IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MDC RESTAURANTS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, LAGUNA RESTAURANTS LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, and INKA LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Electronically Filed Sep 14 2015 09:09 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

Petitioners,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA in and for the County of Clark and THE HONORABLE TIMOTHYWILLIAMS, District Judge,

Respondents,

and

PAULETTE DIAZ, an individual; LAWANDA GAIL WILBANKS, an individual; SHANNON OLSZYNSKI, an individual; and CHARITY FITZLAFF, an individual, all on behalf of themselves and all similarly-situated individuals

Real-Parties-in-Interest.

Case No.: 67631

Eighth Judicial District Court Case No.: A701633 Department No.: XVI

REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST'S RESPONSE TO WESTERN CAB COMPANY'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Real Parties in Interest Paulette Diaz, *et al.*, by and through their attorneys of record, here respond the motion by Petitioner in Case No. 68796, Western Cab Company, to consolidate that matter with the present one, and with Case No. 66629, *Lisa Williams et al. v. District Court (Claim Jumper Acquisition, LLC)*.

As Petitioners in *Williams* describe, it is not efficient to consolidate Western Cab's petition proceeding with that in *Williams*, given the differences in procedural posture and timing between those actions. It may be useful, however, for the Court to consider consolidating Western Cab's proceeding only with the *MDC Restaurants* proceeding. The present action, like Western Cab's case, involves a submitted writ petition for which an answer has yet been required by the Court, and factually both

2324252627

28

writs are brought by petitioners who oppose a district court's determination that claims brought pursuant to Nev. Const. art. XV, sec. 16 are subject to a four year statute of limitations. These two actions, therefore—Cases 67631 and 68796—are similar factually, and are similarly-situated procedurally, in ways neither share with *Williams*, Case No. 66629.

Real Parties in Interest oppose consolidation of the present proceeding and Western Cab's with Case No. 66629, but do not necessarily oppose consolidation between *MDC Restaurants* and Petitioner Western Cab's proceeding.

Respectfully submitted, this 14th day of September, 2015.

WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP

By:/s/ Bradley Schrager

DON SPRINGMEYER, ESQ. (NV Bar No. 1021) dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com
BRADLEY SCHRAGER, ESQ. (NV Bar No. 10217) bschrager@wrslawyers.com
DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. (NV Bar No. 13078) dbravo@wrslawyers.com
3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120-2234
(702) 341-5200 / Fax: (702) 341-5300
Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of September, 2015, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST'S RESPONSE TO WESTERN CAB COMPANY'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE** was served upon all counsel of record by electronically filing the document using the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system.

8 By: /s/ Dannielle Fresquez

Dannielle Fresquez, an Employee of WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP