Remain   Review   Review   Receive   [1] 19:4   Received   Receipt   [2] 19:21 20:2   Remain   Review   Review   Receipt   [2] 19:21 20:2   Remain   Review   Received   Received   Received   Received   Received   Received   Receipt   [3] 33:4 58:22   Received   [6] 4:15 5:6 5:17 14:1 14:22   Remainder   Reviewed   Received   Rece		3	Release	Retained
Pulled [1] 24:21 Punch [2] 91:15 91:18 Punches [1] 14:8 Punish [1] 124:15 Punish [1] 24:15 Punishment [2] 33:4 58:22 Purpose [4] 7:5 46:25 84:21 95:20 Pursuant [1] 85:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Pushed [1] 95:16 Pushed [1] 95:17 Pushed [1] 95:18 Pushed [1] 95:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Pushed [1] 95:16 Pushed [1] 95:16 Pushed [1] 95:17 Pushed [1] 11:26 Pushed [1] 11:27 Pushed [1] 11:28 Pushed		£	[1] 89:24	
[1] 24:21   Reason   [2] 4:25 105:1   [1] 75:9   Retirement   [2] 91:15 91:18   Reasonable   [1] 9:16   [3] 75:25 78:1 78:4   Retirement   [1] 91:16   [3] 75:25 78:1 78:4   Retirement   [1] 91:15   Receipt   [2] 19:21 20:2   Returns   [1] 95:18   Receive   [6] 33:12 39:10 46:2 50:17   [1] 95:18   Received   Reciived   Received   Reciived   Received   Reciived   R	Pulled	[3] 91:23 92:16 94:2	Released	
Punche [2] 91:15 91:18 [2] 19:15 91:18 [3] 20:16 51:21 88:23 [1] 19:15 [1] 19:15 [1] 19:15 [1] 19:16 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:19 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [1] 19:18 [2] 19:21 20:2 [1] 19:18 [2] 19:21 20:2 [2] 19:21		Reason		
[2] 91:15 91:18 Punches [1] 19:15 Receipt [1] 19:15 Remain [1] 24:15 Punishment [2] 33:4 58:22 Purpose [1] 95:9 Purpose [1] 19:5 66:25 84:21 95:20 Pursuant [1] 28:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Pushed [1] 95:16 Pushed [2] 76:14 82:9 Pushed [1] 95:16 Pushed [2] 76:16 82:9 Pushed [2] 76:17 8:2	# T T			• •
Punish [1] 41:8 [1] 41:8 [1] 41:8 [1] 77:19 [1] 24:15 [1] 19:4 [1] 19:4 [1] 19:4 [1] 19:4 [1] 19:4 [1] 19:5 [1] 19:5 [1] 19:4 [1] 19:15 [1] 19:10 [1] 10:16 [1] 10		<b>1</b> · · · ·		
[1] 41:8	1 7		* · ·	
Punish [1] 24:15 [1] 19:4 Receive [1] 19:4 Received [2] 33:4 58:22 Recent [1] 95:9 Purposes [4] 7:5 46:25 84:21 95:20 Purshed [1] 85:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Pushed [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 53:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Q Qualifications [1] 19:4 Receive [1] 77:19 Receive [1] 77:19 Receive [6] 33:12 39:10 46:2 50:17 104:18 108:22 Remainder [1] 104:18 108:22 Remainder [1] 78:24 Remember [1] 78:24 Remember [1] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 110:21 111:20 111:20 111:20 112:9 113:17 113:25 Remind [1] 11:20 111:20 111:20 112:9 113:17 113:25 Remind [1] 88:25 Remind [2] 17:23 115:5 Removed [1] 95:14 Recess [1] 95:14 Recess [1] 15:20 78:17 78:21 79:2 80:18 81:20 82:13 86:21 98:20 Remainder [2] 98:24 103:12 104:104:11 Reviewed [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 10 24 105:2 Reviewed [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 10 24 105:2 Reviewed [1] 18:25 Reviewed [1] 18:25 Reviewed [1] 18:25 Reviewed [1] 18:25 Reviewed [1] 88:25 Reviewed [1] 18:25		• •		-
Receive	• 1 · 1		- "	7 7
Punishment [2] 33:4 58:22   Received   [6] 4:15 5:6 5:17 14:1 14:22   Remainder   [1] 78:24   Remember   [1] 78:24   Remember   [1] 78:24   Received   Recent   [1] 78:24   Remember   [1] 78:25   Remainder   [1] 78:26   Remember   [1] 78:26   Remember   [1] 78:26   Recent   [1] 78:26   Remember   [1] 78:26   Recent   [1] 78:27   Recent   [1] 78:27   Recent   [2] 78:28   Remember   [2] 78:28   Reviewed   [3] 81:20 82:13 88:21 98:21 98:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21 104:21   [4] 78:24   Received   [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 104:21 105:21 105:21 11:20 111:20 111:20 112:9   Reviewed   [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 104:21 105:21 113:25   Remember   [1] 78:28   Reviewed   [2] 17:23 115:5   Remind   [2] 17:23 115:5   Removed   [2] 17:23 115:5   Recess   [2] 17:23 115:5   Recess   [2] 17:23 115:5   Recess   [2] 17:23 115:5   Recess   [2] 17:23 115:5   Recocurring   [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2   [4] 80:18 81:20 82:13 88:21 98: 24 98:24 103:18 103:21 104: 24 98:24 103:18 103:21 104:21   104:7 104:11   Reviewed   [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 104:21 113:25   Remember   [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18   Reviewed   [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 104:21 113:25   Remember   [1] 18:25   Reviewed   [1] 88:25   Remind   [1] 80:25   Remind   [1] 80:25   Reviews   [1] 81:25   Reviews   [1] 80:21 104:21	Punish	1 .	<del> </del>	
Punishment [2] 33:4 58:22 Purpose [1] 95:9 Purposes [4] 7:5 46:25 84:21 95:20 Pursuant [1] 85:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Put Put [2] 76:14 82:9 [2] 76:14 82:9 [3] 76:12 52:17 52:23 53:16 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 [1] 69:25 [4] 79:5 60:9 60:22 61:1 [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 53:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 [1] 19:4  Qualifications [1] 19:4 Received [6] 4:15 5:6 5:17 14:1 14:22 [8] Remainder [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [11] 11:20 111:20 111:20 112:9 [12] 76:14 41:12 44:3 45:4 113: [13] 86:12 98:24 [13] 78:24 Remainder [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [1] 104:7 104:11 [1] 104:7 104:7 104:11 [1] 104:7 104	[1] 24:15	1		[15] 15:20 78:17 78:21 79:2
[2] 33:4 58:22 Purpose [6] 4:15 5:6 5:17 14:1 14:22 Purpose [1] 95:9 Purposes [4] 7:5 46:25 84:21 95:20 Pursuant [1] 85:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Put [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 53:10 65:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Purpose [1] 14:4 Pushed [1] 92:5 Received [1] 14:4 Pushed [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 [1] 6:12 52:16 52:17 52:23 [1] 6:12 52:16 52:17 52:23 [1] 14:4 Pushed [1] 92:5 Received [1] 14:4 Put [1] 88:21 [1] 88:24 [1] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Removed [1] 15:3 115:3 115:5 Reccurring [1] 14:21 Remainder [1] 78:24 Remember [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 Reviewed [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 10 [6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 10 [7] 14:21 111:20 111:20 111:20 112:9 Reviews [1] 88:25 Reviews [1] 8:23 Right—hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Risk [1] 104:19 Reccircllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll	1	<b>,</b>		80:18 81:20 82:13 86:21 98:
Purpose [1] 95:9  Purposes [4] 7:5 46:25 84:21 95:20  Pursuant [1] 85:18  Pushed [1] 95:14  Put [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 [53:3:16 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 [10] 15:3 115:5  Recessed [1] 92:5  Qualifications [1] 14:4  Queried  [6] 4:15 5:6 5:17 14:1 14:22 [83:18 Remember [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [10] 36:18 55:10 60:8 110:18 [10] 21 11:20 11:20 112:9 [11] 11:20 11:20 112:9 [12] 4:19 [13] 11:21 11:20 11:20 112:9 [14] 14:21 15:3 115:5 [15] 41:4 41:12 44:3 45:4 113: [16] 77:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 10 [24 105:2  Reviews [1] 88:25 Revise [1] 88:25 Revise [1] 88:25 Removed [1] 89:21 105:10 106:7 Recess [1] 85:3 Reoccurring [1] 14:4 [1] 10:125 Recessed [1] 24:19 [1] 24:19 [1] 24:19 [1] 14:21 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3 [1] 10:16 Robert [1] 11:25		Received	Remainder	24 98:24 103:18 103:21 104:1
Site		[6] 4:15 5:6 5:17 14:1 14:22	[1] 78:24	
Purposes [4] 7:5 46:25 84:21 95:20 Pursuant [1] 85:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Put [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 53:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Qualifications [1] 14:4 Queried  Recont [1] 14:4 Queried  Recont [1] 38:4 [1] 38:4 Recently [1] 38:4 Remind [2] 17:78:20 80:15 80:19 10 24 105:2 Reviews [1] 88:25 Revise [1] 8:23 Reponc [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots [1] 101:25 Recessed [1] 24:19 Recently [1] 42:19 Recently [1] 83:6 Revises [1] 10:25 Revises [1] 82:3 Revises [1] 8:23 Revises [1] 8:25 Revises [1] 8:25 Revises [1] 8:25 Revises [1] 8:26 Revises [1] 8:28 Revises [1] 8:2				Reviewed
Furposes [4] 7:5 46:25 84:21 95:20  Pursuant [1] 85:18  Pushed [1] 95:14  Put [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 53:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Qualifications [1] 92:5  Recognize [1] 14:4  Queried  [1] 38:4  Recently [1] 138:4  Recently [1] 14:4  Queried  [1] 38:4  Recently [1] 138:4  Recently [1] 10:21 111:20 111:20 112:9  113:17 113:25  Remind [2] 17:23 115:5  Remind [2] 17:23 115:5  Removed [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7  Removed [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7  Report [1] 88:25  Reviews [1] 8:23  Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2  Riots [1] 101:25  Recessed [1] 24:19  Recognize [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4  Recognize [4] 11:20 111:20 111:20 112:9  113:17 113:25  Reviews [1] 88:25  Reviews [1] 8:23  Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2  Riots [1] 101:25  Recessed [1] 24:19  Recognize [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4  Recognize [4] 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3 [1] 10:16  Recognize [4] 11:20 111:20 111:20 111:20  [1] 88:25  Reviews [1] 8:23  Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2  Riots [1] 101:25  Recessed [1] 11:20 111:20 111:20 111:20 [1] 88:25  Reviews [1] 8:23  Reviews [1] 8:23  Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2  Riots [1] 101:25  Recessed [1] 11:20 111:20 111:20 112:9  [1] 88:25  Reviews [1] 88:25  Reviews [1] 8:23  Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2  Riots [1] 101:25  Recessed [1] 11:20 111:20 111:20 112:9  [2] 17:23 115:5  Reviews [1] 8:23  Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2  Recessed [1] 10:16 [2] 17:23 115:5  Recessed [1] 10:16 [2] 17:23 115:5  Remind [2] 18:4 [2] 17:23 115:5  Report [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7  Report [5] 41:4 41:12 44:3 45:4 113: [6] 18:23  Reviews [1] 8:23  Reviews [1] 8:23  Reviews [1] 8:23  Report [1] 10:16 [2] 17:23 115:5  Report [1] 10:16 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 18:4 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 18:4 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 18:4 [2] 17:23 115:5 [2] 18:4 [2] 18:4 [2] 18:4 [2] 17:23 115:	1	Recent	===	[6] 7:7 8:20 80:15 80:19 101:
Recently	3 ***			
Pursuant [1] 85:18 Pushed [1] 95:14 Put [1] 95:14 Put [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 S3:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Qualifications [1] 92:5 Qualifications [1] 14:4 Queried  [1] 86:12 44:3 45:4 113: Remind [2] 17:23 115:5 Removed [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Removed [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots [1] 18:23 Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots [1] 124:19 Recessed [1] 24:19 Report [1] 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3 Robert [1] 110:16 Recognize [1] 14:4 Recognizing Report [1] 11:25	1	<b>2</b>		Reviews
Pushed [1] 95:14 Put Put [2] 17:23 115:5 Removed [1] 95:14 Put [2] 76:14 82:9 [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Reno [1] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Reno [1] 85:3 [7] 59:25 60:9 60:22 61:1 [1] 6:10 52:16 52:17 52:23 [7] 59:25 60:9 60:22 61:1 [1] 101:25 Recessed [1] 24:19 Qualifications [1] 14:4 Queried  [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4 Recognize [4] 17:23 115:5 Removed [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Removed [1] 8:23 Right—hand Right—hand Right—shand [1] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Report [1] 14:19 Recognize [1] 14:21 14:23 15:13 44:3 [1] 101:25 Recognize [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4 Recognize [4] 11:25	Pursuant	IST ATA ATATO AAAG AEAA 220		
Pushed [1] 95:14 Put Put [1] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 53:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Q [1] 92:5 Reception [2] 76:14 82:9 Removed [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Removed [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots Reoccurring [1] 14:4 Queried  Recognize [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4 Recognize [4] 17:25 Recognize [6] 14:25 Recognize [7] 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3 Report [1] 11:16 Recognize [1] 14:4 Removed [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Removed [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots [4] 18:23 Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots [4] 18:23 Riots [4] 18:25 Riots [4] 18:25 Riots [4] 19:25 Riots	[1] 85:18		(2) 17.23 136.6	
[1] 95:14 Put Put [2] 76:14 82:9 Recess [11] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 53:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Q [1] 92:5 Recessed [1] 92:5 Recognize [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4 Recognize [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots [1] 101:25 Recurring [1] 14:49 Recognize [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4 Recognize [4] 94:11 95:21 105:10 106:7 Right-hand [4] 87:5 87:9 93:20 94:2 Riots [1] 101:25 Recurring [1] 14:19 Recognize [7] 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3 [8] 101:16 Recognize [1] 14:4 Recognize [1] 14:25 [1] 10:16 Recognize [1] 14:25 [1] 10:16	1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	•		
Put [11] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 [7] 59:25 60:9 60:22 61:1 [1] 85:3 [1] 104:20 105:6 [1] 92:5 [1] 92:5 [1] 14:4 [2] [1] 14:	1			
[11] 6:11 52:16 52:17 52:23 [7] 59:25 60:9 60:22 61:1 [1] 85:3 [1] 104:20 105:6 [1] 92:5 [1] 92:5 [1] 14:4 [2]		<b>T</b>		
53:10 69:22 72:3 91:7 94:5 104:20 105:6  Q (1) 92:5 Recessed (1) 92:5 Recognize (3) 34:21 63:7 90:4 Recognizing (1) 14:4 Queried  Recognizing (1) 12:25 Recognizing (1) 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3 (3) 84:21 63:7 90:4 (1) 12:25 Recognizing (1) 101:25 Risk (1) 1	== ==	\$ ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - '		
104:20 105:6   Recessed   [1] 24:19   Risk   [1] 83:6     Qualifications   Recognize   [7] 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3   Robert   [1] 14:4   Recognizing   Report   [1] 110:16				
Recessed		<b>1</b>	Reoccurring	1
Qualifications (3) 34:21 63:7 90:4 Report (1) 14:4 Recognizing Recognizing (1) 1:25		Recessed	[1] 24:19	Risk
Qualifications         Recognize         [7] 14:22 14:23 15:13 44:3         Robert           [1] 14:4         [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4         60:11 13:18 115:8         [1] 110:16           Queried         Recognizing         Reported         Roberts           [1] 11:25         [1] 110:16	0	[1] 92:5	<b>3</b>	{1] 83:6
[1] 14:4 [3] 34:21 63:7 90:4 [60:11 113:18 115:8 [1] 110:16 Recognizing REPORTED ROBERTS (11 113:18 115:8 [1] 110:16	<u> </u>	3 " "		3
Queried Recognizing REPORTED Roberts (1) 1730				
Oueried fill 14:24 (fill 1:25	1	• **	\$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	<b>, —</b> ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	[11] 310:22 (HIYA)
[2] 92:11 96:25	L[2] 92:11 96:25	1 1 1 1 1 4 1 5 4	141 1.00	

Role [2] 81:10 81:12 Several Sister [1] 29:7 Securily [4] 6:12 18:18 32:19 75:19 [13] 10:11 10:12 25:5 25:6 25:7 25:13 31:22 40:4 40:5 41:9 42:1 58:15 112:22 Rol1 [1] 96:1 Severely Security f11 94:19 [1] 24:15 [18] 24:2 76:17 78:23 80:5 Sister's Room Shadow 80:7 81:11 81:13 81:16 82:22 [1] 45:5 [8] 6:9 6:10 15:3 15:5 15:8 18:6 48:17 103:2 [1] 96:24 83:10 83:16 84:4 87:7 87:8 Sisters Shall 87:14 94:12 100:19 100:20 [7] 26:14 38:2 38:3 38:10 56: 7 57:2 57:10 Roost [1] 17:4 See [1] 32:15 Shame [20] 43:15 43:17 44:25 53:8 Sit Rough [2] 23:20 23:21 54:18 55:19 67:13 67:13 67: 20 68:8 68:9 68:9 71:16 71: 121 55:21 56:25 [2] 37:15 64:20 Share Sitting Rougher 16 71:17 71:17 71:20 91:8 97: f11 31:21 [2] 55:11 56:24 [1] 31:20 3 115:14 Shelf Situation Row Seeing [3] 92:2 93:15 93:19 [2] 82:3 96:3 [4] 84:16 96:14 96:14 98:16 [4] 42:3 43:19 43:20 69:8 Shift Situations Rug Seeking [1] 99:15 [1] 37:15 [1] 24:21 111 67:16 Shoes Six Rule Sees [2] 23:1 24:4 [3] 12:21 52:5 116:7 [1] 7:24 [2] 55:20 55:22 Shooting Skills Ruled Sea [1] 48:25 [1] 76:7 [1] 32:15 [14] 83:23 87:2 87:11 88:24 Shop 103:7 103:23 104:5 104:11 Slack Run (1) 110:23 104:18 105:1 105:6 105:10 [1] 30:6 [3] 31:16 44:21 73:11 Shopping 105:14 106:8 Slaughtered [1] 41:12 Segregation [1] 72:24 Short [10] 83:19 87:13 87:23 88:11 88:15 89:3 91:24 96:19 98:15 Sleep Sacramento [7] 27:5 29:14 49:4 60:7 77: (11 92:22 131 75:13 77:12 79:13 17 113:20 113:20 103:17 Slit Safe Shorten Self [1] 99:5 [2] 36:24 36:25 511 14:17 [1] 45:9 Slot Safely Shortly Self-esteem [1] 95:13 [1] 105:1 [1] 28:18 [1] 23:11 Safety Slowly Shot Sand [1] 45:11 [1] 103:1 [2] 67:8 72:24 [5] 27:3 27:3 27:8 27:16 88: Small Saitta Shoulder [2] 27:24 111:22 [1] 1:13 Sense 111 37-22 Smile Sake Show [4] 7:19 22:13 23:3 66:20 [5] 55:2 55:4 56:2 56:4 112: [1] 105:5 [13] 44:25 46:24 52:20 54:6 Sent 62:24 91:9 91:14 91:22 92:16 93:11 94:24 95:7 96:6 Samuel's [3] 5:20 65:25 67:18 Smiled [1] 65:23 Sentence [1] 28:23 Showed San [3] 12:1 88:9 96:20 Snowed 111 96:12 [31 48:20 75:17 75:20 Sentenced [1] 99:14 Shower Sat [2] 84:8 103:6 131 69:23 97:22 97:25 So-so [2] 42:2 48:10 Sentences [1] 29:20 Showering Satisfies [2] 78:20 106:19 [1] 97:23 Social [1] 114:20 Sentencing [1] 24:1 Showers Saw [2] 14:10 106:15 Society [1] 97:20 [4] 53:5 54:13 97:13 111:21 Separate [1] 81:17 Showing Schedule [2] 11:6 84:16 Sociopath 131 34:19 63:4 103:23 [1] 55:18 Separated [1] 22:17 Shown Scheduling [2] 27:10 32:5 Solely [2] 89:9 90:9 [2] 12:20 13:24 Separately [11 22:6 Shreds School [1] 10:21 Someone [2] 40:19 44:5 [32] 11:12 23:8 23:11 25:22 28:3 30:11 31:10 36:24 37:2 Separating [9] 55:13 58:23 58:25 70:17 Shuffle [1] 11:14 70:20 70:22 81:14 83:22 103:4 37:3 37:5 44:3 49:3 51:23 57: [1] 100:5 Sergeants 19 57:22 58:7 58:8 65:5 65:6 Sometime Shuffled 109:20 109:21 109:24 110:1 [1] 77:4 131 21:14 69:11 72:4 [1] 32:8 110:2 110:2 110:3 110:4 110: Serious Sometimes Shut 6 110:8 110:9 111:8 [3] 20:18 20:19 78:18 [3] 52:7 52:8 70:9 [2] 31:15 96:23 Schools Seriousness Somewhere Shv [1] 65:4 [1] 20:7 [4] 7:15 24:24 91:18 110:15 [9] 22:22 28:2 28:2 28:6 32: 17 47:20 48:2 53:9 56:11 Scout Served Son [1] 22:25 [1] 60:3 [31] 29:1 41:13 41:15 45:5 Shyness Screen Serves 46:23 47:3 47:15 47:16 47:25 [1] 53:11 [2] 91:13 93:25 49:22 50:2 50:3 S2:14 52:20 [2] 95:9 95:20 Side Search 52:21 53:1 53:22 55:1 57:16 Service [7] 17:22 87:6 87:6 87:10 89: 57:17 59:11 62:23 64:14 64: [1] 84:3 [1] 76:3 10 93:13 93:24 25 66:17 66:22 70:19 70:21 70:21 72:1 72:3 Seat Serving Significant [1] 103:3 [3] 96:20 106:15 106:19 111 99:2 Son's Seated Set Significantly [3] 52:1 58:9 62:23 [8] 17:10 33:21 39:20 46:12 [2] 13:18 19:9 [1] 89:13 Sons 51:1 62:11 74:23 109:7 Setting Similar [1] 71:8 Second [2] 80:7 81:5 [2] 15:16 93:2 Soon [11] 4:25 5:23 12:9 20:3 30: Settled 4 32:12 39:3 56:16 84:5 86: Simply [3] 27:3 27:4 44:10 [7] 5:20 5:22 11:15 12:20 23: 12 32:2 33:1 24 92:22 [1] 24:22 Sorrow Settling Second-class [1] 21:24 Single [1] 8:18 **{11 32:12** Sorry [3] 88:2 93:5 93:7 Seven [7] 39:5 41:10 42:10 44:2 49: Secretary Singularly 15 59:17 64:19 [6] 27:7 27:11 63:25 76:10 121 4:16 5:7 105:23 106:3 [1] 87:24 Sought Section [1] 16:22 [[] 731 Seventh Sink [2] 84:17 84:18 [3] 15:12 37:6 37:7 f11 94:20 Sound Secure

(1) 48:19 Statements [2] 31:20 31:23 [1] 11:11 Source Stuff 131 13:2 17:20 17:24 Teacher 121 23:20 23:21 [6] 41:9 42:8 49:17 71:23 73: 11 78:4 [7] 109:19 110:23 111:6 111: 7 111:7 111:9 112:3 States Southern [4] 25:19 26:23 27:9 75:23 [3] 27:22 82:7 82:7 Sub Teachers Status [1] 16:7 Space [1] 111:24 [1] 87:5 [1] 92:9 Subject Teaching Statutory Spare [2] 16:12 99:2 [1] 76:6 [5] 9:13 9:24 19:13 19:18 19: [11 72:23 Submit Team วถ Specific Stay [1] 11:20 [1] 55:8 f11 21:3 [2] 32:10 67:4 Submitted Tears [3] 18:4 60:15 115:12 Specifically 111 44:5 Stays [1] 72:4 Subpoena 121 12:7 113:17 Tease [13] 80:20 (1) 112-11 Spell Steel [9] 33:22 38:8 38:14 39:21 Subsequent Technology [3] 94:21 95:5 96:11 46:13 51:2 62:12 74:24 109:8 [1] 89:12 [1] 91:11 Step Spelled Teeth Successes [8] 39:7 45:22 50:11 59:19 111 39:24 73:19 106:8 108:13 114:13 [3] 23:5 23:14 23:15 [1] 30:13 Spend Stepfather Successful Telephone [7] 26:17 57:4 103:9 104:25 105:9 105:14 106:11 [2] 26:3 28:9 [1] 79:4 [6] 4:16 4:19 4:19 4:20 4:21 98:6 Stepped Suddenly Spending Telephones [21 28:21 30:7 [11 29:25 [1] 96:2 [1] 98:13 Steps Suffered Spent [1] 95:24 Tend [1] 57:20 [1] 32:7 [1] 22:7 Sticking Sufficient Split Tends [1] 29:2 [1] 9:11 [2] 26:19 26:22 Still Suggest [1] 20:6 Spoiled Term (28) 13:13 13:14 14:18 17:17 (11 29:6 [2] 65:1 66:24 22:23 34:10 34:14 37:13 37: 141 80:25 83:19 96:20 100:21 Suit 14 37:14 37:16 43:2 43:23 43: 25 47:18 52:7 59:12 71:7 71: 13 87:7 103:5 103:6 103:9 Sports Terms [1] 112:10 [2] 66:6 66:7 [4] 4:13 77:1 81:23 84:7 Sum Spot Terrible [1] 23:3 104:7 104:10 106:12 113:3 [2] 24:24 94:4 [4] 22:3 22:4 22:9 111:15 Summarize 115:1 Square Test Stint [1] 9:7 [2] 94:3 94:7 (11 18:25 Summertime 111 49:4 Squares Testified Stipulate [1] 99:21 [14] 33:19 34:5 39:18 46:10 50:24 62:9 74:22 86:3 86:5 [1] 96:9 [4] 12:15 17:12 61:17 90:14 Superintendent/warden Stapped Stipulation [1] 76:21 86:7 86:8 86:12 97:12 109:5 [1] 77:25 Supervised 111 90:23 Testify Stabbino Stolen [2] 76:11 77:3 [13] 14:19 17:22 18:9 33:17 39:16 46:8 50:22 62:7 74:20 85:24 86:10 86:15 109:3 [11 77:23 [1] 22:7 Supervising Staff [3] 76:11 76:13 76:16 Stone-cold [4] 81:17 95:24 99:13 102:4 [1] 22:17 Supervisor Testifying Stainless Stop [1] 76:24 [2] 14:6 40:8 [21 94:20 96:11 [1] 52:10 Supported Testimony Stand Stopped [1] 26:4 [12] 8:11 13:8 15:15 16:10 [6] 23:1 24:3 55:13 55:21 56: 3 61:11 61:23 62:2 [4] 57:21 69:7 69:8 69:9 16:15 17:2 18:8 18:17 79:16 85:22 89:2 115:1 Supporting Store [1] 37:20 Standard [1] 73:12 Supposed Tests [5] 77:21 94:5 94:8 111:11 112:4 [1] 88:24 [1] 82:14 Stories Standards Thanksgiving [1] 48:18 [1] 47:21 Surcharge [1] 49:20 Story Standing [4] 4:12 28:15 30:23 48:19 [1] 99:2 Theme [5] 33:12 39:11 46:3 50:17 Surprise [1] 24:19 Straight [4] 107:22 107:25 108:5 108:7 Themselves [1] 66:16 Standoffish Sweet [1] 88:2 Strangers [1] 28:14 [1] 27:18 Thereto [1] 66:8 Stands SWOTE Street [1] 80:21 [11 55:11 [8] 18:9 33:17 39:16 46:8 50: Thereupon [2] 26:16 73:9 Start 22 62:7 74:20 109:3 [3] 17:6 61:13 115:15 Streets [3] 11:14 16:20 24:23 System Third [1] 27:1 Started [14] 68:5 77:10 77:15 77:15 Stressed [3] 20:10 20:14 51:21 (2) 29:8 58:16 81:23 83:7 83:14 83:22 86:22 Thoughts [1] 68:1 Starting 88:12 98:22 104:24 107:9 110: [3] 54:12 113:1 113:2 Strict [4] 17:19 25:22 28:2 91:20 Three [1] 112:3 State Systems Strictly [28] 6:9 7:22 19:5 20:16 21; 111 R3-20 [49] 1:3 1:17 13:1 13:2 15:9 22 22:2 22:3 29:19 34:24 37: 12 37:16 38:3 38:4 51:16 54: [1] 101:3 15:15 16:13 17:9 17:18 19:14 T 33:5 33:7 33:10 33:22 35:4 Strong 17 56:7 57:2 63:9 63:10 65:9 65:16 69:18 71:16 74:2 77:2 [1] 52:21 39:21 46:13 50:14 51:2 61:16 Table 61:25 62:12 70:12 73:21 74: 24 75:17 75:20 79:4 79:16 82: Struck [3] 24:11 56:23 56:24 83:11 97:19 98:1 [1] 29:25 Tack Threw 8 82:18 82:20 82:21 82:22 83: Struggle 13 83:14 84:17 84:23 84:24 [1] 106:5 [1] 55:12 (2) 58:14 58:15 85:5 86:12 89:9 89:10 91:25 Tag Throughout 94:14 107:9 107:20 107:23 Stuck 111 93:4 [1] 31:8 109:8 [1] 42:23 Throw TALEEN State's Student [2] 112:2 112:6 [1] 1:19 [18] 2:2 3:2 34:20 35:5 35: [2] 109:21 111:22 Ticket 13 35:14 35:14 46:25 47:12 47:12 47:13 53:16 63:5 63:17 Tap Students [1] 93:24 [2] 23:9 23:9 {5} 110:4 111:10 111:24 112: 4 112:17 Tied Task 63:17 63:18 63:20 64:11 [1] 100:2 Statement [1] 18:16 Studio Taught Tier [11 115:19

[2] 98:9 98:9 [9] 30:20 31:14 31:15 31:17 Undergoes Versus 31:18 36:15 48:2 56:22 58:5 Tiers [1] 82:9 [5] 16:13 17:9 17:18 61:16 [1] 96:13 Trips 93.5 Understandable Tight [1] 65:9 Vertical [1] 7:20 Trouble [1] 30:15 111 99:5 Understood [1] 71:1 Tight-tongued Vi co [1] 48:1 Troubles [11 66:11 [1] 68:10 Undertake [1] 113:4 Tim Victim [1] 18:16 [1] 4:19 Truck Unified (11 16:11 [3] 50:1 50:2 55:17 TIMOTHY Victim's [1] 110:2 f11 1:22 True f11 8:1 Unique [1] 116:12 Today **Victims** [1] 81:5 [13] 6:5 14:24 25:9 26:10 34: Trust [1] 20:24 Unit 11 37:24 43:18 51:18 53:14 [3] 5:15 25:12 29:9 Victor [8] 76:17 76:17 76:18 76:25 59:25 78:25 115:1 115:3 Trusted 77:6 77:24 96:7 98:13 f11 65:6 Toddler [1] 29:11 United Victorville [1] 72:9 Truth [4] 25:19 26:23 27:9 75:23 f11 71:11 Together [21] 33:17 33:17 33:18 39:16 39:16 39:17 46:8 46:8 46:9 50:22 50:22 50:23 62:7 62:7 University View [21] 27:16 29:15 29:16 32:1 [2] 75:17 75:20 [2] 99:7 99:9 35:23 42:23 43:21 43:22 43: Unless Violence 25 45:9 52:16 52:17 57:5 57: 62:8 74:20 74:20 74:21 109:3 [2] 15:8 92:19 [1] 22:20 7 57:9 67:16 69:22 71:16 71: 109:3 109:4 18 87:21 88:2 Unnecessary Visit Try [5] 26:7 65:15 70:13 90:13 Toilet [1] 7:10 [4] 23:12 28:8 29:6 53:11 [2] 94:19 94:19 Ibusual Trying Visitation Tol1 f11 26:20 [10] 37:4 37:17 43:23 43:25 49:8 56:4 66:4 66:8 72:7 114: [2] 105:17 105:22 (2) 57:17 73:13 Up Visited [63] 6:11 10:22 13:18 14:17 23:9 23:24 24:11 24:13 25:4 26:19 26:22 29:2 30:6 30:7 25 Tomorrow f11 106:2 Tribes [9] 13:10 13:17 14:17 21:15 115:4 115:14 115:17 116:9 [1] 68:3 Visiting 30:12 31:19 32:20 36:20 40: 116:9 [2] 71:15 106:6 Turmoil 15 40:17 41:25 42:8 43:3 43: 13 43:25 45:12 47:23 52:9 52: 22 54:20 58:14 58:15 61:3 61: Took f11 30:3 Vocational [10] 25:18 30:8 56:8 67:6 70: 4 70:4 70:7 72:20 73:13 85:14 [1] 76:5 Turn 11 64:19 64:24 65:2 65:4 69: 111 76:12 Volume Top 69:12 69:22 71:1 71:12 72: [5] 1:7 7:4 7:8 7:17 7:18 Turned [3] 92:13 96:15 96:15 1 83:20 83:23 86:18 89:6 91: Vs [1] 55:14 19 93:22 94:5 95:22 97:24 98: Tore Twenty-three f11 1:5 8 100:11 102:13 102:14 106:8 [2] 40:19 44:5 VVC [1] 96:4 106:13 107:23 110:7 112:7 Torn [2] 65:6 67:4 Twice 115 18 [21 58:11 58:13 f11 29:12 Upbringing W Totally Twist [1] 27:13 [1] 43:16 f11 26:21 Waist Update Tough [7] 84:1 100:1 100:2 100:6 100:7 100:8 100:10 Two [1] 86:1 [4] 31:25 68:17 68:17 68:17 [45] 4:22 7:1 12:3 12:3 20: Upper Tour 11 25:8 26:14 41:6 45:4 45:6 Wait [2] 92:4 93:14 47:17 49:17 51:18 64:1 64:16 65:16 65:20 69:22 69:22 73:8 [8] 79:19 85:15 85:19 85:22 89:6 89:8 89:13 99:14 [1] 60:17 Uprising £13 87:22 Waive 78:3 79:18 80:10 83:25 86:20 Toured [1] 12:16 86:21 86:23 86:25 87:24 88:3 eqU [1] 79:16 Walk [3] 102:7 102:8 102:9 89:9 90:10 93:2 93:7 93:9 95: Town [6] 9:4 9:4 23:2 71:6 73:1 99:23 11 95:12 95:20 96:13 105:20 Upset [1] 55:18 106:9 106:10 106:14 106:18 111 58:17 Track 106:23 Walked [5] 12:24 36:8 66:4 66:5 83:8 Two-man V [2] 6:8 6:10 Traditional [1] 87:24 Walking Vacant [1] 110:5 Туре [2] 98:9 99:23 [1] 90:8 Tragedy [8] 20:3 40:13 40:21 41:16 Wall Vacations [1] 45:2 44:24 47:24 47:24 103:4 [7] 91:23 92:1 93:17 93:20 94:2 94:2 94:14 [2] 65:10 71:19 Trained Types Validated [2] 18:24 89:14 [71 77:9 Walls [1] 23:10 Training Typical [3] 93:23 94:9 98:14 Valley [2] 58:2 77:7 111 96:7 Wants 111 65:7 Transcribed Typically [6] 25:9 25:16 43:4 69:13 70: Varies [1] 18:10 [1] 94:11 25 98:6 121 85:8 85:11 Transcript Warden Variety U [3] 1:11 7:4 116:12 [3] 80:9 92:11 96:25 [1] 76:9 Transcripts U.S. Warm Various [1] 99:21 [2] 7:7 7:17 [1] 49:4 [3] 18:24 32:9 97:13 Washoe Transported Ugly VD [2] 99:22 99:25 [1] 66:19 (1) 86:6 [1] 2:2 Transposed Ultimate Waste Vegas [1] 102:24 [11 19:3 (11 73:3 [9] 4:1 32:10 36:21 41:5 41: Travel Watch Unauthorized 20 65:8 65:11 65:14 67:5 [2] 79:11 79:12 [4] 60:11 60:23 72:1 115:8 f11 102:18 Veil Watched Trav Uncle [1] 109:20 [4] 95:13 95:14 95:16 95:18 [3] 32:9 32:11 32:13 [1] 25:17 Verbal Treated Weapons Uncles [1] 28:17 (1) 32:12 [3] 87:20 102:1 107:7 [1] 71:22 Verdict Treating Unclothed Wednesday [7] 5:8 6:14 6:17 6:19 6:21 [1] 44:18 f11 84:2 [1] 1:14 9:7 22:2 Trial Under Week Verdicts [8] 31:1 31:2 49:7 51:34 83 2 97:19 97:25 [106]2 [8] 1:11 15:16 17:19 18:5 34: [4] 8:12 24:21 60:15 115:12 [1] 74:1 5 36:18 48:10 74:1 Undergo Versa Tried Weeks [1] 84:2 [1] 68:10

[3] 30:18 64:16 65:16 Weight [2] 21:23 37:20 Welcome [2] 17:7 61:14 Welcomed [1] 112:19 Welded [1] 96:23 Wet [1] 69:20 White [1] 112:9 Whole [10] 30:2 33:17 39:16 40:5 46:8 50:22 57:5 62:7 74:20 109.3 Wide [11 92:8 Width [1] 92:14 Wife [1] 49:15 Window [4] 92:5 93:14 99:4 102:16 Windows [1] 99:7 Winston [8] 28:10 28:14 28:20 28:23 29:5 29:10 29:13 29:24 Wintertime [1] 99:18 Wise [1] 8:13 Wish [1] 52:10 Withdraw [1] 2B:5 Witness [30] 14:2 33:8 33:16 33:24 34:16 39:2 39:15 39:23 46:7 46:15 50:12 50:21 51:4 61:8 61:22 62:6 62:14 63:1 74:6 74:19 75:1 91:1 94:1 103:11 109:2 109:10 113:8 114:15 114:16 116:1 Witness's [2] 61:25 90:12 Witnesses [12] 8:9 8:10 13:3 13:5 13:6 14:5 16:11 16:18 17:21 18:8 47:22 73:22 Woke [2] 69:7 69:12 Word [2] 68:16 88:20 Words [1] 112:6 Works [3] 13:20 26:8 52:8 World [1] 52:10 Worth [1] 83:6 Worthy [1] 83:9 WOW [1] 112:20 Wrapped [1] 115:18 Wrists [1] 95:23 Write [4] 102:7 102:8 102:9 102:14 Write-up [1] 102:14 Write-ups [3] 102:7 102:8 102:9 Writing [2] 25:24 92:2 Written

