1	IN THE SUPREME C	OURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2		·
3	MICHAEL LEE,	) No. 66963
4	Appellant,	) Electronically Filed
5	v.	Electronically Filed Sep 09 2015 08:35 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman
6		Clerk of Supreme Court
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) )
8	Respondent.	, )
9	APPELLANT'S APP	ENDIX VOLUME V PAGES 931-1109
10	ATTELLANT SATT	A VOLUME VI AGES 931-1109
11	PHILIP J. KOHN	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	
14	Attorney for Appellant	ADAM LAXALT Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
15		Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16		Counsel for Respondent
17		Counsel for Respondent
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		

## INDEX MICHAEL LEE Case No. 66963

PAGE NO. Defendant Michael Allan Lee's Disclosure of Documents filed 12/11/2013 ...... 196-216 Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Autopsy Photographs filed 06/10/2014...... 263-292 Defendant's Opposition to State's Motion for Production of Discoverable Material filed Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 08/14/2014...... 317-319 Ex Parte Application for Court Approval of Payment of Specific Categories of Anxillary Ex Parte Order Declaring the Defendant Indigent for Purposes of Authorizing Payment of Judgment of Conviction filed 11/10/2014......408-408a Motion for Judgmental of Acquittal filed 08/18/2014......349-354 Motion in Limine to Exclude Prior Bad Acts of Defendant filed 10/017/2013 ...... 175-186 /// 

Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine RE: Defendant's Expert (Rundell) and to Foundational Aspects of the Defense Experts' Opinion filed 01/02/2014
Notice of Witnesses filed 12/15/2011
Notice Resetting Date and Time of Hearing filed 12/13/2011
Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal and Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial filed 09/16/2014
Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Autopsy Photographs and Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Dismissal filed 07/10/2014
Order for Production of Inmate Michael A. Lee, BAC #81950 filed 01/18/2012 154-155
Order for Production of Inmate Michael A. Lee, BAC #81950 filed 03/11/2013 173-174
Order for Production of Inmate Michael A. Lee, BAC #81950 filed 10/20/2014 406-407
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 12/12/2011
Receipt of Copy filed 12/13/2011
Receipt of Copy filed 06/19/2012
Receipt of Copy filed 08/20/2014
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal and Motion for New Trial filed 08/29/2014
Reply to State's Return to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 12/30/2011 122-153
Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 11/08/2011
Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 12/22/2011
Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 07/28/2014
Sentencing Memorandum filed 10/14/2014
State's Motion for Production of Discoverable Material Pursuant to NRS 174.245's Reciprocal Discovery Provisions and NRS 174.234 Governing Expert Witness Disclosures filed 01/17/2014
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Dismissal filed 06/13/2014
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal filed 08/21/2014
369-373
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial filed 08/22/2014
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exlcude Autopsy Photographs filed 06/20/2014
State's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 08/14/2014 320-321

1	Stipulation Pursuant to NRS 175.552(2) Waiving Penalty Hearing and Agreeing to Hav Sentence Imposed by Trial Judge filed 08/18/2014	е
2	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 01/11/2013	ı
3	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 01/11/2013	-
4	Verdict filed 08/15/2014	-
5	7 - Granet Iniou 00/15/2017	
6		
7	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>	ĺ
8	Transcript of Proceedings,	
9	Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 08/04/2014	
10	Transcript of Proceedings,	
11	Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 08/05/2014	
12	Transcript of Proceedings,	
13	Jury Trial—Day Three   Date of Hrg: 08/06/2014	
14	Transcript of Proceedings,	
15	Jury Trial—Day Four         931-1109	
16	Transcript of Proceedings,	1
17	Jury Trial—Day Five Date of Hrg: 08/09/2014	
18	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Six	1
19	Date of Hrg: 08/11/2014	
20	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Seven	
21	Date of Hrg: 08/14/2014	
22	Transcript of Proceedings,	
23	Jury Trial—Day Eight Date of Hrg: 08/15/2014	
24	Recorder's Transcript	
25	Jury Trial—Day Nine Date of Hrg: 08/18/2014	
26	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,	
27	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 01/11/2012	
28	///	

1	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
2	Date of Hrg: 01/08/2014	
3	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 07/30/2014	
5	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Confirmation of Counsel (Nadia von Magdenko)	
6	Date of Hrg: 03/13/2013	
7	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Judgment on Acquittal; Defendant's Motion for New Trial	
8	Date of Hrg: 09/03/2014	
9	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,	
10	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Autopsy Photographs; Defendant's Motion for Dismissal; State's Motion for Production of Discoverable Material Pursuant NRS 174.245's	
11	Reciprocal Discovery Provisions and NRS 174.234 Governing Witness Disclosures; State's Motion in Limine RE: Defendant's Expert [Rundell] and to Foundational Aspects of the Defense	
12	Expert's Opinion Date of Hrg: 06/25/2014	
13		
	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Prior Bad Acts of Defendant	
14	Date of Hrg: 10/28/2013	
15	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial	
16	Date of Hrg: 07/02/2012	
17	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,	
18	Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 01/30/2012	
19	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,	
20	Further Proceedings; Clarification of Sentence on Count 2 Date of Hrg: 10/27/2014	
21		
	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing	
22	Date of Hrg: 10/20/2014	
23	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing	
24	Date of Hrg: 10/21/2014	
25	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,	
26	State's Request: Reset Trial Date Date of Hrg: 03/04/2013	
27	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,	
28	Arraignment Date of Hrg: 11/21/2011	
	440-442	

