IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BOBBY LEN FRANKLIN,)	AUG 2 5 2015
Appellant,)	TRASIE K, LINDEMAN
vs.)	CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
D.J. LAUGHLIN, D/B/A/ BWD)	DEPUTY CLERK
PROPERTIES 2, LLC; BWD)	
PROPERTIES 3, LLC; AND BWD)	Supreme Court Case No.: 67364
PROPERTIES 4, LLC,)	
Respondents.)	District Court No.: <u>A-14-707291-C</u>
)	

COPY

OPPOSITION TO COUNTER-MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

Sincerely submitted by:

Mr. Bobby Len Franklin (*pro se*)
D/B/A/ Daydream Land & Systems Development
Desert Land Entryman N-49548
3520 Needles Hwy. Box 233
Needles, CA. 92363

830-822-4791 dlepatent@hotmail.com



I. INTRODUCTION

For many years now, the Respondents (lawyers) have been providing false information to the Nevada federal courts, by:

- Falsely claiming Franklin ("I") do not have an existing *Title and Deed* on the described 80 acres, that I re-recorded with the Clark County Recorder on 9/20/1993; and by,
- 2. Falsely claiming Franklin ("I") did not exhaust administrative remedies to my 80 acre Title confirmation rights, in the Department of the Interior's ("IBLA") final decision, that was certified to me on 12/19/1996.

On 9/29/2008, the Respondents' lawyers convinced federal court 2:06-cv-1499 to grant the Respondents ownership Title to the 80 acres, and to annul my Title rights without reading them, all based on the (above) false information these lawyers have repeatedly provided to the federal court.

Subsequently, for the past few years now, this law firm has repeatedly requested such federal court to fine and *imprison* me, for simply requesting such federal court to examine and inforce my superior 1993 Title Deed rights.

On 7/13/2015, such federal court 2:06-cv-1499 fined me for \$5,260.50, plus interest, plus costs and reasonable attorney's fees, for simply requesting such federal court to examine and inforce my 1993 Title Deed rights, but it has not *yet* confined me into prison. (No judicial court ever examined my Title)

Now, in this Court, these lawyers are doing the exact thing, because I simply requested this Court to *order* my 1993 Title rights be examined.

II. ARGUMENT

The Respondents' lawyers (above) acts are *prima facie* "extortion" committed, under a large number of criminal and civil laws. As pleaded in the pending Motion(s), I do have a solid *legal right* to condemn *all* of these federal court 'Void judgment(s)' or orders that have *all* rejected their 'subject matter jurisdiction' to ever examine or inforce my existing Title rights. This extortion can and should be stopped, and my 1993 Title rights be examined and enforced.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Respondents *Counter-Motion for Sanctions* must be DENIED; all *void judgments* set aside; with an Order for the lower court to do its duty to examine the legal effect of my 1993 Title rights.

Sincerely submitted by,

s/Bobby L. Franklin
BOBBY L. FRANKLIN (pro se)
3520 Needles Hwy, Box 233
Needles, CA. 92363
830-822-4791 dlepatent@hotmail.com

8/20/2015 DATED

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify under penalty of perjury that I mailed the foregoing *Opposition to*Counter-Motion for Sanctions to this Court Clerk and a copy to the following attorneys via prepaid USPS mail:

JOLLEY URGA WOODBURY & LITTLE 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy.
Wells Fargo Tower, 16th floor
Las Vegas, NV. 89169
E-mail: FedCt@juww.com
Attorneys for Respondents

Sincerely submitted by,

s/Bobby Len Franklin BOBBY LEN FRANKLIN 3520 Needles Hwy. Box 322 Needles CA. 92363

8/20/2015 **DATED**

830-822-4791 dlepatent@hotmail.com