[1] 102:13

Wrongly

[1] 77:17 Wrote [2] 44:3 44:4 X XVIII [1] 1:6 Y Yard f111 52:19 88:1 88:1 96:18 97:2 97:7 97:11 97:19 97:20 97:21 97:22 Yards (1) 73:11 Yateefa [2] 38:12 38:16 Yatisha [2] 38:12 3B:17 Yavion [2] 38:7 38:9 Year [7] 25:22 56:22 64:1 65:7 65: 9 66:3 107:23 Vears [36] 21:19 21:19 21:20 23:19 23:24 24:12 25:3 25:14 25:21 25:24 26:11 26:18 26:22 27:5 27:6 27:7 27:7 27:7 27:11 27: 15 27:21 27:24 29:13 30:11 37:12 37:16 45:4 47:18 70:6 75:20 76:10 78:3 89:4 89:4 104:5 104:11 Yesterday [3] 7:2 15:1 114:25 Young [16] 20:16 25:14 34:12 38:20 48:25 53:5 55:4 65:21 66:9 68:12 68:13 70:6 72:9 73:6 83:1 111:1 Younger [8] 25:6 25:6 25:13 25:20 38: 4 43:2 46:23 57:9 Yourself [2] 71:23 79:24 Yourselves [2] 60:10 115:7

1	The youth of the defendant at the time of the crime.
2	
3	The Defendant's diminished intelligence.
4	
5	The impact of the defendant's execution on his family members, including his
6	mother, grandmother, brother and sisters Shermaine and Angel.
7	
8	The impact of the defendant's execution on his other family members, friends
9	and loved ones.
10	
11	Any other mitigating circumstances.
12	
13	The apology of the defendant
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this day of December, 2005.
24	$A_{-}$ , $A_{-}$ ,
25	Machel III dren
26	FOREPERSON
27	

1 1	VER	-	
2	·	FILED IN OPEN COURT DEC 1 6 2005	
3 4		SMALEY B. PARRAGUIRAE, CLERK BY Kratu M. Brow	
5		KRISTEN M. BROWN DEPUTY	
6	DISTRIC CLARK COU	CT COURT JNTY, NEVADA	
7			
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	2193182	
9	Plaintiff,	Case No. C93182	
10	-VS-	Dept No. XVIII	
11	GLENFORD ANTHONY BUDD.	}	
12	Defendant.	}	
13	Detelluant.	}	
14	SPECIAI	L VERDICT	
15	(Aggravating Circumstance)		
16	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having found the Defendant, GLENFORD		
17	ANTHONY BUDD, Guilty of COUNT I - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Dajon		
18	Jones, victim), COUNT 2 – MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Derrick Jones, victim),		
19	and COUNT 3 - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Jason Moore, victim) designate that		
20	the following aggravating circumstance has been established beyond a reasonable doubt.		
21 22	The murder was committed by a person who has, in the immediate proceeding, been		
23	convicted of more than one offense of murder in the first or second degree.		
24	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this		
25 m C0 26 m V27 m 28		Sochel Mane	
<b>M</b> 27		FOREPERSON ' '	
m 28			

DEC 16 2005 COUNTY CLERK

1	VER FILED III CPIN COURT DEC 1 6 2005	
2	SHIRLEY B. PAHAAGUIRRE, CLERK	
3	C! Krista ni Brown	
4	KHISTEN M. BROWN DEPUTY	
5	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
6		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Plaintiff, Case No. C193182	
9	-vs-	
10	GLENFORD ANTHONY BUDD,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	PENALTY VERDICT - COUNT 3 (Jason Moore, victim)	
14	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having found the Defendant, GLENFORD	
15	ANTHONY BUDD, Guilty of COUNT 3 - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Jason	
16	Moore, victim), and having found that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances	
17	outweigh any mitigating circumstance or circumstances impose a sentence of,	
18		
19	A definite term of 100 years imprisonment, with eligibility for parole	
20	beginning when a minimum of 40 years has been served.	
21	Life imprisonment, with eligibility for parole beginning when	
22	/a minimum of 40 years has been served.	
23	Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.	
24	Death.	
25	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this day of December, 2005	
5626 mi C227 mi	whil I Adre	
<b>C2</b> 7	FUREREUN	

25
RECEIVED
DEC 1 6 2005
COUNTY CLERK

8:7:100

1	VER FIRE 1 6 2005 COURT	
2	SMIRLET D. PARHAGUIRRE, CLERK	
3	B! Klister M. Brown	
4	KRISTEN M. BROWN DEPUTY	
5	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
6		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Plaintiff, Case No. C193182	
9	-vs- \ Dept No. XVIII	
10	GLENFORD ANTHONY BUDD,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	PENALTY VERDICT - COUNT 1 (Dajon Jones, victim)	
14	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having found the Defendant, GLENFORD	
15	ANTHONY BUDD, Guilty of COUNT I - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Dajon	
16	Jones, victim), and having found that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances	
17	outweigh any mitigating circumstance or circumstances impose a sentence of,	
18		
19	A definite term of 100 years imprisonment, with eligibility for parole	
20	beginning when a minimum of 40 years has been served.	
21	Life imprisonment, with eligibility for parole beginning when	
22	a minimum of 40 years has been served.	
23	Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.	
24	Death.	
25	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this day of December, 2005	
26 <b>R</b>	FORFPERSON -	
227	1 OVER THEOLIG	

DEC 16 2005
COUNTY CLERK

1	VER FILED !?? CPEN COURT
2	DEC_1_6_2005
3	SERVICE BY PARAGUIRRE, CLERK
4	KRISTEN M. BROWN DECEMBER
5	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
6	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA, )
8	Plaintiff, Case No. C193182
9	-vs- Bept No. XVIII
10	GLENFORD ANTHONY BUDD,
11	Defendant.
12	Defendant.
13	PENALTY VERDICT - COUNT 2 (Derrick Jones, victim)
14	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having found the Defendant, GLENFORD
15	ANTHONY BUDD, Guilty of COUNT 2 - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Derrick
16	Jones, victim), and having found that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances
17	outweigh any mitigating circumstance or circumstances impose a sentence of,
18	
19	A definite term of 100 years imprisonment, with eligibility for parole
20	beginning when a minimum of 40 years has been served.
21	Life imprisonment, with eligibility for parole beginning when
22	a minimum of 40 years has been served.
23	Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.
24	Death.
25	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this day of December, 2005
2 <u>6</u>	Machel Molde
200	FOREPERSON

DEC 16 2005

1	INST
2	manufacture of the second of t
3	——DEC-1-6-2005————
4	3: Krister M. Brown
5	KRISTEN M. BROWN
6	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
9	Plaintiff, Case No. C193182
10	-vs Dept No. XVIII
11	GLENFORD ANTHONY BUDD,
12	Defendant.
13	
14	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY
15	(INSTRUCTION NO. 1)
16	MEMBERS OF THE JURY:
17	It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this penalt
18	hearing. It is your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to
19	the facts as you find them from the evidence.
20	You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in thes
21	instructions. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be,
22	would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that
23	given in the instructions of the Court.
24	
25	
から	

DEC 16 2005 COUNTY CLERK

If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

## INSTRUCTION NO.

The trial jury shall fix the punishment for every person convicted of murder of the first degree.

(1) Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole:

The jury shall fix the punishment at:

- (2) Life imprisonment with the possibility of parole, with eligibility for parole beginning when a minimum of 40 years has been served;
- (3) Imprisonment for a definite term of 100 years, with eligibility for parole beginning when a minimum of 40 years has been served; or,
  - (4) Death.

Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole means exactly what it says, that the defendant shall not be eligible for parole.

Life imprisonment with the possibility of parole is a sentence to life imprisonment which provides that the defendant would be eligible for parole after a minimum period of forty years. This does not mean that he would be paroled after forty years but only that he would be eligible for parole after that period of time.

Likewise, a prison term of one hundred years with eligibility for parole beginning when a minimum of forty years has been served does not mean that the defendant would be paroled after forty years but only that he would be eligible for parole after that period of time.

If you sentence the defendant to death, you must assume that the sentence will be carried out.

## INSTRUCTION NO. 6

In the penalty hearing, evidence may be presented concerning aggravating and mitigating circumstances, and any other evidence that bears on the Defendant's character.

Hearsay is admissible in a penalty hearing.

In order to even consider the death penalty as an option for sentencing, you must first find beyond a reasonable doubt that the aggravating circumstance alleged by the State, in fact, does exist. If you do not find that the aggravating circumstance exists, you may not consider the death penalty as an option.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the aggravating circumstance exists, you must then determine whether any mitigating circumstances exist.

The finding of a mitigating circumstance or circumstances need not be unanimous.

If you determine that any mitigating circumstance exists, you must then determine if the one or more of the mitigating circumstances found to exist outweigh the aggravating circumstance found to exist. If the one or more mitigating circumstances do not outweigh the aggravating circumstance, you may consider the death penalty as an option.

Likewise, if you find that one or more mitigating circumstances do not exist and you find that the existence of the aggravating circumstance, you may consider the death penalty as an option.

Even if you find that the aggravating circumstance is not outweighed by the one or more mitigating circumstances, or if you find that there is an aggravating circumstance and that there are no mitigating circumstances at all, you still have the discretion to vote for the imposition of a sentence of life with the possibility of parole or one hundred years with the possibility of parole or life without the possibility of parole, rather than the death penalty.

The law does not require the jury to impose the death penalty under any circumstances, even when the aggravating circumstances outweigh the mitigating circumstances. Nor is the Defendant required to establish any mitigating circumstances in order to be sentenced to less than death.

4 5

7 8

6

Q 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19 20

21 22 23

24 25

27

26

28

In deciding on an appropriate sentence for the defendant, you will consider three types of evidence: evidence relevant to the existence of aggravating circumstances, evidence relevant to the existence of mitigating circumstances, and other evidence presented against the defendant. You must consider each type of evidence for its appropriate purposes.

In determining unanimously whether any aggravating circumstance has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you are to consider only evidence relevant to that aggravating circumstance. You are not to consider other evidence against the defendant.

In determining individually whether any mitigating circumstance exists, you are to consider only evidence relevant to that mitigating circumstance. You are not to consider other evidence presented against the defendant.

In determining individually whether any mitigating circumstances outweigh any aggravating circumstances, you are to consider only evidence relevant to any mitigating and aggravating circumstances. You are not to consider other evidence presented against the defendant.

If you find unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one aggravating circumstance exists and each of you determines that any mitigating circumstances do not outweigh the aggravating circumstance, the defendant is eligible for a death sentence. At this point, you are to consider all three types of evidence, and you still have the discretion to impose a sentence less than death. You must decide on a sentence unanimously.

If you do not decide unanimously that at least one aggravating circumstance has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt or if at least one of you determines that the mitigating circumstances outweigh the aggravating, the defendant is not eligible for a death sentence. Upon determining that the defendant is not eligible for death, you are to consider all three types of evidence in determining a sentence other than death, and you must decide on such a sentence unanimously.

5

You are instructed that the following factor is the only circumstance alleged in this case by which Murder of the First Degree may be aggravated:

The murder was committed by a person who has, in the instant proceeding, been convicted of more than one offense of murder in the first or second degree.

Nevada law defines additional aggravating circumstances, but they do not apply in this case.

Murder of the first degree may be mitigated by any of the following circumstances, even though the mitigating circumstance is not sufficient to constitute a defense or reduce the degree of the crime:

- (1) The Defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity.
- (2) The murder was committed while the Defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.
  - (3) The youth of the Defendant at the time of the crime.
  - (4) The Defendant's diminished intelligence.
- (5) The impact of the defendant's execution on his family members, including his mother, grandmother, brother, and sisters Shermaine and Angela.
- (6) The impact of the defendant's execution on his other family members, friends and loved ones.
  - (7) Any other mitigating circumstances.

Mitigating circumstances are those factors which, while they do not constitute a legal justification or excuse for the commission of the offense in question, may be considered, in the estimation of the jury, in fairness and mercy, as extenuating or reducing the degree of the Defendant's moral culpability.

You must consider and give effect to any aspect of the Defendant's character or record and any of the circumstances of the offense that the Defendant proffers as a basis for a sentence less than death.

In balancing aggravating and mitigating circumstances, it is not the mere number of aggravating circumstances or mitigating circumstances that controls.

In determining whether mitigating circumstances exist, jurors have an obligation to make an independent and objective analysis of all the relevant evidence. Arguments of counsel or a party do not relieve jurors of this responsibility. Jurors must consider the totality of the circumstances of the crime and the defendant, as established by the evidence presented in the guilt and penalty phases of the trial. Neither the prosecution's nor the defendant's insistence on the existence or nonexistence of mitigating circumstances is binding upon the jurors.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt, but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

The jury is instructed that in determining the appropriate penalty to be imposed in this case that it may consider all evidence introduced and instructions given at both the penalty hearing phase of these proceedings and at the trial of this matter.

In your deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of guilt or innocence

of the Defendant, as that issue has already been decided. Your duty is confined to a

determination of the punishment to be imposed.

The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his relationship to the parties, his fears, motives, interests or feelings, his opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements and the strength or weakness of his recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

During your deliberation, you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these written instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience.

Your verdict must be unanimous. When you have agreed upon your verdicts, they should be signed and dated by your foreperson.

## INSTRUCTION NO.

The Court has submitted several sets of verdicts to you.

There is one SPECIAL VERDICT dealing with the alleged aggravating circumstance.

There is one SPECIAL VERDICT dealing with mitigating circumstances.

There are three PENALTY VERDICT forms, one for each count.

At the conclusion of your deliberations, if you find that the existence of the aggravating circumstance has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you should return five signed verdict forms: the SPECIAL VERDICT dealing with the aggravating circumstance, the SPECIAL VERDICT dealing with the mitigating circumstances, and a PENALTY VERDICT fixing the punishment for each of the three counts.

On the other hand, if you decide that the aggravating circumstance has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, then you need only sign and return three PENALTY VERDICT forms, fixing the punishment for each of the three counts.

INSTRUCTION NO.

Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada. GIVEN: Matte

FILED 1 **TRAN** ORIGINAL 2 7995 DEC 19 P 4: 08 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 9 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C193182 10 DEPT. XVIII VS. 11 GLENFORD ANTHONY BUDD, 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE NANCY M. SAITTA, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 16 FRIDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2005, 4:05 P.M. 17 18 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: **VERDICT** 19 APPEARANCES: 20 For the State: ED KANE, ESQ., Deputy District Attorney 21 TALEEN PANDUKHT, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 22 For the Defendant: HOWARD BROOKS, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender TIMOTHY O'BRIEN, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender 23 COUNTY CLERK 24\*\* #RECORDED BY: JO ANNE B. PIERPONT, COURT RECORDER/TRANSCRIBER

## FRIDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2005, 4:05 P.M.

THE COURT: Let the record reflect all parties are present with counsel.

Ladies and gentlemen, it is my understanding that you have reached a verdict.

Is that correct?

JURORS: Yes,

THE COURT: Miss Foreman, would you please hand the jury verdict forms to my bailiff. Miss Clerk, would you read the verdict.

COURT CLERK: State of Nevada, plaintiff versus Glenford Anthony Budd, defendant, case number C193182, department number 18.

Special Verdict Aggravating Circumstances: We the jury in the above entitled case having found the defendant, Glenford Anthony Budd, guilty of count 1, murder of the first degree, Dajon Jones, victim, count 2, murder of the first degree, Derrick Jones, victim and count 3, murder of the first degree, Jason Moore, victim, designated that the following aggravating circumstance has been established beyond a reasonable doubt: The murder was committed by a person who has in the immediate proceeding been convicted of more than one offense of murder in the first or second degree. Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada this 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2005. Signed by the floor person, Juror No. 12.

Special Verdict Mitigating Circumstances: We the jury in the above entitled case having found the defendant, Glenford Anthony Budd, guilty of count 1, murder of the first degree, Dajon Jones, victim, count 2, murder of the first degree, Derrick Jones, victim, and count 3, murder of the first degree, Jason Moore, victim, designate that the mitigating circumstance or

 circumstances, which have been checked or written in blue have been established.

The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity.

The murder was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.

The youth of the defendant at the time of the crime.

The defendant's diminished intelligence.

The impact of the defendant's execution on his family members, including his mother, grandmother, brother and sisters, Shermaine and Angel.

The impact of the defendant's execution on his other family members, friends and loved ones.

Any other mitigating circumstances: The apology of the defendant. Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada this 16<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2005. Signed by the floor person, Juror number 12.

Penalty Verdict Count 1, Dajon Jones, Victim: We the jury in the above case having found the defendant, Glenford Anthony Budd, guilty of count 1, murder of the first degree, Dajon Jones, victim, and having found that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances outweigh any mitigating circumstance or circumstances impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada this 16<sup>th</sup> of December, 2005. Signed by the floor person, Juror number 12.

Penalty Verdict Count 2, Derrick Jones, Victim: We the jury in the above case having found the defendant, Glenford Anthony Budd, guilty of count 2, murder of the first degree, Derrick Jones, victim, and having found that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances outweigh any mitigating

circumstance or circumstances impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada this 16<sup>th</sup> of December, 2005. Signed by the floor person, Juror number 12.

Penalty Verdict Count 3, Jason Moore, Victim: We the jury in the above case having found the defendant, Glenford Anthony Budd, guilty of count 3, murder of the first degree, Jason Moore, victim, and having found that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances outweigh any mitigating circumstance or circumstances impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada this 16<sup>th</sup> of December, 2005. Signed by the floor person, Juror number 12.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are these your verdicts as read?

So say you one so say you all.

JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: Would either side ask that the jurors be polled.

MR. BROOKS: Defense does not, Your Honor.

MR. KANE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for the time and the attention that you have given to this matter. For our one alternate, who was patient and ready and willing to serve at any moment, I want you to know how important your work here was. Although you may not understand the significance of the time that you spent, especially since you were kept separate from the rest of the jurors, the fact that we had you here, that we would have at any time if we needed to call you into service, it makes these proceedings effective and it makes them, I think, again, one of the best ways for us to

determine responsibility or imposition of sentence. And, so please don't think that the time that you spent here was anything less important than the others.

You are all, each and every one of you, released from jury service and can leave the courtroom at any time. You can go downstairs. I believe that we have someone standing by in jury services that can issue your checks to you. However, it is not at all unusual for our attorneys to want to talk to you to find out how you made your decision, what they could do better, what you thought of the case.

You are now relieved from the admonishment that I have given you every single time you've come and gone from this room. You now can speak to anyone you choose about any of the facts, the circumstances, your decision making process that you choose to speak to.

On the other hand, if you should choose not to talk to anyone and someone should insist upon talking to you, other members of the bar, people who may have been involved in this case in some way, you can simply refuse. You do not have to talk to anyone about this case. And if your refusal is not enough to keep that person from bothering you, my bailiff will give each one of you one of my business cards. All you have to do is call chambers and I can take care of that for you.

Again, I want to express the thank yous that are due to you on behalf of my court, on behalf of the system. I know on behalf of all the attorneys, the offices that have supported these attorneys, all of the people who are here present in this courtroom who have essentially gone through this process for one reason or another with all of you, our system of justice doesn't work unless people like you give of yourselves, give the time that the careful

consideration that you all have given, and I am proud to have been a part of this process.

There is nothing more difficult than what you all have now been through. This is the highest level crime wherein the most difficult, certainly the most serious penalties are being sought, and you were put into a position where you were called upon to make some very, very difficult decisions, and for all of us, for our entire system of justice, I cannot thank you enough.

If you wish to stay and talk with our attorneys you may do so. If you wish to leave you can do that as well. You do, however, have to turn in the lovely red badges that you've been so attached to for the last couple of weeks.

I leave the courtroom during the time that the attorneys if you choose to stay and talk with them or they choose to stay and talk to you. I then come back because if any of you do stay around I like to ask if there's anything that we can do as a court system to make this more comfortable for you or answer some questions that perhaps the attorneys aren't able to answer for you. So, it is my hope that I will see each and every one of you in a few moments after you're done talking with the attorneys if you choose to do so.

And, I am going to ask that the two sides of the courtroom here leave separately for what I believe are obvious reasons. I would ask that – I don't care which side goes first, but, no, in fact, I do care. I would ask that the victims' side leave the courtroom first and that at least one of our officers escort them out of the courthouse, and I will return in a few moments.

Thank you all.

MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, may we approach for a moment?

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Whereupon a Bench Conference was held.)

THE COURT: We need to set a date for sentencing.

THE CLERK: That will be February 1st at 9:00 a.m.

MR. BROOKS: And the matter is referred to the Department of Parole and Probation, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Indeed. What we're going to do now, Mr. Bailiff, we're going to for the attorneys' discussion with our jurors, those who wish to stay, we're going to take them back into the deliberation room now that we have them close by as we do here. Take the jurors back there if you would and the other matters that were discussed you can take up here in the courtroom.

In fact, you know, counsel, maybe I'll go back first and talk to the jury.

MR. KANE: Could I ask the Court's indulgence in remaining on the bench for one minute after the jury leaves?

THE COURT: Certainly. Mr. Bailiff.

(Jurors exit at 4:17 p.m.)

THE COURT: Mr. Kane. Mr. Brooks.

MR. KANE: Judge, I just wanted to make a record in the event of further appellate review that during the jury's deliberations the court did receive three notes from the jurors. The first and third notes, the Court simply indicated to the jurors that they would find the answers to their questions within the Court's instructions.

The second note required a response that had to do with the responsibility of determining whether imposed sentences less than death would

run concurrently or consecutively. We had a phone conference with the Court.

The attorneys agreed upon a written response that was given to the jury and all attorneys, both prosecution and defense, agreed with that and then it was furnished to the jury in writing.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. That's important that it be made a part of record. The notes that come to me also go into the court record even though they're written on you know the skinny little, you know, juror notebooks. But, I make sure those all become part of the court record as well so the actual question is there.

I do want to also finally go on the record. Miss Pandukht, Mr.

Kane, I asked that everyone from this side of the courtroom leave the courtroom yesterday because I wanted to have a few moments to explain to the defendant how important I felt it would be for him to take the opportunity that is provided to him to talk to the jury. And, although as I said this is a part of the record. Everything I said was recorded. Essentially the essence of my conversation with the defendant, I explained the perimeters of what he could say, that he could do it under oath, what the DA's could and couldn't do, but although I do not feel that I in any way violated the role of, you know, the neutral person in the courtroom, I did explain to the defendant that I felt it was extremely important that he take the opportunity that was provided to him and address the jury in the way that he felt appropriate.

MR. KANE: Judge, given what was at stake, I cannot take issue with the Court's decision either morally or legally.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. KANE: Thank you.

MR. BROOKS: And for the record, Judge, I believe that everything the Court said to my client was, in fact, on the record.

THE COURT: Every single word was on the record. We actually had, because I came off the bench, but we had the Court Reporter sitting right in front of the table so everything that was said was recorded.

I'm going to step back so that I can assure that at least I shake hands with those jurors who remain and then, Mr. Kane, Miss Pandukht, whenever you are ready you can come on back.

MS. PANDUKHT: Okay.

MR. KANE. We will. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: And, we'll give you a little bit of time.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Judge.

## [Proceedings concluded.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the sound recording in the above-entitled case.

JO ANNE B. PIERPONT

Court Recorder/Transcriber

	1	TRAN	ORIGI	NAL	FILED
	2		·		7005 DEC 19 P 4: 08
	3				
	4				CLEON THE SAME
	5		DISTRIC	T COURT	ul art
	6	Total Control of the	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	
	7				
	8	THE STATE OF NEVAL	DA,		
	9	Plaintiff,		CASE NO. C19	3182
	10	vs.		DEPT. XVIII	
	11	GLENFORD ANTHONY	BUDD,	·	
	12	Defendant.	•		
	13			,	
	14	BEFORE THE HONC	RABLE NANCY	M. SAITTA, DISTF	RICT COURT JUDGE
	15				
	16	FRII	DAY, DECEMBER	1 16, 2005, 1:20	P.M.
	17				
	18	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC HEARING RE: POST-TRIAL JURY QUESTIONS		IEARING RE:	
	19	APPEARANCES:			
	20	For the State:		, Deputy District	
	21		TALEEN PAND	JKHI, ESQ. Depu	ty District Attorney
	22	For the Defendant:		OKS, ESQ., Deput IEN, ESQ., Deput	·
Ω	24				
DEC 19 2005	<b>₩ECEIVED</b>	RECORDED BY: JO A	NNE B. PIERPON	T, COURT RECOR	DER/TRANSCRIBER
S S	8			-1-	0u <b>1.77</b> .

## FRIDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2005, 1:20 P.M.

 THE COURT: Let's begin. Miss Clerk, would you please put the case number and the case name into the record?

COURT CLERK: It's C193182.

THE COURT: Thank you. State versus Budd. I'm going to ask that the Court Recorder for purposes of at least this part of the proceedings be designated as the official court record.

MR. KANE: Agreed by the State, Your Honor.

MR. BROOKS: Agreed by the defense, Your Honor, with the understanding that the official record is still submitted to us on a daily basis.

THE COURT: That's correct. We have two questions that we have received from the jury. Miss Clerk, would you please read those questions into the record.

COURT CLERK: Which one?

THE BAILIFF: Question number one, the first one.

COURT CLERK: Question number one: Can we please get verification on the special verdict mitigating circumstances form? If we check a box does that just mean it is a mitigating circumstance or does it mean we think it outweighs the aggravating circumstance?

THE COURT: Now, with respect to that question I had a form on my letterhead typed that instructed the jurors to please carefully review the written instructions because I believe the answer to that question is set forth within the instructions. The second question is the one that I wanted to confer with all of you --

MR. KANE: Judge, before we leave the first one, this is Ed Kane, I have no objection to the Court's suggested response because I believe it is answered in the original instructions, however, since the verdict forms are so confusing, the State would have no objection to the Court telling the jury that checking the mitigating circumstance box on the mitigating circumstances verdict form does not control the weighing of mitigators versus aggravators. It simply means that they have found that mitigator.

THE COURT: Mr. Brooks, Mr. O'Brien.

MR. BROOKS: Judge, we prefer the Court's suggested instruction.

MR. KANE: As I was sure they would.

THE COURT: I am always extremely hesitant to be any more specific, especially when I believe that the answer to the question is contained within the instructions. Unless there is an agreement, I will stay with my original instruction.

MR. KANE: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The second question, Miss Clerk, would you read that into the record please.

COURT CLERK: Would sentences other than the death penalty be carried out consecutively or concurrently?

THE COURT: Now, I saved that question because I thought we need to discuss this. Obviously, the instructions do not include in them any consideration for consecutive imposition of sentences between the counts and they certainly do not include the instruction regarding the equal and consecutive for the use. I would very much, unless you all have a better idea, like to again

the office and my JEA is also here with me. Cliff, do you think we can get someone who is back in chambers there to type out the second answer?

THE BAILIFF: If not Gil, if you don't feel comfortable that way we can go with Judge's Walls' secretary. She's in.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm perfectly comfortable with Gil.

THE BAILLIF: Okay.

THE COURT: Perfectly comfortable. I would ask simply that either all counsel or at least one from each side stand over his shoulder as he types it and/or that they write it out so that we can have an exact statement of what we think the response to their question should be.

MR. KANE: We'll stay until it's agreed between counsel if that's acceptable to the Court, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. That's what I would prefer. I also want you all to know, you know, we had a problem with one juror who sent me a note last night, Juror number 10, that he needed not to come in today and I gave the instruction through Mr. Bailiff that unless he heard otherwise he should come in. He did come in and he obviously is participating in a meaningful way with the deliberations.

However, the beginning of next week we begin to run into problems. If you can review your notes from some of the jury selection process we begin to run into trouble with people who had plans to be out of the jurisdiction that we promised they would be able to comply with their plans. I am seriously contemplating bringing the jury back tomorrow to deliberate if they do not reach a verdict today.

MR. KANE: No problem on behalf of the State, Judge.

1	MR. BROOKS: No problem on behalf of the defense, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Very well. Then either I will see you all later this afternoon
3	and/or tomorrow.
4	MS. PANDUKHT: And, Judge, if we do, yeah, tomorrow, would we have
5	like half an hour from when we're notified since we're going to be at home?
6	THE COURT: Oh, of course, of course. We always give you guys a half
7	hour.
8	MS. PANDUKHT: Oh, Judge, what time would you have them break
9	today? Do you know?
10	THE COURT: Oh, I'm going to make
11	(Phone unexpectedly disconnected.)
12	[Proceedings concluded.]
13	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
14	sound recording in the above-entitled case.
15	When So It
16	JO ANNE B. PIERPONT
17	Court Recorder/Transcriber
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

1	the trial, including their verdicts of guilty on		
2	three counts of first degree murder, we rest our		
3	case in chief.		
4	THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant,		
5	Mr. Brooks, Mr. O'Brien, are you prepared to call		
6	a witness?		
7	MR. BROOKS: Judge, can I look out in the		
8	hallway, please?		
9	THE COURT: Certainly.		
10	MR. O'BRIEN: Can we approach, judge?		
11	THE COURT: Certainly.		
12	MR. O'BRIEN: Oh, no, just your clerk.		
13	MR. BROOKS: Judge, the defense would		
14	call James Esten, E-s-t-e-n.		
15	THE BAILIFF: Mr. Esten, if you would,		
16	raise your right hand, please, face the clerk.		
17			
18	JAMES ESTEN,		
19	called as a witness, and having been first duly		
20	sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth,		
21	and nothing but the truth, was examined and		
22	testified as follows:		
23	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you		
24	please state your name and spell it for the		
25	record?		

employed until my retirement medically in December

of 1992.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- What do you do with your, in your, the service of your employers?
- My initial employment with the California Department of Corrections was as a vocational instructor, teaching inmates offset printing skills so that, upon their parole, they would be employable as printers in the community. I held a variety of jobs. I held that position for the first seven years. Following that I was a supervising correctional counselor. I supervised four correctional counselors who, in turn, had 125 inmates on their case load. As a supervising correctional counselor, I chaired the reception center classification committee. I was a supervising counselor for a general population housing unit for a security housing unit, a lockup housing unit. I was the public information officer for the institution, and I was the administrative assistant to the superintendent/warden.

Following that I was promoted to a program administrator position, and in that capacity I was the supervisor of a general population inmate housing unit with 600 general

	[
1	population
2	three and
3	classifica
4	correction
5	correction
6	unit. My
7	of the tra
8	California
9	officers v
10	a institut
11	last assig
12	investigat
13	where I in
14	of the dia
15	California
16	ability to
17	feel was o
18	their comm
19	to them as
20	department
21	minuscule
22	to have a
23	been accus
24	even in th
25	was stabbe

population inmates in what California terms level
three and level four. I chaired their
classification committee. I supervised the
correctional officers, correctional sergeants, and
correctional lieutenants assigned to that housing
unit. My next position was as the administrator
of the training center at Galt, G-a-l-t,
California. This is where all new correctional
officers were trained prior to their assignment to
a institution within the California system. My
last assignment was as an inmate appeals
investigator working in headquarters in Sacramento
where I investigated inmate complaints on behalf
of the director of corrections. Inmates in the
California system and the Nevada system have the
ability to grieve, if you will, anything that they
feel was done wrongly to them. This is short of
their commitment offense, something that happened
to them after their receipt in their respective
department of corrections. It could be as
minuscule as, when I got my lunch, it was supposed
to have a piece of cheese, and it didn't to, I've
been accused of stabbing Inmate Joe, and I wasn't
even in the housing unit at the time Inmate Joe
was stabbed.

- Q. Since your retirement what have you been doing?
- A. For the first two years I did post-retirement kind of fooling around stuff, nothing really productive. And then in 1994 the former director of corrections, Jerry Enomoto, E-n-o-m-o-t-o, was appointed to the eastern district as US Marshal by then President Clinton. He had been a correctional consultant. We had known each other during his working days and my working days. And he asked me if I would like to take over his consultancy. I felt honored, and that was something that interested me, and I had been doing that ever since.
- Q. What does that mean, to consult in this way that you described?
- A. For the most part, what I do is review the histories of inmates charged with serious felonies who are facing either life, life without possibility of parole, or death sentences. I review their records and make an evaluation for their attorneys as to their amenability for placement in a maximum security prison for the remainder of their lives.
  - Q. Now, why are you here today?

	12-14-03, State V dad 79
1	A. I had been retained by the Clark County
2	Public Defender's Office to review the confinement
3	history of the defendant and evaluate his
4	potential for successful placement in Ely State
5	Prison.
6	Q. Are you paid to be here?
7	A. I am.
8	Q. And how much are you being paid?
9	A. I'm being paid \$800 plus expenses.
10	Q. And is that expenses including air
11	travel?
12	A. The county booked my air travel. It
13	would cover parking in Sacramento and maybe lunch
14	if I get to it.
15	Q. Okay. Now, in preparation for your
16	testimony here, you have toured the Ely State
17	Prison?
18	A. On two occasions, yes.
19	Q. And when did you tour that facility?
20	A. The first occasion was in 1999. The last
21	occasion was the past October, October 20th.
22	Q. Of 2005?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. You have also acquainted yourself with
25	the administrative regulations governing the

1	prisons of Nevada?
2	A. I have downloaded every regulation
3	available regarding conditions of confinement,
4	classification, how inmates are moved, what
5	security restraints are placed on them, canteen
6	operations, everything that would apply to an
7	inmate being housed in a maximum security setting
8	at Ely.
9	Q. You've also interviewed the warden on
10	A. On two occasions.
11	Q. What is his name?
12	A. EK those are his initials McDaniel.
13	Q. And when did you interview him?
14	A. In 1999 and October 20, 2005.
15	Q. And you've also reviewed Clark County
16	Detention Center records; is that correct?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. What kind of records did you review?
19	A. I reviewed the disciplinary records
20	provided by CCDC on a subpoena and the medical
21	records that were attached thereto.
22	Q. Now, in your describing your experience,
23	which is mostly in California, correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. You've mentioned the term classification.

What does that mean?

Classification is the process used by every department of corrections nationwide to place the inmate in the appropriate custodial setting based on his unique needs, those needs encompassing the commitment offense, his age, any arrests or criminal history that he may have, any gang involvement or gang affiliation he may have. The goal of every department of corrections is to house an inmate in the most secure facility to meet his needs but the least security, the least secure facility to meet the needs of the department, because the higher the security level, the more expensive it is to house someone. every department of corrections will house an inmate based on the security level that they deem is appropriate to protect society and the staff and inmates of that institution.

Q. Based on your experience in California and based on your review of Nevada records and your conversation with Mr. McDaniel, are you able to tell us what will happen to a person in the prison system in terms of where they go and what they do with them after a person is convicted of first degree murder?

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

] 4

15

Yes. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Can you tell us what would happen in that situation?

A. Actually I can tell you better in Nevada than in California. California has 33 prisons and 166,000 inmates. Nevada has nowhere near that. Every Southern Nevada inmate in the southern counties will go to High Desert State Prison for reception center processing. There he undergoes a classification interview where all those background factors that I listed earlier are established. He'll go through a medical. He'll go through a psychiatric review. He'll go through a battery of tests to determine just who he is and where he is best placed.

He will then be moved on, and in this case there is no question he will be moved on to Ely State Prison. The very nature of the offenses in this case and the age of the defendant require that he be placed initially from High Desert State Prison to Ely State Prison, the only maximum security prison in the State of Nevada. California has 11.

Let me interrupt you. You said the age of the defendant. What do you mean by that?

- A. The defendant is very young. He has a birthday coming in a little more than a week, which will make him 23, I believe. He has no history to bring with him to the Nevada Department of Corrections that will tell them that this guy is worth taking a risk with. If he were 35, if he were 40, if he had been in and out of the system numerous times, they would have a track record on him that would say he's worthy of placement in other than our maximum security institution. The three homicides, the lack of history that he has with the Nevada Department of Corrections requires that he be placed in Ely State Prison, the only maximum state prison in the system.
- Q. What is the difference between a maximum security prison and other prisons?
- A. In Nevada it's a degree of movement.

  Inmates newly received in administrative
  segregation as life-term inmates at Ely are locked
  up 23 hours a day. Inmates in other systems have
  more freedom and more movement. That is not the
  case with someone new to the system, new to Ely.
  He will be ad seg. He will be locked up 23 hours
  a day. He will be fed in his cell. He will be
  escorted with two correctional officers anywhere

1	Q. In Northern Nevada?
2	A. Central Nevada. It's not, it's like due
3	east of Reno.
4	Q. Okay. How many prisoners are at Ely
5	State Prison?
6	A. I don't have an absolute count. I can
7	give you the numbers that the Internet gives me,
8	and that varies from 780 plus or minus to 1100.
9	There is a design capacity. There is a optimal
10	capacity. And there is an overcrowded capacity.
11	So, it varies on just how many there are. When I
12	was there in October, I was told that they were
13	reaching capacity.
14	Q. When you were there in October, you took
15	a tour of the facility?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. How did that happen?
18	A. A request was made, pursuant to my work
19	on another capital case, for me to tour with one
20	of the attorneys from that case. In a previous
21	case the district attorney had objected to the
22	currency of my testimony, because my previous tour
23	was in 1999. It was then decided that before I
24	would testify in the following case every effort
25	would be made to gain access to Ely, once again,

two. All of the lockup inmates, those being

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
13	
L 4	
15	
16	
L7	
L8	
19	
20	
21	j

23

24

25.

condemned, life without possibility of parole,
those ad seg inmates who have life with
possibility of parole, those disciplinary
detention inmates, anyone who's on any form of a
lockup status is in phase one or the right-hand
side. The left-hand side are general population,
still maximum security inmates but general
population maximum security inmates who have a
little more freedoms than those on the right-hand
phase one side.

- Q. You referred to admin seg. What is that exactly?
- A. Administrative segregation is a form of housing imposed by maximum security prisons and others for the management of the inmate population. The less movement that your inmates have, the less problems your inmates are going to create, the less potential for gang activity, the less potential for narcotics movement, the less potential for weapons involvement. Because when inmates don't get together, they don't plan uprising problems, disturbances.

The administrative segregation inmates are singularly housed in most cases, two-man housed in other cases. The only time they would

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

go to the yard, exercise yard would be by themselves if they're single celled or together with a cell partner if there are two men in that cell. So, you don't have the clustering or grouping of inmates that you would have where they're allowed to commingle.

- Q. And it's my understanding what you're saying here is that a person convicted of murder who gets a sentence of life or life without the possibility of parole will definitely go to administrative segregation at first when they go to the prison system?
- A. They will go to High Desert for processing, and High Desert will send them to Ely, and Ely will make them administrative segregation, lockup 23 hours a day.
- Q. How long will that condition last for an inmate if it can be said?
- A. There is not an absolute answer to that. There is the option that's the important word, an option for review after 90 days, but the inmate has to present some important factor that would provide a reason for the committee to change their mind and release them from ad seg standard. Reviews are 180 days. That's what the book says.

