

FILED
Electronically
2015-02-11 11:46:08 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 4813579

1	4185	Transaction # 4813579	
2			
3			
4			
5	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DIST	RICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
6	IN AND FOR	THE COUNTY OF WASHOE	
7	THE HONORABLE CONNIE	E J. STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		202	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	00	
10	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR14-1044	
11	vs.	Dept. No. 4	
12	MARC P. SCHACHTER,		
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL		
16	Tuesday,	September 23, 2014	
17		CLALEM BOGALE, ESQ.	
18	De	ERYL WILSON, ESQ. Eputy District Attorneys	
19	8	ne South Sierra Street eno, Nevada	
20	1)	I PROPER PERSONA	
21	De	RL F. HYLIN, ESQ. Eputy Public Defender	
22	#	00 S. Center Street no, Nevada	
23	The Defendant: MA	RC P. SCHACHTER	
24	Reported by: EV	ELYN J. STUBBS, CCR #356	

2

RENO, NEVADA; TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2014; 9:00 A.M.

---000---

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: We were just waiting. I can do something before we get back. I can take another recess and the clerk can work with you.

At the end of the day yesterday, when our jurors were leaving one of the jurors saw witnesses sitting out on the bench and recognized them. So she reported that to the bailiff immediately. And what she reported, it's juror number two, Rachel wall den. What she reported is that she recognized Detective Reid. He was sitting out on the bench she reported to the bailiff that she didn't really know him very well, but she

We can, when she gets here have her come in, put it on the record, have you ask her any questions you might want to about her knowledge about Detective Reed or you could take, we can have the bailiff tell you exactly what she said, if you're comfortable with that.

Yes, Mr. Schachter?

felt she needed to notify.

MR. SCHACHTER: You weren't going going to call Reed, from what I understand.

MR. BOGALE: I haven't decided whether or not we're going to call Detective Reed.

THE COURT: So do you want to hear. Do you want to hear what she told the bailiff? And we'll start there.

Deputy.

THE BAILIFF: She called to let me know that she is a speech therapist, that she did work with Detective Reed's son about five years ago. And that's all she really knows about him. She said that she has no personal relationship. She doesn't really know him too well, except that she worked with his son and that doesn't change her impression of this trial.

THE COURT: So you can inquire personally of her or you can accept that.

MR. BOGALE: And and, Your Honor, just to be clear. He's on standby that's why he was outside yesterday. But the State doesn't intend to call him as a witness. But he was on standby because we hadn't made the decision.

MR. SCHACHTER: I don't have a problem.

THE COURT: Staying.

MR. SCHACHTER: I don't have a problem.

THE COURT: So nobody wants to inquire personally of the juror?

MR. BOGALE: Not the State.

THE COURT: Okay. So thank you Mr. Schachter.

So we will -- we're ready the jury was called as you know for 9:30. I think the clerk was probably gathering up some

1 th

things from you.

Why don't we talk about the 911 call. Do you want more time to discuss the discovery issue with regard to 911 amongst yourselves or do you want to put something on the record?

MR. BOGALE: Mr. Schachter and I have discussed it and we've reached an impasse. We don't agree on the admissibility of the calls.

THE COURT: You want to put the witnesses on the stand. The 911 call on?

MR. SCHACHTER: Exactly.

THE COURT: Why is it, were are you objecting?

MR. BOGALE: The objection, Your Honor, if he was to play the call, the disk would need to be admitted first. And there are more than one. There are four calls on on the disk. So if he's going to play one call from the disk, the whole disk needs to be admitted and the jury would get the disk. And therefore, have access to the three other calls that weren't admitted.

THE COURT: Well, can you pull up just that one call?

MR. BOGALE: It's on one file and so it's -- they're

not in different files.

THE COURT: Well, the logistics of technology is not a reason to exclude evidence, especially with a pro se, who does not have access to the ability to modify the disk, which we would

expect a lawyer on the other side do have done. So what I'm going to order is that that inquiry can be made, the disk can be played. It's my understanding that the foundation of the disk is not in dispute. So we can admit that telephone call conversation, that 911 conversation of the disk. The disk will be admitted for that limited purpose, and it will not go to the jury. If the jury wants to hear it again, then we'll have to be in session and queue it back up.

MR. BOGALE: It depends which call Mr. Schachter plans on using in terms of foundation. The State would object to foundation depending on who he's planning, which call he's planning on playing. And I just don't know.

THE COURT: You're going to object to the foundation that was recorded by the State through the dispatch?

We're talking about a dispatch 911 call, right?

MR. BOGALE: Correct.

THE COURT: What's wrong with the foundation with that?

MR. BOGALE: Well, if he's playing a call from a witness who's not here.

THE COURT: Absolutely, but he wanted to play the witness who is on the stand 911 call.

All right. So for the limited purpose what disk is it?

MR. BOGALE: I think it's Exhibit No. 14.

THE COURT: Okay. So would you for purpose of this

process, Exhibit 14 is admitted only as to the 911 call made by Matthew Hand. And it will not go to the jury and if the jury requests it we will come back in session and just that call will be played. Okay.

MR. BOGALE: Is everyone comfortable with that.

MR. BOGALE: So this court don't want to the State to like pull that call off the disk and make a new disk so the jury can take it back.

THE COURT: That would be the best thing but we don't center time for that I suspect this case did going to go to the jury today or first thing in the morning so what I would like you do right now is queue up Exhibit 14 into the machine.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. SCHACHTER: According to this it's 1154. And it's that's where his starts.

(Disk being played.)

MR. SCHACHTER: He's probably the third one in there I think.

THE COURT: You can just play it then stop it where we're supposed to be.

MR. BOGALE: Okay.

THE COURT: Could you turn the volume down.

(Playing disk. )

THE COURT: That volume would be great for when the --

1	MR. BOGALE: So just to be clear, is this all the call
2	Mr. Schachter?
3	MR. SCHACHTER: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Oh, was that Mr. Hand?
5	MR. BOGALE: On this disk it starts around 135 or
6	something.
7	MR. SCHACHTER: I don't know.
8	MS. WILSON: Can't quite see that on the screen.
9	MR. BOGALE: That's what I'm trying to find out.
10	So it starts around 135.
11	THE COURT: Back it up so it's, "911, what's the nature
12	of your emergency."
13	(Disk being played)
14	MR. BOGALE: Was that okay, Judge?
15	THE COURT: I want it backed up so when you play it for
16	the jury it's, "911, what's the nature of your emergency."
17	THE COURT: Stop.
18	No, you need to start with the words, "911 what's the
19	nature of your emergency disk 11 hours fifth minutes.
20	That's good. Just stop. So we're all cued up ready to
21	go when the witness comes.
22	MR. BOGALE: And we'll just stop it when he's done
23	speaking.
24	THE COURT: Yeah, whenever the end of the emergency

call.

MR. SCHACHTER: Are you planning an calling the -THE COURT: If you two are talking to each other -MR. SCHACHTER: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: You may, but it's not on the record with the court reporter. If you want it on the record with the court reporter, speak up and talk to me.

MR. BOGALE: Mr. Schachter, was asking me if I plan an calling any other callers from the 911 call. I don't intend on calling them and to that point just to give the Court an idea, yesterday I advised the Court we would call probably two more. When this is witness including Mr. Hand. After discussing the case a little bit for the and decided to call five, including discussing.

So it will be Mr. Hand next will be Anna Young. Next will be Corelee who cashed out Mr. Schachter. And then Officer West who is the arresting officer from RPD and the booking sheriff's deputy, Keisha Ellis.

THE COURT: All right. So jury instructions. So you've provided some instructions to the Court; is that correct? Mr. Schachter requested one yesterday and do you have any objections to that one?

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, the only thing I would point out I do have an objection, I think it's duplicative it's covered

by the attempt instruction. We have an instruction that describes what attempt is. We also have a reasonable doubt instruction. And I think you've already given that instruction to the jury, that the State needs to prove each and every element beyond a reasonable doubt. We have a robbery instruction. I think it's duplicative.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Hylin.

MR. HYLIN: Do you mind, Your Honor if I handle it.

THE COURT: I don't.

MR. HYLIN: The reason I want to handle it is because it has to do with the case law in the Crawford case that authorizes the — and that is the exact same reason that was given in the Crawford case for denying the defendant's negative instruction. I think it's on very dangerous grounds appellant wise to eliminate that Crawford instruction or reject it, solely for the reason it's duplicative.

What it does is it informs the jury of the specific attempt nature of an attempted crime, that of the attempted robbery, which is not a portion of the original instruction on the robbery elements themselves. So that's why we would proffer that as a negative instruction so that the jury has to specifically find beyond a reasonable doubt that he intended to perform a robbery.

Thank you.

•

THE COURT: I have reviewed the Crawford case and the language therein, and I do find that this instruction would be justified and appropriate, and should be given if it's requested by the defendant. Therefore, I am going to be giving this instruction.

MR. BOGALE: May the State add one suggestion perhaps.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BOGALE: Since Your Honor is going to admit it, it might be more clear to have the first paragraph read as follows.

To prove that Mr. Schachter is guilty of attempting to commit robbery the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Schachter specifically intended to commit that crime, but failed to do so, because that's what attempted robbery is. I think it's a little bit unfair to say he just attempted to commit robbery.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Hylin?

MR. HYLIN: Yes, Your Honor. I think that's essentially what our instruction says, so what we're going to do is have two paragraphs that say the same thing with slightly altered language.

THE COURT: No. He's just suggesting that intended, and yours says specifically intended to commit that crime, and he's suggesting adding the words, but failed to do so.

MR. HYLIN: Oh, well I don't have any objection to

that. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Correct. Okay. So that will be the way we'll give the instruction. Then I see that Mr. Schachter is there's an instruction it is a Constitutional Right of the defendant in a criminal trial that he may be — not compelled to testify. That I have this, so if you request it, I have this instruction available, you just have to tell me you want to use it.

MR. SCHACHTER: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. With regard to — I've been handed the new attempted robbery instruction that reads, the defendant in this matter is being tried on an amended information. That is going to be substituted for the old four. It will be tentatively the fourth instruction. Then we have two new instructions from the State. And I don't know if you've had a chance to review those yet, Mr. Schachter, with Mr. Hylin, did you just get them this morning?

MR. SCHACHTER: I did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you all had a chance to look at them?

MR. HYLIN: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. SCHACHTER: I don't have any objection to, even to the flight one? Nothing.

THE COURT: You don't have an objection to either one being added to the packet?

MR. SCHACHTER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now I have — there is one that was submitted by the State with regard to larceny. The State submitted a larceny instruction. And I didn't — I assumed they did that because they determined that larceny is a lesser included of attempted robbery. So I've reviewed that. It does appear that larceny is a lesser included of attempted robbery, and we have not instructed as to petit and grand larceny.

So if we were to instruct as to a lesser included it would be larceny and then we'd have to define petit and grand or an attempted larceny. So that's the question I have for you all. Is that what you were thinking, what do you want, why do you want larceny in there, was it because it was a lesser included and Mr. Schachter, are you requesting the lesser included of larceny?

MR. SCHACHTER: To be honest, I hadn't give it a thought. I was assuming it was behind the burglary charge, not the robbery charge.

THE COURT: You and Mr. Hylin talk about it, the State should talk about it. If we're going to give a lesser included, I do have a lesser included transitional instruction that says there's a lesser included. We have kind of prepared on with on grand and petit. So I've got some instructions that we could put together quickly. I am not sure that I don't have sua sponte to offer to the jury a lesser included of larceny, whether you all

are giving it to me or not. Obviously, I would be glad to hear from both sides on that issue.

So that being said, we are pretty close to settling instructions. I think I've received everything and we're getting pretty close to having a packet that we can work with.

Now we have Mr. Hand on the stand when we come back at 9:30, and we have your cross-examination ready to go with your 911 call queued up and ready.

Is there any other business that we need to resolve this morning before we bring in the jury in?

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor. Yesterday Mr. Schachter submitted a grievance at the jail. I don't know how you wanted to resolve that. Whether you wanted to hear the State's position on that.

THE COURT: I think we marked that as an exhibit.

THE CLERK: It is Exhibit 19.

MR. HYLIN: I'll get it.

THE CLERK: I can get it.

THE COURT: Does everyone have a copy of Exhibit 19? Did you all read this over, Mr. Bogale, last night?

MR. BOGALE: Yes, I did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So you've now though, for purposes of trial, you've had a chance to look at the 911 call CD, and I kind of heard you giving him direction. Sounds like you know the

911 call almost better than Mr. Bogale.

13.

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes.

THE COURT: So what pretrial motions do you want to file?

MR. SCHACHTER: No. No. At the time that this was given to me I didn't have the 911 call. Remember we discussed that in the hearing.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SCHACHTER: And so when the jail came back to me and said it had already been in custody that whole time, they accused me of lying here in the hearing, saying that I got discovery that I never got that they actually had a separate part of jail. So when I complained about that, they suddenly told me that they had possession of the — the disk in classification in IMU.

And I said, in addition to the court order that they bring all the discovery to that original hearing, that was your order, they didn't tell me that they had it. So I didn't even know that it was here so obviously. I wouldn't have made the motion for additional discovery if I knew it was in there. And their response was we're not telling you about discovery, I have to get it from — I have to be informed through cocounsel of discovery from the State that they don't give me.

THE COURT: Okay. And you say they should share.

\_

U

MR. SCHACHTER: Right.

THE COURT: So is there any discovery that Mr. Hylin has gotten that you haven't been notified of.

MR. SCHACHTER: Not that I know of.

MR. HYLIN: I think pretty much everything that
Mr. Schachter has we've sent -- he's got everything that I've got
in our file up there.

THE COURT: He's gotten to see it all now?

MR. HYLIN: Yes.

THE COURT: So this is something that you might to want to complain that the sheriff didn't do right, but right now for the trial you've got it.

MR. SCHACHTER: As far as I know. As far as -- that's why I asked Mr. Bogale yesterday, and he said their responsibility is once they give it to the sheriff that was my issue.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, if there's an issue with the sheriff's office, there's an issue. I don't know if Mr. Bogale is responsible for that.

MR. SCHACHTER: It was my point that that was the whole issue of discovery, so that I could see it.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SCHACHTER: And if I can't get a chance to see it then it's not discovered to me.

1	THE COURT: But right know we have it all. It's going
2	good. Mr. Bogale, did you want to add something to that?
3	MR. BOGALE: No, I did not. I just want to bring it
4	up.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So now we have about five or
6	six minutes before we're going to bring the jury in to you all
7	can get ready to go. Then as soon as the jury comes in, I will
8	ask you to proceed and you can call Mr. Hand back to the stand.
9	Or we can have Mr. Hand on the stand. Is he here?
10	Whatever. Just let the clerk know and the bailiff will
11	let us know when all the jurors are here. And we'll just start
12	back up with Mr. Hand.
13	Okay. Is there nothing else for me right now?
14	MR. BOGALE: Nothing right now.
15	THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Court's in recess.
16	Recess taken.
17	Those proceedings were outside the presence of the jury.
18	THE COURT: Deputy, do we have the jury back?
19	THE BAILIFF: We do, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Okay: Please bring them in.
21	Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the
22	jury?
23	MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Schachter?

\_\_\_\_

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

Was it really a piece of paper on the floor, did you trip over a piece of paper or a step?

55: I don't know what it was, but it was big.

THE COURT: I know we just want to make sure that I inform the management if there was any stubbed toes or anything.

55: I'm almost 70, Your Honor. That's why you don't ask the elder people to stay on the jury.

THE COURT: No. No. I wanted to let you know that we're ready to continue to hear the case today. I do believe it will be tomorrow morning that you'll be in deliberations, not later tonight. Something could change, but I suspect we will just be hearing evidence most of the day today and then closing arguments and deliberating tomorrow morning.

Now we were in the middle of the testimony with Mr. Hand. We were beginning cross-examination. Mr. Hand, welcome back.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're sill under oath. You may finish your cross-examination.

BY MR. SCHACHTER:

Q Just a brief question. You testified yesterday that

1	you were in your car when you called 911, is that correct?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	MR. SCHACHTER: That is the Your Honor, can we play
4	the
5	THE COURT: You'd like to play the call that he made?
6	MR. SCHACHTER: Exactly.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Appreciate the State's help
8	assisting with that.
9	MR. BOGALE: And, Your Honor, I'm just going to stand
10	here so I can stop it.
11	THE COURT: That's fine.
12	So Mr. Schachter, you would like the witness to listen
13	to what you're playing and you want him to identify that?
14	MR. SCHACHTER: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. SCHACHTER: I think it's better if they just play
17	the whole thing so everybody hears it. Then we don't have to
18	stop and start it.
19	Disk, 991, what is the address of your emergency?
20	It's the Walmart an Mae Anne and McCarran.
21	The Seventh Street Walmart?
22	Yes, ma'am.
23	Okay. And what's is it inside and outside the
24	Walmart?

		ll .	
)	1		He's outside. It's our security. He's trying to get
	2	someone.	
	3		Walmart in the parking lot then?
	4		Yes, ma'am.
	5		Do you know what where it's at in the parking lot?
	6		It's home goods store on the garden side.
	7	А	It's on the garden side.
	8	Q	Okay. Do you think there's a fight going on?
	9	A	Yeah, our security has someone and he's trying to get
	10	away.	
	11	Q	You can tell it's security for sure?
	12	А	Yeah, I work here.
	13	Q	Oh, you're an employee. Okay.
	14	A	Yes, ma'am.
	15	Q	And are they fighting with him?
	16	A	Yeah, he's trying to get away.
	17	Q	Is it a male subject?
	18	A	Yes, ma'am.
	19	Q	Okay. Black, white or Hispanic or Asian?
	20	A	He looks Hispanic. A little older maybe in his 50s.
	21	Q	Hold on. Hold on. How tall does he look?
	22	A	He's of about 5-8 5-9.
	23	Q	Medium or heavy build?
	24	A	Medium. Gray hair.

1	Q	And go ahead with what color shirt he was wearing.
2	A	Gray and it's plaid, square.
3	Q	And a gray plaid shirt. What color pants?
4	A	Black.
5	Q	And did you see any weapons or anything?
6	A	He doesn't seem to have any weapons. He seems like he
7	might be	under the influence of.
8	Q	Of drugs or alcohol?
9	A	Alcohol, maybe.
10	Q	Okay. And what's your last name?
11	А	Matthew Hand. H-A-N-D.
12	Q	And what's your call back number Matthew?
13	A	5305750086.
14	Q	Okay. Does it appear anyone needs any sort of medical
15	attention	1?
16	А	No, ma'am.
17	Q	We have help on the way already. Okay.
18	А	Thank you.
19	Q	You're welcome bye-bye.
20	BY MR. SC	HACHTER:
21	Q	So what made you believe that the person that
22	Mr. Monro	y was fighting was trying to get away? What led you to
23	that?	
24	A	The person I had seen was trying to go to the exit of
1		

Walmart, and then the loss prevention, Alex, was in front of him 1 stopping him to getting to the exit. So it was kind of like he 2 3 was trying to get around, you know. 4 Q And why the under the influence of description? 5 Α He was stumbling, trying -- exertion, I guess. 6 And did you go into Walmart and request any extra help? Q 7 Α I didn't. 8 Q Or security? 9 Α No. 10 And why was that? 0 11 I'm not with Walmart. I'm with the salon. We're just Α 12 contracted through them. So it wouldn't be my position to go to 13 their security. 14 It was your position to call 911, but you didn't think 15 that maybe he needed help? 16 Α Well there was another AP on the scene at the time. 17 What was she doing? Q 18 Α She was okaying as well. 19 She wasn't helping or actively preventing --Q 20 Α No.

The fleeing?

No?

No.

No she was on her phone.

21

22

23

24

Q

Α

0

Α

	ll .	
1	Q	And was there anybody else any other Walmart employees
2	helping?	
3	А	No.
4	Q	And did you discuss any of this after with Mr. Monroy?
5	A	No I hadn't seen him and then seemed like they switched
6	history o	n us.
7	Q	Because of this do you know?
8	A	I'm not sure.
9		MR. SCHACHTER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
10		THE COURT: Redistrict.
11	BY LEFT1:	
12	Q	Yes, Your Honor. Just a couple questions.
·13		Did the defendant look like he needed help?
14	A	No.
15	Q	What did it seem like he was doing?
16	A	It seemed like he was trying to get away.
17		MR. BOGALE: No further questions Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: Anything further.
19		MR. SCHACHTER: No. He can be excused.
20		THE COURT: May this witness be excused.
21		You may step down you are excused.
22		Go ahead and call your next witness.
23		MR. BOGALE: The State calls Anna Young.
24		THE BAILIFF: Go ahead. Stand right here face the
		l l

1	clerk.	
2		THE COURT: Please raise your right hand.
3	,	Witness sworn.
4		THE CLERK: Please be seated at the witness stand.
5	BY MR. BOG	CALE:
6	Q	Once you get comfortable go ahead and spell your name
7	and state	your last name, please.
8	A	A-N-N-A Y-O-U-N-G, young.
9	Q	Good morning, Ms. Young.
10	A	Good morning.
11	Q	Where were you employed in June of this year?
12	A	Walmart on Seventh Street in Reno.
13	Q	And what was your position there?
14	A	I was asset protection associate.
15	Q	Okay. Why don't you just describe briefly when an
16	asset prot	ection associate is?
17	A	Basically what he do is we are undercover or none and
18	formed per	sonnel that, how would I put this, like security or
19	internal a	nd external theft of assets and good at the store.
20	Q	Okay. And how experienced were you as an asset
21	protection	associate in June of this mass year or this year?
22	A	I was about ten months in. Very well experienced.
23	Q	Did you receive any training as part of becoming an
24	asset prot	ection associate?

- 1
- Α Yes.
- 2
- Q What sort of training?

Α I received a four and a half week training through various other stores and lead associates that have had anywhere

from between three to five years experience on the job.

5

Q And did that include like going out on the floor?

6 7

Α Yes.

8

Were you trained sort of to detect behavior? 0

9

Α Yes.

10

Q What sorts of behavior do you look for?

11

We were trained to detect body language, to notice, Α

12 13 suspicious behavior as like certain tells, people looking for

14

cameras, we call them camera watchers. Ducking in and out of aisles, thing likes that. Looking around watching associates,

15

just through general suspicious behavior. Also as well as coming

16

in with backpacks, large purses, abnormal clothing for the

17

ambient weather, say very heavy jackets in the summer time.

18

That's another suspicious activity.

19

As far as cameras, does Walmart have cameras in the 0 store?

20 21

Α Yes.

22

Q Do you as an asset protection associate use those?

23

Α Absolutely.

24

0 How do you use the cameras?

1	A We access the cameras through next too far have a, it	
2	is an online that goes through our CCTCDVR system it's all	
3	24-hour live feed recorded every time.	
4	Q And are you pretty good at operating that system?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Let's go to June 9th of this past or of this year	
7	about three months ago. Were you employed at Walmart?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q As an asset protection associate?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Was anyone else working with you as an asset protection	
12	associate?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Who?	
15	A My partner Alex Monroy.	
16	Q Do you recall being involved in an incident with an	
17	individual named mark Schachter. Yes?	
18	Q First of all, do you see mark character here in the	
19	courtroom today?	
20	A Yes, sir.	
21	Q And could you point at him and describe an article of	
22	closing he's wearing?	
23	A Blue colored shirt.	
24	MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, may the record reflect	

1 identification of this defendant by this witness? 2 THE COURT: Can you be more specific? 3 THE WITNESS: Blue colored shirt, dark slacks, black 4 shoes and a designed tie. 5 MR. BOGALE: Is he wearing a jacket? 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 THE COURT: Record will so reflect. 8 BY MR. BOGALE: 9 0 Let's go through what you remember step by step. 10 So did you conduct personal surveillance of him? 11 Α No. 12 0 Do you know if anybody else did? .13 Α Yes. 14 Q Who? 15 Α Alex Monroy. 16 And how do you know that? 17 Alex was on the floor. I was on a 15-minute break. Α 18 was in my office reviewing CCTV for any suspicious activity while 19 Alex was also on the floor. He called me from his cell phone on 20 our office phone explaining that he had a subject that he had 21 selection of certain merchandise, and he had him tearing open the 22 packages and placing that merchandise in a backpack. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor --24 THE WITNESS: In our garden administrator.

1 MR. SCHACHTER: Objection. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. BOGALE: What's the basis? 4 THE WITNESS: I think it's hearsay of what Alex said to 5 her. 6 The State is offering this for the effect MR. BOGALE: 7 upon the hearer and the response. 8 THE COURT: I think you can probably get around that 9 for that purpose and not for the truth of matter asserted. 10 MR. BOGALE: Okay. 11 BY MR. BOGALE: 12 Did you do anything in response to this call from Alex? 0 13 Α Yes. 14 What do you do? 15 I pulled up that section of CCT camera to see if I Α 16 could personally surveil by our surveillance system what the 17 subject in question was doing. 18 And by personally surveilling, you mean looking at the 19 video cameras? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q Were you able to pull a camera of this 22 individual that Mr. Monroy was talking about? 23 Α Not with any good indicator of what actually was 24 happening.

22

23

24

Q Where was the defendant and where was Alex when this was happening?

A Alex was over in the HVA area with is our health and beauty section and the subject in question was over in our garden center seasonal in one of the aisles.

Q So what did you do next?

A From not be able to gain any visual from overhead CCTV Alex told me in a he was starting to head out towards --

MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor.

MR. BOGALE: Effect on the hearer again.

THE COURT: I'm not sure it's necessary. So I'm going to sustain the objection as to hearsay. If it appears that we need more information, I'll allow you to inquire.

## BY MR. SCHACHTER:

- Q Okay. Did you leave your office?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Where did you go?
- A I went through the front of the store, exited the GM doors out around through the outside of the garden center.
  - Q Why did you do that?
  - A I was going to cut off the subject in question.
- Q Okay. Were you so okay so you're outside. What happened next?
  - A I did not immediately see the subject exit our gather

center exited so I held back a little bathe wait by the propane tanks that we have out there waited for him to come out. He departments come out for a little bit so I assumed either my partner, Alex was spotted or he was kind of hanging back a little bit watching for security which sometimes does happen or perhaps he was purchasing something.

