IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

1	IN THE SUPREME CO	OUKIO	T IIIE SIAII	
2	MICHAEL LEE,) .	No. 66963	-
3	MICHAEL LEE,)	1101	
4	Appellant,)		Electronically Filed Sep 09 2015 08:34 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman
5	v.)		Tracie K. Lindeman
6	•)		Clerk of Supreme Court
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
8	Respondent.)		
9	APPELLANT'S APPI	—-) ENDIX V	OLUME III P	AGES 492-700
10	ATTELLANT SATT	EI (DIII	O L O L L L	
11	PHILIP J. KOHN		STEVEN B.	WOLFSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street		Clark County 200 Lewis A	District Attorney venue, 3 rd Floor levada 89155
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		Las Vegas, N	levada 89155
14	Attorney for Appellant		ADAM LAX	ALT peral
15			Attorney Ger 100 North Ca Carson City, (702) 687-35	Nevada 89/01-4/1/
16			Counsel for I	
17			Coursel 101 1	coop of the second
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
/X	II .			

INDEX MICHAEL LEE Case No. 66963

1

_	PAGE NO.
3	Clarification of Record No Hearing Requested filed 08/20/2014367-368
4	Criminal Complaint filed 10/26/2011
5	Defendant Michael Allan Lee's Disclosure of Documents filed 12/11/2013 196-216
6	Defendant Michael Allan Lee's Witness Disclosure filed 12/11/2013
7	Defendant's Motion for Dismissal filed 06/10/2014
8	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Autopsy Photographs filed 06/10/2014 263-292
9	Defendant's Opposition to Motion in Limine RE: Defendant's Expert (Rundell) and to Foundational Aspects of the Defense Experts' Opinion filed 06/05/2014
1	Defendant's Opposition to State's Motion for Production of Discoverable Material filed 06/05/2014
2	Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 08/14/2014 317-319
.3	District Court Minutes from 11/21/2011 through 10/27/2014
4	Ex Parte Application for Court Approval of Payment of Specific Categories of Anxillary Defense Costs filed 05/01/2012
l5 l6	Ex Parte Order Declaring the Defendant Indigent for Purposes of Authorizing Payment of Specific Categories of Ancillary Defense Costs filed 05/01/2012
7	Information filed 11/18/2011
18	Instructions to the Jury filed 08/15/2014
19	Judgment of Conviction filed 11/10/2014
20	Justice Court Minutes from 10/27/2011 through 11/09/2011
21.	Motion for Judgmental of Acquittal filed 08/18/2014
22	Motion for New Trial filed 08/20/2014
23	Motion in Limine to Exclude Prior Bad Acts of Defendant filed 10/017/2013 175-186
24	Motion to Continue Trial filed 06/19/2012
25	Notice of Appeal filed 11/24/2014
26	Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 12/15/2011
27	Notice of Motion and Motion for Proper and Correct Service filed 10/30/2013
28	

i

1	Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine RE: Defendant's Expert (Rundell) and to Foundational Aspects of the Defense Experts' Opinion filed 01/02/2014
2	Notice of Witnesses filed 12/15/2011
3	Notice Resetting Date and Time of Hearing filed 12/13/2011096
4 5	Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal and Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial filed 09/16/2014
6 7	Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Autopsy Photographs and Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Dismissal filed 07/10/2014
	Order for Production of Inmate Michael A. Lee, BAC #81950 filed 01/18/2012 154-155
8	Order for Production of Inmate Michael A. Lee, BAC #81950 filed 03/11/2013 173-174
9	Order for Production of Inmate Michael A. Lee, BAC #81950 filed 10/20/2014 406-407
10	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 12/12/2011
11	Receipt of Copy filed 12/13/2011097
12	Receipt of Copy filed 06/19/2012
13	Receipt of Copy filed 08/20/2014
14 15	Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal and Motion for New Trial filed 08/29/2014
16	Reply to State's Return to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 12/30/2011
17	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 11/08/2011
18	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 12/22/2011
19	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 07/28/2014
20	Sentencing Memorandum filed 10/14/2014
21 22	State's Motion for Production of Discoverable Material Pursuant to NRS 174.245's Reciprocal Discovery Provisions and NRS 174.234 Governing Expert Witness Disclosures filed 01/17/2014
23	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Dismissal filed 06/13/2014
24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal filed 08/21/2014
25	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial filed 08/22/2014
26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exlcude Autopsy Photographs filed
27	06/20/2014
28	State's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 08/14/2014

1	Stipulation Pursuant to NRS 175.552(2) Waiving Penalty Hearing and Agreeing to Have Sentence Imposed by Trial Judge filed 08/18/2014
2	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 01/11/2013
3	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 01/11/2013
5	Verdict filed 08/15/2014347-348
6	
7	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
8	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 08/04/2014
10	Transcript of Proceedings,
11	Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 08/05/2014
12	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Three
13	Date of Hrg: 08/06/2014774-930
14	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Four
15	Date of Hrg: 08/07/2014
16 17	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Five Date of Hrg: 08/09/20141110-1209
18	Transcript of Proceedings,
19	Jury Trial—Day Six Date of Hrg: 08/11/2014
20	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Seven
21	Jury Trial—Day Seven Date of Hrg: 08/14/2014
22	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Eight Date of Hrg: 08/15/2014
23	Date of Hrg: 08/15/2014
24	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Nine
25	Date of Hrg: 08/18/2014
26	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call
27	Date of Hrg: 01/11/2012
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,
2	Calendar Cali Date of Hrg: 01/08/2014
1	
3	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call
4	Date of Hrg: 07/30/2014
5	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Confirmation of Counsel (Nadia von Magdenko)
6	Date of Hrg: 03/13/2013
7	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Judgment on Acquittal; Defendant's Motion for New Trial
8	Date of Hrg: 09/03/2014
9	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Transcript of Proceedings, Photographs: Defendant's Motion for
10	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Autopsy Photographs; Defendant's Motion for Dismissal; State's Motion for Production of Discoverable Material Pursuant NRS 174.245's
11	Reciprocal Discovery Provisions and NRS 174.234 Governing Witness Disclosures; State's Motion in Limine RE: Defendant's Expert [Rundell] and to Foundational Aspects of the Defense
12	Expert's Opinion Date of Hrg: 06/25/2014
13	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,
	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Prior Bad Acts of Defendant
14	Date of Hrg: 10/28/2013
15	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial
16	Date of Hrg: 07/02/2012
17	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,
18	Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 01/30/2012447-455
19	Pacarder's Transcript of Proceedings
	Further Proceedings; Clarification of Sentence on Count 2 Date of Hrg: 10/27/2014
20	
21	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing
22	Date of Hrg: 10/20/2014
23	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing
24	Date of Hrg: 10/21/2014
25	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,
26	State's Request: Reset Trial Date Date of Hrg: 03/04/2013
27	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
28	Arraignment

- 1		1		
1	TRAN			
2				
3		·		
4				
5				
6	DISTRICT	COURT		
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)			
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C277650-1		
10		DEPT. XXIII		
11	vs.			
12	MICHAEL LEE,			
13	Defendant.			
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEFAN	MILEY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15				
16	MONDAY, AUG			
17	TRANSCRIPT OF			
18	JURY TRIA	L - DAY 1		
19	APPEARANCES:			
20	For the State:	DAVID STANTON, ESQ. Chief District Attorney		
21		JOHN L. GIORDANI, III, ESQ.		
22		District Attorney		
23	For the Defendant:	NADIA VON MAGDENKO, ESQ. STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ.		
24	· 			
25	RECORDED BY: MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER			
	GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249			

_

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury]

THE COURT: All right, good afternoon everyone. It looks like the attorneys are here. Mr. Lee is also present.

By the State, I know that you mentioned before we went on the record, and obviously defense counsel was present the same, is that we need to make some -- we have some preliminary issues we need to address.

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor, and according to your Bailiff, I'm not sure that it's going to be an issue at least now. The three witnesses are Danny Fico -- Mr. Fico was a witness that was called at the preliminary hearing in this matter. He is the defendant's good friend at the time of this event and I believe is as we sit here today.

In addition, his mother, Kathleen Burton, and his sister, Jennifer Lee, have all been served as witnesses for the State. They are adverse to the State as you could probably imagine. They declined an invitation to meet with us prior to trial.

Normally in these proceedings, especially in light of the defendant's history, besides the pretrial, I would mention to them certain things that I call third rail subject matters; the fact that the time of this offense the defendant was on parole, that he has multiple felony convictions, and that during the operative events that leading up to and after the death of the victim in this case, there was a number of discussions through a number of witnesses in this case about talking to attorneys, not talking to attorneys, and this discussion involved family members of both sides. The defendant's family members and obviously two of the witnesses meet that

definition.

Mormally in a pretrial we would advise them do not discuss those matters whatsoever and any answer that you would give, they're third rail, and since we didn't have that opportunity, I'm concerned about that. And thus, my request, in conjunction with the second part of this, is my investigator contacted both -- all three of these individuals. They were served with subpoenas. They had good operative phone numbers. When we contacted them this week or last week, my investigator, I wanted a confirmation that whatever number we used we would have a quick turnaround for them to respond to those phone calls and then be able to get them down to court at a reasonable period of time.

That didn't occur. So as a result, I directed my investigator to tell all three witnesses for the reporting instructions to appear today at 1:00, which is what it says on the subpoena that they were served with, and all three confirmed that they would be here and I got a confirmatory email from my investigator to that fact.

Your Bailiff just called those three names out in the hailway and indicated none of those witnesses are present. I'm not sure where the confusion lies, but what I offered to defense counsel is that they could contact these three individuals this evening, advise them of the situation, but what I do in situations like this is have those witnesses provide to the court a number that they confirm is a valid number that they can respond and to tell the court the amount of response time once they get that phone call of what is needed to get down here to the courtroom. And also asking the Court's assistance in admonishing them on subject matter that is not to be discussed unless directly instructed that they can answer those questions.

Once again, they're not here. Defense counsel indicated to me that

-3-

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

THE COURT: For what?

MR. ALTIG: I wasn't paying attention when you were talking because I was talking to --

THE COURT: Oh, I already moved on.

This young gentleman sitting behind you, is he with your office?

MR. STANTON: He is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Hi, sir. Come on up, please. Yeah, if you just want to stand in the middle, sir. If you could please put your hands in front of you, sir. Hi, I'm Judge Stefany Miley and as you're probably aware, you've been subpoenaed by the State in this case.

MR. FICO: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that? Okay, the reason we're here is they were unable to reach you prior to the time of trial to talk to you so I want to make sure you have some information. Because you're under subpoena, the one thing — the one thing I don't know is the exact time when you'll be called to testify. What I need from you is a number where you can be reached and I can get a pretty quick turnaround in order to get you down here to testify, because the trial kind of ebbs and flows so sometimes, you know, it may be a relatively short notice on which we can reach you. So please give me a good number and tell me how long of a lead time you need.

MR. FICO: Anytime.

THE COURT: Okay, give me a phone number, please.

MR. FICO: 702-250-3405.

THE COURT: And is this a cell?

22

23

24

25

MR. FICO: It's my direct line.

THE COURT: Okay, and then just during the course of this trial, I'm going to request that you please keep that cell nearby --

MR. FICO: Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- to the extent that you can.

Another thing I want to talk to you about — if you're called to testify, which it sounds like at this point you will be, there's certain things that you cannot discuss on the bench — I mean discuss when you're subject to questioning, okay?

MR. FICO: Okay.

THE COURT: You can't discuss the fact that Mr. Lee was on parole. You can't discuss the fact that he has any other criminal charges or convictions of any kind, okay? And I understand there may have been some discussions following the events in this case about talking to attorneys, talking to witnesses, you can't talk about any of that either.

MR. FICO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's going to be very important when you're being questioned to listen to what's being asked of you and to only answer that question, okay?

MR. FICO: Okay.

THE COURT: And not just to blurt additional information.

MR. FICO: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. STANTON: Can I add one thing, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STANTON: -- if I can. Mr. Fico is friends with the defendant. They

20

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: Jason, the next one, please.

MR. STANTON: Next witness, Judge, is Jennifer Lee. This is the defendant's sister.

THE COURT: Hi, ma'am. What is your -- I'm Judge Stefany Miley. What is your name, ma'am?

MS. LEE: Jennifer Lee.

THE COURT: All right, Ms. Lee, the reason you're here is you've been placed under subpoena by the State as you're probably aware. As some point during the course of this week, you're going to be called to testify in this case. What I need from you is a phone number where you can be reached -- I mean, and I'd like to give you an exact date, but unfortunately I can't because the trial's kind of art not a science as far as timing.

MS. LEE: Okay.

THE COURT: So give me a phone number where you can be reached and I need to know how long -- if the State contacts you to testify, how long -- how much turnaround time do you need to get down here and testify.

MS. LEE: Okay. 702-810-2852 and --

THE COURT: Is that a cell?

MS. LEE: Correct.

THE COURT: And how long would you need if you're called to get down here and testify?

MS. LEE: An hour.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm going to suggest that -- or I'm going to request that if you could please kind of keep your phones nearby during the course of this trial so that we can get a hold of you.

-8-

Another thing, I know you didn't have an opportunity to speak to the State prior to being called to testify, but I need to make you aware of a few things. When you come in to testify, it's going to be very important that you listen to the questions that are asked of you by both the State and the defense counsel and only answer what's been asked of you, okay? You cannot talk about the fact that your brother, Mr. Lee, has been arrested, has been in prison, has been on parole, okay, nothing that alludes in any way to that fact, okay?

Also, you can't talk about, again, any felony convictions or make any statements that would lead someone to believe he has prior felony convictions.

And I understand there may have been some discussions following the events in this case with -- regarding about -- regarding talking to attorneys, talking to witnesses. You can't reference any of those unless specifically asked, okay?

MS. LEE: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else I missed?

MR. STANTON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. We'll probably see you back sometime middle to end of this week.

MS. LEE: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. STANTON: Last one, Your Honor, is Kathleen Burton. That is the defendant's mother.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: Judge, Kathleen has not showed up.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: They're under the impression they were supposed to be

a

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. So if she shows up, we'll talk to her over the break.

The other thing I just wanted to -- I always like to put on the record given the Lafler versus Cooper decision, is I want to make sure that the offer -- last offer that was made to the defendant, that the defendant hears it and he indicates that he did consider it and knowingly chose to -- knowingly chose to refuse to accept the offer.

By the State?

MR. STANTON: Yeah, Judge, I don't think they've ever solicited the State for an offer to be made in this case.

THE COURT: Oh. Okay.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: There's never been an offer proffered by the prosecution.

THE COURT: Okay. Then we will proceed with trial.

Wait, hold on, Jase.

Before we bring the jury in, anything else we need to address -- oh, real quick. I'm sorry, Mr. Stanton's tried cases in here before, but we're changing the jury method up a little bit.

Mr. Altig, I don't know if you've tried -- I don't -- you have not tried a case in here. I think I do it very similar to Judge Herndon.

MR. ALTIG: Okay.

THE COURT: Obviously this is my core group of people. When all the preemptory challenges were -- are exercised, we would have the sufficient number of jurors sitting over here.

When you go up to question the jury, I don't -- I'm pretty liberal on what

-10-

·22

you can ask them so long as it's, you know, within the bounds of the law. I don't care how you question the jury, whether it's one by one, addressing the panel as a whole or a combination thereof. I simply -- the choice is up to you.

For example, if there is a challenge for cause and say the juror in the number two chair, which is that chair in the back row, if that one is removed for cause, then we simply put one more person in that exact same chair. I don't do the whole, you know, musical chair things.