1	But there are inmates, and this is based on
2	testimony by EK McDaniel. There are inmates who
3	have been in administrative segregation for more
4	than 10 years, for 12 years.
5	Q. You caused certain photographs to be
6	taken during your tour up there; is that right?
7	A. Yes. Let me give you a little history.
8	On the 1999 tour I was permitted to take a camera
9	into Ely State Prison. I was shown to phase two,
10	the general population side of Ely State Prison
11	with the explanation that every cell at Ely is the
12	same. Subsequent to that and prior to the 2005
13	tour I learned that phase one is significantly
14	different because of the types of inmates that are
15	housed there.
16	So, in October of 2005 I brought the
17	digital camera to take pictures, and the camera
18	was not permitted into the institution. A
19	correctional officer was assigned to accompany us
20	and take whatever pictures we requested.
21	MR. BROOKS: With the Court's permission,
22	may I approach?
23	THE COURT: Certainly.
24	BY MR. BROOKS:
25	Q. What I'm going to do is, I'm going to

	12 17 00, State Vi dud 30
1	have you identify the pictures. I'm going to move
2	for admission, and then I'm going to let you
3	explain to the jury what they are.
4	Do you recognize what's Defense Proposed
5	Exhibit E?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What is that, please?
8	A. That is a picture of a vacant cell that
9	we were shown as an example of all cells at phase
10	one and/or phase two of Ely.
11	MR. KANE: Judge, if these pictures are
12	all pictures that were taken during the witness's
13	October, 2005 visit and if they all fairly and
14	accurately depict, we'll stipulate to their
15	admission, and they can be displayed to the jury
16	as they're being described.
17	MR. BROOKS: That is the case.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Brooks, then you may use
19	those. The numbers again for the record?
20	MR. BROOKS: Defense Exhibit E, F, G, H,
21	I, J, K, L, and M.
22	THE COURT: For the record, by
23	stipulation they would be admitted. The defense
24	may publish them. And there was actually an
25	acknowledgment that they were taken during the

	12 14 V3, State V dad 91
1	October, '05 visit of this witness and that they
2	accurately, fairly and accurately depict the
3	prison. Is that correct?
4	MR. BROOKS: That's correct, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: You may proceed.
6	BY MR. BROOKS:
7	Q. Mr. Esten, I'm going to put them on the
8	board here, let the jury see them at the same time
9	I'm going to show them to you.
10	By the way, this is interesting. We have
11	new technology here. This is a brand new
12	courthouse. You can actually look at them on your
13	screen there, and you can take your hand and make
14	marks and show things. And to make it go away
15	just punch it.
16	THE COURT: Usually it goes away.
17	BY MR. BROOKS:
18	Q. Well, you punch it somewhere, and it
19	cleans up. There it is. Okay.
20	This is, we're starting off here with
21	Proposed well, Defense Exhibit E. What does
22	this show us here?
23	A. Defense Exhibit E is the rear wall of a
24	cell designated either administrative segregation
25	or condemned in phase one of Ely State Prison.

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1.	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

2

3

The right wall identifies, going across to the left, a writing shelf for the inmate. The green box in the lower left corner is the platform for the mattress. And the light area in the upper left-hand corner is the recessed window that is made of lexan, which is impenetrable and is approximately 30 inches by four to five inches wide, precluding anyone escaping through that space.

- Q. Do you know the dimensions of this cell?
- A. We queried EK McDaniel, the warden, while they were there for the dimension of the cell. He did not know off the top of his head and faxed to us dimensions that indicate the width of this cell at 6'8" and the depth of this cell from front to rear this may be hard to show as 16'.
- Q. Now, is everyone in phase one in a cell like this?
- A. Everyone is in a cell like that, unless they have a cell partner, in which case there would be another bunk above this one where a second inmate would sleep.
- Q. In phase one were some people double bunked?
  - A. Yes.

1	Q. Assuming a person graduated from phase
2	one to phase two, were they in similar cells like
3	this if you know?
4	A. Yes. The cells throughout Ely are the
5	same other than the single versus double bunk
6	configuration.
7	Q. In phase two is it, is it also single
8	bunk?
9	A. My understanding is that phase two is
LO	double bunked.
L1	Q. I'm going to show you now Defense Exhibit
L2	F. What is this?
L3	A. This is the other side of the same cell.
L4	We're looking at the same window in the upper
L5	left-hand corner, the other edge of the shelf on
L6	the right, and the bunk as it attaches to the
L7	left-hand wall, again looking in from the doorway.
L8	Q. What about Defense Exhibit G?
L9	A. G is the shelf again attached to the
20	right-hand wall, looking in from the door of the
21	cell. I think of interest, because I brought it
22	up to EK McDaniel, are all of the marks on the
23	walls of the cell, the marks what did I do?
24	THE COURT: Tap the right side of your

screen.



that show us?

Α.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you the marks on
2	the rear wall, the marks on the right-hand wall.
3	The red border is where I have drawn a square, and
4	that's the designated spot where inmates are
5	supposed to put up photographs or pictures cut out
6	from magazines, whatever is permitted in the
7	institution. That square is where they're
8	supposed to be placed. But this particular inmate
9	had covered all of the walls with photographs, and
10	the paint was peeled off when the photographs were
11	removed. I asked if, as would typically be the
12	case in a maximum security facility, the inmate
13	was going to be charged for the painting of the
14	wall due to destruction of state property, and I
15	was told that he would be.
16	BY MR. BROOKS:
17	Q. What about Defense Exhibit H? What is
18	this?
19	A. That is a toilet, toilet paper roll
20	holder, sink, and drinking fountain, stainless
21	steel combination facility that is in place in
22	every cell.
23	Q. And what is Defense Exhibit I? What does

I is the exterior door of a phase one

cell.	I	do	not	know	that	it	is	the	same	cell	that
we pho	oto	grap	phed	the	interi	lor	of,	but	they	are	all
the sa	ame	•									

- Q. What is that door made of if you know?
- A. Steel.
- Q. What about Defense Exhibit J? What does this show us?

A. This is the same door with the food port opened. And the food port serves a dual purpose. Inmates in level one and some inmates in level — or phase two — excuse me — phase one and phase two are fed exclusively in their cells. All their meals are brought to them. With the tray slot opened, the food tray can be pushed into the cell through that door without having to open the door itself. And the inmate takes the tray. When he's finished the with the meal, the door is opened again, and he returns the tray to the correctional officer.

I said it serves two purposes. When the inmate is to be removed from the cell, the inmate backs up to this food port, places his hands and wrists through the opening, and he is handcuffed by the correctional staff. He then steps away from the door, and the door is opened but not

٠.٠٠

1 until he is securily handcuffed.

- Q. And inmates are spending how much time a day in this cell situation?
  - A. Twenty-three hours a day.
- Q. What does this picture, which is Defense Exhibit K, show, please?
- A. This is a typical housing unit in phase one. The cell doors are the darker colored squares here and here and here. They are bisected, identified with an X by access to the plumbing, the stainless steel plumbing facility that I showed you earlier. All of these facilities are two tiers, meaning that there is a row of cells on the bottom and a row of cells on the top. The number of cells are identical top and bottom.
  - Q. And what about Defense Exhibit L?
- A. This is the exercise yard where inmates in phase one who are in administrative segregation or serving a death sentence or a life term may exercise. This door that I'm identifying is an emergency escape door, which is not used, and it's, in fact, it's welded shut. In the left-hand corner where the light and shadow meet is a basketball hoop. I queried Warden McDaniel as to

what exercise materials were available to the inmates on this exercise yard. His response was, what you see is what they get. There was nothing there.

- O. What about Defense Exhibit M?
- A. M is the left-hand corner of the same exercise yard. The basketball hoop would be approximately where I placed an X to the right of that. Again there were no handballs, basketballs. There were no balls of any kind and in that exercise yard.
- Q. Now, you've testified a little bit about various things you saw and observed.

Are inmates allowed to leave their cells one hour a day?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Where do they get to go?
- A. They are permitted to go to the exercise yard, and three times a week a portion of that exercise yard time is for showers. So, while you can go to the yard, if you choose to go to the shower on one of those days, your yard time would be curtailed due to the process of showering.
- Q. So, the average inmate or the inmate up there gets to shower how many times a week?

1	A. Three times.
2	Q. Now, he's eating in his cell, correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. The food is handed through the port hole?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What if he wants to make a telephone
7	call? How does he do that?
8	A. There is a phone on a dolly that goes up
9	and down the tier, the tier being the walking area
10	in front of the cells. And he makes a collect
11	phone call using that phone. There is a
12	photograph, and I'm not sure you have it, but part
13	of the housing unit photographs include telephones
14	on the walls. Those are not accessible for
15	inmates who are in administrative segregation,
16	death row, et cetera.
17	Q. Do you know if they get free phone calls?
18	A. Absolutely not. They have to make phone
19	calls using calling cards or collect calls.
20	Q. What if they want to read a book? How do
21	they get books?
22	A. There is an approved library book system.
23	They can request the book. The book is brought to
24	them. And they may review, read the book, review
25	the book. There are also processes for ordering

When they are transported, they are in

Α.

Are there lots of regulations?

25

0.

1	A. There are regulations for everything.
2	Q. Are they ignored or enforced if you know?
3	A. They are enforced strictly.
4	MR. BROOKS: Now, you also examined, may
5	I approach, your Honor?
6	THE COURT: You may.
7	BY MR. BROOKS:
8	Q. You also examined some disciplinary
9	records on Glenford Budd; is that correct?
10	A. I did.
11	Q. And I'm handing you what's been marked as
12	Defense Proposed Exhibit V. Are those the
13	disciplinary records you examined?
14	A. Yes.
15	MR. BROOKS: I would like to move to
16	admit these, please.
17	MR. KANE: No objection.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	BY MR. BROOKS:
20	Q. What is Glenford Budd's disciplinary
21	record like at Clark County Detention Center?
22	A. Minimal.
23	Q. What do you mean by minimal?
24	A. I have reviewed disciplinary records
25	where inmates had been involved in riots or

1	inmates had been in possession of weapons or
2	inmates have made inmate manufactured alcohol or
3	inmates have assaulted cell partners or
4	correctional staff. This is essentially nuisance
5	kind of activity for the amount of time that the
6	defendant was housed in the facility.
7	Q. He does have write-ups, doesn't he?
8	A. He does have write-ups, yes.
9	Q. How many write-ups does he have?
10	A. I'm counting four, because we have a
11	disagreement over whether or not one belongs to
12	him.
13	Q. Okay. What was he written up for?
14	A. His initial write-up was creating a minor
15	disturbance within the facility. He was banging
16	on the window.
17	Q. Okay. What else?
18	A. Possession of unauthorized items,
19	contraband, or clothing. He had a magazine that
20	belonged to another inmate.
21	Q. Anything else?
22	A. We had the one that I do not believe
23	belongs to him, because the cell number is
24	transposed, and his name does not appear.

Q. Okay.

25

	ş
1	A. Failure to follow safety regulations. He
2	failed to close the day room door when told to do
3	so and leaving his seat during chow.
4	Q. Now, someone with this type of minimal
5	disciplinary record is still, if he's convicted
6	and sentenced, convicted for murder, he's still
7	going to admin seg at Ely prison, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And he will still spend time there?
10	A. Yes.
11	MR. BROOKS: I'll pass the witness.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Kane?
13	
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. KANE:
16	Q. Just a couple, judge.
17	On this administrative segregation, you
18	indicated there is an initial review after 90
19	days, correct?
20	A. That's, that's the guideline, for the
21	inmate to request an initial review, yes.
22	Q. But somebody would have to make an
23	extraordinary showing to get out of admin seg that
24	quickly?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. After that there is review of 180 days,
2	correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. But there are inmates who have been
5	ad seg for 10 years?
6	A. Correct, more.
7	Q. Do those inmates still get their review
8	every 180 days?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What would cause an inmate to still be in
11	ad seg after 10 years if they're getting a review
12.	every 180 days?
13	A. Failure to conform to what guidlines were
14	given him at the previous classification
15	appearance.
16	Q. And if an inmate was given guidelines at
17	the 180-day point and he did comply with them, how
18	long would he expect to remain in ad seg from the
19	time he arrived at the prison until the time he
20	was put in a less restrictive environment?
21	A. I can't answer that. The issue here is
22	the commitment offense, the age of the defendant,
23	and the lack of a custodial record in the penal
24	system on him to use as a benchmark for
25	determining how much time he needs to spend in ad

1	seg before he could be released safely.
2	Q. And you've reviewed all those
3	regulations, correct?
4	A. But there are no hard, fast answers.
5	Q. But assuming for the sake of argument
6	that Mr. Budd were put in ad seg and that he
7	behave himself with no more major infractions than
8	he's had in the Clark County Detention Center, do
9	you have an estimate of how long he would spend in
10	ad seg before he would be removed to a less
11	restrictive environment?
12	A. I would not be comfortable giving you an
13	estimate, no.
14	Q. You mention that ad seg people spend 23
15	hours a day in their cell, one hour out, correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Are they allowed visitation?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. How often?
20	A. Two days a week.
21	Q. And how long do they get out for those
22	periods of visitation?
23	A. Seven hours.
24	Q. And is that in addition to the one hour a
25	day that they're allowed out every day?

1	A. I don't think so, because it would not
2	coincide correctly. I mean, if you visited from
3	8:00 to 4:00, whatever the seven hours are, you
4	would miss your out-of-cell period of time. So, I
5	don't think it's cumulative, that you could tack
6	on my out-of-cell hour to my visiting hour.
7	Q. Okay. Now, once people are removed from
8	ad seg what's the next step up?
9	A. Phase two.
10	Q. And in phase two how many hours a day do
11	you spend in your cell?
12	A. You still eat in your cell, but you are
13	out, I believe, up to four hours.
14	Q. Are there phase two inmates who are
15	serving sentencing of life without the possibility
16	of parole?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Are there phase two inmates who are
19	serving sentences of life with the possibility of
20	parole?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Are there any less restrictive phrases in
23	Ely than phase two?
24	A. There are phase one inmates, and I do not
25	knows if there are

1	life-without-possibility-of-parole inmates in
2	phase one, because phase one requires that you
3	have a job and, and my understanding of EK
4	McDaniel's policy is that
5	life-without-possibility-of-parole inmates do not
6	work in the kitchen or other areas that would be
7	prone to weapons or other possible problems.
8	Q. You anticipated my next question, which
9	is, are inmates in Nevada State Prison system
10	permitted to work?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Are they permitted to keep the money that
13	they earn working?
14	A. A portion of it, yes.
15	Q. What portion aren't they?
16	A. I don't have the percentage. I can give
17	you California's percentage. I don't know
18	Nevada's percentage.
19	Q. Are you aware of the range of money that
20	Nevada State inmates who work make?
21	A. I am not.
22	Q. Would it surprise you that there are
23	Nevada State inmates making up to \$60,000 a year
24	while they're in prison?
25	A. It would surprise me, yes.

It would surprise me, yes.

1	MR. KANE: Nothing further.
2	
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. BROOKS:
5	Q. Would it surprise you to know that any
6	money earned has to be applied to restitution?
7	A. It would not surprise me. All monies
8	owed always go to restitution first.
9	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. No further
10	questions.
11	THE COURT: Anything further?
12	MR. KANE: No, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
14	Thank you for your time.
15	Counsel, will you approach?
16	(Conference at the bench.)
17	THE COURT: Who's next?
1.8	MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, your Honor.
19	Adele Levy.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	THE BAILIFF: Ms. Levy, if you would,
22	remain standing, please, raise your right hand,
23	face the clerk.
24	• • • •
25	• • • •

1	ADELE LEVY,
2	called as a witness, and having been first duly
3	sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth,
4	and nothing but the truth, was examined and
5	testified as follows:
6	
7	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you
8 -	please state your name and spell it for the
9	record?
10	THE WITNESS: My name is Adele Levy,
11	A-d-e-l-e L-e-v-y.
12	·
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. O'BRIEN:
15	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Levy.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Can you tell the jury he you know
18	Glenford?
<u>1</u>	Yes _' .a Clacher, continuation high
20	ော္ ော္ ေ Montabello, California, Veil High
21	Scall. And Anthony, as I know him, was a student
22	in my classroom.
23	Q. And can you tell us a little bit about a
24	continuation high school?
25	A. I would be happy to. I'm very proud of

the school that I work at. In California every

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school district, unified school district must have a continuation high school, which is an alternative high school. It's for students who don't fit into the system of a traditional high school. Whether it's because of attendance, attitudes, behavior, they end up at a continuation high school, or you might know it as an alternative school.

Tell us what you know about Glenford, about his --

I have nothing to say but positive I, 19 -- probably -- 99, 2000 would have been the last time that he was either in my class or somewhere on the campus. And I, before I came here I said to the, our now principal, Robert Kennedy, who was assistant principal at that time, I said, do you remember Anthony Budd? And he went, yeah. And I said, was he in your office a lot? He said, not enough for me to go, oh, yeah. I remember him. So, then I went around and talked to some other people. Brian Roberts, who is our shop teacher, who said, I said, Brian, Anthony earned 45 credits in your class, 45 credits over a period of time. And he said, I said, what can you

(702) 898-7676

say? He said, a really personable young guy. And the thing that made me and the other people, Chip Mayotte, who's our physical, our PE coach, he said, say hi.

And I guess the compliment for me, I'm a reading teacher. I was a former language arts teacher, an English teacher. Now I'm the literacy coordinator for the school. And John Cauherada, who is our math teacher, said, yeah. I have a very clear picture in math class when the students were supposed to be reading, he says that they weren't. He said, but Anthony, and when he said, what's with the reading? Ms. Levy made me do it in her class. I kind of like it now. And I felt, what an accomplishment. I have no bad, terrible things. They're all very positive, very plus comments. That was my experience.

- Q. Did there come a time when he abruptly left your class?
- A. I don't remember that, but I do remember the last time that I saw him on the campus. It's a small campus. Our maximum student population is 420, probably 390 most of the time. There are 13 teachers on the campus. We know the students. We know them by name. How, how you doing? What's

12-14-05, State v. udd going on? And Anthony, Anthony and I had the And my recollection, I remember a white

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ability to throw humor back and forth. pretty strict teacher in the classroom. I have high expectations. My students are supposed to read. I'm not very effusive. And Anthony and I would throw words back and forth to each other. That would make me laugh. He just picked up on my humor. And we had a beat to the humor.

matching, some kind of, of a jump suit or something on, and I would tease Anthony about his clothes, because he was always very nicely dressed. And my recollection is this incredible smile that was always there and just a real friendly reaction. And then he was gone. He was off the campus. And we, it's not our job to ask what happens to the students. They come and go on an alternative campus. When they're there, they're welcomed. And when they're gone, it's like, ooh. And when they come back again, wow. And Anthony was gone. And at that point Angela, his sister, I just said, how's Anthony? Okay. Okay. Good. Okay. Good. And that was it.

Thank you. Is there anything else you would like to tell the jurors?

1	A. Just that all of my thoughts are positive
2	ones, and all of the thoughts of the people that I
3	work with who, who are still there, who knew him,
4	it's the same thing, no troubles, no fights, no
5	disruptions in class, nothing like that at all.
6	Q. Thank you very much.
7	A. Thank you.
8	MR. O'BRIEN: Pass the witness, your
9	Honor.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Kane?
11	
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. KANE:
14	Q. Ma'am, just a couple of questions, ma'am.
15	A. Certainly.
16	Q. How were his grades?
17	A. Average grades. I remember specifically
18	one report card only, because it recently crossed
19	my path. And it was a C and 2.5 credits. So, it
20	was for a short, short period of time and an
21	average grade.
22	Q. And that mark wasn't exceptionally high
23	or low for him?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Do you remember any particular classes

Q. Do you remember any particular classes

1	that he had problems with?
2	A. Classes that he had problems with? I had
3	him for language arts. There wasn't a problem in
4	language arts, reading and reading improvement,
5	whatever you wanted to call the class, English.
6	And nobody else made any comments to me that there
7	were problems in classes ever.
8	Q. So, you basically found him to be a
9	person of average intelligence?
10	A. Yes. I, yeah.
11	MR. KANE: Nothing further, your Honor.
12	MR. O'BRIEN: Nothing further.
13	THE COURT: You may step down now. Thank
14	you very much.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Another witness of about this
17	duration perhaps, or is this where we would have a
18	demarcation in going into
19	MR. O'BRIEN: Based on the Court's
20	concerns, this would be, this satisfies our
21	problems, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very
23	much.
24	Ladies and gentlemen, recognizing what a
25	long day yesterday was, certainly how trying the

And he was, we thought, going

THE COURT:

25

	12-14-05, State v. Judd 116
1	to be an hour, hour and a half witness, correct?
2	MR. O'BRIEN: Correct.
3	THE COURT: Very well.
4	MS. PANDUKHT: How many family members?
5	MR. O'BRIEN: We have approximately, it's
6	a little bit in flux. I would say approximately
7	six family members.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll be back
9	tomorrow at 8:30, 8:30 tomorrow.
10	MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you.
11	* * * *
12	Attest: Full, true, accurate transcript of
13	proceedings.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Janice David, CCR No. 405
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

	[1] 113:19	[1] 4:18	122222
\$	20	5:40	Accessible (1) 98:14
\$60,000	[3] 6:20 71:17 80:14	(2) 5:4 5:5	Accompany
[1] 107:23	2000	6	[1] 89:19
\$800 [1] 79:9	[1] 110:13	6'4	Accomplishment
[1] 19:5	2003 [1]:71:6	(1) 28:20	[1] 111:15
	2005	6'8	According [1] 60:23
'05	[7] 1:14 4:1 79:22 80:14 89:	[1] 92:15	Accurate
[1] 91:1	12 89:16 90:13	60	[2] 5:16 116:12
1	20th [1] 79:21	[4] 3:6 53:17 53:21 54:9 600	Accurately
10	21	f11 76:25	[4] 9:19 90:14 91:2 91:2
[3] 89:4 104:5 104:11	[2] 24:11 25:14	61	Accused [1] 77:23
103 [1] 2:21	23	(1) 54: <b>15</b>	Acknowledgment
108	[6] 25:3 83:3 83:20 83:23 88:	62	[1] 90:25
[1] 2:20	16 105:14 <b>24</b>	[2] 2:16 54:22	Acquainted
109	[1] 25:7	<b>63</b> [2] 3:4 55:7	[1] 79:24
[1] 2:24	25	64	Activity [2] 87:18 102:5
11	[1] 6:20	[2] 3:7 55:24	Actual
[5] 23:19 27:15 27:21 27:24 82:23	25th	65	[2] 63:21 64:7
11-year-old	[1] 41:13 26th	(2) 56:5 86:8	Ad
[1] 27:10	20th [2] 41:13 65:19	66	[11] 83:23 87:2 88:24 104:5
1100		[4] 3:6 53:17 53:21 56:18 67	104:11 104:18 104:25 105:6 105:10 105:14 106:8
[1] 85:8	3	[3] 3:7 63:20 64:12	Add
113 [1] 2:25	30	6:00	(1) 15:23
11:30	[1] 92:7 33	(2) 5:2 5:9	Added
[2] 1:14 4:1	[1] 82:5	7	[1] 26:21 Addition
12	34	7	[1] 105:24
(1) 89:4	[1] 2:4	[1] 1:7	Additional
120	35	75	[1] 6:22
[1] 16:13 125	[2] 3:3 83:6	[1] 2:20 <b>780</b>	Address
[1] 76:12	37 [1] 16:14	[1] 85:8	[2] 4:7 64:18
12th	390	8	Adele [5] 2:23 108:19 109:1 109:10
(1) 64:15	[1] 111:23		109:11
13	4	<b>84</b> (1] 23:13	Adequately
[2] 25:20 111:23	40	8:00	[3] 10:25 11:4 11:15
14 [4] 1:14 4:1 21:20 48:4	[2] 2:7 83:7	[1] 106:3	Adjacent [1] 15:3
15	405	8:30	Admin
[5] 5:16 29:13 48:5 55:25 56:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	[4] 115:4 115:14 116:9 116:9	[3] 87:11 103:7 103:23
14	405note	8th (3) 65:3 67:25 68:2	Administration
16 [2] 56:1 92:16	[1] 1:25 420	9	[2] 60:2 75:19
166,000	[1] 111:23		Administrative [12] 76:20 79:25 83:18 87:13
[1] 82:6	45	90 [3] 3:12 68:21 103:18	87:23 88:11 88:15 89:3 91:24
18	[2] 110:24 110:24	99	96:19 98:15 103:17
[1] 29:23	45-minute	[1] 110:13	Administrator [2] 76:23 77:6
180 [4] 88:25 104:1 104:8 104:12	[2] 15:6 15:19 46	9:45	Admissable
180-day	[1] 2:10	(1) 65:20	[1] 16:16
[1] 104:17	47		Admission
19	[1] 3:5		[2] 90:2 90:15
[7] 21:18 21:19 23:24 59:12 67:2 70:6 110:13	4:00	[1] 116:17	Admit [5] 35:4 53:24 63:11 64:6
1973	[1] 106:3	A	101:16
[2] 75:18 75:22	5	A's	Admitted
1983	51	[1] 16:7	[6] 18:8 35:9 47:8 54:2 63: 14 90:23
[1] 64:16	[5] 2:13 3:3 34:20 35:5 35:14		Admt'd
1990	52 (3) 34:30 35:4 35:14	[2] 1:14 4:1 Ability	[1] 3:2
[2] 65:3 71:12 <b>1992</b>	[3] 34:20 35:6 35:14 53	(3) 23:13 77:16 112:2	Adopted
(1) 76:1	[4] 3:3 34:20 35:6 35:14	Able	[3] 62:22 64:14 66:22
1994	54	[10] 13:8 17:20 26:6 27:8 29:	Adrian [3] 51:17 56:8 57:3
[2] 75:10 78:5	[4] 3:4 3:6 63:5 63:17	14 29:15 29:17 29:17 36:23 81:21	Advanced
<b>1999</b> [4] 79:20 80:14 85:23 89:8	<b>55</b> [2] 63:5 63:18	Abruptly	[1] 16:14
1:00	[2] 63:5 63:18   56	(1) 111:18	Advise
[1] 60:23	[3] 3:4 63:5 63:18	Absolute	[1] 6:3
1:10	57	[2] 85:6 88:19	Affect (4) 11:9 21:8 20:1 20:1
[1] 60:23	[4] 3:5 47:1 47:5 47:12	Absolutely	[4] 11:9 21:8 30:1 30:1 Affected
1:15	58	[3] 12:18 98:18 100:24 Accent:	[11] 21:8 28:12 36:6 37:10
[1] 60:23	[3] 47:1 47:5 47:12 59	[2] 27:25 28:4	42:16 42:17 43:6 48:6 58:9
1st (1) 41:14	[4] 3:5 47:1 47:5 47:13	Accept	59:4 71:3
	5:35	[2] 22:2 43:4	Affiliation [1] 81:8
2	[1] 4:15	Access	Afternoon (1) 71
2.5	5:38	{2} 85:25 96:10	

[1] 109:15 Afterwards	Anytime	Attaches	[1] 66:24 Boots	
[1] 36:19	[1] 84:3 Apart	[1] 93:16 Attempt	Began [1] 29:4	
Age	[2] 43:10 58:11	[1] 24:7	Begged	
[15] 24:12 25:3 25:14 25:2	Apartment	Attendance	[2] 67:1 67:3	
26:18 26:23 27:5 27:15 27: 29:13 72:16 81:6 82:19 82:	24 [ [ 31	[1] 110:6	Beginning	
104:22	Appeal	Attest	[1] 15:20   Behalf	
Aggravating	[1] 7:5 Appeals	[1] 116:12 Atticus	[3] 4:6 74:4 77:13	
[2] 19:8 19:8 Aggravators	[1] 77:11	[1] 22:24	Behave	
(1) 19:13	Appear	Attitudes	[1] 105:7	
Aggrevator	[2] 70:18 102:24	[2] 69:10 110:7	Behavior [2] 22:23 110:7	
[4] 19:18 19:21 20:1 20:12	Appearance	Attorney [3] 1:18 1:19 85:21	Belize	
Ago	[1] 104:15 APPEARANCES	Attorneys	[7] 25:4 25:4 25:8 25:12 26:	
[1] 58:20 Agree	[1] 1:16	[4] 17:19 17:25 78:22 85:20	10 26:11 27:24	
[1] 8:8	Applied	Augment	Belly [1] 100:10	
Agreed	[2] 66:1 108:6	[1] 4:10	Belonged	
[4] 7:24 8:22 9:15 31:3	Apply	Aunt [1] 71:22	[2] 24:25 102:20	
Agreement	(2) 18:25 B0:6 Appointed	Aunt's	Belongs	
Ahead	[1] 78:7	[1] 64:18	(2) 102:11 102:23	
[3] 13:19 61:10 65:1	Appreciate	Autopsy	<b>Bench</b> [4] 42:13 59:23 61:5 108:16	
AI	[5] 8:14 68:25 69:25 69:25	[2] 69:19 69:20 Available	Benchmark	
[2] 66:2 66:2	73:14 Approach	(3) 20:21 80:3 97:1	(1) 104:24	
Air 	[8] 34:15 42:11 59:21 63:1	Average	Bernardino	
Airplane	74:10 89:22 101:5 108:15	[4] 97:24 113:17 113:21 114:9	[1] 48:20 Best	
[1] 25:12	Appropriate	Aware	[8] 23:22 24:12 31:7 37:19	
Alcohol	[3] 8:12 81:4 81:17 Approved	[1] 107:19 Awful	40:17 47:16 82:15 115:16	
[1] 102:2 <b>Alien</b>	[1] 98:22	[3] 53:4 56:25 58:18	Better	
[1] 23:17	April	Б	[4] 36:15 68:25 82:4 100:21 Between	
Alive	[1] 41:14	В	[4] 6:6 28:22 32:8 83:15	
[1] 46:21	Area	Baby [14] 43:7 52:13 52:13 53:7	Beyond	
Alleged	[3] 15:3 92:4 98:9 Areas	64:23 65:13 67:24 67:24 67:	[3] 13:4 19:15 24:25	
[2] 19:19 19:20 Allow	[1] 107:6	25 68:2 68:3 68:6 72:8 73:5	Big [3] 25:16 40:25 52:20	
[4] 13:17 13:19 15:2 15:16	Arguably	Bachelor	[3] 23:16 40:25 32:20	
Allowed	[1] 8:17	[1] 75:16 Background	[1] 44:20	
[8] 10:18 14:19 73:24 88:6 97:14 99:23 105:17 105:25	Argue [4] 11:5 13:11 13:12 20:7	[3] 58:24 75:21 82:11	Birth	
Allowing	Arguing	Backs	[2] 23:25 27:12	
[1] 12:6	[3] 28:19 28:21 35:25	[1] 95:22	Birthday [1] 83:2	
Allows	Argument	Bad [5] 47:25 48:22 49:15 70:3	Bisected	
[2] 20:23 33:5	[4] 28:16 28:18 44:16 105:5	111:15	[1] 96:10	
Almost [4] 6:14 8:17 17:18 58:1	Arm [1] 57:20	Bailiff	Bit	
Alternative	Arrange	[12] 5:21 6:7 16:4 33:11 39: 10 46:2 50:16 60:5 60:18 60:	[9] 32;14 47;14 60;24 65:17 66:24 97;12 109;23 115:2 116:	
[3] 110:4 110:9 112:18	[1] 55:18	20 74:15 108:21	6	
Altitude	Arrangements	Ball	Bitter	
[1] 99:20 Ambulance	[1] 60:2	[2] 55:12 67:15 Balls	[1] 27:18 Black	
[1] 69:21	Arrests [1] 81:7	[1] 97:10	[2] 48:25 66:17	
Amenability	Arrived	Banging	Blame	
[1] 78:22	[4] 27:21 28:13 28:18 104:19	[1] 102:15	[1] 44:6	
America [2] 23:20 25:24	Arriving	Baseball	Board	
Amount	[1] 25:12   Arts	[2] 57:18 66:7 Based	[1] 91:8 Body	
[1] 102:5	[5] 75:16 75:18 111:6 114:3	[8] 22:6 29:8 81:5 81:16 81:	[1] 84:3	
Angel	114:4	19 81:20 89:1 114:19	Bond	
(1) 10:12 Angela	Ashamed	Basic [1] 58:2	[1] 28:8 Book	
Angela   [2] 25:14 112:21	Assaulted	Basketball	17   18   25   98   20   98   22   98   23	
Angeles	[1] 102:3	[7] 11:13 31:10 35:25 57:8	98:23 98:24 98:25	
[3] 25:15 26:9 27:21	Assigned	66:7 96:25 97:7	Booked	
Angry (1) 73:1	[2] 77:5 89:19	Basketballs	[1] 79:12 Books	
Ankle	Assignment	Battery	[2] 98:21 99:1	
[2] 84:2 100:4	00:4 Assistant [1] 82:14		Border	
Answer [2] 76:20 110:17		Bay [1] 94:3		
[5] 22:10 22:12 22:18 88:1 104:21	Masocraced	[1] 100:18 Beat	Born [4] 25:4 53:6 64:15 68:10	
1 ~ ~ · · · · ·	[2] 60:12 115:9	[1] 112:8	[4] 25:4 53:6 64:15 68:10   Bottom	
Answers	Associating	Beautiful	[2] 96:14 96:16	
[1] 105:4	1133 69:9		1	
[1] 105:4 Anthony	[1] 69:9 Assuming	[4] 53:7 53:9 54:12 64:23	Bought	
[1] 105:4 Anthony [11] 1:6 109:21 110:18 110	Assuming	Became	(1) 66:4	
[1] 105:4 Anthony	Assuming [2] 93:1 105:5 Athlete	Became [2] 29:10 61:4	(1) 66:4 Box	
[1] 105:4 <b>Anthony</b> [11] 1:6 109:21 110:18 110 23 111:12 112:1 112:1 112:	Assuming [2] 93:1 105:5	Became	(1) 66:4	

Since   Sinc	47:24 53:2 55:14 55:15 56:12	Cameron	[3] 8:21 21:25 88:23	[10] 6:8 25:23 29:10 36:4 53:
Cappus   C	63:22 65:14 67:23 68:5 73:6		1	
13  44:22 4:56 66:11   16  11:15 11:12:11:15 11:22 11:15   12:12 11:15	Boys	1 2	, -	103:2
Parent	[3] 42:22 45:6 66:11		1 II 1	Closer
Clay   Comparison   Compariso	Brand		3	[1] 57:9
	[I] 91:11	1	1 · ·	Clothes
	Break		, -	[3] 30:14 68:23 112:12
String	[4] 13:5 15:6 52:11 60:5	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	Clothing
Capacity	<b>1</b>	1	Ŧ ,	[1] 102:19
	(2) 110:22 110:23	, -		Clustering
Briefly			I =	l
(2)   59:22   59:15   57:26	L	Capi tal		
Dring   19	1		<b>I</b>	l * -
	1 .	1		1
Spring   S	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Stroke				
		I	<b>■</b> 3 = 7 = = .	, - · · ·
Stroken	* "			
			I 7. *	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Procke		1	I 1	
[46] 1.22 12:20 4:5 4:6 6:23   Case	1		l	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13   113   122   215   216   215   916   115   216   115   216   115   216   115   216				
20   11:19   11:20   12:25   13:21   412:   12:   13:12   12:12   13				
19   12   12   12   12   13   13   13   13			. "	
15:12 16:11 16:22 16:22 2:16   36:10 8e:16 74:3 76:13 20:15   11 11:2   Combination   Comparable   Comparab		[60] 1.0 3.13 1.0 2110 2112		
13   13   13   13   13   13   13   13	15:12 16:1 16:24 16:25 21:16			[
101.15   101.14   101.7   101.15   10		82:19 83:22 85:19 65:20 85:		l * -
			7 - 7	1 - 1 1
108:19   108:20   108:40   108:10   128:10   1		3		1
				Comfortable
Zest   10:9 25:6 Zest   12:5:17   Zest   Z			_	[1] 105:12
25:20 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 34:6 35:1 35:2   25:10 30:10 36:10 35:1 35:1 35:1 35:1 35:1 35:1 35:1 35:1	■			Comforted
13   13   23   23   36   23   36   24   38   4   38   38   38   38   38   38			· ·	[1] 25:18
40:23 41:3 41:13 41:13 41:13 43:11   43:15		1 " "		Coming
Serother's   (4) 43:20 44:4 45:4 65:23   (1) 89:5   Caution   (1) 49:22 40:25 41:3 41   (1) 60:25 42:24   (1) 80:20   (2) 8:10 90:25 40:24 93:10   (2) 16:18   (				[7] 14:12 19:2 27:24 45:8 65:
Brother's   (4) 43:20 44: 4 45: 4 65: 23   Camtion   (1) 16:18   CCCC   (1) 80:20   CCCC   (1) 80:20   CCCC   (1) 80:20   CCCC   (1) 80:20   CCR   (2) 17:25 116:18   CCCCC   (1) 80:20   CCR   (2) 17:25 116:18   CCCCCC   (2) 18:25 120 22:12 45:26:11   CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		8 65:23 83:2
A		1		Commentary
Distribution   19   19   19   19   19   19   19   1				
[4]   17:24   18:1   11:17   14:6   22   32:12   23:12   23:12   23:12   23:12   23:12   23:13   28:				Comments
25 4:24   25   25   25   25   25   25   25		1		[4] 17:24 18:1 111:17 114:6
		1 <del>-</del>		
CCR	<u> </u>		l - "	
		ŧ		1
Drushed   133   83:24 84:3 89:3 88:4 89:   117:10   121:10   133   16:15 77:3 88:23   12   19:18 91:12 92:19 92:12 92:   12:   12:   19:18 91:12 92:   10   12:				
[13] 83:24 84:3 88:3 88:4 89:1   117 7:10   13   15:15 77:3 88:23   13:15 93:19 92:20   14 92:15 92:19 92:20   13:15 92:17 92:19 92:20   13:15 92:17 92:19 92:20   13:15 92:17 92:19 92:20   15:15 92:17 92:19 92:20   15:15 92:16 42:4 61:16   15:15 92:15 92:17 92:19 92:20   15:15 92:16 42:4 61:16   15:15 92:15 92:17 92:19 92:20   15:15 92:16 42:4 61:16   15:15 92:14 93:15 92:15   15:16 92:15 92:17 92:19 92:20   15:15 92:16 42:4 61:16   15:15 92:15 92:10 92:20   16:15 92:14 93:10   15:15 105:8   13:15 92:15 92:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 93:15 93:15 93:16   13:15 92:15 93:15 9			l	I * * _
Build				
131   1:6 4:6 17:9 17:18 22:1   22:18 22:10 22:16 42:4 61:16   55:19 5:14 95:23 96:22 95:4 102:3 102:23 105: 102:19 105:6 110:19   95:2 96:14 96:14 96:15 106:12   106:6 106:11 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:10 106:12   106:18   106:15 97:14 98:10   106:15 97:14 98:10   106:15 97:14 98:10   106:15 97:14 98:10   106:15 97:14 98:10   106:15 97:18 97:10   106:15 97:22 97:10   106:18   106:15 97:14 98:10   106:15 97:14 98:10   106:15 97:18 97:18 97:19   106:16 106:11 106:12   106:18   106:15 97:18 97:19   106:18 106:15 97:18 97:19   106:18 106:15 106:11 106:12   106:18   106:16 106:11 106:12   106:18   106:16 106:11 106:12   106:18   106:16 106:11 106:12   106:18   106:16 106:11 106:12   106:18   106				
22.8   22:10   22:16   42:4   61:16   95:1   95:14   95:21   96:3   96:8   96:8   96:2   99:4   102:3   102:23   105:5   105:8   101:9   105:6   105:8   105				_
101:9 105:6 110:18   98:2 99:4 102:3 102:23 105:   15 106:4 106:6 106:11 106:12   15 106:18 106:12   16 106:11 106:12   15 106:18 106:11 106:12   15 106:18 106:11 106:12   16 106:11 106:12   17 100:16   18 100:16   18 100:16   18 100:16   19 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:16   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   10 10:16   10 10:17   1			-	1 · · ·
Second   Compare   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Camparing   Comparing   Camparing   Camparing				l <del>.</del>
[2] 40:15 101:20 Buddies [2] 31:5 31:9 Build [2] 45:10 Building [2] 45:11 84:19 Bunked [2] 92:24 93:10 [2] 42:20 93:10 [2] 42:24 93:10 [2] 42:20 66:1 [2] 42:20 66:1 [2] 43		15 106:4 106:6 106:11 106:12		•
Buddies   [1] 88:2   Colls   [2] 31:5 31:9   Build   [9] 90:9 93:2 93:4 95:12 96: 5 114:5   Classes   Complain   [1] 52:25   Complain   [1] 52:16   Complain   Comp		Celled	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
[2] 31:5 31:9  Build [9] 90:9 93:2 93:4 95:12 96: 14 96:15 97:14 98:10  Center [6] 76:15 77:7 80:16 82:9 101:21 105:8  Central [1] 45:11 84:19  Bunk [4] 92:21 93:5 93:8 93:16  Bunked [2] 92:24 93:10  Bus [2] 44:20 66:1  Buy [2] 44:20 66:1  [3] 23:39 26:24 85:2  Certainly [1] 52:16  Complaints [1] 77:13  Complaints [1] 52:25  Complaints [1] 77:13  Complaints [1] 100:17  Complaints [1] 100:10 [1] 78:24  [1] 100:17  Complaints [1] 7		[1] 88:2		- ·
Build [9] 90:9 93:2 93:4 95:12 96: 14 96:15 97:14 98:10				
11   45:10	<b>■</b> * -			
Building		14 96:14 96:15 97:14 98:10		-
[2] 45:11 84:19				. · ·
Bunk [4] 92:21 93:5 93:8 93:16  Bunked [2] 92:24 93:10  Bus [2] 44:20 66:1  Buy [1] 52:16  C  Certainly [1] 11:5 14:17 42:12 59:22 60:5 74:9 74:11 89:23 113:15 114:25  Certificate [1] 24:1  Cetera [1] 1:5  California [21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1  California's [1] 100:10 Chair California's [1] 100:10 Chair Clearly [1] 100:10 Chaired Chaired [2] 100:2 100:4 Chaired [2] 76:15 77:3 80:4 80:25 81: CCapplex [2] 109:22 112:3 Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23 Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23 Cleans [1] 91:19 Compliment [1] 11:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 48:24 111:10 Concerned [2] 48:24 111:10 Concerned [2] 109:22 100:10 Clearly [1] 100:10 Clearly [1] 16:14 Clear [2] 109:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 Chains [2] 100:2 100:4 Chaired [2] 100:2 100:4 Chaired [2] 76:14 77:2 Client's [1] 8:10 Completely [2] 7:19 13:16 Complex [1] 12:11 Compliment [1] 11:5 Comply [1] 100:17 Concerning [2] 109:22 112:3 Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23 Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23 Cleans [1] 91:19 Compliment [1] 11:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 48:24 111:10 Concerning [2] 109:12 39:12 39:12 39:12 [2] 109:21 39:12 39:12 [2] 109:22 112:3 Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23 Cle				
Central [3] 23:19 26:24 85:2 Certain [2] 92:24 93:10  Bus [2] 44:20 66:1  Buy [1] 52:16  Certificate [1] 1:5 California [2] 19:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1  Camera [3] 89:8 89:17 89:17  Cameraman  [2] 42:26 65:24 85:2  Certainly [1] 23:19 26:24 85:2  Certainly [1] 13:16  Cleans [2] 109:22 112:3  Cleans [2] 109:22 112:3  Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23  Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23  Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23  Cleans [3] 19:19  Clears [1] 11:5  Compliment [1] 11:5  Comply [1] 10:10 Clearly [1] 16:14 Clearly [1] 16:14 Clearly [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerning [1] 11:5  [1] 107:17 Chaired [2] 7:19 13:16  Complex [2] 109:22 112:3  Cleans [2] 109:22 112:3  Cleans [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23  Cleans [4] 10:10  Compliment [1] 11:5  Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16  Concerning [1] 104:17  Concerning [1] 19:7  Concerns [1] 11:5 [1] 107:17  Chaired [2] 100:2 100:4 [2]		1		
Bunked [2] 92:24 93:10  Bus [2] 44:20 66:1  Buy [1] 11:5 14:17 42:12 59:22  [2] 52:16  Certainly [1] 11:5 14:17 42:12 59:22  [3] 30:8 30:14 100:23  Cleans [1] 12:11  Complicated [1] 21:11  Compliment [1] 11:5  Certificate [1] 24:1  Cetera [1] 15:1  California [21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1  California's [1] 107:17  Chaired Chaired Climate [2] 99:11 99:18 [2] 99:11 99:18 [2] 64:22 88:17  Compliment [1] 100:20 [1] 100:20 [1] 100:20 [2] 100:2 100:4 [2] 100:2 100:4 [2] 100:2 100:4 [2] 99:11 99:18 [2] 64:22 88:17 Compliment [2] 100:20 [2] 100:2 100:4 [2				
Certain	1 1 <del>-</del>	* · ·	Classroom	, - ,
Bus [2] 44:20 66:1  Buy [1] 11:5 14:17 42:12 59:22 60:5 74:9 74:11 89:23 113:15 [1] 52:16  C Certificate [1] 24:1  Cetera [1] 1:5  California [2] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1  California's [1] 156:24 Chair Climate Clim	, —		[2] 109:22 112:3	
Certainly	3 1 7		Clean	l '
[10] 11:5 14:17 42:12 59:22				· <del>-</del>
1   52:16		[10] 11:5 14:17 42:12 59:22		
C193182 [1] 24:1 Cetera [1] 98:16 Chain [21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: California's [1] 100:10 Chair California's [1] 100:20 Chair Chair Chair Client's [1] 111:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 100:10 Chair Client's [2] 100:2 100:4 Chair Client's [1] 111:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 111:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 111:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 111:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 11:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 11:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 11:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 11:5 Comply [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [2] 7:11 7:16 Conce				·
C193182 [1] 24:1 Cetera [1] 24:1 Cetera [1] 98:16 Claifornia [21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1 California's [1] 100:10 Chair California's [1] 100:2 100:4 Chair California's [1] 56:24 [1] 100:2 100:4 Chaired Client's [1] 114:20 Concerns [1] 114:20 Concerns [1] 114:20 Concerns [1] 114:20 Concerns [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerns [1] 114:20 Concerns [2] 100:2 100:4 Chaired Client's [1] 8:1 Climate Concerns [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 114:20 Condemned [2] 7:10:20 110:1 Chaired Client's [1] 100:10 Condemned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 114:20 Condemned [2] 64:22 88:17 Condition [2] 64:22 88:17 Conditions Conditions [2] 42:16 72:15		4	· · ·	· · ·
C193182 [1] 1:5 California [21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1 California's [1] 100:10 Chair California's [1] 100:10 Chair Client's Client's Client's Client's Client's Concerning [1] 104:17 Concerned [2] 7:11 7:16 Concerning [1] 19:7 Co	C		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
[1] 1:5 California [21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1 California's [1] 100:10 Chair California's [1] 16:14 Clerk [1] 93:13 33:21 39:12 39:20 Concerning [1] 19:7 Chains [2] 100:2 100:4 Chairs Client's Client's Client's Client's [1] 14:20 Concerns [1] 114:20 Concerns [1] 114:20 Concerns [1] 114:20 Concerns [2] 100:2 100:4 Chair Client's [1] 107:17 Chair Client's [1] 8:1 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 114:20 Condemned [2] 100:2 100:4 Chair Client's [2] 99:11 99:18 Climate Condition [2] 64:22 88:17 Conditions [2] 64:22 88:17 Concerns [2] 64:22 88:17 Conditions [2] 42:16 72:15	C193182	<u> </u>	l · · · · ·	l 3 - 5
California [21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1 California's [1] 107:17 Camera [3] 89:8 89:17 89:17 Cameraman [2] 42:16 72:15  Clerk [19] 33:13 33:21 39:12 39:20 [19] 33:13 33:21 39:12 39:20 [10] 100:2 100:4 [19] 33:13 33:21 39:12 39:20 [10] 10:10 Chains [10] 100:10 Chains [12] 100:2 100:4 [13] 100:10 Chains [14] 100:10 Chains [15] 100:2 100:4 [16] 100:10 Chains [17] 100:20 110:1 Chair Client's [18:1] Clerk [19] 33:13 33:21 39:12 39:20 [10] 11 19:7 Concerning [1] 19:7 Concerns [1] 114:20 Condemned [1] 114:20 Condemned [2] 64:22 88:17 Condition [2] 64:22 88:17 Conditions [2] 42:16 72:15 [1] 178:8		1	**	
[21] 27:22 65:3 75:9 75:13 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: [2] 100:2 100:4 71:09:20 110:1 Chair Client's [1] 107:17 Chaired Climate [2] 76:14 77:2 [2] 99:11 99:18 [2] 64:22 88:17 Cameraman [2] 42:16 72:15 [1] 78:8 Conditions [2] 42:16 72:15 [1] 78:8	•	L		1
75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10 77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: 17 109:20 110:1 California's [1] 107:17 Camera [2] 76:14 77:2 [3] 89:8 89:17 89:17 Cameraman [2] 42:16 72:15 [1] 17 8:8  39:25 46:4 46:12 46:17 50:18 51:1 51:6 62:11 62:16 74:12 74:16 74:23 75:3 108:23 109:7 Client's [1] 114:20 Concerns [1] 114:20 Condemned [3] 84:16 87:1 91:25 Condition [2] 64:22 88:17 Conditions [2] 42:16 72:15 [1] 78:8			· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5 82:5 82:5 82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100: [2] 100:2 100:4 74:16 74:23 75:3 108:23 109:7 [1] 114:20 Chair Client's (1] 56:24 (1] 8:1 (2) 76:14 77:2 (2) 99:11 99:18 (2) 64:22 88:17 Chance (2) 42:16 72:15 (1) 78:8 (1	75:24 76:4 77:1 77:8 77:10	<b>1</b> "	39:25 46:4 46:12 46:17 50:18	
Chair   Client's   Condemned   Client's   Condemned   Client's   Condemned   Client's   Client's   Condemned   Client's   Client's   Client's   Client's   Condemned   Client's   Cli	77:15 80:23 81:19 82:5 82:5		51:1 51:6 62:11 62:16 74:12	
California's [1] 56:24 [1] 8:1 [3] 84:16 87:1 91:25 [7] 107:17 [7] Chaired [7] 99:11 99:18 [7] 64:22 88:17 [7]	82:23 86:7 86:9 100:16 100:	1 - 1		1-1 -11.00
[1] 107:17		r		
Camera [2] 76:14 77:2 [2] 99:11 99:18 [2] 64:22 88:17 [3] 89:8 89:17 89:17 Chance Clinton Conditions [2] 42:16 72:15 [1] 78:8			· ·	(3) 84:16 87:1 91:25
[3] 89:8 89:17 89:17 Chance Clinton Coditions  [2] 42:16 72:15 [1] 78:8				Condition
Cameraman (2) 42:16 72:15 (1) 78:8	•			[2] 64:22 88:17
[1] 56:3 Change Close Close [1] 80:3 Conducting CU172				Conditions
Close Conducting Conducting				(11 00 3
	[1] 56:3	unange	Close	Conducting GUIVEL

[1] 99:14 [14] 75:11 76:11 76:12 76:14 Cross Death 77:4 77:4 77:5 77:8 78:9 83: 25 89:19 95:18 95:24 102:4 Conference [3] 16:17 16:23 39:4 [28] 11:2 11:17 19:1 19:10 [7] 4:17 4:18 5:16 5:17 42: 13 59:23 108:16 19:11 19:12 19:16 20:20 20: 25 21:8 21:23 21:25 30:19 36: Cross-examination Corrections [5] 16:17 16:23 39:4 103:14 [11] 75:10 75:24 76:5 77:14 13 41:7 41:14 41:18 43:21 44: Configuration 113:12 77:20 78:6 81:3 81:9 81:15 4 45:4 52:1 64:21 71:13 78: [1] 93:6 Cross-examine 83:5 83:12 20 84:11 84:16 96:20 98:16 Confine [1] 14:16 Correctly Deceased [1] 9:17 Crossed [1] 20:16 [1] 106:2 Confinement 111 113:18 Counsel December [21 79:2 80:3 Crotch [3] 1:14 4:1 75:25 [4] 17:11 61:17 108:15 115:16 Confirmed [1] 100:9 Decide Counselor [1] 5:9 Crowd [1] 38:22 [3] 76:11 76:14 76:16 Conform (1) 43:5 Counselors Decided [1] 104:13 Cruel [2] 32:10 85:23 [1] 76:12 Consequentially [2] 22:23 24:19 Decision Count [1] 86:24 Crush [6] 19:3 20:9 21:13 24:17 24: [3] 19:22 26:6 85:6 Consider 18 42:20 [1] 24:25 Counted [9] 11:1 11:16 12:10 18:2 18: Deem Cry 111 26:4 6 18:24 20:23 33:3 73:25 [1] 54:18 [1] 81:16 Counties Considered Deep Crying [1] 82:8 [3] 8:17 10:20 11:8 [2] 54:18 59:3 [2] 45:1 52:4 Counting Consisted Cubbyholes Deeply [1] 102:10 [1] 23:7 (2) 43:6 59:5 Country [1] 20:12 Constant Culture Defendant [2] 23:19 28:7 [1] 27:14 [12] 1:7 1:21 19:1 19:22 20: 111 28:7 Counts Constitute 74:4 79:3 82:19 82:25 83:1 [1] 74:2 Cumulative [1] 9:12 102:6 104:22 [1] 106:5 County Consult Defendant's [9] 1:1 79:1 79:12 80:15 86: Curly [1] 78:15 [5] 9:25 10:5 10:7 10:8 10:10 5 86:6 86:14 101:21 105:8 [1] 66:16 Consultancy Defender Currency Couple [1] 78:12 [2] 1:21 1:23 [3] 25:24 103:16 113:14 J11 85:22 Consultant Defender's Course Cursed [21 75:11 78:9 [1] 79:2 (5) 19:17 27:13 28:2 30:4 71: [1] 68:15 Continuation Defense 20 Cursing [4] 109:19 109:24 110:3 110:7 (27) 2:18 3:11 9:12 11:5 13: Court [1] 41:7 Continue 5 13:8 16:17 20:6 32:22 74: [100] 1:1 4:3 4:10 4:17 4:20 5:3 5:11 5:12 5:13 5:25 6:24 Curtailed 13 86:10 90:4 90:20 90:23 91: [1] 57:18 21 91:23 93:11 93:18 94:17 94:23 95:6 96:5 96:17 97:5 (11 97:23 Continues 7:11 7:21 8:4 8:12 8:24 8:25 Custodial [11 21:B 9:2 9:17 11:19 11:21 12:6 12: 13 12:18 12:21 12:23 13:19 101:12 115:19 115:20 [2] 81:4 104:23 Contraband Defined 13:21 15:5 15:11 15:21 16:3 16:7 16:24 17:4 17:7 17:16 Cut [1] 102:19 [1] 19:9 [2] 73:10 94:5 Control Definitely 18:9 18:10 21:16 33:6 34:1 Cute {2} 8:10 24:25 34:17 35:7 35:9 35:11 39:3 f11 88:10 Controlled 39:7 40:7 42:12 45:19 45:22 47:8 47:10 50:9 50:11 50:13 [1] 57:14 Degree Cutting 121 100:13 100:14 [8] 9:9 9:12 19:23 74:2 75: 16 75:18 81:25 83:17 54:2 57:8 59:16 59:19 59:22 [1] 58:16 Controls 59:24 60:2 61:2 61:6 61:10 [1] 84:4 D Delete 61:14 61:20 61:22 62:2 63:2 Controversial 63:14 64:9 73:19 74:4 74:9 f11 9:15 Dad [1] 33:4 74:11 89:23 90:18 90:22 91:5 Deliberate [8] 30:21 37:19 44:24 45:7 66:17 Conversation 91:16 93:24 101:6 101:18 103; [1] 6:5 12 108:11 108:13 108:17 108: [1] 81:21 Deliberating Daddy 20 113:10 114:13 114:16 114: Conversations [1] 5:22 [8] 68:7 68:7 68:8 68:8 68:9 22 115:16 115:22 115:25 116: [1] 32:19 Deliberation 3 116:B 70:19 72:8 72:9 Conveyed Court's Dajon [1] 18:5 [1] 6:7 Demarcation [4] 5:7 8:8 89:21 114:19 [10] 21:5 21:19 34:8 35:2 37: Convicted [1] 114:18 1 40:11 42:18 42:25 43:1 44: Courthouse [7] 19:22 22:1 81:24 84:6 88: 8 103:5 103:6 Deny [1] 91:12 Dajon's [1] 32:23 Courtroom Cooking [1] 40:3 Department [9] 6:3 B:2 17:6 43:17 60:4 (1) 57:13 Darker [10] 75:10 75:24 76:5 77:20 81:3 81:9 81:13 81:15 83:4 60:18 61:13 99:24 115:15 Cool [1] 96:8 Cousin [5] 41:23 41:24 42:5 42:22 83:12 Darn [1] 45:5 44:9 Depended (1) 73:6 Cover Coordinator [1] 26:2 Date [11 79:13 [1] 111:8 Dependent 111 7:12 Covered Copy [1] 26:1 [5] 10:25 11:4 11:15 47:23 Dating [6] 9:1 9:5 14:24 15:15 17:2 64:4 Depict 94:9 [2] 69:8 69:8 [2] 90:14 91:2 Cr Daughter Cord Depot [1] 2:2 [1] 31:16 [5] 22:25 49:18 51:21 58:19 [1] 52:21 70:23 Create Corner Daughters Depression [1] 87:18 [5] 92:3 92:5 93:15 96:24 97: [1] 52:4 [4] 49:18 51:16 51:18 71:7 Creating Dept [1] 102:14 David Corps [1] 1:6 Credits [2] 1:25 116:18 [1] 75:23 Depth Correct [3] 110:24 110:24 113:19 Days [1] 92:15 Crime (11) 41:6 78:10 78:11 88:21 [25] 8:3 8:4 9:20 12:13 48: 11 80:16 80:17 80:23 80:24 88:25 97:22 103:19 104:1 104: Deputy [3] 9:13 22:3 22:4 86:4 91:3 91:4 98:2 98:3 101: 8 104:12 105:20 [4] 1:18 1:19 1:21 1:23 Criminal 9 103:7 103:19 104:2 104:3 104:6 105:3 105:15 105:16 116:1 116:2 Dead Derrick [4] 22:9 22:11 22:15 81:7 [20] 21:6 21:19 0:00 11/22 42:19 42:25 62:21 63:8 64:15 [1] 68:8 Critical Deal [2] 24:20 25:2 Correctional 66:3 66:5 66:6 67:23 68:1 68: [4] 16:8 28:7 30:20 72:7

÷

11 70:15 71:9 71:19 73:10 73:			
112 70:15 71:9 71:19 73:10 73:		Duck	[1] 75:25
Derrick's	101:20 101:24 103:5	[1] 24:7	Employers
* <del></del>	Discuss	Due	[1] 76:3
[4] 63:22 64:21 71:13 72:3	[3] 15:1 60:10 115:6	[4] 67:24 85:2 94:14 97:23	Employment
Described	Discussed	Duly	[2] 75:21 76:4
[3] 78:16 90:16 99:4	[3] 7:14 7:23 8:6	[7] 33:16 39:15 46:7 50:21	Empty
Describing	Discussing	62:6 74:19 109:2	[2] 56:23 56:23
[1] 80:22	[1] 58:21	Duration	Encompass
Desert	Discussion	[1] 114:17	
[6] 71:12 82:8 82:20 88:13	[1] 19:16	1	[1] 9:19
88:14 99:9	1	During	Encompassing
Deserve	Displayed	[14] 7:25 8:2 18:4 23:5 25:	[1] 81:6
	[1] 90:15	23 26:15 60:9 78:10 89:6 90:	End
[8] 34:9 34:12 38:21 38:23 72:18 72:24 73:2 73:3	Disruptions	12 90:25 99:15 103:3 115:5	[5] 11:13 18:23 43:3 71:1
•	[1] 113:5	Duty	110:7
Deserved	District	[2] 60:9 115:6	Ended
[1] 72:15	[7] 1:1 1:18 1:19 78:8 85:21	<u> </u>	[1] 31:19
Deserves	110:2 110:2	E	Enforced
[1] 72:25	Disturbance	E-mailed	[2] 101:2 101:3
Design	[1] 102:15	(1) 14:14	
[1] 85:9	Disturbances	Earl	Engaged
Designated		1	[1] 65:12
[3] 86:19 91:24 94:4	[1] 87:22	[6] 2:9 46:1 46:6 46:15 46:	English
	Divorce	15 50:2	[3] 75:17 111:7 114:5
Destruction	[1] 26:20	Early	Enomoto
[1] 94:14	Divorced	[3] 27:13 48:2 115:3	[2] 78:6 78:7
Detailed	[1] 40:19	Earn	Enrolling
[1] 18:22	DJ	[3] 68:24 69:1 107:13	[1] 48:3
Detect	[1] 63:25	Earned	Enter
[1] 69:11	Doctor	[2] 108:6 110:24	[2] 7:4 86:20
Detective	[1] 67:9	East	1
[1] 67:21	1 · ·	[1] 85:3	Entered
Detention	Documents	1 7 7	[2] 17:6 61:13
	[1] 7:9	Eastern	Entire
[4] 80:16 87:4 101:21 105:8	Dolly	[1] 78:7	[2] 35:18 35:20
Determination	[1] 98:8	Easy	Entry
[2] 20:18 20:19	Done	[1] 55:3	[1] 22:16
Determine	[9] 14:18 36:15 40:16 43:24	Eat	Envies
[1] 82:14	43:24 49:13 49:14 49:24 77:17		
Determining	Door	Eating	[2] 18:16 18:17
[1] 104:25	[13] 67:12 93:20 94:25 95:4		Environment
Devastated	95:8 95:15 95:15 95:17 95:25	[2] 32:16 98:2	[5] 100:11 100:13 100:15 104:
• ·	95:25 96:21 96:22 103:2	Ed	20 105:11
[3] 21:22 43:16 45:7	Doors	[1] 4:18	Errands
Devastating	[2] 6:9 96:B	Edge	[1] 73:11
(3) 30:1 30:2 52:3		[1] 93:15	Escape
Developed	Doorway	Education	(1) 96:22
[1] 64:21	(1) 93:17	[3] 23:4 75:14 75:19	Escaping
Died	Double	EDWARD	[1] 92:8
[2] 41;4 41:13	[4] 51:5 92:23 93:5 93:10	[1] 1:17	
Dies	Doubt	Effort	Escorted
[1] 24:13	[1] 19:15	<u> </u>	[1] 83:25
Difference	Down	[1] 85:24	ESQ
	[21] 21:20 26:16 28:17 28:23	Effusive	[4] 1:17 1:19 1:21 1:22
[2] 83:15 84:7	39:7 44:5 45:1 45:22 49:7 50:	[1] 112:5	Essentially
Differences	11 54:10 59:2 59:19 66:14 68:	Eight	[1] 102:4
[1] 28:4	11 72:25 73:19 86:18 98:9	[4] 30:10 31:22 31:22 64:1	Established
Different	109:13 114:13	Eighth	[1] 82:12
[4] 69:18 71:14 84:19 89:14	Downloaded	[1] 25:21	Establishes
Difficult	[1] 80:2	Either	[1] 16:15
[3] 18:20-21:13 36:14	Dr	[5] 16:16 49:12 78:19 91:24	Esten
Digesting	[15] 2:2 13:7 13:9 13:15 13:	110:14	[13] 2:19 13:7 14:2 15:13 15:
[1] 68:5	15 13:18 14:2 14:2 14:3 14:	EK	14 74:14 74:14 74:15 74:18
Digital	16 14:22 15:2 15:13 17:2 115:	[5] 80:12 89:2 92:11 93:22	75:1 75:2 75:7 91:7
[1] 89:17	24	107:3	Esten's
*	Drag	Elicited	
Dimension	[1] 28:17		[1] 17:2
[1] 92:12	Drawn	[2] 16:16 16:22	Estimate
Dimensions	3 1	Eligible	[2] 105:9 105:13
[2] 92:10 92:14	[1] 94:3	[3] 19:1 19:10 33:3	Et
Diminished	Dream	Elsewhere	[1] 98:16
[1] 9:25	[5] 47:18 50:2 50:3 65:8 67:5	[1] 26:25	Evaluate
Diploma	Dreams	Ely	[1] 79:3
[1] 57:22	[1] 27:1	[29] 79:4 79:16 80:8 82:18	Evaluation
DIRECT	V	82:21 83:13 83:19 83:22 84:	[1] 78:21
INTERIOT	Dressed		
171 34 3 40 1 40 30 Ft 0 00	Dressed [1] 112:13		• -
[7] 34:3 40:1 46:19 51:8 62:	[1] 112:13	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15	Evening
18 75:5 109:13	[1] 112:13 Drinking	13 84:15 84:17 B4:23 84:24	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15
18 75:5 109:13 Director	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15	Evening
18 75:5 109:13 Director [2] 77:14 78:6	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15
18 75:5 109:13 Director	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings [1] 30:18
18 75:5 109:13 Director [2] 77:14 78:6	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings
18 75:5 109:13 Director [2] 77:14 78:6 Disadvantage	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver [3] 29:25 50:1 55:17	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23 Emergency	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings [1] 30:18 Eventually
18 75:5 109:13 Director [2] 77:14 78:6 Disadvantage [1] 8:25	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23 Emergency [1] 96:22 Emotional	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings [1] 30:18 Eventually [3] 32:9 43:3 43:14 Evicted
18 75:5 109:13 Director [2] 77:14 78:6 Disadvantage [1] 8:25 Disagree [2] 9:5 10:17	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver [3] 29:25 50:1 55:17	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23 Emergency [1] 96:22 Emotional [2] 18:19 44:25	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings [1] 30:18 Eventually [3] 32:9 43:3 43:14 Evicted [3] 31:18 32:3 32:4
18 75:5 109:13 Director [2] 77:14 78:6 Disadvantage [1] 8:25 Disagree [2] 9:5 10:17 Disagreement	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver [3] 29:25 50:1 55:17 Drug [1] 49:1	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23 Emergency [1] 96:22 Emotional [2] 18:19 44:25 Emotions	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15  Evenings [1] 30:18  Eventually [3] 32:9 43:3 43:14  Evicted [3] 31:18 32:3 32:4  Evidence
18 75:5 109:13  Director [2] 77:14 78:6  Disadvantage [1] 8:25  Disagree [2] 9:5 10:17  Disagreement [4] 8:24 10:2 10:23 102:11	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver [3] 29:25 50:1 55:17 Drug [1] 49:1 Drunk	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23 Emergency [1] 96:22 Emotional [2] 18:19 44:25 Emotions [1] 44:25	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings [1] 30:18 Eventually [3] 32:9 43:3 43:14 Evicted [3] 31:18 32:3 32:4 Evidence [25] 9:20 17:24 18:2 18:3 18:
18 75:5 109:13 Director [2] 77:14 78:6 Disadvantage [1] 8:25 Disagree [2] 9:5 10:17 Disagreement [4] 8:24 10:2 10:23 102:11 Discharge	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver [3] 29:25 50:1 55:17 Drug [1] 49:1 Drunk [1] 29:25	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23 Emergency [1] 96:22 Emotional [2] 18:19 44:25 Emotions [1] 44:25 Employable	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings [1] 30:18 Eventually [3] 32:9 43:3 43:14 Evicted [3] 31:18 32:3 32:4 Evidence [25] 9:20 17:24 18:2 18:3 18:
18 75:5 109:13  Director [2] 77:14 78:6  Disadvantage [1] 8:25  Disagree [2] 9:5 10:17  Disagreement [4] 8:24 10:2 10:23 102:11	[1] 112:13 Drinking [1] 94:20 Drive [1] 67:13 Driver [3] 29:25 50:1 55:17 Drug [1] 49:1 Drunk	13 84:15 84:17 84:23 84:24 85:4 85:25 86:16 88:14 88:15 89:9 89:10 89:11 90:10 91:25 93:4 99:9 99:12 100:23 103:7 106:23 Emergency [1] 96:22 Emotional [2] 18:19 44:25 Emotions [1] 44:25	Evening [3] 4:25 6:4 14:15 Evenings [1] 30:18 Eventually [3] 32:9 43:3 43:14 Evicted [3] 31:18 32:3 32:4

10 24.5 24.6 64.5 72.22 77.25			
10 24:5 24:6 64:5 73:22 73:25 Exact	F	[1] 99:10 Few	[2] 78:6 111:6 Forth
[1] 42:9	Face	[2] 6:18 6:18	[2] 112:2 112:6
Exactly	[9] 33:13 39:11 46:3 50:18	Fight	Forward
[2] 15:17 87:12	70:20 70:22 70:25 74:16 108:	[3] 28:17 31:13 41:4	[3] 9:4 9:4 25:22
Examination [11] 16:17 16:23 34:3 39:4	Faced	Fighting	Fountain
40:1 46:19 51:8 62:18 75:5	[2] 21:14 22:24	[2] 35:25 41:8 Fights	[1] 94:20 Four
108:3 109:13	Faces	[2] 42:22 113:4	[12] 26:11 26:18 26:22 27:4
Examined	[1] 56:3 Facilities	Figure	65:9 76:12 77:2 84:24 92:7
[10] 33:18 39:17 46:9 50:23 62:8 74:21 101:4 101:8 101:	[1] 96:13	[1] 27:14	100:3 102:10 106:13
13 109:4	Facility	Final [1] 12:19	Fracture [1] 27:17
Example	[12] 79:19 81:10 81:12 85:15	Finally	Fractured
(2) 9:24 90:9 Exceptionally	86:1 86:20 94:12 94:21 96:11 99:22 102:6 102:15	[3] 56:18 60:15 115:12	[1] 23:7
[1] 113:22	Facing	Finals	Frame
Exclusionary	[1] 78:19	[1] 51:24	[1] 5:15 Francisco
[1] 7:24	Fact	Financially [1] 30:2	[1] 75:17
Exclusively	[12] 16:19 17:21 17:24 19:21 21:3 25:11 29:23 30:5 36:10	Finch	Frankly
(1) 95:12 Excuse	36:16 60:1 96:23	[1] 22:24	[1] 13:12
[4] 24:7 26:1 51:14 95:11	Factor	Fine	Free
Excused	[1] 88:22	[3] 15:25 16:25 29:6	[4] 11:5 31:11 73:4 98:17
[4] 5:4 5:19 6:4 6:11	Factors [1] 82:11	Finger [1] 45:13	Freedom [1] 83:21
Excuses	Facts	Finish	Freedoms
[2] 23:25 32:22 Execution	[3] 19:9 32:23 33:1	[1] 57:22	[1] 87:9
[8] 10:5 10:7 10:9 10:10 11:	Failed	Finished	Friday
2 11:11 11:17 11:24	[1] 103:2 Failumo	[4] 58:1 86:23 86:23 95:17	[4] 7:12 31:3 31:4 31:7
Exercise [9] 88:1 96:18 96:21 97:1 97:	Failure [2] 103:1 104:13	First [38] 4:8 5:14 5:17 9:8 9:9	Friend [10] 23:23 44:11 66:10 66:12
[9] 88:1 96:18 96:21 97:1 97: 2 97:7 97:11 97:18 97:20	Fair	13:10 19:7 19:23 23:16 25:19	66:13 67:14 67:15 67:17 67:
Exhibit	[2] 99:5 115:19	28:13 29:12 33:8 33:16 39:15	17 67:18
[26] 3:7 35:5 35:13 35:14 35:	Fairly	41:22 42:4 46:7 50:21 53:5 53:6 54:9 54:16 55:8 62:6 68:	Friendly
14 47:12 47:12 47:13 63:17 63:18 63:18 63:20 64:12 90:5	[3] 21:10 90:13 91:2	10 70:5 73:25 74:2 74:19 76:	[3] 44:9 44:9 112:15
90:20 91:21 91:23 93:11 93:	Fall   [1] 43:12	10 78:3 79:20 81:25 86:23 88: 11 108:8 109:2	Friends [13] 10:12 11:3 11:6 11:18
18 94:17 94:23 95:6 96:6 96:	Falling	Fit	12:2 12:11 22:4 22:22 31:7
17 97:5 101:12 Exhibits	[1] 43:10	[5] 20:11 49:8 58:4 58:5 110:	32:8 32:9 49:6 49:6
[9] 3:3 3:4 3:5 3:6 3:12 34:	Families	5	Front [2] 92:15 98:10
20 47:1 53:17 63:5	[1] 21:22	Five [7] 4:7 5:6 6:25 84:25 86:5	Full
Exited	Family [57] 8:1 8:1 11:3 11:17 11:	92:7 99:15	[2] 4:11 116:12
[1] 115:15 Expect	24 12:2 12:4 12:5 12:8 12:10	Fix	Fully
(2) 24:14 104:18	12:12 13:6 20:15 21:1 21:2 21:9 22:21 24:17 26:13 29:14	[1] 52:15	[3] 5:23 60:15 115:12
Expectations	29:16 29:18 29:24 30:2 31:6	Fix-it (1) 52:15	Function [1] 52:6
[1] 112:4	31:19 31:22 32:4 32:7 32:11 32:14 33:3 34:11 36:17 36:19	Flux	Funny
Expected [1] 56:9	37:9 37:10 40:15 40:15 42:17	[1] 116:6	[1] 30:23
Expenses	43:22 45:10 48:7 49:13 49:14	Follow	Future
[2] 79:9 79:10	50:5 57:5 57:6 57:12 58:10 59:1 70:18 115:22 115:23 115:	[1] 103:1 Followed	[1] 26:6
Expensive	23 116:4 116:7	[1] 43:3	G
[1] 81:14 Experience	Fantasy	Follower	Gain
[3] 80:22 81:19 111:17	[1] 48:19 Far	[1] 49:9	[1] 85:25
Experiences	[2] 7:8 43:14	Following	Gaining
(1) 23:3	Fast	[7] 9:10 11:22 30:18 75:22 76:10 76:22 85:24	[1] 45:11 Galt
Expert	[1] 105:4	Follows	[2] 77:7 77:7
[1] 14:2 Expired	Father [14] 26:3 26:9 29:8 29:11 37:	[7] 33:19 39:18 46:10 50:24	Game
(1) 67:10	18 37:24 40:18 40:21 43:9 44:	62:9 74:22 109:5 Food	[3] 31:10 31:10 55:16
Explain	13 47:16 53:10 55:17 68:8	[8] 29:21 30:16 32:17 95:8	Games
[3] 42:16 47:19 90:3	Father's	95:9 95:14 95:22 98:4	(1) 57:7 Gang
Explained	[1] 30:19 Fault	Fooling	[4] 48:25 81:8 81:8 87:18
[1] 5:24 Explanation	[1] 23:18	[1] 78:4 Foot	Garden
[3] 6:15 18:21 89:11	Favor	[1] 44:20	(1) 52:18
Express	[1] 20:7	Football	Gather
[3] 16:18 60:13 115:10	Faxed	[2] 31:10 66:7	[1] 52:22 Gatherings
Extension	[1] 92:13 <b>Fed</b>	Forced	Gatherings [1] 71:18
[1] 31:16 Exterior	[2] 83:24 95:12	(2) 22:5 22:8 Forces	General
{1] 94:25	Federal	[1] 100:4	[7] 76:16 76:24 76:25 86:17
Extra	[1] 86:13	Form	87:6 87:7 89:10
[1] 40:14	Feet	[6] 17:25 18:10 60:13 87:4	Generally
Extraordinary	[2] 37:16 99:15 Felonies	87:13 115:10 Formal	Generous
[1] 103:23 Extreme	[1] 78:19	[1] 75:14	[1] 47:20
[1] 33:4	Felt	Format	Gentlemen
Extremely	[4] 44:8 58:25 78:12 111:14	[1] 14:5	[5] 17:8 17:16 18:15 59:24
[1] 29:10	Fence	Former	Get-togethers 0172
L		L	

what we're doing now: Basically the State will do
opening statements. The State will present their
witnesses. I'm informed by Mr. Kane and
Ms. Pandukht that we will go beyond the lunch
break on their witnesses. And then the defense
will likely present our family witnesses and
Dr. Esten. We're hoping it would be very helpful
to the defense to be able to present the testimony
of Dr. Paglini, which would be approximately an
hour and a half tomorrow morning, first thing, and
then argue and then, and instruct the jury and
then argue. It would be helpful because, frankly,
we're still preparing the power point. We're
still preparing the power point presentation for
Dr. Paglini, and Dr. Paglini's feeling he's not
completely prepared yet. He's working on it now.
It would also allow Jeff Jager to come in tomorrow
morning to set up for Dr. Paglini. I'm hoping the
Court will allow us to go ahead and, and do that.
I'm not sure if this works or not.
THE COURT: We'll make it work.
MR. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you, judge.
MR. KANE: Judge, I don't have any
problem with that scheduling, but the one thing I

would ask is this, that we have -- and by we I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean the prosecution -- have received notice of expert witness on both Dr. Esten and Dr. Paglini. And I know Dr. Paglini. So, I know what his qualifications are. And they basically tell us in a one-line format what the witnesses are going to be testifying about. We have seen no reports. We've seen no power point presentations. We've had not even offer of proof as to what they're going to say.

Now, I know at sentencing everything comes in, and there is no way that any of that is not coming in. My only request would be, if there are any materials like a power point presentation, that could be mailed to, or e-mailed to Ms. Pandukht or myself this evening so that we can be more prepared to cross-examine Dr. Paglini tomorrow, it would certainly shorten things up. If that can't be done, I understand he's still going to be allowed to testify, but it would just help matters.