- Q Now how do you know who to look for you count pull any camera, right?
  - A I got an accurate description from my partner Alex.
  - Q Did Alex describe like clothing or something?
  - A Yes.
    - Q Did he describe his height?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Did you ultimately confront the defendant?
- A Personally?
  - Q Well did the defendant ever come out the doors?
- 17 A Yes.
  - Q Okay. Where were you?
  - A I was still held back a little bit. I was actually quite a bit of distance as from the door to the exit of the garden center to where I was was probably about 60 to 75 feet away.
    - Q Was Alex near the defendant?
      - A Yes. As Schachter exited the garden center,

1 immediately after Alex Monroy came around him to make the 2 apprehension.

Q Now what was the defendant carrying? Did he have anything on him?

A Yes.

Q What dove?

A He had a black plastic type gray backpack with also a white plastic Walmart bag.

Q Were there any items that you could see in the white plastic Walmart bag?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Having worked there what did that indicate to you that bag?

A It indicated to me that there was a possibility that he paid for those merchandise inside the bag.

Q Okay. So do you as much -- so do you and Alex confront the defendant outside?

A Yes. Alex initially first and I did second.

Q Describe how that happened.

A Alex came around and once I saw Schachter and Alex outside he was making the apprehension I immediately started running up to my partner to give him at least relieve him of back up. He was going over the see if of Walmart asset protection, I want to ask you about the merchandise in the bag. And Schachter

held up his white bags, and said I paid for this stuff.

Alex said no I'm not talking about that. I'm talking about the stuff you but in the backpack. Kind of went on, I don't know what your talking about.

MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: This is my baq.

THE COURT: What are you objecting to.

MR. SCHACHTER: Again, hearsay as to what I said.

THE COURT: Overruled as to what you said. Your statements aren't hearsay.

## BY MR. BOGALE:

- Q You can continue your answer.
- A Where was I.
- Q I think you had been cut off where you were describing that the defendant held up the plastic bag saying it was his.
  - A Yes.
- Q But you guys just had asked no, were concerned about the backpack.
- A Yes. I had presented my Walmart security badge, which we are always supposed to do when we're apprehending somebody because we're undercover. And Schachter, Alex and I were moving further out into the parking lot and the drive area. So we stayed in front of him, trying to keep him boxed. He still had possession of the backpack over his left shoulder, still had

possession of the white bag as well.

Schachter got kind of aggressive, I'd like to say, in a sense that he was antagonizing Alex to swing first.

MR. SCHACHTER: Objection.

MR. BOGALE: Let me stop --

THE COURT: You want to.

MR. BOGALE: What was the basis for the objection?

MR. SCHACHTER: Calls for a conclusion as to --

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. BOGALE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Q What did he -- what did the defendant say, if you recall?

A He said get out of my way. Get out of my way. And what are you going to do, go ahead swing, hit me. Hit me. Alex kept saying, I'm not going to hit you. I kept having his hands, you know, trying to keep him boxed and I'm standing on the left side and right side of Alex, moving back around trying to keep him boxed in as well.

- Q Was there ever physical contact?
- A Yes.
  - Q Who initiated the physical contact?
- A Marc Schachter.
- 23 | Q How did he do that?
  - A He shoved Alex in the chest.

1 Q Okay. Did he ever drop the backpack? 2 Α Not until later. 3 0 Did he ever drop the plastic bag? 4 Α Yes. 5 0 So he dropped the plastic bag, right, but he never 6 dropped the backpack? 7 Not until I said, far later during the altercation. Α 8 Now as Alex and the defendant are kind of in a 0 9 confrontation what are you doing like, what's your role at this 10 time? 11 Α My whole role basically is just to act as a witness to 12 the apprehension or anything that happens to or could possibly en 13 sue in the situation. And to just mentally and visually keep note of everything. 14 15 Did you call 911? Q 16 Α I did. 17 Q Okay. 18 Α Well, actually I did not call 911. I called police 19 dispatch. 20 Q What's the difference? 21 Α Police dispatch is a different line in the dispatch 22 office, where 911 is a direct line to the emergency. 23 Q The dispatch is like a non-emergency line?

24

Α

Um-hum.

Q Do you recall observing when the backpack changed hands?

A In the heat of the moment I was on my phone. I had possession of the subject's white plastic bag, as they were in their altercation during the shoving match he dropped it, and I gained possession of it. So at that point I picked up my phone, called for dispatch, and it kept ringing. At some point during that Alex had slight possession of the bag. It was still on Schachter's shoulder, and he had it on this side kind of like in a tug of war about it until it kind of moves further on down in the parking lot.

- Q Did you ever here the defendant say stop, this is my backpack?
  - A Not that I recall no.
  - Q Did he ever tell you it was his backpack?
- A No.

- Q I'm going to show you a video, what's been marked as Exhibit 16 in this case. Do you recognize the video?
- A Yes.
  - Q How?
    - A I burned this video personally.
    - Q And by -- okay. Well what does it show?
- A It shows Marc Schachter exiting the garden center with the unpaid merchandise in his backpack, Alex coming up for the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

apprehension, myself running from the left side to the middle of the screen as back up and as witness. I'm getting into a physical altercation. My possession of Schachter' bag once it's dropped, and then continuing to get into an altercation.

- Q I just paused it there. Can you point out yourself on this video if you can see your --
  - A I'm very small. Right here.
- Q Okay. And does this video depict, does this video depict accurately what you observed that day?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now what direction is the defendant trying to go in?
- A He's pretty much just trying to get around us, basically probably line of sight is over to the west Seventh Street exit is to where the direct your attention of the left to right traffic is headed.
- Q Okay. Pause it right there. So did the police ultimately arrive?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What did they do when they arrived?
- A When they arrived the two vehicles pulled up. We had Schachter kind of boxed in at that point they got out of their vehicle addressed Schachter and told him to sit.
  - Q Did you speak to the cops?

1 Α Not immediately, no. 2 0 What do you do immediately? 3 I had still possession of Schachter white paid for Α 4 merchandise bag. Alex was kind of giving the officers a rundown 5 of the situation, what had happened, the altercation, and I kind 6 gave them my little bit of witness portions to it as Alex was a 7 little bit out of breath. 8 Q So you had the white plastic bag. Who had the 9 backpack? 10 Α Alex had the backpack. 11 Q Do you remember how Alex gained possession of the 12 backpack? 13 Α During the altercation the bag shifted from Schachter, 14 came to the ground, and Alex took possession of the baq. 15 0 What did you do with the plastic bag next? 16 Α I remained possession of it until Schachter was in 17 custody then I gave the bag over to the officers. 18 And why did you give the bag to the officers? Q 19 Α Because it's not our property. It's not our 20 merchandise, it's paid for. It is technically his. 21 Q You confirmed it was paid for? 22 Α Yes. 23 How did you that, was there a receipt? 0

There was a receipt.

24

Α

1	Q So what happened what happens to the backpack?
2	A Alex and I maintained possession of it.
3	Q What
4	MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor. Two people
5	may
6	THE COURT: You can inquire in cross.
7	MR. SCHACHTER: Okay.
8	THE COURT: Overruled.
9	BY MR. BOGALE:
10	Q So you can answer what happened to the backpack?
11	A We maintained possession of it and took it back inside
12	the store.
. 13	Q Okay. And did you ever have possession of the
14	backpack?
15	A I had possession of the backpack for a short portion of
16	the time while Alex was addressing the officers about the
17	situation. I had the bag open and taking out the merchandise
18	that was concealed.
19	Q Okay. Did you ever take the backpack inside the store?
20	A Yes.
21	Q What did you do with the backpack inside the store?
22	A We took it through the garden center exit where this
23	altercation happened, went back over to the section where
24	Schachter was concealing the merchandise to look for any other

evidence of packaging or anything like that. And then once we found a few things, took those up to our office.

Q Okay. And then did you do anything else with the backpack?

A We laid the backpack out on our security bench, which is in front of our CCT camera and took out the merchandise, laid it up with all of the labels out, so it would be easily read for evidence and took an evidence photo.

Q Did you do anything else with the backpack? Did you ever -- did Alex ever ask you to do anything else as part of investigation?

A I took the backpack and the merchandise up to customer service as to inquire a nine action.

Q What's a nine action?

A A nine action is an itemized training receipt that we use to get a description of the merchandise as well as a dollar amount of the merchandise in question that could have either been damaged in the event or that was concealed and thought to be or that was attempt to be stolen at that time.

Q So you got the training -- you got this nine action what you called it, right?

A Yes.

Q I'm going to show you what's been marked -- Your Honor may I approach the witness?

1	THE COURT: You may.
2	BY MR. BOGALE:
3	Q Show you what's been marked as Exhibit 8 in this case.
4	Take a look at that.
5	Q Do you recognize what that is?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What is it?
8	A This is an itemized training nine action receipt.
9	Q How do you know that?
10	A It says invalid receipt training on the bottom.
11	Something that's very common with only nine actions.
12	Q Is there O date on there?
13	A There is a date. June 9th, 2014. At the time that we
14	had the altercation settled Schachter was in custody around the
15	time that I was taking it up to customer service as well as the
16	case number provided why the officer.
17	Q Okay. And without telling us what's on the actual
18	paper, are there itemized is there a list of items on there?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Do those items match the items that you had possession
21	of?
22	A Yes.
23	Q The backpack and the items in the backpack?
24	A Um-hum, yes.

Q	Your Honor, the State moves to admit Exhibit 8.
	THE COURT: Any objection?
	MR. SCHACHTER: No, Your Honor.
	THE COURT: Exhibit 8 is admitted.
	MR. BOGALE: I'll retrieve that from you.
	Your Honor, may I publish?
	THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. BC	GALE:
Q	Just going to put it up on the screen here so we can
all see i	t. So just walk us through it here. What is does it
say at th	e very stop.
А	Very top is icy hot.
Q	Well the very, very stop?
A	The very, very top in wall yes.
A	The very, very top Walmart.
Q	And we kind of move down is there an address on there?
A	Yes.
Q	That's the west Seventh Street address?
A	Yes.
Q	And then we start looking at the itemized stuff. So
what's on	there?
A	Has the icy hot, the heating pad a box of hair dye, and
the backp	ack used to conceal the merchandise.
Q	Okay. And to generate this receipt, how does that
	BY MR. BC Q all see i say at th A Q A Q A Q what's on A the backp

1	work?	
2	A	We have to scan the UPC's or the barcodes on the
3	merchand:	ise.
4	Q	Okay. So those are scanned and you get a value?
5	A	Um-hum.
6	Q	Okay. And do you see on this receipt the ultimate
7	value of	all these items combined?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	What is that?
10	A	The subtotal before tax is \$99.61.
11	Q	Okay. And is that so just to be clear, the backpack is
12	\$34.97?	
13	A	The backpack is \$34.97.
14	Q	And the hair dye is how much?
15	A	Hair dye is 5.92.
16	Q	The heating pad?
17	A	\$29.84.
18	Q	And the icy hot?
19	А	\$28.88.
20	Q	Now let's talk about the video just for a moment. You
21	testified	d earlier that Walmart has video surveillance constant
22	24/7, rig	ght?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Did you select the video feels from all that have raw

footage to put in the very long tariff file for this case?

A Yes.

Q Okay. How did you go about selecting which video to put in and whatnot to put in?

A I am highly capable with your CCTV cameras, more so than most of your team. So when it came Tom the point of being able to identify which cameras or what angles I already knew which ones to pull up.

Since we were in the garden center parking lot where the altercation happened, there were only two to three cameras. I rolled two or three cameras and found the two best cameras for that long distance shot that caught everything from the overhead, outside altercation, as well as the camera shots that we have of Schachter selecting the merchandise that was concealed, as well as him with the concealed merchandise in the backpack walking out. Things like that.

- Q Now did you have any reason to look for video of him entering the store?
  - A No.
  - Q On June 9th?
- A No.
  - Q Why not?
- A Because at that point with the backpack being part of Walmart merchandise and as as far as my knowledge him never once

every saying that the backpack was his personal possession, I 1 2 never had a reason to go back and find whether he walked into it 3 or not. 4 Now what owned up happening to all the property? Q 5 Α All the prompt. 6 And specifically the backpack the highcy hot and Q 7 heating bad and the hair dye we saw on the training receipt? 8 Α If the items are not damaged in any way they are 9 returned to the sales floor. 10 And they're restocked on the shelves? 0 11 Α Yes. 12 Q For sale? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Okay. And they all worked? 15 Α Um-hum. 16 Q And that's because they were in new condition? 17 Α Yes. 18 Now, I'm going to fast-forward to about a week later. Q 19 Okay. Did you ever have an occasion to go back to Walmart 20 surveillance video and look for more video? 21 Α Yes. 22 What triggered you to do that? Q 23

1:00 a.m. shift, Alex was there for the dayshift and our crosses

As it was right before my shift from a 4:00 p.m. to

Α

24

overlaps. He was already reviewing the CCTV when I came in from my shift and he said that he had received a call from Schachter's attorneys saying that he was contesting the charges, saying that the backpack was his, so we need to roll video to see if he had entered the store with it.

Q Okay. And so that's that's what Alex was doing when you came into work?

A Um-hum.

Q Did you end up doing the same thing?

A Yes. Alex had issues saying that he wasn't able to find him with the videos that he had pulled up or certain cameras that he had just pulled up, just of the entrances. So I told him get back out on the floor. You still have a few hours let. Let me kind of work my magic kind of thing. See what I can do.

Initially what I if was instead of rolling cameras from the entrances which has many cameras just for the entrances which is a little more difficult. I actually took the camera shots that I had from the altercation and rolled backwards replaying everything in reverse moving, through the store and unfortunately I lost him as he was serpentining throughout our store in certain areas.

Q You send Alex back onto the floor. Is that because you're more technically proficient with the --

A Yes.

- Q With the camera?
- Q And you mentioned that you played it in reverse and the defendant was serpentining. Are you making reference to a snake?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What does that mean?
- A He was moving back and forth throughout the aisles, ducking between aisles kind of thing. Irregular shopping patterns.
  - Q Did you look for video of him entering the store?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Did you find any?
- A No. I spent almost an hour and a half trying to find him enter the store with unfortunately, nothing.
- Q Do you have -- or do you know how many people enter that store every day?
  - A On average from between a thousand to 2500.
  - Q Is it easy to identify everyone coming in and out?
- A If you know what you're looking for, yes, but given if you don't know what time one particular person actually entered the store, you can wait a lot of time trying to find it and it's very difficult during peek period of time which at of this incident was a peek period of time in the morning lots of people why going through your entrances.
  - Q And you didn't have an idea as to what specific time

1 frame to look for? 2 Α No. 3 So you were just looking for raw video footage? 0 4 Α I was just looking through raw video footage to see if I could possibly get a miracle, for him to pop up on a camera and 5 6 I could go through there, but unfortunately backtracking I found 7 nothing. 8 Q Just a couple more questions for you, Ms. Young. I didn't show you actually, the actual disk that I just played a 9 10 video file from. 11 Your Honor, may I approach the witness. MR. BOGALE: 12 THE COURT: You may. 13 BY MR. BOGALE: 14 Is your handwriting anywhere on that disk? Q 15 Α No. 16 Q So can I take it back. So you said earlier that you 17 burned this did you mean you? 18 Α I burned the original initial file. 19 So by burn what do you exactly mean? Q 20 Α Copy the live CCT camera file onto the recorded file. 21 Q So is that all -- was that have all internal on 22 like Walmart's hard drive or something? 23 Α Yes. 24 So correct me if I'm wrong, but is it correct to say Q

1	that you	took raw video files and he had at this time them and
2	saved tho	se edited video files off and on to a hard drive is?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Is that what you mean by burn?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. How many cameras in the store?
7	A	Not that I have couldn't, but we have quite a few.
8	Q	Could you ballpark it perhaps?
9	A	I would probably say anywhere upwards of maybe 150,
10	175.	
11	Q	Okay. And do those cameras, to the best of your
12	knowledge	, cover every square inch of the store?
13	A	For the most part, yes.
14	. Q	But are there areas that they don't cover?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And when as you received the call from am electronics
17	telling yo	ou to get involved in this investigation, was the
18	defendant	visit I believe on surveillance individual?
19	A	Not to any major identifiers, no.
20	Q	No further questions Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: Cross-examination.
22		MR. SCHACHTER: Yes.
23	BY MR. SCI	HACHTER:
24	Q	You just testified that most of the stores is covered

by surveillance? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Are there specific, do you know of specific 0 4 responsibilities that aren't covered? 5 Α Yes. 6 And give us a few of those if you would? Q 7 Α Give you a few of the areas that are not covered by 8 surveillance. 9 That you know of personally? Q 10 Some aisles of our housewares. Α 11 And that's it? 0 12 Α Some aisles of housewares, some aisles of back portion 13 of sporting goods departments, grocery, the majority of grocery. 14 Q And that's all you're aware of? 15 Α Everything else pretty much has a view. 16 Q Okay. And so you testified that my attorney had 17 requested video footage? 18 I was informed by Alex that a call had been received by Α 19 him. 20 Okay. Q 21 Α That was your attorney or some of the Court system 22 something like that. I wasn't exactly questioning the kind of 23 thing. 24 Okay. And do you remember what date or approximately Q

1 what date that was? 2 Α I'm sorry. What date, when it was? 3 0 4 Α Not exactly sure on the date, 100 percent but it was 5 average three to five days after you were taken into custody. 6 0 So sometime in that --7 Α Sometimes in the first we can you were taken. 8 0 But definitely within the month of June, right? 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. And to you don't remember -- you don't remember 0 11 who specifically Alex said was requesting? 12 Α No. 13 Q Just someone from --14 Α Just someone from the court or your attorney in 15 representing me. 16 Α Yeah. 17 Q Okay. Let's go back to the altercation outside the 18 garden center. You testified that Mr. Monroy identified himself? 19 Α ID um-hum. 20 And what did he say exactly? Q 21 Α He should have said what we all say. 22 Q What did he say that's the question? 23 Α I wasn't next to him when he approached you. 24 Q You didn't hear him identify himself?

I didn't hear the first portion of it, but I did hear 1 2 the last portion of it. 3 0 And what was that? 4 Α The portion where he said -- questioning the 5 merchandise in the bag. And you said, this stuff is mine I paid 6 for it. And he said, no I'm talking about the stuff in your 7 backpack. 8 Q And did he have anything in his hand, Mr. Monroy? 9 Α I made no account of it. 10 Did he ask you to call 911? Q 11 Α No. 12 Q And you called not 911 but you testified you called 13 dispatch? 14 Α Yes dispatch. 15 Non-emergency dispatch. So you didn't think it was an 0 16 emergency? 17 Our non-emergency dispatch reacts faster than the 911 18 so that's what we are trained to call. 19 Doesn't player what kind of emergency you're trained 0 20 just to call, somebody gets shot not parking lot or whatever? 21 Α Obviously if someone gets shot parking lot yes we will 22 call 911. 23 Q Go ahead?

During the investigation portion for an apprehension we

24

Α

1 don't bother 911.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q So what about instances over robbery?

A Over robbery there are certain procedures that we take, but normally upper management are the ones that make the final call for that.

Q So in the middle of a robbery you have tore discuss it with management on whether you should call 911 or non-emergency?

A Well, pertaining to after robbery we are the least notified personal. So we actually don't really know that a robbery is happening until all of upper management has already made a call and they were aware of a situation, we are provided as a backup.

Q So you didn't feel that Mr. Monroy was being robbed is that what you're saying?

A No.

Q Okay.

MR. SCHACHTER: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.

## BY MR. BOGALE:

- Q Why did you call non-emergency dispatch?
- A That is part of your training for an apprehension.
- Q And there was an apprehension taking place in this case?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Why was there an apprehension taking place?
3	A	Because Alex Monroy was in the process of questioning,
4	investiga	ting a subject that he had personally watched, select,
5	conceal,	and past the last point of sale, not purchasing or
6	failing t	o purchase any of the merchandise in question.
7	Q	Did the defendant have any weapons on him?
8	А	Notice that I can could tell, no.
9	Q	He didn't have a gun or anything?
10	А	No.
11	Q	So had there been a weapon, would you have called 911?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. Top was it your understanding that the defendant
14	hadn't pa	id for the merchandise?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And that he was trying to walk away from it?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Was it your understanding that he used violence and
19	force?	
20		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
21		THE COURT: Sustained.
22	BY MR. BO	GALE:
23	Q	What was your understanding as to what was happening?
24	А	My understanding as to what was happening was that Marc

1	Schachter had selected, concealed and failed to purchase the
2	concealed merchandise while providing a ruse of actually paying
3	for some merchandise and not
4	MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor
5	BY MR. BOGALE:
6	Q Did you witness him use force?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. BOGALE: No further questions, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Schachter.
10	BY MR. SCHACHTER:
11	Q Did you witness myself steal any items?
12	A No.
13	MR. SCHACHTER: That's all, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
15	MR. BOGALE: He yes, Your Honor, she may.
16	MR. SCHACHTER: Subject to recall.
17	THE COURT: You may step down, but you're not excused.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
20	MR. BOGALE: State calls Corelee Bunker.
21	THE CLERK: Thank you, please be seated at the witness
22	stand.
23	(Witness Sworn)

1	BY MR. BOGALE:		
2	Q Good morning.		
3	A Morning.		
4	Q Please, for us, state your name and spell your last		
5	name for the court reporter.		
6	A Corelee Bunker, B-U-N-K-E-R.		
7	Q Ms. Bunker where are you employed?		
8	A Walmart.		
9	Q Okay. Which Walmart?		
10	A On Seventh and Mae Anne.		
11	Q Okay. How long have you been employed there?		
12	A Little over nine years.		
13	Q Nine years. What do you do there?		
14	A I'm a cashier.		
15	Q Okay. Have you ever held any other position there?		
16	A No.		
17	Q So you've been a cashier for almost nine years?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q Okay. Do you have like an employee number?		
20	A Yes, I do.		
21	Q What is that?		
22	A 1423.		
23	Q Okay. And as as cashier, does your employee number		
24	printout on receipts?		

	ll .	
1	A	Yes, it does.
2	Q	Okay. In all the receipts?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	That you do a transaction for?
5	A	Um-hum.
6	Q	As a cashier what are your duties?
7	A	Well, my duties are just to keep the area clean and to
8	watch the	e in and outdoor.
9	Q	Okay. And also to help people by stuff?
10	А	Yes.
11		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, leading.
12		THE COURT: Sustained.
13	BY MR. BO	GALE:
14	Q	Why do you watch the in and outdoors?
15	А	For people getting out with stuff they haven't paid
16	for.	
17	Q	Okay. Approximately how many people do you help per
18	day?	
19	A	Anywhere from 20 to 75.
20	Q	Have you been all around the store or are you at one
21	single ca	shier place?
22	А	One designated area.
23	Q	One designated area. Which area is that?
24	А	In the garden center.

A solar light and hair dye.

24

Α

ی

MR. SCHACHTER: Objection to this before we get it before the jury gets do see it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHACHTER: As to the chain of custody and how it arrived here.

THE COURT: Counsel.

MR. BOGALE: Yes.

THE COURT: Before you open it you need to have her, if she can identify it if someone else is going to have to do it.

MR. BOGALE: We had agreed to go individual item by item Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't know that agreement.

Okay. The ladies and gentlemen of the jury I'm going to have you step out for just a few minutes. This is a technical issue we have to resolve. During this break do not discuss the and don't leave until you've heard the admonition. Wait a second. During this break do not discuss the case amongst yourselves or with any other person, do not allow the anyone to speak of the case to you or in any manner attempt to influence you with regard to it. Should any person make such as attempt report it to me immediately. Do not make any independent investigation or inquiry into any of the facts or circumstances arounding this case and to do notice look at listen to for view any news media or any other accounts regarding this case.

1		Go ahead an	d go into the jury room.
2		THE COURT:	Counsel, I didn't know what you were trying
3	to say so	. We need to	o get it resolved.
4		MR. BOGALE:	That's okay.
5		THE COURT:	Do you want me to look at the exhibit?
6		MR. BOGALE:	Yes, it's been marked.
7		THE COURT:	Go ahead and retrieve it.
8		MR. BOGALE:	We only marked the bag. We didn't mark
9	the items	inside the h	pag.
10		THE COURT:	Correct.
11	,	MR. BOGALE:	The bag that I was talking about.
12		THE COURT:	But who brought the bag to the courthouse?
13		MR. BOGALE:	We did, the State.
14		THE COURT:	You personally did.
15		MR. BOGALE:	My agent, the investigator.
16		THE COURT:	Can I see the bag?
17		MR. BOGALE:	Yes.
18		THE COURT:	Mr. Base.
19		MR. BOGALE:	Ms. Base.
20		MR. BOGALE:	Michelle M base.
21		THE COURT:	Okay. He's objecting to you opening the
22	evidence,	with you per	rsonally opening it with some scissors.
23		MR. BOGALE:	Okay.
24		THE COURT:	So normally you'd have the witness testify

15.

as to where this came from with a chain. And then once the witness can establish this, testifies, then the individual items inside the bag are marked in a series, but the bag has the chain of custody where it came from, et cetera.

So I don't think this witness can do that based on what I'm looking at, I'm not sure this witness can do it. And I'm a little concerned with the top. So.

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor.

THE COURT: You want to retrieve it.

MR. BOGALE: Sure. Under the case law that I'm familiar with, Your Honor, to establish a chain of the custody the State doesn't need to provide every single order in the chain of custody, the case is source versus state. There's — if she.

THE COURT: But that envelope doesn't have this witness's name on it anywhere. I understand you don't have to have every person who touched the bag.

MR. BOGALE: Right.

THE COURT: You haven't established how this got here.

And this witness can't establish that.

MR. BOGALE: She can establish where it came from and that she printed it.

THE COURT: How can she do that?

MR. BOGALE: The actual receipt is in the bag. The receipt she gave the defendant is in the bag.

THE COURT: So what you want her to testify to is a receipt inside this evidence bag. Okay.

MR. BOGALE: And the items that she sold to him. He bought two items.

THE COURT: Ma'am, would you step out for a few minutes.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BOGALE: She gave him the receipt.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask her to step out before we start talking.

Witness left of the courtroom).

THE COURT: Is there an identifying mark from this witness on the document, on the items that you're alleging that she can identify?

MR. BOGALE: Yeah. Her employee number is on the receipt?

THE COURT: On the item. So what we've got is we've got hair dye. Just a generic hair dye. Is that what's in there? How do we know this is the hair dye that supposedly goes with this receipt?

Can this witness testify to that or can the police officer say she handed it to me.

MR. BOGALE: She personally conducted the transaction,

Your Honor.

THE COURT: But the box, does it have her name on it, did mark that box somehow? The only way you know this box goes with that receipt is that she, I'm assuming, handed it to a police officer, who took it in and put it in a bag with his initials on it.

