1	IN THE SUPREME C	OURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2		
3	JOSHUA C. SHUE,) No. 67428
4	Appellant,	Electronically Filed Jul 21 2015 08:49 a.m.
5 6	v.	Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Cour
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Respondent.)
9	ADDELL ANT'S ADD	ENDIX VOLUME IV PAGES 640-823
10	AFFELLANT SAFFI	ENDIA VOLUME IV FAGES 040-825
11	PHILIP J. KOHN	STEVE WOLFSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
14	Attorney for Appellant	ADAM LAXALT
15		Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16		Counsel for Respondent
17		Counsel for Respondent
18		•
19		
20	·	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

INDEX JOSHUA C. SHUE Case No. 67428

Acknowledgment of Trial Date filed 10/17/2013......194 Defendant's Trial Brief filed 08/12/2014......257-274 Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed 04/04/2014......241-242 Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed 04/04/2014......245-246 Ex Parte Order filed 11/26/2014.....329 Indictment Warrant Return filed 03/21/2013119 Motion for Individual Voir Dire of Jurors by Counsel to Protect Defendant's Right to a Fair Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 09/27/2013....... 183-187 Motion to Dismiss Indictment Because of Violation Based on Inadequate Notice filed 08/06/2014.......254-256 Motion to Reset Bail as Previously Set by Magistrate Judge or Grant a Bail Less than Notice of Hearing filed 04/17/2014......253 2.7

i

	164.165
.	Notice of Witnesses filed 09/03/2013
2	Notice of Witnesses filed 08/22/2014
3	Opposition to State's Motion in Limine filed 04/15/2014
4	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 04/04/2014243-244
5	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 04/04/2014247-248
5	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 10/23/2013
7 8	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 02/27/2013
9	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Grand Jury heard 03/12/2013 038-109
	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 04/30/2013
0	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 09/19/2013
1 2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Individual Voir Dire of Jurors by Counsel to Protect Defendant's Right to a Fair Trial filed 09/24/2013178-182
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 10/07/2013
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Indictment filed 08/18/2014 275-281
5 6	State's Oppositon to Defendant's Motion to Reset Bail as Previously Set by Magistrate Judge or Grant a Bail Less than \$75,000.00 filed 03/20/2013
7	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Vacate Trial Date and Reset filed 04/03/2014 237-240
8	State's Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery filed 12/11/2013
9	State's Sur-Reply to Defendant's Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim filed 11/06/2013
21	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 09/12/2013
22	Verdict filed 08/29/2014
23	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 04/17/2013
24	
25	
26	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
27	Transcript of Proceedings,
28	Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 08/25/2014

1	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Two	
2	Date of Hrg: 08/26/2014	
3	Transcript of Proceedings,	
4	Jury Trial—Day Three Date of Hrg: 08/27/2014	
5	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Four	
6	Date of Hrg: 08/28/2014	
7	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Five	
8	Date of Hrg: 08/29/2014	
9	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call	
10	Date of Hrg: 08/21/2014	
11	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call; State's Motion in Limine	
12	Date of Hrg: 05/29/2014	
13	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Attorney for Appeal	
14	Date of Hrg: 02/05/2015	
15	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Discovery	
16	Date of Hrg: 12/17/2013	
17	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim	
18	Date of Hrg: 10/08/2013	
19	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Indictment Because of Violation Based on Inadequate Notice	
20	Date of Hrg: 08/19/2014	
21	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Vacate Trial Date and Reset	
22	Date of Hrg: 04/08/2014	
23	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Evidentiary Hearing	
24	Date of Hrg: 05/19/2014	
25	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Hearing; Defendant's Motion for Psychiatric Examination of Alleged Victim	
26	Date of Hrg: 11/07/2013420-433	
27	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing	
28	Date of Hrg: 12/09/2014	

1 2	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing Date of Hrg: 01/15/20151497-1519
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,
4.	Status Check: CPS Records Date of Hrg: 02/04/2014
5	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings,
6	Status Check: CPS Records Date of Hrg: 02/20/2014
7	Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion for Individual Voir Dire of Jurors by Counsel to Protect
8	Defendant's Right to a Fair Trial Date of Hrg: 10/03/2013
9	Transcript of Proceedings,
10	Defendant's Motion in Limine Date of Hrg: 09/26/2013
11	Transcript of Proceedings,
12	Defendant's Motion to Reset Bail Date of Hrg: 04/25/2013
13	Transcript of Proceedings.
14	Defendant's Motion to Reset Bail as Previously Set by Magistrate Judge or Grante a Bail Less than \$75,000,00; Indictment Warrant Return
15	Date of Hrg: 03/28/2013
16	Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
17	Date of Hrg: 05/02/2013
18	Transcript of Proceedings, Overflow
19	Date of Hrg: 08/22/2014
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
21 28	
۵ ا	

with your grandkids? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No. THE COURT: You heard me ask the other prospective 5 jurors if they'd been victims of crime or accused of a crime. 6 Anything like that happen to you or anyone close to you? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Not myself, but my 8 daughter when she was 20 was arrested for a DUI --9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: -- that involved an 11 12 accident, yes. THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in town? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No, that was in 14 15 Tennessee. Okay. Now, was it a serious accident? THE COURT: 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: She totaled her car. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Was anybody hurt, seriously 18 injured, or killed? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No. 20 Okay. Do you know if your daughter was THE COURT: 21 charged with a misdemeanor or a felony for the DUI? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I don't remember, but I 2.3 do know they did handcuff her, take her to jail. We bailed her 24 She went to court. She was put on probation. 25

THE COURT: Okay. And did she have a lawyer? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So it must've been a misdemeanor 3 Have you formed any opinions as to how your daughter was 4 5 treated in the system? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: The way she should've 6 7 been. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything else 8 in your background or your family background we should know 9 about you in making a determination as to whether you would be 10 a good juror on this type of a case? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I don't know if this has 12 any influence on it or not, but I was a teacher's assistant in 13 second grade for five years. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Good. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I did coach my daughter's 16 soccer team from fifth grade through 11th grade when she was 17 entering high school. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So you were a teacher's assistant 19 in the second grade --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes. 2.1 THE COURT: -- level, okay. And you did that for how 22 long, five years? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Five years. 24 THE COURT: So you have a lot of experience, either 25

through your own family or teaching and coaching, working with children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, obviously, you know, no one likes these kinds of charges. They're offensive to many people. As you probably heard me tell the other jurors, that's not the issue here. Can you be fair and impartial to both sides and basically hold the State to their burden of proof, meaning if you vote guilty, make sure that it's based on the fact that the State has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I believe I could, but I've been listening and trying to determine -- because I realize that they're innocent until proven guilty, but when it concerns children, it's difficult not to be biased, especially when you hear the terminology of child pornography.

THE COURT: Okay. And, you know, there's no right or wrong answer -- well, I -- the only wrong answer would be a dishonest answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Right.

THE COURT: I mean, as you sit here, can you be fair to the defense, or do you feel like, you know, the scale is tipped already against Mr. Shue, and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: To be honest, I feel it's tipped a little bit in my opinion, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's because it involves children --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes. 3 THE COURT: -- as victims -- all right. Counsel, approach. 5 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) 6 MS. LAVELL: Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. Were you 7 waiting for me to do that now? 8 THE COURT: Yes, you may follow up, State. 9 MS. LAVELL: Thank you. 10 It's Mrs. White? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes. 12 MS. LAVELL: So I just really want to talk to you 1.3 about the last statement, and, you know, you've been sitting 14 here. So you've kind of heard the discussion. I think it's 15 fair to say again that everybody has some concerns when it --16 with these sort of allegations because they're involving 17 children, but it comes down to that the -- the criminal justice 18 system that we have here is that people are innocent until 19 proven guilty, and it's Ms. Beverly's and my obligation to 20 prove to each and every one of you beyond a reasonable doubt 21 that the defendant committed the crimes charged. 22 You know, I mean, I think you're aware that there are

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Correct.

trials where people are found not guilty?

23

24

25

MS. LAVELL: All right. So simply because the defendant is sitting here right now doesn't mean that he's done anything. It simply means that he's sitting here right now, and the State is going to try and prove that he's done something. Would you agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes.

MS. LAVELL: Would you hold the State to its burden?
Would you hold the State to having to prove to you beyond a
reasonable doubt each and every element of each and every crime
he's charged with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes.

MS. LAVELL: I pass the witness (sic) for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jackson, you may question this prospective juror.

MR. JACKSON: Just one or two questions, if -THE COURT: All right. That's fine.

MR. JACKSON: Well, again we have the fundamental question. I'm going to ask it maybe a little differently. If you were sitting where Mr. Shue was sitting, charged with what he's charged with, serious charges, would you want 12 people in the state of mind you're in, sitting in the jury box with your biases and your life experiences, you know, trying as hard as you could to be fair but still with your normal feelings that you have based on the life experiences you have with your children and with what you've gone through in your life,

knowing that you're going to have to sit and judge him, would you want -- would you want to be in that same position as Mr. Shue, having 12 jurors just like you?

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Probably not. To be quite honest and frank, I feel like I have a little bit of a bias already.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. So you think that he -- for him to get a fair and impartial juror, he would be better off having someone else who doesn't have the biases and prejudices you might have. Even though you would try to be fair, you don't think you could be totally fair in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I'm not a hundred percent sure I could be completely fair and -- (Unintelligible.) --

THE COURT: How do you think that bias would play out? I mean, what is your concern about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I would tend to err on the side of children is I think my main concern.

THE COURT: All right. As I said, you know, there are 32 departments. Half of them are in civil trials and other types of criminal trials that involve adults and dead people, murder trials, you know, DUI-death cases, things of that nature. So I'm going to go ahead and excuse you from this department. You need to go back down to jury services for reassignment to a different department.

All right. Thank you. And Officer Hawkes will

direct you from the courtroom.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go ahead now and take our lunch break, but before I excuse you for the lunch break I must give you a couple of admonishments.

The first admonishment is during the lunch break you're not to discuss this case or anything that's transpired in the courtroom with each other or with anyone else. Anyone else would include members of your family and your friends. You may tell them of course that you are participating in jury selection for a criminal jury trial, but please do not discuss anything else relating to this matter.

Additionally, you're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, any person or subject matter relating to the case. You are not to do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium on any subject matter connected with the trial. You are not to engage in any social networking, meaning Twitter or Facebook or anything like that, regarding this case or your service as jurors until you have been discharged by me, and you are not to form or express an opinion on the case.

Additionally, before I excuse you I must tell you the attorneys, the defendant, court personnel, other than the uniformed bailiff, are prohibited from conversing with members of the jury. So should you see one of these individuals in the hallway or the elevator, please do not think that they are

being unfriendly or antisocial. They are bound by the rules of ethics not to speak with you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

I'd like all of you to please remember where you're seated because we're going to ask you to return to those seats following the lunch break. Any additional questions on where to meet or where to go for lunch, please address Officer Hawkes out in the hallway.

We'll see you all back from the lunch recess at 1 o'clock, and please bring -- take all your belongings with you.

(Panel of prospective jurors recessed 11:54 a.m.)

THE COURT: Was there anything we needed to discuss before we take our break?

MS. BEVERLY: We were just wondering if you think that we'll get to any witnesses today? Do you think that we'll --

THE COURT: Boy, I don't think so. I mean, this is going actually faster than what I anticipated --

MS. BEVERLY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- but with nine challenges, I kind of doubt it. Now we're going to have a lot of people that can't be fair because there are kids. So --

MS. BEVERLY: I mean, I could open today if need be.
THE COURT: Yes. So, I mean, I'm thinking we won't

get to witnesses today.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay.

MS. LAVELL: What time do we start tomorrow?

THE COURT: I haven't looked at the calendar yet. No earlier than 10:30.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: Yes, so 10:30. It's better that he's out of custody because we'd have to wait for the switch.

MS. BEVERLY: Sure. Sure.

THE COURT: So we should be able to start earlier. So if you guys want to keep your stuff here or spread out, that's fine. The courtroom will be locked.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay. Thank you, Judge.

MS. LAVELL: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. We'll see you back at 1.

(Proceedings recessed 11:56 a.m. to 1:09 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the panel of prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: The next in order is Davida Butler, and just to let you folks know, my bailiff is acquainted with her but from years ago. She apparently is a caseworker for foster care and a friend who kind of was like a nonrelated family member was her charge, and the -- my bailiff went to dinner with her a few times. So I don't really think that's a conflict, but I'm just letting you folks know. He's not even sure she recognizes him.

THE MARSHAL: Yeah, I mean, it was probably three, 1 2 four years ago. MS. BEVERLY: We don't have an objection to that. 3 MS. LAVELL: The State has no objection. 4 THE COURT: Any problem with that? 5 MR. JACKSON: I might want to question her about it. THE COURT: That's fine. 7 All right. Kenny, bring them in. 8 And she may not remember, but he recognized her. 9 (Panel of prospective jurors entering 1:10 p.m.) 10 THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. 11 The record should reflect the presence of the State through the 12 deputy district attorneys, the presence of the defendant and 13 his counsel, the officers of the court and the ladies and 14 gentlemen of the prospective jury panel. 15 And, Ms. Husted, would you please call up the next 16 prospective juror. 17 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 18 Badged 164, Davida Butler. 19 THE COURT: And, Ms. Butler, if you'd come on down 20 and have that empty chair there, please, in the front row of 21 the jury box. 22 Good afternoon, Ms. Butler. What do you do for a 23 24 living? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I'm a caseworker for the 25

1 State. THE COURT: And what is -- and what is your, I guess, 2 3 assignment as a caseworker? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I'm a social worker. I 4 work with the intellectually disabled and mentally ill. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing 6 7 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: 15 years. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a degree in social 9 work? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Is that an MSW? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: BSW. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Now, there's a gal also on the 14 jury who works for Desert Regional Center. Are you an employee 15 the of that same state division -- division within the state? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Do you two know each other? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: We -- yes, we do. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Now, if you -- are either one of 20 you in a supervisory-type capacity over the other? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: We work in two different 22 23 areas. THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there anything about the 24 fact that you work together -- if you were both to serve as 25

jurors, is there -- you know, would you, like, automatically 1 look to her opinion or anything like that or --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: 3 THE COURT: Could you treat her the same as all of 4 your other fellow jurors? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm going to ask you the same 7 question. If you were both selected for service, is there 8 anything about the fact that Ms. White would be a juror that 9 would cause you to, you know, look to her opinion differently 10 than you would any other juror? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Ms. Butler. 12 THE COURT: Ms. Butler. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yeah. 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No, I wouldn't. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So you would treat her the same as 17 the other fellow jurors? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And before your assignments --20 your current assignment, did you have another job as a social 21 22 worker? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And what was that job? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I worked with mentally 25

1 ill kids. I worked with Child Protective Services. 2 THE COURT: Okay. And what was your role with Child 3 Protective Services? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: They would remove kids, 5 and I would go in and put in -- plug in the mental health 6 services, and I was sometimes -- I was the person they worked 7 with long-term. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Have you always worked with --9 MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, can I approach the bench 10 with counsel? 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) 13 THE COURT: Let's -- I neglected to ask you, Ms. Butler, do you have any kind of social relationship with 14 15 the other DRC employee? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And then so you don't socialize 18 together, go out to lunch? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: It's the first time we've 20 seen each other. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So no 22 interaction? Nothing like that? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: (Shook head.) 24 THE COURT: Okay. Now, when you were with Child 25 Protective Services, did you only deal with children who had

	mental health or mental disability issues?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever in the course of
4	your career in social work dealt with children that didn't have
5	some kind of mental health or mental disability?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And was that also with CPS?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No, before CPS.
.9	THE COURT: Okay. And what was your job at that
10	point?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I ran group homes for
12	kids that were physically and sexually abused.
13	THE COURT: You ran what?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: A group home.
15	THE COURT: A group home, okay. And was that here in
16	Clark County?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And were those kids that were
19	placed in your group home by the state or Child Protective
20	Services?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
	THE COURT: So as your role as a CPS caseworker, did
21	
21 22	THE COURT: So as your role as a CPS caseworker, did

KARR Reporting, Inc.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, may I approach the bench 3 again? 4 THE COURT: Sure. 5 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) 6 MR. JACKSON: I want to make a record. 7 THE COURT: Ms. Butler --8 MR. JACKSON: I want to make a record, Your Honor --9 THE COURT: -- I just have a --10 MR. JACKSON: -- on a number of things. 11 THE COURT: Ms --12 We will in a moment. 13 Ms. Butler, I just have another yes or no question. Obviously you have a lot of experience working with children 14 that have been physically or sexually abused or where there is 15 an allegation. This -- you've heard the nature of the charges 16 in this particular case. Do you feel like you could be fair 17 18 and impartial to both sides given your work background and your 19 experiences as a social worker? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 21 THE COURT: You do, okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take another quick break. 24 25 Once again, during this quick break you're reminded

that you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries regarding the case, any person or subject matter relating to the case, and please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

Everybody follow the bailiff through the double doors.

(Panel of prospective jurors recessed 1:19 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. I'd like to place something on the record. Mr. Jackson approached the bench when the Prospective Juror Davida Butler, who happens to be an African-American woman, stated that she worked for Child Protective Services and said he wanted to make a for-cause challenge, and the fact that she was acquainted with or may be acquainted my marshal.

I said the fact that she worked for CPS in the past in my view did not necessarily mean that she had to be stricken for cause, and I would inquire further, number one, and number two, I was uncertain that she would remember the bailiff, who -- we would inquire as to that. So I continued to ask the questions.

And then Mr. Jackson approached the bench again and said he basically didn't want me to ask any more questions because he felt that that would taint the jury, and I said I don't feel that my questions have tainted the jury, that I was

asking yes or no questions regarding her background and that I have been doing this for a number of years and that I knew how to ask a question that would not taint the jury or invite comment from the prospective jurors so as to prejudice the rest of the panel.

