INDEX JOSHUA C. SHUE Case No. 67428

2

Acknowledgment of Trial Date filed 10/17/2013. 3 4 Defendant's Trial Brief filed 08/12/2014......257-274 Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed 04/04/2014......241-242 7 Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed 04/04/2014......245-246 8 Indictment filed 03/13/2013 001-014 10 Indictment Warrant filed 03/13/2013110 11 12 13 14 15 16 Motion for Individual Voir Dire of Jurors by Counsel to Protect Defendant's Right to a Fair 17 18 Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 09/27/2013...... 183-187 19 20 Motion to Dismiss Indictment Because of Violation Based on Inadequate Notice filed 21 Motion to Reset Bail as Previously Set by Magistrate Judge or Grant a Bail Less than 22 23 Motion to Vacate and Reset Trial Date filed 09/27/2013...... 188-189 24 Motion to Vacate Trial Date and Reset filed 03/26/2014...... 226-228 25 26 Notice of Hearing filed 04/17/2014.....253 27 28

1	Notice of Witnesses filed 09/03/2013
2	Notice of Witnesses filed 08/22/2014
3	Opposition to State's Motion in Limine filed 04/15/2014
4	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 04/04/2014
5	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 04/04/2014
6	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 10/23/2013
7	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 02/27/2013
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Grand Jury heard 03/12/2013 038-109
9	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 04/30/2013
10	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 09/19/2013
11 12	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Individual Voir Dire of Jurors by Counsel to Protect Defendant's Right to a Fair Trial filed 09/24/2013
13	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 10/07/2013
14	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Indictment filed 08/18/2014 275-281
15 16	State's Oppositon to Defendant's Motion to Reset Bail as Previously Set by Magistrate Judge or Grant a Bail Less than \$75,000.00 filed 03/20/2013
17	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Vacate Trial Date and Reset filed 04/03/2014
18 19	State's Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery filed 12/11/2013
20	State's Sur-Reply to Defendant's Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 11/06/2013
21	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 09/12/2013
22	Verdict filed 08/29/2014
23	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 04/17/2013
24	
25	
26	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
27	Transcript of Proceedings,
28	Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 08/25/2014
- 1	

	i	
1	Transcript of Proceedings,	
2	Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 08/26/2014	,
3	Transcript of Proceedings,	
4	Jury Trial—Day Three Date of Hrg: 08/27/2014	,
5	Transcript of Proceedings,	
6	Jury Trial—Day Four Date of Hrg: 08/28/2014	;
7	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Five	
8	Date of Hrg: 08/29/2014	
9	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
10	Date of Hrg: 08/21/2014	
11	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call; State's Motion in Limine	
12	Date of Hrg: 05/29/2014	
13	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Attorney for Appeal	
14	Date of Hrg: 02/05/2015	
15	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Discovery	
16	Date of Hrg: 12/17/2013	
17	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim	
18	Date of Hrg: 10/08/2013	
19	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Indictment Because of Violation Based on Inadequate Notice	
20	Date of Hrg: 08/19/2014	ļ
21	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Vacate Trial Date and Reset	
22	Date of Hrg: 04/08/2014	
23	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Evidentiary Hearing	ļ
24	Date of Hrg: 05/19/2014	
25	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Hearing; Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim	
26	Date of Hrg: 11/07/2013	
27	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing	
28	Date of Hrg: 12/09/2014	

1 2	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing Date of Hrg: 01/15/2015
3	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Status Check: CPS Records Date of Hrg: 02/04/2014
5	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,
6	Status Check: CPS Records Date of Hrg: 02/20/2014
7	Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion for Individual Voir Dire of Jurors by Counsel to Protec
8	Defendant's Right to a Fair Trial Date of Hrg: 10/03/2013
10	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion in Limine Date of Hrg: 09/26/2013
11	Transcript of Proceedings,
12	Defendant's Motion to Reset Bail Date of Hrg: 04/25/2013
13 14	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Reset Bail as Previously Set by Magistrate Judge or Grante a Bail Less
15	than \$75,000.00; Indictment Warrant Return Date of Hrg: 03/28/2013
16 17	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 05/02/2013
18	Transcript of Proceedings,
19	Overflow Date of Hrg: 08/22/2014
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

4	-	Alun to Chrim
1	RTRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT
2		
3		
4	DICTRIC	OT COURT
5		CT COURT
6	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
7		
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
9	Plaintiff,) CASE#: C288172
10	VS.	DEPT. XXI
11	JOSHUA CALER SHUE	\
12	JOSHUA CALEB SHUE	/
13	Defendant.	\{
14	l ·	E P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15		APRIL 8, 2014
16	RECORDER'S TRANSC DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO VA	CRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS ACATE TRIAL DATE AND RESET
17		
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the State:	MARIA LAVELL, ESQ.
20	, and estate.	Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.
22	·	
2 3		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COUR	T RECORDER

TUESDAY, APRIL 8, 2014 AT 9:36 A.M.

_

And Mr. Shue is out of custody. Are you asking us to waive his presence for today?

THE COURT: State versus Joshua Shue.

MR. JACKSON: Waive his presence. He's at work today. He asked me to ask the Court to waive his presence today. He has -- I have his consent to make this motion. I've discussed it with him and the reasons for it.

THE COURT: And then -- I mean -- okay. Obviously the State's opposed it, and we've gone round and round about whether there's been any promises or tangible goods.

MR. JACKSON: Can I just address the Court on a couple of things?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. JACKSON: I feel somewhat in a ethical bind. And I'm going to explain to the Court what I would ask the Court to do it, and I don't know if the State opposes this or not. The mother of the victim has called me on numerous occasions advising me of several things concerning her daughter who is the alleged victim. And I -- you know, dutifully note them. I've sent my investigator to talk to the mother. You know, there's a conflict as to what's happening and what's not happening.

The latest and most serious matter is that her daughter apparently had an incident, whether it was an attempt suicide or whether -- you know, I don't know the full details because I haven't got the medical reports, but it's alleged that she tried to commit suicide and was in the hospital. There are questions. And I have not been able to verify them because I haven't spoken to the daughter, so I'm not going to make allegations without full knowledge. But the mother is concerned that

the daughter either has been pressured or not pressured.

I would ask the Court -- because I'd like -- rather than me get involved in making certain allegations or whatever, I think the daughter should have an attorney appointed to represent her interests if there is substance to this. The mother, I asked her to be present. I don't know if she's here right now, but she's present. I have spoken to her on numerous occasions. My investigator has spoken to her. I'm concerned that -- number one, if the daughter had her own counsel the daughter -- and the daughter has the DA's Office and their victim witness and whatever. But I get a different thing from the mother as to whether she's being pressured or not pressured. I would ask the Court to consider appointing counsel for the daughter. If there's nothing there, there's nothing there; we move on.

The other situation is we got a motion in limine filed by the State and I'm going to need a little more time to respond to that. Not much more time, but I just got it served on me Thursday. That's set for this --

THE COURT: April 10th, right?

MR. JACKSON: Yeah. I got it served on me Thursday the 3rd and that doesn't give me enough time to respond.

THE COURT: It doesn't.

MR. JACKSON: Especially because there's conflicting allegations, whatever.

THE COURT: It doesn't give you enough time -- I mean, under the rules, so --

MR. JACKSON: And also it may -- you know, the factual issues are what, you

know, it would hinge on basically. And we may need to have testimony of people

under oath exactly what I'd bring out, because I'm not sure what I'd bring out at trial.

THE COURT: Well -- I mean, it's sort of unusual -- well, it's not sort of unusual. It's extremely unusual to appoint an attorney for a victim or a purported

victim.

MR. JACKSON: I understand.

THE COURT: Now, this is just something that I'm -- a possibly. I'm not endorsing this as a good idea. I'm saying it's one idea. And that would be, well, maybe the better thing to do since there's allegations -- you've made allegations, the State -- I think it was Ms. Bierly [phonetic], is that her name?

MS. LAVELL: Beverly.

THE COURT: Beverly, who was here before said, you know, as an officer of the State none of this has happened; there haven't been any inducements; there hasn't been any -- you know, I don't know -- we didn't really talk about pressure last time. Last time the issue was -- the TV I think was one thing and she said, no, there's the witness fee and that's it and there hasn't been anything else and, you know --

MR. JACKSON: Maybe we should just --

THE COURT: I tend to believe that.

MR. JACKSON: -- get the victim in here.

THE COURT: So one possibility, since now there's all these allegations on the record, I'm disinclined to appointment an attorney. I think that's an extreme step. And at this point in time I don't really see, you know -- just the statements of the mother to you, I believe that you are relaying those statements correctly; however, that doesn't make them true. Meaning, it doesn't make the mother's statements to you true. So maybe the better thing to do would be just to set this for some kind of evidentiary hearing to direct the State only to contact the victim for the purpose of telling her that there's going to be an evidentiary hearing; not to go over the testimony or anything like that with her, and to bring her in and the Court will

question her. And that way, if it's me questioning her you can't say that the State is trying to lead her or coerce her to answer the questions in a particular way. And I'm not going to have you question her. I'll question her as to what the concerns are that you've raised and then we'll see where we are from there, and then maybe allow follow-up by the attorneys once the Court has asked the questions -- obviously, you'll both be here and your client -- once the Court has asked the questions regarding this issue of gifts and inducements and things like that.

MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, I agree with that. I think maybe a sealed evidentiary hearing. And I would --

THE COURT: Sealed from who?

MR. JACKSON: Sealed from everyone but the Defendant, the attorneys and the victim.

THE COURT: What would the purpose of that be?

MR. JACKSON: Because then we can get more candor from all parties.

THE COURT: Well -- I mean, first of all --

MR. JACKSON: And we can go into matters the might be of -- you know, I realize all the statutes dealing with open courtrooms and whatever, but this is to protect -- maybe to get more candor from the alleged victim and to get into matters that are of a sensitive nature.

THE COURT: Well, the whole case is of a sensitive nature.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. I understand.

THE COURT: Like every other -- Ms. Lavell, wait a minute. You'll get your turn. You don't need to huff and puff and --

MR. JACKSON: No, no. 1 --

THE COURT: I mean -- look. Number one, all of these cases are of a

sensitive nature. All of these cases I'm sure are difficult for the victims and are very 1 difficult and embarrassing for them to talk about in a public forum. But the bottom 2 line is our courts are a public forum. Now I don't see this as a high profile case 3 where you're going to have a room full of people. But it is a public forum; it is an 4 open forum. And if anyone wants to come in and watch the proceedings then 5 they're welcome to come in and watch the proceedings. I don't see a circumstance 6 7

that makes this case unique that we're going to seal the proceedings.

MR, JACKSON: All right. I'll accept --

THE COURT: Because as you know that is --

or lead a witness or something like that -- the bailiff is watchful. That will be

immediately brought to my attention. And if I feel that they're somehow disrupting

the proceedings or polluting the proceedings then that person -- I'll make a record of

it and those people or person will be escorted from the courtroom. You know, that's

any other interested parties can't come in, number one. Number two, the mother I'm

the way we do it. We don't just seal a courtroom and say, oh, no, the media and

assuming would be a witness in this evidentiary hearing. So pursuant to the

exclusionary rule, she and other family members who might offer testimony are

THE COURT: -- is the exception rather than the rule. Now, obviously, just as

MR. JACKSON: -- the Court's ruling on that.

12

8

9

10

11

in any other case, if there are people here on either side who are trying to -- typically the issue is with the defense, you know, where they are trying to intimidate a witness

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. JACKSON: I think that's a fair ruling, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

going to be out in the hallway anyway.

MR. JACKSON: I have no problem with that. I'd like to set an evidentiary at

the Court's convenience concerning these matters.

THE COURT: Ms. Lavell, what's the State's position?

MS. LAVELL: Obviously, the State will submit it to Your Honor. I guess the thing that's disturbing to the State is this is I think the fourth or fifth time counsel has made accusations against the State, both in writing and orally in front of this Court.

THE COURT: Well, that's one reason I kind of want to cut to the chase on this --

MS. LAVELL: I get that.

THE COURT: -- as opposed to re-litigating over and over what the mother is telling Mr. Jackson and the State's steadfast contention that, no, we're paying a witness fee and that's it, that let's just put these people under oath and let the Court make findings. And then let's put this issue to bed so that it doesn't keep rearing its head at the District Court level and then possibly -- ultimately at the appellate level. That's my feeling.

MS. LAVELL: I understand. And I just want to make it clear that the mother has defended the Defendant throughout this proceeding. And this is the individual that is providing information to defense counsel.

THE COURT: Right. Exactly. So it's questionable.

MS. LAVELL: Exactly. So the State has absolutely no opposition to bringing the victim in for the purposes of a hearing and allowing her to testify. She is an adult. Obviously, we would oppose, as Your Honor's already ruled, to appointing counsel for this adult at her mother's bequest.

I guess I would -- my question would be, Your Honor -- I'm not sure when counsel received this motion in limine and Ms. Beverly was unavailable, so I can't speak to that.

MR. JACKSON: I got the file stamped copy.

MS. LAVELL: But Your Honor's indicated -- Your Honor's indicated that he needs more time to respond. However, the trial isn't set for a number of months.

THE COURT: Right. I wasn't addressing continuing the trial.

MS. LAVELL: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: All I'm saying is if he wasn't served with that until April 3rd --

MS. LAVELL: Do you when it was --

THE COURT: -- which was last Thursday --

MS. LAVELL: Do you know when it was filed?

THE COURT: -- and the hearing is for this Thursday, that would give him -- that would only be seven days, including two days of a weekend.

MS. LAVELL: Do you know when it was filed? Do you have -- I don't have a file.

THE COURT: I don't know. I don't have a copy in front of me because it wasn't on for today. I was just relying on Mr. Jackson's representations that it was -- he was served on April 3rd.

[Colloquy between the State and Defense Counsel]

THE COURT: Which wouldn't even give him seven -- I mean, he'd have to get the copy to us the day before the hearing, so --

MS. LAVELL: Right. That's fine. And the State has no opposition obviously to giving him the time.

THE COURT: All right. Here's what we're going to do. The Court's going to consider the issue of the evidentiary hearing and basically would direct both sides not to do any pre-trialing or anything like that and to avoid any potential coerciveness or coaching. The Court would initially ask the questions it's concerned

with followed up by questioning from the attorneys or something like that.

The second thing is that on the motion in limine, Mr. Jackson, how much more time do you need?

MR. JACKSON: You know, basically, I think what happens at the evidentiary hearing will pretty much --

THE COURT: Well --

MR. JACKSON: -- take care of it. Give me -- give me like two weeks and I'll have a copy of a response two weeks from today, whatever today's date is.

THE COURT: Well, that's the 22nd. So let's just keep the motion on for the calendar call date of May 9th. Does that work? That way, State, if you choose to file a reply you have enough time to file a reply brief. And calendar call date and the trial date stand at this point.

MR. JACKSON: All right. Now, addressing the calendar call date. I believe, and it's not for certain, but I think there is at least a 95 percent likelihood I'm going to be in trial in a three or four week long trial starting the week before that --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: -- that is -- a multi-defendant --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. JACKSON: -- kidnapping case.

THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, here's the deal.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: As you know --

MR. JACKSON: I know.

THE COURT: -- we have a number of other trials that are in the stack.

MR. JACKSON: Right.

MP IACK

THE COURT: And the calendar call date is the time to sort all out; who's available, who's not available. So I'm not inclined to move it right now.

MR. JACKSON: I understand.

THE COURT: I mean, obviously, I just ask that you extend the courtesy to the State if you're in trial in another department to let them know. You know, if your trial starts at 9 a.m. on that day and you can't be here then just send an email to my law clerk and we'll make a note of that and that's fine.

MR. JACKSON: I will advise the Court and counsel appropriately, but this a major kidnapping case that I'm 95 percent certain is going --

THE COURT: That's fine. Okay.

MR. JACKSON: -- Jose Sanchez [indiscernible].

THE COURT: I'm just saying I'm not going to vacate the calendar call date today. If your trial goes then let Ms. Lavell or Ms. Beverly know and let the Court know. I don't expect you to be in two places at one time, so we'll deal with that. But I'm not going to put the cart before the horse and say, oh, yes, you're definitely going to be in trial. I mean, we're still in the middle of April. This isn't until the end of May. At lot of things can happen in that time. Your trial may deal. The Judge may be hearing another trial. Some other defendant -- if there's more defendants may have an issue and the case may vacate -- so the trial date may vacate. So I don't know. I'm not willing at this point to say, oh, yes, you're going to be --

MR. JACKSON: Right.

THE COURT: -- otherwise occupied.

MR. JACKSON: When is the date for our evidentiary hearing?

THE COURT: I haven't set that yet.

MR. JACKSON: All right.

1	THE COURT: You will receive notification from chambers. Typically those	
2	are heard on Mondays.	
3	MS. LAVELL: Thank you.	
4	THE COURT: Thank you.	
5	MR. JACKSON: And my date for a response on the motion in limine is two	
6	weeks from today?	
7	THE COURT: Right. That would be the 22 nd . Oh, and I'm sorry. That's the	
8	29 th . I'm not going to give you quite two weeks. I'm going to give you until April 23 rd ,	
9	which I think is more than adequate.	
10	MR. JACKSON: Okay. That's fine. I'm working on it right now.	
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
12	[Proceedings concluded at 9:50 a.m.]	
13	* * * * * *	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the	
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
23	Sanna A Pruchnic	
24	SANDRA PRUCHNIC	
25	Court Transcriber	

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 9 CASE#: C288172 Plaintiff. 10 VS. DEPT. XXI 11 JOSHUA C. SHUE, aka JOSHUA CALEB SHUE 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 MONDAY, MAY 19, 2014 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 **EVIDENTIARY HEARING** 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the State: MARIA LAVELL, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney 20 LEAH C. BEVERLY, ESQ. 21 **Deputy District Attorney** 22 For the Defendant: TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER

INDEX OF WITNESSES

2	

2		
3	Witnesses:	Page
4 5 6	ANITA IRAL Examination by the Court Follow-up by Mr. Jackson Follow-up by Ms. Beverly	8 15 18
7 8 9	HAZEL IRAL Examination by the Court Follow-up by Mr. Jackson Examination by the Court Follow-up by Mr. Jackson Follow-up by Ms. Beverly	22 23 25 27 32
11		
12		
13		•

MONDAY, MAY 19, 2014 AT 9:25 A.M.

THE COURT: All right. Good morning.

MS. BEVERLY: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: We have Mr. Shue present with Mr. Jackson. We have Ms. Beverly for the State. This was the time set for the evidentiary hearing.

