IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MAZEN ALOTAIBI,

Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 67380

FILED APR 1 1 2016 CLERN OF SOUTH COURT OF SOUTH CHERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until April 18, 2016, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc:

c: Gentile, Cristalli, Miller, Armeni & Savarese, PLLC Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

No-1116

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA