IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH ALEXANDER HENDERSON, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 67059

SEP 1 1 2015

FILED

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a pro se appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Abbi Silver, Judge.

Appellant filed his petition on June 12, 2014, more than 4 years after issuance of the remittitur on direct appeal on March 2, 2010. *Henderson v. State*, Docket No. 52573 (Order of Affirmance, February 3, 2010). Thus, appellant's petition was untimely filed. *See* NRS 34.726(1). Moreover, appellant's petition was successive because he had previously litigated a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus, and it constituted an abuse of the writ to the extent he raised claims new and different from those raised in his previous petition.² *See* NRS 34.810(1)(b)(2); NRS 34.810(2). Appellant's petition was procedurally barred absent a demonstration of good cause and actual prejudice. *See*

²Henderson v. State, Docket No. 62629 (Order of Affirmance, September 18, 2014).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Luckett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

NRS 34.726(1); NRS 34.810(1)(b); NRS 34.810(3). Appellant did not attempt to demonstrate good cause to excuse the procedural defects on the face of the petition. Therefore, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying the petition as procedurally barred. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

, J. Parraguirre

Douglas

renny <u>/</u>, J Cherry

cc: Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. 15 Joseph Alexander Henderson Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

J.