And, Mr. Jackson, my bailiff indicated there may be an issue this morning with one of the witnesses.

MR. JACKSON: Yes. When I got into my office this morning I had an email from my investigator that's been speaking to the witness and he advised me that --

THE COURT: Are the witnesses in the courtroom?

MR. JACKSON: Yeah, they're in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Why don't we just have them go --

MR. JACKSON: They can step out if they wish.

THE COURT: -- step out while we discuss them.

THE MARSHAL: Just have a seat outside for me.

THE COURT: And the bailiff will get -- the bailiff will get you when we're ready for you; okay. We normally make the witnesses sit in the hall.

MR. JACKSON: Basically, the substance of the email was that although -- in particular witness Anita Iral, who's the -- I think most critical witness. Although I've spoken to her in English -- and she does speak English. She's been here in this country for close to ten years, I believe. Her main language -- her language of birth is Tagalog. Mr. Abbott, who's been working with me as investigator, believes that in order for her testimony to be most fully understood or for her to most fully understand cross-examination a Tagalog interpreter is in the best interest of the

Court and of Defendant. The reason for that is when she was interviewed by the police they had a Tagalog interpreter about questions they asked her. And I think it's only to be balanced that she should have that in order to make sure the nuances and other things which may be difficult to get to without a -- the fine points of language. She can understand basic things. I've spoken to her over several months --

THE COURT: Now, let me ask you this. When she spoke to you -- I'm assuming you don't speak Tagalog, she spoke to you in English; correct?

MR. JACKSON: Yes. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you did not have an interpreter on the line or anything like that. Is that true?

MR. JACKSON: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay. So everything that went into your affidavit was the basis of your conversation with her in English; true?

MR. JACKSON: That is correct as well.

THE COURT: Okay. So if the point is to get to the bottom of the affidavit then, you know, let's find out what she told you in English. Here's the thing. We did check with the interpreter's office. Although it's a common language in our community it's not like Spanish.

MR. JACKSON: I understand.

THE COURT: We don't have Tagalog interp -- although they're very available, they're not sitting around available at a minute's notice. So my bailiff did contact the interpreter's office, and since it was not ordered in advance there is no Tagalog interpreter here today. Again, it's a common language in our community. There's no problem getting one, but we need notice, number one.

Number two, something in what you said struck me, and that is Mr. Abbott thinks she should have an interpreter. The right to an interpreter to me is an individual right. And so, you know, I leave it up to the defendants and the witnesses. If they feel they need an interpreter then we get them an interpreter. But it's really not up to somebody else to make a determination that they ought to have an interpreter. So, you know, if she feels she needs an interpreter then I'm going to respect her wishes. But if she feels that she -- you know, they go to school with English language textbooks in Manila. I don't know where she's from; if she's from a remote area of the Philippines or she's from, you know, Manila. But it's not like she just maybe has been speaking English for ten years, number one. If she feels comfortable speaking in English then I'm going to respect that as well.

MR. JACKSON: I understand. And I think that's a reasonable solution by the Court. And maybe one of the first questions I'll ask her, do you understand what I'm saying, and are you comfortable answering the questions, and do you think you need the services of an interpreter to fully understand. And if she -- you know, if she's willing to answer the questions today without an interpreter I say let's go forward, and I have no problem with that.

THE COURT: And is Ms. --

MR. JACKSON: I'd rather do it today and get it over with.

THE COURT: Is Anita Iral your only witness or is Hazel here as well?

MR. JACKSON: I have another person who may be used possibly if the State seeks to rebut. I don't know if I'm going to use her in direct or not. So that's a -- person is a Frances Carreon who is also available. I haven't decided whether I'm -- depends on what I bring out from Anita, so --

THE COURT: Okay.

1

 Ms. Beverly?

MS. BEVERLY: Judge, just a couple of things. First and foremost, it strikes me as unusual that Mr. Jackson is saying Anita is the most important witness in this case when everything in the affidavits is based on what Hazel told her. Hazel is here. And the reason she is here is because I subpoenaed her because it was my understanding that Your Honor wanted to ask Hazel some questions. So I didn't tell her why she was here. I just told her Your Honor would like to ask her some questions. I didn't subpoena her like as a State's witness or anything like that. I just said, Hazel, Judge Adair would like to ask you some questions. She is here and willing to answer whatever questions --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: -- anyone is going to ask her. So she's the most important witness.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. JACKSON: All right.

THE COURT: I mean, I -- just to make it clear on the record, I want to find out, because it's Mr. Jackson's affidavit, what Anita Iral told Mr. Jackson. And then I want to find out, which obviously will be hearsay, where she got that information, which obviously will be hearsay, but it's being admitted for the purpose of understanding --

MR. JACKSON: Sure.

THE COURT: -- and making a complete record as to why we're here today and what information Mr. Jackson had and where that came from. And then, you know, to the extent it is hearsay information -- I mean, obviously if she witnessed something directly she's a percipient witness. Then we're going to need to hear

from Hazel directly as to what was told to her or promised to her or what have you.

So that's how I would like to go forward. I mean, if you'd like to start with Anita we can bring her in. I can ask her some questions regarding her, you know, English competency, and how long she's lived here, and where she went to school, and if she understands everything, you know, and go from there. Like I said, to me, you know, if she -- it's not Mr. Abbott's belief. It's her belief. You know, and for some people who, like I said, have been speaking English for years it actually may be insulting to them that we assume they need an interpreter, so --

MS. BEVERLY: I just want to make a record that she testified at the grand jury hearing and she testified in English. And during the grand jury there were some issues with her trying to say, oh, I don't understand what you're saying. And I went through the questions of like where do you work; how long have you been in this country and then all of a sudden she was able to speak English. So I just want to make a record that she testified at the grand jury in English.

MR. JACKSON: I'm disputing she testified in front of the grand jury, so -THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't we get started with her. If we find that
there's a language issue we can pass it to another day. I don't know when the
Court's going to be available because, obviously, I made this a special setting. And
then, you know, as long as we have enough notice there's not a problem. But we
can't be expected to provide an interpreter, you know, with ten minute's notice or
five minute's notice. Had we known on Friday we could've made the call to the
interpreter's office or last week at least, but -- you know, we --

MS. BEVERLY: Is there any way that if we do need to continue the hearing that we could have Hazel testify because I don't want to keep bringing her back.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

- 11	
1	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
2	MR. JACKSON: I have no problem with that.
3	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Absolutely. We'll do what we can do today.
5	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Because now we're going to we have evidentiary hearings
7	set on other Mondays, so now we would have to squeeze this continuation in some
8	place, so I want to get as much done today as we can get done today.
9	MS. BEVERLY: And we do have a trial coming up June 2 nd , so
10	THE COURT: Right.
11	All right. I'm assuming the first witness will be Anita Iral.
12	MR. JACKSON: Yes.
13	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing. Raise your right hand and face that lady
14	ANITA IRAL
15	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
16	THE COURT CLERK. Please be seated. And please state and spell your
17	name.
18	THE WITNESS: My name is Anita Iral.
19	THE COURT: Can you spell that for us?
20	THE WITNESS: A-N-I-T-A, I-R-A-L.
21	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
22	EXAMINATION BY THE COURT
23	THE COURT: How long have you lived in the United States?
24	THE WITNESS: Ten years.
25	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a job?

1	THE WITNESS: Right now I'm working as a security. It's the company is
2	Unlimited Security Unlimited.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And what do they send you out to different
4	businesses?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And what kind of businesses do you perform security
7	work at?
8	THE WITNESS: Oh, sometimes I go to convention like Mandalay Bay or Las
9	Vegas South Mall
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: convention center.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And where are you from originally?
13	THE WITNESS: Philippines.
14	THE COURT: And were in the Philippines did you grow up? Were you living
15	in Manila?
16	THE WITNESS: Manila.
17	THE COURT: Manila; okay. So did you finish high school in Manila?
18	THE WITNESS: No.
19	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
20	THE WITNESS: Fourth year, but I did not graduate.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. How far?
22	THE WITNESS: Fourth year. I think like 12 grades, but I don't graduate.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So you didn't get a high school diploma?
24	THE WITNESS: Not really.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And where did you learn to speak English? Was that in

8.

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

the Philippines in school?

THE WITNESS: In the Philippines, but mostly we just speak Spanish because of -- it's like similarities -- the Spanish language is similarities in the Philippines.

THE COURT: Okay. So in school did they teach you in Spanish language or English language or Tagalog language?

THE WITNESS: Everything.

THE COURT: Everything; okay. And your books -- your textbooks are written in English often; right?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We're going to -- obviously we are all speaking English. We're going to ask you questions in English and if you don't understand something you tell us. And if you feel like you can't go forward in English or you want an interpreter then you tell me; okay?

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: So I don't want you to guess about a question. If you don't understand it you make sure you stop us and let us know; okay?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I have a question.

THE COURT: Sure.

THE WITNESS: My auntie, she understands what I'm talking about. But I don't if, you know, she is able to --

THE COURT: Translate. No, the rules are that we have to have a certified --

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Uh-huh. I know.

THE COURT: -- interpreter so we can make sure that the translation is correct. If it's just a family member or something like that they might translate

1

THE WITNESS: I just -- yeah.

3

THE COURT: But if -- again, if you don't understand or you're not

comfortable going forward in English make sure you tell me; okay.

4 5

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.

6

THE COURT: Do you -- okay.

7

Did you have conversations with Mr. Jackson regarding your daughter

8

Hazel testifying in this case?

9

THE WITNESS: Yes.

10

THE COURT: Okay. And were those on the telephone or in person?

11

THE WITNESS: On the telephone. Sometimes I go to the office.

12

THE COURT: Okay. How many conversations did you have with Mr.

13

Jackson roughly? I know you may not remember exactly.

14

THE WITNESS: Not often.

15

THE COURT: Okay.

16

THE WITNESS: But if asking about question or about my daughter what she

17

did I tell -- I tell him, you know, what my daughter she did. Because the reason --

18

my daughter she take an overdose because she told me she already frustrated with

19

the prosecutor trying to force her to go to the court.

20

THE COURT: Okay. So did your daughter tell you this before she took the

21

overdose or after she took the overdose?

22

THE WITNESS: After. Because March 23 we have a good conversation and then we ate at the Panda Express nearby her house and I got her phone. So she

23

told me she's fine but she's kinda sometimes stressed about the prosecutor or the

24

25

cops and she's worried about that. So -- yeah, my daughter she's really young, you

know, to -- she's not really mature; just 19 years old. It's easy to her that you give -- you give something with her. So she told me a lot of things.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, when your daughter took the overdose did she go to the hospital or what happened?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, she go to the hospital and then -- Spring Valley Hospital.

THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

THE WITNESS: They transfer her at the other hospital. Like I think that was mental hospital, something like that, but I don't remember the kind of hospital.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you told Mr. Jackson about that -- about the suicide attempt?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming when you and Mr. Jackson are talking to one another you're speaking in English?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And then did you have a concern or a belief that your daughter was getting some kind of financial benefit, or presents, or money, or anything of that nature?

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: Is that yes? You have to answer out loud.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.

THE COURT: Where did that come from?

THE WITNESS: She told me that's from government and then they gave her because she said that she's a victim. And then I told her how much she pay the condo and she said like 770 -- \$770. And then she pay only like I think the rest. But

the \$700 she said it's -- goes with the government, plus if she needs like allowance she gives them allowance with her if she needs some money. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So your daughter told you --3 THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. THE COURT: -- that she got \$700 from the government? 5 THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. 6 THE COURT: You have to answer yes or no? 7 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. Yes. 8 THE COURT: Because do you see that lady there with the black and white --9 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: -- and the red hair, she has to make sure everything's 11 recorded. And if you nod your head or shake your head that's not being recorded, 12 so you have to answer yes or no. 13 THE WITNESS: I apologize. 14 THE COURT: That's okay. A lot of people do that. 15 So you're saying your daughter told you she got \$700 from the 16 government and then would get an allowance? 17 THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. 18 THE COURT: You --19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: That's what she told you? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And you told that to Mr. Jackson? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24

25

THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's kind of what I wanted to go over. When

did your daughter tell you all this?

THE WITNESS: She told me that -- that was this year. Maybe like three months or two months. Because before she was hiding where she live at. And then sometimes if I asking her where she lives at -- I know where she live at, but I never been -- get in her apartment. And she doesn't invite me like mommy, just, you know, have a seat, you know, something like that, but just only like on the front of the apartment. And she doesn't want me to see her boyfriend -- that -- say or hi or something, so that's the way she is.

THE COURT: Okay. So your -- let me see if I understand; okay. If I'm -- your daughter moved to a new apartment or condo; correct?

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: Is that yes?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And she didn't want you to know where she was living?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you said something like how can you afford this new apartment?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Is that what -- something like that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And then she said, oh, I got money from the government?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you believe -- and then you told Mr. Jackson that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And your daughter has a boyfriend, but she doesn't

want you to know the boyfriend or meet the boyfriend?

-20

THE WITNESS: She doesn't want me to talk to the conversation about hows her boyfriend or what you guys doing. But there's a time -- March 30 she was crying with me and then she said her boyfriend pull her hair and ring her neck and tell her to just get out in my apartment; I don't want to talk to you. And she was begging her boyfriend that -- mommy, I want to talk to him, but he doesn't want to talk to me. And then she said like, I need to talk to him, like that and I said, why don't you, you know, just leave him alone. And then finally I didn't know like -- she said don't talk -- don't talk to him, mommy; I'm gonna be fine. She avoid me to talk to her boyfriend. I don't know why she did that to me.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if your daughter has a job?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, she have a job. She have a part-time job.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Mr. Jackson, do you have any questions for Ms. Iral?

MR. JACKSON: Just a few. Should I go up -- is here okay?

THE COURT: You can stay there. That's fine.

MR. JACKSON: All right.

THE COURT: We're not fancy.

FOLLOW-UP BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. JACKSON:

- Q Ms. Iral, did your daughter speak to you about any influence on her -- on this case from Detective Jaeger?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What did she tell you that Detective Jaeger said to her?
 - A Detective Jaeger would tell me?

Q Ryan Jaeger. What did --

A Well, she told me when she bring some cops in the house -- he told me like if you're not communicate with us you're gonna lose your kids, you're gonna deported, and then you're gonna put in -- you're gonna put in jail. So he was arresting me in the house.

Q But is that what he said to you or that what he said to Hazel?

A We both they say that because he was -- kept Hazel on the corner and then the other cops talked to me. And then my daughter told me like, mommy, I can -- I can't do this. I said, well, we talk about that. My daughter and I we told -- we talk about that -- we're not gonna -- we're not gonna go to the court anymore. We drop the case. And then Mr. Gregory -- Mr. Ryan he doesn't want it.

Q Well, let me -- let me get this very clear. Do you remember when and where Detective Jaeger spoke to you? Was it before the grand jury or was it after the grand jury that Mr. -- Detective Jaeger spoke to you about either your obligations to testify or your daughter's obligations to testify --

A Well --

Q -- and/or what she should say?

A That was before. They took already my kids. And then he wants me to show up. How being cops how to harass me.

Q Well, did he tell her to say anything that wasn't true, if you know?

A No.

Q Now you understand that a detective has an obligation to try to get witnesses to testify truthfully. You understand that?

A Yeah. I thought that was not true, but when I was -- but when I was -- see the kids that he talked to me and I believed that. And then I was scared to

testify with anything.

Q Now did Detective Jaeger do anything to your daughter in any way to make her say anything that wasn't true that you believe?

A Yeah. Yes.

Q What would that be?

THE COURT: Well, wait a minute.

MR. JACKSON: All right. All right.

THE COURT: Did you ever witness -- were you ever present when Detective Jaeger was speaking with your daughter Hazel?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Jackson wants to know did you ever overhear Detective Jaeger telling your daughter, you know, that she had to testify or anything about her testifying?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Tell us what you heard Detective Jaeger say.

THE WITNESS: He said that my daughter -- it's gonna be same thing with me, like I'm -- that she's gonna be in jail too if she's not gonna communicate with Detective Ryan. And then it's the same thing that Detective Ryan told me, that I'm gonna be in jail if I'm not gonna communicate with him. And I was still nervous until now.

BY MR. JACKSON:

- Q Did you post bail for Joshua Shue?
- A No. How can I get that money.
- Q All right.
- A I don't have big money like that.

1	Q	Did Detective Jaeger ever make a statement that you posted bail for
2	Joshua Shue?	
3	A	Yes. He say that to me and then he doesn't have proof that I bailed
4	him. He lie	ed me and he's a liar.
5	Q	All right. Did he make that statement in Family Court?
6	А	I'm sorry?
7	Q	Did Detective Jaeger testify in Family Court concerning your daughter's
8	whether	or not your daughter should stay with you?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	At a CPS hearing?
11	A	[No audible response nodding in the affirmative.]
12	Q	Now when's the last time you've seen your daughter Hazel?
13	A	Today.
14	Q	Do you have regular contact with her?
15	A	No, we don't have time to talk. She doesn't talk to me. The last time I
16	talked to her that was I think three weeks ago, but today I see her outside. And	
17	she's gone	e. I don't know where she go.
18	MR	. JACKSON: Court's indulgence.
19		All right. I have no further questions.
20	THE	E COURT: Ms. Beverly, do you have any questions for this witness?
21	MS	. BEVERLY: I do, Your Honor. Just briefly.
22		FOLLOW-UP BY THE STATE
23	BY MS. B	EVERLY:
24	∥ a	Ms. Iral, you said that you saw Hazel outside today?
25	A	Yeah.

What did you say to her when you saw her? Okay. Have you ever told Hazel not to come to court to testify? No. She's the -- she's the one to tell me about that because she said that you was -- push her to go to the court. She said I pushed her to come to court? Okay. Do you want to come to court and testify in the trial? Yeah, that's why I'm here. Okay. I'm saying -- you know there's going to be a jury trial in a couple of weeks. Do you want to come to that jury trial and testify about what happened in Okay. You testified at the grand jury, right? And isn't it true that you said you actually didn't want to testify? No. My daughter she told me, mommy -- because I talked to her. I did not tell her that I got the subpoena before. And then when I talked to her she told me like, mommy, I'm going to the grand jury. I said, can I go, and she said, no, you don't have to be there, mommy. It's fine. So when I get my subpoena I was nervous that I need -- I need to -- I need to go.

Okay. When Mr. Jackson was asking you questions a few minutes ago you had said that you and Hazel talked about dropping this case.