1	TRAN		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	DISTRICT	COURT	
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C277650-1	
10	}	DEPT. XXIII	
11	Vs.		
12	MICHAEL LEE,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
13	Defendant.		
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEFAN	V MILEY DISTRICT COURT HINGE	
15	DEFORE THE HONORABLE OF EFAIL	T WILLT, DIGITAL TOOK TOOGL	
16	THURSDAY, AUGUST 7, 2014		
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
18	JURY TRIA	L - DAY 4	
19	APPEARANCES:		
20	For the State:	DAVID STANTON, ESQ.	
21		Chief District Attorney JOHN L. GIORDANI, III, ESQ.	
22		District Attorney	
23	For the Defendant:	NADIA VON MAGDENKO, ESQ.	
24		STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ.	
25	RECORDED BY: MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER		
	GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249		

## **INDEX OF WITNESSES**

2		<u>PAGE</u>
3	FOR THE STATE:	
4	ARICA FOSTER Cross-Examination Continued by Attorney Von Magdenko	11
5 6	Redirect Examination by Attorney Stanton Recross Examination by Attorney Von Magdenko	60 67
7	BRAD MOSHIER Direct Examination by Attorney Giordani	
8	Cross-Examination by Attorney Von Magdenko Redirect Examination by Attorney Giordani	72 106 129
9	Recross Examination by Attorney Von Magdenko	134
10	HESHAM SIRSY	
11	Direct Examination by Attorney Giordani Cross-Examination by Attorney Von Magdenko	135 151
12	Redirect Examination by Attorney Giordani	153
13	JOANNA ZAVALA Direct Examination by Attorney Giordani	
14		
15	SANDRA PACHECO	
16	Direct Examination by Attorney Giordani	160
17	ALAYNE OPIE Direct Examination by Attorney Giordani	
18	Cross-Examination by Attorney Altig	163 175
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
[.		

-2-

## **INDEX OF EXHIBITS PAGE FOR THE STATE**: Photograph Photograph FOR THE DEFENDANT: Photograph В Photograph С Photograph Photograph Photograph Photograph Photograph Photograph Photograph Μ -3-

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. The defendant is present and counsel's present for

Mr. Stanton, you said you have a matter outside the presence?

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor. Based upon the objection of counsel to

Mr. Altig made reference to some violation of the court order. I think

the photographs, I'd like to advise the Court that today we plan on showing several

witnesses the photographs of Brodie's external injuries at autopsy. And if I could

have just a moment to address Mr. Altig's comments.

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ruled and understand that that issue

the court order -- or the Court already ruled and understand that that issue was not

in front of them. In fact, the motion is to not permit the admission of certain autopsy

photographs.

both the State and the defense.

Primarily in their motion and the relief that they requested was the internal photographs which are obviously extremely graphic by the nature of what they depict. Nowhere in there was the relief requested then up until Mr. Altig's comments that somehow if evidence is admitted, there would be some sort of legal basis on which the evidence could not be used with other witnesses. I'm aware of no law that states that, because I don't think any exist. Once they're into evidence, the State or any party, to include the defense, is entitled to use those photographs if it's relevant and probative. And certainly today we're going to encounter several witnesses where those injuries and their recognition of the injuries is critical.

THE COURT: Okay. And this has -- this is in addition to the discussion we had yesterday and the Court's ruling?

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor, I just -- and it's just as a matter of caution to let the Court know that we are going to seek to use those photographs with witnesses today.

MR. ALTIG: Yes, Your Honor. I do not recall the objection and the motion in limine being to the internal photographs. They were objections to the autopsy photographs which include photographs taken by the autopsy -- or the coroner's investigator. All the photographs that were autopsy related, that was what the objection was from.

If I remember Your Honor — if I remember the State's response to that objection and their responsive pleading was they needed to show those pictures in order for the coroner to explain the injuries. Your Honor went ahead and allowed the pictures in for that limited purpose so that the coroner could explain those injuries.

Now what we have is we have them being displayed multiple times to the jury through different witnesses that -- where they're not needed to explain any injuries. They're, in my opinion, being used to bring an emotional response out of the jury, a highly prejudicial response out of the jury. They're not probative with these other witnesses of any particular fact.

And, Your Honor, as I remember yesterday, in fact, grandmother's testimony, they put the pictures up, they go through each and every mark on the body asking if these marks existed. The same evidence can be elicited without the display of the photographs to the jurors. They don't need to be displayed to the photographs to the jurors.

They asked the grandmother in their direct examination of her if she had noticed — she had bathed the child, yes. She had lotioned the child, yes. Did

you notice any injuries on them? No. They could have gone through the injuries orally. They didn't have to display the pictures over and over again.

Our objection initially was that they were prejudicial in nature, they weren't probative of anything. The State argued in response that they're probative as to the coroner's testimony only, not as to these other witnesses. Now they're being displayed over and over and over again, increasing the prejudicial effect.