At that point in time I asked Mr. Jackson to step back, and he said he wanted to make a record, and I said you'll be allowed to make your record, and I'm going to ask another question, and then he said he wants me to recuse myself because he didn't think I was being fair to him. That's essentially my recollection of the conversation that occurred at the bench.

I think the record will bear out that none of my questions — nor Ms. Butler's responses — solicited answers that in any way would tend to tarnish the panel or prejudice the panel in any way against Mr. Shue. At that time, Mr. Jackson — after I said, Step back — loudly said he wanted a hearing or something like that in the presence of the jury. So I asked one final question as to whether or not she would be fair and impartial, and then I called for the recess so that Mr. Jackson can state — make his record.

I would also add that every other for-cause challenge has been granted up until this time but that I do not feel that because this woman who, A, is no longer a CPS worker, has been a CPS worker in the past, mandates a for-cause dismissal at this time without making a better record.

8

11 12

13

9

10

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

time.

21 22

23 24

25

And so in my view, Mr. Jackson, as I've said up here at the bench, you're putting the cart before the horse, and to try to preclude the Court from asking questions of her that were designed to elicit a yes or no response, I think it's completely out of line. As I said, you know, I've done hundreds of trials, and I know how to ask a question that does not call for information that's going to prejudice your client, and so, you know, make your record.

But I have nothing against you. I do have something against obstreperous conduct, disrespectful conduct and conduct that's designed to delay the proceedings. So I have nothing against you personally. I have nothing against your client, but I don't think that the fact that you don't agree with the way I handled this last witness (sic), Ms. Butler, is grounds for recusal. So that's my recollection of what transpired at the bench.

> Does that comport with the State's recollection? MS. BEVERLY: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, you may be heard at this

MR. JACKSON: Well, with all due respect, Your Honor, I do respect you, but I disagree with you on this matter, and I'll tell you why, and I'd like some time to do it.

Number one, my client is facing a life sentence. is charged with a crime where at least two of the jurors said

that they couldn't be fair because the charges are so reprehensible and so disgusting --

THE COURT: And those jurors were dismissed.

MR. JACKSON: Well, let me finish. Let me finish --

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Jackson, you know, I'm not

going to --

MR. JACKSON: I want to make a --

THE COURT: Excuse me. You know, I'm not going to indulge you indefinitely. We already know what the charges are, and we know what the penalty for the charges are, and we know what those jurors said, and those jurors were dismissed --

MR. JACKSON: All right --

THE COURT: -- and the Court deliberately asked --

MR. JACKSON: -- but I'm trying --

THE MARSHAL: Wait for the Judge to finish, Counsel.

THE COURT: Excuse me. The Court deliberately asked questions in a particular way of Ms. Butler so that she wouldn't say something that was overly sympathetic to, you know, child victims or something like that, and we certainly -- if you're concerned about going further, we can question her privately, and I would be amenable to doing that --

MR. JACKSON: With all due respect to the Court, I asked for individual voir dire of the jurors simply because I anticipated these kinds of things coming up.

Number one, she works helping abused children. These

jurors have already judged my client, many of them. Now, she works --

. 1

THE COURT: And those people were excused.

MR. JACKSON: Yes, but this woman works for an agency that places children and works with children who have been the victim of sexual abuse. My client is charged --

THE COURT: Not anymore she doesn't.

MR. JACKSON: Well, she was working with them, and she worked with another woman who does that, too. They're both on the same jury.

THE COURT: That's not true. They work with mentally disabled and mentally ill population.

MR. JACKSON: They're counselors that work with children.

THE COURT: We don't know that they're children. They may be adults. We never covered that.

MR. JACKSON: Well, this woman -- this woman herself stated that she worked with children. Now, in any event, Your Honor, it was clear that there are multiple reasons to excuse this woman, and rather than me questioning her in front of all the jurors -- and Your Honor is a confident Judge looking at things from the point of view of the State, looking at the point of view of a prosecution, but you don't understand necessarily what the defense strategy might be in this case.

THE COURT: I understand --

MR. JACKSON: Well, no, Your Honor, you haven't been --

THE COURT: Mr. Jackson --

MR. JACKSON: You don't know what -- what attorney-client privilege has revealed to the defense, and you are not privy to many things the defense knows, and you should not be. You're to be an impartial arbiter.

THE COURT: And I think I am being impartial.

MR. JACKSON: Now, when I make an objection, it's not frivolous. It is for very specific reasons. I approached the bench. I did not do it because I wanted to contaminate the jury. I did it because I wanted to protect my client, and I have a duty to be a zealous advocate for my client.

Now, I move to recuse you for a number of reasons.

Number one, you have not granted a single motion for me,
whether they were meritorious or not, since I began this case.

I detected a very, very subtle bias in you, and I have a right
to bring that to your attention. I believe that you are trying
very hard to be fair to my client; however, I have a right to
bring a motion to recuse based upon bias. I have raised this
issue before. Whether I'm right or wrong, I will bring it to
the attention of the Court.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JACKSON: If I don't bring it, I waive it.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jackson --

MR. JACKSON: Now, I'm doing — it's not Mr. Shue who is making this motion, and you should not prejudice him because I made the motion. I made the motion because I think it's necessary for my client to get a fair trial.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jackson, I don't think

I've done or said anything to indicate that I'm biased towards

the State in this case, number one. Number two, I cannot be

excusing jurors for cause if I don't think that there is a

basis in for cause regardless of whether or not -- you know,

defense strategy and whether you're a prosecutor or a defense

attorney or have been in the past has nothing to do with

whether or not someone should be excused for cause.

Now, I understand why you don't want this woman, quite obviously, and I, you know -- I'm happy questioning her, and she may be excused for cause at the end of the day. Like I said, we've already excused every single person that you've wanted excused for cause; however, you know, we have had police officers sit on criminal jury trials. It's not an automatic exclusion just because someone has worked for the state or the fact that someone has worked with victims of crime. That is not an automatic for-cause exclusion, and I'm not going to grant it simply because of her job.

So, you know, the Supreme Court, if your client is convicted or if you want to bring this up in front of the Chief Judge, they may look at it differently, and that's fine, but I

would just say as a legal matter, the fact that she has worked with abused children in the past does not mandate her dismissal automatically. Now, we're going to go through the questioning with her, just like we would any other juror.

1.4

You know, the fact that you think I'm biased somehow suddenly or whatever and you think I'm trying hard is all well and good, but I can tell you I'm not biased against your client. I don't -- you know, other than the allegations that have been made here in open court and the motions and discussions we've had, I don't know anything about your client. So I don't think there is a basis for recusal.

And there certainly isn't a basis for recusal because you disagree with my questions, which again the record bears this out. She didn't say anything that could inflame the jury or prejudice the jury in any way. That is not a basis for recusal. So you want to take this up with Judge Togliatti, knock yourself out, but we're going to go forward today with the jury selection.

And, you know, I will say this. If you detect a bias, it's not against your client, but sometimes it's difficult, Mr. Jackson, when you repeat the same — and I do it. I say the same things over and over again. You repeat yourself, and maybe I seem impatient with that, and I'm sorry if I do, but, you know, that's not a basis for recusal because you may find a lawyer's presentation, you know, long-winded,

or -- you know, if I recused myself for every lawyer that I didn't think made a good argument, I'd be sitting in back chambers twiddling my thumb all day long. So unfortunately that's not the standard for you, and if it's appeared as bias, I'm sorry.

Now, the fact that, you know, I didn't grant all your motions, I did have the evidentiary hearing that you wanted, and in fact that showed quite clearly that there was no basis to the allegations you were making, and that was I think over the State's objection, that didn't think we should have an evidentiary hearing, and as I said over and over again, I'm very glad we did have that evidentiary hearing because I think that just, you know, made a really clear record relating to all of these allegations. So that motion was granted, at least in part, as to holding the hearing. I of course didn't know what was going to be said at the hearing.

So, you know, I don't know off the top of my head what other motions you're talking about. I know there was other pretrial motions, one related to the photos themselves. I remember that one, but I don't have a perfect recollection of every motion that's been filed in the history of the case.

I mean, obviously you're doing a good job, zealously advocating for your client, filing the appropriate motions, making the appropriate challenges, and I do appreciate that as a lawyer, and I recognize that that's your obligation. So if

you think I've demonstrated any bias against you, I'm sorry for that, but certainly I don't feel any bias against your client, and it hasn't been my intention, and I -- you know, I rule the way I think is the right way to rule, regardless of what the charges are or what I feel about the charges or what I feel about the lawyer, quite frankly.

So really I think it's very rude for you to open up your flip phone --

MR. JACKSON: I was trying to shut it off.

THE COURT: -- and be texting or doing whatever you're doing.

MR. JACKSON: I was trying to shut it off.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Jackson, that should've been shut off before you walked into the courtroom.

MR. JACKSON: I thought it was shut off.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JACKSON: Now, I want one — to say one last thing, and then I'll submit my motion to recuse. The one thing that really disturbed me was when we were at calendar call, and we were being shifted to another court, and you said, well, you hadn't read my trial brief, and, you know, I thought, Well, I did work — you know, whether it was a good brief, not a good brief or not, you had chosen not to read it.

THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, I hadn't read your trial brief before calendar call because I was aware that we had the

murder case that was in the No. 1 position, and so what is the point if it's not going to go? We wait until we see where everything stacks up. So that has nothing to do with anything in my view.

MR. JACKSON: Well, it suggested to me that the case either was not important to you or you had chosen not to consider it.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Jackson --

MR. JACKSON: So I'll simply --

THE COURT: -- unfortunately, as you well know, this is not our only trial, and as you sat through the calendar call here, you know that there was a murder trial --

MR. JACKSON: I know that.

THE COURT: — that — that came in first, before this trial, and you may not know this, but this Court took steps to find a Judge who I thought was more than competent to hear this trial, and then when it appeared that the murder trial was going to resolve, I took the trial back. I could've kept the trial with Judge Wiese and said nothing until this morning, oh, our trial dealt, but I didn't do that because I felt that it was my obligation to handle the cases assigned to me.

So I'm sorry that you quibble with my timing in reading your brief. Unfortunately, Mr. Jackson, given our caseload, we don't have the luxury as Judges to read things far

in advance. We have to read -- just like you as a defense attorney have to prepare for those trials that are coming up, we have to read for the cases that are up right away, and that's the way I do things.

1.5

Now, you know, maybe some other Judges who have fewer cases, are in the federal system or whatever, maybe, you know, they can read way ahead of time. I unfortunately don't have that luxury because of the volume of the cases that I handle, and I think that was quite evident at the calendar call, and actually, interestingly, that was quite a small calendar.

Usually we have even bigger calendars if you want to comment on caseload. So, you know, I wish that I could be reading things weeks ahead of time, but unfortunately I don't have that luxury because of our caseload.

That doesn't mean that I don't care about this case. That doesn't mean that I don't do everything to, in my view, prepare as much as I can, but, you know, that's certainly not grounds for recusal. So, you know, I think we've made our record.

My proposal going forward is to have Ms. Butler -- if you would like, and this will be defense request, if you would like -- come in, and we'll question her privately out of the presence of the other jurors to see whether or not she can be fair and impartial given her background.

MR. JACKSON: I would like that.

THE COURT: All right. Does the State have anything they would like to add to complete this record?

MS. BEVERLY: No -- Judge, just to make the record clear on our position on the motion to recuse, we certainly object to that for several reasons. First and foremost, before we could even complete -- Your Honor could complete the questions about what she did for a living, Mr. Jackson asked to approach and asked for a for-cause challenge.

We also heard information from this juror that she has been working in her current position for over 15 years. She has not been with CPS for, again, the last 15 years. Additionally, we have people on juries — people who serve as jurors who have been victims of sex assault in a sex—assault trial, and so we had — there's too many things that people have in their lives that would excuse them for cause if we allow just someone's job to excuse them for cause.

Additionally, we followed up by asking -- well Your Honor followed up by asking whether she can be fair and impartial despite her previous employment, and she seemed to say -- and she said that she could, unequivocally. She also -- it's kind of hard for the record to reflect this, but her demeanor was very calm. She did not seem to have any type of emotional reaction when talking about these children that she works with.

Additionally, in terms of the motion to recuse, it's

inaccurate that counsel is saying that Your Honor has never granted any of his motions. In fact, I have printed all of the minutes from every hearing that we've had with us, and in one of the motions, he filed a motion in limine to prevent the State from talking about this as a sex-assault investigation, and that motion was granted.

Additionally, in terms of the allegations of the State providing gifts and those matters, the State objected to having an evidentiary hearing based upon many conversations that we've had. That was also granted in Mr. -- the defendant's favor. So just to make that clear, that's -- we'll submit it on that.

MR. JACKSON: I was inaccurate on that. I am inaccurate on the granting of those motions.

THE COURT: All right.

2.0

MR. JACKSON: I'll stipulate to that.

THE COURT: The record speaks for itself.

MR. JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: And if your client is convicted, a reviewing court will be able to look at the questions that I asked Ms. Butler prior to our recess, and they can evaluate whether those were questions that were designed to elicit some kind of prejudicial information. I don't think mainly a yes-or-no question really is, but maybe. If your client is convicted -- obviously if he's acquitted, nobody ever looks at

this. If he's convicted, then a reviewing court can make that determination. 2 So, Mr. Jackson, then, so we're very clear on the 3 record, is it your request that we question Ms. Butler out of 4 the presence of the other jurors? 5 MR. JACKSON: Yes, please. 6 THE COURT: All right. Kenny, bring in Ms. Butler. 7 I'm going to tell Ms. Butler -- if nobody has any 8 objection -- that the reason that she's being questioned 9 privately is to encourage her to speak openly about her work 10 background. 11 Is that acceptable with you, Mr. Jackson? 1.2 THE COURT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 (Prospective Juror No. 164 entering 1:40 p.m.) 15 Now, Ms. Butler, I don't want you to THE COURT: 16 think you're being picked on or anything. The reason that we 17 wanted you to come in here privately, out of the presence of 18 the other prospective jurors, is so that you can speak openly 19 about your background at CPS --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Okay. 21 THE COURT: -- and your background with the group 22 home and everything like that. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Okay.

24

25

KARR Reporting, Inc.

THE COURT: And I think my last question was, you

know, you felt that you could be fair and impartial; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you feel like you would be sort of more biased towards the purported victim, the individual that's making the allegations in this case, automatically because of your history, or how do you think that would come into play, if at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Not necessarily. I can -- I see both sides. I've had a lot of cases that I've worked with a victim, and I find out the victim is not being honest.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: So I've seen both sides of it, but most of my clients are legitimately victims, but I feel like I could be biased, but again I would have to know what the evidence is.

THE COURT: Do you mean biased or fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I'm sorry. Fair.

THE COURT: Okay. So you've worked with victims or purported victims who've actually made false allegations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Oh, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you say, Oh, yes, with no hesitation; is that true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes, very true.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, are you working -- and I 1 didn't clear this up with the other gal. Are you working with 2 children currently or adults? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Currently, adults. THE COURT: Adults, okay. So disabled -- mentally 5 disabled adults? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. And then when you were with CPS, 8 obviously you were working with children? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. I was with the 10 State, but we worked alongside CPS. So we did the mental 11 health. 12 Okay. THE COURT: 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: The county is the one 14 that does child welfare. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: And so we worked together 17 though. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Can you kind of explain what the, 19 I guess, interface is between your job at the state and --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: The county. 21 THE COURT: -- what CPS does, how you work together 22 or how that came -- you know, came to be. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: The county is child 24 welfare. The state is mental health. So we all worked in the 25

1.0

same office building, and so when they would have cases where kids would be removed or go to Child Haven or wherever, then the state, we would come in and do the mental health. So I was first responder, where if it was a child death, sexual abuse, physical abuse, anything like that, go in, do an assessment on the kids and see what they need, and then plug in all the services. So we work side by side.

THE COURT: Okay. So am I correct that your role was more placement oriented and service oriented than investigation oriented?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Correct. We didn't do the investigation. The child welfare or the county would do the placement, but we would just do the mental health, whether it was therapy or wrap-around services, psychiatric medicine, or sometimes I would hospitalize kids.

THE COURT: Okay. With extreme psychiatric problems? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand now. And is that kind of what you do in your job currently, find services for people with disabilities?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: It's a little different. Now my clients are -- some of them are in a group home. Some of them are with their families. They're adults. All of them have intellectual disabilities. So I do plug in all the services, and I manage their entire life though. So it's a

little different, but there are abuse as well, but I don't do the investigations. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So some of your clients may have 3 been abused, and some of your clients haven't been abused? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. Correct. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And then so you would, like, find 6 okay, this is a group -- good group home for this particular 7 person or maybe help them figure out how to get to and from 8 work, that sort of thing? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Those kinds of services? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 12 THE COURT: So more social -- real social work? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: But we do report abuse 16 and neglect, and I do deal with the police often on those 17 18 cases. THE COURT: Okay. So you would be a mandatory 19 20 reporter then, correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Absolutely. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And those would be 22 adult people, vulnerable -- I guess it's now abuse of a 23 vulnerable person? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 25

THE COURT: Okay. Those would be the types of things 1 you'd be reporting? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes, because a lot of my 3 people in my caseload now are nonverbal. So they cannot 4 protect themselves. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you would make the 6 referral to Metro or whichever law enforcement agency? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And are your clients primarily Las 9 Vegas, or do you have clients in Henderson and North Las Vegas 10 where you'd be working with those jurisdictions? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: All -- yeah, North Las 12 Vegas, Henderson, Las Vegas. It's, yeah, everywhere. 13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And are you married? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. 15 THE COURT: Any children? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. 19 THE COURT: All right. And you heard the other 20 questions, victims of crimes, accused of a crime, you or anyone 21 in your family; anything like that we should know about you? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. 23 THE COURT: Okay. And when you worked for the state, 24 when you were kind of working with or coordinating with CPS, 25

1	what, I guess, office of the state or division were you with?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Division of Child and
3	Family Services, DCFS.
4	THE COURT: Okay. But the state one as opposed to
5	the county one?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: That's the state one, the
7	Division of Child
8	THE COURT: Oh, that is the state one?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh. County is
10	Department of Family Services. State is Division of Child and
11	Family Services.
12	THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. I think I just in
13	my mind combined the two, and I never I learned
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah, they're separate.
15	THE COURT: I learned a couple of new things today
16	because I never realized the difference between the county and
17	the state one.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah, they're separate.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I don't have any
20	other questions for you.
21	But the State can follow up.
22	MS. LAVELL: Thank you.
23	Is it Ms. Butler?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
25	MS. LAVELL: Okay. You've been sitting here. So

1	you've heard the majority of the questions.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
3	MS. LAVELL: In regards to any of the questions I
4	asked previously, is there anything that I need to know about
5	you or not necessarily me that we need to know about you
6	that would make you not such a great pick for this jury?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I don't think so.
8	MS. LAVELL: Okay. I'm I think you may be the
9	young lady that are you familiar with
10	THE COURT: Oh, thank you.
11	MS. LAVELL: Are you familiar with this gentleman
12	over here?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: With who?
14	MS. LAVELL: This handsome young man over here?
15	THE MARSHAL: Thank you.
16	MS. LAVELL: You're welcome.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He looks familiar. I
18	don't know.
19	MS. LAVELL: Okay.
20	THE COURT: He thinks he may recognize you because a
21	friend of his was a client of yours in the past. Do you
22	recognize him? Kenny Hawkes is his name.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.
24	MS. LAVELL: Okay.
25	THE COURT: No, okay.