Yeah, we talk about that many times. Like before we starting this -- this Α case we talk about that and she's really nice. When you guys come to my --

1	Q	Wait a minute.
2	А	No. I mean, when you guys come
3	Q	Well, hold on. Hold on one second. I just want to know have you and
4	Hazel talke	ed about dropping this case?
5	A	Yeah.
6	Q	Okay. Have you seen
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	have you seen the videos in this case?
9	A	Nobody wants to show me the video.
10	Q	Okay. Did you know that videos were being recorded of your children?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Okay. Why would you and Hazel be talking about dropping this case?
13	A	Because we talk about that before because we knows that this is
14	we're final	ready and then when when you and Detective Ryan [indiscernible] all
15	our life and	then she make it worse why my daughter now she commit suicide.
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	Because what happened when I was talk to her on the phone at the
18	hospital I to	old her can we talk about why you did that and she told me, mommy, I
19	cannot do t	his I cannot talk to you about that. I said, why? Because she told me
20	it's really co	omplicated. It might be somebody you know, she's going heard on the
21	phone wha	t she's talking about when I really saw her in the hospital she told me

phone what she's talking about when -- I really saw her in the hospital she told me that she's really --

Okay. Okay. Hold on one second. Let me ask you this. What do you think about these videos being taken of your children?

I don't think so that this is -- somebody do this. I think my daughter Α

	and a summer of the second of your went to call your next witness?	
1	THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, did you want to call your next witness?	
2	MR. JACKSON: I might	
3	THE COURT: I have maybe two questions I'd like to ask Hazel.	
4	MR. JACKSON: Let's put Hazel on. I might save the witness in rebuttal or I	
5	may not use her at all. So it's	
6	THE COURT: Okay.	
7	MR. JACKSON: it's not	
8	THE COURT: Bring Hazel in.	
9	Ms. Lavell, are you one of the lawyers on this case?	
10	MS. LAVELL: I am, Your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: It's just right up here by me. Just follow the marshal, please.	
12	And just face this lady right there and she'll administer the oath to you.	
13	HAZEL IRAL	
14	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]	
15	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. And please state and	
16	spell your name.	
17	THE WITNESS: Hazel Iral, H-A-Z-E-L, I-R-A-L.	
18	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.	
19	THE COURT: I just have a couple of questions for you and then the lawyers	
20	can ask you questions; okay?	
21	THE WITNESS: Okay	
22	EXAMINATION BY THE COURT	
23	THE COURT: I have a question. Have you received or been given any	
24	money from the District Attorney's Office, or Ms. Beverly, or Ms. Lavell?	
25	THE WITNESS: No.	

- []		
1	THE COURT: Okay. Have you been given any kind of gifts, or presents, or	
2	anything like that?	
3	THE WITNESS: No.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. Have you received any money or gifts from the	
5	detectives involved in this case or anyone from the police department?	
6	THE WITNESS: No.	
7	THE COURT: Okay. And was there ever a time that you contacted either Ms	
8	Beverly or Ms. Lavell and told them that you didn't want to testify, or you didn't want	
9	to come to court, or anything like that?	
0	THE WITNESS: No.	
1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to let the State follow-up if they	
2	have anything.	
3	MS. BEVERLY: I don't have anything, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, you may follow up with the witness.	
5	FOLLOW-UP BY THE DEFENSE	
6	BY MR. JACKSON:	
7	Q You didn't get any funds from did you get funds from CPS for living	
8	expenses?	
9	A What do you mean by that?	
20	Q Well, did they did they pay your rent? Did any agency of the	
21	government of the State of Nevada or the County of Clark	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q All right. And which government agency was paying your rent?	
24	A Um, Step Up	
25	Q Family Serv	

THE WITNESS: Okay.

EXAMINATION BY THE COURT

' 11	6/3 1/11/7
2	THE COURT: And how did you get these funds through the Step Up
3	Program?
4	THE WITNESS: Well, I was a foster child. So then I got out of the system.
5	terminated myself out of it.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	THE WITNESS: And then I couldn't afford to live by myself so I asked her if
8	she if there's another program for that and then they told me there's the Step Up
9	Program
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: for kids who got out of foster care.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So how old were when you terminated yourself from the
13	foster program?
14	THE WITNESS: Eighteen.
15	THE COURT: Eighteen?
16	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And then did you talk to the gal who just left the
18	courtroom or did you have another social worker with foster care that you talked to?
19	THE WITNESS: It's a different social worker when I was in foster care.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So you asked her are there any funds or any resources
21	and she told you about the Step Up Program?
22	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. Yeah.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And then they paid your rent for a period of time?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, till I'm 21.

THE COURT: Okay. And is that -- how much do you get a month from the

IIF

FOLLOW UP BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. JACKSON:

- In this program, is it also a condition that you cooperate with any Q ongoing legal investigations?
- No, it's a whole separate thing. It doesn't involve anything with this case.
- You don't have any requirement that you're to make regular contact Q with the Clark County District Attorney or the Metropolitan Police Department or any of those agencies in order to be eligible for the Step Up --
 - Α No.
 - -- Program funds? Q
 - No. I just have to work. Α
- Okay. Now, when did you first get into the foster care program? Was Q that done by Family Court after this -- after the arrest of Mr. Shue?
- Well, it happened like right when I was 17, so when this case was Α ongoing. So basically it was just for safety issues. So I was in there with my brothers and then I got out myself. So once I got out of foster care the whole relations to this trial were gone. So it has nothing to do with anything else.
- Now, did you have contact with the deputy district attorney prior to you Q getting into foster care? Did you have contact with the investigated office of the District Attorney's Office prior to you being put in foster care?
- Well, I talked to them, but the whole reason how I got into foster care was I told my counselor. So my counselor called the social services and that's when they took us.
 - Who was that counselor? Was it a different one than the one that was Q

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

MR. JACKSON: They ever brought you into the principal's office, Your

22

23 24

Honor? No --

THE COURT: I take the Fifth Amendment on that, Mr. Jackson.

MR. JACKSON: I'll withdraw the question.

BY MR. JACKSON:

Now, have you been in regular contact with Detective Jaeger Q concerning -- Ryan Jaeger concerning this case?

I haven't spoke to him in a long time. Α

Have you ever told Detective Jaeger that you didn't want to testify or Q proceed on this case?

No. No. Α

Have you ever told your mother that, that you didn't want to proceed or Q go forward on this case?

I told her it was overwhelming for me, but I didn't say anything like I Α don't want to go to court or anything like that.

Now you said it was overwhelming. Did the fear or the trouble Q concerning this case in any way lead to the incident you had several months ago where you ended up in the hospital?

Well, it's because my mom -- like everything. Like my mom kept Α bothering me about it. She said to not do it and everything. And it made me feel bad because my mom was upset and I couldn't do anything about it because I wanted to keep going.

Well, you were getting counseling regularly; is that correct? Q

Α Yes.

And you didn't talk to your counselors how -- how my mom's bugging Q me; she keeps harassing me. They weren't able to give you the relief you needed;

1

THE COURT: I think we're getting beyond the scope --

3

MR. JACKSON: Well --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- of the hearing, which is about -- well, we all know what it's about. But I think what she told her counselor isn't relevant. Certainly it may -- it may not be relevant at all, and it's certainly not relevant for purposes of this hearing, so --

MR. JACKSON: Well, she just said that she's blaming it on her mom for what she did. And I think I have a right to impeach her on that because it's relevant.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. JACKSON: It goes to her truth and veracity on other matters. And if it's not true I got a right to impeach her.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. JACKSON: If she would've had a chance to speak to counselors, the counselor would've said, no, that's not a good reason -- you know, try to take your own life. And I think I got a right to, you know, ask her questions.

THE COURT: I don't find that that's relevant, Mr. Jackson. So you've noted your objection --

MR. JACKSON: All right.

THE COURT: -- on the record. You've stated the reason you want to elicit the testimony, but I don't think it's relevant, so the objection of Ms. Beverly is sustained.

BY MR. JACKSON:

- Have you been getting counseling since you got out of the hospital? Q
- No, 'cause I've been doing a lot better. I've been --Α

1	to get you to testify to something in this case that's not true? Has anyone told you,	
2	hey, testify to this or that that isn't true?	
3	THE WITNESS: I don't think so. No.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. Has anyone told you what to say under oath in this	
5	case?	
6	THE WITNESS: No one has told me anything.	
7	THE COURT: Okay.	
8	MR. JACKSON: I have no further questions.	
9	THE COURT: Ms. Beverly, do you have any questions?	
10	MS. BEVERLY: Yes. Just briefly, Your Honor.	
11	FOLLOW UP BY THE STATE	
12	BY MS. BEVERLY:	
13	Q Hazel, you testified at the grand jury about a year ago	
14	A Uh-huh.	
15	Q do you remember that?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Okay. Do you remember at the grand jury that I had to show you a lot	
18	of videos in this case?	
19	A Yes. Yes.	
20	Q Okay. Was that hard for you?	
21	A A little bit. Yeah, it was seeing the videos and everything.	
22	Q Is it hard for you knowing that in trial we're going to have to show these	
23	videos again?	
24	A Well, most likely I'm gonna get used to it because I've already seen the	
25	videos, so	

Q

Okay. Do you know -- just only if you know. If you don't know it's okay.

	11		
1	А	Okay. Okay.	
2	Q	Do you know whether your mom still has a relationship with Joshua?	
3	А	The only thing I know from like couple months ago what she told me	
4	before I was in the hospital. She I don't know if she jokes around or whatever, t		
5	she says she still tries to contact the family. I don't know if it's him per se, but it's		
6	family.		
7	Q	Okay. Are your brothers still living with your mom?	
8	Α	Yes.	
9	MS.	BEVERLY: Okay. Nothing else.	
10	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Jackson?		
11	MR. JACKSON: I have no questions.		
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being here. You are excused at this		
13	time.		
14	THE	WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.	
15	THE	COURT: And if you could just follow the marshal out from the	
16	courtroom.		
17		And, Mr. Jackson, did you have any I'm sorry, any other witnesses?	
18	MR.	JACKSON: I have one witness that I think that I'm going to not call.	
19	THE	COURT: Okay.	
20		Ms. Beverly, does the State have any other witnesses?	
21	MS.	BEVERLY: I do not, Your Honor.	
22	THE	COURT: All right. I'm ready to make my findings.	
23	MS.	BEVERLY: Thank you.	
24	THE	COURT: I think it's one of those situations where everyone was telling	

the truth but it's what does it mean. Hazel was receiving money from the

government. Her mother was correct in that, but it's totally unrelated to this case. It's completely unrelated to Ms. Beverly and Ms. Lavell. And, you know, use the term right loosely, she has the right to get those funds as someone who has been in the foster system. So I'm certainly not going to say well, she can't get the funds. I mean, that's a separate and independent thing. It has nothing to do with her testimony in this case and so she can't be penalized in some way for getting funds that she's entitled to because she happens to be a victim and a witness in a criminal prosecution. So I find that those funds are completely unrelated to this.

There's no evidence here of any kind of prosecutorial misconduct.

There's no evidence of any undue influence on the part of the District Attorney's

Office or the police department in trying to force Ms. Iral -- Hazel to testify. And
there's certainly been no evidence at all that there's been any improper coaching or
anything like that. So while the statement Anita Iral made to Mr. Jackson about
funds coming from the government is technically true, those funds are completely
unrelated to the prosecution. They didn't come from the District Attorney's Office.

It's a separate source that she's entitled to whether or not she's a victim; whether or
not she's a witness in this or any other case. So I don't see that there's any basis.

I'm glad we fleshed out the allegations because we were able to dispense with them. I don't see anything improper or untoward that's gone on here. I don't think that anything's been done to try to influence the testimony of the victim in this case. So based on the testimony at the hearing and the Court's findings the motion's denied.

So there are some CPS records in the back, which I think are discoverable, so we'll get copies made of those and disseminated to both sides.

MS. BEVERLY: Your Honor, when we're talking about the motion are we

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

talking about -- because there's been -- we still have a pending motion in limine, so --

THE COURT: Which is -- what is the pending motion in limine?

MS. BEVERLY: The pending motion in limine is regards to questions during trial about -- I mean, there's been issues coming up with her cutting and issues coming up with her alleged mental state. And I had filed a motion in limine to preclude questioning about those issues as it's irrelevant and highly prejudicial. So I think that motion is set for the calendar call date next week.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I don't feel it's fair to make a ruling on that because it's not set till the calendar call and I'm sure Mr. Jackson has filed an opposition. And candidly, you know, I read everything before the -- the date that it's set to be heard, so that's still pending. And I think those are the only pending issues then: am I correct?

MS. BEVERLY: We had the motion to vacate trial date --

THE COURT: Right. I mean --

MS. BEVERLY: -- and reset and --

THE COURT: -- that's denied.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay.

THE COURT: Number one, even if she were having emotional issues, that's not necessarily grounds for continuing the trial. I found the witness Hazel Iral to be very poised and I think she articulated quite well why she made the suicide attempt. And it seems like she's worked through a lot of those issues, so I don't see any basis at this point to continue the trial. Now, obviously, if there's a change in circumstances that would be revisited. But at this point in time, like I said, she seems very poised. It seems like she's worked through a lot of those issues. By her

 own testimony she's no longer seeking counseling. So, in my view, there's absolutely no reason to continue the trial based on her suicide attempt.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

MR. JACKSON: Can I just say one thing --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. JACKSON: -- just for the record. I would like to renew my motion for an independent psychological examination of Hazel Iral at this time. I realize the Court has already ruled on that motion, but I think the circumstances have changed with her attempted suicide. I also think that her statement that she's not getting counseling is -- if not absurd, it's very strange with a young woman who's gone through all this and she says, well, no, I'm not getting counseling. I just swallowed some pills and had to go the hospital because of that. And I'm going through this terrible dilemma of having to testify in court about this, and everybody tells me to do it or not do it, or whatever, so I have to take pills and I'm blaming my mother for it. You know, even if she's totally innocent and it's her mom that caused her to do it, she still should probably be having a session or two with a psychologist. I think I got a right to have an independent psychologist examine her, and I think I have a right to get that information before I go to trial.

THE COURT: Well, first --

MR. JACKSON: And that's -- that's something that -- this is one of those cases where the Supreme Court has said these are the kind of cases that we've made this exception getting involved in witnesses' privacy and whatever. I don't care if the Court, you know, looks at it first to see if there's something in there that's necessary for me to properly confront and cross-examine.

The Court looked at her in the witness chair when she came in for ten

That's what I -- I think the -- that's what I think is really going on here.

MR. JACKSON: It may be, but I still think I'm entitled to an independent psychological examination. The State, you know, is going to put on this witness and sell her to the jury as a witness who is poised and who is articulate. And my client is going to be sitting here next to me and the jury's going to look at him like, you know, he's the bad guy. I want to have what a neutral psychologist says about her. And the Supreme Court has said in these kinds of cases that I should be entitled to that; that I am urging the Court to reconsider its ruling.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: And this is critical to our defense and I'll submit it with that.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, the fact that someone attempts suicide does not mean that they're not a credible or honest person, number one. Number two, it does not mean that they don't have the ability to accurately perceive events and thereafter accurately recall what they have perceived. So I don't really know what the point would be of this independent evaluation. I don't find anything in her testimony to suggest that she wasn't being truthful about the cause of the suicide attempt. So I'm not really sure why this case is different so that we take the extreme measure of ordering an independent psychological examination. I don't see the reason for it in this particular case. Like you said, just because she made a suicide attempt does not suggest to me that she's being dishonest; that she's unable to perceive; that she's delusional; that she's hallucinating, anything like that that goes to her credibility as a witness. And so, you know, I just don't see it.

Ms. Beverly, what's the State's position?

MS. BEVERLY: Your Honor, first and foremost, we have already talked about this motion. Your Honor already denied this motion. Mr. Jackson keeps saying the Supreme -- this is the type of case the Supreme Court allows this in and that's just

simply inaccurate. What the Supreme Court has said over and over is that psychological examinations there's a strict requirement -- a three step requirement that we talked about last time that must be met in order for a victim to be subjected to this. And those cases all involve sexual assaults of minors. And Mr. Jackson has already filed motions saying no mention of any type of sexual assault in this case. And the State agreed and said, sure, we won't in any way ask Detective Jaeger how this investigation started. So this is simply not one of those cases.

Number two, this suicide attempt that we keep talking about happened over a year and a half after this case was initially -- initially began, and the computers were seized in this case, and the videos had already been made months and months and months ago. So there's simply no relation to what she's feeling now.

And finally, Your Honor, if she doesn't want to get counseling that's her business. We as a society should not be telling her you should get counseling because you tried to commit suicide. That's her individual choice. She has a right to get counseling or not get counseling if she wants to. So there's simply no basis for an independent psychological evaluation and we also submit on the arguments we made at the --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BEVERLY: -- prior time of the motion.

THE COURT: The motion's I guess re-denied. So we'll see you all back on calendar call on the 29th.

/// ///

, , ,	i	
1	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.	
2	THE COURT: All right, Thank you.	
3	[Proceedings concluded at 10:24 a.m.]	
4	****	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the	
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
23	En 1 Pouchmir	
24	Sanna A Pruchnic SANDRA PRUCHNIC	
25	Court Transcriber	

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 9 CASE#: C288172 Plaintiff. VS. 10 DEPT. XXI 11 JOSHUA C. SHUE, aka JOSHUA CALEB SHUE 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 **THURSDAY**, MAY 29, 2014 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 CALENDAR CALL STATE'S MOTION IN LIMINE 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the State: TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ. 20 **Deputy District Attorney** 21 For the Defendant: TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER 25

THURSDAY, MAY 29, 2014 AT 9:32 A.M.

•

THE COURT: Do we need to wait for Ms. Beverly?

MS. JONES: No, Judge. I'm going to handle it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: She's not going to be here today.

THE COURT: State versus Joshua Shue, who is present out of custody.

This is on for the State's motion in limine and for calendar call. Let's start with the calendar.

MS. JONES: State's ready, Judge.

MR. JACKSON: Well, I had filed a motion to continue. I would renew that we want additional time to investigate. But if the Court denies that I'll be ready.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: The same grounds that I raised before. We -- and some of it's relayed in the motion in limine whether or not we can get into certain things at the trial. We believe that some of that is crucial to our case, the credibility of the victim. So I'm -- you know, wish to raise certain things at the trial concerning the victim's credibility that I think are essential to the defense of the case and I'll elaborate on those when the Court hears the motion.

MS. JONES: And, Judge, just for the record, our opposition is based on the same opposition we've already had. You had an evidentiary hearing. You've already ruled on these issues. The issues he wants to investigate are not relevant to this case.