I would at this point -- and I understand the Court's ruling from yesterday and I'm not trying to be disrespectful or flippant or anything like that with Your Honor. I'd never do that. But I would renew my motion for a mistrial. I believe that the prejudicial effect of displaying the photographs over and over and over again isn't something that can be undone. It's something that's happened. There's no way to undo it.

Now they're talking about doing it over and over and over again, which is not even what they responded to in their — in the motion — in their opposition to our motion in limine. Their opposition to our motion in limine said they needed it for the specific purpose of having the coroner testify and explaining the coroner's testimony. And now here we are, because they got moved into evidence for that purpose, the State's saying now let's open the door for me to use it for any and every purpose I want to? I don't think that's accurate, and correct, Your Honor admitted them by motion in limine for a particular purpose. They're being — that purpose is now being abused and overused.

THE COURT: Okay. The motion for mistrial is going to be denied. As I previously indicated and as all counsel is aware, prior to a trial obviously I have information regarding the facts in this case, but as to the relative theories of prosecution, theories of defense, that really is what's developed over the course of

 the trial and that is when I first become privy to the real -- how you guys intend to handle each of your respective cases.

When the photographs came up, they came up by way of how the State was going to lay a foundation for them and how they were going to get them into evidence, and quite simply, laying the foundation was done by we have already had testimony from the person who took the photographs and the person who -- you know, the person from the coroner's office that went out to the crime scene took those photographs which were ultimately admitted into evidence, and then the coroner's testimony that laid foundation for the photographs that were taken during the course of the autopsy. So that's really where the discussion came up at the time of trial.

I agree with Mr. Stanton in I don't know any rule that says once they're -- foundation is laid, once they're properly moved into evidence, that they're not allowed to be utilized at other parts of the trial. Obviously you've got to take care not to just use them simply to inflame the jury, but the problem is here -- it's not really the problem. It's clear now to this Court that the main hinge of this -- the main crux of this case is the timing of when everything occurred. And thus far the people that the State has presented the pictures to are people who can put the timeframe in effect.

Quite clearly from the defense's opening, they -- the defense has a different theory of what caused these injuries and everything else. The State obviously has a different theory, so I think they're relevant to show the timing issues of when the injuries occurred or when they became readily apparent to the naked eye and this is the same ruling I gave yesterday. And I think they're more probative than prejudicial.

23

24

25

MR. ALTIG: I understand, Your Honor. If I may, I think maybe part of the problem based on your ruling is the fact that we had the coroner come and testify, was not subject to cross-examination, because I think under cross-examination she would admit that many of the bruises that the State is pointing to were three to four days old. She said that in her coroner's report, under cross-examination that was going to be elicited --

THE COURT: And you'll be able to cross her on that. That would go to weight versus admissibility.

MR. ALTIG: Okay.

THE COURT: And obviously you'll have your own experts who will be able to testify in that regard as well.

Are there other issues we need to address at this time?

MR. STANTON: Not on behalf of the Sate, Your Honor.

THE COURT: By the defense?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: No, Your Honor.

MR. ALTIG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury in.

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, there's something up on the screen that hasn't been admitted.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: This is just a PowerPoint presentation, Your Honor. It's not going to be admitted into evidence. The prosecution used a PowerPoint in their opening. It's just a similar PowerPoint.

MR. STANTON: Well, hold on a second.

THE COURT: Well you have to be able to lay a foundation for it.

MR. STANTON: Judge, we object to anything being shown to this jury that is

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

not evidence.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: They used a PowerPoint in their opening.

THE COURT: But this is examination. How are you going to use it?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: I'm just going to say, you know, ask her a question, did Brodie have a knot in back of his head on Friday, June 3rd, yes or no?

MR. STANTON: Judge, that's improper.

THE COURT: I mean I think these are things that you could use in closing.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay.

THE COURT: I just don't know how you would utilize them in examination.

And I mean, you're always welcome to use the -- I don't know, the boards over there for demonstrative purposes to aid the jury, but this would not be appropriate --

MS. VON MAGDENKO: So I can use the whiteboard for demonstrative purposes, but I can't use the computer for demonstrative purposes?

MR. STANTON: Your Honor --

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Is that your ruling?

THE COURT: There's a different way -- well -- yes.

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I got to tell you, I'm deeply troubled, deeply troubled, that we are in the middle of a murder trial and I have defense counsel attempting to use a document that's not into evidence with no foundation laid and she's standing here before the Court, it appears from the State, incredulous that that's not going to be permitted. That is trial lawyer basics 101 and I am deeply troubled that we're this far down the road and we're in a position where that fundamental fact isn't obvious to the defense counsel.

THE COURT: All I can say is I've already said they're not going to be allowed in the manner that's been presented.

941

-12-

-13-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

24

25

MR. STANTON: This page of the incident report that counsel just showed me
is not a transcript. It's the officer's detective's report. So while I think that there's
leeway about what someone can use to refresh their recollection, I think it needs to
be clear that it's not a transcript of her statement, that in essence what we're doing
is we're going into a hearsay statement; that is, what the detective noted in his
report. That detective is going to testify today or tomorrow and I just would like the
record to be clear. And if we go too far, I'll be objecting that it's an improper basis to
refresh his recollection. It's a hearsay statement.
THE COURT OF

THE COURT: Okay, so just make sure you make a record of what you're showing --

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay.