MR. BOGALE: I would submit there's another way, Your Honor. Her recollection of personally doing in the transaction and recognizing the item she sold to him and matching it on the receipt. She testified to that. She remembers what she sold him. She personally remembers that.

THE COURT: Okay. So.

MR. BOGALE: She can identify it. She can look and say that's the items I sold to him. These are the two items I sold to him, this is the receipt I gave him, after he paid for them. That's my employee number on the receipt. That's the date. She can testify to all of that, but I have to show it to her first.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Schachter.

MR. SCHACHTER: She can't identify that particular box. There's 10,000 hair dye box — what makes that — exactly like that, what makes that hair dye box and that garden light different that's identifiable to anyone than the 10,000 other ones that's created by Clairol?

MR. BOGALE: Is he can asking me that question?

THE COURT: He's asking me. He's saying that's why you need the chain of custody.

MR. BOGALE: Well, I think that's appropriate grits for cross-examination, Your Honor, but it doesn't go to admissibility.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure. Mr. Bogale, it does go to admissibility, if that item — if the character of that item was at issue in this case. In other words, if this were something that is generic, but whether or not this particular item is the item that was paid for, was necessary for a particular element or a particular part of this case, you're argument would be inappropriate and not acceptable to get the item in.

Now there are some evidentiary issues that are not subject to the same requirements of knowing that it's specific. So when Mr. Schachter says, how do you know this is the right box, does it matter if it's the right box, if what you're trying to prove is that this is the receipt that was found, does that matter? Is it essential that it be the right box of Clairol dye? What if it's the wrong box of Clairol dye. If you tested it for fingerprints, DNA is there any any reason for it to matter if there is a reason for it to matter the chain of dud is essential. THE ATTORNEY:

Q She as she just previously testified said she remembers

what she told to him?

Α

THE COURT: I didn't ask you that I heard what she testified to I'm asking does it player.

MR. BOGALE: It matters that the items match the receipt, yeah.

THE COURT: Well then ^ adopt ^ don't it matter if this is the item that she gave the police officer.

## THE ATTORNEY:

Q Well it does matter but we already have an answer to that Your Honor?

THE COURT: How do you have that answer.

MR. BOGALE: She remembers what she told him.

THE COURT: Inside side this bag, the box if you think it matters which box she can't identify that box as specifically the one that she handed to the Mr. With the receipt. She shall say it looks like it, yeah that's the kind of dye, but she can't say this is the box. That's why the person she handed it to, if it matters, would come in and say, she handed it me this Walmart the receipt. That's why it's marked and sealed. That's why you don't, that's why you weren't supposed to cut it up before you marked it ex-boyfriend the jury was here Roy why didn't you just take some scissors and cut it part and mark the box we're going to take a so short recession.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection was chain of custody. And that goes to mint of an particular. So have you reached any resolution of what to do with this nope.

MR. HYLIN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You still just want to use your scissors and and cut it pardon.

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, I'll do whatever you allow me to do.

THE COURT: I am not. I tried to explain what I thought your argument was in option to his objection, but you haven't used it. So you do what you want and if you can't use the exhibit in after you've done it and you destroyed the chain of you the then you just won't get the exhibit?

MR. BOGALE: Well, first I apologize for using the scissors to open the exhibit in court. May I ask to have the individuals items in this marked for identification purposes, and what I'm really getting at is the receipt in there. I don't really have an argument again the hair dye being a generic item. The receipt however, is different. It has unique identifiers on it. It has a date. It has employee number. So it has unique identifiers. And so if we can have it marked, I would like to ask the witness if she knows what it is.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SCHACHTER: Only as to how everything that's inside

the bag got from my property to right here. This is probably taken from the jail from me.

THE COURT: Oh. Mr. Schachter, he's requesting that the items be individually marked that are inside the bag. Do you have any objection to that?

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled. I'll direct the clerk to open the bag at the request of the State and mark each item inside. The items can not be shown to the jury without them being admitted. So you might need something — I don't know if you have anything opaque, because it's in a clear plastic bag right now.

MR. BOGALE: I have like a file folder, I guess.

MR. HYLIN: I've got an empty one.

THE COURT: He's got an empty one for you.

MR. BOGALE: Thank you.

THE CLERK: The box of hair dye will be 6 A, 6 B will be the garden light. This is off, Judge. And it appears to be part of the garden light. Can I mark it as C?

THE COURT: Mr. Schachter what would do you want to do?

MR. SCHACHTER: They were together, so I would just

think it would be better if they were both marked as six B.

THE CLERK: Okay. Great. I will put them back together. And that will be B. Six C is a Walmart receipt.

1	MR. SCHACHTER: Can I see the receipt?
2	MR. BOGALE: Sure.
3	THE CLERK: And 6 D is a receipt that is titled receipt
4	Washoe County, Nevada office of district attorney.
5	(Discussion held off the record.)
6	THE CLERK: Okay. Everything separately.
7	Mr. Schachter you wanted to see the Walmart receipt.
8	MR. SCHACHTER: Yes.
9	THE CLERK: Anything else?
10	MR. SCHACHTER: No.
11	THE CLERK: Okay.
12	THE COURT: So now you've gotten them marked
13	individually and which you want the witness back on the stand.
14	MR. BOGALE: Your Honor if it is okay with you the
15	State would like to make an offer of proof to get a legal ruling
16	on the admissibility. Items outside the presence of the jury,
17	just so, because it's been objected to.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. BOGALE: And now that they're marked we can have
20	Corelee come back in to help present the offer of proof on the
21	receipt itself.
22	THE COURT: Correct.
23	MR. BOGALE: Is that okay.
24	THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. BOGALE: Thank you.

2

THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, you're still under oath. ahead and retake the stand.

3

BY MR. SCHACHTER:

5

4

Q

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

Ms. Bunker, I'm going to show you what has been marked as --

THE COURT: Why don't you just take the whole envelope. And you can just kind of get used to dealing with it in his closed format in front of the jury.

BY MR. BOGALE:

This is Exhibit 6 everything is in here, but everything Q is individually marked. So there's A, B, C, and D. So I'm going to start with what's been marked as Exhibit 6 A.

THE COURT: Normally, she would have to look at it in its closed format, if the jury were present, that way you won't be showing it to the jury before it's admitted.

So hand her the envelope.

MR. BOGALE: So look at Exhibit 6.

THE COURT: He wants you to look at something that's marked six A.

If you can find six. A do you have that? MR. BOGALE: THE COURT: The mark is on the back. If you turn that piece of paper over to the back.

> THE WITNESS: Oh, I see.

```
1
                THE COURT: That's the exhibit number.
 2
                MR. BOGALE: So that's the exhibit.
 3
                THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
 4
     BY MR. BOGALE:
 5
                Have you had a chance to look at that exhibit, six A?
           Q
 6
      Is that "yes"?
 7
           Α
                Yes, I'm sorry.
 8
                You just have to answer yes for the court reporter.
           Q
 9
          Α
                Yes.
10
                Now I'm just talking about six A. Are you familiar
          Q
11
     with that?
12
          Α
                Yes.
13
                Do you recognize it?
14
          Α
                Yes.
15
                What is it?
          Q
16
          Α
                The solar light.
17
                Six A?
          Q
18
          Α
                The receipt. I'm sorry the receipt.
                Six A, yes. It's a receipt?
19
          Q
20
                Um-hum.
          Α
21
                From where?
          Q
22
               Walmart.
          Α
23
          Q
                Okay.
24
                THE COURT:
                            I'm sorry. Six A.
```

~	1		MR. BOGALE: What is that marked as?
.*	2		
			I'm sorry. Sorry, Your Honor. It's my mistake six C.
	3		THE COURT: Right six C.
	4	BY MR. BC	GALE:
	5	Q	Have you had a chance to look at 6 C, my apology.
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	Do you recognize it?
	8	A	Yes.
	9	Q	What is it?
	10	A	It's a receipt.
	11	Q	Okay. From where?
	12	A	Walmart.
	13	Q	Did you print that receipt?
	14	A	Yes, I did.
	15	Q	How do you know that?
	16	A	Got my number on it.
	17	Q	And your number does that mean your employee number?
	18	A	Yes.
	19	Q	Is there a date on there?
	20	A	Yes.
	21	Q	Do you tell us what the date is?
	22	A	6-9-14.
	23	Q	And are there items listed on there?
	24	A	Yes.

1	Q What are the attempts on there?		
2	A Solar light and hair color.		
3	Q And what's the amount?		
4	A The total amount was 581.		
5	MR. BOGALE: Okay. Your Honor, State moves to admit		
6	Exhibit 6 C.		
7	MR. SCHACHTER: No objection, Your Honor.		
8	THE COURT: Okay. And I think you will, if you go		
9	through that drill in front of the jury, you wanted a preliminary		
10	ruling on admissibility, I would admit it.		
11	MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor.		
12	THE COURT: But you don't want me to admit it now, do		
13	you or do you want me to admit now and then just start talking		
14	about it in front of the jury.		
15	MR. BOGALE: You can admit it now, if there's no		
16	objection.		
17 .	THE COURT: Exhibit 6 C is admitted.		
18	Now are you we ready to bring the jury back?		
19	MR. BOGALE: I believe we are.		
20	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Schachter.		
21	MR. SCHACHTER: No objection.		
22	THE COURT: Okay. Please bring the jury.		
23	Counsel, you stipulate to the presence of the jury.		
24	MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.		

1		THE COURT: Mr. Schachter?	
2		MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.	
3		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Exhibit 6 C	
4	is admitte	ed.	
5		MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor.	
6	BY MR. BOG	ALE:	
7	Q	Okay, Ms. Bunker let's start back up again. Last time	
8	we were ta	lking before the break you had mentioned that you	
9	recalled what the defendant purchased, is that correct?		
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you now what's been marked as	
12	Exhibit 6 C.		
13		Your Honor, may I approach?	
14		THE COURT: You may.	
15		MR. BOGALE: Okay.	
16	BY MR. BOGALE:		
17	Q	Do you know what that is?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q I	What is that?	
20	A	It's a receipt.	
21	Q (	Okay. And a receipt from where?	
22	I A	Walmart.	
23	Q (	Okay. Is there a date on that receipt?	
24	A	Yes, there is.	

	H .		
1	Q	What's the date?	
2	A	6, 9, 14.	
3	Q	Okay. Did you print that receipt?	
- 4	A	I did.	
5	Q	How do you know that?	
6	A	Got my number on it.	
7	Q	You're number?	
8	А	Is that your employee number.	
9	A	Employee number.	
10	Q	Is that 1423?	
11	A	Correct.	
12	Q	And are there is there a list of attempts purchased on	
13	that receipt?		
14	Α	Yes.	
15	Q	Can you tell us what they are?	
16	A	Solar light and hair color.	
17	Q	Let me retrieve that have back from you, please. Thank	
18	you.		
19		MR. BOGALE: Your Honor may, I publish this exhibit?	
20		THE COURT: You may.	
21		MR. BOGALE: Thank you.	
22	BY MR. BOGALE:		
23	Q	So this is Exhibit 6 C that we've been talking about.	
24	And I jus	t want to kind of go through the stuff that we just	

- talked about. Let me zoom in a little bit. Okay. Now if you could with me, just go through there receipt. What does it say at the have I very top?
  - A Walmart.
  - Q Okay. And is the address on there?
- 6 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

19

- Q Where is it?
- A It's on Seventh Street.
- Q Okay. And point out to the jury if you don't mind where your employee number is located on it?
- A Right here.
  - Q So it's the 1423 preceded by four zeros; is that right?
- 13 A Yes.
  - Q Okay. And the two items listed are what again?
  - A Solar light and hair color.
    - Q And what's the total of those items was 581?
- 17 Q Is that including tax?
- 18 A Yes.
  - Q You can sit down. So you remember printing this receipt for the defendant?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q I'm going to now show I what's been marked and admitted
  as Exhibit 16. It's a video file. And just before I play think,
  do you see the individual that you sold those items to on that

receipt here in the courtroom? Α Yes. Could you point at him and describe an article clothing 0 that he's wearing, please? The man in the blue shirt. Α Could you be a little bit more specific? Q With the gray tie. Α Your Honor, may the record reflect identification of 0 the defendant in this case? Is the defendant wearing anything else? THE COURT: THE WITNESS: Pardon? What else is the defendant wearing? THE COURT: He's wearing a tie and black pants. THE WITNESS: I'll allow the identification. THE COURT: MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BOGALE: I'm just going to play a video and it's going to play 0 on that screen up there so if you can just look with me and the And just before we move any further, is there a date on jury. Is there a date indicated on the video? Α Yes. What is the date? 0 6-9-2014. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q

Okay. And is there a time on the video?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	What's the time?
3	A	1148.
4	Q	And is there seconds?
5	A	26.
6	Q	Okay. And just to be clear. We're referring to video
7	file GC f	for the table POS 51; is that correct?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	You can take a seat now if you like. Now watch the
10	video her	e. Do you see yourself in this video?
11	A	Yes, I do.
12	Q	Where were you, if you don't mind?
13	A	Right here.
14	Q	Okay. The and what are you doing?
15	A	Waiting on the customer.
16	Q	Okay. And by the customer, you mean the defendant?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	And is this when he purchased the solar light and hair
19	dye.	
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Let's continue to watch the video here for a moment.
22	Do you re	ecall if he paid with cash or a card?
23	А	Cash.
24	Q	Is that the receipt that you just handed to him?
	B	

1	A	Okay.
2	Q	Okay. At 1149 and 22 second about?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	Now this video is probably going to end here. What
5	happens :	immediately after this?
6	A	He walked out the door.
7	Q	Okay. And then did anything happen to him at that
8	time?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	What happened?
11	A	AP went after him.
. 12	Q	And by AP you mean?
13	A	Alex.
14	Q	What does AP mean?
15	A	Loss prevention or LP, loss prevention.
16	Q	Is that the same as asset protection?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	So you saw Mr. Monroy follow him out of the store?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Was did the defendant well tell me what
21	happened	next?
22	А	Well, they went outside and AP tried to get, tried to
23	talk him	into giving them his backpack. He wouldn't do it. So
24	AP kept	telling him just give me the backpack.

1		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor.
2		THE WITNESS: Come inside.
3		THE COURT: Okay you have to stop. Your objection.
4		MR. SCHACHTER: To hearsay.
5		THE COURT: To what's being said by someone else.
6		MR. SCHACHTER: Someone else to someone else.
7		THE COURT: Sustained.
8		MR. BOGALE: That's fine, Your Honor. I'll move on.
9	BY MR. BC	OGALE:
10	Q	Without saying what people said what do you observe?
11	What did	you see?
12	А	He was pushing and shoving and pulling on the backpack.
13	Q	So was there a physical confrontation?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Who initiated the physical confrontation?
16	A	The defendant.
17	Q	How long did you observe for?
18	A	Two or three minutes.
19	Q	Okay. Did the defendant every by a backpack from you?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Did he ever buy the heating pad from you? Did he ever
22	buy like	a heating pad from you?
23	А	I don't recall.
24	Q	On this receipt is there a heating pad on there?

1	A	No.
2	Q	Is there icy hot?
3	A	No.
4	Q	No backpack?
5	A	No.
6	Q	So he only bought a solar light and hair color?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	Do you remember or you can just look at the receipt.
9	How many	packages of hair color he bought from you?
10	A	One.
11	Q	Just one?
12	A	Yes.
13		MR. BOGALE: No further questions at this time, Your
14	Honor, th	ank you.
15		THE COURT: Cross-examination.
16		MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.
17	BY MR. SC	HACHTER:
18	Q	Do you remember as I exited the store, was the alarm at
19	the door	went off?
20	A	No.
21	Q	It didn't go off?
22	A	No.
23	Q	And you testified that me and the asset protection were
24	during th	e confrontation we were pushing and shoving?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Did you call supervisor or anybody else to let them
3	know?	
4	A	No.
5	Q	Why?
6	A	I have no way to call.
7	Q	Did you notify anybody?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Who is that?
10	A	One of the over associates.
11	Q	Do you remember who it was?
12	A	Hugh.
13	Q	Hugh. And do you know if anything came of that?
14	A	No.
15	Q	If you went out you didn't see anybody else go out?
16	A	Anna.
17	Q	Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor?
18		THE COURT: Anything further? .
19	BY MR. BC	OGALE:
20	Q	Are you aware and if not, just tell us what you know,
21	do you kn	now if all items that I-haven't been paid for trigger an
22	alarm at	Walmart?
23	А	No, they don't.
24	Q	So even if someone didn't pay for something and they

1	walk out	of the store the areport night not trigger?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Why is that?
4	A	Only items of a certain cost or items with an alarm on
5	them.	
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	It's a BSSR. Some prescriptions some cell phones some
8	keys.	
9	Q	And what is an EAS you referred to?
10	A	That's the areport system.
11	Q	Do you know what the amount is that triggers?
12	A	No.
13	Q	No, but you know it's a certain amount?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And it's certain items.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay.
18		MR. BOGALE: No further questions Your Honor.
19		THE COURT:
20	BY MR. SO	CHACHTER:
21	Q	Just, do you know if icy hot, heating pads or icy hot
22	or other	hair dye?
23	A	No.
24	Q	set off the alarm?

1	A	No.
2	Q	Do you know if a backpack will set off the alarm?
3	A	No.
4	Q	You don't know or they won't set off the alarm?
5	A	Not that I know of.
6		MR. SCHACHTER: That's all.
7		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anything further?
8		MR. BOGALE: Not from the State.
9		THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
10		Is this witness excused?
11		MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, ma'am.
12		THE COURT: You are excused.
13		Call your next witness.
14		MR. BOGALE: Court's indulgence for just a moment,
15	please.	
16		THE COURT: Certainly.
17		MR. BOGALE: The state calls Officer Terry West.
18		THE BAILIFF: Stand right here face the clerk.
19		(Witness Sworn)
20		THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated at the witness
21	stand.	
22		THE COURT: You may proceed.
23		MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
24		

#### 1 BY MR. BOGALE: 2 Good morning. Please state your name and spell your O last name for the record. 3 4 Terry West, WEST. Α 5 And how are you employed? 0 6 Α Reno Police Department. Okay. What's your current assignment? 7 Q 8 Α Currently I'm in traffic. How long have you been with the Reno Police Department? 9 Q 10 Since September of 2005. Α 11 Okay. And so about nine years? Q 12 Correct. Α 13 Let me direct your attention to June 9th of this year, 14 2014. Were you still on traffic as you are now or were you on a 15 different assignment? 16 No, I was in patrol. Α Okay. And what was your beat, like what was your 17 Q 18 patrol area? 19 The northwest. Α 20 Northwest of Reno? Q 21 Α Correct. 22 Did you respond to a call or did you respond to a call Q that day? 23 24 Α Correct. Numerous calls.

1 0 Did you respond to one on west Seventh Street? 2 Α I did. 3 0 Was that at the Walmart? 4 Correct. Α 5 What was the report? Q It was, initial report I believe was two subjects 6 Α 7 fighting in the parking lot. And then as I continued, it kept 8 getting updated with more information. What other information was that? 9 And it came out as loss prevention fighting with a 10 Α And then we had other witnesses call saying it was two 11 subject. 12 people fighting in the parking lot. 13 Okay. Now did you, did you ultimately arrive at the 0 14 Walmart? 15 Α Correct. Were you with any other officers? 16 17 I was a double unit that day with Officer Α Yeah. 18 Daniels. Okay. When you got to the scene, what did you see? 19 Q 20 Initially I observed Alex, their loss prevention Α officer at that Walmart, I'm familiar with him from being there 21 22 before. And then Mr. Schachter in the parking lot, the 23 24 defendant was sitting on the curb line and Alex was standing near him waiving us down.

- Q So you're familiar with Alex, you said?
- A Correct. I've been to that Walmart numerous times.
- Q That was you're?
- A Correct.
- Q And what were the calls usually when you got from Alex?
- A They are almost always an in custody for a theft of some type.
- Q Okay. Now, when you got on scene, did you talk to Alex?
  - A I did, correct.
  - Q Okay. And what did he tell you?
- A After everything was calmed down, we had separated the defendant and Alex. He told me that the defendant come until the store selected items on the shovel con accepted them in a back back paid for some items and left the store and that's where he contacted him outside. Once he contacted him there was a struggle over the backpack and the defendant had grabbed his thumb and twisted the it over backwards. And eventually I think they both just kind separated away just prior to our arrival.
  - Q What did you do after you got that information?
- A The defendant was taken to a patrol car by Officer

  Daniels. I responded to the loss prevention office with Alex.

  And then we reviewed the, the surveillance footage that they had

and evidence that they had there.

- Q Did you get a chance to actually look at the backpack that you mentioned previously?
  - A Correct.

- Q Did you get a chance to look at the items inside the backpack?
  - A I did.
  - Q Okay. Let's talk about those for a moment?
  - A Okay.
  - Q What was the condition of the backpack?
- A It was new. It was still like the feel of it was still very crispy like it hadn't had any use. It was still very compressed, flat. There wasn't any tags or anything on it. It didn't have any mark, stains, tears anything like that.
- Q Do you know if the bag was checked through all the pockets?
- A Yeah. The exterior pockets were empty, the interior pocket was opened in the loss prevention office. So there was contents in there that was opened by Alex.
- Q Was there any like personal identifying information in the backpack?
  - A No.
  - Q So do you know what else happened to the backpack?
    - A It was recovered by loss prevention.

Q Why didn't you recover it as an officer?

A So when we respond to say like Walmart for examination examination in this case they recover their own products. It's not a unique them per se that somebody brought in that doesn't belong to them. They had already recovered their losses. And we get an itemized receipt showing what those losses were, so we're not a detriment to their products.

If we collected everything that was stolen from grocery stores our evidence would be overloaded enormously. Petit larcenies and grand larcenies and other calls of theft are big part of what we respond to. So basically our procedure is to collect an itemized receipt of what was taken and as well as video surveillance if it's available. And other things like that. Now if we recover the product later on, say away from the store then most times we will collect it if we can't return it to the owner.

- Q Did you collect an evidence receipt in this case is that what you called it?
  - A Correct.
  - Q Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. BOGALE:

2.1

I'm going to show you here what's been marked and 1 Q 2 admitted here as Exhibit 8. 3 Uh-huh. Α 4 Can you take a look at that for me. Have you had a 0 5 chance to review that? 6 Α Yes. 7 Do you know what that is? 0 8 Α This is the itemized receipt basically showing what was 9 recovered. Okay. And what does that itemized receipt show? 10 0 11 You want me to describe the items on it? Α 12 Let me grab it back and I'll put it up on the video 0 1.3 screen. 14 Α Okay. 15 MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor. 16 Has it been admitted? It's been MR. SCHACHTER: 17 published already. 18 Yes, this is Exhibit 8, correct? THE COURT: 19 MR. BOGALE: Yes. 20 THE COURT: And that was previously admitted. 21 Oh, no Exhibit 8 has not been admitted. 22 admitted under Anna Young. 23 THE COURT: Okay. I just missed her. Exhibit 8, then,

the clerk says had been previously admitted.

1		MR. BOGALE: May I publish this, Your Honor?
2		THE COURT: You may.
3	BY MR. BC	GALE:
4	Q	Try to get a good view here of it for you.
5	A	I can see it.
6	Q	Okay. So so this is the itemized receipt?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	What does it show on there?
9	A	Shows the four items that were recovered out of the
10	backpack.	Or I'm sorry three items out the backpack and the
11	backpack	itself.
12	Q	And at the very top there are some numbers?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Can you tell me what those numbers are?
15	A	That's our Reno Police Department specific case number.
16	Q	That's the indicates number you sign to the case?
17	A	Yes we contact dispatch dispatch assigns the case
18	number.	
19	Q	Okay. So this is a copy, right?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	And what is the total value, I guess, of those four
22	items on	the bag?
23	A	\$99.61.
24	Q	That's the subtotal, correct?
	1	

1	A Correct. That's what we use as our value. We don't
2	use it as taxed. The property is recovered.
3	Q What would it have been if it was taxed?
4	A \$107.30.
5	MR. BOGALE: No further questions at this time Your
6	Honor. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination.
8	MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.
9	BY MR. SCHACHTER:
10	Q You testified that when you originally pulled up I was
11	sitting on the curb. I didn't quite
12	A You were near the curb, I believe hunched over kind of
13	like in a semi-squatting position.
14	Q When you originally arrived there was no physical
15	altercation going on?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And you said you personally checked the backpack? You
18	said you checked the pockets?
19	A In loss prevention's office, yes.
20	Q But you never took custody, you just looked at it while
21	it was in the loss prevention office?
22	A What do you mean by took custody of it.
23	Q You never actually had it it was just on the bench?
24	A I had it in my hand. I opened all the pockets holding

- 1 it in my hand. 2 And there was absolutely nothing? 0 3 Α What do you mean? Describe nothing? Nothing is nothing, as opposed to anything, individual 4 0 5 item, anything. Was there anything in the pocket other than air? 6 Α Are you talking about like personal items or any 7 objects? 8 Any objects. Q 9 Α In the outside pocket, no. 10 Any object anywhere? Q 11 Α In the main pocket, yes. 12 What was in there? Q 13 The items that are listed on the receipt there. Α And that's it? 14 0 15 Correct and the package. Α 16 Of those items. Α 17 So there was no little squares to keep the moisture Q 18 You said it was in like-new condition. That's why I ask. 19 Α Like the packets. 20 Like the packets? 0 21
  - A I don't recall those being in there. I didn't look for them either.
    - Q Do you remember how many pockets you searched?

23

24

A I don't remember exactly. I believe it's three. I

1	believe t	here's small ones and a medium sized once and the main
2	pocket.	
3	Q	Go ahead and describe the backpack as you remember it.
4	A	I couldn't do that for you. I could not describe what
5	it looks	like short of seeing a picture of it. It's been a
6	little bi	t of time.
7	Q	You said there were three pockets with separate zippers
8	and all t	hat?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	On this original call, was it originally a robbery
11	call, pet	it larceny call?
12	A	Originally it was a fight.
13	·Q	Just a fight. Not okay.
14		MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor, can I have this hasn't
15	been mark	red.
16		THE COURT: You want something marked?
17		Mr. Hylin, will you assist with that please?
18		MR. HYLIN: Sure.
19		MR. SCHACHTER: This is arrest for probable cause.
20		THE CLERK: Exhibit 20 marked. And it's two pages,
21	correct?	It's not two different items?
22		MR. HYLIN: That's correct.
23		THE CLERK: Thank you.
24		(Exhibit 20 was marked for identification.)

