IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LINDSIE NEWMAN.

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.

LINDSIE NEWMAN.

Appellant,

vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 67756

No. 67 63 ED

MAY 0 1 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY

ORDER CONSOLIDATING APPEALS AND DENYING MOTION FOR BAIL

Appellant has moved to consolidate these appeals. The district court considered the cases together in the proceedings below, and cause appearing, we grant the motion. These appeals are consolidated for disposition only. See NRAP 3(b)(2). The appeals shall retain their separate briefing schedules.

Appellant has also filed a motion for admission to bail pending appeal. See NRS 174.488. Having considered the motion, we conclude that appellant has not demonstrated that her release on bail is warranted. See Bergna v State, 120 Nev. 869, 102 P.3d 549 (2004) (indicating that appellant bears the burden of demonstrating that bail pending appeal is warranted and setting forth relevant factors (whether the appeal is frivolous or taken for delay and whether the defendant's release may pose a risk of flight or danger to the community) and considerations relevant to those factors (the nature and quality of the evidence adduced at trial, the circumstances of the offense, and the defendant's prior criminal record, attempted escapes from confinement, community associations, and

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

employment status). We are not persuaded that appellant has met her burden of demonstrating that her release on bail pending appeal will pose no danger to the community. Accordingly, the motion is denied.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty, C.J

cc: Hon. James Todd Russell, District Judge State Public Defender/Carson City Attorney General/Carson City Carson City District Attorney Carson City Clerk