676

1	MS. LAVELL: So that's a no. So the fact that you
2	don't recognize him, no way will he have any influence on how
3	you deicide in this case if you're selected for the jury?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: (Shook head.)
5	MS. LAVELL: Pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Jackson.
7	MR. JACKSON: Just a couple questions.
8	How long did you work for the Department of DCFS?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
10	MR. JACKSON: How long did you work there?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I worked there eight
12	years.
13	MR. JACKSON: Okay. And when exactly was that? What
14	years?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I just transferred to
16	Desert Regional Center last year.
17	MR. JACKSON: So you worked there the preceding eight
18	years before? That's when you worked there?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
20	MR. JACKSON: And you were a caseworker there working
21	with abused children
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
23	MR. JACKSON: that were now, did you do home
24	visits as part of your job?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I did.

MR. JACKSON: So you went into the actual homes of children that were abused; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: And you saw them in the condition when they were most in need of your services; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: And I imagine you saw some pretty terrible situations where children were pretty badly abused; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: Did that also include both physical and sexual abuse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: Did you ever have to testify in Family Court or in Juvenile Court concerning what you saw?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I did in Family Court.

MR. JACKSON: And were you -- you testified on behalf of the district attorney's office; isn't that correct? They'd call you as a witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: And when you were called by them, you'd try to give your best testimony to basically help the State in their prosecution of cases against parents that weren't -- you know, that shouldn't have kids; isn't that right? That were doing a bad job taking care of their kids or abusing their

1	kids; isn't that right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
3	MR. JACKSON: And most of the time most of the
4	time when you were called as a witness it was because the
5	parents had done something really awful; isn't that right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
7	MR. JACKSON: Now, my client Mr. Shue was charged
8	with an offense of child pornography. Did you have any cases
9	involving parents either charged with making pornography or
10	being in possession of pornography or anything like that when
11	you were working for the agency the state agency you were
12	working for?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
14	MR. JACKSON: Well, now, you worked with the DA's
15	office on a regular basis in those cases then; is that right?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I wouldn't say regular
17	basis, no.
18	MR. JACKSON: Did you work with any of the DAs we
19	have here?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.
21	MR. JACKSON: Any other DAs in the DA's office in
22	Juvenile Court or in Family Court?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: In Family Court.
24 -	MR. JACKSON: Okay. And it
25	THE COURT: Was that

ļļ.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	And I'm sorry to interrupt you.
2	Just to be clear, was that in the criminal division
3	or the abuse, neglect
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Abuse, neglect.
5	THE COURT: So, like, where they're trying to
6	terminate parental rights, is that where you would
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
8	THE COURT: be called in as a witness?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. JACKSON: But it was still run by Mr. Wolfson's
12.	office; is that correct, the DA's office?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
14	MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, I think there is a
15	THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Jackson, we can
16	MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
17	THE COURT: discuss this later.
18	MR. JACKSON: I
19	THE COURT: How many times would you say were you
20	called to testify in an abuse-neglect hearing in Family Court?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I only testified once,
22	for a TPR trial.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not I'm sorry. I never
24	did family law.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Termination of parental
	N .

1 rights.

THE COURT: Okay. Termination of parental rights. So you only actually testified once?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes, the rest of it was mostly I would attend court, and I would give an update if I needed to or send in a court report, and they would get updates from my reports, but I didn't have to testify.

THE COURT: Okay. Who ordered those reports? Is it the DA's office says, Send in a report? Or does the Family Court Judge direct the state? Because I'm really unfamiliar with this. Does the Family Court Judge tell you, Hey, state of Nevada, DCFS, I need a report, or who's directing you to do those reports? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Most of the time it was the Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: They would mostly look at the county worker or the foster care worker's reports because they were the legal quardian and ones responsible.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: And so they would pull in our reports if they needed to, but most of our documents had to be court ordered because of HIPPA.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: And because it was a lot

of times during therapy sessions, which we cannot release unless court ordered. So. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So you were more dealing with the 3 therapy and the mental health aspects? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Strictly. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And then you said you testified 6 actually one time from the witness stand? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. 8 THE COURT: In a TPR proceeding. And do you recall 9 about when that was? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Oh, gosh. That had to 11 be -- that was before I was even with the state. I was with a 12 private agency. So I think it was around 2006. 2005, 2006, 1.3 somewhere in there. 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry. But I --15 One more question, Mr. Jackson. 16 And why were you called -- what private agency was 17 that that you were working with? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Olivecrest Foster Care 19 20 and Adoption Agency. THE COURT: Okay. And why were you called as a 21 22 witness to testify? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I was working with a mom 23 who was trying to reunify with her children, and I was the 24 25 primary person working with her.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: And they were terminating her rights and needed my testimony to terminate her.

THE COURT: Okay. Were you testifying against termination or in favor of termination?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: In favor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Go on, Mr. Jackson.

MR. JACKSON: All right. Now, so basically your job on many occasions though was to prepare reports about parents that were basically doing bad things to their children; isn't that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No, my job was to prepare reports just strictly about the child and their needs.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. But those reports would sometimes be used in court proceedings, in litigation concerning the parental rights of the parents if their parental rights were being challenged by one party or the other, either the state or someone else that wanted to terminate their rights?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: All right. And of course you -- you saw very many -- very many terrible things when you were doing this work; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: Do you think you can be fair to my 1 client under all the circumstances? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I think so. 3 MR. JACKSON: Would you want 12 people in the same 4 state of mind as you to sit in my client's -- if you were in 5 the same position as my client, would you want 12 people on the 6 jury just like you? 7. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes, because I've seen 8 9 both sides. MR. JACKSON: I have no further questions. 10 THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, thank you. I'm going 11 to ask you to return out with the other jurors. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Okay. 13 THE COURT: But before I do I must admonish you that 14 you're not to discuss what's just transpired with the other 15 jurors. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Okay. 17 THE COURT: They may want to know what did they ask 18 you, but you're to tell them that you can't discuss anything. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Okay. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Sure. 22 THE COURT: And just follow the bailiff through the 23 double doors. 2.4 (Prospective Juror No. 164 recessed 1:54 p.m.) 25

THE COURT: All right. She said over and over again she could be fair. She saw both sides. She's seen false allegations. She's seen true allegations. She's only testified one time on behalf of the state, and that was not as a state employee. It was actually when she was working for a private adoption agency. She has prepared reports but that sounds like it's more needs—assessment—type reports and how victims are progressing through therapy, and she even talked about hospitalization placements with some victims. So I don't see a reason to strike her for cause.

I understand that you're continuing your motion, Mr. Jackson, but your motion is denied for the reasons I've just stated.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. I renew my motion to challenge for cause. I renew my motion to recuse for the same reasons stated before.

THE COURT: All right. That's denied, and Mr. Jackson, you know, I'm sure there are going to be many rulings that you don't agree with, and I don't think every ruling against you invites a renewed motion to recuse --

MR. JACKSON: I won't --

THE COURT: -- however --

MR. JACKSON: I won't do that.

THE COURT: -- however, you know, if that's the way you want to play this, that -- that's fine. Like I said, I'm

not biased, and it doesn't meet the standards for recusal simply because you don't agree with my decisions. 2 MR. JACKSON: I understand that, and I won't make 3 another motion unless I think it's warranted. 4 THE COURT: All right. Kenny, bring them in. 5 (Panel of prospective jurors entering 1:57 p.m.) 6 THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. 7 The record should reflect the State, the defendant and his 8 counsel, the officers of the court and the ladies and gentlemen 9 of the prospective jury panel. 10 And the defense may make its first challenge. 11 MR. JACKSON: We'd ask the Court to thank and excuse 12 Davida Brown. 13 THE COURT: Butler. 14 MR. JACKSON: Excuse me. Davida Butler. 15 THE COURT: Ms. Butler, thank you very much for being 16 here and your willingness to serve as a juror. You are excused 17 at this time. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: And if the clerk would please call up the 20 next prospective juror. 21 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 22 Badge 165, Paul Neilsen Dungo. 23 THE COURT: Is it Dungo? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes. 25

1	THE COURT: Sir, have a seat there. Take that empty
2	chair there, please, in the front row.
3	Good afternoon. What do you do for a living, sir?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I work in human
5	resources.
6	THE COURT: For what kind of a business or company?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: For the Venetian and
8	Palazzo.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing
10	that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I've been working for the
12	Venetian Palazzo for 14 years.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
14	have a significant other?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Single.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No kids.
18	THE COURT: How many?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No kids.
20	THE COURT: No kids. Have you ever been a juror
21	before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I have not.
23	THE COURT: You heard me ask the other jurors if
24	they'd been victims of crime or accused or arrested for crimes.
25	Anything like that in your background?
	lacksquare

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No. 1 THE COURT: Okay. What about anyone close to you? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes, my sister and my 3 brother, mid- probably 2000s, they were arrested for domestic 4 violence. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Also then -- was it one incident? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Separate incidents, 7 right. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Your sister, where did that occur? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: North Las Vegas. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: My brother, here in 12 Metro. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Now, the situation with your 14 sister, was that another family member, or was that a 15 boyfriend? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: It was a boyfriend. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And did you follow her case or 18 19 her --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I did not. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And what about with your brother, 21 was that a spouse or a --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: It was a girlfriend. 23 THE COURT: A girlfriend, okay. And have you formed 24 any -- well, let me ask you this. Do you know what happened 25

with those cases? Did they go to trial in front of a Judge 1 2 or --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No, I know that they were 3 both worked out. They didn't go to trial or anything like 4 that. It was just worked out. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Have you formed any opinions as to 6 how your brother or your sister were treated in the justice 7 system, whether it was the police or the lawyers or --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I did not. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else in your background 10 or your family background that we should know about you in 11 making a determination as to whether or not you'd be a good 12 juror on this type of a case? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No. 14 THE COURT: Can you be fair and impartial to both 15 sides if you are selected to serve? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes. 17 THE COURT: All right. State, you may follow up with 18 19 Mr. Dungo. MS. LAVELL: Pass for cause. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, you may follow up with Mr. 21 22 Dungo. MR. JACKSON: Just a few questions. 2:3 Mr. Dungo, how long have you been here in Las Vegas? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Born and raised here, 25

Mr. Jackson.

1

25

KARR Reporting, Inc. 153

to be fair. Would the nature of the charge itself here, which

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes, sir. 1 MR. JACKSON: Okay. Is there anything that either I 2 or the prosecutor or the Judge hasn't asked you that you think 3 I should know or you think the prosecutor should know or the Judge should know that would impact your ability to be a fair juror? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I think you've asked all the questions, sir. 8 MR. JACKSON: Okay. Pass the juror for cause. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 I believe we're on State's second. 11 MS. LAVELL: Yes, Your Honor. And I apologize ahead 12 of time. I think I'm going to probably pronounce the last name 13 wrong, but the State would like to thank and excuse Badge 14 No. 0139, Jennifer Zeemla -- Zemla. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 139: Zemla. 16 THE COURT: Ma'am, thank you very much for being here 17 and your willingness to serve as a juror. You're excused at 18 this time. 19 And the clerk will call the next prospective juror. 20 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 21 Badge 168, Matthew Babb. 22 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Babb. What do you do 23 for a living, sir? 2.4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I'm a gunsmith and 25

155

armorer instructor. 1 THE COURT: All right. A gunsmith and an armorer 2 instructor. Do you have your own company, or do you work for 3 somebody? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Both. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And tell me what you mean. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I have my own business 7 doing consulting. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And also I work under 10 contract as a US government contractor training armorers for 11 the government. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing 13 that type of work? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: For about 12 years. 15 THE COURT: Do you work -- so you're a US government 16 17 contractor? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Do you work with -- so do you work 19 with the military or law enforcement or --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: All. 21 THE COURT: Purchasing or -- kind of what is your 22 interaction with -- I guess with federal law enforcement, if 23 any? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Federal law enforcement, 25

I train their armorers in repair and maintenance of both domestic and foreign weapons.

1.5

THE COURT: Okay. So are you actually working with, like, federal agents and that sort of thing in training?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I work with various federal agencies, local agencies as well as military.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. So you also are a supplier, or, what, a consultant to, say, local law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Would that include Metro? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. What kind of, I guess, has your role been in working with Metro. Can you kind of be a little -- just in a nutshell, tell us what you do, like what your job would entail?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Apart from local officers, repair of their basic weapons, I also have open contracts with Metro SWAT for the repair and maintenance of their weapons.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, obviously this is a criminal case, and there may be testimony from police officers, members of law enforcement. Is there anything about the fact that you work with members of law enforcement, both federal and local, that would cause you to favor the State against the defense or would cause you to consider the testimony of a police officer

differently than you would the testimony of any other witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Can -- now, when a police officer or member of law enforcement testifies, can you keep an open mind and evaluate the testimony critically, like you would the testimony of any other witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, we talked before with some of the other jurors about the fact that the State has the burden of proof in this case, and unless the State proves the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is entitled to a verdict of not guilty. Do you have any problem with that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, if you're a member of this jury and after you listen to all the testimony and hear the instructions on the law and you go in the back and you talk about it with the other jurors and you think, you know what, they just didn't prove it to me, do you have any problem raising your hand and voting not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.

THE COURT: Do you have any problem then, you know, if you're working with the SWAT team or whatever, telling them, Hey, I was on a criminal jury, and I acquitted the person? I mean, would there be anything in your job that would cause you

1	to feel uncomfortable or anything like that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married, or do you have a
4	significant other?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I'm married.
6	THE COURT: And what does your wife do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: She is a trainer with
8	a a large restaurant chain.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So she trains, what, management
10	staff or wait staff?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: All staff and opens.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Any children?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No children.
14	THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been a juror
15	before?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
17	THE COURT: All right. You or anyone close to you
18	ever been arrested, charged, accused of a crime or the victim
19	of a crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Many.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Let's start with the arrests.
22	Let's start with you.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
24	THE COURT: Nothing for you, okay. What about
25	close people close to you, family members, just

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No family members, no. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're thinking of somebody. 2 So tell me what you're thinking of. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: In this business, you 4 meet a lot of people who go afoul of law enforcement with 5 6 firearms. THE COURT: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And who have gone to 8 prison and have done the wrong things. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Just as -- not as 11 associates, but it's just people we know in this business. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So it's a hazard. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not really sure how 15 you're -- how you would be interacting with those people in 16 your line of work. Can you tell me. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: As felons, they're 18 forbidden from interacting with me. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you ever come 20 across them or --21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So they're kind of 23 obviously forbidden from having firearms. So you wouldn't 24 be --25

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And for that reason I wouldn't associate with them. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. And then what about the flip side of that, have you ever yourself been the victim of a crime? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. 8 THE COURT: And what about anyone close to you? 9 anyone close to you been the victim of a crime? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. 11 THE COURT: Anything else in your background or your 12 family background that we should know about you in making a 13 determination as to whether or not you would be a good juror on 14 this type of a case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: The only thing that might 15 16 cause a problem is, with the company that I'm currently a 17 contractor with, an instructor, I replaced their previous 18 instructor who was arrested and convicted for underage sex with 19 a child. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Now, did you know that person? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Knew him, worked with him 21 22 on occasion, was not around when he was arrested and convicted, 23 and he was convicted again in the state of Tennessee. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Did you work with him here in 25 Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Did he have family back in 2 Tennessee or --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So was the -- if you know, was the 5 allegation, did it involve a family member? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. 7 THE COURT: Okay. But the crime was committed in 8 Tennessee? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Now, were you social friends with 11 this person? I mean, did you, like, go out for beers or out 12 for lunch, that kind of thing, or was it more you just --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Never. 14 THE COURT: -- saw him at the workplace? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Just the workplace. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Did you ever talk to this person 17 about the fact that he was -- you know, his case or his --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. 19 Okay. THE COURT: 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: He was arrested when he 21 was out of the area. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Brought up on charges, 2.4 never saw him again. So no contact has ever been made. 25

been convicted? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: One of those, you can't 3 believe it. Anger, then you -- once you've realized it, oh, 4 wait a minute. You know, did it happen? Did it not? And then 5 after the conviction -- after the trial and conviction, that it 6 was --7 THE COURT: So that person -- so that person actually 8 went to trial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Oh, yes, and is currently 9 10 serving in prison. 11 THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right. Thank you. 12 So you kind of benefited in a way by it because you got this 13 job. All right. Thank you. And, State, you can follow up. 14 15 MS. LAVELL: Mr. Babb? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes, ma'am. 16 17 MS. LAVELL: Just one quick question. Do you have nieces and nephews or --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. 19 MS. LAVELL: You do. And do you interact with them? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes, whenever I can. 21 22 MS. LAVELL: Okay. Thank you. 23 Nothing further. I'll pass for cause. 24 THE COURT: Pass for cause. 25 Mr. Jackson.