1		MR. SCHACHTER: Can we show
2		THE COURT: You have to show it to counsel. Mr. Hylin,
3	can you s	how them.
4		MR. HYLIN: Yeah, I think he did already, but that's
5	fine, You	r Honor.
6		If I may approach.
7		THE COURT: You may.
8	BY MR. SC	HACHTER:
9	Q	You recognize that document,?
10	A	The probable cause sheet?
11	Q	Yes.
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And the other sheet is?
14	A	And the other sheet would be the declaration page.
15	Q	Okay. And you how do you recognize that?
16	A	As a copy of an original.
17	Q	But is it, is it something that you filled out?
18	A	Officer Daniels filled this probable cause sheet out.
19	Q	And at the bottom where it says declarant?
20	A	Yep.
21	Q	Whose name is on it?
22	А	That is mine.
23	Q	But you didn't fill it out?
24	A	The probable cause sheet, no.

1	Q Who is on the probable cause you're talking about
2	the declaration, right?
3	A No. I'm talking about the probable cause. I did the
4	declaration.
5	Q Okay.
6	A The probable cause sheet is your booking sheet and
7	Officer Daniels filled that out in the car while you were with
8	him.
9	Q Okay. So but you filled out the declaration part?
10	A Correct, later on.
11	Q On the declaration part, let's go to that then. Would
12	you read it for the jury?
13	A Verbatim.
14	Q Yes, please.
15	MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, I would object. It hasn't
16	been admitted.
17	MR. SCHACHTER: Oh, I'm sorry. Can we admit it?
18	THE COURT: Are you offering it?
19	MR. SCHACHTER: I am, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Any objection?
21	MR. BOGALE: No objection, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Then Exhibit 20 is admitted.
23	(Exhibit 20 was admitted into evidence.)
24	THE COURT: Now do you have a question?

BY MR. SCHACHTER:

Q If you wouldn't mind reading the declaration.

A Okay. On 06-09-2014, I responded to 5260 West Seventh Street on the report of a petit larceny, where the suspect was fighting security. Upon arrival I observed two Walmart loss prevention employees attempting to detain arresting Marc Schachter on the north side of the parking lot. Upon detaining Marc and speaking with loss prevention, employees Alejandro Monroy and Anna Young, it was learned that Marc had entered Walmart, removed a backpack from the shelf and began placing other products in the backpack.

Marc approached a register on the north side of the store and paid for two items that were not in the backpack. As Marc exited the store Alejandro and Anna contacted Marc who began denying stealing anything.

As Alejandro attempted to take the backpack from Marc, Marc began pushing and shoving Alejandro from him attempting in to flee in an effort to retain the stolen property.

The struggle continued for approximately one minute with Marc continuing to push and elbow Alejandro in an effort to steal the property. Alejandro was finally able to get the backpack from Marc shortly before the police arrived.

The stolen property told \$99.61 and had already been recovered by Alejandro upon our arrival. Based on Marc fighting

22

23

24

with Alejandro to retain the stolen property, he was transported booked at Washoe County Sheriff's office without incident for the listed charge of attempted robbery.

- Q Thank you. So is that a report of a petit larceny?
- A Correct.
- Q Not a fight?
- A Are you familiar with how our declaration supplements are written?
  - Q No.
- A It's a summarized version of what happens. The report details everything and how we went there. The declaration supplement is initially the probable cause for our arrest.
- Q You're talking about your original arrest report, is that it, when you say --
  - A As far as detail?
  - Q Yeah, the detail?
  - A Yeah, correct.
  - O That's on here.
  - A The declaration establishes why I was there.
- Q Okay. And so when when you say as Marc exited the store Alejandro and Anna contacted Marc who began denying stealing anything, where did that information come from?
  - A From Alejandro and Anna.
  - Q So they told you, right? Was that while everything was

still in the parking lot or in the office?

A In the office. If you remember, we weren't out in the parking lot for very long with everybody.

Q No. Can I get one moment, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

#### BY MR. SCHACHTER:

Q You said it's procedure not to recover the property if it's either like new or it's Walmart property. Is that something specific to Walmart or to all retail?

A It doesn't necessarily condition on the condition of the item, it's whether it's recovered by them prior to our arrival. It was already recovered prior to us getting there. They had recovered their own property.

- Q Did you think it was evidence?
- A That's why it was -- yes, it's evidence.
- Q But not evidence that should be recovered or retained by you, by the police department, when I say you?

A So it's recovered by them, prior to our arrival. And it's not a unique item. It's an item that you could go to Walmart and get off of their shelf right now. If you needed a physical did I play for that item.

Q What about as to examination, fingerprints, or maybe there was another price tag from a different store, anything like that?

A Well, if that was located in there, then we would have collected that. If it was something not unique to Walmart. And Alex identified that as being their store property, that they had recovered that.

Q So the individual items that you say were in the backpack, like the hair dye, that's unique to Walmart, is that what you're saying?

A No, it's not unique, it's not something else that was brought into the store that's not theirs.

Q But it could have been bought at -- across the street at Walgreens?

A Sure. It could have been bought at any Walmart across the United States.

Q Exactly. So that's Reno Police Department policy?

A There's no policy outlining it as far as the evidence collection based on the crime. If it's recovered by them, which is the same reason we don't keep stolen cars in evidence for months, because people need their stuff back.

Okay. So if it was something say that had been brought in from the outside that and wasn't Walmart's, then we would have collected that, because that didn't belong to Walmart and Alex is a representative for Walmart.

Q And did you -- did you look at the video after any of the incident after I was in the patrol car and you were in the

office with the Walmart employees?

- A Video of the this incident?
- Q Video of the whole incident.
- A Yes.

2.2

- Q Do you remember what videos or what was depicted on the videos?
  - A What do you mean, what videos.
- Q You just said you looked at video of the incident when you were in the store after my arrest and while you were in the loss prevention office, right?
  - A Uh-huh.
- Q Okay. What did you see on those videos? Do you remember?

A Okay. So I'll tell you what I saw, and then you can I guess ask me from there. Alex and Anna showed me video of you in the store in the different departments, selecting some items, pulling some stuff out of the package and looking it and then placing it in the backpack.

And then you were in the store a little while longer. You went to the north side, which I guess is considered the garden area and paid for two items in the backpack and then walked out of the store, the exit.

Without seeing the video again I can't tell you exact specifics, because there's been a little bit of a time since.

1 But you say there's video of me putting items Right. 0 2 in the backpack? 3 Α There's video of you in the area. And then I have Alex depicting what he watched in the video or in person. 4 5 know where he was in the store at the time. What about Ms. Young, did she tell you that she saw? 6 Q 7 Α I don't recall. Putting items in the backpack? 8 0 9 I don't recall. Α Do you -- did you personally see any video or can you 10 Q remember any video of me not with the backpack? 11 12 Α Other than after Alex had got it from you. 13 was the only time during the video. Was that on video? Did you see that video or --14 Q 15 From the parking lot view? Α 16 Yeah. 0 17 The parking lot view video, yes correct. Α Yeah. 18 Did you take any photos of the merchandise that was 0 19 alleged to be stolen? 20 Α I did not, no. So evidence because --21 0 I believe, I don't know if there's a VeriPic tab in our 22 Α 23 Tiburon system.

Is there --

Q

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate

Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of the JOINT

APPENDIX – VOLUME III to the following:

MARC PAUL SCHACHTER #91445 C/O NNCC P.O. BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89701 Via U.S. Mail

ADAM LAXALT
ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF NEVADA
100 N. CARSON STREET
CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89701
Via Electronic Filing

CHRIS HICKS
WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Attn: Appellate Department
Via Electronic Filing

DATED this 29 day of June, 2015.

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARC PAUL SCHACHTER,	)			Electronically Filed Jun 29 2015 10:02 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court
	)			
Appellant,	)	Case No.	67673	
	)			
vs.	)			
	)			
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)			
	)			
Respondent.	)			
_	)			
A 1.0	T 1		. 4.9	

Appeal from Judgment of Conviction Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada The Honorable Elliott Sattler

### JOINT APPENDIX - VOLUME III

JENNIFER J. LUNT
Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

JARROD T. HICKMAN
Deputy

POST OFFICE BOX 11130
RENO, NEVADA 89520

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

CHRIS HICKS
Washoe County District Attorney

TERRENCE MCCARTHY
Chief Deputy

POST OFFICE BOX 30083
RENO, NEVADA 89520

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME I

1.	Proceedings (Filed 7/3/2014)1
2.	Case Summary, RCR14-0775693
3.	Arrest Report and Declaration of Probable Cause (Filed 6/10/2014)
4.	Application for Appointment of Public Defender, 14-10834 (Dated 6/9/2014)
5.	Washoe County Pretrial Services Report (Dated 6/102014)9
6.	Criminal Complaint (Filed 7/13/2014)11
8.	Application for Appointment of Public Defender, RCR14-077569 (Dated 6/23/2014)
9.	Amended Criminal Complaint (Filed 7/1/2014)14
10.	Transcript of Proceedings, Motion Hearing (Dated 6/23/2014) 16
11.	Supplement Proceedings, Transcript of Proceedings, Preliminary Hearing (Dated 7/1/2014)
12.	Notice of Electronic Recording Malfunction (Filed 8/27/2014)35
13.	Amended Information (Filed 7/14/2014)37
14.	Information (Filed 7/10/14)42
15.	Transcript of Proceedings, Arraignment Hearing (Dated 7/17/2014
16.	Transcript of Proceedings, Arraignment Hearing (Dated 7/24/1454

17.	Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Set Trial (Dated 7/31/2014)82
18.	Order of Self-Representation and Appointment of Stand-By Counsel (Filed 7/31/2014)
19.	Transcript of Proceedings, Status Hearing (Dated 9/23/2014) 95
20.	Omnibus Opposition to Defendant's Pretrial Motions (Filed 8/28/2014)
21.	Affidavit of Service (Filed 8/26/2014)122
22.	Demand for Legal Materials and Legal Supplies (Filed 8/2/2014)
23.	Addendum to Demand for Legal Materials and Legal Supplies (Filed 8/21/2014)
24.	Motion to Dismiss Case on Grounds that the State has Lost or and/or Destroyed Material Exculpatory Evidence (Filed 8/21/2014)
25.	Motion to Advise Witnesses for the State or Their Privilege Against Self-Incrimination (Filed 8/21/2014)
26.	Motion for Preliminary Hearing Transcripts (Filed 8/21/2014) 142
27.	Motion to Compel the State to Provide Exculpatory Material ("Brady") in its Possession (Filed 8/21/2014)145
28.	Motion and Order to Obtain Material and Exculpatory Video Recording (filed 8/21/2014)
29.	Motion for the Production of "Replacement" and/or "Substitute"  Lost/Destroyed Evidence (Filed 8/21/2014)
30.	Motion in Limine Re: Surveillance Video Evidence (Filed 8/21/2014)

31.	Motion to Dismiss Case for Prejudicial Deal Causing Loss Of Exculpatory Material Evidence (Filed 8/22/2014)161
32.	Answer to Motion in Limine Re: Prior Bad Acts of State's Witnesses (Dated 8/23/2014)
33.	Answer to State's Motion in Limine Re: Defendant's Examination Of Witnesses (Dated 8/23/2014)
34.	Notice of State's Intent to Impeach Defendant's Credibility with His Prior Felony Convictions if he Decides to Testify (Filed 8/22/2014)
35.	Motion in Limine Regarding Defendant's Examination of Witnesses (Filed 8/22/2014)
36.	Motion in Limine Regarding Prior Bad Acts, If Any, of the State's Witnesses (Filed 8/22/2014)
37.	Subpoena, Officer Nicholas Reed (Dated 8/20/2014)187
38.	Subpoena, Officer Daniels (Dated 8/20/2014)188
39.	Subpoena, Officer Terry West (Dated 8/20/2014)189
40.	Subpoena, Walmart (Dated 8/21/2014)190
41.	Subpoena, Walmart, Results of Subpoena (Dated 8/21/2014) 192
42.	Subpoena, Regional Transportation Commission (Dated 8/29/2014)
43.	Subpoena, Regional Transportation Commission (Dated 8/29/2014)
44.	Transcript of Proceedings, Pretrial Motions (Dated 9/3/2014) 202
45.	Court Minutes (Filed 9/10/2014)252
46.	Transcript of Proceedings, Pretrial Motions (Dated 9/11/2014) 256

47.	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Case on Grounds that the State has Lost and/or Destroyed Material Exculpatory Evidence (Filed 9/16/2014)
48.	Court Minutes (Filed 5/12/2014)
49.	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial (Dated 9/22/2014)
50.	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial (Dated 9/23/2014)
51.	Transcript of Proceedings, Trial (Dated 9/24/2014)650
52.	Verdict (Filed 9/24/2014)681
53.	Transcript of Proceedings, Status Hearing (Dated 10/2/2014) 682
54.	Transcript of Proceedings, Status Hearing (Dated 12/30/2014) 696
55.	Transcript of Proceedings, Status Hearing (Dated 11/20/2014)705
56.	Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing (Dated 2/26/2015)722
57.	Judgment (Filed 3/5/2015)777
58.	Notice of Appeal (Filed 3/26/2015)779

FILED
Electronically
2015-02-11 11:46:08 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 4813579

1	4185	Transaction # 4813579			
2					
3					
4					
5	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DIST	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA			
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE				
7	THE HONORABLE CONNIE	J. STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE			
8					
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	000			
10	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR14-1044			
11	vs.	Dept. No. 4			
12	MARC P. SCHACHTER,	·			
13	Defendant.				
14	DOLLGE DESCRIPTION				
15		TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  JURY TRIAL  Soptombor 22 2014			
16		September 22, 2014			
17		LALEM BOGALE, ESQ.			
18	De	ERYL WILSON, ESQ. puty District Attorneys			
19	A Company of the Comp	e South Sierra Street no, Nevada			
20	ii .	PROPER PERSONA			
21	De	RL F. HYLIN, ESQ. puty Public Defender			
22	a a constant of the constant o	0 S. Center Street no, Nevada			
23	The Defendant: MA	RC P. SCHACHTER			
24	Reported by: EV	ELYN J. STUBBS, CCR #356			

RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2014; 9:58 A.M.

---000---

THE COURT: This is the time set for trial. Do we have any preliminary matters?

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. BOGALE: As you might notice Cheryl Wilson is here accompanying for the trial. She wasn't at the evidentiary hearing or any other proceedings. She's here now as a second chair. So I just want to apprise the course of that.

The State has given the defendant a copy of a proposed second amended information. The only amendment the State is interested in adding here is on page two at line 13. Inserting the word, "petit" in between commit and larceny. And we're doing that because the statute actually reads, the statute under which we charged Mr. Schachter reads in 205.060, subsection five, the crime of burglary does not include the act of entering a commercial establishment during business hours with the intent to commit petit larceny.

And then it has two qualifiers. So just to be specific we wanted to add the word, "petit". I spoke to Mr. Hylin about this, standby counsel. He doesn't seem to have a problem.

Mr. Schachter hasn't notified me of any objection to it either.

So that's the first matter I want to bring up.

THE COURT: I don't have in front of me what you're talking about. So I will --

Okay. So you want to bleed in the language at the end of that charge?

MR. BOGALE: That's my second point I wanted to get, but I just wanted to cover the line 13 addition first.

THE COURT: Why don't you give me a copy of your amended information. You've given Mr. Schachter a copy?

MR. BOGALE: Yes.

THE COURT: Why don't you approach the Court and let us see what your looking at.

Is this an amended information or second amended?

MR. BOGALE: It's a second amended.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So I see what's your second.

MR. BOGALE: Okay. So the second point is regarding the specific statutory provision under which the State is proceeding here at trial, which is subsection five of 205.060. It appears, it's the state's position that it appears to require as an element of the offense that if the defendant enters a commercial establishment with the intent to commit a petit larceny, it's not burglary unless they are — he has been previously convicted two or more times of comitting petit larceny or of a felony.

Now that's what's alleged in the Information. The jury instructions the State has provided include an instruction on that specific statutory provision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The State has been talking to appellant at the office for the last few days. We don't like this law. It doesn't, we think it's a poorly written law and it's our position that it actually requires proving up either two of petit larcenies from the last seven years or a felony to convict on burglary of entering a commercial establishment with the intent to commit petit theft. So based on the State's reading of that statute the State want to ask the Court how you intended on proceeding whether. You like, I see three options one a stipulation that the defendant has been convicted of a felony, two, a bifurcated trial to some extent where we submits to the jury all of the elements of an ordinary burglary, entering a commercial establishment with the intent to commit petit theft. If they say they have found those facts, come back out and sort of second phase, admit the prior certified copy, and then send them back Sort of like an ex-felon in possession of a firearm.

The third would be just to try it to the jury. That would be the third option.

And so depending on Your Honor's view of the statute, whether you believe it's an element of the offense or a sentencing issue, then you know, the State will make a decision

on how it wants to proceed on that count.

But I just wanted to bring that up to the Court and see what you thought of it.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Schachter.

MR. SCHACHTER: Well, I'm of the mind that this is an element there for that section five, it's unconstitutional in that it would ask the jury to rule on the conviction as an element of the crime. And that would be highly prejudicial to me. You're asking them to either say it's not a burglary, because it's an open commercial establishment, and the intent was petit larceny, that's not a burglary. And then you would have to come back and say it is a burglary, based on another set of information. So what would they sign on the verdict sheet, was it a burglary or not.

The State is alleging that I entered an open commercial establishment with the intent to commit petit larceny. And by definition that's not a burglary, it's only when you add the conviction that it makes it a burglary. So either that statute to me is unconstitutional or the fact that they want to use the conviction is so prejudicial and they haven't asked for a Petrocelli hearing or any other hearing to determine the prior conviction. And to me it's too late now to argue that.

So I don't know, but I had given it some thought when they charged it like that reading the jury instructions, but I

don't understand how they can get the conviction in, in front of the jury and not be highly prejudicial to me. That's my problem.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BOGALE: The State actually alleged the prior. And that's what Your Honor asked me about. We've alleged the prior in this amended information and the second amended information. Whether the statute is unconstitutional or not I believe is an issue that the defendant should have raised with pretrial motion if he believes it was unconstitutional. He was improperly advised that that was the provision under which the state was charging him.

And the State doesn't disagree that it's a poorly written law. That's why we staffed it with appellant at our office. We're just trying to do the right thing to make sure that there's no prejudice to the defendant. And so I just wanted to get, I guess, Your Honor's take on it to see how you wanted to proceed.

THE COURT: I would have preferred this case not go to trial. It hasn't been a very clean case, and there's lots of issues in this matter. Lots. And it does not appear the kind of case that we should have people in our community spending their time on, to be really frank here. And I can't understand why you all haven't been able to resolve this case short of all these people that are waiting downstairs to hear this case and they're

1	going to have to hear this case for two or three days. It	
2	doesn't make sense to me. And this is not the case I would want	
3	to go to the supreme court on. Given the issues, it's not the	
4	kind of thing you want to test the statute on. So, but I assume	
5	there's been no last minute negotiations or resolution of this	
6	case?	
7	MR. SCHACHTER: They haven't made any offers, Your	
8	Honor.	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	MR. BOGALE: The State made an offer to Jim Leslie last	
11	week. I believe he communicated it to the defendant.	
12	MR. SCHACHTER: No, he didn't.	
13	THE COURT: You didn't get that offer?	
14	MR. SCHACHTER: Not at all.	
15	THE COURT: Mr. Leslie didn't tell you what the offer	
16	was?	
17	MR. SCHACHTER: No or that there was even an offer.	
18	THE COURT: Okay. We will take a short recess.	
19	MR. HYLIN: We'll talk for a minute.	
20	THE COURT: Talk a minute. We'll be in recess.	
21	(Recess taken)	
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Okay	
23	Mr. Schachter, did you have get the offer conveyed to you now?	
24	MR. SCHACHTER: I did, Your Honor.	

1 THE COURT: Okay. And you wish to proceed? 2 MR. SCHACHTER: Yes. I made a counteroffer and it's 3 not happening. 4 Okay. All right. What we're going to do THE COURT: 5 then, with regard to -- have you filed in the second amended 6 information? 7 MR. BOGALE: No. The State has not filed it in yet. 8 THE COURT: You want to file it in, right? 9 MR. BOGALE: Well, the State has thought about this, 10 Your Honor, and it might be a cleaner record here if Count II is 11 dismissed, and so the State, after consulting with its colleagues 12 will now move to dismiss Count II, now. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. BOGALE: You don't have to do it now. We can do it. 15 after. 16 THE COURT: We can do it now. 17 THE COURT: So the amended information you're moving to 18 dismiss Count II? 19 MR. BOGALE: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Any objection? 21 MR. SCHACHTER: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Count II is dismissed. So we will proceed 23 on the amended information. Count I, which is attempted robbery, 24 and then if the defendant is convicted of Count I, Count III will

become an issue with regard to the habitual criminal.

MR. BOGALE: Would Your Honor like the State to submit a second amended information to renumber Count III to Count II now?

THE COURT: No. You don't have to do that now. For purposes of the jury instructions with regard to the charging you're just on Count I, and it doesn't matter that Count II has been dismissed for purposes of sentencing, which is the only time that Count III would come into play.

Any objection, Mr. Schachter?

MR. SCHACHTER: No, that's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So that being done, we will move into the jury voir dire.

MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor. I had a couple of house cleaning.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SCHACHTER: There was also a motion to dismiss on the delays that you had taken arguments for at the end of the last hearing right before the 6:00 o'clock, we left just as to the delay. It wasn't mentioned in your order, but since it was a separate motion, I just wanted to make sure.

THE COURT: On what delay? I'm sorry.

MR. SCHACHTER: The prejudicial delay for me not being able to recover the video. The video had run out at 60 days.

Walmart had said that they lost that video at 60 days. I put a motion in to dismiss on the grounds of prejudicial delay, on the grounds that I was delayed the ability to get the investigator in a timely manner and that caused me to lose out on the evidence of the video of me walking in.

THE COURT: Okay. And that wasn't covered in the written motion?

MR. SCHACHTER: No, it's not mentioned at all.

THE COURT: The Court is going to deny that motion because it was your, the delay was caused if at all if there was a delay, it was caused by your request for self-representation. And you did that at justice court even after the judge canvassed you and again here.

So any delay that was caused in hiring the investigator was caused by your self-representation and refusing the representation that was offered to you from the public defender.

Ultimately the public defender is standby counsel. I appointed them at your first appearance here and also they did provide an investigator to you, but I think by then the preliminary hearing self-representation had caused the issue.

So I'm going to deny that motion as being caused by yourself and not by the State.

MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. One more issue.

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

1 I received a redacted jury profile. MR. SCHACHTER: 2 think that that's ---3 THE COURT: I don't know what you received. 4 you mean redacted? 5 MR. HYLIN: Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Can you help here, Mr. Hylin. 7 MR. HYLIN: Would you like me to explain, Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: Yes, please. 9 MR. HYLIN: They have all the names, addresses and some 10 contact information on there, so to opt for the safer thing, I 11 know that the jury commissioner is very, very sensitive about 12 giving out that information. As a matter of fact we have to hand 13 in all their items after the, trial so there's know loose copies 14 floating around there. So we redacted some of the personal 15 addresses and contact information. 16 THE COURT: Telephone numbers and addresses. 17 MR. HYLIN: Yes. So any contact information from 18 Mr. Schachter's copy. Now I have an unredacted set here if you 19 would prefer that. 20 THE COURT: So but the name and the profession and all 21 of that --22 MR. HYLIN: All of that stuff is still on 23 Mr. Schachter's copy. 24 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Schachter?

MR. SCHACHTER: But not the individual places of employment. The occupation, the generic occupation, but not the individual. Also not — I'm not looking for the whole birthday, but I don't want to ask, especially female jurors their age.

THE COURT: You don't get that on there anymore, do you?

MR. SCHACHTER: They have the date of birth on there. It's redacted. You can imagine that for — to me there's a huge difference between somebody who works at a coffee house like Starbucks as opposed to Java across the street.

THE COURT: Really, there's a difference?

MR. SCHACHTER: To me, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHACHTER: As far as seeking a jury. And the same with addresses, I got the ZIP code, but within a Zip code, there's a huge difference between somebody who owns their home and somebody who is at a weekly motel, as far as who I want.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to give you their addresses. I don't think that's necessary. And I'm not going to do that. I will have the jury that comes up here notify us of their profession, where they work, if they were working. We don't always even have that so, because it doesn't always tell us where they're actually working, sometimes it's a P.O. Box. I don't know where they're working.

So the information you think you want isn't even always there. But I am going to go ahead and have them tell us when they come up where they're employed and the general area of Washoe County they reside in should help you a little bit.

MR. SCHACHTER: I still -- I wanted to just put it on the record, not necessarily for you to do anything in particular. We're here now doing it. It was more for the record for appellant purposes for you to make a decision.

THE COURT: Well, I don't see any -- there's no

Constitutional Right to even have a questionnaire. So we could

have just said no questionnaire in this case. So that we could

have done so nobody got a questionnaire.

And I guess if have another self-represented defendant, I'll do that so we don't even run the risk of it. But you can imagine how jurors don't like their personal phone number out there. And I know Mr. Schachter you wouldn't do that. I don't have any doubt that you would be fine with all that, but I understand why Mr. Hylin's office redacted them.

MR. SCHACHTER: I understand too, Your Honor. It's the issue I have is that the equal protection, the equal opportunity. I mean Mr. Bogale is not going to need that information, because he already has that information.

THE COURT: But the jury doesn't know that.

MR. SCHACHTER: But the how old a particular juror is

or where they work at or where they're precise address is is not redacted on his. So it's not level.

THE COURT: Isn't there a question if you own or rent your home?

MR. SCHACHTER: Not on the one --

MR. HYLIN: Not anymore.

THE COURT: It used to be one there.

MR. BOGALE: Not that I'm aware of, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Mr. Hylin, why don't we do this, why don't you get your unredacted out. And then if when the jury comes up into the jury box go ahead and hand those so that Mr. Schachter can look at those. Give you a little something to do Mr. Hylin. Sorry about that.

MR. HYLIN: That's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then you will take them back. That way we can make sure they don't go to the jail. That's I think is the issue, that we don't want them in the jail.

So Mr. Hylin will give them to you as we go. Now, anything else?

MR. SCHACHTER: Two things about the evidence as it pertains back to your order denying my motion to dismiss. I would ask the Court to consider suppressing both the photo pursuant to the best evidence code and NRS 52385 and also the single video clip that is it labeled RXOTC of the eight video

clips that are on the disk. In that they are not a true representation of what happened and because the findings of your order denying the motion to dismiss that they are or could have been — they were material and could have been exculpatory, that the best evidence would have been either the whole video as the video and as to the photo the actual items.