MR. BROOKS: Here's what I'll do, judge. We have not received a report from Dr. Paglini yet. He's going to bring me a report, he says at lunchtime today. And I will get a copy made and give it to Mr. Kane. Also, also, judge, we would



1	like to take that opportunity to discuss yesterday
2	to allow Dr. Paglini to meet with Glenford over
3	the lunch hour in some room adjacent to this area
4	if that's possible.
5	THE COURT: We'll find a room. I'm only
6	going to give a 45-minute lunch break. I have
7	already ordered lunch in for the jury. But we'll
8	find a room unless there is objection from the
9	State.
10	MR. KANE: No, none at all.
11	THE COURT: We'll make that happen.
12	MR. BROOKS: The seventh issue, judge, is
13	there has been no report from Dr. Esten or
14	Mr. Esten. What I will do is, I will provide to
15	the State a copy of his testimony in another
16	similar trial. That will allow him to understand
17	exactly where we're going with this.
18	MR. KANE: Great. And that would give me
19	at least a 45-minute lunch hour, if I get it at
20	the beginning of the lunch hour, to review it.
21	THE COURT: Very good. And would you,
22	since you're all going to be working through the
23	lunch hour, would you like me to add you to our
24	lunch hour?

MR. KANE: No. That's fine, judge.

25

9	<u> </u>	12 17 00	, oca	CG V.		
·	MR.	BROOKS:	No.	Thank y	70u,	judge.
	MR.	O'BRIEN:	No.	Thank	you,	judge.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very well. Do we have a jury, Mr. Bailiff?

MR. KANE: Judge, I have one, and it really is only one.

THE COURT: There's no sub A's are B?

MR. KANE: No. No. I just want to deal with the Kasmeric issue. You may recall that there was testimony or indications earlier that some of the victim impact witnesses might be subject to questioning about their opinion on penalty. I think the Kasmeric versus State 120 Nevada Advanced Opinion Number 37 clearly establishes that that kind of testimony is not admissable either from the prosecution or elicited by cross-examination by the defense. We're going to caution our witnesses not to express any such opinion and, in fact, we'll lead them, and we'll interrupt them, if necessary, if they start to do I just want to make sure that it's not going to be sought to be elicited on cross-examination.

THE COURT: Mr. Brooks? Mr. O'Brien?

MR. BROOKS: That's fine, judge. And

1	just for the record, also, I just happen to find
2	another copy of Dr. Esten's prior testimony, which
3	I will give to Mr. Kane this morning.
4	THE COURT: Very well. Shall we bring
5	our jury in?
6	(Thereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)
7	THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and
8	gentlemen. Let the record reflect that we are
9	again present in the matter of State versus Budd.
10	You may be seated. All parties are present with
11	counsel.
12	Will you stipulate, please, to the
13	presence of the jury?
14	MR. O'BRIEN: We will, your Honor.
15	MR. KANE: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're
17	actually here still in the matter that we referred
18	to as State versus Budd, but it's almost as if
19	we're starting another trial. Our attorneys are
20	going to both be able to make opening statements
21	and then, in fact, they will be calling witnesses
22	to testify for their side of the case.
23	Again I want to remind you, opening
24	statements are not evidence. In fact, no comments
25	made by our attorneys, whether they be in the form

of a question posed or comments made, are evidence, and you cannot consider them in this phase of the proceeding. All of the evidence, if any, that is submitted during this phase of our trial will go with you back into your deliberation room. And as always, that which you can consider as evidence, other than that which has been admitted, is the testimony of witnesses who will be here in court sworn or who may testify through another form of a previously transcribed court proceeding.

With that in mind, Mr. Kane, are you ready to proceed?

MR. KANE: Yes, your Honor.

Briefly, ladies and gentlemen, no one envies the task that you're about to undertake, and no one envies the testimony that you're going to have to listen to over the next several hours. It's going to be emotional, and it's going to be difficult to handle. And I just want to give you a brief explanation of why you're listening to it. You'll get some very detailed instructions at the end of this penalty phase that will tell you how you consider various types of evidence, the legal test that you have to apply to even get to a point

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



where the defendant is eligible for the death penalty, and then the mechanics of coming to your ultimate decision. But what you need to understand now is, you're going to receive basically three kinds of evidence in this or any penalty hearing.

The first is evidence concerning aggravating circumstances, and an aggravating circumstance is a legally defined set of facts which make a person eligible for the death penalty. They don't mandate the death penalty. The death penalty is never mandated. But there is a list of what we call statutory aggravators. And the State has to prove at least one of those beyond a reasonable doubt before we even get into any discussion of the death penalty. Now, you won't hear any evidence in the course of this penalty hearing about the statutory aggrevator that we've alleged in this case. And we've only alleged one, and that's because the statutory aggrevator that we're relying on is the fact that the defendant was convicted of more than one count of first degree murder in this very case. And we're not going to be presenting evidence to you, because you're the people that did that, and you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



obviously know that. So, that's the aggrevator that we're going to be relying on.

The second type of evidence that you're going to be hearing is mitigating evidence, and that evidence will come, for the most part, from the defense. It's evidence that tends to mitigate the seriousness of the offense or argue in favor of the defendant and impact on your penalty decision.

The third kind of evidence you'll hear is everything else that doesn't fit into the two cubbyholes of aggrevator evidence or mitigating evidence. And the kind of evidence that you'll be hearing in this third phase from the prosecution, that will be evidence from the family members of the three deceased young men. And the reason you listen to that is because the law says that in making a serious determination like you're about to make, there isn't more serious determination than whether or not to impose a penalty of death. You should have all the available information. And among the classes of information that the law allows you to consider are the character of the victims, what kind of people they were, who they were, and also the impact that their death has had

d

on their family members.

Their family members will not ask you to impose a specific legal penalty. In fact, they're precluded from doing that. But what you're going to hear from them is, here's what Dajon Jones was like. Here's what Derrick Jones was like. Here's what Jason Moore was like. And here's how his death has affected us and continues to affect us as family members. And once you've heard all that evidence, as I said, you'll get some fairly complicated legal instructions, which we'll go over with you, and they will prescribe the mechanics of how you make the difficult decision that you'll be faced with probably sometime tomorrow. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Brooks or Mr. O'Brien?

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you. Good morning.

I'm here to ask you for life. Jason Moore was 19

years old. Derrick Jones was 19 years old. Dajon

Jones was 14 years old when they were gunned down

and killed. I'm here to ask you for life. This

devastated three families. I'm here to ask you

for life in the weight of so much death and

sorrow. I'm here to ask you for life, because

another death is not going to change any of that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You've convicted Glenford Budd of these three murders, and we accept your verdict. It's such a terrible crime, the killing of three It's such a terrible crime. I think friends. we're all forced to ask why. I find it hard to believe that this was just solely based on some stolen pot. I tend to think there was more, and we're forced to ask why. Now, if Glenford Budd had a terrible criminal history, that would help, help answer that question. If Glenford Budd had a progressing criminal history, that would help answer that question of why. If he's been grooming himself for this, that would make sense to us. But you're going to find out that Glenford has no criminal history. He has one juvenile entry, nothing else. If Glenford Budd was just a stone-cold killer, a sociopath who killed or hurt because he liked it, that would answer the question of why. But he's not.

You're going to learn he had no violence in his record. You're going to hear from family and friends that he was a quiet, shy boy with never any cruel behavior. But still we're, we're faced with the why. Atticus Finch in "To Kill a Mockingbird" said to his daughter, Scout, before

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you can judge a man you have to stand in his shoes and walk around in them. And that makes a lot of sense, because we are the sum of our experiences, our relationships, our education, and our successes. What you're going to learn during this part of the proceedings is that Glenford had once lived a life that consisted of one fractured relationship after another. School for Glenford wasn't a ticket out. It wasn't a ticket up. It wasn't a way to get validated. Glenford didn't increase his self-esteem at school. It wasn't because he didn't try. He simply didn't have the ability. His IQ is about 84.

Glenford successes, Glenford didn't know many successes. He didn't even know the joy and pride of getting that first paycheck. He never had a job. He was, he is an illegal alien, not through any fault of his own. He was brought to this country when he was 11 years old from Central America. And this was a source of shame for Glenford. It was such a source of shame for Glenford that he hid it. I talked to a best friend of his, and he said, I didn't even know until I was 19 years old. He would make up There was a problem with my birth excuses.

certificate. That's why I can't get a social security number. But he held it inside, because he was ashamed. You have to stand in a man's shoes before you can judge him. That's what mitigation evidence is.

Mitigation evidence is, it's not an excuse. It's not an attempt to duck responsibility. You've already found Glenford responsible. It's not even a call for leniency, because there is no lenient option for Glenford on the table. He's been locked up since he was 21 years of age. And the best result for Glenford now is to be locked up until he dies. There is no lenient options for Glenford. We expect you to severely punish Glenford, but before you do that we would like you to know more about him and his family so you can make an informed decision and a decision that you can all live with.

There's a cruel reoccurring theme in Glenford's life. At critical times in his life the rug was pulled out from under him. Every time he would be settled, every time that some hope would start to grow within him, every time he was somewhere he thought he was in a spot where he belonged, something beyond his control would crush

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that hope. And this happens over and over again at very critical times.

Glenford now is 23 years of age. He was born, and he grew up in Belize City in Belize. was a middle child. He has an older sister, a younger sister, and a younger brother. Glenford's older sister, Charmaine, is 24. She's married and lives in Belize. She has a husband and two children. She wants to talk to you today about how protective Glenford was and what kind of brother he was. In fact, as we speak now she's on an airplane, I trust, arriving from Belize. hope she makes it. Glenford's younger sister is She's 21 years of age. She's a young Angela. working mother. She lives in Los Angeles. She wants to talk to you about what kind of big brother Glenford was, how he watched out for her, how he comforted her, how he took care of her when she first came to the United States. Glenford's younger brother, his name is Raheem. He's 13 years of age now. He's in the eighth grade. He's looking forward to starting high school next year. Glenford and Raheem are very close. During the last couple of years they have been writing and talking to one another. Glenford is always

1 dependent, or excuse me. Raheem has always 2 depended on Glenford. When Glenford lost his 3 stepfather, Raheem's natural father, Raheem leaned on, he was supported by Glenford. He counted on him. And he would like to tell you how he would like to be able to count on him in the future, even if it's only a phone call or a visit. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Glenford's mother lives and works in Los Angeles. His father will not speak to you today. He's in prison in Belize. Until he was four years old, Glenford lived in Belize City with his parents. It was, they had a pretty good life. It was a nice, nuclear family. Glenford and at that time his two sisters, both the parents worked. During the day when they were off at work, the grandmother lived right down the street. They would spend time with the grandmother. was a real good life. At four years of age Glenford's parents split up.

Now, divorce isn't all that unusual, but there was an added twist here for Glenford, because when his parents split up at four years of age, his mother left for the United States. Perhaps, like a lot of people from Central America and elsewhere, she believed that, the talk, the

1 dreams about the streets in the US paved with 2 gold. And she told the grandmother, she told the 3 children, I'll send for you guys soon. I'll send for you guys soon. This is when Glenford was four 4 5 years of age. That short period of time that she 6 had hoped for did not come to pass. Years passed 7 by, years and years. It was seven years before 8 she was able to send for Glenford to be with her in the United States. And here we have an 9 11-year-old boy that's been separated from his 10 mother for seven years. He's been with his 11 grandmother basically since birth. She had such a 12 large part in his early upbringing and, of course, 13 14 she was the only constant maternal figure in his 15 life. So, at 11 years of age when his mom says, I 16 got it together. Send Glenford to me. 17 imagine the fracture in Glenford. It was very 18 bitter sweet for him. He didn't want to leave his 19 grandmother, but he did want to be with his 20 mother. He needed to be with his mother. So, he 21 arrived in Los Angeles at 11 years of age. 22 They lived in Southern California just 23 outside of LA, Montebello. So, here's Glenford, 24 11 years old, coming from Belize. He's small, as

PRESTIGE COURT REPORTING (702) 898-7676

he is now. He had a real heavy accent. Naturally

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Glenford, everybody's going to tell you Glenford's a very quiet, shy, shy quy. Of course, starting school in the US, you know how kids are. accent and other things, the little differences that kids notice made him withdraw more, and he was even more quiet and more shy. Not only did he have to deal with a new country, a new culture, try to reestablish his bond with his mother, but there was a, a new stepfather in his life, a man by the name of Winston Miller.

Glenford's mother, Karen, is going to talk to you about how it affected Glenford when he first arrived here, and she's going to tell you that Glenford was very standoffish with Winston Miller, and she's going to tell you about a story. One time they were having an argument, not a knock-down-drag-out kind of fight but a verbal argument. This was shortly after Glenford arrived here. And as they were arguing, and you have to understand, this Winston Miller was about 6'4". But as they were arguing Little Glenford stepped between them and said, don't you hit my mother. And Winston Miller smiled, and he leaned down, and he said, I would never hit your mother. want you to know, I really respect you for doing

1 that. That's what a son should do. I really respect you for sticking up for your mother like 2 3 that. And that's when their relationship really 4 began.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, everything I learned about Winston Miller suggest he was a fine man. He didn't try to insert or inject himself into this role as a father. They started a relationship based on a mutual respect and trust. But over time Glenford became extremely close to Winston. He loved and trusted him like a father. Now, he lost that relationship twice. The first time he was about 15 years of age. Winston went to prison for a short time, but the family was able to keep it together. They were able to keep the home and the family together. And Mr. Miller came back and was able to work again and was able to provide for their family, and things were going good. had a nice home. It was three bedrooms. in a so-so neighborhood. But they had a good home. They always had food. Things were going real well.

When Glenford was 18, they were, in fact, at a family party in the park. Winston Miller was suddenly struck and killed by a drunk driver. The

affect on Glenford was devastating. The affect on
the whole family was devastating. Financially
they were in turmoil. Glenford's mother, Karen,
had to get a second job. And, of course, given
the fact she was out of the house so much,
somebody had to pick up the slack, and the person
who stepped up to do that was Glenford. Glenford
helped to clean the house. Glenford took on, on
all the responsibilities regarding his little
brother, Raheem, who at that time was about eight
years old. He would get him ready for school in
the morning. He would get him up. He would make
sure he brushed his teeth. He would make sure he
had clean clothes. He would make sure he had
something to eat if he could. Things were tight,
and there wasn't always enough food around, but he
did all of that. Not only did he do that, but in
those evenings in the weeks and months following
Raheem's father's death he was the one who would
hug and comfort Raheem when he tried to deal with
losing his dad. You're going to learn that
Glenford was always there for Raheem.

His mother told me a funny story. says, you know, Glenford had a lot to do at that time, and one day he told me, he said, mom, I just

12-14-05, S

need one day to myself, one day a week when it would just be my time, one night a week. So, they talked about it, and they agreed, okay, Friday nights. Friday nights you want to go out with your buddies, that's, that's what you can do. And you're going to learn from the family and from one of Glenford's best friends that on Friday nights Glenford would generally let Raheem tag along with him and his buddies, and they would go to a high school basketball game or a football game. On his one free day he always went out of his way to include Raheem in whatever he was doing.

They lost the fight, though. They couldn't keep their house. They tried, and they tried. The power would be shut off. At times they would run an extension cord from a neighbor's house to their house, and they tried, and they tried, but they were evicted, and they had to leave the family home. They ended up moving to a rougher neighborhood in LA. They got a studio apartment. They had to share it with Karen's sister and her family. It was eight kids or eight people, I should say, in that studio apartment. Karen wouldn't let the kids out, because it was a tough neighborhood. She wanted to keep them in.

Even that they couldn't keep together. The money simply wasn't there, and they lost that residence. They were evicted from that residence. They were evicted again. The family this time was separated.

Karen and the, Karen and the girls went to one family. Glenford spent time here and there with friends, kind of shuffled around in between various friends. Eventually he had an uncle in Las Vegas here. He decided to come and stay with his Uncle Kirk and his family. But even there Glenford was treated as a second-class citizen, because when the uncle wasn't around, which was quite a bit, the grandmother of that family kind of ruled the roost, and she just didn't think it was right that an outsider would be eating their food, and she wasn't real shy in making it known to Glenford. And Karen's going to tell you about several phone conversations where Glenford would call up and say he has hungry.

Now, these things, I tell you, they're not excuses. They're not a defense. And these facts are not a way for Glenford to deny responsibility. You've already held him responsible, and we know he's going to pay for

7	what happened here. These facts are simply the
2	things you must know and understand before you can
3	consider Glenford and his family eligible for the
4	most extreme and controversial punishment our
5	state allows. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. O'Brien.
7	State, are you prepared to call your
8	first witness?
9	MS. PANDUKHT: Yes, your Honor. The
10	State calls Lazon Jones, Jr.
11	THE BAILIFF: Mr. Jones, if you would,
12	remain standing, please, raise your right hand,
13	and face the clerk.
14	
15	LAZON JONES, JR.,
16	called as a witness, and having been first duly
17	sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth,
18	and nothing but the truth, was examined and
19	testified as follows:
20	
21	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you
22	please state your name and spell it for the
23	record?
24	THE WITNESS: Lazon Jones, Jr., L-a-z-o-n
25	J-o-n-e-s J-r.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
5	Q. Lazon, you testified earlier at the trial
6	in this case.
7	Could you tell us again about your
8	brother, Dajon?
9	A. He was a good person. He didn't deserve
10	what happened to him. He should still be here
11	today with his family. His life was taken too
12	young. He didn't deserve what happened to him at
13	all. And it's hard for us every day to live with
14	that, because he should still be here.
15	MS. PANDUKHT: If I can approach the
16	witness.
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
19	Q. Lazon, I'm showing you what's been marked
20	as State's Proposed Exhibits 51, 52, and 53.
21	Could you take a look at these? Do you recognize
22	the person in these photographs?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Who is the person in all three
25	photographs?



1	A. My brother.
2	Q. Your brother, Dajon?
3	A. Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. PANDUKHT: State moves to admit and
5	publish for the jury State's Proposed Exhibit 51,
6	52, and 53.
7	THE COURT: Objection?
8	MR. O'BRIEN: No objection.
9	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
10	MS. PANDUKHT: Yes. May I publish?
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
13	Q. That is, for the record, State's Exhibit
14	No. 51, State's Exhibit 52, State's Exhibit 53.
15	Lazon, you lived with your brother,
16	didn't you?
17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	Q. Did you live with him your entire life?
19	A. Yes, ma'am.
20	Q. His entire life?
21	A. Yes, ma'am.
22	Q. What kinds of things did you and your
23	brother do together?
24	A. We did a lot. We hung out, played
25	basketball. We did a lot of fighting and arguing,

I didn't feel safe after that.

safe going to school out there after what

24

25

happened.

Α.

Yes, ma'am.

12-14-05, State v. 1dd 38
Q. Did you have any other brothers and
sisters?
A. I have three more sisters, and I have a
recent younger brother. He's three now. He never
met his older brother.
Q. What's his name?
A. Yavion.
Q. Can you spell that for the record?
A. Y-a-v-i-o-n Jones.
Q. And how about your sisters? What are
their names?
A. Kokitha Jones, Yateefa Jones, and Yatisha
Jones.
Q. Could you spell all of their names, also,
for the record?
A. K-o-k-i-t-h-a, Y-a-t-e-e-f-a,
Y-a-t-i-s-h-a.
Q. Is there anything else you would like the
jury to know about your brother?
A. Just to know that he was too young. He
didn't deserve what happened to him.
So, whatever you guys decide, just make
sure it's the right thing, because we deserve
justice for what happened, nothing less, nothing

more.

12-14-05, State v. Odd 39	
MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you. Lazon.	
THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
THE COURT: Hang on a second.	
Is there cross-examination?	
MR. O'BRIEN: Lazon, we're very sorry for	
your loss. I have no questions.	
THE COURT: You may step down, sir.	
Who's next?	
MR. KANE: Kokitha Jones, your Honor.	
THE BAILIFF: Ms. Jones, if you'll remain	
standing, please, raise your right hand, and face	
the clerk.	
KOKITHA JONES,	
called as a witness, and having been first duly	
sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth,	

and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you please state your name and spell it for the record?

THE WITNESS: My name is Kokitha Jones.

It's spelled K-o-k-i-t-h-a J-o-n-e-s.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANE:

- Q. Kokitha, are you Lazon's and Dajon's sister?
- A. I'm their older sister out the whole pack.
- Q. And you were here in court just now while Lazon was testifying. Am I right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What would you like to tell the jury about your brother, Dajon, what kind of person he was?
- A. My little brother was the type of person that needed extra love and care, because our family, as well as Budd's family, broke up. We've been through the hard times. We done lost places to live. We had to come up the best way that we could as well. And when my mother and father divorced, it tore my little brother into shreds. He just couldn't take it, because he needed his mother and his father. He was the type of kid that couldn't live without a mother.

And me and my little brother, we didn't get along as good, but I can honestly say my little brothers was my big brothers. Whenever I

1	needed to lean on them or I needed somebody to
2	depend on, to depend on, it was my little
3	brothers. And my, and my little brother had
4	recently, before he died we had got into a fight,
5	and it was right before I had left Las Vegas. And
6	he called me about, I can say two days before his
7	death, because we went through the cursing each
8	other out, the fighting, the punches, you know,
9	all that stuff. And he told me, sister, I'm
10	sorry. He said, I love you. He was like, and
11	when you come back out here, I'm going to take my
12	nephew shopping. Because I had recently, my
13	brother died on May 25th or 26th. I had my son on
14	April 1st, a month before his death. So, he
15	really didn't get to know my son as much, but he
16	was the type that, he was, I can't hold him. Come
17	get him. He too little for me. And I just loved
18	my brother, man, to death.
19	And I also knew Jason and Derrick. They

20

21

22

23

24

25

ney lived with me. I lived in Las Vegas with my mother, and then they previously came out here. Jason and Derrick were good kids. When I first met them, I was like, oh, yeah. They're cool. They're cool. But then I was like, these are more like brothers, because they looked up to me as

1	well as their older sister. Jason, couldn't get
2	him to talk for nothing. He just always sat
3	around and observed and seeing what was going on.
4	I also knew Mr. Budd. When I first met
5	him, I'm like, okay. He's a cool, he's a nice
6	person. But after I got to know him I realized
7	that he wasn't the person that I thought that he
8	was. When his stuff came up missing, these were
9	his exact
10	MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, I'm sorry to
11	interrupt you. May we approach?
12	THE COURT: Certainly.
13	(Conference at the bench.)
14	BY MR. KANE:
15	Q. Is there anything else, and I'll give you
16	a chance to explain how this has affected you and
17	affected your family. But is there anything else
18	that you want to tell the jury about Dajon or
19	about Derrick or about Jason that you think they
20	ought to know in making their decision?
21	A. Just that, like what I said, they were
22	cool boys. They never like picked fights out
23	there with people. They stuck together. They was
24	more like brothers. When you went out, you seen
25	Lazon, Dajon, Derrick, and Jason always. They

always didn't want Dajon to hang around, because he was the younger one but, you know, he still followed them around. So, eventually they end up having to accept that he wants to be a part of the crowd.

But this has affected me deeply, because I'm like, I just had my baby. I just got through going through the little things that I had already been going through with my child's father. And I had to realize I was falling apart and, and I had to realize, okay. My little brother is gone, and I can't just fall off in the gutter. I have to get myself up and do what I need to do so that I can get far in life, so that eventually one day when the time come, I will see my brother again.

This has totally devastated my mother.

As you can see, she is not in this courtroom today. My mother, man, she is really going through it. She's seeing psyches right now.

She's been seeing them since my little brother's death. She can't get herself together. That's why we're not all together as a family now, because she's still trying to get to our level.

We done been there, and then we done got ourselves together, but my mother still trying to catch up

to our level. I call her, and I talk to her, and all I can do is tell her I'm sorry and I love her. I recently, in school, wrote a report on my little brother's death, and my mother wrote a letter that tore me to shreds, broke me down in tears. And the only thing she blame herself, is for not giving her kids the protection that she thought they should have. She felt as if she was friendly, friendly and cool with us, then everything would be okay. But she soon to realize that there was more to it than just being a friend with your children.

And my father and my grandmother, they have, my grandmother, that was her boy, Dajon Jones. That was her boy. Every time he got into an argument or he just didn't want to be at home no more, grandma, come get me. I don't feel they're treating me right. Come get me. She would go get him, or he would make his way to my grandma house. Foot, bus, bike, however he had to get there, he made it there. And when he run away from home, we knew who to call, grandma, because that's where he was at.

And my dad, he's not the type that's like emotional, that show his emotions, but I can see

THE COURT: You may step down.

Who's next?

MS. PANDUKHT: Judge, can we have a

25 minute?

23

ld

for purposes of identification as State's Proposed

1	Exhibits 57, 58, and 59.
2	Would you look at those, please, and tell
3	me if those are pictures of your son?
4	A. Yes.
5	MR. KANE: Offer 57, 58, and 59, your
6	Honor.
7	MR. O'BRIEN: No objection.
8	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
9	MR. KANE: And may I publish, your Honor?
10	THE COURT: You may.
11	BY MR. KANE:
12	Q. State's Exhibit 57, State's Exhibit 58,
13	State's Exhibit 59.
14	Sir, would you tell the jury a little bit
15	about your son and what kind of person Jason was?
16	A. He has, he was the best son a father
17	could have. You know, it's been two and a half
18	years, and it still seems like a dream. Jason
19	was, I can't explain how he was. He was lovable.
20	He was kind. He was generous, very, very shy,
21	very high standards, very high morals. If I'm not
22	mistaken, I believe that one of the witnesses said
23	that when she heard gunfire, Jason covered her up.
24	That's the type of boy he was. That's the type of

son that, we raised him to know good and bad and

-	***************************************
2	2
	3
4	4
	5
١	6
•	7
1	8
,	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1.	5
1	
1	7
1	8
1	
2	
2	
2:	
2.	
2	4

right and wrong. And he understood that. He was very, very shy in his early life. We tried to get him out of that by enrolling him in Little League. He played Little League until he was like 14 or 15, very good athlete, very good person.

- Q. How has his loss affected you, sir, and the other members of your family?
- A. Well, we'll never be the same. We will never be the same.
- Q. You've sat here through the trial, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Has that helped any in resolving any of these issues?
- A. To be perfectly honest with you, no, because we were homeless. I believe everybody in this room can go back and say what happened to them and this and that. I could tell you stories that will make that story sound like a fantasy. We were homeless when we came to San Bernardino. We were homeless. Jason was homeless, but he knew good and bad. He knew right and wrong. He was never a person, and I want to make this very, very clear to the jury, is that whenever you hear a shooting with young black men, that it's gang

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

related, or it's drug related. Jason was not like that.

Jason was a high school graduate. Jason had a very short stint in the U.S. Navy. His life was taken from him. And they said that they were friends. They were not friends. Jason was only down there for one week. He never even knew what was going on. He was just there trying to fit in. That's what Jason, Jason was a follower. He wasn't a leader.

Q. Is there anything else that you think the jury needs to know either about Jason or what this has done to you and your family?

What it's done to our family, I feel so sorry, so bad for my wife, because, you know, it's just, she just can't even, you know, she's on medication and stuff, and she just, my two daughters over there, I have another daughter, you know. We just, like there are no more Christmases. There is no more Thanksgiving. There is no more holidays for us. There is no more holidays for us. We can't have our son there with us. There is no more holidays for us ever again in life. This is what this has done to us. We can't talk to him. We can't call him.

1	I'm an independent truck driver. My
2	dream was to have my son with me on my truck, Earl
3	Moore & son. That was my dream. This is taken
4	away. It's like I've lost both of my legs, and
5	they will never be back again. Our family will
6	never be the same.
7	MR. KANE: Thank you, Mr. Moore. I have
8	nothing further.
9	THE COURT: Mr. O'Brien?
10	MR. O'BRIEN: No questions.
11	THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Who's next?
14	MS. PANDUKHT: The State calls Linda
15	Moore.
16	THE BAILIFF: Ms. Moore, if you would,
17	remain standing, please, raise your right hand,
18	and face the clerk.
19	
20	LINDA MOORE,
21	called as a witness, and having been first duly
22	sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth,
23	and nothing but the truth, was examined and
24	testified as follows:
25	• • • •

	12-14-05, State v. Odd 51
1	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you
2	please state your name and spell it for the
3	record?
4	THE WITNESS: My name is Linda Moore,
5	L-i-n-d-a M double o-r-e.
6	THE CLERK: Thank you.
7	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
10	Q. What was your relationship to Jason
11	Moore?
12	A. I was Jason's mom.
13	Q. And
14	A. I'm, excuse me. He always called me
15	mommy, no matter what.
16	Q. And you have three daughters?
17	A. Yes, I do, Cameron, Adrian, and Marissa.
18	Q. And two of your daughters are here today
19	with you?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Is there a reason your third daughter
22	couldn't be here with you?
23	A. Yes. She's in law school, and they're

having finals this week. So, she couldn't be

24

25

here.

	Q.	How	hard	has	your	son's	death	been	or
you?									

A. It's been devastating. For a while I was really, really in a very deep depression that I had to take some time off from my job, about six months, because I couldn't function. I'm on medication, still on medication, and sometimes it works. Sometimes it doesn't. It's, it's hard for me to get up and go to work. I know I have to, but it's hard. I wish I could just stop the world and take a break or something, because every day, there's not a day that goes by that I don't think about my baby. And he was my baby. He was my only son, and he, he did everything for me.

He was the fix-it man around the house.

When I buy things that had to be put together, I say, Jason, put this together for me, and Jason would do it. I like to work outside in the garden in the yard, and Jason would go, I would love to go and show him off with me, my big, handsome son, strong son, at the, like Home Depot or whatever.

When we would gather up things that I wanted to plant, and I would say, Jason, put that here for me. Jason, do this for me. And Jason always did it. He didn't complain. He did it. And he knew

Q. We've had them marked as State's Proposed Exhibits 60 through 66. Could you look at these and tell me, these are the photographs that you brought?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. These are all photographs, 60 through 66, of your son, Jason?

A. Yes.

MS. PANDUKHT: Move to admit and publish to the jury.

the most handsome picture I had ever seen of my

son.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And how did you get him to smile?
  - At that time it was easy to get him to He was so young and innocent. He knew he had to take a picture for his mom.
  - You also mentioned he was in Little Could you tell the jury about number 63? League.

That's the first team he was on. I think A. he was about nine, I believe. And Jason was a natural athlete. I remember one time we were sitting in the stands, and Jason was playing outfield. And Jason threw the ball all the way to home plate. And someone in the stand said, whose boy is that? And I turned around. I said, that's my boy. Because he was so good. He was so good. And we used to make sure we went to every game. His father was a truck driver, and he would arrange his schedule to make sure he's in town to see Jason play. And if he knew he was going to be late, he would tell me, you make sure Jason sees you. You sit in the stand, and you, make sure he sees you. And that's what I did. That's what I did.

- Ο. How about number 64?
- Α. That's Jason. I think he's about 15 or

24

25

Did he actually get to go to the navy?

and he did join the navy. And I was so proud of

23

24

25

him for that.

0.

A. He, he was almost finished with his
what do you call it basic training or something
like that. But, like the judge says, you have to
have a good fit and, you know, he was basically
not a good fit for the navy. But he tried. But
he also would listen to us. And I told him, I
said, well, Jason, you need to go back to school.
And he was making plans to go back to school.

- Q. How has your son's murder affected you and your family?
- A. It's torn us apart. It's, it's, it's like part of my heart is missing, and it's, it was torn out, and nothing can, can heal it. You know, every day, you know, I get up. It's a struggle. Every day I get up it's a struggle. His sister, Cameron, she had started cutting herself, because she was upset, you know, about Jason, because they were close. It's just, it's just awful.

My older daughter, she told me about maybe a month ago one, in one of her classes they were discussing — well, I don't know if I can say this — capital punishment or whatever. And someone told her in class, not knowing her background, that she wouldn't feel the way she felt if something had happened to someone in her

1	family like that. And she said she just broke
2	down. And people were looking at her, because
3	they didn't understand why she was crying and, and
4	why she was hysterical. And it's, it's affected
5	us very deeply.
6	And I don't know if I'll ever get over
7	this. I'll probably go to my grave feeling the
8	way I'm feeling.
9	. Q. Is there anything else that you would
10	like this jury to know?
11	A. Jason was a loving son, and he called me
12	mommy. No, I mean, he was 19. He still called me
13	mommy. And I just loved him for that. I don't
14	have anything else to say.
15	MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you, Mrs. Moore.
16	THE COURT: Mr. O'Brien?
17	MR. O'BRIEN: We're very sorry, ma'am. I
18	have no questions.
19	THE COURT: You may step down.
20	Who's next, Mr. Kane? Ms. Pandukht?
21	MR. KANE: Can we approach, your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Certainly.
23	(Conference at the bench.)
24	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're
25	going to take our lunch recess. Today it's going

arrangements through our court administration to provide you with a lunch that will be served here in the back of the courtroom. So, you'll go with Mr. Bailiff, and you certainly can take a break before you have your lunch, but we'll come back in pretty short order.

I do want you to remember, however, that during our lunch recess it's your duty not to discuss this case among yourselves or with anyone else. Don't read, watch, listen to a report of or commentary on anything which might be associated with this matter. Don't form or express an opinion in any of these issues until it has been fully and finally submitted to you under instruction of law by me.

I'm going to ask you to wait until the bailiff comes back into the courtroom, because he will take you where you need to go.

Mr. Bailiff, can you take care of the jury for our lunch?

We'll be in recess until approximately 1:00, 1:10, 1:15 according to my watch right now, which is a little bit longer than I would like to go. But we'll go that long if we need it.

1	(Recess taken.)
2	THE COURT: Any matters we have to take
3	up outside the presence?
4	MS. PANDUKHT: I did, but it became
5	resolved by the time you got on the bench.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Then let's get the
7	jury.
8	Do you have your next witness ready?
9	MS. PANDUKHT: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: Why don't we go ahead and get
11	them up here on the stand, and then we'll bring
12	the jury.
13	(Thereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)
14	THE COURT: Welcome back. Let the record
15	reflect we are again present in the matter of
16	State versus Budd. All parties are present.
17	Counsel, will you stipulate to the
18	presence of all the jury?
19	MS. PANDUKHT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Mr. O'Brien?
21	MR. O'BRIEN: We will, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Very well. We have a witness
23	already here in the stand.
24	Mr. Pandukht, for the record would you
25	please state the witness's name?

1	MS. PANDUKHT: Yes, Lizzie Jones.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Jones, would you stand,
3	please, and raise your right hand?
4	
5	LIZZIE JONES,
6	called as a witness, and having been first duly
7	sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth,
8	and nothing but the truth, was examined and
9	testified as follows:
10	
11	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you
12	please state your name and spell it for the
13	record?
14	THE WITNESS: My name is Lizzie,
15	L-i-z-z-i-e, Jones, J-o-n-e-s.
16	THE CLERK: Thank you.
17	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
20	Q. Ms. Jones, what was your relationship to
21	Derrick Jones, Jr.
22	A. I, I was his grandmother before I adopted
23	him as my son. He's my oldest son's child.
24	Q. And I would like to show you some
25	photographs.

4	
1	May I approach the witness?
2	THE COURT: You may.
3	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
4	Q. I'm showing you what we have had marked
5	as State's Proposed Exhibits 54, 55, and 56.
6	Could you look at these photographs and
7	tell me if you recognize who is in them?
8	A. That's Derrick, Jr.
9	Q. In all three photographs?
10	A. All three.
11	MS. PANDUKHT: Move to admit and publish
12	for the jury.
13	MR. O'BRIEN: No objection, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: It will be admitted, and you
15	may publish.
16	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
17	Q. This is State's Exhibit 54, State's
18	Exhibit 55, State's Exhibit 56.
19	Ms. Jones, I have what is marked, a
20	photocopy of State's Proposed Exhibit 67. And I
21	have the actual photograph here. Who is this?
22	A. My great grandson, Derrick's little boy.
23	Q. How old was he when this picture was
24	taken?
25	A. I think little DJ was about seven or

1	eight months old, maybe a year. He's two and a
2	half now.
3	MS. PANDUKHT: And, judge, this is the
4	only copy we have of the photograph. So, I'm
5	having this moved into evidence, the photocopy,
6	but if I can publish, move to admit and publish
7	the actual photograph
8	MR. O'BRIEN: No objection, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: You may do so.
10	BY MS. PANDUKHT:
11	Q. And that, for the record, was State's
12	Exhibit 67.
13	Could you tell us about your grandson,
14	adopted son?
15	A. Well, Derrick was born November the 12th,
16	1983, and he was about two weeks old, and his
17	mother called me and said, I got your grandson,
18	Ms. Jones. So, I went to her aunt's address that
19	she gave me and picked him up. I'm sorry. This
20	is going to be kind of rough, hard for me, because
21	since Derrick's death I developed a heart
22	condition.
23	He was such a beautiful baby. And as,
24	growing up, I raised him. Because I was so close
25	to him, his mom and my son say, you know, we just

1	going to go ahead and let you take him. I spoiled
2	him, gave him everything, you know. We moved up
3	to Hesperia, California in 1990, November the 8th.
4	He went to all the schools from kindergarten up to
5	the high school, and he graduate from Hesperia,
6	Hesperia High School. He went to VVC, Victor
7	Valley College for not even a year, because he,
8	his dream was coming to Las Vegas. We would take
9	trips here three and four times a year, our
10	vacations. And so he said, I'm going to go to
11	Las Vegas and get me a job, grandma, and get
12	married. But he was engaged before he left to
13	Pamela Miranda, and she was pregnant with a baby
14	boy. She came to Vegas on Charleston to the
15	apartment and visit him maybe not even, not even a
16	month or maybe two or three weeks so I might be
17	just off a little bit on the time before he got
18	killed.
19	I spoke with him on the phone on the 26th
20	about 9:45 at night. He was living at the two
21	young men house over on Charleston, at their
22	apartment I mean. And he called me, and he said

24

25

he's coming to his brother's graduation, Samuel's

graduation and to Pam's graduation. And he said

Pam had already sent him the money to take the

25

bus. He stated that he had went and applied for a job, he and, he and AI, which I met AI Mother's Day the same year that Derrick was killed. I bought the kids to a track meet. But I'm trying, kind of getting off track here about Derrick.

Derrick loved to play sports. He loved sports, basketball, football, baseball. And he didn't meet no strangers. I'm not trying to paint him as being the perfect young man. I'm saying that everybody he meet was his homey, his friend. He had kind of little tight-tongued boys, and he would, grandma, that's my friend there. Meet my friend. And he would just give his last to people. He didn't look down on nobody, because he was good-looking, handsome with the natural, curly, straight hair, because his mom is Indian, and his dad is black, which is my son. And he just didn't paint no picture of a person being ugly or don't have it, because, you know, he has it all, you know, in a sense, because I worked hard for that.