KARR Reporting, Inc. 164

701

1	MR. JACKSON: Thank you. How long have you been in
2	the Las Vegas area did you say?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Since '91.
4	MR. JACKSON: And before that you lived back in
5	Tennessee?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No, I lived in
7	California.
8	MR. JACKSON: Okay. And this person that was
9	convicted, did he live in Tennessee, or did he just happen to
10	get arrested back there?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Lived in Tennessee.
12	MR. JACKSON: Now, I'm looking at your juror sheet,
13	and it said that you were in the military; is that correct?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes, sir.
15.	MR. JACKSON: What branch of the service were you in?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: The United States Army.
17	MR. JACKSON: And what rank did you achieve in the
18	United States Army?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: E4.
20	MR. JACKSON: And did you ever serve in combat?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
22	MR. JACKSON: Which war or conflict were you in?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Desert Storm.
24	MR. JACKSON: Okay. And how long were you in the
25	service?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I was in the service 1 initially '86 to -- '86 to '89, regular army; California Guard, 2 got out in '91 after Desert Storm. 3 MR. JACKSON: Now, did you ever have any law 4 enforcement training while in the military? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. MR. JACKSON: And what type of training was that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Military police. 8 MR. JACKSON: And so during the five or six years you were in the military, how much time was spent in the military 10 police? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Military police time was 12 spent with the California National Guard. 13 MR. JACKSON: Oh, okay. Now, while you were in 14 military police, did you ever testify in court or in military 15 court at any time? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No, there are different 17 branches. We are not -- we were combat military police. 18 MR. JACKSON: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: We were not law 20 enforcement or CID. 21 MR. JACKSON: In your current job, you have 22 substantial contact with law enforcement; is that correct? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. 24 MR. JACKSON: You supply them with weapons, and do 25

1	you also teach them at times?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
3	MR. JACKSON: So you have regular contact with many
4	law enforcement officers; is that correct?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
6	MR. JACKSON: Do you count among law enforcement some
7 .	friends? Do you have some close acquaintances or friends who
8	are law enforcement personnel?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
10	MR. JACKSON: Would you say more than five or more
11	than 10 individuals would be considered friends of yours that
12	are law enforcement people?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Friends, two to three.
14	MR. JACKSON: Okay. People that you might meet after
15	work or socialize with or have a beer with or meet them on the
16	weekend to watch football with, something like that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
18	MR. JACKSON: Just people that you maybe meet
19	casually or something like that or just talk to them on the
20	phone?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: People that I know from
22	the business, no one I associate with.
23	MR. JACKSON: Do you ever go to their house and visit
24	them at their house
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.

1 MR. JACKSON: -- these friends -- okay. Do you ever 2 talk to them about any of their cases? Like, do they tell you, Wow, I got a real interesting case that I'm working on, and, 3 4 you know, I really -- this is real interesting? I want to tell 5 you about this. Do you ever talk to them about cases like that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No, we're in a discrete 7 business. 8 9 MR. JACKSON: Okay. I saw you -- I think I saw that you brought a book in with you. What's -- did you bring in a 10 book of anything relating to the law? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. MR. JACKSON: What was the book you brought in with 13 14 you, just out of curiosity? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: History of Firearms Design by General S. Hatcher. 16 17 MR. JACKSON: So this is kind of work related or just 18 for fun? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Something to pass the 20 time. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Are you an avid reader? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. 22 23 MR. JACKSON: And is most of your reading connected with your work, or is firearms kind of a hobby with you as well 24

KARR Reporting, Inc. 168

25

as work?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Firearms is work and
2	life.
3	MR. JACKSON: Okay. So you would say it's a big part
4	of your life then?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I like what I do.
6	MR. JACKSON: Okay. That's good. Is there any
7	you mentioned I think some is there some possible difficulty
8	with you sitting on this jury because of time constraints
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
10	MR. JACKSON: that would prevent you from giving
11	full attention to this to this case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No, my week is free.
13	MR. JACKSON: Okay. Is there anything about the
14	nature of the charges and you've heard what they are that
15	would make it difficult for you to sit on this jury?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: As with any other juror,
17	the charges are something that I would not like, but it does
18	not stop me from sitting on the jury.
19	MR. JACKSON: Do you believe you can be fair to the
20	defendant and to the state of Nevada as well?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
22	MR. JACKSON: Pass the juror for cause.
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I believe we're
24	on the defendant's second challenge.
25	MR. JACKSON: Court's indulgence for a moment.

1	THE COURT: All right.
. 2	MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, we'd asked the Court to
3	thank and excuse Juror No. 6.
4	THE COURT: The juror in Chair 6?
5.	MR. JACKSON: Yes.
6	THE DEFENDANT: We forgot the badge number. Sorry.
7	THE COURT: All right. That's you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: That's me?
9	THE COURT: I don't remember your badge number.
.10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: 158
11	THE COURT: Badge No. 158, is that right,
12	Mr. Jackson?
13	MR. JACKSON: Yes.
14	THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, thank you very much
15	for being here and your willingness to serve as a juror. You
16	are excused at this time.
17	And, Ms. Husted, please call up the next prospective
18	juror.
19	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
20	Badge 170, Albert Brown.
21	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Brown. What do you
22	do for a living, sir?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I'm a 3-D artist.
24	THE COURT: A 3-D artist?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you work do you have
your own business, or do you work for a company?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I do have my own
business. I freelance, but mostly I do work full-time for a
major hotel resort.
THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing
that type of work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Since 2003.
THE COURT: Okay. For a while. And are you married,
or do you have a significant other?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes, I'm married.
THE COURT: Okay. What does your wife do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: She's a homemaker.
THE COURT: All right. Children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I have two kids and one
grandchild.
THE COURT: All right. So both kids are grown?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: My son is 23. My
daughter is 28.
THE COURT: Okay. And one grandchild. And how old
is your grandchild?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: She's 10 years old.
THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No.
THE COURT: Okay. You or anyone close to you ever

been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?

1.7

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No.

THE COURT: Okay. What about the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No, not really, not

anything serious. I had a neighbor drive his car into my wall

in my home, and I called the police, and they came over. I was

a little frustrated that I couldn't — even though I had his

license plate in my backyard I was not able to obtain a name or

contact information so that I could get my insurance company to

follow up.

THE COURT: Okay. Did -- did he drive into the wall and then run away or drive away?

 $\mbox{PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yeah, basically. I mean,} \\ \mbox{I was in my backyard at the time.}$

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: The story was that the neighbor said that wasn't him, and my neighbor said that his car got stolen, and the person that stoled his car did donuts in the street.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: And then the car went into the side of my house, and then he drove away. That — that was his — $^{-}$

THE COURT: Okay. Now, the license plate in the backyard, did the license plate fall off the car in the

collision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yeah, it did.

THE COURT: Okay. And so you called -- was it Metro that came out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you reported this to them, and did you confront the neighbor, or they confronted the neighbor, or what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I -- I did not confront the neighbor. I didn't feel comfortable doing that directly. That's why it thought maybe the officer could, you know, give me the information, and I don't know if it's the law that they're not supposed to tell me or what have you, but as it turns out, I -- you know, it was just easier for me to just pay for the damage myself and then not deal with the system.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel — it sounds a little bit like you feel that the police didn't do an adequate job or should've done more. Is that your feeling, or what is your feeling?

prospective juror no. 170: No, not that they should've done more. I guess I was a little shocked by the situation and frustrated, and I felt like it should've been maybe a little bit easier for me, like maybe the officers could've said, for example, Oh, here, call this number, and you'll get the report, and they'll be able to help you get the

information you need for the insurance company, and it just didn't work out that way. Like, there was no information in the report. It was kind of just a dead end. So.

THE COURT: Did -- Metro actually came out, and did they do any kind of, I guess, investigation there or take pictures or do anything like that, or did they just talk to you and take a report, or what did they do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No one took pictures.

They were there on the spot, and, you know, they witnessed the damage. They interviewed me and the neighbor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this. Is there anything else in your background or your family background we ought to know about you in making a determination as to whether or not you would be a good juror on this kind of case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: (Unintelligible.)

THE COURT: Do you think you can be fair and impartial to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: (Nodded head.)

THE COURT: Okay. You kind of had a bad experience or maybe not a totally positive experience with the police in that case. Have you had any more positive experiences with police, or was that kind of the extent of your police interaction, I guess, in your life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: That's -- that's the extent really, yeah.

1

THE COURT: Okay.

they said I had nothing to worry about.

2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I mean, I guess more

3

recently --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I guess that's lucky, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yeah. I don't know if

you'd call it positive, but, I mean, not too long ago I noticed several police cars gathering, like, right across the street from my home, and I was leaving my house and coming out through the garage, and, you know, I pulled over to ask them, you know, What's going on? You know, Is there something I should know about? And, you know, they were very polite, and there was -there was a lot of cops out there, but they seemed really

relaxed, like it wasn't a big deal that they were there, and

They said they can't really tell me anything because of the nature, but, you know, you're not in any danger. So it's okay. So, I mean, they were very nice. They were polite. They were just out there looking for somebody is what they told me.

THE COURT: Okay. We talked about the incident with your wall. Anybody in your family or anybody that you're close with who has been the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you lived here in Clark County?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Since '89.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
3	State, you may follow up.
4	MS. LAVELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	I just have a couple of quick questions. You
6	indicated that you have a 23 and a 28 year old?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes.
8	MS. LAVELL: Boy or girl?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: 23 is the boy, yeah.
10	MS. LAVELL: Okay. And the 28 year old. Who has the
11	grandbaby?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: They all live with us.
13	She is my daughter is divorced, and so
14	MS. LAVELL: So your daughter and her baby, your
15	grandchild, live with you?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Uh-huh. That's correct.
17	MS. LAVELL: Do you interact a lot with your
18	grandchild?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Very much, yeah.
20	MS. LAVELL: Enjoy him?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Every day.
22	THE COURT: Boy or girl?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Girl.
24	MS. LAVELL: Little girl, okay. Thank you.
25	Nothing further.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, you may follow up. 2 MR. JACKSON: Can you be fair to both sides in this 3 case? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes. MR. JACKSON: And nothing you've heard in the 5 6 questions of the other jurors that would make you think you 7 can't be fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No, nothing I've heard. 8 9 THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry. I'm not -- I didn't 10 hear --11 MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry. I'll speak up louder. THE COURT RECORDER: No, I didn't hear the --12 13 THE COURT: I think it's the --14 THE COURT RECORDER: -- the juror. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No. I'm sorry. 16 there's nothing I've heard that --MR. JACKSON: And of course the most important 17 question is whether the nature of the charges themselves would 18 19 be such that they would impact your ability to be fair? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I have to agree with what I think a lot of the other jurors have mentioned, that I don't 21 22 like the subject matter of the case. I do have certain 23 feelings about that, but, you know, I haven't seen any evidence 24 yet. MR. JACKSON: You'd do the best to be fair to both 25

sides; is that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: That's right. 2 MR. JACKSON: You wouldn't automatically believe the 3 testimony of any witness just because they take the witness 4 stand? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No, absolutely not. MR. JACKSON: And if a child took the witness stand, 7 you wouldn't automatically assume that she was telling the 8 whole truth; is that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: That's correct. 10 MR. JACKSON: The same thing for a police officer, if 11 a police officer testified, you wouldn't automatically believe 12 that he was telling the truth? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: No, I would not. 14 MR. JACKSON: You would listen to everything he said 15 and give him whatever weight his testimony you thought was --16 it was worth; is that correct? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes, that's correct. 18 MR. JACKSON: Based on the instructions the Judge 19 gave you and based on all the other evidence; is that correct? 2.0 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes, sir, that's correct. 21 MR. JACKSON: It's the same thing if the defendant 22 chose to testify. You would give his testimony whatever weight 23 you thought it was worth based on all the other evidence; is 24 that correct? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes.
2	MR. JACKSON: You would basically follow the Judge's
3	instructions and try to render a true and fair verdict for all
4	the parties in this case, the State of Nevada and the
5	defendant?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes.
7	MR. JACKSON: And you believe you can do that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes.
9	MR. JACKSON: Fairly and impartially?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes.
11	MR. JACKSON: And you're willing to accept that
12	responsibility?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Yes, I am.
14	MR. JACKSON: We'll pass the juror for cause.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I believe we are
16	on State's third.
17	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MS. LAVELL: Your Honor, we'll waive our next
19	perempt.
20	THE COURT: All right. Defendant's third.
21	MR. JACKSON: The State caught me by surprise. I
22	need a minute or two to reflect.
23	THE COURT: That's fine.
24	May I see counsel at the bench for a moment.
25	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jackson, you may have a moment to consider your next challenge.

MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, I'd like the Court to thank and excuse Juror No. 168, Mr. Matthew Babb.

THE COURT: Sir, thank you very much for being here, Mr. Babb, and your willingness to serve as a juror. You're excused at this time, and the bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.

And ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take another recess until 2:50.

During this next recess you're reminded that you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, any person or subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium, and please do not form or express an opinion on the trial.

If you would all please follow the bailiff through the double doors.

(Panel of prospective jurors recessed 2:31 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. As I said at the bench,

Mr. -- the next prospective juror, Mr. Davis, approached my

bailiff and told him that he had -- didn't feel comfortable

discussing something -- we don't know what -- in the presence

of the other jurors and asked if we could voir dire him individually. I informed the lawyers of that at the bench. 2 Neither side had an objection to voir diring him individually. 3 Is that correct, Ms. Beverly? 4 MS. BEVERLY: Yes, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Jackson? 6 7 MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. What I would propose then is 8 let's all of us take, like, a two- or three-minute break if 9 anyone needs to use the restroom or anything like that, and 10 then Kenny will get Mr. Davis, and we'll question him out of 11 the presence of the other jurors. 12 (Proceedings recessed 2:33 p.m. to 2:41 p.m.) 13 (Outside the presence of the panel of prospective jurors) 14 THE COURT: All right. Bring Mr. Davis in. 15 And just to remind you, Mr. Jackson, you've already 16 exercised your challenge on Chair 6. So now all of your 17 challenges have to be on the regular jurors because that was 18 your alternate challenge. 19 MR. JACKSON: Oh, I didn't -- all right. 20 whatever. 21 THE COURT: It's better for you. 22 (Prospective Juror No. 171 entering 2:41 p.m.) 23 THE COURT: Mr. Davis, come on in and have a seat 24

KARR Reporting, Inc. 181

just anywhere in the jury box, and, Mr. Davis -- go ahead and

25

sit down -- the reason we brought you in here by yourself is because you apparently had expressed to my bailiff that you didn't feel comparable being questioned in front of the other jurors; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And is there something -- I'm just going to cut to the chase. Is there something in your background that you didn't feel comfortable talking about in front of the other jurors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes, I have a history of child abuse, being a victim of child abuse --

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: -- sexual child abuse.

THE COURT: I'm going to -- I'm sorry for this. I'm going to need to give you the microphone because, again, we have to record all of this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I understand.

THE COURT: It has to go in the record, and I don't mean to pry, and I know this is difficult for you to talk about, but you indicated you have a history of being the victim of child abuse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I'll just speak louder. I'm sorry. This is just really hard.

1

THE COURT: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I just don't think I would be a good candidate. I'd be happy to serve on any other jury. I've served on other juries. The last one I was on, we came to a verdict. I've been on several cases.

THE COURT: Okay. But you just feel like you still have too many feelings of your own to be fair and impartial in this case; is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: The thought of looking at those images just makes my skin crawl.

THE COURT: Submitted?

MS. BEVERLY: Yes.

THE COURT: Submitted, Mr. Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Davis, we're going to go ahead -- and I'm sorry you had to -- this had to bring this up, but obviously you can understand why we have to talk about these things to make sure both sides have a fair jury. So I am going to excuse you from this department, and I think you need to go back out and check out again through jury services.

All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Thank you for not putting me through that and the rest of it.

MS. LAVELL: Thank you, sir.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Thank you.
2	(Prospective Juror No. 171 excused 2:44 p.m.)
3	THE COURT: All right. I think we can bring them in.
4	So next up is Joann Ratner.
5	MS. BEVERLY: Oh, did we get rid of Bobbi Dragone?
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry? Bobbi Dragone was excused
7	because she was a college student.
8	MS. BEVERLY: Oh, I'm sorry.
. 9	(Panel of prospective jurors entering 2:44 p.m.)
10	THE COURT: I guess we actually well, we're
11	missing a couple of prospective jurors, but we can probably
12	just get started with the
13	MS. BEVERLY: With Ms. Ratner.
14	THE COURT: with the next juror.
15	Counsel, approach.
16	(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
17	THE COURT: The clerk will call the next prospective
18	juror.
19	THE CLERK: That's 175, Luzanne no
20	THE COURT: Isn't it Ms. Ratner?
21	THE CLERK: I'm sorry. You're right, Judge.
22	Joann Ratner, 173.
23	THE COURT: Ms. Ratner, come on down.
24	And which chair is she in?
25	THE CLERK: Seat 11.