THE COURT: I don't -- are there screen shots that are labeled RX something?

MR. BOGALE: The files on the disks have names. And one is RX I think OTC Walmart additional letters.

THE COURT: And what is that?

MR. BOGALE: I believe that's an, and I could be wrong
I believe it's a picture of the video camera shot from the
pharmacy towards the health and beauty section.

THE COURT: Okay. With this motion to dismiss Count II, are you still going to be using all the same videos?

MR. BOGALE: I intend on using all of them, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHACHTER: There's also case law, it's Arcadian versus State 122 Nevada 109.

THE COURT: And it says what?

MR. SCHACHTER: Excuse me?

THE COURT: And it says what?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

MR. SCHACHTER: They're talking about just the background of the case. It was a robbery/homicide and they had pulled video from the hard drive and made a composite video of the whole thing. It was one of those where there was like four screens and video and then one, and the Court said it would have been better had the State called an ADT technician to testify to the authenticity. Okay. This would have been the better course to establish foundationally between the digital video surveillance recording and the first videotape. The surveillance system hard drive was in the police custody and the defense had access to it and could have investigated it, had they had any concerns regarding the original digital recording or how it was down loaded. The detective testified to this and the creation of the composite video. That's really not what I wanted.

The relevant — okay. Excuse me, Your Honor. There's no evidence suggesting that the composite videotape was inaccurate or that any relevant or exculpatory information had been deleted from it or that the modification adversely affected or obscured the content. And that your order sustaining it.

We — there was exculpatory video that was left out of the whole bigger picture of the video — of the disk that the State wants to introduce. Me coming into the door and me without the backpack or selecting the backpack off the shelf. So the one video file is stand alone, is not representative of what happened

whereas the other ones are just me in the store with the items. So I don't have any problem. They are actually more exculpatory than incriminating. The only one file is where I am choosing an item and place it in a cart. It's not representative of what happened five minutes later.

THE COURT: So I'm going to deny your motion on the best evidence rule. I think that I — it is true that a picture is not as good as the physical evidence, but I don't think it rises to the level of suppression of a picture nor of the RXO 27 or RO2C. So I'm going to deny those motions.

Now let's move into — couple of things. Counsel, I don't anticipate this taking a long time today and tomorrow, and so you need to move forthwith with regard to modifying your jury instructions. And obviously there would not be one on burglary, which is easy, we can pull that out. Of more concern to me is the instruction with regard to the Indictment, the charging document. So we need to clean that up.

We're going to bring the jury in in just a few minutes. When we do that, I want to go over a couple of things. One is that you both provided me with trial statements. It's my understanding that the electronic equipment is for using for both defense and the prosecutor, so the request on the part of the defense to use that equipment is granted. It was my understanding that that was the arrangement.

With regard to the proposed voir dire, what I will do is I will tell you at this time which questions I will find improper if someone objected to them and if they were objected to, that I would sustain an objection and not let the question be asked. And that would be in the nine series for the State. I would sustain an objection if the questions BC, D, were asked. In addition, I would sustain an objection as to sub I, the Court will instruct as to the difference between direct and circumstantial evidence, and I would not want that done through a voir dire question.

J, which is a juror having trouble with definitions or legal concepts, I would not allow that question if someone objected.

I think I would object -- I would sustain an objection to K, because I think it's a comment on the burden of proof.

MR. BOGALE: That's K.

THE COURT: K. L, would be appropriate and M would be appropriate, if I do not cover them, because I ask that neither side cover ground that I have already asked. Although even though I asked the question with regard to burden of proof and presumption of innocence. I do allow both sides to ask additional questions in that area.

In regard to the defense, if the question were to be asked our law -- I'm sorry, are low prices for everyday items

more important than customer service, I would sustain the objection. I think that's argument. Should the State be spending more money on new prison construction or loan money on rehabilitation programs, I would sustain an objection to that.

And how do you feel about gun control laws, I would sustain the objection as to that. The first part is the right to own a gun and to bear arms is important to you, I would allow that question, but the second part I would sustain an objection.

What publications do you subscribe to, I would sustain an objection that's overly burdensome. However, I would allow for a question with regard to favorite web site. And how do you feel about new universal health care law. I would sustain an objection. I think that's too far afield for the issue before us. So if there were to be an objection as to those questions it would be sustained.

I give you this information because most people don't want to ask a question if the judge is going to sustain an objection, so I like to give you advance notice.

So that being said, I think we're ready for the jury to come back. I want to just preliminarily go over the process.

And Mr. Hylin I'm sure you probably already told Mr. Schachter how we pick a jury and how we do this.

Mr. Schachter, you're welcome of course to continually ask Mr. Hylin if you have any questions. What will go through we

will pool the first group off people and I think it's going to be 23. And fill these all in. And then I will ask questions and I will let the State ask questions and you ask questions. And then when we're all done asking questions, we will, if nobody has been excused for cause, a legal reason, not just we don't like them or not somebody we think would be our best choice once, we have 23 that are all okay for legal reasons, then the bailiff is going to take them down the hall to another room and you all will have exercise your peremptory challenges here in the courtroom on the record, but the jurors will be in the — in a different area. When they come back in, we will tell them who's selected. And we will start with opening statements.

It's 20 minutes to 11:00, so we may not be able to get opening statements before lunch. My hope would be that we can actually get this jury before the lunch hour. And I will go to about 12:15 if you're kind of close to picking the jury, otherwise after that we'll take our recess. Is there any questions?

MR. BOGALE: Just one, Your Honor. It's my understanding that trial statements now will be exchanged and filed. Would it be possible for the State get a filed copy of each?

THE COURT: We do open them after, as we start the jury trial. So the clerk will get to it. Did you -- and

Mr. Schachter gets a copy too.

Did you serve him?

MR. BOGALE: It was my understanding that the parties submit them to the Court confidentially and then on the morning of trial they are filed and exchanged.

THE COURT: They are. Okay. We'll make sure that that happens for you.

The clerk has asked me a question. She's going to read the amended information. And the AKA is a spelling issue, not an actual AKA, so she would normally only read the first name, the first full time and not the also known as name with a different spelling.

MR. BOGALE: That's fine, Your Honor.

MR. HYLIN: That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. And then she will read Miss Clerk, why don't you just put into the record. You don't have to read it just tell me.

THE CLERK: Just so you know the amended information, I will start here at in the Second Judicial District Court, I will do gown, I will read the case title with the case number, I will go from, then read amended information, read all the way through Count I, after Count I, I will skip Count II and Count III, and I will pick up on page four, where it says all of which is contrary to the form. I will read all the way through to the last word

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

attorney, which is district attorney. I will not read his bar I will just read district attorney. And then at the end I will say to which the defendant previously entered a plea of not quilty.

> THE COURT: Any other changes?

MR. BOGALE: Just to advise the Court and the clerk. The second misspelled name is also on line 20. So if you wanted to --

> I see it. Thank you very much. THE CLERK:

MR. BOGALE: Thank you.

Okay. So we are ready to bring the jury THE COURT: panel up. Does anybody need to use the facilities now before we get the jury panel in here, because like I said we'll go until about 12:15.

Court's in recess.

(Jury voir dire)

(Jury pane] sworn.)

THE CLERK: In the Second Judicial District Court in the State of Nevada in and for the County of Washoe, the State of Nevada, Plaintiff, versus Marc Paul Schachter, Defendant, Case No. CR 14-1044, department number four. Amended information: Richard A Gammick, deputy -- I'm sorry. Richard A Gammick, district attorney, within and for the County of Washoe, state of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada,

informs the above-entitled court that Marc Paul Schachter, the defendant above named, has committed the crime of Count I, attempted robbery, a violation of NRS 193.330, being an attempt to violate NRS 200.380 a felony in the manner following: the said defendant, Marc Paul Schachter on or about the 9th day of June, 2013, and before the filing of this Information and within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully attempt to rob loss prevention personal at Walmart located at 5260 West Seventh Street with the use of force and violence in that the defendant used physical force upon said person to retain property he had just stolen from said location in order to facilitate his escape, all of which is contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and the dignity of the State of Nevada. Richard A Gammick, district attorney, Washoe County, Nevada by Zelalem Bogale, Deputy District Attorney, to which the defendant previously entered a plea of not quilty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen that is what the case is going to be about and what our trial will be about. I'm going to let you go to lunch now. And you're going to come back 1:30. That's just a little bit over an hour. You may need to do some business and at 1:30 you'll begin hearing the case by opening statements and then evidence.

We will continue until about ten minutes to five this

afternoon, but there will be one break in the middle of the day around 3:00, 3:30, around in there depending and how it works out, and you'll be able to use the jury room. But like I said if you need something other than water, coffee or tea bring it from home or outside when you come back. The bailiff will be giving you badges that will say juror, and she'll go over a couple of things before you leave. Now I'm going to give you an in admonition. I have it to you earlier. I'll give to you at all the breaks, and I want you to seriously remember that this applies to your personal communication devices, your use of the Internet, your use of computers. There have been several mistrials in this district because jurors didn't listen to the They ignored it, and blogs and Facebook and Internet communications are easily figured out. And if that happens, then we have to start all over.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So that's why you kind of are getting a little bit of a lecture, even though you haven't done anything. We want to make sure we don't have an issue.

So remember the admonition is that you may not discuss the case with anyone amongst yourselves or anyone else. You may form or express any opinion about the ultimate outcome of this matter. You may not allow anyone to speak of the case to you or in any way attempt to influence you with regard to it. You may not listen to, view or read any news media or any other accounts

Honor.

regarding this case. You may not make any independent investigation or inquiry, including looking on the Internet, Google searches, et cetera, into the facts and circumstances surrounding this case. And you may not at any point report anything you learned to any of the other jurors, but tell us what you've done.

I'll see you after lunch. You may step into the jury room at this time. Thank you.

(Jury excused)

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: You may be seated. So counsel if you will and Mr. Schachter if you'll return to the jury information to the clerk, she'll take care of that for you. And is there anything else that we need to talk about before we start with opening statements?

MR. BOGALE: Nothing from the State, Your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Schachter, do you have anything?

MR. SCHACHTER: No. I was just thinking -- no, Your

THE COURT: Are you going to make an opening statement or do you want to reserve it until later or do you know?

MR. SCHACHTER: Can I decide later at the end of his statement?

THE COURT: Absolutely. And I will ask you then. it will be in front of the jury. MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: And give you that opportunity. If there's nothing further for the today or for this morning we will see you back again a few minutes before 1:30. Court's in recess. (Lunch recess taken.) 

## AFTERNOON SESSION

--000--

(Hearing held outside the presence of the jury.)

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Honor.

I asked for a hearing outside the presence THE COURT: of the jury, because the State provided the Court with a jury instruction that would be given preliminarily at the beginning the case, and we had not made a record about how you felt about that, Mr. Schachter. So this would be the time to give the instruction if we were to give it. And you got a copy of that.

> I did this morning, Your Honor. MR. SCHACHTER:

The one that the State provided was from THE COURT: the State of Connecticut. There also are instructions that are very similar from the State of California from the 8th Circuit and the 4th Circuit.

So did you see the one from Connecticut?

MR. SCHACHTER: No, I did not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have a copy?

I don't believe I do, Your Honor. MR. BOGALE:

THE COURT: Mr. Hylin, would you approach.

Go ahead and hand you a copy of the instruction as provided by the State.

> There's no objection to that, Your MR. SCHACHTER:

THE COURT: Would you like that given?

MR. SCHACHTER: Please.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we will give that now before we do opening statements. And is there anything else that we haven't resolved?

The rule of exclusion it was invoked during some of the pretrial. Do you all agree that the rule of exclusion is still invoked?

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. SCHACHTER: And to one point. Can we admonish Detective Reed not to use the rope, the repeat offender.

THE COURT: When he describes what his job is?

MR. SCHACHTER: Exactly.

THE COURT: I think that's an appropriate motion in limine and I'll grant that. It will probably be your responsibility to tell him he's a detective, not a repeat offender detective.

MR. BOGALE: I understand, Your Honor.

MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you.

THE COURT: The clerk told me that there was an exhibit marked that appears to be a picture from something that happened at the jail. And I didn't know if you plan to use that exhibit, Tiburon, it's Exhibit 10.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

MR. SCHACHTER: I object.

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor, it's a print out from the Tiburon system.

Does that indicate that the defendant is in THE COURT: custody?

MR. BOGALE: I'll have to look at the exhibit, Your Honor.

> THE COURT: Go look.

MR. BOGALE: Yes, it does. It has jail ID on there and it has a number in that box.

THE COURT: Well, then you're telling the jury that the defendant is in custody, aren't you?

I initially marked the exhibit just so I MR. BOGALE: could mark everything that I planned on using, if I do use it, we can redact that portion or I may not use it, about if I do I will certainly remove any reference to him being in custody.

The purpose of the exhibit, Your Honor, is that he came in a certain cash, that was the reason.

THE COURT: I understand that but a cleaner way, when a person is in custody, would be to call the witness who can say that when he was stopped, arrested, he had this much money on You want to make it clear, you don't want to leave the jury with the impression that he's still in custody.

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, as case works you can refresh

recollection with a ham sandwich. I was interested in using this to refresh the officer's recollection that booked him in. That was the State's intention.

THE COURT: Then do not present it to the jury without a hearing outside the presence of the jury. And then also Exhibit 11, and we don't have to deal with that right now, I don't think, but I don't want that discussed or presented to the jury, unless there's a hearing outside the presence of the jury.

MR. BOGALE: No problem, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

Then let's bring the jury in.

Counsel will you stipulate to the presence of the jury.

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Schachter.

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.

Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

Before we proceed with what's going to happen next, I'd like to read to you a specific jury instruction. And it's what we've been talking about today during jury selection, but I just want to make sure that you are aware of it and understand it.

The defendant has decided to represent himself at this trial rather than being represented by an attorney. He has a Constitutional Right to do so. His decision to proceed without

an attorney has no bearing on whether he is guilty or not guilty, and you're not to draw any inference favorable or unfavorable to the defendant for the exercise of his right to represent himself. Carl Hylin an attorney will be seated at the counsel table with the defendant. The defendant may at any time consult with Mr. Hylin about his defense.

Now we are at the stage in the proceedings when the attorneys and the defendant acting as his own attorney may make their opening statement. The law provides that the State, the deputy district attorney may make his opening statement first, then the defense can make an opening statement or reserve their statement until later.

Counsel, are you ready to proceed?

MR. BOGALE: Yes, I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BOGALE: May it please the Court.

THE COURT: Yes.

(Opening statement by the State.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the defense may now make an opening statement or reserve it until the end of the State's case.

MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you, Your Honor. I'd like to make an opening statement.

THE COURT: You may do so.

1	(Opening statement by Mr. Schachter)
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Now the State will call your
3	first witness.
4	MR. BOGALE: State calls Alex Monroy.
5	(Witness sworn)
6	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated at the witness
7	stand.
8	THE COURT: Did you want to use the podium?
9	MR. BOGALE: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Let's move back a little bit, make sure
11	you're not blocking Mr. Schachter's view of the jury.
12	MR. BOGALE: Do you want me to move back here?
13	THE COURT: Back between either place.
14	
15	ALEJANDRO MONROY,
16	called as a witness by the plaintiff herein,
17	being first duly sworn, was examined
18	and testified as follows:
19	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. BOGALE:
22	Q Good afternoon.
23	A Hello.
24	Q Go ahead and state your name and spell your last name

1	for the Court reporter.		
2	A	Alejandro Monroy, M-O-N-R-O-Y.	
3	Q	And Alejandro, do you commonly go by Alex?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Where do you work, Alex?	
6	A	Walmart store.	
7		THE COURT: Mr. Monroy.	
8	BY MR. BO	GALE:	
9	Q	Okay. Mr. Monroy, where do you work?	
10	A	I work at Walmart store on Kietzke.	
11	Q	And how long have you been with Walmart?	
12	A	Almost a year.	
13	Q	What's your current position?	
14	A	Asset protection associate.	
15	Q	Have you ever held any other positions at Walmart?	
16	A	No, I have not.	
17	Q	Have you always been at the Kietzke store?	
18	A	No.	
19	Q	Where which other stores have you been?	
20	A	I worked at the store on Mae Anne, the Seventh Street	
21	store.		
22	Q	Okay. Is that store 3254?	
23	A	Yes, it is.	
24	Q	On the corner of Seventh Street?	
E			

A And McCarran.

Q And McCarran. Go ahead and briefly describe to the jury what asset protection is.

A Basically we are the associates that walk through the store, plain clothed, looking for safety issues and also surveilling for shoplifters.

Q What sort of resources do you as an asset protection associate at Walmart have to fulfill the mission of loss prevention or asset protection?

A Mostly we just use our eyes. We have training to help us see suspicious activity and as well as cameras.

Q So what sort of training do you have to complete to become an asset protection associate?

A We go per store policy. We have a lot of computer based training as well as walking with a seasoned asset protection officer or associate who helps us identify suspicious activity in the real world.

Q So when you're walking with a senior asset protection associate is that associate pointing out things to look for?

A Yes.

Q What sorts of things are you trained to detect?

A Looking around nervously, walking around with an empty bag or an empty Walmart bag, shopping erratically, not really looking at what you're taking, just putting things in carts or in bags, things of that sort.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And why is that suspicious behavior?

A Because normally when someone goes shopping they might know exactly what it is they're going to get, but you always tend to look at packaging, not just grab a package and throw it in your cart.

Q So when someone like a subject is suspected of shoplifting at your store what do you do?

A I basically stand back from a safe distance so I'm not noticed and watch their behavior, watch what they do while they're shopping.

Q Let me direct your attention to June 9th of this year.
A little over three months ago, I guess. Were you working at the Seventh Street store?

A Yes, I was.

Q As an asset protection associate?

A Yes, I was.

Q Were there other associates working that day with you?

A Yes, there was.

Q How many?

A One.

Q So there was just two of you?

A Yes.

Q Covering the entire store?

THE COURT:

24

You may.

1		MR. SCHACHTER: I object to that one.
2		THE COURT: May I see what you're approaching the
3	witness w	ith.
4		MR. BOGALE: Exhibit 7.
5		THE COURT: You may approach.
6	BY LEFT1:	
7	Q	Mr. Monroy I'm going to show you what's been mark as
8	Exhibit 7	in this case. Can you take a look at that?
9	A	(Witness complies.)
10	Q	Have you had a chance to look at that?
11	A	Yes, I have.
12	Q	Do you recognize that?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	What is it?
15	A	It's the overview layout of the store 3254.
16	Q	Your Honor may I retrieve the exhibit from Mr. Ron Roy?
17		THE COURT: Yes.
18	BY LEFT1:	
19	Q	How do you know what this is?
20	A	I worked the store for nine months almost, so I had a
21	very good	understanding of the layout.
22	Q	Your Honor state moves to admit Exhibit 7?
23		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection.
24		THE COURT: Grounds.

1 MR. SCHACHTER: That is a generic it is not of the 2 specific store. Here's the layout of the specific store. 3 MR. BOGALE: Your Honor. 4 There are section that are different on MR. SCHACHTER: 5 that one then. 6 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let you exam the witness 7 on that. 8 MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. 9 THE COURT: But I'm going to allow the diagram based on 10 the witness's testimony to be admitted overruled. 11 MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor. May I publish. 12 You. 13 THE COURT: You may. 14 BY MR. BOGALE: 15 Q All right. I'm going to put up Exhibit 7 on the screen 16 up there. Okay. Just to help you explain how you kind of went 17 through the store. You just testified that you originally began 18 observing the defendant near the pharmacy; is that correct. 19 Α Correct. 20 0 Is this the pharmacy right here? 21 Α Yes, it is. 22 Q. And is that near the entrance and exit? 23 Α Yes, it is. 24 Q Okay. And just to be clear, the pharmacy is this blue

1	box marked pharmacy?		
2	A	Correct.	
3	Q	Next to the red arrows one pointing up one pointing	
4	down?		
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	Now, when you first observed this individual, does the	
7	individual have a cart?		
8	A	Yes, he does.	
9	Q	Okay. Does this individual?	
10		THE COURT: Did you need the exhibit still up?	
11		MR. BOGALE: I want to keep it up, I want to show as he	
12	travels through the store.		
13		THE COURT: And I appreciate if you have the witness	
14	show, not	you.	
15		MR. BOGALE: Okay.	
16		THE COURT: Thank you.	
17	BY MR. BOGALE:		
18	Q	Did he have any bag?	
19	A	No.	
20	Q	Did he have a backpack on?	
21	A	No.	
22	Q	So he just had Al cart?	
23	A	Correct.	
24	Q	How close or far away were you from this individual?	

1 Α At the time that we crossed paths? 2 Q Yes? 3 Α Three to five feet. 4 Q Okay. Do you see that individual here in the courtroom 5 today? 6 Α Yes, I do. 7 Q Can you please point at him and describe an article of 8 cheating that he's wearing? 9 It's the gentlemen with the blue blazer, gray shirt and Α 10 blue tie. Your Honor, may the record reflect 11 MR. BOGALE: identification of the of this witness? 12 13 THE COURT: Record will so reflect. 14 MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 BY MR. BOGALE: 16 Q Now are you familiar with each department at Walmart 17 and the items located in each department? 18 Α Yes, I am. 19 Q Let's go through each department as you surveilled this 20 So you start in the pharmacy. And if you could just 21 point to the jury how it proceeds on the screen up there that 22 would be helpful. So when he leaves the pharmacy where does he 23 qo? 24 He is heading in this direction. Α

- 1 Okay. 0 2 Α And turns up towards our tire and lube suppress 3 department. 4 And the tire lube express is that the box marked TLE in 0 5 the top right? 6 Α Correct. 7 So what happens next? 0 8 Α Next he comes into this section of TLE, which is the 9 automotive section and on the back wall there we have a shelving 10 where he selects the backpack. 11 What do he do with the backpack? Q 12 Α Puts it in the cart. 13 Q What happens next? 14 Α Next he comes back down towards the health and beauty 15 pharmacy section where he comes into the section over here and 16 starts looking at heating pads. 17 Just to be clear you've been pointing now towards the Q 18 bottom right? 19 I'm trying to find. Α Correct. 20 Q Exhibit 7 and there are three blue boxes there one from 21 left to right one is marked impulse ones OTC1 is health and 22 beauty?
  - 41

Okay. And what is he doing in this area?

23

24

Α

0

Correct.

	ll .	
1	A	He is looking at box of heating pads.
2		MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, may I approach the clerk?
3		THE COURT: You may. You can sit down, sir.
4		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
5		THE CLERK: Exhibit 16 marked. 16 marked marked.
6		(Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)
7		MR. BOGALE: Thank you.
8		Your Honor may I approach the witness?
9		THE COURT: Yes.
10	BY MR. BOO	GALE:
11	Q	Okay. Mr. Monroy, I'm going to show you what's been
12	mark as Ex	xhibit 16. Do you recognize that?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	What is it?
15	A	It is a burned copy of the investigation that we did on
16	Mr. Schack	nter that day.
17	Q	And what is that disk have on it?
18	A	It has Mr. Schachter in certain areas of the store
19	where the	surveillance cameras were able to capture him.
20	Q	Okay. So there are video files on that?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And how do you know that?
23	A	I know because I burned the CD.
24	Q	Okay. Can I take it back from you?

A Absolutely.

Q Thank you. Your Honor, the State moves to admit Exhibit 16?

MR. SCHACHTER: Objection.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury I think we're going to take a little hearing outside of your presence for a few minutes, and during this break remember the admonition I've given you at all of the breaks.

(Admonition given.)

Please go into the jury room for just a few minutes.

THE COURT: What is your objection?

MR. SCHACHTER: The foundation, Your Honor. During the testimony last week you said that the witness, Mr. Monroy said that he didn't burn any new video. That the video that was taken off the Walmart hard drive was all done by Ms. Young. He didn't add anything new to that disk. He just made another copy of the one that Ms. Young had already made.

THE COURT: He just told me he burned the copy from the record in there. Did you want to voir dire the witness?

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, that would be fine.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and ask the witness a question.

## BY MR. SCHACHTER:

O The videos that are on that file are the same exact

----

-

video that Ms. Young burned on June 10th?

A I do not know what Ms. Anna young burned on the 10th.

Q Do we want to show all the ones. Do we want to go through that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Have you seen this exhibit before?

Is this a brand-new exhibit that you just brought today.

MR. BOGALE: No, Your Honor it's the actual burned copy that Mr. Monroy gave to me. Mr. Schachter submitted two copies essentially of the same video files to Your Honor at the evidentiary hearing.

THE COURT: Why aren't you using the ones we've already seen. Because they haven't been marked and admitted. They weren't mashed. They were marked as AB, C and did, the trial exhibits are marked in numerical fashion.

They're still here you still have control of them. I just, yes, I think Mr. Schachter has a right to see what you just marked outside the presence of the jury, before it's played for the jury. So if he has an objection to it he can voice it. We've already seen the other exhibits that were marked and we have had extensive hearings on them and they were his discovery.

So if this is an exact replica of what was previously marked as A or B or whatever, then why don't you just use that exhibit, you can have it marked again or use the A number and do

it.

Otherwise, yes, Mr. Schachter is correct, we do need to play it outside the presence of the jury.

MR. BOGALE: The issue is it's foundation, Your Honor, Ms. Young burned those and now they're trying to use the same video.

THE COURT: Well, he just said he burned it.

MR. SCHACHTER: From the ones that Ms. Young bushed off the hard drive.

THE COURT: I don't think so, Mr. Schachter. That's not the way I understood the testimony.

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, the point of the State offering this exhibit today is because this is the one he actually burned. Exhibits A and B were submitted by the defendant, those were copies that were discovered to him. And I don't know if Alex can authenticate those. He didn't burn those. Alex actually burned this one.

THE COURT: Use his last name. We don't use first names for witnesses. You keep using his first name. Please use last names.

Sir, where did you get this from?

THE WITNESS: I burned it at the Walmart.

THE COURT: And you burned it off of what?

THE WITNESS: The hard drive.

17 ..

THE COURT: Okay. The hard dive you told us about before that was saved.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: The foundation objection is overruled, but play it and make sure it matches the discovery you gave him. You don't play something in court in a jury trial that the defense has never seen. That's just not proper.

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, respectfully, I believe the defendant has seen the files on this video. He may not have seen this exact disk.

