## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 3 **Electronically Filed** JONATHAN QUISANO, 4 Mar 18 2016 01:35 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman 5 Appellant, Case No. 668 Supreme Court 6 VS. 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 9 Respondent. 10 11 MOTION TO STRIKE THE STATE'S PETITION FOR REVIEW 12 BY THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT 13 COMES NOW Appellant, JONATHAN QUISANO, by and through 14 his attorney, NORMAN J. REED, Deputy Public Defender, and moves this 15 16 Honorable Court to Strike the State's Petition for Review by the Nevada Supreme 17 Court in the above entitled case. 18 19 This Motion is based upon the following declaration and all papers 20 and pleadings on file herein. 21 DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2016. 22 23 PHILIP J. KOHN 24 CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 25 26 /s/ Norman J. Reed By 27 **NORMAN J. REED, #3795** 28 Deputy Public Defender

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On February 18, 2016, the Court of Appeals issued a 2-1 split decision in favor of the State of Nevada. The Respondent's judgment of conviction and sentence were affirmed. Petitioner wrongfully claims to be "aggrieved" by the portion of the majority opinion that mentions the Clark County District Attorney's Office open-file policy. A plain reading of the governing Rule of this Honorable Court and case law make clear that the State is not an "aggrieved party," and thus has no standing to seek discretionary review.

## <u>LAW</u>

"People won't have time for you if you are always angry or complaining."

Stephen Hawking.

This Honorable Court should not make time for the State's complaining and they won the appeal. As the State points, out, NRAP 40B allows a "party aggrieved" by a decision of the Court of Appeals to file a petition for review with the clerk of the Supreme Court writhing 18 days. Respondent does not question the timeliness of the petition, but that the State of Nevada is not aggrieved because the conviction and sentence of Quisano were affirmed. NRAP 40B(a) states, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) Decisions of Court of Appeals Reviewable by Petition for Review. A decision of the Court of Appeals is a final decision that is not reviewable by the Supreme Court except on petition for review. A

party aggrieved by a decision of the Court of Appeals may file a petition for review with the clerk of the Supreme Court. The petition must state the question(s) presented for review and the reason(s) review is warranted. Supreme Court review is not a matter of right but of judicial discretion.

In Kay v. Nunez, 122 Nev. 1100, 146 P.3d 801(2006), this Court discussed what constitutes an "aggrieved party" for the purposes of appeal.

We now address a threshold issue raised by Nunez and the Board: whether Kay had standing to seek judicial review. The Board and Nunez argue that Kay lacked standing to challenge the Board's decision in district court because he was not "aggrieved" under NRS 278.3195(4). They assert that he was required to show either a "special or peculiar" injury not suffered by the public as a whole or an adversely and substantially affected property right and that he failed to do so. Although we have required a "special or peculiar injury" in the context of street vacations and have defined an "aggrieved party" for general appellate purposes as one whose personal or property right has been "adversely and substantially affected," the Legislature has substituted its own definition of "aggrieved" for purposes of local zoning and land use planning decisions (emphasis added) 146 P.3d at 805-06. Respondent's rights have not been adversely or substantially affected. The government won the appeal. Therefore, under NRAP40B(a) and Kay, the State is not a "party aggrieved" by the Appellate Court, so the State does not have standing to seek review.

1 2	CONCLUSION
3	Based on the foregoing, Respondent's Motion to Strike the State's Petition
4	for Review by this court should be granted.
5	DATED this 18 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2016.
7	DATED this 18 day of Waren, 2010.
8	PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
9	CLARK COUNT I FUBLIC DEFENDER
10	By /s/ Norman J. R <u>eed</u>
11	NORMAN J. REED, #3795
12	Deputy Public Defender
13	
14	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
15	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the
16	Nevada Supreme Court on the 18 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2016. Electronic Service of the
17	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List a
18	follows:
19	ADAM LAXALT NORMAN J. REED
20	STEVEN S. OWENS NANCY L. LEMCKE HOWARD S. BROOKS
21	
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a
23	true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	JONATHAN QUISANO NDOC No. 1128389
25	c/o High Desert State Prison
26	P.O. Box 650
27	Indian Springs, NV 89018
28	BY /s/ Carrie M. Connolly
	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office
	Defender's Office