IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 3 BENNETT GRIMES. **Electronically Filed** Mar 20 2015 10:52 a.m. 5 Tracie K. Lindeman Appellant, Case NGlerk508 Supreme Court 6 VS. 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 9 Respondent. 10 11 APPELLANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW DUE TO CONFLICT OF 12 INTEREST AND REQUEST TO HAVE THE CASE REMANDED TO DISTRICT COURT FOR APPOINTMENT OF NEW COUNSEL 13 14 Comes Now Appellant BENNETT GRIMES, by and through Deputy Public 15 Defender DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK, and moves this Honorable Court to 16 17 allow the Public Defender's Office to withdraw from the above-entitled case and 18 remand the case to District Court for appointment of new appellate counsel. This 19 Motion is based upon the following Memorandum, the attached declaration of 20 21 counsel, and all papers and pleadings on file herein. 22 DATED this 20th day of March, 2015. 23 24 PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 25 26 By /s/ Deborah L. Westbrook DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK, #9285 27 Deputy Public Defender 28 309 So. Third Street, Suite #226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 (702) 455-4685

DECLARATION OF DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am a deputy public defender assigned to handle the appeal of this matter; I am familiar with the procedural history of this case.
- 2. On August 19, 2013, I submitted a Fast Track Statement on behalf of Appellant Bennett Grimes, directly appealing his convictions in this case.
- 3. On September 9, 2013, trial counsel filed a Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence on behalf of Mr. Grimes. That Motion argued that Mr. Grimes' sentence of 8 to 20 years on Count 1 (attempt murder) and a consecutive 8 to 20 years on Count 3 (battery) was an illegal *ex post facto* application of this Court's ruling in <u>Jackson v. State</u>, 2128 Nev. Adv. Op. 55, 91 P.3d 1274 (2012). This issue was **not** raised in Mr. Grimes' Fast Track Statement, but was instead presented via Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence.
- 4. While Mr. Grimes' Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence was still pending, this Court affirmed Mr. Grimes' underlying convictions in an Order of Affirmance dated February 27, 2014. The Remittitur was issued on March 24, 2014.
- 5. For almost a year after the Remittitur was issued, Mr. Grimes awaited a ruling from the District Court on his Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence. Eventually, when he could wait no longer, on February 20, 2015, Mr. Grimes filed his Petition for Post-Conviction Relief in the District Court. In his

petition, Mr. Grimes accused the Clark County Public Defender's Office of ineffective assistance of counsel in connection with his trial and sentencing hearing. Specifically, Mr. Grimes accused the Public Defender's Office of being ineffective in advising him prior to trial that he could not be convicted of both Counts 1 and 3, based on then-existing Nevada law which deemed those Counts redundant to one another because they were based on the same conduct. Mr. Grimes also accused the Public Defender's Office of being ineffective by failing to object to the verdict form based on then-existing law. Finally, Mr. Grimes accused the Public Defender's Office of failing to adequately prepare for his sentencing hearing where he received convictions which were redundant under the law in effect at the time those crimes were committed.

16

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Less than a week after Mr. Grimes filed his Petition for Post-6. Conviction Relief, the District Court denied Mr. Grimes' Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence on February 26, 2015.

Because Mr. Grimes had a statutory right to a direct appeal 7. from the District Court's order, and in order to preserve Mr. Grimes' appellate rights on that issue, I noticed the instant appeal on Mr. Grimes' behalf on March 16, 2015.

///

///

1	8. On March 19, 2015, the District Court ordered the Publi
2	Defender's Office withdrawn as counsel of record from Mr. Grimes' case due t
3	Bolondol B Ollico William and Coungol Of Toolia Holli Will. Ollinos Case add t
4	the conflict of interest created by Mr. Grimes' Petition for Post-Conviction Relief
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true an
6	gownoot.
7	correct.
8	EXECUTED on the 20 th day of March, 2015.
9	/s/ Deborah L. Westbrook
10	DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. LAW AND ARGUMENT

"Every defendant has a constitutional right to the assistance of counsel unhindered by conflicting interests" both at trial and on appeal. Clark v. State, 108 Nev. 324, 831 P.2d 1374 (1992) (citing Holloway v. Arkansas, 435 U.S. 475 (1978)); Douglas v. California, 372 U.S. 353(1963); United States v. Moore, 159 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 1998); U.S. Const. Amend. VI, Amend XIV; Nev. Const. Art. 1, Sec. 8.1

In this case, the District Court found a conflict of interest between the Clark

In this case, the District Court found a conflict of interest between the Clark County Public Defender's Office and Mr. Grimes. That conflict is related to Mr. Grimes' concerns about the Clark County Public Defender's Office's handling of the precise issue involved in this direct appeal -- whether Mr. Grimes' redundant convictions for attempt murder and battery based on the same conduct violates expost facto principles where the crime was committed prior to this Court's ruling in Jackson v. State. Where Mr. Grimes has accused the Clark County Public Defender's Office of ineffective assistance in connection with litigating these very

Although there is no right to a direct appeal under the United States Constitution (Griffin v. Illinois, 351 U.S. 12, (1856); Gary v. Sheriff, 96 Nev. 78, 605 P.2d 212 (1980)), if a state provides appellate review, it must do so in a manner consistent with Due Process and Equal Protection under the Fourteenth Amendment. See Griffin. Accordingly, due process and the effective assistance of an attorney apply on appeal. Douglas v. California, 372 U.S. 353 (1963).

issues in District Court, the Clark County Public Defender has an irreconcilable conflict for purposes of this appeal.

Furthermore, when "the relationship between attorney and client completely collapses, the refusal to substitute new counsel violates [defendant's] Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel." Moore, 159 F.3d at 1158 (citations omitted). Mr. Grimes has accused the Clark County Public Defender's Office of being ineffective with respect to the very issue currently on appeal. Under Moore, Mr. Grimes' Sixth Amendment rights would be violated if he were required to have the Clark County Public Defender's Office represent his interests in this direct appeal.

II. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Public Defender's Office asks to withdraw from this appeal due to a direct conflict of interest and for the case to be remanded to District Court for the appointment of new, conflict-free appellate counsel. The

///

///

///

///

///

1	Public Defender's Office will give the new attorney copies of all compiled
2 3	research and the complete appendix. Until the Court rules on this motion, the
4	Public Defender's Office can take no further steps to complete the appeal due to
5	the conflict of interest.
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	PHILIP J. KOHN
8	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
9	By /s/ Deborah L. Westbrook
10	DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK, #9285
11	Deputy Public Defender
12	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
13	
14	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
15	Supreme Court on the 20 th day of March, 2015. Electronic Service of the
16	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as
17	Totogonib dovanieno brient do amena esa mesa anti-
18	follows:
19	ADAM LAXALT DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK
20	STEVEN S. OWENS HOWARD S. BROOKS
21	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a
22	Training that I served a vepy of this de construct of
23	true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	BENNETT GRIMES
25 :	NDOC No. 1098810
26	c/o Southern Desert Correctional Center P.O. Box 208
27	Indian Springs, Nevada 89070-0208
28	
	BY <u>/s/ Carrie M. Connolly</u> Employee, Clark County Public
	Defender's Office