THE COURT: And so you play it in front of the jury.

What if he you're wrong. What if he says no. That box there, I

didn't see it. Then we have a mistrial. So that's why you use

the same exhibit, the one that you burned the files of off should

have gone to him as a copy and that's what should have been in

the evidentiary hearing. But we're here, you've got it, so play

it.

MR. BOGALE: Shall I just start playing then?

THE COURT: Well, how do you have them organized?

I can't see the screen.

MR. BOGALE: They're organized the same way they are on disk A and B.

MR. SCHACHTER: If I can make it a quicker and easier, if I can just look and ask him which ones to play. Then that

would --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. SCHACHTER: To me these are not newly burned files these are files from Ms. Young. The date is 6-10, the ones that Ms. Young burned for Detective Reed the following day.

THE COURT: The date that data is saved on to a hard drive is recorded. That is different than burning a CD. Burning a CD is a copy from the hard drive. So the hard drive should not change. It shouldn't change from the date that it's created. We don't have the Walmart hard dive here. We have a disk that has been what we hear burned. Which is just like making a Xerox copy. The Xerox copy may have been made today or yesterday, but the copy of the document that was created on the 10th.

Now if your objection is this witness didn't create the original data saved in a Walmart hard drive, then that objection is overruled. This witness can testify that this is a duplicate of what he observed on the day in question and where he got it from.

So I'm going to overrule your objection. The question is what you had in discovery. Is it all the same?

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor. As to the video, yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. SCHACHTER: Can I continue voir dire on this issue

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

or are we done with this issue?

THE COURT: Well, if you have another question for the witness, go ahead.

## BY MR. SCHACHTER:

The video that you burned were saved, were the exact Q same once that Ms. Young burned on the 10th, correct?

Α As far as I know.

Well did you personally select these specific video 0 from the entire hard drive?

Α I'm sorry I'm not understanding.

Q Did you have complete access to all the video from the 9th when you decided to burn these same particular videos that Ms. Young had already burned?

Ms. Young saved an investigation on the hard drive of Α That's what I burned. the computer.

Q So it's the copy of the ones that Ms. Young burned or saved from the hard drive; is that correct?

Α I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm really not understanding the question.

> THE COURT: Okay.

## BY MR. SCHACHTER:

0 This disk that you burned is the exact, sorry. The files that Ms. Young saved for the investigation.

Α Yes.

1	Q	Are the ones that are on this disk, correct?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	There's nothing new, you didn't burn anything new, you
4	didn't pu	ll any new video files from the Walmart hard drive?
5	A	I did not.
6		THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?
7		MR. SCHACHTER: No, Your Honor.
8		THE COURT: Okay. Then do we just have the the
9	table of o	contents up, is that what's showing?
10		MR. BOGALE: Yes.
11		THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll bring the jury back in.
12	. ,	Objection overruled.
13		(Presence of the jury. )
14		THE COURT: Counsel, do you stipulate to the presence
15	of the ju	ry
16		MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.
17		THE COURT: Mr. Schachter?
18		MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.
19		THE COURT: Exhibit 7 is admitted. Counsel, you may
20	proceed.	Exhibit 7 is up on the board, correct?
21		I'm sorry 16, not seven. Exhibit 16 is admitted.
22	BY MR. BOO	GALE:
23	Q	Before I show you Exhibit 16, Mr. Monroy, I just want
24	to back u	o a little bit. Is the Walmart on seventh and McCarran

	H	
1	is that 1	ocated in Washoe County?
2	A	Yes, it is.
3	Q	Okay. And now I'm going to play some video files from
4	Exhibit 1	.6 okay.
5	A	Okay.
6	Q	This is file RX space space OTC okay.
7		THE COURT: Do you have need lights off?
8		MR. BOGALE: Sure, Your Honor. Thank you.
9	BY MR. BO	OGALE:
10	Q	Having a some trouble playing this, Mr. Monroy, just
11	one momer	at. Let's just use the diagram for now and I'll talk
12	about the	e video in a moment.
13		Okay. So you testified earlier you first observed him
14	near the	pharmacy; is that correct?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	The defendant next went to automotive?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	And came back down to the health and beauty section?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	What did you see him doing in the health and beauty
21	section?	
22	А	He began looking a heating pad, electric heating pad.
23	Q	And if you could again stand up Mr. Monroy and point
24	roughly w	where he is and where you were when you were observing

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1	him.	
	2	A	He would have been right in here. And I would have
	3	been up	in here.
	4	Q	So you're pointing the defendant was in the impulse
	5	section t	there?
	6	A	Correct.
	7	Q	And you were toward the home management section?
	8	A	Correct.
	9	Q	Okay. And about how far away way?
	10	A	Approximately 30 feet.
	11	Q	Okay. What does the defendant select in the impulse
	12	selection	n if he selects anything?
	13	A	He does. He selects one of the heating pads.
	14	Q	Okay. What happens next?
	15	А	After he selects the heating pad he goes toward the
	16	back and	makes his way towards the OTC section.
	17		THE COURT: Can you speak up us a little?
	18		THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.
	19		THE COURT: Thank you.
	20	BY MR. BC	OGALE:
	21	Q	And just for the jury's edification what does OTC stand
	22	for?	
	23	Α	Over-the-counter.
	24	Q	What does he do there?

1 Α There he's looking at icy hot electric pads. Okay. And what does he do with that? Does he grab 2 Q 3 one, does he not? Yes, he grabs one and begins looking at the box. 4 Α Does he select any other items? 5 Q He does select that particular electric pad. 6 Α 7 Q And what does he do with that pad? 8 Α He puts it in the cart. 9 What did he do with the heating pad? Q 10 Α Also placed it in the cart. 11 Q Where did he go next? 12 Next he then goes toward the back aisles, makes his way Α 13 toward the health and beauty where he begins to look at hair dye. 14 What does he do with the hair dye? Q 15 He actually selects two box of hair dye. A 16 What does he do with those two boxes? 0 17 Α Places them in the cart as well. 18 0 Where does he go next? 19 Α Next he makes his way over here into the toy 20 department. 21 Q Okay. 22 And just off to the side here there are actually aisles Α 2.3 for our seasonal section. 24 Just for the jury's edification you're pointing to the Q

toy section above health and beauty?

A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And you said there were some aisles on the right side of the toy section?
  - A Yes, right in here.
  - Q And you referred to that as the seasonal department?
  - A Correct.
  - Q What's in seasonal?
- A Well, it depends on the time. In the summertime, pools and garden accessories; in Christmastime this will have Christmas.
  - Q It's seasonal?
  - A Correct.
- Q What does he do in the seasonal department?
- A He actually goes into the first aisle of the seasonal department and actually beginning opening the packaging, taking what he selected out looking at it inspecting it.
- Q And just roughly I know that's kinds of a rough diagram point where the defendant is on the screen and point to where you are.
  - A The aisles actually run perpendicular here.
- Q Okay.
- A He was in the very first aisle, and I was at the end cap watching from the side.

1 Were you tying to hide? 2 Α Yes. 3 About how far away way were you from the defendant when 0 you were observing him in the seasonal department? 4 5 No more than five to seven feet. Α 6 So you say he opened some of the items what does 0 7 he do with him? 8 Α He just in inspects them puts them back in the box. 9 Okay. What does he do next? 10 Α After he puts everything back in the boxes he proceeds 11 to put the selected items inside of the backpack. 12 0 And that's the backpack you saw him grab in automotive 13 and place in his cart? 14 Α Correct. 15 Q So is he placing the items in the backpack while the 16 backpack is still in the cart? 17 Α Yes. 18 Q Which items does he place in the backpack? 19 Α The heating pad, the electric pad and one of the hair 20 dyes. 21 You said he had two hair dyes, right? Q 22 Α Yes. 23 What does he do with the other one? Q 24 Α Leave it in the cart.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 1819
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- Q Okay. What happens next?
- A He proceeds to pick up the backpack, place it on his shoulder, picks up the hair dye and proceeds out to our outdoor living section.
- Q And that's the section in the very bottom right of Exhibit 7?
  - A Correct.
  - Q What does he do with the cart?
  - A Leaves it in the aisle.
- Q Okay. So he has the backpack on his shoulder, the heating pad and the icy hot pad inside the backpack?
  - A Correct.
  - Q And he has another package of hair dye in his hand?
  - A Correct.
  - Q Okay. Do you ever make eye contact with him?
  - A We did, yes.
  - Q Where did you make eye contact?
- A After he goes into the outdoor living section, there is a sliding glass door right in there that I came around, because when we apprehend customers who try shoplift out of the outdoor living we actually have to get in front of them in a very tight area. So I have to be sure to be close enough to get in front of them before they leave.
  - So I was coming around after he went through the doors

to see exactly where he was going. And as I peeked around he was actually coming back.

- Q So do you know if the defendant every went through those doors?
  - A Through the first doors, yes.
  - Q Through the first sliding doors?
  - A Yes, he did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. He where does that take him?
- A That takes him into the actual lawn and garden area.
- Q So he actually goes out the sliding glass doors, at which point you said you tried to come around?
  - A Correct.
  - Q To see him?
  - A To see where he was going.
- Q And is that when you make eye contact with him?
  - A Yes.
    - Q Okay. What does he do after you make eye contact?
  - A He turns around, goes back down towards the exit where he stops at the cashier.
  - Q Okay. So just to be clear, I'm going to -- you said there's a sliding glass door, sort of, if you extend the white line outdoor living between outdoor living there's kind of a door there?
    - A Yes, right in there, indicating.

- 1 Q And where is the cashier?
  - A The cashier is located down in this area right here.
  - Q Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- A Towards the exit.
- Q Okay. So he goes towards the cashier. Does he stop anywhere first?
- A Not that I saw, because once we made eye contact I actually retreated in hopes that he wouldn't catch on that I was watching.
  - Q Okay. Well you're in plain clothes then, correct?
  - A Correct.
    - Q How would he know you're loss prevention?
- A People get an idea if they see a certain person, you know, watching them extensively.
  - Q Okay. So what happens next?
- A Next he does approach the cashier where he pays for one of the hair dyes and another item that I didn't see. She places it in the bag and he proceeds to leave.
- Q And as he proceeds to leave, does he still have the backpack on his shoulder?
- 21 A Yes, he does.
- 22 Q What happens, does he ultimately leave?
- 23 A Yes, he does.
  - Q Okay. So by leave, I mean does he walk out of the last

1	exit of t	the store?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Is there an exit depicted there on the screen or can
4	you point	one out?
5	A	It is right here, there's another sliding glass door.
6	Q	So he walks out that door with this backpack?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	Did you ever see him take items out of the backpack?
9	A	No.
10	Q	After he put them in?
11	A	No.
12.	Q	Okay. So what happens when he leaves the store?
13	A	When he leaves the store, I go out in front of him to
14	confront	him about the items.
15	Q	Okay. What do you say to him?
16	A	I attempt to identify myself as asset protection.
17	Q	Now you say you attempted to identify yourself. You
18	weren't'	able to identify yourself?
19	A	Not fully no.
20	Q	Why not?
21	A	As soon as I got in front of him to identify myself, he
22	pushed.	
23	Q	He pushed you?
24	A	He pushed me.
1		

1	Q	With his hands?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Where did he push you?
4	A	Right here.
5	Q	In your chest?
6	A	The chest.
7	Q	Okay. What did you do in response to that?
8	A	I hold my ground, attempt again to identify myself.
9	Q	So after he pushes you, you're still identifying
10	yourself?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Do you recall saying anything to him?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Besides that?
15	A	I did remember telling him that I just wanted to talk
16	about the	items in the backpack.
17	Q	Okay. What did he say in response?
18	A	He doesn't have anything.
19	Q	Did you ask him to come inside?
20	A	Yes, I did.
21	Q	Did he come inside?
22	A	No.
23	· Q	What happens next, does he try to keep walking away or
24	does he s	top?
	g .	

1 Α No, he continues to attempt to push past me. 2 Q So what are doing now, are you trying to keep him on 3 the premises, are you going to let him go? 4 Α I'm attempting to keep him on the premises. 5 And why is that? Q 6 Because he has our items and I'd like them back. Α 7 Q Now did, you said he pushed you, did you use physical 8 force against him before he pushed you at all? 9 I did not. Α 10 O Did you threaten him, did you threaten to use force 11 against him? 12 Α No. 13 So what happens next? 0 14 We continue this altercation, continued pushing. When Α 15 he pushes, I do not push back, I do not. Fall completely back. 16 And we continue this for about four to five minutes while we're 17 attempting to contact the police. 18 0 And at some point did you gain possession of the 19 backpack?

Yes, I did.

How did that happen?

Α

Q

Α

it.

20

21

22

23

24

60

actually slid off of his shoulder and into my arm where I grabbed

In one of the attempts of him to push me, the backpack

1 Q Do most subjects behave this way when they're 2 confronted by you? 3 Α No. 4 What do most do? 0 5 Α The majority actually comply. 6 Q By comply? 7 Α They come back in the store and follow us to our 8 security office. 9 Q Did he ever tell you he came in with the backpack? 10 Α No. 11 Did he ever tell you the backpack was his backpack? Q 12 Α At the very, very end, once the police had arrived I 13 heard that I guess he told the police that the backpack was his. 14 And I said no. 15 Q So you heard him tell the police but he never told you? 16 Α Not me specifically, no. 17 Q Now, was he ultimately successful if trying to get away 18 with the bag? 19 Α No, he was not. 20 Q Okay. What happened to the bag? 21 Α The backpack. 22 Q So you grabbed it. It came into your At the scene. 23 possession what did you do with it? 24 Α After the police arrived we took it back to our

1 security office, where I had the items taken to customer service 2 by a supervisor to have the items scanned and get a total of what 3 he had stolen. 4 THE COURT: Are you sure that are you finished with the 5 diagram so he can sit back down. 6 Yes, Your Honor. MR. BOGALE: 7 You can sit back down. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 THE WITNESS: 9 Court's indulgence. MR. BOGALE: 10 BY MR. BOGALE: 11 Q I'm going to show you Mr. Monroy -- Your Honor may I 12 approach the witness? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Why don't you show -- did you show --16 Okay. You want to approach the witness? 17 MR. BOGALE: Yes, please. 18 THE COURT: With what? MR. BOGALE: With what's been marked as Exhibit 8. 19 20 THE COURT: First off, he's just approaching let's see 21 what happens with that. 22 You may approach. 23 BY MR. BOGALE: Mr. Monroy, I'm going to show you what's been marked as

24

Q

Exhibit 8. Can you take a look at that and tell me when you've had a chance to review it? Α Yes. 0 Do you recognize that? Α Yes, I do. What is it? Q Α It is a copy of the training receipt obtained with the items inside of the backpack and the backpack itself. Q And how do you know that? MR. SCHACHTER: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. How do you know what it is? THE WITNESS: Because this is the copy that I made when the training receipt came back from the service desk. THE COURT: Why don't you go through this a little more clearly. You don't have to approach. Just ask him. I don't know anything about Walmart. Okay. You're not -- it's not -- I don't understand what this is. BY MR. BOGALE: Q How do you know that's a Walmart training receipt is there an identifier on there? Α Yes it states invalid receipt training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

0

Is there a date on there?

	ii .	
1	A	Yes, there is.
2	Q	What does it say?
3	A	6-9-14.
4	Q	And does that mean June 9th, 2014?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	And are there items listed on the receipt?
7	А	Yes, there are.
8	Q	What are the items on the receipt?
9	A	There are four items, icy hot, heating pad, hair color,
10	backpack.	
11	Q	Okay. And do those items on that receipt correspond to
12	the items	you ended up gaining possession of a the confrontation
13	with the	defendant?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And was that training receipt, you call it, made in the
16	ordinary	course of business?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Do you make those when you apprehend shoplifters?
19		THE COURT: You are leading. And Mr. Schachter has a
20	right to	object or not. Are you objecting to his leading?
21		MR. SCHACHTER: Exactly, to the leading and the
22	foundation	ns of where the training receipt who made the
23	training	receipt.
24		THE COURT: All right. I'm going to sustain the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. BOGALE:

Do you know who actually --

THE COURT: Why don't you -- go ahead. Never mind.

I'm sorry I didn't mean to interrupt you.

That's okay. Your Honor. MR. BOGALE:

BY MR. BOGALE:

You testified previously that that's a copy?

Correct.

And it contains -- it's a copy of a training receipt?

Yes. Α

Q What are training receipts used for at Walmart?

Training receipts are generally used for training purposes of cashiers, so that they don't actually have to handle any cash in case mistakes are made. We also use them for collecting how much an amount of stolen property is when we do apprehensions.

So is a copy of a training receipt placed in an asset protection file if you create a file for a subject?

Α Yes, we actually keep the original.

Q Again the purpose of it is?

Α To get an amount.

Q Okay.

Of stolen property or attempted stolen property. Α

1	Q	Now is there an amount on that copy?
2	A	Yes, there is.
3	Q	What's the amount?
4	A	9961.
5	Q	Is that 99?
6		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: Sustained.
8		The exhibit has not been admitted.
9		MR. BOGALE: The State moves to admit Exhibit 8 Your
10	Honor.	
11		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor.
12		THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
13		MR. BOGALE: What's the basis of the objection?
14		THE COURT: His objection is foundation.
15		I'm going to sustain it on the grounds of relevance.
16	You haven	't established any relevance.
17		MR. BOGALE: Your Honor
18		THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we're
19	going to	take a hearing outside the presence of the jury. During
20	this breal	k do not discuss the case amongst yourselves or with
21	anyone els	se or any anyway attempt to influence you with regards
22	to it. Do	o not listen to review or listen to news media accounts
23	or any oth	ner accounts regarding this case should there be any.
1		

Do not allow -- do not make any independent investigation or

inquire into any of the facts or circumstances surrounding this case. Should any person attempt to influence you with regard to this, please report it to the bailiff immediately.

Go ahead and go into the jury room at this time. Thank you.

(Hearing outside the presents of the jury.)

THE COURT: The clerk tells me that you still have Exhibit 16, and seven. So I just want to remind you when the exhibits are marked return them to the clerk when you're through using them.

MR. BOGALE: Okay.

1.7

THE COURT: Now I have told Mr. Schachter before not to argue with me, and I've stopped him, and you started to argue with me, Mr. Bogale, and because we can't do a bench conference of any kind, we have to send the jury out. So that's what we're going to have to do. But don't argue with me. You can ask for a hearing outside the presence of the jury. That's fine.

The problem with this exhibit that I'm having is I don't have a clue why a training receipt is relevant here. You've not established what it is. Now I'm guessing. I can guess that he took the items and he gave them to somebody and that somebody took them somewhere else and he scanned them and ran a register tape and came out with a tape to figure out value you which I'm not sure has any relevance here and that you're

putting that in here. But you haven't done that. You've not established that. So I'm guessing that we can get to the point where he said he dropped it off somewhere. Where did you say you dropped it?

THE WITNESS: Anna took to to customer service. New person, I didn't know about so we're going to take a recess and you're going to figure out what this is, because I'm not getting it. So I'm sustaining his objection. Now if it's relevant, you can tell me when we come back in after our break, we'll take a break for the Court reporter we will take a break for staff, and we will be back on the record in about 15 minutes, and then you can tell me why this is relevant and then we'll bring the jury back in and you can establish your foundation, if you can. Okay?

MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Court's in recess.

Recess taken.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, are we going to start over or --

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, I'd like to make an offer of proof on two points regarding the training receipt, one as to relevance and one as to authentication.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BOGALE: I'll start with relevance. The actual precise amounts, there's no precise amount for the robbery

-----

statute of the property stolen, but we do have to establish some value, to the point of me admitting to admit the training receipt was to show that the items Mr. Schachter walked out of Walmart with had some value.

And this is according to Nevada Supreme Court case law Williams versus State at 93 Nevada 805, it's 1987 case I cited it in the jury instructions. Crime of robbery is not in any degree dependent on the amount or value of property taken, the crime of robbery is committed even though the property is taken of slight value.

So the State needs that as we have to establish some value, so that was the relevance of the training receipt.

THE COURT: Do you understand how the word training receipt as no common knowledge. In other words, if I sitting here, the word training receipt doesn't mean anything to me. And you have failed to connect the property that was taken to the Xerox copy of a training receipt. You have not connected that.

MR. BOGALE: During the examination Mr. Monroy, Your Honor, before we took a break Mr. Monroy, I believe testified that once the items were in his possession he took them to his office, and he called her his supervisor, but he said his supervisor took the items to customer service to get a training receipt to establish the value of them. That was his testimony.

THE COURT: I didn't hear that testimony.

1 That's what he testified to. MR. BOGALE: 2 THE COURT: Did you check that? 3 MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. BOGALE: And so --6 THE COURT: And it's Anna, the supervisor? 7 She's not, she is his colleague, but I MR. BOGALE: 8 think he referred to her as his supervisor. 9 THE COURT: So who is Anna, that he just said did it? 10 His colleague, his asset protection MR. BOGALE: 11 associate colleague. 12 Okay. Since I did not hear it we're going THE COURT: 13 to have to start over. Okay. And we're going to have to connect 14 all the dots to it. 15 No problem, Your Honor. MR. BOGALE: 16 THE COURT: Now Mr. Schachter. 17 MR. SCHACHTER: Again, Anna, who is on the witness 18 list, doesn't appear to be here. So I have a foundation argument 19 that Anna, like the video, she's not here to testify what exactly 20 she did and admitted the training receipt of or how she got 21 possession of the property from Mr. Monroy. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. BOGALE: Authentification, Your Honor, requires a

person with knowledge. That's what the NRS states that to say it

24

is, what the proponent claims it is.

Now if he identifies this as a training receipt, he has knowledge of it being a training receipt.

THE COURT: But it's only relevant if this is a copy of the receipt that was made from the property that he recovered. So that's the only way it's relevant, right?

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So the objection that there is, he can't testify that this in fact is the receipt that was created from the property. That's the objection, that he is he testifying to what someone else did.

MR. BOGALE: I understand the objection, Your Honor, the State's offer of proof in response to that is that in conducting the investigation, Mr. Monroy directed his colleague to go get a training receipt, because a training receipt is commonly created.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. I want the witness here. I don't see why you should be able to, if he didn't see them doing it, and if he didn't see the property being scanned or whatever, why not bring the witness. They're on the witness list. I don't know see why we shouldn't. So I'm going to go ahead and sustain his objection to the foundation.

But you can put on what he did with it. You know, we can get to the point of getting this, but I'm not going to admit

it and I'm not going to let you talk about it until it's 1 2 admitted. 3 MR. BOGALE: Okay. That's fine. 4 THE COURT: All right. Now. Anything else? Any other 5 exhibit issues. 6 Did we get 16 working? 7 MR. BOGALE: 16 is working now Your Honor, yes. 8 THE COURT: Yes. Did you want to confer with 9 Mr. Hylin? 10 MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, if I could. 11 THE COURT: Go ahead. 12 MR. SCHACHTER: We were just talking about admonishing 13 the jury to disregard all the previous testimony about the 14 training receipt. 15 THE COURT: You mean about the contents of training 16 I'm just going to tell the jury to start over, receipt. Okay. 17 because it seems to be confusing to me, and I'll tell them it was 18 confusing to me, because I didn't hear apparently what was said. 19 So we'll bring the jury in. 20 (Jury entering the courtroom. ) 21 THE COURT: Counsel, stipulates to the presence of the 22 jury? 23 MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Schachter?

MR. SCHACHTER:

Yes, Your Honor.

2

THE COURT:

Please be seated.

3

THE CLERK:

K: Exhibit 17 was marked during the recess.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

Once the defendant is subdued and the police arrive,

A I take them back to the security office.

Q What do you do next?

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury we're going to start over. There was some confusion about what was being offered or not offered. So we're going to start all over with Exhibit 8. And I ask at this time if you just disregard anything you heard and we'll start over.

55 Your Honor, at what point in my notes am I going to start disregarding?

THE COURT: Go back to when someone said, look at Exhibit 8. Draw a little line in everything you put out there and look at Exhibit 8. Put a little line, that's what I would do on mine. Just line it out. You may hear it all over again exact same I don't know, but disregard the other stuff you heard and we're going to start fresh.

## BY MR. BOGALE:

0

backpack?

Q Okay. Mr. Monroy let's starts fresh okay?

what do you do with the backpack and the items inside the

A Okay.

73

1	А	I pull them out to make sure that everything is in
2	there and	then I have my colleague Anna, take it to a customer
3	service m	anager to obtain a training receipt.
4	Q	Now did you check all the pockets in the backpack?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Did you find anything in there?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Did you find like a driver's license in there?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Did you find any credit cards in there?
11	A	No.
12	Q	All you found were the items, the four items that you
13	talked ab	out earlier?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	Were there any tags on the backpack?
16	A	Yes, there was.
17	Q	Where were the tax?
18	A	The tag is on the inside the backpack.
19	Q	Is that a tag that's usually on a new item?
20	A	It is on a lot of the newer items yes, in case the
21	outside ta	ag is taken off, it falls off, woke still scan the item
22	without ha	aving to go search for a like item.
23	Q	What condition was the backpack in?
24	А	New.

1	Q	Did you take a photo of the items?
2	A	Yes, I did.
3		MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
4		THE COURT: With what exhibit.
5		MR. BOGALE: Exhibit No. 17.
6		THE COURT: All right.
7	BY MR. BC	GALE:
8	Q	Mr. Monroy, I'm gob to show you what is he been marked
9	as Exhibi	t No. 17. Will you take a look at that, please?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Have you had a chance to look at that?
12	A	Yes, I have.
13	Q	What is that?
14	A	That is a picture of the items taken along with the
15	training	receipt.
16	Q	And did you take that photograph?
17	Α	Yes, I did.
18	Q	And why did you take that photograph?
19	A	We take it as proof of evidence.
20	Q	For Walmart's internal investigation?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Your Honor, the State moves to admit Exhibit 17.
23		MR. SCHACHTER: I still object on the grounds that
24	we've alr	eady discussed, Your Honor.