THE COURT: All right. Have that empty chair there, 1 please, in the middle, next to the gentleman -- well, they're 2 all wearing blue -- other chair, other man in blue. 3 All right. Good afternoon. What do you do for a 4 5 living? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I don't work. 6 THE COURT: All right. Have you ever worked or --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. What type of work did you do? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I was a manager at the 10 Golden Nugget for 17 years. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And are you retired from that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: (Nodded head.) 13 THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you 14 have a significant other? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Married. 16 THE COURT: What does your husband do? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: He's the Vice President 18 of Regulations for the UFC and the Commissioner of Nevada 19 Official Sports. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And any children? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes. 22 THE COURT: How many and the age range? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Two, 25 and 26. 24 THE COURT: Any grandchildren? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
5	arrested, charged or accused of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: My brother.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about that, please.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: He was arrested a few
9	times for drugs.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: And went into recovery
12	and got out of it.
13	THE COURT: Got out of drugs you mean?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: (No audible response.)
15	THE COURT: Okay. Where was that that he was was
16	it here in Clark County?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: In Buffalo, New York.
18	THE COURT: Buffalo, New York, okay. Were you living
19	in that area when he was having his problems with law
20	enforcement?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No, I've been here for 35
22	years.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Did you ever talk to your brother
24	when all of that was going on?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes.
	1

THE COURT: Okay. And have you formed any opinions 1 as to how your brother was treated in the system? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Well. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if he took plea deals, 4 or did he ever go to trial? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: He went to jail. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know though if he pled 7 quilty to charges, or did he have a jury trial? Do you know? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No, I don't. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So he went to jail, and then he 10 wound up going to recovery, and that helped him, and he's off 11 the drugs? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you about the flip side 14 of that. Have you ever been the victim of a crime? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No. 16 THE COURT: Has anyone close to you been the victim 17 of a crime? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No. 19 THE COURT: Okay. You've heard all of the other 20 questions that I've asked and the lawyers have asked. Did you 21 hear any questions that we've asked any of the other jurors or 22 anything that any of the other jurors said that you thought, 23 oh, yes, I have to raise my hand? That's happened to me, or 24 that -- I have a similar experience, anything like that?

> KARR Reporting, Inc. 187

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No, but the defendant is 1 2 familiar to me. THE COURT: Okay. You mean he looks familiar to you? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: He looks like I've met 4 him before, but I can't place him. 5 THE COURT: Okay. May I see counsel at the bench. 6 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) THE COURT: All right. So just on your visual 8 inspection he looks familiar to you? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Immediately he looked 10 familiar. 11 THE COURT: Okay. But as you sit here, you don't 12 know where you might know him from? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I can't place him. 14 don't know whether it's sports or something. I don't know. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So you might go to the same 16 grocery store; you don't know? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I don't know. 18 THE COURT: Okay. But fair to say whatever your 19 connection with him, if in fact you do recognize him, it would 20 be like a, you know, superficial kind of a thing? You don't 21 know him to the best of --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I don't know whether I 23 would know whether -- where I had met him through the 2.4 25 witnesses.

1	THE COURT: Through I'm sorry?
-2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I mean, if I am involved
3	in the jury, then I would probably see other people.
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Right?
6	THE COURT: You mean the witnesses?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes.
8	THE COURT: So you're afraid you might recognize one
9	of the witnesses?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I don't yeah. I don't
11	want to know.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So but you didn't recognize the
13	names of any of the witnesses, correct?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. But and so you're involved in
16	your work that we talked about already or what you did before,
17	and now you don't you work in the home I guess, and your
18	husband is involved with youth sports, correct?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah, my husband works
20	for the UFC and is in charge of all officials, like referees
21	for high school sports and things like that.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Just high school sports?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes.
24	THE COURT: So do, you know, go and watch the games
25	and

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes. 1 THE COURT: -- that sort of thing? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: 3 THE COURT: Okay. So that would be your involvement with local, I guess, sports, correct? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah, and my son -- my 6 7 son played big in Las Vegas here. THE COURT: Okay. Through his high school --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: -- or was it city league or what? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: All through middle school 11 12 and high school. THE COURT: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: And -- and is -- he's --14 my son is involved also with Fellowship of Christian Athletes 15 and goes to the high schools and talks to them. We go to 16 things like that. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: So, I mean, I don't know. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, no, we just need to explore 20 21 this. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah. 22 THE COURT: It may be, you know -- if he's somebody 23 that maybe you guys had -- you know, you might've seen him at 24 the grocery store or something like that, you know, but you

> KARR Reporting, Inc. 190

25

don't know him other than that --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No, I can't pinpoint 2 3 anything. THE COURT: Okay. Now, let me -- just in case it 4 may jog something in the defendant's mind, and then he can 5 inform his lawyer of that if he knows you. You go to the 6 games. Your son was involved in sports. What sport did your 7 8 son play? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Basketball. 9 THE COURT: Basketball, okay. And that was at his 10 high school as well as for the city -- city-league-type thing? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: (No audible response.) 12 THE COURT: Okay. And what schools did your son go 13 to? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Schofield Middle School 15 and Silverado High School. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then normally I 17 don't get into this, but you mentioned your son goes out and 18 talks to high schools as part of a Christian fellowship? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah, he's a pastor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Same church that you go to? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I go to a couple. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So you're involved in a religious 23 community? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: 25

1 THE COURT: Like I said, normally I would never ask 2 that, but --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes, definitely. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And it says here you 5 live in Henderson; is that correct? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Is that old -- for those of us who are --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. and 73: Saint Rose Parkway and 9 Eastern. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So new Henderson, not old Henderson, okay. All right. Is there anything at all in your 11 12 background or your family background, anything at all that 13 would impact your ability to be fair and impartial to both 14 sides if you're selected as a juror in this case? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Can you be fair -- so you can be 17 fair to both sides? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 20 State, you may follow up. 21 MS. LAVELL: I appreciate that you indicated that you 22 recognized him immediately but you can't place him. As you sit 23 here over the next couple of days, if you're selected as a 24 juror, listening to testimony, are you going to be able to 25 concentrate on the testimony, or is it going to be driving you

crazy trying to figure out how you know the defendant? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: It's been driving me 2 3 crazy. THE COURT: Okay. So are you -- are you going to be 4 able to --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I don't want to recognize 6 7 anyone else. MS. LAVELL: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Right. 9 MS. LAVELL: And I appreciate that. 10 THE COURT: And you understand -- and this goes for 11 everyone -- if somebody comes in and you do recognize them, 12 even if it's, you know, you take your pet to the same groomer, 13 you do need to disclose that to the Court by telling --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah. 15 THE COURT: -- by telling the bailiff. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah. 17 MS. LAVELL: Are you going to be able to pay 18 attention though and focus on the testimony and the witnesses 19 on the witness stand and the various pieces of evidence --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah. 21 MS. LAVELL: -- that we're going to ask you to look 22 at and not be thinking, Gosh, where do I know him? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah. 24 MS. LAVELL: And do you promise when that lightbulb 25

goes off you don't jump up and scream, Okay, I know where I 1 2 know him from? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No. 4 MS. LAVELL: You may jump up? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I don't promise. 6 MS. LAVELL: Okay. Thank you. 7 I'll pass for cause. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 9 Mr. Jackson. MR. JACKSON: Well, just a couple quick questions. 10 I've lived here about 60 years, and about 50 years 11 ago I played basketball, but nobody remembers me. 12 13 My client thinks he might've recognized you 14 somewhere. He's lived here in town a long time, but he doesn't know exactly where, but are you sure that wouldn't impact your 15 decision? Because he wants someone not going to decide the 16 17 case based on he -- you know, Well, I may have seen this person somewhere or whatever. He wants someone that's going to be 18 19 totally impartial and unbiased in deciding the case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Well, I don't -- I don't 20 21 want to know -- I hope I don't know him. 22 MR. JACKSON: Now --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I don't know if that's 23 24 not -- I don't know.

KARR Reporting, Inc. 194

MR. JACKSON: He has someone sitting in here who is a

25

1 family member. Now, do you recognize anyone in the courtroom 2 who may be a family member of his? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No. MR. JACKSON: Okay. So you don't know anybody -- you 4 5 don't know him through any kind of family association? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No, I can't -- I can't 7 give any more than what I've already said. 8 MR. JACKSON: Okay. That's all I wanted to know. 9 Because if you recognize his mother who's sitting in the 10 courtroom, then it would show that you maybe knew him fairly 11 well. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No. No, I don't think it's, like -- I would -- if it was closer, I would know. I 13 14 think it would have hit me. 15 MR. JACKSON: Right. You've heard the charges that 16 have been discussed in this case. Is there anything about the 17 nature of those charges that would make it difficult for you to 18 be fair and impartial? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No. 20 MR. JACKSON: You -- you worked -- you work in the 21 gaming industry, or you worked at the Golden Nugget? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yeah, I worked at the 23 Golden Nugget. 24 MR. JACKSON: Did you do any -- have you ever worked 25 in the gaming industry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No

MR. JACKSON: Your husband works at UFC. That's the fighters. What's exactly his position in that? He's a vice president of that organization?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: My husband works for -first, for 14 years for the state of Nevada as the director of
the Nevada State Athletic Commission, and then he left there,
and he's been working for the UFC, which is Ultimate Fighting,
for six or seven years now.

MR. JACKSON: When he was working for the state athletic commission, it was basically supervising high school athletics; is that what --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No, he was in charge of all boxing.

MR. JACKSON: Oh, boxing, okay. So basically that's a pretty big-time sport in Las Vegas; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes, it --

THE COURT: Used to be.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: It has been, but the UFC has taken it over.

THE COURT: All right. Now, when he was — did he have any connection when he was working for either organization with law enforcement? Did he have regular contact with Metro or with the sheriff's department or with —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes.

THE COURT: So they would, you know, come in and 1 supervise the fights or come in and supervise the UFC? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: They still do. 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: They still do. 5 MR. JACKSON: They still do. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: You can't have events 7 like that without them. 8 MR. JACKSON: So he'd have to meet with them 9 beforehand or afterwards and discuss upcoming events and things 10 like that? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Rules and regulations. 12 MR. JACKSON: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Is your husband Mark Ratner? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes, ma'am. 15 THE COURT: Okay. I just know that name from the 16 newspaper. 17 MR. JACKSON: All right. So basically he would have 18 a pretty close relationship, would it be fair to say, with the 19 sheriff and the undersheriffs and the top people in law 20 enforcement? Would that be fair to say? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes, sir. 22 MR. JACKSON: Now, would that in any way make it 23 difficult for you to be a fair and impartial juror? Some of 24 the witnesses that are going to sit in that chair are going to

> KARR Reporting, Inc. 197

25

2.4

be police officers that are employed by the state of Nevada, employed by the sheriff's department, employed by Metro. In fact, I think half a dozen of the witnesses for the State are going to be Metro employees or related to Metro in -- coming into court to testify in this case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No, but I have met some.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. Are any of them -- are any of
the Metro officers that you've met, are they close family
friends or close acquaintances of you or your husband?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Not family friends.

MR. JACKSON: Are they close business associates of your husband?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Not business.

THE COURT: Are they the kind of people that you might have over for a barbecue at your house, or you might go to their house for a barbecue or a, you know, holiday, Fourth of July party, that kind of close, or is it more just people you might see at a political event or a fight or something like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: It's people that come up to him everywhere, wherever --

THE COURT: Okay. But, I mean, are any of these law enforcement members, are they people that have come to your home, or you've gone to their homes, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: I haven't.

THE COURT: Okay.

16.

MR. JACKSON: All right. Well, let me ask you this, and I don't mean to pry, and the Judge will tell me if I shouldn't ask this question, but does your husband regularly make political contributions to law-enforcement-type people, like the sheriff or people like that? Don't tell me any amounts or anything like that.

MS. LAVELL: I'm going to object to that question.

THE COURT: Yes, that's sustained.

MR. JACKSON: All right. I think it goes to bias, but I understand the Court sustaining that. It's probably a First Amendment issue.

You don't believe there is anything in your background or in your work experience or your husband's work experience that would make you an unfair juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: No.

MR. JACKSON: If you were sitting where my client is sitting, do you think having 12 members on the jury with the same background you would provide my client a fair jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 173: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: I have no further questions. Pass the juror.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Pass for cause.

I believe we're on State's fourth.

MS. LAVELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The State waives

1	
1	State's fourth.
2	THE COURT: Defense's fourth.
3	MR. JACKSON: We challenge Ms. Ratner.
4	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Ratner, thank you for
5	being here and your willingness to serve. You are excused at
6	this time.
7	And, Ms. Husted, please call up the next prospective
8	juror.
9	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
10	Badge 175, Luzanne Ermita.
11	THE COURT: Good afternoon. What do you do for a
12	living?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I'm a full-time dental
14	student at the UNLV School of Dental Medicine.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And how far along are you in your
16	studies? What year are you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I just finished my first
18	year. So I'll be starting in a week my second year.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So we have to finish by Friday?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
21	THE COURT: All right. And obviously you're hoping
22	to become a dentist, correct?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived here in
25	Clark County?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: For 20 years. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So you went to high school, and 3 did you go to UNLV for college? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Are you married? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: 7 THE COURT: A significant other? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: 9 THE COURT: Any children? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: 11 THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: 13 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been 14 arrested, charged or accused of a crime? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No. THE COURT: What about the victim of a crime? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything in your background or your family background that we should know about 19 you in making a determination if you would be a good juror for 20 21 this kind of a case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I guess I'd be a little bit biased only -- I don't know if it's within the same subject 23 as child pornography, but I have, like, a repressed memory --24 25 well, I know that for a fact that it happened -- of child

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm a victim of -- (Unintelligible.) --THE COURT: Okay. All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I think that is related.

THE COURT: But you said it was a repressed memory?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: It's not so much -- I think I remember more now, but it happened long ago.

Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: And so I guess, like, it comes back every so often.

THE COURT: Okay. So you believe that you were the

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, and -- well, yes, and also because it's -- it's, like, a family member as well. It didn't happen here, but it's a family member in the

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: And so also my cousins have witnessed it, too, and never spoke of it until, like, a

THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you think -- and I -- that you could set aside what you remember happening to yourself and base this -- your verdict, if you're selected, only upon the evidence of this case, or do you feel as you sit here you kind of favor the prosecutors, or you feel like you bring your own experiences into your deliberations, or what do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I think -- yeah, I think 1 I'm a little -- I'm feeling a little bit biased, and I think 2 because I'm kind of siding one side on that only based upon 3 personal experience. 4 THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the 5 end of --6 THE COURT: Based on her personal experience. 7 State, do you want to follow up? 8 MS. BEVERLY: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Submitted? 10 MS. BEVERLY: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Challenge? 12 MR. JACKSON: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Submitted? 14 MR. JACKSON: Yes. 15 THE COURT: All right. We're going to go ahead and 16 excuse you Ms. Ermita. Again, there are many other types of 17 trials that you might be more suitable for. All right. Thank 18 19 you. And, Ms. Husted, please call up the next prospective 20 juror. 21 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 22 Badge 178, Vonell Becker. 23 THE COURT: Ms. Becker, come on down and have that 24 empty chair there in the middle of the jury box. 25

91	
1	Good afternoon. What do you do for a living?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I work with PSI.
3	THE COURT: What does that mean? What's PSI?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Anyone that wants to be
5	in construction, if you want to do nails, if you want to work
6	for the FAA, all kinds of stuff, we schedule your exams.
7	THE COURT: Are you nervous, or
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: No, I just went to the
9.	bathroom.
10	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I was running back here.
12	THE COURT: So you're okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: So you're just out of breath from that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah. Yeah.
16	THE COURT: So you do you do the background check,
17	or what does your job involve?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: No, basically they'll
19	call us, and we'll take a payment, and we'll schedule their
20	exam for whatever state or wherever they live.
21	THE COURT: Oh. Is it, like, their technical exam to
22	see if they're competent? Is that what you do, or is it, like,
23	a health exam?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: It's actually for them to
25	get their license.

, I	THE COURT: Okay. So what kind of an exam is it?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Well
2	THE COURT: Like, is that their written exam to make
3	
4	sure that they've they have enough skill or expertise
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Exactly.
6	THE COURT: to do whatever the job is?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah. If it's nails, you
8	got to take a practical.
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Then you got to do a
11	theory, which is the written.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: That's just nails, but
14	there's a whole bunch of exams.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And then for construction,
16	obviously it's a different thing?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right. How long
19	have you been doing that type of work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I just celebrated a year
21	anniversary at this company.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And what did you do before that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Timeshare.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Were you selling timeshares?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Actually, I was doing

1	reservations for timeshares.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And was that
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: There's Wyndham Diamond
4	Resorts.
5	THE COURT: Here in town?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Uh-huh.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
8	have a significant other?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I'm married.
10	THE COURT: And what does your husband do?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: He works for the Paris at
12	banquet waiting.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Any children?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Two.
15	THE COURT: And how old are they?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: 26 and 30.
17	THE COURT: Any grandchildren?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Not by my kids. He's got
19	many.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you spend a lot of times with
21	your, I guess, stepgrandkids?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah, he babysits a lot.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And how old are the grandkids that
24	are you're babysitting and are around you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: There's four of them

three of them. I think Nate's 2. Layvon is 7 months. Sage is 1 2 2 and a half. THE COURT: So little kids? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah, they're babies. 4 5 They're babies, yeah. THE COURT: They're little kids, okay. Have you ever 6 7 been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: No. 8 THE COURT: You heard me ask the other jurors if they've been victims of crime, accused of a crime, anything 10 like that had happened with anyone they're close to: Anything 11 like that in your background or the background of anyone you're 12 close with? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: How far do I have to go 14 15 back? THE COURT: Well, if it's you, go back all the way. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Okay. I'm 51. I've been 17 here since I was 6. So I grew up here. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Got in a little bit of 20 trouble when I was like 18, 19, no felonies, nothing like that. 21 THE COURT: Okay. What type of trouble? Like drug 22 trouble --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: A little bit of drug 24 2.5 trouble, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: And then my main thing I 2 got in trouble for was no insurance on my car. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Believe it or not. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So no felonies. Any -- you know, I'm not going to go over the specifics of each thing, but any 7 opinion as to how you were treated in the system? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: About all I know, the 9 Judges were great. 10 THE COURT: What? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: The Judges. I had to see 12 this Judge every month faithfully. 13 Okay. THE COURT: 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: They were fine. They 15 treated me fine. 16 THE COURT: And you were an adult for all your 17 troubles? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah, I was less than 25. 19 THE COURT: Okay. But you weren't handled in the 20 juvenile system? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Oh, no, nothing like 22 that. I never got into trouble then. 23 THE COURT: Anything that -- anything -- anybody that 2.4 you're close with that's been charged with any kinds of crimes, 25

1	you know, DUI anything from a DUI to something more serious?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: The only thing I can
3	think of was my daughter was dating my a friend of ours from
4	school. Anyway, he came and broke our windows down at our
5	house on New Year's Day, 2012. So they brought out CSI. Cops
. 6	came, everything. It was a big ordeal.
7	THE COURT: Okay. What was the jurisdiction of that
8	investigation?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: They never caught him
10	because they could ping him on the phone because he kept
11	calling. He was stalking, and they never caught him. He just
12	went away. So.
13	THE COURT: Okay. But was it Metro they came out to
14	your house?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Oh, yeah. Yeah.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And it sounds like you what did
17	they do, dust for prints or pictures?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Uh-huh.
19	THE COURT: All that stuff, okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Took pictures, the whole
21	deal, and my husband's sister, she's married to a Metro cop.
.22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: He's a captain here.
24	THE COURT: Your husband's sister
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Sister's husband, yeah.

25

there, and he'd come in just -- you know, in his --In the Metro uniform? THE COURT: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yeah. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: And so it was kind of, 5 Yeah, hey. All right. 6 THE COURT: Now, assuming you're a juror, you 7 understand that the State has the burden of proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and if they don't, the defendant is entitled to a verdict of not guilty. 10 have any problem with that concept or holding the State to the 11 burden? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: No, the only problem I 13 really have is I don't want to see any pictures. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: I couldn't stomach that. 16 THE COURT: Now, if that's the evidence in the case, 17 you understand you have to look at them. Would you be able to 18 do that? Just like any other evidence, if it's a document, 19 you've got to look at that. You know, if that's the 20 evidence -- and, you know, sometimes in, you know, like, cases 21 there might be, say, a DUI-death case, or it could be autopsy 22 pictures --23

KARR Reporting, Inc.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Right.

24

25

THE COURT: -- people don't like to look at that, but

it's one of the things, you know, as a juror you'd have to look 1 at the evidence. Can you do that even if it's unpleasant for 2 3 vou? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: If I had to, I would do 4 it. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any reason you can't 6 be fair and impartial to both sides? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Truthfully? 8 THE COURT: All we want is the truth. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Okay. I mean, the 10 whole -- I don't know. I don't know if I could just -- I don't 11 know. I don't know. I don't think I could do that. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Why not? Is it the nature of the 13 charges? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Nature, yeah. It's just 15 a little, you know -- I don't know. Something in my mind. I 16 don't know, and I don't want to say too much about it, but, you 17 know, earlier in my life I did have an episode where something 18 happened to me when I was a child. So I'm just going to leave 19 it at that. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So you were the victim of some 21 kind of -- was it sexual or physical abuse? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 178: Yes, sexual. 23 THE COURT: Okay. May I see counsel at the bench. 24 (Conference at the bench not recorded.)

> KARR Reporting, Inc. 212

25

What do you do for a living?

THE COURT:

23

24

25

KARR Reporting, Inc. 213

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: I'm a manicurist.

Okay. And how long have you been here in

1	Clark County?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Less than five years.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And you're from are you from
4	Vietnam?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. I guessed that based on your name.
7	How long have you lived in the United States?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: 22 years.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Where did you live when you first
.0	came here?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Cali. California.
.2	THE COURT: Okay. Were you a manicurist there?
13 -	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No, I was student.
14	THE COURT: A student, okay. And did you do your
15	beauty training beauty college, did you do that here in the
16	United States?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Yes, in Cali.
18	THE COURT: And then obviously you're licensed as a
19	manicurist. You had to do the test and all of that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are you married, or do
22	you have a significant other?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Married two years, and
24	one son just turn 1.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And what does your wife do?

	ll in the second of the second
. 1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: She manicurist as well.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And you said your son is how old,
3	21?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No just turn 1.
5	THE COURT: Just turned 1. I thought you looked
6	awful young, but, you know. Have you ever been a juror before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No.
8	THE COURT: You or anyone close to you ever been
9	arrested, charged or accused of a crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a crime?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Just a minor, just
13	somebody hit the backed up and hit my car in back bumper,
14	and they I parked my car in the parking lot, and then they
15	hit my back bumper, and they left.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Did you report that to the police?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: I called them, and says,
18	like, person was private property. So:
19	THE COURT: Oh, it was on private property?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: So they didn't come out and do anything?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else in your background
24	or your family background that we should know about you in
25	making a determination as to whether or not you would be a good

1	juror in this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No, nothing.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Can you be fair and impartial to
4	both sides?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	State, you can follow up with, Mr. Nguyen.
8	MS. LAVELL: What's the name of the shop you work at?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: In-Style Nails.
10	MS. LAVELL: In-Star?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. when 79: In-Style Nails
12	THE COURT: In-Style Nails.
13	MS. LAVELL: In-Style, okay.
14	Nothing further.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jackson, you may follow
16	up
17	Oh, pass for cause?
18	MS. LAVELL: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, you may follow up with Mr.
20	Nguyen.
21	MR. JACKSON: Just a couple of questions.
22	Where exactly in California are you from?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: In the city I live in?
24	MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry. Where?
25	THE COURT: What city do you live in, yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: (Unintelligible.) --1 2 Pomona --THE COURT: Pomona area? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Yeah. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. JACKSON: You've heard the charges that have been 6 discussed here, the charge of child pornography, child abuse. 7 Would sitting on a jury in a case like that present any great 8 difficulties for you that would make it difficult to decide the 9 case fairly and impartially? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No. 1.1 MR. JACKSON: You can listen to all the evidence and 12 wait until you've heard it all before you make a decision? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: Yes. 14 MR. JACKSON: Do you have any opinion right now 15 whether Mr. Shue is guilty or innocent at this time? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No, nothing. 17 MR. JACKSON: Do you have any close friends or 18 relatives who have any connection to law enforcement? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No. 20 MR. JACKSON: Or any family members that have any 21 connections to law enforcement? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No. 23 MR. JACKSON: Can you think of any reason at all why 24 you couldn't be a fair juror in this case? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 179: No.
2	MR. JACKSON: I have no further questions. Pass the
3	juror for cause.
4	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
5	I believe we're State's fifth.
6	Is that right?
7	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
8	MS. LAVELL: Your Honor, the State will waive State's
9	fifth.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	Mr. Jackson, defense's fifth.
12	MR. JACKSON: We'd ask the Court to thank and excuse
13	Juror No. 8 I believe. That's Mr. Villa
14	THE COURT: Mr. Villa the juror in Chair 8,
15	Mr. Villacreses.
16	MR. JACKSON: creses, yes.
17	THE CLERK: Badge 135.
18	THE COURT: All right. That's Badge No. 135.
19	Sir, thank you for being here and your willingness to
20	serve as a juror. You are excused from this department at this
21	time, and the bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.
22	And, Ms. Husted, please call up the next prospective
.23	juror.
24	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
25	Badge 180, Donna Miner.
ı	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Is there an easier way to
2	get through?
3	THE COURT: Just the back corner there.
4	Good afternoon, Ms. Miner, what do you do for a
5	living, ma'am?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Store manager of a
7	convenience store.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing
9	that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: 19 years.
.11	THE COURT: Are you married, or do you have a
12	significant other?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Married.
14	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Assistant manager of a
16	convenience store.
17	THE COURT: Same store?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Same company.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And any children?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes, I have four children
21	and one stepdaughter.
22	THE COURT: Okay. What's the age range of your
23	children and stepdaughter, youngest and oldest?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: 14 to 30.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any grandchildren?
	II.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes, one.
2	THE COURT: And how old is your grandchild?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: 6.
3 4	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No.
5	THE COURT: You or anyone close to you ever been
6 7	arrested, charged or accused of a crime or the victim of a
	crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Victim.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about that.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Domestic violence in '96
11	or -7, in that range.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Were you the victim, or was it
13	
14	somebody else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I was the victim.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And I don't mean to pry, but did
16	that happen here in Clark County?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And was it your spouse, or was it another
19	
20	family member, or PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Spouse.
21	THE COURT: And did was law enforcement involved?
22	N .
23	PROSEECTIVE CONC.
24	THE COURT: Was it Las Vegas Metro?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No.

1	THE COURT: Henderson?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Did they take your spouse into
4	custody?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And was he ever charged with a
7	crime, or what happened?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: He was charged with
9	domestic violence.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Did he was the case resolved,
11	or did you have a trial in front of a Judge, or what happened?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: He pleaded out and went
13	to management school, and then he had it expunged.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Is this the same husband that you
15	have now?
.16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No.
17	THE COURT: A different husband, okay. Any opinions
18	as to how that case was handled in the system, like how, you
19	know, Henderson Police handled it when they came out or the
20	Judge or the prosecutors? I'm assuming it would've been the
21	Henderson city attorney's office; is that right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: (Nodded head.)
23	THE COURT: Is that yes?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No, it was all just 1 pretty simple. 2 THE COURT: 3 4 5 6 8 both sides? 9 10 11 12 13 14 happened when you were working at a store? 15 16 has occurred in. 17 18 working there? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: 20 21 22

23

24

25

Okay. All right. Is there anything else in your background or your family background that we ought to know about you in making a determination as to whether or not you would be a good juror on this type of a case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Nothing. THE COURT: Okay. Can you be fair and impartial to PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes, I feel I can. THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this. You work in a convenience store, and you have for some time. Now, you always hear about robberies and thefts and things like that happening in convenience stores. Has anything like that ever PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I manage a store that it THE COURT: Okay. But never with you actually THE COURT: Okay. Robberies or employee embezzlement, or what kinds of things have happened at the store that you manage? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Like I said, I've been

> KARR Reporting, Inc. 222

doing this 19 years. So I could pretty much name just about

1 anything you just said. I have had embezzlement, robberies, 2 cars going through the whole building. I mean, you name it, 3 I've had it. THE COURT: Have you yourself had to interact with 5 law enforcement in connection with any of the crimes that have occurred at convenience stores that you've managed? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And your store is -- is that 9 located in Henderson or Las --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Henderson. THE COURT: Henderson, okay. So again we're talking 11 12 about Henderson police? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Any feelings as to how the police 15 had handled the various matters that -- that you've been 16 involved with at your store? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Some have gone well. 17 Some of not gone so well. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. Do you blame the police for that 20 or just kind of circumstances, or what are your feelings on 21 that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Personally, I think that some of it is their call volume. A lot of times I don't get a 23 24 response --

KARR Reporting, Inc. 223

THE COURT: Okay.

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: -- don't get what I need 1 on one side, but then other times they're right there. So it's 2 kind of a -- I would say more of a 50/50 chance on whether or 3 not I'm going to be taken care of or not as a -- I guess a 4 5 customer. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything about your 6 experiences, both good and bad, with the Henderson Police 7 Department that would impact your ability to consider this case 8 solely upon the evidence that's presented during this trial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No, I don't have a 10 problem. 11 THE COURT: Okay. State, any questions? 12 MS. LAVELL: Just a couple. 13 Ma'am, you indicated that you have four children and 14 15 a stepchild? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes. 1.6 MS. LAVELL: And is the stepchild a boy or a girl? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Girl. 18 MS. LAVELL: For how many years in your life? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Eight. 20 MS. LAVELL: How old is she? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: 16. 22 MS. LAVELL: Do you consider her to be your child? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes. 24 MS. LAVELL: The grandchild, do you see the 25

grandchild often?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes.
MS. LAVELL: Nothing further.
THE COURT: All right. Pass for cause.
MS. LAVELL: Pass for cause.
THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, follow-up?
MR JACKSON: A few questions.
Have you ever testified as a witness in court on
these incidents that have happened in your store or any of the
crimes you been a victim of?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No, I've been summoned
but never been called.
MR. JACKSON: Okay. Now, your other children, are
the four children you have?
they boys or girls, the four children you have?
they boys or girls, the four children you have: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one boy.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one boy. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Have any of your children been
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one boy.
boy. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Have any of your children been the victims of crimes or assaults or batteries or anything like that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one boy. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Have any of your children been the victims of crimes or assaults or batteries or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No.
boy. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Have any of your children been the victims of crimes or assaults or batteries or anything like that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one boy. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Have any of your children been the victims of crimes or assaults or batteries or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No. MR. JACKSON: Okay. And how long did you say you've lived in the Las Vegas area?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one boy. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Have any of your children been the victims of crimes or assaults or batteries or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No. MR. JACKSON: Okay. And how long did you say you've lived in the Las Vegas area? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: 19 years.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have three girls, one boy. MR. JACKSON: Okay. Have any of your children been the victims of crimes or assaults or batteries or anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No. MR. JACKSON: Okay. And how long did you say you've lived in the Las Vegas area?

1	MR. JACKSON: Which part?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Southern, High Desert,
3	Victorville area.
4	MR. JACKSON: Okay. Is that where you grew up?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes, born and raised.
6	MR. JACKSON: Now, how far did you go in school?
7	What's your
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: In California?
9	MR. JACKSON: Well, total, what's your education
10	background?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Total, I have
12	three degrees.
13	MR. JACKSON: In what?
14.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: I have a Bachelor and two
15	Associates, one in Marketing, Business and General.
16	MR. JACKSON: Did you ever have any degrees in
17	counseling or anything like that, any any of those social
18	science degrees?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: No, I do not.
20	MR. JACKSON: So your degrees are mostly in the
21	business area?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes.
23	MR. JACKSON: And that's where most of your
24	professional life has been concentrated, in handling the
25	business or in the business area kinds of work?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Correct. 2 MR. JACKSON: Now, you've heard the charges that have 3 been discussed in -- concerning this case. Is there anything 4 about the facts of the case -- it's going to involve child 5 pornography. It's going to involve looking at pictures. It's 6 going to involve allegations of child abuse related to the 7 child pornography. Is that so troubling that it will make it 8 difficult for you to fairly and impartially consider the evidence and render a fair verdict to both sides? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: It's not difficult at 11 all. 12 MR. JACKSON: Okay. You can be fair to both sides? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 180: Yes, I can. 14 MR. JACKSON: We'll pass the juror for cause. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 16 I believe we're on State's sixth. 17 MS. LAVELL: Your Honor, the State will waive State's 18 sixth. 19 THE COURT: All right. Defense's sixth. 20 MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, we'd ask the court to thank 21 an excuse Juror No. 4, Susan -- I think it's -- I'm not sure if 22 I'll pronounce the name right --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Kuckelmann. 2.4 MR. JACKSON: -- Kuckelmann. 25 THE COURT: Ms. Kuckelmann, thank you very much for

1	being here and your willingness to serve as a juror. You are
2	excused. The bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.
3	Ms. Husted, please call up the next prospective
4	juror.
5	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
6	Badge 181, Gregory Holmes.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Holmes, if you'd have that empty
8	chair, please, in the front row.
9	Good afternoon.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: What do you do for a living, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I do plumbing.
13	THE COURT: All right. So you're a plumber?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I wouldn't technically
15	call myself a plumber, but I do bid work for plumbing.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: And sell jobs and overse
18	jobs.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So is that more like
20	construction-type plumbing or repair-type plumbing?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Both construction and
22	residential or commercial and residential.
23	THE COURT: Okay. But you work for a plumbing
24	company?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, actually a company

1	that has construction, plumbing and electrical.
2	THE COURT: All right. And you go out and you bid
3	the jobs?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been doing that
6	type of work?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: About 10 years.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
9	have a significant other?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I am married.
11	THE COURT: Married. And what does your wife do?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: She's a nanny.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Does she work in somebody else's
14	home or at a day care center or what?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: She works in somebody
16	else's home.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have children?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I do, 6.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to ask how old is
20	the youngest, and how old is the oldest?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: The oldest is 27, and the
22	youngest is 13.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any grandchildren?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I do not.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I have not.
2	THE COURT: No, okay. You or anyone close you ever
3	been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No, I have not.
5	THE COURT: Okay. What about any family members,
6	spouses, anything like that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No.
8	THE COURT: And then let me ask, have you ever been
9	the victim of a crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No, I have not.
11	THE COURT: Okay. What about people close to you,
12	anybody that's been the victim of a crime in your family, or
13	your wife, anything?
14.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Pretty clear, nobody.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Can you think of anything in your
16	background or in your family background that could impact your
17	ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No.
19	THE COURT: Nothing?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Huh-uh.
21	THE COURT: Okay. As you sit here, can you be fair
22 .	and impartial to both sides if you're selected?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I believe I can.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25	State, you may follow up.

1

MS. LAVELL: Pass for cause.

2

THE COURT: Defense, Mr. Jackson, you may follow up.

3

MR. JACKSON: Court's indulgence.

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Mr. Holmes, you've been here for the last four hours or so listening to everybody answer some -- the same questions over and over, and I don't want to bore you with the same questions, but it's important. So I'll slug away and ask the same questions I've asked everybody else.

This is a serious case involving serious allegations against my client. Will the facts of the case, namely that he's alleged to have been involved in child pornography and child abuse, be of such serious nature that it'll make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No.

MR. JACKSON: You do have six children, some of them probably close in age to the alleged victim in this case. won't in any way affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No. I admit that the allegations have a bad connotation, but I know nothing of the law regarding that.

MR. JACKSON: All right. And you can put aside any sympathy you might have for the child victim who's allegedly somewhere between the ages of 15 and 18 at the time these incidents happened?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yeah, she was.
2	MR. JACKSON: That was one of Mr. Roger's big
li li	victories; is that right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I guess so. I think he
4	
5	won. MR. JACKSON: And your wife of course was curious
7	about that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, she was interested
9	in all the
10	MR. JACKSON: Was she taking care of the kids
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: the headlines of it.
12	MR. JACKSON: at that time?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I don't I don't
14	remember if she was, but they would in friendship that they
15	have, they would talk about that case.
16	THE COURT: And just to give us some perspective, do
17	you know how old David Roger's child is?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, 3.
19	THE COURT: 3, okay. That gives us a time frame.
20	You said they were friends before that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No, she there was a
-24	time when they were searching for a nanny, and she had to go
25	through a thorough background check to be their nanny.