THE COURT: -- her, please.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Okay.

[Bench conference ends at 10:03 a.m.]

BY MS. VON MAGDENKO:

Q So this is page 62 and it's a summary of the detective's statement that you gave him on June 17th.

MR. STANTON: And just so the record is clear, this is not a transcript of the defendant's -- or the witness's interview with the detective.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Yes, this is the detective's summary.

THE COURT: And is prepare -- I just want to make sure it's prepared by the detective?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: This particular one, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. VON MAGDENKO:

957

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-32-

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q	And when you came home after getting the milk, Michael was sitting on
2	the couch?	
3	Α	Correct.
4	Q	Was he angry on the couch?
5	А	I don't recall.
6	Q	You don't recall?
7	• А	No.
8	Q	Was he watching TV?
9	A	I would assume.
10	Q	So I don't want you to assume.
11	Α	I don't know.
12	Q	You don't know. Okay. Had Michael was Michael drunk that night?
13	А	I don't know.
14	Q	Did you see him drinking a 40.
15	A	Nope.
16	Q	Okay, was Michael high on drugs that night?
17	А	Not that I'm aware of.
18	Q	Did you see him
19	Α	No.
20	Q	And you two you and Michael went to bed in the same bed that night?
21	. A	Yes.
22	Q	At 10:30?
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	Before you went to bed, was there any blood on Michael?
25	Α	Not that I saw.
		-38-

-41-

-42-

	11	
1	Q	Does two hours sound like an accurate time period?
2	A	I don't know.
3	Q	Okay. What time would Michael usually get up in the morning?
4	Α	Before the sun came up.
5	Q	What time does the sun come up?
6	A	I don't know right now. I don't know I can't remember exact times of
7	what time t	he sun comes up.
8	Q	Does approximately 5 a.m. sound accurate?
9	Α	Probably around there, 4:30, 5 a.m.
10	Q	Okay. And what time do you usually get up at this time period?
11	A	After eight.
12	Q	And what time would Brodie wake up?
13	A	Usually around seven or eight.
14	Q	Okay. So when Brodie wakes up, you're still sleeping?
15	A	It depends on the date.
16	Q	Usually?
17	Α	I'd usually get up when he got up.
18	Q	Well you testified that you usually got up after eight.
19	А	I ·
20	Q	Right?
21	· A	I yeah.
22	, Q	Okay, and Brodie usually got up before eight, between 7:30 and 8?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q .	So that means Brodie's awake but you're still sleeping?
25	Α	He'd come and get me when he woke up.
ŀ		-46-

-48-

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STANTON: Then maybe we can spend this time -- this witness can tell -50-GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-51-

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Thank you.

1	THE CLERK: Counsel, can you get the exhibit?	
2	MS. VON MAGDENKO: They're A, B, C, D, E, F, I, L and M.	
3	[Defense Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, I, L and M admitted]	
4	BY MS. VON MAGDENKO:	
5	Q What I'm going to show is what's been marked as Defense Exhibits A	
6	through F, I, L and M, and you've had a chance to look at those? Is that correct?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q And you've actually had a chance to actually approximately date those	
9	photos?	
10	A Correct.	
1	[Colloquy between counsel and the court recorder]	
12	MS. VON MAGDENKO: The court reporter's going to turn the Elmo on so w	
13	can show them to the jury.	
14	THE COURT RECORDER: It should be on. Oh, you know what, press that	
15	red where the red button there. Just a moment.	
16	BY MS. VON MAGDENKO:	
17	Q Okay. And so this picture you approximate was taken in December of	
18	2010?	
19	A Correct.	
20	Q And where was this photo taken?	
21	A In the back yard of my mom's house.	
22	Q Okay. And actually the State showed you some other photos of	
23	Brodie's room. Do you recall that?	
24	A No.	
25	Q There's been certain documents that have been admitted into evidence	
	-52-	

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And when was this taken?
3	А	Shortly after we moved in together.
4	Q	Okay. And there again Michael Brodie is letting Michael hold him?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	And this is Defense Exhibit L. When was this taken?
7	А	Can you tell me what the bottom of the picture says, please?
8	Q	Oh, I'm sorry, 2009.
9	А	It was taken in 2009.
10	Q	And there's bruising on Brodie's forehead, cheek and the side. Do you
11	see that?	
12	Α	Those are bug bites.
13	Q	Those are bug bites?
14	А	Correct.
15	Q	And this is Defense Exhibit M.
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And this was taken in 2009?
18	А	Correct.
19	Q	Whose quad is that?
20	А	My actual father's.
21	Q	Your actual father's. Brodie has a bruise on his cheek then?
22	Α	It's a sunburn.
23	Q	It's a one spot sunburn?
24	Α	Yes. The rest of his cheeks are a little pink too.
25	Q	Okay. This is Exhibit I again. What were you doing that day?
		-56-