1		THE COURT: Objection overruled. Exhibit 17 is
2	admitted.	
3		(Exhibit 17 was admitted into evidence.)
4	BY MR. BO	OGALE:
5	Q	May I retrieve it, Your Honor?
6		THE COURT: Yes.
7		MR. BOGALE: May I publish this, Your Honor?
8		THE WITNESS: You.
9		THE COURT: You may.
10	BY MR. BC	GALE:
11	Q	Showing you what's been marked and admitted as
12	Exhibit 1	.7, sir, you took this photograph?
13	A	Yes, I did.
14	Q	And is there a date stamp on there?
15	A	Yes, there is.
16	Q	What is the date say?
1.7	A	June 8th the 2014.
18	Q	Okay. And could you just point out to the jury, what
19	is in thi	s photograph.
20	A	Have to point it out.
21	Q	Sure if you don't mind?
22	A	We have here the heating pad that was selected the icy,
23	hot elect	ric pads, the hair dye and the backpack.
24	Q	Okay. And there is also a piece of paper on, what is
t t		

1	that a be	ench?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What is 2456-piece of paper?
4	A	That piece of paper is it the training receipt.
5	Q	Now could you just please explain to the jury what a
6	training	receipt is?
7		THE COURT: You can sit back down.
8		THE WITNESS: After training receipt is a receipt that
9	we reques	st from our consider as I was manager to get a value for
10	the items	s that were taken that wouldn't put a till over or short,
11	because i	t's not a real transaction.
12	BY MR. BO	OGALE:
13	Q	Have you ever created one?
14	A	Myself?
15	Q	Yes.
16	A	No.
17	Q	Okay. Are they commonly used by you in your
18	investiga	ations?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And that's to a show that the value of the items that
21	were take	en?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	Okay. And before I take this exhibit down, does this
24	photogram	oh accurately depict what you saw that day?

1 Α Yes. 2 Q Okay. What happened to those items? 3 Α Those items were taken back to customer service to be 4 restocked for sale. 5 Q Restocked or sale? 6 Α Yes. 7 What does that mean? Q 8 A Basically we just put them back on the shelf so a 9 customer can buy them. 10 Q Do you do that with -- do you only do that with new 11 items? 12 Α We do that with all the items that around destroyed in 13 some way. 14 Q And these items weren't destroyed in any way? 15 Α No, they were not. 16 They were placed back on the shelf for sale? 0 17 Α Yes, they were. 18 Q Now previously I had attempted to play a video for you. 19 I believe we fixed those technical problems. So I'm going to go 20 ahead and show you what's been marked and admitted as Exhibit 16. 21 Okay. And I'll just play the feels for you and you tell me what 22 the files are showing. 23 Α Okay. 24 I'm going to show you start with file RX space space Q

1 OTC okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

18

- A Okay.
- Q And as the video place just tell me what you see.
- A That is our over-the-counter section. Have

  Mr. Schachter up in the upper right coming into the center of the screen now in the back.
  - Q So that's him at the stop of the screen there?
  - A Correct.
    - Q And that him coming down the aisle right now?
- 10 A Yes, it is.
  - Q And where are you while all of this is happening?
  - A I am, want me to stand up.
- 13 Q Sure.
  - THE WITNESS: If I have me this is actually backwards from the diagram. I am r will you down in this area in and I'll across the way looking in.
- 17 BY MR. BOGALE:
  - Q You can sit back DNA. And about how far away way are you from him?
- 20 A 30 feet.
- 21 Q But you're visually seeing him with your own eyes?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A There Mr. Schachter selects Icy Hot electric relief

1 pad.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Okay. And just for the record that's at about one minute into this file of the did he just selected another item what is that?
  - A Looks to be the same item.
- MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor. Can we let the video just speak for itself?
- THE COURT: Leading. Sustained.
- 9 BY MR. BOGALE:
  - Q Now is this of video and fair and accurate portrayal of what you personally observed?
- 12 A Yes, it is.
  - Q Okay. And there's a time on there. What is approximately the time on this?
- 15 A 1132:00 a.m.
  - Q And is that time correspond to the time you personally observed him?
  - A Yes, it does.
    - Q Okay. I'm going to pause it right there. At 1144 and 5 seconds. What did Mr. Schachter just grab?
    - A He grabbed one of the Icy Hot elective relief pads again.
- Q Okay. Just going to pause it at 1134 and 34. What's in his cart at this time?

1 Α The backpack he selected in automotive. 0 Now has Mr. Schachter exited the picture? 3 Α Right behind the educational background cap. 4 Did he have something on him? 0 5 Yes, he selected the icy hot electric relief pads. Α 6 Q And a what did he do? 7 Α Placed them in the cart. Okay. I'm going to show you now file RX space 8 0 9 POS? Now walk us through this video. What dozens did show? 10 11 Α This is showing our profile of our pharmacy department 12 are and Mr. Schachter in the upper right corner is looking at 13 heating pads. 14 0 I'm going to pause it it's not a great video, but can 15 you point to the jury where Mr. Schachter is in the video? 16 Α There's Mr. Schachter's head right there. Yes. 17 Now we just watched a video. Is this another video of 0 18 him in this the same aisle? 19 Α This is actually a different aisle. 20 Where were you approximately? 0 21 Α Again, same general area. Now the diagram is turned 22 sideways. And I am over in this corner looking at him this way. Okay. You can sit down. And approximately how far 23 Q

24

away from him?

1 Α About 30 feet. 2 Now, just in terms of sequence, was this before or Q 3 after he select icy hat pads that we see in the previous file? 4 Α This is before. 5 Q Okay. So he does what he does in the file we just saw 6 and then after that? 7 Α Goes directly to the electric icy hot pads. 8 Q Now I'm going to show you file called parking lot space 9 And first tell me does this have a date on it? 10 Α Yes, it does. 11 What's that date? 0 12 Α June 9th, 2014. 13 0 Does it have a time on it? 14 Α Yes, it does. 15 Q What's the time? 16 1149 am. Α 17 Okay. And where is this camera? Q 18 Α This is in the parking lot on one of the light poles 19 facing towards the garden center exit. 20 Q So in terms of just to situate ourselves, where is 21 Seventh Street? 22 Α Seventh Street is to the right. Now what's happening towards the top of this video? 23 Q 24 Α That is when I came out to confront Mr. Schachter.

1 I see three people there? O. Okay. 2 Yes. Α 3 Who is the thirds person? 0 Α That's myself, Mr. Schachter and my associate Anna. 4 5 0 And I know there's no audio and I know you testified a 6 little bit previously. Just briefly describe what's happening. 7 Α Basically I'm attempting to get Mr. Schachter to comply 8 and return with me to the security office and talks about the 9 items that he's taken that he's not paid for. 10 If you could, again stand up and point at on the screen Q 11 who in represent himself Mr. Schachter who you are and where the 12 associate is. Try to keep them all until order. 13 Α This is Mr. Schachter, this is myself, and this is 14 Anna. 15 So from left to right on the screen it does, the Q 16 defendant, you and then your colleague? 17 Α Correct. 18 Now what's going on right there? O. 19 Mr. Schachter is attempting to run past me and push me Α 20 out of the way. 21 MR. SCHACHTER: Objection. 22 THE COURT: Sustained as to what the intent of the 23 person was.

24

BY MR. BOGALE:

1		T called that he are deing
1	Q	I asked what he was doing.
2		THE COURT: And he said Mr. Schachter counsel, I'm
3	sustainin	g the objection.
4		MR. BOGALE: Okay. That's fine.
5	BY MR. BO	GALE:
6	Q	Now you kind of lose yourself there, but which way do
7	you guys	appear to be moving?
8	A	We are moving north towards Seventh Street.
9	Q	And can you to the best of your knowledge, again stand
LO	up and po	int again where you and Mr. Schachter are?
L1	A	That's Mr. Schachter that's myself and my colleague.
L2	Q	Okay. And just for the record that's at or what time
L3	is that a	t on the time stamp?
L4	A	It is 1151 am.
L5	Q	Could you see the backpack in this video?
L6	A	Yes.
L7	Q	What happened to the backpack?
L8	A	The backpack transferred from Mr. Schachter's
L9	possessio	n to mine.
20	Q	And did that just happen?
21	A	Yeah, maybe just a little just a few second before
22	that.	
23	Q	I'm going to rewind just a little, so you can point
24	out. So	much show holding the backpack now?

1 A I am. 2 And that's at about what time? Q 3 1151 am. Α 4 Is Mr. Schachter still pushing being you? Q 5 Α Yes, he is. 6 Now you testified earlier that Mr. Schachter pushed you Q 7 in the chest? 8 Α Yes. 9 Did you did he physically touch you in any way? Q 10 Α Yes, he did. 11 What else did he do? Q 12 Α He grabbed my throat at one point, grabbed my thumb 13 attempting to pull it back, I don't know with what intention, but pulling it back. 14 15 I'm now going to show you it's roof top cam. Q 16 there a date and this as well? 17 Α Yes, there is. 18 What's the date? 0 19 Α June 9th, 2013. 20 Is there a time on this too? 0 21 Α Yes, there is. 22 What's the time? Q 23 11:50 a.m.. Α

Okay. Just for a moment again show the jury who is who

24

Q

- 1 here. 2 Α Absolutely. 3 Where is the defendant where are you? 0 4 Α This is Mr. Schachter, and this is me. 5 Okay. And is there a street in this video? Q 6 Α Yes, there is. 7 Q And what street is it when where is it on the video? 8 It's at the top of the screen right here and that is Α 9 Seventh Street. 10 Q So what are you trying to do at this point? 11 Α I'm attempting to keep Mr. Schachter on the property. 12 This might sound like a stupid question, but why are 0 13 you trying to keep him on the property? 14 Α I'm attempting to keep him there to take him to the 15 security office and have the police come. 16 Q Can you see you or the defendant right now? 17 Α Hidden behind this tree. 18 Okay. And I know there's no audio on this video, do 0 19 you recall if the defendant was saying anything to you if you 20 were saying anything to him at this point? 21
  - I don't remember. Α

23

- So you guys don't seem to be moving at all. 0 where are you and where's the defendant?
  - Α I believe that is me and the defendant right here.

-	Ž	Okay. And wify are you just standing right there:
2	A	At this point Mr. Schachter stopped pushing me.
3	Q	Okay. Okay. So something happened there what was
4	that?	
5	А	Mr. Schachter ran and I attempted to keep myself in
6	front him	•
7	Q	What time was that at about?
8	A	11:55 a.m.
9	Q	Did he physically engage you again?
10	A	Yes, he did.
11	Q	What's happening now?
12		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor. The video
13	speaks fo	r itself.
14		MR. BOGALE: The video
15		THE COURT: Counsel, I can't see the video, so I'm not
16	sure what	you wanted the witness to talk about. Did you want the
17	witness t	o explain something?
18	BY MR. BO	GALE:
19	Q	Yes. The video is not great it's not high definition
20	or zoomed	in. I just wanted Mr. Monroy to explain to the jury
21	what exac	tly what is happens, because he's present.
22	-	THE COURT: The video would speak for itself. If you
23	want to s	top it at some point and ask a question, you may do so,
24	otherwise	just go ahead and play it.

1 MR. BOGALE: Okay. 2 BY MR. BOGALE: 3 I have a question, Mr. Monroy, what just happened after 0 4 the defendant left the grassy area? 5 Α He attempted to run by, so I attempted to stay in front 6 of him again. 7 MR. SCHACHTER: Again, objection. It's sustained to the characterization of 8 THE COURT: 9 what the defendant attempted to do. He can say what he did in 10 relation to the defendant. 11 BY MR. BOGALE: 12 Just tell me what you did in relation to the defendant. Q 13 Α I ran alongside him. 14 Q What was your intend? 15 Α Keeping him on the property. 16 Q What's the time right now, I just paused it? 17 11:56 a.m.. Α 18 And the seconds? 0 19 Α 47. 20 Describe for the jury what just happened there on the Q 21 screen? 22 The police arrived. Α 23 Q Did you call the police? 24 Α I attempted to, I was not able to.

1	Q	Why is that?
2	А	My phone was knocked out of my hand.
3	Q	Who knocked your phone out of your hand?
4	A	Mr. Schachter.
5	Q	Mr. Monroy did you give the police a written statement?
6	A	Yes, I did.
7	Q	If I showed you that statement, would it refresh your
8	recollect	ion your as to whether you called the police?
9	A	Yes, it would.
10		MR. BOGALE: Your Honor.
11		THE COURT: Do you have that marked.
12		MR. BOGALE: No, I do not. I can.
13		THE CLERK: Exhibit 18 marked.
14		(Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.)
15		MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
16		THE COURT: You may.
17	BY MR. BC	GALE:
18	Q	Mr. Monroy, I'm going to show you what's been MARKED as
19	Exhibit 1	8. If you could just read to yourself, not out loud,
20	the last	few lines there.
21	A	Okay.
22	Q	Of a chance to review that?
23	A	Yes, I did.
24	Q	After reviewing that do you know who called the police?

1	A Yes, I do. It was my colleague Anna Young.
2	Q Was she depicted on this video?
3	A Yes, she was.
4	Q Take that back. Okay. Did you suffer any injuries
5	from this altercation, Mr. Monroy?
6	A Other than a sore thumb, no.
7	Q Did this incident add vertically impact your employment
8	at Walmart?
9	A No it did not.
10	Q Still employed there?
11	A Yes, I am.
12	Q Do must subjects that you're surveying behave this way
13	as we've seen on the video when confronted by you?
14	A No, they do not.
15	Q What do most do?
16	A They most comply and follow me back to the security
17	office.
18	Q Do most people fight?
19	A No.
20	MR. BOGALE: Court's indulgence for one moment.
21	THE COURT: Go ahead.
22	MR. BOGALE: No further questions at this time, Your
23	Honor. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Cross-examination.

1	MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor, am I going to be allowed to
2	stand or do you want me to do it from the desk?
3	THE COURT: You can stand in you'd like. Do you want
4	the podium?
5	MR. SCHACHTER: No. No.
6	Can we give the witness
7	THE COURT: Mr. Hylin will retrieve anything you need
8	to retrieve. Number 18, the statement that was just admitted.
9	Do you want to look at that?
10	MR. SCHACHTER: I want to give it to the witness.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Hylin, will you assist with that?
12	MR. HYLIN: Sure.
13	May I approach?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SCHACHTER:
17	Q Mr. Monroy, that's the statement you gave to the
18	police?
19	A Yes, it is.
20	Q And you personally typed this?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	THE COURT: And I just want to remind you, sir, that it
23	has not been admitted into evidence.
24	MR. SCHACHTER: Oh, I don't have any objection to it.

1	THE COURT: Did you want to offer it?
2	MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, please.
3	THE COURT: Any objection?
4	MR. BOGALE: No objection, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Exhibit 18 is admitted.
6	(Exhibit 18 was admitted into evidence.)
7	BY MR. SCHACHTER:
8	Q And so at the top it says involvement, do you see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you marked complainant?
11	A Correct.
12	Q What does that mean?
13.	A I am filing a complaint.
14	Q Okay. You filed it. So you weren't the victim and you
15	weren't the witness, the two boxes below it are victim and
16	witness, correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And you didn't mark either of those boxes?
19	A Correct.
20	Q So you didn't consider yourself a victim or a witness
21	just the complainant, correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Would you read, would you read the statements it's
24	short. Would you read it for the jury, the whole statement.

A Absolutely. On June 9, on 9 June 2014 at approximately 1140 hours while working asset protection --

THE COURT: You better slow up, the Court reporter is having a hard time.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. On nine, June, 2014 at approximately 1140 hours, while working as asset protection for Walmart store number 3254, I, associate, asset protection associate A P.A. Alex Monroy observed a male later identified as Marc Schachter in the automotive department looking around nervously. I then observed Schachter collecting a backpack and placing it in his cart. Schachter then proceeded to the health and beauty department where he selected a heating pad, icy hot pads and a package of hair dye, then went to the seasonal department where he concealed all of the merchandise in the backpack.

Schachter then passed all points of sale and exited through the garden center doors. At approximately 1149 hours I stopped Schachter along with APA Anna Young as my witness. I introduced myself as Walmart asset protection and Schachter proceeded to aggressively push, shove and elbow me in an attempt to get past me. APA Anna Young called the Reno Police Department.

At approximately 1200 hours Officer Daniels, badge No. 9464 arrived. Once inside the asset protection office the

1 merchandise was recovered and take to customer service by APA 2 Anna Young to obtain a training receipt. 3 The value of the merchandise was totaled at 99.61. 4 Dated June 9th, 2014, at 1232 hours, number of pages one signed 5 by me. 6 BY MR. SCHACHTER: 7 0 Let's start again. The first statement, on June 9, 8 2014, at approximately 1140 hours. 9 Α Yes. 10 How did you arrive at that time in your statement? Q 11 Α It was an approximate. 12 O Were you watching the video where looking at your 13 watch? 14 Α It was an approximate time remembering when the last 15 time I looked at my watch was and approximately how much time had 16 passed. 17 Q Do you remember watching the video of me labeled RXOTC? 18 Α Yes. 19 Do you remember what the time was? Q 20 Α 1130. 21 0 So that's different from the 1140 that you began 22 surveillance, according to your statement, correct? 23 Α Correct.

MR. SCHACHTER: Can we play the video versus 1 A A.

1		THE COURT: Is that you're playing the exhibit.
2		MR. BOGALE: 16.
3		THE COURT: 16.
4		MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you, Your Honor.
5		AAGM on the front.
6	BY MR. SC	CHACHTER:
7	Q	Could you describe where that is in the store?
8	А	That is in front of the pharmacy.
9	Q	And the time?
10	A	11:28 a.m
11	Q	And can you show the jury where I am?
12	A	Yes. Right there.
13	Q	Yeah, if you will stop it. You can let it go.
14		THE COURT: He said you could continue.
15	BY MR. SC	HACHTER:
16	Q	And did I have anything in the cart, do you remember?
17	А	Right there?
18	Q	Yes.
19	А	Uh-huh. Yeah.
20	Q	What was in the cart?
21	A	Backpack.
22	Q	At 1128?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	But on your statement you can go ahead and start it

up again. On your statement again you say that that you began 1 2 around 1140? 3 Α Approximately. 4 Q And sometime after that you watched, you observed me 5 select the backpack from the shelf, right? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 But this is 1128? 8 Α Yes, it is. 9 0 POS in the garden center. PC, portable POS. And just 10 pause it. Can you explain what that video is? 11 Α This is the camera that is above the cash register in 12 the garden center. 13 Q And what is happening? 14 Α You're paying for some items. 15 And the POS portable stands for? 0 16 Α It's portable. 17 But what is the POS? Q 18 Α Point of sale. 19 So when you say in your statement that Schachter then Q 20 passed all points of sale and exited to the garden center? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Did I pass that point of sale? 23 Α Yes.

Did I stop?

24

Q

- A Not yet.
- Q Did I stop. Okay. In reference to your statement --
- A Yes, you did pass the last point of sale.
- Q Okay. So you're connotation in the statement wasn't that I passed without paying, right, just that I physically passed that point of sale; is that correct?
  - A I'm sorry?
- Q When you say in your statement that Schachter then passed all points of sale and exited through the garden center --
  - A Yes.
- Q -- are you saying that I passed, I literally walked past it or I walked past without paying, I didn't stop, I don't understand?
- A I'm saying that you passed all points of sale with the merchandise in the backpack and the backpack without paying for it, yes.
- Q Okay. When you first saw me was it in the automotive or was it is in pharmacy?
- A It was in front of pharmacy going towards the garden center, TLE area.
  - Q And did I already have the backpack there or not?
- A No.
  - Q Was there any video available of that, in other words, we just saw video of me coming back?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Was there any video of me going the other way, you
3	originally testified that you saw me in front of pharmacy and
4	then walk toward automotive, correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q So that video, and at that time I didn't have the
7	backpack correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Okay. So did you collect video of that?
10	A Is no.
11	Q Why?
12	A I did not collect the video.
13	Q You did not collect any of this video?
14	A No.
15	Q Did you is that normal procedure?
16	A What do you mean.
17	Q As an asset protection officer that is involved in
18	criminal cases, and you're the one testifying to these
19	allegations, is that normal procedure for asset protection
20	officer not to have collect the video of the crime that you're
21	alleging?
22	A No, I do not always collect video.
23	Q Did somebody else collect video?

A I'm assuming, yes.

21

- Q And who was that?
- A I'm assuming APA Anna young.
- Q You're assuming?
- A I was not there.
- Q But you were there on June 9th all this of happened the since dent?
  - A Yes, I was.
- Q Okay. To your knowledge is there any video available for the jury to see that shows me without the backpack?
  - A Not that I'm aware of.
  - Q How about video of me walking in the store?
  - A Not that I'm aware of.
- Q Is that normal? When a person denies stealing something and they say that they came into the store with it, is it normal asset protection or Walmart policy not to pull the video of the person walking in the store?
- MR. BOGALE: Objection, Your Honor assumes facts not in evidence.
- THE COURT: The statements about whatever you may or may not being alleging is sustained, the normal. The question with regard to selecting video when the person walks into the store, that can stand, if that was normal procedure.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I could not say.
- 24 BY MR. SCHACHTER:

1	Q	Why could you not say?
2	A	It's very rare instances where we have to collect
3	video.	
4	Q	How many cameras are in this particular Walmart?
5	A	Approximately 220.
6	Q	And what percentage of the store is covered in that 220
7	cameras?	
8	A	I could not say.
9	Q	What is the purpose of the surveillance cameras?
10	A	The main purpose is for safety issues and any time we
11	might nee	d any evidence of anything, safety, a trip a fall,
12	customer	safety.
13	Q	But not toward theft or
14	A	That is not their primary use, no.
15	Q	But all the normal exits and entrances are videotaped,
16	correct?	
17	A	Yes, they are.
18	Q	Other than these videos that are on this disk that you
19	burned, w	ere there any other video of me in the store on that
20	day?	
21	A	Any other video as in collected in the investigation.
22	Q	Or any video, either that specifically pulled for the
23	investiga	tion or that you saw?
24	A	None that I saw and again the reason for not collecting
1		

any other videos.

I mean that's the best video you have MR. SCHACHTER: to show the crime is that what you're saying is that what you're

> THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Okay. Until your written statement again, you state that at approximately 1149 I stopped Schachter along with APA Anna young as my witness?
  - Correct.
  - Did you think you were going to need witness?
  - Α We're required to have a witness.
- 13 Always? 0

12

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

- Α Always.
  - And a witness as to what? 0
  - Α As to what occurred and the confrontation.
- 17 Q And as to your knowledge did she make a statement, a 18 written statement?
  - Α I am not aware.
    - Did the, when the police arrived and they originally Q came and did the investigation, did they ask you for this, for a written statement?
    - Α Yes.
  - Okay. Do you know if they asked Ms. Young for a Q

1	statement	.?
2	А	I do not know.
3	Q	Okay. Just one moment, Your Honor.
4		Was there anything else in the basket other than the
5	backpack	when you first saw me select the backpack?
6		MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, just a point of clarification,
7	does the	defendant mean the cart when he says the basket?
8		MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, the shopping cart.
9		THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.
10	BY MR. SC	CHACHTER:
11	Q	So when you first saw me select the backpack I was
12	pushing t	he basket, correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	But you don't recall if there was anything else in the
15	basket; i	s that right?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	There could have been though?
18	A	I'm sure there could have.
19	Q	Let's play the RXOTC again.
20		MR. SCHACHTER: Stop it right there.
21	BY MR. SC	CHACHTER:
22	Q	So in the cart right there?
23	A	There's a backpack.
24	Q	And is there anything else in the cart right there?

1 Α There's a heating pad. 2 0 Anything else? 3 Α Nothing else I can see. 4 So you remember the heating pad, but you don't remember Q 5 whether there was anything else in the cart? 6 Α No. 7 Q In the basket yourself you can see that there's 8 backpack and you can see that there's something else in the 9 basket there? 10 Α Yes. 11. But you don't know what it is other than the backpack? Q 12 I know there's backpack and the heating pad. Α 13 Q No, just in the basket part? 14 Α Just in the basket part. 15 0 Play it a little further. 16 Okay. Stop it. Let's go to AAGM front, it's a better 17 Keep it going. Yeah. shot. 18 I'm sorry I can't get to it quite. Back it up. 19 rights when I come in. Right there. So you still can't see it. 20 This is before you allege that I took the heating pad, 21 correct? 22 Α Correct. 23 Okay. So and can you see inside the basket part? Q 24 Α Yes, I can.

1	Q	What is in there?
2	A	Can I get up.
3	Q	I'm sorry?
4	A	Can I go look?
5		THE COURT: Go ahead.
6		THE WITNESS: I really can't tell.
7	BY MR. SC	HACHTER:
8	Q	Okay. Now you say, back to your written statement.
9	You said	that after selecting proceeded to health and beauty
10	at this d	epartment where he selected a heating pad, icy hot pad
11	and A package of hair dye, correct?	
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	But you just testified that it was two of boxes of hair
14	dye.	
15	A	Correct?
16	Q	Was this a misrepresentation are you changing your
17	testimony	, which one?
18	A	It's a recollection at the time.
19	Q	So your recollection now is better than your
20	recollection 20 minutes after the incident?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Do you have a reason is that normal. Is that normal
23	for you to be more certain of something today than a half-hour	
24	after the alleged incident or after the incident?	

1	A	I wouldn't say it's normal, no.
2	Q	You don't have any memory issues or head injuries or
3	anything	like that?
4	A	Nope.
5	Q	Back to your statement. You said you introduced myself
6	as a Walm	mart asset protection. But in your testimony today you
7	said you	never got the chance, you only attempted?
8	A	Well, I did finally get it out.
9	Q	When was that?
10	A	After you pushed me a couple times.
11	Q	So you were able to identify yourself?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	You didn't testify to that earlier okay. There's also
14	no mentio	on about you calling 911; is that correct in your
15	statement	:?
16	A	Correct, because I did not call.
17	Q	You never made the call?
18	A	I did not.
19	Q	Do you know if I made the call?
20	A	I am not aware if you made the call.
21	Q	Do you know if Anna made the call?
22	A	I'm aware that Anna made the call, yes.
23	Q	But you don't know if she did?
24	A	I do not.

1	Q	Okay.
2		MR. SCHACHTER: Exhibit No. 7, the store layout.
3		THE COURT: Okay. You want to show that to the jury?
4		MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, I do.
5		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bogale, for your assistance.
6		MR. BOGALE: No problem.
7	BY MR. SC	HACHTER
8	Q	And you testified this is an accurate rendering of the
9	store?	
10	A	Approximately, yes.
11	Q	Approximately?
12	A	It's very similar.
13	Q	Okay. And would you get up and show where you say
14	seasonal	was?
15	A	Yes. Right in here.
16	Q	And so is that outside or inside right there?
17	A	That is inside.
18	Q	And would you read what it says between jewelry and
19	home mana	gement on the diagram, below stationary and books?
20	A	It says seasonal.
21	Q	Is seasonal there or where it says outdoor living or
22	both?	
23	A	Seasonal is wherever they put it at the time. It can
24	be moved.	