So, I made sure my adopted son, my grandson, my children didn't have to go out and beg, although maybe I spoiled him a little bit too much, you know, because I didn't want him to leave

24

25

home. I begged him not to leave. He said, grandma, I'm 19 now. I want to get out. I don't want to be cluttered in all the time. I begged him to get a job there and stay in VVC. He wanted to come to Las Vegas. That was his dream.

And I just, you know, it just took a lot out of me when I got that phone call that he was, that he was, you know, had been shot. And then the next phone call from the hospital, the doctor called me and told me that he was, he had expired, you know. And, because I was on my way out the door to come here, because he was injured, to drive and, you know, to see, to see about him, you know. I, and I learned the friend, you know, that he said it was his friend. They played ball. They went job seeking together. How could you do that to a friend? How could a friend do that to a friend? If it was money, I would have sent it to him over night, whatever, you know. I didn't actually see this person do this, but this is what was told to me by the detective. So, I have to go along with what they said.

But Derrick never seen his little boy.

His baby never seen him. The baby was due October

the 8th. Pamela couldn't hold the baby after

24

25

Derrick was murdered. She just was so stressed. The baby came July 8th. He had a lot of problems. We call him the miracle baby. He had tubes all over him, problems with his hearing, problems with his digesting system. The little boy, we call him the miracle baby. And he looks just like his daddy. But he don't know who his daddy is. He can't see his daddy. Daddy is dead. Father can't see the child. The child can't see the daddy, you know, vice versa. But that's his first born.

Like I said, Derrick did not look down at anybody. He was a very nice young man to know, very nice young man, very polite to older people. And you wouldn't believe this, but he never talked He never cursed me. He never made a back to me. mumbling word when I would get on his case. And I'm a tough, tough grandmother and a tough mom. He never talked back. And I give him that. I gave that to him. When he was living, I said, you know what? You never talk back to me. There is nothing I wouldn't do for you. Please don't leave. I didn't want to just give him everything, give him a car. He had nice clothes, give him everything. He needed to get out and earn things, you know, so he can appreciate it better when he

l earn	it
--------	----

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- So, what impact has his murder had on you Q. personally?
  - Α. Pardon me?
- What impact has his murder had on you 0. personally?
- It woke me up to people. I stopped Α. seeing, I stopped dating this guy I was dating because, and then I stopped associating with certain people when I noticed attitudes in them. It's hard to kind of detect sometime, you know. But it just woke me up that, you know, you never know, you know, who wants to, when I ordered my grandson's, what you call them, the, I can't even think now. You know when they tell you everything that went wrong, and what you call them, at the hospital, the morque? The thing when I ordered, it was three different pages.
  - Q. Autopsy?
- Autopsy. And it says that he was wet, and he was nude the time that the ambulance picked him up. And, and I just put two and two together. He probably was in the shower or something, you know. I just, it, that itself, it made me realize that you, to appreciate life, to appreciate

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understanding and know who, you know, find out who a person is before you get so involved, you know. And then it gave me a bad heart, and it just, you know, just, that took a lot out of me. That took a lot out of me, you know, because, first of all, he was young. He was 19 years old the time he was murdered. And that just took a lot out of me, you know.

I don't even know. Sometimes I have to pinch myself. Lizzie, is this you? How you going on? How could you go on like this? And then I look at this State of Nevada where I always would come and visit, and I, and at one time we were going to move to Henderson, Nevada. And I said, now how can I live in Nevada when, knowing Derrick got killed in Nevada, you know. I, you won't never know until you lose someone close, because we're a very close family. It might not appear to be, because my older son say, no. His daddy said, I can't come and face someone and look at some person that killed my son. And my son say, no. I can't face someone that killed my nephew. And my daughter says, no. I will not go, mom. So, I had to do this, you know, because nobody wants to face this because, you know, they don't

want to end up in trouble or, you know. hard.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

But, oh, Lord. Help me, Lord. But now

It's

How has it affected your children?

They, that's what I was just saying. Α. Everybody is hurt. We never would get over this. It happened in 2003, but you walk in the houses, we still have the homes. My daughters have their homes. My sons have their homes. And you can just, we all know Derrick was a part of it. He's, he was a part of, because we all was right there in Hesperia on, from Hesperia to Victorville in that desert from the time from 1990 up until Derrick's death. And, like I said, we're still there. And he was always at all these different homes, you know, visiting. And we all did everything together. When you see three, you see us all. When you see 20, you see us all. We just did everything together, everything, gatherings, vacations. And until Derrick came here, of course, without us, people would see him and say, Where is your mom? Where is your grandma? Where is your aunt? Where is your uncles? No. know you're not by yourself here and stuff like that.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

we, we watch his little son growing up, you know, and he saying da da, you know. And Pam says, I can't put another man over Derrick's son. So, she's working, and he stays with me sometime and my little child care I have at home. And then she takes him to the day care, too. So, I'm just trying to deal with that, you know. I look at that baby, and he looks so much like his daddy when his daddy was young like that, a toddler. Looks just like him.

Q. Is there anything else you would like this jury to know about your grandson?

A. I would like to say that my grandson should not have, life should not have been taken like that. He deserved a chance to live, to get, to be older. I won't say my age, though, older like me and other people, you know. He just didn't deserve that. Like I said, whatever money that the person needed, whatever it was, I would have took care of it. And he know. And I'm pretty sure if it was something like that, he would say, my grandma would give me money or whatever. I would give it, you know, to spare his life. Nobody deserve to be slaughtered, shot down. Nobody deserves that, nobody. I don't care

	***
1	how angry a person get. Walk away. Think about
2	it. Nobody deserve it. He was a, he, you know,
3	it was just a waste. He didn't deserve it. He
4	should have been around to be free, laugh, work,
5	take care his baby, you know, because he was a
6	good boy. He was a darn good young man, very
7	respectful.
8	People in the neighborhood, two police
9	live on my street, and they couldn't believe it.
10	Oh, no, not Derrick. My neighbors, he would cut
11	their yards and stuff, you know, run errands to
12	the store for them. Oh, not Derrick. No. No.
13	It just took a toll on everybody, you know. Thank
14	you for hearing me out. I appreciate it.
15	MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you. I have no
16	further questions.
17	MR. O'BRIEN: No questions. Thank you,
18	ma'am.
19	THE COURT: You may step down. Thank
20	you. Who's next?
21	MR. KANE: Judge, the State has no
22	further evidence to present or witnesses to call
23	at the penalty phase. With the understanding that
24	the jury will be instructed that they're allowed
25	to consider the evidence from the first phase of

absolutely sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's start with the testimony of Lazon Jones. Lazon Jones came in here and testified, but he never said -- ever -- "I saw Glenford Budd kill these people." What he said was that he did not see the shootings. He was in the apartment, he heard the shots, and he left. He said that he and his friends were drinking alcohol. And in response to my specific question, he denied they were smoking marijuana. He says that Glenford goes into the master bedroom and he hears the shooting. But he also says that he, Lazon, never actually went and looked into the other two bedrooms. So, clearly, he doesn't know if anyone else is in that apartment at that time. Remember, there are three bedrooms in this house -- or apartment -and the shooting occurs in one, but not a single witness can tell you whether somebody else was in that apartment in those other bedrooms.

He also says that he left and ran down the stairs, but he never, ever, acknowledges that he ran down the stairs with another person. Yet, we know that's what happened. He ran down the stairs with Krissy, and two witnesses testified to that. And he says that he ran north -- look at the diagram, here -- he ran north over towards Charleston, and somewhere over here to the 7-Eleven, just a little bit to the east, and that's where

he calls the police. And he said that he saw Glenford, I think after he made his phone call, running away. So, I guess he seen Glenford running either to the north or the east part of the complex.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, let's compare Lazon's testimony with that of the only other eyewitness in this case. And that is Celeste Palau. And I want to remind you -- I'm holding up for you Defendant's Exhibit C. This is a photograph that shows the view from Celeste Palau's apartment to the building where the killings occurred. This is in the daytime. It's 218 feet. The killing occurs at nighttime. And I'll show it to you on the overhead, here. I mean this is hard to see, real hard to see. But if you look at it, basically, the apartment where the killing occurs is over in the corner 218 feet away. And just before midnight, she says she hears what she thinks are firecrackers. And, so, she and her friend stand up and are looking way over there, and she sees a shooting, and she identifies Glenford as the shooter.

But she also says that the shooter runs away to the west. And that would be over that direction, there, to the right on the diagram. So, seeing it very long distance, she sees a shooting, she thinks Glenford does it, but she sees the shooter running off to the right, to the west.

She also testified that she saw two people leave the apartment, one of those being Lazon, and I think that he was with Krissy when he leaves; which, of course, is in direct contradiction to what he testifies to.

Now, the inconsistencies between her testimony and Lazon's testimony are not unimportant. They're crucial. First of all, it suggests that perhaps Lazon, who was smoking marijuana, may not have that good a grasp on what was going on in the apartment. I mean, the details he's missing are pretty amazing. He's either missing details, or he's lying. I mean, he's claiming that they're drinking, not smoking pot. We know that's false, because the coroner testified the alcohol would be in their bodies. There was no alcohol in their bodies.

It's their burden, folks, to have a case that holds together and it proves the killing occurred in a way that creates criminal liability. And it's their burden to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt.

This eyewitness testimony is confusing, it's inconsistent, and it suggests we don't know for sure what happened in this case. The implications of the testimony of Celeste Palau with the testimony of Lazon are very interesting. Now, think about this. Let's assume that Celeste did, in fact, see the shooting, but she's wrong on

the identity of shooter because of the great distance.

Let's also assume that Lazon is correct when he tell us that when he's over here at the 7-Eleven he sees Glenford running that direction. Remember, he could not have seen Glenford running away unless he could see through buildings or around buildings, if Glenford's running off to the west.

I submit to you those people sitting in that apartment doing marijuana may not have known precisely who was in that apartment. I submit to you Lazon did not know. I submit to you Celeste Palau is not certain who actually was doing the shooting. And I submit to you that people, as people do, talked after the shooting. It is totally natural that neighbors and friends and family are going to talk together, especially in like something tragic like this. And I submit to you that Lazon, by telling his story, told a story that essentially tagged A.I., or Glenford, with a blank. And I submit to you that Celeste has incorporated that information into her testimony. That, ladies and gentlemen, is probably a lot closer to the truth than anything else in this case.

Based on the eyewitness testimony, the State's case doesn't cut the mustard, base on the eyewitness testimony, their case doesn't hold together. Based on the eyewitness testimony, there is absolutely reasonable doubt

about who did the killing in this case.

Now, when you get together and start discussing this, somebody's going say, "Wait a minute; but the State has so much evidence." And I hope that one of you will say, "Wait a minute; they don't have any other evidence." Think about it. How much physical and scientific evidence connects Glenford Budd in this killing? Absolutely zero. Zero. Is his blood on the scene? No. Is there any blood found on him from the dead people? No. Is there any blood or gunpowder residue ever found in his clothing? Absolutely not. The only scientific evidence in the whole case is the evidence that shows Lazon was lying about the alcohol versus marijuana issue, and then also the fact that we know one gun did the killing.

There is not a bit of physical or scientific evidence that backs up the State's case. Taking the eyewitness testimony, the physical evidence and the scientific evidence together, they haven't got it. And I submit to you detectives can come in here and testify like movie stars, and they can sound so confident. But the reason we have juries, ladies and gentlemen, is because you're supposed to look through all this stuff and see what really happened. In this case, their case doesn't cut the mustard.

Now, you're going to discuss this. And somebody

will say, "Wait a minute. He confessed. He admitted he did it." Well, I ask you: Is that really what you have? Think about this. How many reliable witnesses have walked into this courtroom, sat in that stand, and looked you in the eye and told you that Glenford confessed to them? How many? The answer is not one.

Let's start with Winston Budd, Glenford's uncle. At some point in the past he testified that Glenford told him he killed these people. And the Court told you you have a right to consider that testimony. You also have the right to reject that testimony. The fact is, nobody came in here and looked you in the eye, and you can't judge his credibility. You don't know if he's telling the truth. If you can tell the truth from an actor, or whoever, reading his statement, you're a lot better than I am at telling the truth. This is a death penalty trial. You have the right to hold the State to the highest standards imaginable. And, again, that doesn't cut it. His testimony, his evidence, is thin. Thin. Thin.

So, what about Greg Lewis? Greg Lewis, of course, is the man who's in prison. He's a convicted felon, and he came in here and told you that Glenford confessed to him in the jail and then wrote him a letter.

I'm from Alabama, a little town in the redneck part of Alabama. We have trailer courts in my little

town. In fact, I have family members live in trailer courts, and I've lived in a trailer before. So, I think I can say this without offending anybody. There's an old saying in Alabama that, "If you drag a hundred dollar bill through a trailer court, you'll always come up with something." Well, I'm going to tell you another truth, folks: You drag the promise of parole through a prison, and you'll always come up with something.

No one in this case is less reliable than Greg
Lewis. Let me repeat that. No one in this case is less
reliable than Greg Lewis. This is not somebody who didn't
perceive things correctly. This is not somebody who
smoked marijuana and doesn't know exactly what happened.
This is not somebody who was in a long distance away and
couldn't see exactly what happened. This is somebody who
sold his soul for the promise of parole. He should not be
trusted. He is serving 72 months in prison. He has a
wife or a girlfriend. Read the letter; it's in evidence,
Defense Exhibit A. He discusses his wife or girlfriend.
He has three kids.

He has strong motivation for getting out of prison. He told you, "I don't like prison. I want to get out." He wants out and there's a way to get out. And the way to freedom, the way out of that prison is called parole. And he told you he has a parole board hearing

coming up in March of 2006. Now, let me tell you something.

I'm sorry, State's Exhibit 50, please.

Into evidence we have the letter from a prosecutor in this case, not one of these two, one of their predecessors. This is a letter from the District Attorney's Office to the Parole Board, and it's a letter basically telling the parole board what service Greg Lewis has provided.

Now, I submit to you this is not a letter. That is gold. That is pure 100 percent gold. How many people do you think go to the parole board with that kind of letter? With this letter, parole day is party day for Mr. Lewis. And, by the way, there were questions raised by the prosecutor who suggested, perhaps, he didn't get any consideration for this from the Court or something like that. This has nothing to do with the court. This is about the parole board. This man is serving six years in prison. He want out; the parole board can let him out. And that letter, my friends, that's the way you get out.

Now, he has sold his soul for the promise of parole. And, now, let's talk about just how easy it was for him to do this. He talked about conversations he had with Glenford in jail. And we're not going to play games here. They were in jail together. They had every chance

to talk about it. They had chances to talk not only about what Glenford said, but what the State's alleging. He could have learned the facts that the State's claimed happening just from talking to Glenford.

Of course, he also had the chance to read the paper and watch the news. So, he had a chance to learn, independently, what the facts of this case were. And, so, he claims that Glenford told him the story, and basically admitted liability. Well, that's one thing.

The other thing, of course, is State's Exhibit 49C, the rap song, which is a devastating piece of evidence. I mean, it's a horrible piece of evidence.

Well, look at how easy it was to fabricate. First of all, Greg Lewis told you he had possession of this. You've never heard any evidence that Glenford ever had possession of this. Greg Lewis said, "My fingerprints would be on that letter." He also told you he had an interest in rap music. He told you he was in to rapping at the jail, and there were group of them that were rapping. So, the man clearly had an interest in this type of dialogue or music, whatever you want to call it. He could have written this, or somebody else could have written it.

The stationery this is on is precisely the same stationery that Defense Exhibit A is on, which is Greg Lewis's letter from Glenford Budd. There's no difference

at all. So, if he decides, "Hey, I'm going to make this up," well, that's how you do it. You use the stationery you have, and it just so happens the stationary matches.

The rap song has language. And you can read it. You'll have this back with you. It talks about the danger of snitches. Well, if you take his letter to Glenford, he talks also about the danger of snitches. Who would know more about the danger of snitches than Greq Lewis?

So, in these circumstances, what could have been done for us to find out the truth about this so-called rap song? Well, there's a number of things that could have been done. First of all, it would be nice to have a fingerprint analysis done. We know Greg Lewis's fingerprints are on this. Were Glenford's fingerprints on there? If he ever handled the rap song, they should have been. They didn't do a fingerprint analysis. They didn't even try to do one.

Okay. They could have done a handwriting analysis. And you might say to yourself, "Wait a minute. This is a highly stylized document. This is not normal handwriting." And that's true. But, maybe, if you did a handwriting analysis, you might find something in either Glenford's handwriting or Greg Lewis's that matches. Let me give you an example. When you go back there, look at the Gs in Greg Lewis's letter, and look at the Gs in this

rap song. A "G" normally has a curl at the bottom. Not in Greg Lewis's letter, and not in the rap song. And he also has a particularly interesting diamond sort of top, which occurs in both documents. I think if the State had done a writing analysis, we would know a lot more about the origins of this rap song than the State wants to know.

Is there anything else the State could have done? Absolutely. Why not just get the jail to search Glenford's cell several times in the last two years and just try to find one document in his possession where the same stylized handwriting that exists in this? That would be very helpful. Similarly, it would be very helpful to do the exact same thing for Greg Lewis. Maybe Greg Lewis had this kind of stylized handwriting in his cell. That would be incredibly helpful. I asked Detective Vaccaro about this. He wasn't interested.

This is such an important case. The stakes can't be higher than in this case. And, yet, there are a lot of things just not done here. A lot of things not finished. And the stakes are so high. You have the right and the duty to expect the highest standards in this type of case, absolutely the highest standards imaginable.

We have the right to comment not only here on what the State has not proven or has proven, but on things that didn't go right. Mr. Kane commented in his opening

statement that the State would present the testimony of Tracey Richards, who would testify she picked Glenford up, took him to her home. He spent the night there, and the next morning before he leaves he says, "I had a dream." And the dream that he supposedly relates is, "I killed three people over some marijuana." And I'll tell you something -- and you all know this -- this person never testified. Never testified at all. But if you listen to Detective Vaccaro's testimony closely, you will see that there were motivations for any such person to concoct that story, because he said, "Look if a person transports a fugitive, harbors a fugitive, feeds a fugitive, under certain circumstances that could be a crime." Think about If you're in that boat and you know that you're it. facing that kind of liability, how do you get out of it? You become State's evidence. And listen to how general that statement was. "I had a dream. Three people killed over marijuana." That could have come from anywhere, not necessarily from Glenford Budd.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also want to comment briefly on the nonexistent testimony of our other eyewitness. There weren't — there was not one person at Celeste Palau's apartment; there were two. And she was standing right beside Celeste Palau. Everything that Celeste Palau saw she would have seen. Where's her testimony? Nonexistent.

Death penalty case, the highest stakes imaginable, nonexistent. Oh, well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Where are we? We know Lazon did not check the two other rooms. He has no idea if anyone else was in that house. We know Lazon lied about the marijuana versus alcohol. The fact is they were all getting high in there and it's entirely possible their perceptions were not that great. We know that Lazon told us less than the truth about leaving with Krissy. Why would he have forgotten such an important detail? Why did he omit this. We know Celeste saw somebody in a long distance away who did the shooting. It was almost midnight. It was dark outside; at that distance it's very possible that she did see the shooting, but did not see the precise identity of who did the shooting. And we know Celeste Palau said the shooter headed west. She had no motive to lie about that. We know that Lazon said Glenford Budd headed either north or east. He wouldn't lie about that if he knew it. We know the State has no physical evidence, no scientific evidence of any kind linking Glenford to the shooting. We know Greq Lewis is in prison. We know he wants to leave prison. We know that he knows how to get out of prison, and it's call the parole board. And, so, we know that he has sold his soul for the promise of parole, and maybe he'll be getting out in March 2006. We know the State

1 presented testimony from Winston Budd, but Winston Budd 2 did not look you in the eye, and it's almost impossible to 3 really figure out whether he's telling you the truth. We 4 know the State did not present the testimony of Tracey 5 Richards; but if they had, she had a motivation to concoct 6 this little story she told the cops. 7 Under these circumstances, ladies and gentlemen, 8 this is not a close case. This is not beyond a reasonable 9 doubt case. This is not a case that holds together. 10 is not a case where you can say you know what happened. 11 Not at all. This case, ladies and gentlemen is riddled 12 with doubt. It's riddled with things not done. 13 riddled with investigation not complete. This, ladies and 14 gentlemen, is a not quilty case. They didn't prove their 15 case. Make the presumption of innocence mean something. 16 Make the standard of prove mean something. 17 My client came in this room, this courtroom, as an innocent man. He's still an innocent man. And please 18 19 find him not quilty. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brooks. 21 Any rebuttal, Mr. Kane? 22 MR. KANE: Thank you. 23 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY THE STATE

PRESTIGE COURT REPORTING
State of Nevada v. Budd

you last, and I'll try to be brief. My purpose up here

MR. KANE: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm talking to

24

25

isn't to repeat the arguments that you've already listened to. As the Court told you, the State gets two chances to argue, first and last; and that's because we bear the burden of proof, and that burden never shifts to a defendant throughout the trial. Because of that heavy burden, we get the first chance and the last chance to talk to you.

And I want to talk to you about four points, and then I'm going to sit down and let you deliberate.

Point one, Tracey Richards. In listening to counsel, you may have gotten a misapprehension, and I'm sure that was not his intention. You may have gotten the misapprehension that the defense, somehow, has to explain away what Tracey Richards might have said and why she might have said it. Let me make something perfectly Tracey Richards did not testify. My remarks in opening statement about what I expected her to say were not evidence. It turned out that Tracey Richards was unavailable and I couldn't call her at trial. So, you must totally disregard my statement in opening statement. It's not a question of did the defendant say to Tracey Richards, "I had a dream about killing three guys," and did she make it up because she was afraid of being charged as an accessory. That's not an issue.

There is no evidence before you that the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

defendant ever said to anyone, "I had a dream about killing three people," and you must absolutely disregard that. It didn't happen, it's not before you, it's not evidence.

Point two, it is part of a defense attorney's job to attack -- and I don't mean in any wrong way -- but to attack the State's witnesses, to suggest reasons why the State's witnesses are either mistaken, deliberately misstating evidence, or for some other reason should not be believed. And there were two of our witnesses who came under particular attack, and they were Lazon Jones and Greg Lewis.

Don't believe Lazon Jones, says the defense, for a couple of reasons. First, because he didn't mention Krissy running down the stairs at the same time that he was running down the stairs. Well, let me apologize on Lazon's behalf. His 14-year-old brother lies dead in the bedroom of an apartment at the top of the stairs. His to friends, against his advice, have discharged back into the line of fire, and they're probably being killed while he's running. I'm sorry he didn't notice who else was on his stairs. The Mormon Tabernacle Choir could have been running down those stairs behind him, and I'll be he couldn't have identified a single face. Because what he was concentrating on -- and justifiably -- was being the

only person to escape Apartment 2068 alive, except for the killer, Mr. Budd.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Don't believe Lazon Jones, says the defendant, because he says he saw the defendant fleeing the apartment. And since that's in the wrong direction, he's got to be wrong or looking through buildings. What Lazon Jones said is after he ran down the street to the 7-Eleven and after he made the 911 call, which you listened to and which was over three minutes long, and while he's waiting for police personnel and ambulance personnel to show up, he believes he sees the defendant, who is now outside the apartment complex, running across Charleston Boulevard. There's nothing inconsistent between that observation and the defendant leaving the apartment in a westerly direction. After he gets out of the apartment complex, he crosses Charleston Boulevard, he's seen by Lazon; a reliable observation and no reason for you not to believe it.

And, finally, he said, "Don't believe him because the coroner told you that you should expect to find blood alcohol levels in these three dead teenagers and you didn't; and, so, he's got to be wrong about everything. Well, what the coroner said is, "If at the time of the death of these people they had a substantial blood alcohol level, you'd still expect it to be there a

couple of days later." What Lazon's testimony was is that over period of hours, each one of them drank, at the most, a couple of cans of beer. You know, from your own personal experience -- and you've got a Jury Instruction that says you don't leave your common sense out in the parking lot when you're reporting for jury duty -- that when you drink you feel the effects of alcohol, that gradually increase, that gradually wear off.

So, yeah, if all three of the victims had chugged two or there cans of beer minutes before they died, then you might expect that all of that stuff would still be in their system days later. But that's not the way you drink, especially not when you're drinking socially. You'll drink a can of beer or two over a couple of hours, your blood alcohol goes up, the effects wear off, your blood alcohol level goes down. And, so, there's nothing surprising about the coroner not finding any appreciable blood alcohol levels in the dead bodies of the three teenagers a couple of days later, and there's no reason, there, for you not to believe Lazon Jones.

Now, the defense argues you've got to look at a witness like Greg Lewis, and Greg Lewis, in particular, with skepticism. That's one thing I'm going agree with him on. You'd be fools not to. Does Greg Lewis have an ax to grind? Absolutely. Is he hoping that he'll get

some benefit out of the testimony that he's given?

Absolutely. And, so, should you test what he says and should you view what he says with skepticism until you're satisfied that there's independent reasons to believe him?

Of course.

So, let's take a look at the two things he testified about. He testified that a conversation that he had with the defendant in the jail, quite some time after the murders; and then he testified about a letter that he received from the defendant and the rap song that was contained in the letter.

When he told you about the conversation he had with the defendant in the jail, if he came in here and all Greg Lewis said to you is, "Yeah, we were in jail together and Budd says to me, 'I killed three guys,'" would I get up here and say to you, "You've got to believe him, boy, That's credible stuff. That's really good. You should believe him"? Of course not. But that's not what he said.

Think back to what Greg Lewis said the defendant told him in jail. The defendant told him he killed three boys because he thought they stole his marijuana. He told them that he tried to find out which one of them stole the marijuana so he could get it back. He told him he tried to find out by hanging around with them all day and

playing basketball with them. Now, how does Greg Lewis, a year after the event know those things? Do you he suppose the papers reported that the three of them were playing basketball together?

The only way that Greg Lewis knew the statements that Glenford Budd made to him in jail and that Greg Lewis told you about is because Mr. Budd actually made those statements to Greg Lewis. He was telling you about details of what happened that day that could only be known to someone who was there. And, so, you didn't believe Greg Lewis because you think he's a nice guy or because he wouldn't lie to you; you believe him because he's corroborated by the independent evidence of what you know happened that day, and that fact that Greg Lewis had no other way of knowing those things, unless Mr. Budd told him.

And then you've got the rap song. And you can usually tell the strength of a piece of prosecution evidence by the vociferousness of the attack that's launched against it by the defense, and this is no exception. You shouldn't believe that Mr. Budd wrote the letter because we didn't fingerprint it, we didn't subject it to handwriting, and because we didn't search his cell. You know, every time that I think I've seen everything in this business, I see something that I haven't seen before;

and I have now seen the spectacle of a defense lawyer criticising me in court because I didn't go toss his client's cell and search his personal belongings. Well, we didn't do it.

Would it have helped to fingerprint? Well, the defense speculates, with absolutely no scientific or evidentiary basis that if I touched this piece of paper and you fingerprint it some time later, my prints are going to be on there. Where's the evidence before you that tells you that happens. There's stuff that we touch everyday that we may or may fingerprint that even holds fingerprints that you can recover later. There's no reason to believe that the police should have fingerprinted this.

Handwriting analysis. This rap song itself states -- Exhibit 49C -- contains a particular written note that says it is disguised handwriting. It says, "So sorry. This is how I write, so people can't read over my shoulder. This shit is for your eyes only." So, the person writing this is saying, "I'm disguising my handwriting." What good would a handwriting analysis do in identifying this guy's handwriting? How are we going to make Mr. Budd sit down at a table and say, "We want to prove you wrote this damaging letter, so we want you to disguise your handwriting, just the way you did when you

wrote this, so we can compare it and put you in prison"?

Obviously, that couldn't be done, and that's why

handwriting wasn't done.

So, all of the things that defense counsel said should have been done to establish the authenticity of this letter, were done; and for good reasons. And there's no evidence that they would have proven anything.

Now, on the other hand, what is there about this letter, 49B and the rap song, 49C, that indicates the defendant wrote it? And here you've got what we call in the trade, self-verifying detail. And that's something within the document itself that makes it appear to be genuine.

And you heard a couple of stipulations or taking of judicial notice matters in the course of the trial that may have seemed to you real mysterious at the time. We stipulated that the defendant and Greg Lewis weren't back in the same unit in the jail back in October of 2003. We stipulated that the defendant, at some point, moved to a single cell; that being cell number 18. And, finally, we stipulated that back at the time that this letter was written, the defendant's trial date was set for November of 2004. And you may have been sitting there scratching your head, thinking, "Well, why are we worried about things like that?" The reason is, they're all things that

are referred to in this letter, and they're things only the defendant would be in a position to know.

It is true that the defendant and Greg Lewis were in a common unit on the ninth floor of the jail, back had the admissions were made to Greg Lewis. And that's referred to here in the letter, "Back when we were on the ninth floor together." It is true that the defendant, at the time he wrote this letter was in cell number 18 on the ninth floor. And he said in here, "I moved into 18, which is a single cell." And it's that at the time this letter was written, the defendant's trial date was set and had just been reset for December of 2004. And the defendant says in the letter, "As far as my trial date, it's just been moved back to November." So, this is a letter that you don't need handwriting and fingerprints who wrote it, the contents tell you who wrote it.

The defendant, in writing this, he knows what cell he's in. He know when his trial date is set, and has just been reset. He knows what unit he was in six months or so before, when he had some conversation with Greg Lewis, and there's nobody else that knows all of that. Greg Lewis knows that they were in the same unit six months; before but there's no evidence that he knows the cell number of the defendant when this letter's written. There's no evidence that he knows or had any way to know

the trial date that the defendant at the time this letter was written. The letter itself and the facts contained in it tell you that no one could have written it but the defendant.

And, again, you want to know who wrote the letter, and if it was the same person who wrote the rap song. Well, I've suggested to you the reasons why we know the defendant wrote the letter, 49B. And at the top of the letter he includes a P.S. And you'll have this back with you in the jury room, so don't worry if you can't read it on the scene.

But what it says is: Third P.S. "I got killer in me, still." And then you turn to the rap song, and what's the title? "Killer In Me." What do you think? Did the same person write this and this?

Don't believe Greg Lewis, because you think he's a nice guy or a public spirited citizen or is acting out of altruistic motives. Believe him to the extent that he's corroborated and backed up by other evidence. And if, based on that evidence, you find he's believable, then you use that as part of the evidence that you consider.

Third of the four points I want to cover with you. A couple of times in the Instructions, it's mentioned that if you're in a situation of doubt, you need to give the defendant the benefit of that doubt. If you

have doubt as to whether it's first or second degree, if you have a reasonable doubt as to whether or not he's been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, you must give him the benefit of the doubt. And that's a fundamental tenant of our justice system. If you're in doubt about something, the defendant's entitled to the benefit of the doubt.

So, when you get back in the jury room, I'm going to make a request of you, and you can do this or not on do, because I don't have any right to tell you how to conduct your deliberations. But I'm going to ask you to perform a mental exercise, where you give the defendant the benefit of the very doubt that the defense lawyers are asking you to give. The defenses lawyers say that Greg Lewis is not believable. Fine. When you get back to the jury room, assume that Greg Lewis doesn't exist. Assume the defendant never made any statements to Greg Lewis.

And then make a second assumption. The defense wants you to assume that Celeste Palau was too far away to make a positive identification of the person she saw shooting on the balcony. I'll give you that one, too. When you get back there, assume that Celeste Palau is lying about her eyesight, and she really couldn't see the guy clearly and she couldn't make a positive

identification. And put those two things aside -- Greg
Lewis and Celeste Palau's eyesight. Resolve them in favor
of the defendant.

And then look at what's left, and ask yourself, "Isn't that without Greg Lewis and without Celeste Palau's positive identification, enough evidence to establish guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. And I'm going to suggest to you why it is. And why it is, is because you don't have to consider any witness's testimony, and you shouldn't consider any witness's testimony, in isolation. You consider the witnesses' testimony as it relates to the testimony of every other witness. And you add those testimonies and those observations together, to the extent that they strengthen one another, to support inferences.

And an inference is a conclusion you draw from a chain of evidence. It's like adding two plus two and getting the inevitable answer for it. And two plus two always equals four, whether a defendant wants it to or not. And three plus two always equals five. And the three plus two I want to talk to you about are the three non-police officer witnesses who were present when these shootings occurred and the two police officer witnesses.

And I'm going to suggest to you that what the evidence shows is that when you consider the testimony of those five witnesses together, it establishes the

defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, without Greg Lewis and without a positive identification of Celeste: Palau.

And here's what I mean: Lazon Jones testified that he's present in apartment 2068, and that he hears a couple of shots, runs out the door. His two friends run back in the door, and he run down the stairs.

At the same time, Celeste Palau, sitting over here on her balcony, looks over and sees two people running down the stairs. Now, we know at this point that those two people are Lazon Jones and Krissy Smith, because you heard from both of them; and you know that they're the two people that were running down the stairs. And Celeste Palau sees them both. And she's still looking at the balcony.

And now what she sees is a person come out on the balcony, fire a shot down, fire a shot a little further up, and then take a step or forward, and fire a third shot. And we know, from looking at all the rest of the evidence, that what she was witnessing was the execution of Jason Moore, who wound up dead in a pool of blood in the corner of the patio.

But the point is, she is watching the shooter, whoever the shooter is. And, remember, for the purpose of this little mental exercise, I'm asking you to assume she

can't identify him, she's too far away, she can't see him. But she sees the person doing the shooting. And she watches that person run down the stairs, run down this street, and turn this way. And while she's watching him, the police car is rounding the corner. And they literally, according to her testimony, she they almost hit each other. The police car pulls up here and stops, and the shooter, whoever is he, continues down this street.

Now, the important thing to remember about the testimony of the two police officers, is they testified that as they wound this corner they notice some people kind of milling around. And now we know who those people were; they were the people downstairs that Krissy Smith banged on their door and said, "Hey, there's shooting going on."

So, the police see this group, and now the police officers, as well as Celeste Palau are looking right at apartment 2068, to the extent that they even see one of these guys downstairs go halfway up the stairs, and then he peeks over and see's Jason Moore's body and starts back down. The point is, the police officer's fixed observation on 2068, make it impossible for anyone else to have exited that apartment. Celeste Palau's looking, they're looking, there's no way that anybody but that shooter, the person who goes down the street here, exited

the apartment. There's just no physical way for anyone else to have done it.

Now, how does that establish the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt? Well, here's how. What the detectives do after they make these observations, is they walk up the stairs and they slowly and painstakingly and with the assistance of other officers, seal that apartment and inspect every square inch of it, including those two locked bedrooms that the defense is so found of, and they find out there's nobody else there.

So, when you put the testimony of these five witnesses together, what you know is there were five people in and around this apartment. There were the three dead people, there was Lazon Jones, and there was Krissy Smith, and then there was the person who shot them. We know where the three dead people are, because they stay in apartment 2068. We know where Lazon and Krissy went, because Lazon goes to the 7-Eleven and Krissy goes right downstairs and stays there.

Now, we know where the shooter went, because he went that way. And what does that got to do with the defendant? Well, the defendant told the police he was there. Who else was that person that Celeste Palau saw leave? We know from five separate witnesses -- not just from Celeste Palau with her supposedly questionable

eyesight -- we know from five people that the only person who left that apartment and is unaccounted for is the shooter who goes down that street. And we know from the defendant's own admission that he was there and left the apartment. And that, from his very own mouth, makes him the shooter.

And the final thing I want to talk to you about is this idea of premeditation and deliberation and whether or not this is first degree murder. Lawyers make anything complicated, and premeditation and deliberation amount to knowingly making up your mind. And yet, by the time a lawyer gets through describing them, it sounds like you got to set down and make up a list, like your Christmas list. What are the reasons why I should kill Jason Moore? What are the reasons why I shouldn't kill Jason Moore. And do the reasons why I should kill him outweigh the reasons why I shouldn't. And then if you make that decision, that's premeditation and deliberation.

Of course that's what it is. But counsel says, "Well premeditation and deliberation and trying to figure out what's going on in the defendant's mind, that's theoretical nonsense." Is it really? I'm going to suggest to you that every one of you goes through a process of deliberation every single day, and that you went through it today. And you did so in a situation

where your life was at stake, and it took you a couple seconds. And you did it when you crossed the street at a traffic light.

Because think of what you do. You want to cross the street. You stop at the corner. You check to see if the light is for you or against you. Even in the light's for you -- unless you're from somewhere other than New York City, like I am -- you look left and right, just to make sure there isn't anything approaching the intersection who's disregarding the light. And if there are other cars approaching, we gauge their speed and direction, and you decide when it's safe for you to step of the curb and cross the street.

That's deliberation. You have weighed the options, you considered some inputs, and you made a decision. And if you're wrong, you're dead. You're going to be hit by a car and you're going to be injured or maybe die. Does it take you five minutes? Ten minutes? Thirty minutes to do that? It takes seconds. That's how long it take to consider a course of action, a potentially fatal course of action, to consider the consequences, and to decide what you're going to do, and do it. And that's how long it takes to premeditate and deliberate.

And, finally, counsel says that what went on in the apartment doesn't shed any light about that. He says

1 there was no thinking at all. The defendant wasn't 2 thinking throughout this entire incident. Oh, really? It 3 is about two minutes to midnight on the 26th. I'm the 4 defendant. I'm on the stairs outside apartment 2068. I 5 know somebody stole some dope of mine, or I believe they 6 I spent the afternoon with a couple of people that I 7 think did it, and I'm trying to warranted some information 8 out of them, and I haven't been able to. And, so, I've 9 gone and armed myself, and I'm back at the apartment where 10 the thieves are. Am I thinking yet? 11 I'm walking up the stairs to the apartment. 12 at the door. Am I thinking yet? 13 I walk through the door of the apartment. I say 14

I walk through the door of the apartment. I say
I have to use the bathroom, and I make a left turn into a
bedroom, which just coincidentally holds the youngest,
smallest most vulnerable occupant of the apartment. Am I
thinking yet?

I go into the room and I close the door behind, and I take out my gun. And I fire a shot either through the window or into the neck of this 14-year-old. Am I thinking yet?

I fire two more shots; one through the window and another into his neck. And I say, "Where's my stuff?" Am I thinking yet?