MR. ALTIG: Okay.

THE COURT: When we are selecting a jury -- I don't -- let me see your sheet for a second.

THE CLERK: Sure.

THE COURT: When we're selecting a jury, all I care about is at the end of the -- end of everything I have the correct number of jurors. So it doesn't matter to me if you want to strike juror number 10, then juror number 2, it doesn't matter the order to -- order doesn't matter to me as long as we have the correct number of jurors when everything's finished. If anyone passes a challenge, then we will obviously have an extra individual that we need to get rid of and we just strike from the bottom.

MR. ALTIG: Okay.

THE COURT: So how many alternates do you have it set up for?

THE CLERK: Two.

THE COURT: Two? So if we had 15 jurors, then obviously 15 would be the one stricken and then 1 through 14 would be our jurors and two alternates. As far as the alternates, the alternates are always the last two individuals, so they're always number 13 and number 14. I just keep that secret from the jury so they

obviously pay attention throughout the course of the trial.

Are there any other questions — as far as — oh, on the issue of challenges for cause, I kind of just go with the flow on that one. A lot of times, you know, I try to give the jury breaks to use the restroom. I try to minimize bench conferences. Usually over a break if individuals stand out as a challenge for cause, we can just briefly discuss it at that point.

All right. So we can bring in the jury.

MR. STANTON: Judge, you want all the general and specifics at the same time after --

THE COURT: That's the other question. With the State, on these ones with the -- you're going to have a penalty phase on this one, right?

MR. STANTON: Potentially, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well assuming he gets convicted, you're going to have the jury do it. I was going to ask that the State go ahead and qualify them.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

THE COURT: Just, you know, basically that they consider the three different penalties.

Is there any objection to that?

MR. ALTIG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Did I forget anything else? I think that's everything unless you gentlemen and ma'am have questions.

MR. STANTON: And when we question, do you want us to do it one time; that is, all the generals and then specific, or are you going to do all the generals and then go individually -- is the Court then going to ask individual jurors questions once you have everybody --

THE COURT: I'm just going to ask the basic questions to the entire panel to make sure they are qualified to sit. And then the only individual questions I ask are just employment, children, and then you -- counsel can follow up on that.

MR. STANTON: Okay. So when we get up, we do them all at the same time, all our general and specific questions?

THE COURT: You can do them however you like.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: Ready, Judge?

THE COURT: I'm ready.

THE MARSHAL: All right. Department XXIII jury is present.

[Prospective jury in at 1:31 p.m.]

THE COURT: Is that everyone, Jason?

THE MARSHAL: It is, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Welcome ladies and gentlemen. I'm Judge Stefany Miley. You've been called into Department XXIII of the Eighth Judicial District Court. We are here on the case of State of Nevada versus Michael Long (sic) which is Case C277650.

Welcome everyone. All of you are probably going to be in this courtroom all of today at -- probably. You've already met Jason Dean. He's the marshal in this department and for a lot of things he is the most important person for you to get to know. He is the person that's responsible for getting you guys in and out of the courtroom at breaks and he's also the individual that's responsible for getting messages to the Court if there's something that you need to convey to me.

Sitting to my left over here I have Anntoinette Naumec-Miller and I have Emma Knauss. Anntoinette is my clerk in this department. She's training

-13-

.

 Emma. And what the clerk does is she's really busy during the course of the trial. She's the one who's responsible for keeping track of all the exhibits and making sure they get marked and moved into evidence and she does a bunch of other things as well.

Sitting next to her is Maria Garibay. Maria Garibay is the court recorder. Now for those of you that have not done jury service or you haven't done it in a really long time, probably what you're used to seeing is a court reporter, someone who sits between the Court and the jury taking notes throughout the course of the trial. Now some departments still have court reporters. The other department, such as myself, we have a court recorder which that means everything in this department is being recorded. So there's cameras around the courtroom so we can see you and we can hear you.

Now the one thing is even though we have cameras that can see you, it's real important that whenever you want to respond to a question that you give me a verbal response, because the camera or I can't always see you nodding your head, so yes or no please instead of shaking your head.

What we're going to do now is I'm going to do a quick roll call. That way all of you can get credit for being here. When your name is called, please make some kind of sound so that we count you present.

[Clerk calls roll of prospective jury panel]

THE COURT: All right, welcome everyone. What we're going to do now is I'm going to ask that the State please stand up, introduce themselves, and they're also going to tell you a little bit about this case and they're also going to give you a list of individuals who may be called to testify in this case. It's very important that you listen closely to the list of individuals who may be called as witnesses in this

case because I'll be asking you some questions. When the State's introduced themselves, then the defense is going to have a chance to stand up, introduce themselves and their client, and list any potential witnesses they may have.

The State please?

MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is David Stanton. I'm a Deputy District Attorney here in Clark County. My co-counsel is John Giordani and we are going to be presenting the case, State versus Michael Lee.

I'm going to give you some facts briefly about this case and some witnesses' names. As the Judge indicated, if you believe you know some of these facts for some reason or any of the witnesses that I am about to read off, the Court is going to have some questions for you.

This case involves the death of Brodie Aschenbrenner who was murdered on June 15th of 2011. The State alleges that the defendant beat Brodie Aschenbrenner to death.

The mother of Brodie is a woman by the name of Arica Foster. They lived at 2900 Sunridge Heights in Henderson, Nevada on that date.

The following witnesses in this case are Ron Acuna, Dustin
Aschenbrenner, Kami Beckwith, Felicia Benjamin, Kathleen Burton, Amanda Butler,
Candice Carter, Nancy Dahl, Gerry Collins, Danny Fico, Arica Foster, Dr. Lisa
Gavin, Rebecca Henson, Mark Hosaka, Matt Johns, Shannon Krynzel, Jennifer
Lee, Charity McConnell, David McKenna, Chad Mitchell, Brad Moshier, Merridee
Moshier, Alayne Opie, Sandra Pacheco, Monique Panet-Swanson, Anna Pulido,
Todd Rasmussen, Virginia Schwarz, Dr. Hesham Sirsy -- he's a doctor at ABC
Pediatrics -- Nicole Smith, Joseph Tagliavia, Crystal Vega, Christopher White, Taun

Yurek, and Joanna Zavala.

2

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

3

And by the defense?

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, my name is Attorney Nadia Von Magdenko and this is my co-counsel, Steven Altig, and this is the defendant, Michael Lee. We plan on calling Dr. Devesh Tiwary, M.D. and Dr. Jonathan Arden, M.D., and I'm not sure if they mentioned Shannon Krynzel. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, we're about to begin the process called voir dire. Now people from different parts of the country say it different ways, but what it is, it's the questioning of the jury under oath. I'm going to ask that you please stand up, raise your right hand to be sworn in as jurors.

[The prospective jury panel was sworn in by the clerk] THE COURT: All right. Please sit down, make yourself comfortable.

Okay, ladies and gentlemen, and I'll be honest with you, you're probably going to be here most of today. Hopefully we'll get a jury today. Over the next several hours, you're going to be asked a series of questions. What's going to happen is first the Court's going to ask some questions of you guys. The questions are going to be both very general and very specific.

When I'm finished asking you questions, the State and both the -- both the State and the defense counsel will also have an opportunity ask you questions. Now again, some of these questions are going to be very general and they'll be posed to the entire group. Other questions will be a lot more specific and they'll be posed to specific individuals.

Now ladies and gentlemen, we don't really want to pry into your life.

either of the prosecutors assigned to this case? Okay, no one knows them.

And does anyone -- I told you guys to listen closely. Does anyone know the potential witnesses who may be called by the State?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: All right. And does anyone know either the defendant or the defendant's counsel?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: And does anyone know the individuals that may be called to testify by the defense?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And does anyone have any like hearing issues or sight issues that I need to be aware of? Okay. If there comes a point when you have difficulty seeing, please let me know so we can make accommodations.

Same with hearing. If you have difficulty hearing during the course of the proceedings because sometimes our acoustics are not so great in this particular courtroom, please let us know because we have headphones that can assist you in hearing everything. It's real important that you hear and see everything that proceeds during the course of this trial.

Do any of you believe you may have heard or read about this case prior to coming into court?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: I have a hand in the back. So I have several hands in the back. What I'm going to ask is when you respond to a question, again thank you, please raise your hand, but I need your name and the last digits of your badge number.

So ma'am? Your name please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Stacey Hopwood, 1194.

THE COURT: And what do you believe you've heard, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: I believe that I've heard that -- I read in the newspaper different articles about the person who's being accused of the crime, that it was involving a child, that there was a lot of bruising on the child, things like that.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: I mean just general information --

THE COURT: So you believe you've read something about this case and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Yes.

THE COURT: -- do you believe it's this particular individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: To be right honest with you, I don't remember what the individual looked like, but I do remember reading about the case. I do remember the name.

THE COURT: Okay. And well during the course of probably the next two weeks, if you're selected as a juror in this case, you're going to hear a variety of testimony and evidence by both the State and by the defense counsel. What we ask jurors to do is please listen to everything that happens here in court and make a decision based upon a fair and impartial decision based upon what you hear in court only. Can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: In this case, I honestly don't think I can. I think I've already, in my head, made up my mind.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: And, you know, just being honest

-19-

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: That's fine. We'll make note of that. Thank you very much.

And I heard -- saw some other hands by Ms. Hopwood.

Yes, ma'am, your name and badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 239: Jacquelin Thibault, 329 (sic).

THE COURT: And do you believe you've heard or read about this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 239: Excuse me. I do remember the headline, but that's about it.

THE COURT: Okay. And like I indicated to Ms. Hopwood, if you're selected as a juror in this case, there's going to be lots of evidence and testimony presented over the next probably two weeks and the only thing you can consider in making a decision in this case would be the evidence that you hear in court. Do you think you can listen to the evidence and testimony presented by both the State and the defense and render a fair and impartial verdict based upon the evidence and testimony you hear in court only?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 239: Yes I do.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Other than Jacquelin -- another hand. Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 254: Suziliene McDonald, 254.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 254: I do remember hearing about the case. I did not know the face of the person, but the same as the other lady said here with the injuries to a child, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And the same question for you: If you're selected as a juror in this case, can you listen to all the evidence and testimony presented in

-20-

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22_. 23

24

.25

Anyone else who'd like to respond to this question: Is there anything that would keep you from being a fair and impartial juror? I have a few more hands. I have Mr. Burns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 177: One seventy-seven, Larry Burns.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 177: I'm related to a local police officer and I hear a lot of the shady side -- the bad side shall we say. Things that you never hear in courts or in the -- in the media. Not the courts, in the media. And I'm kind of jaded.

THE COURT: When you mean the shady side --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 177: Okay. Bad choice --

THE COURT: -- that's a little --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 177: Bad choice of words.

THE COURT: -- vague.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 177: I apologize. The meaner side of people. How's that?

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 177: And the fact that what people can do to other people just never ceases to amaze me.

THE COURT: Well let me ask it this way: If you're related -- and I'm -- we'll get to this question in a second. There's probably others who have family, friends that are in the police department. Every single case is different. Okay, every case that goes to trial is different, quite frankly, and what a juror is asked is to sit and listen to the evidence -- evidence and testimony that's presented here in court and

-24-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: No.

THE COURT: Was it in this state?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: No. No.

THE COURT: It was somewhere else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Has anyone been the victim of a crime? I have a few hands on this one. Okay. So we're going to start with the back and go forward.

Let's start with Mr. Viscarra.

Mr. Viscarra, what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 488: On 4th -- April the 4th around 9:30 and 9:55 I was at work, I'm a security officer. I was patrolling the parking lot and some properties on (indiscernible) Parkway. Some African American in his twenties and thirties, he assaulted me on the (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: Were you injured?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 488: I didn't complete my shift. I had to take (indiscernible) ambulance. And they had a x-ray done. It was -- there was a lot of bruise under the skin and blood. And Metro showed up. They didn't arrest a suspect. He got away.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you -- so no one was prosecuted for that offense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 488: No, but they got the description of the individual who assaulted me.

THE COURT: Okay. The fact that you went through that event in your personal life, does that affect your ability to be fair to either the State or the defense counsel -- or the defendant in this particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 488: I don't understand. Can you repeat

-25-

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 THE COURT: Obviously that was probably a very traumatic event for you.

The fact that you went through that event in your life, can you still be a fair juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 488: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And I don't know if you responded. Was anyone ever prosecuted for that? Were charges ever filed against anyone to your knowledge?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 488: Everything was reported to the dispatch in the company I work for --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 488: -- America's Best Security Services. I got the Metro (indiscernible) number and two units showed up. Ambulance and paramedics showed up, but I gave the exact description of the person who assaulted me to Metro. So I don't know if they did their job or not because nothing has been done accomplish and nothing.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

I had a few other hands a little bit closer to me.

Yes, ma'am, Ms. Nguyen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.

THE COURT: And you're number 178?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Correct

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am, what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: In North Carolina, I don't remember exactly what year it was seven -- somewhere around '97, something like that, we

-26-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

-27-

again, I need you to listen to everything that's presented in this case because every

23

24

25

-28-

forward. Anyone on that back row that's been a prior juror? None. Okay. And I

There's a few more. Okay. So we're going to start with the back and come

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: Yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. And did your jury reach a verdict?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: What does that mean?
6	THE COURT: The one that's responsible for getting all the votes and
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: No.
8	THE COURT: Okay, no. Thank you very much.
9	Anyone else on Ms. Chen's row?
10	The next row up Ms. Hall, did you have your hand up, ma'am?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: Yeah I did.
12	THE COURT: When were you a juror, ma'am?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: I don't remember exactly. It was
14	like probably early 2000's.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Was it here in Las Vegas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: It was.
17	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: Criminal.
19	THE COURT: Did your jury reach a verdict?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. Anyone else in Ms. Hall's row?
24	Yes, ma'am. And you're let's see. Katherine?
- 1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 116: Yes, ma'am

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: Everyone says yes. Great. And also, can you follow this instruction: The defendant is presumed innocent until proved -- let's see. No, I'm sorry, that the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Can all of you follow that instruction?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Everyone says yes. Thank you.

Now at this point I'm going to ask questions of each of you individually, and I'm going to start with Ms. Dobard, number 083.

Good afternoon, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Are you employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: Yes I am.

THE COURT: What do you do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: I'm a medical technologist at UMC.

THE COURT: Okay. How long have you done that job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: Well over 30 years.

THE COURT: So please describe some of your job duties.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: I'm actually the supervisor of the blood bank, and the blood bank is the one who supplies the blood for anybody who needs a blood transfusion.

THE COURT: Okay. And what kind of credentials do you have to get into that field of work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: You have to have a four-year degree to be a medical technologist. We do have a two-year degree, but to work in

-33-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: No.

24

25

-34-

-36-

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: I'm the founder and CEO of a PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: A really special one. Actually we help families who have lost a parent, especially children who have lost a parent, to find hope again in their lives through a series of 12 days of surprises at Christmas THE COURT: Well that's neat. Are you married? THE COURT: Widow. And how long were you at -- let me see, how long PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Five years. THE COURT: And then before you established the non-profit, did you have a PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Full time stay-at-home mom. THE COURT: And I -- I'm sorry about your husband, but when he was still -37-GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	married?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes, I am.
3	THE COURT: Does your spouse work?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes, she does.
5	THE COURT: What does she do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: She works at UMC. She works at
7	the records.
8	THE COURT: At the where?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Records.
10	THE COURT: Records?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Uh-huh.
12	THE COURT: How long has she had that job?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: She's been there for about six years
14	or five years I think. Five years.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes.
17	THE COURT: How many?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: One.
19	THE COURT: Minor or adult?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Minor.
21	THE COURT: And how old is your child?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: She's five.
23	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Las Vegas?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: My entire stay in the States.
25	THE COURT: So 11 years?