THE COURT: Okay. How did she happen to find this 1 Was it through an employment agency or a friend --2 job? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: It was a --3 THE COURT: -- word of mouth? How did she get the 4 job? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: There was a person that 6 she was working for that worked for the district attorney's 7 office that told her that they needed a nanny, and so then she 8 was going to be -- not be using my wife as a nanny anymore, and 9 so she applied for the position. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: And then got the 12 position. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And then so your wife is a 14 professional nanny? She's --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, for 25 years. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And then the person at the DA's 17 office who she worked for previously -- and I apologize if we 18 covered this, but I didn't hear it -- what kind of job did that 19 person have at the DA's office? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I don't know. She just 21 worked for the DA. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if it was a lawyer, or 23 was it more a staff --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: She was a lawyer. 25

	THE COURT: A lawyer, okay.
1	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Uh-huh.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Can we have that person's name?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I can't even remember her
5	name.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I could find out if you
8	want.
9	THE COURT: No, that's okay, but as you sit here you
10	don't remember the name?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I don't remember her
12	name.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
14	Go on, Mr. Jackson.
15	MR. JACKSON: It was a female attorney though in the
16	DA's office; is that correct?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Correct.
18	MR. JACKSON: And she recommended your wife to Dave
19	Roger; is that correct?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes.
21	
22	attorney for some time then I presume
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: She
24	TACKSON: and had her confidence; is that
25	
۷.	

1	MR. JACKSON: Have you ever met David Roger?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes.
3	MR. JACKSON: When's the last time you saw him?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: At a birthday party for
5	his daughter.
6	MR. JACKSON: So you do go to his house for birthday
7	parties for the family?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: It was at a restaurant.
9	It wasn't at a home.
10	MR. JACKSON: Okay. But you've been invited to
11,	birthday parties for the family; is that correct?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Only one.
13	MR. JACKSON: Okay. Were there other attorneys from
14	the DA's office there?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes.
16	MR. JACKSON: How many?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I have no idea.
18	MR. JACKSON: But more than one?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I yes, I would say
20	more than one.
21	MR. JACKSON: So it would be fair to say that you
22	have had substantial contact with members of the DA's office in
23	the last several years; is that right, including Mr. Roger who
24	was a former the DA and other members of his office,
25	including a member a female member of the DA's office and

other members of the DA's office at a birthday party? 1 MS. LAVELL: Your Honor --2 Excuse me, Mr. Jackson. 3 At this point, I'm --4 THE COURT: Well --5 MR. JACKSON: I --6 MS. LAVELL: He's misstating --7 THE COURT: Have you had -- okay. Other than what 8 we've talked about and the birthday party we talked about, have 9 you had any other contact with members --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: That's the only social 11 interaction I've had with David and Susan. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, at this birthday party at the 13 restaurant, were you visiting with other attorneys, or why do 14 you think that there were attorneys there? I mean, did you sit 15 and visit with them or what? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I think because David 17 knew attorneys, and he had friends that were attorneys and that 18 worked in the district office. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this. Were either 20 of these lawyers, to your knowledge, at the birthday party? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No. No, they were not. 22 MS. LAVELL: I wasn't invited. 23 THE COURT: Go on, Mr. Jackson. 24 MR. JACKSON: Have you been in any attorneys (sic) 25

where there were criminal defense attorneys present?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I have not.

MR. JACKSON: You haven't been in any attorneys where the Nevada -- in any birthday parties were the Nevada Attorneys for Criminal Justice were having a birthday party for one of their children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Not to my knowledge.

MR. JACKSON: All right. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Let me ask you -- and, you know, your wife works for David Roger. You understand that if you're selected as a juror, during the course of the trial you can't talk about anything relating to the trial with your wife. You can tell her, I'm on a jury, but you understand you can't go home and tell her, Oh, this is what we heard today, or this happened --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Right.

THE COURT: -- or that happened. Do you understand that even though you might want her to go ask Susan Roger, Hey, what about this, you can't do that? Will you promise me if you're selected, just like everybody else, you can't -- you can't talk about anything with your wife?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, I am aware I cannot do that.

THE COURT: All right. May I see counsel at the

bench. 1 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) THE COURT: All right. I believe we are now on 3 State's seventh --4 Oh, I'm sorry. Did you have something to add? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I did remember the lady's 6 name that referred my wife to David and Susan. Her name was 7 Nadine. 8 MR. JACKSON: Do you know the last name? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I don't remember. I just 10 know her from a first-name basis. 11 THE COURT: The only Nadine I can think of was a 12 staff person. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Okay. 14 This woman was an attorney, correct? THE COURT: 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, she was. 16 MS. BEVERLY: She doesn't work here anymore. 17 THE COURT: Do you know if she -- let me ask you 18 this. Do you know if she was in the criminal -- there are a 19 number of divisions within the district attorney's office. 20 There's civil division, criminal division, family support 21 division and a juvenile division. Do you know what division 22 she was in? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I don't. The only people 24 that I remember at that birthday party was Nadine and Susan and 25

1 David. 2 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: That's the only three 3 4 people that I knew of at that time. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So you don't know if she worked in the adult criminal division or the juvenile division --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No. 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any follow-up based on 8 the last answers and series of questions from the State? 9 MS. LAVELL: Not from the State, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: From the defense? 11 MR. JACKSON: Just one question. 12 How long had you known Nadine or your wife known 13 14 Nadine? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Two or three months. 15 never met Nadine until the birthday party. 16 MR. JACKSON: I have no questions. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. State's seventh. MS. LAVELL: The State will waive State's seventh. 19 THE COURT: Defense's seventh. 20 MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, we ask the Court to thank 21 an excuse Juror No. 1, Dornell Brown. 22 THE COURT: The gentleman in Chair No. 1, Mr. Brown. 23 THE CLERK: 126. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Brown, thank you very much for being 25

here and your willingness to serve as a juror. 1 No, problem. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: 2 THE COURT: You are excused at this time. 3 All right. Ms. Husted, please call up the next 4 prospective juror. 5 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. That's Badge 191, Joseph Scarff. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Scarff, if you'd have that chair 8 right in the corner, please. 9 Good afternoon. What do you do for a living? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: I started a new job. 11 It's brokerage services for a financial company. 12 THE COURT: Okay. What you do? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Basically I take inbound 14 calls from financial advisors who do maintenance on people's --15 basically, like, 401(k)s and mutual funds and whatnot. 16 When you say maintenance, what THE COURT: Okay. 17 does that mean? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Like, if they have an 19 issue, like, logging into their account, I figure out why, or 20 if they didn't get the money in time, I figure out why. 21 THE COURT: So you said you just got that job. 22 did you do before? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Retail photography. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Were you one of the guys in the 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: A little bit.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Did she she never has to go to
3	court or anything like that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay. But she has to keep track of who
6	needs to be where?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And make sure there's enough
9	officers and that sort of thing; is that what she does?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah, like and she
11	schedules, like, court dates and makes sure the inmates get to
12	court and whatnot.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you socialize with
14	any of her coworkers?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Well, my I was pretty
16	much raised by my mom's significant other, who is also a Metro
17	police officer or she just retired two weeks ago.
18	THE COURT: Just retired from Metro?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Uh-huh.
20	THE COURT: And when he retired, was he in uniform,
21	or a detective? What was he doing?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Well, she's a female,
23	but
24	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No. She was a sergeant

in narcotics when she ended up leaving.

2.5

THE COURT: Okay. Now, that would obviously be the detective -- well, maybe not obvious to someone who doesn't -- isn't that familiar with the Metro organization, but that's the detective bureau. Do you know if she had any other jobs besides narcotics in the detective bureau?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Not in that bureau. She was patrol before that.

THE COURT: Patrol. So uniformed patrol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And this sergeant, you grew up with her. She helped to raise you; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know -- did she talk about her work very much with you at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Nothing too -- too major.
THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: But, you know, different things, we would talk about it.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about the fact that you grew up with a Metro officer that would cause you to automatically listen — to, you know, give more credibility to a police officer, or when police officers and law enforcement employees testify, can you keep an open mind and evaluate the testimony like you would the testimony of any other witness?

. 21

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah, I mean, I could try and keep an open mind. I've just, like, grown up around Metro my whole life. So.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you say you can try to keep an open mind, are you doubtful that you can keep an open mind, or what do you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Just -- I don't know.

I've always trusted Metro police. So listening to them talk

would -- I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, do you feel like you're kind of -- would be more likely to favor a police officer or the state of Nevada over the defense, or what do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Maybe a little bit.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else based on all of our questions and discussions with the other prospective jurors that we ought to know about you in making a determination as to whether or not you would be a good juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Any of the questions we asked any of the jurors that you would have to raise your hand and say, Oh, yes, that pertains to me or someone close to me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No.

THE COURT: Okay. State, would you like to follow up with Mr. Scarff?

MS. LAVELL: Pass for cause.

1	THE COURT: Defense.
2	MR. JACKSON: Mr. Scarf, how long have you lived in
3	the Las Vegas area?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: I was born and raised
5	here, sir.
6	MR. JACKSON: And that's how long?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: 22 years.
8	MR. JACKSON: And you went to high school here?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes.
10	MR. JACKSON: What high school?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Bishop Gorman.
12	MR. JACKSON: And the did you go beyond high
13	school? Did you go to any college here?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes, I have gone to
15	College of Southern Nevada.
16	MR. JACKSON: Now, what did you study at College of
17	Southern Nevada?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Photography and business.
19	MR. JACKSON: Did you take any law enforcement
20	classes?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No, sir.
22	MR. JACKSON: Have you ever wanted to be a police
23	officer?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Maybe as, like, a
24	, durant but not recently, no.
25	CHILICHOUGH GETT

1 MR. JACKSON: Well, you have a number of members in 2 your family -- your extended family who have made that -- who 3 have made that a career, which is a very important career, law 4 enforcement; is that right? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes. 6 MR. JACKSON: And you admire those -- those people in 7 your family that make that sacrifice and serve the community in 8 law enforcement; is that right? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes. 10 MR. JACKSON: And you care about them very much? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Absolutely. 12 MR. JACKSON: Because they're close family members. 13 You realize that there's both good cops and bad cops; is that 14 right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah. 15 16 MR. JACKSON: Do any of your family members ever tell 17 you, you know, there's a few cops that don't do their job 18 right? I mean, yeah, I quess. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: 20 MR. JACKSON: Or do they mostly tell you that, you know, cops get a bad rap from everybody, and we really have it 21 22 tough --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: A little bit of both. 23 2.4 MR. JACKSON: -- and nobody understands it? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: A little bit of both.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. JACKSON: Well, now, a police officer or maybe more than one, maybe three or four or five police officers are going to sit in that witness chair and be questioned by the state of Nevada's representatives, the prosecutors here, and they're going to have to tell what they know about this case, and I get the chance to question them, too. Now, you're going to have to, as a juror, listen to every word they say and try and determine if they're telling the complete truth, the whole truth or if maybe they're telling something that's not completely true; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: Do you think it's possible that a police officer might on occasion not tell the whole truth?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: Even if he's -- swears -- holds up his hand, swears to tell the truth and says he's going to tell the truth as best he can?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah.

MR. JACKSON: Do you think it's possible that a police officer in his zeal to convict the bad guys might exaggerate the facts or might in some way from his own bias?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah, it's possible.

MR. JACKSON: Well, will you listen to all the facts before you make up your mind in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: Have you made your mind up on this case 1 right now? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: 3 MR. JACKSON: Is there anything about the nature of 4 the charge that would make it difficult for you to be fair and 5 impartial in this case? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Just basically like 7 everyone else has said, but, no. 8 MR. JACKSON: I don't remember if you were asked by 9 the Court or by the prosecutor if you've ever been the victim 10 of any serious crimes. Have you ever been the victim of any 11 serious crimes? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes. 13 MR. JACKSON: I guess you weren't asked about that. 14 Can you tell the Court and counsel what type of crimes you've 15 been the victim of. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: It was domestic violence 17 in 2012. 18 MR. JACKSON: And did that case go to court? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: It did. 20 MR. JACKSON: Can you tell me who it was? 21 If you don't want to discuss that in detail, was 22 anyone convicted in that matter? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: It was dropped. 24 MR. JACKSON: It was dropped? 25

tried to influence you to drop the case? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes. 2 MR. JACKSON: And you spoke to the prosecutors or 3 spoke to the police officers or whatever and told them that you 4 would be okay with them dropping the charges; is that correct? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No. 6 MR. JACKSON: Did you speak to anyone from the 7 8 prosecutor's office concerning the facts of the case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No. 9 THE COURT: Did you not show up to court, or what 10 11 happened? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah, I didn't show. THE COURT: You just didn't show up. And did this 13 occur in the -- do you know if it was prosecuted by the Clark 14County District Attorney's Office or one of the city attorney's 15 16 office? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: The DA's office. 17 THE COURT: So it occurred in the county, not in like 18 19 the city of Las Vegas --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes. THE COURT: -- or the city of Henderson -- okay. 21 22 Go on, Mr. Jackson. Sorry. MR. JACKSON: Did you ever have any communication 23 with anybody in the DA's office concerning the facts of this 24 25 case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yes.
2	MR. JACKSON: Were you happy or unhappy with the way
3	the DA's office handled it?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: I was happy.
5	MR. JACKSON: Would you be more inclined to support
6	the DA's office in this case than, you know, my side of the
7	case because of, you know, the positive way the DA's office
8	treated you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No. I just know someone
10	in the DA's office as well.
11	MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
12	THE COURT: Who do you
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: I just know
14	THE COURT: Who do you know in the DA's office?
15.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Kelly
16	(Unintelligible.).
17	THE COURT: What does she do?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: She's an attorney.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And how do you know her?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: She used to babysit me
21	when I was growing up.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So are you still in contact with
23	her?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Not really. My father
25	just works with her father.

- 11	
1	THE COURT: Okay. So she was your babysitter?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: And then I'm assuming she wasn't a lawyer
4	at that point?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Was she, like, a high school
7	student
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: and you were a little kid?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And then she goes to law school
12	and is now a DA?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Do you and you don't really interact
15	with her anymore?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Every now and then, you
17	know at, like, Christmas parties and whatnot but nothing
18	significant.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Does she talk about her work as a
20	DA with you?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And then you said your dad and her
23	dad work together?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: And what do they do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: They're gynecologists. 1 THE COURT: Okay. And they're friends -- friendly. 2 Are they partners in a medical practice? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And so they're friendly, or are 5 these parties more like office parties or what? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Like, when they get 7 together? 8 THE COURT: Right. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Yeah, just pretty much 10 office parties and whatnot. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. But do your families, 12 like, socialize together, you know, like, at your house or your 13 parents' house or her parents' house? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: Every once in a while. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Mr. Jackson. 16 MR. JACKSON: Now, have you ever had any arrests or 17 convictions in your lifetime? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No. 19 MR. JACKSON: Has any member of your family been 20 arrested or convicted of any serious criminal offense, aside 21 from minor traffic offenses? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No. 23 MR. JACKSON: Can you think of anything at all that 24 would make it difficult for you to be a fair and impartial 25

1	juror in this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 191: No.
3	MR. JACKSON: Pass the juror for cause.
4	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
5	I believe we're on the State's seventh challenge.
6	MS. BEVERLY: I think we're on the eighth.
7	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. State's eighth.
8	MS. LAVELL: Your Honor, the State waives its eighth
9	challenge.
10	THE COURT: All right. Defense's eighth.
11	MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, we'd asked the Court to
12	thank and excuse Juror No. 180.
13	THE COURT: All right. Juror No. 180 is Ms. Miner
14	MR. JACKSON: No
15	THE COURT: Oh.
16	MR. JACKSON: that's not who I wanted to excuse.
17	THE COURT: Oh, sit down.
18	MR. JACKSON: She's safe.
19	THE DEFENDANT: She got all excited for a second.
2.0	THE COURT: I'm glad I said something.
21	MR. JACKSON: Juror No. 4 is
22	THE COURT: Okay. The gentleman in Chair No. 4
23	THE MARSHAL: 181.
24	THE COURT: is Juror No. 181, Mr. Holmes.
25	MR. JACKSON: Yes.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: Online. Western
2	Governors University.
3	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived here in
4	Clark County?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: This I've been here
6	three years. I went to high school here and then went to the
7	air force after high school, moved all around and been back
8	here for three years.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And what was your rank in the air
10	force?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: When I got out, E4.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And how long did you spend in the
13	air force?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: Five years.
15	THE COURT: Were you ever military police or anything
16	like that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: No.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Were you ever in where were you
19	stationed?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: I was stationed in
21	Georgia.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are you married, or do
23	you have a significant other?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: I have a girlfriend of
25	three years.
	11

THE COURT: What does she do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: She works in accounting
at Planet Hyundai.
THE COURT: Okay. Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: I have a son, 8 years
old, lives in Tennessee with his mother.
THE COURT: All right. And have you ever been a
juror before?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: I have not.
THE COURT: You or anyone close to you ever been
arrested, charged or accused of a crime?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: No.
THE COURT: Nothing?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: No.
THE COURT: What about the victim of a crime, has
that happened to you or anyone close to you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: No.
THE COURT: Okay. You've heard all of our questions
and discussions. Can you think of anything in your background
or your family background that could impact your ability to be
a completely fair and impartial juror in this case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: No.
THE COURT: All right. State, you may follow up with
Mr. Wilson.
MS. LAVELL: Mr. Wilson, how often do you see your

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: I have not.
2	MR. JACKSON: I've asked everybody this question.
3	Again, I ask it because of its importance. The nature of the
4	charges, will they make it difficult for you to be a fair and
5	impartial juror?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: No.
7	MR. JACKSON: You can evaluate the evidence carefully
8	and do your best to be fair to all sides?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: Yes.
10	MR. JACKSON: We'll pass the juror for cause.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	I believe we're on State's ninth.
13	MS. LAVELL: The State will waive its ninth perempt.
14	THE COURT: All right. Defendant's ninth.
15	MR. JACKSON: May I have the Court's indulgence for a
16	minute?
17	THE COURT: Sure.
18	MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, we would ask the Court to
19	thank and excuse Juror No. 5.
20	THE CLERK: 153.
21	THE COURT: All right. That was Badge 193,
22	Mr. Wilson. Thank you very much for being here.
23	MR. JACKSON: That was not
24	THE DEFENDANT: It's the one next to it.
25	MR. JACKSON: It's No. 5.