-61-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

996

	II.		
	1 Q	And you mentioned Mike. Do you mean Michael Lee?	
	2 A	Yes.	
	3 Q	Do you see that person in the courtroom?	
	4	Yes.	
	5	Can you point to him and describe an article of clothing he's wearing	
	6 today		
•	<sup>7</sup>	He's wearing a gray suit, white shirt.	
8	³	R. GIORDANI: Record reflect identification, Judge?	
9	. !!	E COURT: It will,	
10	MR	R. GIORDANI: Thank you.	
11	BY MR. C	BY MR. GIORDANI:	
12	Q	So you said they Arica and Brodie lived with you.	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	And did they also live with Merridee?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	Was there other people living in the household around that time period?	
17	A	Yes, my daughter, Amanda, her husband, John Butler, and their kids	
18	had to mov	ve in with us for a while.	
19	Q	Fair to assume you were around Brodie when he was around Arica on a	
20	number of	occasions?	
21	Α	Yes.	
22	Q	Showing you State's 4. Does that about sum up their relationship?	
23	Α	Yes.	
24	Q	When did you meet the defendant you just identified here in court?	
25	А	When my daughter started dating him late I can't remember what	
	*APR AND	-74-	
		GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249	
		10180 W. Alfadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249	

-75-

-76-

-78-

-81-

-85-

-86-

1	A	So he I kind of showed him how to play with that.
2	Q	How was Brodie's appetite that day?
3	Α	It was fine. He ate snacks all day and had some pizza when we had
4	dinner.	
5	Q	So he ate snacks during the day?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	What types of snacks?
8	А	Crackers, Goldfish or whatever little crackers they had, fruit snacks.
9	Q	Okay. Seem to you that that was his typical appetite?
10	Α	Yeah.
11	Q	Showing you State's 5, already admitted. Is that that rubber band you
12	were talking about?	
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And this is on Friday, June 10th?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	He was playing around? Is that fair?
17	Ą	Yes.
18	Q	After or in the afternoon, did you give Brodie another dose of his eye
19	drops?	
20	Α	Yes, just before I went home.
21	Q	And were you present and anyone else?
22	Α	I believe Arica and Mike were home by then.
23	Q	When did Arica and Mike get home?
24	Α	About 8:00 that night.
25	Q	Did they arrive separately or together?
		-87-

	ı	·
1	Q	At some point did Alayne bring those kids home?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And what time was that?
4	А	4:30, 5. I really wasn't watching the time.
5	Q	Okay. If you recall
6	· A	It was later it was late afternoon.
7	Q	4:30, 5. Was dinner being cooked that night?
8	A	Yes, I made hamburgers.
9	Q	And do you recall if Brodie ate burgers that night?
10	А	Yeah.
11	Q	So you saw him eat burgers?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And that's Sunday night?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Did there come a time that you gave Brodie a bath or someone gave
16	Brodie a bath that night as well?	
17	Α	My daughter and my wife did. Alayne and Merridee.
18	Q	So you weren't present for his bath time that night?
19	A	No, I was just sitting on the couch watching TV.
20	Q	And we're talking about Saturday, June 11th?
21	А	Sunday.
22	Q	Okay. So we're talking about Sunday. Let me step back a second and
23	clarify a couple things. So Saturday during the day, where is Brodie all day?	
24	А	With us. With me and Merridee.
25	Q	At some point on that day did okay. I apologize. So we're talking
		-95-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

(623) 293-0249

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

(623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

-103-

1031

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

25

BY MS. VON MAGDENKO:

1041

-120-

-121-

-125-

-128-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

(623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

-131-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

(623) 293-0249

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

(623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

1	Q	Doctor, what do you do for a living?
2	A	I'm a pediatrician.
3	Q	And as a pediatrician, what's your primary purpose on the job?
4	A	To take care of children from birth to age 18.
5	Q	Age of 15?
6	А	Eighteen.
7	Q	Eighteen, okay. And what type of education and experience did you
8	have to have to become a pediatrician?	
9	Α	I have to have a medical diploma and do a residency program and do a
10	board certification.	
11	Q	And you are board certified?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Do you work at a particular practice or hospital or what?
14	А	No, I work in my private office, my practice
15	Q	Is that ABC Pediatrics?
16	Α	ABC Pediatrics.
17	Q	How many staff do you have there?
18	A	I have four.
19	Q	Is there other doctors there or is it just you?
20	A <sub>.</sub>	Just me.
21	Q	And how many patients, if you could guess, do you see on a weekly
22	basis?	
23	A	On a weekly basis? Around 150.
24	Q	Do you know how many patients you have in total?
25	Α	In one week?
		-136-

1	Q	No. In total. I'm guessing you probably don't know how many patients
2	you have.	
3	Α	No.
4	Q	Okay. How long have you been at ABC Pediatrics?
5	Α	Since '98, so it's about 16 years.
6	Q	And that's 1998?
7	Α	Yeah.
8	Q	And I assume you were the only doctor there?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	For that period of time?
11	А	I have couple of doctors join me, but I'm the only one.
12	Q	Okay. And throughout that time period, can you approximate how
13	many two to three-year-old children you saw as a doctor? Is it in the thousands?	
14	Α	Yeah, I mean this would been like 16 years so I thousands of visits,
15	yeah.	
16	Q	Fair to say you've been around a fair number of two to three-year-old
17	children?	
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	And are you familiar then based on your experience with the typical
20	bumps and bruises that a two to three year old would have?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And do you keep a lookout during any office visit for bruises or injuries
23	that would	stand out and cause you concern?
24	Α	Yeah, if something abnormally located, you know, I mean looks
25	suspicious,	I have to really look at this and examine them very carefully.
		-137-