-40-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Castellanos.
3	Okay. Mr. Cornell, are you employed?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: Yes, I am.
5	THE COURT: And you're badge number 352. Mr. Cornell, what do you do
6	for a living?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: I'm a pharmacy technician.
8	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: Thirteen years.
10	THE COURT: And before becoming a pharmacy tech, did you have any
11	other careers or jobs?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: I worked part time at PetSmart
13	before then for about two years.
14	THE COURT: Are you married?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: Yes, I am.
16	THE COURT: Okay, does your wife work?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: She does. She works at a ramen
18	house as a waitress.
19	THE COURT: And do you have children?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: 1 do.
21	THE COURT: How many?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: I have three.
23	THE COURT: How old are they?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: Eight, four and six weeks old.
25	THE COURT: All right. And how long have you been in Clark County,
	-41-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

ı	Nevada, sir?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: All my life.
3	THE COURT: Eighteen years at least, right?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	Mr. Marmol?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Hi, sir, good morning or good afternoon now. Are you
9	employed?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Yes, I am.
11	THE COURT: What do you do? And you're badge number 454.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Yeah. Construction work.
13	THE COURT: Are you currently on a job?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Yeah.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you done that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Construction site 17 years.
17	THE COURT: And do you have a particular type of work you do?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Currently insurance repair.
19	Basically the reconstruction (indiscernible) flood damage.
20	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: And are you married?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Does your wife work?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 454: Yes.

-44-

7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Ten years.
. 2	THE COURT: One of the hotels?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Mandalay Bay.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And what did you have a job prior to that one?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: I've been with the company for 21
6	years.
7	THE COURT: Long time.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Which I start in status and then
9	Mandalay Bay and then I was TRA casino porter and now status four operator.
10	THE COURT: So kind of all the similar type of work?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Yeah, just housekeeping basically.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Does your husband work?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What does he do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: He's a cook.
18	THE COURT: And do you have children?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Yes.
20	THE COURT: How many?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Three.
22	THE COURT: How old are they?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Twenty, 25 and 28.
24	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark County, Nevada,
25	ma'am?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 662: Twenty-one years.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
3	Victor Solano, you're badge number 766. Sir, are you employed?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Not at the moment.
5	THE COURT: Are you between jobs?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: I'm looking for
7	THE COURT: Okay. Your prior employment, what'd you do for a living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: I was a I was the pastry chef at
9	the Palms Hotel and Casino
10	THE COURT: How long did you do that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Well I've been doing that for like 21
12	years.
13	THE COURT: Always a you've been a pastry chef?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Always been a pastry
15	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Divorce.
17	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Three.
19	THE COURT: How old are they?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: One is 22, the other one is 19, and
21	the last one is 13.
22	THE COURT: Thirteen. And how long have you been in Clark County,
23	Nevada?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Twenty.
25	THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Solano.
	-46-

1	We have Mr. Lenehan. You're badge number 016.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Sir, how long are you employed?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Yes, I am.
5	THE COURT: What do you do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: I'm a printer.
7	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Eight years.
9	THE COURT: I think that kind of speaks for itself. Prior to becoming a
10	printer, did you have a different job?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: No.
12	THE COURT: Are you married?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: No, single.
14	THE COURT: Kids?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: No kids.
16	THE COURT: How long have you been in Clark County, Nevada?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Twenty-eight years.
18	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
19	Ms. Minarchan?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: You are badge number 020.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Hold on. Ma'am, are you employed?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 020: Yes.
25	THE COURT: What do you do?

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

-48-

-49-

-50-

'	THE COURT: Thank you very much. If you could please pass it to Ms.
2	Qualls, 047.
3	Ms. Qualls, are you employed?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Unemployed.
5	THE COURT: Unemployed?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Uh-huh.
7	THE COURT: Are you between jobs?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Last time you were employed, what did you do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: I was a part owner of a bar.
11	THE COURT: And how long did you do that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Ten years.
13	THE COURT: And before that, did you have a different job?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: I was a bartender.
15	THE COURT: Are you married?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Does your husband work?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: No.
19	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Two grown, one grandbaby.
21	THE COURT: How old's your grandbaby?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Eighteen months.
23	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark County, Nevada,
24	ma'am?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Twenty years.
ſ	

-52-

-53

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Does your spouse work?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: Yes.
5	THE COURT: What does he do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: He teaches high school.
7	THE COURT: What subject?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: Math.
9	THE COURT: And how old are you children?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: We have a six year old a 10 year
11	old and almost 14.
12	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark County, Nevada?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 088: Fifteen years, but I also grew up
14	here so I guess like 25 total.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you can pass it to Ms. Roman, please.
16	Ms. Roman, you're badge number 104. Are you employed?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: Yes.
18	THE COURT: What do you do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: I am an instructional aide.
20	THE COURT: For the school district?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: No, a charter school, Somerset
22	Academy.
23	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: Like a year.
25	THE COURT: Did you have a job before that one?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: Stay-home mom and then
2	professional volunteer.
3	THE COURT: Professional volunteer?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: Yep.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: I am.
7	THE COURT: Does your spouse work?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: Yes.
9	THE COURT: And what does your spouse do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: He's a superintendent for a flood
11	response company.
12	THE COURT: Is he busy this week?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: Oh yes.
14	THE COURT: All right. And you have children you said?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: I do.
16	THE COURT: How many?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: I have two girls. I have a 10 year
18	old and a 14 year old.
19	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark County, Nevada?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: Eighteen years.
21	THE COURT: Thank you very much. You'll pass it to Ms. Mackenzie, who's
22	badge number 107.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 107: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Yes, your employed.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 107: Yes, I am.
	-60-

-61-

-63-

-66-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Burns.
2	Ms. Nguyen, you're badge number 178. Are you employed?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.
4	THE COURT: What do you do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: I'm a mortgage banker.
6	THE COURT: And how long have you done that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: About 10 years.
8	THE COURT: And did you have a job prior to that one?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes. I worked for Blue Rhino, the
٥	exchange tanks for your gas grills.
1	THE COURT: Uh-huh. The propane tanks?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Uh-huh. Yes.
3	THE COURT: What'd you do for them?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: I ran their customer service
5	department.
6	THE COURT: How long did you do that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: About two years.
8	THE COURT: Any other jobs?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: (No audible response.)
:0	THE COURT: Are you married?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Does your spouse work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.
4	THE COURT: What does he do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: He's an engineer for the water
	-69-

-70-

1	That's why I'm like looking
2	THE COURT: Probably till five.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: Till five? Okay.
4	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: Yes, I'm nursing and she's five
6	weeks old.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Not trying to pry, but I'm just trying
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: I know.
9	THE COURT: to figure out how often you had to be there.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: Right.
11	THE COURT: Okay So are you married?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: Yes
13	THE COURT: And does your husband work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: Yes, he's a physician in town.
15	THE COURT: What kind of doctor?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: He's a radiologist.
17	THE COURT: And you heard there may be some doctors called to testify.
18	Do you know any of them?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: Yes, I'm familiar with the names
20	because being in the medical society like Dr. Sirsy as well and just, you know,
21	being in the
22	THE COURT: Are you just familiar with the names or do you know them
23	personally?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: No, not personally but
25	THE COURT: Okay. And you said you have one child. Just one?
	71

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION
10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: No, I have a seven-year-old son and a five-week-old baby girl.

THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark County, Nevada? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 182: About 12 years now.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, ma'am.

All right, so that is everybody at this point. Ladies and gentlemen, at this stage, I'm going to turn you guys over to the State for questioning, but Mr. Stanton, I'm going to give the jury just a very brief break because I want you guys to be fresh for the attorneys' questions.

Now please look and see where you're seated because you're going to have to sit in the exact same seats when you come back in. And you're going to hear this at every break: You're admonished not to converse amongst yourself or with anyone on any subject connected with this trial, do not reach, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on this trial, and do not form or express an opinion on this case. Please come back at 2:45 and sit in the exact same seats, and everyone does have to leave the courtroom.

[Prospective jury out at 2:36 p.m.]

THE MARSHAL: Judge, that Kathleen --

MR. STANTON: Kathleen Burton.

THE MARSHAL: -- Kathleen showed up.

THE COURT: Oh good. Real quick before we call her in here, is there anyone else -- anyone who stands out? The only -- I am kind of concerned about that last juror. She has a newborn that has to nurse.

MR. ALTIG: Your Honor, the only other issue is that one of the witnesses that the State's listed I believe has worked for UMC for about 30 years herself as a

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. ALTIG: And there was -- one of the jurors said that she also had worked at UMC for about 30 years, so maybe -- I don't know if that witness just missed the name. They may not know each other, I don't know, but if they're both working for the same hospital for a 30-year period of time, I would imagine that there's a likelihood that they will have met each other or know each other.

THE COURT: Well, you know, they will -- if they're selected, they'll receive instructions prior to trial saying if, you know, you happen to look at someone and realize that you know them, that they're instructed to let us know. At this point no one's indicated that they know the person or have a conflict.

Other than -- you know, I was going to let the last juror, like I said, number 182, Dhindsa, go simply because if she has a newborn and she's nursing, she probably can't be away from the baby for prolonged period of time.

MR. STANTON: State has no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objections by the defense?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll put a new juror in there. Any other individuals at this stage stand out? I think I had one I was concerned about the English.

MR. STANTON: Yes, I do have a -- same concern as the juror, Mr. Viscarra, 488, and also I'd like to inquire of Mr. Miller outside the presence of the other jurors -- he's juror number 148 -- about his prior record or the offenses that he had sealed. He did not -- he preferred not to speak about it. I'm presuming that that's in front of others, but I'd like to inquire.

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll ask Mr. Miller -- okay. Do you have any objections

24

25

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Can we bring in the father? Can he sit and watch since he's out there, or no? He's not being --

THE COURT: Is he going to be a witness?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: No.

THE COURT: He can't --

MR. STANTON: He's a potential witness, Your Honor. We don't anticipate calling him, but then again, I haven't even heard the defense's opening arguments.

THE COURT: Then he can't.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay.

THE COURT: Has he been subpoenaed?

MR. STANTON: We have not served him, no, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But he's listed as a potential witness?

MR. STANTON: Yes.

THE COURT: Hi, ma'am, good morning --

MS. BURTON: Hi.

THE COURT: -- or good afternoon. I'm Judge Stefany Miley. And may I have your name, please?

MS. BURTON: Kathleen Burton.

THE COURT: Hi, Ms. Burton. You have been subpoenaed as a potential witness in this case, as you're probably aware, and what I need to get from you is — the timing of trial is kind of an art, not a science. There is a good possibility you're going to be called to testify sometime this week, probably toward the middle or end of this week, and I need to get a good phone number from you where you can be reached because, you know, you'll be contacted to testify and then we need for you to come down to court. So may I have a good phone number, please?

-75-

MS. BURTON: Sure, 702-542-6858.

THE COURT: And is this a cell?

MS. BURTON: It's a cell phone.

THE COURT: And I'm going to ask because you'll be called to testify at some point that you please keep your cell on you --

MS. BURTON: Okay.

THE COURT: -- during the day someplace where it's close. If you're to be called to testify, how long would you need to get down to the courthouse?

MS. BURTON: Roughly probably an hour with parking.

THE COURT: Okay. And I need to go over this with you: When you're called to testify, it's very important that you listen and answer solely the questions that are posed to you, okay? That you — I mean keep your responses, you know, narrow and tailored to the question. It's real important that you don't mention parole or anything to indicate Mr. Lee's prior criminal record; that he's been in prison, been in jail, that he's been arrested. Nothing along that line can you mention, okay?

MS. BURTON: Okay.

THE COURT: Also, my -- and you cannot mention any convictions for other criminal offenses. Also my understanding is there may have been some discussions with witnesses following the incident in question and, you know, talking about attorneys, talking about witnesses. It's real important that you don't get into those topics unless you're specifically asked.

MS. BURTON: I don't understand.

THE COURT: Okay, if there's any discussions about this case following it --

MS. BURTON: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: -- don't talk about it.

-76-

23

24

25

[Proceedings resumed at 2:55 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury]

THE COURT: Mr. Altig, are you ready, sir?

MR. ALTIG: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry, I don't know your name.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sandra --

THE COURT: Sandra? Thank you. Would you go on the record, please? We need to bring Mr. Miller in please.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Your Honor, can I make an objection on the record for Mr. Miller before he comes in?

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Or after he's in, I don't care.

THE COURT: (Nods in the affirmative.)

MS. VON MAGDENKO: We'd object to canvassing regarding his records seal. It's my understanding the statute once it's sealed, there's no questioning about that; it's as if it never happened. So we would object to any questions about that.

MR. STANTON: Well, counsel said the statute. I believe his response was that that occurred another state so I'm not sure what statute counsel's referring to, number one. Number two, I believe the statute refers to employment; that you can answer your employment as if the conviction never occurred.

In this case it's different. Number one, it's jury selection. Number two, he's already expressed that he has difficulty being fair to both sides. And thus, I'm not sure how I can conduct meaningful inquiry as to whether or not he is challengeable for cause whatsoever based upon the circumstances of how he has

-78-

 formed that opinion.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask him the -- I don't see where it'd be prejudicial to the defense. In fact, it may give you all more information that you need to decide whether or not he is acceptable juror for this particular case.

So would you ask Mr. Miller to come in?

Hi, Mr. Miller. If you just want to go ahead and get in your seat.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: Okay.

THE COURT: You know, and I -- I'm not trying to call you out, not trying to embarrass you or anything. The only reason we have you in here without the other jurors is -- again, the only thing I'm trying to figure out is if you're acceptable juror for this particular case with this particular set of facts and evidence and everything else. I need a little bit more information on your -- whatever you had sealed.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: Okay.

THE COURT: And again, it won't be for the other jurors. It's just for my -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: Sure.

THE COURT: -- edification.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: As far as convictions, because it was kind of a difficult thing there, convictions, the only thing I've ever been convicted of is a traffic ticket, speeding.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: But I got accused of something when I was 18 and they mess — even though the judge threw it out, you know, dismissed the case, the court system messed up in recording it and they put me down as a convicted felon. So when I went to register a handgun in North Las Vegas and it happened to be right at holiday season and all that, I was a guest of

-80-

to in this case is the State has filed charges against the -- Mr. Lee in this particular case and what a juror's ultimately asked to decide is whether based upon the facts and the evidence, they find Mr. Lee guilty or not guilty of the charges.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: Well --

THE COURT: So there's kind of a distinction from what you're telling me. Can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: The question can I be fair in his individual if I believed the evidence that I was receiving, yes, but I'll --

THE COURT: But I need for you be able to be fair to the State and the defense.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: I -- well let me put it this way: I've seen worse crimes committed by police than I've ever seen other people commit. So I really am prejudiced against police and I try to just, you know, go my own way and just keep my head down. That's the only way -- but I can honestly say I've seen more horrible things done by police than I've ever seen other people do to each other. Certain jobs attract certain people as well and --

THE COURT: So you'd probably just start out with a bad taste your mouth if any --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: Oh, horrible.

THE COURT: -- officers testified. Is that what you're saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: If you want to be fair about it, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: I have --

THE COURT: That's fair enough. I want --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: -- real distain for --

-81-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

1	before? Ms. Defranco or Ms. Hopwood, have
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.
3	THE COURT: either of you been on juries before?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.
5	THE COURT: No?
6	THE MARSHAL: Ma'am, you have to answer yes or no.
7	THE COURT: Have you ever been on a jury before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So both say no. Have would either of you have the
0	tendency to give more weight or credence to the testimony of a law enforcement
1	official simply because he or she was in law enforcement?
2.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No.
4	THE COURT: And are either of you in law enforcement yourself?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: My fiancé is.
6	THE COURT: Fiancé? Where does he work?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: He works for the prison, High
18	Desert State Prison, as a correctional officer.
19	THE COURT: How long has he been there?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Seven years I believe.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And I don't know if he comes home and tells you
22	stories about anything, but would you be able listen to the facts and testimony
23	presented in this case and be a fair and impartial juror to both the State and the
24	defense?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: I'm honestly not real sure

-84-

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: -- based on the information I've already read about it that I knew from before.

THE COURT: Okay. And what about you, ma'am? Are you in law enforcement or any close friends or family in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: My brother-in-law was. He's now retired.

THE COURT: And was he here in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Yes, he was a North Las Vegas policeman.

THE COURT: Did he have any type of specialty when he was still a police officer? I mean did he work homicide, did he work gang?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: I think it was gang.

THE COURT: Okay. And the fact that your brother-in-law was an officer, does that affect your ability listen to all the witnesses and all the testimony and evidence and be fair to both the State and the defense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No, it doesn't affect it.

THE COURT: Thank you. And have either of you ever been the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No.

THE COURT: And have either of you been accused of committing a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: No.

THE COURT: And can both of you follow this instruction: You must follow all instructions of the Court on the law, even if they differ from your personal

-85-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

-89-

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: I can't see her face.

You don't know me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 107: No.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Okay.

MR. STANTON: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: You have somebody in your company that looks a little bit like you from the back.

MR. STANTON: By a show of hands, can you tell me whether you or your significant other -- that is when you're at home at night or during the day -- watch on television any shows that are CSI, Law & Order or something to do with criminal justice or the court system? Just raise your hand. Okay.

For those of you that do, this question is for you: Do all of you or is there anybody here that has raised their hand not understand that that's television, that that's not reality what you watch? Okay.

There is no forensic science currently available that at autopsy, the physician can take a laser and slice the rear of your cornea and determine what it is the last thing the decedent saw before they died. That's just not possible. And in the area of CSI, eight of the top 30 shows are of that format. A lot of people watch them.

Does anybody here, now being called for a criminal case, a murder case, believe that there has to be a certain amount or type of forensic evidence presented in the case? Does anybody feel like that? That a certain forensic-type evidence has to be admitted in a criminal case?

Now, looking out into the sea of faces, I know very little about each and

-90-

.

everyone of you, but nowadays with the internet and social media, there's one thing that you cannot do in a case if you're selected as a juror. And Judge Miley will admonish you each and every day about what -- that you cannot discuss or deliberate this case until it is submitted to you.

There's an additional thing that you cannot do, ladies and gentlemen, and that is you cannot do independent research about this case on the internet or any other form of information accessible to you; Google, social media. You can't put out there that you're now sitting as a juror and what your impressions are every day as you sit as a juror in a case.

The reason why I'm telling you that is because people have done that.

As much as you want to do your own detective work, you cannot do it. You can't go to the crime scene. You can't research terms, issues or the history of this case.

Can everybody comply with that?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. STANTON: At the beginning of this afternoon, Judge Miley talked to you about can you follow this instruction of law and the instruction of law that she gave you is kind of the cornerstone, or at least one of them, dealing with criminal justice, criminal cases, and that is the presumption of innocence. And that is as this defendant sits before you today, he's presumed innocent and you all indicated you could follow that.

Equally as important as that concept is, is this concept: That if the State, Mr. Giordani and myself, meet that burden of proving the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt of committing first degree murder, the right that we have is that the verdict be guilty. Does everybody agree with that right?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Does anybody disagree with it?

Does anybody here on the prospective panel have any moral, philosophical or religious beliefs that would cause them or impede their ability to perform their functions as a juror? There are some religions, one in particular that believes that they cannot sit in judgment of others, and so for religious reasons, they cannot fulfill the obligation of a juror. Does anybody have that difficulty or problem?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Has anybody here by a show of hands served in the military?

Okay. Out of those three people, did you all receive honorable discharge?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Did anyone of you serve -- and other than possibly you, sir -- in a referral for a court marshal or somehow involved in a court marshal process?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Yes.

MR. STANTON: And sir, let me go to you. That would be Mr. McIntosh and your badge number is 079. Was that part of your paralegal duties as -- involved in that process?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Did you make any formal referrals for discipline or court marshal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Well, I have to think back. It's been some time but yes, I did make referrals.

MR. STANTON: And when you worked in the paralegal process of the Navy,

-92-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Sure.

MR. STANTON: -- it was the criminal paralegal process; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Not only the criminal, but there are other areas in the legal area.

MR. STANTON: I'm not an expert in military law, but as I understand it, the JAGs, the attorneys that are involved in the military --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Sure.

MR. STANTON: -- are somewhat similar to you; that is that they -- they're very rarely specialists but they handle all different types of matters.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Exactly, I worked closely with the JAG Corps.

MR. STANTON: And is that kind of what you did is that you worked on several different subject matters as a paralegal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: That is correct.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

Ladies and gentlemen, in this case, I'll represent to you one of the functions of a juror is to evaluate what the facts are. That's the compelling power of jurors of the jury system in our country. You sit in the trier of facts. You're the ones that determine the evidence coming through this witness chair, what you hear is testimony in this courtroom, photographs and exhibits.

I'll represent to you as I think a fair characterization of the evidence this case is that there are going to be a number of photographs that are very gruesome in nature. They are evidence not only to tell you who killed Brodie but how and why. Does anybody believe that they would have a problem as a juror listening and

-93-

-94-

MR. STANTON: Badge number 116. Ms. Petty, do you have some

24

25

concerns in that area?

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 116: It just would be hard to look at for me. I have a queasy stomach so --

MR. STANTON: I don't think there's anybody that would characterize it as any different than being difficult to look at. They will be. But you understand the role of a juror is to listen to evidence and you can see in the type of case that's in this courtroom right now, that is critical evidence in this case. I'm sure you can appreciate that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 116: Uh-huh.

MR. STANTON: Now it's not something that you would have to stare at and look at for long periods of time. You just have to be open to it to be able to hear the evidence, digest what it means to you, and then from that evidence make a decision; that is, your verdict. Do you think you can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 116: (No audible response.)

MR. STANTON: I appreciate that. For the record, Ms. Petty is nodding her head --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 116: Yes.

MR. STANTON: -- in the affirmative.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 116: Fine.

MR. STANTON: Thank you.

Okay, let me go to the second row. Does anybody have any concerns in the second row?

Okay. And let me see, that's Mr. Solano?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Yes.

MR. STANTON: What are your concerns, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: My concerns is about the looking at

-95-

 the pictures like (indiscernible) like scary pictures. I mean like I cannot see myself looking at a kid being beat up or bruises in the face and -- I don't know, I got kind of like a -- this type of personality like I love kids so much and I have one of my own and I might try, but I don't think I can handle seeing those kind of pictures.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Fair enough. Let me suggest this: With these photographs there will be companion testimony that will be medical in nature in some cases, and the nature of that testimony adds light to what you're looking at in the photographs. And once again, you do not have to look at these photographs for a long period of time. Anything that's admitted as evidence is available to you as jurors to review should any of you desire to. So documents, photographs, all those in.

So they'll be displayed on that large television to the left of you and if you're the type of person -- after you've seen it for whatever period of time, you can certainly look away, still listening to the testimony as to what they're describing and what importance it has in this case. With that as a framework, do you think you can do that, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: I might be able to do that.

MR. STANTON: Okay, I appreciate it very much.

How about the -- anybody else in the second row? Let me shift down to the third row.

Ms. Chen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: Yes. I really don't want you think I try to avoid this service, but when the Judge the first -- when she mentioned about this is a murder case, my heart start to pumping and I start to shaking. And especially my husband right now is out of country -- for this months I'm alone so I

-97-

24

25

impair your ability to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes, sir.

MR. STANTON: And you understand that the burden is still on Mr. Giordani and myself to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes.

MR. STANTON: If you took an oath as a juror, you would be required to follow that; that is, to hold the State to its burden. You take that oath seriously I would presume?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes, absolutely.

MR. STANTON: And just because you saw these photographs, would you automatically presume that the defendant did it and was guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Probably not, but I think it would be hard for me to pay attention with something like that happening.

MR. STANTON: Well I guess the point is if you didn't look at the photographs, could you listen to the testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And are you the type of person that could do that in this case if you found that it was uncomfortable to you to focus on the photographs to then avert your eyes and focus on the testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: I'm not really sure. I think so.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And the children that you care for, what are their ages?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Eight and 10.

MR. STANTON: And so you, unlike some people that haven't been around children much, have seen and been around children and the injuries that they

-98-

24

25

sustain in kind of the accidental nature of life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 052: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Thank you very much, ma'am. And I think if you'd pass it to

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Uh-huh.

MR. STANTON: And Ms. Qualls, your badge is 047. What concerns do you have regarding photographs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: The same. I have a small grandchild. I would listen to testimony, but I won't look at the pictures.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And you could do the same thing as I described before, avert your eyes and listen to the testimony when that subject matter came up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Yes.

MR. STANTON: And if for some reason in your deliberations you felt it was necessary or for you individually to see some of the photographs or a particular photograph to resort back to testimony, do you feel you could do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: No. Those things -- those things stay with you for a long time.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Do you believe that your -- it would impair your ability to sit as a juror? In other words, prevent you from performing your duties as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: No, not as long as I don't have to look at the pictures.

MR. STANTON. Okay. Anybody else in that row?

Next row. Anybody in that row?

-99-

21

22

23

24

25

And finally the front row.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: I don't know that I could look at the pictures.

MR. STANTON: Okay, and let me just stop you there. That's Ms. Nguyen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.

MR. STANTON: And for the same reasons as previously indicated?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yeah.

MR. STANTON: Now there's a demarcation — I think we're kind of flushing it out — about your ability to absorb evidence and your — and to sit as a juror to be the people that make that assessment about what facts are. And in this particular case, what it means, because you're going to hear testimony about that from doctors and witnesses about specific injuries. You feel comfortable in listening to the testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.

MR. STANTON: And you believe that you could avert your eyes and continue to absorb the testimony on those that you found --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.

MR. STANTON: -- you (sic) unable to look at for extended period of time; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

Anybody else that I missed?

And ladies and gentlemen, under Nevada law, under the offense that Mr. Lee is charged with; that is, murder in the first degree, the punishment for that offense, if he is found guilty, is decided by a jury and it would be the same jury.

-100-

ა

 Immediately after the guilt phase of the trial there would be a penalty phase of the trial.

In that phase of the trial, there are three sentencing options to the jury. Once again, this is only if the defendant is found guilty of murder in the first degree. And those three sentencing options are life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole and a term of years. And so my question is does anybody in the jury panel have any difficulty making that decision?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

MR. STANTON: Anybody here would have -- find that task they would be unable to do in the penalty phase?

At the end of the trial, Judge Miley gives the instructions of law to the jury. Those are the law that you would then apply to the facts of this case. And then you go back to the jury room, 12 of you, to deliberate on this case.

There is no jury instruction that tells you about how to select a foreperson. One of the 12 is going to be elected by the jury as the foreperson. And their vote does not count more or less than any other jury, or any other juror, but they have one function that no other juror does. They sign the verdict form. And so my question to you as a whole is if any of you are selected in this jury and the fellow jurors select you as the foreperson, does anybody here find it impossible that they could not sign the verdict form, both in the guilt phase and the penalty phase, as it relates to that man right there?

Now I'm hopefully going to do this in a expedited fashion and that is I'm going to go along with just a couple questions to each of the jurors, at least those that I have some, and I'd like to begin with Ms. Dobard, 083. You were a medical tech at UMC for 30 years?

-102-

-104-

were you active in their -- raising those children?

25

-105-

20

21

22

23

24

25

someone by criminal means? I think you said murder.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Yes. Though I can't really comment on this years because I have a sub-team that's working on that so I don't have the specifics on the case.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Lea, if you could pass it to Mr. Castellanos.

Mr. Castellanos, is English your primary language?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: No.

MR. STANTON: Spanish?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Is there anything that you've had difficulty understanding here today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: I had difficulties understanding the disclosure that the Judge says at the beginning.

MR. STANTON: Okay. I'll represent to you that you're going to hear medical testimony in this case, obviously from the witness list and the questions by Judge Miley involving doctors, nurses. Do you believe that you're comfortable in absorbing that kind of testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Meaning like what?

MR. STANTON: Understanding it -- your knowledge and understanding of English, is it sufficient enough that you think you could understand that type of testimony if it gets somewhat medical at times?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: If it is too technical, I might not but --

MR. STANTON: Okay. Well then let me ask you the following question, Mr.

-106-

-108-

24

25

MR. STANTON: Thank you very much, sir. Think it comes back down -- we're kind of in this row, so if you could bring it all the way back down to Ms. Defranco.

Am I pronouncing that correct, Ms. Defranco?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Yes.

MR. STANTON: You're a nurse.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 192: Yes.

MR. STANTON: So my questions are a little bit different but the same subject matter. You were involved in assignments as a nurse in what I consider the mosh pit of hospitals where things are going on very active and very traumatically. I'm assuming in your employment history that you have been around children as the patients that you've been presented and assisting; is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: Well currently I'm the school nurse and I have two elementary schools, so yes. I see children every day with bruises.

MR. STANTON: Both as a school nurse and also you've seen as an ER nurse and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: And that was just one year and that was probably about 15 years ago now.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And the neonatal and intensive care, how long were you with that assignment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: That was 15 years ago, but that would be as -- those are newborn babies.

MR. STANTON: Right. And what hospital was that at?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 352: That was Sunrise.

MR. STANTON: And you have one child. I'm assuming that you were active

-109-

-110-

1	MR. STANTON: Thank you very much.
2	Mr. Solano?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Yes.
4	MR. STANTON: You have three children they're all relatively spaced out as
5	far as age. Were you actively involved in the raising of your children from
6	newborns the age of six?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Yes.
8	MR. STANTON: You comfortable with how your children had bumps and
9	bruises and injuries when they were raised at that age?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Yes.
1	MR. STANTON: Thank you, sir.
12	And then it's Lenehan?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Yes, sir.
4	MR. STANTON: Am I pronouncing that correctly, sir?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Correct.
16	MR. STANTON: You have no children. Let me ask you have you ever been
17	around children of that age?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: No.
19	MR. STANTON: Okay. And you have any siblings of your own, or siblings
20	within your family, any brothers and sisters?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Yes.
22	MR. STANTON: Are they older or younger than you?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Older.
24	MR. STANTON: So you have no experience about being around kids
25	whatsoever?

1	MR. STANTON: Have you ever been around for a period of time I'm
2	talking about 24 to 48 hours of children between newborns to six years of age?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No.
4	MR. STANTON: Do you have any siblings, brothers and sisters?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Younger sister.
6	MR. STANTON: How much younger than you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Three years. She's about 20.
8	MR. STANTON: Okay. When you were growing up, do you remember
9	anything about your sister and raising her if you did at all been being around her?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No.
11	MR. STANTON: You were never the babysitter for her because you were so
12	close in age?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: No. No.
14	MR. STANTON: Is there anything that would cause you to be unable to
15	listen to that type of testimony in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 036: Not at all.
17	MR. STANTON: Thank you very much.
18	Ms. Lacrue?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 191: Ms. Stover.
20	MR. STANTON: I'm sorry. That's where we
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 191: One nine one.
22	MR. STANTON: Ms. Stover, my same question to you about children. Have
23	you ever been around children of that age for a protracted period of time?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 191: Not really, no.
25	MR. STANTON: Do you have any siblings?