THE COURT: Right. How long do you -- would this case take to try from the State's perspective? I mean, the State's --

1	MS. JONES: Three to four days.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. And then is there a defense case in chief	
3	MR. JACKSON: I think we	
4	THE COURT: other than, obviously, possibly your client?	
5	MR. JACKSON: We might have at most three or four witnesses, so maybe a	
6	day, maybe half a day.	
7	THE COURT: So it could take maybe six days to try?	
8	MR. JACKSON: Maybe less. Maybe I'd say a week or less.	
9	MS. JONES: We have four to five witnesses, Your Honor. Nobody out of	
10	state.	
11	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to, due to the Court's calendar, grant	
12	Mr. Terry's motion to continue the trial.	
13	And, Ms. Husted, Ms. Frascarelli	
14	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: Do we want to	
15	THE COURT: How long are you asking for Mr. Jackson?	
16	MR. JACKSON: At the Court's convenience. I have a murder trial set in	
17	September, but I think it's going to be negotiated. But aside from that, I don't have	
18	anything pending in the near future.	
19	MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, the State's asking for the quickest setting	
20	possible.	
21	MR. JACKSON: So I'll leave it to the Court's discretion. Whatever the Court's	
22	calendar would	
23	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: How about October 6 th ?	
24	MR. JACKSON: I think that will work.	
25	MS. JONES: Is there anything we can do in August?	

1	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: You can try August 25th.
2	MR. JACKSON: That will work for me, I believe.
3	MS. JONES: That's fine, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. JACKSON: I know I have my murder trial starts the first week in
6	September. That's the only or the I think it starts September 6 th , if that doesn't
7	negotiate. So that's not as good a date as earlier in August. I'd prefer either early
8	August or the October date.
9	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: August 11 th ?
0	MR. JACKSON: That's better for me.
1	MS. JONES: And the State cannot do August 11 th .
2	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: Okay.
3	MR. JACKSON: All right. Now we're going around in circles.
4	MS. JONES: That's
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: So we're going to stick with
7	August 25 th then
8	MS. JONES: Please.
9	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: or later?
20	MR. JACKSON: August 25 th is going to run into my murder case possibly.
21	That's the only
22	THE COURT: Well, let's go with August 25 th
23	MR. JACKSON: All right.
24	THE COURT: for right now. And then if you're murder, you know it's not

25 a capital case, correct, Mr. Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: How is it relevant? I think it's relevant to, number one,

whether or not --

THE COURT: I mean, it wouldn't matter if she was asleep --

MR. JACKSON: No, no --

THE COURT: -- and they took the pictures, you know. I mean --

MR. JACKSON: But some of them may have been taken by her. And the issue is whether or not she took the pictures. And she'll be cross-examined about this by the defense.

Now her credibility is going to be an issue. I think the cases I cited -the case law I cited is that a defendant has a right to confront and cross-examine
witnesses on issues regarding credibility. It's a fundamental right under the Sixth
Amendment. Now I think her mental status and the fact that she's tried to commit
suicide; the fact that she has some serious issues regarding mental health; the fact
that she has had some other issues that I wish to explore, both with my investigation
and otherwise, will show that her -- her -- both her character and her veracity is in
doubt.

THE COURT: So that's your --

MR. JACKSON: If they're going to use her as a witness.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. That's your defense that she took pictures of herself?

MR. JACKSON: That may be a defense. That may be one of the defenses. And I think we may be able to establish that through investigation, and through testimony of other witnesses, and through the ability to establish her credibility is flawed in some ways. Now I think we have a right to do that.

THE COURT: Well, you have a right, obviously, to cross-examine her. The issue is you can't, you know, get into things that are irrelevant to what she's here to testify about. I mean, if she's just here to say that's me and I was ten, and that's

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

corroborated through other means, or she's clearly, you know, whatever age by, you know, how she looks on the imagine, then I think that's just an attempt to maybe embarrass the witness, or to confuse the jury, or something like that --

MR. JACKSON: Well --

THE COURT: -- in which case I can exclude it.

Now, you know, if it's reasonably related to your defense -- I mean, clearly, if she was making allegations of sexual conduct then that opens the door to everything because then her credibility is directly at issue. She's just here saying, yeah, that's me in this picture and, you know, that was my bathtub at, you know, my mom's apartment, then -- and it's confirmed, then I think it becomes irrelevant.

MR. JACKSON: Well --

THE COURT: So --

MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry. I'll let the Court finish.

THE COURT: What I don't want to have happen is, you know, for you to say, well, we're going to use this defense of that she took the pictures of herself to open the door and cross-examine her. And then there'd be no evidence on the defense side that she took the pictures of herself, which I would consider then a ruse.

So, you know, maybe we'll pass this over. You know, I can rule at the time of trial too as you question her if the door becomes open. But, like I said, if that's going to be the defense you're going to have to -- obviously, you don't have to commit to your client testifying or not, but if there are other things that yes the investigator found this out, or the film developing place will say that, or whatever you might have, then you're going to have to bring that to the Court's attention and I may say, okay, yes you have enough that you have a good faith belief that this is your defense. And now you can get into things that on their face seem irrelevant, like her

subsequent suicide attempt --

MR. JACKSON: All right.

THE COURT: -- and subsequent treatment for depression that occurred not at the time that this all went on but much later.

MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, what I'll do is prepare a trial brief --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: -- and I'll list the --

THE COURT: And then, you know, also her state at the time of her testimony could be relevant as well, so you can get into that. But what I don't want you is mining into this whole --

MR. JACKSON: Right.

THE COURT: -- you know, suicide attempt and this and that. If it's not relevant to her at the time of testimony, it's not relevant to her at the time of the incidents.

MR. JACKSON: I understand --

THE COURT: Those are the two --

MR. JACKSON: -- the Court's concern.

THE COURT: -- critical time periods.

MR. JACKSON: I will prepare a trial brief --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: -- and I will detail what investigation I hope will reveal. And I will also cite the cases dealing with defense theory of the case instructions. I've been working on instructions vigorously this week in my defense theory of the case, but I will have a more detailed trial brief by the time we come up in August. That gives me time to prepare --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. JACKSON: -- also to do further investigation to see if I can raise at least the minimum amount of evidence necessary to support this. I understand --

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, if it's -- you know, if her veracity is at issue, you know, at the time she's testifying or at the time she's perceiving the events then yes; but, you know, if it's just this is me in the picture, then I think it may be really irrelevant so, you know, we'll see.

MR. JACKSON: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. JONES: And, Judge, we don't believe it's relevant at all because this is a possession case.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. JONES: Her veracity has nothing to do with that. Even if she took the pictures herself that has nothing to do with -- the issue is whether or not he had it. The issue is not whether or not who took the picture. And, Judge, that's --

THE COURT: Well, there's a few --

MS. JONES: -- absolutely not relevant.

THE COURT: -- different ways this is charged so, you know, Mr. Jackson -- just because it looks to me like it's charged alternatively --

MS. JONES: Right.

THE COURT: -- you know, Mr. Jackson's entitled to defend him on each and every charge, even though there may be alternative charges where he, you know, is facing just for possession. So, you know, the State can decide what they want to go forward on if that's going to be the issue. But, like I said, just looking at the charges it appears that there's different things that you've charged here and different things -- different elements that Mr. Jackson has to defend on so --

1	MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
2	THE COURT: All right.	
3	MS. JONES: So, Judge, you're just going to rule on it at that time?	
4	THE COURT: Well I mean, basically, I'm going to wait and listen to the	
5	evidence, and Mr. Jackson's going to prepare his trial brief and, you know, we'll see.	
6	But, like I said, you know, he has to have a good faith basis that this is relevant and	
7	we'll see what his investigator comes up with.	
8	MS. JONES: Thank you, Judge.	
9	THE COURT: All right.	
10	MR. JACKSON: Thank you.	
11	[Proceedings concluded at 9:42 a.m.]	
12	*****	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the	
- 22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
23	5 1 A Parchage	
24	Sanna A Pruchnic SANDRA PRUCHNIC	
25	Court Transcriber	

Electronically Filed 03/26/2015 09:30:54 AM

1	RTRAN	Alm to Chum	
2		CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	3		
4	4		
5	DISTRICT C	OURT	
6	CLARK COUNTY	, NEVADA	
7	,		
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
9	Plaintiff,	CASE#: C288172	
10)	DEPT. XXI	
11	1000110A C. Ol 10L, aka		
12	JOSHUA CALEB SHUE		
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	TUESDAY, AUGUST 19, 2014		
16	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIP' DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS INDI		
17	BASED ON INADEQU	JATE NOTICE	
18	11		
19	APPEARANCES:		
20		EAH C. BEVERLY, ESQ. eputy District Attorney	
21		•	
22	For the Defendant:	ERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.	
23			
24			
25	RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RE	RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER	
	1		
- 11	H		

THE COURT: This is State versus Joshua Shue. And this is the Defendant's motion to dismiss because of inadequate notice on the timing of the alleged offenses. The State has filed an opposition which I have reviewed.

Mr. Jackson, do you have anything you would like to add to what's already been provided to the Court?

MR. JACKSON: Just very briefly. The State, you know, ignores a couple of things, and I'll be very succinct. The *Cunningham* case says that the Supreme Court noted the State is not absolutely required to allege the exact date of the offense as charged. The State should, however, whenever possible, allege the exact date on which it believes a crime was committed or as closely thereto as possible -- or as closely thereto as possible.

Now, that's a command from the Supreme Court to not just throw out some dates that happened sometime in the previous two and a half years. It's if you know what happened maybe in June, or maybe in the first part of the year, or maybe it happened after school was out, or if you know what happened, you know, sometime between February and July, you can put that in -- as to Count 42, or Count 37, or whatever. The case also -- or the State also says, well, it doesn't matter because they were all over -- or under age 18. But that's not exactly true because some of the counts -- the B felony is if a witness is under age 16.

Now part of our defense theory is that the Defendant may possibly be guilty of a lesser included offense on the B felony, which is mere possession of visual images of someone under age 16. And I re-read the grand jury transcript half a dozen times. And in reading the grand jury transcript of Hazel Iral, when she was

asked her age on various pictures and various videos she said, well, I was about 16, or maybe 16, or whatever, but she doesn't say exactly when on these pictures. The issue of age is going to be critical as to Hazel Iral at certain times and places as to certain of the photos. So at least the State owes us in giving us notice so we can defend these charges, defend very particular photos, when these alleged incidents took place. If they don't know they can say we don't know. But if they know, or they more closely than they do know, they should amend the Information and give us that information.

You know, the case has been pending a long time. Just to give a blanket statement like this, it happened sometime in the previous two and a half years, I don't think is sufficient and I think the case law says they got to do more than that. So I'd move to dismiss. It's a violation of due process. Due process requires -- we're not playing a poker game where you keep your cards hidden when you try to exploit the other player by using knowledge you have that they don't have. That's what you do at a poker table, and you take tactical advantage of the other side by hiding knowledge. In a court of law they're supposed to share the knowledge they have that's relevant and important; they're not doing that.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Beverly, do you wish to respond in addition to your written opposition?

MS. BEVERLY: Just briefly, Judge.

First and foremost, I don't want to get too much into the jury instructions even though we have that -- we filed. But there will --

THE COURT: Right. But obvious -- I mean, I don't know what she's going to say, but obviously they have to prove the allegations, including the element of age

beyond a reasonable doubt --

MS. BEVERLY: Right.

THE COURT: -- as to each count where age is -- you know, whether it's 14 or the person's 16. You know, if they don't prove a certain age then it's, you know, either a not guilty, or it's a lesser crime, or what have you, according to the jury's determination. So I would just add they have to prove the ages beyond a reasonable doubt.

MS. BEVERLY: Absolutely, Your Honor. And just to be clear though, possession -- the *Wilson* case has specifically said that possession of child pornography is not a lesser included abuse of child in production. So -- I mean, we can argue that at the time, but that's just -- the case law's clear on that.

Secondly, the charges relating to Hazel are use of minor in production. There are no charges relating to Hazel for possession of child pornography victim under 16. And the reason for that was because, again, in -- as you said, in the grand jury transcript she said between the ages of 16 and 17. So she's not even charged for those particular counts where age is an issue, other than being a minor under the age 18 or under, so just to clarify that.

Secondly, Judge, the State is not trying to hide anything. The date frame that is in the Indictment is the date frame that Mr. Shue was dating and/or living with the Iral family, and the end date is the date where the police became involved after the particular incident that occurred. So that's the date frame that we have. That's the date frame we've pled. So, you know, given the case law, Your Honor, there's -- the *Cunningham* case gave a year, two year date frame and the Court said that was appropriate. So with that, Your Honor -- and just also -- I believe I said this in my motion, but the charges in this case are the exact same

charges that was -- as was used in the *Wilson* case that approved the timeframe. So with that, Your Honor, we will submit on the rest of our opposition.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: I'll just submit it with my points and authorities. I still think it violates due process --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. JACKSON: -- because it doesn't conform with adequate notice.

THE COURT: I think it conforms with adequate notice. I mean, honestly, the State could've pled this more specifically. For example, Count 1, being approximately 17 years of age. Well, she wasn't 17 for two years, so you're talking about a particular, I guess, year timeframe. Because when you're talking about the whole two years she would've been 16 to 17 years of age. So I think the pleading could have been more specific. I mean, that's a count that just jumps out at you. Some of these you may not know exactly. You know, the State's permitted -- while they could've been more specific in individual counts, they're permitted, I think, to plead it this way. I don't think it violates due process. I think it generally provides adequate notice as to what you have to defend against and the time period you're looking at.

I will just reiterate that they have to prove each thing beyond a reasonable doubt -- each element beyond a reasonable doubt and that would include the age. And so, you know, there has to be testimony that would cover all of these counts. And if there's not then you can address it at the time of trial.

So the motion's denied and we still have a calendar call date set for August 21st.

MS. BEVERLY: Yes.

1	MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Your Honor.		
2	THE COURT: Which will be this week Thursday.		
3	MS. BEVERLY: Yes. Thank you.		
4	THE COURT: All right. We'll see you back.		
5	[Proceedings concluded at 9:34 a.m.]		
6	* * * * * * *		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.		
23			
24	Sandra A Pruchmic SANDRA PRUCHNIC		
25	SANDRA PRUCHNIC Court Transcriber		

Electronically Filed 03/26/2015 09:31:43 AM

1	DTDAN	Alun & Chuin	
1 2	RTRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT	
3			
4			
5	DISTRICT COURT		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7			
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
9) Plaintiff,	CASE#: C288172	
10	vs.	DEPT. XXI	
11	JOSHUA C. SHUE, aka		
12	JOSHUA CALEB SHUE	i I	
13	Defendant.		
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15	THURSDAY, AUGUST 21, 2014		
16	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS CALENDAR CALL		
17		•	
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	For the State:	LEAH C. BEVERLY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney	
20			
21	For the Defendant:	TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.	
22			
23			
24 25	RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER		
20	INCOMPLED BY. SAMIL OLOLIN, COOK		

THURSDAY, AUGUST 21, 2014 AT 9:30 A.M.

_

y

THE COURT: All right. State versus Joshua Shue, who's present out of custody with Mr. Jackson.

This is the time set for calendar call. Are both sides ready to proceed?

MS. BEVERLY: We're ready, Judge, but I think that there's another trial --

THE COURT: I know there is.

MS. BEVERLY: -- that's in here. I know that you didn't want to send us to overflow. I just -- my concern is, Judge, is that -- I'm ready to go forward now. I understand if we can't. And I actually don't want to go to overflow, so I just -- I want to get this done --

THE COURT: I don't want all the other judges to hate me, basically, by -- MS. BEVERLY: I agree.

THE COURT: -- you know, by sending something that is going to take seven or eight days and then start hitting into their calendars and that sort of thing, so --

MS. BEVERLY: I do just want, Judge, to -- it's been going on for two years and you know we've had a lot of issues in this case. And I would like my victim to -- you know, she's a young girl and I want her to be able to move on with her life. I understand, you know --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. BEVERLY: -- we have scheduling issues, but --

THE COURT: Unfortunately, as you know, Ms. Beverly, it's not like there aren't a number of other serious cases. We had another, you know, child -- well, it was a -- totally different facts, but -- case involving a child victim that got moved. We had this murder -- I mean, so it's not like there aren't a number of serious cases

Let me ask you this, how many days does the State anticipate for trial?

MS. BEVERLY: I'm only calling six witnesses. The longest part of the trial is going to be playing snippets of the clips, which I don't anticipate taking -- I'm not going to play every single clip the whole way through, but just snippets of it, so -- I mean, I think it will be done in a week --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: -- for sure.

THE COURT: And then, Mr. Jackson, are you calling any -- other than, obviously, we don't know about your client, but are you calling any witnesses?

MR. JACKSON: I think the defense case could be anywhere from zero to four witnesses and they won't take more than a half an hour to, at most, an hour. If the Defendant testifies, his testimony might be a little longer. But the defense case will be very brief. If -- I -- the Defendant, which is to proceed as -- on the earliest possible trial setting. The problem is, if we continue this matter, I have some major trials coming up in federal court and another major trial in December in state court --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JACKSON: -- a kidnap robbery case --

THE COURT: Ms. Beverly --

MR. JACKSON: -- and I rather to go to overflow --

THE COURT: Okay. Well, first of all --

MR. JACKSON: -- if it's available.

THE COURT: -- there may be people who've invoked that go to overflow before you. I can't send --

MR. JACKSON: 1 know.

THE COURT: -- a million cases to overflow. Here's what I'm going to ask you folks to do. I'm going to ask you folks to have a seat.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: We'll see where you are in the stack.

Now, Ms. Beverly, is it your belief, understanding there may be a defense case -- the Defendant may testify, that you could complete this case in a week --

MS. BEVERLY: Oh, absolutely, Judge.

THE COURT: -- if it went to overflow?

MS. BEVERLY: The only long witness is going to be Hazel. Everybody else is just kind of foundational stuff.

THE COURT: Okay. And then, Mr. Jackson, do you believe this case could be completed in a week starting --

MR. JACKSON: I think --

THE COURT: -- starting on a Monday?

MR. JACKSON: I think it might three or four days.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: I don't think it's going to take week.

THE COURT: All right. Have a seat and we'll see where you are on this stack.

[Proceedings trailed at 9:33 a.m.]

[Proceedings resumed at 9:58 a.m.]

THE COURT: All right. I've concluded the calendar calls, so there's nobody in custody that would take preference over Mr. Shue. I'm going to go ahead and send this matter to overflow. I am going to communicate with Judge Villani --

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- and tell him that it absolutely has to be a judge who's available all five days. I believe next -- at the end of next week it's Labor Day holiday, so that may be difficult finding a judge who's available. So I am going to send it -- again, I'm going to convey that to Judge Villani --

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- and then he can make sure that whoever takes it -- if someone's available to take it understands that, you know, this isn't a two or three day trial and accounts for that with the holiday and everything.