-139-

1	Q	But it's limited to whatever complaint	
2	Α	Yeah.	
3	Q	the patient has? Do you remember a patient by the name of Brodie	
4	Aschenbrenner?		
5	Α .	Yes.	
6	Q	And do you remember Brodie's mom? Or do you remember him	
7	coming in with a female?		
8	Α	Yes.	
9	Q	On a number of different occasions?	
10	Α	Yes.	
11	Q	Do you remember him coming in with anyone else?	
12	Α	I remember was grandma.	
13	Q	Okay. Do you recall as you sit here today how many times you saw	
14	Brodie Aschenbrenner as a patient?		
15	Α	By looking at the charts, you know, I look I seen him 11 times.	
16	Q	And you mentioned the charts. Are those your medical records that you	
17	keep?		
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	Do you keep those in every single case and patient in the ordinary	
20	course of your business?		
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	And you reviewed those records before you came in today?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	In preparation for your testimony?	
25	Α	Yes.	
		-140-	

1	Q	And you said 11 different times?
2 ,	А	Yes.
3	Q	And that was over the course of how long? Approximately.
4	Α	About seven months.
5	Q	Okay. Each of the 11 times, did Brodie come back to the exam room
6	and see yo	u in your office or in the exam room?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Did the mom or grandma come back into the room with you?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	So you don't just see Brodie alone, it's the parent present?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	What type of child was Brodie if you can describe him?
13	Α	He was well nourished, well developed, White American child, normal.
14	Q	Normal?
15	A	Yeah.
16	Q	And you spent time obviously around the mother when she's with
17	Brodie?	
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	And what was the relationship that you observed between them?
20	Α	Normal relationship was between doctor and mother.
21	Q	Okay. I'm talking about the relationship between mother and son.
22	Α	And son.
23	Q	Did it appear appropriate to you?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	The interactions that you observed?
		-141-

	1		
1	Eustachian tube dysfunction.		
2	Q	What is that for the ladies and gentlemen real briefly?	
3	А	It's pressure on the eardrum.	
4	Q	So he had ear problems?	
5	Α	He had ear problem, yeah.	
6	Q	And did those problems kind of persist throughout you seeing him as a	
7 ,	patient?		
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	At some point did you become aware that he got tubes in his ears?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	And did those problems with his ears generally subside at that point?	
12	А	Yeah, after a few visits it's went away	
13	Q	Okay.	
14	A	by himself.	
15	Q	You saw him again on December 9th of 2010?	
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	Do you remember what the purpose of that visit was?	
18	A	Yes, he had like a sutured wound in his left eyelid.	
19	Q	And suture, is that another word for stitches?	
20	Α	Yes.	
21	Q	And do you recall who brought him in to the office to see you that day?	
22	A	It was mom.	
23	Q	And what was the purpose of bringing him into you if he already had the	
24	stitches?		
25	A	Usually is the check and is there infection or he need is the sutured	
		-143-	
	Elbert, automorphismiss hall incline elder profits with the condi-	GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249	

1	helping the healing.	
2	Q	So it's a follow-up visit?
3	A	Follow up.
4	Q.	To make sure everything's healing?
5	Α	Yeah.
6	Q	Okay. I'm going to skip over a few visits, but would you agree with me
7	that you saw him on December 13th of 2010, December 30th of 2010, January 13th	
8	of 2011?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	All those days?
11	Ä	Yes.
12	Q	You saw him again on January 20th of 2011?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	February 1st of 2011?
15	· A	Yes.
16	Q	February 9th of 2011?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	February 22nd, 2011?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And the following two visits I want to get in a little more detail. You can
21	set that do	wn for now. Do you remember the May 27th of 2011 visit?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	And do you recall what the purpose for that visit was?
24	A	Well, if I recall it was follow up on motor vehicle accident.
25	Q	Follow up on motor vehicle accident?
		-144-

1	goes with e	ar infection, and also I look in the nose and throat.	
2	Q	Okay. So you're examining his head generally?	
3	Α	Yeah.	
4	Q	Do you recall seeing any bruises to his arms or legs that were	
5	exposed?		
6	Α	I I don't recall.	
7	Q	Okay. And if you would have seen bruises to his arms and legs, would	
8	you have do	ocumented them if they caused you concern?	
9	· A	Yes.	
10	Q	Okay. Do you remember seeing any bruises to Brodie's face that	
11	caused you concern?		
12	А	No, I don't remember.	
13	Q	Do you recall a bruise to his cheek, either side?	
14	A	No, I don't recall.	
15	Q	Did you do you remember looking at his bare torso on that day, June	
16	10th?		
17	Α	No, I didn't.	
18	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you a series of photos, Doctor, and I apologize	
19	for the natu	ire of them.	
20	1	GIORDANI: May I publish, Your Honor?	
21		COURT: They've been previously admitted?	
22	MR.	GIORDANI: Yes, they're State's 48 through oh geez, they're out of	
23	order. Stat	te's 3, 60, 53, 52, 50 and 48.	
24	11	COURT: All right.	
25	MR.	ALTIG: May we approach briefly, Your Honor.	
		_149_	