I walk out of the room and there are two

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	19-year-olds in the living room. I follow one of them
2	down the hall. I shoot him seven times. Slugs are
3	ripping into his body. Some of them are passing through a
4	grocery bag with toilet paper and paper towels, that he's
5	either hiding behind or maybe clutching to his chest to
6	try and save his life. Am I thinking yet?
7	After he's dead, I turn and I go to the door of
8	the apartment. Jason Moore crawls across the threshold
9	for his life. I stand over him. I fire a shot into his
10	back. Am I thinking yet?
11	He crawls a few feet. I shoot him again. Am I
12	thinking yet?
13	He crawls to the corner of the patio and I
14	execute him. Am I thinking yet?
15	First-degree, premeditated, deliberate murder.
16	Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kane.
18	Ladies and gentlemen, this is the time when you
19	will finally leave the courtroom without the admonishment;
20	because, indeed, this is the time when you will meet
21	together and you will go to your deliberation room and you
22	will discuss the case, you will discuss the evidence, and
23	you will ultimately reach a verdict on a verdict form that
24	has been provided for your convenience.
25	At this point, one of you is an alternate, and

you will be kept separate from the rest off the jurors during their deliberation. The fact that one of you has been chosen as an alternate does not mean that that one person is any less important than any of the others. I have had more trials than I care to count, where an alternate, even during deliberation, was called into service. So, the fact that an alternate remains a part of this process is very, very important.

I have also had a number of trials where an alternate or two alternates have been kept separate, and the jury has made it through their entire deliberation process without the alternate ever participating in the deliberation process. Either way, all of your service to this process, to this trial, to the State, to the defendant, all of your service is equally important. The system wouldn't work unless we had people like you to serve.

As we know, we lost one juror already during this process. So, if we didn't have extras, then the entire process would be tainted or certainly very negatively affected. So, while we identify one of you among you who are the alternate, please don't feel as if your time has not been important, because it has been.

When you go to deliberate, Mr. Bailiff will take a special oath. And, in fact, he will be sworn to keep

<u>.</u>	you separate from people who might influence your			
2	deliberation. And he can't talk to you himself. The only			
3	thing that he can do is to allow you an opportunity to use			
4	the restroom, if necessary, and/or to pass a note through			
5	him to me for one of the subjects, such as a readback or a			
6	question that you might have.			
7	When you finally reach your verdict, you will			
8	tell Mr. Bailiff when you have reached a verdict, and when			
9	you are ready to return to the courtroom with your			
10	verdict.			
11	With that in mind, Mr. Bailiff, would you raise			
12	your right hand, sir.			
13	(The clerk, administers the oath to the			
14	bailiff.)			
15	THE COURT: Ms. Clerk, would you identify the			
16	alternate.			
17	THE CLERK: It will be badge number or,			
18	actually, seat number 13, Ruth Hardy.			
19	THE COURT: And would you swear Mr. Bailiff to			
20	take appropriate care and custody of our alternate.			
21	In fact, we're going to have you swear my clerk,			
22	as well.			
23	Very well, we'll swear my law clerk, if you			
24	would, please.			
25	(The clerk administers the oath to Judge			

Saitta's law clerk.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you're going to go to the deliberation room. You're going to take your belongings, your notes, your notebooks with you. We will be creating copies of the jury instructions for you. And it is at this time that I thank you for your service. The hard part of your job is upcoming.

Mr. Bailiff.

(The jury exits the courtroom at 3:55 p.m., for the deliberation process.)

THE COURT: Miss Hardy, would you go with my law clerk, please?

THE ALTERNATE JUROR: Sure. Even though it is shortly before 4:00 o'clock, I'm going to have the jury begin the deliberations this afternoon. There's still adequate time for them to do so. I suspect that we'll have 15 or 20 minutes -- you know, taking a break or getting themselves settled in. But I know that Cliff has already collected from you all phone numbers where we can reach you. If you have not heard from us by 6:00 o'clock you can assume that we have excused the jury and they will be back tomorrow to consider their deliberations.

If we -- and what happens is, at about ten minutes before 6:00, Cliff will go in and he will tell them precisely this: "The Judge is going to dismiss you

1 at 6:00 o'clock." Now what's happened in the past, if they're close and they say, "No, we just need 15 more 2 3 minutes," we keep them. And we'll call you so you know 4 we're going to go past the 6:00 o'clock. But that's the only thing -- the only communications we will have with 5 6 them. 7 Thank you very much. MS. PANDUKHT: Could you remind us again about 8 9 the time you said for tomorrow or the next day? Is it 10 10:30? 11 THE COURT: I would 10:30 for tomorrow, yes. And we'll go as late as we need to tomorrow. 12 Well --13 Mr. O'Brien, your witnesses will be here 14 tomorrow, I presume? 15 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And if we need to -- well, if 17 we need two more witnesses, that is -- but this matter 18 will then be placed back on calendar, if the jury is still in deliberation, for Thursday morning at -- we're probably 19 20 going to bring them back earlier, at 8:00 o'clock. 21 MR. O'BRIEN: Tomorrow you're going to bring 22 them in at 8:00. THE COURT: They'll be here at 8:00 or 8:30. 23 MS. PANDUKHT: But on Thursday, it would also be 24 25 10:30?

1	THE COURT: No. Thursday will be 8:00 or 8:30.
2	Mr. Kane.
3	MR. KANE: If we're present evidence.
4	THE COURT: Correct.
5	MS. PANDUKHT: So, Thursday will be eight or
6	8:30?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MS. PANDUKHT: 10:30 only tomorrow.
9	THE COURT: Correct.
10	MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	(Recess taken at 4:10 p.m. for jury
13	deliberation. Court resumes at 6:48 p.m., and the jury
14	enters the courtroom.)
15	THE COURT: Please be seated. Welcome back.
16	Ladies and gentlemen, it is my understanding
17	that you have reached a verdict; is that correct?
18	THE JURORS: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Who's your foreperson?
20	THE CLERK: Number 12 is the foreperson.
21	THE COURT: Would you please hand your verdict
22	to my bailiff.
23	Ms. Clerk, would you read the verdict.
24	Mr. Budd, would you stand.
25	THE CLERK: The State of Nevada, Plaintiff,

1	versus Glenford Anthony Budd, Defendant, Case Number				
2	Cll Cl93182, Department Number 18. Verdict: "We, the				
3	jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant,				
4	Glenford Anthony Budd, as follows:				
5	"Count 1 Murder With Use Of A Deadly Weapon				
6	(Victim - Dajon Jones), Guilty of First Degree Murder With				
7	Use Of A Deadly Weapon.				
8	"Count 2 Murder With Use Of A Deadly Weapon				
9	(Victim - Derrick Jones), Guilty of First Degree Murder				
10	With Use Of A Deadly Weapon.				
11	"Count 3 Murder With Use Of A Deadly Weapon				
12	(Victim - Jason Moore), Guilty of First Degree Murder With				
13	Use Of A Deadly Weapon.				
14	"Dated this 13th day of December of 2005, signed				
15	by the Foreperson Rachel Goldner."				
16	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is				
17	this your verdict as read? So say you one, so say you				
18	all.				
19	THE JURORS: Yes.				
20	THE COURT: Would either side ask that the				
21	jurors be polled?				
22	MR. BROOKS: The defense does, Your Honor.				
23	THE COURT: Very well.				
24	THE CLERK: Juror Number 1, Warren Ikei, is this				
25	your verdict as read?				

1	JUROR NUMBER ONE: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Juror Number 2, Robert Garbaccio, is
3	this your verdict as read?
4	JUROR NUMBER TWO: Yes.
5	THE CLERK: Juror Number 3, Idona Huntt, is this
6	your verdict as read?
7	JUROR NUMBER THREE: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Juror Number 4, Sharon Kirby, is
9	this your verdict as read?
10	JUROR NUMBER FOUR: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Juror Number 5, Beverly Daisley, is
12	this your verdict as read?
13	JUROR NUMBER FIVE: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Juror Number 6, Wendy Schneider, is
15	this your verdict as read?
16	JUROR NUMBER SIX: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Juror Number 7, Vedajenne Wormely,
18	is this your verdict as read?
19	JUROR NUMBER SEVEN: Yes.
20	THE CLERK: Juror Number 8, Lydia Ablaza, is
21	this your verdict as read?
22	JUROR NUMBER EIGHT: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Juror Number 9, Paul Shubert, is
24	this your verdict as read?
25	JUROR NUMBER NINE: Yes.

1	THE CLERK: Juror Number 10, Keith Nelson, is
2	this your verdict as read?
3	JUROR NUMBER TEN: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Juror Number 11, Joseph DeMilliano,
5	is this your verdict as read?
6	JUROR NUMBER ELEVEN: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: And Juror Number 12, Rachel Goldner,
8	is this your verdict as read?
9	JUROR NUMBER TWELVE: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
11	This matter will have to go into the second
12	phase, what we refer to as the penalty phase.
13	I'm prepared to reconvene tomorrow at 10:30. Is
14	that going to be sufficient for the State? Are you
15	prepared to go forward?
16	MR. KANE: Yes, Your Honor.
17	MS. PANDUKHT: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant, are you
19	prepared
20	MR. O'BRIEN: May we approach, Your Honor?
21	THE COURT: Certainly.
22	(Side bar discussion held off the record.)
23	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we will
24	reconvene tomorrow at 10:30. I do want to be fair. When
25	I explain to you that we're going to try and start at

1	10:30, I do have a criminal calendar. It's a calendar
2	that is required it's a type of calendar, if you will,
3	that has required us to start in the afternoon, usually.
4	I'm going to do my very best to be prepared to start at
5	10:30 tomorrow. There may be a need for us to start a
6	little bit later than that, but I'll try to move things as
7	quickly as I am able tomorrow on my calendar, so that we
8	can start at 10:30.
9	If for some reason you are assembled and ready
10	to start at 10:30 and we aren't able to do, so please bear
11	in mind that it is not the fault of these attorneys; but,
12	instead, it is because of my schedule. So, just so you
13	understand that.
14	Counsel, would you please approach one more
15	time, briefly.
16	(Side bar discussion held off the record.)
17	THE COURT: (The Court admonishes the jury for
18	the evening recess.) See you tomorrow at 10:30. Thank
19	you very much.
20	THE COURT: Where is the C.O.? Could you
21	approach, and would counsel approach as well.
22	(The Jury leaves the courtroom for the evening
23	recess, and court adjourned at 6:53 p.m.)
24	* * * *
25	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true,

accurate and complete transcription of my stenographic

notes taken at the time of the aforementioned trial

JEAN M. DAHLBERG, RPR, CCR 759, CSR 11715

Dated: December 14, 2005

proceedings.

PRESTIGE COURT REPORTING (702) 898-7676

113

25

By Mr. Kane:

10 14 05	a		
12-14-05,	State	ν.	, the state of the

		12-14-05, Stat	e v.	id	3
1		EXHIB	ITS		
2	STATE'S	<u>M</u>	irk'd	<u>Idnt'd</u>	Admt'd
3	Proposed	Exhibits 51 - 53			35
4	Proposed	Exhibits 54 - 56			63
5	Proposed	Exhibits 57 -59			47
6	Proposed	Exhibits 60 - 66			54
7	Proposed	Exhibit 67			64
8					
9					
10					
11	DEFENSE				
12	Proposed	Exhibits E - M			90
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

PRESTIGE COURT REPORTING (702) 898-7676

25



1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, DECEMBER 14, 2005, 11:30 A.M.

THE COURT: I understand there are some matters out of the presence.

MR. BROOKS: Yes, there are, judge.

Howard Brooks on behalf of Mr. Glenford Budd.

There are five issues I would like to address

briefly. The first is, I would like to make a

record as how I perceive what happened last night.

And perhaps the Court can then augment and clarify

what I don't know, because I don't know the full

story, but I want to make sure the record reflects

what I think occurred in terms of resolution of

this case.

At approximately 5:35 I received a telephone message from your secretary saying that the Court wanted to have a conference. There was a conference at approximately 5:38 with Ed Kane on the telephone, myself on the telephone, Tim O'Brien on the telephone, and the Court on the telephone. I believe at that time we were informed that there had been two notes from the jury. One note said the jury wanted to, they wanted to know what time they were going to be released that evening. The second note, I

believe, said that, that they had some obligations after 6:00 o'clock that needed to be taken care of. At that time the Court informed us that the jury would be excused at approximately 5:40. I left my office at approximately 5:40, and within five minutes I received a phone call from the Court's secretary saying that the jury had apparently reached a verdict. And that was confirmed at 6:00 o'clock.

If there is anything, I'm not sure if it was actually instruction by the Court to the jury, if the Court actually met with the jury or not.

first note came at -- and I can't tell you what time. I trust your time frame with respect to our conference is accurate -- probably 15 minutes before our phone conference. I first received a note from the jurors indicating that they wanted to know what time they could be excused. I didn't even call you on that, because I simply sent the note back to them with my bailiff instructed to tell them, quite simply, keep deliberating. When the second note came, that actually fully explained that some of them had obligations outside of the Court. At that time I called you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all, and it was my intention at that point to bring the jury, as I told you, bring the jury back into the courtroom, advise them they could be excused for the evening but that they should be prepared to come back and deliberate today.

Between the time that that oral message was being conveyed from me to Mr. Bailiff, who then walked, you know how close it is now. jury room is literally three doors away. They, as he walked back into the room to tell them they were going to be excused, they put up their hand immediately, several of them -- and I don't care if you polled them if you'd like -- and said, we're almost at a verdict. So, no other explanation was ever given to them. He just came back, and he told me they were going to reach a verdict. And at that point they said, give us a few more minutes, you know. Give us a few more minutes. We think we got a verdict. It was a good, at least 20 to 25 minutes before I actually knew that they had a verdict. So, it was an additional, you know, period of time.

MR. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you, judge.

THE COURT: Sure. Anything else? You

said five items.

12-14-05, State v. 1 MR. BROOKS: Issue two, I can't recall if 2 I mentioned this on the record or not yesterday. 3 I might have said at some point that I wanted to enter in all the volume numbers and the transcript 4 5 numbers for the purposes of appeal. I can't recall if I actually said that on the record or 6 7 not. I've reviewed the transcripts we were 8 provided so far. The case and the volume numbers 9 are on all of the documents. So, any 10 clarification is unnecessary. 11 THE COURT: You were concerned about the 12 date of Friday, as I recall. 13 MR. BROOKS: That was one issue that we 14 had discussed, but I was, I had also mentioned 15 somewhere or another -- I'm not sure if it was on 16 the record or not -- that I was concerned that 17 perhaps some transcripts had volume numbers and 18 some did not. They all do. And the volume 19 numbers make sense, and it's completely 20 understandable. 21 THE COURT: Very good. 22 MR. BROOKS: Issue three, judge, Mr. Kane

MR. BROOKS: Issue three, judge, Mr. Kane and I have discussed this. I believe that we're both agreed that the exclusionary rule is relaxed during penalty proceedings and that members of the

23

24

25

1	victim's family and also my client's family may be
2	present in the courtroom during the proceedings.
3	MR. KANE: Correct, your Honor.
4	The COURT: That's correct.
5	MR. BROOKS: Mr. Kane and I also
6	discussed the issue of leading questions with
7	regard to the penalty proceeding, and I think we
8	both agree that we would like the Court's
9	permission to lead witnesses in order to keep
10	control of certain witnesses and make sure that
11	their testimony is more on point.
12	THE COURT: It is appropriate under the
13	circumstances. It is, in my mind, a wise thing
14	for each of you to do, and I appreciate the
15	agreement.
16	MR. BROOKS: Judge, this next matter is,
17	I mean, arguably can be considered almost
18	preliminary to settling jury instructions.
19	Mr. Kane has provided to me his proposed jury
20	instructions. I have reviewed those instructions,
21	and at this point in time I propose the change to
22	one instruction, which Mr. Kane has agreed to and
23	which he's going to revise. We do have a
-	

is at a disadvantage. I don't think the Court has

disagreement on one issue. And I know the Court

24

25

THE COURT: I do not.

MR. BROOKS:

walk forward, Mr. Kane will walk forward and provide a copy. The issue which we disagree on involves the instruction but both an instruction and a verdict. And I'm going to summarize what the instruction says first. The instruction says, murder of the first degree may be mitigated by any of the following circumstances, even though the mitigating circumstance is not sufficient to constitute a defense or reduce the degree of the crime. The list then is the statutory list of mitigating instructions, which Mr. Kane and I have both agreed we will delete certain mitigators we

Do not? Okay. I'm going to

THE COURT: So, I will confine this instruction, then, to those mitigators that more accurately encompass this case.

do not feel are necessarily relevant to this case.

MR. BROOKS: Correct, and the evidence to be presented at the penalty phase. Mr. Kane also does not oppose our including in the list certain proposed mitigating circumstances that are not statutory. And that would include, for example, the defendant's diminished intelligence, which he

id

1 does not oppose.

Our disagreement, then, comes on the next listing of a proposed mitigator. My intent would be that we list one mitigator that says the impact of the defendant's execution on his mother, another one that says the impact of the defendant's execution on his grandmother, another one that says the impact of the defendant's execution on his brother, another one that says the impact of the defendant's execution on his sister, Charmaine, another one that lists his sister, Angel, and another one that says friends and loved one. Mr. Kane would prefer to have that be listed as one mitigating circumstance listing all of those individuals in the, in the one mitigating circumstance.

So, that's, that's where we disagree.

And we're going to move that we be allowed to have them listed as individual mitigators since any one of those circumstances may be considered separately as a mitigating circumstance.

MR. KANE: I guess we're taking that up now, because it's our only disagreement, and if we can resolve it, my feeling is just that it's adequately covered by saying to the jury, one of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

the mitigating circumstances that you may consider
is the impact of his death by execution on his
family, friends, loved ones, anyone else. I think
that can adequately be covered in one. The
defense is certainly free to argue he's got
separate relatives and friends and all of their,
all of the impacts on all of those people need to
be considered, but the mitigating circumstance is
really the affect on everyone. You could multiply
that by a million and say the impact of his
execution on anyone who's ever known him, taught
him in school, palled around with him, played
basketball with him. There would be no end to
them once you start separating them out. I think
it's adequately covered simply by saying a
mitigating circumstance you may consider is the
impact of his death by execution on his family,
friends, and loved ones.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Brooks?

MR. BROOKS: We'll submit that, judge.

THE COURT: You can present this mitigator in the following manner: You may identify as a mitigator the impact of that execution upon family members, including, and then you may name them. And then the next line, that

	12 14 03, State V. Gd 12
1	all in one sentence. Then the next one is other
2	friends, family members, or loved ones.
3	MR. BROOKS: So, it would be two, two
4	listed mitigators, one for family and one for
5	non-family?
6	THE COURT: Yes, but I'm also allowing
7	you to specifically and personally identify each
8	of those family members.
9	MR. KANE: And then in the second one,
10	judge, we'll consider, we'll include other family,
11	friends, and loved ones just in case there are
12	family members that aren't listed.
13	THE COURT: Correct.
14	MR. BROOKS: Can the record reflect that
15	when we stipulate to the instructions as Mr. Kane
16	will bring them back, that that would not waive
17	our objection on that particular issue?
18	THE COURT: Absolutely not.
19	MR. BROOKS: Okay. Judge, the final
20	issue is simply the scheduling issue.
21	The COURT: That's number six.
22	MR. BROOKS: I know. And I miscounted.
23	THE COURT: I wanted you to know I was
24	keeping track.
25	MR. BROOKS: Here's my understanding of

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GLENFORD A BUDD,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA
Respondent.

Supreme Court No.:

District Court Case No.: 03C193182
Electronically Filed
Nov 10 2014 09:38 a.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

## <u>APPELLANT'S APPENDIX – VOLUME VIII – PAGES 1509-1776</u>

MATTHEW D. CARLING 51 East 400 North, Bldg. #1 Cedar City, Utah 84720 (702) 419-7330 (Office) Attorney for Appellant

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Counsel for Respondent

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
Counsel for Respondent

- 1 -

## INDEX Budd, Glenford

2		
3	Document	Page No.
	Affidavit in Support of Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed on	
4	05/01/2007	2568-2572
5	Amended Notice of Evidence in Aggravation filed on 11/18/2005	412-415
3	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty filed on 10/08/2004	387-389
6	Case Appeal Statement filed on 01/25/2008	2828-2829
_	Case Appeal Statement filed on 03/23/2006	2514-2516
7	Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/13/2007	2614-2615
8	Certificate of Facsimile Transmission filed on 07/28/2003	101-104
	Clark County Public Defender's Response to Glenford Budd's Motion to	
9	Hold Clark Count Public Defender in Contempt filed on 07/12/2007	2592-2594
10	Clark County Public Defenders Notice of Qualification Pursuant to	
10	Supreme Court Rule 250(2) (g) and (h)	280-283
11	Clerk's Certificate Appeal Dismissed filed on 10/05/2007	2792-2796
	Clerk's Certificate Judgment Affirmed filed on 02/08/2007	2560-2567
12	Clerk's Certificate Judgment Reversed and Remanded filed on	
13	10/23/2009	2830-2836
13	Criminal Bindover filed on 06/26/2003	1-23
14	Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case filed on 09/03/2014	3039
	Defendant's Motion to Vacate and Continue Trail Date filed on	A. Landon Control of the Control of
15	01/27/2004	132-135
16	Defendants Amended Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed on 12/01/2005	423-426
17	Defendants Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed	<u> </u>
*	on 11/21/2005	416-420
18	Financial Certificate filed on 05/01/2007	2582
19	Financial Certificate filed on 09/21/2007	2616
19	Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 01/07/2008	2808-2815
20	Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 10/17/2014	3091-3103
	First Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Post Conviction	
21	filed on 05/23/2013	2847-2915
22	Fourth Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post	
سديد	Conviction) filed on 12/26/2013	3000-3036
23	Information filed on 06/26/2003	24-26
	Instructions to the Jury (Instructions No. 1) filed on 12/16/2005	1741-1761
24	Instructions to the Jury filed on 12/13/2005	1482-1506
25	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed on 03/01/2006	2011-2012
	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed	
26	on 01/28/204	236-137
27	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed on 01/31/2006	2009
28	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed	au V V
20	Treata request and order for camera recess to court i foccodings filed	

Ì		
1	on 01/31/2006	2010
2	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Room filed on 09/28/2005	411
3	Media Request to Permit Camera Access To Proceedings filed on 07/03/2003	27
4	Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 09/21/2007	2750-2785
5	Memorandum Regarding Petitioner's Exhibits (In Camera Review) filed on 12/12/2013	2990-2992
6   7	Motion 1: Defendant Budd's Motion in Limine for Order Prohibiting	6.77U - 6.77 les
8	Prosecution Misconduct in Argument; and for Order that Court Takes  Judicial Notice of Authority Cited in This Motion if Defense Objects at	120 220
9	Trial to Improper Argument filed on 09/14/2004  Motion 10: Defendant Budd's Motion in Limine to Prohibit any	138-230
10	Reference in Front of the Jury to the Trial Phase of the Proceedings as the "Guilt Phase" filed on 09/14/2004	276-279
11	Motion 11: Defendant Budd's Motion to Strike Allegations of Certain Aggravating Circumstances Alleged in State's Notice of Intent to Seek	
12	Death Penalty filed on 10/04/2004  Motion 12: Defendant Budd's Motion to Preclude the Admission During a	374-382
13	Possible Penalty Proceeding of Evidence about the Personal Character of the Victims and the Impact of the Victims' Deaths on the Family filed on	
14	10/04/2004  Motion 13: Defendant Budd's Motion to Bar the Admission of	347-352
15 16	Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process Law filed on 10/04/2004	369-373
17	Motion 14: Defendant Budd's Motion to Dismiss State Notice of Intent Because Nevada's Death Penalty Scheme Violates Due Process	307-313
18	Guarantees by Failing to Require a Pre-Trial Finding of Probable Casue for Alleged Aggravators filed on 10/04/2004	353-368
19	Motion 2: Defendant Budd's Motion for Exchange of Jury Instructions on	
20	the First Day of Trial filed on 09/14/2004  Motion 3: Defendant Budd's Motion for Recording of All Proceedings  Proposition Symptoms County Professional Proceedings	231-233
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 250 filed on 09/14/2004  Motion 4: Defendant Budd's Motion to Disqualify all Potential Jurors	234-237
23	who knew or were Acquainted with the Victims or Their Families filed on 09/14/2004	238-242
24	Motion 5: Defendant Budd's Motion to Disqualify all Potential Jurors Who Would Automatically Vote for the Death Penalty in the Event of a	
25	First Degree murder Conviction filed on 09/14/2004  Motion 6: Defendant Budd's Motion in Limine to Prohibit the State from	263-266
26	Using Preemptory Challenges to Remove Minorities from Jury filed on 09/14/2004	243-247
27	Motion 7: Defendant Budd's Motion to Bifurcate Penalty Phase Proceedings filed on 09/14/2004	248-255
28	1 Toccounige from off 07/17/2007	1 4 70 - 433

1	Motion 8: Defendant Budd's Motion to Allow the Defense to Argue Last	256 262
2	in a Potential Penalty Phase Proceeding filed on 09/14/2004	256-262
	Motion 9: Defendant Budd's Motion for Jury Questionnaire to be Completed by Jure Venire one Week Prior to Trial filed on 09/14/2004	267-275
3	Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed on 05/01/2007	2573-2574
4	Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed on 09/21/2007	2786-2790
	Motion for Rehearing filed on 08/10/2007	2598-2613
5	Motion for Withdrawal of Attorney of Record or in the Alternative,	2576 2015
6	Request for Records/ Court Case Documents filed on 05/01/2007	2575-2581
١	Motion to Hold Howard S Brooks, Attorney of Record in Contempt for	
7	Filing to Forward a Copy of the Case File filed on 07/05/2007	2583-2591
8	Motion to Withdraw as Petitioner's Attorney filed on 09/13/2012	2840-2843
0	Notice of Appeal filed on 01/23/2008	2825-2827
9	Notice of Appeal filed on 03/23/2006	2517-2519
10	Notice of Appeal filed on 08/10/2007	2595-2597
10	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order filed on 01/08/2008	2816-2824
11	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed	3104-3117
	on 10/20/2014	
12	Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances filed on	
13	10/08/2004	390-391
10	Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 09/28/2004	312-344
14	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty filed on 07/25/2003	99-100
1.5	Notice of Witnesses filed on 09/28/2004	345-346
15	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 09/27/2007	2791
16	Order for Production of Inmate Glenford Anthony Budd filed on	
	11/25/2009	2838-2839
17	Order for Production of Inmate Glenford Anthony Budd filed on	2009 2000
18	12/23/2013	2998-2999
	Order for Production of Inmate Greg Lewis, BAC #82483 filed on 11/28/2005	421-422
19	Order for Transcript filed on 03/20/2006	2513
20	Order for Transcript filed on 09/23/2014	3040
۷ ا	Order Granting State's Request for All Thirty-Three (33) Pages of Public	JU"tV
21	Defender Brooks' Case Notes filed on 01/10/2014	3037-3038
22	Order of Appointment filed on 11/05/2012	2844
22	Order Re: Custody of Material Witness Greg Lewis filed on 04/11/2006	2520-2521
23	Order Re: Custody of Material Witness Greg Lewis ID filed on	1 220 2321
	12/15/2005	1507-1508
24	Order Setting Hearing Appointment of Counsel Re: Supreme Court	
25	Remand filed on 10/29/2009	2837
	Penalty Verdict Count 1 filed on 12/16/2005	1739
26	Penalty Verdict Count 2 filed on 12/16/2005	1740
27	Penalty Verdict Count 3 filed on 12/16/2005	1738
<i> i</i>	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Post Conviction filed on 09/21/2007	2709-2749
28		
1		

1	Petitioner's Reply Brief to the State's Response to the Defendant's	
2	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Post Conviction filed on 11/20/2013	2959-2985
~	Petitioners Exhibits in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Post	
3	Conviction filed on 09/21/2007	2622-2708
	Request for Evidentiary Hearing filed on 09/21/2007	2617-2621
4	Second Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Post Conviction	***************************************
5	filed on 10/25/2013	2919-2927
	Special Verdict (Aggravating Circumstance) filed on 12/16/2005	1737
6	Special Verdict (Mitigating Circumstances) filed on 12/16/2005	1735-1736
7	State's Response to Defendant's Memorandum Regarding Petitioner's	
7	Exhibits (In Camera Review) filed on 12/17/2013	2993-2997
8	State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post	
	Conviction) and First Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	
9	filed on 11/06/2013	2928-2958
10	States Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Bar the Admission of	
10	Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process	400 403
11	Clause filed on 10/12/2004	400-403
10	States Opposition to Defendants Motion for Jury Questionnaire to be	200 211
12	Completed by Jure Venire One Week Prior to Trial filed on 09/22/2004	308-311
13	States Opposition to Defendants Motion for Recording of all Proceedings	201 202
	Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 250 filed on 09/21/2004	291-293
14	States Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine for Order Prohibiting	
15	Prosecution Misconduct in Argument; and for Order that Court Takes	
13	Judicial Notice of Authority Cited in this Motion if Defense Objects at	284-287
16	Trial to Improper Argument filed on 09/21/2004  States Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Prohibit any	204-207
	Reference in Front of the Jury to the Trial Phase of the Proceedings as the	
17	"Guilt Phase" filed on 09/21/2004	297-299
18	States Opposition to Defendants Motion in Limine to Prohibit the State	hall-tall
İ	from Using Peremptory Challenges to Remove Minorities from the Jury	
19	to filed on 10/06/2004	383-386
20	States Opposition to Defendants Motion to Allow the Defense to Argue	505 500
20	Last in a Potential Penalty Phase Proceeding filed on 09/21/2004	288-290
21	States Opposition to Defendants Motion to Bifurcate Penalty Phase filed	
	on 09/21/2004	304-307
22	States Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss the State's Notice of	
23	Intent because Nevada's Death Penalty Scheme Violates Due Process	a proposition of the second se
	Guarantees by Failing to Require a Pre-Trail Finding of Probable Cause	
24	for Alleged Aggravators filed on 10/14/2004	404-410
25	States Opposition to Defendants Motion to Disqualify all Potential Jurors	
25	who Knew or were Acquainted with the Victim's or Their Families filed	AndreanAndrean
26	on 09/21/2004	294-296
	States Opposition to Defendants Motion to Disqualify all Potential Jurors	
27	who would Automatically Vote for the Death Penalty in the Event of a	- The second sec
28	First Degree Murder Conviction filed on 09/21/2004	300-303
40		

		į
1	States Opposition to Defendants Motion to Preclude the Introduction of	
2	Victim Impact Evidence Pertaining to Victim and Family Members Characterizations filed on 10/12/2004	396-399
3	States Response to Defendant Budd's Motion to Strike Allegations of	
4	Certain Aggravating Circumstances Alleged in States Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty filed on 10/12/2004	392-395
5	States Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Post	
6	Conviction filed on 11/27/2007 Stipulation and Order Extending Time filed on 07/23/2013	2797-2807 2916-2918
U	Stipulation filed on 12/12/2005	1299
7	Stipulation to Enlarge Briefing schedule and Order filed on 03/29/2013	2845-2846
	Third Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction)	
8	filed on 12/12/2013	2986-2989
9	Verdict filed on 12/13/2005	1300-1301
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
}		
21		
22		
23		
24		

## **TRANSCRIPTS**

Document	Page No
Transcript – All Pending Motions filed on 05/11/2004	2558-2559
Transcript – Arraignment filed on 10/27/2003	127-131
Transcript - Calendar Call Status Check: Reset Motions filed on	2522-2524
5 04/20/2004 Transcript – Defendant's Motion to Vacate and Continue Trial Date filed	2541-2543
6    on 04/20/2004	
7 Transcript – Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus – Post Conviction filed on 09/26/2014	3041-3090
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 1 filed on 12/06/2005	443-653
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 2 filed on 12/08/2005	654-814
9 Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 3-A filed on 12/09/2005	815-941
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 3-B filed on 12/09/2005  Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 4 filed on 03/07/2004	942-1100
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 4 filed on 03/07/2004	2341-2512
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 4 filed on 12/12/2005	1101-1298
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 5 filed on 03/07/2006	2013-2192
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 5 filed on 12/13/2005	1302-1481
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 6 filed on 12/15/2005	159-1602
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 7 filed on 12/15/2005	1603-1734
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 8-B filed on 03/07/2006	2193-2340
Transcript – Jury Trial Volume 8-B filed on 12/23/2005	1861-2008
Transcript – Motions #1 to #14 filed on 04/20/2004	2528-2530
Transcript – Motions #1 to #14 filed on 04/20/2004	2536-2540
Transcript – Motions #1 to #14 filed on 04/20/2004	2547-2550
7 Transcript – Penalty Phase filed on 12/20/2005	1777-1860
Transcript Pre Trial Motions filed on 12/02/2005	427-442
Transcript – Preliminary Hearing filed on 07/07/2003	28-98
Transcript – Preliminary Hearing Volume II filed on 08/08/2003	105-126
Transcript – Sentencing filed on 04/20/2004	2551-2557
Transcript – States Request to Reset Trial Date filed on 04/20/2004	2531-2533
Transprint Status Chaple (Witness) filed on 04/20/2004	2534-2535
Transcript – Status Check (Witness) filed on 04/20/2004  Transcript – Status Check filed on 04/20/2004	2525-2527
Transcript – Status Check filed on 4/20/2004	2544-2546
Transcript – Telephonic Hearing Re: Post Trial Jury Questions filed on 12/19/2005	1771-1776
Transcript – Verdict filed on 12/19/2005	1762-1770
5	
5	

- 7 -

27

1	INDEX
2	
3	JURY INSTRUCTIONS: Page 11
4	
5	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE STATE (Ms. Pandukht): Page 26
6	
7	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE DEFENSE (Mr. Brooks): Page 47
8	
9	REBUITAL ARGUMENT BY THE STATE (Mr. Kane): Page 65
10	
11	VERDICT: Page 89
12	
13	JURY POLL: Page 90
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	·
2 <del>4</del> 25	

1	LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
2	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2005; 1:36 P.M.
3	-000~
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	THE COURT: This is an opportunity. We've got
6	everybody, except do you want to proceed without the
7	defendant?
8	MR. BROOKS: I guess we should probably have him
9	here. I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: I did just see the C.O. I thought
11	maybe
12	MR. KANE: He probably brought the defendant
13	here.
14	THE COURT: Yeah. Hold on. Let's see if he's
15	here.
16	(Brief pause in proceedings.)
17	THE REPORTER: Mr. Brooks, did you want this on
18	the record?
19	MR. BROOKS: Yes, please.
20	THE COURT: We're ready.
21	MR. BROOKS: Judge, I don't know if a record
22	needs to be made on this, but I want to make sure the
23	record's clear. Yesterday, when we were in court, the
24	court reporter we were discussing the transcript. And,
25	apparently, there's an error in the heading on the

December 9th transcript. It was labeled as Friday,

December 8th, and I want to make certain that it is clear

on the record that there was a transcript filed on Friday,

December 9th, which was labeled Friday, December 8th.

8.

THE COURT: And that correction will be noted for the record.

MR. BROOKS: And I'm not sure, but I think that we may have made a record -- may have made a lineation on the actual document with the court.

THE COURT: If there is, I'm sure that my clerk did. I'm glad that you did.

MR. BROOKS: Second issue, Judge, is during opening statements, Mr. Kane -- at page 53 and 54 of the transcript on Friday, December -- I'm sorry, Thursday, December 8th -- said that, "say we presume testimony of Tracey Richards," and Mr. Kane explained what she would say if she testified.

And he said that she would testify that she picked Glenford up, took him to her home in Henderson, Mr. Glenford Budd spent the night there. In the morning, they got up and Glenford told Tracey that he had had a dream, and in this dream he dreamed that he killed three killed people offer some marijuana. No such evidence was actually presented by the State during trial. Tracey Richards did not testify.

Under these circumstances, Judge, the jury has been exposed to the State making factual statements not supported by the record, statements of a highly inculpatory and prejudicial nature. Therefore, because this caused us due process, we ask for a mistrial.

THE COURT: Mr. Kane, do you wish to be heard?

MR. KANE: Judge, we had contacted and served

Tracey prior to trial. Throughout the trial she was in

phone contact with my investigator, and on several

occasions promised to come to court, and never did.

As the trial approached its close, I was faced with a couple of choices: One was, of course, to get an arrest warrant and go out and pick her up; one was to lay a foundation for her unavailability and read her testimony into the record -- as we already did that with Mr. Budd and as he testified both as to admissions by the defendant, the defendant's changed appearance and his preparations for flight -- I deemed it not necessary to go to those lengths to get her testimony into the record.

So, I made a choice not to call her and not to have a warrant issued and go out and have her picked up or read her testimony into the record.

If the Court feels that any curative action is necessary, I suggest one of two on alternatives. We can either into a stipulation on the record that Tracey

1	Richards was unavailable as a witness, or I can move to
2	reopen the case; if Mr. Brooks is so concerned about it,
3	I'll laid a foundation for her unavailability and we will
4	read her preliminary hearing testimony into the record.
5	Whichever make the defendant happy.
6	THE COURT: Did the preliminary injunction
7	hearing contain, in fact, the information the testimony
8	from the preliminary hearing contain the information
9	that you advised the jury that her testimony would elicit?
10	MR. KANE: It did, Your Honor, and I confirmed
11	that both by reading it and consultation with
12	Ms. Pandukht, who was present at the preliminary hearing.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Brooks.
14	MR. BROOKS: Judge, I will simply say that what
15	I desire, as far as a remedy, is that the defense well,
16	I've asked for a mistrial. If the Court is not inclined
17	to grant a mistrial, then I would ask that the defense be
18	allowed to comment in the closing argument that the State
19	mentioned this evidence and the State did not present the
20	evidence.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Kane, do you many wish to be
22	heard on that request?
23	MR. KANE: As long as I can comment the response
24	that the witness was unavailable.

THE COURT: It is what it is. I think you both

can make those comments within the law motion. 1 2 The mistrial -- motion for mistrial is denied. 3 You certainly may both make those comments. And let me clear up the record, in saying that 4 5 although we began these outside the presence --6 proceedings -- as the defendant was just coming in from 7 the lockup area, he was present during all of these opening arguments, as were all counsel. 8 And we'll get a check whether or not we have a 9 full jury, and then I'll and back. 10 11 Mr. O'Brien? 12 MR. O'BRIEN: May we discuss something off the 13 record? It doesn't need to be on the record. 14 THE COURT: Certainly. 15 (Brief discussion held off the record.) 16 MR. KANE: I just have two quick things that I'd 17 like to put on the record, as long as they're not here. 18 THE COURT: Certainly. 19 MR. KANE: One, has to do with Celeste Palau, 20 testified at trial. I discussed this matter with 21 Mr. Brooks, and here's the situation: At the time that 22 Celeste Palau first came forward, she asked us for some 23 help in relocating her. She didn't necessarily want to 24 still be at the Saratoga Palms. We said we'd help her. 25 It turned out that the same landlord had an available

apartment at another location and, so, it would have cost us \$30.

When we were interviewing her in preparation for this trial, she let us know that in the last few weeks she had a series of incidents -- kids calling her snitch lady in the street, coming home and finding her door unlocked; things that made her nervous but things that -- I'm not trying to attribute to the defendant and there's certainly no connection with the defendant.

Because of those things she asked me if we'd be willing to help her out with limited funds for relocation once the trial was over. Our budget for those things is ordinarily about \$300. And I told her that we would do that.