MS. BEVERLY: If we do get sent back, and there's not a courtroom available in overflow, would it be possible when we come back to get a new date to get a firm setting in here, if possible.

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll try to do that. But, you know, since it's -- I mean, I'm not -- how old is this? It's only two years old?

MS. BEVERLY: It's from 2012.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, let's -- hopefully there'll be a judge available. Like I said, you know, there's the issue of the holiday. A lot of people will probably take Friday off. And so I'll communicate all that to Judge Villani, who runs the overflow program, and he can decide whether there's somebody available to take it or not. And it will be up the other judges, as well as Judge Villani. If not, you'll get sent back here.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay.

THE COURT: So the court clerk will give you the date for the overflow.

THE COURT CLERK: So that will be August 22nd at 8:45 a.m.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

MR. JACKSON: Well, the overflow judge might, so that's -- will be --

MS. BEVERLY: Well --

MR. JACKSON: -- for them to decide.

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, in terms of jury selection, it's going to be up to that --

MR. JACKSON: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- whoever takes it how they do jury selection. You know, I'm not aware of any judges who do individual voir dire automatically, but, you know, I like to do it the old fashion way. Some of the judges like to do -- I don't know, the Arizona method, or the Minnesota method, or whatever they're calling it. You know, it's up to -- it's up to your judge in terms of the jury selection.

MS. BEVERLY: Actually, Judge, I think that motion was ruled on and you denied it; however, I did file a motion in limine a while ago to prevent defense counsel from going into the psychological state of the victim. And I don't think that we had ruled on that because Your Honor asked Mr. Jackson to file a trial brief, so I don't think that motion, which is certainly important to the State, has been cited. So I don't know --

MR. JACKSON: Trial brief has been filed.

THE COURT: Right. There was a trial brief filed which, as you know, is unusual in criminal cases. I have not read the trial brief because I just assumed it was a trial brief. I know you attached some --

MR. JACKSON: Instructions and other things.

THE COURT: -- proposed instructions and everything like that.

Was that served on the State?

MR. JACKSON: It was.

MS. BEVERLY: It was on calendar on May the 29th of 2014. That was the 1 day of the last calendar call. On that day Your Honor said that --2 MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, can I interrupt a second. I believe --3 THE COURT: No, you can't inter -- well, what do you --4 MR. JACKSON: One of the --5 THE COURT: Well, you already interrupted. 6 MR. JACKSON: A procedural matter. 7 THE COURT: So now interrupt. 8 MR. JACKSON: The procedural matter is --9 THE COURT: Fully interrupt. 10 MR. JACKSON: I believe the minutes would show that you said you would 11 rule on that during the trial. Not now, before trial. So I think it's premature for the 12 State to be arguing this motion now. Whichever trial judge --13 THE COURT: Okay. Here's what we're going to do. If it was something that 14 I said, yes, it's just going to be objections at the time of trial; then whoever gets it in 15 overflow. I think probably it's a little more complex than some of the other cases. 16 So we'll see if anyone's available to take it, and understanding that there may be 17 some issues and things like that. If nobody wants to take it then it will come back 18 here; all right? And the trial memo is not a pending motion. 19 MR. JACKSON: That's correct. 20 21 III22 IIIIII23 24 III

25

III

1	THE COURT: All right.		
2	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.		
3	[Proceedings concluded at 10:04 a.m.]		
4	*****		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.		
İ			
23	Sanna A Prucknic SANDRA PRUCHNIC		
24	SANDRA PRUCHNIC Court Transcriber		
25	Court transcriber		

1	RTRAN	Alun J. Lamm		
2		CLERK OF THE COURT		
3				
4		TOWN TOWN GOLDEN		
5	DISTRICT COURT			
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
7				
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
9	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C-13-288172-1		
10	vs.) DEPT. XVII		
11	JOSHUA C. SHUE,			
12	Defendant.			
13	berendanc.)		
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
15	FRIDAY	Y, AUGUST 22, 2014		
15 16		Y, AUGUST 22, 2014 PT OF PROCEEDINGS RE:		
16		PT OF PROCEEDINGS RE:		
16 17		PT OF PROCEEDINGS RE:		
16 17 18	TRANSCRII	PT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: OVERFLOW MARIA LAVELL, ESQ.,		
16 17 18 19	TRANSCRIE APPEARANCES:	PT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: OVERFLOW		
16 17 18 19 20	TRANSCRIE APPEARANCES: For the State:	OVERFLOW MARIA LAVELL, ESQ., Chief Deputy District Attorney		
16 17 18 19 20	TRANSCRIE APPEARANCES:	PT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: OVERFLOW MARIA LAVELL, ESQ.,		
16 17 18 19 20 21	TRANSCRIE APPEARANCES: For the State:	OVERFLOW MARIA LAVELL, ESQ., Chief Deputy District Attorney		
116 117 118 119 220 221 222	TRANSCRIE APPEARANCES: For the State:	OVERFLOW MARIA LAVELL, ESQ., Chief Deputy District Attorney TERRENCE JACKSON, ESQ.,		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TRANSCRIE APPEARANCES: For the State: For the Defendant:	OVERFLOW MARIA LAVELL, ESQ., Chief Deputy District Attorney TERRENCE JACKSON, ESQ.,		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TRANSCRIE APPEARANCES: For the State: For the Defendant:	OVERFLOW MARIA LAVELL, ESQ., Chief Deputy District Attorney TERRENCE JACKSON, ESQ.,		

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; FRIDAY, AUGUST 22, 2014 1 [Proceeding commenced at 9:35 a.m.] 2 3 THE COURT: State versus Shue. MS. LAVELL: Good morning, Your Honor, Maria Lavell for the 5 6 State. THE COURT: All right. And I understand this case is not 7 negotiated; is that correct? 8 MS. LAVELL: That --9 MR. JACKSON: Yes. 10 MS. LAVELL: -- that is correct. 11 MR. JACKSON: We are ready for trial. 12 THE COURT: All right. I will transfer this case to District 13 Court 30, Judge Weiss. We will start trial Monday at 10:30. 14 Please provide Judge Weiss with proposed jury instructions and any 15 special instructions with case citations. 16 MS. LAVELL: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. JACKSON: 10:30, okay. 19 THE COURT: Sir, make sure you stay in touch with your 20 attorney. You'll be going to a different courtroom on a Monday. 21

THE DEFENDANT: Which court was it again, sir?

THE COURT: Department 30.

THE DEFENDANT: Thirty. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

22

23

24

25

2

1	MR. JACKSON: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MS. LAVELL: Thank you.
4	[Proceeding concluded at 9:37 a.m.]
5	·
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	****
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	There is a second to the total transfer and comments.
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case
22	to the best of my ability.
23	Michelle Ramsey
24	Michelle Ramsey
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber
2.0	

Alun J. Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C288172-1 DEPT NO. XXI

vs.

JOSHUA C. SHUE,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, AUGUST 25, 2014

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

LEAH C. BEVERLY, ESQ.

MARIA LAVELL, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant:

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, AUGUST 25, 2014, 9:37 A.M.

_ 1

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

MS. BEVERLY: I just wanted to bring up a couple of things before we start jury selection.

THE COURT: Okay. Are we on the record?

THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes, okay.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you. Just to make sure we're all on the same page here, there was this motion in limine that Your Honor said we would kind of decide as we go along through the trial.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. BEVERLY: However, there are certain issues that have already been decided, and I just want to make sure that we're on the same page. So during Mr. Jackson's questions —— I'm not saying that he would do this —— but during his questioning I don't want to have to object. We already had an evidentiary hearing on the issue of whether the state of Nevada ——

THE COURT: Correct.

MS. BEVERLY: -- or Detective Jaeger was providing any type of financial assistance. I just don't want any questions being asked of the jury of that nature.

THE COURT: Right. I think at this time there's no

good-faith basis to ask that question because she testified it was through the foster program. It's not related to the prosecution.

You've had ample time, Mr. Jackson, if you didn't think that was true, to investigate it.

So I don't think that that's relevant that her rent was being paid for through the foster program.

MR. JACKSON: Well --

MS. LAVELL: Your Honor, in addition -- oh, I'm sorry.

MR. JACKSON: You know, I -- you know, I disagree, and I'd like to make a record on that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: I, you know, think that the district attorney has been, you know, criticized for, you know, the money they've been giving to witnesses, and I have witnesses --

THE COURT: In other cases?

MR. JACKSON: Yes -- well --

THE COURT: Are you talking about the article that was in the paper on -- I think it was Friday.

MR. JACKSON: Yes. Well, it's been -- it's been in the paper for the last six months, and I have witnesses that will establish that she's been getting money, and it's directly connected. The CPS and the district attorney's office, you know, are part of the same state apparatus.

THE COURT: Well, it wasn't through CPS. It was through the foster program, and I think the testimony was that it's something — a program for foster children to transition out of foster care so that they're not homeless basically, to help them establish themselves once they age out of the foster system. So that's completely — you know, that's through welfare, as I understand it, or even if it's not through welfare, it's certainly not through law enforcement or the district attorney's office.

Now, if it was a metro program or a law enforcement program, then I think you'd have a better argument, but this is a social-service program related to her status as a foster child. That has nothing to do with the district attorney's office or testifying or anything like that. So I don't think it's related, and I think it's — I don't think it's prejudicial actually, but it's not probative either. It's not relevant.

MR. JACKSON: All right. Well --

THE COURT: So I'm not allowing it on relevancy grounds. I don't think it's prejudicial against the State because, frankly, it makes the victim more sympathetic to me, but, again, it's not probative of anything either. So it's not relevant to me --

MS. BEVERLY: And also --

THE COURT: -- and for that reason I just think

it's --

MR. JACKSON: I won't get into it unless it goes to appeal or postconviction, but it will be --

THE COURT: Yes. I mean, here's — here's kind of the thing on that, too, if I could just finish. You know, we had an evidentiary hearing based on the fact that you came in over and over again and said this person told you this, and this person told you that. We put the victim herself under oath, and it didn't pan out, number one. We put the mother under oath, and nothing panned out there either.

And so at this point, you know, you had an opportunity to put these witnesses up, who supposedly were going to say that the district attorney's office was making gifts or payments, and where were they? You know, they weren't here. So I -- you know, we had a whole pretrial evidentiary hearing on this.

As I said at the time, I'm glad we did because we got to the bottom of it, and we can get rid of the innuendo and what's happened in other cases and what the RJ is reporting about and what happened in Dayvid Figler's case and all of those other things, and we can focus on what happened in this case, and like I said, you had an opportunity to call witnesses, and the witnesses that were presented, it didn't pan out.

I mean, the mother -- I don't remember her testimony

1 exactly, but the mother kind of said, Well, I know her rent's 2 being paid, but she didn't really know the source, and as it 3 turned out, it was the foster program or through the foster 4 program, and as I said, you've had ample time since that 5 hearing if you didn't think that was true to subpoena records 6 from the state of Nevada, meaning the Division of Welfare or foster program. I'm not sure who administers that program actually, and if that wasn't the case, that they were making 8 9 the payments, you could've -- you could've done that, but I think we all left this room believing that that's how she was 10 1.1 getting that rent paid was -- was based on her status as a foster, as an aging-out foster child. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JACKSON: Well, you know, if I find it necessary, I'll subpoena Mr. Wolfson and find out from Mr. Wolfson if it's true or not.

THE COURT: Yes. You won't be doing that in front of the jury.

MR. JACKSON: No, not in front of the jury, but I'll subpoena his records and --

THE COURT: Yes. I mean, at this point Mr. Jackson,
A --

MR. JACKSON: -- interview Mr. Wolfson.

THE COURT: -- it's not relevant, and B, there is no good-faith basis that you can have at this time -- in my opinion -- to ask those questions, and so I'm not --

that somebody was paying the rent, as I understood it, and then when, you know, it all came down to it, it was not the district attorney's office paying rent.

I think there had been some talk about a big screen, here just in argument. I don't remember any testimony at all about a TV set under oath or a big screen or anything of that. So I'm talking about what was said in argument and what was said under oath, and it didn't mesh up, that basically what was said under oath was pretty innocuous I thought, and that's all I'm saying.

So maybe I used the wrong word, but, you know, the fact that a witness may say something not under oath and, you know, you can't then pull in from news stories and other things, in my view, to suggest that there's something untoward going on even though it may have gone on in other cases. You know, we have to focus on this case, and I -- you know, I was happy to have the hearing. I'm glad we had it, but in my opinion, nothing panned out. So that's where we are there.

In terms of her mental health and everything, basically what I said on that is, you know, put on your direct examination. We'll probably take a break at that point.

Mr. Jackson, if you think the door is opened somehow to some of this mental health stuff — some of that was covered in the evidentiary hearing, about the suicide attempts and other things —

MS. BEVERLY: Yes.

4 5

THE COURT: -- then we'll make an argument -- you know, we'll have argument, and I'll make a ruling at that time if you can get into it or not get into it. A lot of the issue is, well, some of the pictures and stuff like that. Her credibility really isn't an issue here, and there's other things that her credibility may be at issue. So I'm going to see, A, is this relevant? Is it more probative -- if it is relevant, is it more probative than prejudicial? And I'm going to make a ruling after her direct examination on the mental health aspect of this. So I think that's kind of where we are on everything.

MS. BEVERLY: And because it hasn't been decided yet, Judge, during jury selection, is there going to be limits on whether — I mean, because there's been issues about cutting and then the attempt suicide that we also addressed at the evidentiary hearing. I'm just concerned about during jury selection being asked questions about, you know, suicide and cutting when we haven't had a ruling on whether that's even relevant to credibility or anything of that nature.

THE COURT: Were you going to ask anything about that in jury selection?

MR. JACKSON: It might be a good question, but I wasn't planning on asking it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: Now that the DA suggest it, maybe it's a good question.

THE COURT: No. No. You weren't going to ask it.

I mean, I think generally, you know, because sometimes victims of sexual crimes have counseling, I often follow up myself if somebody's been a victim or they know a victim. Well, did they have counseling? You know, that kind of thing is totally fine in the questioning, and, you know, if they — if they knew somebody who was a victim and that person exhibited cutting behavior or something like that, certainly Mr. Jackson can follow up, as can the State, and the Court might as well if it's in response to one of my questions. Well, what did they do about that? Did they go to counseling? So that's completely appropriate if that kind of thing comes up.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we all -- if anyone needs to use the restroom or anything like that, let's do that now before the jury comes up, and then as soon as they're here we can get started.

And I just want to put one more thing on the record.

I explained the method we're going to use for jury selection to both sides this morning in court.

Does the State understand the method we're going to

use for jury selection? 1 MS. LAVELL: Yes, Your Honor. 2 MS. BEVERLY: Yes, Judge. 3 THE COURT: And does that -- does the State have any 4 objection to using that method? 5 MS. BEVERLY: No. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, do you understand the method 7 we use for jury selection? 8 MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And does the defense have any objection 10 to that? 11 MR. JACKSON: No objection. 12 THE COURT: All right. Very good. 13 If anyone needs to use the restroom -- anything else 14 we need to discuss? 15 MR. JACKSON: Do we have the juror list? 16 THE COURT: We don't get that actually until right 17 before they come in. 18 Okay. MR. JACKSON: 19 THE COURT: And then Kenny will sit the first 14 in 20 the box, and then, you know, I do the general anybody have a 21 conflict with this many days and blah, blah, blah. 22 Now, how many days do we think? I'm going to say we 23 should end Thursday but Friday at the latest. 24 MR. JACKSON: That's probably right. 25

THE COURT: That's what I'm going to tell them.

MS. BEVERLY: I have a flight that leaves at 4 on Friday. So I'd like to be done by Thursday as well.

MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, I have one request. I have a -- somewhat of a medical problem, which my left leg bothers me if I stand for long periods of time. Sometimes during the questioning I may want to sit down if that's not a problem with the Court. If I stand for long periods of time on my left leg -- I had a blood clot in my leg, and it was resolved a few years ago, but it still is painful when I stand for long periods of time.

THE COURT: That's fine. Here's the deal. When you question the witnesses, I don't require that you stand. So you can sit during the witness questioning. If during your voir dire you have a problem with standing, then just tell the jury, you know, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to sit down because I have a medical issue with my leg, and --

MR. JACKSON: During -- if it's only a short time, I can stand, but when I stand for, like, a couple hours, it becomes very painful.

THE COURT: No, that's fine. You know, if there is an issue, just tell the jury, I have this medical problem.

MR. JACKSON: All right.

THE COURT: Then ask me, you know -- so it will look polite -- may I sit, and I'll say fine. Okay.

MS. BEVERLY:

Thank you.

2

MR. JACKSON: Thank you.

3

(Proceedings recessed 9:49 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.)

4

(In the presence of the panel of prospective jurors.)

5

THE COURT: All right. Court is now in session.

6

This is the time for Case No. C288172, plaintiff, State of

7

Nevada versus Joshua Shue, defendant.

8

Let the record reflect the presence of the State through the Deputy District Attorneys, Maria Lavell and Leah

9 10

Beverly, the presence of the defendant Joshua Shue, along with

11

his counsel Terry Jackson, the officers of the court and the

12

ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury panel.

13

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. You are in

14

Department 21 of the Eighth Judicial District Court for the state of Nevada. My name is Valerie Adair, and I am the

15 16

presiding Judge. You have been summoned here today to serve as

17

jurors in a civil -- I'm sorry -- in a criminal jury trial.

18

In a moment, counsel for the State will introduce themselves to you. They will tell you briefly the nature of 19

20

the State's case, and they will give you the names of any

21

witnesses which the State may be calling. Please listen very

22

carefully to the names of the witnesses as they are read to

23

24

you.

Ms. Beverly, Ms. Lavell.

25

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning. My name is Leah Beverly, and with me
is Maria Lavell, and we are the deputy district attorneys
assigned to prosecute this case. This case arises out of a
search warrant that was served on a computer in late 2012
belonging to the defendant Joshua Shue. When that computer was

6 searched, various videos and photos were found of children in various states of nudity.

In our case in chief, we plan to call the following witnesses: Hazel Iral, Brenda Vandering [phonetic], Sergeant Spencer from Metro, Detective Vince Ramirez from Metro, Detective Ryan Jaeger, also with Metro, Detective Chris Grivis [phonetic] with Metro, Detective Prichard and Detective Jessica Flink [phonetic].