1	Q	Just so the record is clear, I'm going to show you only one or two
2	photos.	
з	THE	COURT: Please let defense counsel know which ones before you
4	publish.	
5	MR. G	IORDANI: I will, Judge.
6		[Colloquy between counsel]
7	BY MR. GIO	RDANI:
8	Q.	Showing you State's 53. When you saw Brodie on June 10th of 2011,
9	do you recal	I seeing any of these bruises on his face?
0	А	Not at all.
1	Q	If you would have seen any of these bruises, would you have reported
2	to DPS (sic) or family services regarding	
3	Α	Right away, yeah.
4	MR. G	SIORDANI: Pass the witness, Judge.
5		CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. VOI	N MAGDENKO:
7	Q	After the May 27th motor vehicle accident, and that was approximately
8	three weeks	prior to Brodie's death, you did a full abdomen exam, correct?
9	Α	Yes.
20	Q	And during the June 10th exam, you did not examine his abdomen,
21	correct?	
22	A	No.
23	Q	All right. Even though the platelet count came back normal, did you
24	1	planation for why Brodie was bruising so easily?
25	MR. 0	GIORDANI: Well objection. That's outside the scope of his knowledge.
		-151-

-152-

-154-

tomorrow. So we're going to be done before the end of the day. I don't know how

long they expect to cross on those witnesses, but I don't think it's going to take the

24

-155-

-162-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

-163-

1	BY MR. GI	ORDANI:
2	Q	Ma'am, what's your relationship to Brodie Aschenbrenner?
3	A	Brodie was my nephew.
4	Q	Who is Brodie's mom?
5	A	Arica Foster, my sister.
6	Q	That's your sister by blood?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	What do you do for a living?
9	A	An attorney.
10	Q	Where at?
11	A	Lewis Brisbois.
12	Q	Here in Las Vegas?
13	A	Yes, here in Las Vegas.
14	Q	Okay. When did you go to law school?
15	А	2009 until 2011.
16	Q	And during that time were you living out of state?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And was that in California?
19	А	San Diego, California
20	Q	Were there times that you came back into town during time period of
21	law school?	
22	A	Often. At least twice a month.
23	Q	And were you around Brodie during those times?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	How frequently were you around Brodie while you were here in town?
		-164-

1	А	Mike was dating my sister.
2	Q	Which sister?
3	Α	Arica.
4	Q	You have more than one sister, correct?
5	A.	I do have I have a ton of brothers and sisters.
6	Q	When did you come to know the defendant?
7	А	Me personally I knew him probably when my sister started dating him. I
8	can't remer	mber exactly what month they started dating, but I would say Brodie
9	passed June, so I probably met Mike sometime October before he passed, October	
10	2010.	
11	Q	October 2010?
12	A	Yeah, sometime around that timeframe.
13	Q	Okay. And was your interactions with the defendant kind of the same
14	as it was w	ith Brodie where you would come into town and see them together?
15	Α	Maybe rephrase the question.
16	Q	Did you see the defendant with Brodie during those times that you
17	would com	e into town and see Brodie?
18	A	Yes. Briefly, but yes.
19	Q	Okay. Can you estimate the number of times that you saw the
20	defendant	with Brodie interact with Brodie whatsoever?
21	A	Maybe four, five.
22	Q	Okay.
23	А	He wasn't Mike wasn't around the times that I had Brodie.
24	Q	Was there
25	· A	More often than not he was not around.
		-166-

18

20

21

22 23

24

25

Q Okay. Now I want to move into June of 2011. Was there a particular time that you saw the defendant with Brodie without Arica present?

Α Yeah, the -- the first time that I can recall was a few weeks before Brodie passed.

Q What was going on that day?

Α I believe I -- I was watching Brodie because Arica was going to get her hair done that day.

Q Okay.

Α And I'd had him at Arica's and Mike's house all day long. It's just easier when I watched him to have him in his own environment so that, you know, he had his toys and things like that. Mike came home after work and he came through the door. Brodie had I guess been eating cheese all day long and made a mess on the counter which is unlike me to clean it up or it's not like me to not clean it up, but I left a mess. And Mike wasn't happy about the mess and just got after Brodie a little bit. Brodie kind of just shunned away and went into his room.

What do you mean by Mike getting after Brodie? Can you describe that 0 a little further?

Α He didn't yell at him, but just kind of scolding him. You know, why is there a mess? It just struck me as a little odd because it's two and a half year old, of course there's going to be a mess with a two and a half year old.

- Q And what was Brodie's reaction? You said he shied away?
- He -- he shied away. He -- he went into his room and played.
- O And that was when you, the defendant and Brodie were present together alone, correct?

Correct.

-167-

-168-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

1094

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

(623) 293-0249

10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194

Was a mess	s with cheese that Brodie had left on the table or counter, right?  Yes.
	Yes.
Q	
	And then you said Mike became upset and he scolded Brodie?
Α	Correct.
Q	And Brodie went and turned and went to his room, right?
A	Correct.
Q	Did Mike ever hit Brodie?
Α	No.
Q	Okay. Did Mike
Α	Not to my knowledge.
Q	Did Mike punish Brodie in any way?
Α	I mean he scolded him. I would consider that
Q	Did he punish him?
Α	I would consider that punishment.
Q	Okay. Did Brodie go to his room because Mike told him to go to his
room?	
Α	I don't recall.
Q	Did he go and sit Brodie in the corner of the house somewhere?
Α	I don't think so.
Q	Did he yell at Brodie?
Α	No. As I testified, he did not yell at him.
Q	Okay. Did he discipline Brodie in any way?
Α	If scolding is or if scolding is disciplining, then yes.
Q	Okay. Do you recall talking to the police on June 23rd of 2011?
Α	Yes, I did.
	-176-
	AQAQAQAQAQAQAQ

1	Q	Okay. And do you recall the officer speaking to you about whether or
2	not Mike e	ver disciplined Brodie?
3	А	Yes, I do.
4	Q	Okay, and do you recall telling the police officers at that time when
5	they asked	you did Mike ever discipline Brodie at all for anything, you said no. Do
6	you recall t	hat?
7	А	Yes, I believe I did say say that.
8	Q	Do you believe or do you recall as truth?
9	А	If you can refresh my recollection, I
10	Q	Would you like to have your memory refreshed?
11	А	Please.
12		[Colloquy between counsel]
13	MR. ALTIG: May I approach, Your Honor?	
14	THE COURT: You may.	
15	MR. ALTIG: Thank you.	
16	BY MR. AL	.TIG:
17	Q	This is your statement. I'm showing your statement recorded by the
18	police. He	re you go right here.
19		Okay.
20	A	Correct
21	Q	Does that refresh your recollection as to what you said to the police that
22	day on June 23rd, 2011?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	What did you tell the police on June 23rd of 2011?
25	A	When I was asked about disciplining Brodie, they wanted to know if I'd
		-177-

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION

-182-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

. 23

24

25

sooner?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: It's his trial calendar. He testifies a lot. He -- so -- THE COURT: Is he in trial till next Thursday?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: He has two different trial -- well, including this trial, next week he'll have three different trials he's testifying in, in three different states.

THE COURT: Okay, well just will you touch base with him to see if something changes?

MS. VON MAGDENKO: I will. I will. I --

THE COURT: Because -- okay, so if we call him Thursday afternoon --

MS. VON MAGDENKO: He'll be the --

THE COURT: -- we're probably going to be dark a few days and then we won't be able to do closings until -- are we doing Friday morning? Yeah, because I took that case off.

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor, we'll go Friday --

THE COURT: So we would do closings Friday morning I guess.

MS. VON MAGDENKO: Yeah.

THE COURT: I don't know how else we can calendar it. And then we'll send it out to the jury hopefully by Friday midday.

Okay. So is there anything else we need to address?

MR. STANTON: The only thing I was going to suggest, Your Honor, is I'm going to be prepared tomorrow to submit to you our proposed jury instructions both clean and with cites to the Court. I'm hopeful that we can get defense counsel's at that time as well. And then based upon what I just heard, we're certainly not in a rush to settling, but I think it would probably be better to settle them sooner than later.

-184-

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I would agree. It sounds like next week we're going to have plenty of time during the middle of the week.

MR. STANTON: Think so.

THE COURT: Okay, and you want to just go ahead and admonish the defendant tomorrow on his right to testify or not to testify?

MR. ALTIG: We can, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You -- okay. So let's make a note we'll do it tomorrow after the State finishes. Okay. Anything else?

MR. STANTON: And at that time, Your Honor, before we canvas him, I'd like to, you know, talk to the Court before we -- I do it. Obviously it's going to be outside the jury's presence, but there's be a matter I'd like to bring up to the Court.

THE COURT: Can we address it now?

MR. STANTON: Not right now, Your Honor. I'd prefer to address it tomorrow if possible. Can we approach?

THE COURT: Well the only reason I ask is I don't want to keep the jury waiting for a long time in the morning.

MR. STANTON: Oh no, this won't be any time while the jury's waiting. It's only when the jury's done and -- do you anticipate canvasing the defendant after the close of the evidence tomorrow?

THE COURT: Probably, because you have one witness left, but they'll go into their case in chief Monday.

MR. STANTON: Correct.

[Colloquy between counsel]

MR. STANTON: Yeah, it could be done when we're finished with court tomorrow.

-185-

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
2	MICHAEL LEE		
3	MICHAEL LEE, ) No. 66963		
4	Appellant,		
5	vi.		
6	)		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA, )		
8	Respondent. )		
	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME V PAGES 931-1109		
10	PHILIP J. KOHN  STEVEN B. WOLFSON  Clark County Public Defender  Clark County District Attorney		
11	Clark County Public Defender  Clark County District Attorney  Clark County District Attorney  Clark County District Attorney  Clark County District Attorney  Clark County District Attorney		
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155		
13	Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT Attorney General 100 North Carson Street		
14	100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717		
15	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 Counsel for Respondent		
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Neva	ıda	
18	Supreme Court on the day of Soft, 2015. Electronic Service of t	the	
19	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows	:	
20	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO HOWARD S. BROOKS		
21	STEVEN S. OWENS KEDRIC A BASSETT		
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and		
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:		
24	MICHAEL A LEE		
25	NDOC # 81950 c/o HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON		
26	PO Box 650		
27	Indian Springs, NV 89070		
28	BY		
	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office		