1	Q	So then this is not an accurate diagram of as it was on
2	June 9th?	
3	A	It is not exact.
4	Q	You testified earlier that you watched me open the
5	boxes; is	that correct?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Can we get the photo marked as an exhibit, and show it
8	to the wit	tness.
9		Exhibit 17, can we show it to the witness, Your Honor?
10		THE COURT: You may.
11	BY MR. SCI	HACHTER
12	Q	And are you saying those boxes are open is that your
13	testimony	?
14	A	Right now they're closed.
15	Q	They're closed. But you said I opened them. Is that
16	your test:	imony?
17	A	Yes, I did.
18	Q	But you also testified that you returned them to stock
19	because th	ney were in like mint condition?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	So were they open or were they in like mint condition?
22	A	They why until like mint condition, you did not use
23	them.	
24	Q	Were you saying that they were open or not?
,		

1	A	They were opened, not used.
2	Q	So they went back on the shelf as new?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And is that normal procedure?
5	A	Yes, it is.
6	Q	In Mr. Bogale's opening he said the backpack is flat.
7	Could you	explain that?
8	A	No, I could not.
9	Q	So was he not telling the truth?
10	A	I was not there when he said whatever it is he said.
11	Q	Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't know. I forgot?
12		THE COURT: Go ahead.
13		MR. SCHACHTER: I don't know I can even can I get one
14	minute, pi	lease.
15		THE COURT: You may.
16	BY MR. SCI	HACHTER:
17	Q	The backpack that you allege that I stole, how was that
18	displayed	in the store?
19	A	Laying down.
20	Q	Flat?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. But there's did you see any video or do you
23	know of a	ny video where it is flat like that? Duped what I'm
24	saying?	

- 1 Α No I'm sorry. 2 Q The video that we've all scenes the backpack is dropped 3 out, it's open, correct? 4 Α Okay. 5 Q Like it is in the picture right? 6 Α Yeah. 7 That's not flat. 0 That's open. So was there any video 8 where it's flat like in new condition? 9 Not that I'm aware of. 10 Any video that you're aware of where the there's tags 11 on it or any other new -- any video you're aware of where the 12 backpack looks new from the shelf, flat with tags, any of that? 13 Α No. 14 And this is how it's sold, again you testified this is 0 15 in like new condition, right? 16 Α Yes, it is. 17 0 But like new so flat and with the original tags on it, 18 correct? 19 Α No, not necessarily.
  - Q Okay. Why. Explain if you can?

- 21 A If I am selecting a backpack I may open it fluff it, 22 see what fits, see what doesn't, and put it back on the shelf.
- Q Is that what you're saying occurred here today on the 9th?

1	A	I haven't said.
2	Q	Was the backpack that I selected was it flat or was it
3	puffed up	like that?
4	A	I can't say I recall.
5	Q	Was Ms. Young on the floor with you as a witness to the
6	alleged t	heft?
7	A	You selecting?
8	Q	Yes.
9	A	No.
10	Q	Where was she?
11	A	I am not aware.
12	Q.	So when you say she was a witness only to the
13	confronta	tion outside, correct?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	I think that's all Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: Redirect.
17		MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: Okay.
19	BY MR. BOGALE:	
20	Q	Mr. Monroy, the statement you made in this case has
21	approximat	tely 1140, is that correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	When you started observing Mr. Schachter?
24	A	Yes.

1	Q	Okay. Are you always looking at your watch on the
2	floor?	
3	A	No.
4	Q	Always looking at your cell phone?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Do you know exactly what time it is all the time?
7	A	I do not.
8	Q	Is that why you wrote the word approximately in your
9	statement	?
10	A	Yes, it is.
11	Q	Now, about the video, or the absence of video. Did the
12	defendant	ever ask you for video showing him without the bag in
13	the store	?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	When did he ask you for that?
16	A	Approximately August 15th.
17	Q	Okay.
18		MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor.
19		MR. BOGALE: Basis.
20		MR. SCHACHTER: I never asked.
21		THE WITNESS: His investigator asked in his Stead.
22		THE COURT: Okay.
23	BY MR. BC	GALE:
24	Q	And what date was that again?

1	А	Around August 15th.
2	Q	And were you able to provide him with that video?
3	A	I was not.
4	Q	Not?
5	А	The video that is actually stored in our main hard
6	drive onl	y lasts, is there for only 60 days. And then it purges
7	from the	system.
8	Q	So to your knowledge was the request for video from the
9	defendant	, his investigator outside of that 60 days?
10	A	Yes, it was.
11	Q	Do you have control over that video being erased after
12	60 days?	
13	A	I do not.
14	Q	Is that store policy or something?
15	A	That is a corporate policy.
16	Q	A corporate policy. Did the defendant ever ask you for
17	video of 1	him entering the store?
18	А	The defendant personally.
19	Q	The defendant or his team?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And when was that?
22	А	Around August 15th.
23	Q	And could you provide that to him?
24	A	I coo not.

1 Why is that? 0 2 Α Because the video had purged. 3 O. Now in terms of the layout that we showed you, showing 4 you what's been marked and admitted as Exhibit 7. You testify 5 it's not an exact replica of the store on Seventh Street? 6 Α Correct. 7 Is it close enough to explain how the defendant in a 8 gated through the store? 9 Α Yes, it is. 10 Did the automotive section on Exhibit 7 where it is in 0 11 the store? 12 Α Yes, it is. 13 Is the health and duty session on Exhibit 7 where it is 0 14 in the store? 15 Α Yes, it is. 16 Is the OTC section the same? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 And the outdoor living is that where it is in Seventh Q. 19 Street? 20 Α Yes. 21 And you testified the seasonal department was in the 0 22 outdoor area? 23 Α Correct. 24 Regardless of the flatness or you know, the flatness of Q.

the bag was the bag new? 1 2 Α Yes, it was. 3 O. How could you tell it was new? 4 There was no scuffs, it didn't look like a backpack Α 5 that had been used. 6 Q Could you tell if it had been paid for? 7 Α I could not. 8 0 Could you tell that -- well, you saw it him grab it off 9 the shelf, is that correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q This might sound stupid, but are items on your shelves 12 things that people have already paid for? 13 Α No. 14 Those are unpaid items? 0 15 Α Correct. 16 Q Now just explain to the jury very briefly about the 17 discrepancy about difference in amounts of hair dye from one to 18 your statement to two? 19 Basically in my statement I focus on items that were Α 20 actually stolen or attempted to be stolen, not on items that were 21 paid for. 22 MR. BOGALE: No further questions, Your Honor. 23 you. 24 Thank you. Anything further? THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. SCHACHTER: Just a couple. 2 BY MR. SCHACHTER 3 If you at the same time you said at approximately 1149. Q 4 Further down? 5 Α Yes. 6 0 So that was a guess that's a pretty 1149 is a pretty 7 succinct time? 8 Α Yes. 9 Q Was it an approximation how? Did you come out at 1149 10 on your statement? You said you weren't looking at a watch. You 11 weren't looking at your telephone for the 1140. 12 Α Yes. 13 But how do you come about 1149 time? 14 Α Because then I did look. 15 Q. In terms of the video, just in terms of common sense, 16 if somebody alleges that he didn't steal something, you would not 17 normally pull video of the person walking in? 18 Α No. 19 Q Okay. That's all. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 May this witness be excused? 22 MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor he may. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: Pending recall. 24 THE COURT: You are excused. You may step down, but

1	you have	to stay in touch.
2		THE WITNESS: All right.
3		THE COURT: Yes would you crop it off here as you walk
4	by that w	ould be helpful. Thank you.
5		You may call your next witness.
6		MR. BOGALE: State calls Matthew Hand, Your Honor.
7		THE BAILIFF: Go ahead and stand right here. Face the
8	clerk.	
9		Witness sworn.
10		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated at the witness
11	stand.	
12		You may proceed.
13		MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	BY MR. BC	GALE:
15	Q	Good afternoon.
16	Α	Hi.
17	Q	Can you please state your name and spell your last name
18	for the C	ourt reporter.
19	A	Matthew Hand, H-A-N-D.
20	Q	Mr. Hand, where are you employed?
21	A	I'm at the smart styles in the Walmart.
22	Q	And which Walmart is that?
23	Α	The Mae Anne and Seventh Street wheel.
24	Q	Is that store number 3254?

1	A	3356 I believe.
2	Q	Is that here in Washoe County?
3	A	Um-hum.
4	Q	And what's the, you said smart styles, what is that?
5	A	It's a hair salon in the Walmart.
6	Q	Okay. And what do you do there?
7	A	I am a stylist.
8	Q	How long have you worked there?
9	A	About a year.
10	Q	In the salon?
11	A	Um-hum.
12	Q	Let me direct your attention to June 9th, 2014,
13	approxima	tely around 11:45 or so. Were you working at Walmart
14	that day?	
15	А	Yeah.
16	Q	Okay. What was your shift?
17	A	I believe I was in 10 to 5.
18	Q	Okay. And at some point did you leave and come back to
19	work or d	id you stay at work the whole day?
20	А	I was at work all day.
21	Q	Okay. Do you recall seeing an altercation out in the
22	parking l	ot?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	When did you see that?

1	A	On my way to work.
2	Q	Okay. So were you driving into the parking lot?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	What kind of car do you drive?
5	A	At the time I drove an 8000 black Lincoln LS.
6	Q	Is that a sedan?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Two doors four doors?
9	A	Four door.
10	Q	And what did you see when you drove into the parking
11	lot?	
12	A	When I first pulled in I just saw two men alter
	1	
13	indicatin	g. And then when I looked around I had recognized one
13 14	indicatin	g. And then when I looked around I had recognized one
		g. And then when I looked around I had recognized one  How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?
14	of them.	
14 15	of them.	How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?
14 15 16	of them. Q A	How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?  The security guard from Walmart.
14 15 16 17	of them. Q A Q	How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?  The security guard from Walmart.  Is that Alex Monroy?
14 15 16 17 18	of them. Q A Q A	How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?  The security guard from Walmart.  Is that Alex Monroy?  Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19	of them. Q A Q A	How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?  The security guard from Walmart.  Is that Alex Monroy?  Yes.  And by security guard you mean asset protection?
14 15 16 17 18 19	of them. Q A Q A Q A	How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?  The security guard from Walmart.  Is that Alex Monroy?  Yes.  And by security guard you mean asset protection?  Loss prevention.  Loss prevention and he was in like a physical
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of them. Q A Q A Q A	How did you recognize one of them to be Alex?  The security guard from Walmart.  Is that Alex Monroy?  Yes.  And by security guard you mean asset protection?  Loss prevention.  Loss prevention and he was in like a physical

1	Q	And was he bumping shoulders with of another man?
2	A	Um-hum.
3	Q	Do you see that man here in the courtroom today?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Could you for the jury just point at him and describe
6	an articl	e of clothing he's wearing?
7	A	Blue jacket.
8	Q	Your Honor, may the record reflect identification of
9	the defendant by this witness?	
10		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
11		MR. BOGALE: Thank you.
12	BY MR. BO	GALE:
13	Q	Now, when you saw the altercation what did you do until
14	your car?	
15	A	I looped around, and I pulled back up to the front to
16	another l	ane and asked Alex if anyone had called the police.
17	Q	So you were still in your car?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	You had your car window down?
20	A	Yeah.
21	Q	And you had asked Alex if anyone called the police?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	What did he say?
24	A	He said, no and he asked me to and that's was when I

1 did. 2 And did you call the police? Q 3 Α Um-hum. 4 I'm going to show you what's been marked and admitted Q 5 as Exhibit 16 in this case. Okay? 6 Α Okay. 7 Showing you file oh, sorry. I'm showing a file on Q 8 Exhibit 16 that's entitled parking lot cam. Do you recognize 9 what's depicted in this video? 10 Α Yeah. 1.1 What is it? Q 12 This would be the Walmart parking lot. Α 13 Okay. And which wall March is this? Q 14 This is the Walmart that I work apartment the Seventh Α 15 Street. 16 Okay. I'm going to pause the video right here. 0 At ---17 well, is there a date on this video? 18 6-9-2014. Α 19 Q Okay. And is there a time as well? 20 1150. Α 21 And how many seconds? Q 22 Α 14 seconds. 23 Okay. And do you see you're car in this video? Q

24

Α

Yeah.

1	Q Where, if you could just stand up please and point to
2	the jury right there the black car?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. So that's you in the car?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And what's going through your head right now?
7	A I believe that that was when I asked him if anyone had
8	called the police.
9	Q Okay.
10	MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay what
11	Monroy may or may not have said.
12	THE COURT: Overruled.
13	BY MR. BOGALE:
14	Q Okay. I'm going to pause it here. Could you tell the
15	jury what time is on the video right now?
16	A 1151 and 21 seconds.
17	Q Okay. Do you see your car in this video?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Where is it?
20	A Off to the far right.
21	Q Could you please point it out for the jury.
22	Thanks. Okay. Okay. So that's your car in the top
23	right of the video.
24	A Yes.

1	Q	And why did you park there?
2	A	That was when I had looped around, I believe I was on
3	my phone	at the time. I had already dialed and I was just
4	waiting.	
5	Q	You had already dialed who?
6	A	The police.
7	Q	Were you talking to the police?
8	A	Yeah, is I was talking to the operator.
9	Q	Okay. And what were you observing?
10	A	I was just kind of watching to make sure everyone was
11	okay that	there was know further altercation.
12	Q	How was the defendant behaving while you were watching
13	from your	car?
14	A	Panicked.
15	Q	How was Alex behaving?
16	A	Kind of the same, you know, flustered.
17	Q	Now based on knowing Alex working there at the store
18	and his p	osition, what did you think was happening?
L9	A	I knew that at the time that he was trying to stop
20	someone,	because we had seen it time and time again.
21	Q	You had seen what?
22	A	Him follow thieves out of the store and stomach them in
23	the same	kind of way same manner.
24	Q	So you've seen Alex trying to stop people in the

1	parking lot?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Or and?	
4	A Not in the parking lot or in the store.	
5	Q In the store?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And why did you think he was doing that in this case?	
8	A I assumed it was a three of or.	
9	MR. SCHACHTER: Objection, Your Honor to assuming.	
10	THE COURT: Sustained.	
11	BY MR. BOGALE:	
12	Q What did you do next?	
13	A I waited until the police came. And when they showed .	
14	up I went to work. I pulled away.	
15	Q Did you talk to the police?	
16	A No.	
17	MR. BOGALE: No further questions at this time, Your	
18	Honor.	
19	THE COURT: Okay.	
20	MR. BOGALE: Thank you, Your Honor.	
21	THE COURT: Cross-examination.	
22	MR. SCHACHTER: Can we get the, I forgot he said the	
23	one that I marked today. The CD of the 911 calls.	
24	THE COURT: Yes, sure.	

THE CLERK: That's Exhibit 14.

MR. SCHACHTER: This is.

MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, I would object to the admission of Exhibit 14 on the --

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask that you step down and come back tomorrow morning. We'll need you back tomorrow morning at 9:30.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So you can step down and go ahead and go on out.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury this is a good time for us to let you go home for the evening. And I'm going to have you come back tomorrow morning at 9:30 we'll start hearing testimony again at that time. While I can't tell you for sure what's going to happen tomorrow. I don't know if he'll get the case to you tomorrow afternoon. I'll have a better idea in the morning. You will be to leave for lunch I'm sure tomorrow. The only question is whether or not we really go quicker than we have today and we would get the case to you tomorrow afternoon.

If that have happens, once you begin deliberating you can't communicate with anyone. So what happens when you start communicating — or when you start deliberating we take your cell phone, there is a phone number for you to tell your family members who may need to contact you to contact you through our office and the bailiff and my staff and myself are all here while

you're deliberating, but you won't be able to communicate.

So if you have some urgent thing you need to do have tomorrow evening, you should tell the bailiff just so we can work around your personal circumstances. However, at this rate I suspect you won't be deliberating until Wednesday, but I'll have a better idea tomorrow morning.

Now that's the best I can I have you in terms of the schedule, but tomorrow we will start at 9:30 with you we'll go into the lunch hour. We'll take an hour lunch somewhere around the noon hour. And we'll go again until close to 5:00 o'clock if you're not deliberating. If you're deliberating, we'll go later.

33 do we report right out here?

ask that you come directly to the jury room. Deputy Butler will have the coffee made, and the door unlocked for you. So when you go into the jury room, just stay there. Don't go and come into the jury room. Make yourself comfortable. Bring something, a book or something with you when you come, just in case we don't get started with you at 9:30. I promise you we'll all be in here but sometimes it takes a little bit of time before we can get you into the courtroom. And if you need anything from home bring it.

Now remember during of this evening's recess that I'm going to give you this admonition. Please remember what I told you about the reason why you can't talk about this even to your

family members and why you can't describe testimony to people, even to your closest family members. Remember what the reasoning for that is. I think it always helps to follow a rule if you know why the rule is there. And remember that when I say you can't speak of this case I mean not only verbally, but in writing, through social media or any kind of texting or Facebook or anything like that.

In addition, when I say you cannot make any independent investigation, please remember that includes looking on Google as to what the crime is, as to the facts and circumstances surrounding these allegations or anything like that.

In addition, you may not go to the Walmart store, you may not go to any Walmart store and make any independent investigation as to any of the circumstances surrounding it. So I'm ordering you now to stay away from Walmart until the case is over.

So during to break do not discuss the case amongst yourselves or with any other person, do not form or express any opinion about the outcome of this matter until it is submitted to you for your decision. Do not allow anyone to speak of the case to you or in any manner attempt to influence you with regard to it. Should any person make such an attempt, report it to the bailiff immediately. Do not make any independent investigation or inquiry or go look at anything for yourself during the course

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of this trial.

We will see you back tomorrow morning at 9:30. You may step out. Thank you.

Jury excused for the evening.

Okay. Counsel, go ahead and have a seat for just a minute. We have some proposed jury instructions from the State, and it's my understanding you sent via email those instructions. So the typographical errors that we found was a gender neutrality issue, we've resolved. The only thing we were unable to resolve, because I did not want my staff doing it, is the new instruction with regard to the amended information and the striking of Count II. So that and the reciting of the Information, which was I think was about four in your packet.

MR. BOGALE: Yes, Your Honor. I think that's right.

THE COURT: And then we have -- I've also pulled all of the burglary instructions out of the packet. And I do not have any instructions from you, Mr. Schachter. Do you have anything to submit yet?

Yes, Your Honor. Based on the order MR. SCHACHTER: denying the motion, there's going to be no lost evidence during --

> THE COURT: Assumptions, is that what you're asking?

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes.

THE COURT: Right.

1 MR. HYLIN: We only have one to offer, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 And I thought I had duplicate, but the MR. HYLIN: instruction with the authority cited therein, but it's for 4 5 Crawford versus State 121 Nevada 744, 2005. 6 Mr. Hylin, can you give me that citation. MR. BOGALE: 7 MR. HYLIN: Sure. Crawford versus State 121 Nevada 744, 2005. It's a section of that case that deals with negative 8 9 instructions. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And so I'll review this as it 11 relates and so can the State. 12 What I wanted to ask you about was how many more 13 witnesses you think you're going to have? 14 MR. BOGALE: Including Matthew Hand, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 1, 2, 3 ---MR. BOGALE: 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. BOGALE: -- more. 19 THE COURT: Three more. And Mr. Schachter are you 20 thinking that you're going to testify, have you made your mind up 21 yet? 22 MR. SCHACHTER: No, I haven't made my mind up but I do 23 have one witness, the store manager. Mr. Yoder. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And he's on the witness list,

1 correct? 2 MR. SCHACHTER: Correct, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And is he under subpoena? 4 MR. SCHACHTER: He is. 5 When was he supposed to check in. THE COURT: 6 We have him on call. I was going to have MR. HYLIN: 7 my investigator can and tell him when the time comes. 8 THE COURT: So you're coordinating. 9 MR. HYLIN: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Now that being said I think that this case 11 will be close to going, if not possible to go to the jury 12 tomorrow, but unless we actually are finished at a reasonable 13 time, I'd rather wait and do it on Wednesday morning. 14 know how late we're allowed to stay anymore at night. 15 Do you know, Ms. Wilson, how late juries are 16 deliberating? 17 I don't know if they're staying late MS. WILSON: 18 anymore. 19 MR. HYLIN: The last two of trials I've done, we're 20 Weir out of here by five. So I'm presuming they are still the 21 same. 22 THE COURT: We can stay I think until the building 23 closes at eight, or something like that, but I don't see any

129

reason unless you all see burning need to go to the jury

24

1 tomorrow.

MR. BOGALE: The last jury trial we did about a month ago with senior judge Manoukian we stayed until about 8:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: Let's see how it goes tomorrow, but we may not go tomorrow afternoon. We may go on witness morning. But you should be prepared to do your closing arguments just in case is it goes a little quicker than we expect.

And Mr. Schachter if you choose to testify, you have an absolute right to testify at the trial or not. If you choose not to the testify the facts that you do not testify cannot be mentioned or used against you in any way and you're entitled to a jury instruction if you request it. But you have to request it.

MR. SCHACHTER: Right.

THE COURT: If you do testify, once you start testifying you must continue testifying. You just can't give your version and then refuse to answer questions for the State. Do you understand all of that?

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now if you were to decide to testify you'd have to coordinate with Mr. Hylin, because he'd have to help you by asking whatever questions you want him to ask you. Because you can't sit there and go, Mr. Schachter what is your name.

MR. SCHACHTER: What about the possibility of just

\_

\_

Z 4

reading a statement.

THE COURT: Well, you have a right of allocation if you are found guilty and you're sentenced. You can read a statement under oath, if there's nothing in the statement that's going to be objectionable. It's however you decide to do it.

If you to do it that way you're still subject to cross-examination on any subject matter once you begin reading your statement.

MR. SCHACHTER: But regarding the statement they couldn't cross on just the statement itself, they'd be free.

THE COURT: Yeah, they'd be free to ask you anything including about your prior. So, yes, once you start testifying you can't say well, I didn't talk about that. A little different rule then some other witnesses.

MR. HYLIN: As far as if he chooses not to testify, does the Court have its own instruction?

THE COURT: I don't have one, but the State has the stalk. I think if you'll email that to us that will make it easier than me having to find it.

MR. BOGALE: Of course, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then we will have it here and the clerk will have it for you, but you have to request it.

MR. SCHACHTER: Okay.

THE COURT: Anything else?

Oh. The why don't we go ahead and make the record with regard. Well, it's after five. So tomorrow morning we can make the record on the 911 call. So I think we have asked this Mr. Schachter be here at 8:30. I was thinking maybe, I want to make sure that the jury gets in here by 930. I don't think if there's anything else that we're going to have to deal with other than the 911 call.

MR. SCHACHTER: It might be a little quicker if I knew what witnesses he plans on calling on the witness list so I'm be more prepared.

THE COURT: Okay. Which witnesses?

MR. BOGALE: Continuing with Matthew Hand and then officer Terry West from RPD, and potentially Deputy Keisha Ellis from the jail.

THE COURT: Okay. And is that it?

MR. BOGALE: Right now Your Honor that's it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHACHTER: Just one more thing about discovery, any new discovery I told Mr. Bogale there's an issue with discovery at the jail and so.

THE COURT: You don't think you're getting it?

MR. SCHACHTER: They told me that they're only going to notify cocounsel. Their word. If I get discovery.

THE COURT: You mean the jail will have only tell --

1 They feel that they're not obligated to MR. SCHACHTER: tell me when they get certain discovery. I have the grievance, 2 3 if you want to look at it. 4 THE COURT: Have you disclosed anything? 5 MR. BOGALE: Just for your sake, Your Honor, we haven't 6 not disclosed anything. All of this stuff that we are 7 discovering to Mr. Schachter is being personally handed off by my 8 investigator Michelle base, so she's hand delivering all the 9 discovery to the defendant at the jail. 10 THE COURT: What do you have that you got from the jail 11 saying they weren't giving it to you? 12 MR. SCHACHTER: It's a grievance, Your Honor. Do you 13 want to see it? 14 THE COURT: Mr. Hylin -- are you still giving duplicate 15 discovery to the Public Defender's Office? 16 Mr. Hylin has your office gotten anything new? 17 Not that I don't believe that Mr. Schachter MR. HYLIN: 18 doesn't have currently. 19 THE COURT: Can you double check on that. 20 MR. HYLIN: Maybe we should compare. 21 THE COURT: That would probably be helpful. 2.2 MR. HYLIN: If I may approach. 23 THE COURT: Yes. I just want to make sure that he does 24 have all the discovery.

1 Can I have the clerk mark this? It says inmate 2 grievance form. And I'm not sure --3 MR. SCHACHTER: This came about as a result of the 911 4 calls, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I'll go ahead and have the clerk mark this, 6 just so we have it in the record. 7 THE CLERK: Exhibit 19 marked. 8 MR. BOGALE: Your Honor, can the State inspect that 9 before it's marked. 10 THE COURT: Absolutely we're going to take a recess and 11 figure out, maybe Mr. Hylin may want to read it and figure out what it is. And then we'll talk about it tomorrow morning. 12 13 We'll probably not be here on the record until about 8:45, but I 14 know it takes a while for Mr. Schachter to get prepared for court 15 and everything. So we're asking that he be here by 8:30. 16 Okay. And to we'll be in recess until tomorrow 17 morning. 18 MR. BOGALE: Should the State be here tomorrow at 8:45, 19 Your Honor? 20 THE COURT: Yes. Be here by 8:45. 21 Anything further? 22 MR. BOGALE: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. Court's in recess. 24 (Proceedings Continued to Tuesday, September 23, 2014)

1 STATE OF NEVADA )ss. 2 COUNTY OF WASHOE 3 4 I, EVELYN J. STUBBS, official reporter of the 5 Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for 6 the County of Washoe, do hereby state: 7 That as such reporter I was present in Department No. 4 of the above court on, Monday, September 22, 2014, at the hour of 8 9:58 a.m. of said day, and I then and there took stenotype notes 9 10 of the proceedings had and testimony given therein upon the JURY TRIAL of the case of THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. MARC P. 11 12 SCHACHTER, Defendant, Case No. CR14-1044. 13 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 14 numbered 1 to 134, inclusive, is a rough draft transcript of my 15 said stenotype notes, so taken as aforesaid, and is not fully 16 edited. 17 18 19 At Reno, Nevada, this 11th day of February, 20 2015. 21 22 23 EVELYN J. STUBBS, CCR #356 24