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION
10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

-113-

24

25

PROSPECTIVE	JUROR	NUMBER	191:	No, I	do	not.
-------------	--------------	--------	------	-------	----	------

MR. STANTON: Is there anything that would cause you a problem to listen to testimony based upon what you know about the case so far?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 191: No.

MR. STANTON: Thank you very much. If you could pass that microphone back down.

And Ms. Lacrue, you have prior training as an EMT; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: That's correct.

MR. STANTON: Was that in the state of Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: State of California.

MR. STANTON: And were you certified in any way in that process?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: I was certified EMT, yes.

MR. STANTON: It was a certification process that you had to test for and study and then the State of California or some sub-entity certified you to be an EMT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: That is correct.

MR. STANTON: Did you in ever in your employment -- how long did you -- were you an EMT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: For about two years, a volunteer firefighter for four, and then once I became active on the force, that's when I had to have my EMT. So two years with the EMT.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And did you have any exposure to cases of child abuse while you were working in that form of employment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 039: No, I did not.

MR. STANTON: You have four children?

-114-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

1

-118-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

-119-

-121-

-122-

-123-

-124-

1	They're obviously directly in that age frame that I'm referring to now.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.
3	MR. STANTON: I'm assuming that you're actively involved in their care?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.
5	MR. STANTON: And you're familiar with the normal bumps and bruises
6	obtained by your children?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.
8	MR. STANTON: Thank you very much.
9	And finally is it
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Hopwood
11	MR. STANTON: Ms. Hopwood.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Yes.
13	MR. STANTON: Thank you. Ms. Hopwood, you don't have any children.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.
15	MR. STANTON: Have you ever been around children of that age frame?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: No.
17	MR. STANTON: Is there anything about the subject matter of that of the
18	age and that it's a child and the charges here that would cause you a problem?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: I think it's disturbing, yes, but
20	MR. STANTON: Hopefully everybody would think that, but my question more
21	is would it impair your ability to be anything but a fair and impartial juror?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: Honestly, I don't know that the child
23	part of it would be that. It would be the part of what I've already read in the past
24	that keeps coming into my head that might be the problem.
25	MR STANTON Okay

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. STANTON: I want you to be honest. Is there -- is it something that you feel so strongly about that you don't feel that you can put that aside --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: I think so. I think I just feel like -I've got it in my head that I've read so much about this case in the past and that it's
something that stuck in my head because it happened quite a while ago. This isn't
a recent case. It's something that stuck in my head and when I heard the name, it
popped up and I remembered it immediately so --

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: -- I'm just being honest here. I don't know that I can put that aside.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And at the end of day what Judge Miley and I and the defense attorneys need to know is whether you can.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: And like I said, I don't know at this point, and I don't know that there's a way to know until you're already into it --

MR. STANTON: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: -- and that -- that's not a fair position to put anybody in.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you very much, ma'am.

No further questions at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. By the defense?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: And actually I didn't ask, are you passing the panel for cause?

MR. STANTON: Yes, based upon my questions, Your Honor, I am.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

-127-

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counsel, ma'am.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Thank you.

I'm just going to ask a couple questions to everyone first. Does anyone here think that because Michael is sitting there that he's guilty? Anyone actually think that? Everyone believes he's innocent until proven guilty by the State?

Does anyone believe there's a certain way that Michael should act or should not act during trial? That he should be jumping up and down if a witness or not. Does anyone have any preconceived notions about how someone guilty or someone innocent should actually look? No? Okay.

And is everyone aware that Michael doesn't have to actually take the stand during trial? Would anyone hold it against him or think well I think he's guilty because he doesn't get on the stand?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: No one thinks that?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay, Okay, Mr. Lenehan. You --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: I mean, I think if somebody doesn't get on the stand, I mean if you're here defending your freedom basically in a major case, I mean I would feel that you'd want to go up there and plead your case to the jury.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: And you believe that 100 percent?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: That's right.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. Let me just (indiscernible) make a note of that.

-128-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

-129-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: It would just make me think that, you know, like a strike against him type thing, you know what I mean? Like it's just not good, you know? MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: You know, because you would want

to get up there and plead your case and especially if you know you're innocent, you know.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. I see people nodding their heads.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: Yes.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Like you. I see you nodding your head.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: For me, I won't say if he is -- he don't go there is guilty, but for me if I know I'm innocent, for sure I will go there.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: So let me ask you if -- would you want to be -- if you were -- if you were in that position and somebody thought -- and you decided not to take the stand and someone like you was on a jury, would you want that person on the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: Probably not.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: No, you wouldn't want yourself on a jury then.

Would you, Ms. Lea?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Well, are you assuming the person's innocent?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Yes, I'm assuming the person is innocent but chooses not to take the stand for whatever reason, due to nervousness or because it's his right -- the defense doesn't have to ask a single question. They don't have to present a single witness. Is -- does everyone -- is everyone aware of that?

-130-

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: I mean if he's -- you have a beautiful youthful face. If he's 16 --

MR. ALTIG: Thank you, Your Honor.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: -- and he feels he can't do it and you're there for him, that might be different, and if he's 27 and he's not saying anything, yeah, I have -- I would definitely be swayed.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: So depending on his age would determine whether or not you'd be fair and impartial if he chose not to take the stand when that time came?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Yes, if he was -- I could see you saying you know what, this person is -- he's a minor, he's vulnerable, he's -- he could be swayed, he could be emotionally manipulated, we protect him, whereas if he's a full-grown man, yeah, I think -- you know, I -- I stood up for myself before. I think we all have. I think he should speak.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: He's over 18. So knowing that he's an adult and not a child, if he -- when the time came if he chose not to take the stand, could you be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Would we have a -- be given a reason why?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: No, there would be no reason. No reason. You would not be given any reason by me or my co-counsel or by the client. He would not -- if he chose not to take the stand, he wouldn't get up and say I'm not going to take the stand and these are the reasons why.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: We're all getting grilled over details

-133-

for the exact same thing. The least we could do is ask the same. I wouldn't mind it if you were to say, you know, he's feeling extremely nervous or whatever, but for no explanation just okay, we're just — he's not taking the stand for anything, yeah, I would have a problem with it.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: So I guess my -- then the question I need to ask is he's entitled to fair and impartial people sitting in that box, not people who are not fair and impartial. If he chose not to take the stand without any explanation, could you be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Probably not because I would have never really heard his perspective or point of view.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Does anyone else feel that if Michael Lee chose not to take the stand, that they could not --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 082: Just because he takes the stand doesn't make him guilty or innocent.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 082: You know, if he doesn't take the stand, you know, it doesn't make him automatically guilty. You know, he could -- like I said, he has lawyers to talk for him. You know, the -- he can say the wrong thing and he's going away for the rest of his life, you know, so --

MS. VON MAGDENKO: So you think you can be fair and impartial -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 082: Yes.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Does anyone feel they cannot be fair and impartial if he does not take the stand? Okay. All right. No.

There — this is a court of law and there are rules that we have to abide by, and the Court, the Judge is going to give you those rules. And I'm going to ask

24

25

generally a continuum of when following rules -- by a show of hands, I'm going to do a continuum here. Is there a group of people -- and wait to raise your hand because I want to go piecemeal -- that feels that rules should be followed all the time? And then in the middle a continuum of rules are generally meant to be followed, but occasionally given the circumstances they can be broken, or at the other end of the spectrum instead of rules should always be followed no matter what, that, you know, rules are meant to be broken?

So is anyone in the category, by showing of hands, that rules were made to be broken? No one believes it? Okay.

Is anyone in the middle, just by a show of hands, that rules should generally be followed but exceptions should be made? Okay, just hold your hand up because I just want to put a circle by your name. So that is -- and when I call your name out, you can put it down.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Are we talking specifically in a court of law or just in general?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: I'm talking in general.

In general, if in general you believe that rules can occasionally be broken --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Big rules? Little rules?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Any rules. Any rule. Any rule. Any rule. Raise your hand if you're in that camp. All right, so we have Ms. Petty. When I call your name, you can put your hand down. Ms. Lea?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Undecided.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. All right. We have Mr. Cornell. We have Mr. Marmol.

-136-

position. If I don't follow the rules, I can kill somebody in my job.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: And if you don't -- if nobody ever followed the rules -- I mean if everybody decided yeah, you can break them every now and then, it would be chaos like the new movie The Purge or something. You know, it would just be chaos. But that's just --

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Ms. Jacques, why do you believe rules should be followed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 162: I'm a firm believer in the rules.

They're there for a purpose and when we get to lax, that's when bad things happen.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: And Ms. -- Juan? Why do you believe rules should

be followed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 198: Because they're there because somebody thought they were (indiscernible) and we must follow I -- I have a firm belief that we must follow the rules.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Does everyone who said rules should be followed agree with what Mr. Castellanos, Ms. Jacques and Ms. Dobard said? Does anyone who believes in following rules disagrees with their reasons? Do they have a different reason? No? Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: 1 -- as --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 060: I think I got confused on the question --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 079: Yes, it's a tough question, but I think it's based on circumstances.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay, so you --

-137-

-138-

-139-

-140-

-142-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: To deal with them? No, but --

25

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

2

3

4

5

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

-144-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

you brought up.

-145-

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Does anyone here believe in spanking? Okay. So just keep your
hands up raised high because I just want to see. So almost everyone believes in
spanking, so you can put your hands down. For the people well obviously, w
know people don't believe in spanking so

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: I don't have children.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 194: That's my reason why. I don't have children, so I don't feel like I would know when that would be appropriate at this point.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: And I know some of the people who actually don't have children also had the same response. Is that why? Because you don't have children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 191: That's correct.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. Does everyone else who doesn't believe in speaking, is that the reason why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: I have kids, but I don't believe spanking is work.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: You don't think it's effective? And you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: I missed something. I didn't catch what you were saying there.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Do you believe in speaking or not? You do. Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 148: For direct defiance, that's usually -other than that I'm into marathon lecture. That's --

MS. VON MAGDENKO: That'll be enough for them to stop doing whatever

-146-

you.

Yes, go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: I don't have children, but I don't believe you should ever hit a child, so I would never spank --

THE COURT RECORDER: Can you pass the microphone, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Do you want me to repeat that?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Yeah, just repeat it for her because she didn't hear

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 047: Okay. I don't have children, but I don't believe you should ever lay your hands on a child.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. And you can give the microphone to Mr. Solano behind you to your right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Okay.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: And why do you not believe in spanking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: Well I have -- I have three kids and if I remember in 21 years, 22 years there's -- which is the older, maybe I spank him once, but I regret doing that. I don't believe in spanking is because that's why there is ways to talk to people. So like -- like she said, you can talk to them as a friend and at the same -- at the same time, you can show them how to respect the dad and the mom. There's not necessarily spanking.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. I'm going to ask a -- actually a question since -- for time purposes to everyone in generally and this is another raise your hand kind of question for parenting style. The people who are parents and people who have been nannies and the people who have nieces and nephews or even if you don't have children, if you did or if you were around -- or if you're out in public and

- 1	
1	you see children behaving a certain way. How many people would be of the
2	philosophy that it's kind of laissez-fare? You let a child grow up and you're there to
3	monitor, but it's generally kind of up to them if they're going to play with, you know,
4	Legos one day or Play-Doh another. Who has that kind of attitude towards
5	parenting? No one?
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you be more specific?
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well I don't know
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's a kid.
9	MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. Do you believe that I guess the well I'll
10	do it the other way. Do you think a child should be very structured in their day as
11	far as being, you know, as a parent?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 178: Yes.
13	MS. VON MAGDENKO: Anyone who who believes that? Who's raised
14	their hands? Who thinks that it should be very structured?
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What's the what is (indiscernible)
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I mean there's a difference
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They should be structured (indiscernible)
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: their own person too.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes and no.
21	MS. VON MAGDENKO: Right, no, no
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So it's
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Like do they get up at the same time
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: But then you're going to be raising a
25	wallflower.

a certain time.

time.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- do they brush their teeth at the same time.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, yeah, you don't want to be too structured.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They can play whatever, but they should play at

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: You'd be raising a -UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You know what I mean, take a nap at a certain

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: You're not showing your kids how can --

THE COURT: Hold on.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 766: -- they can be friend with a --

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I know that a lot of you want to respond to a question, but because we're making a record, I need for you guys to go one at a time. That way we know which juror is speaking and again, it's real important that we identify which juror is speaking, okay? Thank you.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay. So the question just was raise your hand if you believe that a child's day should generally be structured? Generally.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Generally.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Generally. Not every single second, but most of the time. Okay. I just want -- if you can just hold your hands up because I -- and then when I call your name out, put your hands down because I just want to mark that. So that's Ms. Petty, Ms. Jacques, Ms. Lea, Mr. Cornell, Mr. Marmol, Ms. Carmen Alvarez, Mr. Lenehan, Ms. Minamoran (sic) -- I apologize -- Ms. Lacrue, Ms. Kaai-Qualls, Ms. Hundley, Mr. Forbes, Ms. Chen, Mr. Mcintosh, Mr. Alston, Ms. Hall, Mr. Tricase, Mr. Miller, Mr. Burns, Ms. Nguyen.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

23

24

25

MR. ALTIG: Sorry, you're going to go back over who?

MR. STANTON: Pardon me?

-151-

THE COURT: He's going to ask them some more questions.

MR. ALTIG: You're going to ask who, Lea and --

MR. GIORDANI: And Burns.

MR. STANTON: Right.

MR. ALTIG: Okay.

[Bench conference ends at 4:27 p.m.

THE COURT: All right. I believe the State has a few more questions for the jurors.

MR. STANTON: This series of questions goes to Ms. Lea, Mr. Lenehan and to Mr. Burns. One of the things -- in a criminal case, we talk about jury instructions and that is the law that all of you must follow if selected as a juror in this case. One of those instructions is going to be about a defendant's right not to testify in a criminal case, and that instruction is that it is not evidence for you to consider at all. You can't consider it, you can't deliberate with your fellow jurors -- it does not exist as a fact to be considered in a criminal trial.

So the question then becomes based upon your previously expressed ideas, and they're not uncommon ones, is whether or not you could abide by that based upon the rules that you're given by this Court and your oath to follow the rules? So let me start in the back and work forward.

Ms. Lea, with that as a framework and the karaoke mic, can you tell me, putting those aside, can you abide by the rule that says that's not evidence and you may not consider it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: So the point is if -- if he has people representing him that are then taking what they know and -- and creating their reality for it, you're asking me if I can accept that as fact?

-152-

25

MR. STANTON: No. What I'm telling you is the rule of law says you cannot
consider whether or not a defendant chooses or elects not to take the stand in a
criminal trial as evidence against him. You can't deliberate and you can't interject
that into the determination of whether the defendant's guilty or not.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: Yeah, I don't think I would see it as
evidence against him, but I don't know if I could honestly come to a conclusion that
I would feel would be valid without having his perspective.
MR. STANTON: Okay. Well, I'm telling you what the rule of law is, that you
can't consider it under any circumstances. Can you abide by that rule of law?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 165: I can abide by the law, but I don't
know if I would feel that I honestly came to the correct conclusion.
MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you very much.

Mr. Lenehan? You were nodding your head. I think you understand the distinction I'm trying to draw. What's the answer to my question for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: I would say no.

MR. STANTON: You could not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 016: No, I could not.

MR. STANTON: Thank you very much, sir.

Mr. Burns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 177: No.

MR. STANTON: Thank you very much.

Judge, as to all three, I'd concur.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, at this time I'm going to thank and excuse Hopwood, Chen, Lenehand (sic), and Burns.

Ladies and gentlemen, before you leave the building, please go down

-153-

-154-

THE COURT: One nine nine. What happened with you, ma'am?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: Do I have to say in front of all these
ple?

THE COURT: Well let me ask this: You still have your right to vote, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: Yes.

THE COURT: You still have the right to serve as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And I should -- let me go back with Ms. Roberts. I should have asked this question of you, then I'll ask you the same question. The fact that you went through that yourself as far as being accused of committing a crime, does that affect your ability to be fair to both the State and the defense counsel and defendant in this particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: No.

THE COURT: All right. And same with you, ma'am. As far as going through that event in your life, do you have the ability to be fair to both sides in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And have either of you been the victim of a crime, or have any of my new people been a victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: No.

THE COURT: No one? Okay.

And can you guys follow this instruction: You must follow all instructions of the Court on the law even if they differ from your personal conceptions of what you think the law ought to be. Can you follow that instruction?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And can you also follows this instruction that a

-157-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: Just retail. Till ill school as well.
2	THE COURT: Are you at UNLV, CSN?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: CSN.
4	THE COURT: And have you selected a major?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: Elementary education.
6	THE COURT: You want to be a teacher?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Are you married?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: No.
10	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: No.
12	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Clark County, Nevada,
13	ma'am?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: Twenty-four years.
15	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
16	Let's move over to Mr. Thomas, Terry Thomas, number 195. Sir, are
17	you employed?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195. Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: What do you do, sir?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: I work excuse me Clark County
21	Department of Aviation at Henderson Executive Airport.
22	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: Going on eight years.
24	THE COURT: And what is your job description there?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 195: It's a line service representative.
	-159-

-162-

1	THE COURT: You work at a daycare center?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: What age children do you take care of?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Two.
5	THE COURT: Two year olds?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: I have two children.
7	THE COURT: Oh, you have two children? You run a daycare center?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: No, just adult daycare center.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: I'm working at the adult daycare
11	health center. Adult.
12.	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: And you have two children?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: Are you married?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Does your husband work?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Yes.
20	THE COURT: What does he do for a living?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Taxi driver.
22	THE COURT: What is your main language, ma'am?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Korean. Korean.
24	THE COURT: Korean?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Yeah.

-163-

1	THE COURT: And how long have you lived in Las Vegas?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: Nineteen years.
3	THE COURT: Ma'am, are you having some difficulty understanding
4	everything?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: No.
6	THE COURT: No. You can understand everything fine?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: No, no.
8	THE COURT: No?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, at this time I'm going to dismiss you from jury
11	duty. So what I need for you to do is go downstairs to the third floor and check out
12	okay? Back down where you started, okay?
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You go ahead.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 217: 1 go?
15	THE COURT: Yeah. Downstairs. To third floor. Okay. Thank you.
16	THE CLERK: Badge number 225, Cornelia Lopez, replacing seat number
17	32.
18	THE COURT: Put that down as English.
19	All right, Ms. Lopez, looks like it's just you and me on these questions.
20	Have you ever been a juror before?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: No.
22	THE COURT: All right, and are you in law enforcement?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: No.
24	THE COURT: Close friends or family that are in law enforcement?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: No.
ı	1

-164-

-165-

25

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And ma'am, are you employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I'm not employed. I'm married. I been in -- in the Clark -- Clark County for the last 12 years. My -- I don't have children.

THE COURT: You were listening to the questions, weren't you? I appreciate you speeding it up here. Okay. Quick question though. So you're not employed now. Have you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: No, no.

THE COURT: -- ever been employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Yes. I currently have a real estate license. I do not practice by choice because I'm looking for a career change.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I was a previous job -- in a previous job was a community college tutor in Pasadena, California.

THE COURT: Okay. What'd you tutor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: What do I do?

THE COURT: No, no, what subject did you tutor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I tutor legal assistant. Not criminal.

Mainly civil. There are people who have trouble understanding for instance

(indiscernible) mainly probate.

THE COURT: Okay. What kind of training did you have to be able to do that job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I was a student at the time, but I -- I develop affinity for this profession and I sort of read more than other students and I was able to explain them concepts they were not able to grasp in the classroom.

-166-

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Through my understanding in my
3	own studying.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And what does your husband do for a living?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: He's retired from Los Angeles
6	Superior Court.
7	THE COURT: What did he do with the court ,
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: He was the traffic commissioner.
9	He did mainly traffic.
10	THE COURT: Is he a lawyer?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: He was a lawyer licensed in
12	California. Not Nevada
13	THE COURT: Did he ever do any criminal work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I believe so.
15	THE COURT: And how old are your kids?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I don't have children.
17	THE COURT: Oh I'm sorry, you said that you you told me faster than I
18	could write that down.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Sorry about that.
20	THE COURT: That's all the questions I have for you. The State I'm sure has
21	a question some questions for the new individuals.
22	MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	Ms. Roberts, I want to start with you. Based on the Judge asked you
24	about the incident that you were involved in law enforcement when you were a
25	minor?

-167-

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: Uh-huh.

MR. STANTON: And the Judge asked you whether or not the District Attorney's Office prosecuted it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: Uh-huh.

MR. STANTON: There's a lot of people that are in the system that have no idea the distinction, but what I want to ask you is if it's a misdemeanor and it occurs within a city, the city attorney's office prosecutes misdemeanor matters within the city limits.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: Okay.

MR. STANTON: Does that help you at all? If it is a felony matter, no matter where --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: It was -- I believe it was a felony and got dropped down to two misdemeanors.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And how long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: I couldn't tell you. I'm 24 and it was when I was in high school so junior, sophomore year.

MR. STANTON: Mr. Giordani and myself work for the Clark County District Attorney's Office. It probably would have been that office that prosecuted your case. Let me ask you a couple questions about it. Number one, do you think you were treated fairly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: No.

MR. STANTON: Was there a particular cog in the machine that you felt was the part or number of cogs that you felt treated you unfairly? And by that I mean police, court system, prosecutors, defense attorneys, judges, the whole thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 218: I mean I was -- I was very young,

-168-

-169-

-172-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: Yes, Sil.
2	MR. STANTON: Tells you about what happened to that child and who did it?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 199: Yes, sir.
4	MR. STANTON: Thank you very much. If you could pass it down Ms.
5	Lopez.
6	Your husband was a traffic commissioner in superior court in
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Los Angeles
8	MR. STANTON: Los Angeles?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Yes.
10	MR. STANTON: And during prior to his appointment as a traffic
11	commissioner, he was a lawyer
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Yes.
13	MR. STANTON: in general practice?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Yes.
15	MR. STANTON: Was his practice mostly criminal law?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I do not know. I was living in
17	another country at that time. So I just met him when he was on the bench.
18	MR. STANTON: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: So I'm not aware.
20	MR. STANTON: Okay. So at the time that your husband prior to him
21	being a traffic commissioner, you weren't married to him?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: I was living in Romania at the time.
23	I'm native of Romania.
24	MR. STANTON: So you didn't know anything about his practice?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Not at all, nothing about the legal
	-174-

system, about the presumption of innocence, a lot of things.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Did your husband -- once you met and were married, did he ever talk about his practice prior to him becoming a traffic commissioner?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: No, at that time I was just taking English and computer courses like immigrant school sort of classes so no, I had no idea what he was doing.

MR. STANTON: Okay. But since you've been married, have you -- has he ever discussed with you what his practice was like or cases that he handled when he was a criminal defense lawyer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Not that I remember.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Have you been around children? By children I mean for purposes of my question, newborns to six years of age?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Slightly yes. I have a younger sister four years younger. I have cousins who are 10 years younger. I had to babysit them when I lived in Romania. I -- when I was in college going to UNLV, I babysat twins nine years old for about three hours a day between 5:30 in the morning to 9:00 a.m. I would drive them to school Monday to Friday for entire year.

MR. STANTON: And as far as the amount of time that you spent babysitting family members in Romania, you were around them for significant periods of time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Is there anything about the subject matter of this trial that you've heard that causes you concern to be a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 225: Absolutely not.

MR. STANTON: You think you can be a fair and impartial juror?

-175-

All right, ladies and gentlemen, they're -- we're going to begin the process where the attorneys for both sides select a jury. Just make yourself comfortable over the next couple minutes. It'll probably take maybe 10 minutes to complete this process. Talk to your neighbor, do whatever you'd like.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can we stand up?

THE COURT: You can stand -- it's hard to sit in those chairs for long periods of time.

[Pause/peremptory challenge]

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, Anntoinette's going to call names. If your name is called, please stand up move to the back of the room, however do not leave the courtroom yet.

Anntoinette.

THE CLERK: Badge number 116, Shaunda Petty. Badge number 218, Ashley Roberts. Badge number 352, Justin Cornell. Badge number 662, Carmen Alvarez. Badge number 766, Victor Solano. Badge number 039, Kelly Lacrue. Badge number 047, Janet Kaai-Qualls. Badge number 606, Robert Forbes. Badge number 088, Stephanie Hall. Badge number 104, Jasmine Roman. Badge number 107, Victoria Mackenzie. Badge number 116, Katherine Bogle. Badge number 142, Leonard Tricase. Badge number 148, Jared Miller. Badge number 169, Joy Erickson. Badge number 199, Courtney Hinton. Badge number 178, Suzanne Nguyen. Badge number 225, Cornelia Lopez.

THE COURT: All right, Jason, please get them in their seats.

[Pause/jury seated]

THE MARSHAL: Oscar should be in seat one?

THE CLERK: Seat one should be Joyce Dobard.

-177-

THE MARSHAL: Yes.

THE CLERK: Two, Cindy Jacques. Three, Juan Castellanos. Four, Oscar Marmol. Five, Denise Defranco. Six, Terry Thomas.

THE MARSHAL: Got it.

[Pause/jury seated]

THE COURT: Counsel for the State, counsel for the defense, is this the jury you selected?

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. ALTIG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, if you're in the back of the room as well as everyone else who's seated back there, thank you and you are excused. Please make sure you go down to the third floor to check out.

Ladies and gentlemen, as you're probably aware by now, you've been selected as a jury in this case. I'm going to quickly have you stand up and be sworn in as jurors. Please stand up and raise your right hand.

[The jury panel was sworn in by the clerk]

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, I don't need for you to sit down yet. We're going to excuse you today. I'm going to ask that you please come back tomorrow at 1:00. Jason, as you're leaving, will give you instructions as far as parking and what the schedule's going to be like during the course of this trial.

You're going to hear the same admonishment every time you guys leave the courtroom. You're admonished not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the trial. Do not read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Do not form or express an opinion on this case and remember you cannot go on social media regarding this case and you

-178-

- 11	1	i
1	cannot do any independent research.	į
2	Please look and see where you're seated because you'll have to sit in	
3	the exact same seat throughout the course of the trial. Have a wonderful evening.	
4	[Jury out at 5:14 p.m.]	
5	THE COURT: I've got to go. If we have any issues we need to address, we	
6	can just do it at the start of trial tomorrow.	
7	MR. STANTON: Okay.	
8	MS. VON MAGDENKO: Thank you, Your Honor.	
9	MR. ALTIG: Thank you.	
10	THE COURT: Have a wonderful evening.	
11	MR. GIORDANI: At 1 p.m.?	
12	THE COURT: Thank you.	
13	MR. GIORDANI: Judge, 1 p.m. tomorrow?	
14	THE COURT: One? Is that what you asked me?	
15	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: One o'clock. See you then.	
17	[Proceedings concluded at 5:15 p.m.]	
18	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual	
19	proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
20	1. A I howwest	
21	Tracy a Legenheemen	
22	Tracy A. Gegenheimer, CER-282, CET-282	
23	Court Recorder/Transcriber	
24		
	II	

1	TRAN					
2						
3						
4						
5						
6	DISTRICT COURT					
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
9	Plaintiff, CASE NO. C277650-1					
10	vs. DEPT. XXIII					
11 12	MICHAEL LEE,					
13	Defendant.					
14	Defendant.					
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEFANY MILEY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE					
16	TUESDAY, AUGUST 5, 2014					
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS					
18	JURY TRIAL - DAY 2					
19	APPEARANCES:					
20	For the State: DAVID STANTON, ESQ.					
21	Chief District Attorney JOHN L. GIORDANI, III, ESQ.					
22	District Attorney					
23	For the Defendant: NADIA VON MAGDENKO, ESQ					
24	STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ.					
25	RECORDED BY: MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER					
	-1-					
	GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION					

INDEX OF WITNESSES

2		<u>PAGE</u>
3	FOR THE STATE:	
4	MONIQUE PANET-SWANSON	34
5	Direct Examination by Attorney Stanton Cross-Examination by Attorney Altig	41
6	NANCY DAHL	
7	Direct Examination by Attorney Giordani Cross-Examination by Attorney Von Magdenko	45 56
8	Redirect Examination by Attorney Giordani	59
9	LISA GAVIN	
10	Direct Examination by Attorney Stanton	61
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>-2</u>-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR THE STATE: Photograph Photograph Photographs Photographs Photographs 42-47 48-76

-3-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION
10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

PAGE

۷.,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: We're back on the record in the State of Nevada versus Michael Lee, Case C277650.

As a preliminary matter, it's my understanding that an offer was extended to the defendant?

MR. ALTIG: Yes, Your Honor, we were going to put that on the record today.

THE COURT: Please do so.

MR. ALTIG: There is an offer extended to the defense yesterday evening. The offer was that Mr. Lee can plead guilty to first degree murder, stipulate to life with the possibility of parole. I've explained that offer to Mr. Lee and he rejects the offer.

THE COURT: Okay. And I just want to make sure, Mr. Lee, that -- obviously whatever decision you make going to trial, taking a deal, that is entirely up to you, but I want to make sure that you had a chance to discuss the offer with your lawyer. Did you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And you had opportunity to speak with your lawyer regarding the strengths and weaknesses of the case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And is it your decision to reject the offer and proceed with trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else we need to address before we bring --

-4-

MR. ALTIG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- the jury in?

MR. ALTIG: When Ms. Von Magdenko and myself were coming up the elevator from the bottom floor, one of the jurors stopped and spoke with us. It was just they were asking us what floor they needed to go to.

THE COURT: I will admonish them.

MR. ALTIG: Ms. Von Magdenko did tell him what floor. That was the extent of the conversation, but we let the State know and we're letting Your Honor know that was what happened; that's the extent of the conversation that --

THE COURT: Okay. And I -- you know, I'm going to go over some instructions anyways and I'll -- they'll be instructed they can't speak to any of you guys.

MR. STANTON: One other brief matter on behalf of the State. I advised counsel this morning via email that we have elected not to admit the rather brief statement of the defendant in this case. Therefore, I don't believe it's proper to refer to it either in opening or as a comment to any witness during the course of this trial.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else we need to address because I'll probably give my admonishments and then we'll go right into openings.

MR. STANTON: Not on behalf of the State, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And we probably won't take a break till probably between State's and defense's opening

MR. ALTIG: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. So with that, if there's nothing else outside the presence, then let's bring the jury in.

-5-

Jase, we're ready for the jury whenever you are.

THE MARSHAL: Okay, Judge.

[Pause]

THE MARSHAL: Department XXIII jury is present.

[Jury in at 1:14 p.m.]