I forgot to mention these things to Mr. Brooks prior to her testimony; but after she testified and while the trial was still going on, I let Mr. Brooks know about them, because they are arguably inducements to the witness. I believe that Mr. Brooks, based on his conversations with me, made the tactical decision that there was no point in bringing that out in front of the jury, since there is no evidence connecting these activities with the defendant, and since the amounts of money already paid to her or to be paid to her are pretty small to have influenced her testimony.

1 So, again, I'm just making a record on it, and 2 there's no action required by the Court. 3 THE COURT: Mr. O'Brien or Mr. Brooks, do you want to be heard? 4 5 MR. BROOKS: Nothing that's coming, Judge. 6 THE COURT: Very well. 7 MR. KANE: And the other thing is that I mentioned to you yesterday that we had some concerns about 8 Greg Lewis. That letter that was written and it's 9 10 dissemination within the prison system. Because of that, 11 and my conversation with the jail, which lead me to believe that he'll be shipped out immediately absent an 12 13 order of the Court. 14 I've prepared an order for the Court asking that 15 he be kept here at the Clark County Detention Center until 16 a date in January that's convenient to the court, and then we'll have a status check and I'll let the Court know what 17 18 we've been able to come up with. THE COURT: We discussed that action here at the 19 20 bench, as I recall. And I think that's appropriate. 21 Is there anything from the defense? 22 MR. BROOKS: No. 23 THE COURT: Very well. 24 Will you prepare the order and submit it over to 25 me?

1	MR. KANE: Will do. Thank you, Judge.
2	
	THE COURT: How are we on really?
3	Okay. Give me two seconds, and I'll be right
4	back.
5	(Jury enters courtroom.)
6	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated.
7	Welcome back.
8	MR. O'BRIEN: My apologies. Let me just call
9	Mr. Brooks. He's in the hallway.
10	THE COURT: Certainly.
11	(Brief pause in proceedings.)
12	THE COURT: Let the record reflect that we are
13	again present in the matter of the State of Nevada versus
14	Glenford Budd, Case Number C193182.
15	All parties and counsel are present.
16	Will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?
17	MR. KANE: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MR. BROOKS: Defense will, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Very well.
20	Ladies and gentlemen, as I told you last
21	evening, this is the time when I will instruct you on the
22	law. And our attorneys, if they choose, will make closing
23	arguments.
24	I want to remind you that anything our attorneys
25	say in closing argument is not evidence. The evidence is

that which you have seen and heard in this courtroom and that which you may have in the back in your jury deliberation room, with you, certain things that have been admitted into evidence.

With that, I will begin.

Instruction Number 1: "It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this case. It is your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts as you find them from the evidence.

"You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instruction. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given in the instructions of the Court."

Number 2: "If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

"The order in which the instructions are given

1 has no significance as to their relative importance." 2 Number Three: "An Information is but a formal 3 method of accusing a person of a crime and is not of itself any evidence of his guilt. 4 5 "In this case, it is charged in an Information 6 that or between May 26 and May 27, 2003, the defendant committed the offense of Murder with Use of a Deadly 7 8 Weapon" which is a "felony; in that 9 "Count 1 -- He did then and there willfully, 10 feloniously, without authority of law, and with 11 premeditation and deliberation, and with malice 12 aforethought, kill Dajon Jones, a human being, by shooting 13 at and into the body of said Dajon Jones, with a deadly weapon, to whit: a firearm. 14 15 "Count 2 -- He did then and there willfully, 16 feloniously, without authority of law, and with 17 premeditation and deliberation, and with malice 18 aforethought, kill Derrick Jones, a human being, by 19 shooting at and into the body of the said Derrick Jones, 20 with a deadly weapon, to whit: a firearm. 21 Count 3 (sic) -- He did then and there 22 willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with 23 premeditation and deliberation, and with malice

at and into the body of the said Jason Moore, with a

24

25

aforethought, kill Jason Moore, a human being, by shooting

deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm."

Number 4: "In this case the defendant is accused in an Information alleging an open charge of murder. This charge may include murder of the first degree or murder of the degree or voluntary manslaughter.

"The jury must decide if the defendant is guilty of any offense and, if so, of which offense."

Number 5: "Murder is the unlawful killing of a human being, with malice aforethought, either express or implied."

Number 6: "Malice aforethought mens the intentional doing of a wrongful act without legal cause or excuse or what the law considers adequate provocation. The condition of mind described as malice aforethought may arise, from anger, hatred, revenge or from particular ill will, spite or grudge toward the person killed. It may also arise from any unjustifiable or unlawful motive or purpose to injure another, or with reckless disregard of consequences and social duty. Malice aforethought does not imply deliberation or the lapse of any considerable time between the malicious intention to injure another and the actual execution of the intent but denotes an unlawful purpose and design as opposed to accident and mischance.

"Express malice is that deliberate intention unlawfully to take away the life of a human being, which

1 is manifested by external circumstances capable of proof. 2 "Malice maybe implied when no considerable provocation appears, or when all the circumstances of the 3 killing show an abandoned and malignant heart." 4 "Murder of the first degree is murder Number 7: 5 6 which is perpetrated by means of any kind of willful, 7 deliberate, and premeditated killing. All three elements -- willfulness, deliberation, and 8 9 premeditation -- must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt 10 before an accused can be convicted of first-degree murder. "Willfulness is the intent to kill. There need 11 12 be no appreciable space of time between formation of the 13 intent to kill and the act of killing. 14 "Deliberation is the process of determining upon 15 a course of action to kill as a result of thought, 16 including weighing the reasons for and against the action 17 and considering the consequences of the actions. 18 "A deliberate determination may be arrived at in 19 a short period of time. But in all cases the 20 determination must not be formed in passion, or if formed 21 in passion, it must be carried out after there has been 22 time for the passion to subside and deliberation to occur. 23 A mere unconsidered and rash impulse is not deliberate, 24 even though it includes the intent to kill.

"Premeditation is a design, a determination to

kill, distinctly formed in the mind by the time of the killing.

Γ,

"Premeditation need not be for a day, an hour, or even a minute. It may be as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind. For if the jury believes from the evidence that the act constituting the killing has been preceded by and has been the result of premeditation, no matter how rapidly the act follows the premeditation, it is premeditated."

Number 8: "The law does not undertake to measure in units of time the length of the period during which the thought must be pondered before it can ripen into an intent to kill which is truly deliberate and premeditated. The time will vary with different individuals and under varying circumstances.

"The true test is not the duration of time, but rather the extent of the reflection. A cold, calculated judgment and decision may be arrived at in a short period of time, but a mere unconsidered and rash impulse, even though it includes an intent to kill, is not deliberation and premeditation as will fix an unlawful killing as murder of the first degree."

Number 9: "All murder which is not Murder of the First Degree is Murder of the Second Degree. Murder of the Second Degree is:

1	"(a) murder with malice aforethought, but
2	without the admixture of premeditation and deliberation;
3	or,
4	"(b) where an involuntary killing occurs in the
5	commission of an unlawful act, which in its consequences,
6	naturally tends to take the life of a human being or is
7	committed in the prosecution of a felonious intent."
8	Number 10: "You are instructed that if you
9	find, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the State has
10	established that the defendant has committed first degree
11	murder you shall select first degree murder as your
12	verdict.
13	"If, however, you are convinced beyond a
14	reasonable doubt that the crime of murder has been
15	committed by the defendant, but you have a reasonable
16	doubt whether such murder was of the first or of the
17	second degree, you must give the defendant the benefit of
18	that doubt and return a verdict of murder of the second
19	degree.
20	"The crime of first degree murder includes the
21	crime of second degree murder. You may consider the
22	lesser included offense of second degree murder if, after
23	fully and carefully considering the offense of first
24	degree murder, either:

"(1) you have found the defendant not guilty of

first degree murder, or

"(2) you have been unable to agree whether to acquit or convict on the charge of first degree murder."

Number 11: "Manslaughter is the unlawful killing of a human being without malice express or implied and without any mixture of deliberation.

"Voluntary Manslaughter is a voluntary killing upon a sudden heat of passion, caused by a provocation apparently sufficient to make the passion irresistible.

"The provocation required for Voluntary

Manslaughter must either consist of a" series -- "of a

serious and highly provoking injury inflicted upon the

person killing, sufficient to excite an irresistible

passion in a reasonable person, or an attempt by the

person killed to commit a serious personal injury on the

person killing. The serious and highly provoking injury

which causes the sudden heat of passion can occur without

direct physical contact.

"For the sudden, violent impulse of passion to be irresistible, resulting in a killing which is Voluntary Manslaughter, there must not have been an interval between the assault or provocation and the killing sufficient for the voice of reason and humanity to be heard; for, if there should appear to have been an interval between the assault or provocation given and the killing, sufficient

for the voice of reason and humanity to be heard, then the killing shall be determined by you to be murder. The law assigns no fixed period of time for such an interval but leaves its determination to the jury under the facts and circumstances of the case."

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number 12: "The heat of passion which will reduce a homicide to Voluntary Manslaughter must be such an irresistible passion as naturally would be aroused in the mind of an ordinarily reasonable person in the same circumstances. A defendant is not permitted to set up his own standard of conduct and to justify or excuse himself because his passions were aroused unless the circumstances in which he was placed and the facts that confronted him were such as also would have aroused the irresistible passion of the ordinarily reasonable man if likewise The basic inquiry is whether or not, at the situated. time of the killing, the reason of the accused was obscured or disturbed by passion to such an extent as would cause the ordinarily reasonable person of average disposition to act rashly and without deliberation and reflection and from such passion rather than from judgment."

Number 13: "If you find, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the State has established that the defendant has committed murder you shall select the appropriate

1 degree of murder as your verdict. 2 "If, however, you are satisfied beyond a 3 reasonable doubt that the killing was unlawful, but you 4 have a reasonable doubt whether the crime is murder or manslaughter, you must give the defendant the benefit of 5 that doubt and return a verdict of voluntary manslaughter. 6 7 "The crime of murder may include the crime of 8 voluntary manslaughter. You may consider the lesser included offense of voluntary manslaughter if, after fully 9 10 and carefully considering the offense of murder, either: 11 "(1) you have found the defendant not guilty of 12 murder, or 13 "(2) you have been unable to agree whether to 14 acquit or convict on the charge of murder." 15 Number 14: "'Deadly weapon' means any 16 instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner 17 contemplated by its design and construction, will or is 18 likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death. 19 "A firearm is a deadly weapon." 20 Number 15: "If you find beyond a reasonable 21 doubt that a defendant committed Murder with the Use of a 22 Deadly Weapon, or Voluntary Manslaughter with the Use of a 23 Deadly Weapon, then you are instructed that the verdict of 24 Murder (in the appropriate degree), or Voluntary

Manslaughter, with the Use of a Deadly Weapon, is the

1 appropriate verdict. 2 "If, however, you find that a deadly weapon was not used in the commission of the crime, but you do find 3 that a Murder was committed, or that Voluntary 4 5 Manslaughter was committed, then you are instructed that 6 the verdict of Murder (in the appropriate degree) without 7 the Use of a Deadly Weapon, or Voluntary Manslaughter 8 without the Use of a Deadly Weapon, is the appropriate 9 verdict. 10 "You are instructed that you cannot return a" 11 murder "of both" -- strike that. 12 "You are instructed that you cannot return a 13 verdict of both Murder (in either degree), or 14 Manslaughter, with the Use of a Deadly Weapon, and Murder 15 (in either degree), or Manslaughter, without the Use of a 16 Deadly Weapon." 17 Number 16: "To constitute the crime charged, 18 there must exist a union or joint operation of an act 19 forbidden by law and an intent to do the act. 20 "The intent with which an act is done is shown 21 by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case. 22 "Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is 23 what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the

"Motive is not an element of the crime charged

state of mind with which the act is done.

24

and the State is not required to prove a motive on the part of the Defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance in this case."

Я

Number 17: "The Defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every material element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

"A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

"If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty."

Number 18: "The evidence which you are to consider in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel.

"There are two types of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and circumstances which tend to show whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

"Statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

"You must not speculate to be true any insinuation suggested by a question asked a witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

"You must disregard any evidence to which an objection was sustained by the court and any evidence ordered stricken by the court.

"Anything that you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be

disregarded."

1.0

Number 19: "The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his" or her "relationship to the parties, his" or her "fears, motives interests or feelings, his" or her "opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements and the strength or weakness of his recollections.

"If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his" or her "testimony which is not proved by other evidence."

Number 20: "A witness who has special knowledge, skill, experience, training or education in a particular science, profession or occupation is an expert witness. An expert witness may give his opinion as to any matter in which he is skilled.

"You should consider such expert opinion and weigh the reasons, if any, given for it. You are not bound, however, by such an opinion. Give it the weight to which you deem it entitled, whether that be great or slight, and you may reject it, if, in your judgment, the reasons given for it are unsound."

Number 21: Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must

bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday 1 2 common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. 3 Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified 5 in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that 6 such inferences should not be based on speculation or 7 8 quess. 9 "A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the 10 11 product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in 12 accordance with these rules of law." 13 Number 22: "In your deliberation you may not 14 discuss or consider the subject of punishment. Your duty 15 at this time is confined to the determination of whether 16 or not the State has proven the guilt of the defendant 17 beyond a reasonable doubt." 18 Number 23: "When you retire to consider your 19 verdict, you must select one of your number to act as 20 foreperson who will preside over your deliberation and 21 will be your spokesperson here in court. 22 "During your deliberation, you will have all the 23 exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these written

instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared

24

25

for your convenience.

"Your verdict must be unanimous. As soon as you have agreed upon a verdict, have it signed and dated by your foreperson and then return with it to this room."

Number 24: "If, during your deliberation, you should desire to be further informed on any point of law or hear again portions of the testimony, you must reduce your request to writing signed by the foreperson. The officer will then return you to court where the information sought will be given you in the presence of, and after notice to, the district attorney and the Defendant and his counsel.

"Readbacks of testimony are time-consuming and are not encouraged unless you deem it a necessity. Should you require a readback, you must carefully describe the testimony to be read back so that the court reporter can arrange her notes. Remember, the court is not at liberty to supplement the evidence."

Number 25: "Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by

1 the law as given to you in these instructions, with the 2 sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact 3 justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada." On behalf of the State, Mr. Kane and 5 Ms. Pandukht you are prepared for closing statement? 6 MS. PANDUKHT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: You may proceed. CLOSING STATEMENT BY THE STATE 8 9 MS. PANDUKHT: As the defendant himself wrote to 10 Greg Lewis in that rap song that you all saw and heard, "Call me the 'Murda Mann,' "I'm a Thrilla Killa." "I 11 12 killed 3 but I should akilled 4, left them dead on the 13 floor," the evidence in this case is absolutely 14 overwhelming and it consistently identifies this defendant 15 Glenford Budd as the person who committed the crimes of 16 three counts of first-degree murder with use of a deadly 17 weapon. 18 Now that you have heard all of evidence in this 19 case which includes the testimony of the witnesses that 20 you have heard from, the photographs that you have seen, 21 as well as the physical pieces of evidence, you basically 22 have two questions to decide: The first one is who 23 committed these crimes; and what specific crimes were 24 committed?

Now, I'm going deal with the first question

first -- actually, the second question first -- because who committed these crimes is something that's not really the issues in this case. We all know who committed these crimes, and you heard that in several different ways. And first, I'd like to describe one of the instructions that you're going to have back with you, and that is the one for circumstantial evidence. Because there's basically two types of evidence: There's direct evidence; and circumstantial evidence. And direct evidence is testimony of somebody that has personal knowledge -- and you can read this for yourselves back in the jury deliberation It's in Number 18. They're like an eyewitness. They're somebody that actually saw something, such as Celeste Palau, an eyewitness who was across the way from the apartment and saw the defendant shooting on the balcony of Apartment 2068.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But you also have what's called circumstantial evidence, and that is also defined in Instruction Number 18, and that's a proof of a chain of facts or circumstances that tend to show guilt or innocence -- or tend to proof guilt or innocence.

And a way to kind of understand what that might really be like is an example I like to use about rain.

Imagine you're driving home one day and you see dark clouds in the sky, the clouds are in the sky, it's

overcast. And you're driving home and you go inside for the evening. And throughout the course of evening you don't actually go up to your window and look outside to whether it's raining, but periodically you see flashes of light coming through your windows, you hear loud, booming noises. And when you wake up the next morning you go out to see your paper, your paper's all wet, and there's drops of water on your car that you left outside, the ground on your on driveway is wet, your neighbor's driveway is wet, the street is wet. Now, you didn't actually see it rain the night before. But you all know that it rained. And that is the strength of circumstantial evidence, and that's what you have with the testimony of Lazon Jones.

Lazon Jones did not actually see this defendant shoot his brother Dajon. What Lazon Jones knows is that he's hanging out with the defendant throughout the course of the day. And they go play basketball together, they spend the day together, and they're all hanging out at the apartment, and John's in that master bedroom alone with the door shut. You also have Derrick and Jason and Lazon inside of that living room.

And the first picture I wanted you to see -MS. PANDUKHT: Is this up and running?
(Brief discussion held off the record.)
MS. PANDUKHT: Okay. This is the first picture

I wanted you to see. And the reason is, is because you can see from this photograph that the proximity of what we're talking about in terms of that apartment is very, very close. Right here you have the front door of the apartment, and this is the living room that they're talking about, with the TV and the couch. And this right here, this last room right here, is the bedroom that's the north master bedroom that Dajon Jones was in. And that is exactly, in this one picture, you can see that the defendant, when he leaves that evening, comes back in through the front door. Lazon is sitting either on that couch or the other one in that room, sees him walk to the back into that back north master bedroom, where he shuts the door.

It's then that Lazon hears two gunshots. He runs up to the front door -- and you can see that the proximity is very, very close. They're all right next to each other. He runs to the front door, but he hesitates. And then he hears the defendant say, "Where's my stuff at?" And then he hears that third gunshot and he's out of there. He start running. He doesn't have his shoes on. He is running and leaves down the stairs, across the street, to the Charleston and Mojave 7-Eleven that's down on the corner.

And we know that he did that because not only

does he testify to it, you also heard the testimony of the detectives, Wallace and Spencer, who just happened to be there and see him running across the street towards Charleston without any shoes on, right before they find the bodies of Derrick and Dajon and Jason Moore.

Now, he runs out and he does not see this defendant shoot anyone. His testimony, however, is very important, because he puts five people inside that apartment. Only the defendant, only Lazon, and three dead people are inside that apartment. And when he leaves that apartment, Dajon has already been shot twice in that bedroom. We later find out that both Derrick and Jason are dead in that room and the defendant is the only one in there. That evidence is very important.

And not then, in combination with the eyewitness testimony of Celeste Paul who, after these sees Krissy and Lazon running down the stairs, she sees the defendant come out the front door. She testified that she saw smoke coming out of the door. You also heard that same testimony from Detectives Wallace and Spencer, that as soon as they entered the apartment, they had seen smoke or a haze as if gunfire had just happened in there. And then she sees this defendant who she identified as being A.I., the defendant Glenford Budd, come out of the front door. And when he's standing in front of the front door, she

sees him raise a gun and start shooting at something on the ground. And he's aiming towards something that she cannot see.

You see, Celeste Palau's testimony is so important because Celeste Palau doesn't know that Jason Moore is the one that is on that balcony. When she sees this happen, she doesn't know that is he is the one crawling from the front door all the way to the corner here where the defendant keeps shooting him as he's trying to crawl away from him. She hears several, what she things are firecrackers, before she sees the defendant come out, and then she testifies that she sees him shoot three times -- raise his hand three times. Those are the exact number of bullet wounds in Jason Moore's body.

So, now you have the testimony of Lazon. You have the testimony of Celeste. You also have the testimony of James Krylo, the firearms examiner. He testified that eleven cartridges cases were found at the scene. And while he cannot compare those to an actual firearm, because one was never recovered, he did testify that all eleven of those cartridge cases were fired by a single firearm, a nine millimeter; and those bullets -- five or six bullets that were found at the scene -- those were all consistent with nine millimeter caliber cartridges.

But there are other ways that you know that this defendant committed these crimes. And you know that because of the defendant's own words. The defendant spoke to several people about what he did. The defendant spoke to his uncle. After he flees the scene and runs away, he goes and calls his uncle to pick him up from a house that he's at in Henderson. Now, when he calls his uncle, he tells him what he did. And, specifically you heard from the testimony that was read yesterday, that he said they were trying to rob him of his weed, so he shot them. He said gave the gun back to some friend.

And later, when he actually was picked up by his uncle, his uncle noticed that the long braided hair that the defendant used to have -- and you heard this from several witnesses -- he cut it all off. He shaved off his hair, basically, and tried to change his appearance. He also, then, goes to his uncle's house, and once he's there with his uncle, the police come to the house. And then you also heard through the testimony that was read that his uncle, Winston Budd, tried to convince him to turn himself in. He told him, even, that he could possibly get death or a life sentence in prison. And what did the defendant say? That he preferred to run. And run he did, until he was caught by the police in this case.

And then he makes statements to Detective

Vaccaro. You heard Detective Vaccaro testify that while the defendant did not admit to shooting anyone, he agreed with Lazon, five people were in that apartment. He puts himself, Dajon, Jason, Lazon, and Derrick Jones in that apartment; that there were only five of them; that he ran out of the apartment, as well as Lazon, and the other three were dead. He said he heard a shot but he didn't do it.

You also have the testimony, finally, of Greg Lewis. Greg Lewis was in the Clark County Detention

Center with the defendant. And about five or six months after these shootings, he tells Greg Lewis about what he did. And you heard from Greg Lewis that in October or November of 2003, he tells him that he shot and killed three people, and the fourth one got away. Again, consistent with all of the other evidence that you heard, corroborate by the testimony of Lazon Jones, and he said that he was mad because he believed some kids living in the apartments took his weed.

That was also the same story that he told to Detective Vaccaro. He told Detective Vaccaro, as well as Greg Lewis, that he shot and killed these three victims over a half a pound of weed; that he allegedly believed they had stolen from him.

He also tells Greg Lewis something else, that he

C

hung out with them and played basketball with them all day to try and figure out which one of them stole his weed. So, all day he's spending with him before he ultimately ends up shooting and killing them at midnight that night.

So, you have the testimony of eyewitnesses, you have the testimony of witnesses who the defendant made admissions to, and all of that evidence clearly identifies this defendant as being the shooter.

But, again, like I said, that is not the issue in this case. The issue in this case is: Was first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon.

And that's what I'm going to be focusing on.

And the easiest way to start, first, is the deadly weapon instruction --

Okay. I don't know -- there we go.

Okay. Instruction Number 14 tells us that a deadly weapon is any instrument which used in the ordinary manner contemplated by its design or construction will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death. A firearm is a deadly weapon.

Now, was a firearm or deadly weapon used in this case? Well, as we know, one was never recovered. We know what happened. We know the defendant was able to get away and flee the scene, and he told his uncle that he gave the gun to some friend. But how else do we know that a

firearm was used in this case? Well, we know from the evidence left at the scene. The evidence left at the scene shows eleven cartridge cases at the scene all being fired by a single firearm, a nine millimeter. We also have bullets found at the scene, as well as bullet fragment.

A firearm is a deadly weapon. But also is it likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death? Well, you heard Dr. Worrell, the medical examiner testify. She said all three of the victims died of multiple gunshots wounds, that several of those wounds would have been fatal, and you know from the bullets found inside of their bodies that definitely a firearm was used in this case and certainly qualifies as a deadly weapon. Your verdict should definitely include the enhancement of with a deadly weapon.

Now, the next instruction I wanted to discuss is Number 16. Instruction Number 16 talks about intent versus motive. You've heard a lot about this and, so, I wanted to definitely address this to you. To constitute the crime charged there must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an intent to do the act. The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is

what prompts a person to act; intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done. And basically it goes on to say that the State is not required to prove a motive. But you have heard incredible testimony about why this defendant allegedly shot these three young people and, basically, it was over the half pound of weed. We know that from what he says to Winston Budd, Detective Vaccaro and to Greg Lewis.

1.7

Now, you also heard Lazon testified that Derrick denied it. And whether or not it is true, is absolutely irrelevant. The State doesn't need to prove anything with regard to whether or not that half a pound of weed existed, whether or not the victim's actually stole it. That's something that should not be confused with what the real issue is; and that is the intent with which the defendant committed these crimes.

And that brings me to the general definition of murder, and that one I'm not going to be placing up on the monitor, because it's very, very short. Basically, murder is an unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought, either express or implied. Now, this Instruction is Number 6, and that basically defines what is malice aforethought. Well, as the Instruction states, malice aforethought means the intentional doing of a wrongful facts without legal cause or excuse or what the

law considers adequate provocation.

Now, in this case, we have, all the way down there toward the end, we have express malice in this case. Express malice is that deliberate intention unlawfully to take away the life of a human being, which is manifested by external circumstances capable of proof.

Now, how do we have evidence of express malice in this case? Well, we have that in the actual acts committed by this defendant, first of all. This defendant shoots an unarmed individual, Dajon Jones, as he is inside, alone, in that master bedroom. Lazon hears three shots. Dajon is actually shot two times. And we know he was shot at close range from the testimony of Dr. Worrell, who described to you what she called the stippling pattern that was on his neck, which showed that he, the defendant, was less than two feet away from Dajon Jones when he shot him twice in the neck.

And we also know that there was evidence of express malice because he shoots him more than once. This was not an accident. This was not something, as the Instruction states, you don't want it to be an accident or mischance. That's certainly not what we have in this case.

And you also see that same justification for Derrick Jones. He shoots Derrick Jones seven times. And

what's interesting about that, too, is that, if you'll recall, I asked Dr. Worrell last week, "How many of those seven gunshots wounds were fired into his back?" Four out of seven gunshots wounds were fired into the back of his body. Well, what does that tell you? Derrick Jones was running away for his life. He was running away from the defendant who continued shooting him -- not once, not twice, but a total of seven times, leaving -- leaving that in his wake. He shots him in the ear, in the hand, in the arm. But why does he keep shooting? To kill him. He's got to keep shooting him until he makes sure that he dies. While he doesn't die at the scene, he dies a few hours later at the hospital, but he was already so far gone when detectives got there, he couldn't even talk at that point or barely breathe.

He also shows malice aforethought and a deliberate intention to take away human life by shooting repeatedly into the body of Jason Moore. And, again, what's very interesting about the death of Jason Moore is that Jason Moore is actually shot outside the apartment. According to Lazon Jones, he was inside the apartment. When the shooting happened and Lazon runs out, the last thing Lazon remembers is that Jason and Derrick were hiding behind the couch. That's when he runs out. So when Jason Moore is actually shot, he's outside on the

balcony, probably also trying to get away. He shot not just once, but three times. And we know that the defendant is moving, following him, because we see the blood, we see the cartridge cases, and we have the testimony of where the defendant started in front of the front door, and where he ended up toward the corner with a large pool of blood by the wall, where Jason Moore finally expired.

Certainly, we know that the defendant was not injured. He was not bleeding. The blood on those stairs was blood belonging to Derrick Jones when the medical personnel took him outside; you know, in the stretcher. It didn't belong to the defendant. There's no evidence that anyone else was armed inside that apartment. There was certainly no provocation, legal cause, or justification to kill three people over a half pound of weed. We certainly have malice aforethought, express malice in this case.

I want to spend some time with Instruction

Number 7, because Instruction Number 7 is extremely

important, and there's a lot there that's contained within

that Instruction. And, basically, it is what is required

to find someone guilty of the first degree. There's three

elements that have to be proven to find somebody guilty of

this offense. And those are: Willfulness; deliberation;

and premeditation. And I want to take each one of those, one at a time.

Willfulness, first of all, is the intent to kill. And it states in the second paragraph there need be no appreciable space of time between formation of the intent to kill and the act of killing. So, first, with regard to willfulness: Do we know that this defendant had the intention to kill, first, Dajon Jones? Well, as I've already stated, we do know that he had that intention. And why is that? Well, first of all we know that he brings a loaded nine millimeter weapon with him into that apartment. We also know that it was hidden. We don't know whether or not he hid it in the apartment beforehand or whether he had it when he came back from that 15-minute drink break; but we know that Lazon Jones certainly had no idea that he had a weapon or a gun.

Lazon Jones thought that the defendant was his friend. They played basketball together. He had no idea that something like this would happen. But the defendant, after hanging out with them all day, decided to come back. And he's got a gun. And he doesn't just walk in and go to the rest room, like he said to Lazon. He immediately directly goes right into that bedroom. And within just a matter of seconds, all of a sudden you hear the two gunshots.

We also know that three -- if three shots were fired, Dajon was only shot two times in the neck. And we know that something happened in between the second and third shots. How do we know that? Because Lazon hears the defendant say, after the second shot, "Where's my stuff at?" Well, one of those shots went out the window. So, you can infer that this defendant shot out the window or shot Dajon one time in the neck, still had yet to shoot him the second time in the neck, and that was the third shot that he fired. He did not need to fire those shots into his neck. He fired those shots in that location with the intention to kill him.

If you want to make sure somebody is going to die from one of the gunshot wounds, you're going to shoot him: Heart, neck, head. We also know it was at close range. His body showed that, and there is no way that he would have shot him a second time if his intention was not to kill him.

Certainly, the same argument is obvious with the victim of Derrick Jones. Derrick Jones was shot seven times. Certainly, he showed the intention to kill an individual when he has to shoot him more than once, more than twice, and a total of seven times as he's trying to run out of the apartment. He also shots Derrick Jones in the head. He shoots him in the head or neck, as well as

Dajon.

Now, with Jason Moore, he's also shot once in the neck, once in the head, and I also, I believe, once in the back shoulder, again shooting him more than once and in location calculated to cause death show that he definitely meets the element of willfulness and intended to kill all three victims.

Now, deliberation is the process of determining upon a course of action to kill as a result of thought, including weighing the reasons for and against the action and considering the consequences of the action. Now, what's important is that a deliberation, or a deliberate determination, may be arrived at in a short period of time.

This is not something where you have to sit at your desk and write a list of pros and cons for murdering somebody. This isn't the kind of thing that you've got to sit around and think about for two weeks. That is not the law. The law is, that it can be arrived at in a short period of time. And it goes on to say, in all cases it may not be formed in passion.

Now, certainly, you can't have a rash -- it says here, "A mere unconsidered and rash impulse is not deliberate." Well, even if you could even possibly argue that the first shot at Dajon Jones was a rash impulsive

act trying to find out if he stole his weed or who on stole it, even if you could possibly think that first got shot was rash and considered, certainly after he continues to shoot him and then when he moves on to his second and his third victim, that even possible argument goes away, clearly. So, this is not a case where you have an unconsidered and rash impulse.

And this defendant most certainly had been thinking about it for some time. Because what do we know the defendant was thinking about all that day, at least? We know for a fact he was thinking about who stole his half pound of weed. We know that because he was wondering it earlier in the day when they were playing basketball, because he confronts Derrick about it. We also know his intent because he actually tells Jason, "I'm not going to fight you. I'm just going to put some slugs into you." that was over a basketball foul. All that stuff is going through this defendant's head the day immediately preceding the murder.

And we also know that he says, "Where's my stuff at?" before he shoots Dajon for the third time; the second time striking his body. So, we know that he has been conducting the deliberation that is necessary in order find him guilty of first-degree murder.

Now, he also is methodically making his way out

of that apartment. But I do want to point out that as he is trying to flee that apartment, he doesn't just shoot Dajon JOnes and then try and get away. I mean, Jason and Derrick don't have guns. They certainly didn't have to die. But as he is making his way out of that apartment and running down those stairs, he continues to shoot and kill all of the remaining occupants in that apartment. He shoots and kills them; and by all indications, according to the testimony of Greg Lewis, and the rap song that he writes, he should have killed four. But he let Lazon Jones get away.

б

Certainly, the defendant acted with deliberation in this case. And he also acted with premeditation, and that is the final element. Premeditation is a design, a determination to kill distinctly formed in the mind by the time of the killing. Premeditation need not be for a day, an hour, or even a minute. It may be as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind.

This is how instantaneous it can happen. He holds that gun out. He shoots Dajon the first time. When he shoots him the second time, he is making the choice to kill him. He could have stopped shooting. He could have let Dajon live. He could have stopped and not shot Derrick Jones the first time. He could have not shot Derrick Jones the second time, the third time, the fourth

time, the fifth time. He had a choice and he made that choice to kill his first victim, to shoot and kill his second victim, and then to shoot and kill his third victim. That is premeditation. He planned these murders by bringing that gun, hidden on his person, into that apartment, and continuing to shoot all of them until they were dead. And he would have continued, had he not seen them lying slumped on the ground.

And we also know about his premeditation from the rap song that he writes and sends to Greg Lewis. This is what Greg Lewis testified he received in the mail from the defendant. This was in a letter where the envelope was addressed to him, "from Budd." He recognized his handwriting, because he had seen the defendant try and write these rap lyrics before.

And then he also compared the lyrics in that rap song to the translation that we had tried to make in order assist you, as well as all of us. And in that rap song are facts that are curiously identical to the facts in this case, and tell you exactly what this defendant intended to do. And this letter clearly shows that he also premeditated these crimes. Because, as you heard, he said, of course, "I only killed three, but I should have killed four. Left them dead on the floor, but just right before they was crying and pleading, screaming for Jesus.

You all can keep the weed 'cuz you can't smoke it now."

That shows that even though the State doesn't

have to prove motive, the Instruction also tells you you

can consider that as a fact and circumstance in this case.

And this defendant, for what it's worth, thought a half a

Now he tries to get away from the police. He tried to flee the scene. He tried to hide in different houses across town. He tried to change his appearance by cutting off his hair and trying to look like a different person, but he couldn't escape his ultimate capture by the police, and he shouldn't escape full responsibility for all of his crimes; his crimes against Dajon, Derrick, and Jason.

pound of weed was worth the lives of a 14-year-old boy and

Find him guilty of three counts of first-degree murder with use of a deadly weapon. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Pandukht.

Mr. Brooks?

two 19-year-old boys.

MR. BROOKS: Judge, before I start, I'm going to need State's Exhibits 49A, B, and C, and State's Exhibit 50, and the diagram of the apartment. I don't know what the exhibit number is on that.

(Brief discussion held off the record.)

25 ///

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## CLOSING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENDANT

MR. BROOKS: May it please the Court, counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. The evidence is in and now it's time to talk about what precisely the evidence that was that came into this courtroom through that box right there, means. More important, we're going to talk about how to take the law and apply it to that evidence to render a fair verdict.

Before I start, I want to say something that I said before in my opening. That is, that nothing that I say in these moments or during the course of this trial is intended in any way to suggest that a tragedy did not happen. The taking of human life is always a tragedy. And in this case, the deaths of three young people is an incredible tragedy. And please do not think that myself or Mr. O'Brien are being callus because of our prominence in this trial.

We are not here right now to talk about tragedy.

We are hear to talk about evidence, law, and
responsibility. The question now before you is this: Has
the State proven beyond a reasonable doubt that my client
killed these three men?

When you took your oath as a juror, you agreed to follow the law. And the law is that my client, Glenford Budd, is presumed innocent. And the burden of

proving this case is the government's. They must prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

People often say there really isn't a presumption of innocence in these kind of cases. Some people say, "Well, if the State has brought the case to trial, surely there must be something there." In fact, you may have heard other jurors say this during the course of jury selection. Well, the fact is, the presumption of innocence and the burden of proof are only as real as you make them real. It's your job in this case to look at their evidence and test it, question it, doubt it, and see what the truth really is. Apply the burden of proof to every fact, every bit of testimony, every inference, and that's how we get a fair verdict.

Let's talk about the facts. I would be insane if I didn't stand before you and say that some people may believe, right now, the State's proven Glenford killed these kids. And I have to deal with that reality. So, I'm going to talk about, first, what the evidence means, if you believe the State has shown that Glenford did, in fact, kill those three boys. But please understand I am not conceding this in any way, shape, or form; and we'll talk about that later.

With this evidence, and considering the presumption of innocence and the burden of proof, I submit

to you the State has only proven, theoretically, second-degree murder; three counts of second-degree murder.

Now, why do I say that? The difference between first- and second-degree murder is about what is happening in Glenford's head. It's about the person's intent. It's about whether he is thinking about what he is doing.

The law in Instructions 7 and 8 tell you that a killing is a deliberate killing if the defendant uses his mind to determine upon a course of action, and he weighed the reasons for it and against it.

The State has shown absolutely not one shred of evidence in this case that Glenford committed a premeditated and deliberate killing. These people were his friends. He hung out with these people. These are kids. They played basketball together. They smoked marijuana together. These were not enemies. This is not someone where he would stand back and say, "I've got to kill these people." This is in the realm, ladies and gentlemen, of classic unpremeditated murder, if it is murder at all. This is a case where not only was there no weighing the benefits and the bad points of killing these people, I'm not sure there was any thinking going on at all.

Mr. Kane, in his opening, said that one of the

witnesses would testify that Glenford said he snapped. What does that mean? The dictionary says to snap is, "done, made, or carried through suddenly or without deliberation." No real thinking process. No plan. No considered judgment about what was happening. A person snapping and doing something that in this case was obviously horrible, obviously criminal and wrong, but certainly not premeditated and deliberate.

Under our law, a snap decision is not first-degree murder. There might be an intent to kill, evidenced by the use of a gun, evidenced by the repeated shots. And that would be murder. But it's not first-degree murder.

I want to emphasize that the language in the instructions is confusing. You're going to read the Instructions -- there's an Instruction, and Ms. Pandukht referred to it -- that premeditation and deliberation can occur in as little as successive thoughts of the mind; whatever that means. Has there been evidence in this case about which thought was in his mind here and which thought was in his mind here and which thought was in his mind there? I submit to you there's been no evidence by any thinking going on in this young man's mind at all. And I estimate to you that the idea that we're glowing to talk about, how successive thoughts of the mind occur is theoretical nonsense. In this case, ladies and

gentlemen, there was no thinking.

It's interesting. There was evidence here that these boys were smoking marijuana together. Is that what you do before you make an important decision? Do you get high on marijuana? Is that what a brain surgeon does before he does brain surgery; he gets high on marijuana? You know the answer to this. Marijuana is about muddled thinking. Marijuana is about the absence of thought. It's not about clear thinking.

If Glenford Budd killed these people, then, ladies and gentlemen, this was a second-degree murder case. It's a tragedy, a horrible tragedy, and second-degree murder is a serious crime, especially three counts of it. These were impulsive acts, and they should be punished as impulsive acts.

But I am going invite you now to not step back from the evidence, as we've just discussed. I want you to focus in on the evidence, and I want you to focus in real closely, and look and determine what the State has really proved in this case. There's an old saying that "As time goes by, the truth comes together. And as time goes by, false things fall apart." And I want you to consider if the State's case holds together, so you can be certain that you know what happened, or does it begin to fall apart and shred, in a way to suggest that we're not