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, an accused in a criminal case is never required to call any witnesses or introduce any evidence.

Mr. Jackson will now introduce himself to you along with his client, and if there are any witnesses which may be called by the defense, those names will be given to you at this time.

Mr. Jackson.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you.

My name is Terry Jackson. My client Joshua Shue is seated next to me. We ask for your attention during this voir

dire process because it's very important to my client Joshua Shue that we get individuals that will consider this case fairly and impartially, and we know that we have people here that are here because they want to do justice for both sides.

We have no burden to present any witnesses, but we may call among the following people: Kurt Iral, Anita Iral, Franzkie Iral and possibly Frances Carreon.

There is the possibility the defendant may testify, but he has no duty to testify. He is here present in court today.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Jackson.

Ladies and gentlemen, in a moment, the clerk is going to call the roll of the panel of prospective jurors. When your name is called, please answer present or here.

Please be aware that everything that is said during these proceedings is recorded. The lady seated there at the end is Ms. Janie Olsen. Ms. Olsen is our official court recorder. It is her job to make sure that everything that is said is recorded and that an accurate transcript is prepared thereafter. I tell you this because when you're speaking, please make sure you speak up clearly so that we can accurately record everything you may say.

Ms. Husted, would you please call the roll of jurors.

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

(Roll called of panel of prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, is there anyone whose name was not called?

(No response.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the questioning of the jury at the beginning of the case is done under oath. If you would all please stand so that the clerk can administer the oath to the prospective jurors.

(Panel of prospective jurors sworn.)

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to conduct a general examination of all of you; that's the ladies and gentlemen seated in the jury box as well as those of you seated in the audience. Following that I'll be asking more specific questions of the ladies and gentlemen seated in the jury box, which will be followed by questioning from the attorneys.

If you wish to respond to any of my preliminary questions in the affirmative, please raise your hand, and when I call on you, please state your name and your badge number for the record. Additionally, if you're one of the ladies and gentlemen seated in the audience, please stand when I call on you so that we can make sure that we hear you clearly.

We are about to commence examination of prospective jurors in this case. During this process you will be asked questions bearing on your ability to sit as fair and impartial

 jurors. The Court, the lawyers, the defendant and everyone involved in this case are all deeply interested in having this matter tried by a jury composed of 14 open-minded people who are completely neutral and who have no bias or prejudice toward or against either side.

In order for us to accomplish this it is necessary for me to ask you questions, as I've already said, and then the attorneys, as I've also already said, will have the opportunity to ask you questions. It is important that you know the significance of full, complete and honest answers to all of the questions we are about to ask you. I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications to serve as a juror in this case.

All right. Does anyone believe they may be acquainted or know either of the deputy district attorneys, either Ms. Lavell or Ms. Beverly?

(No response.)

THE COURT: All right. I see no one.

Does anyone believe they may know or recognize or be acquainted with the defendant in this case, Mr. Joshua Shue?

Does anyone think they may know Mr. Shue?

(No response.)

THE COURT: All right. Does anyone believe they may know or know of Mr. -- be acquainted with Mr. Shue's attorney Mr. Terry Jackson?

Does anyone think they may know Mr. Jackson?
(No response.)

THE COURT: No one.

All right. Does anyone think they may know or have heard of any of the witnesses who may be call -- who may be called in this case, any of the witnesses whose names were read either by Ms. Beverly or by Mr. Jackson?

Does anyone think they may know any of the witnesses?

(No response.)

THE COURT: Is there anyone who is not a United States citizen?

(No response.)

THE COURT: Is there anyone who has previously been convicted of a felony crime?

(No response.)

THE COURT: All right. No one.

This case is expected to last four to five days including today, meaning we think we'll finish up Thursday, but it could run into Friday at the latest. I know that may sound like a long time for those of you who are being inconvenienced by jury service. Please understand that that's not a long trial in our jurisdiction. The average trial takes over a week, and some of you who like to maybe follow some of the high-profile trials on television know that those trials have taken weeks, if not months to try.

sir?

We live in a community where many people work in the service industry, the casino industry, the construction industry and don't make their full wages or may not make their tip income if they're serving as a juror. I simply cannot excuse people because they won't be making their full wage or they'll be missing work because if I did that, probably 80 or more percent of you would get up and leave the room.

Having said that, is there anyone for whom serving as a juror through Thursday of this week, possibly Friday at the latest, is there anyone for whom that would constitute an extreme or an undue hardship?

All right. We'll start with the gentleman in the front row of the jury box.

Your name, sir? And you may remain seated because we can hear you if you're in the jury box.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 127: Bob McDuffie, 127.

THE COURT: All right. And what is your hardship,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 127: I had an accident a month ago and tore some tissue -- muscle tissue in my lower back, and I'm in severe pain unless I take my meds. There's three meds I take, and if I take them, I can't drive, or I don't think I would be proper to serve here if I'm taking my meds.

THE COURT: Okay. And are you in pain right now? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 127: Yes, I am indeed.

THE COURT: At the Palace Station?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 128: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you lived in the United States?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 128: 38 years.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

And I think I saw one other hand --

And we see more and more hands. Just because someone raises their hand and has an excuse does not mean they're going to be excused. So as people state their excuses, more and more hands go up. I'm happy to listen to everyone's hardship. wish we had a room full of people excited about jury service, wanting to be here.

I can tell you, having been a lawyer and a judge for a combined 25 years, I've spoken to thousands of people who have served as jurors, and I can tell you, with the exception of maybe a couple of people, well over 99 percent of the people who served are happy that they served, and they found it to be an interesting and very rewarding experience.

Conversely, I can also tell you, on the front end of things, on the front end of the trials, we have a lot of people who maybe don't want to serve or for whom it's difficult. we try to excuse those people with genuine hardships, but unfortunately I can't excuse all of you, but I am -- who may have a conflict or difficulty I should say, but I am happy to

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Cleaning business.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been in the United States?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: 12 years.

THE COURT: Okay. And I think the gentleman in the black T-shirt raised his hand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: Yes. Jose Vasquez, Badge 141. My girlfriend got into a car accident in June 19th. She got her pelvis fractured. So I've got to take her three times a week to pain management to — I've got to drive her. So she cannot drive herself, and then I've got to take my son to school. He starts school today.

THE COURT: How old is your son?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: 6.

THE COURT: Okay. And who watches your son in the afternoon?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: Well, she's home. She can stay home, but she cannot -- she doesn't have a car. She cannot drive at this time.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there another family member of her family or your family that can drive her to and from the appointments?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: There is, but my aunt had colon cancer, and she just came out of the hospital, and they've got to follow up with a doctor's appointment this week

1	because she she just got a surgery, and she's not feeling
2	well.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Do you work outside of the home?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: I work at yes, at a
5	casino.
6	THE COURT: What do you do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: Banquet server.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Where do you work?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: Venetian.
10	THE COURT: And do you work swing shift, day, grave,
11	what?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: It varies. I'm usually
13	off Monday, Tuesday, and it just varies. You never know when
14	you you always check the schedule the day before.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a set shift that you
16	work?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: Usually it's day shift.
18	THE COURT: Day shift?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Who drives your son in the and
21	picks your son up from school when you're at work?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: Usually on Wednesdays my
23	mom because she's off.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: But Monday and Tuesday I

do that.

THE COURT: Okay. And then Thursday and Friday, who picks your son up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: My ex-wife.

THE COURT: Okay. So you do have some family that can help?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 141: I do, but the thing is, especially with my aunt, it's complicated because she's not fully recovered from the surgery.

THE COURT: Okay. And then I think at the end -- yes. Your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 144: Bill -- Bill Chaison, 144.

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 144: I've had two surgeries, and both of them have complications. One was a defective titanium prosthesis, and it resulted in metallosis, which causes nerve damage, and I have —— I'm in a lot of pain constantly. I'm a medical-marijuana user, and the other one is my ankle, which also needs surgery.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you work outside of -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 144: I don't work.

THE COURT: Are you on disability?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 144: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And I saw some hands

	II.	
1	on this side of the room.	
2	No, no hands. All right oh, okay.	
3	The lady in the second row, please, with the glasses.	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yes. Hi. I'm Chrisa	
5	Vogiatzis, 18-160. I'm the only one who works in the	
6	household, and I can't miss any work.	
7	THE COURT: Okay. You're the only one that works in	
8	your household, and where do you work?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Riviera.	
10	THE COURT: And what do you do there?	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: 21 dealer.	
12	THE COURT: You're a 21 dealer, okay. And who who	
13	else is in your household?	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: My son.	
15	THE COURT: How old is he?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: He's 35.	
17	THE COURT: But he doesn't have a job?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: No, for three years.	
19	THE COURT: I'm sorry?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: For three years.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. And what shift do you work at the	
22	Riviera?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: At night. I worked all	
24	night, and I'm here.	
25	THE COURT: You work the graveyard or the swing?	

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Swing, until 5 o'clock. 2 THE COURT: Swing, okay. What are your days off? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Today only. 4 They have you at the Riviera working six THE COURT: 5 days a week? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Just the last two 7 weeks -- two or three weeks because we had poker tournament, 8 and we had to work six days and 10-hour shifts. 9 THE COURT: Okay. But normally you would work five 10 days a week? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: And normally what are your days off? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Sunday, Monday. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Have a seat. And I -- the lady in the far corner. 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: My name is Bobbi, and 17 I'm -- what am I -- 172.18 THE COURT: And you are Bobbi Dragone? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Bobbi Dragone. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: So I don't know if this is valid and whatever. If you want me to, I'll do it. But --22 so I work. I'm a single parent, but I work full-time, and I go 23 to school at Nevada State College full-time, which actually 24

KARR Reporting, Inc.

started today, and I take hybrid classes. So I'm just

25

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Have a seat.

And a couple of hands in the back row.

The lady in the print blouse.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 222: Bobbi Tibbits, 222. I also am a full-time student at UNLV. I do premed. So my schedule for class is very strict. I'd rather not miss school, and I also work.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 222: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: And I saw another hand. Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 227: Your Honor, Paul Mayheu,

227.

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 227: It would be an honor to serve on the jury. I would be willing to come back, just not this week. My movers show up on Wednesday. Coupled with that, the rental house that I'm currently in, the hot water heater took a dump this past weekend, and the plumber is supposed to show up today.

THE COURT: Isn't your landlord supposed to take care of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 227: He's out of town, and we have a -- he's got a home warranty on the home. So I just call the home warranty, and they send out the plumber.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Have a seat.

Anyone else?

2

1

(No response.)

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, from time to time I'll confer with counsel either up here or in the When I do that -- I know these chairs, particularly hallway. the chairs in the jury box are very uncomfortable -- if you want to stand up or stretch in place. If you need a water, just get the bailiff's attention.

And, Counsel, I'll see you in the hallway if you'd exit through that door, please.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: All right. At this time, Badge No. 127, Mr. McDuffie, you are excused. The bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.

Badge No. 128, Ms. Fukuno, you are excused. The bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.

Badge No. 137, Ms. Stoeva, you are excused. The bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.

Badge No. 141, Mr. Vasquez, I'm going to ask you to have a seat in the audience, please.

Badge No. 144, Mr. Chaison, you are excused. The bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.

Badge No. 172, Ms. Dragone, you are excused from these proceedings due to the start of the school year; however, you will be receiving another jury summons, and you will have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to take off from school at that time.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Sure. Thank you.

THE COURT: Badge No. 207, Mr. Slavis, you are excused from these proceedings because of the beginning of the academic school year; however, you will be receiving a jury summons for jury service at a future time, and being a UNLV student will not be an excuse at that time. Okay. So you are excused because of the start of the semester.

Badge No. 222, Ms. Tibbits, the same for you, because of the start of the semester you are being excused from these proceedings, but you will receive a summons for jury service at a later date.

And Badge No. 227, Mr. Mayheu, you are excused from these proceedings; however, you will be receiving a summons, and you will be expected to serve at a later date. All right. Thank you.

Ms. Husted, would you please call up the All right. next prospective jurors to fill the empty chairs in the jury box.

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

Badge 145, in Seat 2.

148 --

THE COURT: Sir, Mr. Sorrellis -- Sorrells, would you please come on down and have that empty chair in the front row of the jury box next to the gentleman in the blue uniform.

or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Yeah, they're always there.

THE COURT: Okay. What are your kind of duties, in a nutshell, as a security officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: You know, like, if people get out of line, we restrain them, escort people off property, just work in the ER most of the time.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you ever have to, like, fill out reports for the police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Yes. Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever had to come in and testify as a witness in any kind of criminal prosecution as a result of your job as a security officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Never.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you obviously work and interact with Metro because it's Las Vegas. Have you had assignments, like, in Henderson and Northtown where you're interacting with Henderson PD or North Las Vegas PD more, or is it mainly Metro?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Metro.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about the fact that somebody is a police officer that would cause you to automatically believe or disbelieve their testimony, or could you keep an open mind and evaluate it like you would the

1	testimony of any other witness?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Keep an open mind.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, sir?
. 4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children?
б	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Two.
7	THE COURT: And boys, girls a boy or girl
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Two girls, San Diego.
9	THE COURT: Two girls and they live in San Diego.
10	What are their ages?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: 39 and 40.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any grandchildren?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Yeah, a few.
14	THE COURT: A few, okay. Well, either you have a lot
15	of grandchildren, or you're not close with your grandchildren.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Like, three or four.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Are you very close with your
18	grandchildren? Do you get to spend much time with them or not
19	really?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Not really.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Is that because your girls live in
22	San Diego?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Right.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And let's see, Mr. Sorrells is it?
25	(No audible response.)

1	THE COURT: What do you do for a living, sir?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Bellman.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Where do you work?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Suncoast.
5	THE COURT: All right. And are you married?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Yes.
7	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Cocktails.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: None.
11	THE COURT: None, okay.
12	And is it Ms
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Petkewich
14	THE COURT: Petkevich?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Petkewich.
16	THE COURT: Petkewich. Oh, okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Say it fast.
18	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I am a account manager
20	for an IT consulting and recruiting firm.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing
22	that type of work?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Oh, my gosh, for probably
24	two decades.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So as an account manager you

1	software that tracks everything that goes through the slots.
2	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been doing that
3	type of work?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: 16 years.
5	THE COURT: 16 years. And are you married, or do you
6	have a significant other?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Married nine years,
. 8	daughter is 33.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And what does your husband do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: He's air force, retired.
11	THE COURT: Okay. What did he do in the air force?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Security.
13	THE COURT: And you said you have a 33-year-old
14	daughter?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Uh-huh. Yes, ma'am.
16	THE COURT: And what does she do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: She works also for the
18	same company as a dispatcher.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any grandchildren?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: On my husband's side, not
21	on
22	THE COURT: Okay. So kind of stepgrandchildren?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Do you spend much time with them?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: No, one is a Washington,

1	and the othe	r two are	in Illino	is.	
2	TH	E COURT:	And is it	Mr. T	homas?
3	PR	OSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	Yes.
4	HT	E COURT:	What you	do for	a living, sir?
5	PR	OSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	Convention porter.
6	TH	E COURT:	You're wha	at?	
7	PR	OSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	A porter, a convention
8	porter.			·	
9	TH	E COURT:	A porter,	okay.	Where do you work?
10	PR	OSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	I work at Gateway.
11	TH:	E COURT:	Okay. And	d are y	you married, or do you
12	have a signi	ficant oth	her?		
13	PR	OSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	Yes.
14	TH	E COURT:	And what	does yo	our wife do?
15	PRO	OSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	Pantry worker.
16	TH	E COURT:	A what?	A pantı	cy `
17	PRO	DSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	A pantry worker.
18	ТН	E COURT:	Okay. Doe	es she	work in one of the
19	hotels?				
20	PRO	SPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	Yeah, Treasure Island.
21	ТНІ	E COURT:	Okay. And	do yo	ou have any children?
22	PRO	SPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	Three boys.
23	THI	COURT:	All right.	Are	they grown or still
24	living at hor	ne?			
25	PRO	SPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	130:	Yes.

1.	THE COURT: Okay. So do you work a lot of
2	construction-type jobs?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes, I do.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
5	have a significant other?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes, I'm married. I have
7	two daughters, 10 and 15.
8	THE COURT: All right. And what does your wife do
9	for work?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: My wife's retired.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: She's actually disabled.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I didn't raise my hand.
15	THE COURT: From what type of work?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Same field, she was in
17	the construction industry. She was a union electrician.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Was it a job-related injury?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah, she blew her back
20	out, and she has cages in her back.
21	THE COURT: All right. So she's on disability status
22	now?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And is it Mr. Luecke? Luke?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes.

1	THE COURT: How do you say your name?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Luecke.
3	THE COURT: Luecke. I got it right the first time.
4	What do you do for a living, sir?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Basically retired.
6	THE COURT: From what type of work?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I farmed most of my life.
8	THE COURT: Okay. There's not a lot of farms around
9	here in Clark County. Where
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Mainly in South Dakota.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And what what did you farm?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I raised corn and beans.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you lived here
14	in Clark County?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Six, seven years.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Moved here from South Dakota?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Actually, from Illinois.
18	THE COURT: And what did you do there, farming?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I worked for a farmer in
20	Illinois.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married or
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Married, 10 grandkids,
23	ages 1 year to 12.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And how many kids do have?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Between my wife and I, we

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: No, before in California for open malls, stuff like that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, do you have a lot of opportunity as an officer at the Luxor to either interact with Metro police or gaming control board agents or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Just about every day.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you have to fill out reports and that kind of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Whenever a case is assigned to me, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. What do you do as an investigator?

I'm assuming that's a little bit different than a security

officer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Yeah, we look into internal, external cases, employee misconduct, stuff like that.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're mainly investigating for civil-type cases?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So, like, if somebody gets hurt on property and there is a report, would you be the person maybe that would investigate that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Right. I would look into the file, and then I would pull surveillance, stuff like that.

THE COURT: Okay. And then the if there is an allegation that an employee maybe stole or did something --

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Yep.
2	THE COURT: inappropriate, you might look into
3	that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Yep.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Do you ever have to testify, or do
6	you let me ask you this. Do you prepare reports in
7	connection with civil lawsuits?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: At times, yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever had to fill out
10	statements or anything like that for Metro or gaming control
11	board?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Yes, I have.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a witness in
14	any kind of a criminal prosecution?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: I have been subpoenaed,
16	never actually been
17	THE COURT: Okay. And what about in a civil case,
18	have you ever been a witness in a civil case involving a
19	lawsuit, I guess, brought against the Luxor or a Workmen's
20	Compensation kind of case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Not yet.
22	THE COURT: Nothing yet, okay. And are you married,
23	or do you have a significant other?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Yes, I'm married.
25	THE COURT: What does she do?

accounting. It has been a while since I touched accounting 1 2 side of the house. THE COURT: Okay. So it's more computer based --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes. 4 THE COURT: -- what you're doing -- okay. Were you 5 ever a CPA or anything like that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No, I'm -- I am CISA. 7 THE COURT: You're what? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: CISA. C-I-S-A. It's a 9 CPA counterpart for people like us, IT auditors. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And is it like the 11 CPA -- being a CPA? You have to sit for an exam and be 12 licensed and all of that sort of thing? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes, you have to take a 14 15 test, pass it. 16 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: You have to have the 17 experience that's required, yes. 18 THE COURT: I wasn't familiar with that. And are you 19 married? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes. 21 22 THE COURT: What does your husband do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: He's an IT manager. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Same property or different --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No, different casino. 25

you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I have a Master's degree in Engineering and a registered professional engineer.

THE COURT: Okay. And so your firm provides expert witness services. Do you yourself ever testify as an expert witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: And, you know, I've both -- well, the couple that I've been involved with were criminal actions. So we never got to testify, but we were expert witness supporting, but I've been involved with -- you know, at the state level with -- providing testimony to state regulatory agencies.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you say criminal prosecutions, can you tell me a little bit more about what kind of cases --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Well, the one that comes to mind was about five, six years ago in the UK, up in Scotland. There was an explosion, killed a family of four, and we were — the company was brought up on culpable corporate homicide.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: So we were advising the general counsel at that time.

1	at MGM corporate.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I have two.
4	THE COURT: Grown or how old?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Well, my daughter is 37.
6	Son is 35.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any grandchildren?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Not yet, no.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: We are hoping.
11	THE COURT: Better hurry up.
12	And is it Ms. Finestead Finnestead?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: No, Zemla.
14	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: 139.
16	THE COURT: Ms. Zemla, what do you do for a living?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: DMV technician.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And what does that involve? What
19	do you do?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Helping the customers at
21	the window.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So you're one of the people
23	that
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: The technicians.
25	THE COURT: Okay. That people go up to to renew

1	their licenses
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Renew licenses,
3	registration.
4	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And how long have you
5	been doing that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Just recently, three
7	months.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And what did you do before that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Auto finance.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
11	have a significant other?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Married, 24 years.
13	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: He's a limo driver, buyer
15	at Aria.
16	THE COURT: A limo driver and a buyer?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Well, slash, buyer.
18	Yeah, he buys you know, he goes out and I don't know how
19	to describe it, but he for he buys for the butlers.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I see. I get it. And do
21	you have any children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Three. They're grown,
23	18, 22 and 23.
24	THE COURT: Any grandchildren?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: None.

1	THE COURT: None, okay.
. 2	And now we have Ms is it Finestead?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: It's Finestead, Yes.
4	THE COURT: All right. What do you do for a living?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I work in real estate.
6	I'm not licensed.
7	THE COURT: Are you a real estate agent?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes, I am, but I do
.9	mostly just the paperwork for the guy I work with.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And is that primarily residential
11	or commercial?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Residential.
13	THE COURT: Residential, okay. And are you married,
14	or do you have a significant other?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I'm married.
16	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: He's retired.
18	THE COURT: From?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Grocery store managers.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Any children?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I have a daughter, and my
22	husband has a son and a daughter.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And how old is your daughter?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: My daughter is 34.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And your stepchildren, are they

1	grown?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: 36 and 39.
3	THE COURT: Any grandchildren?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: We have a 6 year old.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Do you spend much time with your
6	grandchild?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: We just saw him last
8	weekend.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And let's see. Is it Ms. Leblue?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: I do hair.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Hairstylist.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
16	have a significant other?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: I'm not married.
18	THE COURT: You're married?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: No.
20	THE COURT: Neither. None of the above?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: I mean, I have a
22	boyfriend, but it's nothing serious.
23	THE COURT: Nothing too serious. Is it worth
24	reporting on? I'll just ask
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Probably not.
	•

1	THE COURT: What does he do for work?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: He's the manager at PT's.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 142: Nope.
5	THE COURT: All right. And then finally we've got
6	Mr. Bombarda; is that right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
8	THE COURT: What do you do for a living, sir?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I work at the hospital
10	out at Nellis Air Force Base.
11	THE COURT: What do you do there?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I do system
13	administration, computer networks, servers and all that.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So you're obviously a civilian
15	employee at
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Contractor.
17	THE COURT: A contractor, okay. And are you married
18	or significant other?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yeah, married 13 years,
20	two kids, a 12-year-old son and an 8-year-old daughter.
21	THE COURT: And what does your wife do for work, if
22	anything?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: She works as a tax
24	accountant for Bally's Technology.
25	THE COURT: She's a tax accountant?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Okay. At Bally's Games or Bally's Hotel?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Technology.
4	THE COURT: The technology?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yeah.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, of the 14 of you,
7	have any of you ever previously served as a juror? By show of
8	hands, any prior jury service?
9	All right. Only two or three, okay. We'll start
10	with the gentleman in the front row.
11	Mr. Thomas, how many times have you been a juror?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Once.
13	THE COURT: One time?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yes.
15	THE COURT: And how long ago was that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: About 20 years ago.
17	THE COURT: Here in Clark County?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you recall if it was a civil
20	case or a criminal case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Criminal.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Now, don't tell me what the
23	verdict was, but did the jury reach a verdict?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Hung.
25	THE COURT: It hung, okay. And were you the

. 1	foreperson of the jury?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Was I
3	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson? Were you the
4	jury foreman?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: No, I wasn't the foreman.
6	No.
7	THE COURT: You weren't the foreman?
. 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: No. No. No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
10	Ms. Finestead, I think you raised your hand.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
12	THE COURT: How many times have you been a juror?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: One time.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Clark County?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No.
16	THE COURT: Where was it?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: It was back in Iowa.
18	THE COURT: All right. How long ago?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: About 25 years ago.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And was that a criminal case or a
21	civil case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Civil.
23	THE COURT: Don't tell me what the verdict was, but
24	did the jury reach a verdict?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And were you the foreperson of the
2	jury?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And I think you also raised your
5	hand, Ms. Zemla. How many times have you been a juror?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Once.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Clark County?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: No.
9	THE COURT: And where was it?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Lancaster, California.
11	THE COURT: Okay. How long ago?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: It was in the '90s.
13	THE COURT: Was that a civil case or a criminal case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Civil.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Don't tell me what the verdict
16	was, but did the jury reach a verdict?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: No, we were
18	THE COURT: Did you actually go in the back and
19	deliberate?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: No, it ended up being
21	dismissed. We didn't we listened to the case, and then it
22	ended up being dismissed.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So you listened to evidence, and
24	then the Judge told you, This case is dismissed or resolved or
25	whatever, and they sent you home?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Correct.
2	THE COURT: Okay. How much of the trial did you sit
3	through?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: The whole thing.
5	THE COURT: The whole thing, and then before you go
6	in the back they say, Thanks, and send you away?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Correct.
8	THE COURT: Okay. No one else with any prior jury
9	service?
10	(No response.)
11	THE COURT: All right. Have any of you ever been
12	arrested, charged or accused of a crime?
13	Any of you? Don't be shy.
14	All right. We have one shy one and one assertive
15	one. I'm going to start with Mr. Thomas.
16	You've been arrested or charged with a crime. Can
17	you tell me about that.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: About 25 years ago.
19	THE COURT: Okay. What happened?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Some powder cocaine.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I'm guessing they said you had it?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Clark County, or
24	where did that happen?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yes. Yes.

1	restored, or what happened?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: No, I haven't closed it.
3	I didn't get it closed.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And what were you ultimately
5	convicted of?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Possession.
7	THE COURT: Possession of a controlled substance?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And I don't mean to pry, but we
10	have to ask these questions.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: What happened? Did you get probation
13	or
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yeah, probation.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any feelings about how
16	your case was handled in the system, whether by the lawyers,
17	the prosecutors, your lawyer, the Judge, the police? What are
18	your feelings about how you were treated in the system?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: I don't even it was so
20	long ago I just
21	THE COURT: You kind of put it away?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: wiped it away, yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And after that you didn't have any
24	other problems?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And it sounds like the case was
2	negotiated down to a disorderly conduct?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: It was like 25 years ago.
4	So I don't remember.
5	THE COURT: Okay. You didn't have a trial though,
6	like this?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: No.
8 ्	THE COURT: Okay. Any feelings as you sit here today
9	about how you were treated in the system?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Not at that time.
11	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: But I've had incidents
13	with other police officers.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So those police officers, I mean,
15	do you think they were fair or unfair, or you don't have an
16	opinion?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: These Metro officers,
18	they're pretty rough. You know, they're not very kind.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So you feel like they were unkind
20	to you?
21 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: On different occasions,
22	yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Like what else?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Well, I wasn't arrested,
25	but a neighbor and I didn't get along. We were like oil and

water --1 THE COURT: Right. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: -- living next to each 3 4 other. THE COURT: You're no longer living next to this 5 neighbor? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: But they would always 9 call Metro on me. 10 Okay. THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: And then Metro would come 1.2 out and think they're big guys, tough guys, you know. They 13 handcuffed me, threatened to, you know -- you know, take me 14 15 downtown. THE COURT: Okay. Did they ever take you downtown? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: No, but they, you know, 17 They were rough. handcuffed me. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: You know, pushing me on 20 their hood. 21 THE COURT: Oh, really, okay. Did you ever, like, 22 file a complaint or think about filing a complaint or go to 23 internal affairs or anything like that? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: I'm too busy for that. 25

1	pass a fire truck.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: And he had been drinking,
4	and so of course he got into trouble over that.
5	THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No, back in Iowa.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Were you living in Iowa at the
8	time?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Was there an accident involved
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No.
12	THE COURT: or anything like that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Do you was he charged with
15	misdemeanor crimes or felony crimes? Do you remember?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I'm not exactly sure, but
17	he was charged with a crime on that.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: And he also has another
20	crime that he vandalized some people's farm equipment.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: So he does have a felony
23	charge against him on that.
24	THE COURT: That's pending right now?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No, it's all settled, but
1	

he -- yeah. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Any feelings about how your nephew 3 was treated in the system? Any opinions there? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: The opinion I would have 5 is I felt the cops did what they needed to do. You know, he did get into the trouble. So, you know, I feel everything was 6 7 justified as to what they had to do for what he did. 8 THE COURT: Anyone else who had someone close to them that's been arrested, charged or accused of a crime? 9 10 Yes, ma'am. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: My dad. He's deceased 12 though. He -- I was so young, like 6, 7 years old though, 13 check fraud. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: We were poor, and he wrote bad checks. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in town? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No, California. 19 THE COURT: Okay. But you were a child at the time? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Uh-huh. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Now, as an adult, did your father 22 have any problems, or had he already passed away? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No --24 THE COURT: I mean when you were an adult, obviously. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Right. Right. Gosh, he 25

New York or here in town? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No. 2 THE COURT: Nothing, okay. Let's talk about when you 3 had your car broken into here in Clark County. Just one time 4 or more than once? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: One time. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: In front of my house. 8 THE COURT: In front of your house. And you called 9 the police? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yep. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Was it Metro, Henderson, North Las 12 Vegas --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Henderson. 14 THE COURT: Henderson. Did they come out, or did 15 they make you go to a substation? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah, they -- they come 17 They've actually been to my house a few times. We 18 actually have a 26-year-old boy, also from my wife. He's 19 gotten into trouble a few times. 20 THE COURT: Okay. On the car situation, what did 21 Did they just take a report, or do they -they do? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: That was it, yeah. 2.3 THE COURT: -- do any dusting for fingerprints --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah. 25

THE COURT: -- or take pictures, or what did they do? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah, took a report. 2 Yeah, I think they dusted. I can't quite remember. It was 3 quite a long time ago. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I do have some -- I have 6 7 a -- I have a friend in Metro, and my brother-in-law is an ex-police officer, retired also. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. So on the car situation, they 10 never caught anybody? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And you said your brother-in-law 12 is a retired police officer? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: So, yeah, I've heard 14 many -- many a stories through him and a friend of mine that's 15 16 in Metro. 17 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: So I have opinions both 18 ways about, you know, law enforcement, good and bad I'm sure, 19 20 but, you know. THE COURT: Okay. So is it fair to say there's some 21 really good cops and some --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah. 23

KARR Reporting, Inc.

THE COURT: -- average cops and some --

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Listening to a retired

1	police officer, yeah.
2	THE COURT: and some bad cops?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Just like any other job?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Sure.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Now, your brother-in-law, he was a
7	police officer in New York?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes, 45th precinct.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Is he still working or retired?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No, he retired, and he
11	lives out here now.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: He's the manager of
14	Target.
15	THE COURT: Okay. What type of when he was a
16	police officer in New York, did he ever work in the detective
17	bureau, or was he
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No. No, he was a beat
19	cop, just street crimes.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I mean, dealt with
22	detectives a lot I'm sure and the prosecutors.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And your friend at Metro, what
24	does he do with Metro?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I haven't spoke to him in
1	

a while, but it he was -- he was a regular beat -- you know, 1 patrol officer -- officer. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Was he ever a detective at Metro? 3 Do you know? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Not that I know of. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So uniformed patrol out on the 6 7 streets? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Uh-huh. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And it sounds like you have --9 well, what you said -- good and bad opinions of police 10 officers? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: Now, if a police officer or a detective, 13 you know, were to testify in this case, could you listen to 1.4 their testimony with an open mind and evaluate it just like you 15 would the testimony of anybody else? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I'm -- I'm having trouble 17 having an open mind with just the case in general because I 18 also coach 10-year-old girls in soccer. So you start talking 19 about child pornography, and, you know, I have two daughters, 20 and, you know, right away, kabam. In my head, it's -- you 21 know, it's not a good start, and I already got --22 THE COURT: 23 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I'm kind of, you know --24

KARR Reporting, Inc.

you know, I'm -- what's the word I'm looking for? I'm going to

25

need a lot of evidence to make me believe other than I already have my opinion kind of deal. You know what I'm saying?

THE COURT: Okay. Well, and this comes up a lot of times in these types of cases and in a few other types of cases. We're not looking for a jury that says, Oh, you know, we don't think this is a bad crime, or, We're, you know, in favor of this crime, or anything like that. That's not what we're looking for. It's fine to, you know, think -- have your opinion about this type of crime.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Sure.

THE COURT: That's not what a jury trial is about. What a jury trial is about is the State, which has the burden of proof in this case, presenting their evidence and proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and if the State doesn't prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is entitled to a verdict of not guilty. That's what a trial is about.

The accused does not have to present any evidence or call any witnesses in our system of justice. So basically the function of the jurors isn't to, you know, evaluate what they think of, whether this — you know, the type of a crime. What their — what their job is is to listen to the evidence, listen to the instructions on the law, which the Court gives at the conclusion of the trial, which tells you what the elements of the crime — what the elements are, tells you what the burden

of proof is, explains reasonable doubt, and then you apply the facts to the law and determine whether the State has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That's what the job of a juror is.

Is there anyone -- I'll just jump ahead. Is there anyone of the 14 of you who feels that you would have a problem doing that in this particular case?

All right. And why do you feel that you would have a problem doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Just like I already stated. I -- you know, I've kind of heard a bunch of stories from my brother-in-law, and just right off the bat, you know, hearing something like that with girls and kids involved, you know, you kind of have an opinion right off the bat, you know.

THE COURT: And your --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: So it's -- just being honest.

THE COURT: And your opinion is what, he must be guilty? Or the State doesn't have to prove his guilt or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Probably. I'm probably going to start that way, and, you know, then I'll have to be worked the other way.

THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else share the view expressed by Mr. Marano?

Yes, Mr. Thomas.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yeah, I don't like that.

THE COURT: And again, it's not about choosing people.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: I wouldn't like it at

THE COURT: You know, no one supports, you know, most of the crimes that are charged. We're not looking for a jury that says, Oh, you know — that's not what it's about, as I said. You know, we're not looking for jurors who don't think it is a crime, or, you know, that's — because whatever. If it's a murder, you're not going to get 14 people that are okay with murder. That's not what this process is about.

We're looking for people who are fair and impartial, who don't -- who aren't biased towards the State, aren't biased towards the defense and will hold the State to their burden of proving the defendant's guilt and listen openly to the evidence, make sure the State has the evidence if they're going to convict, and if the State doesn't have the evidence, doesn't convince the jury beyond a reasonable doubt, then we need people who will raise their hands and vote not guilty. That's what we're looking for.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Did you call -- did you say Mr. Marano or Mr. Oddo? Were you talking about me?

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Did I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah.

THE COURT: Mr. Oddo. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Sorry. 3 THE COURT: I apologize. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I wasn't sure. 4 5 THE COURT: I did misspeak. So, Mr. Thomas, there's no right or wrong answer 6 It's not a math test. What are your concerns about 7 serving as a juror and fulfilling your role as a juror as I've 8 explained it? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: I might not be fair. 10 might not be fair with this one. I mean --11 THE COURT: Okay. Because? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Because of kids involved. 13 You know, I've got 11 grandkids. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: I wouldn't be fair. 16 17 would say guilty. THE COURT: Without hearing any evidence? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Just what I heard. 19 THE COURT: You didn't hear any evidence. 20 You all understand that, right? This is just all 21 Evidence is sworn testimony under oath coming from the 22 stand and exhibits that are admitted into evidence, and 23 everything else is just a lot of talk, which in our system does 24

KARR Reporting, Inc.

25

not really mean anything.

1 All right. Well, thank you for your opinion. 2 Anyone else share that? 3 (No response.) THE COURT: All right. We've talked about people who 4 5 have been arrested or charged with crimes, people who have been 6 victims of a crime. Do any of you have anyone that you're 7 close to -- again, a spouse, significant other, member of your 8 family, very close friend -- that has been the victim of a 9 crime? 10 Yes, sir. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I guess a home break-in 11 last December. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Was that you or someone close to 14 you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Our house. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Was anyone home at the time? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No. THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming property was 18 stolen? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Metro came out, yeah. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. So Metro came out, and what did 22 they do as --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: They did the whole -- you 24 know, they called in -- I guess they're crime scene investigation. They took pictures in the backyard, footprints. 25

. 1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Powder, fingerprints,
3	photographs of, you know, the mess that was made.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And was anyone ever apprehended?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Any opinions as to the job Metro
7	did?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, I think they had did
9	a they did a professional job, you know. They did
10	THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else have anything in
11	their background or their family background?
12	(No response.)
13	THE COURT: All right. May I see counsel at the
14	bench, please.
15	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
16	THE COURT: All right. The State may question the
17	panel of prospective jurors.
18	MS. LAVELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	And I'll apologize to all of you because my side will
20	be to you because I'll just be speaking to the people in the
21	box.
22	Is it still morning? Well, good morning.
23	PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Good morning.
24	MS. LAVELL: As Mr. Jackson actually said when he was
25	doing his introduction, the purpose of this portion of any

trial or pretrial is to try to get jurors that are fair and impartial, and not every juror is suited for every sort of criminal case, and we want jurors that are going to be fair, both to the defense and to the State.

And does everybody agree that as the defendant sits here right now in court he is not guilty? Does everybody agree with that premise?

(No audible response.)

MS. LAVELL: Okay. Does anybody disagree?

(No response.)

MS. LAVELL: I show a negative response to that.

So it's the State's burden, which we take on willingly, to prove that the individual sitting here committed the crimes he's charged with beyond — beyond any reasonable doubt. Would you all agree to hold us to that burden?

(No audible response.)

MS. LAVELL: Okay. Everybody is acknowledging that they will.

I want to just briefly -- and just really briefly speak to Mr. Thomas and Mr. Oddo, and I'm going to start with Mr. Oddo.

Do you think it's fair to say that for the most part most -- most people are offended by the accusations -- for the most part, if not everybody, the majority of people are offended by the crimes themselves, the charges; would you

agree?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Sure. Yeah.

MS. LAVELL: Okay. So you're not unique in that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No.

MS. LAVELL: Okay. And you indicated that as he sits here right now that Mr. Shue is not guilty or innocent until we prove otherwise?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah, but you -- reading some of the evidence already, you said that police officers broke in and busted him, right?

MS. LAVELL: Well, no, I don't know that that was said. I think there was a computer that was taken.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Okay.

MS. LAVELL: But here's the thing. As the Judge just said, nothing that we're talking about now is evidence. Evidence happens once you're impaneled, you're sworn in, and we start calling witnesses to the stand. That's the information we are asking you to listen to, all right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Correct.

MS. LAVELL: I think -- you know, it's reasonable to say that I think, you know, those pictures are bad. I don't like the idea. I don't like the subject matter --

MR. JACKSON: I'm going to object to the prosecution characterizing whether the pictures are bad or good or whatever. We haven't seen the pictures.

1

THE COURT: All right. That -- that's sustained.

2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I understand -- sorry.

3 4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The question is more the allegation. You

may think the allegation is bad. Again, you may be offended by

the type of crime. I used the example of murder. You know, 5

most people are going to get on -- be -- say, Well, I'm opposed

to, you know, murder, or kidnapping or whatever the charge may

be. So that's not the -- that's not what we're looking for,

are people to say, Oh, we're okay with this charge.

Just to clarify that, we don't -- you know, we haven't seen any evidence. We aren't talking about the evidence at this point. We're just talking about the charges, which is just an allegation made by the State. Again, the charge is their allegation. It's not evidence of anything. Ιt doesn't prove anything. All right.

MS. LAVELL: Is it your sense that as he sits here right now he's guilty unless proven otherwise?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes, it's just -- just my opinion, you know.

MS. LAVELL: That's fine. And I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Just like you said, you know, everybody is allowed to be innocent before any evidence is given. It's also my right to -- if I feel somebody has done something wrong and I feel that way, then I do, and it's just that.

MS. LAVELL: I appreciate your honesty. If you'll 1 2 pass that microphone over to Mr. Thomas. I'm going to ask you the same question, kind of just 3 jump to the chase. Is it your opinion right now that as 4 Mr. Shue sits here he is guilty, and he needs to prove himself 5 innocent? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: From what I heard. MS. LAVELL: Okay. So you're already going in here 8 without the State having presented --9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: It's not going to happen. MS. LAVELL: Okay. I appreciate your honesty. 11 12 you. I just have some general questions now. Okay. 13 heard briefly what the charges are, and there are going to be 14 videos and photographs of children in various states of 15 undress, and some explicit sexual conduct in at least one 16 photo. Is there anybody here that is going to be so 17 uncomfortable with that that you will not be able to actually 18 look at the evidence? 19 (No response.) 20 Okay. I see no hands. Does anybody 21 MS. LAVELL: here have an opinion as to how a victim of a sex-based crime 22

24

23

25

should act or appear after it happens?

MS. LAVELL: Okay. I see a negative show of hands.

KARR Reporting, Inc.

(No response.)

Would anybody here automatically disbelieve a victim 1 of a sex-based crime over an adult based solely on the victim's 2 3 age? (No response.) Negative showing of hands. MS. LAVELL: 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Say that again. 6 MS. LAVELL: Would anybody here disbelieve the 7 8 testimony of a --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Child? 9 MS. LAVELL: -- child of a sex-based crime based 10 solely on the fact that it's a child? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No, I wouldn't disbelieve 12 that. 13 MS. LAVELL: Okay. So nobody would disbelieve a 14 child solely because of the youth? 15 (No response.) 16 Okay. Would everybody agree that it can 17 MS. LAVELL: be embarrassing for a victim of these alleged crimes to 18 actually testify in front of a jury? 19 (No audible response.) 20 MS. LAVELL: And everybody is nodding their head in 21 the affirmative. 22 Would everybody agree that based on that 23 embarrassment, one may testify differently than if they were 24 testifying about something that's not embarrassing? 25

(No audible response.)

MS. LAVELL: Everybody is shaking their head yes.

Do you -- does everybody believe that it may -- it can be difficult for a child to disclose sexual misconduct if the perpetrator is a member of the family or dating a member of the family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Sure.

MS. LAVELL: I'm seeing everybody nod their head yes.

Is there anything based in your backgrounds, based in your religious beliefs, your spiritual beliefs that would prevent you from judging another human being or sitting in judgment of another human being?

(No response.)

MS. LAVELL: Okay. I don't see any hands.

Is there anything in your personal world that would preclude you or prevent you from finding the defendant guilty if in fact the State meets its burden of proving each and every element beyond a reasonable doubt?

(No response.)

MS. LAVELL: We have a showing -- nobody is raising their hands.

Has anyone here -- does everybody here have an opinion -- and raise your hand if the answer is, yes, you have an opinion -- of what a sexually explicit photo is?

(No audible response.)

MS. LAVELL: So I'm seeing a number of jurors raising their hands indicating that they have at least an opinion of what a sexually explicit photo is.

If some of the images that you're shown do not meet what your opinion of a sexually explicit photo is, will you be able to follow the law and apply the law to the photo and not your personal opinion?

(No audible response.)

MS. LAVELL: I'm seeing everybody nodding their heads.

Is everybody nodding their head, yes, you'd be able to follow the law, that raised their hands that they have an opinion?

(No audible response.)

MS. LAVELL: Okay. Thank you.

Court's indulgence.

May we approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Conference at the bench not recorded.)

THE COURT: All right. At this time we're going to excuse Mr. Oddo and Mr. Thomas. Don't get happy because we are sending you back downstairs. You're excused from these proceedings. We are going to have the bailiff, Officer Hawkes, direct you back downstairs to jury services for possible reassignment to another department.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And so you scanned when they
2	went from paper to digital files, you did all the scanning?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Correct.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What does your husband do, or what does
7	he
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: He's also retired.
9	THE COURT: From what type of work?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: He did home improvement.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Back in New York?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Any children?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Two boys. One is an
15	Oregon. One is in Las Vegas.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And they're both grown?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, 42 and 45.
18	THE COURT: And do you have any grandchildren?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I do not.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And let's see. Mr. Krupa, it says
21	here you live in Laughlin; is that correct?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes, that is correct.
25	THE COURT: All right. So you had to get up bright

and early this morning and drive here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Actually, I drove in last night so I wouldn't get stuck in any traffic.

THE COURT: All right. Now, for people who live in the outlying areas, like Laughlin and Mesquite, we recognize that's a long drive.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: And I was going to raise the hand. It sounded so petty, but, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. We leave it up to you. We actually can put you up here by the courthouse in a hotel if you serve as a juror because it's the policy that everybody who resides in Clark County should be able to serve as jurors, you know, if they would like to. We recognize however that that puts an additional stress on you beyond what the jurors who live, you know, here in Las Vegas or Henderson or what have.

Would serving as a juror and having to come in from Laughlin or stay here, would that be a hardship for you, or would you like to still have the opportunity to serve as a juror if you're chosen, if you're selected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: The time of it doesn't seem too bad, the three or four days.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I was hoping it would have been a one-day, two-day deal, but --

THE COURT: Yes, those aren't -- we don't have a lot

of those --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I hate not to take an opportunity to have an out, but at the same time it's --

THE COURT: Okay. Like I said, you know, we leave it up to people who live in the, you know, real outlying areas, but we do provide the opportunity to have a room because, like I said, it's also -- it's, you know -- both sides have the right to a jury, but then citizens also have the right to be considered to serve on juries, and so we want to be mindful of making that right available to people.

So would you like to be considered, or would you like me to excuse you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Excuse me.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. The bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.

And we'll call up the next prospective juror.

THE CLERK: Badge 158, Marina Valero.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Valerio.

THE CLERK: Valerio. Sorry.

THE COURT: Good morning. What do you do for a living, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I work for the state of Nevada at the Desert Regional Center.

THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I'm quality assurance.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
Ź	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: We provide funding for
3	people with intellectual disabilities.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Is that just intellectual
5	disabilities or also people with mental health issues?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: There's some people
7	THE COURT: Some overlap?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
10	have a significant other?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And any children?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No.
14	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask the two
15	two of you if either one of you has ever served as a juror
16	before?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: I was selected for a jury
18	in New York, but before it went to trial the courts called me
19	up and said it was settled out of court.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So you never actually heard
21	evidence
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No.
23	THE COURT: or testimony or anything like that
24	And what about you Ms. Valerio?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No.

THE COURT: Nothing, okay. 1 And you heard me ask the other prospective jurors if 2 any of them had been accused of crimes or arrested or had 3 anything like that happen to anyone close to them. Anything 4 like that in either of your backgrounds or anyone close to you? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No. THE COURT: And what about the flip side of that, 8 have either one of you ever been the victim of a crime? 9 (No response.) 10 11 THE COURT: Nothing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Has anyone close to you ever been 13 the victim of a crime that you can think of? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Doing quality assurance for Desert 17 Regional, are you a social worker, or have you ever been a 18 19 social worker? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I work with many people who are social workers. I have a degree but not in social 21 22 work. THE COURT: Okay. So you don't have a Master's of 23 Social Work or anything like that? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No. 25

THE COURT: Okay. And in quality assurance, do you have any kind of interaction at all with law enforcement, any -- you know, if like -- does it involve any type of, like, report of abuse or misconduct by staff or anything like that that you have to look into?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Well, I'm a trained state investigator, and sometimes I do investigations into abuse, neglect and exploitation.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: And our -- as quality -- my department, if there's an allegation of abuse and neglect with the providers that we provide funding for, they have to do investigations, and I review about five or six investigations a week.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: And that's --

THE COURT: So it's first investigated in-house, and you would review that, and then do you refer that out to, like, law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: It depends if it -- yeah, it depends on the level of abuse.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then what kind of -- is it kind of on-the-job training, or what kind of, I guess, training did you have to work in this investigative capacity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: It's -- we get certified 1 as a -- we go through training, a three-day training, and then 2 3 we're recertified every year or every two years as a --THE COURT: Okay. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: So I'm actually scheduled 5 6 for a training on Friday. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And then do you in your job have much interaction with law enforcement? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Not directly, no. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. So it would be more just referring the matter to law enforcement, as opposed to actually working 11 12 or --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: -- and meeting with law enforcement? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Right. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Have you ever had to 16 be a witness in any kind of a criminal case --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No. 18 THE COURT: -- in connection with an allegation of 19 20 abuse or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. State, do have any follow-up for 22 these two additional prospective jurors? 23 MS. LAVELL: No, Your Honor. I pass for cause. 24 THE COURT: All right. Pass the panel for cause? 25

-

MS. LAVELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jackson, you may follow up with the panel.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Well, I'm going to address each of you all at once, and there's a big elephant in the room, and that was addressed by two jurors who were very candid expressing their very great distaste about the crime the defendant is charged with, one of which is child pornography. It wouldn't surprise me that all of you have very strong feelings about that, and you've all assured me that you will evaluate this case carefully. Is that true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

MR. JACKSON: Now, the prosecutor has already made a determination. They believe the evidence they're going to present to you is child pornography. You understand that; is that right?

(No audible response.)

MR. JACKSON: But they're not the ones that make the decision in this case. You understand that as well; is that correct?

(No audible response.)

MR. JACKSON: Each of you, each of you will have to decide whether or not each piece of evidence they present is child pornography based on the law the Judge gives you; do you

understand that? 1 2 (No audible response.) 3 MR. JACKSON: Can you each reach an opinion individually? 4 5 (No audible response.) 6 MR. JACKSON: Now, whether you think the actual act 7 is improper or distasteful or even disgusting, you'll have to 8 evaluate the evidence the State presents without any 9 preconception; can you do that? 10 (No audible response.) MR. JACKSON: Right now you don't know anything about 11 12 the case; is that correct? 13 (No audible response.) MR. JACKSON: And when the prosecution gets up and 14 gives their opening statement, you understand that that's not 15 16 evidence? 17 (No audible response.) 18 MR. JACKSON: It's only until you see and hear the 19 evidence presented will you start forming your opinion; is that 20 correct? 21 (No audible response.) 22 MR. JACKSON: Can each of you promise me you won't 23 make a decision until all the evidence is presented, until you 24 get back in that jury room? 25 (No audible response.)

KARR Reporting, Inc.

95

because she's a child; is that correct? 1 2 (No audible response.) MR. JACKSON: You'll listen carefully to what a child 3 witness might say and evaluate her testimony according to all 4 the facts that you've heard; is that right? 5 (No audible response.) 6 MR. JACKSON: Do you believe you can do that? 7 (No audible response.) 8 Do you believe that children sometimes MR. JACKSON: 9 10 lie? (No audible response.) 11 MR. JACKSON: Or sometimes have a motive to make 12 false statements, even under oath? 13 (No audible response.) 14 MR. JACKSON: Mr. Shue, if he chooses to testify in 15 this case, will you give his testimony the weight it deserves, 16 which is the same as any other witness, after listening to him 17 testify, listening to the cross-examination, hearing the full 18 testimony and comparing it with all the other testimony? 19 (No audible response.) 20 MR. JACKSON: If however he chooses not to testify, 21 will you follow the Judge's instructions that he does not have 22 to testify under the Constitution? 23 (No audible response.) 24 MR. JACKSON: And you can't hold that against him if 25

he chooses not to; do you understand that?

(No audible response.)

MR. JACKSON: The fact — if the defendant chooses not to present any evidence, except through cross-examining the State's witnesses, will you follow the Judge's instructions and hold the State to its burden in this case?

(No audible response.)

MR. JACKSON: Now, sometimes during this trial I may not be able to stand because I have a medical -- medical condition. I had a blood clot in my leg a short time ago. So it won't be out of disrespect to you or the Court -- and I've explained that to the Court --

And, Your Honor, if --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. JACKSON: When I stand for long periods of time, my left leg hurts. I want you to understand that I truly respect the court system, the prosecutors and you ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

Mr. Shue and I are going to ask for your full attention during this trial. Every piece of evidence is important, what the prosecutor presents, what evidence, if any, we present during this trial. Can you give the defendant and the State your full attention during this trial?

(No audible response.)

MR. JACKSON: I know each and every one of you

probably have other things in your life that you could probably 1 2 find more important to you at this exact time, but you all 3 realize the seriousness and the importance of your service 4 here; is that correct? 5 (No audible response.) 6 MR. JACKSON: And we thank you very much for being 7 here. 8 I have no other questions at this time. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Jackson. 10 the panel for cause? 11 Mr. Jackson --12 MR. JACKSON: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Pass for cause, all right. Thank you. 14 The State may exercise its challenge. 15 MS. LAVELL: Your Honor, the State thanks and excuses 16 Badge No. 0145, Mr. Glenn Sorrells. 17 THE COURT: Sir, thank you very much for being here 18 and your willingness to serve as a juror. You are excused at 19 this time. 20 And if the clerk would please call up the next 21 prospective juror. 22 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 23 Badge 163, Barbara White. 24 THE COURT: Ms. White, come on down, and please have 25 that empty chair there in the front row of the jury box.

THE COURT: Okay. So I am assuming that -- or does 1 he -- does he talk to you about his work very much? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Not a lot, no. 3 THE COURT: Okay. But I'm assuming as part of his 4 work he has to put together criminal prosecutions against 5 unlicensed contractors? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: He does the investigating 7 at -- at the homeowner's house --8 9 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: -- to determine if they 10 have reason to establish a case or go to a hearing. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if he ever testifies 12 in, you know, Justice Court in criminal prosecutions against 13 unlicensed contractors? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Not that I'm aware of. 15 THE COURT: Not that you're aware of? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yeah. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Would most of his work then be in 1.8 front of the licensing board? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So he's more concerned with when 21 people apply to get licenses or when there's to be discipline 22 on somebody for their license; is that right? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes. 24 THE COURT: As opposed to criminal charges? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: And to see if the if
4	the contractors need to go back and actually redo something.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. More of a civil-type
6	dispute
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Uh-huh.
8	THE COURT: between the homeowner and the
9	contractor?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I have two, 33-year-old
13	daughter, 30-year-old son.
14	THE COURT: Any grandchildren?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Three.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: 10-year-old granddaughter
18	and 8-year-old grandson and 8-month-old grandson.
19	THE COURT: Do they live in town?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I live about a mile from
21	them, yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: And I see them two or
24	three times a week, and I moved here from Tennessee to do that.
25	THE COURT: To be with them, okay. So you're close

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	JOSHUA C. SHUE,) No. 67428
4	Appellant,)
5	
6	v.)
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
8	Respondent.
9	A POPEL LA NUTICA A POPENTA DE LA NUTICA DEL LA NUTICA DE LA NUTICA DEL LA N
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME III PAGES 457-639
11	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender Clark County Public Defender
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT
14	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
· 15	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
17	Counsel for Respondent <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
19	Supreme Court on the day of 100, 2015. Electronic Service of the
20	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
21	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO HOWARD S. BROOKS
22	STEVEN S. OWENS I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	JOSHUA C. SHUE
25	NDOC # 1133873 c/o HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON
26	PO Box 650
27	Indian Springs, NV 89070
28	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office
	Display of Charles County Tublic Defender's Office