THE COURT: Okay, welcome back everyone. It appears that we have all our jurors. Let me just give you guys a quick rundown of what's going to happen today. I'm going to take a few minutes I'm going to kind of explain the process to you guys and give you guys a couple rules to keep in mind during the course of the trial. When I am finished, the State will have the opportunity to present their opening statements and the defense, if they desire, will also have an opportunity to present their opening statements. Following the opening statements, the State will present their first witness.

So ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you have been selected as a jury in this case. I'm going to take a few minutes to talk to you about what to expect in the case. My comments are intended to serve as an introduction to the trial. At the end of the trial, I'll give you more detailed instructions in writing and those instructions will control your deliberations.

As you're aware by now, this is a criminal case brought by the State of Nevada against the defendant. The case is based on a information. The clerk is going to read the information and state the plea of the defendant.

[The clerk read the information aloud]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you should distinctly understanding that the information just read to you is simply a description of the charge made by the State against the defendant. It is not evidence of anything and it does not prove

-6-

anything. Therefore, the defendant starts out with a clean slate and the defendant has pled not guilty and is thus presumed innocent.

This is a criminal case and there's two basic rules you have to keep in mind. First, the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to present any evidence or to prove his innocence. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence.

Second, in order to convict, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the crime was committed and that the defendant is the person who committed the crime.

It will be your duty to decide from the evidence to be presented whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. You are the sole judges of the facts. You will decide what the facts are from the evidence which will be presented.

The evidence will consist of testimony of witnesses and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits. You must apply the facts and the laws which I shall give you and in that way you will reach your verdict. It is important that you perform your duty of determining the facts diligently and conscientiously, for ordinarily there is no way of correcting an erroneous determination of facts by the jury.

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating my opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts. At times, I may even ask questions of witnesses. If I do so, it is for the purpose of bringing out matters which should be brought out and not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight or value you should give to the testimony of a witness.

 In deciding the facts of this case, you may have to decide which witnesses to believe and which witnesses not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says or only part of it or none of it at all.

In considering the weight or value of the testimony of any witness, you may consider the appearance, attitude and behavior of the witness when testifying, and a number of other things, including the witness's ability to see or hear or know the things the witness testifies to, the quality of the witness's memory, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully, whether or not the witness has any interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias or prejudice, whether the witness is contradicted by anything the witness said or wrote before the trial, and how reasonable is the witness's testimony when considered with other evidence which you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind people sometimes forget things. You need to consider whether a contradiction is an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

The weight or value of evidence does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses testifying for one side. You must consider all the evidence and you may decide the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side has more weight or value than that presented by the larger number of witnesses on the other side.

There's going to be two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is testimony about what the witness personally saw, heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. It is proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact.

For example, if you wake up in the morning and see the ground, the sidewalks and the streets are all wet and water is running down the gutters, you may find from those facts that it rained during the course of the night. It is proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact. In contrast, if you're awake during the night and actually saw the rain fall, that would be direct evidence which is something you personally saw.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight or value to both, but is for you to decide how much consideration to give to any evidence.

Certain things are not evidence and you must not consider them as evidence in deciding the facts of this case; statements and arguments by the attorney, questions and objections of the attorneys, testimony I instruct you to disregard, and anything you may see or hear if the court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is said or done by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses. Remember, evidence is sworn testimony by a witness while court is in session and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits.

There's rules of law which control what can be received into evidence.

When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and the lawyer on the other side thinks that it's not permitted by the rules, that lawyer may object.

If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered and the exhibit cannot be received.

Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, ignore the question and do not guess what the answer might have been. Sometimes I may order evidence stricken from the record and tell you to disregard or ignore such evidence. This

means when you're deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence which I've told you to disregard.

It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which the lawyer believes may not be permitted under the rules. You should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party the lawyer represents. Also, I may find it necessary to admonish a lawyer. If I do, you should not be prejudiced toward the lawyer or client because I found it necessary to admonish that lawyer.

Until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about it or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide upon your verdict.

Do not talk with anyone else about this case or anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you've been discharged as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about it until after you've been discharged by me.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report that to me immediately by telling the marshal.

Do not read any news stories, listen to any radio broadcast or watch any television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it.

Do not do any research on your own or make any investigation of the case on your own.

You may be tempted to visit the crime scene. Please do not do so. In

-10-

view of the time that has elapsed since the case has come to trial, substantial changes may have occurred at the location in question. Also, making an unauthorized visit without the benefit of explanation, you may get erroneous impressions. Therefore, please avoid going near or past the location until after this case has been completed.

And ladies and gentlemen, you are not allowed to post anything on any type of social media talking about your participation in this case.

At the end of the trial, you'll have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult and is difficult and time consuming for the recorder to read back lengthy testimony. I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors have gone to the jury room to decide the case.

Do not let note taking distract you so that you do not hear other answers by witnesses. You should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

Do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. It is important that you keep an open mind.

A juror may not declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of which the juror has knowledge. If any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that the juror or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in the case, the juror shall disclose the situation to me in the absence of the other jurors. This means if you learn during the course of the trial you have

personal knowledge of any fact which is not presented by the evidence in this case, you must let the Court know. Again, you communicate to the Court through the marshal.

Remember, during the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides, as well as all court personnel, other than the marshal, are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial. They are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you because doing so may contaminate your verdict.

This trial is going to proceed in the following manner:

First, the Deputy District Attorney will make an opening statement which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove.

Next, the defendant's attorney may, but does not have to, make an opening statement. Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove.

The State will then present its evidence and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine the witnesses.

Following the State's case, the defendant may present evidence and the Deputy District Attorney may cross-examine the witnesses. However, as I've previously said, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence.

After all the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law.

After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing argument is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence.

Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments.

After the arguments have been completed, you'll retire to deliberate upon your verdict.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm now going to turn over to the State for openings.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE STATE

BY MR. GIORDANI:

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. As you know by now, we, the State of Nevada, have charged that man, Michael Lee, with the murder of Brodie Aschenbrenner. Brodie was two and a half around the time he died. That's Brodie there with his mom. That's Arica Foster. You will hear from her probably tomorrow, and to understand how Brodie died, you have to understand the last few months leading up to his death.

Generally, Arica knew the defendant's family growing up. Her sister, Amanda -- that's Arica's sister -- was friends with the defendant's sister, Jennifer Lee. They lost touch for a while, but ultimately came together in 2010 in October and began dating.

A short time thereafter, Arica needed someone to watch Brodie, so she asked Jen Lee. Jen is the defendant's sister. They had an okay relationship, so she began to watch Brodie when Arica worked and other times when she wanted to go out have some fun.

During that time period while the defendant and Arica are dating, he's not alone with Brodie very much. They're getting to know each other. The defendant has no children of his own. So they're establishing a relationship, but he's not watching Brodie.

Arica also worked on Mondays, so there's a four-hour period where she needed someone to watch him when Jen couldn't.

Around March of 2011, the defendant started to watch Brodie on Mondays and pick him up from Jennifer's house.

Around April of 2011, Brodie started to bruise. I say mysteriously because there are some bruises that Brodie got all the time. His nickname was

because there are some bruises that Brodie got all the time. His nickname was Bambam. He was a rough and tumble kid. He ran around, he jumped on things, he was a typical little boy. But there were other bruises that started to appear that were just completely unexplained.

However, at some point the defendant offers to watch him. He says,

well, he's over at my sister's house, I can pick him up, I can bring him home for you.

Arica was concerned about those bruises. She couldn't quite figure out what was going on. She thought a number of different things. They had a dog. She thought the dog was knocking the kid over. Jennifer Lee has two kids, two boys. She thought that maybe Jen's kids are beating up on Brodie. A number of different things that are explainable. She was trying to figure out what was going on with her son.

At some point in May of 2011, tension began to build between the defendant and Arica, Brodie's mom. There was a strange jealousy dynamic that started to develop between Brodie and the defendant. Arica obviously put her son first, wanted them to get along. She loved the defendant as well. But there was tension rising because they just couldn't reconcile Brodie and the defendant's relationship.

There was a point during that month where Brodie just would not be around the defendant. If he came around, Brodie would throw tantrums, he would

 freak out, he would cry. Arica couldn't explain it. She just thought it was a jealousy thing; new man in my life, maybe Brodie doesn't like him, maybe Brodie's jealous.

On May 13th of 2011, Arica finally opens up to her family. She tells her sister, Amanda, hey Brodie is bruising in weird places and weird ways, I can't figure it out. She asked Amanda at that time to take over babysitting. So she knew where Brodie was at almost all times. Arica knew that's my sister, I can pick her (sic) up myself, she won't mind if I'm a little late because of work. She wanted to put Brodie in a bubble is her words.

Bruising subsided for about two weeks there when Amanda took over.

Arica thought well there you go, I solved the problem, maybe it was the kids, maybe it was the dog over at Jen's house, maybe it was something going on over there that's explainable.

But then in June, the bruising started to appear again. Now remember that at this point Amanda's watching Brodie. Arica has a good lock on where Brodie is at most times.

Another important fact that you should pay attention to -- you'll hear from a number of witnesses that Brodie was potty training during that time. He was two and a half like I said. He was still in diapers and was doing his best to get potty trained. Arica would put him in bed in diapers every night and mysteriously Brodie started to wake up in underwear and she couldn't figure it out. She would ask the defendant. Defendant would say yeah, I went in there, I woke him up to go pee, and then I threw underwear on him; we got to get him potty trained. Arica said I'm not babying him, it'll happen, relax, let it go, we're trying. There was tension that arose because of the potty training as well.

Now June 1st -- we're talking about early June -- Arica began to

24

25

suspect something wasn't right because of the bruising reappearing. She began looking for nanny cams on the internet. She figured she's got to keep an eye on Brodie at all times even when he's watched, so she started to look for nanny cams.

She also started to look for apartments because of the issues going on with the defendant and Brodie. She wanted to cover her back and watch out for her kid and if it didn't work out with the defendant, she was going to move out.

Fast forward about 10 days to Friday, June 10th. Arica drops Brodie off at Merridee Moshier and Brad Moshier's house. That is Arica's parents. Well, Merridee is her mom. Brad is her stepdad. They're all very close.

You'll hear from Merridee, you'll hear from Brad. Merridee is unique in that she was a UMC trauma nurse for 20 years. So she has some medical background.

Brodie at the time he was dropped off that weekend -- and remember he died on Wednesday, June 15th, so we're getting close to the time period there. Brodie had what we call Bambam injuries. He had a couple scrapes and bumps. Nothing significant. He's dropped off at Merridee's house. Merridee doesn't show concern. Brad doesn't show concern. This is little Brodie with a couple bumps and bruises.

Now, during that weekend, Brodie's obviously out of the house. There's some alone time finally for Arica and the defendant. On Saturday, tensions kind of hit a head. There's a conversation that goes on between the defendant and Arica and she basically confronts him about his jealousy issues and his issue with Brodie. What she says is, that's my priority, you guys got to figure it out. So he's on notice at that point there's an issue here and he knows at that point that Brodie's coming in between them. He mentioned or said that he'd do anything to stay with her. Arica

3.

ended up sleeping in Brodie's room that night. That's how bad the argument was.

Now the next morning, Sunday, in the morning, the defendant wakes

Arica up. They talk. They essentially make up. Although the issues aren't resolved,
they're okay. They're on neutral ground at this point.

Arica went to work that day and got off at 6:30. She went straight over to her mom's house, she picked Brodie up, and you'll hear from -- or Merridee and you'll hear from Alayne Opie who is another sister of Arica. They had just given Brodie a bath. They called it tubby time.

There's another -- there's a niece involved. Her name is Lilly. And they would put Brodie and Lilly in the bathtub. They'd yell tubby time. They'd go running up there. They would take their bath, jump around, and obviously, Merridee and Alayne see Brodie butt naked, full nude. They don't notice anything of note, no significant injuries, just a couple that we talked about. And then they turn Brodie over to Arica, she goes home.

I should mention also that while she's still there, Arica discusses the argument between her and the defendant, and they suggest to her that she should really start looking at those apartments.

So Arica goes home. Everything's okay with Brodie. Minor injuries.

Monday, June 13th, there's a pool day scheduled where Brodie and Arica and the defendant are going to go to the pool with Jen, his sister, and her two kids. They go to the pool that day. They're there until about 2:30. Arica realizes crap, I'm running late for work. They rush home. Arica worked from four to eight and came home, checked on Brodie, and discovered a bruise on his forehead that she hadn't seen before.

Remember she's been at the pool with him all day. You don't swim in

your full clothes. She didn't notice the bruise up until that point and she will tell you that.

She went out, confronted the defendant about that bruise. He claims oh, we went over to my buddy Danny's house tonight to watch the Yankee game and when we were leaving, Brodie fell on the rocks out front. Also present at the game were Jen Lee, his sister, Danny Fico who owns the home, and some of Danny's family members.

Arica thinks okay, he fell, he's a kid, whatever. Wakes up the next day. Shocked. She'll tell you she's shocked when she sees the bruising to Brodie's face. She realizes that the bruise on the forehead must have been new, it developed overnight, it was darker, there's bruising on his face on the cheek area, and she becomes suspicious.

She also will tell you that during that day Brodie was anti-Mike is her words, anti-Mike, the defendant, from the moment he woke up. Brodie would throw fits. He didn't want anything to do with him. He didn't want the defendant near him at any point.

So Arica confronts the defendant about the bruising. He says I told you, you know, he fell last night at Danny's house. Arica is suspicious and is pissed and she'll tell you that, but she's trying to make this thing work. She still doesn't know what's really going on.

So she goes on the internet and she finds Shark Reef, the one at Mandalay Bay. She said Brodie's old enough to go to Mandalay Bay at this point, let's take him. The defendant says you can't take him out in public; people are going to think we beat him up; look at him. She says I have nothing to hide; Michael, we're taking him; get ready, let's go.

 On the way to Shark Reef, they stopped at the defendant's work. You'll hear from a number of witnesses that he worked at America's Mart. It's a convenience store and also a car wash. He worked in the car wash portion, and then there's a little store inside where Danny Fico, his best friend, works as the clerk and manager.

They go inside to America's Mart. But before they get in, Mike says don't -- the defendant says don't bring him in there; people are going to think someone beat him. She says I don't care; we're going in. And he, the defendant, starts to walk over to the car wash area where he works, his day to day.

Arica tries to follow. He again says no, don't bring him over where my people are. And she says all right, we're going in the store.

She goes inside to the store, runs into Danny Fico, and there's a conversation about the bruising on Brodie's face.

So they get to Shark Reef at about 10 a.m. Brodie's fussy. Brodie doesn't want to be around the defendant. He'll go near him at a couple points throughout the day and you'll hear that, but generally he has -- he wants nothing to do with the defendant.

They leave Shark Reef. They go to Circus Circus.

At Circus Circus, apparently there's a McDonald's there. They try to take Brodie in to eat because he was being fussy about food. He didn't want to eat. For some reason he had no appetite.

So they go in and Arica lifts him out of his car seat, realizes that he's wet himself really bad. He's soaked through his diaper and she realizes that point she put a swimming diaper -- a swimming diaper apparently doesn't hold as much urine. He had soaked it through.

The defendant becomes pissed for lack of a better term. There's arguments there. Brodie -- he's not a baby anymore; potty train this kid; what's he doing?

Arica cleans him up. She does her best, grab some napkins, cleans him up, and they rush out. At some point the defendant says let's go, let's get out of here, and they rush out.

They had a couple more errands to run that day. You'll hear about the specific timeline later. But they stopped at a hair salon. Arica had gotten her hair done the day before and they overcharged her so they stopped by to pick up 40 or 50 bucks that they overcharged her.