1	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. 155.
2	MS. BEVERLY: 153.
3	THE COURT: Did you say 155
4	MR. JACKSON: No. No. 5.
5	THE CLERK: That's Seat 5.
6	MR. JACKSON: Juror No. 5.
7	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Seat 5.
8	THE CLERK: That's Badge 153.
9	MS. LAVELL: Ms. Conforti.
10	THE COURT: All right. Ms thank you, ma'am, for
11	being here. You are excused at this time.
·12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Ms. Husted, please call up the next
14	prospective juror.
15	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
16	Badge 194, Amadeo Quindara.
17	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Good afternoon.
19	THE COURT: What do you do for a living, sir?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I retired.
21	THE COURT: From what type of work?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: A mechanical engineer.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have a degree in
24	mechanical engineering?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.

1 .	THE COURT: At what level, Bachelor's
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Bachelor's.
3	THE COURT: A Bachelor's degree. Where did you get
4	that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: In the Philippines.
6	THE COURT: All right. And how long have you lived
7	here in Clark County?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Five years.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And where did you live before
10	that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I lived in New York for
12	35 years.
13	THE COURT: For 35 years. And were you working as a
14	mechanical engineer in New York?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: At the state university
16	there.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Were you teaching mechanical
18	engineering?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
2,0	THE COURT: So you were actually working what did
21	your job involve, just
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: The facilities and
23	planning, taking care of air conditioning.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, or do you
25	have a significant other?

1	THE COURT: Intensive care, oh, okay. All right. So
2	she never worked did she ever work pediatrics?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: She did, yes, for five
4	years.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And that was back in New York?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if as a pediatric
8	nurse she ever worked with children who were, like, the victims
9	of abuse or neglect or anything like that? Did she ever talk
10	about anything like that with you?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I believe she did work
12	with children, yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Have you ever been
14	a oh, any children yourself? Do you have any children?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I have three.
16	THE COURT: And what's the age range?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: 41, 59 and 37.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Any grandchildren?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I got three.
20	THE COURT: Three, okay. And how old are your
21	grandchildren?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: 9, 7 and 1.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Do any of them live here in Clark
24	County?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Nobody here.

	·
1	THE COURT: Nobody, okay. Have you ever been a juror
2	before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
4	THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone close to
.5	you ever been arrested or charged or accused of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been the victim of a
8	crime?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
10	THE COURT: Has anyone close to you ever been the
11	victim of a crime?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything in your
14	background, anything at all that would impact your ability to
15	be a completely fair and impartial juror if you are selected to
16	serve?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
18	THE COURT: All right. State, you may follow up with
19	Mr. Quindara.
20	MS. LAVELL: Pass for cause.
21	THE COURT: Defense, you may follow up.
22	MR. JACKSON: You came out to Las Vegas how long ago?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: 2009.
24	MR. JACKSON: And that was after you retired?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.

1	MR. JACKSON: You left all your family back in New
2	York?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
4	MR. JACKSON: You wanted to escape New York, I guess?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: They're all working in
6	New York. That's why they had to stay back.
7	MR. JACKSON: All right. Do you know any members of
8	law enforcement here in this community of Las Vegas?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
10	MR. JACKSON: Any members of the district attorney's
11	staff?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
13	MR. JACKSON: You've heard what the charges are in
14	this case. Do you think you can be fair to both sides?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: Yes.
16	MR. JACKSON: You don't know any of the witnesses
17	involved or anybody that has been listed as a potential
18	witness?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
20	MR. JACKSON: Anything you can think of that might in
21	some way impact your ability to be a fair and impartial juror?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.
23 -	MR. JACKSON: You don't have any health problems or
24	anything like that that would make it difficult for you to sit
25	through a four-day-long trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JACKSON: We'll pass the juror for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, all of the peremptory challenges having been either exercise or waived, you are the 14 members of our jury.

To the rest of you, thank you all for being here today. You are all excused.

(Remainder of panel of prospective jurors excused 4:17 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to take a quick 10-minute break, and then we'll come back, and the Court will read you some introductory instructions before we take our evening recess.

So before we take our quick break till 4:30, I must remind you that you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, any person or subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

And if you would all please follow the bailiff through the double doors, we'll see you back at 4:30.

(Jury recessed 4:18 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. For the record, up here at the bench Mr. Jackson made a challenge for cause to the juror

Gregory Holmes because of his wife working for David Roger, who is the former district attorney. I denied the for-cause challenge based on Mr. Holmes's answers and the fact specifically that Mr. Roger no longer works for the district attorney's office, although he is an attorney for the Police Protective Association, the union of Metro police officers.

1.2

And Mr. Jackson also voiced a challenge based on Mr. Holmes's attendance at the party where some district attorneys apparently were guests. I stated that Mr. Roger is no longer the district attorney and is in fact affiliated with a defense firm, and I think was previously affiliated with Mr. Christiansen, and then when Mr. Christiansen joined the firm, I believe of Eglet, Wall, Mr. Roger affiliated with a different criminal defense firm. So I didn't feel his past job as either a deputy district attorney or the elected district attorney and the fact that Mr. Holmes's wife now works for Mr. Roger precludes him from — precluded him from serving on the jury.

Anything else you'd like to add to that record?

MR. JACKSON: Yes. I don't think it was on the record that Mr. Christiansen — that Mr. Roger was associated with it, and the Court's knowledge shouldn't be imputed to whatever this witness knew. What was clear is that the —

THE COURT: All I'm saying is that -MR. JACKSON: Well, let me --

THE COURT: -- Mr. Roger -- well, you said that Mr. Roger is now the attorney for the PPA --

MR. JACKSON: Right.

THE COURT: -- and I said, Well, and he's affiliated with a defense firm, to the best of my knowledge. The point being he's no longer affiliated with the district attorney's office, and he does represent the Metro union of police officers.

MR. JACKSON: That is correct. I also want to make a few other statements on the record. As everyone knows, Mr. Roger worked for the district attorney's office for probably at least 20 years. He was the district attorney for I think at least eight years until he probably was termed out. I think at least one of the deputy district attorneys trying this case worked for Mr. Roger, and also at least one of the attorneys at the party was working for Mr. Roger.

This -- this juror still works for Mr. Roger -- MS. LAVELL: No, he does not.

MR. JACKSON: -- and he -- well, excuse me.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{THE}}$ COURT: This juror's wife works for Mr. Roger and Judge Roger.

MR. JACKSON: All right. But -- but in any event, whether the juror is getting paid by the wife or Mr. Roger, I think it's a matter of semantics. They're taking care of the 3-year-old child of Mr. Roger, and under those circumstances I

think it presents a tremendous implied bias.

Now, even though he answered the question, I can be fair, I think anyone looking at in a rational way -- and I don't mean to impute that the Court is -- is not, you know, looking at this objectively; however, I think you have to balance all the factors.

And, you know, almost everybody on this jury -- and I want to make a record of this -- had connections to law enforcement, had been the victims of crime, especially -- there were three or four that had been the victims of sexual abuse. We left some of them on. We didn't have enough challenges --

THE COURT: I don't know that that's --

MS. BEVERLY: That's not true.

THE COURT: We didn't leave any of them on, number one, and number two, you get the group that's sent up here, and --

MR. JACKSON: Well, I know.

THE COURT: -- we excused I think everybody that had been the victim of sexual abuse because they were, frankly, too emotional. So we excused all of those people.

And I just have to say, in my experience presiding over numerous trials involving allegations of sexual abuse of minors, this jury is remarkably free of -- of that. I mean, we've had some panels where almost everybody there was abused or had been accused of abuse or had a family member who was

.17

abused or something like that. So I think we excused everybody who had a personal connection with having been --

MR. JACKSON: And I appreciate that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- having been abused. So I just -- you know, you can make your record, but the record has to be accurate.

MR. JACKSON: The problem is I wanted to do an individual voir dire from the beginning. The Court gave me the right to individually voir dire several people, one of whom contacted the bailiff and said he wanted to do it in private. There were a couple of others who were reluctant to discuss it, but they did courageously admit to this difficulty.

But this gentleman, you know, he admitted his connection to Mr. Roger, but he -he stood up in front of the court and said, Oh, I can be fair. Well, I had to waste a peremptory challenge on him. There were at least two or three other individuals that I would have liked to have used the peremptory challenge on, but I was unable to.

I'm confident the jury will do its best to be fair, but I was forced to use a peremptory challenge because the Court did not grant my challenge for cause on this individual, and I think it was merited. I'll submit it with that.

THE COURT: Well -- okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ JACKSON: I've been long-winded all day, and I'll sit down.

THE COURT: No. No. Just two things to add that I
think I've already said. Number one, David Roger is no longer
affiliated with the district attorney's office. Number two,
his wife, Judge Pate, is no longer affiliated with the district
attorney's office, and in terms of the Metro connection, she
sits as a Municipal Court Judge and hears cases -- criminal
cases involving Metro police officers every day and is called
upon to be fair and impartial.

So to me I don't know why this juror whose wife currently works for David Roger, who is no longer the district attorney and his wife who sits as a Municipal Court Judge -- I don't think that either the wife or Mr. Roger have any interest in the outcome of this case, and so I don't know why this juror with a tenuous connection at -- well, at best, I think that -- you know, he has no connection to anyone currently in the office. I don't see that that's a for-cause challenge. So I think we've all made our record.

Ms. Beverly, is there -- or Ms. Lavell -- anything else you need to add about that?

MS. BEVERLY: No, Judge.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. All right. If anyone needs to use the restroom, let's do it and come back, and then basically we're not going to open today. I'm going to read the introductory comments, and then we'll take our evening recess, okay.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you. 1 (Proceedings recessed 4:26 p.m. to 4:33 p.m.) 2 (In the presence of the jury.) 3 THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. And if you would all please rise, the clerk is going 5 to administer the oath to the jury. 6 (Jury sworn.) All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm now THE COURT: 8 going to take a few minutes to talk to you about what to expect in this case. My comments are intended to serve as an 10 introduction to the trial. At the end of the trial, I will 11 give you more detailed instructions in writing, and those 12 instructions will control your deliberations. 13 This is a criminal case brought by the State of 14 Nevada against the defendant. The case is based on an 15 Information. The clerk will now read that document and state 16 the plea of the defendant. 17 (Reading of the Information not transcribed.) 18 JUROR: I'm sorry. I can't hear. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Maybe -- Denise, they can't hear 20 you. 21 You can't hear me? THE CLERK: 22 No, I am hard of hearing. JUROR: 23 THE COURT: Yes, you sound like maybe you have a 24

KARR Reporting, Inc. 275

little bit of a cold.

25

If you -- if anybody is hard of hearing and does generally have trouble, we do have headphones that work really well.

So, Denise, why don't you try the hand microphone.

And then raise your hand if you're still having —

THE CLERK: Maybe this will help. Does this help?

THE COURT: All right. Denise is going to try the microphone. If anybody still has trouble hearing, raise your hand, and we'll give you the headphones.

(Reading of Information not transcribed.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you should distinctly understand that the indictment just read to you is simply a description of the charges made by the State against the defendant. It is not evidence of anything. It does not prove anything; therefore, the defendant starts out with a clean slate. The defendant has pled not guilty and is presumed innocent.

This is a criminal case, and there are two basic rules you must keep in mind. First, the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to present any evidence or prove his innocence. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence. Second, to convict, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime was committed

and that the defendant is the person who committed the crime.

2.5

be presented whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. You are the sole judges of the facts. You will decide what the facts are from the evidence which will be presented. The evidence will consist of testimony of witnesses, and documents, and other things received into evidence as exhibits. You must apply the facts to the law which I shall give you and in that way reach your verdict. It is important you perform your duty of determining the facts diligently and conscientiously, for ordinarily there is no way of correcting an erroneous determination of facts by the jury.

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating my opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts. At times I may ask questions of witnesses. If I do so, it is for the purpose of bringing out matters which should be brought out and not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight or value you should give to the testimony of a witness.

There are two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony about what witness personally saw, heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. It is proof of one or more facts from which one can find another fact.

By way of example, if you wake up in the morning and see the ground, the sidewalks and the streets are all wet and water is running down the gutters, you may find from those facts that it rained during the night. It is proof from one or more facts from which you can find another fact. Conversely, if you're awake during the night and saw the rainfall, that would be direct evidence, which is something you personally saw.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight or value to both, but it is for you to decide how much consideration to give to any evidence.

Certain things are not evidence, and you must not consider them as evidence in deciding the facts of this case. They include: Statements and arguments by the attorneys, questions and objections of the attorneys, testimony I instruct you to disregard, and anything you may see or hear if the court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is done or said by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

Remember, evidence is sworn testimony from the witness stand while the court is in session, and documents, and other things received into evidence as exhibits.

There are rules of law which control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and the lawyer on the other

side thinks it's not permitted by the rules, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, ignore the question, and do not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes I may order evidence stricken from the record and tell you to disregard or ignore such evidence. This means when you are deciding the case you must not consider the evidence which I have told you to disregard.

It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which the lawyer believes may not be permitted under the rules. You should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party the lawyer represents. Also, I may find it necessary to admonish a lawyer. If I do, you should not be prejudiced toward the lawyer or client because I have found it necessary to admonish him or her.

You are not to concern yourself in any way with the sentence which the defendant might receive if you should find the defendant guilty. Your function is to decide whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charges. If and only if you find the defendant guilty, then it becomes the duty of the Court to pronounce sentence.

Until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to

each other about it or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict. Do not talk with anyone else about this case or anyone having to do with it until the trial has ended and you've been discharged as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and friends. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about it until after you have been discharged by me.

2.4

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately by contacting the bailiff.

Do not read any news stories, listen to any radio broadcasts or watch any television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Do not do any research or make any investigation about the case on your own.

You may be tempted to visit the crime scene. Please do not do so. In view of the time that has elapsed since the case has come to trial, substantial changes may have occurred at the location in question. Also, in making an unauthorized visit without the benefit of explanation you may get erroneous impressions; therefore, please avoid going near or past the location until after the location has been completed.

At the end of the trial you'll have to make your

decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult, and it's difficult and time-consuming for the court reporter to play back lengthy testimony; therefore, I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it's given.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note taking distract you so that you do not hear other answers by witnesses. You should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

Do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. It is important that you keep an open mind.

A juror may not declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of which the juror has knowledge. If any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that that juror or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in the case, that juror shall disclose that situation to me in the absence of the other jurors. This means that if you learn during the course of the trial that you have personal knowledge of any fact which is not presented by the evidence in this case, you must declare that fact to me.

You communicate to the Court through the bailiff.

1.0

1.8

During the course of this trial the attorneys for both sides and all court personnel other than the bailiff are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial. They're bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

The trial will proceed in the following manner. The deputy district attorney will make an opening statement, which is an outline to help you to understand what the State expects to prove. Next, the defendant's attorney may but does not have to make an opening statement. Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove.

The State will then present its evidence, and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine the witnesses. Following the State's case, the defendant may present evidence, and the deputy district attorney may cross-examine those witnesses; however, as I have already said, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence or call any witnesses.

After all the evidence has been presented I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you each side has the opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing argument is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and

interpret the evidence. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to both open and close the closing arguments. After the arguments have been completed, you will retire to deliberate on your verdict.

Jurors are now permitted to ask questions of witnesses. If you have a question for one of the witnesses, I ask that you write it down using a full sheet of your notebook paper, and then wait until the attorneys for both sides have had an opportunity to question the witness because very often one of the lawyers will ask your question. If not, either get my attention or the bailiff's attention, and we will retrieve the question from you.

Please do not be offended if I don't ask one of your questions. That doesn't mean it's not an interesting question or something like that, but the questions from the jurors are governed by the same rules of evidence that control what the lawyers can ask. So your question could call for hearsay or some other type of inadmissible evidence, and for that reason, I may not ask it.

Ladies and gentlemen, that concludes my introductory remarks. We're going to go ahead and take our evening recess. The Court has a calendar in the morning on various unrelated matters. So for that reason we will be reconvening at 10:30 tomorrow morning. So if you would all please before I

excuse you place your notepads in your chairs.

And I do need to again admonish you not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, any person or subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Do not engage in any social networking, like Twittering or Facebook, on your jury service or anything relating to the case, and please not form or express an opinion on the trial.

You've been handed blue badges that identify you as
Department 21 jurors. It is important that you wear those
badges in and around the building so people can recognize you
as jurors and someone doesn't inadvertently talk about the case
in your presence. So make sure you put those back on when you
come back to court tomorrow.

Place your notepads in your chairs and follow the bailiff through the double doors, and he'll give you instructions on where to park and where to meet tomorrow morning. We'll see you all back here.

(Jury recessed 5:17 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. I don't think there's anything else we needed to put on the record. So we'll go ahead and take our break, and we'll see you back tomorrow at 10:30.

MS. LAVELL: Thank you, Your Honor.

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 67428 JOSHUA C. SHUE, 3 Appellant, 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Respondent. 8 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME IV PAGES 640-823 9 10 STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 PHILIP J. KOHN 11 Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 ADAM LAXALT 13 Attorney for Appellant Attorney General 100 North Carson Street 14 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 15 Counsel for Respondent 16 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 17 I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada 18 , 2015. Electronic Service of the Supreme Court on the 2 \ day of (19 foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: 20 HOWARD S. BROOKS CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO 21 WILL WATERS STEVEN S. OWENS I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and 22 correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to: 23 JOSHUA C. SHUE 24 NDOC # 1133873 c/o HIGH DESERT STATE-PRISON 25 PO Box 650 26 Indian Springs, NV 89070 27 BYAmployee, Clark County Public Defender's Office 28