Pay attention when you hear that testimony. Pay attention when you hear the testimony about the hair salon.

Arica gets out of the car. The defendant's driving. Brodie's in the center back seat in a car seat facing forward.

Arica gets out. She'll tell you she closes the door real softly so she doesn't wake Brodie. She goes in. She'll tell you five minutes max she's inside.

She comes back out, opens the door, and Brodie is screaming bloody murder at the top of his lungs. Arica says what happened; he was passed out when I went inside. And the defendant says well, when you closed the door, he woke up.

Arica still isn't completely clued in. She says okay. They go to Best Buy, another errand they wanted to run.

She'll tell you at Best Buy, Brodie's anti-Mike. He won't go near him. He'll freak out when Mike comes near him. So they leave.

When they get home, Arica feeds Brodie lasagna. Want you to pay attention when you hear about that as well, because she'll tell you that Brodie didn't

-20-

.9

really have an appetite. In fact, she'll tell you that she had to force feed lasagna to him. She — the kid had to eat. He hadn't eaten his nuggets at McDonald's. He had to eat so she kind of force feeds him lasagna.

She puts him to bed that night and is going to get a sippy cup for him of milk and she realizes they're out of milk. So the defendant leaves for a little bit. He goes to work, does whatever he does, comes back about an hour later, and she tells him hey Mike, I got to go run errands; we're out of milk and I got to fax some papers at my mom's house.

He becomes frustrated; why didn't she do this before. She said sorry I didn't do it; I'll be back within an hour.

Arica is very specific when it comes to this timeline because she'll tell you she timed it to the minute. She'll say she was gone for 56 minutes. She was kind of doing it as a joke to say hey, told you I'll be back in an hour. She's gone 56 minutes the defendant is alone with Brodie.

That night, unfortunately, Arica did not check on Brodie before she went to bed. She went to bed around 10:30. The door was still cracked. She had left Brodie's door cracked open so she went to bed thinking he's okay.

Early morning hours of Wednesday, June 15th, Arica woke up. She's not sure about the timing. It was around midnight or one and the defendant's not in bed with her. She'll tell you almost instantaneously the defendant walks in the door to their -- from their hallway into their bedroom. She says what are you doing? He tells her I went out to go pee to the restroom in Brodie's room, not the restroom in his own room, and he smelled something bad. He knew it was vomit. He checked on Brodie. Brodie's throwing up everywhere.

Arica jumps out of bed. She runs in, check on Brodie, and sure

enough, there's vomit everywhere. She'll say the walls were painted, the ceiling, the bed, it was all over the place.

So she cleans Brodie up. She strips the sheets, she throws them in the washer, and puts Brodie out on the couch on a towel. She lays next to him for a minute, kisses him on the forehead, says I love you, puts on Bob the Builder, a cartoon movie, DVD, and goes to bed.

Next thing she remembers is the defendant waking her up or waking up to the defendant putting Brodie in bed with her, wrapped in a towel. She can't really recall if she said anything to Brodie, if she said I love you, if she kissed him, but she'll tell you that the next thing that happened was that she woke up, touched Brodie's back, and he was ice cold.

Arica obviously calls police. She calls 911, frantic, hysterical, and you'll hear that 911 call as well. They try to get her to do CPR. She tries but she tells them you don't understand, you don't understand, he's cold, and the investigation begins.

Henderson is where this went down so paramedics and fire from Henderson respond; Henderson police department, Henderson homicide detectives, and a coroner's investigator. So the coroner's office will send out an investigator to the scene of a dead body and specifically the body of a child. Those investigators are looking for a number of different things.

Number one, they always -- number one they want to do is determine whether it's accidental or non-accidental. So they come out to the scene. They're surveying the scene. They're talking to people. They're looking at the injuries, trying to figure out what went on. Sometimes kids just die. There's SIDS, there's other things that go on. Sometimes it happens, rarely. But they come out to do that.

She
lying on the floor
back and sees o
tell you that she
dig further, so sh
concerned.
I'll be
could. This is Br
You'll see some
That

She's surveys the scene, goes into the back bedroom where Brodie's lying on the floor where Arica attempted CPR, and she can see him lying on his back and sees on the front and the face that there are a number of injuries. She will tell you that she was immediately suspicious this is not accidental trauma, I need to dig further, so she flips Brodie over. She sees more injuries and is even more concerned.

I'll briefly show you a few photos. I pared them down as much as I could. This is Brodie as they found him on scene where Arica tried to give him CPR. You'll see some blue things on him. Those are for medical intervention.

That's a close-up of Brodie's face and the bruising.

And that's Brodie's back.

So Nancy Dahl, the coroner's investigator, does her job. She goes out, interviews whoever's there. She talks to Arica. She elicits background, general information. She asked down to what type of birth is it, what hospital, were you smoking cigarettes when he was in your womb. She goes in detail about his history.

Now mind you Arica at this point is probably regretting things, doesn't really understand, and is trying to explain things the best she can. She's trying to run through her mind and find out what went on here.

She explains Bambam. She explains that he was just a rough and tumble kid. He had bruises all the time. She thinks back a few weeks to -- a week or so to a Power Wheels accident that Brodie had. Arica will tell you that they were on his -- they were out in the neighborhood walking the dog, Brodie was on a little red Power Wheels. He tried to jump a curb kind of sideways and fell off and hit his check on the front fender, leaving a small bruise, and you'll hear more about that.

She talked about his ear infections. He had tubes in his ears. He was

kind of sick when it came to that.

She talked about a fender bender they had been in. Three weeks prior, Arica was driving down the road and Brodie was in his car seat in the back and they got rear ended. Her foot was off the brake at the time so they rolled around 20 feet.

She explained that. She also explained I took him to the pediatrician right after that. She was freaked out. She's a doting mom. She took him to the pediatrician.

So the coroner's investigator does her due diligence and she goes out and actually obtains records, records of the pediatrician visits. And come to find out you'll hear from the doctor, the pediatrician himself, and some of the nurses there.

Brodie had been in there 12 times -- 11 times in the last seven months of his life.

She took him for his ears. She took him for a stuffy nose. She took him for pinkeye. One -- at one point, he had fallen in the park and got stitches in his eye. She took him to UMC and then follow up at the pediatrician.

So she goes through this entire history with Arica. Based upon what she gets from Arica and the scene, she determines we need to do an autopsy, there's something going on here.

Dr. Lisa Gavin will testify likely today. You'll hear from her. She's a coroner from the Clark County Coroner's Office. She's the person who actually does the autopsy, and she'll survey the injuries for you. I put them all up there. I'm not going to read that list because it's long. There are a number of external injuries, a number of internal injuries.

Most importantly, she determines the cause of death. She'll tell you it's acute peritonitis due to a transected duodenum due to blunt force trauma to the abdomen. So plain and simple, he had a severed duodenum, completely severed,

which is a tube that goes from your stomach to your esophagus and your intestines is completely severed. Peritonitis is when that fluid drips into your cavity because it's not going where it should and your body reacts in an inflammatory way and fights it and it's fatal at some point.

She'll also tell you Brodie had mild traumatic brain injury. That was a contributing factor to his death. Although the acute peritonitis and the transected duodenum were the primary factor, the blunt force trauma to the head also contributed.

Most importantly, she'll tell you that this was a homicide. This was child abuse. Someone inflicted these wounds. This isn't accidental.

She'll also give you -- and this is very important -- a timeline of the injuries. In general -- there's a number of injuries obviously. She'll say that there are some in various stages of healing. But in general, most of the injuries occurred within a 72-hour window. The head injuries that were significant and contributed to his death occurred within a 24-hour window and the transected duodenum and the peritonitis was within four to seven hours. So very close in time to his death.

Based on Dr. Gavin's findings, Detective Gerry Collins comes out to the scene. He's the lead detective you'll also hear from in this case. He interviews several witnesses and now he has this information from Dr. Gavin, his goal is to piece together the last 72 hours. And it helps to have the timeline I gave you before, the general, but he wants to narrow it down and get to the bottom of what happened in the last 72 hours; who was this kid alone with, who was he supervised by, what was going on.

So he goes -- he interviews Merridee Moshier who's grandma, Brad Moshier's who's grandpa, and Alayne Opie who is Arica's sister. They talk about

tubby time. They tell him yeah, there were a couple of injuries. That small cheek bruise that I mentioned from the Power Wheels accident, they notice that. And he had a chapped lip. Apparently Brodie would go like that, suck on his bottom lip so it would chap. And they noticed that. Again, he's fully nude and they look at his body all over.

So we know that's kind of a general timeline. We have no significant injuries at 8 p.m. on Sunday night.

So they talk to Arica, talk about Sunday night into Monday morning.

She had picked Brodie up, obviously, like I told you. She noticed the same minor injuries.

They move to Monday, June 13th. They talk to Arica. They attempt to talk to Jennifer Lee about that pool day. They're unsuccessful with regard to Jennifer Lee. In fact, they ring her doorbell about -- I think it's 26 times and no one answers. But you'll hear from Jennifer Lee and she'll testify that on pool day -- and mind you this is the defendant's sister. On pool day on Monday, Brodie didn't have any significant injuries. They saw him shirtless. He wasn't beaten up. So you get to narrow that window a little further.

That evening when Arica gets home from work, she finds Brodie wrapped up and kind of tumbled up in his curtains in his room. She says the curtains are wrapped around his leg -- legs. He has a small scratch on his back and she'll describe that scratch to you. She -- I think she calls it chapped or dried out. It was just a scraping -- a scrape on his back. So you know that injury -- the source of that injury.

You move to Monday night. And remember, Tuesday morning is when Arica wakes up to the significant bruising, so Monday night's important. Monday is

when the defendant said I was at Danny's house. Brodie fell coming out. You'll hear from Jennifer Lee and you'll hear from Danny Fico that they didn't see a fall. Jennifer was there the whole time. She didn't see a fall. Nothing happened with Brodie, he was fine. So you get to narrow that window a little further.

Tuesday morning. Remember, the bump on the forehead is a lot worse. There's bruises to his face. Arica will describe a black eye. To be fair, he had pinkeye during the time and she will say that he was rubbing his eye at the pool. Take that for what it's worth. I don't know how that causes a black eye, but that's for you to decide.

And then that cheek bruise that was subsiding from the Power Wheels accident is back. It's significant. And Arica will tell you all of that.

Tuesday, June 14th, we talked about that a little bit. The face bruising is significant. This is when the defendant's saying we're not bringing him out in public; he looks like he got beat.

As I told you before, I want you to pay attention when you get to the hair salon incident of Brodie in the car seat in the back. He's alone with the defendant for that period of time.

Tuesday night, alone with the defendant again when Arica goes to run errands and she doesn't check on him thereafter.

You know what happens on Wednesday morning.

Want you to pay very close attention today when you hear from Dr. Gavin. Obviously timeline's going to be important in the case.

Want you to pay very close attention when you hear from Arica. That'll probably be tomorrow.

At the end of this trial, we're going to ask you to find the defendant

-27-

23

24

25

2

guilty of first degree murder for beating Brodie and causing his death. Thank you. THE COURT: By the defense, do you wish to present now?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, please.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENSE

BY MS. VON MAGDENKO:

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you just heard from the prosecution it is undisputed that Brodie Aschenbrenner was found dead on Wednesday, June 15th of 2011. And I'm just going to put that here. It's Wednesday, June 15th. And the prosecution said at 8:50 in the morning.

At 8:50 in the morning, according to the prosecution, Arica's going to testify that she wakes up and Brodie is in the bed with her and he's unresponsive and is later found to be dead. Where is Michael during this time period?

Arica's going to testify that Michael's at work and that at approximately 5 a.m., that's when Brodie's put in the bed with her alive. So five to nine, that's four hours.

What happened that night in their apartment? They had a two-bedroom apartment. Typical. Brodie actually didn't have his own bathroom. It was a hall bathroom. Not inside. That's what Arica's going to testify to.

Arica was a single, unwed mother. Michael is not the father of Brodie. Brodie was a full-speed-ahead, rambunctious boy. Arica relied on family and friends to help care for him. She would regularly have Brodie at her grandparents from Sunday morning -- rather Saturday morning, spend the night Saturday night, and then all day Sunday and then she'd pick him up again Sunday night.

Brodie had a lot of nicknames. In addition to Bambam, he was also

called the bruiser. That's a nickname that his own family gave him, the bruiser.

According to Arica, he was constantly falling and that he always had bruises on his forehead. This is what the mother's going to testify to.

You've already seen an autopsy photo and you've seen that there's something it's called modeling. That reddish discoloration is there in addition to the bruises.

The State says during their opening, and this is what the coroner's going to testify to, that in the four to seven hours prior to that, Brodie was beaten to death and that's when these internal — I'm just going to call them intestinal injuries happened. So that puts it at 2 a.m. So some time during this time period.

The pictures — do they show who killed Brodie? Do the pictures show when the bruises were there? Or do the pictures even show if it was death by killing or by an accident?

Prosecution mentioned during their opening that just days prior to his death he was on an ATV and fell.

[Colloquy between counsel and the court recorder]

MS. VON MAGDENKO: And I'll show you a picture of this ATV that he had.

Just going to create a little calendar here. This is Friday, Saturday, Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday.

Two weeks prior to death, prosecution mentioned it. This is May 27th.

Bruising easily. Arica takes him to the doctor because he's bruising too easily. Two weeks prior to death.

He dies on this day, the 15th. His quad accident is the 10th. This is the accident. ATV. Just days prior to that he falls off his ATV and hits -- she's either going to testify he hit his head or he hit his cheek either on the ATV or on the

concrete, trying to jump a curb.

Maria, is this on?

THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: And it's -- this is a photo. I'll zoom in. That's his ATV. Just days prior to his death.

Arica does take him to the doctor that day, but does she take him before or after the fall? He has pinkeye. She takes him for pinkeye. Do the medical records even show that he had fallen in his ATV accident?

His last full day was Tuesday. How was Brodie feeling that day?

Arica's going to testify Tuesday morning Brodie woke up and said his head hurt.

The prosecution said that when he was at Circus Circus he peed his pants. Arica's going to testify he actually peed his pants three times while at Circus Circus.

It's undisputed he died of internal injuries. The coroner's going to say there are symptoms. What are some of these symptoms? Inability to control your bowels, vomiting, a loss of appetite.

On Tuesday, prosecution just said it, he wouldn't eat his McDonald's and he wouldn't eat his lasagna. That's Tuesday. He wakes up Tuesday morning and says my head hurts.

Coroner says this injury happened four to seven hours prior to death.

At 1 a.m. is when Arica says the vomiting happened. At 2 a.m. is the seven-hour window.

Defense is going to call an expert witness. He's a surgeon. His name is Dr. Tiwary. He's going to get on the stand and he's going to say when you have this type of internal injury, it's a delayed presentation. He's going to testify you don't

-30-

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 66963 MICHAEL LEE, 3 4 Appellant, 5 vi. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 8 Respondent. 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME III PAGES 492-700 10 STEVEN B. WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Clark County Public Defender 11 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 12 ADAM LAXALT Attorney for Appellant 13 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street 14 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 15 Counsel for Respondent 16 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 17 I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada 18 Supreme Court on the , 2015. Electronic Service of the 19 foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: 20 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO HOWARD S. BROOKS KEDRIC A BASSETT STEVEN S. OWENS 21 I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and 22 correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to: 23 24 MICHAEL A LEE NDOC # 81950 25 c/o HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON PO Box 650 26 Indian Springs, NV 89070 27 BY28 Office